

Topic Brief: Telehealth for Children with Neurodevelopmental Disabilities

Date: 1/05/2024 **Nomination Number:** 1005

Purpose: This document summarizes the information addressing a nomination submitted on June 3, 2022, (<u>link to nomination</u>) through the Effective Health Care Website. This information was used to inform the Evidence-based Practice Center (EPC) Program decisions about whether to produce an evidence report on the topic, and if so, what type of evidence report would be most suitable.

Issue: The nominators represent an advocacy group, the Child Neurology Foundation, which works to bring attention to and facilitate the removal of barriers to healthcare access for children with neurological conditions in the United States. The provision of healthcare through telehealth may increase access and provide beneficial services to children with neurodevelopmental disabilities and their caregivers, however, this mode of healthcare delivery may not be an effective method of treatment delivery for all patients. Consequently, the nominators are requesting an evidence review to help determine the effectiveness of telehealth for children with neurodevelopmental disabilities (NDDs) and their caregivers.

Findings: The scope of this topic met all EHC Program selection criteria and was considered for a technical brief. However, it was not selected.

Background

Neurodevelopmental disorders (NDD) are wide ranging and include cognitive disabilities, metabolic and genetic conditions, common nervous system disabilities, nerve and muscle disorders, prematurity effects, social deprivation, in utero exposure, and behavioral disorders.¹ While pediatric NDDs have rarely been assessed as a single entity, a recent systematic review of 17 articles reported the prevalence rates of NDDs to be 0.63% for intellectual disability, 5-11% for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), 0.70-3% for autism spectrum disorder (ASD), 3-10% for specific learning disorder (SLD), and 1-3.42% for communication disorders.² In 2013, the costs of caring for individuals with autism spectrum disorder in the United States were estimated at \$137 billion, and the lifetime costs per individual ranged from \$1.4 million without co-occurring intellectual disability to \$2.4 million with co-occurring intellectual disability.³

Individuals with a NDD may require special needs from their health care services as they often face higher rates of psychiatric morbidity and have varying communication disabilities and preferences.⁴ Further, these disorders often require life-long management and multiple services.⁵ One study showed that adolescents and young adults with complex, childhood conditions use greater clinical resources, medical technology, and specialists compared to adult primary care

practices.⁶ There is also evidence that individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities who are transitioning from pediatric to adult care have distinctly different needs for health care transition planning when compared to typically developing individuals.⁷ Another study that administered a questionnaire to general practitioners found that health care providers perceived greater burden from patients with learning disabilities.⁸ In providing care for the pediatric NDD population, parents may also require special training and support.⁹ Additionally, families with children who have a neurodevelopmental disorder may experience increased stress, financial insecurity, and other challenges.¹⁰⁻¹³ There has been a recent shift in the conceptualization of childhood disability, where the family context and impacts are of increasing interest in clinical care.¹⁴ Delivery of health care and treatment for NDDs thus need to be compatible with both the unique needs of the family and the child, presenting more nuanced care for this population.

Telehealth, or telemedicine, is the provision of healthcare to patients without an in-person office visit, such as by computer, smartphone, or telephone.¹⁵ While the wait time to see a neurologist for children with neurologic conditions averaged 9 weeks,¹⁶ the increased usage of telehealth during the COVID-19 pandemic dramatically reduced the wait time for patients. As indicated below, there is some evidence that telehealth may improve access to care and tele-interventions have demonstrated efficacy for improving symptoms of children with NDDs. However, given the special needs of this population, the effectiveness of the telehealth modality for children with neurologic conditions has yet to be fully established. The nominators are interested in an evidence review assessing the advantages and disadvantages of the telehealth modality for children with neurodevelopmental disorders to inform clinical practice with this population.

Scope

What are the (a) advantages and (b) disadvantages of telehealth interventions for children with neurodevelopmental disabilities?

Population	Children aged <21 years with an established neurodevelopmental disability and their caregivers.	
	 Subgroups: Neurodevelopmental condition (examples below): Severely physically limiting (cerebral palsy; spina bifida; acquired brain injury; degenerative or traumatic neurological disorders; genetic disorders such as Rett syndrome) Mild-moderately physically limiting (vision, hearing, or speaking impairments; epilepsy; dyspraxia) Cognitively limiting (intellectual and learning disabilities [mild, moderate, severe]; Down syndrome; Fetal Alcohol Syndrome) Behavioral (ADHD, ASD) 	
	 Age of patient SES Gender/sex 	
	Patient geographical location (e.g., urban vs. rural, state licensure issues)	
Interventions	Telehealth using remote synchronous interventions (real-time visits such as video calls) with a health care provider for clinical management encounters (e.g., telehealth appointments)	
	Exclude: diagnostic appointments	
Comparators	In-person clinical encounter with a health care provider; no comparator or no care	
Outcomes	Patient: clinical outcomes, patient compliance with telehealth treatment pathways, patient satisfaction, patient-reported telehealth uptake/continued use	

Table 1. Question and PICO (population, ir	intervention, com	parator, and outcome)
--	-------------------	-----------------------

Caregiver: caregiver satisfaction, caregiver burden, caregiver self-efficacy, caregiver-reported telehealth updated/continued use
Disadvantages: harms (any), training requirements (caregiver and provider), increased out-of-pocket costs (e.g., equipment for at-home physical therapy), insurance and provider-licensure barriers

Abbreviations: ADHD=attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD=autism spectrum disorder; SES=socioeconomic status.

Notes on inclusion and exclusion for this preliminary prioritization brief:

- The telehealth intervention should be restricted to remote synchronous interventions (video and telephone appointments) representing routine care from a health care provider for treatment or clinical management. Much of the published literature on pediatric telehealth for NDDs focuses on piloting novel, virtual interventions. These studies would not be eligible for evidence synthesis on the proposed topic as they do not represent routine care via the telehealth modality.
- Diagnostic appointments should be excluded to ensure that the target population is children and adolescents with a confirmed NDD.
 - This inclusion criteria may present a challenge in screening the literature as differentiating between diagnosis and assessment may require technical expert guidance and full text review. Routine treatment of some NDDs involves frequent assessment to ascertain treatment response and new directions.

Assessment Methods

See Appendix A.

Summary of Literature Findings

To address the advantages and disadvantages of the telehealth modality for children with neurodevelopmental disabilities, we searched 7 databases for systematic reviews, meta-analyses, primary literature, clinical trial registries, and systematic review protocols published between January 2018 and December 2023. We identified 22 systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and/or literature reviews and 11 review protocols that met the inclusion criteria described above in Table 1. Despite this, a new comprehensive review would not be duplicative, as the identified evidence syntheses report on the efficacy of pilot interventions using telehealth, only one NDD, or only one type of treatment or specific NDD. Further, most of the studies included in these systematic reviews were published more than five years ago, indicating that the available reviews may not report on the most updated evidence. While these reviews may be useful within the overall body of literature addressing the advantages and disadvantages of telehealth for children with NDDs, these reviews do not adequately report on the delivery of the telehealth modality for this population. Additionally, after review of 77 systematic review protocols, none will adequately address the main concerns of the nominator as they feature a population, intervention, and/or outcomes that are too narrow in scope. As such, a new systematic review on the advantages and disadvantages of routine care telehealth appointments for children with NDDs would shape virtual clinical care for a vulnerable population and be of great use to the Child Neurology Organization.

Across a five-year literature search for primary research and clinical trials (n=527), we identified 46 primary research studies and 11 clinical trial registries that met inclusion criteria. Many of these studies are retrospective analyses of data from telehealth appointments during the COVID-19 pandemic and show lessons learned that may be implemented into current care for children with NDDs. Other included studies assess the efficacy of routinely implemented treatment via

telehealth. It is also worth noting that a substantial number of these studies examine parent-facing or parent-mediated telehealth interventions for children with ASD (n=22). While these studies are informative, there may be an evidence gap in telehealth for parent-facing interventions for children with other NDDs.

If a systematic review on the advantages and disadvantages of the use of telehealth for families and children with NDDs were to be conducted, we estimate the review size would be large. Our literature search on this topic yielded many studies that focus on novel virtual, remote, or technology-based interventions, which were excluded as they do not represent routine care. Studies that evaluate the feasibility, acceptability, and efficacy of implementing the telehealth modality into routine care for this special population will be most relevant the nominators and other clinicians. A review of these studies has the potential to shape clinical and virtual care for children with NDDs.

Question	Systematic Reviews	Primary Research
KO ta:	(January 2020 – December 2023)	(January 2018 – December 2023)
KQ 1a: Advantages	 Completed Reviews Mild Physically Limiting Disorders: 4¹⁷⁻²⁰ Severely Physically Limiting Disorders: 1¹⁷ Cognitively Limiting Disorders: 1²¹ Behavioral Disorders: 16²¹⁻³⁶ Mixed Disorders: 11³⁷⁻⁴⁷ Ongoing Reviews Mild Physically Limiting Disorders: 1⁴⁸ Severely Physically Limiting Disorders: 0 Cognitively Limiting Disorders: 0 Behavioral Disorders: 1⁴⁹ Mixed Disorders: 0 	Completed Studies • Mild Physically Limiting Disorders: • Observational Studies: 3 $50-52$ • RCTs: 6 $53-58$ • Severely Physically Limiting Disorders: • Observational Studies: 0 • RCTs: 1 59 • Cognitively Limiting Disorders: • Observational Studies: 2 $60, 61$ • RCTs: 1 62 • Behavioral Disorders: • Observational Studies: 9 $63-71$ • RCTs: 11 $72-82$ • Mixed Disorders • Observational Studies: 11 $50, 83-92$ • RCTs: 0 Ongoing Clinical Trials • Mild Physically Limiting Disorders: 0
		 Severely Physically Limiting Disorders: 2 ^{93, 94} Cognitively Limiting Disorders: 1 ⁹⁵ Behavioral Disorders: 9 ⁹⁶⁻¹⁰⁴ Mixed Disorders: 0
KQ 1b: Disadvantages	 Completed Reviews Mild Physically Limiting Disorders: 1¹⁸ Severely Physically Limiting 	Completed Studies Mild Physically Limiting Disorders: Observational Studies: 1 ⁵² RCTs: 2 ^{53, 58}
	 Disorders: 0 Cognitively Limiting Disorders: 1²¹ Behavioral Disorders: 3^{23, 24, 27} 	 Severely Physically Limiting Disorders: Observational Studies: 0 RCTs: 1 ⁵⁹

Table 2. Systematic reviews, primary literature, and clinical trials, by key question.

• Mixed Disorders: 2 ^{39, 41}	Cognitively Limiting Disorders: Observational Studies: 0
Ongoing Reviews	 ○ RCTs: 1 ⁶²
 Mild Physically Limiting 	 Behavioral Disorders:
Disorders: 0	 Observational Studies: 3^{69,}
 Severely Physically Limiting 	70, 105
Disorders: 0	• RCTs: 7 ^{72, 73, 78, 79, 81, 82, 106}
Cognitively Limiting Disorders:	Mixed Disorders
0	 Observational Studies: 7^{84,}
 Behavioral Disorders: 0 	85, 88-90, 92, 107
 Mixed Disorders: 0 	○ <i>RCTs</i> : 0
	Ongoing Clinical Trials
	 Mild Physically Limiting Disorders:
	0
	 Severely Physically Limiting
	Disorders: 0
	 Cognitively Limiting Disorders: 1 ⁹⁵
	 Behavioral Disorders: 1¹⁰¹
	Mixed Disorders: 0

Abbreviations: RCT=randomized controlled trial

See Appendix B for detailed assessments of all EPC selection criteria.

Summary of Selection Criteria Assessment

The provision of healthcare through telehealth may increase access and provide beneficial services to children with neurodevelopmental disabilities and their caregivers, but this mode of healthcare delivery may not be an effective way of delivering treatment for all patients. Consequently, the nominators are requesting an evidence review to help determine for which groups of children with neurodevelopmental disabilities and their caregivers is telehealth effective.

Please see Appendix B for detailed assessments of individual EPC Program selection criteria.

References

Author

Rachel Ward, BA Kara Winchell, MA Lisa Winterbottom, MD Robin Paynter, MLIS

Conflict of Interest: None of the investigators have any affiliations or financial involvement that conflicts with the material presented in this report.

Acknowledgements

Suchitra Iyer, PhD Holly Wethington, PhD

This report was developed by the Scientific Resource Center under contract to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), Rockville, MD (Contract No. HHSA 290-2017-00003C). The findings and conclusions in this document are those of

the author(s) who are responsible for its contents; the findings and conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of AHRQ. No statement in this article should be construed as an official position of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality or of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References

1. Hospital CsN. Neurodevelopmental Disabilities Residency.

https://www.childrensnational.org/for-healthcare-professionals/healthcare-education/graduatemedical-education/residencies-and-fellowships/neurodevelopmental-disabilities. Accessed on January 5, 2023.

2. Francés L, Quintero J, Fernández A, et al. Current state of knowledge on the prevalence of neurodevelopmental disorders in childhood according to the DSM-5: a systematic review in accordance with the PRISMA criteria. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2022 Mar 31;16(1):27. doi: 10.1186/s13034-022-00462-1. PMID: 35361232.

3. Leslie DL. Understanding the Costs of Autism Services. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Sep;56(9):727-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.06.014. PMID: 28838576.

4. Alexander RT, Langdon PE, O'Hara J, et al. Psychiatry and neurodevelopmental disorders: experts by experience, clinical care and research. Br J Psychiatry. 2021 Jan;218(1):1-3. doi: 10.1192/bjp.2020.237. PMID: 33541475.

5. Kularatna S, Jadambaa A, Senanayake S, et al. The Cost of Neurodevelopmental Disability: Scoping Review of Economic Evaluation Methods. Clinicoecon Outcomes Res. 2022;14:665-82. doi: 10.2147/ceor.S370311. PMID: 36304697.

6. Berens JC, Peacock C. Implementation of an academic adult primary care clinic for adolescents and young adults with complex, chronic childhood conditions. J Pediatr Rehabil Med. 2015;8(1):3-12. doi: 10.3233/prm-150313. PMID: 25737343.

7. Betz CL. Health care transition planning for adolescents and emerging adults with intellectual disabilities and developmental disabilities: Distinctions and challenges. J Spec Pediatr Nurs. 2023 Jul;28(3):e12415. doi: 10.1111/jspn.12415. PMID: 37380603.

 8. Bond L, Kerr M, Dunstan F, et al. Attitudes of general practitioners towards health care for people with intellectual disability and the factors underlying these attitudes. J Intellect Disabil Res. 1997 Oct;41 (Pt 5):391-400. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2788.1997.tb00726.x. PMID: 9373819.
 9. Excellence NIfHaC. Disabled children and young people up to 25 with severe complex needs: integrated service delivery and organisation across health, social care and education. NICE Guideline 213; 2023. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng213. January 5, 2023.

10. Brehaut JC, Kohen DE, Raina P, et al. The health of primary caregivers of children with cerebral palsy: how does it compare with that of other Canadian caregivers? Pediatrics. 2004 Aug;114(2):e182-91. doi: 10.1542/peds.114.2.e182. PMID: 15286255.

11. Murphy NA, Christian B, Caplin DA, et al. The health of caregivers for children with disabilities: caregiver perspectives. Child Care Health Dev. 2007 Mar;33(2):180-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2214.2006.00644.x. PMID: 17291322.

12. Lach LM, Kohen DE, Garner RE, et al. The health and psychosocial functioning of caregivers of children with neurodevelopmental disorders. Disabil Rehabil. 2009;31(8):607-18. doi: 10.1080/09638280802242163. PMID: 19360498.

13. Hayes SA, Watson SL. The impact of parenting stress: a meta-analysis of studies comparing the experience of parenting stress in parents of children with and without autism spectrum disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. 2013 Mar;43(3):629-42. doi: 10.1007/s10803-012-1604-y. PMID: 22790429.

 Rosenbaum PL, Novak-Pavlic M. Parenting a Child with a Neurodevelopmental Disorder. Curr Dev Disord Rep. 2021;8(4):212-8. doi: 10.1007/s40474-021-00240-2. PMID: 34840932.
 Resources DoHaH. What is Telehealth? <u>https://telehealth.hhs.gov/patients/understanding-telehealth</u>. Accessed on January 5, 2023.

16. Program EHC. Telehealth for Children with Neurodevelopmental Disabilities: Nominated Topic. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2022.

https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/get-involved/nominated-topics/neurodevelopmentaldisabilities. Accessed on January 5, 2023.

17. Pagaki-Skaliora M, Morrow E, Theologis T. Telehealth and Remote Interventions for Children With Cerebral Palsy: Scoping Review. JMIR Rehabil Assist Technol. 2022 Oct 17;9(4):e36842. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.2196/36842. PMID: 36041012.

18. Law J, Dornstauder M, Charlton J, et al. Tele-practice for children and young people with communication disabilities: Employing the COM-B model to review the intervention literature and inform guidance for practitioners. Int J Lang Commun Disord. 2021 03;56(2):415-34. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12592. PMID: 33522068.

19. Xu Xiaolei ZTHCZZSYLY. Therapist-supported online remote behavioural intervention for tics in children and adolescents: A Meta-Analysis.

20. Ebrahimi S, Ahmadi Marzaleh M, Zakerabbasali S, et al. Comparing the Clinical Effectiveness of Telerehabilitation with Traditional Speech and Language Rehabilitation in Children with Hearing Disabilities: A Systematic Review. Telemed J E Health. 2023 Aug 11. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2023.0258. PMID: 37566531.

21. Yllades V. The use of telepractice for communication and behavioral challenges for individuals with ASD and ID. Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences. 2022;83(11-A):No-Specified.

22. Bemanalizadeh M, Yazdi M, Yaghini O, et al. A meta-analysis on the effect of telemedicine on the management of attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. J Telemed Telecare. 2021 Oct 11:1357633X211045186. doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1357633X211045186. PMID: 34633251.

23. de Nocker YL, Toolan CK. Using Telehealth to Provide Interventions for Children with ASD: a Systematic Review. Rev J Autism Dev Disord. 2023;10(1):82-112. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40489-021-00278-3. PMID: 34306962.

24. Meadan H, Lee JD, Chung MY. Parent-Implemented Interventions via Telepractice in Autism Research: A Review of Social Validity Assessments. Curr Dev Disord Rep. 2022;9(4):213-9. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40474-022-00259-z</u>. PMID: 36405977.

25. Lamash L, Little L, Hen-Herbst L. Telehealth Interventions to Promote Health and Behavior-Related Outcomes in Adolescents with Autism Spectrum Disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. 2023 Jan;53(1):405-23. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-022-05440-2</u>. PMID: 35061162.

26. Vitija A, Amirthalingam A, Soltani A. The impact of digital interventions on medication adherence in paediatric populations with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, depression, and/or anxiety: A rapid systematic review and meta-analysis. Res Social Adm Pharm. 2022

12;18(12):4017-27. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2022.07.042</u>. PMID: 35985977. 27. Pi HJ, Kallapiran K, Munivenkatappa S, et al. Meta-Analysis of RCTs of Technology-

Assisted Parent-Mediated Interventions for Children with ASD. J Autism Dev Disord. 2022 Aug;52(8):3325-43. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-05206-2</u>. PMID: 34318434.

 Ellison KS, Guidry J, Picou P, et al. Telehealth and Autism Prior to and in the Age of COVID-19: A Systematic and Critical Review of the Last Decade. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2021 09;24(3):599-630. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10567-021-00358-0</u>. PMID: 34114135.
 Gopalkrishnan IK, Venkatesan S. Telehealth for children with autistic spectrum disorder: Indian need versus challenges. Enabling technology for neurodevelopmental disorders: From diagnosis to rehabilitation. 2022:58-78.

30. Tomeny KR. Telecoaching in early intervention: Supporting professionals and families of toddlers with or at risk for autism spectrum disorder. Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences. 2021;82(1-A):No-Specified.

31. Martina Micai ACLGFFMLS. Telerehabilitation for children and adolescence with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A systematic review.

32. Chieh-Yu Pan T-YKF-LK. Meta-Analysis in Parent-enhanced via Telehealth Coaching in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder.

33. Shang Chee Chong WZTASSS. Parent-based telehealth interventions to improve mental wellbeing among parents of children with ADHD: A systematic review and meta-analysis.

34. Hannah Huang NABJBA. The use of telepsychiatry in children, adolescents and adults with ADHD: a systematic review.

35. Dorota Ali RBWM. Systematic review of telehealth services for autistic adults and young people during the COVID-19 pandemic.

36. Alatar W, Knott F, Loucas T. The Effectiveness of Telemedicine in Coaching Parents of Autistic Children Using Naturalistic Developmental Early Interventions: a Rapid Review. Rev J Autism Dev Disord. 2023:1-17.

37. Devagiri B, Ariyasena ADK, Siriwardhana DD, et al. Telehealth services for children with neuro-developmental disabilities in the Asia-Pacific region: A systematic review. Child Care Health Dev. 2023 Dec 04;04:04. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cch.13192</u>. PMID: 38049949.
38. Walker EJ, Kirkham FJ, Stotesbury H, et al. Tele-neuropsychological Assessment of Children and Young People: A Systematic Review. J Pediatr Neuropsychol. 2023 May 31:1-14. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40817-023-00144-6</u>. PMID: 37359106.

39. Retamal-Walter F, Waite M, Scarinci N. Exploring engagement in telepractice early intervention for young children with developmental disability and their families: a qualitative systematic review. Disabil. 2023 11;18(8):1508-21. doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17483107.2022.2048098. PMID: 35287526.

40. Hassanati F, Mowzoon H, Soleimani F, et al. Face-to-face and Telespeech Therapy Services for Children during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Scoping Review. Iran. 2023;17(3):9-41. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.22037/ijcn.v17i3.42084. PMID: 37637780.

41. Dehghani S, Mirzakhany N, Dehghani S, et al. The Use of Tele-Occupational Therapy for Children and Adolescents with Different Disabilities: Systematic Review of RCT Articles. Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2023;37:17. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.37.17</u>. PMID: 37123331.
42. Ogourtsova T, Boychuck Z, O'Donnell M, et al. Telerehabilitation for Children and Youth with Developmental Disabilities and Their Families: A Systematic Review. Phys Occup Ther Pediatr. 2023;43(2):129-75. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01942638.2022.2106468</u>. PMID: 36042567.

43. Kingsdorf S, Pancocha K. Looking at Europe's recent behavioral telehealth practices for children and families impacted by neurodevelopmental disabilities. International Journal of Developmental Disabilities. 2023;69(2):147-62. doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/20473869.2021.1925403.

44. Capri T, Nucita A, Iannizzotto G, et al. Telerehabilitation for improving adaptive skills of children and young adults with multiple disabilities: A systematic review. Rev J Autism Dev Disord. 2021;8(2):244-52. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40489-020-00214-x</u>.

45. Lisa O Leary FMPMDK. Telehealth in paediatric occupational therapy: a systematic review.46. Calley Knapp BCASSSMB. Occupational Therapy and COVID-19: How the transition to telehealth has affected outcomes for children : A Systematic Review.

47. Helen Bourke-Taylor MLABLT. Effectiveness of online and telehealth interventions to improve health, wellbeing and empowerment of parents of children with a disability: A systematic review.

48. Tatiana de Paula Santana da Silva WdSMEMACMLLTdLCMBdNTFPBCR. Speech therapy guidances for use in teleconsultations of children with neurogenic dysphagia: Systematic literature review protocol.

49. Mohammed Alrashdi CP. Occupational therapists and parents perspectives of using Telehealth to support children with Autism Spectrum Disorder.

 50. Pasca L, Zanaboni MP, Grumi S, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic in pediatric patients with epilepsy with neuropsychiatric comorbidities: A telemedicine evaluation. Epilepsy Behav. 2021 02;115:107519. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2020.107519</u>. PMID: 33257293.
 51. Panda PK, Dawman L, Panda P, et al. Feasibility and effectiveness of teleconsultation in children with epilepsy amidst the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic in a resource-limited country. Seizure. 2020 Oct;81:29-35. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2020.07.013</u>. PMID: 32712376.

Fredwall M, Terry D, Enciso L, et al. Short-term outcomes in pediatric and adolescent patients with psychogenic nonepileptic events seen by telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic. Epilepsy Behav. 2021;117. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2020.107739.
 Chamberlain LR, Hall CL, Andren P, et al. Therapist-Supported Online Interventions for Children and Young People With Tic Disorders: Lessons Learned From a Randomized Controlled Trial and Considerations for Future Practice. JMIR Ment Health. 2020 Oct 23;7(10):e19600. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.2196/19600. PMID: 33095180.

54. Hollis C, Hall CL, Khan K, et al. Long-term clinical and cost-effectiveness of a therapist-supported online remote behavioural intervention for tics in children and adolescents: extended 12- and 18-month follow-up of a single-blind randomised controlled trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2023 06;64(6):941-51. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13756</u>. PMID: 36649686.
55. Andren P, Aspvall K, Fernandez de la Cruz L, et al. Therapist-guided and parent-guided internet-delivered behaviour therapy for paediatric Tourette's disorder: a pilot randomised controlled trial with long-term follow-up. BMJ Open. 2019 02 15;9(2):e024685. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024685. PMID: 30772854.

^{56.} Khan K, Hollis C, Hall CL, et al. Factors influencing the efficacy of an online behavioural intervention for children and young people with tics: Process evaluation of a randomised controlled trial. Journal of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy. 2022;32(3):197-206. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbct.2022.02.005</u>.

57. Khan K, Hollis C, Hall CL, et al. Fidelity of Delivery and Contextual Factors Influencing Children's Level of Engagement: process Evaluation of the Online Remote Behavioral Intervention for Tics Trial. J Med Internet Res. 2021;23(6):e25470. doi: https://doi.org/10.2196/25470.

^{58.} Hollis C, Hall CL, Jones R, et al. Therapist-supported online remote behavioural intervention for tics in children and adolescents in England (ORBIT): a multicentre, parallel group, singleblind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Psychiatry. 2021;8(10):871. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00235-2</u>.

59. Kuhn EN, Hopson B, Shamblin I, et al. Telemedicine and Spina Bifida Transition: A Pilot Randomized Trial. World Neurosurg. 2022 Nov;167:e1455-e60. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2022.09.076. PMID: 36156308.

60. Hall SS, Rodriguez AB, Jo B, et al. Long-term follow-up of telehealth-enabled behavioral treatment for challenging behaviors in boys with fragile X syndrome. J Neurodev Disord. 2022 09 30;14(1):53. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s11689-022-09463-9</u>. PMID: 36180840.

61. Zyga O, Russ SW, Dimitropoulos A. The PRETEND Program: Evaluating the Feasibility of a Remote Parent-Training Intervention for Children With Prader-Willi Syndrome. Am J Intellect Dev Disabil. 2018 11;123(6):574-84. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-123.6.574</u>. PMID: 30421972.

62. McDuffie A, Banasik A, Bullard L, et al. Distance delivery of a spoken language intervention for school-aged and adolescent boys with fragile X syndrome. Dev Neurorehabil. 2018 Jan;21(1):48-63. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17518423.2017.1369189</u>. PMID: 28956679.
63. Davis TN, Gerow S, Wicker M, et al. Utilizing Telehealth to Coach Parents to Implement Trial-Based Functional Analysis and Treatment. J. 2022 Mar 30:1-23. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10864-022-09468-3</u>. PMID: 35378841.

64. Milne Wenderlich A, Li R, Baldwin CD, et al. A Quality Improvement Initiative to Improve Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Follow-Up Rates Using School-Based Telemedicine. Acad Pediatr. 2021 Sep-Oct;21(7):1253-61. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2021.04.004</u>. PMID: 33862289.

65. McCrae CS, Chan WS, Curtis AF, et al. Telehealth cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia in children with autism spectrum disorder: A pilot examining feasibility, satisfaction, and preliminary findings. Autism. 2021 04;25(3):667-80. doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1362361320949078. PMID: 32838539.

66. Hao Y, Franco JH, Sundarrajan M, et al. A Pilot Study Comparing Tele-therapy and In-Person Therapy: Perspectives from Parent-Mediated Intervention for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. J Autism Dev Disord. 2021 Jan;51(1):129-43. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803_020_04420_x_PMUD: 32377005

https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04439-x. PMID: 32377905.

67. Boutain AR, Sheldon JB, Sherman JA. Evaluation of a telehealth parent training program in teaching self-care skills to children with autism. J Appl Behav Anal. 2020 07;53(3):1259-75. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jaba.743</u>. PMID: 32657441.

68. Bearss K, Burrell TL, Challa SA, et al. Feasibility of Parent Training via Telehealth for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Disruptive Behavior: A Demonstration Pilot. J Autism Dev Disord. 2018 04;48(4):1020-30. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3363-2</u>. PMID: 29170938.

69. Gerow S, Kirkpatrick M, McGinnis K, et al. Evaluation of a telehealth ABA program for caregivers of children with ASD. Behav Modif. 2023;47(2):349-79. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/01454455221130001.

70. Kalvin CB, Jordan RP, Rowley SN, et al. Conducting CBT for anxiety in children with autism spectrum disorder during COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2021;51(11):4239-47. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04845-1</u>.

71. Tran SQ. A multidimensional treatment integrity assessment of parent coaching in a telehealth parent training program for autism spectrum disorder. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering. 2018;79(12-B(E)):No-Specified.
72. Su WC, Cleffi C, Srinivasan S, et al. Telehealth Versus Face-to-Face Fine Motor and Social Communication Interventions for Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder: Efficacy, Fidelity, Acceptability, and Feasibility. Am J Occup Ther. 2023 Nov 01;77(6):01. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2023.050282. PMID: 38048263.

73. Johnson CR, Barto L, Worley S, et al. Telehealth parent training for sleep disturbances in young children with autism spectrum disorder: A randomized controlled trial. Sleep Med. 2023 Nov;111:208-19. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2023.08.033. PMID: 37806263.

74. Marino F, Chila P, Failla C, et al. Tele-Assisted Behavioral Intervention for Families with Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Randomized Control Trial. Brain sci. 2020 Sep 18;10(9):18. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10090649</u>. PMID: 32961875.

75. Knopp K, Ferguson JL, Piazza J, et al. A Comparison Between Direct Telehealth and In-Person Methods of Teaching Expressive Labels to Children Diagnosed With Autism Spectrum Disorder. Behav Modif. 2023 03;47(2):432-53. doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/01454455221130000. PMID: 36258670.

76. Guevara JP, Power TJ, Bevans K, et al. Improving Care Management in Attention-

Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: An RCT. Pediatrics. 2021 08;148(2):08. doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-031518. PMID: 34281997.

77. Rockhill CM, Carlisle LL, Qu P, et al. Primary Care Management of Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Appears More Assertive Following Brief Psychiatric Intervention Compared with Single Session Consultation. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 06;30(5):285-92. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1089/cap.2020.0013</u>. PMID: 32167784. 78. Aranki J, Wright P, Pompa-Craven P, et al. Acceptance of telehealth therapy to replace inperson therapy for autism treatment during COVID-19 pandemic: An assessment of patient variables. Telemedicine and e-Health. 2022;28(9):1342-9. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2021.0397.

79. Nohelty K, Bradford CB, Hirschfeld L, et al. Effectiveness of telehealth direct therapy for individuals with autism spectrum disorder. Behavior Analysis in Practice. 2022;15(3):643-58. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40617-021-00603-6</u>.

80. Vismara LA, McCormick CEB, Wagner AL, et al. Telehealth parent training in the Early Start Denver Model: Results from a randomized controlled study. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities. 2018;33(2):67-79. doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1088357616651064.

81. Albanna A, Soubra K, Alhashmi D, et al. Effectiveness of collaborative tele-mental health care for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in United Arab Emirates. Eastern mediterranean health journal. 2023;29(9):742. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.26719/emhj.23.076</u>.

82. Ingersoll B, Frost KM, Straiton D, et al. Relative Efficacy of Self-directed and Therapistassisted Telehealth Models of a Parent-mediated Intervention for Autism: examining Effects on Parent Intervention Fidelity, Well-being, and Program Engagement. Journal of autism and developmental disorders. 2023. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-023-06092-6</u>.

83. Brugnaro BH, Vieira FN, Fernandes G, et al. Exploration of the Feasibility of Remote Assessment of Functioning in Children and Adolescents with Developmental Disabilities: Parents' Perspectives and Related Contextual Factors. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 11 16;19(22):16. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192215101</u>. PMID: 36429822.

84. Zhang S, Hao Y, Feng Y, et al. COVID-19 Pandemic Impacts on Children with Developmental Disabilities: Service Disruption, Transition to Telehealth, and Child Wellbeing. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 03 10;19(6):10. doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19063259. PMID: 35328947.

85. Summers J, Baribeau D, Mockford M, et al. Supporting Children With Neurodevelopmental Disorders During the COVID-19 Pandemic. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 01;60(1):2-6. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2020.09.011. PMID: 32998024.

86. Sherwood AR, MacDonald B. A Teleneuropsychology Consultation Service Model for Children with Neurodevelopmental and Acquired Disorders Residing in Rural State Regions. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2020 Nov 19;35(8):1196-203. doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acaa099. PMID: 33124658.

87. Ransom DM, Butt SM, DiVirgilio EK, et al. Pediatric Teleneuropsychology: Feasibility and Recommendations. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2020 Nov 19;35(8):1204-14. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acaa103. PMID: 33103715.

^{88.} Taddei M, Bulgheroni S. Facing the real time challenges of the COVID-19 emergency for child neuropsychology service in Milan. Res Dev Disabil. 2020 Dec;107:103786. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103786</u>. PMID: 33007533.

89. Rametta SC, Fridinger SE, Gonzalez AK, et al. Analyzing 2,589 child neurology telehealth encounters necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Neurology. 2020 09 01;95(9):e1257-e66. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.000000000010010</u>. PMID: 32518152.

90. Prelack M, Fridinger S, Gonzalez AK, et al. Visits of concern in child neurology telemedicine. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2022;64(11):1351-8. doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.15256.

91. Salinas CM, Bordes Edgar V, Berrios Siervo G, et al. Transforming pediatric neuropsychology through video-based teleneuropsychology: An innovative private practice model pre-COVID-19. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2020;35(8):1189-95. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acaa101. 92. Kaufman MC, Xian J, Galer PD, et al. Child neurology telemedicine: Analyzing 14 820 patient encounters during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2023;65(3):406-15. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.15406</u>.

93. Hybrid Telehealth in Pediatric Physical Therapy. Does Hybrid Telehealth Physical Therapy for Children With Moderate to Severe Disability Improve Function in the Home Environment Better Than In-person Services Alone?--A Mixed Methods Study. 2022.

94. Children THfS. Early Virtual Intervention for Infants With CP Following HIE Diagnosis. <u>https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT04913324;</u> 2021.

95. Remote video interaction guidance for families of children with an intellectual disability who have been referred to specialist mental health services. A feasibility trial of remotely-delivered video interaction guidance for families of children with a learning disability referred to specialist mental health services: the VIG-LD study. 2022.

96. Sia IKM, Kang YQ, Lai PL, et al. Parent coaching via telerehabilitation for young children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD): study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials. 2023 Jul 19;24(1):462. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13063-023-07488-6</u>. PMID: 37468898.

97. Jurek L, Occelli P, Denis A, et al. Efficacy of parent-mediated communication-focused treatment in toddlers with autism (PACT) delivered via videoconferencing: a randomised controlled trial study protocol. BMJ Open. 2021 04 07;11(4):e044669. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044669. PMID: 33827837.

98. Parent-mediated and Telehealth Intervention for Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder. 2023.

99. Telehealth-Enabled Versus In-Person Parent-Mediated Behavioral Treatment for Challenging Behaviors in Children With ASD. Randomized Controlled Trial of Telehealth-Enabled Versus In-Person Parent-Mediated Behavioral Treatment for Challenging Behaviors in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder. 2022.

100. Telehealth Parent-Implemented Intervention for Young Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Telehealth Parent-Implemented Intervention to Improve Social-Communication Outcomes in Young Children With ASD. 2022.

101. Tele-assisted behavioral intervention for families with children with autism spectrum disorders. Tele-assisted behavioral intervention for families with children with autism spectrum disorders: a randomized control trial. 2020.

102. Parent Telehealth Intervention for Children With Autism. Parent Language Intervention for Autism. 2020.

103. Improving Access to Pivotal Response Treatment (PRT) Via Telehealth Parent Training. 2019.

104. Parent-Led Cognitive-Behavioral Teletherapy for Anxiety in Youth With ASD. 2019. 105. White LC, Law JK, Daniels AM, et al. Brief report: Impact of COVID-19 on individuals with ASD and their caregivers: A perspective from the SPARK cohort. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2021;51(10):3766-73. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04816-6</u>.

106. Schieltz KM, Romani PW, Wacker DP, et al. Single-case analysis to determine reasons for failure of behavioral treatment via telehealth. Remedial and Special Education. 2018;39(2):95-105. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0741932517743791.

107. Dhiman S, Sahu PK, Reed WR, et al. Impact of COVID-19 outbreak on mental health and perceived strain among caregivers tending children with special needs. Res Dev Disabil. 2020;107. doi: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103790</u>.

Appendix A: Methods

We assessed nomination for priority for a systematic review or other AHRQ Effective Health Care report with a hierarchical process using established selection criteria. Assessment of each criteria determined the need to evaluate the next one. See Appendix B for detailed description of the criteria.

Appropriateness and Importance

We assessed the nomination for appropriateness and importance.

Desirability of New Review/Absence of Duplication

We searched for high-quality, completed or in-process evidence reviews published in the last three years (January 2020 - December 2023) on the questions of the nomination from these sources:

- AHRQ: Evidence reports and technology assessments
 - AHRQ Evidence Reports <u>https://www.ahrq.gov/research/findings/evidence-based-reports/index.html</u>
 - EHC Program <u>https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/</u>
 - US Preventive Services Task Force <u>https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/</u>
 - AHRQ Technology Assessment Program <u>https://www.ahrq.gov/research/findings/ta/index.html</u>
- US Department of Veterans Affairs Products publications
 - o Evidence Synthesis Program <u>https://www.hsrd.research.va.gov/publications/esp/</u>
 - VA/Department of Defense Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline Program <u>https://www.healthquality.va.gov/</u>
- Cochrane Systematic Reviews https://www.cochranelibrary.com/
- University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination database https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/CRDWeb/
- PROSPERO Database (international prospective register of systematic reviews and protocols) <u>http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/</u>
- PubMed <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/</u>
- Joanna Briggs Institute <u>http://joannabriggs.org/</u>

Impact of a New Evidence Review

The impact of a new evidence review was qualitatively assessed by analyzing the current standard of care, the existence of potential knowledge gaps, and practice variation. We considered whether it was possible for this review to influence the current state of practice through various dissemination pathways (practice recommendation, clinical guidelines, etc.).

Feasibility of New Evidence Review

We conducted a limited literature search in the AHRQ database, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CCRCT), the PCORI database, PROSPERO, PubMed, PsycINFO, and clinicaltrials.gov for the last five years (January 1, 2018 -December 6, 2023). We assessed 704 citations for relevancy to the key question to estimate the size and scope of potential evidence review. We identified 22 systematic reviews, 46 published studies, 12 ongoing clinical trials, and 11 ongoing systematic reviews that address the advantages and disadvantages of telehealth for children with neurodevelopmental disabilities.

Search strategy

Ovid MEDLINE ALL 1946 to December 06, 2023

Date searched: December 7, 2023

1 exp Brain Injuries/ or exp Neurodevelopmental Disorders/ or anxiety, separation/ or "attention deficit and disruptive behavior disorders"/ or attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity/ or exp Cerebrovascular Disorders/ or conduct disorder/ or sluggish cognitive tempo/ or child behavior disorders/ or child development disorders, pervasive/ or autism spectrum disorder/ or asperger syndrome/ or autistic disorder/ or communication disorders/ or childhood-onset fluency disorder/ or social communication disorder/ or speech sound disorder/ or developmental disabilities/ or intellectual disability/ or learning disabilities/ or dyscalculia/ or dyslexia/ or dyslexia, acquired/ or specific learning disorder/ or motor skills disorders/ or mutism/ or reactive attachment disorder/ or schizophrenia, childhood/ or stereotypic movement disorder/ or tic disorders/ or tourette syndrome/ or Rett Syndrome/ or Cerebral Palsy/ or intellectual disability/ or rett syndrome/ or down syndrome/ or exp mental retardation, x-linked/ or rett syndrome/ or prader-willi syndrome/ or rubinstein-taybi syndrome/ or trisomy 13 syndrome/ or wagr syndrome/ or williams syndrome/ (777745)

2 (ADHD or ASD or asperger* or (("attention deficit" or "conduct disorder" or "child behavior*" or "child behaviour*" or "child development*" or "childhood disintegrative" or communication or fluency or "motor skills" or neurodevelop* or neuro-develop* or pervasive or "reactive attachment" or "sluggish cognitive tempo" or "speech sound" or "stereotypic movement" or tic) adj2 disorder*) or autism or autistic or ((brain or neuro*) adj5 (disorder* or injur*)) or "cerebral palsy" or "childhood schizophreni\$2" or (("cri-du-chat" or "de Lange" or Down\$2 or neurodevelop* or neuro-develop* or Prader-Willi or Rett\$2 or Rubinstein-Taybi or Tourette\$2 or "Trisomy 13" or Wagr\$2 or Williams\$1) adj syndrome) or ((developmental or intellectual or learning or neurodevelop* or neuro-develop*) adj2 disabilit*) or dyscalculi\$2 or dyslexi\$2 or "mental retardation" or mutism or "separation anxiety").ti,ab,kf. (549664) 3 (autism or autistic or brain or development or neuro*).jw. (1720560)

4 or/1-3 (2487896)

5 Remote Consultation/ or Telemedicine/ or Telerehabilitation/ or Videoconferencing/ (45059) 6 (distance or erehab* or "e-rehab*" or portal\$1 or remote\$2 or tele* or video* or Webex or Zoom).ti,kf. or ((distance or erehab* or "e-rehab*" or portal\$1 or remote\$2 or tele* or video* or Webex or Zoom) adj3 (appointment\$1 or coaching or conference\$1 or consult\$1 or consultation\$1 or intervention\$1 or management or meeting\$1 or psyc* or session\$1 or therap* or treat* or training or visit\$1)).ti,ab,kf. (247600)

7 tele*.jw. (7736)

8 or/5-7 (266448)

9 and/4,8 (18403)

10 9 not ((exp Animals/ not Humans/) or (animal model* or bitch\$2 or bovine or canine or capra or cat or cats or cattle or cow\$1 or dog\$1 or equine or ewe\$1 or feline or goat\$1 or hamster\$1 or horse\$1 or invertebrate\$1 or macaque\$1 or mare\$1 or mice or monkey\$1 or mouse or murine or nonhuman or non-human or ovine or pig or pigs or porcine or primate\$1 or rabbit\$1 or rat\$1 or rattus or rhesus or rodent* or sheep or simian or sow\$1 or vertebrate\$1 or zebrafish).ti. or (addiction or alzheimer\$1 or class or classroom\$1 or dementia or game\$1 or gamification or gaming or hockey or instruction or learning or problematic or questionnaire or survey or videofluoroscop* or videogame\$1).ti. or geriatric*.jw.) (14146)

11 10 and (Transition to Adult Care/ or (adolescen* or baby or babies or boy\$1 or child* or family or families or father\$1 or girl\$1 or infant\$1 or juvenile\$1 or kids or menarche or mother\$1 or neonat* or newborn\$1 or parent\$1 or paediatric* or pediatric* or preadolescen* or prepubescen* or preschool* or pre-school* or preteen* or puberty or pubescen* or school* or teen* or toddler\$1 or transition* or young* or youth\$1).ti. or (adolescen* or child* or paediatric* or pediatric* or youth).jw.) (2346)

12 limit 11 to english language (2268)

13 limit 12 to yr="2020 -Current" (965)

14 13 and ((meta-analysis or systematic review).pt. or (meta-anal* or metaanal* or ((evidence or review or scoping or systematic or umbrella) adj3 (review or synthesis))).ti.) (71) 15 limit 12 to yr="2018 -Current" (1223)

16 15 and ((controlled clinical trial or randomized controlled trial).pt. or (random* or trial*).ti,kf.) (176)

17 16 not 14 (171)

18 15 and (Cohort Studies/ or Comparative Study/ or Cross-Sectional Studies/ or exp Evaluation Studies as Topic/ or Follow-Up Studies/ or Longitudinal Studies/ or Prospective Studies/ or (cohort\$1 or ((comparative or evaluation) adj3 study) or cross-sectional or follow-up or longitudinal\$2 or prospective\$2).ti.) (228)

19 18 not (14 or 16) (175)

Ovid EBM Reviews-Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials November 2023

Date searched: December 7, 2023

1 Brain Injuries/ or Neurodevelopmental Disorders/ or anxiety, separation/ or "attention deficit and disruptive behavior disorders"/ or attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity/ or Cerebrovascular Disorders/ or conduct disorder/ or sluggish cognitive tempo/ or child behavior disorders/ or child development disorders, pervasive/ or autism spectrum disorder/ or asperger syndrome/ or autistic disorder/ or communication disorders/ or childhood-onset fluency disorder/ or social communication disorder/ or speech sound disorder/ or developmental disabilities/ or intellectual disability/ or learning disabilities/ or dyscalculia/ or dyslexia/ or dyslexia, acquired/ or specific learning disorder/ or motor skills disorders/ or mutism/ or reactive attachment disorder/ or schizophrenia, childhood/ or stereotypic movement disorder/ or tic disorders/ or tourette syndrome/ or Rett Syndrome/ or Cerebral Palsy/ or intellectual disability/ or cri-du-chat syndrome/ or de lange syndrome/ or down syndrome/ or mental retardation, x-linked/ or praderwilli syndrome/ or rubinstein-taybi syndrome/ or trisomy 13 syndrome/ or wagr syndrome/ or williams syndrome/ (16708)

2 (ADHD or ASD or asperger* or (("attention deficit" or "conduct disorder" or "child behavior*" or "child behaviour*" or "child development*" or "childhood disintegrative" or communication or fluency or "motor skills" or neurodevelop* or neuro-develop* or pervasive or "reactive attachment" or "sluggish cognitive tempo" or "speech sound" or "stereotypic movement" or tic) adj2 disorder*) or autism or autistic or ((brain or neuro*) adj5 (disorder* or injur*)) or "cerebral palsy" or "childhood schizophreni\$2" or (("cri-du-chat" or "de Lange" or Down\$2 or neurodevelop* or neuro-develop* or Prader-Willi or Rett\$2 or Rubinstein-Taybi or Tourette\$2 or "Trisomy 13" or Wagr\$2 or Williams\$1) adj syndrome) or ((developmental or intellectual or learning or neurodevelop* or neuro-develop*) adj2 disabilit*) or dyscalculi\$2 or dyslexi\$2 or "mental retardation" or mutism or "separation anxiety").ti,ab. (37087) 3 or/1-2 (42193)

4 Remote Consultation/ or Telemedicine/ or Telerehabilitation/ or Videoconferencing/ (4415) 5 (distance or erehab* or "e-rehab*" or portal\$1 or remote\$2 or tele* or video* or Webex or Zoom).ti. (22642)

6 or/4-5 (24860)

7 and/3,6 (723)

8 7 not ((exp Animals/ not Humans/) or (animal model* or bitch\$2 or bovine or canine or capra or cat or cats or cattle or cow\$1 or dog\$1 or equine or ewe\$1 or feline or goat\$1 or hamster\$1 or horse\$1 or invertebrate\$1 or macaque\$1 or mare\$1 or mice or monkey\$1 or mouse or murine or

nonhuman or non-human or ovine or pig or pigs or porcine or primate\$1 or rabbit\$1 or rat\$1 or rattus or rhesus or rodent* or sheep or simian or sow\$1 or vertebrate\$1 or zebrafish).ti. or (addiction or alzheimer\$1 or class or classroom\$1 or dementia or game\$1 or gamification or gaming or hockey or instruction or learning or problematic or questionnaire or survey or videofluoroscop* or videogame\$1).ti. or geriatric*.jw.) (614)

9 8 and (Transition to Adult Care/ or (adolescen* or baby or babies or boy\$1 or child* or family or families or father\$1 or girl\$1 or infant\$1 or juvenile\$1 or kids or menarche or mother\$1 or neonat* or newborn\$1 or parent\$1 or paediatric* or pediatric* or preadolescen* or prepubescen* or preschool* or pre-school* or preteen* or puberty or pubescen* or school* or teen* or toddler\$1 or transition* or young* or youth\$1).ti. or (adolescen* or child* or paediatric* or pediatric* or youth).jw.) (261)

10 limit 9 to yr="2018 -Current" (166)

Ovid APA PsycInfo 1806 to November Week 4 2023

Date searched: December 7, 2023

1 exp autism spectrum disorders/ or brain injuries/ or exp developmental disabilities/ or exp disruptive behavior disorders/ or "emotional and behavioral disorders"/ or exp intellectual development disorder/ or exp learning disorders/ or neurodevelopmental disorders/ or exp traumatic brain injury/ or anencephaly/ or Cerebral Palsy/ or Crying Cat Syndrome/ or Down's Syndrome/ or Rett Syndrome/ or Tay Sachs disease/ (188787)

2 (ADHD or ASD or asperger* or (("attention deficit" or "conduct disorder" or "child behavior*" or "child behaviour*" or "child development*" or "childhood disintegrative" or communication or fluency or "motor skills" or neurodevelop* or neuro-develop* or pervasive or "reactive attachment" or "sluggish cognitive tempo" or "speech sound" or "stereotypic movement" or tic) adj2 disorder*) or autism or autistic or ((brain or neuro*) adj5 (disorder* or injur*)) or "cerebral palsy" or "childhood schizophreni\$2" or (("cri-du-chat" or "de Lange" or Down\$2 or neurodevelop* or neuro-develop* or Prader-Willi or Rett\$2 or Rubinstein-Taybi or Tourette\$2 or "Trisomy 13" or Wagr\$2 or Williams\$1) adj syndrome) or ((developmental or intellectual or learning or neurodevelop* or neuro-develop*) adj2 disabilit*) or dyscalculi\$2 or dyslexi\$2 or "mental retardation" or mutism or "separation anxiety").ti,ab. (276130

3 (autism or autistic or ((development* or neurodevelopment* or neuro-development*) adj2 (disabilit* or disorder\$1 or syndrome\$1))).jw. (25791)

4 or/1-3 (324059)

5 online therapy/ or telemedicine/ or exp teleconferencing/ or teleconsultation/ or telepsychiatry/ or telepsychology/ or telerehabilitation/ (14103)

6 (distance or erehab* or "e-rehab*" or portal\$1 or remote\$2 or tele* or video* or Webex or Zoom).ti. (45096)

7 or/5-6 (52719)

8 and/4,7 (2634)

9 8 not ((exp Animals/ not Humans/) or (animal model* or bitch\$2 or bovine or canine or capra or cat or cats or cattle or cow\$1 or dog\$1 or equine or ewe\$1 or feline or goat\$1 or hamster\$1 or horse\$1 or invertebrate\$1 or macaque\$1 or mare\$1 or mice or monkey\$1 or mouse or murine or nonhuman or non-human or ovine or pig or pigs or porcine or primate\$1 or rabbit\$1 or rat\$1 or ratus or rhesus or rodent* or sheep or simian or sow\$1 or vertebrate\$1 or zebrafish).ti. or (addiction or alzheimer\$1 or class or classroom\$1 or dementia or game\$1 or gamification or gaming or hockey or instruction or learning or problematic or questionnaire or survey or videofluoroscop* or videogame\$1).ti. or geriatric*.jw.) (2147)

10 limit 9 to ((childhood <birth to 12 years> or adolescence <13 to 17 years>) and (100 childhood <birth to age 12 yrs> or 120 neonatal <birth to age 1 mo> or 140 infancy <2 to 23

mo> or 160 preschool age <age 2 to 5 yrs> or 180 school age <age 6 to 12 yrs> or 200 adolescence <age 13 to 17 yrs>)) (1082

11 9 and (Transition to Adult Care/ or (adolescen* or baby or babies or boy\$1 or child* or family or families or father\$1 or girl\$1 or infant\$1 or juvenile\$1 or kids or menarche or mother\$1 or neonat* or newborn\$1 or parent\$1 or paediatric* or pediatric* or preadolescen* or prepubescen* or preschool* or pre-school* or preteen* or puberty or pubescen* or school* or teen* or toddler\$1 or transition* or young* or youth\$1).ti. or (adolescen* or child* or paediatric* or pediatric* or youth).jw.) (1028)

12 or/10-11 (1327)

13 limit 12 to english language (1239)

14 limit 13 to yr="2020 -Current" (381)

15 14 and (meta-anal* or metaanal* or ((evidence or review or scoping or systematic or umbrella) adj3 (review or synthesis))).ti,ab. (34)

16 limit 13 to yr="2018 -Current" (520)

17 16 and (controlled or random* or trial*).ti,ab. (107)

18 17 not 15 (96)

19 16 and (((comparative or epidemiologic or evaluation) adj3 study) or cross-sectional or follow-up or (historic* adj4 control*) or "interrupted time" or longitudinal\$2 or prospective\$2 or retrospective\$2).ti,ab. (82)

20 19 not (15 or 18) (49)

PROSPERO Inception to December 6, 2023

Date searched: December 7, 2023

((adolescen* or baby or babies or boy* or child* or family or families or girl* or infant* or juvenile* or kids or menarche or neonat* or newborn* or parent* or paediatric* or pediatric* or preadolescen* or prepubescen* or preschool* or pre-school* or preteen* or puberty or pubescen* or school* or teen* or toddler* or transition* or young* or youth*) AND (distance OR erehab* OR e-rehab* OR portal OR portals OR remote OR remotely OR tele* OR videoconference OR Webex OR Zoom)):TI AND (Intervention OR Systematic Review OR Meta-Analysis OR Review of reviews):RT WHERE CD FROM 07/12/2020 TO 07/12/2023 (83)

ClinicalTrials.gov Inception to December 6, 2023

Date searched: December 7, 2023 [Expert Search Mode]

Recruiting, Not yet recruiting, Active, not recruiting, Enrolling by invitation Studies | ADHD OR ASD OR asperger OR (EXPAND[Concept] "attention deficit" OR EXPAND[Concept] "conduct disorder" OR behavior OR developmental OR disintegrative OR communication OR fluency OR EXPAND[Concept] "motor skills" OR pervasive OR speech OR sound OR stereotypic OR tic) AND disorder* OR autism OR autistic OR (brain OR neuro*) AND (disorder* OR injur*) OR cerebral palsy OR schizophrenia OR cri-du-chat OR de Lange OR Down syndrome OR neurodevelopmental OR neuro-developmental OR Prader-Willi OR Rett OR Rubinstein-Taybi OR Tourette OR Trisomy OR Wagr OR Williams OR (developmental OR intellectual OR learning) AND disabilit* OR dyscalculia OR dyslexia OR EXPAND[Concept] "mental retardation" OR mutism OR EXPAND[Concept] "separation anxiety" | distance OR erehabilitation OR e-rehabilitation OR portal OR remote OR remotely OR tele* OR videoconference OR Webex OR Zoom | Child | First posted from 12/07/2018 to 12/07/2023 (11)

Appendix B. Selection Criteria Assessment

Selection Criteria	Assessment
1 Appropriateness	
1a. Does the nomination represent a health care	Yes
drug, intervention, device, technology, or health	
care system/setting available (or soon to be	
available) in the United States?	
1b. Is the nomination a request for an evidence	Yes
report?	
1c. Is the focus on effectiveness or comparative	Yes
effectiveness?	
1d. Is the nomination focus supported by a logic	Yes
model or biologic plausibility? Is it consistent or	
conerent with what is known about the topic?	
2. Importance	Vec. The prevalence rate of neurodevelopmental
za. Represents a significant disease burden; large	disabilities is 0.63% for intellectual disability 5
	11% for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder
	(ADHD) 0.70-3% for autism spectrum disorder
	(ASD) 3-10% for specific learning disorder (SLD)
	and 1-3.42% for communication disorders. ²
2b. Is of high public interest; affects health care	Yes. In 2013, the costs of caring for individuals
decision making, outcomes, or costs for a large	with autism spectrum disorder in the United States
proportion of the United States population or for a	were estimated at \$137 billion, and the lifetime
vulnerable population	costs per individual ranged from \$1.4 million
	(without co-occurring intellectual disability) to \$2.4
	million (with co-occurring intellectual disability). ³
	Several studies have found that individuals with
	NDDs have greater health care needs regarding
	utilization of services, accessibility, parent
20. Incorporated issues around both divised	Support, and specificity of care.
benefits and notential clinical barms	res
2d Berregente high goete due te common use	Vac. In 2012, the casts of caring for individuals
bigh unit costs, or high associated costs to	with autism spectrum disorder in the United States
consumers to patients to health care systems or	were estimated at \$137 billion and the lifetime
to pavers	costs per individual ranged from \$1.4 million
	(without co-occurring intellectual disability) to \$2.4
	million (with co-occurring intellectual
	disability). ³ NDDs have greater health care needs
	regarding utilization of services, accessibility,
	parent support, and specificity of care.4-14
3. Desirability of a New Evidence	
Review/Absence of Duplication	
3. A recent high-quality systematic review or other	Yes. We did not find any systematic reviews that
evidence review is not available on this topic	adequately and comprehensively address this
4 Impact of a New Evidence Deview	
4. Impact of a New Evidence Review	
4a. Is the standard of care unclear (guidelines not	Yes, there are no guidelines addressing the issue.
available or guidelines inconsistent, indicating an	
information gap that may be addressed by a new	
the la there provide variation (avidation)	Vac there are no suidelines addressing the issue
4b. is there practice variation (guideline	res, mere are no guidelines addressing the issue
notential implementation gap and not best	during the COVID-19 pandemic
addressed by a new evidence review)?	
5. Primary Research	

 5. Effectively utilizes existing research and knowledge by considering: - Adequacy (type and volume) of research for conducting a systematic review - Newly available evidence (particularly for updates or new technologies) 	We found 22 systematic reviews, 46 published studies, 12 ongoing clinical trials, and 11 ongoing systematic reviews that address the proposed key questions for this topic.
6. Value	
6a. The proposed topic exists within a clinical, consumer, or policy-making context that is amenable to evidence-based change and supports a priority of AHRQ or Department of Health and Human Services	Yes. A review on this topic could be amended as telehealth utilization continues to increase and telehealth interventions continue to evolve.
6b. Identified partner who will use the systematic review to influence practice (such as a guideline or recommendation)	Yes, the Child Neurology Foundation is committed to work in digital health for children with neurodevelopment disabilities and would incorporate the results of an evidence report into clinical practice.

Appendix C. Topic Nomination

1005 Telehealth for Children with Neurodevelopmental Disabilities Topic Nomination

A topic nomination was submitted on the EHC website:

Submitted on Friday, June 3, 2022 - 13:37

Submit a Topic for a New Evidence Review

1. What is the decision or change (e.g. clinical topic, practice guideline, system design, delivery of care) you are facing or struggling with where a summary of the evidence would be helpful?

Telehealth usage and best practices for children with neurodevelopmental disabilities and their caregivers.

2. Why are you struggling with this issue?

1 in 5 children in the US live with a neurologic condition, which are wide-ranging with various causes, complications, and outcomes. Many conditions requiring life-long management of physical, cognitive, emotional, and/or behavioral symptoms. Despite the prevalence of neurologic conditions in the pediatric population, children and their families wait an average of 9 weeks in the United States to access a child neurologist. These families also experience social and economic challenges with nearly half reporting financial hardships, and a quarter of living in poverty.

In March 2020, these wait times changed almost overnight when telehealth became the primary way that Americans accessed healthcare. Our organization, the Child Neurology Foundation (CNF), which supports children with neurologic conditions and their families, wanted to better understand how the sudden change to telehealth affected our communities.

CNF first surveyed families in summer 2020 and then facilitated focus groups and interviews with caregivers and clinicians to dig deeper: Families told us that their wait times were dramatically reduced, with half receiving a neurology appointment the same week of their request. They also reported their children's behavior was often better during telehealth visits and that they were more able to focus during visits. However, 39% of parents told us that they would never again choose telehealth for their child. Despite the convenience of telehealth, they were concerned about the lack of connection between their child and providers. They missed small talk with clinicians, saying those chats are where much of the healing happens, especially for children with behavioral challenges or intellectual disabilities. Clinicians also reported somewhat contradictory feedback, reporting that while they valued improved continuity of care—with fewer patients falling through the cracks as check-in conversations became easier—they were frustrated by less effective physical exams, distracted parents and distracting home environments, and sometimes felt like they were't being heard.

We have tried to address the issues within our capacity to do so. With our partners, we developed resources both for parents and clinicians to encourage best practices for a telehealth visit—both to prepare the child and caregiver for the telehealth appointment, but also to remind clinicians that patient engagement can look different through a screen.

To address some access issues our families experienced in the transition to a more digital world, CNF launched a Digital Access program in June 2021. We provide families with a child living with a neurologic condition with a Chromebook, an internet hotspot, and technology use training to access online resources, attend telehealth appointments, and connect with their communities online. We prioritized lower income families, with 64% of program participants having at least one adult in their household who is unemployed. Participating families represent over 30 neurologic conditions, with over half experiencing Autism Spectrum Disorder.

So far, this program is seeing positive results. Based on preliminary data: 36% of participating families use their device daily; 69% report improved telehealth visits once receiving their device and hotspot; 87% report increased ability to connect virtually with their support network and 67% can better access resources for their children's schooling. However, we are also learning that more than hardware and improved internet access is needed. Many families have said that because of their children's complex health needs, the technology itself needs to be more accessible: trackpads are unusable for some children with movement disorders or developmental disabilities, and a Chromebook's built-in speakers may be insufficient for children with auditoryprocessing disorders or who are hearing impaired. In addition, our participating families have reported continued needs in accessing telehealth and other digital services, stating that 16% lack reliable transportation to attend follow -up health appointments in-person; 27% are under-or uninsured and 29% still struggle to access the internet because they live somewhere a data hotspot does not work well enough to have reliable, fast internet access for video visits. Moreover, 20% of our participating families still have difficulty finding a child neurologist they like for their needs, and 58% say they still need information and education to fully understand their child's complex health needs.

While the Public Health Emergency that resulted in the policies creating an environment for telehealth to be as widely used will likely soon end, it is clear that there is enough good for families of children experiencing neurologic conditions to have this modality of care be something in a family's toolbox to provide the best care possible to a child with complex health needs. While we have tried to study and address the issues within our own community, an evidence review from AHRQ would help us, and other patient advocacy organizations that serve similar patient populations, navigate the peculiarities of pediatric telehealth, particularly among chronically ill and disabled populations.

3. What do you want to see changed? How will you know that your issue is improving or has been addressed?

Based on the work we have done over the past two years, we know there is much that can be done to create better, more inclusive telehealth for children with complex health needs. Those changes include improved education for clinicians and caregivers, empowering both stakeholder groups to feel more confident deciding when, and how, to use telehealth in the medical treatment of a young person with a neurologic condition. CNF and our partners have worked on this, and will continue to do so; this education will be even more effective with an adequate understanding of what the landscape of pediatric telehealth is at a national level.

The improvement of pediatric telehealth can be supported through systems-level interventions that remove barriers for all Americans to access quality healthcare. AHRQ's evidence report on telehealth usage for children with neurodevelopmental disabilities and their caregivers will only strengthen these advocacy efforts.

We will know there is improvement in this area based on the feedback we hear from the families we serve; both through the regular feedback we receive from working within our communities, and through the data gathering of our annual Needs Assessment where we have been tracking for the past two years how our families use telehealth, and our Digital Access program, which is launching its second year this summer.

5. What will you do with the evidence report?

CNF is committed to our work in digital health; we see this as an ongoing need within our community. While an evidence report soon would allow us to incorporate the latest findings into the work we are currently doing, we would be grateful for this report at any time—we do not see our work in this area slowing down.

Optional Information About You

What is your role or perspective? Patient Advocacy Organization

If you are you making a suggestion on behalf of an organization, please state the name of the organization Child Neurology Foundation

May we contact you if we have questions about your nomination? Yes

Full Name Jessica Nickrand

Title Manager, Programs

Email Address

jnickrand@childneurologyfoundation.org

is_production Yes

Form Type Topic Nomination

The results of this submission may be viewed at: <u>Telehealth for Children with Neurodevelopmental Disabilities | Effective Health Care (EHC)</u> <u>Program (ahrq.gov)</u>