



Topic Brief: Low and Very Low Carbohydrate Diets for People with Diabetes Type 2 and Pre-diabetes

Date: 9/6/2022

Nomination Number: 1011

Purpose: This document summarizes the information addressing a nomination submitted on June 3, 2022, through the Effective Health Care Website. This information was used to inform the Evidence-based Practice Center (EPC) Program decisions about whether to produce an evidence report on the topic, and if so, what type of evidence report would be most suitable.

Issue: The nominator is requesting a systematic review on low carbohydrate and very low carbohydrate diets for people with diabetes type 2 and prediabetes. They wish to use the review to advocate for endorsement by Federal agencies and others.

Findings: We found multiple systematic reviews that address the topic. The EPC Program develops systematic reviews and other evidence reviews to inform healthcare decision-making and does not endorse one intervention or strategy over another. For these reasons the EPC Program will not consider this topic further.

Background

More than 122 million Americans are living with diabetes (37.3 million) or prediabetes (96 million)¹. Approximately 90-95% of them have type 2 diabetes. Type 2 diabetes most often develops in people over age 45. Diabetes increases the risk of heart disease and stroke and can lead to other serious complications, such as kidney failure, blindness, and amputation of a toe, foot, or leg. In 2017, the total estimated cost of diagnosed diabetes was \$327 billion, including \$237 billion in direct medical costs and \$90 billion in absenteeism, reduced productivity, and inability to work².

Some people with type 2 diabetes can control their blood glucose level by making lifestyle changes³. These lifestyle changes include consuming healthy meals and beverages, limiting calories if they have overweight or obesity, and getting physical activity. Lifestyle changes can cut risk of developing type 2 diabetes by as much as 58% (71% for those 60 or older). In April 2018, the lifestyle change program became a covered service for Medicare beneficiaries with prediabetes².

Low carbohydrate diet has been defined as <130 grams/day and very low carbohydrate diet as <50 grams/day. Other diets that are also considered low in carbohydrates are the ketogenic diet and diets with a low glycemic index⁴.

A 2019 consensus report from the American Diabetes Association recommends for people with type 2 diabetes, counseling on eating patterns that replace foods high in carbohydrate with foods lower in carbohydrate and higher in fat may improve glycemia, triglycerides, and HDL-C. They recommend consultation with a knowledgeable practitioner at the onset to prevent dehydration and reduce insulin and hypoglycemic medications to prevent hypoglycemia⁵.

The nominator, Virta Health, has developed a proprietary approach to diabetes management, including individualized nutrition therapy and continuous remote medical care. They wish to use the review to advocate for endorsement by Federal agencies.

Scope

1. What is the effectiveness and harms of low- and very low-carbohydrate diets for people with diabetes type 2?
2. What is the effectiveness and harms of low and very low-carbohydrate diets for people with prediabetes?

	1. Diabetes type 2	2. Prediabetes
Population	Adults 18 years and older with diabetes type 2	Adults 18 years and older with prediabetes
Intervention	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low carbohydrate diet 51-130 gm/day (low glycemic index, ketogenic diet) • Very low carbohydrate diet 20 to 50 gm/day 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low carbohydrate diet 51-130 gm/day (low glycemic index, ketogenic diet) • Very low carbohydrate diet 20 to 50 gm/day
Comparator	Unrestricted diet Diet other than low/very low carbohydrate diet	Unrestricted diet Diet other than low/very low carbohydrate diet
Outcome	HbA1C, glycemic control, weight loss, use of diabetes medications, QOL	HbA1C, development of diabetes, weight loss, QOL
Setting	Outpatient	Outpatient

Abbreviations: HbA1C=hemoglobin A1c; QOL=quality of life

Assessment Methods

See Appendix A.

Summary of Literature Findings

We found ten systematic reviews on low or very low carbohydrates for people with type 2 diabetes. One looked at a broad array of diets⁶; one compared the ketogenic diet to low carbohydrate diet⁷; one focused only on ketogenic diet^{8, 9}; five focused on low carbohydrate diets¹⁰⁻¹⁴; one on very low carb ketogenic diet¹⁵; and two on low glycemic diet^{16, 17}.

Of the ten reviews, three used Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations (GRADE)^{6, 11, 16}; one was a 2022 umbrella review⁶ and one was used to inform a European guideline¹⁶.

We identified no systematic reviews specifically on prediabetes but identified four on overweight individuals. One was on very low carbohydrate diets¹⁸; two on the ketogenic diet^{19, 20}; and one on low carbohydrate diet²¹.

Summary of Selection Criteria Assessment

Diabetes and prediabetes are prevalent in the United States, and lifestyle modification, including diet, are universally recommended^{22, 23}. Guidance stresses the importance of interventions to facilitate and sustain lifestyle modification to prevent or delay long-term complications²⁴. There are multiple reviews on this topic.

References

1. Type 2 Diabetes. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2021. <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/basics/type2.html>. Accessed on 6 September 2022 2022.
2. Diabetes and Prediabetes. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/resources/publications/factsheets/diabetes-prediabetes.htm2022>.
3. Insulin, Medicines, & Other Diabetes Treatments. Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; 2022. <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/diabetes/overview/insulin-medicines-treatments> 2022.
4. Oh R, Gilani B, Uppaluri KR. Low Carbohydrate Diet. StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL); 2022.
5. Evert AB, Dennison M, Gardner CD, et al. Nutrition Therapy for Adults With Diabetes or Prediabetes: A Consensus Report. *Diabetes Care*. 2019 May;42(5):731-54. doi: 10.2337/dci19-0014. PMID: 31000505.
6. Churuangsuk C, Hall J, Reynolds A, et al. Diets for weight management in adults with type 2 diabetes: an umbrella review of published meta-analyses and systematic review of trials of diets for diabetes remission. *Diabetologia*. 2022 Jan;65(1):14-36. doi: 10.1007/s00125-021-05577-2. PMID: 34796367.
7. Zaki HA, Iftikhar H, Bashir K, et al. A Comparative Study Evaluating the Effectiveness Between Ketogenic and Low-Carbohydrate Diets on Glycemic and Weight Control in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Cureus*. 2022 May;14(5):e25528. doi: 10.7759/cureus.25528. PMID: 35800806.
8. Yuan X, Wang J, Yang S, et al. Effect of the ketogenic diet on glycemic control, insulin resistance, and lipid metabolism in patients with T2DM: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Diabetes*. 2020 Nov 30;10(1):38. doi: 10.1038/s41387-020-00142-z. PMID: 33257645.
9. Zhou C, Wang M, Liang J, et al. Ketogenic Diet Benefits to Weight Loss, Glycemic Control, and Lipid Profiles in Overweight Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Aug 22;19(16). doi: 10.3390/ijerph191610429. PMID: 36012064.
10. Abbasnezhad A, Falahi E, Gonzalez MJ, et al. Effect of different dietary approaches compared with a regular diet on systolic and diastolic blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2020 May;163:108108. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108108. PMID: 32259613.
11. Goldenberg JZ, Day A, Brinkworth GD, et al. Efficacy and safety of low and very low carbohydrate diets for type 2 diabetes remission: systematic review and meta-analysis of published and unpublished randomized trial data. *Bmj*. 2021 Jan 13;372:m4743. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m4743. PMID: 33441384.
12. Jayedi A, Zeraattalab-Motlagh S, Jabbarzadeh B, et al. Dose-dependent effect of carbohydrate restriction for type 2 diabetes management: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2022 Jul 6;116(1):40-56. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqac066. PMID: 35537861.
13. Nicholas AP, Soto-Mota A, Lambert H, et al. Restricting carbohydrates and calories in the treatment of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review of the effectiveness of 'low-carbohydrate'

interventions with differing energy levels. *J Nutr Sci.* 2021;10:e76. doi: 10.1017/jns.2021.67. PMID: 34589208.

14. Silverii GA, Botarelli L, Dicembrini I, et al. Low-carbohydrate diets and type 2 diabetes treatment: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Acta Diabetol.* 2020 Nov;57(11):1375-82. doi: 10.1007/s00592-020-01568-8. PMID: 32638087.
15. Rafiullah M, Musambil M, David SK. Effect of a very low-carbohydrate ketogenic diet vs recommended diets in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Nutr Rev.* 2022 Feb 10;80(3):488-502. doi: 10.1093/nutrit/nuab040. PMID: 34338787.
16. Chiavaroli L, Lee D, Ahmed A, et al. Effect of low glycaemic index or load dietary patterns on glycaemic control and cardiometabolic risk factors in diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ.* 2021 Aug 4;374:n1651. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n1651. PMID: 34348965.
17. Zafar MI, Mills KE, Zheng J, et al. Low-glycemic index diets as an intervention for diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2019 Oct 1;110(4):891-902. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqz149. PMID: 31374573.
18. Ross LJ, Byrnes A, Hay RL, et al. Exploring the highs and lows of very low carbohydrate high fat diets on weight loss and diabetes- and cardiovascular disease-related risk markers: A systematic review. *Nutr Diet.* 2021 Feb;78(1):41-56. doi: 10.1111/1747-0080.12649. PMID: 33283417.
19. Lopez-Espinoza MA, Chacon-Moscoso S, Sanduvete-Chaves S, et al. Effect of a Ketogenic Diet on the Nutritional Parameters of Obese Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients.* 2021 Aug 25;13(9). doi: 10.3390/nu13092946. PMID: 34578824.
20. Castellana M, Conte E, Cignarelli A, et al. Efficacy and safety of very low calorie ketogenic diet (VLCKD) in patients with overweight and obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord.* 2020 Mar;21(1):5-16. doi: 10.1007/s11154-019-09514-y. PMID: 31705259.
21. Chawla S, Tessarolo Silva F, Amaral Medeiros S, et al. The Effect of Low-Fat and Low-Carbohydrate Diets on Weight Loss and Lipid Levels: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients.* 2020 Dec 9;12(12). doi: 10.3390/nu12123774. PMID: 33317019.
22. American Diabetes A. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022 Abridged for Primary Care Providers. *Clin Diabetes.* 2022 Jan;40(1):10-38. doi: 10.2337/cd22-as01. PMID: 35221470.
23. Garber AJ, Handelsman Y, Grunberger G, et al. Consensus Statement by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology on the Comprehensive Type 2 Diabetes Management Algorithm - 2020 Executive Summary. *Endocr Pract.* 2020 Jan;26(1):107-39. doi: 10.4158/CS-2019-0472. PMID: 32022600.
24. American Diabetes Association Professional Practice C, American Diabetes Association Professional Practice C, Draznin B, et al. 5. Facilitating Behavior Change and Well-being to Improve Health Outcomes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022. *Diabetes Care.* 2022 Jan 1;45(Suppl 1):S60-S82. doi: 10.2337/dc22-S005. PMID: 34964866.

Author

Christine Chang

Conflict of Interest: None of the investigators have any affiliations or financial involvement that conflicts with the material presented in this report.

Acknowledgements

Howard Tracer

This report was developed by staff of Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), Rockville, MD. The findings and conclusions in this document are those of the author(s) who are responsible for its contents; the findings and conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of AHRQ. No statement in this article should be construed as an official position of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality or of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Persons using assistive technology may not be able to fully access information in this report. For assistance contact EPC@ahrq.hhs.gov.

Appendix A: Methods

We assessed nomination for priority for a systematic review or other AHRQ Effective Health Care report with a hierarchical process using established selection criteria. Assessment of each criteria determined the need to evaluate the next one. See Appendix B for detailed description of the criteria.

Appropriateness and Importance

We assessed the nomination for appropriateness and importance.

Desirability of New Review/Absence of Duplication

We conducted a search for existing systematic reviews. We searched for high-quality, completed or in-process evidence reviews published in the last three years August 2019 to August 2022 on the questions of the nomination from these sources:

- AHRQ: Evidence reports and technology assessments
 - AHRQ Evidence Reports <https://www.ahrq.gov/research/findings/evidence-based-reports/index.html>
 - EHC Program <https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/>
- US Department of Veterans Affairs Products publications
 - Evidence Synthesis Program <https://www.hsrd.research.va.gov/publications/esp/>
 - VA/Department of Defense Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline Program <https://www.healthquality.va.gov/>
- Cochrane Systematic Reviews <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/>
- PROSPERO Database (international prospective register of systematic reviews and protocols) <http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospéro/>
- PubMed <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/>

Impact of a New Evidence Review

The impact of a new evidence review was qualitatively assessed by analyzing the current standard of care, the existence of potential knowledge gaps, and practice variation. We considered whether it was possible for this review to influence the current state of practice through various dissemination pathways (practice recommendation, clinical guidelines, etc.).

Appendix B. Selection Criteria Assessment

Selection Criteria	Assessment
1. Appropriateness	
1a. Does the nomination represent a health care drug, intervention, device, technology, or health care system/setting available (or soon to be available) in the U.S.?	Yes
1b. Is the nomination a request for an evidence report?	Yes
1c. Is the focus on effectiveness or comparative effectiveness?	Yes
1d. Is the nomination focus supported by a logic model or biologic plausibility? Is it consistent or coherent with what is known about the topic?	Yes
2. Importance	
2a. Represents a significant disease burden; large proportion of the population	More than 122 million Americans are living with diabetes (37.3 million) or prediabetes (96 million). Approximately 90-95% of them have type 2 diabetes. Type 2 diabetes most often develops in people over age 45.
2b. Is of high public interest; affects health care decision making, outcomes, or costs for a large proportion of the US population or for a vulnerable population	Yes
2c. Incorporates issues around both clinical benefits and potential clinical harms	Yes
2d. Represents high costs due to common use, high unit costs, or high associated costs to consumers, to patients, to health care systems, or to payers	In 2017, the total estimated cost of diagnosed diabetes was \$327 billion, including \$237 billion in direct medical costs and \$90 billion in absenteeism, reduced productivity, and inability to work.
3. Desirability of a New Evidence Review/Absence of Duplication	
3. A recent high-quality systematic review or other evidence review is not available on this topic	We identified multiple systematic reviews. Of these three used GRADE.
4. Impact of a New Evidence Review	
4a. Is the standard of care unclear (guidelines not available or guidelines inconsistent, indicating an information gap that may be addressed by a new evidence review)?	Guidance is available, though the focus is less on exactly which diet, and rather on adherence to lifestyle modifications over the long-term.
4b. Is there practice variation (guideline inconsistent with current practice, indicating a potential implementation gap and not best addressed by a new evidence review)?	Lifestyle modification, including diet, is recommended. There are a variety of different diets that are available.

Abbreviations: GRADE= Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations