Comparative Effectiveness Review
Number 221

Pharmacologic and Nonpharmacologic Therapies in Adult Patients With Exacerbation of COPD: A Systematic Review

Comparative Effectiveness Review

Number 221

Pharmacologic and Nonpharmacologic Therapies in Adult Patients With Exacerbation of COPD: A Systematic Review

Prepared for:

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20857
www.ahrq.gov

Contract No. 290-2015-00013-I

Prepared by:

Mayo Clinic Evidence-based Practice Center
Rochester, MN

Investigators:

Claudia C. Dobler, M.D., Ph.D.
Allison S. Morrow, B.A.
Magdoleen H. Farah, M.B.B.S.
Bradley Beuschel, B.S.P.H.
Abdul M. Majzoub, M.D.
Michael E. Wilson, M.D.
Bashar Hasan, M.D.
Mohamed O. Seisa, M.D.
Lubna Daraz, Ph.D.
Larry J. Prokop, M.L.S.
M. Hassan Murad, M.D., M.P.H.
Zhen Wang, Ph.D.

AHRQ Publication No. 19(20)-EHC024-EF
October 2019

Key Messages

Purpose of Review

To evaluate the effectiveness and harms of pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic treatments for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Key Messages

- Antibiotic therapy increases the clinical cure rate and reduces the clinical failure rate.
- Oral and intravenous corticosteroids improve dyspnea and reduce the clinical failure rate.
- Antibiotics and corticosteroids are not associated with increase in serious adverse events.
- The evidence is insufficient to support the effect of aminophyllines, magnesium sulfate, mucolytics, inhaled corticosteroids, inhaled antibiotics, 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor, and statins on mortality, dyspnea, need for intubation, clinical failure, or hospital admission.
- Titrated oxygen reduces mortality compared with high flow oxygen.
- The evidence suggests benefits of some nonpharmacologic interventions such as chest physiotherapy using vibration/percussion/massage or using breathing technique (on dyspnea), resistance training (on dyspnea and quality of life), early pulmonary rehabilitation commenced before hospital discharge during the initial most acute phase of exacerbation rather than the convalescence period (on dyspnea), and whole body vibration training (on quality of life).
- Vitamin D supplementation may improve quality of life.
- The evidence is insufficient for comparative effectiveness of different regimens of antibiotics and corticosteroids based on type of agents, delivery modes, and duration of treatments.
- The evidence is insufficient for effectiveness of combinations of treatments that are each individually effective.
- Serious adverse events were not found to be different between most evaluated interventions.

This report is based on research conducted by the Mayo Clinic Evidence-based Practice Center (EPC) under contract to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), Rockville, MD (Contract No. 290-2015-00013-I). The findings and conclusions in this document are those of the authors, who are responsible for its contents; the findings and conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of AHRQ. Therefore, no statement in this report should be construed as an official position of AHRQ or of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

None of the investigators have any affiliations or financial involvement that conflicts with the material presented in this report.

The information in this report is intended to help healthcare decision makers—patients and clinicians, health system leaders, and policymakers, among others—make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of healthcare services. This report is not intended to be a substitute for the application of clinical judgment. Anyone who makes decisions concerning the provision of clinical care should consider this report in the same way as any medical reference and in conjunction with all other pertinent information, i.e., in the context of available resources and circumstances presented by individual patients.

This report is made available to the public under the terms of a licensing agreement between the author and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. This report may be used and reprinted without permission except those copyrighted materials that are clearly noted in the report. Further reproduction of those copyrighted materials is prohibited without the express permission of copyright holders.

AHRQ or U.S. Department of Health and Human Services endorsement of any derivative products that may be developed from this report, such as clinical practice guidelines, other quality enhancement tools, or reimbursement or coverage policies, may not be stated or implied.

This report may periodically be assessed for the currency of conclusions. If an assessment is done, the resulting surveillance report describing the methodology and findings will be found on the Effective Health Care Program website at www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov. Search on the title of the report.

People using assistive technology may not be able to fully access information in this report. For assistance contact EPC@ahrq.gov.

Suggested citation: Dobler CC, Morrow AS, Farah MH, Beuschel B, Majzoub AM, Wilson ME, Hasan B, Seisa MO, Daraz L, Prokop LJ, Murad MH, Wang Z. Pharmacologic and Nonpharmacologic Therapies in Adult Patients With Exacerbation of COPD: A Systematic Review. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 221. (Prepared by the Mayo Clinic Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2015-00013-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 19(20)-EHC024-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; October 2019. Posted final reports are located on the Effective Health Care Program [search page](#). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.23970/AHRQEPCCER221>.

Preface

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), through its Evidence-based Practice Centers (EPCs), sponsors the development of systematic reviews to assist public- and private-sector organizations in their efforts to improve the quality of healthcare in the United States. These reviews provide comprehensive, science-based information on common, costly medical conditions, and new healthcare technologies and strategies.

Systematic reviews are the building blocks underlying evidence-based practice; they focus attention on the strength and limits of evidence from research studies about the effectiveness and safety of a clinical intervention. In the context of developing recommendations for practice, systematic reviews can help clarify whether assertions about the value of the intervention are based on strong evidence from clinical studies. For more information about AHRQ EPC systematic reviews, see www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/reference/purpose.cfm.

AHRQ expects that these systematic reviews will be helpful to health plans, providers, purchasers, government programs, and the healthcare system as a whole. Transparency and stakeholder input are essential to the Effective Health Care Program. Please visit the website (www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov) to see draft research questions and reports or to join an email list to learn about new program products and opportunities for input.

If you have comments on this systematic review, they may be sent by mail to the Task Order Officer named below at: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, or by email to epc@ahrq.hhs.gov.

Gopal Khanna, M.B.A.
Director
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Arlene S. Bierman, M.D., M.S.
Director
Center for Evidence and Practice
Improvement
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Stephanie Chang, M.D., M.P.H.
Director
Evidence-based Practice Center Program
Center for Evidence and Practice Improvement
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Lionel L. Bañez, M.D.
Task Order Officer
Center for Evidence and Practice
Improvement
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the following individuals for their contributions to this project: from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, our Task Order Officer, Lionel Bañez, M.D., and from the American Academy of Family Physicians, Melanie Bird, Ph.D.

Key Informants

In designing the study questions, the EPC consulted several Key Informants who represent the end-users of research. The EPC sought the Key Informant input on the priority areas for research and synthesis. Key Informants are not involved in the analysis of the evidence or the writing of the report. Therefore, in the end, study questions, design, methodological approaches, and/or conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of individual Key Informants.

Key Informants must disclose any financial conflicts of interest greater than \$5,000 and any other relevant business or professional conflicts of interest. Because of their role as end-users, individuals with potential conflicts may be retained. The TOO and the EPC work to balance, manage, or mitigate any conflicts of interest.

The list of Key Informants who provided input to this report follows:

MeiLan K. Han, M.D., M.S.
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI

Mark Steffen, M.D., M.P.H.
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota
Eagan, MN

Clare Hawkins, M.D.
Aspire Health Care
Houston, TX

James J. Stevermer, M.D., M.S.P.H.
Department of Family and Community
Medicine
University of Missouri Health
Columbia, MO

Cara Pasquale, M.P.H.
COPD Patient Powered Research Network
Washington, DC

Antonello Punturieri, M.D., Ph.D.
National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute
for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
(COPD)/Environment
Bethesda, MD

Technical Expert Panel

In designing the study questions and methodology at the outset of this report, the EPC consulted several technical and content experts. Broad expertise and perspectives were sought. Divergent and conflicted opinions are common and perceived as healthy scientific discourse that results in a thoughtful, relevant systematic review. Therefore, in the end, study questions, design, methodologic approaches, and/or conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of individual technical and content experts.

Technical Experts must disclose any financial conflicts of interest greater than \$5,000 and any other relevant business or professional conflicts of interest. Because of their unique clinical or content expertise, individuals with potential conflicts may be retained. The TOO and the EPC work to balance, manage, or mitigate any potential conflicts of interest identified.

The list of Technical Experts who provided input to this report follows:

Richard Albert, M.D.*
Department of Medicine,
University of Colorado
Aurora, CO

MeiLan K. Han, M.D., M.S.*
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI

Clare Hawkins, M.D.
Aspire Health Care
Houston, TX

James J. Stevermer, M.D., M.S.P.H.*
Department of Family and Community
Medicine
University of Missouri Health
Columbia, MO

James Stoller, M.D., M.S.*
Cleveland Clinic
Cleveland, OH

*Provided input on Draft Report.

Peer Reviewers

Prior to publication of the final evidence report, EPCs sought input from independent Peer Reviewers without financial conflicts of interest. However, the conclusions and synthesis of the scientific literature presented in this report do not necessarily represent the views of individual reviewers.

Peer Reviewers must disclose any financial conflicts of interest greater than \$5,000 and any other relevant business or professional conflicts of interest. Because of their unique clinical or content expertise, individuals with potential nonfinancial conflicts may be retained. The TOO and the EPC work to balance, manage, or mitigate any potential nonfinancial conflicts of interest identified.

The list of Peer Reviewers follows:

Jerry A. Krishnan, M.D., Ph.D.
Breathe Chicago Center
University of Illinois
Chicago, IL

Tianjing Li, M.D., M.H.S, Ph.D.
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Baltimore, MD

Pharmacologic and Nonpharmacologic Therapies in Adult Patients With Exacerbation of COPD: A Systematic Review

Structured Abstract

Objectives. To synthesize existing knowledge about the effectiveness and harms of pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic treatments for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (ECOPD).

Data sources. Embase[®], Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, MEDLINE[®] Daily, MEDLINE, Cochrane Central Registrar of Controlled Trials, Ovid Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and Scopus from database inception to January 2, 2019.

Review methods. We included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that evaluated pharmacologic intervention or nonpharmacologic interventions for ECOPD. The strength of evidence (SOE) was graded for critical final health outcomes.

Results. We included 98 RCTs (13,401 patients, mean treatment duration 9.9 days, mean followup 3.7 months). Final health outcomes, including mortality, resolution of exacerbation, hospital readmissions, repeat exacerbations, and need for intubation, were infrequently evaluated and often showed no statistically significant differences between groups. Antibiotic therapy increases the clinical cure rate and reduces the clinical failure rate regardless of the severity of ECOPD (moderate SOE). There is insufficient evidence to support a particular antibiotic regimen. Oral and intravenous corticosteroids improve dyspnea and reduce the clinical failure rate (low SOE). Despite the ubiquitous use of inhaled bronchodilators in ECOPD, we found only a small number of trials that assessed lung function tests, and not final health outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to support the effect of aminophyllines, magnesium sulfate, mucolytics, inhaled corticosteroids, inhaled antibiotics, 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor, and statins on final health outcomes. Titrated oxygen reduces mortality compared with high flow oxygen (low SOE). Low SOE suggested benefit from some nonpharmacologic interventions such as chest physiotherapy using vibration/percussion/massage or breathing technique (on dyspnea), resistance training (on dyspnea and quality of life), early pulmonary rehabilitation commenced before hospital discharge during the initial most acute phase of exacerbation rather than the convalescence period (on dyspnea) and whole body vibration training (on quality of life). Vitamin D supplementation may improve quality of life (low SOE).

Conclusions. Although chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is a common condition, the evidence base for most interventions in ECOPD remains limited. Systemic antibiotics and corticosteroids are associated with improved outcomes in mild and moderate to severe ECOPD. Titrated oxygen reduces mortality. Future research is required to assess the effectiveness of several emerging nonpharmacologic and dietary treatments.

Contents

Evidence Summary	ES-1
Introduction.....	1
Background	1
Key Questions	3
Methods.....	4
Literature Search Strategy.....	4
Search Strategy	4
Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria.....	5
Study Selection	7
Data Abstraction and Data Management	7
Assessment of the Risk of Bias of Individual Studies	7
Data Synthesis.....	7
Grading the Strength of Evidence (SOE) for Major Comparisons and Outcomes	9
Assessing Applicability	10
Peer Review and Public Commentary	10
Results	11
Literature Searches and Evidence Base	11
KQ1. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of systemic corticosteroids and antibiotics compared with placebo or standard care?	11
Key Points-KQ1	11
KQ 1 Results	12
Systemic Antibiotics Versus Placebo or Management Without Systemic Antibiotics.....	12
Systemic Corticosteroids Versus Placebo or Management Without Systemic Corticosteroids	16
KQ2. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of emerging and other pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies compared with placebo or standard care?.....	20
Key Points-KQ2.....	20
Results KQ2	20
Pharmacologic Therapies	21
Nonpharmacologic Therapies	27
KQ3. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of combinations of treatments that are individually effective (based on empirical evidence in stable COPD)?	42
Key Point-KQ3	42
KQ3 Results	42
KQ4. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what is the comparative effectiveness of different regimens of antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids based on type of agents (e.g., broad-spectrum vs. narrow-spectrum antibiotics), delivery modes (e.g., intravenous, oral), and durations of treatment?	43
Key Points-KQ4.....	43
KQ 4 Results	45
Fluoroquinolone Versus Aminopenicillin Plus Beta-Lactamase Inhibitor	46
Ciprofloxacin (Fluoroquinolone) Versus Amoxicillin (Aminopenicillin).....	48

Levofloxacin (Fluoroquinolone) Versus “Standard” Antibiotic Therapy (Clarithromycin or Cefuroxime or Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid)	48
Azithromycin (Macrolide) Versus Amoxicillin (Aminopenicillin)	49
Cefaclor (Cephalosporin) Versus Ampicillin + Sulbactam (Aminopenicillin Plus Beta-Lactamase Inhibitor)	50
Fluoroquinolone Versus Cephalosporin	51
Azithromycin (Macrolide) Versus Cefaclor (Cephalosporin)	51
Azithromycin (Macrolide) Versus Ciprofloxacin (Fluoroquinolone).....	52
Amoxicillin (Aminopenicillin) Versus Amoxicillin Plus Clavulanic Acid.....	52
Telithromycin (Ketolide) Versus Amoxicillin Plus Clavulanic Acid (Aminopenicillin Plus Beta-Lactamase Inhibitor)	53
Azithromycin (Macrolide) Versus Aminopenicillin Plus Beta-Lactamase Inhibitor	53
Prulifloxacin (4 th Generation Fluoroquinolone) Versus Levofloxacin (2 nd Generation Fluoroquinolone).....	54
Zabofloxacin (Next Generation Fluoroquinolone) Versus Moxifloxacin (4 th Generation Fluoroquinolone).....	56
Cefpodoxime (3 rd Generation Cephalosporin) Versus Cefaclor (2 nd Generation Cephalosporin).....	56
Meropenem (Carbapenem) Versus Imipenem (Carbapenem)	57
Comparative Effectiveness of Different Dosages of the Same Antibiotic	58
Comparative Effectiveness of Different Application Routes for Antibiotics	59
Comparative Effectiveness of Different Durations of Treatment With Antibiotics	59
Corticosteroids-Comparative Effectiveness Studies	60
Discussion.....	68
Overview	68
Findings in Relation to What Is Known	71
Limitations	73
Applicability	73
Suggestions for Future Research	74
Conclusion	75
References	76
Abbreviations and Acronyms	87

Tables

Table 1. PICOTS (population, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, timing, and setting).....	6
Table 2. Categories of severity of exacerbation.....	8
Table 3. Categories of adverse events.....	8
Table 4. Comparison of systemic antibiotics versus control, critical outcomes	14
Table 5. Comparison of systemic antibiotics versus control, additional outcomes	16
Table 6. Comparison of systemic corticosteroids versus control, critical outcomes	18
Table 7. Comparison of systemic corticosteroids versus control, additional outcomes	19
Table 8. Comparison of intravenous aminophyllines versus placebo, critical outcomes	21
Table 9. Comparison of intravenous aminophyllines versus placebo, additional outcomes	21
Table 10. Comparison of intravenous magnesium sulfate versus placebo, critical outcomes.....	22
Table 11. Comparison of magnesium sulfate versus placebo, additional outcomes	22
Table 12. Comparison of oral mucolytics versus control, critical outcomes.....	23

Table 13. Comparison of oral mucolytics versus control, additional outcomes	24
Table 14. Comparison of inhaled corticosteroids with or without inhaled short- and long-acting bronchodilators versus placebo, critical outcomes	25
Table 15. Comparison of inhaled corticosteroids with or without inhaled short- and long-acting bronchodilators versus placebo, additional outcomes	25
Table 16. Comparison of inhaled antibiotics versus placebo	26
Table 17. Long-acting muscarinic antagonists versus placebo.....	26
Table 18. Comparison of 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor (zileuton) versus placebo, critical outcomes	26
Table 19. Comparison of 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor (zileuton) versus placebo, additional outcomes	26
Table 20. Comparison of statin versus management without statin	27
Table 21. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using breathing technique versus management without chest physiotherapy, critical outcomes	27
Table 22. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using breathing technique versus management without chest physiotherapy, additional outcomes	28
Table 23. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using vibration, percussion, or massage versus management without chest physiotherapy, critical outcomes.....	28
Table 24. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using vibration, percussion, or massage versus management without chest physiotherapy, additional outcomes.....	29
Table 25. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using positive expiratory pressure versus management without positive expiratory pressure, critical outcomes	29
Table 26. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using positive expiratory pressure versus management without positive expiratory pressure, additional outcomes	30
Table 27. Comparison of exercise using resistance training versus management without resistance training, critical outcomes	31
Table 28. Comparison of exercise using resistance training versus management without resistance training, additional outcomes	31
Table 29. Comparison of exercise using aerobic training versus management without aerobic training, critical outcomes.....	32
Table 30. Comparison of exercise using aerobic training versus management without aerobic training, additional outcomes.....	32
Table 31. Comparison of exercise using combined aerobic + resistance training versus management without exercise training	33
Table 32. Comparison of chest physiotherapy + exercise (breathing technique + range of motion exercises) combined versus management without exercise training, critical outcomes	34
Table 33. Comparison of chest physiotherapy + exercise (breathing technique + range of motion exercises) combined versus management without exercise training, additional outcomes	34
Table 34. Comparison of early pulmonary rehabilitation versus management without early pulmonary rehabilitation, critical outcomes	35
Table 35. Comparison of early pulmonary rehabilitation versus management without early pulmonary rehabilitation, additional outcomes.....	35
Table 36. Comparison of whole body vibration training during ECOPD versus management without whole body vibration, critical outcomes.....	36
Table 37. Comparison of whole body vibration training during ECOPD versus management without whole body vibration, additional outcomes.....	36

Table 38. Comparison of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) during ECOPD versus management without TENS, critical outcomes	36
Table 39. Comparison of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) during ECOPD versus management without TENS, additional outcomes	36
Table 40. Supplemental oxygen versus supplemental air	37
Table 41. Titrated oxygen versus high flow oxygen, critical outcomes	38
Table 42. Titrated oxygen versus free flow oxygen, critical outcomes	38
Table 43. Comparison of a dietary intervention using a caloric supplement during ECOPD versus usual diet, critical outcomes.....	39
Table 44. Comparison of a dietary intervention using a caloric supplement during ECOPD versus usual diet, additional outcomes	39
Table 45. Comparison of a dietary intervention using a caloric and a protein supplement during ECOPD versus placebo (non-caloric fluid, vanilla flavored water), critical outcomes.....	39
Table 46. Comparison of a dietary intervention using a caloric and a protein supplement during ECOPD versus placebo (non-caloric fluid, vanilla flavored water), additional outcomes	40
Table 47. Comparison of a dietary intervention using a high fat low carbohydrate diet during ECOPD versus usual diet.....	40
Table 48. Comparison of a dietary intervention using omega-3 fatty acid versus usual diet	40
Table 49. Comparison of a dietary intervention using vitamin D during ECOPD versus placebo, critical outcomes	41
Table 50. Comparison of a dietary intervention using vitamin D during ECOPD versus placebo, additional outcomes	41
Table 51. Comparative effectiveness of inhalation treatments, critical outcomes	42
Table 52. Comparative effectiveness of inhalation treatments, additional outcomes.....	43
Table 53. Comparison of fluoroquinolone versus aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor, critical outcomes	46
Table 54. Comparison of fluoroquinolone versus aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor, additional outcomes	47
Table 55. Comparison of ciprofloxacin versus amoxicillin.....	48
Table 56. Comparison of levofloxacin versus “standard” antibiotic therapy (clarithromycin or cefuroxime or amoxicillin + clavulanic acid), critical outcomes.....	49
Table 57. Comparison of levofloxacin versus “standard” antibiotic therapy (clarithromycin or cefuroxime or amoxicillin + clavulanic acid), additional outcomes.....	49
Table 58. Comparison of azithromycin versus amoxicillin, critical outcomes.....	50
Table 59. Comparison of azithromycin versus amoxicillin, additional outcomes.....	50
Table 60. Comparison of cefaclor versus ampicillin + sulbactam, critical outcomes	50
Table 61. Comparison of cefaclor versus ampicillin + sulbactam, additional outcomes	50
Table 62. Comparison of fluoroquinolone versus cephalosporin, critical outcomes.....	51
Table 63. Comparison of fluoroquinolone versus cephalosporin, additional outcomes.....	51
Table 64. Comparison of azithromycin versus cefaclor, critical outcomes	52
Table 65. Comparison of azithromycin versus cefaclor, additional outcomes	52
Table 66. Comparison of azithromycin versus ciprofloxacin, critical outcomes	52
Table 67. Comparison of azithromycin versus ciprofloxacin, additional outcomes.....	52
Table 68. Comparison of amoxicillin versus amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid	53
Table 69. Comparison of telithromycin versus amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid.....	53

Table 70. Comparison of macrolide versus aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor, critical outcomes	54
Table 71. Comparison of macrolide versus aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor, additional outcomes	54
Table 72. Comparison of prulifloxacin versus levofloxacin, critical outcomes	54
Table 73. Comparison of prulifloxacin versus levofloxacin, additional outcomes	55
Table 74. Comparison of zabofloxacin versus moxifloxacin	56
Table 75. Comparison of cefpodoxime versus cefaclor.....	57
Table 76. Comparison of meropenem versus imipenem	57
Table 77. Comparison of trovafloxacin 200 mg versus trovafloxacin 100 mg	58
Table 78. Comparison of intermittent intravenous cefotaxime versus continuous intravenous cefotaxime.....	58
Table 79. Comparison of amoxicillin + clavulanic for 3 days versus 10 days	59
Table 80. Comparison of different systemic corticosteroid agents, critical outcomes	60
Table 81. Comparison of different systemic corticosteroid agents, additional outcomes	61
Table 82. Systemic corticosteroids versus inhaled corticosteroids, critical outcomes	62
Table 83. Systemic corticosteroids versus inhaled corticosteroids, additional outcomes	63
Table 84. Comparison of oral corticosteroids versus intravenous corticosteroids, critical outcomes	64
Table 85. Comparison of oral corticosteroids versus intravenous corticosteroids, additional outcomes	65
Table 86. Comparison of different durations of treatment with corticosteroids, critical outcomes	65
Table 87. Comparison of different durations of treatment with corticosteroids, additional outcomes	67

Figures

Figure 1. Analytic framework for Key Questions 1, 2, 3, and 4.....	4
Figure 2. Summary of comparisons between different antibiotic agents.....	45
Figure 3. Evidence map showing distribution of trials in mild (or mild/moderate) ECOPD (Key Questions 1 and 2)	72
Figure 4. Evidence map showing distribution of trials in moderate to severe ECOPD (Key Questions 1 and 2)	73

Appendixes

Appendix A. Flow Chart
Appendix B. Search Strategy
Appendix C. Excluded Studies
Appendix D. Characteristics of Included Studies
Appendix E. Risk of Bias
Appendix F. Results From Included Studies
Appendix G. Results by Severity
Appendix H. Adverse Events
Appendix I. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria of Included Studies
Appendix J. Sensitivity Analysis
Appendix K. Appendix References

Evidence Summary

Background and Objectives

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a common respiratory disease characterized by airflow limitation and chronic respiratory symptoms. The global prevalence is estimated to be greater than 10 percent, impacting approximately 380 million people worldwide.¹ In the United States, COPD affects approximately 15 million people; chronic lower respiratory diseases, of which COPD is the largest contributing condition, are the fourth leading cause of death;² and COPD costs more than \$32 billion annually.^{3, 4} Patients with COPD experience chronic respiratory symptoms (including shortness of breath and cough), and have decreased quality of life, and premature mortality.

Patients with COPD are at risk of experiencing exacerbations of COPD (ECOPD). There have been various definitions of what constitutes an ECOPD. The Global Initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease (GOLD) defines ECOPD in its 2019 report as “acute worsening of respiratory symptoms that result in additional therapy.”⁵ ECOPD is generally characterized by increased dyspnea, increased frequency and severity of cough, and/or increased sputum production.⁶ ECOPD is a leading independent cause of increased mortality and morbidity among patients with COPD. ECOPD is associated with a higher risk of dying during or shortly after the exacerbation, lower quality of life, more hospital admission, depletion of financial resources, and a progressive decline in lung function.⁷⁻¹⁴ Hospitalizations for ECOPD account for more than half of all costs associated with COPD.^{10, 15}

In recent years, a number of clinical trials of treatments to prevent ECOPD have shown promising results, but the evidence for acute treatments during an episode of ECOPD appears to be surprisingly scarce, given how relatively common the condition is.^{16, 17}

Goals of management of ECOPD include relieving symptoms and hastening the recovery from ECOPD by addressing precipitating factors (e.g. antibiotic treatment for infections), improving expiratory airflow and gas exchange (and thus improving breathing) by using inhaled bronchodilators, and reducing lung inflammation with corticosteroids. Nonpharmacologic treatments include supplemental oxygen, nutritional support, and others.¹⁸⁻²⁰ In addition, several new pharmacologic agents with novel mechanisms of action in early stages of development may be of potential benefit to COPD patients including those in acute exacerbation.²¹

The area of ECOPD management has several uncertainties and necessitates an up to date evidence synthesis. These uncertainties include the benefits and harms of emerging pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic treatments, the benefits and harms of treatments for ECOPD that have been found efficacious in stable COPD, the benefits and harms of antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids in mild ECOPD, the benefits and harms of combinations of treatments that have been found to be individually effective, and-for antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids-the comparative effectiveness of different types of agents (e.g. broad-spectrum versus narrow-spectrum antibiotics), delivery modes (e.g. intravenous, oral), and durations of treatment.

Examples of potentially emerging treatments for ECOPD, include immune-modulatory drugs and novel applications of treatments primarily used in stable COPD including mucolytics, aminophyllines, long-acting bronchodilators, inhaled corticosteroids, and others. Mucolytics may have a small effect on reducing the frequency of ECOPD.^{22, 23} In clinical practice, they are also used during an ECOPD, where the evidence for their effectiveness appears less clear.

For nonpharmacological treatments, there are a number of areas in which an update of the evidence is required to inform best practice management of ECOPD. There is increasing recognition that too much oxygen might do more harm than good, and not just in patients with chronic hypercapnic respiratory who are at risk of iatrogenic worsening of respiratory failure due to oversupply of oxygen.^{24, 25} Titrated oxygen with a target saturation rate as opposed to high flow oxygen has therefore been used in patients with ECOPD.²⁶ Historically, pulmonary rehabilitation programs have focused on enrolling patients with stable COPD or patients who had stabilized after an episode of ECOPD, but in more recent times, a number of trials have explored the role of exercise/early pulmonary rehabilitation during an episode of ECOPD.^{27, 28} Chest physiotherapy using airway clearance techniques (including breathing technique, vibration/percussion, and autogenic drainage) are used routinely in many patients hospitalized with ECOPD. A Cochrane review published in 2012 found evidence that airway clearance techniques may reduce the need for hospital admission and improve health-related quality of life based on single studies with small study populations.²⁹ An update of this evidence is indicated. Furthermore, many patients with COPD are in a state of hyper-metabolism in which their body consumes more calories per kilogram on calorimetric measures compared with a person without COPD, likely because of the increased work of breathing.³⁰ This hyper-metabolic state is even more pronounced during episodes of ECOPD, posing questions about the optimal nutritional support for patients with ECOPD.^{31, 32}

Established treatments for ECOPD, such as antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids, may not be indicated in every single episode of an ECOPD. One uncertainty relates to the need for antibiotics in mild and moderately severe ECOPD, especially in an outpatient setting.³³ While antibiotics for treatment of severe ECOPD have been shown to be beneficial in some studies, the need for antibiotics in less severe forms of COPD is unclear.³⁴ Uncertainty remains regarding the use of systemic (oral, intravenous) corticosteroids relate to whether all patients stand to benefit from this treatment of ECOPD.³⁴ These questions are important to address in view of trying to reduce prescriptions of antibiotics, where safely possible, to reduce potential adverse events including development of antibiotic resistance, and to reduce potentially significant adverse events from systemic corticosteroids, in particular hyperglycemia, in patients with glucose intolerance and diabetes.³⁵

Short-acting beta adrenergic agonists (SABAs) and short-acting muscarinic antagonists (SAMAs) are established treatments to relieve dyspnea and improve airflow obstruction during ECOPD, but the benefits of combining SABAs and SAMAs compared with using SABAs or SAMAs alone are unclear.³⁶ Long-acting bronchodilators and inhaled corticosteroids have historically only be used in stable COPD, but there is emerging evidence that an increase in dosage of inhalation therapy with inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting beta agonists (LABAs) may be beneficial in early treatment of ECOPD when patients experience mild to moderate dyspnea and may result in no requirement of systemic corticosteroids in a large proportion of patients presenting with mild-to-moderate worsening of dyspnea.³⁷ The benefit of using LABAs and long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMAs) in the treatment of manifest ECOPD is unclear. For antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids, the comparative effectiveness of different agents (e.g. broad-spectrum versus narrow-spectrum antibiotics), delivery modes and durations of treatment needs to be established.³⁸⁻⁴¹

In summary, determining the optimal treatment plan for patients with ECOPD requires 1) a synthesis of existing knowledge regarding the effectiveness of treatment options and 2) a synthesis of existing knowledge regarding the harms of treatment options. Currently, the

comparative benefits and harms of these varied treatment approaches including the optimal combination of these treatments to mitigate COPD exacerbation are unclear. A systematic review of current evidence assists clinicians in understanding and determining optimal management for ECOPD. This review focuses on evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) as the gold standard design for evaluating a therapeutic intervention. In terms of adverse events, they are also likely to be captured in RCTs because of the acute nature of condition being studied.

Scope and Key Questions

Scope of Review

The systematic review assessed the effectiveness of systemic antibiotics, systemic corticosteroids and other pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies stratified by severity of ECOPD. The study also evaluated the effectiveness of combinations of treatments, and compared different regimens (different agents, routes of administration, and duration of treatment) of antibiotics and corticosteroids. Health service interventions (e.g. hospital in the home as alternative to hospitalization) and interventions during the convalescence period were not included.

Key Questions

Key Question (KQ) 1. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of systemic corticosteroids and antibiotics compared with placebo or standard care?

KQ2. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of emerging and other pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies compared with placebo or standard care?

KQ3. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of combinations of treatments that are individually effective (based on empirical evidence in stable COPD)?

KQ4. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what is the comparative effectiveness of different regimens of antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids based on type of agents (e.g., broad-spectrum vs. narrow-spectrum antibiotics), delivery modes (e.g., intravenous, oral), and durations of treatment?

Methods

We developed an analytic framework to guide the process of the systematic review. We followed the established methodologies of systematic reviews as outlined in the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews.⁴² The reporting complies with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews

and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statements.⁴³ The study protocol is registered in the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO #: 42018111609) and published on the AHRQ website (<https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/topics/copd/protocol>). The full report details our literature search strategy, inclusion and exclusion criteria, data synthesis, assessments of risk of bias, and strength of evidence (SOE). We graded SOE for final health outcomes deemed to be most important or critical, including mortality, dyspnea, quality of life (QoL), need for intubation, repeat exacerbation and/or hospital readmissions and ECOPD resolution (clinical cure, failure). SOE was rated as high when we were very confident that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect (the body of evidence has few or no deficiencies and is judged to be stable). SOE was rated as moderate when we were moderately confident that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect (the body of evidence has some deficiencies and is judged to be likely stable). SOE was rated as low when we had limited confidence that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect (the body of evidence has major or numerous deficiencies and is likely unstable). SOE was rated as insufficient when we had no evidence, were unable to estimate an effect, or had no confidence in the estimate of effect.

Results

The literature search identified 8,916 citations. An additional 36 references were identified through reference mining, grey literature search; and from Key Informants and Technical Experts. There were 98 original studies with a total of 13,401 patients that met inclusion criteria and were included in the systematic review. Most studies were conducted in hospitalized patients with moderate to severe COPD. The mean treatment duration was 9.9 days and there was a mean 3.7 months of reported followup.

KQ1. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of systemic corticosteroids and antibiotics compared with placebo or standard care?

Systemic Antibiotics Versus Placebo or Management Without Systemic Antibiotics

Antibiotics increased clinical cure of ECOPD compared with placebo or management without antibiotics at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup (moderate SOE).

Antibiotics reduced clinical failure rate compared with placebo at the end of the intervention (moderate SOE), but not at the longest followup (Low SOE).

Antibiotics did not change 30-day hospital readmission, repeat exacerbation, and quality of life, compared with placebo (low SOE).

No statistically significant difference in adverse events (AEs) was observed between antibiotics and placebo or management without antibiotics.

Systemic Corticosteroids Versus Placebo or Management Without Systemic Corticosteroids

Systemic corticosteroids improved dyspnea (Low SOE) and reduced clinical failure rate (low SOE) at the end of the intervention, compared with placebo.

No statistically significant difference in serious adverse events was found between systemic corticosteroids and placebo or management without systemic corticosteroids. Systemic corticosteroids were associated with fewer withdrawals but more endocrine related adverse events.

KQ2. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of emerging and other pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies compared with placebo or standard care?

Pharmacologic Therapies Versus Placebo or Management Without Pharmacologic Therapies

The evidence was insufficient for the effect of aminophyllines, magnesium sulfate, mucolytics, inhaled corticosteroids, inhaled antibiotics, 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor and statins on mortality, dyspnea, need for intubation, clinical failure, or hospital admission.

Aminophyllines were associated with more gastrointestinal adverse events than placebo. No other statistically significant difference was found in adverse events between the remaining pharmacologic therapies and placebo or management without pharmacologic therapies.

Nonpharmacologic Therapies Versus Management Without Nonpharmacologic Therapies

Chest physiotherapy using vibration/percussion, breathing technique, or positive expiratory pressure did not improve dyspnea and other symptoms, quality of life, 6-minute walking distance, repeat exacerbations, or mortality (low SOE).

Resistance training improved dyspnea, and quality of life, compared with management without nonpharmacological therapies (low SOE).

Early pulmonary rehabilitation, commenced before hospital discharge during the initial most acute phase of exacerbation rather than the convalescence period, improved dyspnea, compared with management without rehabilitation (low SOE).

Whole body vibration training improved QoL compared with management without nonpharmacologic therapies (low SOE).

Titrated oxygen reduced mortality compared with high flow oxygen at the longest followup (low SOE).

Vitamin D supplementation improved quality of life compared with placebo (low SOE).

Omega-3 fatty acid enriched diet did not change quality of life, need for intubation, and dyspnea at the end of intervention compared with usual diet (low SOE).

Few adverse events were reported in studies of nonpharmacologic therapies. There was no statistically significant difference found in adverse events between nonpharmacologic therapies and management without nonpharmacologic therapies.

KQ3. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of combinations of treatments that are individually effective (based on empirical evidence in stable COPD)?

No statistically significant difference in adverse events was found between any of the combined treatments and individual treatments.

KQ4. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what is the comparative effectiveness of different regimens of antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids based on type of agents (e.g., broad-spectrum vs. narrow-spectrum antibiotics), delivery modes (e.g., intravenous, oral), and durations of treatment?

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Antibiotics

Numerous antibiotics, given as empirical initial therapy for ECOPD (in the absence of pneumonia), were compared against each other, but the evidence was insufficient to estimate an effect on final health outcomes; except that levofloxacin reduced repeat exacerbations at 3 months of followup, compared with prulifloxacin (low SOE).

The only differences in adverse events that were statistically significantly were for higher rates of adverse events with amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid compared with telithromycin; and for higher rate of adverse events with imipenem plus cilastatin compared with meropenem.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Dosages of the Same Antibiotic

The evidence comparing different dosages of the same antibiotic was insufficient for mortality, clinical cure and clinical failure.

No statistically significant difference in adverse events was found between trovafloxacin 200 milligrams (mg) and trovafloxacin 100 mg.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Application Routes for Antibiotics

No studies were found.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Durations of Treatment With Antibiotics

The evidence was insufficient when comparing 3 day versus 10 day regimens of amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid.

No statistically significant difference of AEs was found between 3 day and 10 day regimens of amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Corticosteroids

The evidence was insufficient when comparing the different corticosteroids for mortality, need for intubation, clinical failures, and dyspnea.

There was no statistically significant difference in AEs found between the different systemic corticosteroids.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Routes of Application for Corticosteroids

No difference between intravenous methylprednisolone and inhaled budesonide 40 mg was found in quality of life and repeat exacerbations (low SOE). The evidence was insufficient when comparing the different routes of administration of corticosteroids for mortality, dyspnea, quality of life, repeat exacerbation, clinical failures, hospital admission, and intensive care unit (ICU) admission.

Inhaled Budesonide 40 mg was associated with statistically significantly less endocrine-related AEs than methylprednisolone.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Durations of Treatment With Corticosteroids

The evidence was insufficient when comparing the different durations of corticosteroid treatment for mortality, hospital admission, need for intubation, clinical failure, quality of life, repeat exacerbation, and dyspnea.

There was no statistically significant difference found in AEs between the different systemic corticosteroid durations.

Discussion

We conducted a systematic review to assess the effectiveness of pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies in adults with ECOPD. We assessed the effectiveness of systemic antibiotics, systemic corticosteroids and emerging and other pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies stratified by severity of ECOPD. Further, we assessed the effectiveness of combinations of treatments, and we compared different regimens (different agents, routes of administration, and duration of treatment) of antibiotics and corticosteroids.

The majority of studies were conducted in hospitalized patients with moderate or severe ECOPD with only a small number of studies conducted in outpatients with mild or mild to moderate ECOPD.

Lung function was the most frequently assessed outcome, and often studies did not measure final health outcomes, such as mortality, resolution of exacerbation, hospital readmission etc., to allow for assessment of the correlation between this physiological surrogate outcome and final health outcome.

The findings of the systematic review highlight that in addition to standard therapy with antibiotics, systemic steroids and bronchodilators, some nonpharmacologic interventions hold promise for improving clinically important outcomes, in particular they might improve functional capacity and thus mitigate the deconditioning associated with ECOPD.

Findings in Relation to What Is Known

This review provides a comprehensive overview of pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions in ECOPD. The literature on interventions for COPD and ECOPD has proliferated substantially in recent years with numerous published systematic reviews on different interventions for the management of COPD. For clinicians, health policy makers and other end users of the evidence it has become an almost impossible task to keep up with the ever increasing body of evidence on the management of ECOPD. This review therefore addresses an urgent need

to provide an up-to-date summary of the current state of evidence for the management of ECOPD.

One of the main findings of this systematic review is that despite a proliferation of the COPD literature, the evidence base for most interventions in ECOPD remains low. While significant progress has been made in recent years in assessing interventions to prevent ECOPD (during stable COPD), the same cannot be said for acute interventions used during ECOPD.

For the standard therapy of ECOPD with systemic antibiotics, corticosteroids and bronchodilators, many questions remain unanswered, based on the findings of our review. While the discussion of COPD phenotypes (and ECOPD phenotypes) has taken center stage on the COPD research agenda, very limited information on ECOPD phenotypes (e.g. infective versus non-infective, high versus low eosinophil count) has been included in trials of intervention for ECOPD. In particular, whether a response to systemic corticosteroid treatment of ECOPD depends on the blood eosinophil level remains unexplored. Studies on inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) for prevention of ECOPD in stable COPD suggest that patients with higher blood eosinophil levels might be more likely to benefit from ICS treatment in terms of reducing the risk of ECOPD.⁴⁴

Despite the ubiquitous use of SABAs and SAMAs in ECOPD, we found only two studies (KQ3) that studied their effectiveness. The role of LABAs and LAMAs in ECOPD remains largely unexplored with only one crossover trial identified in our review that assessed a LAMA versus placebo.

An important insight from our systemic review is that some nonpharmacologic interventions (resistance training, early pulmonary rehabilitation, whole body vibration training transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, caloric supplementation, and vitamin D) show promise, but the current evidence is largely based on single, relatively small RCTs. In stable COPD, pulmonary rehabilitation is one of the most effective (though underused) interventions. In recent years, there has been a significant interest in exploring the effects of pulmonary rehabilitation in patients who have recently experienced an ECOPD or even in patients who are in the acute phase of ECOPD (e.g. before hospital discharge).

Our review indicated that pulmonary rehabilitation during ECOPD may increase functional capacity (based on 6-minute walking distance). A potential risk for increased mortality associated with pulmonary rehabilitation commenced during hospitalization for ECOPD has previously been flagged in the guidelines on management of COPD exacerbations by the European Respiratory Society and the American Thoracic Society, published in 2017.⁴⁵ We did not find a significant association with increased mortality for pulmonary rehabilitation or any form of exercise commenced during hospitalization. Our review did not include studies conducted in an ICU, chronic ventilator unit, or respiratory care unit, which might have contributed to the discrepancy in the findings. Also, a trial of rehabilitation commenced within 48 hours of hospital admission in 389 patients with exacerbations of different chronic respiratory conditions found an increase in mortality in the intervention group at one year (odds ratio: 1.74, 95% confidence interval: 1.05 to 2.88).⁴⁶ Mortality was, however, not reported in the subgroup of patients with COPD and is therefore not included in our review. Given the potential of exercise programs during hospitalization for ECOPD to ameliorate deconditioning and improve functional status, further research in this area is urgently needed. Other nonpharmacologic interventions during ECOPD that may improve functional capacity included resistance training, whole body vibration training and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. As these findings were based on single, relatively small studies, evidence from well-conducted large RCTs will be

required to confirm these findings. Similarly, caloric supplementation and vitamin D may improve quality of life in patients with ECOPD, but confirmation from well-conducted large RCTs is required before any definite conclusions can be drawn.

Limitations

For most interventions, only one RCT was available per outcome (KQ1-4), which limits inferences from the quantitative synthesis. Failure to detect statistical significance for most of the outcomes may have resulted from type II error. There was some heterogeneity in the definition of the severity of ECOPD, although in general mild ECOPD referred to patients that could be treated in an outpatient setting, whereas moderate to severe ECOPD was used for hospitalized patients. A number of studies included patients assessed in an emergency department with a broad range of severity of ECOPD. We used the definition of serious AEs listed by the original studies, which could have varied between studies.

Defining resolution of ECOPD and differentiating poor resolution from re-exacerbation can be challenging. We used outcomes as described in the original studies, which might have resulted in heterogeneity of definitions of ECOPD resolution and overlap between clinical failure and re-exacerbation between studies.

Very limited information on ECOPD phenotypes (e.g. infective versus non-infective, high versus low eosinophil count) has been included in trials of intervention. We could therefore not draw any conclusions about interventions for different ECOPD phenotypes. In particular, whether a response to systemic corticosteroids depends on the blood eosinophil levels remains unexplored.

Studies were overall at high risk of bias. This, together with the low number of studies per intervention/outcome, makes interpretation of the body of evidence challenging. We were unable to statistically evaluate publication bias and only included studies published in English. An evaluation of completed clinical trials registered in clinicaltrials.gov showed that 62 percent (24 out of 39) studies were not published.

Applicability

Most studies were conducted in hospitalized patients with moderate to severe ECOPD, and the results of these studies may not be applicable to patients with milder forms of ECOPD treated in an outpatient setting. KQ1 and KQ2 were stratified by severity of ECOPD, which allows determination of the generalizability of the results based on the severity of ECOPD. For KQ2, almost all studies were conducted in hospitalized patients. As we excluded studies conducted in an ICU setting, some of our findings may not be extrapolated to the most severely sick patients who require ICU admission for ECOPD.

The results of comparisons of different antibiotic agents/classes are context-specific, as the optimal antibiotic choice depends on local antimicrobial resistance patterns, which can change over time. The results of these comparisons (KQ4) are therefore not necessarily applicable to patients in different geographic locations and at different points in time.

COPD terminology has not been used consistently in the past with some older studies referring to chronic bronchitis without airflow obstruction as COPD. We excluded studies in patients with chronic bronchitis but no evidence of chronic airflow obstruction to increase applicability of the results to patients with chronic airflow obstruction.

Not all studies explicitly excluded patients with potential asthma or asthma-COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS), and there is therefore a potential for misclassification.

Pulmonary rehabilitation is a complex (multi-component) intervention, which consists of exercise training, patient education and behavior change. The detailed interventions for pulmonary rehabilitation were reported in the included studies, which should facilitate reproducibility and applicability. While there are published standards for pulmonary rehabilitation programs,⁴⁷ these have been developed in the context of pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with stable COPD (as opposed to patients with ECOPD).

Suggestions for Future Research

Lung function (forced expiratory volume in 1 second) was the most commonly assessed outcome in studies of interventions to manage ECOPD, while final health outcomes, such as resolution of ECOPD (clinical cure, clinical failure) and repeat exacerbation (with or without hospital admission), were rarely assessed. Future studies in ECOPD should focus on final health outcomes and include clinical resolution of ECOPD and risk of repeat exacerbation in addition to other final health outcomes, such as dyspnea and quality of life.

The response to antibiotic therapy as well as corticosteroid therapy in ECOPD likely differs based on the phenotype of the exacerbation episode. A number of studies that used pro-calcitonin-guided treatment algorithms have been conducted on antibiotic therapy versus placebo in ECOPD,⁴⁸ but identification of responders to systemic corticosteroid treatment of ECOPD based on blood eosinophils remains unexplored. This contrasts with the increasing recognition of eosinophilic phenotypes in stable COPD patients who appear to be more likely to benefit from long-term ICS.⁴⁴ Future studies on systemic corticosteroids in ECOPD should assess the treatment effect stratified by blood eosinophil count.

Chest physiotherapy using breathing technique and/or vibration/percussions and/or positive expiratory pressure is commonly prescribed in patients hospitalized for ECOPD, but there was insufficient evidence (from relatively small, low quality trials) that these interventions improve outcomes. As these are resource-intensive interventions, large well-designed trials with final health outcomes including clinical resolution of ECOPD and repeat exacerbations should be conducted to assess the role of chest physiotherapy for airway clearance in ECOPD and inform clinical practice.

It is currently unclear whether pulmonary rehabilitation commenced during hospitalization for ECOPD is associated with increased mortality. An increased mortality was found in the review conducted for the guidelines on management of COPD exacerbations by the European Respiratory Society and the American Thoracic Society but was not found in our systematic review. Given the potential benefit of pulmonary rehabilitation to counteract the deconditioning associated with ECOPD, we believe that conducting high-quality RCTs to answer this question should be a priority.

The relatively new treatment options of whole body vibration, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), dietary interventions with caloric supplements and vitamin D need to be assessed in large high quality RCTs to inform recommendations about these treatments. Such literature (e.g., on vitamin D) is notorious for contradictory findings over time.

Further research is required to determine the optimal route of administration for systemic corticosteroids, i.e. to determine whether oral corticosteroids are generally not inferior to intravenous corticosteroids and to determine a potential role of inhaled corticosteroids (possibly as alternative to systemic corticosteroids) in ECOPD.

Patients hospitalized with COPD exacerbations are at high risk for hospital readmissions and death after hospital discharge, which emphasizes the importance of improving the hospital-to-

home continuum of care. Our systematic review only focused on the acute episode of an exacerbation and did not include health service interventions, but there is an urgent need for research that assesses interventions to reduce the risk of adverse outcomes following hospital discharge. Much of the recent debate on adverse outcomes following hospital discharge has focused on reducing 30-day hospital readmissions in ECOPD, as the Medicare's Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program (HRRP) lowered payments to Inpatient Prospective Payment System hospitals with too many readmissions within 30 days. Recent evidence, however, showed that implementation of the HRRP was associated with a significant increase in trends in 30-day post-discharge mortality among patients hospitalized for heart failure and pneumonia.⁴⁹ It is therefore evident that future research that aims to improve post-hospital discharge for any disease with frequent hospital readmissions including ECOPD should not focus on reducing 30-day hospital admissions in isolation but only in conjunction with final health outcomes such as QoL and mortality.

Conclusion

Despite a proliferation of the COPD literature, the evidence base for most interventions in ECOPD remains limited. Systemic antibiotics and corticosteroids are associated with improved outcomes in mild and moderate to severe ECOPD. Titrated oxygen reduces mortality. Future research is required to assess the effectiveness of several emerging nonpharmacologic and dietary treatments.

References

1. Adeloje D, Chua S, Lee C, et al. Global and regional estimates of COPD prevalence: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Glob Health*. 2015 Dec;5(2):020415. doi: 10.7189/jogh.05-020415. PMID: 26755942.
2. Murphy SL, Xu J, Kochanek KD, et al. Mortality in the United States, 2017. *NCHS Data Brief*. 2018 Nov(328):1-8. PMID: 30500322.
3. Kochanek KD, Murphy SL, Xu J, et al. Deaths: Final Data for 2014. *Natl Vital Stat Rep*. 2016 Jun;65(4):1-122. PMID: 27378572.
4. Ford ES, Murphy LB, Khavjou O, et al. Total and state-specific medical and absenteeism costs of COPD among adults aged ≥ 18 years in the United States for 2010 and projections through 2020. *Chest*. 2015 Jan;147(1):31-45. doi: 10.1378/chest.14-0972. PMID: 25058738.
5. Disease GifCOL. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. 2019.
6. Anthonisen NR, Manfreda J, Warren CP, et al. Antibiotic therapy in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1987 Feb;106(2):196-204. PMID: 3492164.
7. Connors AF, Jr., Dawson NV, Thomas C, et al. Outcomes following acute exacerbation of severe chronic obstructive lung disease. The SUPPORT investigators (Study to Understand Prognoses and Preferences for Outcomes and Risks of Treatments). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1996 Oct;154(4 Pt 1):959-67. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.154.4.8887592. PMID: 8887592.
8. Seemungal TA, Donaldson GC, Paul EA, et al. Effect of exacerbation on quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1998 May;157(5 Pt 1):1418-22. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.157.5.9709032. PMID: 9603117.
9. Miravittles M, Murio C, Guerrero T, et al. Pharmacoeconomic evaluation of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis and COPD. *Chest*. 2002 May;121(5):1449-55. PMID: 12006427.
10. Miravittles M, Garcia-Polo C, Domenech A, et al. Clinical outcomes and cost analysis of exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Lung*. 2013 Oct;191(5):523-30. doi: 10.1007/s00408-013-9487-z. PMID: 23842641.
11. Seemungal TA, Donaldson GC, Bhowmik A, et al. Time course and recovery of exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2000 May;161(5):1608-13. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.161.5.9908022. PMID: 10806163.
12. Donaldson GC, Seemungal TA, Bhowmik A, et al. Relationship between exacerbation frequency and lung function decline in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax*. 2002 Oct;57(10):847-52. PMID: 12324669.
13. Doll H, Miravittles M. Health-related QOL in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a review of the literature. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2005;23(4):345-63. PMID: 15853435.
14. Patil SP, Krishnan JA, Lechtzin N, et al. In-hospital mortality following acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003 May 26;163(10):1180-6. doi: 10.1001/archinte.163.10.1180. PMID: 12767954.
15. Wouters EF. Economic analysis of the Confronting COPD survey: an overview of results. *Respir Med*. 2003 Mar;97 Suppl C:S3-14. PMID: 12647938.
16. Aaron SD. Management and prevention of exacerbations of COPD. *Bmj*. 2014 Sep 22;349:g5237. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g5237. PMID: 25245156.

17. Welte T. Acute exacerbation in COPD: we must do more. *Eur Respir J*. 2016 Jan;47(1):14-5. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01981-2015. PMID: 26721956.
18. Mackay AJ, Hurst JR. COPD exacerbations: causes, prevention, and treatment. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am*. 2013 Feb;33(1):95-115. doi: 10.1016/j.iac.2012.10.006. PMID: 23337067.
19. Calverley P. Current drug treatment, chronic and acute. *Clin Chest Med*. 2014 Mar;35(1):177-89. doi: 10.1016/j.ccm.2013.09.009. PMID: 24507845.
20. Cabrini L, Landoni G, Oriani A, et al. Noninvasive ventilation and survival in acute care settings: a comprehensive systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Crit Care Med*. 2015 Apr;43(4):880-8. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000000819. PMID: 25565461.
21. Barjaktarevic IZ, Arredondo AF, Cooper CB. Positioning new pharmacotherapies for COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2015;10:1427-42. doi: 10.2147/COPD.S83758. PMID: 26244017.
22. Poole PJ. Role of mucolytics in the management of COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2006;1(2):123-8. PMID: 18046889.
23. Poole P, Black PN, Cates CJ. Mucolytic agents for chronic bronchitis or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2012 Aug 15(8):CD001287. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001287.pub4>. PMID: 22895919.
24. Wijesinghe M, Perrin K, Healy B, et al. Pre-hospital oxygen therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Intern Med J*. 2011 Aug;41(8):618-22. doi: 10.1111/j.1445-5994.2010.02207.x. PMID: 20214690.
25. Austin MA, Wills KE, Blizzard L, et al. Effect of high flow oxygen on mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients in prehospital setting: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2010 Oct 18;341:c5462. PMID: 20959284.
26. O'Driscoll BR, Howard LS, Earis J, et al. British Thoracic Society Guideline for oxygen use in adults in healthcare and emergency settings. *BMJ Open Respir Res*. 2017;4(1):e000170. doi: 10.1136/bmjresp-2016-000170. PMID: 28883921.
27. Holland AE. Physiotherapy management of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Physiother*. 2014 Dec;60(4):181-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2014.08.018. PMID: 25450482.
28. Spencer L. Pulmonary rehabilitation for patients with acute chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations: is the evidence strengthening? *Curr Opin Pulm Med*. 2018 Mar;24(2):147-51. doi: 10.1097/mcp.0000000000000453. PMID: 29210749.
29. Osadnik CR, McDonald CF, Jones AP, et al. Airway clearance techniques for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012 Mar 14(3):CD008328. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008328.pub2. PMID: 22419331.
30. Creutzberg EC, Schols AM, Bothmer-Quaedvlieg FC, et al. Prevalence of an elevated resting energy expenditure in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in relation to body composition and lung function. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 1998 Jun;52(6):396-401. PMID: 9683390.
31. Law S, Kumar P, Woods S, et al. Malnutrition screening in patients admitted to hospital with an exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and its association with patient outcomes. *Hosp Pract (1995)*. 2016 Oct;44(4):207-12. doi: 10.1080/21548331.2016.1224007. PMID: 27560297.
32. Calder PC, Laviano A, Lonnqvist F, et al. Targeted medical nutrition for cachexia in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2018 Feb;9(1):28-40. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12228. PMID: 28891198.

33. Manalan K, Rashid T, Singanayagam A. Antibiotic treatment in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Recent trial results. *Clinical Investigation*. 2015 01 Feb;5(2):189-204. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4155/cli.14.113>. PMID: 602447476.
34. Laue J, Reiherth E, Melbye H. When should acute exacerbations of COPD be treated with systemic corticosteroids and antibiotics in primary care: a systematic review of current COPD guidelines. *NPJ Prim Care Respir Med*. 2015 Feb 19;25:15002. doi: 10.1038/npjpcrm.2015.2. PMID: 25695630.
35. Baker EH, Bell D. Blood glucose: of emerging importance in COPD exacerbations. *Thorax*. 2009 Oct;64(10):830-2. doi: 10.1136/thx.2009.118638. PMID: 19786710.
36. Qureshi H, Sharafkhaneh A, Hanania NA. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations: latest evidence and clinical implications. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis*. 2014 Sep;5(5):212-27. doi: 10.1177/2040622314532862. PMID: 25177479.
37. Bourbeau J, Seden MF, Metz K, et al. Early COPD Exacerbation Treatment with Combination of ICS and LABA for Patients Presenting with Mild-to-Moderate Worsening of Dyspnea. *Copd*. 2016 Aug;13(4):439-47. doi: 10.3109/15412555.2015.1101435. PMID: 26752024.
38. Woods JA, Wheeler JS, Finch CK, et al. Corticosteroids in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2014;9:421-30. doi: 10.2147/copd.s51012. PMID: 24833897.
39. Bonilla Arcos D, Krishnan JA, Vandivier RW, et al. High-Dose Versus Low-Dose Systemic Steroids in the Treatment of Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Systematic Review. *Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases*. 2016 Feb 17;3(2):580-8. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.15326/jcopdf.3.2.2015.0178>. PMID: 28848882.
40. Walters JA, Tan DJ, White CJ, et al. Different durations of corticosteroid therapy for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018 Mar 19;3:Cd006897. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006897.pub4. PMID: 29553157.
41. Zhang HL, Tan M, Qiu AM, et al. Antibiotics for treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a network meta-analysis. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2017 Dec 12;17(1):196. doi: 10.1186/s12890-017-0541-0. PMID: 29233130.
42. Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. AHRQ Publication No. 10(14)-EHC063-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; January 2014. Chapters available at: www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov.
43. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009 Jul 21;6(7):e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097. PMID: 19621072.
44. Barnes NC, Sharma R, Lettis S, et al. Blood eosinophils as a marker of response to inhaled corticosteroids in COPD. *Eur Respir J*. 2016 May;47(5):1374-82. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01370-2015. PMID: 26917606.
45. Wedzicha JA, Miravittles M, Hurst JR, et al. Management of COPD exacerbations: A European respiratory society/American thoracic society guideline. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2017;49(3):1600791.
46. Greening NJ, Williams JEA, Hussain SF, et al. An early rehabilitation intervention to enhance recovery during hospital admission for an exacerbation of chronic respiratory disease: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*. 2014;349:g4315. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g4315.

47. Spruit MA, Singh SJ, Garvey C, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: key concepts and advances in pulmonary rehabilitation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013 Oct 15;188(8):e13-64. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201309-1634ST. PMID: 24127811.
48. Mathioudakis AG, Chatzimavridou-Grigoriadou V, Corlateanu A, et al. Procalcitonin to guide antibiotic administration in COPD exacerbations: a meta-analysis. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2017 Jan;26(143). doi: 10.1183/16000617.0073-2016. PMID: 28143877.
49. Wadhera RK, Joynt Maddox KE, Wasfy JH, et al. Association of the Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program With Mortality Among Medicare Beneficiaries Hospitalized for Heart Failure, Acute Myocardial Infarction, and Pneumonia. *JAMA*. 2018 Dec 25;320(24):2542-52. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.19232. PMID: 3057588

Introduction

Background

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a common respiratory disease characterized by airflow limitation and chronic respiratory symptoms. The global prevalence is estimated to be greater than 10 percent, impacting approximately 380 million people worldwide.¹ In the United States, COPD affects approximately 15 million people; chronic lower respiratory diseases, of which COPD is the largest contributing condition, are the fourth leading cause of death;² and COPD costs more than \$32 billion annually.^{3, 4} Patients with COPD experience chronic respiratory symptoms (including shortness of breath and cough), have decreased quality of life, and premature mortality.

Patients with COPD are at risk of experiencing exacerbations of COPD (ECOPD). There have been various definitions of what constitutes an ECOPD. The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) defines ECOPD in its 2019 report as “acute worsening of respiratory symptoms that result in additional therapy.”⁵ ECOPD is generally characterized by increased dyspnea, increased frequency and severity of cough, and/or increased sputum production.⁶ ECOPD is a leading independent cause of increased mortality and morbidity among patients with COPD. ECOPD is associated with a higher risk of dying during or shortly after the exacerbation, lower quality of life, hospital admission, depletion of financial resources, and a progressive decline in lung function.⁷⁻¹⁴ Hospitalizations for ECOPD account for more than half of all costs associated with COPD.^{10, 15}

In recent years, a number of clinical trials of treatments to prevent ECOPD have shown promising results, but the evidence for acute treatments during an episode of ECOPD appears to be surprisingly scarce, given how relatively common the condition is.^{16, 17}

Goals of management of ECOPD include relieving symptoms and hastening the recovery from ECOPD by addressing precipitating factors (e.g. antibiotic treatment for infections), improving expiratory airflow and gas exchange (and thus improving breathing) by using inhaled bronchodilators, and reducing lung inflammation with corticosteroids. Nonpharmacologic treatments include supplemental oxygen, nutritional support, and others.¹⁸⁻²⁰ In addition, several new pharmacologic agents with novel mechanisms of action in early stages of development may be of potential benefit to COPD patients including those in acute exacerbation.²¹

The area of ECOPD management has several uncertainties and necessitates an up to date evidence synthesis. These uncertainties include the benefits and harms of emerging pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic treatments, the benefits and harms of treatments for ECOPD that have been found efficacious in stable COPD, the benefits and harms of antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids in mild ECOPD, the benefits and harms of combinations of treatments that have been found to be individually effective, and for antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids the comparative effectiveness of different types of agents (e.g. broad-spectrum versus narrow-spectrum antibiotics), delivery modes (e.g. intravenous, oral), and durations of treatment.

Examples of potentially emerging treatments for ECOPD, include immune-modulatory drugs and novel applications of treatments primarily used in stable COPD including mucolytics, aminophyllines, long-acting bronchodilators, inhaled corticosteroids, and others. Mucolytics

appear to have a small effect on reducing the frequency of ECOPD.^{22, 23} In clinical practice, they are also used during an ECOPD, where the evidence for their effectiveness appears less clear.

For nonpharmacological treatments there are a number of areas in which an update of the evidence is required to inform best practice management of ECOPD. There is increasing recognition that too much oxygen might do more harm than good, and not just in patients with chronic hypercapnic respiratory who are at risk of iatrogenic worsening of respiratory failure due to oversupply of oxygen.^{24, 25} Titrated oxygen with a target saturation rate as opposed to high flow oxygen has therefore been used in patients with ECOPD.²⁶ Historically, pulmonary rehabilitation programs have focused on enrolling patients with stable COPD or patients who had stabilized after an episode of ECOPD, but in more recent times, a number of trials have explored the role of exercise/early pulmonary rehabilitation during an episode of ECOPD.^{27, 28} Chest physiotherapy using airway clearance techniques (including breathing technique, vibration/percussion, and autogenic drainage) are used routinely in many hospitalized patients with ECOPD. A Cochrane review published in 2012 found evidence that airway clearance techniques may reduce the need for hospital admission and improve health-related quality of life based on single-center studies with small study populations.²⁹ An update of this evidence is indicated. Furthermore, many patients with COPD are in a state of hyper-metabolism in which their body consumes more calories per kilogram on calorimetric measures compared with a person without COPD, likely because of the increased work of breathing.³⁰ This hyper-metabolic state is even more pronounced during episodes of ECOPD, posing questions about the optimal nutritional support for patients with ECOPD.^{31, 32}

Established treatments for ECOPD, such as antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids, may not be indicated in every single episode of an ECOPD. One uncertainty relates to the need for antibiotics in mild and moderately severe ECOPD, especially in an outpatient setting.³³ While antibiotics for treatment of severe ECOPD have been shown to be beneficial in some studies, the need for antibiotics in less severe forms of COPD is unclear.³⁴ Uncertainty remains regarding the use of systemic (oral, intravenous) corticosteroids relate to whether all patients stand to benefit from this treatment of ECOPD.³⁴ These questions are important to address in view of trying to reduce prescriptions of antibiotics, where safely possible, to reduce potential adverse events including development of antibiotic resistance, and to reduce potentially significant adverse events from systemic corticosteroids, in particular hyperglycemia, in patients with glucose intolerance and diabetes.³⁵

Short-acting beta adrenergic agonists (SABAs) and short-acting muscarinic antagonists (SAMAs) are established treatments to relieve dyspnea and improve airflow obstruction during ECOPD, but the benefits of combining SABAs and SAMAs compared with using SABAs or SAMAs alone are unclear.³⁶ Long-acting bronchodilators and inhaled corticosteroids have historically only be used in stable COPD, but there is emerging evidence that an increase in dosage of inhalation therapy with inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting beta agonists (LABAs) may be beneficial in early treatment of ECOPD when patients experience mild to moderate dyspnea and may result in no requirement of systemic corticosteroids in a large proportion of patients presenting with mild-to-moderate worsening of dyspnea.³⁷ The benefit of using LABAs and long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMAs) in the treatment of manifest ECOPD is unclear. For antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids, the comparative effectiveness of different agents (e.g. broad-spectrum versus narrow-spectrum antibiotics), delivery modes and durations of treatment needs to be established.³⁸⁻⁴¹

In summary, determining the optimal treatment plan for patients with ECOPD requires 1) a synthesis of existing knowledge regarding the effectiveness of treatment options and 2) a synthesis of existing knowledge regarding the harms of treatment options. Currently, the comparative benefits and harms of these varied treatment approaches including the optimal combination of these treatments to mitigate COPD exacerbation are unclear. A systematic review of current evidence assists clinicians in understanding and determining optimal management for ECOPD. This review focuses on evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) as the gold standard design for evaluating a therapeutic intervention. In terms of adverse events, they are also likely to be captured in RCTs because of the acute nature of condition being studied.

Key Questions

The following Key Questions (KQs) were determined based on input from multiple key informants, and the public (drafted KQs were posted for public comment from January 26, 2018, to February 16, 2018). The related PICOTS (population, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, timing, and setting) are listed in Table 1.

KQ1. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of systemic corticosteroids and antibiotics compared with placebo or standard care?

KQ2. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of emerging and other pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies compared with placebo or standard care?

KQ3. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of combinations of treatments that are individually effective (based on empirical evidence in stable COPD)?

KQ4. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what is the comparative effectiveness of different regimens of antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids based on type of agents (e.g., broad-spectrum vs. narrow-spectrum antibiotics), delivery modes (e.g., intravenous, oral), and durations of treatment?

Methods

We developed an analytic framework to guide the process of the systematic review (Figure 1). We followed the established methodologies of systematic reviews as outlined in the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews.⁴² The reporting complies with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statements.⁴³ The study protocol is registered in the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO #: 42018111609) and published on the AHRQ website (<https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/topics/copd/protocol>).

Figure 1. Analytic framework for Key Questions 1, 2, 3, and 4

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory value in 1 second; ICU = intensive care unit

Literature Search Strategy

Search Strategy

We conducted a comprehensive database search, including Embase, Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, MEDLINE Daily, MEDLINE, Cochrane Central Registrar of Controlled Trials, Ovid Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and Scopus from database inception to January 2, 2019. We searched Food and Drug Administration, ClinicalTrials.gov, Health Canada, Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), AHRQ's Horizon Scanning System, conference proceedings, patient advocate group websites, and medical society websites. Reference mining of relevant publications, completed trials identified through ClinicalTrials.gov, relevant systematic reviews, and meta-analysis was used to identify additional existing and new literature. The search strategy was developed by an experienced medical librarian and further peer-reviewed by an independent information

specialist. The same librarian conducted the literature search. The detailed search strategy is listed in Appendix B.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The eligible studies had to meet all of the following criteria: 1) adult 18 years and older with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (ECOPD); 2) received pharmacologic intervention or nonpharmacologic interventions; 3) compared with placebo, standard care, for antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids: different types of agents, different delivery modes, and different durations of treatments; 4) reported outcomes of interest; 5) conducted in outpatient, inpatients, and emergency department; 6) randomized controlled trials (RCTs); and 7) published in English. We excluded studies conducted in the intensive care unit, or chronic ventilator unit or respiratory care unit; studies of patients with exacerbation of chronic bronchitis if they did not have any evidence of airflow limitation on spirometry (at any time, including during a stable state); and studies of health service interventions (e.g. hospital in the home as alternative to hospitalization). We focused only on interventions during the initial acute phase of an exacerbation of COPD and not during the convalescence period. We did not restrict study location or sample size. The detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in Table 1.

All outcomes were final health outcomes except for the intermediate outcome, “forced expiratory volume in one second” (FEV1). FEV1 was included because it is a commonly used outcome in COPD studies and has been shown to be highly predictive of final health outcomes during ECOPD (including mortality, need for intubation, or hospital admission for COPD).⁵⁰

Table 1. PICOTS (population, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, timing, and setting)

PICOTS Elements	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Populations	Patients with exacerbation of COPD Adults 18 years and older	Animals Children (age < 18 years) Patients with stable COPD not in a current exacerbation
Interventions	<p>KQ 1,4: Antibiotics Systemic corticosteroids</p> <p>KQ 2,3; Pharmacologic interventions include: Beta adrenergic agonists Anticholinergic agents Glucocorticoid therapy Antibiotics Mucolytics PDE4 Inhibitors Opioids Benzodiazepines Aminophyllines Magnesium sulfate Immune-modifying therapies Combinations of the above</p> <p>KQ 2,3; Nonpharmacologic interventions include: Oxygen therapy Early pulmonary rehabilitation/exercise Chest physiotherapy Nutritional support Whole body vibration Neuromuscular electrical stimulation Combinations of the above</p>	<p>Invasive and noninvasive mechanical ventilations Complementary and alternative medicine interventions Investigational drugs and drugs that are only available outside of the U.S. Interventions to prevent future COPD exacerbations</p>
Comparators	<p>KQ 1: Placebo or standard care KQ 2: Placebo or standard care KQ 3: Placebo, standard care or active individual intervention KQ 4: Different types of antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids, different delivery modes and durations of treatment</p>	None
Outcomes	<p>Intermediate outcomes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Symptom scores • FEV1 <p>Final health outcomes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolution of exacerbation/treatment failure; • Repeat exacerbations; • Mortality; • Quality of life; • Hospital admission; • ICU admission; • Physical capacity (timed walking tests, endurance tests) • Number of intubations 	None

PICOTS Elements	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Timing	All	None
Settings	Outpatient, hospital, emergency department	ICU, chronic ventilator unit or respiratory care unit (RCU)
Study design	Original data Any sample size RCTs Relevant systematic reviews, or meta-analyses (used for identifying additional studies)	<i>In vitro</i> studies Non-original data (e.g. narrative reviews, editorials, letters, or erratum) Observational studies Case series Qualitative studies Cost-benefit analysis Cross-sectional (i.e., non-longitudinal) studies Before-after studies Survey
Publications	Studies published in English only.	Foreign language studies

Abbreviations: COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = Forced Expiratory Volume in One Second; ICU = intensive care unit; KQ = Key Question; PICOTS = population, interventions, comparators, outcomes, timing, and settings; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Study Selection

Independent reviewers, working in pairs, screened the titles and abstracts of all references. Studies included by either reviewer were retrieved for full-text screening. Independent reviewers, working in pairs, screened the full-text version of eligible references. Discrepancies between the reviewers were resolved through discussions and consensus.

Data Abstraction and Data Management

We developed a standardized data extraction form to extract study characteristics (author, study design, inclusion and exclusion criteria, patient characteristics, intervention, comparisons, outcomes, and related items for assessing study quality and applicability). The standardized form was pilot-tested by all study team members using five randomly selected studies. Reviewers worked independently to extract study details. A third reviewer reviewed data extraction, and resolve conflicts.

Assessment of the Risk of Bias of Individual Studies

We evaluated the risk of bias of the included study using the Cochrane Collaboration's Risk of Bias tool to assess sequence generation; allocation concealment; participant, personnel, and outcome assessor blinding; attrition bias; incomplete outcome data; selective outcome reporting; and other sources of bias.⁵¹

Data Synthesis

We summarized key features/characteristics (e.g. patient characteristics, intervention, comparison, outcomes, and conclusions) of the included studies and present in the tables by Key Questions (KQs). Table 2 presents the rules we used to categorize the severity of exacerbation.

Table 2. Categories of severity of exacerbation

Severity of Exacerbations	Rules
Mild	Outpatient treatment, possibly ED visit, but less likely than for moderate ECOPD OR classification as mild by the authors of the original study
Moderate	Requiring ED visit or hospitalization, but no mention of ventilatory failure (respiratory acidosis)/subsequent ICU admissions OR classification as moderate by the authors of the original study (including outpatients)
Severe	Requiring hospitalization, ventilatory failure (respiratory acidosis) and/or substantial rates of subsequent ICU admissions and deaths (studies with main location in ICU were, however, excluded) OR classification as severe by the authors of the original study

ECOPD = exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ED = emergency department; ICU = intensive care unit

Table 3 lists the categories of adverse events and examples. Mortality was reported as a primary effectiveness outcome and not reported as serious adverse events in this review. We used the definition of serious adverse events listed by the original studies.

Table 3. Categories of adverse events

Type of Adverse Events	Example
Allergy and Immunology Adverse Event	Dermatitis
Cardiovascular Adverse Event	Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, atrial fibrillation, palpitations, arrhythmia, symptomatic sinus, tachycardia
Dermatological Adverse event	Rash, urticaria, exanthema, pruritus
Ear, Nose and Throat Adverse Event	Transient episode of vocal cord dysfunction
Endocrine Adverse Event	Hyperglycemia, metabolism and nutrition disorders
Gastrointestinal Adverse Event	Gastrointestinal bleeding, diarrhea, nausea, stomachache, epigastric pain, heartburn, vomiting, constipation
General Internal Medicine Adverse Event	Facial puffiness, dizziness, new or worse hypertension, fatigue, chills, insomnia, flushing, confusion, fever
Hepatic Adverse Event	Increased aspartate aminotransferase
Infectious (Non-Respiratory) Adverse Event	Vaginitis, urinary tract infection, influenza
Musculoskeletal Adverse Event	Muscle cramps, myalgia, tendonitis, rigors, musculoskeletal pain, muscle soreness
Neurological Adverse Event	Tremor, headache, seizure, rigors
Ocular Adverse Event	Blurred vision
Oncological Adverse Event	Classified as malignancy-related AEs by authors of the original study
Psychiatric Adverse Event	Mood change, psychosis, nervousness
Respiratory Adverse Event	Shortness of breath, respiratory acidosis, requiring noninvasive mechanical ventilation, dyspnea, bronchitis, wheezing, pneumothorax and pneumomediastinum, pneumonia, worsening exacerbation of COPD, bronchospasm
Urogenital Adverse Event	Hematuria

AEs = adverse events; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

For outcome definitions, we defined as a resolution or clinical cure of ECOPD a complete improvement of clinical signs and symptoms, and as a clinical failure the lack of a significant improvement of clinical signs and symptoms and/or the requirement for additional or alternate treatment for an ECOPD. A repeat exacerbation (or repeat exacerbation) was defined as a new ECOPD following the initial ECOPD. For dyspnea, we differentiated between dyspnea measured with a numeric scale that represented different severity levels of breathlessness (e.g. visual

analogue scale or Borg scale) and dyspnea based on a questionnaire that assessed the functional limitation caused by dyspnea (e.g. medical research council dyspnea scale).

For crossover RCTs, we chose to qualitatively synthesize outcomes (i.e., not included in meta-analyses), as the included crossover RCTs suffered reporting and methodological issues, such as missing data, failure to control within-individual difference, and inhibited pooling with other studies.⁵²

For other RCTs, we conducted meta-analyses to quantitatively summarize study findings. All statistical analyses were based on the intention-to-treat principle. Odds ratio and corresponding 95 percent confidence intervals were extracted or calculated for binary outcomes. For continuous outcomes, we calculated standardized mean difference when different measures for the same outcome were reported (e.g. St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire and 36-Item Short Form Survey (SF-36) for quality of life) and converted the direction of all measures (e.g. higher score represents better outcome). We calculated weighted mean difference when the included studies used the same outcome measure. For adverse events, we calculated rate ratio (i.e. ratio of the incidence rate of events within a given time between the intervention and the comparison).

For most of the outcomes, we extracted and pooled effect size by the end of the intervention and at the longest followup. For repeat exacerbation, we extracted at the end of intervention, 1-month, 3 month, 6-month, 12-month, and longest followup, which were commonly used followup time points in studies that measured exacerbations. For hospital admission, we extracted 30-day admission and at the longest followup. Thirty-day hospital admissions were chosen because hospital admissions within 30 days of an index hospitalization count as a readmission in Medicare's Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program, which lowers payments to Inpatient Prospective Payment System hospitals with too many readmissions.⁵³

We used the DerSimonian and Laird (D-L) random effect method to combine direct comparisons between treatments if the number of studies included in the analysis was larger than 3.⁵⁴ The D-L method was chosen over alternative methods, such as the D-L with the Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman variance correction (HKSJ) method and the profile likelihood (PL) method, because, when heterogeneity between the studies are low, the D-L method performs as well as alternative methods and the HKSJ method and PL method suffer methodological issues.⁵⁵⁻⁶² The HKSJ method and the PL method were used as sensitivity analyses for the D-L method (Appendix Table J.1.). When the number of studies was 3 or less, we used the fixed effect method based on the Mantel and Haenszel method due to instability of between-study variance.⁶³ We evaluated heterogeneity between studies using I^2 indicator. We were unable to use statistical methods to evaluate potential publication bias as the number of studies included in a direct comparison was small ($n < 20$).⁶⁴ All statistical analyses were conducted using Stata/SE version 15.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX).

Grading the Strength of Evidence (SOE) for Major Comparisons and Outcomes

We graded the strength of evidence (SOE) as per the AHRQ Methods Guide on assessing SOE.⁴² We graded SOE for most important or critical health outcomes, including mortality, dyspnea, quality of life (QoL), need for intubation, repeat exacerbation and/or hospital admissions and ECOPD resolution (clinical cure, failure). These outcomes were chosen because

they are clinically important from a patient’s perspective and are highly relevant for decision making.

RCTs started as high SOE. The domains to be used for all KQs were: the methodological limitations of the studies (i.e., risk of bias); precision (based on the size of the body of evidence, number of events, and confidence intervals); directness of the evidence to the KQs (focusing on whether the outcomes were important to patients vs. surrogates); consistency of results (based on qualitative and statistical approaches to evaluate for heterogeneity); and the likelihood of reporting and publication bias. In most cases, sensitivity analyses based on risk of bias were not feasible due to the small number of studies per analysis.

Based on this assessment, we assigned SOE rating as high, moderate, low, or “insufficient evidence to estimate an effect”. SOE was rated as high when we were very confident that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect (the body of evidence has few or no deficiencies and is judged to be stable). SOE was rated as moderate when we were moderately confident that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect (the body of evidence has some deficiencies and is judged to be likely stable). SOE was rated as low when we had limited confidence that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect (the body of evidence has major or numerous deficiencies and is likely unstable). SOE was rated as insufficient when we had no evidence, were unable to estimate an effect, or had no confidence in the estimate of effect. We produced summary of evidence tables for each comparison and for each outcome: data source, effect size, SOE rating; and rationale for judgments made on each domain of evidence rating.

Assessing Applicability

We followed the procedures outlined in the AHRQ Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews to assess the applicability of the findings within and across studies.⁴² We focused on whether the populations, interventions, and comparisons in existing studies were representative of current practice. For studies to have good applicability, the interventions used in research need to be available, accessible, acceptable and feasible to implement; and patients enrolled in the studies should be similar to typical patients with ECOPD, in particular in relation to underlying severity of COPD and co-morbidities. This congruence between research and practice as it relates to applicability was evaluated qualitatively and reported narratively. We reported limitations of applicability of the whole body of evidence in the discussion.

Peer Review and Public Commentary

A draft report was posted for peer review between March 29th and May 6th, 2019 and public comments between March 28th and May 6th, 2019. We revised and finalized the draft report in response to comments. However, the findings and conclusions are those of the authors, who are responsible for the contents of the report.

Results

Literature Searches and Evidence Base

The literature search identified 8,916 citations. An additional 36 references were identified through reference mining, grey literature search; and from Key Informants and Technical Experts. There were 98 original studies with a total of 13,401 patients that met inclusion criteria and were included in the systematic review (Appendix Figure A.1.). Of the 98 studies, 4 were crossover randomized controlled trials (RCTs).^{6, 65-67} 16 studies^{6, 68-82} addressed Key Question (KQ)1 (8^{6, 68, 70-72, 74, 79, 82} had patients with mild exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (ECOPD) and 6^{69, 73, 75-78} had patients with moderate to severe ECOPD, 1⁸⁰ had patients with mild to severe ECOPD, and 1⁸¹ had severe ECOPD). 51^{25, 46, 65-67, 74-76, 83-125} addressed KQ2 (4^{74, 75, 89, 122} had patients with mild ECOPD, 47^{25, 46, 65-67, 76, 83-88, 90-121, 123-125} had patients with moderate to severe ECOPD, 3 studies¹²⁶⁻¹²⁸ addressed KQ 3 and 34 studies^{75-77, 129-159} addressed KQ4. 59 trials were in a hospital setting,^{46, 65, 69, 73, 75-78, 81, 83-91, 93-103, 105-112, 114-118, 120, 122-125, 127, 129, 130, 133, 140, 142, 144, 146, 149, 151, 155, 159} 10 in emergency departments,^{80, 82, 92, 104, 119, 121, 126, 137, 141, 158} 19 in an outpatient setting^{6, 66, 68, 70-72, 74, 79, 113, 132, 136, 138, 139, 143, 145, 148, 152-154} 1 was ambulance based²⁵, 2 were in both outpatients and hospitalized patients,^{67, 156} and in 6 trials the setting was unclear.^{128, 131, 134, 135, 150, 157} Studies were conducted in the US (11)^{77, 79-81, 107, 119-121, 154, 156, 157}, Canada (5)^{6, 67, 82, 90, 118}, Europe (44)^{46, 65, 66, 68, 71-74, 76, 78, 83, 84, 86, 93, 99, 102, 106, 108, 110, 113-117, 124, 125, 127, 128, 130, 135, 138-144, 147, 148, 151-153, 155, 158}, Australia (7),^{25, 92, 97, 104, 105, 111, 126} Africa (2),^{70, 101} Asia (26),^{69, 75, 85, 87-89, 91, 94-96, 98, 103, 109, 112, 122, 123, 129, 131-134, 137, 146, 149, 150, 159} Africa (2),^{70, 101} South America (2),^{100, 145} and 1 study¹³⁶ was conducted in 30 countries. Most studies were conducted in hospitalized patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The mean treatment duration was 9.9 days and there was a mean 3.7 months of reported followup. A list of the studies excluded at the full-text review stage is in Appendix C. A search of ClinicalTrials.gov identified 33 ongoing clinical trials. We also found 102 studies published as conference abstracts.

KQ1. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of systemic corticosteroids and antibiotics compared with placebo or standard care?

Key Points-KQ1

Systemic Antibiotics Versus Placebo or Management Without Systemic Antibiotics

- Antibiotics increased clinical cure of ECOPD compared with placebo or management without systemic antibiotics at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup (moderate Strength of Evidence (SOE)).
- Antibiotics reduced clinical failure rate compared with placebo at the end of the intervention (moderate SOE), but not at the longest followup (low SOE).
- Antibiotics improved dyspnea compared with placebo at the end of the intervention (low SOE) but not at the longest followup (low SOE).

- Antibiotics did not change 30-day hospital readmission, repeat exacerbation, and quality of life, compared with placebo (low SOE).
- No statistically significant difference in adverse events was observed, including serious adverse events, between antibiotics and placebo or management without antibiotics.

Systemic Corticosteroids Versus Placebo or Management Without Systemic Corticosteroids

- Systemic corticosteroids improved dyspnea (low SOE) at the end of the intervention and reduced clinical failure rate (low SOE) at the end of the intervention, compared with placebo.
- No statistically significant difference in serious adverse events was found between systemic corticosteroids and placebo. Systemic corticosteroids were associated with statistically significantly less withdrawals but more endocrine related adverse events.

KQ 1 Results

Sixteen studies with 2,762 patients were included for KQ1. The characteristics of the studies are listed in Appendix Table D.1. Eight^{6, 68-73, 82} compared systemic antibiotics to placebo or management without systemic antibiotics and eight⁷⁴⁻⁸¹ compared systemic corticosteroids to placebo or management without systemic corticosteroids. Eight studies has patients with mild ECOPD,^{6, 68, 70-72, 74, 79, 82} 6 studies^{69, 73, 75-78} had patients with moderate to severe ECOPD 1⁸⁰ had patients with mild to severe ECOPD, and 1⁸¹ had severe ECOPD. 7 trials were conducted in a hospital setting,^{69, 73, 75-78, 81} 2 in the emergency department,^{80, 82} and 7 were in outpatients.^{6, 68, 70-72, 74, 79} Studies were conducted in the US(4),^{77, 79-81} Canada(2),^{6, 82} Europe (7),^{68, 71-74, 76, 78} Africa (1),⁷⁰ and Asia (2).^{69, 75} The average treatment length was 11.5 days and mean reported followup was 4.6 months. Details of the interventions used in each study can be found in Appendix Table F.1. Individual studies inclusion and exclusion criteria are in Appendix Table I.1.

The overall risk of bias is intermediate due to unclear allocation concealment (56.25%), unclear and random sequence generation (43.75%) (Appendix Table E.1.).

Systemic Antibiotics Versus Placebo or Management Without Systemic Antibiotics

Seven studies^{6, 68-73, 82} evaluated the effectiveness of antibiotics versus placebo or management without systemic antibiotics, of which 2 studies were conducted in patients with mild,^{68, 70} 4 mild to moderate^{6, 71, 72, 82} ECOPD and 2 studies^{69, 73} were conducted in patients with moderate to severe COPD. Table 4 and Table 5 provide an overview of all included studies. Tables stratified by the severity of ECOPD can be found in the Appendix (Appendix Table G.1: mild ECOPD, Appendix Table G.2: moderate to severe COPD).

Mortality

There was no statistically significant difference found between the antibiotics and placebo or management without antibiotics at the end of followup or at the end of the intervention.

When stratifying studies by severity of ECOPD, there were no statistically significant differences between groups in either mild or moderate to severe ECOPD. One study⁶⁸ in patients

with mild ECOPD did not show a statistically significant difference between antibiotics and placebo in mortality at the longest followup. In patients with moderate or severe ECOPD, there was no statistically significant difference observed between groups in mortality at the end of the intervention or at the end of followup.

Symptoms

One study showed statistically significantly less dyspnea (measured by numeric scale) at the end of the intervention in the antibiotics group compared with the placebo group⁷³ but not at the longest followup. Another study did not show a statistically significant difference in dyspnea⁷¹ (measured by questionnaire) at the longest followup.

In patients with mild ECOPD, there was no statistically significant difference observed in dyspnea between groups.

Cough was statistically significantly more reduced in the antibiotics groups compared with the placebo group at the end of the intervention but not at the longest followup.

Other symptoms were statistically significantly reduced in the antibiotics group compared with placebo or management without antibiotics at the end of treatment but not at the longest followup.

One study⁷¹ was conducted in patients with mild ECOPD. The study did not find a statistically significant difference in dyspnea or other symptoms between intervention and placebo. Studies conducted in patients with moderate to severe COPD showed statistically significantly less dyspnea, cough and other symptoms at the end of the intervention but not at the longest followup in the antibiotics group compared with the placebo or management without antibiotics.^{69, 73}

Quality of Life

Quality of life (QoL) was measured in one study (in patients with mild ECOPD, at the longest followup).⁷¹ There was no statistically significant difference found between antibiotics and placebo.

Hospital Admission

Thirty-day hospital admissions were measured in one study⁶⁹ (including patients with moderate to severe ECOPD) with no statistically significant difference between antibiotics and management without antibiotics.

Repeat Exacerbations

Repeat exacerbations at the end of the intervention, at 30 days, 6 months, and longest followup did not show a statistically significant difference between the antibiotics and placebo or management without antibiotics. There was no statistically significant difference found in repeat exacerbations when stratifying studies by severity of ECOPD.

Intubations

The need for intubation at the end of the intervention was assessed in one study⁶⁹ (in patients with moderate to severe COPD). No statistically significant difference was found between the antibiotics and management without antibiotics.

Clinical Resolution of Exacerbation

Statistically significantly more patients were clinically cured in the antibiotics group compared with placebo or management without antibiotics at the end of the intervention as well as at the longest followup.

In patients with mild ECOPD, statistically significantly more patients were clinically cured at the end of the intervention. Patients with mild ECOPD also had statistically significantly higher cure rates at the longest followup.

Statistically significantly less patients on antibiotics compared with patients in the placebo group had clinical failure at the end of the intervention but not at the longest followup.

In patients with mild ECOPD, statistically significantly less patients on antibiotics compared with patients in the placebo group had clinical failure at the end of the intervention but not at the longest followup.

A crossover RCT⁶ compared antibiotics to placebo in mild to moderate ECOPD patients. After 3 weeks, patients in the antibiotic group were found to have statistically significantly more clinical cures (68% vs. 55%) and less clinical failures (10% vs. 19%) than those in the placebo group.

Table 4. Comparison of systemic antibiotics versus control, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Antibiotics vs. Management Without Antibiotics	Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 2.02; 95% CI: 0.18 to 22.66, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁹ with 194 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Antibiotics vs. Placebo or Management without Antibiotics	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 1.78; 95% CI: 0.88 to 3.59, I ² =0.00%	3 RCTs ^{68, 69, 73} with 764 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Dyspnea (Questionnaire: CRQ(dyspnea)) Longest Followup	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -0.97 to 0.97, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷¹ with 35 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: VAS) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.80; 95% CI: -1.49 to -0.11, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷³ with 265 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting improvement
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: VAS) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.06; 95% CI: -1.27 to 0.07, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷³ with 265 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Quality of Life (CRQ) Longest Followup	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -1.80 to 1.79, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷¹ with 35 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Antibiotics vs. Management Without Antibiotics	Hospital Admission 30 days	OR: 1.72; 95% CI: 0.68 to 4.36, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁹ with 194 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Antibiotics vs. Placebo or Management Without Antibiotics	Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 1.80; 95% CI: 0.89 to 3.62, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{69, 71} with 229 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
		Rate Ratio; 1.01; 95% CI: 0.14 to 1.75, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁸ with 305 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Antibiotics vs. Placebo or Management Without Antibiotics	Repeat Exacerbation 30 days	OR: 1.69; 95% CI: 0.78 to 3.68, I ² =0.18%	2 RCTs ^{69, 71} with 229 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
		Rate Ratio: 1.57; 95% CI: 0.76 to 3.24, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{69, 71} with 229 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Repeat Exacerbation 6 months	OR: 2.24; 95% CI: 0.58 to 8.69, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷¹ with 35 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Antibiotics vs. Placebo or Management Without Antibiotics	Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 1.80; 95% CI: 0.89 to 3.62, I ² =N/A	2 RCTs ^{69, 71} with 229 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Antibiotics vs. Management Without Antibiotics	Need for Intubation End of Intervention	OR: 0.49; 95% CI: 0.04 to 5.55, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁹ with 194 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 2.03; 95% CI: 1.47 to 2.80, I ² =0.00%	3 RCTs ^{70, 72, 73} with 683 patients	High ROB	Moderate SOE supporting improvement
Antibiotics vs. Placebo or Management Without Antibiotics	Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 1.50; 95% CI: 1.01 to 2.24, I ² =20.33%	4 RCTs ^{69, 71-73} with 812 patients	Intermediate ROB	Moderate SOE supporting improvement
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.34 to 0.86, I ² =20.32%	2 RCTs ^{68, 70} with 405 patients	Intermediate ROB	Moderate SOE supporting improvement
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.58 to 1.14, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{68, 73} with 570 patients	Intermediate ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference

CI = confidence interval; CRQ = Chronic Respiratory Questionnaire; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = Risk of Bias; SOE = Strength of Evidence; VAS = visual analog scale; WMD = weighted mean difference

Lung Function

Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) percent predicted and FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup were not statistically significantly different between the antibiotics and placebo or management without antibiotics. This finding was

independent of whether studies were conducted in patients with mild or moderate to severe ECOPD.

Table 5. Comparison of systemic antibiotics versus control, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Cough (VAS) End of Intervention	WMD: -1.1; 95% CI: -1.80 to -0.40, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁷³ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Cough (VAS) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.40; 95% CI: -1.33 to 0.33, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁷³ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo/ Management Without Antibiotics	Other Symptoms(Total Symptom Score (Dyspnea, Fatigue, Cough, Sputum); VAS; CCQ Symptom Score) End of Intervention	SMD: 0.29; 95% CI: 0.11 to 0.47, $I^2=41.0\%$	3 RCTs ^{69, 71, 73} with 494 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Other Symptoms(Total Symptom Score (Dyspnea, Fatigue, Cough, Sputum)) Longest Followup	WMD: -1.10; 95% CI: -3.31 to 1.11, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁷³ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Management Without Antibiotics	FEV1 % Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -1.70; 95% CI: -7.31 to 3.91, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶⁹ with 194 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	FEV1 % Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: -0.80; 95% CI: -6.67 to 5.07, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁷¹ with 35 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.05; 95% CI: -0.01 to 0.11, $I^2=0.0\%$	2 RCTs ^{70, 73} with 365 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute Longest Followup	WMD: 0.05; 95% CI: -0.01 to 0.12, $I^2=44.13\%$	2 RCTs ^{71, 73} with 300 patients

CCQ = Clinical COPD Questionnaire; CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; VAS = visual analog scale; WMD = weighted mean difference

Adverse Events

Appendix Table H.1. lists the rate ratio of adverse events(AEs) between antibiotics and placebo or management without antibiotics. There was no statistical difference between antibiotics and placebo on withdrawals, withdrawals due to AEs, total number of AEs, or any specific category of AEs (cardiovascular AE, dermatological AE, gastrointestinal AE, general internal medicine AE, musculoskeletal AE, or oncological AE). 2 studies^{68, 73} reported serious AE in the antibiotics group, including pneumonia (1 case), urinary tract infection (1 case), cardiovascular (22 cases), hypoglycemia (1 case), malignancy-related (6 cases), and other unspecified (36 cases). There was no statistical difference on serious AEs.

Systemic Corticosteroids Versus Placebo or Management Without Systemic Corticosteroids

Nine studies compared the effectiveness of systemic corticosteroids versus placebo or management without systemic corticosteroids,⁷⁴⁻⁸² of which three studies were conducted in patients with mild ECOPD,^{74, 79, 82} four studies in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD,^{75-78, 100} one study in patients with severe ECOPD⁸¹ and one in patients with mild to severe ECOPD.⁸⁰ Table 6 and Table 7 provide an overview of all included studies. Tables stratified by the severity

of ECOPD can be found in the Appendix (Appendix Table G.3: mild ECOPD, Appendix Table G.4: moderate to severe COPD).

Mortality

The pooled estimate of three studies (all conducted in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD) did not show any statistically significant difference between the systemic corticosteroids and the placebo or management without systemic corticosteroids group at the end of the intervention or at the end followup.

There were no studies measuring mortality in patients with mild ECOPD.

Symptoms

Dyspnea was statistically significantly better in the systemic corticosteroid group compared with the placebo group at the end of the intervention. There was no statistically significant difference in dyspnea at the end of the intervention when stratifying studies by severity of ECOPD.

Hospital Admission

Thirty-day hospital admissions were measured in two studies (including patients with moderate to severe ECOPD) with no statistically significant difference found between systemic corticosteroid and placebo group.

Repeat Exacerbations

Repeat exacerbations at the end of the intervention, at 1 month, 3 months, and longest followup did not show a statistically significant difference between systemic corticosteroid and placebo or management without systemic corticosteroids. There was no statistically significant difference observed in repeat exacerbations when stratifying studies by severity of ECOPD.

Intubations

The need for intubation at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup was found to be not statistically significantly different between the systemic corticosteroid and placebo group. There were no studies in mild ECOPD that assessed need for intubation as outcome.

Clinical Resolution of Exacerbation

Statistically significantly less patients on systemic corticosteroids compared with patients in the placebo group had clinical failure at the end of the intervention but not at the longest followup.

In patients with mild ECOPD, clinical failure in patients on systemic corticosteroids compared with patients in the placebo group was statistically significantly lower at the end of the intervention.

Table 6. Comparison of systemic corticosteroids versus control, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 1.61; 95% CI: 0.47 to 5.47, I ² = 0.00%	4 RCTs ^{76, 77, 82, 81} with 510 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo or Management Aithout Systemic Corticosteroids	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 0.89; 95% CI: 0.37 to 2.16, I ² = 0.00%	3 RCTs ^{75, 77, 78} with 353 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Modified Borg, VAS) End of Intervention	SMD: 0.40; 95% CI:0.07 to 0.70, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{76, 79} with 154 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting improvement
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Hospital Admission 30 days	OR: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.10 to 2.88, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁷ with 191 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
		Rate Ratio: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.15 to 1.66, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁵ with 106 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo or Management Aithout Systemic Corticosteroids	Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.52 to 1.81, I ² =45.12%	3 RCTs ^{75, 78, 80} with 266 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Management Aithout Systemic Corticosteroids	Repeat Exacerbation 1 Month	Rate Ratio: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.24 to 1.36, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁵ with 106 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Repeat Exacerbation 3 Months	OR: 1.29; 95% CI: 0.40 to 4.13, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁸ with 56 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 1.29; 95% CI: 0.40 to 4.13, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁸ with 56 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Management without Systemic Corticosteroids	Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	Rate Ratio: 0.57, 95% CI: 0.24 to 1.36, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁵ with 106 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Need for Intubation End of Intervention	OR: 0.42; 95% CI: 0.06 to 2.68, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{76, 77} with 319 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Need for Intubation Longest Followup	OR: 0.92; 95% CI: 0.15 to 5.66, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁷ with 191 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.01; 95% CI: 0.00 to 0.13, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{77, 79} with 217 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting improvement
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 0.92; 95% CI: 0.58 to 1.45, I ² =81.42%	2 RCTs ^{77, 82} with 338 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SMD = standardized mean difference; SOE = strength of evidence

Lung Function

FEV1 percent predicted was statistically significantly increased in the systemic corticosteroid group compared with placebo or management without systemic corticosteroids at the end of the intervention but not at the end of followup. FEV1 percent absolute was statistically significantly increased in the systemic corticosteroid group compared with placebo or management without systemic corticosteroids at the end of the intervention.

Two studies^{74, 79} measured lung function in patients with mild ECOPD and found a statistically significantly increased FEV1 percent absolute in the systemic corticosteroid group compared with the placebo group at the end of the intervention.

Table 7. Comparison of systemic corticosteroids versus control, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo or Management Without Systemic Corticosteroids	FEV1 % Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 4.64; 95% CI: 1.28 to 7.99, I ² =0.00%	4 RCTs ^{75, 80, 81} with 310 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	FEV1 % Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: 7.75; 95% CI: -0.30 to 15.80, I ² =0.62%	2 RCTs ^{78, 81} with 100 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.36; 95% CI: 0.28 to 0.45, I ² =87.61%	3 RCTs ^{74, 78, 79} with 112 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Adverse Events

Appendix Table H.2. presents adverse events between systemic corticosteroids and placebo or management without systemic corticosteroids. The systemic corticosteroids group had statistically significant fewer withdrawals, and more endocrine AEs. One study⁷⁶ reported 5 cases of serious AEs (unspecified) in the systemic corticosteroids group. There was no statistical difference on serious AEs or other AEs.

KQ2. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of emerging and other pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies compared with placebo or standard care?

Key Points-KQ2

Pharmacologic Therapies Versus Placebo or Management Without Pharmacologic Therapies

- The evidence was insufficient for the effect of aminophyllines, magnesium sulfate, mucolytics, inhaled corticosteroids, inhaled antibiotics, 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor and statins on mortality, dyspnea, need for intubation, clinical failure, or hospital admission.
- Aminophyllines were associated with statistically significantly more gastrointestinal adverse events than placebo. No other statistically significant difference was found in adverse events between the remaining pharmacologic therapies and placebo or management without pharmacologic therapies

Nonpharmacologic Therapies Versus Placebo or Management Without Nonpharmacologic Therapies

- Resistance training improved dyspnea, and quality of life compared with management without nonpharmacologic therapies (low SOE).
- Early pulmonary rehabilitation, commenced before hospital discharge during the initial most acute phase of exacerbation rather than the convalescence period, improved dyspnea compared with management without nonpharmacologic therapies (low SOE).
- Whole body vibration training improved QoL compared with management without nonpharmacologic therapies (low SOE).
- Titrated oxygen reduced mortality compared with high flow oxygen at the longest followup (low SOE).
- Vitamin D supplementation improved quality of life compared with usual diet (low SOE).
- Omega-3 fatty acid enriched diet did not change quality of life, need for intubation, or dyspnea at the end of intervention compared with usual diet (low SOE).
- Few adverse events were reported in studies of nonpharmacologic therapies. There was no statistically significant difference found in adverse events between nonpharmacologic therapies and management without nonpharmacologic therapies.

Results KQ2

There were 51 studies^{25, 46, 65-67, 74-76, 83-125}, with 4,280 patients included for KQ2. The characteristics of the studies are listed in Appendix Table D.2. Four^{66, 74, 113, 122} studies had patients with mild ECOPD, 6^{25, 46, 65, 75, 76, 83-112, 114-121, 123-125} studies had patients with moderate to severe ECOPD, and 1⁶⁷ study had patients with mild to severe ECOPD. Twenty^{65, 66, 74-76, 89, 92, 93, 96, 98, 101, 104, 107, 112-114, 116, 119-121} evaluated pharmacologic therapies compared with management without pharmacologic therapies and 31^{25, 46, 67, 83-88, 90, 91, 94, 95, 97, 99, 100, 102, 103, 105, 106, 108-111, 115, 117, 118, 122-125} evaluated nonpharmacologic therapies to management without nonpharmacologic

therapies. 41 were conducted in a hospital setting,^{46, 65, 75, 76, 83-91, 93-103, 105-112, 114-118, 120, 123-125} 4 in the Emergency Department,^{92, 104, 119, 121} 3 in outpatients,^{66, 74, 113} 1 in both outpatients and hospital settings,⁶⁷ one in an ambulance²⁵ and in one study the setting was unclear.¹²² Studies were conducted in the US (4),^{107, 119-121} Canada (3),^{67, 90, 118} Europe (21),^{46, 65, 66, 74, 76, 83, 84, 86, 93, 99, 102, 106, 108, 110, 113-117, 124, 125} Africa (1),¹⁰¹ Asia (15),^{75, 85, 87-89, 91, 94-96, 98, 103, 109, 112, 122, 123} Australia (6),^{25, 92, 97, 104, 105, 111} and South America (1).¹⁰⁰ Average treatment length was 9.6 days and the mean reported followup was 3.6 months. Details of the interventions in each study can be found in Appendix Table F.2. Individual studies inclusion and exclusion criteria are in Appendix Table I.1.

The overall risk of bias is intermediate to high due to unclear sequence generation (49.02%), unclear allocation concealment (66.67%), and high risk or unclear risk of incomplete outcome data (56.86%) (Appendix Table E.1.).

Pharmacologic Therapies

Aminophyllines Versus Placebo

Three studies^{114, 120, 121} evaluated the effectiveness of intravenous aminophyllines compared with placebo (Table 8 and Table 9). All studies were conducted in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD.

There were no statistically significant differences observed between groups in mortality, dyspnea, cough, other symptoms, FEV1 absolute, and need for intubation. Statistically significantly more patients in the aminophyllines group had total number of AEs and gastrointestinal AEs than those in the placebo group¹¹⁴ (Appendix Table H.3.). No other statistically significant difference on withdrawals, other AEs (cardiovascular AE, neurological AE, withdrawals due to AEs) were found.

Table 8. Comparison of intravenous aminophyllines versus placebo, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality End of intervention	OR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.29 to 2.28, I ² = 0.00%	2 RCT ^{114, 121} with 132 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Borg) End of Intervention	SMD: -0.01; 95% CI: -0.35 to 0.33, I ² = 0.00%	2 RCT ^{114, 121} with 132 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Need for Intubation End of Intervention	OR: 0.87; 95% CI: 0.05 to 15.28, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ^{114, 120, 121} with 30 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SMD = standardized mean difference

Table 9. Comparison of intravenous aminophyllines versus placebo, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Cough (Scale no problem to severe problem) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.30; 95% CI: -0.94 to 0.34, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²¹ with 52 patients

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Other Symptoms (VAS) End of Intervention	WMD: -10.8; 95% CI: -21.59 to 0.00, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁴ with 80 patients
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.02; 95% CI: -0.09 to 0.06, I ² =0.00%	3 RCT ^{114, 120, 121} with 162 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; VAS: visual analog scale; WMD = weighted mean difference

Magnesium Sulfate Versus Placebo

Four studies evaluated the effectiveness of intravenous magnesium sulfate,^{65, 92, 98, 119} and one study¹⁰⁴ evaluated the effectiveness of nebulized magnesium sulfate (Table 10 and Table 11). All studies were conducted in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD.

There were no statistically significant differences observed in FEV1 percent predicted at the longest followup and FEV1 absolute and dyspnea scores at the end of the intervention between intravenous magnesium and placebo. The intravenous magnesium sulfate group had a statistically significantly increased FEV1 absolute at the longest followup. There was no statistically significant difference found in FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention between nebulized magnesium sulfate and placebo. No adverse events were reported in the magnesium group.¹¹⁹

Table 10. Comparison of intravenous magnesium sulfate versus placebo, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Dyspnea 1-7) End of Intervention	No statistical difference	1 RCT ¹¹⁹ with 72 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence

Table 11. Comparison of magnesium sulfate versus placebo, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Intravenous Magnesium Sulfate vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.02; 95% CI: -0.02 to 0.05, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁸ with 30 patients
	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	No statistical difference	1 Crossover RCT ⁶⁵ with 24 patients
	FEV1% Predicted End of intervention	No statistical difference	1 Crossover RCT ⁶⁵ with 24 patients
	FEV1 Absolute Longest Followup	WMD: 0.09; 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.16, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹² with 33 patients
	FEV1% predicted Longest Followup	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -48.08 to 48.08, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁸ with 30 patients
Nebulized Magnesium Sulfate vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.03; 95% CI: -0.15 to 0.09, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁴ with 116 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Mucolytics Versus Placebo or Management Without Mucolytics

The effectiveness of the oral mucolytics N-Acetylcysteine and Erdosteine compared with placebo or management without mucolytics was evaluated in 4^{96, 101, 113, 116} studies and 1 study^{93, 101} respectively. One N-Acetylcysteine study was in patients with mild ECOPD,¹¹³ the remaining studies^{93, 96, 101, 116} were in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD. Tables stratified by the severity of ECOPD can be found in the Appendix (Appendix Table G.5: mild ECOPD, Appendix Table G.6: moderate to severe COPD).

Erdosteine statistically significantly reduced repeat exacerbations at 3-month followup but not at 1-month followup compared with management without Erdosteine (low SOE). Erdosteine was associated with significantly reduced symptoms (based on the breathlessness, cough and sputum scale) at the end of the intervention but not at the longest followup. FEV1 percent predicted was statistically significantly increased in the erdosteine group compared with the management without Erdosteine group at the end of the intervention but not at the longest followup (Table 12 and Table 13). No AEs were reported in both groups.

Dyspnea and FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention were not found to have a statistically significant difference between the N-Acetylcysteine and the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference found in FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention between the N-Acetylcysteine and the placebo group in patients with mild or moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 12 and Table 13). There was no statistically significant difference observed on gastrointestinal AEs and total number of AEs (Appendix Table H.4.).¹¹⁶

Table 12. Comparison of oral mucolytics versus control, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
N-Acetylcysteine (Mucolytic) vs. Placebo	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Dyspnea) End of intervention	SMD: -0.16; 95% CI: -0.57 to 0.25, I ² =50.36%	2 RCTs ^{96, 116} with 92 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
N-Acetylcysteine (Mucolytic) vs. Placebo	Hospital Admission Longest Followup	Rate Ratio: 1.70; 95% CI: 0.80 to 3.63, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁶ with 44 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Erdosteine (Mucolytic) vs. Management Without Erdosteine	Repeat Exacerbation 1 month	OR: 0.05; 95% CI: 0.00 to 1.04, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹³ with 40 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Erdosteine (Mucolytic) vs. Management Without Erdosteine	Repeat Exacerbation 3 months	OR: 0.14; 95% CI: 0.03 to 0.65, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹³ with 40 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting reduction
Erdosteine (Mucolytic) vs. Management Without Erdosteine	Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 0.14; 95% CI: 0.03 to 0.65, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹³ with 40 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting reduction

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SMD = standardized mean difference; SOE = strength of evidence

Table 13. Comparison of oral mucolytics versus control, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Erdosteine (Mucolytic) vs. Management Without Erdosteine	Symptoms BCSS End of Intervention	WMD: -1.00; 95% CI: -1.63 to -0.37, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹³ with 40 patients
Erdosteine (Mucolytic) vs. Management Without Erdosteine	Symptoms BCSS Longest Followup	WMD: -0.90; 95% CI: -1.82 to 0.02, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹³ with 40 patients
Mucolytics (Erdosteine, N-Acetylcysteine) vs. Placebo or Management Without Erdosteine	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	All mucolytics: WMD: 1.98; 95% CI: 0.51 to 3.44, I ² =89.12% Erdosteine: WMD: 9.00; 95% CI: 3.17 to 14.83, I ² = N/A; Acetylcysteine: WMD: 1.50; 95% CI: -0.02 to 3.02, I ² = N/A	All mucolytics: 2 RCT ^{93, 101} with 70 patients; Erdosteine: 1 RCT ⁹³ with 40 patients; Acetylcysteine: 1 RCT ¹⁰¹ with 30 patients
Erdosteine (Mucolytic) vs. Management Without Erdosteine	FEV1% Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: 8.30; 95% CI: -0.69 to 17.29, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹³ with 40 patients
N-Acetylcysteine (Mucolytic) vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.07; 95% CI: -0.11 to 0.25, I ² =0.00%	2 RCT ^{96, 113} with 125 patients

BCSS = Breathlessness, Cough and Sputum Scale; CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Inhaled Corticosteroids With or Without Inhaled Short- and Long-Acting Bronchodilators Versus Placebo

Four studies^{74-76, 112} evaluated the effectiveness of different inhaler treatments containing an inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) versus placebo, of which 3 were conducted in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD^{75, 76, 112} and 1 in mild ECOPD⁷⁴ (Table 14 and Table 15).

In patients with moderate to severe ECOPD, ICS were associated with a statistically significantly higher FEV1 percent predicted at the end of the intervention compared with placebo, but there was no statistically significant difference found in dyspnea, 30-day hospital admission, and need for intubation between groups (Appendix Table G.7).

In patients with moderate to severe ECOPD, a combination of ICS+SABA (budesonide+terbutaline) was associated with a statistically significantly higher FEV1 percent predicted and FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention compared with placebo (Appendix Table G.8.).

In patients with mild ECOPD, a combination of ICS+ long-acting beta-2-agonists (LABA) (budesonide+formoterol) was not associated with a statistically significant difference in FEV1 absolute and clinical failure at the end of the intervention compared with placebo⁷⁴ (Appendix Table G.9).

No statistically significant difference was found on total number of AEs, number of withdrawals and number of withdrawals due to AEs (Appendix Table H.5). Eight unspecified serious AEs were reported in the ICS group (8 in the ICS group while 9 cases in the placebo group).

Table 14. Comparison of inhaled corticosteroids with or without inhaled short- and long-acting bronchodilators versus placebo, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
ICS (Budesonide) vs. Placebo	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Modified Borg) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.10; 95% CI: -0.92 to 0.22, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁶ with 137 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Need for Intubation End of Intervention	OR: 0.31; 95% CI: 0.01 to 7.63, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁶ with 137 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Hospital Admission 30 Days	Rate Ratio: 0.63; 95% CI: 0.20 to 1.91, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁵ with 106 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
ICS+ LABA (Budesonide +Formoterol) vs. Placebo	Clinical failure End of Intervention	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.06 to 17.62, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁴ with 30 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; ICS = inhaled corticosteroid; LABA = Long-acting beta-agonist; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 15. Comparison of inhaled corticosteroids with or without inhaled short- and long-acting bronchodilators versus placebo, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
ICS (Budesonide) vs. Placebo	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 10.10; 95% CI: 4.23 to 15.97, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁵ with 106 patients
ICS+SABA (Budesonide+ Terbutaline) vs. Placebo	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 8.30; 95% CI: 2.92 to 13.68, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹² with 40 patients
ICS+SABA (Budesonide +Terbutaline) vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.35; 95% CI: 0.05 to 0.65, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹² with 40 patients
ICS+ LABA (Budesonide +Formoterol) vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.18; 95% CI: -0.17 to 0.53, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁴ with 30 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; ICS = inhaled corticosteroid; LABA = Long-acting beta-agonist; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SABA = Short acting beta agonists; SOE = strength of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Inhaled Antibiotics Versus Placebo

One study⁸⁹ evaluated the effectiveness of inhaled (nebulized) gentamicin compared with placebo in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 16). Inhaled gentamicin was associated with a statistically significantly higher FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention compared with placebo.

Table 16. Comparison of inhaled antibiotics versus placebo

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 3.49; 95% CI: 1.49 to 5.49, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁹ with 86 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Long-Acting Muscarinic Antagonists Versus Placebo

One crossover RCT⁶⁶ compared inhaled oxitropium, a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) to placebo in 50 patients with ECOPD and heart disease (ischemic heart disease and/or arrhythmias). There was a statistically significant improvement of FEV1 absolute compared with placebo at the end of intervention ($p<0.05$) (Table 17).

Table 17. Long-acting muscarinic antagonists versus placebo

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	0.16 vs. 0.05, $p<0.05$	1 crossover RCT ⁶⁶ with 50 patients

FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; RCT = randomized controlled trial

5-Lipoxygenase Inhibitor Versus Placebo

The effectiveness of (oral) zileuton, a 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor, was evaluated in one study of patients with moderate to severe ECOPD.¹⁰⁷ 5-Lipoxygenase inhibitor was associated with a statistically significantly increased FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup compared with placebo. There were no statistically significant differences observed in FEV1 percent predicted, mortality, hospital admissions, clinical resolution and need for intubation between the zileuton and placebo group (Table 18 and Table 19). There was no statistically significant difference found on AEs between 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor and placebo (Appendix Table H.6.). 17 cases of unspecified serious AEs were reported in the 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor group while 21 cases in the placebo group ($p=0.40$).

Table 18. Comparison of 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor (zileuton) versus placebo, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 0.48; 95% CI: 0.04 to 5.48, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁷ with 119 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Hospital Admission Longest Followup	OR: 0.98; 95% CI: 0.32 to 2.99, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁷ with 119 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.36 to 1.87, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁷ with 119 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Need for Intubation End of Intervention	OR: 0.98; 95% CI: 0.06 to 16.09, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁷ with 119 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence

Table 19. Comparison of 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor (zileuton) versus placebo, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: 4:30; 95% CI: -2.28 to 10.88, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁷ with 119 patients
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.17; 95% CI: 0.13 to 0.21, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁷ with 119 patients

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1 Absolute Longest Followup	WMD: 0.23; 95% CI: 0.02 to 0.44, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁷ with 119 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Statin (Simvastatin) Versus Management Without Statin

Statin therapy with simvastatin was compared with management without statin in one study,¹²² which found a statistically significantly increased FEV1 percent predicted at the end of the intervention in the statin group (Table 20).

Table 20. Comparison of statin versus management without statin

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 0.68, 95% CI: 0.38 to 0.98, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²² with 60 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = Forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Nonpharmacologic Therapies

Chest Physiotherapy (Breathing Technique; Vibration/Percussion; Positive Expiratory Pressure) Versus Management Without Chest Physiotherapy

Chest Physiotherapy Using Breathing Technique

Three studies^{88, 106, 110} evaluated the effectiveness of chest physiotherapy using a specific breathing technique compared with management without chest physiotherapy in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 21 and Table 22).

Chest physiotherapy using a specific breathing technique significantly reduced hospital admission at the longest followup compared with management without chest physiotherapy (low SOE). There were no statistically significant differences between the intervention and management without chest physiotherapy group for other outcomes, including: mortality at end of followup, mortality at the longest followup, dyspnea based on a questionnaire, dyspnea based on a numeric scale, other symptoms, quality of life, and FEV1 predicted. No statistically significant difference was found in withdrawals, and withdrawals due to AEs^{106, 110} (Appendix Table H.7.). The intervention group¹⁰⁶ reported cardiovascular AEs (3 cases), respiratory AEs (7 cases), and general internal medicine AEs (5 cases).

Table 21. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using breathing technique versus management without chest physiotherapy, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality End of intervention	OR: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.06 to 16.20, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁰ with 59 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 0.90; 95% CI: 0.54 to 1.49, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁶ with 522 patients	Intermediate ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MRC) End of Intervention	WMD: 0.40; 95% CI: -0.24 to 1.04, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁰ with 59 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: VAS, Borg) End of Intervention	SMD: -0.42; 95% CI: -0.89 to 0.05, I ² =98.92%	2 RCT ^{88, 110} with 119 patients	High ROB, inconsistency and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
QoL(SGRQ) End of Intervention	SMD: -0.02; 95% CI: -0.18 to 0.14, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{106, 110} with 581 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Hospital Admission Longest Followup	Rate Ratio: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.83 to 0.99, I ² =79.5%	2 RCTs ^{106, 110} with 581 patients	High ROB, and inconsistency	Low SOE supporting reduction

CI = confidence interval; MRC = Medical Research Council; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SGRQ = St. George Respiratory Questionnaire; SMD = standardized mean difference; SOE = strength of evidence; VAS = visual analog scale; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 22. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using breathing technique versus management without chest physiotherapy, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Other Symptoms (SGRQ, symptom score) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.02; 95% CI: -3.99 to 3.95, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁶ with 522 patients
Symptoms BCSS End of Intervention	WMD: -0.06; 95% CI: -0.56 to 0.44, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁶ with 522 patients
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 6.50; 95% CI: -8.46 to 21.46, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁰ with 59 patients

BCSS = breathlessness, cough and sputum scale; CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SGRQ = St. George respiratory questionnaire; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference

Chest Physiotherapy Using Vibration, Percussion, or Massage

Three studies^{67, 102, 103} evaluated the effectiveness of chest physiotherapy using vibration, percussion, or massage compared with management without chest physiotherapy in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 23 and Table 24).

There was no difference between the intervention and management without chest physiotherapy group for all evaluated outcomes including: dyspnea at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup, FEV1 percent predicted at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup, FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention, and 6-minute walking distance at the end of the intervention. No patient withdrew during the study (Appendix Table H.8.).

Table 23. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using vibration, percussion, or massage versus management without chest physiotherapy, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MRC; MMRC) End of Intervention	SMD: 0.15; 95% CI: -0.29 to 0.60, I ² = 0.00%	2 RCTs ^{102, 103} with 80 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MMRC) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.24; 95% CI: -0.73 to 0.25, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰³ with 50 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; MMRC = Modified Medical Research Council; MRC = Medical Research Council; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SMD = standardized mean difference; SOE = strength of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 24. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using vibration, percussion, or massage versus management without chest physiotherapy, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 4.88; 95% CI: -0.37 to 10.12, I ² = 87.37%	2 RCTs ^{102, 103} with 80 patients
FEV1% Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -5.98 to 5.98, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰³ with 50 patients
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -0.45 to 0.45, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰³ with 30 patients
	0.9 (SD: 0.5) vs. 0.9 (SD: 0.5), p=non-statistically significant	1 crossover RCT ⁶⁷ with 24 patients
6MWD End of Intervention	WMD: 56.20; 95% CI: -8.18 to 120.58, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰² with 30 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SD = standard deviation; WMD = weighted mean difference

Chest Physiotherapy Using Positive Expiratory Pressure

One study⁹⁷ evaluated the effectiveness of chest physiotherapy using positive expiratory pressure compared with management without positive expiratory pressure in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 25 and Table 26).

There was no difference between the intervention and management without positive expiratory pressure group for all evaluated outcomes including: mortality at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup, dyspnea at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup, FEV1 predicted at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup, repeat exacerbations at the end of the intervention, 6-minute walking distance at the end of the intervention, and quality of life at the longest followup.

No statistically significant difference on withdrawals, withdrawals due to AEs, and total number of AEs was found (Appendix Table H.9.). Serious AEs (serious clinical deterioration) were reported in 9 patients in the chest physiotherapy compared with 6 patients in the management without positive expiratory pressure group (p=0.40).

Table 25. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using positive expiratory pressure versus management without positive expiratory pressure, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.06 to 16.48, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 1.58; 95% CI: 0.41 to 6.00, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MMRC) End of Intervention	WMD: 0.40; 95% CI: -0.16 to 0.96, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MMRC) Longest Followup	WMD: 0.50; 95% CI: -0.06 to 1.06, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.06 to 16.48, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	Rate Ratio: 1.05; 95% 0.69 to 1.59, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
QoL(SGRQ) Longest Followup	WMD: -1.50; 95% CI: -5.99 to 8.99, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference

CI = confidence interval; MMRC: Modified Medical Research Council Scale N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; QoL = quality of life; ROB = risk of bias; SGRQ = St. George Respiratory Questionnaire; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 26. Comparison of chest physiotherapy using positive expiratory pressure versus management without positive expiratory pressure, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -0.30; 95% CI: -4.18 to 3.58, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients
FEV1% Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: -1.30; 95% CI: -7.30 to 4.70, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients
6MWD End of Intervention	WMD: -26.00; 95% CI: -89.61 to 37.62, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients
6MWD Longest Followup	WMD: -4.00; 95% CI: -82.49 to 74.49, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients
Symptoms BCSS End of Intervention	WMD: 0.20; 95% CI: -0.91 to 1.31, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients
Symptoms BCSS Longest Followup	WMD: 0.10; 95% CI: -1.01 to 1.21, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁷ with 92 patients

6MWD = 6 minute walking distance; BCSS = Breathlessness, Cough and Sputum Scale; CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Exercise Versus Management Without Exercise

Exercise Using Resistance Training Versus Management Without Resistance Training

Three studies^{84, 86, 100, 108} evaluated the effectiveness of exercise using resistance training compared with management without resistance training in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 27 and Table 28).

Exercise using resistance training was associated with statistically significantly better dyspnea, better QoL, and higher 6-minute walking distance at the end of the intervention, compared with management without resistance training.

There was no difference between the intervention and management without resistance training group for all other evaluated outcomes including: mortality at the longest followup, other symptoms at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup, FEV1 percent predicted at the end of intervention, and hospital admissions at the longest followup. No statistically significant difference was found on number of withdrawals (Appendix Table H.10.).

Table 27. Comparison of exercise using resistance training versus management without resistance training, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 0.22; 95% CI: 0.01 to 4.81, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁰ with 46 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Dyspnea (Numeric Scale; Modified Borg) End of Intervention	WMD: -2.11; 95% CI: -3.50 to -0.72, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴ with 60 patients	Intermediate ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting improvement
QoL(EQ-5D VAS) End of Intervention	WMD: 18.70; 95% CI: 5.06 to 32.34, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴ with 60 patients	Intermediate ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting improvement
Hospital Admission Longest Followup	OR: 1.23; 95% CI: 0.35 to 4.31, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁸ with 40 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; EQ-5d = EuroQol, 5 Dimensions; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence; VAS = visual analog scale; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 28. Comparison of exercise using resistance training versus management without resistance training, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Other Symptoms (Health related Quality of Life, sub scale) End of Intervention	WMD: 5.90; 95% CI: -1.20 to 13.00, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁰ with 46 patients
Other Symptoms (Health related Quality of Life, sub scale) Longest Followup	WMD: 0.80; 95% CI: -10.31 to 11.90, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁰ with 46 patients
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 2.37; 95% CI: -2.83 to 7.57, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{84, 100} with 106 patients
6MWD End of Intervention	WMD: 74.42; 95% CI: 46.85 to 101.99, I ² = 95.42%	2 RCTs ^{100, 108} with 86 patients

6MWD = 6-minute walking distance; CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = Forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Exercise Using Aerobic Training Versus Management Without Aerobic Training

Four studies reported relevant outcomes comparing aerobic training versus management without aerobic training.^{83, 86, 124, 125} Aerobic exercise was associated with significantly improved dyspnea and quality of life at the end of intervention, worse dyspnea at the longest followup, statistically significant improvement in 6 minute walking distance, number of steps walked per day, and endurance based on a 30-second sit-to-stand test, compared with management without

aerobic exercise. There was no difference between the intervention and control group for mortality, hospital admissions and repeat exacerbations (Table 29 and Table 30).

Table 29. Comparison of exercise using aerobic training versus management without aerobic training, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.06 to 17.02, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²⁴ with 46 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: Transitional Dyspnea Index) End of Intervention	WMD: 7.20; 95% CI: 4.53 to 9.87, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²⁴ with 46 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting better outcome
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MRC) Longest Followup	WMD: 1.20; 95% CI: 0.33 to 2.07, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸³ with 29 patients	Intermediate ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting worse outcome
QoL (CRQ) End of Intervention	WMD: 38.00; 95% CI: 24.51 to 51.49, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²⁴ with 46 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting better outcome
QoL(CAT) Longest Followup	WMD: -5.20; 95% CI: -2.99 to 13.39, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸³ with 29 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Hospital Admission Longest Followup	OR: 1.50; 95% CI: 0.33 to 6.77, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸³ with 29 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Rate Ratio: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.39 to 2.37, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸³ with 29 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 0.74; 95% CI: 0.22 to 2.49, I ² = 0.0%	2 RCTs ^{83 124} with 75 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CAT = COPD Assessment Test; CI = confidence interval; CRQ = Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire; MRC = Medical Research Council Scale; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 30. Comparison of exercise using aerobic training versus management without aerobic training, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 0.10; 95% CI: -8.36 to 8.56, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²⁵ with 29 patients
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.19; 95% CI: -0.08 to 0.46, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²⁴ with 46 patients
6MWD End of Intervention	WMD: 28.71; 95% CI: 10.91 to 46.50, I ² = 98.4%	2 RCTs ^{124 125} with 75 patients
Number of steps walked per day End of Intervention	WMD: 663.03; 95% CI: 496.34 to 829.72, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁶ with 58 patients
30-second sit-to-stand test End of Intervention	WMD: 4.63; 95% CI: 2.54 to 6.72, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁶ with 58 patients

6MWD = 6 minute walking distance; CI = confidence interval; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Exercise Using Combined Aerobic + Resistance Training Versus Management Without Exercise Training

One study¹⁰⁵ evaluated the effectiveness of exercise using combined aerobic and resistance training compared with management without exercise training in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 31). The study had two active treatment arms: one low-intensity exercise arm and one moderate to high-intensity exercise arm, in addition to a management without exercise training arm.

Low-intensity and moderate-to-high intensity aerobic and resistance training was not associated with a statistical difference in FEV1 percent predicted, 3-minute walking distance test, and upper limb muscle strength at the end of the intervention compared with management without exercise training. There was no statistical difference in total number of AEs (Appendix Table H.11).

Table 31. Comparison of exercise using combined aerobic + resistance training versus management without exercise training

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Low Intensity Exercise Group vs. Management Without Exercise Training	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -4.80; 95% CI: -13.23 to 3.63, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁵ with 22 patients
	3-minute Walking Distance Test End of Intervention	SMD: 0.40; 95% CI: -0.50 to 1.30, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁵ with 22 patients
	Upper Limb Muscle Strength	SMD: 0.20; 95% CI: -0.70 to 1.00, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁵ with 22 patients
Moderate-to-High Intensity Exercise Group vs. Management Without Exercise Training	3-minute Walking Distance Test End of Intervention	p=NS	1 RCT ¹⁰⁵ with 22 patients
	Upper Limb Muscle Strength	p=NS	1 RCT ¹⁰⁵ with 22 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; NS = not statistically significant; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference

Chest Physiotherapy + Exercise Combined Versus Management Without Exercise Training

One study⁸⁴ evaluated the effectiveness of chest physiotherapy and exercise (breathing technique and range of motion exercises) combined compared with management without exercise training in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 32 and Table 33).

The chest physiotherapy and exercise combination group was found to have statistically significantly more improvements in quality of life at the end of the intervention. No other statistically significant difference was found.

Table 32. Comparison of chest physiotherapy + exercise (breathing technique + range of motion exercises) combined versus management without exercise training, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Modified Borg Scale) End of Intervention	WMD: 1.15; 95% CI: -0.61 to 2.91, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴ with 60 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
QoL (EQ-5D) End of Intervention	WMD: 14.89; 95% CI: 5.30 to 24.50, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴ with 60 patients	Intermediate ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting improvement

CI = confidence interval; EQ-5D = EuroQol 5 Dimension Index; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; QoL = quality of life; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 33. Comparison of chest physiotherapy + exercise (breathing technique + range of motion exercises) combined versus management without exercise training, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 2.48; 95% CI: -1.81 to 6.77, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴ with 60 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation (During ECOPD) Versus Management Without Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation

Four studies^{46, 83, 94, 95, 111} evaluated the effectiveness of early pulmonary rehabilitation during ECOPD compared with management without early pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 34 and Table 35).

Early pulmonary rehabilitation was associated with a statistically significantly longer 6-minute walking distance at the end of the intervention, compared with management without early pulmonary rehabilitation.

Dyspnea based on a numeric scale was statistically significantly improved at the end of the intervention, but not at the longest followup in the early pulmonary rehabilitation group compared with the management without early pulmonary rehabilitation group. Early pulmonary rehabilitation was also statistically significantly associated with decreased cough at the end of the intervention compared with management without early pulmonary rehabilitation.

There was no statistical difference between the intervention and management without early pulmonary rehabilitation for other outcomes including: dyspnea (based on questionnaire) at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup, hospital admissions at 30 days and 1 year.

Serious AEs were reported in 7 cases in the early pulmonary rehabilitation group, including worsening COPD exacerbations (5 cases), pneumonia (1 case), a not further specified respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorder (1 case), and vascular disorder (1 case). There was no statistically significant difference observed between the early pulmonary rehabilitation group and the management without early pulmonary rehabilitation in serious AEs, total number of AEs, withdrawals, and withdrawals due to AEs. (Appendix Table H.12.)

Table 34. Comparison of early pulmonary rehabilitation versus management without early pulmonary rehabilitation, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 3.26; 95% CI: 0.13 to 81.98, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹¹¹ with 97 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MMRC) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.50; 95% CI: -3.01 to 2.06, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁵ with 94 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MMRC) Longest Followup	WMD: 0.04; 95% CI: -0.48 to 0.56, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁵ with 94 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Modified Borg; Borg) End of Intervention	SMD: 0.66; 95% CI: 0.31 to 1.00, I ² =89.21%	2 RCT ^{94, 95} with 156 patients	High ROB and inconsistency	Low SOE supporting improvement
Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Borg) Longest Followup	WMD: 0.20; 95% CI: -0.69 to 0.29, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹¹ with 97 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Hospital Admission At 30 days	OR: 0.65; 95% CI: 0.26 to 1.60, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹¹ with 97 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Rate Ratio: 0.60; 95% CI: 0.31 to 1.15, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹¹ with 97 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Hospital Admission Longest Followup	Hazard ratio: 1.19, 95% CI: 0.90 to 1.60, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁴⁶ with 320 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CAT = COPD Assessment Test; CI = confidence interval; MMRC = Modified Medical Research Council Scale; MRC = Medical Research Council Scale; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; QoL = quality of life; ROB = risk of bias; SMD = standardized mean difference; SOE = strength of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 35. Comparison of early pulmonary rehabilitation versus management without early pulmonary rehabilitation, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Cough (VAS) End of Intervention	WMD: -2.00; 95% CI: -2.98 to -1.02, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴ with 62 patients
6MWD End of Intervention	WMD: 20.02; 95% CI: 12.06 to 28.67, I ² =79.08%	3 RCTs ^{94, 95, 111} with 253 patients

6MWD = 6 minute walking distance; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference; VAS= visual analog score

Whole Body Vibration Training Versus Management Without Whole Body Vibration

One study⁹⁹ evaluated the effectiveness of whole body vibration training during ECOPD compared with management without whole body vibration in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 36 and Table 37).

There was a statistically significantly higher quality of life and a longer 6-minute walking distance at the end of the intervention in the intervention compared with the management without whole body vibration group. Whole body vibration training during ECOPD was not associated with statistically significant difference in FEV1 percent predicted.

No AEs were reported in the whole body vibration group. There was no statistical difference on withdrawals (Appendix Table H.13.).

Table 36. Comparison of whole body vibration training during ECOPD versus management without whole body vibration, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
QoL(SGRQ) End of Intervention	WMD: -12.02; 95% CI: -21.41 to -2.63, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁹ with 49 patients	Intermediate ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting improvement

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SGRQ = St. George Respiratory Questionnaire; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 37. Comparison of whole body vibration training during ECOPD versus management without whole body vibration, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
6MWD End of Intervention	WMD: 89.42; 95% CI: 45.18 to 133.66, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁹ with 49 patients
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -6.52; 95% CI: -16.96 to 3.92, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁹ with 49 patients

6MWD = 6 minute walking distance; CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) Versus Management Without TENS

One study⁸⁷ evaluated the effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) during ECOPD compared with management without TENS in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 38 and Table 39).

TENS during ECOPD was associated with a statistically significantly longer 6-minute walking distance at the end of the intervention, but no difference in dyspnea, FEV1 absolute, and number of withdrawals at the end of the intervention compared with management without TENS group (Appendix Table H.14.).

Table 38. Comparison of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) during ECOPD versus management without TENS, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MRC) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.23; 95% CI: -0.57 to 0.11, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁷ with 82 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; ECOPD = Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; MRC = Medical Research Council Scale N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 39. Comparison of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) during ECOPD versus management without TENS, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
6MWD End of Intervention	WMD: 64.54; 95% CI: 53.76 to 75.32, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁷ with 82 patients
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.05; 95% CI: -0.33 to 0.23, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁷ with 82 patients

6MWD = 6 minute walking distance; CI = confidence interval; ECOPD = Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Supplemental Oxygen Versus Supplemental Air During Mobilization With a Walking Aid

One study¹¹⁷ randomized 120 patients with moderate to severe ECOPD to one of the four groups: gutter frame with supplemental oxygen; gutter frame with supplemental air; rollator with supplemental oxygen, or rollator with supplemental air (Table 40). The presence of hypoxemia at rest was not a requirement for study inclusion, and paO₂ ranged from 72-80 mmHg in the different groups at baseline. Oxygen levels were not measured during mobilization. There was no statistically significant difference observed in dyspnea, mortality, and 30-day hospital admissions, AEs, withdrawals, and withdrawals due to AEs between groups (Appendix Tables H.15. and H.16.).

Table 40. Supplemental oxygen versus supplemental air

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Gutter Frame with Supplemental Oxygen vs. Gutter Frame with Supplemental Air	Dyspnea (Numeric: Borg Dyspnea Scale) End of intervention	WMD: 0.80; 95% CI: -0.90 to 2.50, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁷ with 60 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.06 to 16.76, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁷ with 60 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Hospital Admission 30 days	OR: 1.63; 95% CI: 0.41 to 6.47, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁷ with 60 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Rollator with Supplemental Oxygen, vs. Rollator with Supplemental Air	Dyspnea (Numeric: Borg Dyspnea Scale) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.90; 95% CI: -2.35 to 0.58, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁷ with 60 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Mortality Longest Followup	0 case in both groups.	1 RCT ¹¹⁷ with 60 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Hospital Admission 30 days	OR: 1.63; 95% CI: 0.41 to 6.47, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁷ with 60 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; WMD = weighted mean difference

Titrated Oxygen Versus High Flow Oxygen

A study²⁵ randomized 405 patients with a presumed moderate to severe ECOPD to titrated oxygen (with a target oxygen saturation between 88 percent and 92 percent delivered by nasal prongs) or high flow oxygen (8-10 liters/minute, administered by a non-rebreather face mask). In the subgroup analysis of confirmed ECOPD patients (117 in titrated oxygen vs. 97 in high flow oxygen), titrated oxygen was associated with statistically significantly reduced mortality compared with high flow oxygen at the longest followup (Table 41).

Table 41. Titrated oxygen versus high flow oxygen, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 0.36; 95% CI: 0.14 to 0.88, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁵ with 214 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting improvement
Need for Intubation Longest Followup	OR: 0.13; 95% CI: 0.02 to 1.00, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁵ with 214 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence

Automated Oxygen Titration Versus Manual Oxygen Titration

One RCT⁹⁰ compared automated oxygen titration with manual oxygen titration and found no statistically significant difference in mortality, need for intubation, ICU admission, and hospital admissions. The target oxygen saturation was determined by the attending physician before randomization (Table 42).

Table 42. Titrated oxygen versus free flow oxygen, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.06 to 16.93, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁰ with 50 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Need for intubation End of intervention	OR: 3.12; 95% CI: 0.12 to 80.39, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁰ with 50 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Hospital Admissions 30 days	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.27 to 3.66, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁰ with 50 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Hospital Admissions Longest Followup	OR: 1.63; 95% CI: 0.53 to 4.98, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁰ with 50 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
ICU Admission End of Intervention	OR: 3.12; 95% CI: 0.12 to 80.39, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁰ with 50 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; ICU = intensive care unit; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence

Dietary Intervention Versus Usual Diet

Dietary Intervention Using a Caloric Supplement During ECOPD Versus Usual Diet

One study¹¹⁸ evaluated the effectiveness of a dietary intervention using a caloric supplement during ECOPD compared with a usual diet in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 43 and Table 44). There were no statistically significant differences found between the intervention and usual diet for mortality, dyspnea, QoL, FEV1percent predicted, and number of withdrawals (Appendix Table H.17.).

Table 43. Comparison of a dietary intervention using a caloric supplement during ECOPD versus usual diet, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.05 to 14.28, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁸ with 31 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: Oxygen Cost Diagram) End of Intervention	WMD: 05.95; 95% CI: -5.74 to 17.64, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁸ with 31 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Insufficient evidence
QoL(General Well-Being) End of Intervention	WMD: 22.21; 95% CI: -6.99 to 151.40, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁸ with 31 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; QoL = quality of life; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 44. Comparison of a dietary intervention using a caloric supplement during ECOPD versus usual diet, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 6.14; 95% CI: -0.76 to 13.04, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁸ with 31 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Dietary Intervention Using a Caloric and a Protein Supplement During ECOPD Versus Placebo (Non-Caloric Fluid, Vanilla Flavored Water)

One study¹¹⁵ evaluated the effectiveness of a dietary intervention using a caloric and a protein supplement during ECOPD compared with placebo (non-caloric fluid, vanilla flavored water) in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 45 and Table 46).

A caloric and protein supplement during ECOPD was not associated with statistically significant differences in dyspnea and FEV1 percent predicted at the end of the intervention compared with placebo (non-caloric fluid, vanilla flavored water).

There was no statistically significant difference observed in withdrawals, withdrawals due to AEs, gastrointestinal AEs, and total number of AEs (Appendix Table H.18.).

Table 45. Comparison of a dietary intervention using a caloric and a protein supplement during ECOPD versus placebo (non-caloric fluid, vanilla flavored water), critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: VAS, dyspnea score while eating) End of Intervention	WMD: 0.5; 95% CI: -1.14 to 2.14, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁵ with 47 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SMD = standardized mean difference; VAS = visual analog scale

Table 46. Comparison of a dietary intervention using a caloric and a protein supplement during ECOPD versus placebo (non-caloric fluid, vanilla flavored water), additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -4.36 to 4.36, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹¹⁵ with 47 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Dietary Intervention Using a High Fat Low Carbohydrate Diet During ECOPD Versus Usual Diet

One study¹⁰⁹ evaluated the effectiveness of a dietary intervention using a high fat low carbohydrate diet during ECOPD compared with a usual diet in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 47).

A high fat low carbohydrate diet during ECOPD was not found to have a statistically significant difference in FEV1 percent absolute at the end of the intervention compared with usual diet.

Table 47. Comparison of a dietary intervention using a high fat low carbohydrate diet during ECOPD versus usual diet

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.01; 95% CI: -0.31 to 0.29, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰⁹ with 30 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Omega-3 Fatty Acid Enriched Diet Versus Usual Diet

One study compared omega-3 fatty acids to a usual diet in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 48 and Appendix table H.19).¹²³ There was no statistically significant difference observed in dyspnea, need for intubation, and quality of life between the two groups.

Table 48. Comparison of a dietary intervention using omega-3 fatty acid versus usual diet

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
QoL (CAT) End of Intervention	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -3.46 to 3.46, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²³ with 50 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Need for Intubation End of Intervention	OR: 0.18; 95% CI: 0.01 to 4.04, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²³ with 50 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MMRC) End of intervention	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -0.55 to 0.55, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²³ with 50 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference

CAT = COPD Assessment Test; CI = confidence interval; MMRC = Modified Medical Research Council Scale; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference

Vitamin D Versus Placebo

Two studies^{85, 91} evaluated the effectiveness of vitamin D during ECOPD compared with placebo in patients with moderate to severe ECOPD (Table 49 and Table 50). In one study,⁹¹ vitamin D was given orally, in the other,⁸⁵ it was given as intramuscular injection.

Vitamin D during ECOPD was associated with a statistically significantly better quality of life and less symptoms at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup compared with placebo.

There was no statistically significant difference found in mortality at longest followup, dyspnea at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup, FEV1 percent predicted at the end of the intervention, and number of withdrawals (Appendix Table H.20.).

Table 49. Comparison of a dietary intervention using vitamin D during ECOPD versus placebo, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 1.55; 95% CI: 0.24 to 9.88, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁵ with 70 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MMRC) End of Intervention	SMD: -0.11; 95% CI: -0.42 to 0.20, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{85 91} with 160 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MMRC) Longest Followup	SMD: 0.27; 95% CI: -0.09 to 0.63, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁵ with 70 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
QoL(SGRQ) End of Intervention	WMD: -1.96; 95% CI: -2.89 to -1.03, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁵ with 70 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting improvement
QoL(SGRQ) Longest Followup	WMD: -4.67; 95% CI: -6.00 to -3.35, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁵ with 70 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting improvement

CI = confidence interval; MMRC = Modified Medical Research Council Scale; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SMD = standardized mean difference; SGRQ = St. George Respiratory Questionnaire; SOE = strength of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 50. Comparison of a dietary intervention using vitamin D during ECOPD versus placebo, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Other Symptoms(SGRQ symptom score) End of Intervention	WMD: -4.47; 95% CI: -8.10 to -0.84, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁵ with 70 patients
Other Symptoms(SGRQ symptom score) Longest Followup	WMD: -7.19; 95% CI: -11.12 to -3.26, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁵ with 70 patients
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 2.20; 95% CI: -7.24 to 11.64, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹¹ with 90 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SGRQ = St. George Respiratory Questionnaire; WMD = weighted mean difference

KQ3. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what are the benefits and harms of combinations of treatments that are individually effective (based on empirical evidence in stable COPD)?

Key Point-KQ3

- No statistically significant difference in adverse events was found between any of the combined treatments and individual treatments.

KQ3 Results

There were 3 studies¹²⁶⁻¹²⁸ with 149 patients included for KQ3. The characteristics of the studies are listed in Appendix Table D.3. 1 trial was conducted in a hospital setting,¹²⁷ 1 in the emergency department,¹²⁶ and 1 in an unclear setting.¹²⁸ Studies were conducted in Europe (2),^{127, 128} and in Australia (1).¹²⁶ The average length of treatment was 14.5 days. Followup was not reported in any study. Details of the interventions used in each study can be found in Appendix Table F.3. Individual studies inclusion and exclusion criteria are in Appendix Table I.1.

The overall risk of bias is moderate to high due to unclear risk of bias for most items, including sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of outcome assessors, and unknown risk of other bias (Appendix Table E.1.).

Three studies¹²⁶⁻¹²⁸ assessed the comparative effectiveness of different inhalation treatments for ECOPD (Table 51 and Table 52).

Direct comparison of Ipratropium (short-acting muscarinic antagonists (SAMA) versus Salbutamol (SABA)¹²⁶ did not show any statistically significant difference in FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention. Combination therapy with ipratropium + salbutamol (SAMA+SABA)^{126, 127} did not result in a statistically significant change in FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention compared with salbutamol (SABA) alone^{126, 127} or ipratropium (SAMA)¹²⁶ alone.

Combination therapy of beclomethasone + salbutamol (ICS+SABA)¹²⁸ was not found to have a statistically significant difference in clinical cure, clinical failure and FEV1 percent predicted at the end of the intervention compared with fenoterol (SABA) only.

There was no statistically significant difference found on withdrawals, general internal medicine AEs, neurological AEs, and total number of AEs (Appendix Table H.21.).

Table 51. Comparative effectiveness of inhalation treatments, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
ICS+ SABA (Beclomethasone+ Salbutamol) vs. SABA (Fenoterol)	Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.17 to 5.98, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²⁸ with 30 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
ICS+ SABA (Beclomethasone+ Salbutamol) vs. SABA (Fenoterol)	Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.31; 95% CI: 0.01 to 8.28, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹²⁸ with 30 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; ICS = inhaled corticosteroid; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SABA = short-acting beta adrenergic agonists; SOE = strength of evidence

Table 52. Comparative effectiveness of inhalation treatments, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
ICS + SABA (Beclomethasone + Salbutamol) vs. SABA (Fenoterol)	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 7.60; 95% CI: -2.53 to 17.73, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²⁸ with 30 patients
SABA + SAMA (Salbutamol + Ipratropium) vs. SABA (Salbutamol)	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.07; 95% CI: -0.17 to 0.02, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{126, 127} with 104 patients
SAMA (Ipratropium) vs. SABA (Salbutamol)	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.11; 95% CI: -0.22 to 0.00, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²⁶ with 31 patients
SABA + SAMA (Ipratropium vs. Salbutamol) + SAMA (Ipratropium)	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.04; 95% CI: -0.13 to 0.05, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²⁶ with 33 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; ICS = inhaled corticosteroid; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SABA = short-acting beta adrenergic agonists; SAMA = short-acting muscarinic antagonists; WMD = weighted mean difference

KQ4. In adult patients with exacerbation of COPD, what is the comparative effectiveness of different regimens of antibiotics and systemic corticosteroids based on type of agents (e.g.. broad-spectrum vs. narrow-spectrum antibiotics), delivery modes (e.g., intravenous, oral), and durations of treatment?

Key Points-KQ4

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Antibiotics

- Numerous antibiotics, given as empirical initial therapy for ECOPD (in the absence of pneumonia), were compared against each other but the evidence was insufficient to estimate an effect on final health outcomes; except that levofloxacin reduced repeat exacerbations at 3 months of followup, compared with prulifloxacin (ow SOE).

- Amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid was associated with statistically significantly more adverse events than telithromycin. Imipenem plus cilastatin was associated with statistically significantly more adverse events than meropenem.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Dosages of the Same Antibiotic

- The evidence comparing different dosages of the same antibiotic was insufficient for mortality, clinical cure, and clinical failure.
- No difference in adverse events was found between trovafloxacin 200 mg and trovafloxacin 100 mg.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Application Routes for Antibiotics

- No studies were found.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Durations of Treatment With Antibiotics

- The evidence was insufficient comparing 3 day versus 10 day regimens of amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid.
- No statistically significant difference of AEs was found between 3 day and 10 day regimens of amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Corticosteroids

- The evidence was insufficient comparing the different corticosteroids for mortality, need for intubation, clinical failures, and dyspnea.
- There was no statistically significant difference in AEs found between the different systemic corticosteroids.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Routes of Administration for Corticosteroids

- No difference between intravenous methylprednisolone and inhaled budesonide 40 mg was found in quality of life and repeat exacerbations (low SOE).
- Inhaled budesonide 40 mg was associated with statistically significantly less endocrine-related AEs than intravenous methylprednisolone.

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Durations of Treatment With Corticosteroids

- The evidence was insufficient comparing the different durations of corticosteroid treatment for mortality, hospital admission, need for intubation, clinical failure, quality of life, repeat exacerbation, and dyspnea.
- There was no statistically significant difference in AEs found between the different systemic corticosteroid durations.

KQ 4 Results

There were 34 studies^{75-77, 129-159} with 7,311 patients included for KQ4. The characteristics of the studies are listed in Appendix Table D.4. 14 trials were conducted in a hospital setting,^{75-77, 129, 130, 133, 140, 142, 144, 146, 149, 151, 155, 159} 3 in the Emergency Department,^{137, 141, 158} 10 in an outpatient setting,^{132, 136, 138, 139, 143, 145, 148, 152-154} one in both outpatients and hospitalized patients,¹⁵⁶ and in 5 the setting was unclear.^{131, 134, 135, 150, 157} Studies were conducted in the US (4),^{77, 154, 156, 157} Europe (17),^{76, 130, 135, 138-144, 147, 148, 151-153, 155, 158} Asia (11),^{75, 129, 131-134, 137, 146, 149, 150, 159} South America (1),¹⁴⁵ and 1 study was conducted in 30 countries.¹³⁶ Mean treatment length was 10.6 days and mean reported followup was 3 months. Details of the interventions used in each study can be found in Appendix Table F.4. Individual studies inclusion and exclusion criteria are in Appendix Table I.1.

The overall risk of bias is high due to unclear sequence generation (50.00%), unclear allocation concealment (79.41%), high risk or unclear blinding of patients or care providers (58.82%), high risk or unclear blinding of outcome assessors (88.24%) and high risk or unclear risk of other bias (94.12%) (Appendix Table E.1.).

Figure 2 gives an overview of the different comparisons made in different studies.

Figure 2. Summary of comparisons between different antibiotic agents

Note: Each arrowed line represents a comparison between two treatments reported by the literature.

Fluoroquinolone Versus Aminopenicillin Plus Beta-Lactamase Inhibitor

Three studies^{136, 150, 152} evaluated the effectiveness of a fluoroquinolone (either moxifloxacin, trovafloxacin, or ciprofloxacin) compared with an aminopenicillin (amoxicillin or ampicillin) plus a beta-lactamase inhibitor (clavulanic acid or sulbactam). There were no statistically significant differences observed between the groups in any of the outcomes (Table 53 and Table 54) and adverse events (Appendix Table H.22.). One study¹³⁶ compared moxifloxacin to amoxicillin + clavulanic acid and reported 97 cases of serious unspecified AEs (46 in the moxifloxacin group vs. 51 in the amoxicillin + clavulanic acid group). Four cases in the moxifloxacin group were considered as treatment related, including anaphylactic reaction, bronchitis, gastroenteritis, and tachyarrhythmia); while 2 cases (allergic dermatitis and radial nerve palsy) were reported in the amoxicillin + clavulanic acid group.

Table 53. Comparison of fluoroquinolone versus aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Fluoroquinolone vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid	Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 1.28; 95% CI: 0.31 to 5.19, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{136, 152} with 1656 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Moxifloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid		Moxifloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid: OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.20 to 4.97, I ² =N/A	Moxifloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid: 1 RCT ¹³⁶ with 1372 patients		
Trovafloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid		Trovafloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid: OR 2.94; 95% CI: 0.12 to 72.71, I ² =N/A	Trovafloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid: 1 RCT ¹⁵² with 284 patients		
Moxifloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid	QoL(SGRQ) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.73; 95% CI: -2.04 to 0.58, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁶ with 1372 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	QOL(SGRQ) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.05; 95% CI: -2.31 to 2.21, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁶ with 1372 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Hospital Admission Longest Followup	OR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.56 to 1.33, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁶ with 1372 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Fluoroquinolone vs. Aminopenicillin plus Beta-lactamase inhibitor	Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.61 to 1.81, I ² =64.80%	2 RCTs ^{150, 152} with 337 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Ciprofloxacin vs. Ampicillin + Sulbactam		OR: 11; 95% CI: 0.58 to 209.90, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 53 patients		
Trovafoxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid		OR: 0.87; 95% CI: 0.49 to 1.55, I ² =N/A	1 RCT with 284 patients		
Moxifloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid	Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 0.93; 95% CI: 0.72 to 1.21, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁶ with 1372 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SGRQ = St. George respiratory questionnaire; SOE = strength of the body of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 54. Comparison of fluoroquinolone versus aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Moxifloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 0.76; 95% CI: -0.94 to 2.46, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁶ with 1372 patients
Moxifloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid	FEV1% Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: 1.10; 95% CI: -0.61 to 2.81, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁶ with 1372 patients
Fluoroquinolone vs. Aminopenicillin + Beta-lactamase inhibitor	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.03; 95% CI: -0.02 to 0.08, I ² =0.56%	2 RCTs ^{136, 150} with 1425 patients
Moxifloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid		WMD: 0.03; 95% CI: -0.02 to 0.08, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁶ with 1372 patients
Ciprofloxacin vs. Ampicillin + Sulbactam		WMD: 0.13; 95% CI: -0.20 to 0.46, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 53 patients
Moxifloxacin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid	FEV1 Absolute Longest Followup	WMD: 0.04; 95% CI: -0.01 to 0.09, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁶ with 1372 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Ciprofloxacin (Fluoroquinolone) Versus Amoxicillin (Aminopenicillin)

One study¹⁵³ evaluated the effectiveness of ciprofloxacin, a fluoroquinolone, compared with amoxicillin, an aminopenicillin, and did not find a statistically significant difference between groups for FEV1 percent predicted at the end of the intervention (Table 55). Five patients in the ciprofloxacin group withdrew compared with 1 patient in the amoxicillin group (Appendix Table H.23.).

Table 55. Comparison of ciprofloxacin versus amoxicillin

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 5.00; 95% CI: -7.52 to 17.52, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵³ with 12 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Levofloxacin (Fluoroquinolone) Versus “Standard” Antibiotic Therapy (Clarithromycin or Cefuroxime or Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid)

One study¹⁴¹ evaluated the effectiveness of levofloxacin, a fluoroquinolone, compared with “standard” antibiotic therapy (defined as clarithromycin or cefuroxime or amoxicillin + clavulanic acid). Levofloxacin was associated with significantly lower risk of 30-day hospital admission than “standard” antibiotic therapy (low SOE). There were no statistically significant differences found in mortality, quality of life, repeat exacerbation, and FEV1 predicted between the two groups (Table 56 and Table 57).

There was no statistically significant difference observed in AEs (Appendix Table H.24.). Three patients in the levofloxacin group withdrew due to serious AEs (2 dizziness and 1 diarrhea), compared with 4 patients in the “standard” antibiotic therapy group (3 diarrhea cases and 1 urticaria).

Table 56. Comparison of levofloxacin versus “standard” antibiotic therapy (clarithromycin or cefuroxime or amoxicillin + clavulanic acid), critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality Longest Followup	OR : 0.71; 95% CI: 0.26 to 1.96, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴¹ with 102 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
QoL(HRQOL) End of Intervention	WMD : -0.73; 95% CI: -2.57 to 0.44, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴¹ with 102 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
QoL(HRQOL) Longest Followup	WMD : -2.12; 95% CI: -1.97 to 6.21, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴¹ with 102 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Hospital Admission 30 day	Rate Ratio: 0.46; 95% CI: 0.23 to 0.91, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴¹ with 102 patients	High ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting reduction
Repeat Exacerbation 6 Month Followup	Rate Ratio: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.53 to 1.32, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴¹ with 102 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	Rate Ratio: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.53 to 1.32, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴¹ with 102 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; HRQL = health related quality of life; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 57. Comparison of levofloxacin versus “standard” antibiotic therapy (clarithromycin or cefuroxime or amoxicillin + clavulanic acid), additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -0.95; 95% CI: -8.11 to 6.21, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴¹ with 102 patients
FEV1% Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: 4.17; 95% CI: -6.00 to 14.34, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴¹ with 102 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Azithromycin (Macrolide) Versus Amoxicillin (Aminopenicillin)

Azithromycin was compared with amoxicillin in one study,¹⁴⁵ which showed that azithromycin was associated with a statistically significantly higher FEV1 percent predicted at the end of the intervention but statistically significantly lower FEV1 percent predicted at the longest followup compared with amoxicillin. There were no statistically significant differences found in other outcomes (Table 58 and Table 59) and AEs (Appendix Table H.25.) between groups. 5 patients treated with azithromycin and 2 patients with amoxicillin t reported serious AEs, including respiratory conditions with bronchoconstriction (4 cases), convulsive seizure (1 case), and rib fracture (1 case).

Table 58. Comparison of azithromycin versus amoxicillin, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 1.60; 95% CI: 0.62 to 4.11, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁵ with 102 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 1.24; 95% CI: 0.50 to 3.07, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁵ with 102 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.22 to 1.80, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁵ with 102 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 0.75; 95% CI: 0.27 to 2.04, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁵ with 102 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence

Table 59. Comparison of azithromycin versus amoxicillin, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 3.35; 95% CI: 3.23 to 3.47, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁵ with 102 patients
FEV1% Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: -5.10; 95% CI: -5.24 to -4.96, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁵ with 102 patients
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.10; 95% CI: -0.21 to 0.41, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁵ with 102 patients
FEV1 Absolute Longest Followup	WMD: -0.10; 95% CI: -0.43 to 0.23, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁵ with 102 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Cefaclor (Cephalosporin) Versus Ampicillin + Sulbactam (Aminopenicillin Plus Beta-Lactamase Inhibitor)

Cefaclor, a cephalosporin, was compared with ampicillin + sulbactam (aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor) in one study.¹⁵⁰ There was no statistically significant difference found in FEV1 absolute and clinical cure at the end of the intervention between the two groups (Table 60 and Table 61).

Table 60. Comparison of cefaclor versus ampicillin + sulbactam, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.24 to 3.75, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 57 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SOE = strength of evidence

Table 61. Comparison of cefaclor versus ampicillin + sulbactam, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.05; 95% CI: -0.23 to 0.33, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 57 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Fluoroquinolone Versus Cephalosporin

Three studies^{134, 143, 150} evaluated the effectiveness of a fluoroquinolone (ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin) compared with a cephalosporin (cefaclor or cefuroxime). There were no statistically significant differences found between the groups in any of the outcomes (Table 62 and Table 63). Two serious AEs were reported, including 1 case of hypersensitivity reaction in the levofloxacin group, and 1 case of bronchitis in the cefuroxime group. There was no statistically significant difference observed in AEs, serious AEs, withdrawals, and withdrawals due to AEs (Appendix Table H.26.).

Table 62. Comparison of fluoroquinolone versus cephalosporin, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Fluoroquinolones, vs. Cephalosporin	Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 1.22; 95% CI: 0.85 to 1.75, I ² =57.69%	2 RCTs ^{143, 150} with 741 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Ciprofloxacin vs. Cefaclor		OR: 11.47; 95% CI: 0.60 to 219.08, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 52 patients		
Levofloxacin vs. Cefuroxime		OR: 1.13; 95% CI: 0.78 to 1.64, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴³ with 689 patients		
Levofloxacin vs. Cefuroxime	Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.49 to 2.09, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁴ with 137 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Levofloxacin vs. Cefuroxime	Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 0.92; 95% CI: 0.27 to 3.16, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁴ with 137 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence

Table 63. Comparison of fluoroquinolone versus cephalosporin, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Ciprofloxacin vs. Cefaclor	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.08; 95% CI: -0.23 to 0.39, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 52 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Azithromycin (Macrolide) Versus Cefaclor (Cephalosporin)

Two studies^{150, 157} compared azithromycin, a macrolide, with cefaclor, a cephalosporin. There were no statistically significant differences found between groups in FEV1 absolute, clinical cure and clinical failure at the end of the intervention (Table 64 and Table 65). No statistical difference was found in AEs, withdrawals and withdrawals due to AEs (Appendix Table H.27).

Table 64. Comparison of azithromycin versus cefaclor, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 2.26; 95% CI: 0.94 to 5.48, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{150, 157} with 254 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.09; 95% CI: 0.00 to 1.97, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁷ with 201 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence

Table 65. Comparison of azithromycin versus cefaclor, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.03; 95% CI: -0.30 to 0.23, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 53 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Azithromycin (Macrolide) Versus Ciprofloxacin (Fluoroquinolone)

One study¹⁵⁰ compared azithromycin, a macrolide, with ciprofloxacin, a fluoroquinolone. There were no statistically significant differences found between groups in FEV1 absolute and clinical cure at the end of the intervention (Table 66 and Table 67).

Table 66. Comparison of azithromycin versus ciprofloxacin, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 0.19; 95% CI: 0.01 to 4.21, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 49 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence

Table 67. Comparison of azithromycin versus ciprofloxacin, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.05; 95% CI: -0.37 to 0.28, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 49 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Amoxicillin (Aminopenicillin) Versus Amoxicillin Plus Clavulanic Acid

One study¹³⁹ compared amoxicillin with amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid. There were no statistically significant differences observed between groups in clinical cure and clinical failure at the end of the intervention and clinical cure at the longest followup (Table 68). No statistical difference was found in AEs and withdrawals (Appendix Table H.28.).

Table 68. Comparison of amoxicillin versus amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 0.68; 95% CI: 0.21 to 2.26, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁹ with 137 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 0.59; 95% CI: 0.21 to 1.61, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁹ with 137 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 2.13; 95% CI: 0.51 to 8.88, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁹ with 137 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence

Telithromycin (Ketolide) Versus Amoxicillin Plus Clavulanic Acid (Aminopenicillin Plus Beta-Lactamase Inhibitor)

One study¹⁴⁸ compared telithromycin, a ketolide, with amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid. There were no statistically significant differences found between groups in clinical cure at the end of the intervention and at the longest followup (Table 69). Statistically significantly more patients in the amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid group reported AEs and withdrew due to AEs than those in the telithromycin group (Appendix Table H.29.). 13 patients reported unspecified serious AEs: 7 in the telithromycin group and 6 in the amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid group.

Table 69. Comparison of telithromycin versus amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 1.13; 95% CI: 0.67 to 1.93, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁸ with 324 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 1.08; 95% CI: 0.67 to 1.75, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁸ with 324 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence

Azithromycin (Macrolide) Versus Aminopenicillin Plus Beta-Lactamase Inhibitor

Two studies^{150, 154} evaluated the effectiveness of azithromycin, a macrolide, compared with an aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor (amoxicillin or ampicillin). There were no statistically significant differences observed between the groups in clinical cure, clinical failure, and FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention (Table 70 and Table 71). No statistically significant difference in AEs and withdrawals was found (Appendix Tables H.30. and H.31).

Table 70. Comparison of macrolide versus aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Macrolides vs. Aminopenicillin plus Beta-lactamase inhibitor	Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 1.46; 95% CI: 0.64 to 3.32, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{150, 154} with 124 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Azithromycin vs. Ampicillin + Sulbactam		OR: 2.40; 95% CI: 0.42 to 13.60, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 54 patients		
Azithromycin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid		OR: 1.24; 95% CI: 0.48 to 3.20, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁴ with 70 patients		
Azithromycin vs. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid	Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.15; 95% CI: 0.01 to 3.23, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁴ with 70 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = Forced expiratory volume in one second; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 71. Comparison of macrolide versus aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Macrolides vs. Aminopenicillin plus Beta-lactamase inhibitor	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.08; 95% CI: -0.21 to 0.38, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁰ with 54 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = Forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Prulifloxacin (4th Generation Fluoroquinolone) Versus Levofloxacin (2nd Generation Fluoroquinolone)

Two studies^{130, 135} evaluated the effectiveness of prulifloxacin, a 4th generation fluoroquinolone, compared with levofloxacin, a 2nd generation fluoroquinolone. The only statistically significant difference in outcomes between groups was repeat exacerbations at 3 months, which were statistically significantly higher with prulifloxacin compared with levofloxacin (Table 72 and Table 73). Unspecified serious AEs were reported in 5 patients in the prulifloxacin group and 6 patients in the levofloxacin group. There was no statistically significant difference found in AEs, withdrawals, and withdrawals due to AEs (Appendix Table H.32.).

Table 72. Comparison of prulifloxacin versus levofloxacin, critical outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 0.43; 95% CI: 0.14 to 1.23, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁰ with 258 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Dyspnea Score) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.05; 95% CI: -0.21 to 0.11, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁵ with 357 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 1.37; 95% CI: 0.84 to 2.24, I ² =7.10%	2 RCTs ^{130, 135} with patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation 3 Months	OR: 2.26; 95% CI: 1.15 to 4.45, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁵ with 357 patients	Intermediate ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting worsening
	Rate Ratio: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.42 to 1.43, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁰ with 258 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation 6 Months	OR: 1.29; 95% CI: 0.72 to 2.31, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁵ with 357 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation 12 Months	Rate Ratio: 1.16; 95% CI: 0.76 to 1.76, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁰ with 258 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 1.29; 95% CI: 0.72 to 2.31, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁵ with 357 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Rate Ratio: 1.16; 95% CI: 0.76 to 1.76, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁰ with 258 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.52 to 1.78, I ² =68.97%	2 RCTs ^{130, 135} with 615 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.51 to 1.37, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁵ with 357 Patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 2.24; 95% CI: 0.83 to 6.05, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁵ with 357 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 3.05; 95% CI: 0.61 to 15.33, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁵ with 357 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of the body of evidence; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 73. Comparison of prulifloxacin versus levofloxacin, additional outcomes

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Cough (Scale 0-4 from absent to severe) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.07; 95% CI: -0.19 to 0.05, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁵ with 357 patients
Other Symptoms (Total Symptom Score) End of Intervention	SMD: 0.05; 95% CI: -0.11 to 0.21, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{130, 135} with 615 patients
FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -1.50; 95% CI: -4.72 to 1.72, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁵ with 357 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference

Zabofloxacin (Next Generation Fluoroquinolone) Versus Moxifloxacin (4th Generation Fluoroquinolone)

One study¹³² evaluated the effectiveness of zabofloxacin, a next generation fluoroquinolone, compared with moxifloxacin, a 4th generation fluoroquinolone. There were no statistically significant differences observed in outcomes (Table 74), and AEs (Appendix Table H.33.). Serious AEs were reported in 15 cases: 7 cases in the zabofloxacin group (4 COPD exacerbations, 1 influenza like illness, 1 pneumonia, and 1 acute pyelonephritis); and 8 cases in the fluoroquinolone group (4 COPD exacerbations, 2 pneumonia, 1 variant angina, and 1 urethral stenosis).

Table 74. Comparison of zabofloxacin versus moxifloxacin

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Repeat Exacerbation 30 Days	OR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.39 to 1.74, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³² with 342 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.39 to 1.74, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³² with 342 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 0.99; 95% CI: 0.60 to 1.65, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³² with 342 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 1.19; 95% CI: 0.76 to 1.87, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³² with 342 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.54 to 2.10, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³² with 342 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.55 to 1.60, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³² with 342 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias

Cefpodoxime (3rd Generation Cephalosporin) Versus Cefaclor (2nd Generation Cephalosporin)

One study¹⁵⁶ evaluated the effectiveness of cefpodoxime, a 3rd generation cephalosporin, compared with cefaclor, a 2nd generation cephalosporin. There were no statistically significant differences in outcomes found (Table 75), AEs, and withdrawals (Appendix Table H.34.).

Table 75. Comparison of cefpodoxime versus cefaclor

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 1.51; 95% CI: 0.57 to 3.99, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁶ with 301 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation 30 Days	OR: 1.51; 95% CI: 0.57 to 3.99, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁶ with 301 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 1.51; 95% CI: 0.57 to 3.99, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁶ with 301 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 1.42; 95% CI: 0.84 to 2.40, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁶ with 301 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.13; 95% CI: 0.01 to 1.21, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁶ with 301 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias

Meropenem (Carbapenem) Versus Imipenem (Carbapenem)

One study¹⁵⁵ evaluated the effectiveness of meropenem, a carbapenem, compared with imipenem, a carbapenem (combined with cilastatin, which is an inhibitor of the enzyme dehydropeptidase and prolongs the antibacterial effect of imipenem). There were no statistically significant differences observed in outcomes between groups (Table 76). Statistically significantly more AEs cases were reported in the imipenem group than those in the meropenem group, though there is no statistically significant difference in specific AEs, withdrawals, and withdrawals due to AEs (Appendix Table H.35.).

Table 76. Comparison of meropenem versus imipenem

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Repeat Exacerbation 30 Days	OR: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.28 to 3.63, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁵ with 173 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.28 to 3.63, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁵ with 173 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 0.93; 95% CI: 0.48 to 1.81, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁵ with 173 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.47 to 1.55, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁵ with 173 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.67; 95% CI: 0.11 to 4.09, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁵ with 173 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.06 to 16.44, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁵ with 173 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Dosages of the Same Antibiotic

Trovafloracin 200 Mg Versus Trovafloracin 100 Mg

One study¹⁵² evaluated the effectiveness of trovafloracin 200 mg compared with trovafloracin 100 mg. There were no statistically significant differences found in outcomes between groups (Table 77). Unspecified serious AEs were reported in 13 patients treated by trovafloracin 200 mg and 8 patients in trovafloracin 100 mg. No statistically significant difference was found in AEs, and withdrawals (Appendix Table H.36.).

Table 77. Comparison of trovafloracin 200 mg versus trovafloracin 100 mg

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 3.02; 95% CI: 0.12 to 74.78, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵² with 288 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 1; 95% CI: 0.57 to 1.75, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵² with 288 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 1.34; 95% CI: 0.81 to 2.20, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵² with 288 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias

Intermittent Cefotaxime Versus Continuous Cefotaxime

One study¹⁴⁰ evaluated the effectiveness of intermittent compared with continuous intravenous dosing of cefotaxime, a 3rd generation cephalosporin.

There were no statistically significant differences observed in clinical cure, clinical failure, and number of withdrawals at the end of the intervention between groups (Table 78 and Appendix Table H.37.).

Table 78. Comparison of intermittent intravenous cefotaxime versus continuous intravenous cefotaxime

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 1.80; 95% CI: 0.60 to 5.45, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁰ with 93 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.20 to 5.35, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁰ with 93 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Application Routes for Antibiotics

No eligible studies were identified that compared different routes of application (for example oral, intravenous) for the same antibiotic (class).

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Durations of Treatment With Antibiotics

Amoxicillin + Clavulanic for 3 Days Versus Amoxicillin + Clavulanic for 10 Days

One study¹⁴² compared amoxicillin + clavulanic for 3 days with amoxicillin + clavulanic for 10 days. There was no statistically significant difference found in outcomes and adverse events between the two groups (Table 79). Only mild gastrointestinal AEs were reported: 1 patient in the 3-day group and 5 patients in the 10-day group (p=0.11) (Appendix Table H. 38.).

Table 79. Comparison of amoxicillin + clavulanic for 3 days versus 10 days

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 0.35; 95% CI: 0.01 to 8.96, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴² with 48 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Hospital Admission 30 Days	OR: 0.14; 95% CI: 0.01 to 2.80, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴² with 48 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 0.38; 95% CI: 0.07 to 2.19, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴² with 48 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation 30 Days	OR: 0.71; 95% CI: 0.19 to 2.68, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴² with 48 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 0.71; 95% CI: 0.19 to 2.68, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴² with 48 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 0.57; 95% CI: 0.15 to 2.14, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴² with 48 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.33 to 3.20, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴² with 48 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Resolution Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 1.10; 95% CI: 0.20 to 6.09, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴² with 48 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias

Corticosteroids-Comparative Effectiveness Studies

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Systemic Corticosteroid Agents

One study¹⁵¹ compared intravenous methylprednisolone with intravenous deflazacort hemisuccinate for 7 days. There were no statistically significant differences found in mortality and FEV1 absolute at the end of the intervention between groups (Table 80 and Table 81). No statistically significant difference was observed in AEs, withdrawals and withdrawals due to AEs (Appendix Table H.39.).

One study¹³⁷ compared intravenous methylprednisolone, followed by oral methylprednisone with intravenous hydrocortisone, followed by oral prednisolone. The total treatment duration was 14 days. FEV1 absolute at the end of treatment was statistically significantly higher in the methylprednisolone group compared with the hydrocortisone/prednisolone group, but there were no statistically significant differences observed in the other evaluated outcomes between groups (Table 80 and Table 81). No statistically significant difference in AEs, withdrawals and withdrawals due to AEs was found (Appendix Table H.40).

Table 80. Comparison of different systemic corticosteroid agents, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
iv Methyl-prednisolone vs. iv Deflazacort Hemisuccinate	Mortality End of Intervention	OR : 0.32; 95% CI: 0.01 to 8.24, I2=N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵¹ with 60 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
iv Methyl-prednisolone, followed by oral Methylprednisone vs. iv Hydrocortisone, followed by oral Prednisolone	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.06 to 17.53, I2=N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁷ with 97 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
iv Methyl-prednisolone, followed by oral Methylprednisone vs. iv Hydrocortisone, followed by oral Prednisolone	Need for Intubation Longest Followup	OR: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.06 to 17.53, I2=N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁷ with 97 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
iv Methyl-prednisolone, followed by oral Methylprednisone vs. iv Hydrocortisone, followed by oral Prednisolone	Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 1.37; 95% CI: 0.34 to 5.44, I2=N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁷ with 97 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
iv Methyl-prednisolone, followed by oral Methylprednisone vs. iv Hydrocortisone, followed by oral Prednisolone	Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MRC) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.1; 95% CI: -0.29 to 0.09, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁷ with 97 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; MRC = Medical Research Council Scale; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 81. Comparison of different systemic corticosteroid agents, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
iv Methyl-prednisolone vs. iv Deflazacort Hemisuccinate	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.12; 95% CI: -0.06 to 0.30, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵¹ with 60 patients
iv Methyl-prednisolone, followed by oral Methylprednisone vs iv Hydrocortisone, followed by oral Prednisolone	FEV1 Absolute Longest Followup	WMD: 0.20; 95% CI: 0.06 to 0.34, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁷ with 97 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; I.V. = intravenous; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Routes of Application for Corticosteroids

Systemic Corticosteroids Versus Inhaled Corticosteroids

One study⁷⁵ compared subcutaneous prednisolone with nebulized budesonide given for at least 10 days. FEV1 percent predicted at the end of treatment was statistically significantly lower with subcutaneous prednisolone compared with nebulized budesonide (Table 82 and Table 83).

Oral prednisolone versus nebulized budesonide given for 10 days was compared in one study.⁷⁶ There were no statistically significant differences found between groups in mortality and dyspnea at the end of the intervention (Table 82 and Table 83). Unspecified serious AEs were reported in 8 patients treated by nebulized budesonide and 5 treated by oral prednisolone. No statistical difference in AEs, withdrawals, and withdrawals due to AEs were found (Appendix Table H.41.).

Intravenous prednisolone versus nebulized budesonide¹⁴⁶ given for 10 days was compared in one study. No AEs were reported in any of the two groups.

Another study¹³⁸ evaluated the effectiveness of oral prednisolone + inhaled formoterol (in a metered dose inhaler) compared with inhaled budesonide + formoterol (in a single metered dose inhaler) for 14 days. There were no statistically significant differences observed between groups in any of the evaluated outcomes (Table 82 and Table 83) and AEs (Appendix Table H.42.).

One study¹³¹ compared methylprednisolone (initially intravenous, then oral) versus inhaled budesonide. Patients in the inhaled budesonide group had significantly lower incidence of adverse events than those in the systemic methylprednisolone group. There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1 percent predicted. Another study¹⁵⁹ compared intravenous methylprednisolone versus inhaled budesonide. There was no statistically significant difference found in quality of life, FEV1 absolute values, and repeat exacerbation between the groups (Table 82 and Table 83). However, budesonide was associated with statistically significantly less endocrine-related AEs (Appendix Tables H.43 and H.44). Another study¹³³ compared methylprednisolone (intravenous) to inhaled budesonide 4mg or inhaled budesonide 8mg. There was no statistically significant difference found in FEV1 percent predicted, FEV1 absolute, dyspnea (Table 82 and Table 83) and AEs (Appendix Tables H. 45 and H.46.) between groups.

Table 82. Systemic corticosteroids versus inhaled corticosteroids, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Oral Prednisolone vs. Nebulized Budesonide	Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 3.49; 95% CI: 0.14 to 87.18, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁷⁶ with 133 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Modified Borg Scale) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.70; 95% CI: -1.48 to 0.08, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁷⁶ with 133 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Subcutaneous Prednisolone vs. Nebulized Budesonide	Hospital Admission 30 days	Rate Ratio: 0.80; 95% CI: 0.21 to 2.98, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁷⁵ with 106 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Repeat Exacerbation 1 Month Followup	Rate Ratio: 0.88; 95% CI: 0.34 to 2.33, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁷⁵ with 106 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Oral Prednisolone (+ Inhaled Formoterol) vs Inhaled Budesonide (+Inhaled Formoterol)	QoL(CCQ) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.09; 95% CI: -0.53 to 0.35, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹³⁸ with 109 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	QoL(CCQ) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.20; 95% CI: -0.63 to 0.23, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹³⁸ with 109 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	Rate Ratio: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.49 to 2.14, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹³⁸ with 109 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.35 to 2.36, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹³⁸ with 109 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.2; 95% CI: 0.01 to 4.19, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹³⁸ with 109 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Intravenous Methylprednisolone vs. inhaled Budesonide	QoL(CAT) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.27, 95% CI: -1.28 to 1.26, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁹ with 471 patients	Intermediate ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
	Repeat Exacerbations End of Intervention	Rate Ratio: 0.90; 95% CI: 0.76 to 1.06, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁹ with 471 patients	Intermediate ROB and imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
Intravenous Methylprednisolone vs. inhaled Budesonide 4 mg	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale) End of Intervention	P=NS	1 RCT ¹³³ with 60 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Intravenous Methylprednisolone vs. inhaled Budesonide 8 mg	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale) End of Intervention	P=NS	1 RCT ¹³³ with 59 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CAT = COPD Assessment Test; CCQ = Clinical COPD Questionnaire; CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; OR = odds ratio; N/A = not applicable; NS = not statistically significant; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 83. Systemic corticosteroids versus inhaled corticosteroids, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Subcutaneous Prednisolone vs. Nebulized Budesonide	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -6.60; 95% CI: -12.39 to -0.81, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁵ with 106 patients
Oral Prednisolone (+ inhaled Formoterol) vs. inhaled Budesonide (+inhaled Formoterol)	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -1.40; 95% CI: -5.79 to 2.99, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁸ with 109 patients
	FEV1% Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: -0.40; 95% CI: -4.98 to 4.18, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁸ with 109 patients
	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.02; 95% CI: -0.17 to 0.13, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁸ with 109 patients
	FEV1 Absolute Longest Followup	WMD: 0.02; 95% CI: -0.14 to 0.18, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³⁸ with 109 patients
Intravenous Methylprednisolone vs. inhaled Budesonide	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -0.48; 95% CI: -10.18 to 9.22, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³¹ with 30 patients
	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.04, 95% CI: -0.12 to 0.04, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁹ with 471 patients
Intravenous Methylprednisolone vs. Inhaled Budesonide 4 mg	FEV1 % Predicted End of Intervention	50.7 vs. 44.5; p=NS	1 RCT ¹³³ with 60 patients
Intravenous Methylprednisolone vs. Inhaled Budesonide 8 mg	FEV1 % Predicted End of Intervention	54.8 vs. 44.5; p=NS	1 RCT ¹³³ with 59 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; mg = milligram; N/A = not applicable; NS = not statistically significant; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; WMD = weighted mean difference

Oral Corticosteroids Versus Intravenous Corticosteroids

One study¹⁴⁴ compared oral prednisolone with intravenous prednisolone for 5 days. There were no statistically significant differences observed between groups in any of the evaluated outcomes (Table 84 and Table 85) and number of withdrawals (Appendix Table H.47).

Intravenous methylprednisolone, (+nebulized salbutamol and ipratropium bromide) was compared with oral methylprednisolone (+fenoterol and ipratropium bromide via metered dose inhaler) in one study.¹⁴⁷ There were no statistically significant differences observed in any of the evaluated outcomes and withdrawals between groups (Table 84 and Table 85 and Appendix Table H.48.).

Table 84. Comparison of oral corticosteroids versus intravenous corticosteroids, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Oral Prednisolone vs. iv Prednisolone	Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 0.14; 95% CI: 0.01 to 2.83, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁴ with 210 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 0.40; 95% CI: 0.08 to 2.13, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁴ with 210 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	QoL(SGRQ) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.07; 95% CI: -4.33 to 2.92, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁴ with 210 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Hospital Admission Longest Followup	OR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.37 to 2.03, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁴ with 210 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.52 to 2.12, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁴ with 210 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 0.78; 95% CI: 0.45 to 1.35, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁴ with 210 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
iv Methyl-prednisolone vs. Oral Methyl-Prednisolone	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 3.45; 95% CI: 0.13 to 100, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁷ with 48 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: VAS(1-10)) End of Intervention	WMD: 1.4; 95% CI: -0.07 to 2.87, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁷ with 48 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	QoL(CRQ) Longest Followup	WMD: 4.00; 95% CI: -8.56 to 16.56, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁷ with 48 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Hospital Admission Longest Followup	OR: 0.36; 95% CI: 0.11 to 1.18, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁷ with 48 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	ICU Admission End of Intervention	OR: 0.28; 95% CI: 0.03 to 2.89, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁷ with 48 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; I.V. = intravenous; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; SGRQ = St. George Respiratory Questionnaire; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 85. Comparison of oral corticosteroids versus intravenous corticosteroids, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Oral Prednisolone vs. iv Prednisolone	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.02; 95% CI: -0.04 to 0.08, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁴ with 210 patients
iv Methyl-prednisolone vs. Oral Methyl-Prednisolone	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -7.98 to 7.98, I ² =N/A	RCT ¹⁴⁷ with 48 patients
	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.01; 95% CI: -0.21 to 0.23, I ² =N/A	RCT ¹⁴⁷ with 48 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; I.V. = intravenous; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Comparative Effectiveness of Different Durations of Treatment With Corticosteroids

One study⁷⁷ compared systemic corticosteroid treatment for 8 weeks versus 2 weeks. Treatment in both groups started with intravenous methylprednisolone, followed by oral prednisone. There were no statistically significant differences found in any of the outcomes (Table 86 and Table 87) and AEs (Appendix Table H.49.) between groups.

Another study¹⁵⁸ compared systemic corticosteroid treatment for 5 days versus 14 days. Intravenous methylprednisolone was given on day 1 in both treatment groups, followed by prednisone for days 2-5 and placebo on days 6-14 in the shorter active treatment group, and prednisone for days 2-14 in the longer active treatment group. There were no statistically significant differences observed in any of the outcomes (Table 86 and Table 87), AEs, and number of withdrawals (Appendix Table H.50.) between groups.

Intravenous methylprednisolone for 3 days versus 10 days was assessed in one study.¹⁴⁹ FEV1 absolute at the end of intervention was statistically significantly lower in the group treated with methylprednisolone for 3 days compared with the group treated for 10 days, but all other outcomes, AEs, and withdrawals were not found to be statistically significantly different between groups (Table 86, Table 87 and Appendix Table H.51.).

Table 86. Comparison of different durations of treatment with corticosteroids, critical outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids for 8 Weeks vs. 2 Weeks	Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 5.13; 95% CI: 0.24 to 108.51, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁷ with 160 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 1.82; 95% CI: 0.51 to 6.49, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁷ with 160 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
	Hospital Admission 30 Days	OR: 0.49; 95% CI: 0.09 to 2.74, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁷ with 160 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Hospital Admission Longest Followup	OR: 1.10; 95% CI: 0.47 to 2.58, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁷ with 160 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Need for Intubation End of Intervention	OR: 0.49; 95% CI: 0.04 to 5.59, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁷ with 160 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Need for Intubation Longest Followup	OR: 0.66; 95% CI: 0.11 to 4.05, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁷ with 160 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.46 to 1.90, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁷ with 160 patients	High ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
Systemic Corticosteroids for 5 Days vs. 14 Days	Dyspnea (Questionnaire: MRC) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.08; 95% CI: -0.33 to 0.17, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁸ with 313 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.40 to 2.06, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁸ with 313 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
	QoL(Bronchitis-Associated Quality of Life) End of Intervention	WMD: 0.05; 95% CI: -0.12 to 0.22, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁸ with 313 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
	QoL(Bronchitis-Associated Quality of Life) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.02; 95% CI: -0.18 to 0.14, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁸ with 313 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
	Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.61 to 1.53, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁸ with 313 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference
	Need for Intubation Longest Followup	OR: 0.78; 95% CI: 0.39 to 1.54, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁸ with 313 patients	Severe imprecision	Low SOE supporting no difference

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size	Rationale for Strength of Evidence (SOE)	Overall Strength of Evidence
iv Methyl-prednisolone for 3 Days vs. 10 Days	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Dyspnea Score) End of Intervention	WMD: 0.20; 95% CI: -0.35 to 0.75, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁹ with 34 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Dyspnea (Numeric Scale: Dyspnea Score) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.10; 95% CI: -0.81 to 0.61, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁹ with 34 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 1.31; 95% CI: 0.31 to 5.53, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁹ with 34 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence
		Rate Ratio: 1.60; 95% CI: 0.52 to 4.89, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁹ with 34 patients	Intermediate ROB and severe imprecision	Insufficient evidence

CI = confidence interval; I.V. = intravenous; MRQ = Medical Research Council Scale; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; ROB = risk of bias; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table 87. Comparison of different durations of treatment with corticosteroids, additional outcomes

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Systemic Corticosteroids for 5 Days vs. 14 Days	FEV1% Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -1.16; 95% CI: -4.63 to 2.31, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁸ with 313 patients
	FEV1% Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: 0.80; 95% CI: -2.91 to 4.51, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵⁸ with 313 patients
iv Methyl-prednisolone for 3 Days vs. 10 Days	Cough(Cough Scale) End of Intervention	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -0.83 to 0.83, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁹ with 34 patients
	Cough(Cough Scale) Longest Followup	WMD: 0.30; 95% CI: -0.41 to 1.00, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁹ with 34 patients
	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: -0.23; 95% CI: -0.41 to -0.04, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴⁹ with 34 patients

CI = confidence interval; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; I.V. = intravenous; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial; WMD = weighted mean difference

Discussion

Overview

We conducted a systematic review to assess the effectiveness of pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies in adults with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (ECOPD). We assessed the effectiveness of systemic antibiotics, systemic corticosteroids and potentially emerging pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies stratified by severity of ECOPD. Further, we assessed the effectiveness of combinations of treatments, and we compared different regimens (different agents, routes of administration, and duration of treatment) of antibiotics and corticosteroids.

The majority of studies were conducted in hospitalized patients with moderate or severe ECOPD with only a small number of studies conducted in outpatients with mild or mild to moderate ECOPD. Figures 3 and 4 are evidence maps that summarize the distribution of evidence for the effectiveness of interventions compared with placebo or management without intervention treatment. Lung function (forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) absolute or FEV % predicted) was the most commonly evaluated outcome. For most interventions there was only one randomized controlled trial (RCT) per outcome available.

Figure 3. Evidence map showing distribution of trials in mild (or mild/moderate) ECOPD (Key Questions 1 and 2)

	Mortality	Dyspnea	QoL	FEV1 (absolute or % predicted)	6MWD or shuttle walk	Need for intubation	Repeat exacerbation and/or hospital admissions	ECOPD resolution (clinical cure, failure)
Key Question 1								
Systemic Antibiotics	1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT	2 RCTs			1 RCT	4 RCTs
Systemic Corticosteroids	1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT	2 RCTs			1 RCT	2 RCTs
Key Question 2								
Oral Mucolytics				1 RCT				
ICS+LABA				1 RCT				1 RCT
Statin				1 RCT				

6MWD = 6 minute walking distance; ECOPD = exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; ICS = inhaled corticosteroids; LABA = long-acting beta-agonist; RCT = randomized controlled trial

White cells = no RCTs; Grey cells = 1 RCT; Black cells = 2 or more RCTs

Figure 4. Evidence map showing distribution of trials in moderate to severe ECOPD (Key Questions 1 and 2)

Key Question 1

	Mortality	Dyspnea	QoL	FEV1 (absolute or % predicted)	6MWD or shuttle walk	Need for intubation	Repeat exacerbation and/or hospital admissions	Resolution of ECOPD (clinical cure, failure)
Key Question 1								
Systemic Antibiotics	2 RCTs	1 RCT		2 RCTs		1 RCT	1 RCT	2 RCTs
Systemic Corticosteroids	4 RCTs	1 RCT		3 RCTs		2 RCTs	3 RCTs	1 RCT

6MWD = 6 minute walking distance; ECOPD = exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial

White cells = no RCTs; grey cells = 1 RCT; black cells = 2 or more RCTs

Key Question 2 – pharmacologic interventions

	Mortality	Dyspnea	QoL	FEV1 (absolute or % predicted)	6MWD or shuttle walk	Need for intubation	Repeat exacerbation and/or hospital admissions	Resolution of ECOPD (clinical cure, failure)
Key Question 2								
Pharmacologic interventions								
i.v. Aminophyllines		2 RCTs		3 RCTs		1 RCT		
i.v. Magnesium Sulfate		1 RCT		3 RCTs				
Nebulized Magnesium Sulfate				1 RCT				
Oral Mucolytics		2 RCTs		3 RCTs			2 RCTs	
ICS		1 RCT		1 RCT		1 RCT	1 RCT	
ICS+SABA				1 RCT				
Inhaled Antibiotics				1 RCT				
5-lipoglycerase inhibitor	1 RCT			1 RCT		1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT

6MWD = 6 minute walking distance; ECOPD = exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; ICS = inhaled corticosteroids; i.v. = intravenous; SABA = short-acting beta-agonist; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial

White cells = no RCTs; grey cells = 1 RCT; black cells = 2 or more RCTs

Key Question 2 – nonpharmacologic interventions

	Mortality	Dyspnea	QoL	FEV1 (absolute or % predicted)	6MWD or shuttle walk	Need for intubation	Repeat exacerbation and/or hospital admissions	Resolution of ECOPD (clinical cure, failure)
Key Question 2								
Nonpharmacologic interventions								
Chest Physio, Breathing Technique		2 RCTs	2 RCTs	1 RCT			2 RCTs	
Chest Physio, Vibration/Percu ssion		2 RCTs		3 RCTs	1 RCT			
Chest Physio, Positive Expiratory Pressure	1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT		1 RCT	
Resistance Training	1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT	2 RCTs	2 RCTs		1 RCT	
Aerobic Training		1 RCT	1 RCT				1 RCT	
Aerobic + Resistance Training				1 RCT				
Chest Physio + Exercise		1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT				
Early Pulmonary Rehab		3 RCTs	1 RCT		3 RCTs		1 RCT	
Whole Body Vibration Training			1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT			
Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation		1 RCT		1 RCT	1 RCT			
Dietary Intervention, Caloric Supplement	1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT	1 RCT				
Dietary Intervention, Caloric and Protein supplement		1 RCT		1 RCT				
Dietary Intervention, High Fat Low Carbohydrate				1 RCT				
Dietary Intervention, Vitamin D	1 RCT	2 RCTs	1 RCT	1 RCT				
Dietary Intervention, Omega-3 Fatty Acid		1 RCT	1 RCT			1 RCT		

6MWD = 6 minute walking distance; ECOPD = exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; RCT = randomized controlled trial

White cells = no RCTs; grey cells = 1 RCT; black cells = 2 or more RCTs

We found moderate strength of evidence for antibiotics to improve clinical cure and reduce clinical failure in ECOPD compared with placebo. The finding was independent of the severity of an exacerbation episode including in patients with only mild ECOPD treated as outpatients. The review did not identify any antibiotic (group) that was clearly outperforming another.

There was low strength of evidence for the effectiveness of systemic corticosteroids (oral and intravenous) to reduce clinical failure at the end of the intervention. No significant differences were found between different systemic corticosteroid agents or routes of administration. A 5-day course of systemic corticosteroids was not statistically different to a 14-day course based on the final health outcomes mortality, quality of life, repeat exacerbation, and need for intubation.

Additional nonpharmacologic treatments showed in general more promising results than pharmacologic treatments. Some pharmacologic interventions had only been assessed for intermediate outcomes (lung function, symptoms) including magnesium sulfate, mucolytics, inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) and ICS+ short-acting beta adrenergic agonists (SABA), and inhaled antibiotics. None of the pharmacological interventions that were assessed for final health outcomes (aminophyllines, ICS+ long-acting beta-agonist (LABA) and the 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor zileuton) showed a significant improvement in any final health outcomes compared with placebo. In addition to intermediate outcomes, many studies on nonpharmacologic interventions assessed exercise capacity (e.g. 6-minute walking distance) and/or quality of life. For nonpharmacologic interventions, a significant improvement in exercise capacity compared with management without nonpharmacologic was found for resistance training, early pulmonary rehabilitation, whole body vibration training, and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. This suggests that these interventions can potentially successfully address ECOPD-associated deconditioning. An improvement in quality of life was observed for combined chest physiotherapy (using breathing technique) and motion exercises, whole body vibration training, caloric supplements and vitamin D. Evidence from one study indicated that oxygen titration in ECOPD compared with high flow oxygen may reduce mortality.

Chest physiotherapy is commonly prescribed in patients hospitalized for ECOPD; however, the evidence-base for chest physiotherapy, as shown in our review, is weak. There was no evidence of improved final health outcomes, other than reduced hospital admission, from relatively small, low quality trials in our review.

Inhaled salbutamol (SABA) and inhaled ipratropium (short-acting muscarinic antagonists (SAMA)) had comparable effects on lung function and combining the SABA and SAMA did not result in better lung function than either medication alone.

Findings in Relation to What Is Known

This review provides a comprehensive overview of pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions in ECOPD. The literature on interventions for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and ECOPD has proliferated substantially in recent years with numerous published systematic reviews on different interventions for the management of ECOPD. For clinicians, health policy makers and other end users of the evidence, it has become an almost impossible task to keep up with the ever increasing body of evidence on the management of ECOPD. This review therefore addresses an urgent need to provide an up-to-date summary of the current state of evidence for the management of ECOPD.

One of the main findings of this systematic review is that despite a proliferation of the COPD literature, the evidence base for most interventions in ECOPD remains low. While significant progress has been made in recent years in assessing interventions to prevent ECOPD (during stable COPD), the same cannot be said for acute interventions used during ECOPD.

For the standard therapy of ECOPD with systemic antibiotics, corticosteroids and bronchodilators, many questions remain unanswered. While the discussion of COPD phenotypes (and ECOPD phenotypes) has taken center stage on the COPD research agenda, very limited information on ECOPD phenotypes (e.g. infective versus noninfective, high versus low eosinophil count) has been included in trials of intervention for ECOPD. In particular, whether a response to systemic corticosteroid treatment of ECOPD depends on the blood eosinophil level remains unexplored. Studies on ICS for prevention of ECOPD in stable COPD suggest that patients with higher blood eosinophil levels might be more likely to benefit from ICS treatment in terms of reducing the risk of ECOPD.⁴⁴

Despite the ubiquitous use of SABAs and SAMAs in ECOPD, we found only two studies (Key Question [KQ]3) that studied their effectiveness. The role of LABAs and long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMAs) in ECOPD remains largely unexplored with only one crossover trial identified in our review that assessed a LAMA versus placebo.

Another important insight from our systemic review is that some nonpharmacologic interventions (resistance training, early pulmonary rehabilitation, whole body vibration training, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, caloric supplementation, and vitamin D) show promise, but the current evidence is largely based on single, relatively small RCTs. In stable COPD, pulmonary rehabilitation is one of the most effective (though underused) interventions. In recent years, there has been a significant interest in exploring the effects of pulmonary rehabilitation in patients who have recently experienced an ECOPD or even in patients who are in the acute phase of ECOPD (e.g. before hospital discharge).

Our review indicated that pulmonary rehabilitation during ECOPD may increase functional capacity (based on 6-minute walking distance). A potential risk for increased mortality associated with pulmonary rehabilitation commenced during hospitalization for ECOPD has previously been flagged in the guidelines on management of COPD exacerbations by the European Respiratory Society and the American Thoracic Society, published in 2017.⁴⁵ We did not find a significant association with increased mortality for pulmonary rehabilitation or any form of exercise commenced during hospitalization. Our review did not include studies conducted in an intensive care unit (ICU), chronic ventilator unit, or respiratory care unit, which might have contributed to the discrepancy in the findings. Also, a trial of rehabilitation commenced within 48 hours of hospital admission in 389 patients with exacerbations of different chronic respiratory conditions found an increase in mortality in the intervention group at one year (odds ratio 1.74, 95% confidence interval: 1.05 to 2.88).⁴⁶ Mortality was, however, not reported in the subgroup of patients with COPD and is therefore not included in our review. Given the potential of exercise programs during hospitalization for ECOPD to ameliorate deconditioning and improve functional status, further research in this area is urgently needed. Other nonpharmacologic interventions during ECOPD that may improve functional capacity included resistance training, whole body vibration training and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. As these findings were based on single, relatively small studies, evidence from well-conducted large RCTs will be required to confirm these findings. Similarly, caloric

supplementation and vitamin D may improve quality of life in patients with ECOPD, but confirmation from well-conducted large RCTs is required before any definite conclusions can be drawn.

Limitations

For most interventions, only one RCT was available per outcome (KQ1-4), which limits inferences from the quantitative synthesis. Failure to detect statistical significance for most of the outcomes may have resulted from type II error. There was some heterogeneity in the definition of the severity of ECOPD, although in general mild ECOPD referred to patients that could be treated in an outpatient setting, whereas moderate to severe ECOPD was used for hospitalized patients. A number of studies included patients assessed in an emergency department with a broad range of severity of ECOPD. We used the definition of serious AEs listed by the original studies, which could have varied between studies.

Defining resolution of ECOPD and differentiating poor resolution from re-exacerbation can be challenging. We used outcomes as described in the original studies, which might have resulted in heterogeneity of definitions of ECOPD resolution and overlap between clinical failure and re-exacerbation between studies.

Very limited information on ECOPD phenotypes (e.g. infective versus noninfective, high versus low eosinophil count) has been included in trials of intervention. We could therefore not draw any conclusions about interventions for different ECOPD phenotypes. In particular, whether a response to systemic corticosteroids depends on the blood eosinophil levels remains unexplored.

Studies were overall at high risk of bias. This, together with the low number of studies per intervention/outcome, makes interpretation of the body of evidence challenging. We were unable to statistically evaluate publication bias and only included studies published in English. An evaluation of completed clinical trials registered in clinicaltrials.gov showed that 62 percent (24 out of 39) studies were not published.

Applicability

Most studies were conducted in hospitalized patients with moderate to severe ECOPD, and the results of these studies may not be applicable to patients with milder forms of ECOPD treated in an outpatient setting. KQ1 and KQ2 were stratified by severity of ECOPD, which allows determination of the generalizability of the results based on the severity of ECOPD. For KQ2, almost all studies were conducted in hospitalized patients. As we excluded studies conducted in an ICU setting, some of our findings may not be extrapolated to the most severely sick patients who require ICU admission for ECOPD.

The results of comparisons of different antibiotic agents/classes are context-specific, as the optimal antibiotic choice depends on local antimicrobial resistance patterns, which can change over time. The results of these comparisons (KQ4) are therefore not necessarily applicable to patients in different geographic locations and at different points in time.

COPD terminology has not been used consistently in the past with some older studies referring to chronic bronchitis without airflow obstruction as COPD. We excluded studies with patients who had chronic bronchitis but no evidence of chronic airflow obstruction to increase applicability of the results to patients with chronic airflow obstruction.

Not all studies explicitly excluded patients with potential asthma or asthma-COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS), and there is therefore a potential for misclassification.

Pulmonary rehabilitation is a complex (multi-component) intervention, which consists of exercise training, patient education, and behavior change. The detailed interventions for pulmonary rehabilitation were reported in the included studies, which should facilitate reproducibility and applicability. While there are published standards for pulmonary rehabilitation programs,⁴⁷ these have been developed in the context of pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with stable COPD (as opposed to patients with ECOPD).

Suggestions for Future Research

Lung function (FEV1) was the most commonly assessed outcome in studies of interventions to manage ECOPD, while final health outcomes, such as resolution of ECOPD (clinical cure, clinical failure), and repeat exacerbation (with or without hospital admission) were rarely assessed. Future studies in ECOPD should focus on final health outcomes and include clinical resolution of ECOPD and risk of repeat exacerbation in addition to other final health outcomes such as dyspnea and quality of life.

The response to antibiotic therapy as well as corticosteroid therapy in ECOPD likely differs based on the phenotype of the exacerbation episode. A number of studies that used pro-calcitonin-guided treatment algorithms have been conducted on antibiotic therapy versus placebo in ECOPD,⁴⁸ but identification of responders to systemic corticosteroid treatment of ECOPD based on blood eosinophils remains unexplored. This contrasts with the increasing recognition of eosinophilic phenotypes in stable COPD which appear to be more likely to benefit from long-term inhaled corticosteroids.⁴⁴ Future studies on systemic corticosteroids in ECOPD should assess the treatment effect stratified by blood eosinophil count.

Chest physiotherapy using breathing technique and/or vibration/percussions and/or positive expiratory pressure (PEP) is commonly prescribed in patients hospitalized for ECOPD, but there was insufficient evidence that these interventions improve outcomes. As these are resource-intensive interventions, large well-designed trials with final health outcomes including clinical resolution of ECOPD and repeat exacerbations should be conducted to assess the role of chest physiotherapy for airway clearance in ECOPD and inform clinical practice.

It is currently unclear whether pulmonary rehabilitation commenced during hospitalization for ECOPD is associated with increased mortality. An increased mortality was found in the review conducted for the guidelines on management of COPD exacerbations by the European Respiratory Society and the American Thoracic Society but was not found in our systematic review. Given the potential benefit of pulmonary rehabilitation to counteract the deconditioning associated with ECOPD, we believe that conducting high-quality RCTs to answer this question should be a priority.

The relatively new treatment options of whole body vibration and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and dietary interventions with caloric supplements and vitamin D need to be assessed in large high quality RCTs to inform recommendations about these treatments. Such literature (e.g., on vitamin D) is notorious for contradictory findings over time.

Further research is required to determine the optimal route of administration for systemic corticosteroids, i.e. to determine whether oral corticosteroids are generally not inferior to intravenous corticosteroids and to determine a potential role of inhaled corticosteroids (possibly as alternative to systemic corticosteroids) in ECOPD.

Patients hospitalized with COPD exacerbations are at high risk for hospital readmissions and death after hospital discharge, which emphasizes the importance of improving the hospital-to-home continuum of care. Our systematic review only focused on the acute episode of an exacerbation and did not include health service interventions, but there is an urgent need for research that assesses interventions to reduce the risk of adverse outcomes following hospital discharge. Much of the recent debate on adverse outcomes following hospital discharge has focused on reducing 30-day hospital readmissions in ECOPD, as the Medicare's Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program (HRRP) lowered payments to Inpatient Prospective Payment System hospitals with too many readmissions within 30 days. Recent evidence, however, showed that implementation of the HRRP was associated with a significant increase in trends in 30-day post-discharge mortality among patients hospitalized for heart failure and pneumonia.⁴⁹ It is therefore evident that future research that aims to improve outcomes post-hospital discharge for any disease with frequent hospital readmissions including ECOPD should not focus on reducing 30-day hospital admissions in isolation but only in conjunction with final health outcomes such as QoL and mortality.

Conclusion

Despite a proliferation of the COPD literature, the evidence base for most interventions in ECOPD remains limited. Systemic antibiotics and corticosteroids are associated with improved outcomes in mild and moderate to severe ECOPD. Titrated oxygen reduces mortality. Future research is required to assess the effectiveness of several emerging nonpharmacological and dietary treatments.

References

1. Adeloye D, Chua S, Lee C, et al. Global and regional estimates of COPD prevalence: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Glob Health*. 2015 Dec;5(2):020415. doi: 10.7189/jogh.05-020415. PMID: 26755942.
2. Murphy SL, Xu J, Kochanek KD, et al. Mortality in the United States, 2017. *NCHS Data Brief*. 2018 Nov(328):1-8. PMID: 30500322.
3. Kochanek KD, Murphy SL, Xu J, et al. Deaths: Final Data for 2014. *Natl Vital Stat Rep*. 2016 Jun;65(4):1-122. PMID: 27378572.
4. Ford ES, Murphy LB, Khavjou O, et al. Total and state-specific medical and absenteeism costs of COPD among adults aged ≥ 18 years in the United States for 2010 and projections through 2020. *Chest*. 2015 Jan;147(1):31-45. doi: 10.1378/chest.14-0972. PMID: 25058738.
5. Disease GIfCOL. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. 2019.
6. Anthonisen NR, Manfreda J, Warren CP, et al. Antibiotic therapy in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1987 Feb;106(2):196-204. PMID: 3492164.
7. Connors AF, Jr., Dawson NV, Thomas C, et al. Outcomes following acute exacerbation of severe chronic obstructive lung disease. The SUPPORT investigators (Study to Understand Prognoses and Preferences for Outcomes and Risks of Treatments). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1996 Oct;154(4 Pt 1):959-67. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.154.4.8887592. PMID: 8887592.
8. Seemungal TA, Donaldson GC, Paul EA, et al. Effect of exacerbation on quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1998 May;157(5 Pt 1):1418-22. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.157.5.9709032. PMID: 9603117.
9. Miravittles M, Murio C, Guerrero T, et al. Pharmacoeconomic evaluation of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis and COPD. *Chest*. 2002 May;121(5):1449-55. PMID: 12006427.
10. Miravittles M, Garcia-Polo C, Domenech A, et al. Clinical outcomes and cost analysis of exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Lung*. 2013 Oct;191(5):523-30. doi: 10.1007/s00408-013-9487-z. PMID: 23842641.
11. Seemungal TA, Donaldson GC, Bhowmik A, et al. Time course and recovery of exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2000 May;161(5):1608-13. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.161.5.9908022. PMID: 10806163.
12. Donaldson GC, Seemungal TA, Bhowmik A, et al. Relationship between exacerbation frequency and lung function decline in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax*. 2002 Oct;57(10):847-52. PMID: 12324669.
13. Doll H, Miravittles M. Health-related QOL in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a review of the literature. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2005;23(4):345-63. PMID: 15853435.
14. Patil SP, Krishnan JA, Lechtzin N, et al. In-hospital mortality following acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003 May 26;163(10):1180-6. doi: 10.1001/archinte.163.10.1180. PMID: 12767954.
15. Wouters EF. Economic analysis of the Confronting COPD survey: an overview of results. *Respir Med*. 2003 Mar;97 Suppl C:S3-14. PMID: 12647938.
16. Aaron SD. Management and prevention of exacerbations of COPD. *Bmj*. 2014 Sep 22;349:g5237. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g5237. PMID: 25245156.

17. Welte T. Acute exacerbation in COPD: we must do more. *Eur Respir J*. 2016 Jan;47(1):14-5. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01981-2015. PMID: 26721956.
18. Mackay AJ, Hurst JR. COPD exacerbations: causes, prevention, and treatment. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am*. 2013 Feb;33(1):95-115. doi: 10.1016/j.iac.2012.10.006. PMID: 23337067.
19. Calverley P. Current drug treatment, chronic and acute. *Clin Chest Med*. 2014 Mar;35(1):177-89. doi: 10.1016/j.ccm.2013.09.009. PMID: 24507845.
20. Cabrini L, Landoni G, Oriani A, et al. Noninvasive ventilation and survival in acute care settings: a comprehensive systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Crit Care Med*. 2015 Apr;43(4):880-8. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000000819. PMID: 25565461.
21. Barjaktarevic IZ, Arredondo AF, Cooper CB. Positioning new pharmacotherapies for COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2015;10:1427-42. doi: 10.2147/COPD.S83758. PMID: 26244017.
22. Poole PJ. Role of mucolytics in the management of COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2006;1(2):123-8. PMID: 18046889.
23. Poole P, Black PN, Cates CJ. Mucolytic agents for chronic bronchitis or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2012 Aug 15(8):CD001287. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001287.pub4>. PMID: 22895919.
24. Wijesinghe M, Perrin K, Healy B, et al. Pre-hospital oxygen therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Intern Med J*. 2011 Aug;41(8):618-22. doi: 10.1111/j.1445-5994.2010.02207.x. PMID: 20214690.
25. Austin MA, Wills KE, Blizzard L, et al. Effect of high flow oxygen on mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients in prehospital setting: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2010 Oct 18;341:c5462. PMID: 20959284.
26. O'Driscoll BR, Howard LS, Earis J, et al. British Thoracic Society Guideline for oxygen use in adults in healthcare and emergency settings. *BMJ Open Respir Res*. 2017;4(1):e000170. doi: 10.1136/bmjresp-2016-000170. PMID: 28883921.
27. Holland AE. Physiotherapy management of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Physiother*. 2014 Dec;60(4):181-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2014.08.018. PMID: 25450482.
28. Spencer L. Pulmonary rehabilitation for patients with acute chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations: is the evidence strengthening? *Curr Opin Pulm Med*. 2018 Mar;24(2):147-51. doi: 10.1097/mcp.0000000000000453. PMID: 29210749.
29. Osadnik CR, McDonald CF, Jones AP, et al. Airway clearance techniques for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012 Mar 14(3):CD008328. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008328.pub2. PMID: 22419331.
30. Creutzberg EC, Schols AM, Bothmer-Quaedvlieg FC, et al. Prevalence of an elevated resting energy expenditure in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in relation to body composition and lung function. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 1998 Jun;52(6):396-401. PMID: 9683390.
31. Law S, Kumar P, Woods S, et al. Malnutrition screening in patients admitted to hospital with an exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and its association with patient outcomes. *Hosp Pract (1995)*. 2016 Oct;44(4):207-12. doi: 10.1080/21548331.2016.1224007. PMID: 27560297.

32. Calder PC, Laviano A, Lonnqvist F, et al. Targeted medical nutrition for cachexia in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2018 Feb;9(1):28-40. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12228. PMID: 28891198.
33. Manalan K, Rashid T, Singanayagam A. Antibiotic treatment in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Recent trial results. *Clinical Investigation*. 2015 01 Feb;5(2):189-204. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4155/cli.14.113>. PMID: 602447476.
34. Laue J, Reiherth E, Melbye H. When should acute exacerbations of COPD be treated with systemic corticosteroids and antibiotics in primary care: a systematic review of current COPD guidelines. *NPJ Prim Care Respir Med*. 2015 Feb 19;25:15002. doi: 10.1038/npjpcrm.2015.2. PMID: 25695630.
35. Baker EH, Bell D. Blood glucose: of emerging importance in COPD exacerbations. *Thorax*. 2009 Oct;64(10):830-2. doi: 10.1136/thx.2009.118638. PMID: 19786710.
36. Qureshi H, Sharafkhaneh A, Hanania NA. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations: latest evidence and clinical implications. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis*. 2014 Sep;5(5):212-27. doi: 10.1177/2040622314532862. PMID: 25177479.
37. Bourbeau J, Sedeno MF, Metz K, et al. Early COPD Exacerbation Treatment with Combination of ICS and LABA for Patients Presenting with Mild-to-Moderate Worsening of Dyspnea. *Copd*. 2016 Aug;13(4):439-47. doi: 10.3109/15412555.2015.1101435. PMID: 26752024.
38. Woods JA, Wheeler JS, Finch CK, et al. Corticosteroids in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2014;9:421-30. doi: 10.2147/copd.s51012. PMID: 24833897.
39. Bonilla Arcos D, Krishnan JA, Vandivier RW, et al. High-Dose Versus Low-Dose Systemic Steroids in the Treatment of Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Systematic Review. *Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases*. 2016 Feb 17;3(2):580-8. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.15326/jcopdf.3.2.2015.0178>. PMID: 28848882.
40. Walters JA, Tan DJ, White CJ, et al. Different durations of corticosteroid therapy for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018 Mar 19;3:Cd006897. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006897.pub4. PMID: 29553157.
41. Zhang HL, Tan M, Qiu AM, et al. Antibiotics for treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a network meta-analysis. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2017 Dec 12;17(1):196. doi: 10.1186/s12890-017-0541-0. PMID: 29233130.
42. Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. AHRQ Publication No. 10(14)-EHC063-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; January 2014. Chapters available at: www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov.
43. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009 Jul 21;6(7):e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097. PMID: 19621072.
44. Barnes NC, Sharma R, Lettis S, et al. Blood eosinophils as a marker of response to inhaled corticosteroids in COPD. *Eur Respir J*. 2016 May;47(5):1374-82. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01370-2015. PMID: 26917606.
45. Wedzicha JA, Miravittles M, Hurst JR, et al. Management of COPD exacerbations: A European respiratory society/American thoracic society guideline. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2017;49(3):1600791.

46. Greening NJ, Williams JEA, Hussain SF, et al. An early rehabilitation intervention to enhance recovery during hospital admission for an exacerbation of chronic respiratory disease: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*. 2014;349:g4315. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g4315.
47. Spruit MA, Singh SJ, Garvey C, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: key concepts and advances in pulmonary rehabilitation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013 Oct 15;188(8):e13-64. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201309-1634ST. PMID: 24127811.
48. Mathioudakis AG, Chatzimavridou-Grigoriadou V, Corlateanu A, et al. Procalcitonin to guide antibiotic administration in COPD exacerbations: a meta-analysis. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2017 Jan;26(143). doi: 10.1183/16000617.0073-2016. PMID: 28143877.
49. Wadhera RK, Joynt Maddox KE, Wasfy JH, et al. Association of the Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program With Mortality Among Medicare Beneficiaries Hospitalized for Heart Failure, Acute Myocardial Infarction, and Pneumonia. *JAMA*. 2018 Dec 25;320(24):2542-52. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.19232. PMID: 30575880.
50. Niewoehner DE, Collins D, Erbland ML. Relation of FEV(1) to clinical outcomes during exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study Group. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2000 Apr;161(4 Pt 1):1201-5. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.161.4.9907143. PMID: 10764312.
51. Higgins JPT, Green S, eds. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions* Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]: The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.
52. Li T, Yu T, Hawkins BS, et al. Design, analysis, and reporting of crossover trials for inclusion in a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(8):e0133023.
53. Services CfMM. Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program (HRRP). 2018.
54. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Controlled clinical trials*. 1986 Sep;7(3):177-88. PMID: 3802833.
55. Sidik K, Jonkman JN. A simple confidence interval for meta-analysis. *Stat Med*. 2002 Nov 15;21(21):3153-9. doi: 10.1002/sim.1262. PMID: 12375296.
56. Hartung J, Knapp G. On tests of the overall treatment effect in meta-analysis with normally distributed responses. *Stat Med*. 2001 Jun 30;20(12):1771-82. doi: 10.1002/sim.791. PMID: 11406840.
57. Hartung J, Knapp G. A refined method for the meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials with binary outcome. *Stat Med*. 2001 Dec 30;20(24):3875-89. PMID: 11782040.
58. Hardy RJ, Thompson SG. A likelihood approach to meta-analysis with random effects. *Stat Med*. 1996 Mar 30;15(6):619-29. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1097-0258(19960330)15:6<619::aid-sim188>3.0.co;2-a. PMID: 8731004.
59. Morton SC MM, O'Connor E, Lee CS, Booth M, Vandermeer BW, Snowden JM, D'Anci KE, Fu R, Gartlehner G, Wang Z, Steele DW. . Quantitative Synthesis—An Update. *Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews*. (Prepared by the Scientific Resource Center under Contract No. 290-2012-0004-C). Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2018;AHRQ Publication No. 18-EHC007-EF.
60. Wiksten A, Rücker G, Schwarzer G. Hartung–Knapp method is not always conservative compared with fixed-effect meta-analysis. *Statistics in medicine*. 2016;35(15):2503-15.
61. Copas J. A simple confidence interval for meta-analysis. K. Sidik and JN Jonkman, *Statistics in Medicine* 2002; 21: 3153-3159. *Statistics in medicine*. 2003;22(16):2667-8.
62. Jackson D, Law M, Rücker G, et al. The Hartung-Knapp modification for random-effects meta-analysis: A useful refinement but are there any residual concerns? *Statistics in medicine*. 2017;36(25):3923-34.

63. Guyatt G, Rennie D, Meade M, et al. Users' guides to the medical literature. A manual for evidence-based clinical practice. Third edition. ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education Medical; 2015.
64. Ioannidis JP, Trikalinos TA. The appropriateness of asymmetry tests for publication bias in meta-analyses: a large survey. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2007;176(8):1091-6.
65. Abreu Gonzalez J, Hernandez Garcia C, Abreu Gonzalez P, et al. [Effect of intravenous magnesium sulfate on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations requiring hospitalization: a randomized placebo-controlled trial]. *Archivos de Bronconeumologia*. 2006 Aug;42(8):384-7. PMID: 16948990.
66. Centanni S, Santus P, Casanova F, et al. Bronchodilating effect of oxitropium bromide in heart disease patients with exacerbations of COPD: double-blind, randomized, controlled study. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2002 Mar;96(3):137-41. PMID: 11905547.
67. Brown PM, Manfreda J, McCarthy DS, et al. The effect of mechanical vibration in patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Physiother Can*. 1987;39(6):371-4.
68. van Velzen P, ter Riet G, Bresser P, et al. Doxycycline for outpatient-treated acute exacerbations of COPD: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *The lancet respiratory medicine*. 2017(pagination). PMID: CN-01374427.
69. Wang J-X, Zhang S-M, Li X-H, et al. Acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with low serum procalcitonin values do not benefit from antibiotic treatment: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2016 Jul;48:40-5. PMID: 27155210.
70. Hassan WA, Shalan I, Elsobhy M. Impact of antibiotics on acute exacerbations of COPD. *Egyptian Journal of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis*. 2015 01 Jul;64(3):579-85. PMID: 603624004.
71. Brusse-Keizer M, VanderValk P, Hendrix R, et al. Necessity of amoxicillin clavulanic acid in addition to prednisolone in mild-to-moderate COPD exacerbations. *BMJ open respiratory research*. 2014;1(1):e000052. PMID: 25562034.
72. Llor C, Moragas A, Hernandez S, et al. Efficacy of antibiotic therapy for acute exacerbations of mild to moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2012 Oct 15;186(8):716-23. PMID: 22923662.
73. Daniels JMA, Snijders D, e Graaff CS, et al. Antibiotics in addition to systemic corticosteroids for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2010 Jan 15;181(2):150-7. PMID: 19875685.
74. Bathoorn E, Liesker JJW, Postma DS, et al. Anti-inflammatory effects of combined budesonide/formoterol in COPD exacerbations. *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*. 2008 Oct;5(5):282-90. PMID: 18972276.
75. Gunen H, Hacievliyagil SS, Yetkin O, et al. The role of nebulised budesonide in the treatment of exacerbations of COPD.[Erratum appears in *Eur Respir J*. 2014 Jul;44(1):272]. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2007 Apr;29(4):660-7. PMID: 17251232.
76. Maltais F, Ostinelli J, Bourbeau J, et al. Comparison of nebulized budesonide and oral prednisolone with placebo in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2002 Mar 01;165(5):698-703. PMID: 11874817.
77. Niewoehner DE, Erbland ML, Deupree RH, et al. Effect of systemic glucocorticoids on exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study Group. New England Journal of Medicine*. 1999 Jun 24;340(25):1941-7. PMID: 10379017.

78. Davies L, Angus RM, Calverley PM. Oral corticosteroids in patients admitted to hospital with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 1999 Aug 07;354(9177):456-60. PMID: 10465169.
79. Thompson WH, Nielson CP, Carvalho P, et al. Controlled trial of oral prednisone in outpatients with acute COPD exacerbation. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 1996 Aug;154(2 Pt 1):407-12. PMID: 8756814.
80. Emerman CL, Connors AF, Lukens TW, et al. A randomized controlled trial of methylprednisolone in the emergency treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD. *Chest*. 1989 Mar;95(3):563-7. PMID: 2920584.
81. Albert RK, Martin TR, Lewis SW. Controlled clinical trial of methylprednisolone in patients with chronic bronchitis and acute respiratory insufficiency. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1980 Jun;92(6):753-8. PMID: 6770731.
82. Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Hebert P, et al. Outpatient oral prednisone after emergency treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2003 Jun 26;348(26):2618-25. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa023161. PMID: 12826636.
83. Cox M, Connor C, Biggs K, et al. The feasibility of early pulmonary rehabilitation and activity after COPD exacerbations: External pilot randomised controlled trial, qualitative case study and exploratory economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(11).
84. Torres-Sánchez I, Valenza MC, Cebriá i Iranzo MDÀ, et al. Effects of different physical therapy programs on perceived health status in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: a randomized clinical trial. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2017;1-7.
85. Pourrashid MH, Dastan F, Salamzadeh J, et al. Role of vitamin D replacement on health related quality of life in hospitalized patients with “acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease”. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2018;17(2):801-10.
86. Torres-Sanchez I, Valenza MC, Cabrera-Martos I, et al. Effects of an Exercise Intervention in Frail Older Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Hospitalized due to an Exacerbation: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*. 2017 Feb;14(1):37-42. PMID: 27715322.
87. Oncu E, Zincir H. The effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2017 Jul;26(13-14):1834-44. PMID: 27325551.
88. Basri R, Tahir M, Naseem M. Short-term effects of chest physiotherapy in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Journal of Medical Sciences (Peshawar)*. 2017 July;25(3):323-7. PMID: 620855828.
89. Soltaninejad F, Kheiri S, Habibian R, et al. Evaluation effects of nebulized gentamicin in exacerbation of chronic obstructive lung disease. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*. 2016;21 (4) (no pagination)(56). PMID: 612021136.
90. Lellouche F, Bouchard PA, Roberge M, et al. Automated oxygen titration and weaning with FreeO₂ in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD: A pilot randomized trial. *International Journal of COPD*. 2016 24 Aug;11(1):1983-90. PMID: 611880937.
91. Sanjari M, Soltani A, Habibi Khorasani A, et al. The effect of vitamin D on COPD exacerbation: a double blind randomized placebo-controlled parallel clinical trial. *Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders*. 2015;15(1):33. PMID: 27570748.

92. Mukerji S, Shahpuri B, Clayton-Smith B, et al. Intravenous magnesium sulphate as an adjuvant therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a single centre, randomised, double-blinded, parallel group, placebo-controlled trial: a pilot study. *New Zealand Medical Journal*. 2015 Nov 20;128(1425):34-42. PMID: 26905985.
93. Moretti M, Fagnani S. Erdosteine reduces inflammation and time to first exacerbation postdischarge in hospitalized patients with AECOPD. *International Journal of Copd*. 2015;10:2319-25. PMID: 26604731.
94. Liao L-Y, Chen K-M, Chung W-S, et al. Efficacy of a respiratory rehabilitation exercise training package in hospitalized elderly patients with acute exacerbation of COPD: a randomized control trial. *International Journal of Copd*. 2015;10:1703-9. PMID: 26345529.
95. He M, Yu S, Wang L, et al. Efficiency and safety of pulmonary rehabilitation in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Medical Science Monitor*. 2015 Mar 18;21:806-12. PMID: 25783889.
96. Ayfer Aytemur Z, Baysak A, Ozdemir O, et al. N-acetylcysteine in patients with COPD exacerbations associated with increased sputum. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*. 2015 Apr;127(7-8):256-61. PMID: 25595117.
97. Osadnik CR, McDonald CF, Miller BR, et al. The effect of positive expiratory pressure (PEP) therapy on symptoms, quality of life and incidence of re-exacerbation in patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2014 Feb;69(2):137-43. PMID: 24005444.
98. Solooki M, Miri M, Mokhtari M, et al. Magnesium sulfate in exacerbations of COPD in patients admitted to internal medicine ward. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2014 01 Sep;13(4):1235-9. PMID: 600071239.
99. Greulich T, Nell C, Koepke J, et al. Benefits of whole body vibration training in patients hospitalised for COPD exacerbations - a randomized clinical trial. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*. 2014 Apr 11;14:60. PMID: 24725369.
100. Borges RC, Carvalho CR. Impact of resistance training in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients during periods of acute exacerbation. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*. 2014 Sep;95(9):1638-45. PMID: 24879965.
101. Mahmoud Abd El Hafiz A, Mohammed El Wakeel L, Mohammed El Hady H, et al. High dose N-acetyl cysteine improves inflammatory response and outcome in patients with COPD exacerbations. *Egyptian Journal of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis*. 2013 January;62(1):51-7. PMID: 369315238.
102. Kurzaj M, Wierzejski W, Dor A, et al. The impact of specialized physiotherapy methods on BODE index in COPD patients during hospitalization. *Advances in Clinical & Experimental Medicine*. 2013 Sep-Oct;22(5):721-30. PMID: 24285458.
103. Goktalay T, Akdemir SE, Alpaydin AO, et al. Does high-frequency chest wall oscillation therapy have any impact on the infective exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? A randomized controlled single-blind study. *Clinical Rehabilitation*. 2013 Aug;27(8):710-8. PMID: 23503735.
104. Edwards L, Shirtcliffe P, Wadsworth K, et al. Use of nebulised magnesium sulphate as an adjuvant in the treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD in adults: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2013 Apr;68(4):338-43. PMID: 23299960.
105. Tang CY, Blackstock FC, Clarence M, et al. Early rehabilitation exercise program for inpatients during an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation & Prevention*. 2012 May-Jun;32(3):163-9. PMID: 22561417.

106. Cross JL, Elender F, Barton G, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness of manual chest physiotherapy techniques on quality of life at six months post exacerbation of COPD (MATREX): a randomised controlled equivalence trial. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*. 2012 Jul 02;12:33. PMID: 22748085.
107. Woodruff PG, Albert RK, Bailey WC, et al. Randomized trial of zileuton for treatment of COPD exacerbations requiring hospitalization. *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*. 2011 Feb;8(1):21-9. PMID: 21299475.
108. Troosters T, Probst VS, Crul T, et al. Resistance training prevents deterioration in quadriceps muscle function during acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2010 May 15;181(10):1072-7. PMID: 20133927.
109. Tumer G, Mercanligil SM, Uzun O, et al. The effects of a high-fat, low-carbohydrate diet on the prognosis of patients with an acute attack of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2009;29(4):895-904. PMID: 358101090.
110. Kodric M, Garuti G, Colomban M, et al. The effectiveness of a bronchial drainage technique (ELTGOL) in COPD exacerbations. *Respirology*. 2009 Apr;14(3):424-8. PMID: 19192230.
111. Eaton T, Young P, Fergusson W, et al. Does early pulmonary rehabilitation reduce acute health-care utilization in COPD patients admitted with an exacerbation? A randomized controlled study. *Respirology*. 2009 Mar;14(2):230-8. PMID: 19272084.
112. Xiong G, Xu L, Wei L, et al. Atomization inhalation of terbutaline and budesonide efficiently improved immunity and lung function of AECOPD patients. *Cellular & Molecular Immunology*. 2008 Aug;5(4):287-91. PMID: 18761816.
113. Zuin R, Palamidese A, Negrin R, et al. High-dose N-acetylcysteine in patients with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Clinical Drug Investigation*. 2005;25(6):401-8. PMID: 41019987.
114. Duffy N, Walker P, Diamantea F, et al. Intravenous aminophylline in patients admitted to hospital with non-acidotic exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective randomised controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2005 Sep;60(9):713-7. PMID: 15939732.
115. Vermeeren MAP, Wouters EFM, Geraerts-Keeris AJW, et al. Nutritional support in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease during hospitalization for an acute exacerbation; a randomized controlled feasibility trial. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2004 Oct;23(5):1184-92. PMID: 15380912.
116. Black PN, Morgan-Day A, McMillan TE, et al. Randomised, controlled trial of N-acetylcysteine for treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [ISRCTN21676344]. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*. 2004 Dec 06;4:13. PMID: 15581425.
117. Yohannes AM, Connolly MJ. Early mobilization with walking aids following hospital admission with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Clinical Rehabilitation*. 2003 Aug;17(5):465-71. PMID: 12952150.
118. Saudny-Unterberger H, Martin JG, Gray-Donald K. Impact of nutritional support on functional status during an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 1997 Sep;156(3 Pt 1):794-9. PMID: 9309995.
119. Skorodin MS, Tenholder MF, Yetter B, et al. Magnesium sulfate in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1995 Mar 13;155(5):496-500. PMID: 7864705.
120. Rice KL, Leatherman JW, Duane PG, et al. Aminophylline for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A controlled trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1987 Sep;107(3):305-9. PMID: 3619219.
121. Seidenfeld JJ, Jones WN, Moss RE, et al. Intravenous aminophylline in the treatment of acute bronchospastic exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*. 1984 Apr;13(4):248-52. PMID: 6367554.

122. Du F, Liu D, He G, et al. Effect of simvastatin on serum gamma-glutamyltransferase and C-reactive protein in patient with acute exacerbation chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Acta Medica Mediterranea*. 2018;34(5):1221-6. PMID: 623957543.
123. Ogasawara T, Marui S, Miura E, et al. Effect of eicosapentaenoic acid on prevention of lean body mass depletion in patients with exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A prospective randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Nutrition ESPEN*. 2018 Dec;28:67-73. PMID: 30390895.
124. Behnke M, Taube C, Kirsten D, et al. Home-based exercise is capable of preserving hospital-based improvements in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med*. 2000 Dec;94(12):1184-91. doi: 10.1053/rmed.2000.0949. PMID: 11192954.
125. Kirsten DK, Taube C, Lehnigk B, et al. Exercise training improves recovery in patients with COPD after an acute exacerbation. *Respir Med*. 1998 Oct;92(10):1191-8. PMID: 9926148.
126. Koutsogiannis Z, Kelly AM. Does high dose ipratropium bromide added to salbutamol improve pulmonary function for patients with chronic obstructive airways disease in the emergency department? *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Medicine*. 2000 Feb;30(1):38-40. PMID: 10800876.
127. Moayyedi P, Congleton J, Page RL, et al. Comparison of nebulised salbutamol and ipratropium bromide with salbutamol alone in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax*. 1995 Aug;50(8):834-7. PMID: 7570433.
128. Perri G, Giovannini M, Spada E. Salbutamol plus beclomethasone dipropionate (Ventolin Flogo) vs. fenoterol (Dosberotec) in chronic obstructive lung disease therapeutic strategy: a 4-week clinical trial. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, Therapy, & Toxicology*. 1985 May;23(5):274-8. PMID: 3891642.
129. Ardestani ME, Kalantary E, Samaei V, et al. Methyl prednisolone vs dexamethasone in management of COPD exacerbation; a randomized clinical trial. *Emergency*. 2017;5(1):195-200. PMID: 614121265.
130. Giusti M, Blasi F, Iori I, et al. Prulifloxacin vs Levofloxacin for Exacerbation of COPD after Failure of Other Antibiotics. *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*. 2016 Oct;13(5):555-60. PMID: 27027547.
131. Sun X, He Z, Zhang J, et al. Compare the efficacy of inhaled budesonide and systemic methylprednisolone on systemic inflammation of AECOPD. *Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*. 2015 Apr;31:111-6. PMID: 25281894.
132. Rhee CK, Chang JH, Choi EG, et al. Zabofloxacin versus moxifloxacin in patients with COPD exacerbation: a multicenter, double-blind, double-dummy, randomized, controlled, Phase III, non-inferiority trial. *International Journal of Copd*. 2015;10:2265-75. PMID: 26543359.
133. Yilmazel Ucar E, Araz O, Meral M, et al. Two different dosages of nebulized steroid versus parenteral steroid in the management of COPD exacerbations: a randomized control trial. *Medical Science Monitor*. 2014 Mar 28;20:513-20. PMID: 24675102.
134. Yoon HI, Lee C-H, Kim DK, et al. Efficacy of levofloxacin versus cefuroxime in treating acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *International Journal of Copd*. 2013;8:329-34. PMID: 23874094.
135. Blasi F, Schaberg T, Centanni S, et al. Prulifloxacin versus levofloxacin in the treatment of severe COPD patients with acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*. 2013 Oct;26(5):609-16. PMID: 23538168.
136. Wilson R, Anzueto A, Miravittles M, et al. Moxifloxacin versus amoxicillin/clavulanic acid in outpatient acute exacerbations of COPD: MAESTRAL results.[Erratum appears in *Eur Respir J*. 2012 Sep;40(3):800]. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2012 Jul;40(1):17-27. PMID: 22135277.

137. Aggarwal P, Wig N, Bhoi S. Efficacy of two corticosteroid regimens in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *International Journal of Tuberculosis & Lung Disease*. 2011 May;15(5):687-92. PMID: 21756523.
138. Stallberg B, Selroos O, Vogelmeier C, et al. Budesonide/formoterol as effective as prednisolone plus formoterol in acute exacerbations of COPD. A double-blind, randomised, non-inferiority, parallel-group, multicentre study. *Respiratory Research*. 2009 Feb 19;10:11. PMID: 19228428.
139. Llor C, Hernandez S, Ribas A, et al. Efficacy of amoxycillin versus amoxycillin/clavulanate in acute exacerbations of chronic pulmonary obstructive disease in primary care. *International Journal of Copd*. 2009;4:45-53. PMID: 19436696.
140. van Zanten ARH, Oudijk M, Nohlmans-Paulssen MKE, et al. Continuous vs. intermittent cefotaxime administration in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and respiratory tract infections: pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics, bacterial susceptibility and clinical efficacy. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*. 2007 Jan;63(1):100-9. PMID: 16869814.
141. Ruiz-Gonzalez A, Gimenez A, Gomez-Arbones X, et al. Open-label, randomized comparison trial of long-term outcomes of levofloxacin versus standard antibiotic therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respirology*. 2007 Jan;12(1):117-21. PMID: 17207036.
142. Roede BM, Bresser P, El Moussaoui R, et al. Three vs. 10 days of amoxycillin-clavulanic acid for type 1 acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised, double-blind study. *Clinical Microbiology & Infection*. 2007 Mar;13(3):284-90. PMID: 17391383.
143. Petitpretz P, Chone C, Tremolieres F, et al. Levofloxacin 500 mg once daily versus cefuroxime 250 mg twice daily in patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive bronchitis: clinical efficacy and exacerbation-free interval. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*. 2007 Jul;30(1):52-9. PMID: 17512704.
144. de Jong YP, Uil SM, Grotjohan HP, et al. Oral or IV prednisolone in the treatment of COPD exacerbations: a randomized, controlled, double-blind study. *Chest*. 2007 Dec;132(6):1741-7. PMID: 17646228.
145. Andre-Alves MR, Jardim JR, Frare e Silva R, et al. Comparison between azithromycin and amoxicillin in the treatment of infectious exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Jornal Brasileiro De Pneumologia: Publicacao Oficial Da Sociedade Brasileira De Pneumologia E Tisiologia*. 2007 Jan-Feb;33(1):43-50. PMID: 17568867.
146. Mirici A, Meral M, Akgun M. Comparison of the efficacy of nebulised budesonide with parenteral corticosteroids in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Clinical Drug Investigation*. 2003;23(1):55-62. PMID: 23319094.
147. Willaert W, Daenen M, Bomans P, et al. What is the optimal treatment strategy for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations? *European Respiratory Journal*. 2002 May;19(5):928-35. PMID: 12030735.
148. Aubier M, Aldons PM, Leak A, et al. Telithromycin is as effective as amoxicillin/clavulanate in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2002 Nov;96(11):862-71. PMID: 12418583.
149. Sayiner A, Aytemur ZA, Cirit M, et al. Systemic glucocorticoids in severe exacerbations of COPD. *Chest*. 2001 Mar;119(3):726-30. PMID: 11243949.

150. Umut S, Tutluoglu B, Aydin Tosun G, et al. Determination of the etiological organism during acute exacerbations of COPD and efficacy of azithromycin, ampicillin-sulbactam, ciprofloxacin and cefaclor. Turkish Thoracic Society COPD Working Group. *Journal of Chemotherapy*. 1999 Jun;11(3):211-4. PMID: 10435684.
151. Rizzato G. Effects of deflazacort hemisuccinate vs. methylprednisolone succinate in COPD exacerbations. *Internista*. 1998;6(1):35-40. PMID: 28122103.
152. Leophonte P, Baldwin RJ, Pluck N. Trovafloxacin versus amoxicillin/clavulanic acid in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive bronchitis. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*. 1998 Jun;17(6):434-40. PMID: 9758289.
153. Hasani A, Pavia D, Rotondetto S, et al. Effect of oral antibiotics on lung mucociliary clearance during exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respiratory Medicine*. 1998 Mar;92(3):442-7. PMID: 9692103.
154. Whitlock W. Multicenter comparison of azithromycin and amoxicillin/clavulanate in the treatment of patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Current Therapeutic Research - Clinical and Experimental*. 1995;56(10):985-95. PMID: 25335828.
155. Hamacher J, Vogel F, Lichey J, et al. Treatment of acute bacterial exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in hospitalised patients--a comparison of meropenem and imipenem/cilastatin. COPD Study Group. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 1995 Jul;36 Suppl A:121-33. PMID: 8543488.
156. Phillips H, Van Hook CJ, Butler T, et al. A comparison of cefpodoxime proxetil and cefaclor in the treatment of acute exacerbation of COPD in adults. *Chest*. 1993 Nov;104(5):1387-92. PMID: 8222793.
157. Dark D. Azithromycin versus cefaclor in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Current Therapeutic Research - Clinical and Experimental*. 1993;53(2):203-11. PMID: 23119468.
158. Leuppi JD, Schuetz P, Bingisser R, et al. Short-term vs conventional glucocorticoid therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the REDUCE randomized clinical trial. *Jama*. 2013;309(21):2223-31.
159. Ding Z, Li X, Lu Y, et al. A randomized, controlled multicentric study of inhaled budesonide and intravenous methylprednisolone in the treatment on acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med*. 2016 Dec;121:39-47. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2016.10.013. PMID: 27888990.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ACOS	Asthma-COPD overlap syndrome
AE	Adverse Event
AHRQ	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
ATS	American Thoracic Society
CI	Confidence Interval
COPD	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
D-L	DerSimonian and Laird
ECOPD	Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
ED	Emergency Department
EPC	Evidence-based Practice Center
ERS	European Respiratory Society
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FEV1	Forced Expiratory Volume in One Second
GOLD	Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease
HKSJ	Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman
ICS	Inhaled Corticosteroid
ICU	Intensive Care Unit
KQ	Key Question
LABA	Long-acting beta-agonist
LAMA	Long-acting muscarinic antagonists
Mg	Milligram
OR	Odds Ratio
PICOTS	Population, Interventions, Comparisons, Outcomes, Timing, and Setting
PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
QoL	Quality of Life
RCTs	Randomized Controlled Trials
RCU	Respiratory Care Unit
SABAs	Short-acting Beta Adrenergic Agonists
SAMAs	Short-acting Muscarinic Antagonists
SMD	Standardized Mean Difference
SOE	Strength of Evidence
TENS	Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation
US	United States of America
WMD	Weighted Mean Difference

Appendixes

Tables

Figure A.1. Flow chart.....	1
Table D.1. KQ1: Characteristics of included studies.....	1
Table D.2. KQ2: Characteristics of included studies.....	10
Table D.3. KQ3: Characteristics of included studies.....	24
Table D.4. KQ4: Characteristics of included studies.....	25
Table E.1. Risk of Bias (Cochrane ROB tool) for included studies	1
Table F.1. KQ1: Intervention description and conclusions	1
Table F.2. KQ2: Intervention description and conclusions	8
Table F.3. KQ3: Intervention description and conclusions	26
Table F.4. KQ4: Intervention description and conclusions	27
Table G.1. KQ1 results: mild ECOPD severity- antibiotics vs. placebo	1
Table G.2. KQ1 results: moderate-severe ECOPD severity-antibiotics vs. control	2
Table G.3. KQ1 results: Mild Severity-systemic corticosteroids vs. placebo	3
Table G.4. KQ1 results: Moderate-severe severity- systemic corticosteroids vs. control	3
Table G.5. KQ2 results: Mild severity- mucolytics vs management without mucolytics.	4
Table G.6. KQ2 results: Moderate-severe severity - mucolytics vs placebo.....	5
Table G.7. KQ2 results: Moderate-severe severity-inhaled corticosteroids vs control	5
Table G.8. KQ2 results: Moderate-severe severity-inhaled corticosteroids with inhaled short- acting bronchodilators vs management without inhaled corticosteroids	6
Table G.9. KQ2 results: Mild severity-inhaled corticosteroids with inhaled long acting bronchodilators vs placebo	6
Table H.1. KQ1: Adverse events. Antibiotics compared with control	1
Table H.2. KQ1: Adverse events. Systemic corticosteroids compared with control.....	1
Table H.3. KQ2: Adverse events. Intravenous aminophyllines compared with placebo	2
Table H.4. KQ2: Adverse events. Oral mucolytics compared with placebo	2
Table H.5. KQ2: Adverse events. Inhaled corticosteroids with or without inhaled short- and long acting bronchodilators compared with placebo	2
Table H.6. KQ2: Adverse events. 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor (zileuton) compared with placebo	2
Table H.7. KQ2: Adverse events. Chest physiotherapy using breathing technique compared with management without chest physiotherapy	3
Table H.8. KQ2: Adverse events. Chest physiotherapy using vibration/percussion/massage compared with management without chest physiotherapy	3
Table H.9. KQ2: Adverse events. Chest physiotherapy using positive expiratory pressure compared with management without positive expiratory pressure.....	3
Table H.10. KQ2: Adverse events. Exercise using resistance training compared with management without resistance training.....	3
Table H.11. KQ2: Adverse events. Exercise using combined aerobic + resistance training compared with management without exercise training.	3
Table H.12. KQ2: Adverse events. Early pulmonary rehabilitation compared with management without early pulmonary rehabilitation.....	4
Table H.13. KQ2: Adverse events. Whole body vibration training during ECOPD compared with management without whole body vibration.....	4

Table H.14. KQ2: Adverse events. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) during ECOPD compared with vs management without Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation ..	4
Table H.15. KQ2: Adverse events. Gutter frame with supplemental oxygen compared with gutter frame supplemental air.....	4
Table H.16. KQ2: Adverse events. Rollator with supplemental oxygen compared with gutter frame supplemental air.....	4
Table H.17. KQ2: Adverse events. Dietary intervention using a caloric supplement during ECOPD compared with usual diet	5
Table H.18. KQ2: Adverse events. Dietary intervention using a caloric and a protein supplement during ECOPD compared with Placebo (non-caloric fluid, vanilla flavored water).....	5
Table. H.19. KQ. Adverse events. Dietary intervention using omega-3 fatty acid compared with usual diet	5
Table H.20. KQ2: Adverse events. Dietary intervention using vitamin D during ECOPD compared with placebo	5
Table H.21. KQ3: Adverse events. ICS+ SABA (beclomethasone+ salbutamol compared with SABA (Fenoterol).....	5
Table H.22. KQ4: Adverse events. Aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase compared with fluoroquinolone.....	5
Table H.23. KQ4: Adverse events. Ciprofloxacin compared with amoxicillin.....	6
Table H.24. KQ4: Adverse events. “Standard” antibiotic therapy (clarithromycin or cefuroxime or amoxicillin + clavulanic acid) compared with levofloxacin	6
Table H.25. KQ4: Adverse events. Amoxicillin compared with azithromycin.....	6
Table H.26. KQ4: Adverse events. Cephalosporin compared with fluoroquinolone	6
Table H.27. KQ4: Adverse events. Azithromycin compared with cefaclor	7
Table H.28. KQ4: Adverse events. Amoxicillin compared with amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid	7
Table H.29. KQ4: Adverse events. Amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid compared with telithromycin	7
Table H.30. KQ4: Adverse events. Aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamases inhibitor plus clavulanic acid compared with macrolides	7
Table H.31. KQ4: Adverse events. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic acid compared azithromycin.....	8
Table H.32. KQ4: Adverse events. Levofloxacin compared with prulifloxacin	8
Table H.33. KQ4: Adverse events. Moxifloxacin compared with zabofloxacin.....	8
Table H.34. KQ4: Adverse events. Cefaclor compared with cefpodoxime.....	8
Table H.35. KQ4: Adverse events. Imipenem+cilastatin compared with meropenem	9
Table H.36. KQ4: Adverse events. Trovafloxacin 200 mg compared with trovafloxacin 100 mg	9
Table H.37. KQ4: Adverse events. Intermittent intravenous cefotaxime compared with continuous intravenous cefotaxime.....	9
Table H.38. KQ4: Adverse events. Amoxicillin + clavulanic for 10 days compared with amoxicillin + Clavulanic for 3 days.....	9
Table H.39. KQ4: Adverse events. Deflazacort hemisuccinate compared with methylprednisolone.....	9
Table H.40. KQ4: Adverse events. Hydrocortisone i.v. followed by prednisolone oral compared with methylprednisolone i.v. followed by methylprednisone oral	10
Table H.41. KQ4: Adverse events. Nebulized budesonide compared with oral prednisolone.....	10
Table H.42. KQ4: Adverse events. Oral prednisolone + inhaled formoterol compared with inhaled budesonide + formoterol	10

Table H.43. KQ4: Adverse events. Inhaled budesonide + formoterol compared with systemic methylprednisolone.....	10
Table H.44. KQ4: Adverse events. Inhaled Budesonide 40mg compared with Intravenous Methylprednisolone (initially intravenous, then oral)	10
Table H.45. KQ4: Adverse events. Inhaled Budesonide 4mg compared with Intravenous Methylprednisolone	11
Table H.46. KQ4: Adverse events. Inhaled Budesonide 8mg compared with intravenous methylprednisolone.....	11
Table H.47. KQ4: Adverse events. Oral prednisolone compared with intravenous prednisolone	11
Table H.48. KQ4: Adverse events. Oral methyl-prednisolone compared with intravenous methyl-prednisolone.....	11
Table H.49. KQ4: Adverse events. Glucocorticoid for 2 weeks compared with glucocorticoid for 8 weeks.....	11
Table H.50. KQ4: Adverse events. Methylprednisolone for 5 days compared with methylprednisolone for 14 days.....	11
Table H.51. KQ4: Adverse events. Methylprednisolone for 3 days compared with methylprednisolone for 10 days.....	12
Table I.1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria of Included Studies	1
Table J.1. Sensitivity Analysis.....	1

Figures

Figure A.1. Flow chart	A-1
------------------------------	-----

Appendix A. Flow Chart

Figure A.1. Flow chart

Appendix B. Search Strategy

Ovid

Database(s): EBM Reviews - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials November 2018, EBM Reviews - Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2005 to December 28, 2018, Embase 1974 to 2018 January 02, Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily 1946 to January 02, 2019

Search Strategy:

Searches

- 1 exp Pulmonary Disease, Chronic Obstructive/dh, dt, px, rh, th or exp Lung Diseases, Obstructive/dh, dt, px, rh, th
- 2 exp chronic obstructive lung disease/dm, dt, rh, th
- 3 ((chronic* adj3 bronchiti*) or (obstruct* adj3 (pulmonary or lung* or airway* or airflow* or bronch* or respirat*)) or aecb or "chronic airflow disease*" or "chronic airflow disorder*" or "chronic airflow limitation*" or "chronic airflow obstruction*" or "chronic airway disease*" or "chronic airway disorder*" or "chronic airway limitation*" or "chronic airway obstruction*" or "chronic bronchitis" or "chronic obstructive airflow disease*" or "chronic obstructive airflow disorder*" or "chronic obstructive airway disease*" or "chronic obstructive airway disorder*" or "chronic obstructive bronchitis" or "chronic obstructive bronchopulmonary disease*" or "chronic obstructive broncho-pulmonary disease*" or "chronic obstructive bronchopulmonary disorder*" or "chronic obstructive broncho-pulmonary disorder*" or "chronic obstructive lung disease*" or "chronic obstructive lung disorder*" or "chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*" or "chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder*" or "chronic obstructive respiratory disease*" or "chronic obstructive respiratory disorder*" or coad or cobd or copd or emphysema* or "obstructive lung disease" or "obstructive lung disorder*" or "obstructive pulmonary disease*" or "obstructive pulmonary disorder*" or "obstructive pulmonary tract disease*" or "obstructive pulmonary tract disorder*" or "obstructive respiratory disease*" or "obstructive respiratory disorder*" or "obstructive respiratory tract disease*" or "obstructive respiratory tract disorder*").ti,ab,hw,kw.
- 4 ((increas* adj3 (severity or seriousness)) or exacerbation* or worsen*).ti,ab,hw,kw.
- 5 (1 or 2 or 3) and 4
- 6 exp Bronchodilator Agents/ or exp Adrenergic beta-2 Receptor Agonists/ or exp Cholinergic Antagonists/ or exp Phosphodiesterase 4 Inhibitors/ or exp Antibiotic Prophylaxis/ or exp Anti-Bacterial Agents/ or exp antibiotic agent/ or exp Benzodiazepines/ or exp Respiration, Artificial/ or exp Adrenal Cortex Hormones/ or exp corticosteroid/ or exp corticosteroid therapy/ or exp Expectorants/ or exp narcotic analgesic agent/ or exp Analgesics, Opioid/ or exp Smoking Cessation/ or exp Respiratory Therapy/ or exp exercise/ or exp Exercise Therapy/ or exp Breathing Exercises/ or exp Exercise Movement Techniques/ or exp Nutrition Therapy/ or exp Influenza Vaccines/ or exp Pneumococcal Vaccines/ or exp vaccination/ or exp Psychotherapy/ or exp Cognitive Therapy/ or exp Cognitive Behavior Therapy/ or exp Mindfulness/ or exp Mind-Body Therapies/ or exp Self Care/ or exp Acupuncture exp Complementary Therapies/ or exp Electric Stimulation Therapy/
- 7 ((action adj3 plan*) or (disease adj2 manag*) or (management adj1 program*) or Acupuncture or "Adrenal Cortex Hormone*" or "Adrenergic beta-2 Receptor Agonist*" or "Adrenergic beta-2 Receptor Antagonist*" or "alternative medicine*" or antibacterial* or "Anti-Bacterial*" or antibiotic* or Anticholinergic* or "artificial respiration" or behavior* or behaviour* or Benzodiazepine* or "Beta adrenergic agonist*" or "Beta adrenergic Antagonist*")

or "Breathing Exercise*" or Bronchodilator* or chemotherap* or "Chest physiotherap*" or "Cholinergic agonist*" or "Cholinergic Antagonist*" or "Cognitive Behavior Therap*" or "Cognitive Therap*" or "Complementary Therap*" or corticosteroid* or diet or drug* or educat* or "Electric Stimulation*" or empower* or exercise* or Expectorant* or Glucocorticoid* or instruct* or "management plan*" or "Mind-Body" or Mindfulness* or narcotic* or Nutrition* or opioid* or "Oxygen therap*" or "patient cent*" or "patient educat*" or "patient focus*" or pharmacotherap* or "Phosphodiesterase 4 Inhibitor*" or Psychotherap* or respirator* or "Respiratory Therap*" or "Self Car*" or "self-efficac*" or "self-manag*" or "Smoking Cessation" or steroid* or train* or Vaccin* or ventilation or ventilator*).ti,ab,hw,kw.

8 6 or 7

9 5 and 8

10 limit 9 to ("all adult (19 plus years)" or "young adult (19 to 24 years)" or "adult (19 to 44 years)" or "young adult and adult (19-24 and 19-44)" or "middle age (45 to 64 years)" or "middle aged (45 plus years)" or "all aged (65 and over)" or "aged (80 and over)") [Limit not valid in CCTR,CDSR,Embase; records were retained]

11 limit 10 to (adult <18 to 64 years> or aged <65+ years>) [Limit not valid in CCTR,CDSR,Ovid MEDLINE(R),Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily Update,Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process,Ovid MEDLINE(R) Publisher; records were retained]

12 limit 9 to ("all infant (birth to 23 months)" or "all child (0 to 18 years)" or "newborn infant (birth to 1 month)" or "infant (1 to 23 months)" or "preschool child (2 to 5 years)" or "child (6 to 12 years)" or "adolescent (13 to 18 years)") [Limit not valid in CCTR,CDSR,Embase; records were retained]

13 limit 12 to (embryo or infant or child or preschool child <1 to 6 years> or school child <7 to 12 years> or adolescent <13 to 17 years>) [Limit not valid in CCTR,CDSR,Ovid MEDLINE(R),Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily Update,Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process,Ovid MEDLINE(R) Publisher; records were retained]

14 13 not 11

15 9 not 14

16 limit 15 to (editorial or erratum or note or addresses or autobiography or bibliography or biography or blogs or comment or dictionary or directory or interactive tutorial or interview or lectures or legal cases or legislation or news or newspaper article or overall or patient education handout or periodical index or portraits or published erratum or video-audio media or webcasts) [Limit not valid in CCTR,CDSR,Embase,Ovid MEDLINE(R),Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily Update,Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process,Ovid MEDLINE(R) Publisher; records were retained]

17 from 16 keep 8-93

18 (15 not 16) or 17

19 limit 18 to yr="2017 -Current"

20 limit 19 to yr="2018 -Current"

21 remove duplicates from 20

22 19 not 20

23 remove duplicates from 22

24 21 or 23

25 exp meta analysis/

26 exp Meta-Analysis as Topic/

27 exp "systematic review"/

28 ((meta adj analys*) or (systematic* adj3 review*)).mp,pt.

29 25 or 26 or 27 or 28
 30 24 and 29
 31 exp controlled study/
 32 exp Randomized Controlled Trial/
 33 exp triple blind procedure/
 34 exp Double-Blind Method/
 35 exp Single-Blind Method/
 36 exp latin square design/
 37 ((control* adj3 study) or (control* adj3 trial) or (randomized adj3 study) or (randomized adj3 trial) or (randomised adj3 study) or (randomised adj3 trial) or "pragmatic clinical trial" or (doubl* adj blind*) or (doubl* adj mask*) or (singl* adj blind*) or (singl* adj mask*) or (tripl* adj blind*) or (tripl* adj mask*) or (trebl* adj blind*) or (trebl* adj mask*) or "latin square").mp.pt.
 38 or/31-37
 39 (24 not 30) and 38

Scopus

1 TITLE-ABS-KEY((chronic* W/3 bronchiti*) or (obstruct* W/3 (pulmonary or lung* or airway* or airflow* or bronch* or respirat*)) or aecb or "chronic airflow disease*" or "chronic airflow disorder*" or "chronic airflow limitation*" or "chronic airflow obstruction*" or "chronic airway disease*" or "chronic airway disorder*" or "chronic airway limitation*" or "chronic airway obstruction*" or "chronic bronchitis" or "chronic obstructive airflow disease*" or "chronic obstructive airflow disorder*" or "chronic obstructive airway disease*" or "chronic obstructive airway disorder*" or "chronic obstructive bronchitis" or "chronic obstructive bronchopulmonary disease*" or "chronic obstructive broncho-pulmonary disease*" or "chronic obstructive bronchopulmonary disorder*" or "chronic obstructive broncho-pulmonary disorder*" or "chronic obstructive lung disease*" or "chronic obstructive lung disorder*" or "chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*" or "chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder*" or "chronic obstructive respiratory disease*" or "chronic obstructive respiratory disorder*" or coad or cobd or copd or emphysema* or "obstructive lung disease" or "obstructive lung disorder*" or "obstructive pulmonary disease*" or "obstructive pulmonary disorder*" or "obstructive pulmonary tract disease*" or "obstructive pulmonary tract disorder*" or "obstructive respiratory disease*" or "obstructive respiratory disorder*" or "obstructive respiratory tract disease*" or "obstructive respiratory tract disorder*")

2 TITLE-ABS-KEY((increas* W/3 (severity or seriousness)) or exacerbation* or worsen*)

3 TITLE-ABS-KEY((action W/3 plan*) or (disease W/2 manag*) or (management W/1 program*) or Acupuncture or "Adrenal Cortex Hormone*" or "Adrenergic beta-2 Receptor Agonist*" or "Adrenergic beta-2 Receptor Antagonist*" or "alternative medicine*" or antibacterial* or "Anti-Bacterial*" or antibiotic* or Anticholinergic* or "artificial respiration" or behavior* or behaviour* or Benzodiazepine* or "Beta adrenergic agonist*" or "Beta adrenergic Antagonist*" or "Breathing Exercise*" or Bronchodilator* or chemotherap* or "Chest physiotherap*" or "Cholinergic agonist*" or "Cholinergic Antagonist*" or "Cognitive Behavior Therap*" or "Cognitive Therap*" or "Complementary Therap*" or corticosteroid* or diet or drug* or educat* or "Electric Stimulation*" or empower* or exercise* or Expectorant* or Glucocorticoid* or instruct* or "management plan*" or "Mind-Body" or Mindfulness* or narcotic* or Nutrition* or opioid* or "Oxygen therap*" or "patient cent*" or "patient educat*" or

"patient focus*" or pharmacotherap* or "Phosphodiesterase 4 Inhibitor*" or Psychotherap* or respirator* or "Respiratory Therap*" or "Self Car*" or "self-efficac*" or "self-manag*" or "Smoking Cessation" or steroid* or train* or Vaccin* or ventilation or ventilator*)

4 1 and 2 and 3

5 TITLE-ABS-KEY(newborn* or neonat* or infant* or toddler* or child* or adolescent* or paediatric* or pediatric* or girl or girls or boy or boys or teen or teens or teenager* or preschooler* or "pre-schooler*" or preteen or preteens or "pre-teen" or "pre-teens" or youth or youths) AND NOT TITLE-ABS-KEY(adult or adults or "middle age" or "middle aged" OR elderly OR geriatric* OR "old people" OR "old person*" OR "older people" OR "older person*" OR "very old")

6 4 and not 5

7 DOCTYPE(ed) OR DOCTYPE(bk) OR DOCTYPE(er) OR DOCTYPE(no) OR DOCTYPE(sh)

8 6 and not 7

9 PMID(0*) OR PMID(1*) OR PMID(2*) OR PMID(3*) OR PMID(4*) OR PMID(5*) OR PMID(6*) OR PMID(7*) OR PMID(8*) OR PMID(9*)

10 8 and not 9

11 TITLE-ABS-KEY("consensus development" or guideline* or "position statement*")

12 10 and not 11

13 TITLE-ABS-KEY((meta W/1 analys*) or (systematic* W/3 review*))

14 12 and 13

15 12 and not 14

16 TITLE-ABS-KEY((control* W/3 study) or (control* W/3 trial) or (randomized W/3 study) or (randomized W/3 trial) or (randomised W/3 study) or (randomised W/3 trial) or "pragmatic clinical trial" or (doubl* W/1 blind*) or (doubl* W/1 mask*) or (singl* W/1 blind*) or (singl* W/1 mask*) or (tripl* W/1 blind*) or (tripl* W/1 mask*) or (trebl* W/1 blind*) or (trebl* W/1 mask*) or "latin square")

17 15 and 16

ClinicalTrials.Gov

(aecb OR "airflow obstruction" OR "airway obstruction" OR "bronchial obstruction" OR "bronchus obstruction" OR "chronic airflow disease") AND ("increased severity" OR "increasing severity" OR "increased seriousness" OR "increasing seriousness" OR exacerbation OR worsening)

("chronic airflow disorder" OR "chronic airflow limitation" OR "chronic airflow obstruction" OR "chronic airway disease" OR "chronic airway disorder" OR "chronic airway limitation") AND ("increased severity" OR "increasing severity" OR "increased seriousness" OR "increasing seriousness" OR exacerbation OR worsening)

("chronic airway obstruction" OR "chronic bronchitis" OR "chronic obstructive airflow disease" OR "chronic obstructive airflow disorder" OR "chronic obstructive airway disease" OR "chronic obstructive airway disorder") AND ("increased severity" OR "increasing severity" OR "increased seriousness" OR "increasing seriousness" OR exacerbation OR worsening)

("chronic obstructive bronchitis" OR "chronic obstructive bronchopulmonary disease" OR "chronic obstructive broncho-pulmonary disease" OR "chronic obstructive bronchopulmonary disorder") AND ("increased severity" OR "increasing severity" OR "increased seriousness" OR "increasing seriousness" OR exacerbation OR worsening)

("chronic obstructive broncho-pulmonary disorder" OR "chronic obstructive lung disease" OR "chronic obstructive lung disorder" OR "chronic obstructive pulmonary disease" OR "chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder") AND ("increased severity" OR "increasing severity" OR "increased seriousness" OR "increasing seriousness" OR exacerbation OR worsening)

("chronic obstructive respiratory disease" OR "chronic obstructive respiratory disorder" OR coad OR cobd OR copd OR emphysema OR "lung obstruction" OR "obstructive lung disease" OR "obstructive lung disorder") AND ("increased severity" OR "increasing severity" OR "increased seriousness" OR "increasing seriousness" OR exacerbation OR worsening)

("obstructive pulmonary disease" OR "obstructive pulmonary disorder" OR "obstructive pulmonary tract disease" OR "obstructive pulmonary tract disorder" OR "obstructive respiratory disease") AND ("increased severity" OR "increasing severity" OR "increased seriousness" OR "increasing seriousness" OR exacerbation OR worsening)

("obstructive respiratory disorder" OR "obstructive respiratory tract disease" OR "obstructive respiratory tract disorder" OR "pulmonary obstruction" OR "respiratory obstruction") AND ("increased severity" OR "increasing severity" OR "increased seriousness" OR "increasing seriousness" OR exacerbation OR worsening)

All limited to adults.

Appendix C. Excluded Studies

1. 1-year Study to Assess the Efficacy, Safety, and Tolerability of Glycopyrronium Bromide (NVA237) in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2009 June. PMID: NCT00929110. [Population not of interest].
2. A 24-week Study to Compare Umeclidinium/Vilanterol (UMEC/VI), UMEC and Salmeterol in Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03034915>. 2017. PMID: CN-01561596. [Duplicate Study].
3. A 52-Week, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind, Parallel-Group, Placebo-Controlled Study to Evaluate the Safety and Tolerability of GSK573719/GW642444 and GSK573719 in Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2011 January 1. PMID: NCT01316887. [Population not of interest].
4. A Comparison Study Between the Fixed Dose Triple Combination of Fluticasone Furoate/ Umeclidinium/ Vilanterol Trifenatate (FF/UMEC/VI) With Budesonide/Formoterol in Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2015 January. PMID: NCT02345161. [Population not of interest].
5. A Dose-Finding Study Evaluating Safety and Efficacy in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2006 November. PMID: NCT00403286. [Population not of interest].
6. A Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind, Double-Dummy Trial of Azithromycin SR Compared With Levofloxacin for the Treatment of Acute Symptoms of Chronic Bronchitis. 2003 January. PMID: NCT00644449. [Population not of interest].
7. A Multicentre, Randomised, Open-Label Study To Compare The Efficacy And Safety Of Azithromycin For 5 Days With Those Of Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid In Patients With Chronic Bronchitis. 2002 October. PMID: NCT00649831. [Clinical Trial].
8. A Pilot Safety Study of Inhaled Dry Powder Mannitol in Acute Exacerbations of COPD. 2006 October. PMID: NCT00446667. [Study design not of interest].
9. A Pivotal Study of the Safety and Effectiveness of Arformoterol in Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2002 February. PMID: NCT00685841. [Population not of interest].
10. A Randomised Effectiveness Study Comparing Fluticasone Furoate (FF, GW685698)/Vilanterol (VI, GW642444) With Standard Treatment in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2012 March 13. PMID: NCT01551758. [Population not of interest].
11. A Study Comparing the Efficacy, Safety and Tolerability of Fixed Dose Combination (FDC) of FF/UMEC/VI With the FDC of FF/VI and UMEC/VI; Administered Once-daily Via a Dry Powder Inhaler (DPI) in Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2014 June 30. PMID: NCT02164513. [Population not of interest].
12. A Study of Andrographolide Sulfonate in Patients With Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Bronchitis. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03132610>. 2017. PMID: CN-01493692. [Duplicate Study].
13. A Study of Arformoterol Tartrate Inhalation Solution and Tiotropium Bromide on Re-hospitalization in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Subjects. 2014 November. PMID: NCT02275481. [Population not of interest].
14. A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Fluticasone Furoate (FF)/GW642444 Inhalation Powder in Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2009 September 25. PMID: NCT01009463. [Population not of interest].

15. A Study to Evaluate the Safety, Efficacy and Changes in Induced Sputum and Blood Biomarkers Following Daily Repeat Doses of Inhaled GSK2269557 in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Subjects With Acute Exacerbation. 2015 November 4. PMID: NCT02522299. [Clinical Trial].
16. A Study To Investigate The Effects Of GW856553 On Patients With COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease). 2006 August. PMID: NCT00392587. [Population not of interest].
17. A Trial To Evaluate Two Antibiotics For The Treatment Of Acute Exacerbation Of Chronic Bronchitis (AECB). 2006 February. PMID: NCT00254566. [Population not of interest].
18. Aaron SD, Vandemheen K, Maltais F, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of TNF-alpha antagonists for acute exacerbations of copd. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2012;185(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 71987621. [Duplicate Study].
19. Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Maltais F, et al. TNFalpha antagonists for acute exacerbations of COPD: a randomised double-blind controlled trial. Thorax. 2013 Feb;68(2):142-8. PMID: 23161645. [Duplicate Study].
20. Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Maltais F, et al. TNF α antagonists for acute exacerbations of COPD: A randomised double-blind controlled trial. Thorax. 2013;68(2):142-8. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
21. Aaron SD. Controlled trial of oral glucocorticoids in outpatients with acute COPD exacerbations who present to the emergency department: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study [Dissertation]. University of Ottawa. 1999. PMID: CN-00462253 UPDATE. [Study design not of interest].
22. Abdullah Al Mamun SM, Rahman S. Role of 7-day and 14-day courses of oral prednisolone treatment in acute exacerbation of COPD. Thorax. 2011 December;4):A172-A3. PMID: 70627568. [Abstract].
23. Active for Life: chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03201198>. 2017. PMID: CN-01495280. [Population not of interest].
24. ADVAIR® DISKUS® Inhaler (Fluticasone Propionate/Salmeterol) Versus SEREVENT® DISKUS® Inhaler (Salmeterol) For The Treatment Of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbations. ADVAIR® DISKUS® Inhaler and SEREVENT® DISKUS® Inhaler Are Trademarks of the GSK Group of Companies. 2004 October. PMID: NCT00144911. [Population not of interest].
25. Advair® DISKUS® Versus Serevent® DISKUS® For Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbations. 2004 December. PMID: NCT00115492. [Population not of interest].
26. Aggarwal R, Shaphe MA, George C, et al. A comparison of flutter device and active cycle of breathing techniques in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients. Indian Journal of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy. 2010;4(3):60-4. [Duplicate Study].
27. Agusti AG, Carrera M, Barbe F, et al. Oxygen therapy during exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. European Respiratory Journal. 1999 Oct;14(4):934-9. PMID: 10573245. [Outcome not of interest].
28. Aimonino Ricauda N, Tibaldi V, Leff B, et al. Substitutive "hospital at home" versus inpatient care for elderly patients with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective randomized, controlled trial. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2008 Mar;56(3):493-500. PMID: 18179503. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
29. Ain Shams U, Trudell Medical I. Oscillating Positive Expiratory Pressure Devices and Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2018 January 22. PMID: NCT03299231. [Study design not of interest].

30. Air Liquide Santé I, Services I, Lincoln M, et al. The COPD Patient Management European Trial (COMET). 2010 September. PMID: NCT01241526. [Population not of interest].
31. Air Liquide Santé I. Efficacy of Helium/Oxygen Compared to Air/Oxygen in Severe Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2010 May. PMID: NCT01155310. [Population not of interest].
32. Alderman CP. Cefpodoxime proxetil for infective exacerbations of chronic obstructive airway disease. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. 1996 Feb;30(2):196. PMID: 8835062. [Abstract].
33. Alexa I, Alexa-Stratulat T, Antoniu S, et al. Roflumilast in patients with advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: towards a better-targeted use. *Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy*. 2018. PMID: 62522748. [Duplicate Study].
34. Ali MS, Talwar D, Jain SK. The effect of a short-term pulmonary rehabilitation on exercise capacity and quality of life in patients hospitalised with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci*. 2014;56(1):13-9. [Duplicate Study].
35. Ali MS, Talwar D, Singh RK, et al. Controlled trial of short term (3 WEEKS) pulmonary rehabilitation in copd following acute exacerbation. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2012;185(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 71987623. [Abstract].
36. Aljassem A, Fodor E, LaBan MM, et al. Pulmonary rehabilitation: Its role in decreasing length of stay, cost of hospitalization, and 30-day readmission rates for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *PM and R*. 2012 October;1):S215-S6. PMID: 70909843. [Abstract].
37. Allegra L, Konietzko N, Leophonte P, et al. Comparative safety and efficacy of sparfloracin in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a double-blind, randomised, parallel, multicentre study. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 1996 May;37 Suppl A:93-104. PMID: 8737129. [Population not of interest].
38. Allegra L, Konietzko N, Leophonte P, et al. Comparative safety and efficacy of sparfloracin in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a double-blind, randomised, parallel, multicentre study. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 1996;37(suppl_A):93-104. [Duplicate Study].
39. Alvarez Gutierrez FJ, Soto Campos G, el Castillo Otero D, et al. [A randomized comparative study of 3 days of azithromycin treatment and 10 days of cefuroxime treatment in exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease]. *Medicina Clinica*. 1999 Jul 03;113(4):124-8. PMID: 10472596. [Language other than English].
40. Amaducci S, Colombo B, Iuliano A, et al. COPD exacerbations and long term oxygen therapy (LTOT): comparative trial of three empirical antibiotic treatments. *European Respiratory Journal - Supplement*. 1995;8(Suppl 19):311S. PMID: CN-00392893 UPDATE. [Abstract].
41. American Thoracic Society 2018 International Conference. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622967216. [Abstract].
42. An Efficacy Study of GSK2269557 Added to Standard Care in Subjects With an Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2015 March 31. PMID: NCT02294734. [Clinical Trial].
43. Anon Elizalde JM, Garcia de Lorenzo y Mateos A, Alvarez-Sala Walther R, et al. Treatment and prognosis of the severe exacerbation in the chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Revista Clinica Espanola*. 2001;201(11):658-66. PMID: 34026536. [Language other than English].

44. Aquinox Pharmaceuticals I. Efficacy and Safety of AQX-1125 in Unstable COPD. 2013 October. PMID: NCT01954628. [Population not of interest].
45. Ardestani ME, Klantar E, Azimian M. Comparison of the efficacy of budesonide nebulizer suspension and budesonide inhalation suspension in the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Tanaffos. 2018;17(2):96-102. PMID: 625362133. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
46. Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de P. Efficacy of Corticosteroids to Treat Outpatients With Acute Exacerbations of COPD. 2015 February 10. PMID: NCT02330952. [Clinical Trial].
47. AstraZeneca MTPC. Efficacy and Safety of Roflumilast in Japanese Patients Older Than 40 Years With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (APTA-2217-06). 2004 November. PMID: NCT00242294. [Population not of interest].
48. AstraZeneca Q. A Study to Assess Safety, Tolerability and Pharmacokinetics of of AZD6553 in Healthy Volunteers and Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2010 February. PMID: NCT01068184. [Population not of interest].
49. Austin MA, Wills KE, Walters EH, et al. Effect of high flow oxygen on mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients in prehospital setting: Randomized controlled trial. Academic Emergency Medicine. 2012 April;1):S255. PMID: 70745644. [Population not of interest].
50. AVANTI - Avelox® in Acute Exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. 2008 April. PMID: NCT00846911. [Population not of interest].
51. Avdeev SN, Guseva NA, Nuralieva GS. Efficacy of high frequency chest wall oscillation method in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. [Russian]. Pulmonologiya. 2016;26(4):466-72. PMID: 614678609. [Language other than English].
52. Aveiro U. Pulmonary Rehabilitation Innovation and Microbiota in Exacerbations of COPD. 2018 September 1. PMID: NCT03701945. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
53. AZD2423 Safety and Tolerability Study in Patients With Moderate and Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease(COPD). 2010 October. PMID: NCT01215279. [Population not of interest].
54. Azevedo P, Costa J, Vaz-Carneiro A. Analysis of the cochrane review: Use of systemic corticosteroids for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. cochrane database syst rev. 2014, 9:Cd001288. [Portuguese]. Acta Medica Portuguesa. 2014 01 Sep;27(5):537-40. PMID: 600320802. [Language other than English].
55. Azienda Ospedaliera San Giovanni B. Hospitalization at Home of Elderly Patients With Exacerbated COPD. 2004 April. PMID: NCT00369083. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
56. Babu AS, Noone MS, Haneef M, et al. The effects of 'on-call/out of hours' physical therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. Clinical Rehabilitation. 2010 Sep;24(9):802-9. PMID: 20543018. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
57. Bafadhel M, Davies L, Calverley PMA, et al. Blood eosinophil guided prednisolone therapy for exacerbations of COPD: a further analysis. European Respiratory Journal. 2014 Sep;44(3):789-91. PMID: 24925917. [Study design not of interest].
58. Bafadhel M, Greening NJ, Harvey-Dunston T, et al. Severe hospitalised exacerbations of COPD with an eosinophilic phenotype have favourable outcomes with prednisolone therapy: Sub-analysis from a prospective multi-centre randomised control trial. Thorax. 2013 December;3):A16. PMID: 71367049. [Abstract].
59. Bafadhel M, McKenna S, Terry S, et al. A double-blind randomised control trial of peripheral blood eosinophils to direct prednisolone use in COPD exacerbations. European Respiratory Journal. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2011;38(SUPPL. 55). PMID: 72122584. [Abstract].

60. Bafadhel M, McKenna S, Terry S, et al. Blood eosinophils to direct corticosteroid treatment of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2012 Jul 01;186(1):48-55. PMID: 22447964. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
61. Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University D. Effect of Vitamin D3 on Lung Function and Exercise Tolerance in COPD Patients. 2017 March 1. PMID: NCT03781895. [Population not of interest].
62. Barber CM, Bradshaw LM, Buttery P, et al. Assisted discharge for patients with exacerbations of COPD [4] (multiple letters). *Thorax*. 2001;56(5):417-8. PMID: 32398139. [Study design not of interest].
63. Bardsley G, Pilcher J, McKinstry S, et al. Oxygen versus air-driven nebulisers for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A randomised controlled trial 11 *Medical and Health Sciences 1102 Cardiorespiratory Medicine and Haematology. BMC Pulmonary Medicine*. 2018 03 Oct;18 (1) (no pagination)(157). PMID: 624140780. [Duplicate Study].
64. Bardsley G, Pilcher J, McKinstry S, et al. Oxygen versus air-driven nebulisers for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled trial. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*. 2018 Oct 03;18(1):157. PMID: 30285695. [Outcome not of interest].
65. Bardsley PA, Tweney J, Morgan N, et al. Oral almitrine in treatment of acute respiratory failure and cor pulmonale in patients with an exacerbation of chronic obstructive airways disease. *Thorax*. 1991 Jul;46(7):493-8. PMID: 1908604. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
66. Baroi S, McNamara RJ, McKenzie DK, et al. Advances in Remote Respiratory Assessments for People with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Systematic Review. *Telemedicine Journal & E-Health*. 2018 06;24(6):415-24. PMID: 29083268. [Population not of interest].
67. Barry HC. Amoxicillin/clavulanate during COPD exacerbations decreases symptoms and delays subsequent exacerbations. *American Family Physician*. 2013 01 Mar;87(7):512. PMID: 368623481. [Study design not of interest].
68. Basran GS, Joseph J, Abbas AM, et al. Treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive airways disease--a comparison of amoxycillin and ciprofloxacin. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 1990 Dec;26 Suppl F:19-24. PMID: 2292540. [Population not of interest].
69. Baveja S, Akpa B, Ferris J, et al. A randomized, double dummy, crossover study comparing the bronchodilator effect of formoterol administered by nebulizer versus dry powder inhaler in patients recovering from severe exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622969450. [Abstract].
70. Beijing Chao Yang H. Treatment in Patients Hospitalized With Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2014 August. PMID: NCT02219360. [Study design not of interest].
71. Benzo R, Vickers K, Novotny PJ, et al. Health Coaching and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Rehospitalization. A Randomized Study. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2016 Sep 15;194(6):672-80. PMID: 26953637. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
72. Berry RB, Shinto RA, Wong FH, et al. Nebulizer vs spacer for bronchodilator delivery in patients hospitalized for acute exacerbations of COPD. *Chest*. 1989 Dec;96(6):1241-6. PMID: 2582828. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
73. Bhatt SP, Sanders JG, Anderson EM, et al. Telehealth exercise intervention reduces COPD readmissions. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622965773. [Abstract].

74. Bilancia R, Sebastio G, Mastrandrea L, et al. Ceftriaxone+amikacin versus piperacillin+amikacin in the treatment of COPD infectious exacerbations. Clinical and economical evaluation. [Italian]. *Minerva Pneumologica*. 1998 June;37(2):47-53. PMID: 28535662. [Language other than English].
75. Boehringer I. Combivent Respimat 1-year Safety Study in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2009 November. PMID: NCT01019694. [Population not of interest].
76. Boehringer I. Comparative Effectiveness of COPD Treatments. 2017 December 1. PMID: NCT03376295. [Study design not of interest].
77. Boehringer I. Comparison of Safety and Efficacy of COMBIVENT HFA to COMBIVENT (CFC) in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2000 October. PMID: NCT02194205. [Population not of interest].
78. Boehringer I. Effectiveness of Triple Therapy in COPD. 2018 November 10. PMID: NCT03724877. [Population not of interest].
79. Boehringer I. Efficacy and Safety of Tiotropium Compared to Salmeterol and Placebo in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Bronchitis (COPD). 1999 February. PMID: NCT02173691. [Article not available].
80. Boehringer I. Investigate the Impact of Early Treatment Initiation With Tiotropium in Patients Recovering From Hospitalization for an Acute COPD Exacerbation 1. 2012 August. PMID: NCT01663987. [Population not of interest].
81. Boehringer I. Investigate the Impact of Early Treatment Initiation With Tiotropium in Patients Recovering From Hospitalization for an Acute COPD Exacerbation 2. 2012 August. PMID: NCT01662986. [Population not of interest].
82. Boehringer I. Ipratropium Bromide/Salbutamol Delivered by the Respimat® Inhaler Compared to Ipratropium Bromide Respimat®, COMBIVENT® Inhalation Aerosol and Placebo in Adults With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2002 October. PMID: NCT02177253. [Population not of interest].
83. Boehringer I. Multiple Dose Comparison of Tiotropium Inhalation Capsules, Salmeterol Inhalation Aerosol and Placebo in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 1999 February. PMID: NCT02172287. [Population not of interest].
84. Boehringer I. Respimat® Combivent Trial in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2006 November. PMID: NCT00400153. [Population not of interest].
85. Boehringer I. Safety and Efficacy of BI 1744 CL in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease I. 2009 February. PMID: NCT00793624. [Population not of interest].
86. Boehringer I. Safety and Efficacy of BI 1744 CL in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease II. 2009 January. PMID: NCT00796653. [Population not of interest].
87. Boehringer I. Tiotropium (18 Mcg) Once Daily Via the HandiHaler® in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2001 September. PMID: NCT00274547. [Population not of interest].
88. Borglykke A, Pisinger C, Jorgensen T, et al. The effectiveness of smoking cessation groups offered to hospitalised patients with symptoms of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). *The clinical respiratory journal*. 2008 Jul;2(3):158-65. PMID: 20298324. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
89. Borodulina EA, Chernogayeva GY, Gladunova EP, et al. Noninvasive ventilation and inhalational nebulized therapy in the intensive care of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. [Russian]. *Pulmonologiya*. 2018;28(3):313-7. PMID: 623324697. [Language other than English].

90. Bourbeau J, Kessler R, Casan P, et al. An international randomised study of a home-based self-management program for severe COPD: The COPD patient management European trial. *Canadian Journal of Respiratory Critical Care and Sleep Medicine*. 2017;1 (2):98. PMID: 624482909. [Population not of interest].
91. Boyd M, Blakely T, Atkinson J. Ethnic counts on mortality, New Zealand Cancer Registry and census data: 2006-2011. *New Zealand Medical Journal*. 2016 Jan 29;129(1429):22-39. PMID: 26914296. [Population not of interest].
92. Boye NP, Gaustad P. Double-blind comparative study of ofloxacin (Hoe 280) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole in the treatment of patients with acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis and chronic obstructive lung disease. *Infection*. 1991;19 Suppl 7:S388-90. PMID: 1804789. [Population not of interest].
93. Breo Ellipta: An inhaled fluticasone/vilanterol combination for COPD. *Medical Letter on Drugs and Therapeutics*. 2013 02 Sep;55(1424):69-71. PMID: 369736488. [Study design not of interest].
94. Brightling CE, Monteiro W, Ward R, et al. Sputum eosinophilia and short-term response to prednisolone in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2000;356(9240):1480-5. [Duplicate Study].
95. Budesonide Formoterol in Treatment of Exacerbations of COPD. 2001 January. PMID: NCT00239278. [Clinical Trial].
96. Buess M, Schilter D, Schneider T, et al. Treatment of COPD Exacerbation in Switzerland: results and Recommendations of the European COPD Audit. *Respiration*. 2017(pagination). PMID: CN-01395049 NEW. [Abstract].
97. Buess M, Schneider T, Maurer M, et al. Treatment of COPD exacerbation in Switzerland - Results and recommendations of the European COPD audit. *Respiration*. 2017;94 (1):76. PMID: 623934064. [Duplicate Study].
98. Bullard MJ, Liaw SJ, Tsai YH, et al. Early corticosteroid use in acute exacerbations of chronic airflow obstruction. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 1996 Mar;14(2):139-43. PMID: 8924134. [Population not of interest].
99. Campus Bio-Medico U, National Institute of Environmental Health S. Hyaluronic Acid in Acute Exacerbation of COPD - Duration Of Noninvasive Assistance. 2016 March. PMID: NCT02674880. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
100. Cantex P. A Study Designed to Evaluate ODSH in Subjects With Exacerbations of COPD. 2007 April. PMID: NCT00457951. [Clinical Trial].
101. Catalan Society of Family M, Fondo de Investigacion S. Effectiveness of Antibiotic Therapy for Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2007 October. PMID: NCT00495586. [Clinical Trial].
102. Cazzola M, Califano C, Di Perna F, et al. Acute effects of higher than customary doses of salmeterol and salbutamol in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2002 Oct;96(10):790-5. PMID: 12412978. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
103. Cazzola M, D'Amato M, Califano C, et al. Formoterol as dry powder oral inhalation compared with salbutamol metered-dose inhaler in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Clinical Therapeutics*. 2002 Apr;24(4):595-604. PMID: 12017404. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
104. Cazzola M, Matera MG, Amato M, et al. Long-acting β_2 -agonists in the treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD. *Clinical Drug Investigation*. 2002;22(6):369-76. [Duplicate Study].
105. Cazzola M, Matera MG, D'Amato M, et al. Long-acting beta2-agonists in the treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD. *Clinical drug investigation*. 2002;22(6):369-76. PMID: CN-00443342 UPDATE. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].

106. Cazzola M, Rogliani P, Calzetta L, et al. Impact of Mucolytic Agents on COPD Exacerbations: A Pair-wise and Network Meta-analysis. *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*. 2017 Oct;14(5):552-63. PMID: 28753070. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
107. Cazzola M, Salzillo A, De Giglio C, et al. Formoterol/budesonide (FBC) in the treatment of acute exacerbation of COPD. *Eur Respir J*. 2003;22(suppl 45):395s. [Duplicate Study].
108. Cazzola M, Santus P, Matera MG, et al. A single high dose of formoterol is as effective as the same dose administered in a cumulative manner in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2003 May;97(5):458-62. PMID: 12735660. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
109. Center for Integrated Rehabilitation OFH, Centocor I. Effects of Infliximab (Remicade) on Fat Free Mass in Patients With Moderate to Severe COPD Suffering From Cachexia. 2003 October. PMID: NCT00244192. [Population not of interest].
110. Centre de Recherche de l'Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Q. Using a Closed-loop System for Oxygen Delivery (FreeO2) to Optimize Oxygentherapy in Patients With COPD Exacerbation. 2011 July. PMID: NCT01393015. [Outcome not of interest].
111. Chang CL, Wong C, Beckert L, et al. beta-blockers in exacerbations of COPD: Feasibility of a randomised controlled trial. *ERJ Open Research*. 2017 01 Jan;3 (1) (no pagination)(00090-2016). PMID: 614172090. [Study design not of interest].
112. Chang CL, Wong C, Beckert L, et al. β -blockers in exacerbations of COPD: Feasibility of a randomised controlled trial. *ERJ Open Research*. 2017;3(1). [Study design not of interest].
113. Chen G, Xie C-M, Luo Y-F. [The effects and therapeutic duration of oral corticosteroids in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases]. *Chung-Hua Chieh Ho Ho Hu Hsi Tsa Chih Chinese Journal of Tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases*. 2008 Aug;31(8):577-80. PMID: 19080399. [Language other than English].
114. Chen HY, Ma CH, Cao K-J, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of herbal medicine on chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases. *Evidence-Based Complementary & Alternative Medicine: eCAM*. 2014;2014:925069. PMID: 24795773. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
115. Chest Hospital MoH, Welfare T. Respiratory Rehabilitation Exercises in Older Adults With Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2011 November. PMID: NCT02329873. [Clinical Trial].
116. Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA. 2-arm Parallel Group Study of Fixed Combination of CHF 5993 vs Ultibro® in COPD Patients. 2015 May 29. PMID: NCT02579850. [Population not of interest].
117. Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA. A 24-wk Dose Ranging Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of 4 Doses of a New PDE4 Inhibitor in Patients With COPD. 2016 December 15. PMID: NCT02986321. [Population not of interest].
118. Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA. Active Controlled Trial of CHF5993 Pressurized Metered-dose Inhaler (pMDI) vs Symbicort®Turbuhaler® in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2016 December. PMID: NCT03197818. [Population not of interest].
119. Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA. Efficacy of Fixed Combination of Beclometasone + Formoterol + Glycopyrrolate in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2014 January. PMID: NCT01911364. [Population not of interest].
120. Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA. Efficacy of Fixed Combination of Beclometasone + Formoterol + Glycopyrrolate Versus Foster® in COPD. 2014 March. PMID: NCT01917331. [Population not of interest].

121. China-Japan Friendship H, Peking U, China Soong Ching Ling F. Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Inpatient Registry Study. 2016 January. PMID: NCT02657525. [Study design not of interest].
122. China-Japan Friendship H. Extracorporeal Carbon Dioxide Removal in Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbation. 2017 January. PMID: NCT03692117. [Study design not of interest].
123. Chinese PLA General Hospital FHattCPLAGH, Chinese People's Liberation Army RFGH, Chinese People's Liberation Army HoPLA. Evaluate the Efficacy of Xuebijing Injection in Acute Exacerbations of COPD. 2016 October. PMID: NCT02937974. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
124. Chinese University of Hong K. Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation for Hospitalized Patients With Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2006 January. PMID: NCT00287625. [Clinical Trial].
125. Chinese University of Hong K. Short-course Out-patient Pulmonary Rehabilitation and COPD Exacerbations. 2015 July. PMID: NCT02471235. [Population not of interest].
126. Chow JWY, Khullar K, Katechia K, et al. Do ambulance paramedics administer too much oxygen to patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive airways disease? EMA - Emergency Medicine Australasia. 2015 01 Jun;27(3):275-6. PMID: 603966118. [Study design not of interest].
127. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)-Related Outcomes and Costs for Patients on Combination Fluticasone Propionate-Salmeterol Xinafoate 250/50mcg Versus Anticholinergics in a COPD-Comorbid Depression/Anxiety Population. 2010 October. PMID: NCT01337336. [Population not of interest].
128. Címera HSE, Hospital Doce De Octubre HCoB, Germans Trias i Pujol Hospital HFJD, et al. Study of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Exacerbations In Spain. 2006 September. PMID: NCT01750658. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
129. City UoL. Fan Therapy in COPD Patients. 2017 May 24. PMID: NCT03137524. [Population not of interest].
130. Clini EM, Crisafulli E, Costi S, et al. Effects of early inpatient rehabilitation after acute exacerbation of COPD. Respiratory medicine. 2009;103(10):1526-31. [Duplicate Study].
131. Clinical Outcome of Corticosteroids in the Treatment of COPD Exacerbations in China. 2017 February 28. PMID: NCT03633838. [Study design not of interest].
132. Colebourn CL, Barber V, Young JD. Use of helium-oxygen mixture in adult patients presenting with exacerbations of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review. Anaesthesia. 2007 Jan;62(1):34-42. PMID: 17156225. [Population not of interest].
133. Comert S, Kiyan E, Okumus G, et al. [Efficiency of nebulised magnesium sulphate in infective exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease]. Tuberkuloz ve Toraks. 2016 Mar;64(1):17-26. PMID: 27266281. [Language other than English].
134. Comparative study of adaptive support ventilation vs synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation in acute exacerbation of COPD patients. Chest. 2017;Conference: CHEST 2017 Annual Meeting. Canada. 152(4 Supplement 1):A228. PMID: CN-01622619. [Abstract].
135. Comparative Study of Fluticasone Furoate (FF)/Umeclidinium Bromide (UMEC)/ Vilanterol (VI) Closed Therapy Versus FF/VI Plus UMEC Open Therapy in Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2016 June 29. PMID: NCT02729051. [Population not of interest].
136. Comparison Between Symbicort® and Prednisolone in COPD. 2005 September. PMID: NCT00259779. [Clinical Trial].

137. Cordero PJ, Benlloch E, Sol AA, et al. Corticosteroid therapy for COPD exacerbations at inpatient settings: a controlled-randomized study. *Archivos de bronconeumologia-a*. 1996;32(Suppl 2):17. PMID: CN-00689747. [Language other than English].
138. Cordero PJ, Sole A, Benlloch E, et al. A randomized controlled study of prednisone in outpatients with acute exacerbation of COPD. *European Respiratory Journal - Supplement*. 1996;9(Suppl 23):110s-1s. PMID: CN-00382559 UPDATE. [Abstract].
139. Cotton MM, Bucknall CE, Dagg KD, et al. Early discharge for patients with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2000 Nov;55(11):902-6. PMID: 11050257. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
140. Cox M, O'Connor C, Biggs K, et al. The feasibility of early pulmonary rehabilitation and activity after COPD exacerbations: external pilot randomised controlled trial, qualitative case study and exploratory economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England)*. 2018 Mar;22(11):1-204. PMID: 29516853. [Duplicate Study].
141. Criner GJ, Dransfield MT, Arora S, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled, multicenter phase 2a study to assess safety, daily respiratory symptoms, pharmacokinetics, and biomarker variations after administration of either YPL-001, or placebo in patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622970903. [Abstract].
142. Cross J, Elender F, Barton G, et al. A randomised controlled equivalence trial to determine the effectiveness and cost-utility of manual chest physiotherapy techniques in the management of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (MATREX). *Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England)*. 2010 May;14(23):1-147, iii-iv. PMID: 20487638. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
143. Cross J, Elender F, Barton G, et al. A randomised controlled equivalence trial to determine the effectiveness and cost-utility of manual chest physiotherapy techniques in the management of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (MATREX). *Health Technology Assessment*. 2010;14(23):i-175. [Duplicate Study].
144. Cushen B, Alsaid A, Abdulkareem A, et al. Pilot study to assess bronchodilator response during an acute exacerbation of COPD using a vibrating mesh nebuliser versus jet nebuliser for bronchodilator delivery. *Thorax*. 2016 December;71 (Supplement 3):A250. PMID: 615030777. [Abstract].
145. Cydulka RK, Emerman CL. Effects of combined treatment with glycopyrrolate and albuterol in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*. 1995 Apr;25(4):470-3. PMID: 7710150. [Study design not of interest].
146. Daitx RB, Dos Santos K, Dohnert MB, et al. Limited utility of Kinesio Taping in the physiotherapy treatment for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation. *Physiotherapy Theory & Practice*. 2018 Jan 08;1-6. PMID: 29308939. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
147. Daitx RB, Dos Santos K, Dohnert MB, et al. Limited utility of Kinesio Taping(R) in the physiotherapy treatment for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation. *Physiotherapy theory and practice*. 2018. PMID: CN-01456787 NEW. [Duplicate Study].
148. Dal Negro R, Caputo M, Conti A, et al. Procalcitonin guided assessment of bacterial etiological role in patients admitted for severe COPD exacerbations a double blind study [Abstract]. *European respiratory journal*. 2007;30(Suppl 51):224s [E1371]. PMID: CN-00642828 UPDATE. [Abstract].
149. Danish Procalcitonin Study Group CUH. Procalcitonin To Reduce Antibiotics in Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (ProToCOLD). 2012 November. PMID: NCT01950936. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].

150. Dasgupta A, Kjarsgaard M, Capaldi D, et al. A pilot randomised clinical trial of mepolizumab in COPD with eosinophilic bronchitis. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2017;49(3). [Population not of interest].
151. Davies L, Angus RM, Calverley PMA. Oral corticosteroids in patients admitted to hospital with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 1999;354(9177):456-60. [Duplicate Study].
152. Davies L, Angus RMCPMA. A prospective, randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled study of oral corticosteroids in patients admitted with normocapnic acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). *Thorax*. Issue. 1997;52(6). PMID: CN-00267032 UPDATE. [Study design not of interest].
153. Davies L, Wilkinson M, Bonner S, et al. "Hospital at home" versus hospital care in patients with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: prospective randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2000 Nov 18;321(7271):1265-8. PMID: 11082090. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
154. Davis L, Wilkinson M, Bonner S, et al. "Hospital at Home" versus hospital care in patients with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Prospective randomised controlled trial. *Revista Portuguesa de Pneumologia*. 2001;7(2):163-5. PMID: 32452045. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
155. De Graaf P, Doggen CJM, De Jongh FH, et al. Evaluation of the effect of the alphacoreTM on breathlessness and time to discharge in hospitalized patient with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2013;187(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 71980821. [Population not of interest].
156. Denysova O, Sukhin R. Efficacy of administration of 0.02% solution of decamethoxine via nebulizer in patients with infectious exacerbations of COPD. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2013;42(SUPPL. 57). PMID: 71840611. [Abstract].
157. Devereux G, Cotton S, Fielding S, et al. Effect of Theophylline as Adjunct to Inhaled Corticosteroids on Exacerbations in Patients With COPD: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2018 10 16;320(15):1548-59. PMID: 30326124. [Population not of interest].
158. Di Marco F, Verga M, Santus P, et al. Effect of formoterol, tiotropium, and their combination in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a pilot study. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2006 Nov;100(11):1925-32. PMID: 16626956. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
159. Diez-Manglano J, Barquero-Romero J, Mena PA, et al. Polypharmacy in patients hospitalised for acute exacerbation of COPD. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2014 01 Sep;44(3):791-4. PMID: 373874384. [Study design not of interest].
160. Dixit D, Bridgeman MB, Madduri RP, et al. Pharmacological management and prevention of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in hospitalized patients. *P and T*. 2016;41(11):703-12. [Study design not of interest].
161. Dong L, Xia JW, Gong Y, et al. Effect of lianhuqingwen capsules on airway inflammation in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*. 2014;2014 (no pagination)(637969). PMID: 373279335. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
162. Dose Finding Study of Nemiralisib (GSK2269557) in Subjects With an Acute Moderate or Severe Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03345407>. 2017. PMID: CN-01565900. [Duplicate Study].
163. Dr. López V, Puerta de Hierro University H. Early Assisted Discharge for COPD Exacerbations With Telemonitoring. 2012 March. PMID: NCT01951261. [Population not of interest].

164. Dransfield MT, Rowe SM, Johnson JE, et al. Use of β blockers and the risk of death in hospitalised patients with acute exacerbations of COPD. *Thorax*. 2008;63(4):301-5. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
165. Duenk RG, Verhagen C, Bronkhorst EM, et al. Proactive palliative care for patients with COPD (PROLONG): A pragmatic cluster controlled trial. *International Journal of COPD*. 2017;12:2795-806. [Study design not of interest].
166. Duran CA, Winnicka L, Bista A, et al. Reducing hospital readmission among high risk patients admitted with COPD exacerbation: Randomized trial of a respiratory therapist conducted home-based structured intervention. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2017;195(no pagination). PMID: 617713443. [Abstract].
167. Durzo A, Bader G, Shen S, et al. Comparison of glycopyrronium versus tiotropium on the time to clinically important deteriorations in patients with COPD: A post-hoc analysis of randomized trials. *npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine*. 2018;28(1). [Population not of interest].
168. e la Poza Abad M, Mas Dalmau G, Moreno Bakedano M, et al. Rationale, design and organization of the delayed antibiotic prescription (DAP) trial: a randomized controlled trial of the efficacy and safety of delayed antibiotic prescribing strategies in the non-complicated acute respiratory tract infections in general practice. *BMC Family Practice*. 2013 May 19;14:63. PMID: 23682979. [Population not of interest].
169. Early Extubation by ECCO2R Compared to IMV in Patients With Severe Acute Exacerbation of COPD. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03584295>. 2018. PMID: CN-01625629. [Population not of interest].
170. eBoisblanc BP, DeBleieux P, Resweber S, et al. Randomized trial of the use of heliox as a driving gas for updraft nebulization of bronchodilators in the emergent treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2000 Sep;28(9):3177-80. PMID: 11008978. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
171. Echevarria C, Brewin K, Horobin H, et al. Early supported discharge/hospital at home for exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, A review and meta-analysis. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2016;48(Supplement 60). PMID: 614780019. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
172. Edmond P. The Efficacy and Safety of Erdosteine in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2009 August. PMID: NCT01032304. [Population not of interest].
173. Effect of Acupuncture on Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03169504>. 2017. PMID: CN-01494541. [Duplicate Study].
174. Effect of Roflumilast on Lung Function in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Patients Treated With Salmeterol: The EOS Study (BY217/M2-127). 2006 April. PMID: NCT00313209. [Population not of interest].
175. Effectiveness of Vibrating Mesh Versus Small Volume Nebuliser in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03286855>. 2017. PMID: CN-01564180. [Duplicate Study].
176. Efficacy and Safety of Acclidinium Bromide at Two Dose Levels Versus Placebo Administered in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Patients. 2009 October. PMID: NCT01001494. [Population not of interest].
177. Efficacy and Safety of Levalbuterol in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2002 February. PMID: NCT00665600. [Population not of interest].

178. Efficacy and Safety of Mepolizumab as an Add-on Treatment in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2014 April 24. PMID: NCT02105961. [Population not of interest].
179. Efficacy and Safety of Oral Roflumilast Taken Once Daily in Patients Older Than 40 Years With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (BY217/M2-119). 2005 August. PMID: NCT00242320. [Population not of interest].
180. Efficacy and Tolerability of Symbicort as an add-on Treatment to Spiriva Compare With Spiriva Alone in Patients With Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2011 July. PMID: NCT01397890. [Population not of interest].
181. Efficiency of Budesonide Combined With Formoterol and Tiotropium in the Treatment of Acute Exacerbation of ACO. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03504527>. 2018. PMID: CN-01568258. [Study design not of interest].
182. Effing T, Kerstjens H, van der Valk P, et al. (Cost)-effectiveness of self-treatment of exacerbations on the severity of exacerbations in patients with COPD: the COPE II study. *Thorax*. 2009 Nov;64(11):956-62. PMID: 19736179. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
183. Eisai Co L. Effects of Lysozyme on Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease : A Randomised Placebo-Controlled Study. 2012 August. PMID: NCT01645800. [Population not of interest].
184. ElectroCore LLC. Vagus Nerve Stimulation for Management of Bronchoconstriction in Patients Hospitalized With COPD Exacerbations. 2012 October. PMID: NCT01612793. [Clinical Trial].
185. Elpen Pharmaceutical Co I. EvAluation of Clinical Effectiveness Of RoLenium Administered With Elpenhaler in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) patientS in Daily Clinical Practice, in Greece. 2017 January. PMID: NCT02978703. [Population not of interest].
186. Emami Ardestani M, Kalantary E, Samaiy V, et al. Methyl prednisolone vs Dexamethasone in Management of COPD Exacerbation; a Randomized Clinical Trial. *Emergency (Tehran, Iran)*. 2017;5(1):e35. PMID: 28286842. [Duplicate Study].
187. Emerman CL, Cydulka RK. Effect of different albuterol dosing regimens in the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*. 1997 Apr;29(4):474-8. PMID: 9095007. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
188. Erbland ML, Deupree RH, Niewoehner DE. Systemic Corticosteroids in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbations (SCCOPE): rationale and design of an equivalence trial. *Veterans Administration Cooperative Trials SCCOPE Study Group. Controlled Clinical Trials*. 1998 Aug;19(4):404-17. PMID: 9683314. [Study design not of interest].
189. Erratum: a multicenter randomized trial assessing the efficacy of helium/oxygen in severe exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (*American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* (2017) 195 (871-880) DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201601-0083OC). *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2018;197(6):839-40. PMID: CN-01571373. [Study design not of interest].
190. Exacerbation recovery patterns in newly diagnosed or maintenance treatment-naïve patients with COPD: secondary analyses of TICARI 1 trial data. *International journal of COPD*. 2018;13(pp 1515-1525). PMID: CN-01628506 NEW. [Duplicate Study].
191. Extracorporeal CO2 Removal With the Hemolung RAS for Mechanical Ventilation Avoidance During Acute Exacerbation of COPD. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03255057>. 2017. PMID: CN-01495891. [Population not of interest].
192. Fabbri LM, Luppi F, Beghe B, et al. Update in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease 2005. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2006 15 May;173(10):1056-65. PMID: 43726885. [Study design not of interest].

193. Faber M, Jahnz-Rozyk K, Targowski T, et al. [Comparative analysis of the effectiveness and costs of azithromycin and cefoperazone treatment of patients during COPD exacerbation]. *Polski Merkuriusz Lekarski*. 2003 Jan;14(79):36-8. PMID: 12712826. [Language other than English].
194. Facius A, Bagul N, Gardiner P, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of a 4-week up-titration regimen of roflumilast in the optimize study. *Pneumologie*. Conference. 2018;59. PMID: 622904793. [Abstract].
195. Fadoi Foundation I. Comparison Between Levofloxacin and Prulifloxacin, in Internal Medicine Patients With Acute Exacerbation of COPD. 2009 May. PMID: NCT01710488. [Clinical Trial].
196. Falagas ME, Avgeri SG, Matthaiou DK, et al. Short- versus long-duration antimicrobial treatment for exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 2008 Sep;62(3):442-50. PMID: 18467303. [Population not of interest].
197. Fally M, Corti C, Fabricius-Bjerre A, et al. Point-of-care procalcitonin test to reduce antibiotics in COPD exacerbation: A quasi-randomised control trial. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2015;46. PMID: CN-01135066 NEW. [Abstract].
198. Far Eastern Memorial H. The Doses of Systemic Steroid in Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (AECOPD). 2010 January. PMID: NCT01215825. [Study design not of interest].
199. Farquhar D. Inhaled corticosteroids and COPD. *Cmaj*. 2000 08 Aug;163(3):326-7. PMID: 30670695. [Population not of interest].
200. Fartoukh M, Similowski T, Brun-Buisson C. ANTEAB: A study of early antibiotherapy in the ICU management of acute exacerbations of COPD. [French]. *Revue des Maladies Respiratoires*. 2004 April;21(2 PART 1):381-9. PMID: 38858506. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
201. Fekri A, Hôpital Universitaire Fattouma B. Oral Prednisolone in Severe Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2010 April. PMID: NCT01353235. [Population not of interest].
202. Ferguson G, Barnes C, Pendyala S, et al. Efficacy and safety of revefenacin, a long-acting muscarinic antagonist for nebulization from phase 3 trials in patients with moderate to very severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Consultant Pharmacist*. 2018 October;33 (10):600. PMID: 625245305. [Abstract].
203. Ferguson GT, Buhl R, Bothner U, et al. Safety of tiotropium/olodaterol in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: pooled analysis of three large, 52-week, randomized clinical trials. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2018 October;143:67-73. PMID: 2001076207. [Population not of interest].
204. Fernandez Blanco R, Diez Rodriguez C, Garcia Pozo AM, et al. [Evaluation of the Efficiency of Cleaning the Air between Two Methods of Making in Patients with Aerosol Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease]. *Revista de Enfermeria*. 2015 Jan;38(1):32-7. PMID: 26540907. [Language other than English].
205. Finch AP, van Velzen P, Ter Riet G, et al. Doxycycline Added to Prednisolone in Outpatient-Treated Acute Exacerbations of COPD: A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Alongside a Randomised Controlled Trial. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2018 Dec 19;19:19. PMID: 30565022. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
206. Folch-Ayora A, Orts-Cortes MI, Macia-Soler L, et al. Patient education during hospital admission due to exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Effects on quality of life-Controlled and randomized experimental study. *Patient Education & Counseling*. 2018 Sep 16;16:16. PMID: 30279028. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].

207. Folch-Ayora A, Orts-Cortés MI, Macia-Soler L, et al. Patient education during hospital admission due to exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Effects on quality of life—Controlled and randomized experimental study. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2018. [Duplicate Study].
208. Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi O. Continuous Chest Wall Vibration in COPD Rehabilitation. 2018 September 12. PMID: NCT03644888. [Population not of interest].
209. Frederikssunds Hospital D. Progressive Resistance Training of the Extensor Muscle of the Thigh in COPD-patients Hospitalized With an Exacerbation. 2012 December. PMID: NCT01812460. [Clinical Trial].
210. Fundació Institut de Recerca de l'Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant P, Spanish Society of P, Thoracic S. Impact of Early Respiratory Rehabilitation in the Exacerbations of Re-admitted COPD Patients. 2013 April. PMID: NCT02190461. [Population not of interest].
211. Fundació Sant Joan de D. Acupoint Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Hospitalized COPD Patients With Severe Dyspnoea. 2016 November. PMID: NCT02998957. [Population not of interest].
212. Fundacion Clinic per a la Recerca B, Instituto de Salud C, III. Antibiotic or Not in Non-purulent Exacerbations of COPD: a Trial of Security and Efficacy. 2010 July. PMID: NCT01091493. [Clinical Trial].
213. Garre M, Garo B, Ansart S, et al. Acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and antibiotics: analysis of comparative trials. [French]. *Medecine et Maladies Infectieuses*. 2006 November/December;36(11-12):690-6. PMID: 44856165. [Language other than English].
214. Gendron LM, Nyberg A, Saey D, et al. Active mind-body movement therapies as an adjunct to or in comparison with pulmonary rehabilitation for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2018 Oct 10;10:CD012290. PMID: 30306545. [Population not of interest].
215. Gerards MC, Venema GE, Patberg KW, et al. Dapagliflozin for prednisone-induced hyperglycaemia in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism*. 2018 May;20(5):1306-10. PMID: 62056672. [Population not of interest].
216. Gerards MC, Vriesendorp TM, Hoekstra JBL, et al. Dapagliflozin for treatment of prednisone induced hyperglycaemia: A double-blind randomised clinical trial. *Diabetologia*. 2017 September;60 (1 Supplement 1):S414-S5. PMID: 618053136. [Abstract].
217. Giusti M, Valerio A, Sgambato F, et al. Fluoroquinolones in the treatment of resistant exacerbations of COPD: Preliminary results from the FADOI-FLOR study. *Italian Journal of Medicine*. 2013 May;2):60. PMID: 71295825. [Abstract].
218. Gloeckl R, Heinzelmann I, Baeuerle S, et al. Effects of whole body vibration in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease—a randomized controlled trial. *Respiratory medicine*. 2012;106(1):75-83. [Duplicate Study].
219. Glostrup University Hospital C. Outpatient Management of Patients With Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2006 April. PMID: NCT00317057. [Population not of interest].
220. Goktalay T, Akdemir S, Coskun A, et al. Evaluation of contribution of high frequency chest wall oscillation treatment to medical treatment in patients with acute exacerbations of COPD. *European Respiratory Journal. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress*. 2011;38(SUPPL. 55). PMID: 72116799. [Abstract].
221. Gomersall CD, Joynt GM, Freebairn RC, et al. Oxygen therapy for hypercapnic patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and acute respiratory failure: a randomized, controlled pilot study. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2002 Jan;30(1):113-6. PMID: 11905405. [Population not of interest].
222. Gomersall CD, Joynt GM, Freebairn RC, et al. Randomized controlled pilot study of oxygen therapy for hypercapnic patients with an acute exacerbation of COPD. *Chest*. 1998;114(4 SUPPL.). [Abstract].

223. Gonzalez P, Sepulveda R. [Treatment of exacerbations of chronic obstructive bronchial disease with purulent sputum. Double-blind study with ampicillin and in association with sulfamethopyrazine and trimethoprim]. *Revista Medica de Chile*. 1982 Nov;110(11):1075-80. PMID: 6764012. [Language other than English].
224. Gotfried M, Notario G, Spiller J, et al. Comparative efficacy of once daily, 5-day short-course therapy with clarithromycin extended-release versus twice daily, 7-day therapy with clarithromycin immediate-release in acute bacterial exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. *Current Medical Research & Opinion*. 2005 Feb;21(2):245-54. PMID: 15801995. [Population not of interest].
225. Gow CH, Hsu HJ, Zhang JH, et al. Observation of the effect of metered dose inhalers and electrical impedance tomography patterns on mechanically ventilated patients with acute exacerbation of obstructive pulmonary diseases. *Respirology*. 2018 November;23 (Supplement 2):12. PMID: 625398456. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
226. Gravit JH. Home treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD. *CME Bulletin Respiratory Medicine*. 2001;3(1):7-9. PMID: 32619055. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
227. Greening N, Willams J, Harvey-Dunstan T, et al. Effects on health care utilisation of early pulmonary rehabilitation on hospitalisation for an acute exacerbation of chronic respiratory disease. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2013;42(SUPPL. 57). PMID: 71840775. [Abstract].
228. Greenstone M, Lasserson TJ. Doxapram for ventilatory failure due to exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2009(4). PMID: 00075320-100000000-000878. [Population not of interest].
229. Greulich T, Kostikas K, Gaga M, et al. Indacaterol/glycopyrronium (IND/GLY) reduces the risk of clinically important deterioration (CID) in patients with moderate COPD: Results from the CRYSTAL study. *Pneumologie*. Conference. 2018;59. PMID: 622904776. [Population not of interest].
230. Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory D. Quality Control for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2018 March 20. PMID: NCT03604146. [Abstract].
231. Guarnieri R, Pappacoda A, Solitro S, et al. Supplementation with high dose antioxidants improves clinical response in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) treated with antibiotic therapy. *Trends in Medicine*. 2009;9(3):167-74. [Language other than English].
232. Guce EP. The role of tetracycline in COPD among adult Filipinos. *Scient Proc*. 1991;2(1):22-5. PMID: CN-00776695 UPDATE. [Study design not of interest].
233. Gulea C, Zakeri R, Quint JK. Effect of beta-blocker therapy on clinical outcomes, safety, health-related quality of life and functional capacity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): a protocol for a systematic literature review and meta-analysis with multiple treatment comparison. *BMJ Open*. 2018 Nov 13;8(11):e024736. PMID: 30429149. [Population not of interest].
234. GW815SF For Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (Chronic Bronchitis, Emphysema). 2005 January. PMID: NCT00269087. [Population not of interest].
235. Halpin DMG, Birk R, Brealey N, et al. Single-inhaler triple therapy in symptomatic COPD patients: FULFIL subgroup analyses. *ERJ open research*. 2018;4(2). PMID: CN-01606772. [Population not of interest].
236. Hanlim Pharm. Co L. A Multicenter, Randomized, Double-blind, Parallel Group Study to Determine the Optimal Dose of HL301 After 7 Days Oral Administration in Acute Bronchitis or Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Bronchitis Patients (HL301: Mixed Extract of Rehmannia Glutinosa, Schisandra and so on). 2014 March. PMID: NCT02250027. [Population not of interest].

237. Haynes JM. Randomized controlled trial of a breath-activated nebulizer in patients with exacerbation of COPD. *Respiratory Care*. 2012 Sep;57(9):1385-90. PMID: 22348309. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
238. He W, Xu G, Zhang C, et al. Clinical efficacy and safety of cardio-selective beta-receptor blocker in management of AECOPD complicated with right heart failure. *Biomedical Research (India)*. 2017;28(10):4507-11. PMID: 616782986. [Duplicate Study].
239. He W, Xu G, Zhang C, et al. Clinical efficacy and safety of cardio-selective β -receptor blocker in management of AECOPD complicated with right heart failure. *Biomedical Research (India)*. 2017;28(10):4507-11. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
240. Henan University of Traditional Chinese M. A Randomized Comparative Effectiveness Research Trial of Three Treatments for COPD Patients. 2013 May. PMID: NCT01836016. [Population not of interest].
241. Henan University of Traditional Chinese M. Effect of Acupuncture on Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2017 May. PMID: NCT03169504. [Population not of interest].
242. Henan University of Traditional Chinese M. Effect of Traditional Chinese Medicine on Outcomes in COPD Patients. 2016 December. PMID: NCT03007914. [Population not of interest].
243. Henan University of Traditional Chinese M. Effects of Traditional Chinese Medicine on AECOPD Patients. 2018 March 1. PMID: NCT03428412. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
244. Henry Ford Health S. Pre-Discharge Bundle for Patients With Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease to Reduce Readmissions. 2010 February. PMID: NCT02135744. [Outcome not of interest].
245. Hernandez C, Casas A, Escarrabill J, et al. Home hospitalisation of exacerbated chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2003 Jan;21(1):58-67. PMID: 12570110. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
246. Hessel E, Hamblin J, Robertson J, et al. Inhaled PI3Kdelta inhibitor nemiralisib improves lung function and reduces re-exacerbations in exacerbating chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients (P1116678). *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622964633. [Abstract].
247. High Flow Nasal Cannula vs NPPV in Moderate Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbation. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03014869>. 2017. PMID: CN-01561123. [Duplicate Study].
248. Hitchings AW, Lai D, Jones PW, et al. Anti-hyperglycaemic effects of metformin in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): A multi-centre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2015;191(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 72051844. [Abstract].
249. Hitchings AW, Lai D, Jones PW, et al. Effects of metformin on serum C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 concentration in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A multi-centre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2015;191(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 72051843. [Abstract].
250. Hitchings AW, Lai D, Jones PW, et al. Metformin in severe exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A randomised controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2016 01 Jul;71(7):587-93. PMID: 608796119. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
251. Hoang BX, Han B, Nguyen HX, et al. Nutritional Supplement "Bao Khi Khang" as an Adjuvant Therapy in Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *Journal of Medicinal Food*. 2018 Apr 23;23:23. PMID: 29683370. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].

252. Hoffmann-La Roche. A Study to Evaluate Safety and Efficacy of Lebrikizumab in Participants With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2015 September 30. PMID: NCT02546700. [Population not of interest].
253. Horizon Pharma USA Inc. A Phase 1b Study to Evaluate the Safety and PK of MP-376 in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Patients. 2008 July. PMID: NCT00752414. [Population not of interest].
254. Hospital Universitario Son D, Fondo de Investigación S, Sociedad Española de Neumología y Cirugía T. Effects of Low-Dose Theophylline During Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Exacerbations. 2005 June. PMID: NCT00671151. [Clinical Trial].
255. Hospital Universitario G, Grant Ip041233 From Fondo De Investigacion S. Evaluation Of The Efficacy Of Corticosteroids In Patients With An Acute Exacerbation Of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Receiving Ventilator Support. 2005 July. PMID: NCT01281748. [Population not of interest].
256. Hospital Universitario La P. Long-term Effect of an Health Education Program on Daily Physical Activity in Patients With Moderate to Very Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2016 October. PMID: NCT02924870. [Population not of interest].
257. Howcroft M, Walters EH, Wood-Baker R, et al. Action plans with brief patient education for exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2016 12 19;12:CD005074. PMID: 27990628. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
258. Hu J, Hong QY, Bai CX. Efficacy of beta₂-agonist and ipratropium bromide in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. [Chinese]. Fudan University Journal of Medical Sciences. 2002;29(4):314-6. PMID: 37081253. [Language other than English].
259. Hua Z-Q, Chang Y-J. [Clinical study of decoction of invigorating Qi and clearing lung combined standardized myrtol on acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD)]. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi/Zhongguo Zhongyao Zazhi/China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica. 2013 Feb;38(3):440-2. PMID: 23668026. [Language other than English].
260. Huang XY, Zhang R, Zhao JY, et al. Clinical efficacy of kuanxionglifei decoction combined with Sanziyangqin decoction in treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. [Chinese]. Academic Journal of Second Military Medical University. 2015 December;36(12):1374-8. PMID: 607409792. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
261. Huashan H. Efficiency of Budesonide Combined With Formoterol and Tiotropium in the Treatment of Acute Exacerbation of ACO. 2018 May 1. PMID: NCT03504527. [Population not of interest].
262. Huashan H. Study of Prophylactic Effect of Rivaroxaban on Venous Thromboembolism in AECOPD. 2017 October 1. PMID: NCT03277001. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
263. Hugues FC, Le JC, Bernard Y, et al. A controlled, multicentre study of cefixime versus amoxicillin in the treatment of lower respiratory tract infections in adults. <ORIGINAL> ETUDE MULTICENTRIQUE CONTROLEE COMPARANT LE CEFIXIME DE L'AMOXICILLINE DANS LE TRAITEMENT DES INFECTIONS RESPIRATOIRES BASSES DE L'ADULTE. Presse medicale (Paris, France :. 1989;1983) 18(32):1600-4. PMID: CN-00194845 UPDATE. [Language other than English].
264. Hull EYHNHST. Erdosteine (Erdotin) Versus Standard Care Plus Placebo on Erdosteine for Treatment of Cough in Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). PMID: NCT01176318. [Clinical Trial].

265. Hvidovre University H, Innovation Fund D. Automated Oxygen Delivery by O2matic to Patients Admitted With an Exacerbation in COPD. 2018 April. PMID: NCT03464695. [Clinical Trial].
266. Ichinose M, Nishimura M, Akimoto M, et al. Tiotropium/olodaterol versus tiotropium in Japanese patients with COPD: results from the DYNAGITO study. *International journal of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*. 2018;13:2147-56. PMID: 625118172. [Population not of interest].
267. Ilvan A, Gur A, Musellim B, et al. Acute infectious exacerbations in chronic obstructive lung disease and effects of azithromycine, sultamycilline, ciprofloxacin and cefaclor monohydrate. [Turkish]. *Cerrahpasa Tip Dergisi*. 1997;28(2):73-7. PMID: 27361375. [Language other than English].
268. Ilvan A, Gur A, Musellim B, et al. Acute infectious exacerbations in chronic obstructive lung disease and effects of azithromycine, sultamycilline, ciprofloxacin and cefaclor monohydrate. <ORIGINAL> KRONIK OBSTRUKTIF AKCIGER HASTALIGI OLGULARINDA AKUT INFEKSIYON DONEMINDE PATOJEN AJANIN SAPTANMASI VE AZITROMISIN, SULTAMISILIN, SIPROFLOKSASIN VE SEFAKLOR MONOHIDRATIN ETKINLIGI. *Cerrahpasa Tip Dergisi*. 1997;28(2):73-7. PMID: CN-00198467 UPDATE. [Language other than English].
269. Impact of Initiating Tiotropium Alone Versus Initiating Tiotropium in Combination With Fluticasone Propionate/Salmeterol Xinafoate Combination (FSC) on Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease-related Outcomes in Patients With Pre-existing Exacerbations. 2008 July. PMID: NCT01381406. [Population not of interest].
270. Imperial College L. Metformin to Reduce Airway Glucose in COPD Patients. 2018 September 1. PMID: NCT03651895. [Population not of interest].
271. Imperial College L. Targeted Retreatment of COPD Exacerbations. 2014 June. PMID: NCT02300220. [Population not of interest].
272. Influence Of Salmeterol Xinafoate/Fluticasone Propionate (50/500 µg BID) On The Course Of The Disease And Exacerbation Frequency In COPD Patients Gold Stage III And IV. 2007 November. PMID: NCT00527826. [Population not of interest].
273. Immunotek SL. Evaluation of the Efficacy and Safety of MV130 in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2013 April. PMID: NCT01842360. [Population not of interest].
274. Inonu U. The Role of Nebulized Budesonide in the Treatment of Acute Exacerbations of COPD. 2003 January. PMID: NCT00274222. [Study design not of interest].
275. Intravenous Versus Oral Administration of Prednisolone in Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2001 June. PMID: NCT00311961. [Clinical Trial].
276. Investigating COPD Outcomes, Genomics and Neutrophilic Inflammation With Tiotropium and Olodaterol. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03152149>. 2017. PMID: CN-01575080. [Duplicate Study].
277. Isbaniah F, Wiyono WH, Yunus F, et al. Echinacea purpurea along with zinc, selenium and vitamin C to alleviate exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: results from a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy & Therapeutics*. 2011 Oct;36(5):568-76. PMID: 21062330. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
278. Jafarinejad H, Moghoofei M, Mostafaei S, et al. Worldwide prevalence of viral infection in AECOPD patients: A meta-analysis. *Microbial Pathogenesis*. 2017 Dec;113:190-6. PMID: 29038056. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
279. Jahnz-Rozyk K, Kucharczyk A, Chcialowski A, et al. [The effect of inhaled ambroxol treatment on clinical symptoms and chosen parameters of ventilation in patients with exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients]. *Polski Merkuriusz Lekarski*. 2001 Sep;11(63):239-43. PMID: 11761819. [Language other than English].

280. Jakobsen AS, Laursen LC, Ostergaard B, et al. Hospital admitted COPD patients treated at home using telemedicine technology A randomized, multicentre trial. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2012;40(SUPPL. 56). PMID: 71923683. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
281. Jamaly S, Leidag M, Schneider HW, et al. The effect of singing therapy compared to standard physiotherapeutic lung sport in COPD. *Pneumologie*. Conference. 2017;58. PMID: 623446922. [Population not of interest].
282. Janaudis-Ferreira T, Carr SJ, Harrison SL, et al. Can Patients With COPD Assimilate Disease-Specific Information During an Acute Exacerbation?: Results of a Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *Chest*. 2018 Sep;154(3):588-96. PMID: 29879395. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
283. Janaudis-Ferreira T, Harrison S, Carr J, et al. Can patients with COPD assimilate disease information at a time of being acutely unwell due to an exacerbation of their disease? Results of a randomized controlled trial. *Canadian Journal of Respiratory Critical Care and Sleep Medicine*. 2017;1(3):160. PMID: 624483066. [Abstract].
284. Janjua S, Dias S, Threapleton JDC, et al. Prophylactic antibiotics for adults with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a network meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2018(11). PMID: 00075320-100000000-11608. [Population not of interest].
285. Jennings JH, Thavarajah K, Mendez MP, et al. Predischage bundle for patients with acute exacerbations of COPD to reduce readmissions and ED visits: a randomized controlled trial. *Chest*. 2015 May;147(5):1227-34. PMID: 25940250. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
286. Jens Bräunlich MD. NHF in Acute Hypercapnic Acute Exacerbation of COPD. 2018 May 15. PMID: NCT03523481. [Population not of interest].
287. Jeppesen E, Brurberg KG, Vist GE, et al. Hospital at home for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2012 May 16(5):CD003573. PMID: 22592692. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
288. Jia Z, Feng Z, Tian R, et al. Thymosin alpha1 plus routine treatment inhibit inflammatory reaction and improve the quality of life in AECOPD patients. *Immunopharmacology & Immunotoxicology*. 2015;37(4):388-92. PMID: 26250523. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
289. Jiang D, Wang X, Su Q, et al. Milkvetch root improves immune function in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD. *Bio-Medical Materials & Engineering*. 2015;26 Suppl 1:S2113-21. PMID: 26405990. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
290. Jiang DH, Wang X, Liu LS, et al. The effect of ventilator mask atomization inhalation of ipratropium bromide and budesonide suspension liquid in the treatment of COPD in acute exacerbation period on circulating levels of inflammation and prognosis. *European Review for Medical & Pharmacological Sciences*. 2017 Nov;21(22):5211-6. PMID: 29228436. [Duplicate Study].
291. Jiang GY, Li Q, Lv YX. Short-term treatment of irbesartan and hydrochlorothiazide decreases plasma N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide levels in subjects with acute exacerbations of COPD. *International journal of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*. 2019;14:73-80. PMID: 625705532. [Outcome not of interest].
292. Jiang HL, Mao B. Evidence-based treatment of a patient with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with nebulized budesonide. *Chinese Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine*. 2010;10(6):756-8. [Language other than English].
293. Johns Hopkins University H-R. Chest Wall Oscillation for Asthma and COPD Exacerbations Trial (COAT). 2003 October. PMID: NCT00181285. [Clinical Trial].

294. Johnson CL, Rogers DF. Why is erdosteine recommended as a treatment for acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis? A systematic review of clinical trials. *Thorax*. 2016 December;71 (Supplement 3):A157. PMID: 615030720. [Abstract].
295. Jones SE, Barker RE, Nolan CM, et al. Pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Journal of Thoracic Disease*. 2018 May;10(Suppl 12):S1390-S9. PMID: 29928521. [Study design not of interest].
296. Jones SM, Albert P, Warburton CJ, et al. Effect of a case management study on primary care use and prescribing for AECOPD. *Thorax*. 2009 December;4):A119. PMID: 70254645. [Abstract].
297. Juan Ortega J, Abu-Omar Peris L, López Matoses MJ. Effect of withdrawal and/or replacement of inhaled corticosteroids in favor of double bronchodilation in symptomatic COPD patients. RETICORTEPOC study. *Revista de Patologia Respiratoria*. 2018;21(2):71-3. [Duplicate Study].
298. Juanes A, Garin N, Mangues MA, et al. Impact of a pharmaceutical care programme for patients with chronic disease initiated at the emergency department on drug-related negative outcomes: A randomised controlled trial. *European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*. 2018 01 Sep;25(5):274-80. PMID: 617562826. [Duplicate Study].
299. Kaplan AG, Prefontaine D, Belle-Isle J, et al. Power: prospective cohort study for the real-life effectiveness of glycopyrronium with indacaterol combination in the management of COPD in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Respiratory Critical Care and Sleep Medicine*. 2017;1 (3):161-2. PMID: 624483102. [Abstract].
300. Karaoren G, Tomruk SG, Esquinas AM. Automated versus manual oxygen titration in COPD exacerbation: Machine or hands, this is the question. *International Journal of COPD*. 2017 03 Apr;12:1057-8. PMID: 615237679. [Study design not of interest].
301. Karpel JP, Pesin J, Greenberg D, et al. A comparison of the effects of ipratropium bromide and metaproterenol sulfate in acute exacerbations of COPD. *Chest*. 1990 Oct;98(4):835-9. PMID: 2145136. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
302. Katholieke Universiteit L. Effects of Physical Activity Counseling After an Exacerbation in COPD. 2013 March. PMID: NCT02223962. [Population not of interest].
303. Katholieke Universiteit L. Exacerbation and Training in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2004 January. PMID: NCT00877084. [Clinical Trial].
304. Katsaras T, Milsis A, Rizikari M, et al. The use of the "healthwear" wearable system in chronic patients' early hospital discharge: Control randomized clinical trial. 2011 5th International Symposium on Medical Information and Communication Technology, ISMICT 2011. 2011:143-6. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
305. Kessler R, Casan-Clara P, Koehler D, et al. COMET: a multicomponent home-based disease-management programme versus routine care in severe COPD. *The European respiratory journal*. 2018;51(1). PMID: 625302790. [Abstract].
306. Khon Kaen U, Thailand Research F. Effect of Home-base Exercise With Conical-PEP Device on Physical Performance and Quality of Life in COPD. 2016 October. PMID: NCT02790047. [Population not of interest].
307. Kim H, Lee Y, Oh YM, et al. Zabofloxacin 367mg for five days versus moxifloxacin 400mg for seven days in patients with COPD exacerbation: A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2015;191(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 72050649. [Abstract].

308. King J, Tessier S, Charrette MJ, et al. Patient education interventions provided by physiotherapists to patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: The result of a scoping review. *Respirology*. 2017 March;22 (Supplement 2):135. PMID: 617841424. [Abstract].
309. King's College Hospital NHST, British Lung F. Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation Following Acute COPD Exacerbation. 2006 January. PMID: NCT00557115. [Population not of interest].
310. Kiszka-Kanowitz M, Matzen P. Doxapram treatment in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive lung disease. [Danish]. *Ugeskrift for Laeger*. 1997;159(41):6055-6. PMID: 27437489. [Language other than English].
311. Kniajeskaya N. The treatment of acute respiratory viral infections in a patients with a chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2012;40(SUPPL. 56). PMID: 71926044. [Population not of interest].
312. Ko FWS, Chan PKS, Ip A, et al. Multiplex molecular detection of respiratory pathogens of adult patients admitted to hospital for acute exacerbation chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and their clinical outcomes. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622965383. [Abstract].
313. Ko FWS, Dai DLK, Ngai J, et al. Effect of early pulmonary rehabilitation on health care utilization and health status in patients hospitalized with acute exacerbations of COPD. *Respirology*. 2011 May;16(4):617-24. PMID: 21199163. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
314. Kocks JWH, Tuinenga MG, Uil SM, et al. Health status measurement in COPD: the minimal clinically important difference of the clinical COPD questionnaire. *Respiratory Research*. 2006 Apr 07;7:62. PMID: 16603063. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
315. Kreis SR, Herrera N, Golzar N, et al. A comparison of moxifloxacin and azithromycin in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *JCOM-WAYNE PA-*. 2000;7(12):33-41. [Population not of interest].
316. Kunafina TV, Chuchalin AG, Belevsky AS, et al. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation as an alternative to physical exercise in patients with COPD. *Bulletin of Russian State Medical University*. 2018;7(3):59-64. [Duplicate Study].
317. Kunter E, Ilvan A, Ozmen N, et al. Effect of corticosteroids on hemostasis and pulmonary arterial pressure during chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation. *Respiration*. 2008;75(2):145-54. PMID: 17143000. [Study design not of interest].
318. La Trobe U, The A, Austin H, et al. Does Positive Expiratory Pressure Mask Therapy Improve Recovery From Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease? 2010 July. PMID: NCT01101282. [Clinical Trial].
319. Lallemand Pharma I, Sprim Advanced Life S. Advanced Immunological Approach in COPD Exacerbation. 2009 September. PMID: NCT02417649. [Population not of interest].
320. Landau Z, Schlaffer F, Pitlik S. Cefuroxime axetil vs. augmentin for the treatment of acute bronchitis and exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Israel Journal of Medical Sciences*. 1992 Nov;28(11):797-9. PMID: 1468894. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
321. Lange P. [Antibiotics for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease]. *Ugeskrift for Laeger*. 2007 May 07;169(19):1780-2. PMID: 17537351. [Language other than English].
322. Laval U, Canadian Institutes of Health R. Substudy : Patients With an Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2012 May. PMID: NCT02282436. [Study design not of interest].
323. Laval U. Clinical Evaluation of FreeO2 (Version 4) in All Patients Receiving Oxygen. 2017 January 30. PMID: NCT03119727. [Population not of interest].

324. Laval University G. Effects of Advair® in Outpatients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Acute Exacerbation. 2007 November. PMID: NCT00531791. [Clinical Trial].
325. Lawson Health Research Institute P. COPE With COPD Trial. 2011 October. PMID: NCT02343055. [Population not of interest].
326. Lazaar AL, Miller BE, Tabberer M, et al. Effect of the CXCR2 antagonist danirixin on symptoms and health status in COPD. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2018 01 Oct;52 (4) (no pagination)(1801020). PMID: 624259157. [Study design not of interest].
327. Leaver S, Highton A, MacIntyre J, et al. A randomised controlled trial to assess the effect of Heliox in patients with exacerbations of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [Abstract]. *Thorax*. 2005;2(Suppl II):ii9. PMID: CN-00592096 UPDATE. [Abstract].
328. Lee JS, Liu AI, Pounds D, et al. Characteristics of COPD patients who agree to participate in a pragmatic trial of physical activity coaching compared to non-participants. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622968923. [Population not of interest].
329. Lellouche F, Bouchard PA, Roberge M, et al. Automated oxygen titration and weaning with FreeO2 in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD: a pilot randomized trial. *International journal of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*. 1983;11:1983-90. PMID: CN-01180214. [Duplicate Study].
330. Lellouche F, Bouchard PA, Roberge M, et al. Oxygen titration and weaning with FREEO2 in COPD patients hospitalized for exacerbation. A randomized controlled pilot study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2015;191(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 72051722. [Abstract].
331. Lellouche F, Bouchard PA, Roberge M, et al. Utilization of a closed loop device to titrate oxygen flow (FREEO2) in COPD exacerbation. A randomized controlled pilot study. *Intensive Care Medicine*. 2012 October;1):S68. PMID: 71013456. [Abstract].
332. Lenferink A, Brusse-Keizer M, Van Der Valk P, et al. Self-management interventions including COPD exacerbation action plans improve hospitalisation rate and health-related quality of life-A cochrane review. *Respirology*. 2017 March;22 (Supplement 2):73. PMID: 617841223. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
333. Lenferink A, Brusse-Keizer M, Van Der Valk P, et al. Self-management interventions that include COPD exacerbation action plans improve healthrelated quality of life-a cochrane review. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2016;48(Supplement 60). PMID: 614780110. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
334. Lenferink A, Brusse-Keizer M, van der Valk PD, et al. Self-management interventions including action plans for exacerbations versus usual care in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2017 Aug 04;8:CD011682. PMID: 28777450. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
335. Lenferink A, Van Der Palen J, Van Der Valk P, et al. Self-management action plans for COPD patients with comorbidities reduce exacerbation duration and respiratory-related hospitalizations - The cope-iii study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2017;195(no pagination). PMID: 617713413. [Abstract].
336. Leophonte P, Chidiac C, Drugeon HB, et al. [Treatment of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with pristinamycin]. *Revue des Maladies Respiratoires*. 2004 Apr;21(2 Pt 1):261-71. PMID: 15211234. [Language other than English].

337. Leuppi JD, Schutz P, Bingisser R, et al. Short term glucocorticoid therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: "REDUCE[Study design not of interest].
338. Li H, He G, Chu H, et al. A step-wise application of methylprednisolone versus dexamethasone in the treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD. *Respirology*. 2003 Jun;8(2):199-204. PMID: 12753536. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
339. Li H, He G, Chu H, et al. A step-wise application of methylprednisolone versus dexamethasone in the treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD. *Respirology*. 2003;8(2):199-204. [Duplicate Study].
340. Li W, Mao B, Wang G, et al. [A study of the mechanism of Qingre Huatan therapy in treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease by improving airway inflammation and mucus hypersecretion]. *Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao/Journal of Chinese Integrative Medicine*. 2008 Aug;6(8):799-805. PMID: 18664347. [Language other than English].
341. Li W, Mao B, Wang G, et al. Effect of Tanreng Injection on treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with Chinese medicine syndrome of retention of phlegm and heat in Fei. *Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine*. 2010 Apr;16(2):131-7. PMID: 20473738. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
342. Lin C, Pang Q. Meta-analysis and systematic review of procalcitonin-guided treatment in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *The clinical respiratory journal*. 2018 Jan;12(1):10-5. PMID: 27328801. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
343. Lin Q, Liu K, Liu S, et al. [The efficacy and safety of moxifloxacin in the treatment of patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease]. *Chung-Hua Chieh Ho Ho Hu Hsi Tsa Chih Chinese Journal of Tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases*. 2015 May;38(5):366-9. PMID: 26463489. [Language other than English].
344. Lipson DA, Barnhart F, Brealey N, et al. Reduction in all-cause mortality with single inhaler triple therapy (FF/UMEC/VI) versus dual therapy (FF/VI and UMEC/VI) in symptomatic patients with COPD: prespecified analysis of the phase III impact trial. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622967744. [Population not of interest].
345. Lipson DA, Barnhart F, Brealey N, et al. Single inhaler triple-therapy (ICS/LAMA/LABA) versus dual-therapy (ICS/LABA or LAMA/LABA) in patients with COPD at risk of exacerbations: Efficacy and safety results of the phase iii impact trial. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622967683. [Abstract].
346. Lirsac B, Benezet O, Dansin E, et al. [Evaluation and symptomatic treatment of surinfectious exacerbations of COPD: preliminary study of antibiotic treatment combined with fenspiride (Pneumorel 80mg) versus placebo]. *Revue de Pneumologie Clinique*. 2000 Feb;56(1):17-24. PMID: 10740110. [Language other than English].
347. Liu M, Zhong X, Li Y, et al. Xuan Bai Cheng Qi formula as an adjuvant treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease of the syndrome type phlegm-heat obstructing the lungs: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *BMC Complementary & Alternative Medicine*. 2014 Jul 11;14:239. PMID: 25014996. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
348. Liu S, Shergis J, Chen X, et al. Chinese herbal medicine (weijing decoction) combined with pharmacotherapy for the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*. 2014;2014. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].

- 349.Lloberes P, Ramis L, Montserrat JM, et al. Effect of three different bronchodilators during an exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *European Respiratory Journal*. 1988 Jun;1(6):536-9. PMID: 2971565. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
- 350.Llor C, Moragas A, Hernandez S, et al. Amoxicillin/clavulanate vs placebo: More exacerbation cures, fewer recurrences in mild-to-moderate COPD. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2013;158(6):JC3. PMID: CN-00920635 UPDATE. [Study design not of interest].
- 351.Long-acting beta-2-agonists in COPD safe. *Australian Journal of Pharmacy*. 2008 July;89(1059):110. PMID: 352017370. [Study design not of interest].
- 352.Lopez Lopez L, Granados Santiago M, Donaire Galindo M, et al. Efficacy of combined electrostimulation in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD: randomised clinical trial. *Medicina Clinica*. 2018 Apr 25;25:25. PMID: 29705158. [Language other than English].
- 353.Lopez Lopez L, Granados Santiago M, Donaire Galindo M, et al. Eficacia de la electroestimulacion combinada en pacientes con exacerbacion aguda de enfermedad pulmonar obstructiva cronica: ensayo clinico aleatorizado, Efficacy of combined electrostimulation in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD: randomised clinical trial. *Medicina clinica*. 2018;151(8):323-8. PMID: CN-01651256 NEW. [Language other than English].
- 354.LudwLudwig Boltzmann Institute for C, Respiratory E. Endothelial Dysfunction in Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2008 August. PMID: NCT01460082. [Study design not of interest].
- 355.Luo J, Wang K, Liu D, et al. Can roflumilast, a phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor, improve clinical outcomes in patients with moderate-to-severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? A meta-analysis. *Respiratory Research*. 2016 Feb 17;17:18. PMID: 26887407. [Population not of interest].
- 356.Luo Q, Zheng Z, Cen H, et al. A modified nebulization modality versus classical ultrasonic nebulization and oxygen-driven nebulization in facilitating airway clearance in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Thoracic Disease*. 2015;7(7):1130-41. PMID: 605719499. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
- 357.Maastricht University Medical Center Z, The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research D. Morphine for Treatment of Dyspnea in Patients With COPD. 2016 November 1. PMID: NCT02429050. [Population not of interest].
- 358.Mackay AJ, Patel ARC, Singh R, et al. Randomized Double-Blind Controlled Trial of Roflumilast at Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2017 09 01;196(5):656-9. PMID: 28146642. [Study design not of interest].
- 359.Mahajan AK, Diette GB, Hatipoglu U, et al. High frequency chest wall oscillation for asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations: a randomized sham-controlled clinical trial. *Respiratory Research*. 2011 Sep 10;12:120. PMID: 21906390. [Population not of interest].
- 360.Makarova EV, Varvarina GN, Menkov NV, et al. [Nebulized budesonide in the treatment of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Efficacy, safety, and effects on the serum levels of soluble differentiation molecules]. *Terapevticheskii Arkhiv*. 2016;88(3):24-31. PMID: 27030325. [Language other than English].
- 361.Maltais F, Ostinelli J, Bourbeau J, et al. Comparison of nebulized budesonide and oral prednisolone with placebo in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2002;165(5):698-703. [Duplicate Study].

362. Mannino DM, Clerisme-Beaty EM, Franceschina J, et al. Exacerbation recovery patterns in newly diagnosed or maintenance treatment-naïve patients with COPD: secondary analyses of TICARI 1 trial data. *International Journal of Copd*. 2018;13:1515-25. PMID: 29785101. [Study design not of interest].
363. Mansour G, Salih M, Kukreja R, et al. COPD exacerbation, does procalcitonin help? A metaanalysis. *Chest*. Conference: CHEST. 2015;148(4 MEETING ABSTRACT). PMID: 72132618. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
364. Mao M. A clinical study of AECOPD in Southern China. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622964634. [Abstract].
365. Maquet Cardiopulmonary AG. The PALP™-COPD Trial (Low-Flow CO₂-Removal (ECCO₂-R) in Exacerbated COPD). 2012. PMID: NCT02107222. [Population not of interest].
366. Marchetti N, Jacobs MR, Zhao H, et al. Effects of roflumilast on re-hospitalization and mortality in patients hospitalized with a COPD exacerbation. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622970526. [Abstract].
367. Martínez JA, Guillén MDLG. Evidence for the use of methylxantines in exacerbations of COPD. *Revista del Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Respiratorias*. 2006;19(4):309-15. [Language other than English].
368. Martin-Salvador A, Colodro-Amores G, Torres-Sanchez I, et al. [Physical therapy intervention during hospitalization in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and pneumonia: A randomized clinical trial]. *Medicina Clinica*. 2016 Apr 01;146(7):301-4. PMID: 26726117. [Language other than English].
369. Mathioudakis AG, Chatzimavridou-Grigoriadou V, Corlateanu A, et al. Clinical effectiveness of procalcitonin based protocols to guide the administration of antibiotics in patients presenting with COPD exacerbations: Systematic review and metaanalysis. *Thorax*. 2016 December;71 (Supplement 3):A110-A1. PMID: 615030853. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
370. Mathioudakis AG, Chatzimavridou-Grigoriadou V, Corlateanu A, et al. Procalcitonin to guide antibiotic administration in COPD exacerbations: a meta-analysis. *European Respiratory Review*. 2017 Jan;26(143). PMID: 28143877. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
371. Maugeri F. Randomized Trial of the FreeAspire Versus PEP-bottle After Acute Exacerbation in COPD Patients. 2016 October. PMID: NCT02640430. [Population not of interest].
372. McCrory DC, Hasselblad V. The results of a randomized controlled trial of hydrocortisone in acute exacerbation of COPD [10]. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2000;18(1):122. PMID: 30073220. [Abstract].
373. McCurdy BR. hospital-at-home programs for patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): An evidence-based analysis. *Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series*. 2012;12(10):1-65. PMID: 369081372. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
374. McGill University Health Center G. Innovations in Treating COPD Exacerbations: Pilot Project on Action Plans Using New Technology. 2013 October. PMID: NCT02275078. [Population not of interest].
375. McGill University Health Center G. Strategy for Early Treatment of Exacerbations in COPD: Standing Prescriptions of Advair With a Written Action Plan in the Event of an Exacerbation. 2008 July. PMID: NCT02136875. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].

376. McKinstry S, Pilcher J, Bardsley G, et al. Nasal high flow therapy and PtiCO_2 in stable COPD: a randomized controlled cross-over trial. *Respirology*. 2017(pagination). PMID: CN-01423208. [Duplicate Study].
377. McMaster University F. Heated High Humidity After COPD Exacerbation. 2019 January 16. PMID: NCT03772626. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
378. Medical Center A. CRP-guided Antibiotic Treatment in COPD Exacerbations Admitted to the Hospital. 2011 July. PMID: NCT01232140. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
379. Medical Center A. Placebo Versus Antibiotics in Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2002 July. PMID: NCT00170222. [Clinical Trial].
380. MedImmune Llc A. A Study to Evaluate the Effectiveness of MEDI-563 in Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2010 November. PMID: NCT01227278. [Population not of interest].
381. MedImmune LLC. A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of MEDI8968 in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2011 November. PMID: NCT01448850. [Population not of interest].
382. Mehta R, Farrell C, Kilbride S, et al. Population pharmacokinetic analysis of single inhaler triple therapy (ICS/LAMA/LABA) versus dual therapy (LAMA/LABA AND ICS/LABA) in patients with symptomatic COPD: Combined results from three phase iii trials. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622969129. [Abstract].
383. Merck S, Dohme C. An Investigational Drug Study In Patients With COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease) (MK-0873-005). 2004 June 1. PMID: NCT00132730. [Population not of interest].
384. Merck S, Dohme C. Long-Term Study of the Effects of Navarixin (SCH 527123, MK-7123) in Participants With Moderate to Severe COPD (MK-7123-019). 2009 October. PMID: NCT01006616. [Population not of interest].
385. Mereo B. Efficacy and Safety of BCT197 in Subjects With Acute Respiratory Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2016 August 1. PMID: NCT02700919. [Clinical Trial].
386. Miao R, Yang B, Huang X. Effect of inhaling penethylidine hydrochloride in treatment of patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. [Chinese]. *Chinese Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2006 10 Oct;15(10):909-12. PMID: 44596687. [Language other than English].
387. Mokry M, Joppa P, Slaba E, et al. Beta 2 -adrenergic receptor haplotype and bronchodilator response to salbutamol in patients with acute exacerbations of COPD. *Medical Science Monitor*. 2008 August;14(8):CR392-CR8. PMID: 352234056. [Study design not of interest].
388. Moretti M, Montagna A. Positive outcomes of 900 mg daily erdosteine in acute exacerbation of COPD. *European respiratory journal*. 2013;42(7). PMID: CN-01099938 NEW. [Study design not of interest].
389. Moretti M. Erdosteine improves airflow in patients with severe exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD). *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2016;48(Supplement 60). PMID: 614778264. [Abstract].
390. Multi-centre Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of AZD5423 in COPD Patients on a Background Therapy of Formoterol. 2012 April. PMID: NCT01555099. [Population not of interest].
391. Mundipharma Research L. A Study to Show That Flutiform is Well Tolerated and Effective in the Treatment of COPD. 2013 October. PMID: NCT01946620. [Population not of interest].

392. Musil J, Hirsch V, Vondra V, et al. [What dosage is sufficient in combined inhalation therapy (fenoterol + ipratropium bromide) in patients with exacerbation of chronic obstructive lung disease?]. Vnitřní Lékařství. 1998 Jul;44(7):415-7. PMID: 9748878. [Language other than English].
393. Musil J, Hirsch V, Vondra V, et al. What is the adequate dose in combined inhalation treatment of patients with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease?: <ORIGINAL> JAKA DAVKA JE DOSTATEČNÁ PŘI KOMBINOVANÉ INHALACI LECBE (FENOTEROL + IPRATROPIUM BROMID) U NEMOCNÝCH S EXACERBACÍ CHRONICKÉ OBSTRUKČNÍ PLICNÍ NEMOCI (CHOPN)? Vnitřní lékařství. 1998;44(7):415-7. PMID: CN-00200534 UPDATE. [Language other than English].
394. Musil J. Cefuroxime in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive lung disease. [Czech]. Praktický Lékař. 1997;77(2):84-5. PMID: 27083651. [Language other than English].
395. my mhealth L, Portsmouth Hospitals NHST. Comparing Online Pulmonary Rehabilitation 'myPR' Versus Conventional Pulmonary Rehabilitation for Patients With COPD. 2015 July. PMID: NCT02706613. [Population not of interest].
396. my mhealth L, Portsmouth Hospitals NHST. Trial of E-Health Platform Supported Care vs Usual Care After Exacerbation of COPD. 2015 June. PMID: NCT02706600. [Population not of interest].
397. Nair S, Thomas E, Pearson SB, et al. A randomized controlled trial to assess the optimal dose and effect of nebulized albuterol in acute exacerbations of COPD. Chest. 2005 Jul;128(1):48-54. PMID: 16002915. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
398. Nannini LJ. Hospitalization due to COPD exacerbation. Chest. 2012 December;142(6):1697. PMID: 366204582. [Study design not of interest].
399. Naya I, Lipson DA, Boucot I, et al. Impact of prior and concurrent medication on exacerbation risk with long-acting bronchodilators in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A post hoc analysis. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(Meeting Abstracts). PMID: 622969661. [Abstract].
400. Nefedova MN, Shmelev EI. [Inhalational antibacterial therapy for an exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease]. Problemy Tuberkuleza i Boleznej Legkih. 2008(8):8-11. PMID: 18822473. [Language other than English].
401. New York Methodist H. Vibratory PEP Device and Hospital Length of Stay for Acute Exacerbation of COPD. 2013 October. PMID: NCT03094806. [Clinical Trial].
402. Niewoehner DE, Collins D, Erbland ML. Relation of FEV(1) to clinical outcomes during exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study Group. American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine. 2000 Apr;161(4 Pt 1):1201-5. PMID: 10764312. [Study design not of interest].
403. Nissen I, Jensen MS. [Nurse-supported discharge of patients with exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease]. Ugeskrift for Læger. 2007 Jun 04;169(23):2220-3. PMID: 17592691. [Language other than English].
404. Northumbria Healthcare NHSFT. Mortality and Symptom Burden Post Hospitalisation With COPD. 2018 September. PMID: NCT03657121. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
405. Northwell H. Patient-Centered Outcomes Research I. A Comprehensive Disease Management Program to Improve Quality of Life in Disparity Hispanic and African-American Patients Admitted With Exacerbation of Chronic Pulmonary Diseases. 2017 April 1. PMID: NCT03007485. [Population not of interest].

406. Nour S, Bouida W, Grissa MH, et al. Magnesium sulfate versus ipratropium bromide in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation: a randomized trial. *American Journal of Therapeutics*. 2014 May-Jun;21(3):152-8. PMID: 22407196. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
407. Novartis Pharmaceuticals N. A Study to Assess the Efficacy, Safety and Tolerability of Once-daily (q.d.) QVA149 in Patients With Moderate to Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2010 September. PMID: NCT01202188. [Population not of interest].
408. Novartis Pharmaceuticals N. Effect of QVA149 Versus NVA237 and Tiotropium on Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disorder (COPD) Exacerbations. 2010 April. PMID: NCT01120691. [Population not of interest].
409. Novartis Pharmaceuticals N. Efficacy and Safety of QMF149 vs. Salmeterol Xinafoate/Fluticasone Propionate in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2012 November. PMID: NCT01636076. [Population not of interest].
410. Novartis Pharmaceuticals N. Efficacy, Safety and Tolerability of NVA237 in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2012 March. PMID: NCT01566604. [Population not of interest].
411. Novartis Pharmaceuticals N. Exacerbation Study. 2009 March. PMID: NCT00845728. [Population not of interest].
412. Novartis Pharmaceuticals N. Long Term Safety Study of NVA237 vs QAB149 in COPD Patients. 2012 October. PMID: NCT01697696. [Population not of interest].
413. Novartis Pharmaceuticals N. QVA vs. Salmeterol/Fluticasone, 52-week Exacerbation Study, FLAME (Effect of Indacaterol Glycopyrronium Vs Fluticasone Salmeterol on COPD Exacerbations). 2013 July. PMID: NCT01782326. [Population not of interest].
414. Novartis Pharmaceuticals N. Safety & Efficacy of BCT197A2201 in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Patients Presenting With an Exacerbation. 2011 March. PMID: NCT01332097. [Clinical Trial].
415. Ntoumenopoulos G. Using titrated oxygen instead of high flow oxygen during an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) saves lives. *Journal of physiotherapy*. 2011;57(1):55. PMID: CN-00797082 UPDATE. [Study design not of interest].
416. Oba Y, Keeney E, Ghatehorde N, et al. Dual combination therapy versus long-acting bronchodilators alone for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2018 Dec 03;12:CD012620. PMID: 30521694. [Population not of interest].
417. Odense University Hospital KS, Svendborg Hospital FH, Naestved Hospital HH, et al. Antibiotics in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2009 October. PMID: NCT00952861. [Clinical Trial].
418. Odonchimeg P, Ichinnorov D, Sarantuyaa Z, et al. Efficacy of different regimens of steroid therapy in patients with exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. [Russian]. *Pulmonologiya*. 2015;25(1):58-63. PMID: 613728823. [Language other than English].
419. Ohar JA, Crater G, Emmett A, et al. Effects of fluticasone propionate/salmeterol combination 250/50mcg bid (advair diskus™) Vs. Salmeterol 50mcg bid (serevent diskus™) on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbation rate, following acute exacerbation or hospitalization. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2013;187(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 71981900. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
420. Ole Frobert MD, PhD RÖC. Beta-blockers to Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2018 June 12. PMID: NCT03566667. [Population not of interest].
421. Oral prednisolone as effective as IV dor COPD exacerbation. *Australian Journal of Pharmacy*. 2009 March;90(1066):79. PMID: 354326988. [Study design not of interest].

- 422.Osadnik C, McDonald CF, Miller B, et al. Positive expiratory pressure therapy does not improve symptoms, quality of life or incidence of exacerbations in individuals with acute exacerbations of COPD-a multicentre randomized controlled trial. *Respirology*. 2013 April;26. PMID: 71011003. [Abstract].
- 423.Osadnik C, McDonald CF, Miller BR, et al. A multi-centre, randomised controlled trial of positive expiratory pressure mask therapy for inpatients with acute exacerbations of COPD and sputum expectoration. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2013;42(SUPPL. 57). PMID: 71843394. [Abstract].
- 424.Ottawa Hospital Research I, Behring CSL, Grifols Biologicals I. Ig PRx in AECOPD: Pilot Study. 2016 September. PMID: NCT02690038. [Population not of interest].
- 425.Ottawa Hospital Research I. Feasibility and Safety of Immunoglobulin (Ig) Treatment in COPD Outpatients With Frequent Exacerbations: Pilot Study 1. 2018 January. PMID: NCT03018652. [Population not of interest].
- 426.Ottawa Hospital Research I. TNF-alpha Antagonists for AECOPD: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Pilot Trial. 2008 November. PMID: NCT00789997. [Duplicate Study].
- 427.Outcome Study to Evaluate Klaricid XL® (Clarithromycin Modified Release) in Patients With Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Bronchitis. 2011 May. PMID: NCT01354938. [Population not of interest].
- 428.Outcomes for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Moderate Exacerbators Initiating Treatment. 2011 March. PMID: NCT01395875. [Population not of interest].
- 429.Outcomes From Initial Maintenance Therapy With Fluticasone Propionate 250/Salmeterol 50 (FSC) or Tiotropium in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2008 July. PMID: NCT01387178. [Population not of interest].
- 430.Owusuaa C, Dijkland S, Van Der Rijt K, et al. Prognostic factors and tools of mortality in patients with chronic lung disease-A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Palliative Medicine*. 2018 May;32 (1 Supplement 1):105. PMID: 622331141. [Population not of interest].
- 431.Parc de Salut M. Respiratory Therapy in COPD Exacerbations. 2011 December. PMID: NCT02125747. [Outcome not of interest].
- 432.Parkin JM, Vaz M, Orford C, et al. Designing an acute intervention trial in patients hospitalised for AeCOPD: Experience from the PH2 aether study of acumapimod (BCT197) P38 map kinase inhibitor. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2017;195(no pagination). PMID: 617704496. [Abstract].
- 433.Patel K, McNeilly R, Collins C, et al. Nebulized hypertonic saline for inpatient use in COPD. *Chest*. 2017 October;152 (4 Supplement 1):A786. PMID: 619297259. [Abstract].
- 434.Pearl Therapeutics I. A Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of PT009 Compared to PT005 on COPD Exacerbations Over a 52-Week Period in Subjects With Moderate to Very Severe COPD (Sophos). 2016 April 29. PMID: NCT02727660. [Population not of interest].
- 435.Pearl Therapeutics I. Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of PT010 Relative to PT003 and PT009 in Subjects With Moderate to Very Severe COPD (Ethos). 2015 June 30. PMID: NCT02465567. [Population not of interest].
- 436.Peking Union Medical College Hospital XARPsH, Cangzhou Central Hospital CsPsH, Chengde Central Hospital BFH, et al. Effects of Particulate Matter on the Pulmonary Function and Acute Exacerbation of COPD and Asthma. 2015 December. PMID: NCT03193879. [Population not of interest].

437. Perkins-Porras L, Riaz M, Okekunle A, et al. Feasibility study to assess the effect of a brief mindfulness intervention for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Chronic Respiratory Disease*. 2018 Jan 01;1479972318766140. PMID: 29690786. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
438. Person-centred Care at Distance. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03183817>. 2017. PMID: CN-01494890. [Population not of interest].
439. PERSPECTIVE: Telithromycin - Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Bronchitis. 2004 January. PMID: NCT00132938. [Population not of interest].
440. Pilcher J, Eastlake L, Richards M, et al. Physiological effects of titrated oxygen via nasal high-flow cannulae in COPD exacerbations: A randomized controlled cross-over trial. *Respirology*. 2017 Aug;22(6):1149-55. PMID: 28470831. [Outcome not of interest].
441. Pishbin E, Moradi EV. Intravenous magnesium sulfate in the treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD: A randomized controlled trial. *Critical Care. Conference: 38th International Symposium on Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine, ISICEM*. 2018;22(Supplement 1). PMID: 621461220. [Abstract].
442. Pishbin E. Intravenous magnesium sulfate in the treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD. *Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2017 September;53 (3):442-3. PMID: 618810768. [Abstract].
443. Plant PK, Watson JP, Muers MF, et al. Randomised controlled trial of oral corticosteroids in acute exacerbations of COPD. *European respiratory society annual congress, madrid, spain*. 1999. PMID: CN-01343140 NEW. [Study design not of interest].
444. PneumRx I. COPD Co-Pilot AIR Substudy of CLN0014. 2017 March 7. PMID: NCT03267992. [Population not of interest].
445. Poder TG, Kouakou CRC, Bouchard PA, et al. Cost-effectiveness of FreeO₂ in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease hospitalised for acute exacerbations: Analysis of a pilot study in Quebec. *BMJ Open*. 2018 01 Jan;8 (1) (no pagination)(e018835). PMID: 623794356. [Outcome not of interest].
446. Poitiers University H. High-flow Nasal Cannula Nebulization of Beta 2 Adrenergic Agonist During Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2018 May. PMID: NCT03449056. [Population not of interest].
447. Portsmouth Hospitals Nhs Trust P. MISSION COPD - Modern Innovative SolutionS in Improving Outcomes iN COPD. 2015 September. PMID: NCT02534766. [Population not of interest].
448. Powrie DJ, Wilkinson TMA, Donaldson GC, et al. Effect of tiotropium on sputum and serum inflammatory markers and exacerbations in COPD. [Portuguese]. *Revista Portuguesa de Pneumologia*. 2008 July/August;14(4):573-6. PMID: 352088306. [Population not of interest].
449. Price DB, Cotton S, Fielding S, et al. Use of 'low-dose' theophylline to reduce exacerbations of COPD: A pragmatic multicentre randomised placebo controlled trial. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS*. 2018;197(Meeting Abstracts). PMID: 622969217. [Abstract].
450. Prinsv HJ, Snijders D, Daniels JMA, et al. Eosinophilia as marker of outcome in hospitalised patients with AECOPD. *European Respiratory Journal. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress*. 2013;42(SUPPL. 57). PMID: 71843553. [Abstract].
451. Pristinamycin use in the treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD (COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease). [French]. *Medecine et Maladies Infectieuses*. 2008;38(2 PART 2):5-7. PMID: CN-01194574 NEW. [Language other than English].

452. Puig-Junoy J, Casas A, Font-Planells J, et al. The impact of home hospitalization on healthcare costs of exacerbations in COPD patients. *European Journal of Health Economics*. 2007 Dec;8(4):325-32. PMID: 17221178. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
453. Pulmonary Rehabilitation for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Exacerbation. 2006 October. PMID: NCT00413543. [Clinical Trial].
454. Punekar YS, Sharma S, Pahwa A, et al. Rescue medication use as a patient-reported outcome in COPD: a systematic review and regression analysis. *Respiratory Research*. 2017 05 08;18(1):86. PMID: 28482883. [Population not of interest].
455. Rabe KF, Halpin D, Martinez F, et al. Relative timing of clinically important deterioration and related long-term outcomes in COPD: A post hoc analysis of the UPLIFT study. *Pneumologie*. Conference. 2018;59. PMID: 622904795. [Abstract].
456. Rabe KF, Hanauer G, Strigun A, et al. Effect of roflumilast on the serum metabolome of COPD patients. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622968067. [Abstract].
457. Radboud U. Exacerbation Self-management in COPD: The ACCESS Study. 2015 June. PMID: NCT02553096. [Population not of interest].
458. Rahlfs VW, Macciocchi A, Monti T. Clinical efficacy of brodimoprim in the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. A meta-analysis. *Clinical Drug Investigation*. 1996;11(3):121-30. PMID: 26097447. [Population not of interest].
459. Ram FS, Rodriguez-Roisin R, Granados-Navarrete A, et al. WITHDRAWN: Antibiotics for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2011 Jan 19(1):CD004403. PMID: 21249661. [Abstract].
460. Ram FSF, Barnes NC, Granados-Navarrete A, et al. Comparing antibiotics for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2008;(2) (no pagination)(CD007093). PMID: 351807258. [Study design not of interest].
461. Ram FSF, Poole PJ, Bagg W, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of oral theophylline for the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2000;161(suppl):A489. [Duplicate Study].
462. Ram FSF, Rodriguez-Roisin R, Granados-Navarrete A, et al. Antibiotics for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2006 Apr 19(2):CD004403. PMID: 16625602. [Study not completed].
463. Ram FSF, Wedzicha JA, Wright J, et al. Hospital at home for patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Systematic review of evidence. *British Medical Journal*. 2004 07 Aug;329(7461):315-8. PMID: 39062294. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
464. Ram FSF, Wedzicha JA, Wright JJ, et al. Hospital at home for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2009;(4) (no pagination)(CD003573). PMID: 358528499. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
465. Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Parallel-Group, Multi-centre, Dose Ranging Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Losmapimod Tablets Administered Twice Daily Compared With Placebo for 24 Weeks in Adult Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2010 November 4. PMID: NCT01218126. [Population not of interest].
466. Rassouli F, Baty F, Stolz D, et al. Telehealth care vs. standard care in COPD - An international randomised controlled trial (interim analysis). *Respiration*. 2018;95(6):508. PMID: 623934020. [Abstract].

467. Reed M. Short-term versus conventional glucocorticoid therapy in acute exacerbations of COPD. *Pharmacy Times*. 2013;79(8). PMID: 369613852. [Study design not of interest].
468. Revitt O, Sewell L, Singh S. Early versus delayed pulmonary rehabilitation: A randomized controlled trial - Can we do it? *Chronic Respiratory Disease*. 2018 01 Aug;15(3):323-6. PMID: 623392453. [Population not of interest].
469. Reyes Martin E, Fernandez Almendros C, Alvarez-Sala JL, et al. [Effect of immunomodulator AM3 on the exacerbations in patients with chronic bronchitis: a systematic review of controlled trials]. *Revista Clinica Espanola*. 2004 Sep;204(9):466-71. PMID: 15388020. [Language other than English].
470. Riancho JA, Cubian I, Portero I. [Effectiveness of inhaled corticosteroids in chronic obstructive lung disease: systematic review]. *Medicina Clinica*. 2002 Apr 06;118(12):446-51. PMID: 11958761. [Language other than English].
471. Rittayamai N, Tscheikuna J, Praphruekit N, et al. Use of High-Flow Nasal Cannula for Acute Dyspnea and Hypoxemia in the Emergency Department. *Respiratory Care*. 2015 Oct;60(10):1377-82. PMID: 26060321. [Population not of interest].
472. Roche N, Wedzicha JA, Donohue JF, et al. Indacaterol/glycopyrronium improves lung function and health status versus salmeterol/fluticasone in moderate-to-very severe COPD patients irrespective of prior ICS/LABA/LAMA therapy: The flame study. *Respirology*. 2017 November;22 (Supplement 3):177-8. PMID: 619968137. [Abstract].
473. Rodrigo GJ, Neffen H. A systematic review with meta-analysis of fluticasone furoate/vilanterol combination for the treatment of stable COPD. *Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*. 2017 02;42:1-6. PMID: 27864038. [Population not of interest].
474. Rodriguez DA, Rodo-Pin A, Guerrero M, et al. Impact of step exercises as an early intervention during COPD exacerbation. *European Respiratory Journal. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress*. 2016;48(Supplement 60). PMID: 614780709. [Abstract].
475. Rodriguez-Roisin R. COPD exacerbations . 5: Management. *Thorax*. 2006 June;61(6):535-44. PMID: 43901525. [Study design not of interest].
476. Roede I, Bresser P, Bindels P, et al. Antibiotics for exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease seems to reduce relapses. [Dutch]. *Huisarts en Wetenschap*. 2009 May;52(5):218-24. PMID: 354813664. [Language other than English].
477. Roflumilast in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Patients Treated With Fixed Combinations of Long-acting β_2 -agonists (LABA) and Inhaled Glucocorticosteroid (ICS). 2011 May. PMID: NCT01329029. [Population not of interest].
478. Roflumilast in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Patients Treated With Fixed Dose Combinations of Long-acting β_2 -agonist (LABA) and Inhaled Corticosteroid (ICS). 2011 September 30. PMID: NCT01443845. [Population not of interest].
479. Rogliani P, Calzetta L, Braido F, et al. LABA/LAMA fixed-dose combinations in patients with COPD: a systematic review. *International Journal of Copd*. 2018;13:3115-30. PMID: 30323582. [Population not of interest].
480. Rogliani P, Ora J, Ciapriani C, et al. Effect of indacaterol on arterial blood gases in patients suffering from acute exacerbation of COPD. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2014 Feb;108(2):307-13. PMID: 24238769. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
481. Romanovskikh A, Sinopalinkov A, Ratchina S. Open label randomized, comparative trial of the efficacy of levofloxacin versus clarithromycin SR therapy in complicated infectious exacerbation of COPD [Abstract]. *European respiratory journal*. 2007;30(Suppl 51):674s [E3919]. PMID: CN-00642856 UPDATE. [Abstract].

482. Royal F, University College Medical S. Effects of Long Term Macrolide Antibiotic Therapy in Patients With COPD. 2004 April. PMID: NCT00147667. [Population not of interest].
483. Rutishauser J. 5 days of prednisone was noninferior to 14 days in patients with acute COPD exacerbation. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2013;159(6):JC5. PMID: CN-00916328 UPDATE. [Study design not of interest].
484. Saey D, Ribeiro F. Resistance training preserves skeletal muscle function in patients with COPD who are hospitalised with an acute exacerbation. *Journal of Physiotherapy*. 2011 September;57(3):194. PMID: 362505468. [Study design not of interest].
485. Safety and Efficacy of Arformoterol Tartrate Inhalation Solution in Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2005 October. PMID: NCT00250679. [Population not of interest].
486. Safety and Efficacy Study of Losmapimod (GW856553) in Frequently Exacerbating Participants With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2014 December. PMID: NCT02299375. [Population not of interest].
487. Safety Study of Arformoterol Tartrate Inhalation Solution in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Subjects. 2009 June. PMID: NCT00909779. [Population not of interest].
488. Safety Study to Evaluate MN-221 in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Patients. 2012 March. PMID: NCT01551316. [Population not of interest].
489. Salazar A, Juan A, Ballbe R, et al. Emergency short-stay unit as an effective alternative to in-hospital admission for acute chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2007 May;25(4):486-7. PMID: 46722667. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
490. Samsung Medical C. Physical Activity in COPD Acute Exacerbation Patients. 2017 November 6. PMID: NCT03437252. [Population not of interest].
491. Sanchez IT, Valenza MC, Del Corral Nunez-Flores T, et al. Repercussion of different physical therapy interventions on the perceived health status of AECOPD patients. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2016;48(Supplement 60). PMID: 614780393. [Abstract].
492. Saudny-Unterberger H, Martin JG, Gray-Donald K. Impact of nutritional support on functional status during an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 1997;156(3):794-9. [Duplicate Study].
493. Sayiner A, Aytemur ZA, Baysak A, et al. N-acetylcysteine in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease associated with increased sputum. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2011;183(1 Meeting Abstracts). PMID: 70847477. [Abstract].
494. Sayiner A, Aytemur ZA, Cirit M, et al. Systemic glucocorticoids in severe exacerbations of COPD. *Chest*. 2001;119(3):726-30. [Duplicate Study].
495. Schou L, Ostergaard B, Rydahl-Hansen S, et al. A randomised trial of telemedicine-based treatment versus conventional hospitalisation in patients with severe COPD and exacerbation - effect on self-reported outcome. *Journal of Telemedicine & Telecare*. 2013 Apr;19(3):160-5. PMID: 23612519. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
496. Schroff P, Dransfield MT, Woodruff P, et al. Plasma acetyl-proline-glycine-proline (ACPGP) and COPD exacerbation risk in chronic azithromycin use. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(Meeting Abstracts). PMID: 622969889. [Abstract].

- 497.Schuetz P, Leuppi JD, Bingisser R, et al. Prospective analysis of adrenal function in patients with acute exacerbations of COPD: the Reduction in the Use of Corticosteroids in Exacerbated COPD (REDUCE) trial. *European Journal of Endocrinology*. 2015 Jul;173(1):19-27. PMID: 25855628. [Study design not of interest].
- 498.Schumaker GL, Epstein SK. Managing acute respiratory failure during exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respiratory Care*. 2004 Jul;49(7):766-82. PMID: 15222909. [Study design not of interest].
- 499.Scuri M, Fabbri LM, Singh D, et al. Reduction in fatal events with ICS-containing medications: results of safety pooled analysis from the trilogy, trinity and tribute studies. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622969648. [Population not of interest].
- 500.Scuri M, Singh D, Fabbri LM, et al. Single inhaler extrafine triple therapy improves clinical outcomes in gold b COPD patients: Post-HOC analysis of the tribute study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622969478. [Abstract].
- 501.Scuri M, Singh D, Fabbri LM, et al. Single inhaler extrafine triple therapy reduces clinically important deterioration (CID) in COPD compared to indacaterol/glycopyrronium: Post-hoc analysis of the tribute study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622967653. [Abstract].
- 502.Scuri M, Singh D, Fabbri LM, et al. Single inhaler extrafine triple therapy vs indacaterol/glycopyrronium in copd patients previously treated with laba/lama: Post-HOC analysis of the tribute study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622969555. [Abstract].
- 503.Scuri M, Spinola M, Vezzoli S, et al. Efficacy of CHF5993, a novel triple extrafine combination treatment in COPD patients at high risk of exacerbations: A subgroup analysis of the TRINITY study. *Pneumologie*. Conference. 2018;59. PMID: 622904829. [Duplicate Study].
- 504.Segreti A, Fiori E, Calzetta L, et al. The effect of indacaterol during an acute exacerbation of COPD. *Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*. 2013 Dec;26(6):630-4. PMID: 23578980. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
- 505.Sergey A, Galiya N, Sanjita B, et al. Effects of combined therapy with nebulized b2-agonist and anticholinergic in severe exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Randomised controlled trial [Abstract]. *European respiratory journal*. 2007;30(Suppl 51):611s [P3594]. PMID: CN-00642795 UPDATE. [Abstract].
- 506.Sethi S, Anzueto A, Miravittles M, et al. Determinants of bacteriological outcomes in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Infection*. 2016 Feb;44(1):65-76. PMID: 26370552. [Study design not of interest].
- 507.Sethi S, Kerwin EM, Watz H, et al. Amplify: A randomized, phase iii study evaluating the efficacy and safety of aclidinium/formoterol versus monotherapy in patients with copd. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622971059. [Abstract].

508. Sheikh Motahar Vahedi H, Mahshidfar B, Rabiee H, et al. The adjunctive effect of nebulized furosemide in COPD exacerbation: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Respiratory Care*. 2013 Nov;58(11):1873-7. PMID: 23650431. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
509. Shestovitskiy VA, Grinshteyn YI, Maksimova AV. Cytological and oxidant characteristics of local cellular immunity in acute severe exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Pulmonologiya*. 2017;27(4):478-83. [Duplicate Study].
510. Shi X, Li H. Anticoagulation therapy in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the acute exacerbation stage. *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*. 2013;5(5):1367-70. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
511. Shmelev EI, Kunicina YL. Comparison of fenspiride with beclomethasone as adjunctive anti-inflammatory treatment in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Clinical Drug Investigation*. 2006;26(3):151-9. PMID: 17163246. [Population not of interest].
512. Shortall SP, Blum J, Oldenburg FA, et al. Treatment of patients hospitalized for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: comparison of an oral/metered-dose inhaler regimen and an intravenous/nebulizer regimen. *Respiratory Care*. 2002 Feb;47(2):154-8. PMID: 11812271. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
513. Shorter courses of corticosteroids for COPD exacerbations? *Drug and Therapeutics Bulletin*. 2015;53(3):28. PMID: 604440834. [Abstract].
514. Shrestha M, O'Brien T, Haddox R, et al. Decreased duration of emergency department treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations with the addition of ipratropium bromide to beta-agonist therapy. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*. 1991 Nov;20(11):1206-9. PMID: 1835324. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
515. Shrestha M, O'Brien T, Haddox R, et al. Decreased duration of emergency department treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations with the addition of ipratropium bromide to β -agonist therapy. *Annals of emergency medicine*. 1991;20(11):1206-9. [Duplicate Study].
516. Silver PC, Kollef MH, Clinkscale D, et al. A Respiratory Therapist Disease Management Program for Subjects Hospitalized With COPD. *Respiratory Care*. 2017 Jan;62(1):1-9. PMID: 27899531. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
517. Similowski T, Suissa S. Systemic steroids in severe forms of COPD exacerbations: A question of balance? *European Respiratory Journal*. 2014 01 Mar;43(3):668-70. PMID: 372532423. [Study design not of interest].
518. Singh VP, Rao V, V P, et al. Comparison of the effectiveness of music and progressive muscle relaxation for anxiety in COPD--A randomized controlled pilot study. *Chronic Respiratory Disease*. 2009;6(4):209-16. PMID: 19858350. [Population not of interest].
519. Sivapalan P, Moberg M, Eklof J, et al. A multi-center randomized, controlled, open-label trial evaluating the effects of eosinophil-guided corticosteroid-sparing therapy in hospitalised patients with COPD exacerbations - The CORTICO steroid reduction in COPD (CORTICO-COP) study protocol. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*. 2017 Aug 15;17(1):114. PMID: 28810909. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
520. Skwarska E, Cohen G, Skwarski KM, et al. Randomized controlled trial of supported discharge in patients with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax*. 2000 Nov;55(11):907-12. PMID: 11050258. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
521. Slawson D. Five-day steroid treatment effective for acute COPD exacerbation. *American family physician*. 2013;88(11):744A. PMID: CN-00911065 UPDATE. [Abstract].

- 522.Sliwka A, Jankowski M, Gross-Sondej I, et al. Once-daily long-acting beta2-agonists/inhaled corticosteroids combined inhalers versus inhaled long-acting muscarinic antagonists for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2018 08 24;8:CD012355. PMID: 30141826. [Population not of interest].
- 523.Slotervaart Hospital A, Isala SG. Glucose Control During Glucocorticoid Therapy in Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2015 February. PMID: NCT02253121. [Outcome not of interest].
- 524.Song YJ, Zhou ZH, Liu YK, et al. Prothrombotic state in senile patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease combined with respiratory failure. Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine. 2013;5(4):1184-8. [Outcome not of interest].
- 525.Soroka University Medical C. Tight Glycemic Control in Acute Exacerbations of COPD. 2007 April. PMID: NCT00452296. [Study design not of interest].
- 526.Southeast University C. Effects of NAVA and PSV to AECOPD Patients' Air Distribution and Dead Space. 2014 November. PMID: NCT02289573. [Population not of interest].
- 527.Soutotchnikova OA, Avdeev SN, Belevsky AS, et al. Randomized controlled trial of nebulized budesonide suspension in acute severe exacerbations of COPD. European respiratory journal. 2002;20(Suppl 38):244s. PMID: CN-00461774 UPDATE. [Abstract].
- 528.Ställberg B, Selroos O, Vogelmeier C, et al. Budesonide/formoterol as effective as prednisolone plus formoterol in acute exacerbations of COPD A double-blind, randomised, non-inferiority, parallel-group, multicentre study. Respiratory research. 2009;10(1):11. [Duplicate Study].
- 529.Steurer J. [5 days of systemic steroids in COPD exacerbation are adequate]. Praxis. 2013 Aug 21;102(17):1075. PMID: 23965722. [Study design not of interest].
- 530.Stolbrink M, Amiry J, Blakey JD. Does antibiotic treatment duration affect the outcomes of exacerbations of asthma and COPD? A systematic review. Chronic Respiratory Disease. 2018 Aug;15(3):225-40. PMID: 29232988. [Duplicate Study].
- 531.Stolz D, Christ-Crain M, Bingisser R, et al. Antibiotic treatment of exacerbations of COPD: a randomized, controlled trial comparing procalcitonin-guidance with standard therapy. Chest. 2007 Jan;131(1):9-19. PMID: 17218551. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
- 532.Stolz D, Christ-Crain M, Bingisser R, et al. Procalcitonin-guided antibiotic therapy in acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD): a randomised trial - the ProCOLD study [Abstract]. European respiratory journal. 2005;26(Suppl 49):Abstract No. 3044. PMID: CN-00592471 UPDATE. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
- 533.Strambu I, Parkin JM, Magnusson B, et al. Treatment of acute exacerbations in COPD: An exploratory phase ii study of single and repeated doses of acumapimod (BCT197), an oral p38 inhibitor. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2017;195(no pagination). PMID: 617707134. [Abstract].
- 534.Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of Fluticasone Furoate/Vilanterol Inhalation Powder (FF/VI) Compared With Vilanterol Inhalation Powder (VI) in Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2014 April 7. PMID: NCT02105974. [Population not of interest].
- 535.Study In Subjects With COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease). 2004 November. PMID: NCT00103922. [Population not of interest].
- 536.Study to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety of Mepolizumab for Frequently Exacerbating Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Patients. 2014 April 15. PMID: NCT02105948. [Population not of interest].
- 537.Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Formoterol in a Daily Dose of 18 µg (9 µg Twice Daily) in Japanese Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Patients. 2009 December. PMID: NCT01047553. [Population not of interest].

538. System VAPSHC, System VAECHC, System VAPAHC. Understanding Patient Management of COPD Exacerbations. 2016 April. PMID: NCT02725294. [Study design not of interest].
539. Tabak M, Brusse-Keizer M, van der Valk P, et al. A telehealth program for self-management of COPD exacerbations and promotion of an active lifestyle: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Copd*. 2014;9:935-44. PMID: 25246781. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
540. Tahirah F, Jenkins S, Othman SK, et al. A randomised controlled trial of individualised, progressed early exercise in patients hospitalised with an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD). *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2015;46(SUPPL. 59). PMID: 72105113. [Abstract].
541. Tang C, Southcott AM, Barker K, et al. Commencement of pulmonary rehabilitation during hospital admission increases rates of attendance among patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): Randomised controlled trial. *Respirology*. 2018 March;23 (Supplement 1):122. PMID: 622091528. [Abstract].
542. Tao Y, Chen J, Zhu J, et al. The clinical applications of penethyclidine hydrochloride in patients with AECOPD. [Chinese]. *Chinese Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2006 March;15(3):255-9. PMID: 43421654. [Language other than English].
543. Targeted AntiBiotics for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03262142>. 2017. PMID: CN-01496082. [Population not of interest].
544. Taylor J, Martinez C, Sjoding M, et al. Transitional care management for COPD: The University of Michigan experience. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622970044. [Abstract].
545. Telithromycin in Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Bronchitis. 2006 January. PMID: NCT00288223. [Population not of interest].
546. Telithromycin: in the Treatment of Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Bronchitis in Adult Outpatients With COPD. 2002 November. PMID: NCT00538148. [Clinical Trial].
547. Temple U, Forest L. Effects of Roflumilast in Hospitalized Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease(COPD) on Mortality and Re-hospitalization. 2013 November. PMID: NCT01973998. [Clinical Trial].
548. Temple U, Pennsylvania Department of H. Pennsylvania Study Of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Exacerbations. 2004 June. PMID: NCT00774176. [Study design not of interest].
549. Temple University F. Effects of Nasal High-flow Oxygen in Patients With an Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2017 July 20. PMID: NCT03214458. [Study design not of interest].
550. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R, D Inc TPI. A Study of 3 Doses of Tiotropium Hydrofluoralkane (HFA) Breath Actuated Inhaler (BAI), in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2014 August. PMID: NCT02203474. [Population not of interest].
551. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R, D Inc TPI. Evaluate the Relationship Between Use of Albuterol Multidose Dry Powder Inhaler With an eModule (eMDPI) and Exacerbations in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). 2017 September 28. PMID: NCT03256695. [Clinical Trial].
552. The Effect of Azithromycin in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and Chronic Productive Cough. 2009 September. PMID: NCT01071161. [Population not of interest].
553. The Effectiveness of Roflumilast in Improving Mucociliary Clearance in Patients With COPD and Chronic Bronchitis. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03073798>. 2017. PMID: CN-01562453. [Duplicate Study].

554. The Effects of Singing Training for Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct03280355>. 2017. PMID: CN-01564011. [Duplicate Study].
555. The George Institute NH, Medical Research Council A. Theophylline and Steroids in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Study. 2014 May. PMID: NCT02261727. [Population not of interest].
556. The Korean Academy of Tuberculosis RD. Clinical Outcomes of Tiotropium Plus Fluticasone Propionate/Salmeterol Compared With Tiotropium for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) in Korea. 2009 March. PMID: NCT00864812. [Population not of interest].
557. The Royal Bournemouth Hospital S. Insulin Therapy in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Exacerbations. 2007 July. PMID: NCT00467636. [Clinical Trial].
558. The Study Will Evaluate the Effect of AZD9164 in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2009 June. PMID: NCT00939211. [Population not of interest].
559. Thompson WH, Nielson CP, Carvalho P, et al. Controlled trial of oral prednisone in outpatients with acute COPD exacerbation. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 1996;154(2):407-12. [Duplicate Study].
560. Tongji Hospital AhoGmu, China UhoHufn, China Th, et al. Quality Control and Evaluation in Standard COPD Management. 2017 November 1. PMID: NCT03314077. [Population not of interest].
561. Torres A. Chronic obstructive lung disease: Antibiotic therapy of acute exacerbations. [Spanish]. *Archivos de Bronconeumologia*. 2003;39(SUPPL. 3):27-31. PMID: 37023004. [Language other than English].
562. Torres-Sanchez I, Cabrera-Martos I, Jose Cruz-Rueda J, et al. Multimodal therapeutic program during hospitalization in obese COPD patients. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2015;46(SUPPL. 59). PMID: 72105220. [Abstract].
563. Torres-Sanchez I, Valenza MC, Cabrera-Martos I, et al. Physical therapy effects on psychological functions in patients with acute COPD exacerbation. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2013;42(SUPPL. 57). PMID: 71843404. [Abstract].
564. Torres-Sanchez I, Valenza MC, Cebria I Iranzo MDA, et al. Effects of different physical therapy programs on perceived health status in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: a randomized clinical trial. *Disability & Rehabilitation*. 2017 May 08;1-7. PMID: 28478693. [Duplicate Study].
565. Torres-Sanchez I, Valenza MC, Cebria I Iranzo MDA, et al. Effects of different physical therapy programs on perceived health status in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: a randomized clinical trial. *Disability & Rehabilitation*. 2018 08;40(17):2025-31. PMID: 28478693. [Duplicate Study].
566. Torres-Sanchez I, Valenza MC, Saez-Roca G, et al. Results of a Multimodal Program During Hospitalization in Obese COPD Exacerbated Patients. *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*. 2016;13(1):19-25. PMID: 26418629. [Duplicate Study]. Torres-Sanchez I, Valenza MC, Valenza-Demet G, et al. Effects of a physical therapy program in hospitalized COPD patients due to an acute exacerbation. *Chest*. Conference: CHEST World Congress. 2014;145(3 MEETING ABSTRACT). PMID: 71428952. [Abstract].
567. Torres-Sanchez I, Valenza MC, Valenza-Demet G, et al. Quality of life in hospitalized patients for exacerbation of COPD included in a physical therapy program. *Chest*. Conference: CHEST World Congress. 2014;145(3 MEETING ABSTRACT). PMID: 71428955. [Abstract].
568. Touaty E, Viau F, Pariente R. A therapeutic trial of intravenous almitrine in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive respiratory failure. *Revue francaise des maladies respiratoires*. 1980;8(SYMP.):211-5. PMID: CN-00187210 UPDATE. [Language other than English].

569. Translational Research Center for Medical Innovation K, Hyogo J. Study of Long-term HFNC for COPD Patients With HOT. 2017 September 6. PMID: NCT03282019. [Population not of interest].
570. Treatment and Exacerbation in COPD Subjects. 2017 August 25. PMID: NCT03375450. [Population not of interest].
571. Tricco AC, Striffler L, Veroniki A-A, et al. Comparative safety and effectiveness of long-acting inhaled agents for treating chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2015 Oct 26;5(10):e009183. PMID: 26503392. [Population not of interest].
572. Troosters T, Probst VS, Crul T, et al. Resistance training prevents deterioration in quadriceps muscle function during acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2010;181(10):1072-7. [Duplicate Study].
573. Turato G, Semenzato U, Bazzan E, et al. Blood eosinophilia neither reflects tissue eosinophils nor worsens clinical outcomes in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2018 01 May;197(9):1216-9. PMID: 622058301. [Study design not of interest].
574. Ucar EY, Araz O, Ozturk D, et al. Comparison of different dosage nebulised budesonide in COPD exacerbation. *European Respiratory Journal. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress*. 2012;40(SUPPL. 56). PMID: 71923645. [Abstract].
575. Universidad de G. Effect of Physiotherapy on Perceived Health Status in Hospitalized COPD Patients. 2015 September. PMID: NCT02509299. [Clinical Trial].
576. Universidad de G. Physiotherapy in Exacerbation Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2013 March. PMID: NCT01826682. [Clinical Trial].
577. Università degli Studi di Ferrara G. Effects of Inhaled Corticosteroids on Sputum Bacterial Load in COPD. 2009 May. PMID: NCT01213693. [Population not of interest].
578. University College L. Pulmonary Rehabilitation and Cardiovascular Risk in COPD. 2017 January. PMID: NCT03003208. [Population not of interest].
579. University Hospital A. High Flow Oxygen Therapy in Patients Suffering From Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbation: Effects and Mechanisms of Action. 2015 February. PMID: NCT02371564. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
580. University Hospital B. Efficacy of Varenicline on Smoking Cessation at the Acute Phase of an Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2012 August. PMID: NCT01694732. [Population not of interest].
581. University Hospital B. Predictor for an Additional Benefit of Inhaled Corticosteroid in Patients Treated With Tiotropium for COPD. 2007 April. PMID: NCT00860938. [Population not of interest].
582. University Hospital G, University Ghent G. Pharmaceutical Care for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Study. 2010 December. PMID: NCT01260389. [Population not of interest].
583. University Hospital G. COPD-EXA-REHAB. Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation of Patients With Acute Exacerbation of COPD. 2013 November. PMID: NCT02987439. [Clinical Trial].
584. University Hospital G. Early Supported Discharge and Enhanced Homecare After Emergency Department Admission for Acute Exacerbation of COPD. 2016 April. PMID: NCT03474575. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
585. University Hospital M. Procalcitonin-guided Antibiotic Therapy During Severe Acute Exacerbation of COPD. 2017 October 5. PMID: NCT03440060. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
586. University Hospital M. Sevoflurane in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbation. 2018 February 27. PMID: NCT03460015. [Population not of interest].

587. University of C, San F, Kaiser P, et al. Rehabilitation in Safety-net Settings for Patients With COPD. 2017 September 1. PMID: NCT03287440. [Population not of interest].
588. University of E, Philips H. Physical Activity Enhancing Programme in COPD. 2015 February. PMID: NCT02347566. [Population not of interest].
589. University of M. Acute Exacerbation of COPD and Physical Activity - Preliminary Study. 2013 February. PMID: NCT01871246. [Study design not of interest].
590. University of M. Ceftidoren Versus Levofloxacin in the Treatment of Patients With Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Bronchitis (AECB). 2012 January. PMID: NCT01467297. [Population not of interest].
591. University of M. Magnesium Sulfate Versus Ipratropium Bromide in Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2005 January. PMID: NCT01136421. [Clinical Trial].
592. University of M. Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole Versus Ciprofloxacin in Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Requiring Mechanical Ventilation. 2002 July. PMID: NCT00791505. [Population not of interest].
593. University of M. β_2 -agonist Versus Ipratropium Bromide Associated With β_2 -agonists in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbation. 2013 July. PMID: NCT01944033. [Clinical Trial].
594. University of Milan P. Azithromycin Treatment of Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and Tracheostomy. 2004 October. PMID: NCT00323986. [Population not of interest].
595. University of Minnesota - Clinical TSI, National Heart L, Blood Institute CIOHR. Simvastatin Therapy for Moderate and Severe COPD. 2010 March. PMID: NCT01061671. [Population not of interest].
596. University of North C, Chapel H, University of P, et al. Validation of PROMIS Banks With COPD Exacerbations. 2008 July. PMID: NCT00784342. [Study design not of interest].
597. University of Sao P, Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São P. The Role of Resistance Exercise in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbation. 2009 April. PMID: NCT01786928. [Clinical Trial].
598. University of T. Exacerbations and Health Related Quality of Life in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2002 May. PMID: NCT00884975. [Study design not of interest].
599. University of T. Granzymes and Perforin at the Onset of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Exacerbations. 2002 December. PMID: NCT00883701. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
600. University of Z. Home-based Exercise Training for COPD Patients (HOMEX-2). 2018 October 19. PMID: NCT03654092. [Population not of interest].
601. University RA. COPD Exacerbations. 2016 June. PMID: NCT02798575. [Population not of interest].
602. Use of Respiratory Therapists (RTs) to Improve Outcomes and Quality of Life in Patients With COPD. 2014 March. PMID: NCT02078622. [Population not of interest].
603. Utens CMA, Goossens LMA, Smeenk FWJM, et al. Early assisted discharge with generic community nursing for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations: results of a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open*. 2012;2(5). PMID: 23075570. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
604. Utens CMA, Goossens LMA, Smeenk FWJM, et al. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of early assisted discharge for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations: the design of a randomised controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2010 Oct 18;10:618. PMID: 20955582. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
605. Va Office of Research D. Increasing Physical Activity in COPD Through Rhythmically Enhanced Music. 2018 October 1. PMID: NCT03655028. [Population not of interest].

606. Va Office of Research D. Randomized Controlled Trial of Exercise Training in Patients With COPD. PMID: NCT00012792. [Population not of interest].
607. Valenza MC, Torres-Sanchez I, Del Corral Nunez-Flores T, et al. Results of an exercise intervention in frail older patients with acute exacerbation of COPD. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2016;48(Supplement 60). PMID: 614780460. [Abstract].
608. Valenza MC, Valenza-Pena G, Torres-Sanchez I, et al. Effectiveness of controlled breathing techniques on anxiety and depression in hospitalized patients with COPD: a randomized clinical Trial. [Erratum appears in *Respir Care*. 2016 Nov;61(11):e3; PMID: 27794090]. *Respiratory Care*. 2014 Feb;59(2):209-15. PMID: 23882107. [Duplicate Study].
609. van den Broek KM, Wielders PLML, Creemers JPHM, et al. Efficacy of formoterol Turbuhaler in the emergency treatment of patients with obstructive airway diseases. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2008 Apr;102(4):579-85. PMID: 18222683. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
610. van Velzen P, Ter Riet G, Bresser P, et al. Doxycycline for outpatient-treated acute exacerbations of COPD: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*. 2017 Jun;5(6):492-9. PMID: 28483402. [Duplicate Study].
611. Van Velzen P, Ter Riet G, Bresser P, et al. Long-Term Effects of Antibiotics in COPD Exacerbations: a Randomized Clinical Trial. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2016;193(Meeting Abstracts):A1021. PMID: CN-01262042 NEW. [Abstract].
612. Vandenbos F, Caisso C, Harb E, et al. [Is it possible to shorten the antibiotic therapy in patients hospitalized for acute COPD exacerbation?]. *Revue des Maladies Respiratoires*. 2017 03;34(3):275-7. PMID: 28189433. [Language other than English].
613. Varol E. Role of mean platelet volume in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Polskie Archiwum Medycyny Wewnętrznej*. 2012;122(7-8):380. PMID: 365615741. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
614. Vastra Gotaland R. Remote Monitoring of Patients With COPD. 2018 June 7. PMID: NCT03558763. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
615. Verduri A, Luppi F, D'Amico R, et al. Antibiotic treatment of severe exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with procalcitonin: a randomized noninferiority trial. *PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]*. 2015;10(3):e0118241. PMID: 25760346. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
616. Vermeersch K, Gabrovska M, Deslypere G, et al. The Belgian trial with azithromycin for acute COPD exacerbations requiring hospitalization: an investigator-initiated study protocol for a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *International Journal of Copd*. 2016;11:687-96. PMID: 27099485. [Study design not of interest].
617. Vianello A, Fusello M, Gubian L, et al. Home telemonitoring for patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*. 2016 11 22;16(1):157. PMID: 27876029. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
618. VICTOR - Avelox® Intravenous (i.v.) in Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Bronchitis. 2009 May. PMID: NCT00876577. [Population not of interest].
619. Vivodtzev I, L'Her E, Vottero G, et al. Automated O₂ titration improves exercise capacity in patients with hypercapnic chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled cross-over trial. *Thorax*. 2018(pagination). PMID: CN-01645054 NEW. [Population not of interest].
620. Vivodtzev I, L'Her E, Vottero G, et al. Automated O₂ titration improves exercise capacity in patients with hypercapnic chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A randomised controlled cross-over trial. *Thorax*. 2018. [Population not of interest].

621. Vogelmeier C, Fabbri LM, Bell S, et al. Identification of factors associated with exacerbation risk in severe COPD: Multivariate analysis of the WISDOM study. *Pneumologie*. Conference. 2017;58. PMID: 623446524. [Duplicate Study].
622. Vogelmeier C, Wedzicha J, Donohue J, et al. Once-daily indacaterol/glycopyrronium reduces the rate and risk of moderate or severe exacerbations compared with twice-daily salmeterol/fluticasone in a subset of gold group D COPD patients with a history of ≥ 2 exacerbations or 1 hospitalization: The FLAME study. *Pneumologie*. Conference. 2018;59. PMID: 622904789. [Abstract].
623. Vollenweider DJ, Frei A, Steurer-Stey CA, et al. Antibiotics for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2018 10 29;10:CD010257. PMID: 30371937. [Abstract].
624. Wang FX, Zhang TM, Ding CL. Qingfei Huazhuo treating 50 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients during acute exacerbation period. *Chinese journal of experimental traditional medical formulae [zhong guo shi yan fang ji xue za zhi]*. 2015;21(3):187-90. PMID: CN-01435696 NEW. [Abstract].
625. Wang JY, Yu WJ. The effect of Peitu Shengjin Acupuncture Therapy on patients with acute exacerbation type of COPD. *Journal of emergency in traditional chinese medicine [zhong guo zhong yi ji zheng]*. 2015;24(4):728-30. PMID: CN-01435686 NEW. [Abstract].
626. Wang X, Liu X. Moxifloxacin versus levofloxacin for acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases: A systematic review. [Chinese]. *Chinese Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine*. 2012;12(6):694-9. PMID: 373959757. [Language other than English].
627. Wang Z. Analysis of influential factors of medication adherence of inhalation devices in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Acta Medica Mediterranea*. 2018;34(6):1703-9. PMID: 624676382. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
628. Weber C, Stirnemann J, Herrmann F, et al. Can early introduction of specialized palliative care limit intensive care, emergency and hospital admissions in patients with severe and very severe COPD? A pilot randomized study. *Respiration*. 2017;94 (1):80. PMID: 623934145. [Abstract].
629. Wedzicha JA, MacKinnon A, Parkin JM. Effectiveness of acumapimod oral p38 inhibitor in the treatment of acute severe exacerbations of COPD: Results of the aether phase ii trial. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622969272. [Abstract].
630. Wedzicha JA, Singh D, Kostikas K, et al. Efficacy of indacaterol/glycopyrronium versus salmeterol/fluticasone by gender in patients with moderate-to-very severe COPD: Analyses from the flame study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622969225. [Abstract].
631. Wei Z, Tang Z, Wu Y. Comparison of tiotropium and salmeterol for treating COPD: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine*. 2018 30 May;11(5):4397-404. PMID: 622367791. [Population not of interest].
632. Weill Medical College of Cornell University NH, Blood Institute UoM, National Jewish Health UoMC, et al. The CAPTURE Study: Validating a Unique COPD Case Finding Tool in Primary Care (Aim 1). 2018 September 17. PMID: NCT03581227. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
633. West Park Healthcare C. Effectiveness and Feasibility of Delivering an Education Program to Patients With an Acute Exacerbation of COPD. 2015 January. PMID: NCT02321215. [Outcome not of interest].

634. Whale CA, MacLaran SJA, Whale CI, et al. Pilot study to assess the credibility of acupuncture in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Acupuncture in Medicine*. 2009 Mar;27(1):13-5. PMID: 19369188. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
635. Wiest GH, Ferguson GT, Watz H, et al. The impact of grouping patients by the 2017 GOLD COPD strategy on response to therapy: Post hoc results from the TONADO tiotropium+olodaterol trials. *Pneumologie*. Conference. 2018;59. PMID: 622904798. [Abstract].
636. Wilkinson M, Calverley PMA, Angus RM. A randomised placebo controlled trial of doxapram in acute hypercapnic respiratory failure complicating exacerbation of COPD [Abstract]. *European respiratory journal*. 2003;22(Suppl 45):Abstract P2828. PMID: CN-00486452 UPDATE. [Abstract].
637. Wilson R, Anzueto A, Miravittles M, et al. A novel study design for antibiotic trials in acute exacerbations of COPD: MAESTRAL methodology. *International Journal of Copd*. 2011;6:373-83. PMID: 21760724. [Study design not of interest].
638. Wilson R, Anzueto A, Miravittles M, et al. Moxifloxacin (MXF) vs amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (AMC) in acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD): Results of a large clinical trial with a novel endpoint. *European Respiratory Journal*. Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2011;38(SUPPL. 55). PMID: 72116359. [Abstract].
639. Wilson R, Sethi S, Anzueto A, et al. Maestral (moxifloxacin in aecb superiority trial) study protocol: Use of a novel 8-week post-therapy endpoint. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2011;183(1 MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 70847454. [Abstract].
640. Wim J, Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en T, Katholieke Universiteit L. Azithromycin for Acute Exacerbations Requiring Hospitalization. 2014 August 1. PMID: NCT02135354. [Clinical Trial].
641. Wise RA, Scirica BM, Schoenfeld DA, et al. Effects of acclidinium bromide on major adverse cardiovascular events and COPD exacerbations in patients with COPD and cardiovascular risk factors. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. Conference: American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS. 2018;197(MeetingAbstracts). PMID: 622969296. [Abstract].
642. Women's College H, Markham Stouffville H. Evaluating the Cloud DX Platform as a Tool for Self-management and Asynchronous Remote-monitoring of COPD. 2018 January 1. PMID: NCT03741855. [Population not of interest].
643. Wood-Baker R, Wilkinson J, Pearce M, et al. A double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial of corticosteroids for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. [abstract]. *Australian and New Zealand journal of medicine*. 1998;28(262). PMID: CN-00320763 UPDATE. [Study design not of interest].
644. Wood-Baker R, Wilkinson J, Pearce M, et al. A double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial of corticosteroids for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thoracic Society of Australia & New Zealand*. 199p. 1997. PMID: CN-00285737 UPDATE. [Abstract].
645. Wortmann-Schmid B. Exacerbation of chronic obstructive bronchitis. [German]. *Therapiewoche Schweiz*. 1999;15(2):74-5. PMID: 29129883. [Language other than English].
646. Wu R, Fengjie Z, Li Y, et al. Modified dachengqi decoction combined with conventional treatment for treating acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review based on randomized controlled trials. *Evidence-Based Complementary & Alternative Medicine: eCAM*. 2013;2013:323715. PMID: 23662130. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
647. Wu W-w, Hong H-h, Shao X-p, et al. Effect of oxygen-driven nebulization at different oxygen flows in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients. *American Journal of the Medical Sciences*. 2014 May;347(5):343-6. PMID: 23689047. [Outcome not of interest].

- 648.Xie Y, Li X, Wang J, et al. Acupuncture therapy for acute exacerbation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A literature analysis of clinical study. *Respirology*. 2018 November;23 (Supplement 2):125. PMID: 625398358. [Abstract].
- 649.Yuan G, Fu L, Sun W, et al. Effect of low-dose glucocorticoid on corticosteroid insufficient patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Chinese Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2014;23(5):543-8. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
- 650.Yuan L, Feng J, Luo FM. Low molecular weight heparin in treating patients with acute exacerbation of COPD: A meta-analysis. [Chinese]. *Chinese Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine*. 2014;14(7):821-6. PMID: 373859422. [Language other than English].
- 651.Zhang X, Wang L, Liu CH, et al. Effect of compound ephedra and white peony decoction on pulmonary function and serum cytokine level in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases at acute exacerbation phase. [Chinese]. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation*. 2006 20 Jul;10(27):73-5. PMID: 44236597. [Language other than English].
- 652.Zheng F, Sun Y, Zhong X, et al. A multicenter randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of rhubarb in treating acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease of the syndrome type phlegm-heat obstructing the lungs. *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medical Sciences*. 2016 01 Apr;3(2):71-80. PMID: 612608845. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
- 653.Zheng J, Lin J, Zhou X, et al. Nebulized budesonide in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD): A randomized, double blind, double dummy, parallel controlled, multicenter trial. *Chest. Conference: CHEST*. 2011;140(4 MEETING ABSTRACT). PMID: 70635108. [Abstract].
- 654.Zhong LJ, Zhang LS, Gu CF, et al. Procalcitonin impact analysis, respiratory function and blood gas analysis on Xiaqinglong decoction combined with non-invasive ventilation in treatment of AECOPD patients. *Zhongguo Zhongyao Zazhi*. 2018;43(14):3026-30. [Language other than English].
- 655.Zhong Y, Mao B, Wang G, et al. Tanreqing injection combined with conventional Western medicine for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review. *Journal of Alternative & Complementary Medicine*. 2010 Dec;16(12):1309-19. PMID: 21091297. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
- 656.Zhong Y, Wang X, Dong S, et al. Modified Weijing formula for the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine*. 2014 June;6(3):342-54. PMID: 52946504. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].
- 657.Zujovic D, Zugic V. Efficacy and safety of formoterol fumarate in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. Conference: american thoracic society international conference, ATS. 2017;195(no pagination). PMID: CN-01409353 NEW. [Abstract].
- 658.Zwerink M, Kerstjens HA, van der Palen J, et al. (Cost-)effectiveness of self-treatment of exacerbations in patients with COPD: 2 years follow-up of a RCT. *Respirology*. 2016 Apr;21(3):497-503. PMID: 26647766. [Intervention/Comparison not of interest].

Appendix D. Characteristics of Included Studies

Table D.1. KQ1: Characteristics of included studies

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Aaron, 2003 ¹	Canada	Low risk	Diagnosis by a physician or had at least a one-year history of chronic dyspnea or cough with sputum production	The presence of at least two of the following three clinical criteria: a recent increase in breathlessness, sputum volume, or sputum purulence	Mild/Moderate	Prednisone	NR	74 patients aged 68.9± 11.2 years, 43% female
						Placebo	NR	73 patients aged 69.9± 10.4 years, 42% female
Albert, 1980 ²	United States of America 10/25/1976 to 03/27/1978	Low risk	Chronic bronchitis (FEV1≤60% predicted or FEV1≤60% than FVC)	Acute bronchitis (increase in cough, sputum production, and sputum color within prior 5 days) + acute respiratory failure (PaO ₂ <65 mmHg on room air, or PaCO ₂ ≥50 or pH<7.35)	Severe	Methylprednisolone	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.673±0.239	22 patients aged 61±9 years
						Placebo	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.719±0.313	22 patients aged 62±10 years

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Anthonisen, 1987 ³	Canada 11/01/1981 to 09/1984	High risk	FEV1/FVC<0.7, FEV1<70% predicted, clinical diagnosis	Type I exacerbation: increased dyspnea, sputum volume, and sputum purulence Type II exacerbation: two of these three symptoms Type III: one of these three symptoms plus at least one of the following findings: upper respiratory infection (sore throat, nasal discharge) within the past 5 days, fever without other cause, increased wheezing, increased cough, or increase in respiratory rate or heart rate by 20% as compared with baseline.	Mild/Moderate	Placebo	FEV1: Mean 33.9±13.7	173 total patients aged 67.3±9.0 years, 20% female
						Antibiotics		

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Bathoorn, 2008 ⁴	Netherlands	High risk	Post bronchodilator FEV1 <85% predicted but >0.7 liters, and an Abnormal post bronchodilator FEV1/slow inspiratory vital capacity (VC) (<88% predicted in men and <89% predicted in women)	History of increased breathlessness and at least two of the following symptoms for ≥24 hours: increased cough frequency or severity, sputum volume or purulence, and wheeze.	Mild	Budesonide + Formoterol	NR	15 patients aged 61.4±8 years, 33% female
						Prednisolone	NR	15 patients aged 64.8±7 years, 15% female
						Placebo	NR	15 patients aged 64.6±9.1, 7% female
Brusse-Keizer, 2014 ⁵	Netherlands 05/2005 to 01/2007	Low risk	GOLD criteria	An acute negative change in dyspnea and/or sputum volume and/or color of sputum (yellowish or greenish) and/or cough, which warrants additional treatment with prednisolone with or without antibiotics by a physician, outpatient evaluation	Mild/Moderate	Antibiotics	FEV1: Mean 44.7±15.4	18 patients aged 68 years, 50% female
						Placebo	FEV1: Mean 52.2±15.1	17 patients aged 65 years, 29% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Daniels, 2010 ⁶	Netherlands	High risk	GOLD criteria, stages I-IV	An acute (onset < 14 d) exacerbation Anthonisen type 1 [increased dyspnea, sputum volume, and sputum purulence] or type 2 [two of three symptoms]) that required hospitalization	Moderate to Severe	Doxycycline	FEV1: Mean 43.9±17.2 FVC: 71.1±17.7	128 patients aged 71±10.2 years, 43% female
						Placebo	FEV1: 46.9±18.5 FVC: 72.7±18.6	137 patients aged 72.8±9.2 years, 38% female
Davies, 1999 ⁷	United Kingdom	Intermediate risk	History of at least 20 pack-years of cigarette smoking, and had physiological evidence of airflow limitation with initial FEV1 less than 70% predicted and FEV1/forced vital capacity ratio less than 75%	Two of the following symptoms for 24 h or more: increased cough frequency or severity, increased sputum volume or purulence, and increased wheeze	Moderate to Severe	Prednisolone	FEV1: Mean 27.4±2.4	29 patients aged 66±1.3 years, 39% female
						Placebo	FEV1: Mean 21.4±2.5	27 patients aged 69±2.1 years, 23% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Emerman, 1989 ⁸	United States of America 10/1986 to 11/1987	Intermediate risk	Patients, with a clinical history of emphysema or chronic bronchitis with an FEV1 less than 70% of predicted or a FEV /FVC% less than 60%.	Patients, with a clinical history of emphysema or chronic bronchitis presenting to the Emergency Department with acute respiratory distress and had initial spirometry in the Emergency Department with an FEV1 less than 70% of predicted or a FEV /FVC% less than 60%.	Mild to Moderate to Severe	Methylprednisolone	FEV1: Mean 29±14.4	52 patients aged 63.7±8.7 years, 47.91% female
						Placebo	FEV1: Mean 26±14.4	52 patients aged 64.3±8.6 years, 47.91% female
Gunen, 2007 ⁹	Turkey	High risk	American Thoracic Society criteria	Worsening in at least two of the following symptoms: cough, purulent sputum and dyspnea	Moderate to Severe	Management without Systemic corticosteroids	FEV1: Mean 36.7±11.9	53 patients aged 63.5±10.1, 10% female
						Prednisolone, 40mg total dose	FEV1: Mean 35.3±11.7	53 patients aged 64.9±7.1, 18% female
						Nebulized Budesonide	FEV1: Mean 39.6±12.9	53 patients aged 63.9±9.7, 16.7% female
Hassan, 2015 ¹⁰	Egypt 04/2013-10/2014	High risk	NR	Increase in dyspnea, sputum purulence and increased sputum volume, outpatient evaluation	Mild	Placebo	NR	50 patients aged 63±6.1, 16% female
						Antibiotic	NR	50 patients aged 60.6±6.8, 18% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Llor, 2012 ¹¹	Spain 10/2007-07/2010	Low risk	FEV1/FVC <70%, post bronchodilator FEV1>50%, smoking history ≥10 pack years	Increase of dyspnea, sputum volume or sputum purulence	Mild to Moderate	Amoxicillin + Clavulanate, 1500mg + 375mg	Mild: 9.5% Moderate: 90.5% FEV1: Mean 64.2±11.8	162 patients aged 68.4±9.9, 16.5% female
						Placebo	Mild:13.2% Moderate: 86.8% FEV1: Mean 65.9±12.1	156 patients aged 67.8±11, 21.7% female
Maltais, 2002 ¹²	Belgium, Canada, and France	High risk	American Thoracic Society criteria, chronic bronchitis or emphysema	Increased breathlessness in the past 14 days, causing hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Budesonide	NR	71 patients aged 69.1±8.7, 20% female
						Prednisolone	NR	62 patients aged 70.4±7.7, 16% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
			14 day history of acute COPD exacerbation defined as increased breathlessness. Patients were included in the study if they were more than 50 years old, had a smoking history of at least 20 pack years, and according to the attending physician had to be treated in hospital.			Placebo	NR	66 patients aged 70.4±8.9, 20% female
Niewoehner, 1999 ¹³	United States of America	High risk	Clinical diagnosis of COPD plus a history of 30 pack years or more of cigarette smoking, and either an FEV1 of 1.50 liters or less or an inability to undergo spirometry because of dyspnea.	Clinical diagnosis	Moderate to Severe	Glucocorticoid for 8 weeks	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.785±0.288	80 patients aged 68.1±6.8, 3.75% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
						Glucocorticoid for 2 weeks	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.772±0.286	80 patients aged 67.1±10.6, 0% female
						Placebo	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.750±0.271	111Patients, aged 67.8±10, 0% female
Thompson, 1996 ¹⁴	USA	High risk	Airflow obstruction, and a clinical diagnosis of chronic bronchitis or emphysema as defined by the American Thoracic Society	Subjective worsening of chronic baseline dyspnea or cough for more than 24 h duration and necessitating a hospital visit, required to have least a 250% increase in inhaled B-adrenoceptor agonist use for more than 24 h, or an increase in sputum production.	Mild	Prednisone	NR	13 Patients aged 65 (9), female 7%
						Placebo	NR	13 Patients aged 70(7), female 0%
Van Velzen, 2017 ¹⁵	The Netherlands	Low Risk	Post-bronchodilator FEV1/ FVC	Increased dyspnea, cough, or	Mild	Doxycycline	FEV1 (L) Mean: 61.2 (18.0)	152 Patients aged 65.8 (9.3), female 35%

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
	12/2010-8/2013		<0.7, post-bronchodilator FEV1 $\geq$ 30% (GOLD stage 1-3), $\geq$ 10 pack year smoking history, $\geq$ 1 exacerbation in prior 3 years, no exacerbations in prior 4 weeks	sputum sufficient to warrant a change in management other than optimization of bronchodilator therapy. Hospital admission and fever excluded.		Placebo	FEV1 (L) Mean: : 60.5 (17.7)	153 Patients aged 66.4 (9.5), female 46%
Wang, 2016 ¹⁶	China 6/2014 9/2015	Low risk	GOLD 2014 criteria	Acute change in symptoms that were beyond normal day-to-day variation and that required a change in daily therapeutic drug regimen, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Antibiotic	NR	97 patients aged 73.4 $\pm$ 10.1, 29.5% female
						Management without Antibiotic	NR	97 patients aged 72.5 $\pm$ 9.2, 27.1% female

Note: $\pm$ denotes standard deviation; d = day; FEV1 = forced expiration volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; GOLD = global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease; h = hour; L = liter; NR = not reported; PaCO2 = partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood; PaO2 = partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood; pH = potential of hydrogen; VC = vital capacity

Table D.2. KQ2: Characteristics of included studies

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Abreu Gonzalez, 2006 ¹⁷	Spain 10/2003 to 09/2004	High risk	NR	NR	Moderate to Severe	Magnesium Sulfate	FEV1: Mean 32.5±3.2	24 total patients aged 64 years, 0% female
						Placebo		
Austin, 2010 ¹⁸	Australia	High risk	Patient reported history of COPD or emphysema or greater than 10 pack year history of smoking.	Paramedics at the site of the emergency Determined the diagnosis on the basis of appropriate acute symptoms, a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (or emphysema) from the patient, or a greater than 10 pack year history of smoking.	Moderate to Severe	High Flow/Free Flow Oxygen	FEV1: Mean 42.1±16.4	117 patients aged 68±10.2 years, 51% female
						Titrated Oxygen	FEV1: Mean 43.3±16.5	97 patients aged 67.9±10.3 years, 54% female
Ayfer Aytemur, 2015 ¹⁹	Turkey	High risk	Based on spirometry not otherwise specified, at least 20 pack year smoking history	Clinical diagnosis, sputum volume of 50 ml or greater, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	N-Acetylcysteine	NR	20 patients aged 68.6±7.5 years, 11% female
						Placebo	NR	22 patients aged 69.4±9.9 years, 5% female
Basri, 2017 ²⁰	Pakistan	Intermediate risk	Clinical diagnosis	Clinical diagnosis, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Management without Chest Physiotherapy	NR	30 patients aged 53±3.7 years, 40% female
						Chest Physiotherapy	NR	30 patients aged 55±3.8 years, 56% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Bathoorn, 2008 ⁴	Netherlands	High risk	Post bronchodilator FEV1 <85% predicted but >0.7 liters, and an Abnormal post bronchodilator FEV1/slow inspiratory vital capacity (VC) (<88% predicted in men and <89% predicted in women)	History of increased breathlessness and at least two of the following symptoms for ≥24 hours: increased cough frequency or severity, sputum volume or purulence, and wheeze.	Mild	Budesonide + Formoterol	NR	15 patients aged 61.4±8 years, 33% female
						Prednisolone	NR	15 patients aged 64.8±7 years, 15% female
						Placebo	NR	15 patients aged 64.6±9.1, 7% female
Behnke, 2000 ²¹	Germany	High risk	Severe COPD according to international guidelines	Hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Aerobic Exercise	FEV1: Mean 34.1±7.4	23 patients aged 64.0±1.9 years, 20% female
						Management without Aerobic Exercise	FEV1: Mean 37.5±6.6	23 patients aged 68.0±2.2 years, 27% female
Black, 2004 ²²	New Zealand 01/2001-10/2001	Intermediate risk	FEV1 ≤ 60% predicted FEV1/VC ≤ 70%.	An increased volume of sputum as well as breathlessness.	Moderate to Severe	N-acetylcysteine	FEV1: Mean 22±10	25 patients aged 73.6±7.8 years, 56% female
						Placebo	FEV1: Mean 24±12	25 patients aged 73±8.2 years, 24% female
Borges, 2014 ²³	Brazil	Intermediate risk	FEV1/FVC ≤ 0.7	Increase in sputum or cough or worsening of	Moderate to Severe	Resistance Training	FEV1: Mean 41.7±13.6	21 patients aged 64.1±12.5 years, 29% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
				dyspnea, hospital admission		Management without Resistance Training	FEV1: Mean 39.1±15.5	25 patients aged 67.8±9 years, 47% female
Brown, 1987 ²⁴	Canada	High risk	Chronic productive cough with at least 30 ml of sputum production daily	Acute episode of pneumonia or increase in sputum production to at least 30 ml of sputum production daily	Mild to Moderate to Severe	Chest Wall Vibration	FEV1: Mean 33.4±17.5	12 total patients aged 66.5±11.5 years, 29% female
						Positioning		
Centanni, 2002 ²⁵	Italy	High risk	European Respiratory Society (ERS) guidelines,	A sustained worsening of the patient's condition, from the stable	Mild	Oxitropium	FEV1: Mean 58.6±17.7	50 patients aged 68±0.8 years, 34% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
			clinical history, physical findings and spirometry, current or previous smokers (>10 pack-years) reporting chronic cough with sputum production on most days during at least 3 consecutive months in 2 consecutive years, had a FEV1 < 65% and a forced vital capacity (FVC) < 70% of predicted normal after bronchodilators had been withheld for 24 h and a best post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC of less than 0.7.	state and beyond normal day-to-day variations, that is acute in onset and necessitates a change in regular medication in a patient with underlying COPD.		Placebo		
Cox, 2018 ²⁶	United Kingdom 09/2015 to 04/2016	Intermediate risk	Admission to hospital with primary diagnosis of ECOPD	Clinical diagnosis, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Management without Aerobic Exercise	NR	15 patients aged 67.8±11.12 years, 67% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
			(clinically determined by treating physician, pH >7.35, unstable hypoxemia excluded)			Aerobic Exercise	NR	15 patients aged 67.8±11.12 years, 60% female
Cross, 2012 ²⁷	United Kingdom 11/2005 to 4/2008	Intermediate risk	Clinical diagnosis	Clinical diagnosis, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Manual Chest Physiotherapy	NR	258 patients aged 69.08±9.85 years, 44.57% female
						Management without Manual Chest Physiotherapy	NR	264 patients aged 69.58±9.51 years, 41.29% female
Du, 2018 ²⁸	China	High risk	COPD according to the criteria established by the Global initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease	NR	Mild to Moderate	Simvastatin	NR	30 patients aged 62.44±8.27 years, 13% female
						Placebo	NR	30 patients aged 64.2±9.35 years, 10% female
Duffy, 2005 ²⁹	United Kingdom	Low risk	FEV1 of 70% predicted, FEV1/FVC of 70% predicted, at least 20 pack year smoking history	Breathlessness and two or more of the following symptoms for at least 24 hours: increased cough frequency or severity, increased sputum volume or purulence, increased wheeze.	Moderate to Severe	Placebo	NR	41 patients aged 67.4±7.5 years, 46% female
						Aminophylline	NR	39 patients aged 69.6±8.0 years, 67% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Eaton, 2009 ³⁰	New Zealand 06/2005 to 10/2006	High risk	ATS/ERS criteria	Exertional dyspnea interfering with daily activity, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation	FEV1: Mean 36±16 0.8±0.4 L	47 patients aged 70.1±10.3 years, 55% female
						Management without Early Rehabilitation	FEV1: Mean 35±16 0.8±0.4 L	50 patients aged 69.7±9.4 years, 58% female
Edwards, 2013 ³¹	New Zealand 06/2008 to 11/2011	Low risk	FEV1/FVC < 0.7, post-bronchodilator FEV1 ≤ 50%, clinical diagnosis	Clinical diagnosis, ED evaluation	Moderate to Severe	Placebo	NR	64 patients aged 69.5±11.9 years, 49.2% female
						Magnesium Sulfate	NR	52 patients aged 73.2±9.8 years, 43.8% female
Goktalay, 2013 ³²	Turkey 04/2009 to 07/2011	High risk	GOLD stage 3-4	Increased dyspnea, increased cough and sputum production, altered sputum color and/or viscosity, fever and radiologic consolidation, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Management without High-frequency Chest Wall Oscillation Therapy	FEV1: Mean 30±8.93	25 patients aged 66.52 ±6.59, 2% female
						High-frequency Chest Wall Oscillation Therapy	FEV1: Mean 28±8.95	25 patients aged 63.6±7.99, 2% female
Greening, 2014 ³³	United Kingdom,	High risk	COPD diagnosis, MRC dyspnea 3 or greater	NR	Moderate to Severe	Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation	N/A	169 patients
						Management without Early Rehabilitation	N/A	151 patients
Greulich, 2014 ³⁴	Germany 10/2010 to 07/2012	Intermediate risk	NR	NR, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Management without Whole Body Vibration	FEV1: Mean 38.4±17.8 2	26 patients aged 70.4±10.1 years, 40% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
						Whole Body Vibration	FEV1: Mean 32.71±13.18	23 patients aged 66.4±9.93 years, 30% female
Gunen, 2007 ⁹	Turkey	High risk	American Thoracic Society criteria	Worsening in at least two of the following symptoms: cough, purulent sputum and dyspnea	Moderate to Severe	Management without Systemic Corticosteroids	FEV1: Mean 36.7±11.9	53 patients aged 63.5±10.1, 10% female
						Prednisolone, 40mg total dose	FEV1: Mean 35.3± 11.7	53 patients aged 64.9±7.1, 18% female
						Nebulized Budesonide	FEV1: Mean 39.6±12.9	53 patients aged 63.9±9.7, 16.7% female
He, 2015 ³⁵	China 12/2011-11/2013	High risk	GOLD criteria	The worsening of respiratory symptoms beyond normal day-to-day variation and leading to a change in medication, MMRC > 0, and hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation	FEV1: Mean: 38±16.7	66 patients aged 69.2±12.4 9.1% female
						Management without Early Rehabilitation	FEV1: Mean: 39±27.8	28 patients aged 73.9±9.7, 17.6% female
Kirsten, 1998 ³⁷	Germany	High risk	International guidelines	Hospitalization	Moderate to Severe	Aerobic Exercise	NR	15 patients aged 65.5±11.8
						Management without Aerobic Exercise	NR	14 patients aged 62.3±9.1
Kodric, 2009 ³⁶	Italy 03/2002-09/2002	High risk	GOLD criteria	Clinical history, physical examination, chest x-ray, severity score according to the Anthonisen Criteria	Moderate to Severe	Management without Chest physiotherapy technique	FEV1: Mean 52.3±18.7	29 patients aged 69.1±8.3, 33% female
						Chest physiotherapy technique ELTGOL (expiration with the glottis open in the lateral posture)	FEV1: Mean 55.6±27.6	30 patients aged 71.3±8.4, 28% female
Kurzaj, 2013 ³⁸	Poland	High risk	NR	Worsening COPD symptoms, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Specialized Physiotherapy	NR	20 patients aged 57±5.7, 45% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
						Management without Specialized Physiotherapy	NR	10 patients aged 55±4.2, 30% female
Lellouche, 2016 ³⁹	Canada 08/2011-02/2015	Intermediate risk	Clinical diagnosis, at least 10 pack year smoking history	Clinical diagnosis, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	FreeO2 device oxygen titration, 0-20 L/min	FEV1 in a stable state: Mean 40±11	25 patients aged 71±8, 46% female
						Manual Oxygen Titration	FEV1 in a stable state: Mean 51±20	25 patients aged 73±8, 46% female
Liao, 2015 ⁴⁰	Taiwan	High risk	NR	An increased need for medication and feel the need to seek additional medical assistance, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation	NR	31 patients aged 68 (44-89), 46.7% female
						Management without Early Rehabilitation	NR	31 patients aged ±, 32.3% female
Mahmoud Abd El Hafiz, 2013 ⁴¹	Egypt	High risk	FEV1/FVC <70%, post bronchodilator reversibility FEV1 <12%, clinical diagnosis, history of 2 or more exacerbations in 2 years prior	Clinical diagnosis, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Management without N-acetylcysteine	NR	15 patients aged 55.5±9.2, 0% female
						Low Dose N-acetylcysteine, 600mg total dose	NR	15 patients aged 59.6±6.6, 0% female
						High Dose N-acetylcysteine	NR	15 patients aged 62±4.1, 0% female
Maltais, 2002 ¹²	Belgium, Canada, and France	High risk	American Thoracic Society criteria, chronic bronchitis or emphysema	Increased breathlessness in the past 14 days, causing hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Budesonide	NR	71 patients aged 69.1±8.7, 20% female
						Prednisolone	NR	62 patients aged 70.4±7.7, 16% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
			14 day history of acute COPD exacerbation defined as increased breathlessness. Patients were included in the study if they were more than 50 year old, had a smoking history of at least 20 pack years, and according to the attending physician had to be treated in hospital.			Placebo	NR	66 patients aged 70.4±8.9, 20% female
Moretti, 2015 ⁴²	Italy 10/2012-05/2013	High risk	Clinical diagnosis	Clinical diagnosis, fever, cough, and purulent sputum in the previous 24 hours, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Erdosteine, 900mg total dose	FEV1: Mean 47.5±12.6	20 patients aged 71.4±5.4, 20% female
						Management without Erdosteine	FEV1: Mean 46.4±14.1	20 patients aged 69.7±6, 15% female
Mukerji, 2015 ⁴³	New Zealand 07/2013-10/2013	Intermediate risk	Documented COPD diagnosis	Clinical diagnosis, ED evaluation	Moderate to Severe	Magnesium Sulfate	100% had FEV1 < 50%, Mean (L): 0.637±0.293	14 patients aged 76.1±12.47, 15% female
						Placebo	100% had FEV1 < 50%, Mean (L): 0.691±0.288	19 patients aged 72.9±9.39, 41% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Ogasawara, 2018 ⁴⁴	Japan	Low risk	According to the GOLD criteria, not otherwise specified	The acute worsening of respiratory symptoms, which leads to the requirement of additional therapy	Moderate to Severe	Omega-3 fatty acid enriched diet (Eicosapentaenoic acid)	FEV1: mean: 64.2±24.7	25 patients aged 77.4±9.7 years, 12% female
						Usual Diet	FEV1: mean: 68.2±34.8	25 patients aged 79.1±7 years, 5% female
Oncu, 2017 ⁴⁵	Turkey 8/2013-5/2014	High risk	Clinical diagnosis	Worsening pulmonary function testing, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation, 45 min/day	NR	41 patients, 20% female
						Management without Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation	NR	41 patients, 25.7% female
Osadnik, 2014 ⁴⁶	Australia 08/2010-01/2013	Low risk	NR	NR, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Management without Positive Expiratory Pressure	FEV1: Mean 44.4±20.2	46 patients aged 69.5±9.8, 37.8% female
						Positive Expiratory Pressure	FEV1: Mean 37.3±19.7	46 patients aged 67.8±11.6, 33.3% female
Pourrashed, 2018 ⁴⁷	Iran 12/2015-10/2016.	High risk	Post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC <0.7, post-bronchodilator FEV1 <80% predicted	Clinical diagnosis, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Placebo	Moderate: 31.3% Severe: 68.8%	35 patients aged 64.06±8.77, 15.6% female
						Vitamin D, 300000 IU	Moderate: 33.3% Severe: 66.7%	35 patients aged 62.73±8.26, 16.67% female
Rice, 1987 ⁴⁸	United States of America	Low risk	FEV1/FVC ratio < 60%, FEV1 < 2	Worsening of dyspnea within previous several	Moderate to Severe	Aminophylline	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.597±0.2	16 patients aged 66±27.9, 0% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
			SD below predicted value	days, associated with increased cough and sputum, sufficiently severe to require hospital admission		Placebo	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.561±0.36	14 patients aged 64±22, 6.6% female
Sanjari, 2015 ⁴⁹	Iran	Intermediate risk	ERS criteria (FEV1 <88 % for men, <89 % for women)	A dramatic degradation of COPD symptoms (for example, the quantity and the color of phlegm or shortness of breath) that last for a couple of days, ED evaluation	Moderate to Severe	Placebo	NR	45 patients aged 58.4±9.5, 30.8% female
						Vitamin D	NR	45 patients aged 55.8±9.5, 28.6% female
						Calcitriol	NR	45 patients aged 55.6±8.9, 12.8% female
Saudny-Unterberger, 1997 ⁵⁰	Canada 11/1993 05/1996	High risk	Clinical diagnosis of, and a FEV1 that was equal or less than 60% predicted	NR	Moderate to Severe	Nutritional Support	FEV1: Mean 33.21±3.57	17 patients aged 69.21±8.30, 43% female
						Usual Diet	FEV1: Mean 34.70±4.42	14 patients aged 69.40±12.4, 30% female
Seidenfeld, 1984 ⁵¹	United States of America	Intermediate risk	American Thoracic Society criteria for chronic bronchitis	NR	Unclear	Aminophylline	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.7±0.3	22 patients aged 62±8, 0% female
						Placebo	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.9±0.5	30 patients aged 63±7, 0% female
Skorodin, 1995 ⁵²	United States of America	High risk	American Thoracic Society criteria	NR	Moderate to Severe	Magnesium Sulfate	NR	36 patients aged 62.8±9, 3% female
						Placebo	NR	36 patients aged 66.5±7.3, 3% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Solooki, 2014 ⁵³	Iran	High risk	NR	NR, ED evaluation	Moderate to Severe	Magnesium Sulfate	FEV1: Mean 26±12	15 patients aged 67±10, 27% female
						Placebo	FEV1: Mean 35±18	15 patients aged 70±8, 33% female
Soltaninejad, 2016 ⁵⁴	Iran	High risk	Clinical diagnosis	Clinical diagnosis, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Gentamicin	NR	43 patients aged 65.5±9.7, 62.8% female
						Placebo	NR	43 patients aged 66±12, 55.8% female
Tang, 2012 ⁵⁵	Australia 7/2009 8/2010	Low risk	Admitted to hospital with primary diagnosis of ECOPD	NR	Moderate to Severe	Low-intensity Exercise Group	FEV1: Mean 45.1±18.6	11 patients aged 68±10.1, 55% female
						Moderate to high-intensity exercise group	FEV1: Mean 46.1±18.3	10 patients aged 73.6±10, 80% female
						Management without Exercise Training	FEV1: Mean 46.8±20.4	11 patients aged 78±8.8, 45% female
Torres-Sanchez, 2017 ⁵⁶	Spain 12 /2013 07/2014	Low risk	Clinical diagnosis	American Thoracic Society criteria, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Resistance Training	FEV1: Mean 42.35±10.62	29 patients aged 75.65±6.25 24.13% female
						Management without Resistance Training	FEV1: Mean 39.12±12.06	29 patients aged 72.12±8.19, 31% female
Torres-Sanchez, 2017 ⁵⁷	Spain 9/2015 6/2016	Intermediate risk	GOLD criteria not otherwise specified	Clinical diagnosis, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Management without Exercise Training	FEV1: Mean 30.39±10.76	30 patients aged 71.13±9.39, 20% female
						Controlled breathing + Range of motion exercises	FEV1: Mean 31.26±5.33	30 patients aged 75.07±8.71, 6.7% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
						Resistance Training	FEV1: Mean 30.13±8.26	30 patients aged 70.12±10.6, 13.3% female
Troosters, 2010 ⁵⁸	Belgium 01/2004 03/2005	High risk	FEV1/FVC <70%	Clinical diagnosis, hospital admission	Severe	Management without Resistance Training	FEV1: Mean 50±18	20 patients aged 69±7, 26% female
						Resistance Training	FEV1: Mean 40±12	20 patients aged 67±8, 24% female
Tumer, 2009 ⁵⁹	Turkey	High risk	American Thoracic Society criteria	Increase, in at least two of the three following symptoms: dyspnea, cough and sputum production, hospital evaluation	Moderate to Severe	Usual Diet	NR	15 patients aged 63.6±4.3, 0% female
						High-fat Low-carbohydrate Diet	NR	15 patients aged 60.9±7, 0% female
Vermeeren, 2004 ⁶⁰	Netherlands	High risk	GOLD criteria	Recent increase in breathlessness, cough and sputum production of sufficient severity to warrant hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Nutritional Intervention	NR	23 patients aged 66±8, 39% female
						Placebo(non-caloric fluid, vanilla flavored water)	NR	24 patients aged 65±10, 25% female
Woodruff, 2011 ⁶¹	United States of America	High risk	Clinical diagnosis of AECOPD, ≥10 pack-years smoking history, FEV1 < 60% predicted at time of inclusion or an inability to perform spirometry due to dyspnea.	Acute increase in dyspnea, sputum volume, and/or sputum purulence without other attributable cause), hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Zileuton	FEV1: Mean 32 ±13	60 patients aged 62±8, 37% female
						Placebo	FEV1: Mean 32±11	59 patients aged 64±10, 30% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Xiong, 2008 ⁶²	China	High risk	GOLD criteria	NR	Moderate to Severe	Atomization Inhalation	NR	20 patients aged 65.1±5.83, 45% female
						Management without Atomization Inhalation	NR	20 patients aged 66.4±6.48, 55% female
Yohannes, 2003 ⁶³	United Kingdom	High risk	FEV1 <70% predicted together with a <20% improvement in FEV1 following standard doses of beta agonist by inhalation or nebulization.	Clinical diagnosis	Moderate to Severe	Gutter Frame + Supplemental Oxygen	FEV1: Mean 38±11	30 patients aged 75±7, 54% female
						Gutter Frame + Supplemental Air	FEV1: Mean 38±15	30 patients aged 75±7, 42% female
						Rollator + Oxygen	FEV1: Mean 35±11	30 patients aged 74±8, 57% female
						Rollator + Supplemental Air	FEV1: Mean 39±10	30 patients aged 74±7, 32% female
Zuin, 2005 ⁶⁴	Italy	Intermediate risk	Clinical diagnosis of COPD, FEV1 40-70% predicted	1 or more of following: dyspnea, wheezing, chest tightness, mucus production and fever	Mild	N-acetylcysteine 1200mg	FEV1 (L): Mean 1.44±0.45	39 patients aged 67±12, 35.9% female
						N-acetylcysteine 600mg	FEV1 (L): Mean 1.46±0.46	41 patients aged 68±13, 41.5% female
						Placebo	FEV1 (L): Mean 1.44±0.51	42 patients aged 65±12, 50% female

Note: ± denotes standard deviation ECOPD = exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ATS = American Thoracic Society; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ED = emergency department; EPA = eicosapentaenoic acid; ERS = European Respiratory Society; FEV1 = forced expiration volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; GOLD = global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease; h = hour; IU = international unit; L = liter; mg = milligram; min = minute; ml = milliliter; MMRC = modified medical research council; NR = not reported; ONS = oral nutrition supplementation; pH = potential of hydrogen; SD = standard deviation; VC = vital capacity

Table D.3. KQ3: Characteristics of included studies

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Koutsogiannis, 2000 ⁶⁵	Australia	Intermediate risk	Clinical diagnosis based on spirometry or physician opinion	NR	Unclear	Salbutamol, 15mg Salbutamol, 500 µg Ipratropium Bromide	NR	16 patients
						Ipratropium Bromide, 5mg Salbutamol, 1500 µg Ipratropium Bromide	NR	15 patients
						Combined	NR	18 Patients
Moayyedi, 1995 ⁶⁶	United Kingdom	High risk	Clinical diagnosis, at least 10 pack year smoking history, FEV1<65%	NR	Moderate to Severe	Salbutamol, 20mg total dose	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.77±0.34	37 patients aged 70.4±9.1
						Salbutamol + Ipratropium Bromide, 20mg + 2000µg	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.78±0.41	33 patients aged 67.8±6.7
Perri, 1985 ⁶⁷	Italy	High risk		NR	Unclear	Salbutamol + Beclomethasone Dipropionate, 450µg + 300µg	NR	15 patients
						Fenoterol, 1200 µg overall	NR	15 patients

Note: ± denotes standard deviation

FEV1 = forced expiration volume in 1 second; IB= ipratropium; L=liter; mg= milligram; NR= not reported; µg= microgram

Table D.4. KQ4: Characteristics of included studies

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Aggarwal, 2011 ⁶⁸	India	Intermediate risk	GOLD criteria	An acute change in a patient's baseline dyspnea, cough and/or sputum beyond day-to-day variability, sufficient to warrant a change in treatment, hospital evaluation	Mild to Moderate	Hydrocortisone Followed by Prednisolone	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.6±0.23	50 patients aged 65±9.96 years, 34% female
						Methylprednisolone	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.5±0.18	47 patients aged 64±8.19 years, 25.5% female
Andre-Alves, 2007 ⁶⁹	Brazil	High risk	NR	Increased cough, increased expectoration or worsening of dyspnea.	Mild	Azithromycin	FEV1: Mean 66.1±0.3	49 patients aged 60.4 years, 34.7% female
						Amoxicillin	FEV1: Mean 66.9±0.4	53 patients aged 59.8 years, 49.1% female
Aubier, 2002 ⁷⁰	Argentina, Australia, Belgium, France, Germany, Republic of Ireland, South Africa, and United Kingdom 03/1998 - 05/1999	High risk	FEV1/FVC <70%, history of chronic bronchitis, clinical diagnosis, bronchodilator response <12%	Presumed bacterial infection (increased cough and/or dyspnea, increased production of sputum, and increased purulence of sputum), outpatient evaluation	Mild	Telithromycin	FEV/FVC <60%: 43.1% FEV/FVC 60-75: 44.4% FEV/FVC >75: 6.3%	163 patients aged 61.5 years, 41.9% female
						Amoxicillin + Clavulanate	FEV/FVC <60%: 43.8% FEV/FVC 60-75: 40.6% FEV/FVC >75: 10.6%	161 patients aged 66 years, 35% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Blasi, 2013 ⁷¹	Austria, Germany, Italy, Poland, Portugal, and Ukraine 04/22/2008-11/12/2010	Intermediate risk	FEV1/FVC < 0.7, post-bronchodilator FEV1 < 50%, at least 10 pack year smoking history, chronic bronchitis, based on American Thoracic Society criteria	The presence of the following three symptoms (or at least two including sputum purulence): increased dyspnea, increased of sputum volume and sputum purulence that had to be macroscopically confirmed by the investigator. Patients, requiring concomitant systemic corticosteroids administration (20-40 mg/day for 7 days), or Patients, in whom an increase of the daily dosage of their chronically corticosteroid-treatment was required.	Unclear	Prulifloxacin	FEV1: Mean 40.2±9.0	179 patients aged 60.1±10.01 years, 36.3%
						Levofloxacin	FEV1: Mean 40.7±7.7	178 patients aged 60.6±9.72 years, 39.3% female
Dark, 1993 ⁷²	United States of America	High risk	NR	NR	Unclear	Azithromycin	NR	136 patients aged 56.3±12.75 years, 39% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
						Cefaclor	NR	65 patients aged 55.4±14 years, 52% female
de Jong, 2007 ⁹	Netherlands 05/2001 to 05/2003	High risk	History of at least 10 pack-years of cigarette smoking, and evidence of airflow limitation. Airflow limitation was defined as an FEV1/FVC ratio of < 70% and an FEV1 of <80% predicted (at least Global Initiative for Chronic obstructive Lung Disease [GOLD] severity stage II)	An exacerbation of COPD was defined as a history of increased breathlessness and the presence of at least two of the following symptoms for at least 24 h: increased cough frequency or severity; increased sputum volume or purulence; and increased wheeze.	Moderate to Severe	Intravenous Prednisolone	FEV1: Mean 36±14	107 patients aged 69.8±8.8 years, 23.4% female
						Oral Prednisolone	FEV1: Mean 39±17	103 patients aged 71.6±8.1 years, 27.2% female
Ding, 2016 ⁷³	China	Intermediate risk	Symptoms of chronic cough, expectoration, and/or dyspnea,	Unusual continuous exacerbation that required a	Moderate to Severe	Budesonide	NR	233 patients aged 73.49±8.61 years, 19.1% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
			incomplete reversible airflow (FEV1/FVC is <70% after application of a bronchodilator)	change in the routine medication, cough, expectoration, dyspnea, and/or wheeze exacerbated, and to have an increased amount of expectoration, or to have a short-term change in the sputum, which can be accompanied with evident aggravation of inflammatory (infection) symptoms (such as fever)		Methylprednisolone	NR	238 patients aged 73.18±8.5 years, 18.9% female
Emami Ardestani, 2017 ⁷⁴	Iran 2013 to 2014	High risk	Post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC <0.7, GOLD not otherwise specified	Increased cough, sputum, wheezing, or acute respiratory distress for 24 hours or more, ED evaluation	Moderate to Severe	Dexamethasone	NR	34 patients aged 74.67±1.79 years, 17.7% female
						Methylprednisolone	NR	34 patients aged 73.35±2.25 years, 17.6% female
Giusti, 2016 ⁷⁵	Italy	High risk	FEV1/FVC ≤ 0.7, FEV1 ≤ 80% and ≥ 30%	Purulent sputum, plus at least two of the following signs/symptoms of at least 3-day	Moderate to Severe	Levofloxacin	NR	128 patients aged 76.5±7.6 years, 28.9% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
				duration: increased cough, dyspnea, sputum volume, hospital admission		Prulifloxacin	NR	130 patients aged 75.1±7.5 years, 30% female
Gunen, 2007 ⁹	Turkey	High risk	American Thoracic Society criteria	Worsening in at least two of the following symptoms: cough, purulent sputum and dyspnea	Moderate to Severe	Management without Systemic Corticosteroids	FEV1: Mean 36.7±11.9	53 patients aged 63.5±10.1, 10% female
						Prednisolone, 40mg total dose	FEV1: Mean 35.3± 11.7	53 patients aged 64.9±7.1, 18% female
						Nebulized Budesonide	FEV1: Mean 39.6±12.9	53 patients aged 63.9±9.7, 16.7% female
Hamacher, 1995 ⁷⁶	Germany 10/1992-12/1993	High risk	American Thoracic Society criteria	Rapid onset of signs and symptoms of	Moderate to Severe	Meropenem, 3g overall	NR	86 patients aged 64.0±11.5, 31% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
				infective exacerbation with aggravation or development of dyspnea or cough with increased amount and purulent quality of sputum. The confirmation of pathogenic organism in sputum was not required directly at study entry, but at least one adequate bacteriological sample had to be taken before the patient was treated and Reduced peak flow (< 350 L/min)		Imipenem + Cilastatin, 3g imipenem overall, 3g of cilastatin overall	NR	87 patients aged 64.4±12.7, 35% female
Hasani, 1998 ⁷⁷	United Kingdom	High risk	NR	NR	Mild to Moderate	Amoxicillin, 1500mg total dose	NR	6 patients aged 63±7.3, 33% female
						Ciprofloxacin, 1000mg total dose	NR	6 patients aged 63±7.3, 17% female
Leophont e, 1998 ⁷⁸	France, United	High risk	Chronic bronchitis,	Dyspnea or increased sputum	Mild	Trovaflxacin 200 mg	NR	144 patients aged 65.1±7.3

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
	States of America, Italy, Spain, South Africa, United Kingdom, Australia 07/1995-08/1996		FEV1/FVC <70% predicted	volume/purulence [gram stain sputum with >25 PMN])		Trovafloracin 100 mg	NR	144 patients aged 65.3±9.3
Leuppi, 2013 ⁷⁹	Switzerland 03/2006-02/2011	Low risk	At least 20 pack year smoking history, FEV1/FVC <70%	At least 2 of the following: change in baseline dyspnea, cough, or sputum quantity or purulence	Moderate to Severe	Methylprednisolone (day 1), Prednisone (days 2-5), Placebo (days 6-14)	FEV1: Mean 31.3±13.2	157 patients aged 69.8±10.6, 46.5% female
						Methylprednisolone (day 1), Prednisone (days 2-14)	FEV1: Mean 31.7±15.4	156 patients aged 69.8±11.3, 32.7% female
Llor, 2009 ⁸⁰	Spain 10/2000-03/2005	High risk	FEV1/FVC < 0.7, FEV1 <80%	Anthonisen criteria (increased dyspnea, increased sputum volume and purulent sputum), outpatient evaluation	Mild	Amoxycillin, 1500mg total dose	Moderate: 72.5% Severe: 27.5% FEV1: Mean 62.9±11.0	68 patients aged 71.9±8.6, 22.1% female
						Amoxycillin + clavulanate, 1500mg + 375mg	Moderate: 76.3% Severe: 23.7% FEV1: Mean 60.4±11.9	69 patients aged 70.8±8.5, 18.8% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
Maltais, 2002 ¹²	Belgium, Canada, and France	High risk	American Thoracic Society criteria, chronic bronchitis or emphysema 14 day history of acute COPD exacerbation defined as increased breathlessness. Patients were included in the study if they were more than 50 years old, had a smoking history of at least 20 pack years, and according to the attending physician had to	Increased breathlessness in the past 14 days, causing hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Budesonide	NR	71 patients aged 69.1±8.7, 20% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
			be treated in hospital.			Prednisolone	NR	62 patients aged 70.4±7.7, 16% female
						Placebo	NR	66 patients aged 70.4±8.9, 20% female
Mirici, 2003 ⁸¹	Turkey	Low risk	American Thoracic Society criteria	Increased symptoms requiring hospitalization	Moderate to Severe	Parenteral Corticosteroid (prednisolone), 40mg total dose	NR	22 patients aged 64.8±, 24% female
						Nebulized Corticosteroid (budesonide), 8mg total dose	NR	22 patients aged 63.06±, 32% female
Niewoehner, 1999 ¹³	United States of America	High risk	Clinical diagnosis of COPD plus a history of 30	Clinical diagnosis	Moderate to Severe	Glucocorticoid for 8 weeks	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.785±0.288	80 patients aged 68.1±6.8, 3.75% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
			pack years or more of cigarette smoking, and either an FEV1 of 1.50 liters or less or an inability to undergo spirometry because of dyspnea.			Glucocorticoid for 2 week	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.772±0.286	80 patients aged 67.1±10.6, 0% female
						Placebo	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.750±0.271	111Patients, aged 67.8±10, 0% female
Petitpretz, 2007 ⁸²	France, Germany, Tunisia, Belgium, Austria and Turkey. 03/2003-11/2004	High risk	A history of chronic bronchitis characterized by cough and sputum production on most days for 3 consecutive months and for >2 consecutive years; chronic obstructive bronchitis confirmed by lung function test performed in a	The presence of the three Anthonisen's criteria of recent increase in sputum volume, sputum purulence and dyspnea.	Mild	Levofloxacin, 500mg total dose	FEV1: Mean 51.2±13.1	340 patients aged 64.3±10.1, 19% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
			stable condition in the previous 12 months showing a forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1)/forced vital capacity ratio of <70% and an FEV1 in the range of 35–80% of the predicted value, and no significant reversibility following 2-agonist therapy (<200 ml and <15% increase in FEV1).			Cefuroxime, 500mg	FEV1: Mean 52.6±13.3	349 patients aged 64.2±9.9, 18.2% female
Phillips, 1993 ⁸³	United States of America	High risk	NR	Cough, fever, or increased sputum production/purulence), absence of infiltrate on chest radiograph)	Mild to Moderate to Severe	Cefpodoxime, 400mg total dose	NR	194 patients aged 54.2±17.3, 54% female
						Cefaclor, 750mg total dose	NR	107 patients aged 51.9±16.5, 35% female
Rhee, 2015 ⁸⁴	Korea	High risk	Post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC <0.7	Worsening of the respiratory symptoms that is beyond normal	Moderate	Zabofloxacin, 367mg total dose	FEV1: Mean 50.5±18.1	175 patients aged 67.8±7.8, 12.6% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
				day-to-day variations and leads to a change in medication, purulent sputum or increased volume of sputum, outpatient evaluation		Moxifloxacin, 400mg total dose	FEV1: Mean: 49.1±17.2	167 patients aged 68.4±8, 4.8% female
Rizzato, 1998 ⁸⁵	Italy	High risk	NR	Admitted to hospital for ECOPD, FEV1<70%, marked respiratory distress	Moderate to Severe	Deflazacort Hemisuccinate, 60mg total dose	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.84	30 patients aged 74.5±15.75, 27% female
						Methylprednisolone, 40mg total dose	FEV1 (L): Mean 0.76	30 patients aged 69.0±11, 33% female
Roede, 2007 ⁸⁶	The Netherlands 11/2000-12/2003	Intermediate risk	A disorder characterized by abnormal tests of expiratory flow that did not change markedly during an observation period of several months.	An increase in dyspnea and the volume and purulence of sputum.	Moderate to Severe	Amoxycillin + Clavulanic for 3 days, 2500mg overall	NR	23 patients aged 69±4.25, 52% female
						Amoxycillin + Clavulanic for 10 days, 2500mg overall	NR	25 patients aged 66±2.5, 36% female
Ruiz-Gonzalez, 2007 ⁸⁷	Spain	High risk	FEV1/FVC ratio ≤70%, and FEV1 ≤ 80% predicted.	Worsening of dyspnea, increase in sputum volume and increase in sputum purulence.	Mild to Moderate to Severe	Levofloxacin	Moderate: 16.7% Severe: 57.8% Very Severe: 24.4% FEV1: Mean 33.91±21.3	50 patients aged 64.3 years, 16% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
						Standard care (clarithromycin, cefuroxime, or amoxicillin/clavulanate)	Moderate: 16.7% Severe: 58.3% Very Severe: 25.0% FEV1: Mean 31.48±17.1	52 patients aged 61.8, 17% female
Sayiner, 2001 ⁸⁸	Turkey	Intermediate risk	Smoking history at least 20 pack-years and severe airway obstruction (FEV1 <35% predicted)	Severe dyspnea preventing the patient from performing even minor activities (getting dressed or eating) and resulting in sleep disturbances, and the presence of respiratory failure, i.e. Pao2 less than or equal to 55 mmhg and/or Paco2 level greater than or equal to 45 mmhg.	Severe	Methylprednisolone for 3 days	FEV1: Mean 25.4±5.4	17 patients aged 67.4±1.4, 6% female
						Methylprednisolone for 10 days	FEV1: Mean 27.1±5.7	17 patients aged 64.1±2.2, 6% female
Stallberg, 2009 ⁸⁹	Sweden 09/2005 07/2007	Intermediate risk	≥10 pack year smoking history, moderate COPD GOLD stage IIA or IIB	Increased dyspnea, sputum volume, or sputum purulence; the exacerbation had to be severe enough that the primary care	Mild	Budesonide + Formoterol	GOLD 1: 1.8% GOLD2: 98.2% FEV1: Mean 45.1±8.9	55 patients aged 67.2±9.7, 55% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
				physician thought that oral steroids were clinically indicated, but not severe enough to require hospitalization.		Prednisolone + formoterol	GOLD1: 1.9% GOLD2: 94.4% GOLD3: 3.7% FEV1: Mean 45.0±9.5	54 patients aged 66.7±9.3, 43% female
Sun, 2015 ⁹⁰	China 1/2013 7/2013	High risk	GOLD criteria, at least 20 pack year smoking history	Clinical diagnosis, ECOPD due to bacterial infection only	Unclear	Inhaled Budesonide	FEV1: Mean 49.6±8.3	15 patients aged 62.8±7.3, 40% female
						Systemic Methylprednisolone	FEV1: Mean 48.0±8.8	15 patients aged 62±8.1, 47% female
Ucar, 2014 ⁹¹	Turkey	High risk	Gold NOS	"Moderate or severe" exacerbation requiring hospitalization (respiratory failure with mild to moderate hypoxemia (pao2 40-80 mmHg) but no carbon dioxide retention or acidosis	Moderate to Severe	Methylprednisolone	FEV1: Mean 39.4±11.3	33 patients aged 66.6±9.6, 27% female
						Budesonide 4 mg	FEV1: Mean 41.0±13.4	27 patients aged 66.7±9.7, 7% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
						Budesonide 8mg	FEV1: Mean 49.0±14.7	26 patients aged 69.9±8.5, 15% female
Umut, 1999 ⁹²	Turkey	High risk	American Thoracic Society criteria	Increased amounts of purulent secretions, dyspnea, chest tightness	Unclear	Azithromycin	NR	24 patients aged 64±9
						Ampicillin + Sulbactam	NR	28 patients aged 64±9
						Ciprofloxacin	NR	25 patients aged 64±9
						Cefaclor	NR	29 patients aged 64±9
van Zanten, 2007 ⁹³	Netherlands	High risk	GOLD classes 2-4.	NR, hospital admission	Moderate to Severe	Continuous Cefotaxime	NR	47 patients aged 65.3±8.4, 34.04% female
						Intermittent Cefotaxime	NR	46 patients aged 68.6±5.3, 28.3% female
Whitlock, 1995 ⁹⁴	United States of America	High risk	History of COPD with persistent cough and sputum production for at least 3 months in at least 2 consecutive years	Least two of the following: fever (~37.8 °C) within the previous 24 hours, increased dyspnea, increased frequency of cough, and increased volume of sputum	Mild to Moderate	Azithromycin	NR	39 patients aged 61±9.5, 33% female
						Amoxicillin + Clavulanate	NR	31 patients aged 58.5±9.5, 39% female
Willaert, 2002 ⁹⁵	Belgium 07/1999 03/2000	High risk	NR	1) increased dyspnea, 2) increased cough frequency or	Moderate to Severe	Intravenous Steroids + Aerosol Bronchodilators	FEV1 (L): Mean 1.14±0.43	23 patients aged 72±6, 8.7% female

Author, Year	Country, Study Period	Risk of Bias	COPD Definition	COPD Exacerbation	Severity of ECOPD	Intervention(s) and comparison	COPD Severity (% predicted unless specified)	Patient Characteristics
				severity, 3) increased production or purulence of sputum, 4) increased wheeze, lasting for at least 3 days and for which the patient sought medical attention		Oral steroids + Multiple Dose Inhaler Bronchodilators	FEV1 (L): Mean 1.1±0.51	25 patients aged 71±8, 16% female
Wilson, 2012 ⁹⁶	30 countries	High risk	FEV1/FVC < 0.7, post-bronchodilator FEV1 < 60%, at least 20 pack year smoking history, chronic bronchitis, 2 or more exacerbations in the past year	Investigator-evaluated Anthonisen type I exacerbation and who were considered by the investigator to require antibiotic therapy, outpatient evaluation	Mild	Moxifloxacin	FEV1: Mean 39.28±11.62	686 patients aged 69.6±6.8, 21% female
						Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid	FEV1: Mean 39.19±11.36	686 patients aged 69.3±6.3, 21% female
Yoon, 2013 ⁹⁷	Korea 11/2006-06/2009	Intermediate risk	Post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC <0.7	A recently increased cough or dyspnea, recent change in color or amount of sputum, hospital admission	Unclear	Levofloxacin	NR	65 patients aged 70.95±8.81, 9.23% female
						Cefuroxime	NR	72 patients aged 69.63±8.3, 6.94% female

Note: ± denotes standard deviation

ECOPD = exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ED = emergency department; FEV1 = forced expiration volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; g= gram; GOLD = global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease; h= hour; L=liter; mg= milligram; min= minute;

ml=milliliter; mmHg= millimeters of mercury; NOS= not otherwise specified; NR= not reported; PaCO₂ = partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood; Pao₂ = partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood; PMN= polymorphonuclear leukocytes

Appendix E. Risk of Bias

Table E.1. Risk of Bias (Cochrane ROB tool) for included studies

Author, Year	Sequence Generation	Allocation Concealment	Blinding of Participants, Personnel	Blinding of Outcome Assessors	Incomplete Outcome Data	Selective Outcome Reporting	Other Sources of Bias	Overall RoB
Aaron, 2003 ¹	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Low risk
Abreu Gonzalez, 2006 ¹⁷	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Aggarwal, 2011 ⁶⁸	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Intermediate risk
Albert, 1980 ²	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk
Andre-Alves, 2007 ⁶⁹	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	High risk
Anthonisen, 1987 ³	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Aubier, 2002 ⁷⁰	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Austin, 2010 ¹⁸	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Ayfer Aytemur, 2015 ¹⁹	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Basri, 2017 ²⁰	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Intermediate risk
Bathoorn, 2008 ⁴	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Behnke, 2000 ²¹	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	High risk	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Black, 2004 ²²	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Intermediate risk
Blasi, 2013 ⁷¹	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	Intermediate risk
Borges, 2014 ²³	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Intermediate risk
Brown, 1987 ²⁴	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Brusse-Keizer, 2014 ⁵	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk
Centanni, 2002 ²⁵	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	High risk
Cox, 2018 ²⁶	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Intermediate risk

Author, Year	Sequence Generation	Allocation Concealment	Blinding of Participants , Personnel	Blinding of Outcome Assessors	Incomplete Outcome Data	Selective Outcome Reporting	Other Sources of Bias	Overall RoB
Cross, 2012 ²⁷	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Intermediate risk
Daniels, 2010 ⁶	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Dark, 1993 ⁷²	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Davies, 1999 ⁷	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Intermediate risk
de Jong, 2007 ⁹⁸	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Ding, 2016	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Intermediate risk
Du, 2018 ²⁸	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Duffy, 2005 ²⁹	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk
Eaton, 2009 ³⁰	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Edwards, 2013 ³¹	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk
Emami Ardestani, 2017 ⁷⁴	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Emerman, 1989 ⁸	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Intermediate risk
Giusti, 2016 ⁷⁵	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Goktalay, 2013 ³²	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Greening, 2014 ³³	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Greulich, 2014 ³⁴	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Intermediate risk
Gunen, 2007 ⁹	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Hamacher, 1995 ⁷⁶	High risk	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	High risk
Hasani, 1998 ⁷⁷	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Hassan, 2015 ¹⁰	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	High risk
He, 2015 ³⁵	Unknown	High risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Kirsten, 1998 ³⁷	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Kodric, 2009 ³⁶	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk

Author, Year	Sequence Generation	Allocation Concealment	Blinding of Participants , Personnel	Blinding of Outcome Assessors	Incomplete Outcome Data	Selective Outcome Reporting	Other Sources of Bias	Overall RoB
Koutsogiannis , 2000 ⁶⁵	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Intermediate risk
Kurzaj, 2013 ³⁸	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Lellouche, 2016 ³⁹	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Intermediate risk
Leophonte, 1998 ⁷⁸	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Leuppi, 2013 ⁷⁹	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk
Liao, 2015 ⁴⁰	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Llor, 2009 ⁸⁰	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Llor, 2012 ¹¹	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk
Mahmoud Abd El Hafiz, 2013 ⁴¹	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Maltais, 2002 ¹²	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Mirici, 2003 ⁸¹	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk
Moayyedi, 1995 ⁶⁶	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Moretti, 2015 ⁴²	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Mukerji, 2015 ⁴³	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk
Niewoehner, 1999 ¹³	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Ogasawara, 2018 ⁴⁴	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk
Oncu, 2017 ⁴⁵	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Osadnik, 2014 ⁴⁶	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk
Perri, 1985 ⁶⁷	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Petitpretz, 2007 ⁸²	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Phillips, 1993 ⁸³	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Pourrashid, 2018 ⁴⁷	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Rhee, 2015 ⁸⁴	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Rice, 1987 ⁴⁸	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk

Author, Year	Sequence Generation	Allocation Concealment	Blinding of Participants , Personnel	Blinding of Outcome Assessors	Incomplete Outcome Data	Selective Outcome Reporting	Other Sources of Bias	Overall RoB
Rizzato, 1998 ⁸⁵	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Roede, 2007 ⁸⁶	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Intermediate risk
Ruiz-Gonzalez, 2007 ⁸⁷	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Sanjari, 2015 ⁴⁹	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Intermediate risk
Saudny-Unterberger, 1997 ⁵⁰	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Sayiner, 2001 ⁸⁸	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Intermediate risk
Seidenfeld, 1984 ⁵¹	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Intermediate risk
Skorodin, 1995 ⁵²	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Solooki, 2014 ⁵³	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Soltaninejad, 2016 ⁵⁴	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Stallberg, 2009 ⁸⁹	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Intermediate risk
Sun, 2015 ⁹⁰	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Tang, 2012 ⁵⁵	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk
Thompson, 1996 ¹⁴	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Torres-Sanchez, 2017 ⁵⁶	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Intermediate risk
Torres-Sanchez, 2017 ⁵⁷	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk
Troosters, 2010 ⁵⁸	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Tumer, 2009 ⁵⁹	High risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Ucar, 2014 ⁹¹	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Low risk	Unknown	High risk
Umut, 1999 ⁹²	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
van Velzen, 2017 ¹⁵	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk

Author, Year	Sequence Generation	Allocation Concealment	Blinding of Participants , Personnel	Blinding of Outcome Assessors	Incomplete Outcome Data	Selective Outcome Reporting	Other Sources of Bias	Overall RoB
van Zanten, 2007 ⁹³	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	High risk
Vermeeren, 2004 ⁶⁰	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Wang, 2016 ¹⁶	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Low risk
Whitlock, 1995 ⁹⁴	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Willaert, 2002 ⁹⁵	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Wilson, 2012 ⁹⁶	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Woodruff, 2011 ⁶¹	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	High risk	Unknown	Low risk	High risk
Xiong, 2008 ⁶²	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Yohannes, 2003 ⁶³	Unknown	Unknown	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	High risk
Yoon, 2013 ⁹⁷	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Intermediate risk
Zuin, 2005 ⁶⁴	Low risk	Unknown	Low risk	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Intermediate risk

ROB = risk of bias

Appendix F. Results From Included Studies

Table F.1. KQ1: Intervention description and conclusions

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Followup (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Aaron, 2003 ¹	Emergency department	1	1) Prednisone	Oral	40mg, 1x/24 hours (total of 40 mg/24 hours) for 10 days	Patients in the Prednisone group were found to have statistically significantly more improvements in FEV1 absolute values, and dyspnea (Chronic Respiratory Disease Index Questionnaire) than patients in the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference in 30-day relapse, quality of life (Chronic Respiratory Disease Index Questionnaire.), hospitalizations, and mortality. Patients in the prednisone group were statistically significantly more likely to report increase in appetite, weight gain than patients in the placebo group. Serious adverse events requiring hospitalization were reported two cases in the prednisone group (1 for epistaxis, 1 for seizure) and 1 case in the placebo group (1 for schizophrenia).
			2) Placebo	Oral	Placebo, 1x/24 hours for 10 days	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Followup (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Albert, 1980 ²	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Methylprednisolone	IV	0.5mg/kg, 4x/24hours (total of 2mg/kg/24hours) for 3 days	The methylprednisolone group had a significantly larger increase in pre- and post-bronchodilator FEV1 than the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference in mortality.
			2) Placebo	IV	Placebo 4x/24hours for 3 days	
Anthonisen, 1987 ³	Outpatient	N/A	1) Placebo	Oral	placebo matching prescribed antibiotic 10 days	The antibiotic group was found to have significantly more treatment success and less treatment failures than the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of adverse events.
			2) Antibiotics	Oral	Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole: 160mg,800mg, 2x/24hours (total of 320mg/24hours, 1600mg/24hours) for 10 days. or Amoxicillin: 0.25g, 4x/24hours (total of 1g/24hours) for 10 days or Doxycycline: 200mg initially, followed by 0.1g, 1x/24hours (total of 0.1g/24hours) for 10 days	
Bathoorn, 2008 ⁴ Non-inferiority trial	Outpatient Inpatient hospital floor	3	1) Budesonide + Formoterol	Inhalation and Oral	160µg, 4.5 µg, 4x/24hours (total of 640µg,189µg/24hours) for 14 days.	Patients in the budesonide + formoterol group and patients in the prednisolone group had significantly better symptom scores (Total Symptom Score) than
			2) Prednisolone	Inhalation and Oral	30mg, 1x/24hours (total of	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Followup (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
					30mg/24hours) for 14 days	those in the placebo group. Patients in the Budesonide + Formoterol group had significantly better quality of life (Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire) than those in the prednisolone group (p=0.02); however the difference between the budesonide + formoterol group and the placebo group was not significant. There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1, treatment failures between the groups. No hospital admission was reported in any of the three groups.
			3) Placebo	Inhalation and Oral	14 days	
Brusse-Keizer, 2014 ⁵	Outpatient	4	1) Antibiotics	Oral	Amoxicillin/Clavulanic Acid: 500mg,125mg, 3x/24hours (total of 1500mg,375mg/24hours) for 7 days	There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in dyspnea (Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire), repeat exacerbation, quality of life (Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire), FEV1, total symptom scores (Clinical COPD Questionnaire), and relapse of ECOPD.
			2) Placebo	Oral	3x/24hours for 7 days	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Followup (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Daniels, 2010 ⁶	Inpatient hospital floor	1	1) Doxycycline	Oral	200mg, 1x/24hours (total of 200mg/24hours) for 7 days	At the end of treatment, patients in the doxycycline group were more likely to have clinical cure, better cough score (VAS), better total symptom score (Total Symptom Score), and better dyspnea (VAS) than those in the placebo group. At the end of the followup, there was no statistically significant difference between doxycycline and placebo in clinical cure, FEV1, total symptom scores, dyspnea, cough, and death.
			2) Placebo	Oral	200mg, 1x/24hours (total of 200mg/24hours) for 7 days	
Davies, 1999 ⁷	Emergency department, Inpatient hospital floor	1.5	1) Prednisolone	Oral	30mg, 1x/24hours (total of 30mg/24hours) for 14 days	Upon hospital discharge, the patients in the prednisolone group had significantly more increase in % predicted FEV1 than the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference in repeat exacerbation and hospital readmissions.
			2) Placebo	Oral		
Emerman, 1989 ⁸	Emergency department	N/A	1) Methylprednisolone	IV	100mg for one time treatment for 1 day.	There was no statistically significant difference in % predicted FEV1 values and repeat exacerbation.
			2) Placebo	IV	100mg for one time treatment for 1 day.	
Gunen, 2007 ⁹	Inpatient hospital floor	1	1) Management without Systemic Corticosteroids	Inhalation		Patients in the nebulized budesonide group had statistically significant higher FEV1 % predicted

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Followup (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Prednisolone	IV	40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours) for more than 10 days	than those in the prednisolone group or the management without systemic corticosteroids group. There was no statistically significant difference in hospital readmissions, repeat exacerbations, % predicted FEV1, and death.
			3) Nebulized Budesonide	Nebulized	1500µg, 4x/24hours (total of 0.5mg/24hours)	
Hassan, 2015 ¹⁰	Outpatient	21 days	1)Placebo	N/A	Matching placebo	Patients in the antibiotics group were found to have significantly higher clinical cures and lower clinical failures than patients in the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1 values.
			2) Antibiotic	N/A	Quinolone: 500mg, 2x/24hours (total of 1000mg/24hours) or Amoxicillin: 500mg, 3x/24hours (total of 1500mg/24hours) for 10 days	
Llor, 2012 ¹¹	Outpatient	12	1Amoxicillin + Clavulanate	Oral	500mg, 125mg, 3x/24hours (total of 1500mg/24hours, 375mg/24hours) for 8 days	The amoxicillin + clavulanate group had statistically significant more clinical cure rates and less clinical failures than the placebo group.
			1)Placebo	Oral		
Maltais, 2002 ¹²	Inpatient hospital floor	0.25	1) Budesonide	Nebulized	2mg, 4x/24hours (total of 8mg/24hours for 3 days	The budesonide group and the prednisolone group had a significantly larger increase in absolute FEV1 than the placebo group. Increases in FEV1 were not significantly different in the budesonide group and the prednisolone group. There was no statistically
				Inhaled	Then: 2000µg, 1x/24hours (total of 2000µg/24hours) for 7 days	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Followup (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion	
			2) Prednisolone	Oral	30mg, 2x/24hours (total of 60mg/24hours) for 3 days, then 40mg/24hours for 7 days	significant difference in dyspnea (Modified Borg Scale), need for intubation, and death between the three groups.	
			3) Placebo	Nebulized	For 72 hours		
				Inhaled	For 7 days		
				Oral	For 72 hours, then for 7 days		
Niewoehner, 1999 ¹³	Emergency department	6	1) Glucocorticoid for 8 weeks	IV	Methylprednisolone: 125mg, 4x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 3 days	Patients in the 8-week glucocorticoid group and 2-week glucocorticoid group had significantly less clinical failures than the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference in death, FEV1, need for intubations, and hospital readmissions.	
				Oral	Prednisone: 60mg on days 4 through 7, 40mg on days 8 through 11, 20mg on days 12 through 43, 10mg on days 44 through 50, and 5mg on days 51 through 57		
			2) Glucocorticoid for 2 week	IV	Methylprednisolone: 125mg, 4x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hrs) for 3 days		
				Oral	Prednisone: 60mg on days 4 through 7, 40mg on days 8 through 11, and 20mg on days 12 through 15 Placebo capsules on days 16 through 57		
			3) Placebo	IV	5% dextrose solution: 125mg, 4x/24hrs (total of 500mg/24hrs) for 3 days		

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Followup (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
				Oral	Placebo capsules on days 4 through 57	
Thompson, 1996 ¹⁴	Outpatient	N/A	Prednisone	Oral	60mg, 1x/24hrs (total of 60mg/24hrs) for 3 days 40mg, 1x/24hrs (total of 40mg/24hrs) for 3 days 20mg 1x/24hrs (total of 20mg/24hrs) for 3 days	Patients in the prednisone group had statistically significant more increase in absolute FEV1 values and lower clinical failures than patients in the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference in dyspnea scale (VAS).
			Placebo	Oral	Vitamin B6 for 9 days.	
van Velzen, 2017 ¹⁵	Outpatient	24	Doxycycline	Oral	100mg, 2x/24hrs (total of 200mg/24hrs) for 1 day. Then, 100mg, 1x/24hrs (total of 100mg/24hrs) 6 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in death, clinical failures, incidence of adverse events, or serious adverse events.
			Placebo	Oral		
Wang, 2016 ¹⁶	Inpatient hospital floor	1	Antibiotics		Variable and determined by physicians	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cure, 30-day mortality, FEV1 % predicted, need for intubations, 30-day repeat exacerbation, 30-day hospital readmissions, and symptom scores (VAS).
			Management without Antibiotics			

* Study provided different numbers and conclusions. We used the numbers.

ECOPD = acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiration volume in 1 second; g = gram; IV = intravenous; Kg = kilogram; mg = milligram; µg = microgram; N/A = not applicable; VAS = Visual Analog Scale

Table F.2. KQ2: Intervention description and conclusions

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Abreu Gonzalez, 2006 ¹⁷	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Magnesium Sulfate	IV	1.5g dissolved in 100mL of 0.9%saline solution over 20min infusion, 1x/24hrs (total of 1.5g/24hrs) for 1 day	There was no statistically significant difference between magnesium sulfate and placebo in FEV1 values after 45 mins of application.
			2) Placebo	IV	100mL of 0.9%saline solution over 20min infusion, 1x/24hrs (total of 100mL/24hrs) for 1 day	
Austin, 2010 ¹⁸	Ambulance to hospital	N/A	1) High Flow /Free Flow Oxygen	N/A	8-10liters/min during ambulance ride and up to 30 mins in the ER (until blood gas analysis was taken)	Patients in the titrated oxygen group had significantly lower mortality than those in the high flow oxygen group (RR=0.22, 95% CI: 0.05 to 0.91). There was no statistically significant difference in need for intubation.
			2) Titrated Oxygen	N/A	During ambulance ride and up to 30 mins in the ER (until blood gas analysis was taken)	
Ayfer Aytemur, 2015 ¹⁹	Inpatient hospital floor	6	1) N-Acetylcysteine	Oral	200mg, 3x/24hrs (total of 600mg/24hrs) for 30 days	There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1, dyspnea (1-7 Scale), hospital admission, and recurrent exacerbations.
			2) Placebo	Oral	Identical-looking placebo for 30 days	
Basri, 2017 ²⁰	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) No Chest Physiotherapy	N/A	N/A	Patients in the chest physiotherapy group had significantly better dyspnea scores (VAS) than those without chest physiotherapy.
			2) Chest Physiotherapy	N/A	For 14 days.	
Bathoorn, 2008 ⁴ Non-inferiority trial	Outpatient Inpatient hospital floor	3	1) Budesonide + Formoterol	Inhalation and Oral	160µg,4.5µg, 4x/24hrs (total of 640µg,189µg/24hrs) for 14 days.	Patients in the budesonide + formoterol group and patients in the prednisolone group had significantly better symptom scores (Total
			2) Prednisolone	Inhalation and Oral	30mg, 1x/24hrs (total of 30mg/24hrs) for 14 days	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			3) Placebo	Inhalation and Oral	For 14 days.	Symptom Score) than those in the placebo group. Patients in the Budesonide + Formoterol group had significantly better quality of life (Clinical COPD Questionnaire) than those in the prednisolone group (p=0.02); however the difference between the budesonide + formoterol group and the placebo group was not significant. There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1, treatment failures between the groups. No hospital admission was reported in any of the three groups.
Behnke, 2000 ²¹	Inpatient hospital floor	6	1) Aerobic Exercise	N/A	10 day walking training program in hospital followed by 60 day at home	The aerobic exercise group was found to have statistically significant

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Management without Aerobic Exercise	N/A	N/A	more improvement in 6-minute walking distance test, and dyspnea (measured by the modified categorical Borg Scale and the Transitional Dyspnea Index), and quality of life (measured by the Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire). There was no significant difference in mortality (one death in each group), FEV1 absolute, repeat exacerbation, and number of withdrawals.
Black, 2004 ²²	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) N-acetylcysteine	Oral	600mg, 2x/24hrs (total of 1200mg/24hrs) for 7 days or until discharge whichever occurred first	There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1 values and dyspnea (Breathlessness Likert Scale) between the two groups.
			2) Placebo	Oral	600mg, 2x/24hrs (total of 1200mg/24hrs) for 7 days or until discharge whichever occurred first	
Borges, 2014 ²³	Inpatient hospital floor	1	1) Resistance Training	N/A	A minimum of 3 sessions of whole-body resistance training program over 3 days.	The exercise training group was found to have significantly more improvements in 6-min walking distance test than the management without resistance training group. There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1, quality of life (Health-related Quality of Life), hospital readmission, and death.
			2) Management without Resistance Training	N/A	N/A	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Brown, 1987 ²⁴	Outpatient Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Chest Wall Vibration	N/A	Mechanical vibration for 15 mins on day 1. Positioning on day 2 over 2 days.	No statistically significant difference in FEV1 values were observed between the two groups.
			2) Positioning	N/A	Positioning on day 1. Mechanical vibration for 15 mins on day 2 over 2 days.	
Centanni, 2002 ²⁵ , Crossover RCT	Outpatient	N/A	1) Oxitropium	Inhaled	100µg puff, 2puffs (total of 200µg) for 2 days	There was a statistically significant improvement in FEV1 values 30 mins after inhalation of oxitropium. No significant improvements were found after inhalation of placebo.
			2) Placebo	Inhaled	100µg puff, 2puffs (total of 200µg) for 2 days	
Cox, 2018 ²⁶	Outpatient Inpatient hospital floor	3	1) Aerobic Exercise	N/A	Four exercise sessions over 14 days in the patient's home	There was no significant difference between hospital pulmonary rehabilitation and usual care in 6-mins walking distance test, quality of life (COPD Assessment Test), repeat exacerbations, and hospital readmissions. No death was reported.
			2) Management without Aerobic Exercise	N/A	16 revolutions of the bike on both set of limbs, three times a day for 5 consecutive days	
Cross, 2012 ²⁷	Inpatient hospital floor	6	1) Manual Chest Physiotherapy	N/A	Active Cycle of Breathing Technique: Mean: 2.53 sessions/ 11.9 mins per session	There was no significant difference in the SGRQ total score, the SGRQ symptom score, Breathlessness Cough and Sputum Scale (BCSS), and death.
			2) Management without Manual Chest Physiotherapy	N/A	N/A	
Du, 2018 ²⁸ 10254	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Simvastatin	Oral	20mg, 1x/24 hours (total of 20mg/24 hours) for 14 days	Patients in the simvastatin group

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Placebo	NR	NR	reported significantly higher FEV1% values after the intervention than those in the placebo group.
Duffy, 2005 ²⁹	Emergency department	1.5	1)Placebo	IV		There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1, dyspnea, (BORG Scale) death, and symptom scores (VAS).
			2)Aminophylline	IV	5mg/kg over 30 mins for 5 days.	
Eaton, 2009 ³⁰	Outpatient, Inpatient hospital floor	3	1) Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation	N/A	1-h sessions of supervised exercise training, twice weekly for 56 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in hospital readmission, dyspnea score (Modified Medical Research Council Questionnaire), and 6-min walking distance test. No adverse events were reported in any group.
			2) Management without Early Rehabilitation	N/A		
Edwards, 2013 ³¹	N/A	N/A	1)Placebo	Nebulized	Isotonic saline: 2.5 ml 3 times at 30 min intervals for 1 day.	There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1. No clinically significant adverse events were reported.
			2)Magnesium Sulfate	Nebulized	2.5 mg salbutamol mixed with 2.5 ml isotonic magnesium sulphate (151mg) 3 times at 30 min intervals for 1 day.	
Goktalay, 2013 ³²	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Management without High-frequency Chest Wall Oscillation Therapy	N/A	N/A	There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1, Modified Medical Research Council dyspnea scale, and 6-min walking distance test.
			2)High-frequency Chest Wall Oscillation Therapy	N/A	20 mins, 3x/24hrs (total of 60 mins) for 5 days Application and oscillation frequency were standardized at 20 Hz and 10 Hz	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Greening, 2014 ³³	Inpatient hospital floor	12	1) Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation	N/A	Daily timed walks and Daily strength training, comprising three sets of eight repetitions resistance training exercises with weights for 10 days.	There was no significant difference between the two groups in hospital readmissions.
			2) Management without Pulmonary Rehabilitation	N/A		
Greulich, 2014 ³⁴	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Management without Whole Body Vibration	N/AN/A	Standard program: 5 min mobilization, 5 min passive movement, and 10 min respiratory exercises	Patients in the Whole Body Vibration group had statistically significant better outcomes in 6-minute walking distance test, and quality of life (SGRQ). There was no statistically significant difference in % predicted FEV1 values.
			2) Whole Body Vibration	N/A	Standard program: 5 min mobilization, 5 min passive movement, and 10 min respiratory exercises complemented with sessions on the WBV device	
Gunen, 2007 ⁹	Inpatient hospital floor	1	1) Management without Systemic Corticosteroids	Inhalation	For 10 days.	Patients in the nebulized budesonide group had statistically significant higher FEV1 % predicted than those in the prednisolone group or the management without systemic corticosteroids group. There was no statistically significant difference in hospital readmissions, repeat exacerbations, % predicted FEV1, and death.
			2) Prednisolone	IV	40mg, 1x/24hrs (total of 40mg/24hrs) for 10 days	
			3) Nebulized Budesonide	Nebulized	1500µg, 4x/24hrs (total of 0.5mg/24hrs) for 10 days.	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
He, 2015 ³⁵	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation	N/A	Each PR session included exercise training, relaxation, breathing retraining and education (from the second day of admission until discharge) for a mean of 10 days	Patients in the pulmonary rehabilitation group were found to have significantly more improvements in 6-minute walking distance test ($P<0.001$), dyspnea (Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale) than patients in the routine care group.
			2) Management without Early Rehabilitation	N/A	Mean of 10 days	
Kirsten, 1998 ³⁷	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Aerobic Exercise	N/A	6-min treadmill walking test and five walking sessions per day for 10 days	There was no statistical difference between the two groups in 6-minute walking distance test, FEV1 % predicted,
			2) Management without Aerobic Exercise	N/A		
Kodric, 2009 ³⁶	Inpatient hospital floor	6	1) Management without Chest Physiotherapy	N/A	N/A	The chest physiotherapy group had significantly more improvements in the Borg scale ($p<0.01$) than the management without chest physiotherapy group. There was no statistically significant difference in repeat exacerbations, hospital readmissions, % predicted FEV1 value, quality of life, or the Medical Research Council Dyspnea scale.
			2) Chest Physiotherapy ELTGOL (expiration with the glottis open in the lateral posture)	N/A	For 7 days	
Kurzaj, 2013 ³⁸	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Specialized Physiotherapy	N/A	Series of 6 massages, each lasting for 30 mins for 7 days.	Patients in the specialized physiotherapy group had significantly more

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Management without Specialized Physiotherapy	N/A	N/A	improvements in % predicted FEV1 values. There was no statistically significant difference in 6 –minute walking distance tests, and dyspnea (Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale).
Lellouche, 2016 ³⁹	Inpatient hospital floor	6	1)FreeO2 device oxygen titration	Inhalation	Oxygen flow 0-20 liters /min	There was no statistically significant difference in need for intubation, ICU admission, and hospital readmissions.
			2)Manual Oxygen Titration	Inhalation	N/A	
Liao, 2015 ⁴⁰	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation	N/A	RP sessions were conducted a minimum of twice per day for 10 mins per session for 4 days.	The respiratory rehabilitation group had statistically significant better outcomes in dyspnea (Modified Borg Scale), 6-minute walking distance test, and frequency of coughing.
			2) Management without Early Rehabilitation	N/A	Usual care and health education for 4 days.	
Mahmoud Abd El Hafiz, 2013 ⁴¹	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Management without N-acetylcysteine	N/A	Standard COPD exacerbation treatment : according to GOLD 2011 (systemic glucocorticoids oral prednisolone 30-40 mg / day, short acting B2 agonist (SABA) salbutamol and antibiotics)for 10 days	Patients in the high dose N-acetyl Cysteine group had significantly higher % predicted FEV1 than those in the low dose group and the management without N-acetylcysteine group. % predicted FEV1 was not statistically significant difference between the low dose group and the
			2) Low Dose N-acetylcysteine	N/A	Standard treatment + N-acetyl Cysteine: 200mg, 3x/24hrs (total of 600mg/24hrs) for 10 days	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			3) High Dose N-acetylcysteine	N/A	Standard treatment + N-acetyl Cysteine: 400mg, 3x/24hrs (total of 1200mg/24hrs) for 10 days	Management without N-acetylcysteine group.
Maltais, 2002 ¹²	Inpatient hospital floor	0.25	1) Budesonide	Nebulized	2mg, 4x/24hrs (total of 8mg/24hrs for 3 days	The budesonide group and the prednisolone group had a significantly larger increase in absolute FEV1 than the placebo group. Increases in FEV1 were not significantly different in the budesonide group and the prednisolone group. There was no statistically significant difference in dyspnea (Modified Borg Scale), need for intubation, and death between the three groups.
				Inhaled	Then: 2000µg, 1x/24hrs (total of 2000µg/24hrs) for 7 days	
			2) Prednisolone	Oral	30mg, 2x/24hrs (total of 60mg/24hrs) for 3 days, then 40mg/24hrs for 7 days	
			3) Placebo	Nebulized	For 72 hours	
				Inhaled	For 7 days	
				Oral	For 72 hours, then for 7 days	
Moretti, 2015 ⁴²	Inpatient hospital floor	2	1) Erdosteine	Oral	300mg, 3x/24hrs (total of 900mg/24hrs) for 10 days	After intervention, the erdosteine group had

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Management without Erdosteine	N/A	N/A	significantly more improvements in % predicted FEV1 and Breathlessness-Sputum-Cough Scale than the management without erdosteine group. However, the difference was not significantly in the followup. After the 2-month followup, the erdosteine group had significantly less repeat exacerbations than those in the placebo group.
Mukerji, 2015 ⁴³	Emergency department	N/A	1) Magnesium Sulfate	IV	2g magnesium sulphate made up to 20 mins in 0.9% sodium chloride solution (saline) over 15 mins for 1 day.	Patients in the magnesium sulphate group had significantly more increase in absolute FEV1 values than those in the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference in need for intubation.
			2) Placebo	IV	20 ml of saline over 15 mins for 1 day.	
Ogasawar, 2018 ⁴⁴ 10048	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Omega-3 fatty acid enriched diet (Eicosapentaenoic acid) acid).	Oral	1g, 1x/24 hours (total of 1g/24 hours)	There was no statistically difference between the two groups in physical activities (steps per day), dyspnea (Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale), and quality of life (COPD Assessment Test).
			2) Usual Diet	Oral	1g, 1x/24 hours (total of 1g/24 hours)	
Oncu, 2017 ⁴⁵	Outpatient, Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation	Transcutaneous	20 sessions (TENS device) each for 45 minute application once a day for 20 days.	Patients in the stimulation group had significantly more improvements in 6-

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Management without Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation	Transcutaneous	20 sessions (TENS device without electrical output) each for 45- minute application once a day for 20 days.	minute walking distance test than patients in the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference in absolute FEV1 values, quality of life (St George's Respiratory Questionnaire), and dyspnea (Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale).
Osadnik, 2014 ⁴⁶	Inpatient hospital floor	6	1) Management without Positive Expiratory Pressure	N/A	N/A	There was no statistically significant difference in modified Medical Research Council dyspnea scale, FEV1 % predicted, the Breathlessness, Cough and Sputum Scale, quality of life (St George's Respiratory Questionnaire), repeat exacerbations, 6-minute walking distance test, and hospital admissions.
			2) Positive Expiratory Pressure	Mask	3session/ day, (five repetitions for each session, as tolerated for approximately 20 mins duration, one session was supervised), daily therapy continued until hospital discharge or 24 h without sputum expectoration, whichever came first	
	Inpatient hospital floor	4	1) Placebo	IM	Single injection	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Pourrashid, 2018 ⁴⁷			2) Vitamin D3	IM	Single injection of 300,000 IU	At the end of followup, the Vitamin D3 group had significantly more improvements in quality of life (St George's Respiratory Questionnaire, $p < 0.001$). There was no statistically significant difference in mortality, hospital readmissions, and dyspnea (Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale).
Rice, 1987 ⁴⁸	Emergency department, outpatient	N/A	1) Aminophylline	IV	Loading dose: 6mg/kg or 3mg/kg based on time of last administered dose of standard or sustained release Theophylline. Maintenance dose: 0.5 mg/kg, or 0.3mg/kg to achieve the desired serum theophylline levels of 72 to 94 μ mol/ L. An additional loading dose of 1 mg/kg body weight was given for each desired increase of 11 μ mol/ L in the Theophylline level for 3 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in absolute FEV1 values, dyspnea (Verbal dyspnea index), need for intubations, and number of adverse events.
			2) Placebo	IV	Frequent adjustments were made to maintain the double-blinded nature of the study for 3 days.	
Sanjari, 2015 ⁴⁹	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Placebo	Oral	Daily for 7 days	Patients in the calcitriol had significantly improvements in dyspnea
			2) Vitamin D	Oral	50000 IU, 1x/24hrs (total of 50000IU/24hrs) for 7 days	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			3) Calcitriol	Oral	0.25µg, 1x/24hrs (total of 0.25µg/24hrs) for 7 days	(Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale) than those in the placebo group or the Vitamin D group. No statistically significant difference was observed between the Vitamin D group and the placebo group in dyspnea. There was no statistically significant difference in % predicted FEV1.
Saudny-Unterberger, 1997 ⁵⁰	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Nutritional Support	Oral	An additional 10 kcal/kg/day for 14 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in death, % predicted FEV1, dyspnea (Oxygen-cost diagram), 6-minute walking distance test, and quality of life (Genreal Well-being).
			2) Usual Diet	Oral	Usual feeding for 14 days.	
Seidenfeld, 1984 ⁵¹	Emergency department	6	1) Aminophylline	IV	5.6mg/kg over one hour by constant infusion pump, or reduced the dosage to 2.8mg/kg if the patient had received a theophylline-containing preparation within the previous six hours for 1 day.	There was no statistically significant difference in death, % predicted FEV1, dyspnea (1-5 scale), and cough (1-5 scale).
			2) Placebo	IV	For 1 day.	
Skorodin, 1995 ⁵²	Emergency department	0.5	1) Magnesium Sulfate	IV	1.2g in 150ml of saline over 20 mins for 1 day.	There was no statistically significant difference in hospital admission and dyspnea score (Ordinal Scale) between the two groups.
			2) Placebo	IV	2.4ml over 20 mins for 1 day.	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Solooki, 2014 ⁵³	Emergency department, Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Magnesium Sulfate	IV	2g diluted in 100ml saline over 20 mins for 4 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in % predicted FEV1.
			2) Placebo	IV	100cc over 20 min for 4 days.	
Soltaninejad, 2016 ⁵⁴	Inpatient Hospital	N/A	1) Gentamicin	Nebulized	80mg, 2x/24hrs (total of 160mg/24hrs) for 5 days	The gentamicin group had significantly more increase in absolute FEV1 values than the placebo group.
			2) Placebo	Nebulized	2cc distilled water, 2x/24hrs for 5 days	
Tang, 2012 ⁵⁵	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Low-intensity Exercise Group	N/A	15-minute exercise sessions 2 times a day: walking at 40% of 3-min walk test for 7.5 min and completing 2 sets of an upper and lower limb resistance exercise with elasticized bands at each session	There was no statistically significant difference between the 3 groups in the 3-minute walking distance test, upper limb muscle strength, FEV1 % predicted, and the incidence of adverse events.
			2) Moderate to high-intensity Exercise group	N/A	15-minute exercise sessions 2 times a day: walking at 70% of their 3-minute walk test for 7.5 mins and completing 2 sets of an upper and lower limb resistance exercise with elasticized bands at each session	
			3) Management without Exercise Training	N/A	Once-daily physical therapy, including sputum clearance techniques, mobility assessments, and functional training required for safe discharge	
	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Resistance Training	N/A	Cycling exercise intervention using a pedal exerciser	The exercise group had statistically significant

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Torres-Sanchez, 2017 ⁵⁶			2) Management without Resistance Training	N/A	Standard Care	more improvements in lower-limb strength, balance, and number of steps than the management without exercise training group.
Torres-Sanchez, 2017 ⁵⁷	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Management without Exercise Training	N/A	Standard medical treatment for 9 days.	The resistance exercise group had significantly more improvement in dyspnea (Modified Borg scale) than the management without exercise Training group. The resistance exercise group and the controlled breathing + range of motion exercises group had significantly more improvements in quality of life (EQ-5D VAS) than the placebo. No significant difference was found in quality of life between the resistance exercise group and the controlled breathing + range of motion exercises group. There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1 % predicted between the 3 groups.
			2) Controlled breathing + Range of motion exercises	N/A	Daily 30-40min sessions of physical therapy (relaxation, pursed lips breathing, active expiration) plus active range of motion exercises for 9 days.	
			3) Resistance training	N/A	A 5-min warm-up starting at a low and progressively increased the resistance of the elastic bands and the repetitions performed. Global movements of upper and lower limbs were performed against resistance during 30–40 min in individual supervised sessions. The number of repetitions was adapted to the subject's response taken into account the perceived dyspnea and fatigue during the exercise performance for 9 days,	
Troosters, 2010 ⁵⁸	Inpatient hospital floor	1	1) Management without Resistance Training	N/A	Standard doses of oral corticosteroids to treat the exacerbation for 7 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in 6-minute walking distance test and hospital readmission between the groups.

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2)Resistance training	N/A	3 sets of 8 repetitions quadriceps resistance training once a day for 7 days	
Turner, 2009 ⁵⁹	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1)Usual Diet	N/A	Standard hospital diet, 1800 kcal/day for 10 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1 values.
			2)High-fat, Low-carbohydrate Diet	N/A	50% hospital diet and 50% a specific enteral product (pulmocare and hospital diet composed of 50% fat and 28% CHO) for 10 days.	
Vermeeren, 2004 ⁶⁰	Inpatient hospital floor	3	1)Nutritional Intervention	N/A	Respifor: 125mL, 3x/24hours (total of 375mL/24hours) 2.38 MJ/day, 20 energy% protein, 20 energy% fat and 60 energy% carbohydrate for 9 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in hospital admissions, FEV1 % predicted and dyspnea scores (VAS) between the two groups.
			2) Placebo(non-caloric fluid, vanilla flavored water)	N/A	vanilla flavored water: 125mL, 3x/24hours (total of 375mL/24hours) with 0 MJ/day for 9 days.	
Woodruff, 2011 ⁶¹	Inpatient hospital floor	1	1) Zileuton	N/A	600mg, 4x/24hours (total of 2400mg/24hours) for 14 days	Based on the intention-to-treat principle, patients in

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2)Placebo	N/A	For 14 days	the zileuton group had significantly higher FEV1 absolute values than those in the placebo group. However, the difference was not significant based on per-protocol analysis. There was no statistically significant difference in clinical failures, death, need for intubations, hospital 30-day hospital readmission, FEV1 % predicted, and adverse events.
Xiong, 2008 ⁶²	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Atomization Inhalation	N/A	For 14 Days	Patients in the budesonide+ terbutaline, atomization inhalation group had statistically significant more improvements in FEV1 absolute and % predicted than those in the conventional group (p<0.05).
			2) Management without Atomization Inhalation	N/A	For 14 days.	
Yohannes, 2003 ⁶³	Inpatient hospital floor	1	1)Gutter Frame + Supplemental Oxygen	N/A	Exercise: 15 min sessions, 3 times a day for 10 days. Oxygen: 2 L/min	There was no statistically significant difference in dyspnea (Borg Score), hospital readmission, and death.
			2)Gutter Frame + Supplemental Air	N/A	Exercise: 15 min sessions, 3 times a day for 10 days. Supplemental Air: 2 L/min	
			3)Rollator + Oxygen	N/A	Exercise: 15 min sessions, 3 times a day for 10 days. Oxygen: 2 L/min	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up (months)	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			4) Rollator + Air	N/A	Exercise: 15 min sessions, 3 times a day for 10 days. Supplemental Air: 2 L/min	
Zuin, 2005 ⁶⁴	Outpatient	N/A	1)N-acetylcysteine 1200 mg	Oral	1200mg, 1x/24hours (total of 1200mg/24hours) for 10 days	No significant difference was found in cough frequency and intensity between the N-acetylcysteine 1200 mg and N-acetylcysteine 600 mg group. However, compared with the placebo group, patients in the two groups had significantly more improvements in cough intensity and cough frequency. There was no statistically significant difference in absolute FEV1 values.
			2)N-acetylcysteine 600mg	Oral	600mg, 1x/24hours (total of 600mg/24hours) for 10 days.	
			3)Placebo	Oral		

* Study provided different numbers and conclusions. We used the numbers.

CI = confidence interval; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ER = emergency room; EPA = eicosapentaenoic acid; FEV1 = forced expiration volume in 1 second; g = gram; GOLD = global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease; Hz = hertz; ICU = intensive care unit; IM = intramuscular; IU = international unit; IV = intravenous; kcal = kilocalorie; kg = kilogram; L = liter; mg = milligram; min = minute; mJ = millijoule; ml = milliliter; N/A = not applicable; ONS = oral nutrition supplementation; PR = pulmonary rehabilitation; RR = relative risk; TENS = transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; VAS = visual analog scale; µg = microgram; µmol = micromole

Table F.3. KQ3: Intervention description and conclusions

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Followup	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Koutsogiannis, 2000 ⁶⁵	Emergency department	N/A	1) Salbutamol	Oral salbutamol, IB nebulizer	Salbutamol: 5mg at 0, 15 min, & 30 min Once IB 500µg at time 0 for 1 day.	There was no statistically significant difference in % predicted FEV1 and absolute FEV1 values.
			2)Ipratropium Bromide	Nebulizer, oral salbutamol.	Salbutamol: 5mg at time 0 & Ipratropium Bromide 500µg at 0, 15, 30min for 1 day.	
			3)Combined	Oral/nebulizer	Salbutamol 5mg & IB 500µg at time 0, 15, 30min	
Moayyedi, 1995 ⁶⁶	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Salbutamol	Nebulized	5mg, 4x/24hours (total of 20mg/24hours)	There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1 values.
			2) Salbutamol + Ipratropium Bromide	Nebulized	Salbutamol: 5mg, 4x/24hours (total of 20mg/24hours) IB: 500µg, 4x/24hours (total of 2000µg/24hours)	
Perri, 1985 ⁶⁷	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Salbutamol + Beclomethasone Dipropionate	Inhaled	Salbutamol: 75µg/puff, 2puffs, 3x/24hours (total of 450µg/24hours) for 28 days Beclomethasone Dipropionate: 50µg/puff, 2 puffs, 3x/24hours (total of 300µg/24hours) for 28 days	There was no statistically significant difference in % predicted FEV1 values, clinical cures, and clinical failures.
			2) Fenoterol	Inhaled	200µg/puff, 2 puffs, 3x/24hours (total of 1200µg/24hours) for 28 days	

FEV1 = forced expiration volume in 1 second; IB = ipratropium bromide; mg = milligram; µg = microgram; min/s = minutes; N/A = not applicable

Table F.4. KQ4: Intervention description and conclusions

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Aggarwal, 2011 ⁶⁸	Emergency department	0.5	1) Hydrocortisone i.v. Followed by Prednisolone oral	IV hydrocortisone then oral prednisolone	Hydrocortisone: 200mg, 4x/24hours (total of 800mg/24hours) for 14 day Prednisolone: 0.75mg/kg, 1x/24hours (total of 0.75mg/kg/24hours) for 14 days	After 2-week followup, the patients who received IV methylprednisolone and oral methylprednisolone were found to have significantly more improvements in FEV1 than the patients who received IV hydrocortisone and oral prednisolone. There was no statistically significant difference in mortality, need for intubation, treatment failure, and dyspnea (Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale).
			2) Methylprednisolone iv, Followed by Methylprednisolone oral	IV then oral	IV Methylprednisolone: 125mg bolus then 40mg, 4x/24hours (total of 160mg/24hours) for 14 days Oral Methylprednisolone: 0.6mg/kg, 1x/24hours (total of 0.6mg/kg/24hours) for 14 days	
Andre-Alves, 2007 ⁶⁹	Outpatient	1	1) Azithromycin	Oral	500mg, 1x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 3 days	Patients in the amoxicillin group had significantly higher FEV1 % predicted than those in the azithromycin group*. There was no statistically significant difference between azithromycin and amoxicillin in clinical cure, clinical failure, and absolute FEV1 values.*
			2) Amoxicillin	Oral	500mg, 3x/24hours (total of 1500mg/24hours) for 10 days	
Aubier, 2002 ⁷⁰	Outpatient	1	1) Telithromycin	Oral	Telithromycin: 800mg, 1x/24hours (total of 800mg/24hours) for 5 days. Then Placebo for 5 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cure rate between the two groups. However, significantly fewer patients in the telithromycin group

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Amoxicillin + Clavulanate	Oral	500mg,125mg, 3x/24hours (total of 1500mg,375mg/24hours) for 10 days.	reported adverse events. No death was reported in either group.
Blasi, 2013 ⁷¹	Outpatient Inpatient hospital floor	6	1) Prulifloxacin	Oral	600mg, 1x/24hours (total of 600mg/24hours) for 7 days	The prulifloxacin group had statistically significant more repeat exacerbations than the levofloxacin group after 6 weeks of treatment. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in FEV1, symptoms scores (Total Symptom Score), dyspnea (Dyspnea Score 0-3), rate of clinical cure, rate of clinical failure, and repeat exacerbations at 6 months of treatment. No death was reported in any of the two groups.
			2) Levofloxacin	Oral	500mg, 1x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 7 days	
Dark, 1993 ⁷²	Outpatient	1	1) Azithromycin	NR	500mg on day 1, 1x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours), then 250mg, 1x/24hours (total of 250mg/24hours) on days 2 to 5.	There was no statistically significant difference between cefaclor and azithromycin in clinical cure, clinical failure, and number of adverse events.
			2) Cefaclor	NR	500mg, 3x/24hours (total of 1500mg/24hours) for 10 days	
de Jong, 2007 ⁹⁸	Inpatient hospital floor	3	1) Intravenous Prednisolone	IV	60mg, 1x/24hours (total of 60mg/24hours) for 5 days	There was no statistically significant difference in treatment failure, quality of

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Oral Prednisolone	Oral	60mg, 1x/24hours (total of 60mg/24hours) for 5 days	life (SGRQ), absolute FEV1 values, hospital readmission for COPD, and death.
Ding, 2016 ⁷³ 20000	Inpatient hospital floor	12	1) Budesonide	Inhaled	2mg, 1x3/24 hours (total of 6 mg/24 hours)	There was no statistically significant difference in quality of life (COPD Assessment Test), FEV1 absolute values, and repeat exacerbation between the groups
			2) Methylprednisolone	IV	40mg, 1x/24 hours (total of 40mg/24 hours)	
Emami Ardestani, 2017 ⁷⁴	Emergency department	N/A	1) Dexamethasone	IV	Methylprednisolone: 2mg/kg, 1x/24hours (total of 2mg/kg) for 3 days. Then, 40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours) for 3 days. Then switched to oral Prednisone: 30mg, 1x/24hours (total of 30mg/24hours) tapered every 3 days with 5 mg decrease in dosage (for 15 days). Then inhaled budesonide: 400µg, 2x/24hours (total of 800µg/24hours) for at least 3 months.	Patients in the methylprednisolone group were significantly more likely to have improvement of cough than patients in the dexamethasone group. There was no statistically significant difference between groups for shortness of breath and general wellbeing.

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Methylprednisolone	IV	Dexamethasone: 0.375mg/kg, 1x/24hours (total of 0.375mg/kg/24hours), then gradually tapered for 7 to 14 days. Then Methylprednisolone: 30mg, 1x/24hours (total of 30mg/24hours), and continued by the same protocol as MP group for 6 days.	
Giusti, 2016 ⁷⁵ Non-inferiority trial	Inpatient hospital floor	12	1)Levofloxacin	Oral	500mg, 1x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 10 days (early interruption at 7 days if all symptoms resolved)	There was no statistically significant difference in repeat exacerbation, mortality, clinical cure, and Total Symptom Scores.
			2)Prulifloxacin	Oral	600mg, 1x/24hours (total of 600mg/24hours) for 10 days (early interruption at 7 days if all symptoms resolved)	
Gunen, 2007 ⁹	Inpatient hospital floor	1	1) Systemic Corticosteroids	Inhalation		Patients in the nebulized budesonide group had statistically significant higher FEV1 % predicted than those in the prednisolone group or the control group. There was no statistically significant difference in hospital readmissions, repeat exacerbations, FEV1 % predicted, and death.
			2)Prednisolone	IV	40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours) for more than 10 days	
			3)Nebulized Budesonide	Nebulized	1500µg, 4x/24hours (total of 0.5mg/24hours)	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Hamacher, 1995 ⁷⁶	Inpatient hospital floor	1	1) Meropenem	IV	1g infused over 20-30 mins, 3x/24hours (total of 3g/24hours) for a mean of 8.9 days	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cure, clinical failure, and repeated exacerbation.
			2) Imipenem + Cilastatin	IV	1g of each infused over 30 mins, 3x/24hours (total of 3g/24hours) for a mean of 9 days	
Hasani, 1998 ⁷⁷	Outpatient	1	1) Amoxicillin	Oral	500mg, 3x/24hours (total of 1500mg/24hours) for 7 days	There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1 values.
			2) Ciprofloxacin	Oral	500mg, 2x/24hours (total of 1000mg/24hours) for 7 days	
Leophonte, 1998 ⁷⁸	N/A	0.75	1) Trovafloxacin 200 mg	N/A	200mg, 1x/24hours (total of 200mg/24hours) for 5 days	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cure and death between the groups.
			2) Trovafloxacin 100 mg	N/A	100mg, 1x/24hours (total of 100mg/24hours) for 5 days	
Leuppi, 2013 ⁷⁹	Emergency department, Inpatient hospital floor	6	1) Methylprednisolone (day 1), Prednisone (days 2-5), Placebo (days 6-14)	Methylprednisolone IV Prednisone PO Placebo PO	Methylprednisolone: 40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours) for 1 day Prednisone: 40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours) during days 2-5 Placebo days 6-14	There was no statistically significant difference in repeat exacerbations, death, need for intubations, and % predicted FEV1 values, dyspnea score (Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale), quality of

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Methylprednisolone (day 1), Prednisone (days 2-14)	Methylprednisolone IV Prednisone PO	Methylprednisolone: 40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours) for 1 day Prednisone: 40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours) during days 2-14	life (Bronchitis-Associated Quality of Life).
Llor, 2009 ⁸⁰ Non-inferiority trial	Outpatient	1	1) Amoxicillin	Oral	500mg, 3x/24hours (total of 1500mg/24hours) for 10 days	There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in clinical cure rates.
			2) Amoxicillin + Clavulanate	Oral	500mg, 125mg, 3x/24hours (total of 1500mg/24hours, 375mg/24hours) for 10 days	
Maltais, 2002 ¹²	Inpatient hospital floor	10 days	1) Budesonide	Nebulized	2mg, 4x/24hours (total of 8mg/24hours for 3 days)	The budesonide group and the prednisolone group had a significantly larger increase in absolute FEV1 than the placebo group. Increases in FEV1 were not significantly different in the budesonide group and the prednisolone group. There was no statistically significant difference in dyspnea (Modified Borg Scale), need for intubation, and death between the three groups.
				Inhaled	Then: 2000µg, 1x/24hours (total of 2000µg/24hours) for 7 days	
			2) Prednisolone	Oral	30mg, 2x/24hours (total of 60mg/24hours) for 3 days, then 40mg/24hours for 7 days	
			3) Placebo	Nebulized	For 72 hours	
				Inhaled	For 7 days	
				Oral	For 72 hours, then for 7 days	
Mirici, 2003 ⁸¹	Emergency department, Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1) Parenteral Corticosteroid (prednisolone)	IV	40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours) for 10 days	No adverse events were reported in any of the two groups.

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Nebulized Corticosteroid (budesonide)	Nebulized	4mg, 2x/24hours (total of 8mg/24hours) for 10 days	
Niewoehner, 1999 ¹³	Emergency department	6	1) Glucocorticoid for 8 weeks	IV	Methylprednisolone: 125mg, 4x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 3 days	Patients in the 8-week glucocorticoid group and 2-week glucocorticoid group had significantly less clinical failures than the placebo group. There was no statistically significant difference in death, FEV1, need for intubations, and hospital readmissions.
				Oral	Prednisone: 60mg on days 4 through 7, 40mg on days 8 through 11, 20mg on days 12 through 43, 10mg on days 44 through 50, and 5mg on days 51 through 57	
			2) Glucocorticoid for 2 week	IV	Methylprednisolone: 125mg, 4x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 3 days	
				Oral	Prednisone: 60mg on days 4 through 7, 40mg on days 8 through 11, and 20mg on days 12 through 15 Placebo capsules on days 16 through 57	
			3) Placebo	IV	5% dextrose solution: 125mg, 4x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 3 days	
				Oral	Placebo capsules on days 4 through 57	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Petitpretz, 2007 ⁸² Non-inferiority trial	Outpatient	6	1) Levofloxacin	Oral	500mg, 1x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 10 days	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cure rates, repeat exacerbation, and number of adverse events between the two groups.
			2) Cefuroxime/axetil	Oral	cefuroxime/axetil: 300.72mg (250mg cefuroxime), 2x/24hours (total of 601.44mg/24hours (500mg/24hours)) for 10 days	
Phillips, 1993 ⁸³	Outpatient, Inpatient hospital floor	1	1) Cefpodoxime	Oral	200mg, 2x/24hours (total of 400mg/24hours) for 10 days	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cures, clinical failures, repeat exacerbations, and incidence of adverse events.
			2) Cefaclor	Oral	250mg, 3x/24hours (total of 750mg/24hours) for 10 days	
Rhee, 2015 ⁸⁴ Non-inferiority trial	Outpatient	1	1) Zabofloxacin	Oral	367mg, 1x/24hours (total of 367mg/24hours) for 5 days	Patients in the zabofloxacin group had significantly better outcomes in symptoms (Exacerbations of Chronic Pulmonary Disease Tool, p<0.01) and quality of life (COPD Assessment Test, p<0.01). There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cures, and clinical failures, suggesting Zabofloxacin is not inferior to Moxifloxacin.
			2) Moxifloxacin	Oral	400mg, 1x/24hours (total of 400mg/24hours) for 7 days	
Rizzato, 1998 ⁸⁵	Inpatient hospital floor	N/a	1) Deflazacort Hemisuccinate	IV	60mg, 1x/24hours (total of 60mg/24hours) for 7 days	There was no statistically significant difference in

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Methylprednisolone	IV	40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours) for 7 days	absolute FEV1 values and death.
Roede, 2007 ⁸⁶ Non-inferiority trial	Inpatient hospital floor	3	1) Amoxicillin + Clavulanic acid for 3 days	Oral/ IV	625mg, 4x/24hours (total of 2500mg/24hours) for 3 days. Then Placebo: 625mg, 4x/24hours (total of 2500mg/24hours) for 7 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cure, clinical failure, symptom scores (combined with shortness of breath, sputum volume, and sputum color), hospital readmissions, death, repeat exacerbations, and adverse events.
			2) Amoxicillin + Clavulanic acid for 10 days	Oral/ IV	Oral/ IV: 625mg, 4x/24hours (total of 2500mg/24hours) for 3 days. Then oral: 625mg, 4x/24hours (total of 2500mg/24hours) for 7 days.	
Ruiz-Gonzalez, 2007 ⁸⁷	Emergency department, Inpatient hospital floor	6	1) Levofloxacin	Unclear	500mg, 1x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 10 days	Patients in the levofloxacin group had significantly less hospital admissions than patients

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2) Standard care (Clarithromycin, Cefuroxime, or Amoxicillin +Clavulanate)	Unclear	One of the following: Clarithromycin: 500mg, 2x/24hours (total of 1000mg/24hours). Cefuroxime axetil: 500mg, 2x/24hours (total of 1000mg/24hours). Amoxicillin/Clavulanate: 875mg, 125mg, 3x/24hours (total of 2625mg, 375mg/24hours).	in the standard care group. There was no statistically significant difference in mortality, % predicted FEV1 and quality of life (Airways Questionnaire 20), and repeat exacerbations.
Sayiner, 2001 ⁸⁸	Inpatient hospital floor	6	1) Methylprednisolone for 3 days	IV	0.5mg/kg, 4x/24hours (total of 2mg/kg/24hrs) for 3 days	Patients in the 10-day methylprednisolone group had significantly more increase in absolute FEV1 values than those in the 3-day group immediately after treatment. There was no statistically significant difference in repeat exacerbations, dyspnea (1-7 scale), and cough (1-7 scale).
			2) Methylprednisolone for 10 days	IV	0.5mg/kg, 4x/24hours (total of 2mg/kg/24hrs) for 3 days Then 0.5mg/kg, 2x/24hours (total of 1mg/kg/24hours) for 3 days and 0.5mg/kg, 1x/24hours (total of 0.5mg/kg/24hours) for 4 more days.	
Stallberg, 2009 ⁸⁹ Non-inferiority trial	Outpatient	3	1)Budesonide + Formoterol	Inhalation	Budesonide: 320µg, 4x/24hours (total of 1280µg/24hours) for 14 days Formoterol: 9µg, 4x/24hours (total of 36µg/24hours) for 14 days	There was no statistically significant difference in % predicted and absolute FEV1 values, quality of life (Clinical COPD Questionnaire), treatment failures, repeat

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
			2)Prednisolone + Formoterol	Inhalation	Prednisone: 30mg, 1x/24hours (total of 30mg/24hours) Formoterol 9µg, 2x/24hours (total of 18µg) for 14 days.	exacerbations, hospitalization, dyspnea (Difficulty Breathing), cough (0-4 Scale), and incidence of adverse events.
Sun, 2015 ⁹⁰	Inpatient hospital floor	0.25	1)Inhaled Budesonide	Inhalation	3mg, 2x/24hours (total of 6mg/24hours) for 10 days	Patients in the inhaled budesonide group had significantly lower incidence of adverse events than those in the systemic methylprednisolone group. There was no statistically significant difference in % predicted FEV1 values.
			2)Systemic Methylprednisolone	Injection and oral	Methylprednisolone acetate injectable suspension: 40mg, 4x/24hours (total of 160mg/24hours) for 3 days. Methylprednisolone tablets: 8mg, 2x/24hours (total of 16mg/24hours) for 7 days.	
Ucar, 2014 ⁹¹	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1)Methylprednisolone	IV	40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours)	There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1 % predicted, and dyspnea (Borg Scale) between the groups.
			2)Budesonide 4mg	Nebulized	2mg, 2x/24hours (total of 4mg/24hours)	
			3)Budesonide 8mg	Nebulized	4mg, 2x/24hours(total of 8/24hours)	
Umut, 1999 ⁹²	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1)Azithromycin	N/A	500mg, 1x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hrs) for 3 days	There was no statistically significant difference in absolute FEV1 and clinical cure between the groups.
			2)Ampicillin + Sulbactam	N/A	1.5g, 1x/24hrs (total of 1.5g/24hrs) for 10 days	
			3)Ciprofloxacin	N/A	1g, 1x/24hrs (total of 1g/24hrs) for 10 days	
			4)Cefaclor	N/A	1.5g, 1x/24hours (total of 1.5g/24hours) for 10 days	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
van Zanten, 2007 ⁹³	Inpatient hospital floor	N/A	1)Continuous Cefotaxime	IV	An initial loading dose of 1g given over 30 min. Then, a continuous infusion of cefotaxime (2 g/ 24hours) for 7 days	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cures and clinical failures.
			2)Intermittent Cefotaxime	IV	1g, 3x/24hours (total of 3g/24hours) for 7 days.	
Whitlock, 1995 ⁹⁴	Outpatient	0.5	1)Azithromycin	Oral	250mg, 2x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 1 day Then, 250mg, 1x/24hours (total of 250mg/24hours) for 4 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cures, clinical failures, Incomplete cure and incidence of adverse events between the two groups.
			2)Amoxicillin + Clavulanate	Oral	500mg, 3x/24hours (total of 1500mg/24hours) for 10 days	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Willaert, 2002 ⁹⁵	Inpatient hospital floor	1	1) Intravenous Steroids + Aerosol Bronchodilators	N/A	Methylprednisolone IV: 40mg, 1x/24hours (total of 40mg/24hours) for 10 days. Then, 20mg, 1x/24hours (total of 20mg/24hours). Then Methylprednisolone PO: 4mg, 1x/24hours (total of 4mg/24hours) for 4 days Aerosol Salbutamol: 10mg, 1x/24hours (total of 10mg/24hours) Ipratropium bromide: 1 mg, 1x/24hours (total of 1mg/24hours) administered in 4 aerosols.	There was no statistically significant difference in FEV1 absolute, quality of life (Chronic Respiratory Disease Index Questionnaire), clinical failures, death, hospital readmission, and ICU admissions.
			2) Oral steroids + Multiple Dose Inhaler Bronchodilators	N/A	Oral Methylprednisolone: 32mg, 1x/24hours (total of 32mg/24hours) for 1 week Then, 24mg, 1x/24hours (total of 24mg/24hours) for 4 days Then 20mg, 1x/24hours (total of 20mg/24hours) for 1 week Duovent: 4x4 puffs/day with a cumulative dose of 1.6mg/24hours Fenoterol and 640mg/24hours Ipratropium Bromide	

Author, Year, study design*	Study setting (outpatients, hospitalized patients)	Length of Follow up	Intervention(s) and comparator	Route of administration	Dose and Duration	Conclusion
Wilson, 2012 ⁹⁶ Non-inferiority trial	Outpatient	2	Moxifloxacin	Oral	400mg, 4x/24hours (total of 1600mg/24hours) for 5 days.	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical failures, death, hospital admissions, quality of life (SGRQ), FEV1 absolute and % predicted, and incidence of adverse events.
			Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid	Oral	875mg, 125mg, 2x/24hours (total of 1750mg, 250mg/24hours) for 7 days	
Yoon, 2013 ⁹⁷	Outpatient	0.25	Levofloxacin	N/A	500mg, 1x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 7 days	There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cures, clinical failures, and adverse events between the two groups. No death was reported.
			Cefuroxime	N/A	Moderate exacerbations: 250mg, 2x/24hours (total of 500mg/24hours) for 7 days. Sever exacerbations: 500mg, 2x/24hours (total of 1000mg/24hours) for 7 days.	

* Study provided different numbers and conclusions. We used the numbers.

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiration volume in 1 second; g = gram; ICU = intensive care unit; IV = intravenous; Kg = kilogram; mg = milligram; µg = microgram; Min/s = minutes; MP = Methylprednisolone; N/A = not applicable; N/A = not reported; SGRQ = St. George Respiratory Questionnaire; VAS = Visual Analog Scale

Appendix G. Results by Severity

Table G.1. KQ1 results: mild ECOPD severity- antibiotics vs. placebo

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 1.28; 95% CI: 0.49 to 3.33, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵ with 305 patients
Dyspnea (questionnaire: CRQ, dyspnea) Longest Followup	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -0.97 to 0.97, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁵ with 35 patients
Other Symptoms CCQ symptom score End of Intervention	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -0.66 to 0.66, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁵ with 35 patients
Quality of Life CRQ Longest Followup	WMD: 0.00; 95% CI: -1.79 to 1.79, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁵ with 35 patients
FEV1 % Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: -0.80; 95% CI: -6.67 to 5.07, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁵ with 35 patients
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 1.30; 95% CI: -3.83 to 6.43, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰ with 100 patients
FEV1 Absolute Longest Followup	WMD: -0.05; 95% CI: -0.21 to 0.11, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁵ with 35 patients
Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 2.24; 95% CI: 0.58 to 8.69, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁵ with 35 patients
Repeat Exacerbation 30 days	OR: 2.00; 95% CI: 0.16 to 24.33, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁵ with 35 patients
Repeat Exacerbation 6 Month	OR: 2.24; 95% CI: 0.58 to 8.69, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁵ with 35 patients
Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 2.24; 95% CI: 0.58 to 8.69, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁵ with 35 patients
Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 2.04; 95% CI: 1.33 to 3.12, I^2 =0.0	2 RCTs ^{10, 11} with 418 patients
Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 1.92; 95% CI: 1.18 to 3.11, I^2 =N/A	2 RCTs ^{5, 11} with 353 patients
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.34 to 0.86, I^2 =20.32	2 RCTs ^{10, 15} with 405 patients
Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.6 to 1.51, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵ with 305 patients

CCQ = clinical COPD questionnaire; CI = confidence interval; CRQ = clinical respiratory questionnaire; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table G.2. KQ1 results: moderate-severe ECOPD severity-antibiotics vs. control

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Antibiotics vs. Management without Antibiotics	Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 2.02; 95% CI: 0.18 to 22.66, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹⁶ with 194 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo or Management without Antibiotics	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 2.58; 95% CI: 0.89 to 7.46, $I^2=0.0$	2 RCTs ^{6, 16} with 459 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Dyspnea (numeric scale: VAS) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.80; 95% CI: -1.49 to -0.11, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Dyspnea (numeric scale: VAS) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.60; 95% CI: -1.27 to 0.07, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Cough (VAS) End of Intervention	WMD: -1.10; 95% CI: -1.80 to -0.40, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Cough (VAS) Longest Followup	WMD: -0.40; 95% CI: -1.13 to 0.33, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo or Management without Antibiotics	Other Symptoms (Total Symptom Score) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.54; 95% CI: -1.60 to 0.53, $I^2=61.53$	2 RCTs ^{6, 16} with 459 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Other Symptoms (Total Symptom Score) Longest Followup	WMD: -1.10; 95% CI: -3.13 to 1.11, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Management without Antibiotics	FEV1 % Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: -1.70; 95% CI: -7.31 to 3.91, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹⁶ with 194 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.05; 95% CI: -0.01 to 0.11, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute Longest Followup	WMD: 0.07; 95% CI: 0 to 0.14, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Management without Antibiotics	Hospital Readmission 30 days	OR: 1.72; 95% CI: 0.68 to 4.36, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹⁶ with 194 patients
Antibiotics vs. Management without Antibiotics vs. Management without Antibiotics	Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 1.66; 95% CI: 0.73 to 3.76, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹⁶ with 194 patients
Antibiotics vs. Management without Antibiotics	Repeat Exacerbation 30 days	OR: 1.66; 95% CI: 0.73 to 3.76, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹⁶ with 194 patients
Antibiotics vs. Management without Antibiotics	Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 1.66; 95% CI: 0.73 to 3.76, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹⁶ with 194 patients
Antibiotics vs. Management without Antibiotics	Intubation End of Intervention	OR: 0.49; 95% CI: 0.04 to 5.55, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ¹⁶ with 194 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Clinical Cure End of Intervention	OR: 2.02; 95% CI: 1.23 to 3.32, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶ with 265 patients
Antibiotics vs. Management without Antibiotics	Clinical Cure Longest Followup	OR: 1.30; 95% CI: 0.83 to 2.02, $I^2=49.97$	2 RCTs ^{6, 16} with 459 patients
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Clinical Failure Longest Follow-up	OR: 0.68; 95% CI: 0.41 to 1.11, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶ with 265 patients

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference; VAS = visual analog scale

Table G.3. KQ1 results: Mild Severity-systemic corticosteroids vs. placebo

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 2.00; 95% CI: 0.18 to 22.55, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹ with 147 patients
Dyspnea (numeric scale: VAS) End of Intervention	WMD: -13.10; 95% CI: -28.55 to 2.35, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴ with 26 patients
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.42; 95% CI: 0.3 to 0.54, I^2 =92.95	2 RCTs ^{4, 14} with 56 patients
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 0.02; 95% CI: 0 to 0.49, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁴ with 26 patients
Clinical Failure Longest followup	OR: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.25 to 1, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹ with 147 patients
Hospital admission Longest followup	OR: 0.47; 95% CI: 0.19 to 1.19, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹ with 147 patients
QoL (CRQ) End of Intervention	WMD: 0.38; 95% CI: -0.09 to 0.85, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹ with 147 patients

CI = confidence interval; CRQ = chronic respiratory questioner; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference; VAS = visual analog scale

Table G.4. KQ1 results: Moderate-severe severity- systemic corticosteroids vs. control

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo or Management without Systemic Corticosteroids	Mortality End of Intervention	OR: 1.55; 95% CI: 0.4 to 5.98, I^2 =0.0	3 RCTs ^{9, 12, 13} with 363 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo or Management without Systemic Corticosteroids	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 0.89; 95% CI: 0.37 to 2.16, I^2 =0.0	3 RCTs ^{7, 9, 13} with 353 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Dyspnea (numeric scale: Modified Borg Scale) End of Intervention	WMD: -0.80; 95% CI: -1.65 to 0.05, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹² with 128 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo or Management without Systemic Corticosteroids	FEV1 % Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 5.71; 95% CI: 1.40 to 10.03, I^2 =0.0	3 RCTs ^{2, 7, 9} with 206 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	FEV1 % Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: 7.75; 95% CI: -0.30 to 15.80, I^2 =0.62	2 RCTs ^{2, 7} with 100 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.30; 95% CI: 0.18 to 0.42, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁷ with 56 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Hospital Readmission 30 days	OR: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.10 to 2.88, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹³ with 191 patients

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Hospital Readmission Longest Followup	OR: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.49 to 2.36, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹³ with 191 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo or Management without Systemic Corticosteroids	Repeat Exacerbation End of Intervention	OR: 0.73; 95% CI: 0.35 to 1.52, I^2 =34.27	2 RCTs ^{7, 9} with 162 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Repeat Exacerbation 3 months	OR: 1.29; 95% CI: 0.40 to 4.13, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹³ with 56 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 1.29; 95% CI: 0.40 to 4.13, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹³ with 56 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Intubation End of Intervention	OR: 0.42; 95% CI: 0.06 to 2.68, I^2 =0.0	2 RCTs ^{12, 13} with 319 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Intubation Longest Followup	OR: 0.92; 95% CI: 0.15 to 5.66, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹³ with 191 patients
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Clinical Failure Longest Followup	OR: 1.52; 95% CI: 0.81 to 2.86, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ¹³ with 191 patients

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table G.5. KQ2 results: Mild severity- mucolytics vs management without mucolytics.

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.07; 95% CI: -0.14 to 0.28, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁴ with 83 patients

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table G.6. KQ2 results: Moderate-severe severity - mucolytics vs placebo.

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
Dyspnea BCSS End of Intervention	WMD: -1.00; 95% CI: -0.56 to 0.44, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁵⁸ with 40 patients
Dyspnea BCSS Longest Followup	WMD: -0.90; 95% CI: -1.80 to 0.02, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁵⁸ with 40 patients
Dyspnea (numeric scale: Dyspnea, Breathlessness Likert Scale) End of intervention	WMD: -0.18; 95% CI: -0.43 to 0.06, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{19, 22} with 92 patients
FEV1 % Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 1.98; 95% CI: 0.51 to 3.44, I ² =83.21%	2 RCTs ^{22, 42} patients 70 pts
FEV1 % Predicted Longest Followup	WMD: 8.30; 95% CI: -0.69 to 17.29, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁴² with 40 patients
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.06; 95% CI: -0.27 to 0.39, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹⁹ with 42 patients
Repeat Exacerbation 1 month	OR: 0.05; 95% CI: 0 to 1.04, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁴² with 40 patients
Repeat Exacerbation 3 months	OR: 0.14; 95% CI: 0.03 to 0.65, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁴² with 40 patients
Repeat Exacerbation Longest Followup	OR: 0.14; 95% CI: 0.03 to 0.65, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁴² with 40 patients

BCSS = breathlessness, cough, and sputum scale; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table G.7. KQ2 results: Moderate-severe severity-inhaled corticosteroids vs control

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
ICS (Budesonide)vs Placebo	Dyspnea (numeric scale: MRC) End of intervention	WMD: 0.04; 95% CI: -0.29 to 0.38, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ¹² with 137 patients
ICS (Budesonide) vs Management without ICS	FEV1 % Predicted End of intervention	WMD: 10.10; 95% CI: 4.23 to 15.97, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹ with 106 patients
ICS (Budesonide) vs Placebo	Intubation End of Intervention	OR: 0.31; 95% CI: 0.01 to 7.63, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹² with 137 patients
ICS (Budesonide) vs Management without ICS	Mortality Longest Followup	OR: 0.33; 95% CI: 0.01 to 8.21, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹ with 106 patients

CI = confidence interval; ICS = inhaled corticosteroids; MRC = medical research council scale; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table G.8. KQ2 results: Moderate-severe severity-inhaled corticosteroids with inhaled short-acting bronchodilators vs management without inhaled corticosteroids

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1 % Predicted End of Intervention	WMD: 8.30; 95% CI: 2.92 to 13.68, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶² with 40 patients
FEV1 Absolute End of Intervention	WMD: 0.35; 95% CI: 0.05 to 0.65, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁶² with 40 patients

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference

Table G.9. KQ2 results: Mild severity-inhaled corticosteroids with inhaled long acting bronchodilators vs placebo

Outcome	Findings	Study Design and Sample Size
FEV1 Absolute End of intervention	WMD: 0.18; 95% CI: -0.17 to 0.53, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁴ with 30 patients
Clinical Failure End of Intervention	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.06 to 17.62, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁴ with 30 patients

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SMD = standardized mean difference; WMD = weighted mean difference

Appendix H. Adverse Events

Table H.1. KQ1: Adverse events. Antibiotics compared with control

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Cardiovascular AE	Rate Ratio: 1.92; 95% CI: 0.93 to 3.99, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Dermatological AE	Rate Ratio: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.14 to 7.10, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁰
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.58 to 1.80, I ² =0.00%	3 RCTs ^{6, 10, 15}
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	General Internal Medicine AE	Rate Ratio: 0.42; 95% CI: 0.15 to 1.19, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Musculoskeletal AE	Rate Ratio: 1.38; 95% CI: 0.56 to 3.44, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Oncological AE	Rate Ratio: 0.60; 95% CI: 0.22 to 1.66, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹⁵
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 1.095; 95% CI: 0.70 to 1.71, I ² =0.0%	2 RCTs ^{6, 15}
Antibiotics vs. Placebo	Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.09; 95% CI: 0.88 to 1.35, I ² =0.0%	4 RCTs ^{6, 10, 11, 15}
Antibiotics vs. Placebo or Management without Antibiotics	Withdrawal	OR: 0.89; 95% CI: 0.55 to 1.40, I ² =7.37%	4 RCTs ^{6, 10, 11, 15, 16}
Antibiotics vs. Placebo or Management without Antibiotics	Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 1.93; 95% CI: 0.94 to 3.96, I ² =0.00%	3 RCTs ^{6, 15, 16}

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.2. KQ1: Adverse events. Systemic corticosteroids compared with control

Comparison	Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Endocrine AE	Rate Ratio: 4.86; 95% CI: 1.60 to 14.75, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Infectious AE	Rate Ratio: 0.88; 95% CI: 0.43 to 1.81, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Psychiatric AE	Rate Ratio: 1.66; 95% CI: 0.99 to 2.79, I ² =0.0%	2 RCTs ^{1, 13}
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 0.73; 95% CI: 0.27 to 1.97, I ² =0.0%	2 RCTs ^{1, 12}
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.55; 95% CI: 1.14 to 2.10, I ² =39.8%	4 RCTs ^{1, 2, 12, 13}
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo or Management without Systemic Corticosteroids	Withdrawal	OR: 0.67; 95% CI: 0.39 to 1.16, I ² =0.65%	4 RCTs ^{1, 2, 9, 12}
Systemic Corticosteroids vs. Placebo	Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 0.47; 95% CI: 0.20 to 1.10, I ² =14.53	3 RCTs ^{2, 7, 12}

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.3. KQ2: Adverse events. Intravenous aminophyllines compared with placebo

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Cardiovascular AE	Rate Ratio: 1.65; 95% CI: 0.64 to 4.26, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁹
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 2.10; 95% CI: 1.30 to 3.40, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁹
Neurological AE	Rate Ratio: 1.11; 95% CI: 0.55 to 2.24, I ² =0.00%	2 RCT ^{29, 48}
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.80; 95% CI: 1.26 to 2.59, I ² =25.80%	2 RCT ^{29, 48}
Withdrawal	OR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.35 to 2.55, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁹
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 0.56; 95% CI: 0.15 to 2.07, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁹

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.4. KQ2: Adverse events. Oral mucolytics compared with placebo

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.05 to 5.51, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²²
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.20 to 4.95, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²²

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.5. KQ2: Adverse events. Inhaled corticosteroids with or without inhaled short- and long acting bronchodilators compared with placebo

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Severe AE	Rate Ratio: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.32 to 2.14, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.88; 95% CI: 0.57 to 1.38, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²
Withdrawal	OR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.43 to 1.34, I ² =73.73%I ²	2 RCTs ^{9, 12}
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 0.92; 95% CI: 0.38 to 2.21, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.6. KQ2: Adverse events. 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor (zileuton) compared with placebo

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Respiratory AE	Rate Ratio: 0.68; 95% CI: 0.29 to 1.59, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶¹
Severe AE	Rate Ratio: 0.93; 95% CI: 0.48 to 1.80, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶¹
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.49 to 1.39, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶¹
Withdrawal	OR: 0.89; 95% CI: 0.38 to 2.10, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶¹
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 0.48; 95% CI: 0.04 to 5.48, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶¹

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.7. KQ2: Adverse events. Chest physiotherapy using breathing technique compared with management without chest physiotherapy

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Withdrawal	OR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.66 to 1.34, $I^2=0.00\%$	2 RCT ^{27, 36}
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 0.90; 95% CI: 0.55 to 1.48, $I^2=0.00\%$	2 RCT ^{27, 36}

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.8. KQ2: Adverse events. Chest physiotherapy using vibration/percussion/massage compared with management without chest physiotherapy

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Withdrawal	0 events in each arm	1 RCT ²⁴

RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.9. KQ2: Adverse events. Chest physiotherapy using positive expiratory pressure compared with management without positive expiratory pressure

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Severe AE	Rate Ratio: 1.50; 95% CI: 0.53 to 4.21, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁴⁶
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.13; 95% CI: 0.43 to 2.92, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁴⁶
Withdrawal	OR: 0.68; 95% CI: 0.20 to 2.32, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁴⁶
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 0.63; 95% CI: 0.17 to 2.42, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁴⁶

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.10. KQ2: Adverse events. Exercise using resistance training compared with management without resistance training

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Withdrawal	OR: 0.72; 95% CI: 0.30 to 1.69, $I^2=0.0\%$	2 RCTs ^{21, 23}

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.11. KQ2: Adverse events. Exercise using combined aerobic + resistance training compared with management without exercise training.

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.14 to 7.10, $I^2=N/A$	1 RCT ⁵⁵

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.12. KQ2: Adverse events. Early pulmonary rehabilitation compared with management without early pulmonary rehabilitation

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Infectious AE	Rate Ratio: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.07 to 17.13, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁶
General AE	Rate Ratio: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.07 to 17.13, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁶
Musculoskeletal AE	Rate Ratio: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.07 to 17.13, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁶
Respiratory AE	Rate Ratio: 1.16; 95% CI: 0.53 to 2.54, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁶
Severe AE	Rate Ratio: 0.80; 95% CI: 0.28 to 2.32, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁶
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.62 to 1.74, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ²⁶
Withdrawal	OR: 1.21; 95% CI: 0.48 to 3.06, I ² =20.79%	2 RCT ^{26 30}
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 1.68; 95% CI: 0.44 to 6.38, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ³⁰

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.13. KQ2: Adverse events. Whole body vibration training during ECOPD compared with management without whole body vibration

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Withdrawal	OR: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.11 to 2.28, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ³⁴

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.14. KQ2: Adverse events. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) during ECOPD compared with vs management without Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Withdrawal	OR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.23 to 2.90, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁴⁵

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.15. KQ2: Adverse events. Gutter frame with supplemental oxygen compared with gutter frame supplemental air

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Respiratory AE	Rate Ratio: 0.33; 95% CI: 0.03 to 3.20, I ² =N/A ¹²	1 RCT ⁶³
Withdrawal	OR: 0.46; 95% CI: 0.78 to 2.75, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶³
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 0.22; 95% CI: 0.02 to 2.14, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶³
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.33; 95% CI: 0.03 to 3.20, I ² =N/A ¹²	1 RCT ⁶³

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.16. KQ2: Adverse events. Rollator with supplemental oxygen compared with gutter frame supplemental air

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Respiratory AE	Rate Ratio: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.14 to 7.10, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶³
Withdrawal	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.13 to 7.61, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶³
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.13 to 7.61, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶³
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.14 to 7.10, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶³

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.17. KQ2: Adverse events. Dietary intervention using a caloric supplement during ECOPD compared with usual diet

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Withdrawal	OR: 0.39; 95% CI: 0.07 to 2.03, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁵⁰

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.18. KQ2: Adverse events. Dietary intervention using a caloric and a protein supplement during ECOPD compared with Placebo (non-caloric fluid, vanilla flavored water)

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 3.13; 95% CI: 0.33 to 30.09, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁰
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 3.13; 95% CI: 0.33 to 30.09, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁰
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 2.47; 95% CI: 0.54 to 11.37, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁰

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table. H.19. KQ. Adverse events. Dietary intervention using omega-3 fatty acid compared with usual diet

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Withdrawal	OR: 0.22; 95% CI: 0.02 to 2.11, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁴⁴

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.20. KQ2: Adverse events. Dietary intervention using vitamin D during ECOPD compared with placebo

Outcome	Findings	Study Design
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 2.13; 95% CI: 0.61 to 7.43, I^2 =N/A	2 RCTs ^{47, 49}

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.21. KQ3: Adverse events. ICS+ SABA (beclomethasone+ salbutamol compared with SABA (Fenoterol)

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
General Internal Medicine AE	Rate Ratio: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.05 to 5.51, I^2 =0.00%	1 RCT ⁶⁷
Neurological AE	Rate Ratio: 0.20; 95% CI: 0.02 to 1.71, I^2 =0.00%	1 RCT ⁶⁷
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.29; 95% CI: 0.06 to 1.38, I^2 =0.00%	1 RCT ⁶⁷

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.22. KQ4: Adverse events. Aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamase compared with fluoroquinolone

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 1.31; 95% CI: 0.68 to 2.52, I^2 =82.1% I^2	2 RCTs ^{78, 96}
Neurological AE	Rate Ratio: 0.60; 95% CI: 0.14 to 2.51, I^2 =N/A I^2	1 RCT ⁹⁶
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.84 to 1.20, I^2 =0.0% I^2	2 RCTs ^{78, 96}
Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 0.99; 95% CI: 0.69 to 1.43, I^2 =45.8% I^2	2 RCTs ^{78, 96}

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.23. KQ4: Adverse events. Ciprofloxacin compared with amoxicillin

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Withdrawal	OR: 25; 95% CI: 1.20 to 520.739, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁷

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.24. KQ4: Adverse events. “Standard” antibiotic therapy (clarithromycin or cefuroxime or amoxicillin + clavulanic acid) compared with levofloxacin

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.35; 95% CI: 0.04 to 3.33, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁷
Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 1.28; 95% CI: 0.44 to 3.70, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁷
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.28; 95% CI: 0.44 to 3.70, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁷

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.25. KQ4: Adverse events. Amoxicillin compared with azithromycin

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 1.66; 95% CI: 0.56 to 4.97, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁹
Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 0.37; 95% CI: 0.07 to 1.91, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁹
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.45 to 1.26, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁹

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.26. KQ4: Adverse events. Cephalosporin compared with fluoroquinolone

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Dermatological AE	Rate Ratio: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.06 to 15.58, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸²
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 1.30; 95% CI: 0.45 to 3.74, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸²
General AE	Rate Ratio: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.17 to 1.68, I ² =0	2 RCTs ^{82, 97}
Infectious AE	Rate Ratio: 0.24; 95% CI: 0.03 to 2.18, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸²
Musculoskeletal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.24; 95% CI: 0.03 to 2.18, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸²
Respiratory AE	Rate Ratio: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.06 to 15.58, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸²
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.45 to 1.32, I ² =0.0%I ²	2 RCTs ^{82, 97}
Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.06 to 15.58, I ² =N/AI ²	1 RCT ⁸²

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.27. KQ4: Adverse events. Azithromycin compared with cefaclor

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Dermatological AE	Rate Ratio: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.09 to 10.54, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷²
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.88; 95% CI: 0.43 to 1.77, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷²
Neurological AE	Rate Ratio: 1.43; 95% CI: 0.29 to 7.1, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷²
Psychiatric AE	Rate Ratio: 0.48; 95% CI: 0.07 to 3.39, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷²
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.10; 95% CI: 0.62 to 1.94, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷²
Withdrawal	OR: 0.11; 95% CI: 0.01 to 1.03, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷²
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 0.11; 95% CI: 0.01 to 1.03, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷²

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.28. KQ4: Adverse events. Amoxicillin compared with amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.38; 95% CI: 0.10 to 1.43, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁰
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.63; 95% CI: 0.21 to 1.94, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁰
Withdrawal	OR: 5.26; 95% CI: 0.25 to 100.00, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁰

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.29. KQ4: Adverse events. Amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid compared with telithromycin

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 3.76; 95% CI: 1.63 to 8.66, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁰
Respiratory AE	Rate Ratio: 6.07; 95% CI: 1.36 to 27.14, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁰
Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 0.87; 95% CI: 0.29 to 2.58, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁰
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.57; 95% CI: 1.05 to 2.36, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁰

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.30. KQ4: Adverse events. Aminopenicillin plus beta-lactamases inhibitor plus clavulanic acid compared with macrolides

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 1.62; 95% CI: 0.6 to 4.34, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴
General Internal Medicine AE	Rate Ratio: 1.26; 95% CI: 0.08 to 20.11, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴
Hepatic AE	Rate Ratio: 1.26; 95% CI: 0.08 to 20.11, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴
Neurological AE	Rate Ratio: 0.25; 95% CI: 0.03 to 2.15, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.26; 95% CI: 0.60 to 2.64, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.31. KQ4: Adverse events. Amoxicillin + Clavulanic acid compared azithromycin

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 1.62; 95% CI: 0.60 to 4.34, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴
General Internal Medicine AE	Rate Ratio: 1.26; 95% CI: 0.08 to 20.11, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴
Hepatic AE	Rate Ratio: 1.26; 95% CI: 0.08 to 20.11, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴
Neurological AE	Rate Ratio: 0.25; 95% CI: 0.03 to 2.15, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.26; 95% CI: 0.6 to 2.64, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁴

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.32. KQ4: Adverse events. Levofloxacin compared with prulifloxacin

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.63; 95% CI: 0.21 to 1.92, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷¹
Neurological AE	Rate Ratio: 1.14; 95% CI: 0.45 to 4.44, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷¹
Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 1.2; 95% CI: 0.36 to 3.98, I ² =0.0%	2 RCTs ^{71, 75}
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.93; 95% CI: 0.67 to 1.29, I ² =0.0%	2 RCTs ^{71, 75}
Withdrawal	OR: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.74 to 1.56, I ² =0.0%	2 RCTs ^{71, 75}
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 1.39; 95% CI: 0.69 to 2.78, I ² =0.00%	2 RCTs ^{71, 75}

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.33. KQ4: Adverse events. Moxifloxacin compared with zabofloxacin

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Dermatological AE	Rate Ratio: 0.70; 95% CI: 0.12 to 4.18, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.33 to 2.12, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴
Neurological AE	Rate Ratio: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.23 to 3.12, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴
Respiratory AE	Rate Ratio: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.07 to 16.75, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴
Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 1.20; 95% CI: 0.43 to 3.30, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.88; 95% CI: 0.53 to 1.48, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴
Withdrawal	OR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.07 to 16.90, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.21 to 5.27, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁴

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.34. KQ4: Adverse events. Cefaclor compared with cefpodoxime

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.37 to 1.98, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸³
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.54 to 2.13, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸³
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 0.60; 95% CI: 0.06 to 5.85, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸³

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.35. KQ4: Adverse events. Imipenem+cilastatin compared with meropenem

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Dermatological	Rate Ratio: 0.99; 95% CI: 0.06 to 15.80, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁶
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 2.72; 95% CI: 0.87 to 8.54, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁶
General Internal Medicine AE	Rate Ratio: 0.99; 95% CI: 0.06 to 15.80, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁶
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 2.64; 95% CI: 1.03 to 6.74, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁶
Withdrawal	OR: 0.87; 95% CI: 0.32 to 2.36, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁶
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 1.67; 95% CI: 0.39 to 7.29, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁶

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.36. KQ4: Adverse events. Trovafloxacin 200 mg compared with trovafloxacin 100 mg

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
General Internal Medicine AE	Rate Ratio: 2.00; 95% CI: 0.37 to 10.92, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁸
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.25; 95% CI: 0.03 to 2.24, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁸
Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 1.63; 95% CI: 0.67 to 3.92, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁸
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.29; 95% CI: 0.64 to 2.59, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁸

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.37. KQ4: Adverse events. Intermittent intravenous cefotaxime compared with continuous intravenous cefotaxime

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Withdrawal	OR: 0.40; 95% CI: 0.10 to 1.65, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹³

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.38. KQ4: Adverse events. Amoxicillin + clavulanic for 10 days compared with amoxicillin + Clavulanic for 3 days

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 4.60; 95% CI: 0.54 to 39.37, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁶
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 4.60; 95% CI: 0.54 to 39.37, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁶
Withdrawal	OR: 0.44; 95% CI: 0.04 to 5.18, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁶

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.39. KQ4: Adverse events. Deflazacort hemisuccinate compared with methylprednisolone

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Endocrine AE	Rate Ratio: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.05 to 5.51, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁵
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.40; 95% CI: 0.08 to 2.06, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁵
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.27; 95% CI: 0.08 to 0.98, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁵
Withdrawal	OR: 0.19; 95% CI: 0.01 to 4.06, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁵

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.40. KQ4: Adverse events. Hydrocortisone i.v. followed by prednisolone oral compared with methylprednisolone i.v. followed by methylprednisone oral

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 5.64; 95% CI: 0.68 to 46.85, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁸
General Internal Medicine AE	Rate Ratio: 2.19; 95% CI: 0.57 to 8.48, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁸
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 3.05; 95% CI: 1.00 to 9.37, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁸
Withdrawal	OR: 0.22; 95% CI: 0.02 to 2.04, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁶⁸

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; i.v = intravenous; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.41. KQ4: Adverse events. Nebulized budesonide compared with oral prednisolone

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Endocrine AE	Rate Ratio: 0.12; 95% CI: 0.02 to 1.01, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.50 to 1.19, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²
Respiratory AE	Rate Ratio: 1.46; 95% CI: 0.35 to 6.09, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²
Serious AE	Rate Ratio: 1.40; 95% CI: 0.46 to 4.27, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²
Withdrawal	OR: 2.04; 95% CI: 0.87 to 7.76, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 1.89; 96% CI: 0.66 to 5.26, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹²

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.42. KQ4: Adverse events. Oral prednisolone + inhaled formoterol compared with inhaled budesonide + formoterol

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Total number of AEs	Rate ratio: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.43 to 1.68, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁹

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.43. KQ4: Adverse events. Inhaled budesonide + formoterol compared with systemic methylprednisolone

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Cardiovascular AE	Rate Ratio: 2.00; 95% CI: 0.18 to 22.06, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁰
Gastrointestinal AE	Rate Ratio: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.09 to 2.73, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁰
General Internal Medicine AE	Rate Ratio: 1; 95% CI: 0.06 to 15.99, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁰
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.56; 95% CI: 0.19 to 1.66, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁰

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.44. KQ4: Adverse events. Inhaled Budesonide 40mg compared with Intravenous Methylprednisolone (initially intravenous, then oral)

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Endocrine AE	Rate Ratio: 0.28; 95% CI: 0.14 to 0.57, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷³
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.28; 95% CI: 0.14 to 0.57, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁷³

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.45. KQ4: Adverse events. Inhaled Budesonide 4mg compared with Intravenous Methylprednisolone

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Respiratory AE	Rate Ratio: 5.78; 95% CI: 0.70 to 47.99, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹¹
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 5.78; 95% CI: 0.70 to 47.99, I ² = N/A	1 RCT ⁹¹

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.46. KQ4: Adverse events. Inhaled Budesonide 8mg compared with intravenous methylprednisolone

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Respiratory AE	Rate Ratio: 0.63; 95% CI: 0.06 to 7.00, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹¹
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.63; 95% CI: 0.06 to 7.00, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹¹

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.47. KQ4: Adverse events. Oral prednisolone compared with intravenous prednisolone

Outcome	Finding	Study Design and Sample Size
Withdrawal	OR: 1.33; 95% CI: 0.60 to 2.92, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁸

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.48. KQ4: Adverse events. Oral methyl-prednisolone compared with intravenous methyl-prednisolone

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Withdrawal	OR: 1.29; 95% CI: 0.39 to 4.30, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁹⁵

CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.49. KQ4: Adverse events. Glucocorticoid for 2 weeks compared with glucocorticoid for 8 weeks

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Endocrine AE	Rate Ratio: 1.40; 95% CI: 0.62 to 3.15, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³
General Internal Medicine AE	Rate Ratio: 1.50; 95% CI: 0.42 to 5.32, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³
Infectious AE	Rate Ratio: 0.67; 95% CI: 0.32 to 1.38, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³
Psychiatric AE	Rate Ratio: 2.5; 95% CI: 0.49 to 12.86, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 0.95; 95% CI: 0.66 to 1.37, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ¹³

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.50. KQ4: Adverse events. Methylprednisolone for 5 days compared with methylprednisolone for 14 days

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Endocrine AE	Rate Ratio: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.73 to 1.39, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁹
General Internal Medicine AE	Rate Ratio: 1.54; 95% CI: 0.81 to 2.96, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁹
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.08; 95% CI: 0.83 to 1.41, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁹
Withdrawal	OR: 1.52; 95% CI: 0.53 to 4.38, I ² =N/A	1 RCT ⁷⁹

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Table H.51. KQ4: Adverse events. Methylprednisolone for 3 days compared with methylprednisolone for 10 days

Outcome	Finding	Study Design
Endocrine AE	RR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.14 to 7.10, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁸
Total number of AEs	Rate Ratio: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.20 to 4.95, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁸
Withdrawal	OR: 1.00; 95%CI: 0.06 to 17.41, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁸
Withdrawal due to AE	OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.06 to 17.41, I^2 =N/A	1 RCT ⁸⁸

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial

Appendix I. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria of Included Studies

Table I.1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria of included studies

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Aaron, 2003 ¹	Age >35 years, who presented at the ED with an exacerbation of COPD (the presence of at least two of the following three clinical criteria: a recent increase in breathlessness, sputum volume, or sputum purulence), History of 15 pack-years or more of cigarette smoking and evidence of irreversible airflow obstruction defined as FEV1/FVC ratio of 0.70 or less, FEV1 not more than 70% of the predicted value, and an improvement in the FEV1 of <20% after the administration of a bronchodilator. All enrolled patients either had previously been given a diagnosis of COPD by a physician or had at least a one-year history of chronic dyspnea or cough with sputum production.	Admitted to the hospital, had been given a diagnosis of asthma or atopy, had used oral or intravenous corticosteroids within the preceding 30 days, had received oral or intravenous corticosteroids in the emergency department, had findings on chest radiography consistent with the presence of pneumonia or congestive heart failure, had had adverse reactions to oral corticosteroids, or had severe uncontrolled diabetes mellitus or renal, hepatic or cardiac failure.
Abreu Gonzalez, 2006 ¹⁷	Diagnosed with an exacerbation of COPD.	Diagnosed with pneumonia, HF, arrhythmias, or kidney failure and those who did not show a sufficient level of cooperation.
Aggarwal, 2011 ⁶⁸	Aged >50 years known to have COPD as defined in The GOLD guidelines, who presented to the ED with moderate (FEV1 50–80% of predicted) or severe (FEV1 30–50% of predicted) exacerbation of COPD.	Significant co-morbid conditions such as pneumonia, CHF or interstitial lung disease, previous diagnosis of bronchial asthma, and use of systemic glucocorticoids within the last 30 days, patients who required assisted ventilation on arrival at the ED or failed to use the PEF meter, Patients who required mechanical ventilation within 1 h of arrival at the ED.
Albert, 1980 ²	Acute RF (PaO2 <65 mmHg on room air, or PaCO2 ≥ 50 or pH<7.35), Chronic bronchitis (FEV1 ≤ 60% predicted or FVC ≤ 60%), acute bronchitis (increase in cough, sputum production, and sputum color within prior 5 days).	Personal or family history of asthma, bronchodilator response FEV1 ≥ 30%, eczema, allergic rhinitis, consolidation on chest radiograph, corticosteroid therapy in the past 30 days.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Andre-Alves, 2007 ⁶⁹	30 and 70 years with infectious exacerbation of COPD. Required to have been under outpatient treatment with simple chest X-ray that showed no evidence of pneumonia performed within the 48 hours.	Females who were breastfeeding, pregnant or planning to become pregnant (during the study or up to one month after the end of the study), women of childbearing age who were not using some efficacious method of contraception, history of hypersensitivity to azithromycin or amoxicillin; undergoing treatment with systemic antibiotics within the two weeks preceding the study outset; use of antibiotics foreseen for other clinical condition, as well as the use of allopurinol, probenecid, digoxin, warfarin or ergotamine during the study; having a history of human immunodeficiency virus infection, acute bronchitis or bronchiectasis; suspected of having lung abscess or empyema; suspected of having active tuberculosis, cystic fibrosis or lung cancer (primary or metastatic); having any clinical or psychological condition deemed by the examiner to potentially impair participation in the study; a history of alcohol or substance abuse; undergoing treatment with immunosuppressive drugs, including doses of corticosteroids higher than the equivalent to 10 mg/ day of prednisone; presenting any of the following laboratory test results: leukocyte count lower than 2500/mm ³ – neutrophil count lower than 1000/mm ³ – elevated transaminase levels of over twice the upper limit of normality – alkaline phosphatase or bilirubin levels greater than 1.5 times the upper limit of normality; donating blood during the study or within one month after the conclusion of the study.
Anthonisen, 1987 ³	At least 35 years old, clinical diagnosis of COPD, live close enough to the clinical center for home visits, reliable (keep two consecutive outpatient appointments), FEV1<70% predicted, FEV1/FVC<0.7, TLC >80% predicted.	Asthma, post-bronchodilator FEV1 increasing to >80% predicted, other disease serious enough to influence the quality of life or clinical course (e.g. cancer, left ventricular failure, stroke), other disease likely to require antibiotic therapy (e.g. recurrent sinusitis, urinary tract infection).
Emami Ardestani, 2017 ⁷⁴	Adult COPD patients with acute respiratory distress, increased cough frequency and severity, increased sputum volume, and/or increased wheezing for 24 hours or more were eligible for entry to the study.	Patients with history of asthma or atopy, onset of respiratory distress before the age of 35 years, absence of spirometric data, or having received oral or intravenous steroids in the month prior to presentation.
Aubier, 2002 ⁷⁰	History of chronic bronchitis and COPD (FEV1/FVC<70%), a bronchodilator response (with 0.4 mg salbutamol) defined as an increase of <12% from baseline in FEV1 from a lung function test performed within 1 year prior to inclusion, and a clinical diagnosis of AECB due to presumed bacterial infection (i.e. increased cough and/or dyspnea, increased production of sputum, and increased purulence of sputum).	Acute bronchitis, pneumonia, asthma, bronchiectasis, cystic fibrosis, lung cancer, active pulmonary tuberculosis, or acute RF.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Austin, 2010 ¹⁸	Aged 35 years or older with breathlessness and a history or risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Paramedics at the site of the emergency determined the diagnosis on the basis of appropriate acute symptoms, a history of COPD (or emphysema) from the patient, or a greater than 10 pack year history of smoking.	Patients with no lung function data or who did not fulfil spirometric criteria for COPD.
Ayfer Aytemur, 2015 ¹⁹	Had a spirometrically confirmed prior diagnosis of COPD, had a smoking history of at least 20 pack –years, hospitalized for their current exacerbation, and reported increased sputum production of more than 50 ml per day.	Presence of a prior diagnosis of asthma or bronchiectasis, radiographic evidence of pneumonia, and use of any mucolytic drug during the preceding week.
Basri, 2017 ²⁰	45-60 years age, COPD with acute exacerbation as a primary diagnosis and chronic bronchitis as a secondary diagnosis, pronounced symptoms of sputum retention with coughing, ability to tolerate active techniques and well-oriented patients.	Sever attack with longer expected hospital stay up-to >2 weeks, Cardiac or any other condition that contraindicated chest physiotherapy, COPD with secondary diagnosis of emphysema, any other associated lung pathological condition, pain with more than 2 points on visual analogue scale while doing active techniques, history of lung surgery.
Bathoorn, 2008 ⁴	COPD, age >40 years, post bronchodilator FEV1 <85% predicted but >0.7 liters, and an abnormal post bronchodilator FEV1/slow inspiratory VC <88% predicted in men and <89% predicted in women). Patients were not allowed to use oral corticosteroids, oxygen therapy, beta-blockers, or long-acting anticholinergics. All other bronchodilators were allowed.	Asthma or a history suspicious for asthma. Patients had no significant other disease that could influence the results of the study.
Behnke, 2000 ²¹	We included patients with severe COPD according to international guidelines, who were admitted to the hospital due to an acute exacerbation of their disease. The study was started 4±7 days after admission, when the patients' condition had stabilized.	Exclusion criteria were: evidence of unstable cardiac disease, or pulmonale decompensation or other disabling diseases which prevented participation in the exercise program, such as orthopedic inabilities or peripheral vascular disease.
Black, 2004 ²²	A physician diagnosis of COPD, age ≥ 50 years, have an FEV1 ≤ 60% predicted, FEV1/VC ≤ 70% and ≥ 10 pack year smoking history and had been admitted to hospital with an acute exacerbation of their COPD in the previous 24 hours.	Asthma (as the primary diagnosis), HF, bronchiectasis, bronchial carcinoma, interstitial lung disease, pneumonia. Also if patients were unable to comply with the study procedures because they did not speak English or were demented or if they had any other medical problems that in the opinion of the investigator would interfere with the conduct of the study.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Blasi, 2013 ⁷¹	Aged 40 years or older, smokers, or ex-smokers (>10 pack-years) with spirometrically confirmed severe COPD (FEV1 ≤ 50% predicted and FEV1/FVC ratio < 0.7) and diagnosed with an AECB, requiring concomitant systemic corticosteroids administration (20-40 mg/day for 7 days), or whom an increase of the daily dosage of their chronically corticosteroid treatment was required.	Antibiotic use in the previous week, bronchial asthma, pregnancy and breast feeding, recent or past history of psychiatric illness, epilepsy, cardiac disease, rhythm disorders or clinically significant EKG abnormalities, latent or known deficiencies for the glucose-6-phosphste dehydrogenase activity, pneumonia, cystic fibrosis, bronchiectasis of origin other than COPD, active neoplasm, tracheotomy, concomitant treatment with hypoglycemic drugs, immunosuppression, hypersensitivity or allergy to fluoroquinolones, history of tendinopathy, and inability to provide informed consent.
Borges, 2014 ²³	COPD exacerbation (increase in sputum or cough or worsening of dyspnea), no hospitalization in 30 days, 40-85 years, no musculoskeletal or neurologic conditions that might affect exercise performance; no participation in a rehabilitation program in the last 6 months and absence of any other pulmonary diseases.	Transferred to the ICU before the second day of hospitalization, exhibiting changes in mental status, worsening of hypoxemia or respiratory acidosis, hospitalization time <5 days; or inability to complete any of the evaluations.
Brown, 1987 ²⁴	Chronic productive cough with sputum expectoration of 30ml or more in 24hrs and had acute episode of pneumonia determined by CXR or AECOPD with sputum expectoration of 30ml or more in 24hrs.	NR
Brusse-Keizer, 2014 ⁵	COPD according to the GOLD criteria, current or ex-smoker, age 40–80 years, presenting at the outpatient clinic with an AECOPD, ability to produce a spontaneous sputum sample, presenting with one or two of the clinical characteristics: a positive Gram's stain of sputum, a clinically relevant lung function decrease (decrease in FEV1 of >200 mL and >12% from baseline), or >2 AECOPDs in the previous year.	Requirement of hospitalization, pneumonia based on chest X-ray, AECOPD or use of antibiotics or prednisolone 4 weeks prior to enrolment, except for low-dose prednisolone (≤ 5 mg) as maintenance therapy, disease that influences bronchial symptoms or lung function, maintenance therapy with antibiotics, medical condition with low survival or serious psychiatric morbidity, known hypersensitivity to amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid, medical condition with low survival or serious psychiatric morbidity, uncontrolled DM, need for domiciliary oxygen therapy, participation in another clinical trial.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Centanni, 2002 ²⁵	50 consecutive outpatients' patients suffering from AECOPD and with a history of IHD or arrhythmias supported by EKG and/or Holter EKG, or echocardiogram. >40 years of age, current or previous smokers (410 pack-years) reporting chronic cough with sputum production on most days during at least 3 consecutive months in consecutive years, had no change in symptom severity or treatment in the preceding 4 weeks, had shown no signs of a respiratory tract infection in the month preceding or during the trial, were not taking oral or inhaled corticosteroids for at least 3 months and had a FEV1 < 65% and FVC < 70% of predicted normal after bronchodilators had been withheld for 24 h and a best post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC of less than 0.7.	History of asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopy, skin-test positivity or with a total blood eosinophil count over 400 mm ³ , patients with co-morbidities (such as CHF or PE) or complications of COPD (e.g. pneumothorax) as the etiology of exacerbation of their symptoms.
Cox, 2018 ²⁶	Aged ≥ 35 years who were admitted with AECOPD.	Acute myocardial infarction/HF within the last 6 weeks, Suspected/confirmed PE within the last 6 weeks, known abdominal aortic aneurysm of > 5.5 cm (or > 4.5 cm if the ultrasound scan is > 3 months old), Known cardiovascular instability: heart rate of > 120 beats per minute and/or systolic blood pressure of < 100 mmHg at the time of screening or the requirement for inotropic support or patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator, known extensive pulmonary fibrosis, Absolute contraindications to exercise or musculoskeletal conditions limiting exercise capacity as assessed by a trained physiotherapist, Unable to give full informed consent, Non-English speaker (to allow fully informed consent and the completion of questionnaires).
Cross, 2012 ²⁷	Admitted to hospital with an exacerbation of COPD.	Any contraindication to the use of : Manual chest physiotherapy techniques or with no evidence of excess sputum production on auscultation., Osteoporosis, hemoptysis, bronchial hyper-reactivity, respiratory system malignancy, raised intracranial pressure, uncontrolled hypertension, PE, coagulopathy, bronchopleural fistula, subcutaneous emphysema and left ventricular failure as primary diagnosis.
Daniels, 2010 ⁶	45 years of age or older; GOLD stage I-IV COPD, an acute (onset < 14 d) exacerbation (type 1 [increased dyspnea, sputum volume, and sputum purulence] or type 2 [two of three symptoms]) that required hospitalization).	Fever (>38.5°C) with pneumonia, antibiotic treatment for at least 24 hours, and radiographic signs of pneumonia, CHF (NYHA III-IV), apparent immunodeficiency, impaired renal function.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Dark, 1993 ⁷²	16 or older, diagnosis of acute bacterial exacerbation of COPD, including acute exacerbations of concurrent COPD, chronic bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, bronchiectasis, or asthmatic bronchitis.	Treated with another investigational drug within 4 weeks of study entry, taken other antibiotics <72 hours before study entry, or if the use of additional antibiotics was anticipated at the time of entry to the study., sensitive to macrolide or cephalosporin antibiotics or if they had evidence or a history of significant hematologic, renal, hepatic, or cardiac disease or any other underlying condition that may have affected drug absorption, cystic fibrosis, as well as those with COPD, chronic bronchitis, or bronchiectasis in the absence of acute infection, drug or alcohol dependence.
Davies, 1999 ⁷	History of increased breathlessness and at least two of the following symptoms for 24 h or more: increased cough frequency or severity, increased sputum volume or purulence, and increased wheeze, aged 40–80 years, history of at least 20 pack-years of cigarette smoking, and physiological evidence of airflow limitation with initial FEV1 < 70% predicted and FEV1/FVC ratio < 75%.	Personal or family history of asthma or atopy, uncontrolled left-ventricular failure, clinical or radiological evidence of pneumonia, received oral corticosteroids within 1 month of presentation, or if arterial blood pH on admission was less than 7.26.
De Jong, 2007 ⁹⁸	Age of >40 years, a history of at least 10 pack-years of cigarette smoking, and evidence of airflow limitation. Airflow limitation was defined as an FEV1/FVC ratio of < 70% and an FEV1 of <80% predicted (GOLD severity stage II).	Excluded were patients who had signs of a very severe exacerbation on hospital admission (arterial pH <7.26 or Paco2 > 9.3 kPa), with significant or unstable comorbidity, who had a history of asthma, had participated in another study within the 4 weeks before hospital admission, were previously randomized into this study, had clinically significant findings on chest radiography other than fitting with signs of COPD, a known hypersensitivity to prednisolone, or who were known to be totally noncompliant.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Ding, 2016 ⁷³	60 - 80 years old, meet the diagnostic criteria of COPD: a. based on the comprehensive consideration of the clinical presentations, exposure to risk factors, signs, and other laboratory results ;b. show the major COPD symptoms (chronic cough, expectoration, and/or dyspnea) and the exposure to risk factors; c. to exhibit incomplete reversible airflow (FEV1/FVC is <70% after application of a bronchodilator), the patients will be diagnosed with incomplete reversible airflow limitation; and met the AECOPD diagnostic criteria: a) to possess a history of COPD (the patient has been clearly diagnosed with COPD; with typical clinical COPD presentations, and the pulmonary functions during the hospitalization confirmed COPD); b) to display unusual continuous exacerbation that required a change in the routine medication; c) to show cough, expectoration, dyspnea, and/or wheeze exacerbated, and to have an increased amount of expectoration, or to have a short-term change in the sputum, which can be accompanied with evident aggravation of inflammatory (infection) symptoms (such as fever).	1) to possess serious disease that required invasive mechanical ventilation (patients with excessive respiratory secretion that are not able to use non-invasive mechanical ventilation, or with the PaCO ₂ >70 mmHg) (the PaCO ₂ level was accessed according to the safety profiles of the study); 2) history of acute exacerbation or received systemic application of corticosteroids within the past month 3)diabetes cardiac, cerebral, renal, or liver diseases that required hospitalization; 4)history with pneumothorax, pulmonary embolism, pneumonia, or other respiratory diseases; and 5) included in this study before.
Du, 2018 ²⁸	A clinical diagnosis of COPD according to GOLD (Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease) - clinical examination and FEV1/FVC <0.70, as measured on first admission.	Presence of pulmonary diseases (except COPD for the patients), infective and inflammatory diseases, neoplastic pathologies, renal, gastrointestinal, endocrine and hepatic diseases, and excessive alcohol consumption (≥40 g/day).
Duffy, 2005 ²⁹	Clinical diagnosis of COPD presenting to the emergency department of University Hospital Aintree were considered eligible if they complained of increased breathlessness and two or more of the following symptoms for at least 24 hours: increased cough frequency or severity, increased sputum volume or purulence, increased wheeze. Patients were aged 40–80 years with a smoking history of at least 20 pack years, an initial FEV1 of 70% predicted, and FEV1/FVC ratio of 70% predicted for age.	Clinical history of asthma or atopy, uncontrolled cardiac disease, advanced malignancy, clinical or radiological evidence of pneumonia, pneumothorax, or chest wall deformity. In addition, those with an arterial blood pH below 7.32.
Eaton, 2009 ³⁰	COPD by ATS/ERS criteria and exertional dyspnea interfering with daily activity.	Major cognitive dysfunction, comorbidities precluding ability to participate.
Edwards, 2013 ³¹	Age ≥35 years, COPD, FEV1/FVC ratio <70% and an FEV1 ≤ 50% predicted 20 min after initial treatment with 2.5 mg salbutamol and 500 mg ipratropium bromide by nebulization.	They required intubation or NIV, were unable to perform spirometry or had evidence of pneumothorax, hypotension, and any other serious medical condition that would prevent their participation in the trial or were pregnant.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Emerman, 1989 ⁸	50 years or older with a clinical history of emphysema or chronic bronchitis presenting to ED with acute respiratory distress and had initial spirometry with FEV1 < 70% predicted or FEV/FVC % < 60%.	History of asthma, episodes of respiratory distress before 35 years age, received oral or IV steroids within one month of presentation, pneumonia, acute CHF, or other conditions mandating admission to the hospital.
Giusti, 2016 ⁷⁵	Purulent sputum, plus at least two of the following signs/symptoms of at least 3-day duration: increased cough, increased dyspnea, and increase in sputum volume, previous antibiotic treatment with any drug with the exclusion of fluoroquinolones, conducted for at least 3 full days with persistence or worsening of symptoms and consequent hospitalization, age ≥ 60 years, FEV1 ≤ 80% and ≥ 30% and ratio FEV1/FVC ≤ 0.7.	Diagnosis of asthma, pulmonary neoplasm, sepsis, tuberculosis, and cystic fibrosis; renal insufficiency or hepatic dysfunction; history of epilepsy, seizures, stroke (in the previous 6 months), or tendinopathy; known deficiencies for the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase activity; drug addiction or alcohol abuse; and hypersensitivity or allergy to fluoroquinolones.
Greening, 2014 ³³	Diagnosis of chronic respiratory disease (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic asthma, bronchiectasis, or interstitial lung disease), self reported breathlessness on exertion when stable (Medical Research Council dyspnea grade 3 or worse), and age 40 years or greater.	Inability to provide informed consent; concomitant acute cardiac event; presence of musculoskeletal, neurological, or psychiatric comorbidities that would prevent the delivery of the rehabilitation intervention; and more than four emergency admissions to hospital for any cause in the previous 12 months.
Greulich, 2014 ³⁴	COPD patients hospitalized due to severe COPD exacerbations.	NR
Gunen, 2007 ⁹	Only patients with level II exacerbation.	COPD hospitalized for specific reasons, e.g. pneumonia, PE, CHF and pneumothorax etc., as the cause of exacerbation. With a risk of imminent RF requiring mechanical ventilation or direct admission to the ICU (level III exacerbation). Patients who had utilized systemic corticosteroids or had an exacerbation in the preceding month.
Hamacher, 1995 ⁷⁶	18 years or older with an acute bacterial exacerbation of chronic bronchitis requiring a parenteral antibiotic.	Pregnant or breastfeeding women; patients with hyper-sensitivity to any beta-lactam antibiotic; patients who had received other investigational agents within the previous 28 days or had entered the study previously; cystic fibrosis; a history of seizures; severe hepatic failure ; neutropenia (neutrophil count < 1 x 10 ⁹ / L) ; severe underlying disease such that the patient was unlikely to complete at least 48 h of study drug therapy; previous antibiotics in the two days before randomized treatment unless the organism had been shown to be either resistant or still present.
Hasani, 1998 ⁷⁷	12 patients with COPD during exacerbation.	NR.
Hassan, 2015 ¹⁰	Type 1 exacerbation of COPD (defined as an increase in dyspnea, sputum purulence and increased sputum volume).	Received antibiotic treatment during the last 2 weeks or had another disease as left ventricular failure, stroke, pneumonia, pneumothorax, cancer, coma, allergy to quinolone derivatives, or concomitant infection requiring systemic antibacterial therapy.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
He, 2015 ³⁵	Reported a limitation in daily activities due to dyspnea on exertion, as categorized by mMRC dyspnea grade >0.	Any disease not associated with COPD (e.g., uncontrolled HF, severe lower limb arthritis, and symptomatic peripheral vascular disease, which may affect the outcome of dyspnea or exercise tolerance), severe orthopedic or neurological disorders limiting exercise performance, unstable cardiac disease.
Kirsten, 1998 ³⁷	Patients with severe COPD within a referral hospital after an acute exacerbation of their disease.	NR.
Kodric, 2009 ³⁶	COPD patients, hospitalized with an acute exacerbation.	Positive bronchodilator reversibility test or any other chest disease likely to bias results.
Koutsogiannis, 2000 ⁶⁵	Chronic obstructive airways disease.	Inability to perform spirometry, requirement for salbutamol or adrenaline infusion, requirement for CPAP or intubation, the presence of a pneumothorax, pneumonia or cardiac failure on CXR and asthma.
Kurzaj, 2013 ³⁸	COPD and treated of worsening of the symptoms of their disease.	NR.
Lellouche, 2016 ³⁹	Hospitalized for an acute exacerbation of COPD in whom oxygen therapy was prescribed, patients aged $\geq$ 40 years with a past or current smoking history of at least 10 pack-years. Maintain an SpO ₂ of $\geq$ 92% with supplemental oxygen at a maximum flow rate of 8 L/min.	Admitted for 24 hours, infected with multidrug-resistant bacteria, on intermittent NIV (including CPAP for obstructive sleep apnea), cognitive impairment.
Leophonte, 1998 ⁷⁸	40 years or older, chronic obstructive bronchitis, FEV ₁ /FVC <70%, sputum production during 3 consecutive months for 2 or more years, acute exacerbation (dyspnea or increased sputum volume/purulence [gram stain sputum with >25 PMNs]).	Hypersensitivity or intolerance to quinolone or beta lactams, hospitalized, any antibiotic use longer than 24 hours in the prior 72 hours, AECOPD severe enough to warrant IV antibiotics, cystic fibrosis, radiograph evidence of pneumonia, significant gastrointestinal condition to preclude oral absorption of pills, AIDS, epilepsy, seizures, recent drug or alcohol abuse or dependence or chronic prednisolone $\geq$ 10 mg daily use.
Leuppi, 2013 ⁷⁹	AECOPD (2 of the following: change in baseline dyspnea, cough, or sputum quantity or purulence); $\geq$ 40 years old, smoking $\geq$ 20 pack years, FEV ₁ /FVC $\leq$ 70%.	Asthma, FEV ₁ /FVC >70%, radiological diagnosis of pneumonia, estimated survival <6 months due to severe comorbidity, pregnancy or lactation, inability to give written informed consent.
Liao, 2015 ⁴⁰	Moderate exacerbation COPD, older than 65 years, clear consciousness, shortness of breath or dyspnea that was not caused by heart disease, pneumothorax, or pulmonary edema, received bronchodilator aerosol therapy or antibiotic treatment, had not been treated with an antitussive.	Systolic blood pressure lower than 90 mmHg, blood oxygen concentration lower than SpO ₂ =90%, unstable psychological status, hemoptysis, pneumothorax, pulmonary edema.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Llor, 2009 ⁸⁰	Spirometrically-diagnosed patients older than 40 years with COPD, without criteria of hospitalization and Anthonisen's types I or II exacerbations.	Current chronic treatment with systemic steroids at any dose, severe respiratory impairment requiring hospital referral, evidence of a new pulmonary infiltrate on chest radiography, suspected or known history of hypersensitivity to β -lactam antibiotics, administration of antibiotics within the previous four weeks, documented evidence of bronchiectasis, AIDS, another immunosuppressive condition or patients receiving treatment with immunosuppressive drugs, cystic fibrosis, or patients participating in another clinical trial within the last year.
Llor, 2012 ¹¹	≥ 40 years old, mild to moderate COPD (smoking history ≥ 10 pack years, FEV1/FVC $<70\%$, post bronchodilator FEV1 $>50\%$ predicted) and presence of exacerbation (increase of dyspnea, sputum volume or sputum purulence).	Antibiotic use in prior 2 weeks, bronchial asthma, cystic fibrosis, bronchiectasis other than COPD, active neoplasm, tracheotomy, need for hospital admission, immunosuppression, hypersensitivity to beta lactams, clavulanate or lactose, institutionalization, or inability to provide informed consent.
Mahmoud Abd El Hafiz, 2013 ⁴¹	COPD with a history of ≥ 2 exacerbations/year in 2 years prior to enrollment. An age between 40 and 70 years; a post bronchodilator FEV1/FVC $<70\%$ of predicted; an FEV1 reversibility $<12\%$ of the predicted value, 15 min after 400 mcg (4 puffs) of Salbutamol; and to be currently experiencing an AECOPD.	Allergy or intolerance to NAC, cystic fibrosis, bronchiectasis, history of infection or active infection by TB, history of active peptic ulcer.
Maltais, 2002 ¹²	> 50 ye old, had a smoking history of at least 20 pack-years, according to the attending physician had to be treated in hospital.	If they had a personal history of asthma, allergic rhinitis, or atopy; were exposed to systemic corticosteroids, in the preceding month; used more than 1,500 g/d of inhaled beclomethasone equivalent; were at risk of imminent acute respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation or admission to the intensive care unit (pH 7.30 and/or PaCO2 70 mm Hg, and/or PaO2 50 mm Hg despite supplemental oxygen); or if a specific cause for the exacerbation, such as pneumonia, pneumothorax, or HF.
Mirici, 2003 ⁸¹	If they had moderate to severe acute attacks of COPD.	Exposure to systemic corticosteroids in the preceding month; presence of asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopy or any systemic disease (such as DM or hypertension); and being at risk of acute RF requiring mechanical ventilation.
Moayyedi, 1995 ⁶⁶	Emergencies to acute medical units with diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD, not taking regular nebulized bronchodilators at home. All over 45 years and had smoking history more than 10 pack-years. All had a FEV1 $< 65\%$ predicted when well and history of exertional dyspnea from respiratory disease over three years.	History suggestive of asthma (childhood respiratory disease, atopy, night time wheezing) and a peripheral eosinophilia of $> 10\%$. Patients with a $> 20\%$ (at least 200 ml) reversibility of FEV, to 400 mcg of inhaled salbutamol on the day of discharge.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Moretti, 2015 ⁴²	Aged between 38 and 75 years admitted with an acute exacerbation of COPD, fever, cough, and purulent sputum in the previous 24 hours.	Pneumonia, acute heart failure, bronchiectasis, asthma (as the primary diagnosis), acute respiratory acidosis needing noninvasive ventilation, AECOPD treated with antibiotics or systemic corticosteroids in the previous 4 weeks, or any other medical or personal problems that in the opinion of the investigator would interfere with the conduct of the study.
Mukerji, 2015 ⁴³	Above age of 35 years, who had a previously documented diagnosis of COPD by either their general practitioner or in-hospital respiratory specialists. Non-infective and infective cause of AECOPD.	Patients requiring mechanical ventilation or NIV at presentation, anyone who was unable to do spirometry or had evidence of pneumothorax or hypertension or any other serious medical condition that would prevent their participation. Responders or 'asthma-type' COPD patients, history of asthma.
Niewoehner, 1999 ¹³	50 or older, history 30 pack-years or more smoking, either FEV1 1.50 L or less or inability to undergo spirometry due to dyspnea.	Diagnosis of asthma, use of systemic glucocorticoids within the preceding 30 days, coexisting medical conditions that made survival for at least 1 year unlikely.
Ogasawara, 2018 ⁴⁴	Clinically diagnosed as COPD according to the GOLD criteria and hospitalized for exacerbation of COPD or pneumonia, planned to receive pulmonary rehabilitation during the hospitalization, and able to eat and drink safely without dysphagia.	Patients who had a history of severe drug allergy, patients who took oral nutritional supplements during the trial, patients regarded as inadequate to receive additional nutrition therapy because of uncontrolled diabetes and/or dyslipidemia, and patients who refused pulmonary rehabilitation.
Oncu, 2017 ⁴⁵	Diagnosed with AECOPD by independent thoracic physicians.	Other pulmonary disease, who were not eligible as a result of cardiology consultation, another chronic disease/condition drug addicts, applied acupuncture/TENS previously, smoked during the last four hours prior to the pulmonary function test used long-term bronchodilator in the last 12 hours, and conditions that prevented six-minute walk test.
Osadnik, 2014 ⁴⁶	Hospitalized due to an AECOPD were screened by study personnel, and those with evidence of sputum expectoration or a history of chronic sputum Production ('regularly expectorated sputum on most days') were recruited from respiratory units within 48h of admission.	A respiratory condition deemed more significant than COPD (e.g., clinical history of primary bronchiectasis, asthma or lung cancer requiring active therapy) even if coexistent with COPD, if they had established airway clearance routines, were breathing via an artificial airway or PEP therapy was contraindicated (undrained pneumothorax; significant haemoptysis; recent facial, oral, esophageal or skull surgery/trauma; surgical or nonsurgical lung volume reduction procedures, lung transplantation or pneumonectomy within the last 6 months).
Perri, 1985 ⁶⁷	Reversible chronic obstructive lung disease with at least a 15% greater FEV1 in relation to their CECA predicted values after 200 mcg (2 puffs) of salbutamol administered by aerosol.	NR.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Petitpretz, 2007 ⁸²	Age ≥45 years, candidate for outpatient management and diagnosis of AECOPD defined as: current or former smoking activity; history of chronic bronchitis characterized by cough and sputum production on most days for 3 consecutive months and for >2 consecutive years; chronic obstructive bronchitis confirmed by lung function test performed in a stable condition in the previous 12 months showing a FEV1/FVC ratio of <70% and an FEV1 in the range of 35–80% of the predicted value, and no significant reversibility following B2-agonist therapy (<200 mL and <15% increase in FEV1); at least three exacerbations during the previous year; a current acute exacerbation characterized by the presence of the three Anthonisen's criteria of recent increase in sputum volume, sputum purulence and dyspnea; and no evidence of pneumonia on chest radiography performed within 48 h prior to or no later than 72 h after initiating the study drug.	Significant reversibility following B2-agonist therapy or failure to perform this test and FEV1/FVC ≥70% or failure to measure lung function.
Phillips, 1993 ⁸³	18 years or older, ≥ 40 kg, AECOPD (cough, fever, or increased sputum production/purulence), absence of infiltrate on chest radiograph), hospitalized and outpatients were included.	Severe respiratory infection requiring IV antibiotics, pregnant, breastfeeding, hypersensitivity to cephalosporins, anaphylaxis or severe reaction to penicillins, antibiotics in prior 5 days, neutropenic, creatinine >2.5, hepatic dysfunction, immune or neoplastic disease, severe vascular insufficiency, gastro-intestinal disorder that would impair oral absorption, enrolled in another investigational protocol, prior enrollment in a cefpodoxime protocol.
Pourrashid, 2018 ⁴⁷	AECOPD in the emergency ward and Vitamin D deficiency (serum 25(OH) D < 20 ng/mL).	Serum 25(OH)D < 5 ng/mL, vitamin D supplementation within six month prior to study, use of maintenance dose of oral corticosteroids within three months prior to study, diagnosed asthma, osteoporosis, renal failure (serum creatinine ≥ 2.5 mg/dL), nephrolithiasis, uncompensated liver failure (Child-pugh class B, C), hypercalcemia (ionized calcium > 1.3 mmol/L), conditions associated with pathological 1-alpha hydroxylase activity such as sarcoidosis, lymphoma or multiple myeloma, coagulopathy (platelet count < 30,000 per mm ³ or international normalized ratio > 3), contraindication for intramuscular administration, pregnancy, lactation, and immuno-compromised patients.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Rhee, 2015 ⁸⁴	40 years old or older, moderate exacerbation of COPD; post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC < 0.7; purulent sputum or increased volume of sputum.	Pregnant women, received systemic antibiotics and/or antifungal agents within the last 72 hours, pneumonia, underlying septic shock, bronchiectasis, lung abscess, active tuberculosis, pulmonary malignancy, cystic fibrosis, empyema, or asthma; kidney or liver disease with abnormal laboratory test results, organic gastrointestinal disorder; absolute neutrophil count <1,000 cells/ mm ³ ; chronic hepatitis B or C; immunocompromised patients, history of hypersensitivity to fluoroquinolone antibiotics; history of seizure or anti-seizure medications; history of ventricular arrhythmia; and history of corrected QT prolongation or treatment with medication that prolongs the corrected QT interval.
Rice, 1987 ⁴⁸	Diagnosis of COPD consistent with FEV1 >2 SD below predicted and FEV1/FVC ratio <60%.	Clinical diagnosis of asthma, readily reversible episodes of wheezing and dyspnea separated by asymptomatic intervals, or bronchodilator response of a >30% increase in FEV1 from previous spirometry. Left ventricular failure and pneumonia.
Rizzato, 1998 ⁸⁵	Admitted to hospital for AECOPD, max value of FEV1<70% predicted, marked respiratory distress.	Drug sensitivity, pregnant or nursing, drug addicts, alcoholics, HIV positive, cognitive impairment, clinically impaired diabetes, active peptic ulcer, untreated active tuberculosis, systemic mycosis.
Roede, 2007 ⁸⁶	Aged ≥ 18 years who fulfilled the clinical criteria for COPD, and who presented with purulent sputum and a type 1 exacerbation, increased dyspnea, increased volume and purulence of sputum, or two of these criteria in a patient with chronic HF and a chest radiograph that showed no evidence of pneumonia.	Patients with a history of allergy to amoxicillin–clavulanic acid, neutropenia (<1.0 x10 ⁹ /L), agammaglobulinemia, cystic fibrosis or bronchiectasis, a life-expectancy of <1 month, previous treatment with an effective antimicrobial agent for >24 h before admission, or any other infection necessitating the administration of systemic antibiotics. Patients admitted to an ICU and patients who required ventilation.
Ruiz Gonzalez, 2007 ⁸⁷	Aged >40 years, with a diagnosis of COPD and were admitted to the emergency room with a primary diagnosis of an acute exacerbation. Spirometry that met criteria for COPD defined as FEV1 to FVC ratio ≤70%, and FEV1 ≤ 80% predicted, a severe or Anthonisen's type 1 exacerbation, worsening of dyspnea, increase in sputum volume and increase in sputum purulence; and a CXR without any new infiltrates.	Previous adverse reaction to study drugs, pregnancy or lactation, syndrome of QT prolongation, severe renal or hepatic impairment, lung disease other than COPD.
Sanjari, 2015 ⁴⁹	Ex-smokers with a history of chronic cough and expectoration who also had exertional dyspnea and admitted with the diagnosis of COPD exacerbation.	Unable to perform spirometry, A history of asthma symptoms, existence of other respiratory disorders including bronchial carcinoma, a history of hospitalization (within 4 weeks) for COPD, any medical condition which needed more invasive respiratory support, symptoms of lower respiratory tract infection or other kinds of simultaneous systemic disease such as hypercalcemia, renal failure, hyperparathyroidism, malignancy, history of renal stone, cardiac arrhythmia, or patients who were using lithium.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Saudny-Unterberger, 1997 ⁵⁰	Diagnosis of COPD, and a FEV1 $\leq$ 60% of the predicted.	Required mechanical ventilation, had a gastrointestinal tract disorder, had active cancer or other conditions predisposing to weight loss, terminally ill, unable to communicate in English or French, suffered from mental confusion or followed a special diet.
Sayiner, 2001 ⁸⁸	COPD, all current or ex-smokers with a smoking history $\geq$ 20 pack-years and severe airway obstruction (FEV1 35% predicted), who presented with an exacerbation necessitating hospitalization.	Personal or family history of asthma, atopy, allergic disease, presence of eosinophilia, use of systemic steroids within the preceding month, presence of severe hypertension, uncompensated CHF or uncontrolled (or difficult to control) DM, and RF necessitating mechanical ventilation therapy.
Seidenfeld, 1984 ⁵¹	Met ATS chronic bronchitis guidelines.	Temp $>37.5^{\circ}\text{C}$, obvious cardiac rhythm disturbance, acute pneumonia, acute HF.
Skorodin, 1995 ⁵²	35 years or older who presented to ED with AECOPD, provided they met the diagnostic criteria for chronic bronchitis and/or emphysema as defined by the ATS.	Temperature greater than 37.9°C , systolic blood pressure less than 100 mm Hg, history of kidney disease, and clinical evidence of pneumonia.
Solooki, 2014 ⁵³	40 years or older, COPD exacerbation to emergency department.	Contraindication for use of IV magnesium sulfate, unable to perform spirometry, presence of pneumonia, oral temperatures of 38°C or more and systolic blood pressure less than 100 mmHg.
Soltaninejad, 2016 ⁵⁴	Older than 40 years and known case of COPD.	History of HF (ejection fraction $<40\%$), renal failure, ongoing ischemia, hearing problems, lung cancer, lung abscess, bronchiectasis, lung resection surgery, and hypersensitivity to aminoglycosides.
Stallberg, 2009 ⁸⁹	≥ 10 pack year smoking history, age ≥ 40 years old, moderate COPD GOLD stage IIA or IIB, established diagnosis of COPD for ≥ 6 months at start of study, exacerbation in the week prior (increased dyspnea, sputum volume, or sputum purulence), primary care physician thought that oral steroids were clinically indicated.	Mild exacerbation treated with antibiotics and/or increased bronchodilators alone, hypoxemia, admitted to hospital, asthma, FEV1/FVC $>70\%$, AECOPD in prior 30 days, SaO2 $<92\%$ after initial acute treatment, required oxygen, inhaled corticosteroid >1000 mcg/day at study entry, use of non-selective beta antagonist.
Sun, 2015 ⁹⁰	Patients with AECOPD meeting the GOLD diagnostic criteria.	A personal history of asthma, cystic fibrosis, diffuse pan bronchiolitis (DPB), obliterative bronchiolitis, allergic rhinitis, or atopy; complicated with acute RF, acidosis, cancer or serious heart, liver, kidney, and gastrointestinal diseases; or were at risk of admission to the ICU, exposed to corticosteroids in past 4 weeks, more than 50 years.
Tang, 2012 ⁵⁵	AECOPD had to be admitted into the hospital, able to walk independently before admission, have spontaneous ventilation without any ventilator assistance, receive medical clearance to participate, able to communicate in English, and able to provide informed consent.	NR

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Thompson, 1996 ¹⁴	History of cigarette smoking (≥ 20 pack-year), airflow obstruction, diagnosis of chronic bronchitis or emphysema as defined by the ATS.	Family or personal history of asthma; atopy, allergic rhinitis, or nasal polyposis; or a history of pulmonary disease other than COPD; positive skin test to common environmental allergens, systemic corticosteroids used in last month, uncompensated CHF, pneumonia, fever $> 38.5^{\circ}\text{C}$, acidemia (arterial $\text{pH} < 7.35$); hospital admission for any reason; or inability to return for followup appointments.
Torres-Sanchez, 2017 ⁵⁶	AECOPD according to (ATS) criteria. Age 65 or older. Patients with COPD who scored over 3 in the Brief Frailty Index.	Psychiatric or cognitive disorders, progressive neurological or musculoskeletal disorders, severe orthopedic problems, organ failure, cancer, or inability to cooperate. Experienced another exacerbation of COPD in the previous month.
Torres-Sanchez, 2017 ⁵⁷	COPD according to the criteria of GOLD, hospitalized due to acute exacerbation of COPD.	Severe comorbidities "unstable cardiovascular disease, orthopedic diseases in the upper and lower limbs, motor sequelae from neurological or visual disorders that interfere with the ability to perform physical exercise, cognitive impairment that interfere with the evaluation and the treatment".
Troosters, 2010 ⁵⁸	Diagnosis of AECOPD, age < 85 years.	Hospitalization within the previous 14 days, current participation in rehabilitation program, locomotor or neurological condition or disability limiting the ability to perform exercise, lung transplantation or lung volume reduction surgery foreseen within 1 month after discharge.
Goktalay, 2013 ³²	Stage 3–4 COPD according to the GOLD criteria and hospitalized for infective exacerbations of the COPD.	Need for INV, bronchiectasis, active lung tuberculosis, chest wall trauma, thoracic or abdominal operation in the last three months, a diagnosis of PE in the last three months, myocardial infarction in the last six months, and thrombocytopenia ($< 50,000/\text{mm}^3$) plus exacerbation secondary to cardiovascular causes and non-infective exacerbations.
Tumer, 2009 ⁵⁹	Male patients hospitalized with acute exacerbation of COPD.	NR
Ucar, 2014 ⁹¹	Moderate or severe COPD exacerbation, ≥ 40 years, ≥ 10 pack-year smoking history, required hospitalization for COPD exacerbation.	Asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopy, any systemic disease (such as diabetes or hypertension), prior steroid use in past month, used > 1500 mcg/day of inhaled beclomethasone equivalent, admitted to the ICU, $\text{pH} < 7.30$, $\text{PaCO}_2 > 70\text{mmHg}$, $\text{PaO}_2 < 50\text{mmHg}$ despite supplemental oxygen, or if he had a specific cause of the exacerbation diagnosed (pneumonia, pneumothorax, HF, etc.)
Umut, 1999 ⁹²	COPD diagnosis was made according to the ATS criteria.	Pregnancy, known allergy to antibiotics, antibiotic therapy 6 weeks prior to study, active tuberculosis, immunosuppression, liver and kidney insufficiency, empyema, lung abscess, aspiration pneumonia, need to use parenteral antibiotics.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Van Velzen, 2017 ¹⁵	45 years or older, smoking history of 10 pack-years; clinical diagnosis of mild to severe COPD, last exacerbation in the three years, exacerbation had to have ended at least 4 weeks before and symptoms had returned to baseline.	Poor mastery of the Dutch language, poor cognitive functioning, known allergy to doxycycline, pregnancy, life expectancy of shorter than one month, fever, admission to hospital, current antibiotics or use in previous 3 weeks.
Van Zanten, 2007 ⁹³	Ninety-three consecutive patients aged ≥ 18 years (range 34–76 years) requiring hospital admission and antibiotic treatment for moderate to severe acute exacerbations of COPD (GOLD classes 2–4).	Suspected or proven resistance to cefotaxime, administration of antibiotics in the preceding 48 h, allergy to β -lactam antibiotics, bilirubin concentrations $>20 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$, serum creatinine concentrations $>120 \mu\text{mol}$ and whole blood count $<3.0 \times 10^9 \text{ l}^{-1}$.
Vermeeren, 2004 ⁶⁰	Admitted to the hospital for an exacerbation of COPD, recent increase in breathlessness, cough and sputum production of sufficient severity to warrant hospital admission, judged by an independent chest physician. Criteria for nutritional intervention were a BMI $\leq 22 \text{ kg/m}^2$ or a BMI $\leq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and $>5\%$ weight loss in 1 month, or $> 10\%$ weight loss in 6 months prior admission to the hospital.	Patients with DM type 1, thyroid, intestinal diseases, and carcinoma.
Wang, 2016 ¹⁶	Patients admitted to hospital with AECOPD who were 40 years of age and who had a PCT level $<0.1 \text{ ng/ml}$.	Fever ($\geq 38.0^\circ\text{C}$), tracheal intubation within 24 h after hospital admission, a PCT level of $\geq 0.1 \text{ ng/ml}$ on admission, pneumonia, chronic renal failure, history of malignant disease, immunosuppressive therapy.
Whitlock, 1995 ⁹⁴	Between 35-75 years old, acute bacterial exacerbation of COPD.	Pneumonia; acute bronchitis or chronic bronchitis with concurrent bronchiectasis or active bronchial asthma; neutrophil function disorders, cytopenias, or bleeding disorders; clinically significant renal or hepatic dysfunction; clinically significant cardiovascular disorders; any condition that could interfere with evaluation of therapeutic response or increase the risk of an adverse event; alcohol or drug dependence; significant psychiatric disorders; hypersensitivity to any of the study drugs; infections caused by organisms resistant or likely to be resistant to study drugs; use of antibiotics within 72 hours of enrollment; and use of investigational drugs within the previous 4 weeks. Breastfeeding women.
Willaert, 2002 ⁹⁵	Patients with a clinical history of COPD who presented with an acute exacerbation.	There was a personal or family history of asthma (defined as episodic wheezing or dyspnea that rapidly improved with treatment) or atopy, INV or NIV assisted ventilation was deemed necessary according to the attending casualty physician, the patient was unable to successfully use a MDI as a device for administering bronchodilators.

Author, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Wilson, 2012 ⁹⁶	Outpatients with moderate-to severe COPD and chronic bronchitis suffering from an investigator-evaluated Anthonisen type I exacerbation and who were considered by the investigator to require antibiotic therapy.	NR
Woodruff, 2011 ⁶¹	Over 45 years old, with an admitting diagnosis of AECOPD, 10 or more pack-years, FEV1 < 60% predicted or inability to perform spirometry due to dyspnea.	Uncontrolled systemic disease; hypersensitivity to zileuton; Asthma; Lobar pneumonia or pulmonary edema; Interstitial lung disease; predicted survival of less than 30 days; History of liver disease; current use of theophylline; incarceration; institutionalization; pregnant; history of a suicide attempt; prior inpatient admission for a psychiatric disorder; bipolar disorder.
Xiong, 2008 ⁶²	Diagnosis is consistent with the standard of the GOLD of 2006, no application of glucocorticosteroid systematically in 6 months, no systemic infection in 2 weeks before the study, no application of β 2-receptor agonist or antihistamine drugs in 24 hours, no any other chronic heart or lung disease or endocrine disease, no need of mechanical ventilation.	NR
Yohannes, 2003 ⁶³	60 years old and older, inpatient admitted with AECOPD.	Terminal illness, current participation in any other research project, uncontrolled heart failure, major cardiac arrhythmia. History of nondepressive psychotic illness, history of poor compliance with medical therapy, acute/chronic confusion (Hodkinson Abbreviated Mental Test score <7/10), carbon dioxide retention judged by the patient's supervising consultant to be severe enough to prevent oxygen supplementation, limitation of exercise capacity by nonrespiratory disability (e.g., musculoskeletal problems), inability to use co-ordinate frame, intolerance of oxygen mask or nasal cannula.
Yoon, 2013 ⁹⁷	Older than 18 years with AECOPD.	Radiographic evidence of pneumonia, bronchiectasis, cystic fibrosis, tuberculosis, or lung cancer. Patients with serious renal dysfunction, a history of seizure, a history of allergy or other side effects to quinolone, cephalosporin, or penicillin, a history of antibiotic therapy during the previous 48 hours, pregnancy or possibility of pregnancy, and lactating women.
Zuin, 2005 ⁶⁴	History of COPD with at least two exacerbations in the previous 2 years, post-bronchodilator FEV1 between 40% and 70% of predicted.	Serious concomitant diseases (cardiac, hepatic, renal or cancer) or α -1-antitrypsin deficiency.

AECB = acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis; ECOPD = exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ATS = American Thoracic Society; CHF = congestive heart failure; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; CXR = chest x-ray; DM = diabetes mellitus; ED = emergency department; EKG = electrocardiogram; EPA = eicosapentaenoic acid; ERS = European Respiratory Society; FEV1 = forced expiration volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; GOLD = global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease; HF = heart failure; ICU = intensive care unit; IHD = ischemic heart disease; INV = invasive ventilation; KPA = kilopascal; mMRC = modified medical research council; NIV = noninvasive ventilation; NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro b-type natriuretic peptide; NYHA = New York Heart

Association; ONS = oral nutrition supplementation; PaCO₂ = partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood; Pao₂ = partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood; PE = pulmonary embolism; PEF = peak expiratory flow; pH = potential of hydrogen; RF = respiratory failure; TLC = total lung capacity; VC = vital capacity; WBC = white blood cell count.

Appendix J. Sensitivity Analysis

Table J.1. Sensitivity Analysis

Comparison	Outcome	Method	OR	95% CI	I ²
Systemic Antibiotics versus Placebo or Management without Systemic Antibiotics	Clinical Cure Longest Followup	Profile Likelihood	1.56	0.84, 2.21	0.00%
		HKSJ	1.53	0.81, 2.88	0.20%
		DL	1.50	1.01, 2.24	20.33%
Systemic Corticosteroids vs Placebo	Mortality End of Intervention	Profile Likelihood	1.61	0.47, 6.18	0.00%
		HKSJ	1.61	0.22, 11.75	0.00%
		DL	1.61	0.47, 5.47	0.00%
Systemic Corticosteroids vs Placebo	FEV1 % Predicted End of Intervention	Profile Likelihood	4.64	1.00, 8.96	0.00%
		HKSJ	4.64	-0.81, 10.09	0.00%

DL = DerSimonian and Laird; HKSJ = Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman; OR=odds ratio; CI=confidence interval

Appendix K. Appendix References

1. Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Hebert P, et al. Outpatient oral prednisone after emergency treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2003 Jun 26;348(26):2618-25. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa023161. PMID: 12826636.
2. Albert RK, Martin TR, Lewis SW. Controlled clinical trial of methylprednisolone in patients with chronic bronchitis and acute respiratory insufficiency. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1980 Jun;92(6):753-8. PMID: 6770731.
3. Anthonisen NR, Manfreda J, Warren CP, et al. Antibiotic therapy in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1987 Feb;106(2):196-204. PMID: 3492164.
4. Bathoorn E, Liesker JJW, Postma DS, et al. Anti-inflammatory effects of combined budesonide/formoterol in COPD exacerbations. *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*. 2008 Oct;5(5):282-90. PMID: 18972276.
5. Brusse-Keizer M, VanderValk P, Hendrix R, et al. Necessity of amoxicillin clavulanic acid in addition to prednisolone in mild-to-moderate COPD exacerbations. *BMJ open respiratory research*. 2014;1(1):e000052. PMID: 25562034.
6. Daniels JMA, Snijders D, e Graaff CS, et al. Antibiotics in addition to systemic corticosteroids for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2010 Jan 15;181(2):150-7. PMID: 19875685.
7. Davies L, Angus RM, Calverley PM. Oral corticosteroids in patients admitted to hospital with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 1999 Aug 07;354(9177):456-60. PMID: 10465169.
8. Emerman CL, Connors AF, Lukens TW, et al. A randomized controlled trial of methylprednisolone in the emergency treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD. *Chest*. 1989 Mar;95(3):563-7. PMID: 2920584.
9. Gunen H, Hacievliyagil SS, Yetkin O, et al. The role of nebulised budesonide in the treatment of exacerbations of COPD. [Erratum appears in *Eur Respir J*. 2014 Jul;44(1):272]. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2007 Apr;29(4):660-7. PMID: 17251232.
10. Hassan WA, Shalan I, Elsobhy M. Impact of antibiotics on acute exacerbations of COPD. *Egyptian Journal of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis*. 2015 01 Jul;64(3):579-85. PMID: 603624004.
11. Llor C, Moragas A, Hernandez S, et al. Efficacy of antibiotic therapy for acute exacerbations of mild to moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2012 Oct 15;186(8):716-23. PMID: 22923662.
12. Maltais F, Ostinelli J, Bourbeau J, et al. Comparison of nebulized budesonide and oral prednisolone with placebo in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2002 Mar 01;165(5):698-703. PMID: 11874817.
13. Niewoehner DE, Erbland ML, Deupree RH, et al. Effect of systemic glucocorticoids on exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study Group. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1999 Jun 24;340(25):1941-7. PMID: 10379017.
14. Thompson WH, Nielson CP, Carvalho P, et al. Controlled trial of oral prednisone in outpatients with acute COPD exacerbation. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 1996 Aug;154(2 Pt 1):407-12. PMID: 8756814.

15. van Velzen P, ter Riet G, Bresser P, et al. Doxycycline for outpatient-treated acute exacerbations of COPD: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *The lancet respiratory medicine*. 2017(pagination). PMID: CN-01374427.
16. Wang J-X, Zhang S-M, Li X-H, et al. Acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with low serum procalcitonin values do not benefit from antibiotic treatment: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2016 Jul;48:40-5. PMID: 27155210.
17. Abreu Gonzalez J, Hernandez Garcia C, Abreu Gonzalez P, et al. [Effect of intravenous magnesium sulfate on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations requiring hospitalization: a randomized placebo-controlled trial]. *Archivos de Bronconeumologia*. 2006 Aug;42(8):384-7. PMID: 16948990.
18. Austin MA, Wills KE, Blizzard L, et al. Effect of high flow oxygen on mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients in prehospital setting: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2010 Oct 18;341:c5462. PMID: 20959284.
19. Ayfer Aytemur Z, Baysak A, Ozdemir O, et al. N-acetylcysteine in patients with COPD exacerbations associated with increased sputum. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*. 2015 Apr;127(7-8):256-61. PMID: 25595117.
20. Basri R, Tahir M, Naseem M. Short-term effects of chest physiotherapy in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Journal of Medical Sciences (Peshawar)*. 2017 July;25(3):323-7. PMID: 620855828.
21. Behnke M, Taube C, Kirsten D, et al. Home-based exercise is capable of preserving hospital-based improvements in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med*. 2000 Dec;94(12):1184-91. doi: 10.1053/rmed.2000.0949. PMID: 11192954.
22. Black PN, Morgan-Day A, McMillan TE, et al. Randomised, controlled trial of N-acetylcysteine for treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [ISRCTN21676344]. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*. 2004 Dec 06;4:13. PMID: 15581425.
23. Borges RC, Carvalho CR. Impact of resistance training in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients during periods of acute exacerbation. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*. 2014 Sep;95(9):1638-45. PMID: 24879965.
24. Brown PM, Manfreda J, McCarthy DS, et al. The effect of mechanical vibration in patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Physiother Can*. 1987;39(6):371-4.
25. Centanni S, Santus P, Casanova F, et al. Bronchodilating effect of oxitropium bromide in heart disease patients with exacerbations of COPD: double-blind, randomized, controlled study. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2002 Mar;96(3):137-41. PMID: 11905547.
26. Cox M, Connor C, Biggs K, et al. The feasibility of early pulmonary rehabilitation and activity after COPD exacerbations: External pilot randomised controlled trial, qualitative case study and exploratory economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(11).
27. Cross JL, Elender F, Barton G, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness of manual chest physiotherapy techniques on quality of life at six months post exacerbation of COPD (MATREX): a randomised controlled equivalence trial. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*. 2012 Jul 02;12:33. PMID: 22748085.
28. Du F, Liu D, He G, et al. Effect of simvastatin on serum gamma-glutamyltransferase and C-reactive protein in patient with acute exacerbation chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Acta Medica Mediterranea*. 2018;34(5):1221-6. PMID: 623957543.

29. Duffy N, Walker P, Diamantea F, et al. Intravenous aminophylline in patients admitted to hospital with non-acidotic exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective randomised controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2005 Sep;60(9):713-7. PMID: 15939732.
30. Eaton T, Young P, Fergusson W, et al. Does early pulmonary rehabilitation reduce acute health-care utilization in COPD patients admitted with an exacerbation? A randomized controlled study. *Respirology*. 2009 Mar;14(2):230-8. PMID: 19272084.
31. Edwards L, Shirtcliffe P, Wadsworth K, et al. Use of nebulised magnesium sulphate as an adjuvant in the treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD in adults: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2013 Apr;68(4):338-43. PMID: 23299960.
32. Goktalay T, Akdemir SE, Alpaydin AO, et al. Does high-frequency chest wall oscillation therapy have any impact on the infective exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? A randomized controlled single-blind study. *Clinical Rehabilitation*. 2013 Aug;27(8):710-8. PMID: 23503735.
33. Greening NJ, Williams JEA, Hussain SF, et al. An early rehabilitation intervention to enhance recovery during hospital admission for an exacerbation of chronic respiratory disease: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*. 2014;349:g4315. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g4315.
34. Greulich T, Nell C, Koepke J, et al. Benefits of whole body vibration training in patients hospitalised for COPD exacerbations - a randomized clinical trial. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*. 2014 Apr 11;14:60. PMID: 24725369.
35. He M, Yu S, Wang L, et al. Efficiency and safety of pulmonary rehabilitation in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Medical Science Monitor*. 2015 Mar 18;21:806-12. PMID: 25783889.
36. Kodric M, Garuti G, Colomban M, et al. The effectiveness of a bronchial drainage technique (ELTGOL) in COPD exacerbations. *Respirology*. 2009 Apr;14(3):424-8. PMID: 19192230.
37. Kirsten DK, Taube C, Lehnigk B, et al. Exercise training improves recovery in patients with COPD after an acute exacerbation. *Respir Med*. 1998 Oct;92(10):1191-8. PMID: 9926148.
38. Kurzaj M, Wierzejski W, Dor A, et al. The impact of specialized physiotherapy methods on BODE index in COPD patients during hospitalization. *Advances in Clinical & Experimental Medicine*. 2013 Sep-Oct;22(5):721-30. PMID: 24285458.
39. Lellouche F, Bouchard PA, Roberge M, et al. Automated oxygen titration and weaning with FreeO₂ in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD: A pilot randomized trial. *International Journal of COPD*. 2016 24 Aug;11(1):1983-90. PMID: 611880937.
40. Liao L-Y, Chen K-M, Chung W-S, et al. Efficacy of a respiratory rehabilitation exercise training package in hospitalized elderly patients with acute exacerbation of COPD: a randomized control trial. *International Journal of Copd*. 2015;10:1703-9. PMID: 26345529.
41. Mahmoud Abd El Hafiz A, Mohammed El Wakeel L, Mohammed El Hady H, et al. High dose N-acetyl cysteine improves inflammatory response and outcome in patients with COPD exacerbations. *Egyptian Journal of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis*. 2013 January;62(1):51-7. PMID: 369315238.
42. Moretti M, Fagnani S. Erdosteine reduces inflammation and time to first exacerbation postdischarge in hospitalized patients with AECOPD. *International Journal of Copd*. 2015;10:2319-25. PMID: 26604731.
43. Mukerji S, Shahpuri B, Clayton-Smith B, et al. Intravenous magnesium sulphate as an adjuvant therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a single centre, randomised, double-blinded, parallel group, placebo-controlled trial: a pilot study. *New Zealand Medical Journal*. 2015 Nov 20;128(1425):34-42. PMID: 26905985.

44. Ogasawara T, Marui S, Miura E, et al. Effect of eicosapentaenoic acid on prevention of lean body mass depletion in patients with exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A prospective randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Nutrition ESPEN*. 2018 Dec;28:67-73. PMID: 30390895.
45. Oncu E, Zincir H. The effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2017 Jul;26(13-14):1834-44. PMID: 27325551.
46. Osadnik CR, McDonald CF, Miller BR, et al. The effect of positive expiratory pressure (PEP) therapy on symptoms, quality of life and incidence of re-exacerbation in patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2014 Feb;69(2):137-43. PMID: 24005444.
47. Pourrashid MH, Dastan F, Salamzadeh J, et al. Role of vitamin D replacement on health related quality of life in hospitalized patients with "acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease". *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2018;17(2):801-10.
48. Rice KL, Leatherman JW, Duane PG, et al. Aminophylline for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A controlled trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1987 Sep;107(3):305-9. PMID: 3619219.
49. Sanjari M, Soltani A, Habibi Khorasani A, et al. The effect of vitamin D on COPD exacerbation: a double blind randomized placebo-controlled parallel clinical trial. *Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders*. 2015;15(1):33. PMID: 27570748.
50. Saudny-Unterberger H, Martin JG, Gray-Donald K. Impact of nutritional support on functional status during an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 1997 Sep;156(3 Pt 1):794-9. PMID: 9309995.
51. Seidenfeld JJ, Jones WN, Moss RE, et al. Intravenous aminophylline in the treatment of acute bronchospastic exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*. 1984 Apr;13(4):248-52. PMID: 6367554.
52. Skorodin MS, Tenholder MF, Yetter B, et al. Magnesium sulfate in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1995 Mar 13;155(5):496-500. PMID: 7864705.
53. Solooki M, Miri M, Mokhtari M, et al. Magnesium sulfate in exacerbations of COPD in patients admitted to internal medicine ward. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2014 01 Sep;13(4):1235-9. PMID: 600071239.
54. Soltaninejad F, Kheiri S, Habibian R, et al. Evaluation effects of nebulized gentamicin in exacerbation of chronic obstructive lung disease. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*. 2016;21 (4) (no pagination)(56). PMID: 612021136.
55. Tang CY, Blackstock FC, Clarence M, et al. Early rehabilitation exercise program for inpatients during an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation & Prevention*. 2012 May-Jun;32(3):163-9. PMID: 22561417.
56. Torres-Sanchez I, Valenza MC, Cabrera-Martos I, et al. Effects of an Exercise Intervention in Frail Older Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Hospitalized due to an Exacerbation: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*. 2017 Feb;14(1):37-42. PMID: 27715322.
57. Torres-Sánchez I, Valenza MC, Cebriá i Iranzo MDÀ, et al. Effects of different physical therapy programs on perceived health status in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: a randomized clinical trial. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2017:1-7.

58. Troosters T, Probst VS, Crul T, et al. Resistance training prevents deterioration in quadriceps muscle function during acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*. 2010 May 15;181(10):1072-7. PMID: 20133927.
59. Tumer G, Mercanligil SM, Uzun O, et al. The effects of a high-fat, low-carbohydrate diet on the prognosis of patients with an acute attack of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2009;29(4):895-904. PMID: 358101090.
60. Vermeeren MAP, Wouters EFM, Geraerts-Keeris AJW, et al. Nutritional support in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease during hospitalization for an acute exacerbation; a randomized controlled feasibility trial. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2004 Oct;23(5):1184-92. PMID: 15380912.
61. Woodruff PG, Albert RK, Bailey WC, et al. Randomized trial of zileuton for treatment of COPD exacerbations requiring hospitalization. *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*. 2011 Feb;8(1):21-9. PMID: 21299475.
62. Xiong G, Xu L, Wei L, et al. Atomization inhalation of terbutaline and budesonide efficiently improved immunity and lung function of AECOPD patients. *Cellular & Molecular Immunology*. 2008 Aug;5(4):287-91. PMID: 18761816.
63. Yohannes AM, Connolly MJ. Early mobilization with walking aids following hospital admission with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Clinical Rehabilitation*. 2003 Aug;17(5):465-71. PMID: 12952150.
64. Zuin R, Palamidese A, Negrin R, et al. High-dose N-acetylcysteine in patients with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Clinical Drug Investigation*. 2005;25(6):401-8. PMID: 41019987.
65. Koutsogiannis Z, Kelly AM. Does high dose ipratropium bromide added to salbutamol improve pulmonary function for patients with chronic obstructive airways disease in the emergency department? *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Medicine*. 2000 Feb;30(1):38-40. PMID: 10800876.
66. Moayyedi P, Congleton J, Page RL, et al. Comparison of nebulised salbutamol and ipratropium bromide with salbutamol alone in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax*. 1995 Aug;50(8):834-7. PMID: 7570433.
67. Perri G, Giovannini M, Spada E. Salbutamol plus beclomethasone dipropionate (Ventolin Flogo) vs. fenoterol (Dosberotec) in chronic obstructive lung disease therapeutic strategy: a 4-week clinical trial. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, Therapy, & Toxicology*. 1985 May;23(5):274-8. PMID: 3891642.
68. Aggarwal P, Wig N, Bhoi S. Efficacy of two corticosteroid regimens in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *International Journal of Tuberculosis & Lung Disease*. 2011 May;15(5):687-92. PMID: 21756523.
69. Andre-Alves MR, Jardim JR, Frare e Silva R, et al. Comparison between azithromycin and amoxicillin in the treatment of infectious exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Jornal Brasileiro De Pneumologia: Publicacao Oficial Da Sociedade Brasileira De Pneumologia E Tisiologia*. 2007 Jan-Feb;33(1):43-50. PMID: 17568867.
70. Aubier M, Aldons PM, Leak A, et al. Telithromycin is as effective as amoxicillin/clavulanate in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2002 Nov;96(11):862-71. PMID: 12418583.
71. Blasi F, Schaberg T, Centanni S, et al. Prulifloxacin versus levofloxacin in the treatment of severe COPD patients with acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*. 2013 Oct;26(5):609-16. PMID: 23538168.
72. Dark D. Azithromycin versus cefaclor in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Current Therapeutic Research - Clinical and Experimental*. 1993;53(2):203-11. PMID: 23119468.

73. Ding Z, Li X, Lu Y, et al. A randomized, controlled multicentric study of inhaled budesonide and intravenous methylprednisolone in the treatment on acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med.* 2016 Dec;121:39-47. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2016.10.013. PMID: 27888990.
74. Ardestani ME, Kalantary E, Samaei V, et al. Methyl prednisolone vs dexamethasone in management of COPD exacerbation; a randomized clinical trial. *Emergency.* 2017;5(1):195-200. PMID: 614121265.
75. Giusti M, Blasi F, Iori I, et al. Prulifloxacin vs Levofloxacin for Exacerbation of COPD after Failure of Other Antibiotics. *Copd: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.* 2016 Oct;13(5):555-60. PMID: 27027547.
76. Hamacher J, Vogel F, Lichey J, et al. Treatment of acute bacterial exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in hospitalised patients--a comparison of meropenem and imipenem/cilastatin. *COPD Study Group. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy.* 1995 Jul;36 Suppl A:121-33. PMID: 8543488.
77. Hasani A, Pavia D, Rotondetto S, et al. Effect of oral antibiotics on lung mucociliary clearance during exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respiratory Medicine.* 1998 Mar;92(3):442-7. PMID: 9692103.
78. Leophonte P, Baldwin RJ, Pluck N. Trovafloxacin versus amoxicillin/clavulanic acid in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive bronchitis. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases.* 1998 Jun;17(6):434-40. PMID: 9758289.
79. Leuppi JD, Schuetz P, Bingisser R, et al. Short-term vs conventional glucocorticoid therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the REDUCE randomized clinical trial. *Jama.* 2013;309(21):2223-31.
80. Llor C, Hernandez S, Ribas A, et al. Efficacy of amoxycillin versus amoxycillin/clavulanate in acute exacerbations of chronic pulmonary obstructive disease in primary care. *International Journal of Copd.* 2009;4:45-53. PMID: 19436696.
81. Mirici A, Meral M, Akgun M. Comparison of the efficacy of nebulised budesonide with parenteral corticosteroids in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Clinical Drug Investigation.* 2003;23(1):55-62. PMID: 23319094.
82. Petitpretz P, Chone C, Tremolieres F, et al. Levofloxacin 500 mg once daily versus cefuroxime 250 mg twice daily in patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive bronchitis: clinical efficacy and exacerbation-free interval. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents.* 2007 Jul;30(1):52-9. PMID: 17512704.
83. Phillips H, Van Hook CJ, Butler T, et al. A comparison of cefpodoxime proxetil and cefaclor in the treatment of acute exacerbation of COPD in adults. *Chest.* 1993 Nov;104(5):1387-92. PMID: 8222793.
84. Rhee CK, Chang JH, Choi EG, et al. Zabofloxacin versus moxifloxacin in patients with COPD exacerbation: a multicenter, double-blind, double-dummy, randomized, controlled, Phase III, non-inferiority trial. *International Journal of Copd.* 2015;10:2265-75. PMID: 26543359.
85. Rizzato G. Effects of deflazacort hemisuccinate vs. methylprednisolone succinate in COPD exacerbations. *Internista.* 1998;6(1):35-40. PMID: 28122103.
86. Roede BM, Bresser P, El Moussaoui R, et al. Three vs. 10 days of amoxycillin-clavulanic acid for type 1 acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised, double-blind study. *Clinical Microbiology & Infection.* 2007 Mar;13(3):284-90. PMID: 17391383.

87. Ruiz-Gonzalez A, Gimenez A, Gomez-Arbones X, et al. Open-label, randomized comparison trial of long-term outcomes of levofloxacin versus standard antibiotic therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respirology*. 2007 Jan;12(1):117-21. PMID: 17207036.
88. Sayiner A, Aytemur ZA, Cirit M, et al. Systemic glucocorticoids in severe exacerbations of COPD. *Chest*. 2001 Mar;119(3):726-30. PMID: 11243949.
89. Stallberg B, Selroos O, Vogelmeier C, et al. Budesonide/formoterol as effective as prednisolone plus formoterol in acute exacerbations of COPD. A double-blind, randomised, non-inferiority, parallel-group, multicentre study. *Respiratory Research*. 2009 Feb 19;10:11. PMID: 19228428.
90. Sun X, He Z, Zhang J, et al. Compare the efficacy of inhaled budesonide and systemic methylprednisolone on systemic inflammation of AECOPD. *Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*. 2015 Apr;31:111-6. PMID: 25281894.
91. Yilmazel Ucar E, Araz O, Meral M, et al. Two different dosages of nebulized steroid versus parenteral steroid in the management of COPD exacerbations: a randomized control trial. *Medical Science Monitor*. 2014 Mar 28;20:513-20. PMID: 24675102.
92. Umut S, Tutluoglu B, Aydin Tosun G, et al. Determination of the etiological organism during acute exacerbations of COPD and efficacy of azithromycin, ampicillin-sulbactam, ciprofloxacin and cefaclor. Turkish Thoracic Society COPD Working Group. *Journal of Chemotherapy*. 1999 Jun;11(3):211-4. PMID: 10435684.
93. van Zanten ARH, Oudijk M, Nohlmans-Paulssen MKE, et al. Continuous vs. intermittent cefotaxime administration in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and respiratory tract infections: pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics, bacterial susceptibility and clinical efficacy. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*. 2007 Jan;63(1):100-9. PMID: 16869814.
94. Whitlock W. Multicenter comparison of azithromycin and amoxicillin/clavulanate in the treatment of patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Current Therapeutic Research - Clinical and Experimental*. 1995;56(10):985-95. PMID: 25335828.
95. Willaert W, Daenen M, Bomans P, et al. What is the optimal treatment strategy for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations? *European Respiratory Journal*. 2002 May;19(5):928-35. PMID: 12030735.
96. Wilson R, Anzueto A, Miravittles M, et al. Moxifloxacin versus amoxicillin/clavulanic acid in outpatient acute exacerbations of COPD: MAESTRAL results. [Erratum appears in *Eur Respir J*. 2012 Sep;40(3):800]. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2012 Jul;40(1):17-27. PMID: 22135277.
97. Yoon HI, Lee C-H, Kim DK, et al. Efficacy of levofloxacin versus cefuroxime in treating acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *International Journal of Copd*. 2013;8:329-34. PMID: 23874094.
98. de Jong YP, Uil SM, Grotjohan HP, et al. Oral or IV prednisolone in the treatment of COPD exacerbations: a randomized, controlled, double-blind study. *Chest*. 2007 Dec;132(6):1741-7. PMID: 17646228.