

**Slide 1: What is shared in shared decision-making? Eliciting and constructing patients' preferences when the evidence is unclear**

Ronald M Epstein MD  
Professor of Family Medicine, Psychiatry, Oncology and Nursing  
Director, Center for Communication and Disparities Research  
University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY

**Slide 2: Patient Centered Care**

“...a partnership among practitioners, patients and their families (where appropriate) to ensure that decisions respect patients' wants, needs and preferences, and solicit patients' input...”

—Institute of Medicine

**Slide 3: Patient-Centered Care**

graphic representation of the interactions between and among these components:

- Informed, activated, participatory patient and family
- Accessible, well-organized, responsive health care system
- Patient-centered communicative clinician

That result in:

- Improved Communication
- Improved Health Outcomes

**Slide 4: Simple, complicated, and complex situations**

table 3 columns, titled: Simple, Complicated, Complex

Simple:

- Following recipe
- Uncomplicated urinary tract infection
- Requires little knowledge
- Recipes are essential
- Good recipes give good results every time

Complicated:

- Sending rocket to the moon
- Mastectomy vs lumpectomy/radiation for breast cancer
- Requires expertise
- Formulae necessary

Source: Eisenberg Center Conference Series 2011, Differing Levels of Clinical Evidence: Exploring Communication Challenges in Shared Decisionmaking, Effective Health Care Program Web site (<http://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/index.cfm>)

- Outcomes fairly certain

Complex:

- Raising a child
- Relieving chronic pain; third-line chemotherapy for colon cancer
- Requires practical wisdom, self-awareness
- Formulae necessary but insufficient
- Some uncertainty of outcome

#### **Slide 5: In complex situations, evidence is rarely sufficient**

- Evidence must be mapped onto the current context
- Equipoise is rare
- Goal is practical wisdom
  - ...knowing exactly which rule to break, and exactly in what way and how much to break it to accommodate to the situation before you.
- Evidence as a 'middle-range theory of practice'

#### **Slide 6: Social networks**

- Patients rarely make important decisions alone
- The majority of visits for serious illness are accompanied
- Clinicians and patients construct (often tacit) "communities of care"

#### **Slide 7: Social Networks of Relatively Healthy People**

An image of intersecting circles labeled, "the patient's family/social network" and "The network of health care professionals."

#### **Slide 8: Social networks of people with serious and chronic illnesses**

An image of intersecting circles labeled, "the patient's family/social network" and "The network of health care professionals."

#### **Slide 9: A clinical dilemma**

- "What would you do if you were me?"

#### **Slide 10: A clinical dilemma (2)**

- What else do you want to know about the patient?
- What would you say to him at your next visit?

#### **Slide 11: Deliberating**

- "This is the approach that I'd recommend – it gives you the best chance for success..."

Source: Eisenberg Center Conference Series 2011, Differing Levels of Clinical Evidence: Exploring Communication Challenges in Shared Decisionmaking, Effective Health Care Program Web site (<http://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/index.cfm>)

- “Given what I know about you, I’d suggest you consider XYZ...”
- “Go home, think about it and let me know...”
- “I really can’t tell you what to do. Everyone’s different...”
- “Let’s explore what’s most important to you...”
- “I really know exactly how you feel...”
- “Other patients have found some additional information useful...”

### **Slide 12: Two views of decision-making**

- Individual view
  - Decisions are made and negotiated by individuals
  - Patients want individual autonomy
  - Patients anticipate difficult choices
  - Preferences are stable and intrinsic to the individual
  - Patients balance logic and affect against known values
- Distributed view
  - Decisions are made within social networks
  - Autonomy depends on and emerges in relationships
  - Decisions don’t appear real until the situation presents itself
  - Preferences are constructed as a result of interactions
  - Patients use the full range of human experience – logic, affect, intuition, relationships – to make decisions

### **Slide 13: Eliciting values and constructing preferences are not straightforward**

- Structure, process and outcome preferences
- Mismatch between means and ends
- Unclear referents
  - “Doing everything”
- Open list of options
- Instability of preferences
- Cognitive alibi

### **Slide 14: Shared mind**

....situations in which new ideas and perspectives emerge through the sharing of thoughts, feelings, perceptions, meanings and intentions among 2 or more people.

- state that is achieved (e.g. consensus)
- An interpersonal process (e.g. becoming attuned)

### **Slide 15: Shared mind is...**

- Cognitive

Source: Eisenberg Center Conference Series 2011, Differing Levels of Clinical Evidence: Exploring Communication Challenges in Shared Decisionmaking, Effective Health Care Program Web site (<http://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/index.cfm>)

- Naturally-occurring distributed cognition – and intentional collaborative cognition
- Regarding values, goals, perspectives, preferences
- Affective
  - Naturally-occurring attunement/resonance (“the same wavelength”) – and intentional promotion of connection, trust, empathy
- Motor
  - Naturally-occurring mirroring (“in stride with”) – and intentional use of non-verbal communication
- Organizational
  - Naturally-occurring groups – and intentional sense-making

### **Slide 16: Approaching complex situations**

- “Muddling through”<sup>1</sup>
- Intermediate steps and goals
- Incremental change, periodic reassessment
- Awareness of affect and gut feelings
- Attending to context
- Limitations of complexity theory

### **Slide 17: Is it hopeless?**

- Heuristics and gut feelings can clarify
- Social construction of preferences can enhance autonomy<sup>1</sup>
- Provisional/evolving preferences can allow for flexibility, correct for poor affective forecasts

### **Slide 18: Patient engagement in complex situations**

- Dynamic, iterative and provisional
- Often no true sense of closure
- More information is not always better
- The medium and the messenger are important

### **Slide 19: Principles**

- Share attentional focus – agree what you’re talking about
- Inform preferences – just enough, just the right information, just in time
- Monitor cognitive load and pace accordingly
- Foster distributed/collaborative cognition

### **Slide 20: Principles (II)**

- Mindful practice

Source: Eisenberg Center Conference Series 2011, Differing Levels of Clinical Evidence: Exploring Communication Challenges in Shared Decisionmaking, Effective Health Care Program Web site (<http://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/index.cfm>)

- Use the whole mind – deliberative/intuitive
- Self-monitoring, self-questioning = interoception
- Help patients use their whole mind = interactivity
- Promote relational autonomy
- Focus on intermediate goals and reassess

### **Slide 21: Information technology**

- Tailor and target information; parse into useable bits
- Promote affective forecasts and reflection
- Support relationships in which dialogue and deliberation can occur

### **Slide 22: Re-orienting health systems**

- Teams
- Patients as subjects, not mere objects of care
- Spaces in which listening can occur
- Incentives for interpersonal care
- Valuing “sharpening the saw”

### **Slide 23: Perils**

- Self-deception
- Power
- Passivity
- Charisma
- Anxiety
- Tacit disagreements
- Mindless enactment of uninformed preferences
- Abandonment

### **Slide 24: An approach**

“[Sometimes an] acquaintance with particulars... makes us wiser than the possession of abstract formulas, no matter how deep.”—William James ( ca.1890.)

### **Slide 25: An approach (2)**

- Clinician interoception, attentiveness, self-monitoring, situational responsiveness
- Patient activation, enablement, navigation, training
- Systems that direct clinicians’ gaze toward patient-as-person and promote collaborative cognition

Source: Eisenberg Center Conference Series 2011, Differing Levels of Clinical Evidence: Exploring Communication Challenges in Shared Decisionmaking, Effective Health Care Program Web site (<http://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/index.cfm>)