



Topic Brief: Telehealth Utilization in Communities of Color

Date: 5/13/2023

Nomination Number: 1041

Purpose: This document summarizes the information addressing a nomination submitted on November 18, 2022 (<u>link to nomination</u>) through the Effective Health Care Website. This information was used to inform the Evidence-based Practice Center (EPC) Program decisions about whether to produce an evidence report on the topic, and if so, what type of evidence report would be most suitable.

Issue: The nominators are interested in whether the use of telehealth can improve health outcomes in communities of color.

Findings: The EPC Program will not develop a new systematic review because we found a systematic review addressing the concerns of this nomination.

Background

Telemedicine is the use of electronic information and telecommunications technologies, including videoconferencing and phone calls, for clinical communication between patients and providers. Telehealth also encompasses remotely-delivered support activities for providers, such as training.¹ In certain situations, telehealth has been demonstrated to be as effective, if not more effective than usual care.²

The use of telehealth increased globally during the COVID-19 pandemic.³ In 2021, during the pandemic, 37% of American adults had used telemedicine in the past 12 months. Variables associated with greater use included gender (women), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white and native Americans), education (higher education levels), and setting (more urban areas).⁴ The nominators are interested specifically in the role of telehealth for communities of color.

Scope

Key Questions:

- 1. What are the characteristics of patients, providers, and health systems using telehealth during the COVID-19 era? Specifically:
 - a. What are the characteristics of patients (e.g., age, race/ethnicity, gender, socioeconomic status, education, geographic location [urban vs. rural])?
 - b. What are the characteristics of providers and health systems (e.g., specialty, geographic location, private practice, hospital-based practice)?
 - c. How do the characteristics of patients, providers, and health systems differ between the first 4 months of the COVID-19 era versus the remainder of the COVID-19 era?

- 2. What are the benefits and harms of telehealth during the COVID-19 era?
 - a. Does this vary by type of telehealth intervention (e.g., telephone, video visits)?
 - b. Does this vary by patient characteristics (e.g., age, gender, race/ethnicity, type of clinical condition or health concern, geographic location)?
 - c. Does this vary by provider and health system characteristics (e.g., specialty, geographic location, private practice, hospital-based practice)?
- 3. What is considered a successful telehealth intervention, and what are the barriers and facilitators of these interventions during the COVID19 era:
 - a. From the patient or caregiver perspective?
 - b. From the provider perspective?
- 4. What strategies have been used to implement telehealth interventions during the COVID-19 era?

Table 1. Questions and PICOT (population, intervention, comparator, outcome, timing)

Questions	Telehealth during COVID-19	
Population	KQs 1 and 2: Patients of any age Health systems Hospitals Providers KQ 3: Patients or their caregivers Providers KQ 4: Hospitals Community-based clinics Private practices Mental health services Federally Qualified Health Centers Rural clinics Healthcare systems	
Interventions	• Remotely delivered synchronous medical services (e.g., telephone, video visits) between a patient and a healthcare provider in an ambulatory setting (e.g., outpatient and community-based clinics) or ED providing acute/urgent care (e.g., symptom management); routine/chronic care (e.g., preventive services, chronic disease management); mental health services; wellness visits; post-hospital discharge care (e.g., routine follow up and care for nonacute issues) • Patient and specialist communications facilitated by an ED physician in an ED (particularly important in rural care settings) KQ 4: Implementation strategies for telehealth	
Comparators	KQs 1-3: In-person care, no care, no comparison KQ 4: Implementation strategies for telehealth	
Outcomes	KQ 1: N/A KQ 2:	

	o No-show rates o Staffing hours o Cycle times	
	o Communication	
	o Clinical outcomes (any) o Medication adherence	
	o Up-to-date lab values	
	o Adverse effects/patient safety issues	
	o Inappropriate treatment	
	Misdiagnosis/delayed diagnosis/care	
	Case resolution/Duplication of services (telehealth followed)	
	immediately by in-person visit)	
	Privacy/confidentiality breaches	
	Cost (see Appendix A for detailed cost outcomes)	
	KQ3:	
	Patient/provider-level outcomes	
	Patient satisfaction/perceptions	
	 Physician /provider satisfaction/engagement/burnout 	
	Barriers and enablers	
	KQ4:	
	Implementation strategies	
Timing	All KQs: The era of COVID-19 (March 2020-present) KQ 1d: During the first 4 months or beyond the initial phase	

Abbreviations: ED=emergency department; HEDIS=healthcare effectiveness data and information set; KQ=key question.

Assessment Methods

See Appendix A.

Summary of Literature Findings

In 2023, AHRQ produced a systematic review that included an assessment of the nominated topic. The authors reported that, both before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, people of color were not among the highest users of telehealth. The cost of telehealth can be a barrier to care due to limitations on insurance reimbursement.⁵

See Appendix B for detailed assessments of all EPC selection criteria.

Summary of Selection Criteria Assessment

The nominators were interested in determining if the use of telehealth has increased healthcare outcomes in communities of color. A 2023 AHRQ systematic review on telehealth during the COVID-19 era includes an assessment of the benefits and harms of telehealth, stratified by race/ethnicity. This review addresses the nomination.

Please see Appendix B for detailed assessments of individual EPC Program selection criteria.

References

- 1. HealthIT.gov. doi: https://www.healthit.gov/faq/what-telehealth-how-telehealth-different-telemedicine#:~:text=While%20telemedicine%20refers%20specifically%20to,in%20addition%20to%20clinical%20services.
- 2. Snoswell CL, Chelberg G, De Guzman KR, et al. The clinical effectiveness of telehealth: A systematic review of meta-analyses from 2010 to 2019. J Telemed Telecare. 2021 Jun 29:1357633x211022907. doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633x211022907. PMID: 34184580.

- 3. Shaver J. The State of Telehealth Before and After the COVID-19 Pandemic. Prim Care. 2022 Dec;49(4):517-30. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pop.2022.04.002. PMID: 36357058.
- 4. Telemedicine Use Among Adults: United States, 2021. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. doi:

 $\frac{\text{https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db445.htm\#:}\sim:\text{text}=\text{Key\%20findings-}}{\text{,Data\%20from\%20the\%20National\%20Health\%20Interview\%20Survey,compared\%20with\%20men\%20(31.7\%25)}.$

5. Hatef E WR, Hannum SM, Zhang A, Kharrazi H, Weiner JP, Davis SA, Robinson KA. Use of Telehealth During the COVID-19 Era. Systematic Review. January 2023. doi: https://doi.org/10.23970/AHRQEPCSRCOVIDTELEHEALTH.

Author

Emily Gean Lisa Winterbottom

Conflict of Interest: None of the investigators have any affiliations or financial involvement that conflicts with the material presented in this report.

Acknowledgements

Suchitra Iyer Charli Armstrong

This report was developed by the Scientific Resource Center under contract to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), Rockville, MD (Contract No. HHSA 290-2017-00003C). The findings and conclusions in this document are those of the author(s) who are responsible for its contents; the findings and conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of AHRQ. No statement in this article should be construed as an official position of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality or of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Persons using assistive technology may not be able to fully access information in this report. For assistance contact EPC@ahrq.hhs.gov.

Appendix A: Methods

We assessed nomination for priority for a systematic review or other AHRQ Effective Health Care report with a hierarchical process using established selection criteria. Assessment of each criteria determined the need to evaluate the next one. See Appendix B for detailed description of the criteria.

Appropriateness and Importance

We assessed the nomination for appropriateness and importance.

Desirability of New Review/Absence of Duplication

In 2023, AHRQ produced a systematic review that included an assessment of the question posed in the nomination. ⁵ Since this recent systematic review addressed the nomination, no search for systematic reviews or primary studies was conducted.

Appendix B. Selection Criteria Assessment

Selection Criteria	Assessment
1. Appropriateness	ASSESSITIETIL
1a. Does the nomination represent a health care drug, intervention, device, technology, or health care system/setting available (or soon to be available) in the U.S.?	Yes.
1b. Is the nomination a request for an evidence report?	Yes.
1c. Is the focus on effectiveness or comparative effectiveness?	Yes.
1d. Is the nomination focus supported by a logic model or biologic plausibility? Is it consistent or coherent with what is known about the topic?	Yes.
2. Importance	
2a. Represents a significant disease burden; large proportion of the population	The use of telehealth increased globally during the COVID-19 pandemic. ³ In 2021, during the pandemic, 37% of American adults had used telemedicine in the past 12 month. Variables associated with greater use included gender (women), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white and native Americans), education (higher education levels), and setting (more urban areas). ⁴
2b. Is of high public interest; affects health care decision making, outcomes, or costs for a large proportion of the US population or for a vulnerable population	Yes. The use of telehealth increased globally during the COVID-19 pandemic. ³ In 2021, during the pandemic, 37% of American adults had used telemedicine in the past 12 month. Variables associated with greater use included gender (women), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white and native Americans), education (higher education levels), and setting (more urban areas). ⁴
2c. Incorporates issues around both clinical benefits and potential clinical harms	Yes.
2d. Represents high costs due to common use, high unit costs, or high associated costs to consumers, to patients, to health care systems, or to payers 3. Desirability of a New Evidence Review/Absence of Duplication	Yes. The use of telehealth increased globally during the COVID-19 pandemic. ³ In 2021, during the pandemic, 37% of American adults had used telemedicine in the past 12 month.
A recent high-quality systematic review or other evidence review is not available on this topic	No. In 2023, AHRQ published a systematic review that addresses the nominator's question.

Abbreviations: AHRQ=Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.