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Preface

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), through its Evidence-based Practice Centers (EPCs), sponsors the development of evidence reports and technology assessments to assist public- and private-sector organizations in their efforts to improve the quality of health care in the United States. The reports and assessments provide organizations with comprehensive, science-based information on common, costly medical conditions and new health care technologies and strategies. The EPCs systematically review the relevant scientific literature on topics assigned to them by AHRQ and conduct additional analyses when appropriate prior to developing their reports and assessments.

This EPC evidence report is a Technical Brief. A Technical Brief is a rapid report, typically on an emerging medical technology, strategy, or intervention. It provides an overview of key issues related to the intervention—for example, current indications, relevant patient populations and subgroups of interest, outcomes measured, and contextual factors that may affect decisions regarding the intervention. Although Technical Briefs generally focus on interventions for which there are limited published data and too few completed protocol-driven studies to support definitive conclusions, the decision to request a Technical Brief is not solely based on the availability of clinical studies. The goals of the Technical Brief are to provide an early objective description of the state of the science, a potential framework for assessing the applications and implications of the intervention, a summary of ongoing research, and information on future research needs. In particular, through the Technical Brief, AHRQ hopes to gain insight on the appropriate conceptual framework and critical issues that will inform future research.

AHRQ expects that the EPC evidence reports and technology assessments will inform individual health plans, providers, and purchasers as well as the health care system as a whole by providing important information to help improve health care quality.

We welcome comments on this Technical Brief. They may be sent by mail to the Task Order Officer named below at: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 540 Gaither Road, Rockville, MD 20850, or by email to epc@ahrq.hhs.gov.
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Structured Abstract

**Background.** Around 750,000 children in the United States with special health care needs transition to adult care annually. Fewer than half receive adequate support and services for their transition to adult care. Examples of programs with the potential to enhance transition for children with special health care needs include use of a separate transition clinic, engagement of a transition coordinator, and a phased transfer within a clinical system. The potential for these programs to be effective is offset by barriers to their implementation.

**Purpose.** We developed a technical brief on the state of practice and the current literature around transition care for children with special health care needs to describe current practice and to provide a framework for future research.

**Methods.** We had conversations with Key Informants representing clinicians who provide transition care, pediatric and adult providers of services for individuals with special health care needs, policy experts, and researchers. We searched online sources for information about currently available programs and resources. We conducted a literature search to identify currently available research on the effectiveness of focused transition programs.

**Findings.** The issue of how to provide good transition care for children with special health care needs warrants further attention. The numbers of children with special health care needs reaching adulthood are increasing, and the diversity of their clinical conditions is expanding. The Got Transition resource provides a framework for transition care that can be adapted to serve the individual needs of a given patient population, but there is little evidence that it is used to provide a framework for evaluation in the research literature.

Despite identifying numerous descriptions of existing transition care programs or services, we identified only 25 evaluation studies, the majority of which did not include concurrent comparison groups. Most (n=8) were conducted in populations with diabetes, with a smaller literature (n=5) on transplant patients. We identified an additional 12 studies on a range of conditions, with no more than two studies on the same condition. Common components of care included use of a transition coordinator, a special clinic for young adults in transition and provision of educational materials, sometimes using computer-based programming.

An important consideration going forward is recognizing that transition care for chronic conditions like diabetes may warrant a different approach than care provided for more heterogeneous and complex conditions, particularly those that include a behavioral or intellectual component. Care for some patients may be appropriately provided in primary care at the community level; for others, it may be appropriately provided only in highly specialized regional or academic centers. Research needs are wide ranging, including both substantive and methodologic concerns. Currently, the field lacks a consistent and accepted way of measuring transition success, and it will be essential to establish consistent goals in order to build an adequate body of literature to affect practice.
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Background

There is no uniformly accepted age at which pediatric care is inappropriate and adult care should be sought for every patient. Nonetheless, some practices do have age cut-offs, and there comes a time when adult providers may be better able to serve the needs of patients whose medical concerns are more adult in nature, including for example reproductive and other issues. In addition, the inclusion of adults in pediatric practices can create discomfort and challenges for other pediatric patients and their families, and pediatricians can find themselves addressing medical issues of adults for which they are less prepared. Therefore, at some point, most pediatric patients should and do move into the adult care system.

An effective transition process from a pediatric to an adult health system should ensure continuity of developmental and age-appropriate care. In 2002 the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP), and the American College of Physicians (ACP) coauthored a consensus statement: “The goal of transition in health care for young adults with special health care needs is to maximize lifelong functioning and potential through the provision of high-quality, developmentally appropriate health care services that continue uninterrupted as the individual moves from adolescence to adulthood.”

This process can be challenging, particularly for children and youth with special health care needs (CSHCN), defined as individuals having or being at risk of “a chronic physical, developmental, behavioral, or emotional condition and who also require health and related services of a type or amount beyond that required by children generally.” Examples of adolescent populations with special health care needs that need transition support range widely, including those with chronic illnesses such as diabetes or sickle cell disease and individuals with developmental disabilities that are associated with a host of challenges ranging from higher risks of specific health outcomes to the need for special support in navigating the health care system.

The National Alliance to Advance Adolescent Health estimates that chronic health conditions affect approximately 25 percent of the 18 million U.S. young adults ages 18 to 21 who should be transitioning to adult-centered health care. Each year, approximately 750,000 young people in the United States with special health care needs transition to adult care. Although they only represent an estimated 15.1 percent (2009/2010 National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs) to 19.8 percent (2011/2012 National Survey of Children’s Health) of children aged 0 to 17 years, CSHCN account for as much as 70 percent of child health care expenditures, and most of these individuals will survive into adulthood as the life expectancy of children with chronic illness continues to increase. Over the past few decades, the prevalence of childhood chronic conditions also has been steadily increasing, with an associated increased risk of a range of health problems and persistent impact into adulthood for many affected individuals.

Fewer than half of CSHCN aged 12 to 17 years report that they receive adequate support and services for their transition to adult care. The proportion is even lower for ethnic minorities and children living in poverty, with gaps in appropriate transitions to adult care ranging from approximately 15 to 25 percent. The low rates of transition support reported by families may reflect the fact that only one-third of pediatricians report making referrals to adult physicians and less than 15 percent report providing transition education materials to adolescents and their parents.

Potentially serious health-related consequences may be associated with suboptimal or incomplete transition to adult care. Gaps in care in transitions have been associated with poor health outcomes, increased hospitalizations and more complications and failure to access care in populations with diabetes, arthritis, and sickle cell disease.
Examples of programs to enhance transition for CSHCN include use of a separate transition clinic, engagement of a transition coordinator, and a phased transfer within a clinical system.20,25-27 The potential for these programs to be effective is offset by barriers that include a lack of time and resources to address transition issues, inadequate reimbursement, hesitancy of families and providers to dissolve long-standing therapeutic relationships, and gaps in residency training for both transition processes and medical management of adults with childhood-onset chronic diseases.24,28-30 Additionally, CSHCN face broader challenges, including issues related to insurance, entitlements, guardianship, and eligibility for adult community-based services.2,31

Nonetheless, several guidelines, panels, and other groups coalesce around the need for good transition care for this population in particular. Healthy People 201032 includes a goal that all young people with special health care needs receive the services needed to make necessary transitions to all aspects of adult life, including health care. The AAP states that “optimal health care is achieved when every person at every age receives health care that is medically and developmentally appropriate.”1

One of the six core objectives of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB) is that “all youth with special health care needs will receive the services necessary to make appropriate transitions to adult health care, work, and independence.”33 Despite this consistency in intent, there is little evidence to date about what constitutes an effective transition program for this population of patients,34 although a literature of program descriptions, evaluations and research on the topic is growing.

The Affordable Care Act has several provisions reflecting federal emphasis on facilitating health care transitions for youth. Relevant provisions include extension of insurance for dependents and foster children up to age 26, protections that eliminate preexisting condition exclusions and lifetime coverage limits, Medicaid expansion, and creation of a new Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation that will include development and evaluation of patient-centered medical home models for individuals with complex needs.35

In 2011, the AAP, AAFP, and ACP36 reaffirmed the 2002 jointly published consensus statement1 and made further recommendations using a decision algorithm. The 2011 report describes practice-based recommendations and core elements, or components, of health care transition: transition policy, transitioning youth registry, transition preparation, transition planning, transition and transfer of care, and transition completion.36 The components underscore the key concept that transition care is a process that involves actionable steps by both pediatric and adult provider. Transition is not a single event or passive process and the recommendations differentiate between health care transition and provider transfer, noting that, “[h]ealth care transfer is an element of transition and has a defined end point that may vary from patient to patient.”36

Six common elements of transition planning that occur in both pediatric and adult practice are policy, patient registry, preparation, planning, transfer, and completion;37 these are summarized in Got Transition,38 a federally funded initiative. This initiative is a widely known resource to aid in transition planning and process, and when asked about standard components of transition planning, the Key Informants on this project consistently pointed to this approach. Importantly, the initiative describes standard elements for inclusion, but allows for the elements to be designed and implemented in a targeted manner to match the specific needs of the clinical condition or health care system. Thus, while the standard underlying elements are consistent,
they are deployed in a targeted and specific manner. We elected to use these elements as a framework for organizing this literature.

A transition policy serves to educate staff about best practices for health care transition, with privacy and consent procedures shared with providers, staff, patients, and families. A patient registry is recommended for transition planning and monitoring outcomes. The Transition Readiness Assessment helps prepare patients for transition and identify gaps in knowledge or skills that may present educational opportunities for improvement in self-management. The Health Care Transition (HCT) Action Plans, including Portable Medical Summary and Emergency Care Plan are recommended for the planning phase. The Transfer of Care Checklist is also a useful tool for clinicians during the transfer of care phase. Finally, the pediatric care provider and team should follow up with the adult care team three months after transfer of care to ensure successful completion. 

Notable initiatives that make use of these six components include the National Health Care Transition Center multi-site learning collaborative, which piloted the six core elements of transition, and the Center for Medical Home Transition which includes visits from nurse case manager and sharing of information. The National Health Care Transition Center also developed an assessment tool for use in the empirical evaluation of transition programs. Nonetheless, the currently available body of literature is primarily descriptive with only few studies that measure the effectiveness of any particular transition interventions, including use of the Got Transition framework. Much of the research emphasizes the transfer component of transition, and completion of transfer is a common outcome. Although we attempted to review the state of the literature on the complete transition process, the availability of literature across elements was clearly not consistent and that is reflected in our results.

Technical Brief Objectives

Despite a lack of rigorous research on the topic, various organizations have suggested that transition planning is particularly important for children and adolescents with special health care needs. Descriptive studies have been published and the empirical literature is growing, but the study data needed to conduct a meaningful systematic review of transition effectiveness either is not yet available or has not been published. We therefore developed a Technical Brief to report existing programs or approaches for transition care and describe the current state of the research.

A Technical Brief is a rapid report of an emerging intervention for which there are limited published data and too few completed research studies to support definitive conclusions. The goals of the Technical Brief are to provide an objective description of the state of the science, identify a potential framework for assessing the applications and implications of the intervention, summarize ongoing research, and present research gaps. A technical brief is not intended to be a comprehensive systematic review but should provide the reader with an overview of available research, practice and to some degree, perspective, around a given clinical intervention.

For this Technical Brief, we proposed Guiding Questions to summarize the purpose and components of transition care for CSHCNs, describe the clinical practice areas that evaluated strategies for transition care, outline possible training and barriers to transition care, and identify directions for future research. We outlined the report scope and priorities with input from Key Informants identified as individuals who were engaged in the practice, implementation, or evaluation of existing programs and systems of transition care for youth with special health needs.
This report focuses specifically on transitions of care from pediatric to adult services for individuals with a chronic health condition. It does not include transitions within the adult health care system or the transition of youth without a special health care need. Similarly, we confined the scope of the proposed Guiding Questions to transition in health care, with the understanding that the provision of clinical services is a part of a comprehensive evaluation of successful transition that would likely include educational, psychosocial, and occupational supports.

**Guiding Questions**

We presented the following questions to the Key Informants:

**Guiding Question 1. Description of Interventions for Transition Care**

a. What are the goals of transition care and what are the theoretical advantages and disadvantages?
b. What are the common components of transition care interventions or processes used in clinical practice for children/adolescents with special health care needs?
c. How do currently used approaches to transitioning health care address the complexity of health issues including comorbidities and the presence of both physical and intellectual/developmental disabilities?

**Guiding Question 2. Description of the Context for Implementing Transition Care**

a. How widely available are programs or approaches to transition care within the health care setting for children/adolescents with special health care needs?
b. What are the resources needed to implement transition care?
c. What are the specific barriers to implementing transition care or processes for children/adolescents with special health care needs?
d. Who delivers transition interventions and what training is required to implement identified approaches to transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs?

**Guiding Question 3. Description of the Existing Evidence**

a. What patient groups/clinical conditions are represented in studies on the use and evaluation of transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs?
b. What is the length of followup in studies on the use and evaluation of transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs?
c. What outcomes are measured in studies on the use and evaluation of transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs?

**Guiding Question 4. Issues and Future Research**

a. What are the implications (e.g., ethical, privacy, economic) of the current level of diffusion and of further diffusion of transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs?
b. What are possible areas of future research for transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs and which research designs are most appropriate to address these research topics?
Methods

We used discussions with Key Informants, a search of the gray literature, and a search of the published literature to collect relevant data and descriptions.

Data Collection

Discussions With Key Informants

We engaged Key Informants to offer insight into the clinical context of transition care, and suggest issues of greatest importance to clinicians, patients, researchers, and payers. We searched the Web sites of relevant professional organizations and research and policy groups to identify stakeholders whose work or interests indicate a high likelihood of interest and expertise in the topic.

In consultation with the investigative team and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), we assembled a list of individuals representing a clinical, policy, research, or advocate perspective for transition care. Four of 18 invited individuals agreed to participate. Following approval by AHRQ of the completed Disclosure of Interest forms for proposed Key Informants, we conducted discussions with four Key Informants, representing clinicians in practice as well as in policy roles in addition to accomplished researchers.

We conducted one group discussion by telephone with Key Informants. We invited the Key Informants to share their experiences and make suggestions to the proposed Technical Brief. Before the call, we developed a list of general guiding questions for call participants and provided the call participants with a copy of the proposed guiding questions. We asked Key Informants to provide perspective on the CSHCN populations and clinical subgroups of interest, interventions for comparison, relevant outcomes, timing for interventions and outcomes, and other information that would make this report most useful to decision makers. We recorded and transcribed the call discussion and generated a summary that we distributed to call participants.

We used the input from the Key Informants to confirm our initial findings and verify the feasibility of the scope established by the team for the Technical Brief. In particular, we asked Key Informants about issues related to definitions, clinical areas, population, implementation, resources, and future research needs.

Published Literature Search

We used a combination of controlled vocabulary terms and keywords to search the published literature for studies that specifically evaluated transition programs. The definition of CSHCN is broad and may encompass many diagnoses and substantial heterogeneity in medical complexity. We used a terms for specific chronic diseases (e.g., asthma) and disabilities (e.g., blindness), as well as broad terms (e.g., congenital defects) and descriptors of youth with special health needs and transition care (e.g., continuity of patient care). We searched the literature base from 2000 on. We reviewed the reference lists of retrieved publications for other potentially relevant publications missed by the search strategies. We present the literature search details in Appendix A.

To identify newly published relevant literature, we updated the literature search during peer review and the posting period for public comments. We incorporated the results from the literature update into the Technical Brief.
We developed forms (Appendix B) for screening and data collection from the published literature. We recorded the study design and study populations from relevant sources. We focused on transition care from pediatric to adult services for individuals with chronic conditions. We did not limit by clinical condition, as a goal of this review was to identify common characteristics of effective transition support across clinical conditions. We limited by type of care, excluding studies of transition care in the context of palliative or hospice care.

We further limited the literature search results for Guiding Question 3 to original research studies. Table 1 summarizes the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the evaluation studies that were included in Guiding Question 3. We scanned the text of all included publications for information on barriers, training needs, variation in practice, and the potential impact of transition care on economic and policy decisions.

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for evaluation studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study population</td>
<td>Children with special health care needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication languages</td>
<td>English only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissible evidence</td>
<td><strong>Admissible designs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(study design and other criteria)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trials (including wait-list control), cohorts with comparison, pre-post cohort without comparison, stepped wedge designs, case-control, case series, and case reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other criteria</td>
<td>• Original research studies that provide sufficient detail regarding methods and results to enable use and adjustment of the data and results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Studies must address the following for transitions in care:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Transitions of care from pediatric to adult services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Children with special health needs as defined by the American Academy of Pediatrics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o A special health need that arises from a chronic physical, developmental, or intellectual condition or disability.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gray Literature Search**

We augmented the searches we conducted in bibliographic databases by searching for gray literature. Examples of sources of gray literature include the Internet, government Web sites, clinical trial databases, trade publications, and meeting abstracts. We crosschecked the findings from the gray literature searches against the literature retrieval for publications that we may have missed in the literature searches.

We searched Web sites of relevant professional associations and patient advocate groups for information on transition care programs and strategies for special health care needs. We performed searches of public health department Web sites for each state for online descriptions or links to existing transition care programs or models. We accessed NIH RePORTER, HSRProj, and ClinicalTrials.gov to identify ongoing research. Appendix C presents details of our findings from the gray literature.

**Data Organization and Presentation**

We summarize information extracted from the published and gray literature in the results and discussion of this report. We organize the transition care components into categories and describe commonalities among existing transition care models or programs, as well as approaches that warrant further evaluation (Guiding Question 1). We identify resources for and barriers to adoption and implementation of transition care (Guiding Question 2). We present
information on current practice and research in summary tables and text (Guiding Question 3). We highlight the implications of existing transition care practice and the need for future research in Guiding Question 4.

We used gray literature sources to locate innovative transition care models and programs and present this information in tables in Appendix C. We catalogued information on transition care services from individual States and health care systems as it was available (Appendix C). We documented reasons for exclusion of records that were promoted for full text review (Appendix D).

**Peer Review**

A draft of this Technical Brief was posted to the AHRQ Web site for 4 weeks for public comments. During this time, the Scientific Resource Center distributed the draft report to individuals who agreed to serve as peer reviewers. The Scientific Resource Center collected the feedback from peer reviewers and forwarded the compiled comments to report authors. We reviewed the comments and made appropriate changes to the final report.

We documented the report revisions and provided a summary of responses to the individual comments received from public and peer reviewers in a disposition of comments table. The disposition of comments table will be available on the AHRQ Web site after publication of the final Technical Brief Report.
Findings

In this section, we summarize information from the published and gray literature sources to address Guiding Questions 1–4. Much of the discussion with Key Informants was consistent with the salient topics that emerged from the body of literature, focusing primarily upon the need for, implications of, and barriers to the adoption of seamless, effective, and comprehensive transition care for children and youth with special health care needs (CSHCN).

We summarize the literature on the purpose and current approaches for transition care using the framework of Got Transition38 as an organizational structure (Guiding Question 1). This is not an endorsement of the framework, but as the most well-known and publicly available approach, it provides a logical and accessible organizational structure. We then provide a discussion of resources, barriers and other contextual issues important to the implementation and adoption of transition strategies (Guiding Question 2). The results presented here are a combination of a summary of the literature and our Key Informant conversations. We present the state of the current research, identifying the sources and findings from evaluation studies of transition approaches in Guiding Question 3. We present implications and areas for future research in Guiding Question 4.

Guiding Question 1. Description of Interventions for Transition Care

a. What are the goals of transition care and what are the theoretical advantages and disadvantages?

b. What are the common components of transition care interventions or processes used in clinical practice for children/adolescents with special health care needs?

c. How do currently used approaches to transitioning health care address the complexity of health issues including comorbidities and the presence of both physical and intellectual/developmental disabilities?

Goals of Transition Care (Guiding Question1a)

The provision of high quality transition care for youth with special health care needs should optimize the patient’s quality of life and ensure continued access to and appropriate use of clinical care.34,36,39-46 More specifically, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) suggests that good transition care follow the principles of the medical home. Transition care should be coordinated, comprehensive, individualized, culturally competent, and patient-centered.27,40,43,47-57 The AAP also recommends that the transition program promote skills in communication, decision-making, assertiveness, and self-care to enhance a sense of control and independence of health care for youth.40,43,55

Several professional organizations including the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP), the American College of Physicians (ACP), the Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine, the Canadian Pediatric Society, and the National Association of Pediatric Nurse Practitioners and Nurses also endorse these functional goals.50,58-60 Key Informants in this process stated that transition care should be based upon these principles, but that specific programs should be designed to match the specific needs of the patient population and the health care system.

Increased prevalence of chronic and disabling disease paired with improvements in early diagnosis and treatment of those conditions have led to increasing numbers of children and youth
with special health care needs living into adulthood. Based on the most recent estimate from the AAP in 2002, more than 90 percent of CSHCN now survive into adulthood, and approximately 750,000 CSHCN make the transition to adulthood annually in the United States.

Current practice involves a range from simple transfer of care from pediatric to adult settings that occurs at a set time-point to a well-planned and coordinated transition of care that occurs over time and encompasses elements both before and after the anticipated transfer of care. When transition involves only an abrupt care transfer, patients may be put at risk of getting “lost in the system” or experiencing decreased access to care, both of which may be associated with poorer long-term health outcomes, impaired function, and high-cost emergency care. One of the goals of transition care is therefore to prevent these adverse outcomes.

**Advantages**

Proposed benefits of purposeful transition care are that it provides youth with ongoing access to primary care and subspecialist care, promotes competence in disease management, fosters independence, social, and emotional development through teaching self-advocacy and communication skills, and allows for a sense of security for support of long-term health care planning and life goals. Self-care behaviors learned from well-executed health transition care during adolescence may also be useful during other periods of transition, such as changes in residence, insurance, and personal preference.

Direct benefits of transition care to the patient include improved disease control, decreased hospital admissions, better quality of life, and increased patient satisfaction, but further research is needed to determine how best to implement transition care and if such efforts translate into long-term improvements in overall health outcomes. Transfer of care to an adult provider, as a component of transition care, provides the benefit of access to targeted care for issues related to adulthood and aging, which may be better handled by adult providers. Adult providers are also better suited to address issues such as pregnancy and comorbidities associated with adult lifestyle and aging.

Finally, post-transition perspective surveys suggest that although CSHCN appreciate the increased autonomy received in adult clinics, they report that a transition program (as opposed to a transfer of care) would be beneficial.

**Disadvantages**

An inherent disadvantage of transfer of care includes a change in the health care provider and a move away from a familiar pediatric setting. As illustrated in a study published in 2011 that assessed the transfer experiences and medical outcomes of a cohort of individuals with HIV acquired in childhood, the transition to adult care was more difficult than expected, and youth reported feelings of abandonment and sadness with the loss of patient-provider relationship after transfer to adult health care. Almost one half of the participants who transferred to adult care (19/42) reported problems with medication adherence. This study also reported that CD4 counts trended downward, clinically indicating worsening disease status, from pre- to post-transfer. Other studies report young adults with sickle cell disease transferring from pediatric clinics experiencing increased episodes of pain and greater mortality, premature deaths after transfer for young people with congenital heart disease, and high rates of rejection and allograft loss among youth with transplants immediately following transfer.
Some subgroups of patients may be at increased risk for poorer outcomes. For example, a retrospective review of administrative and survey data of young adults with diabetes found that individuals in the lowest income group were less likely to attend an eye care visit in the two years after transition to adult diabetes care than were individuals from other income groups. Patients transferred to a new health care team who did not change physicians were less likely to be hospitalized after the transfer than were patients who changed physicians, regardless of whether or not they also transferred to a new health care team.

None of these disadvantages is related to good transition planning; rather these are disadvantages of moving from a pediatric health care system to an adult health care system in circumstances where there are inadequate supports. Actual disadvantages of well-executed transition care have not been formally studied. At the system level, potential disadvantages of true transition care may include high costs of providing care and lack of reimbursement for these services, costs of system changes during development and implementation phases for transition programs, and loss of revenue for children’s hospitals (i.e. movement of congenital heart disease cases to adult hospitals). However, studies comparing cost-effectiveness of transition care for children with special health care needs and cost of unsuccessful transition are lacking.

Components of Transition Care (Guiding Question 1b)

Although there is common endorsement in the literature for the need for transition planning for CSHCN, a range of approaches to improving the process and structure of transition care has been proposed, and no gold standard for transition care exists. The most common practice models are: a primary care model where the general practitioner provides ongoing medical care and implements and/or uses transition related services and supports, a transition coordination model where a consultative, multidisciplinary team facilitates the transition, and an adolescent-focused model where youth transition to adult care through an adolescent specialist service. Disease-specific or subspecialty-based transition programs also exist and may use any one of the models described above in a disease-specific way.

It is worth noting that although patients cared for by family practitioners may theoretically have the same primary care physician in childhood and adulthood, these patients may still benefit from a process to help them assume increasing responsibility for their own care as they age and may still need to transfer some of their care from pediatric to adult specialists. There are no empirical data in the literature to guide decisions regarding whether primary care transition and subspecialty care transitions should occur simultaneously or in a sequential fashion.

Key informants noted that varying approaches to transition care may be warranted and appropriate given the heterogeneity of CSHCN, both by diagnosis and by level of medical complexity. For example, discussion of infection control policies will be an important aspect of transition programs for patients with cystic fibrosis, while transition programs for youth with HIV will likely incorporate strategies to address the stigma surrounding this diagnosis. The format that is adopted also depends on the facilities and resources available. Likewise, the Society for Adolescent Medicine recommends that one of the basic principles for successful transition is “to have individualized and flexible enough programs to meet the needs of young people and their families.”

Despite documented variation and a focus on flexibility in transition approaches, there is a core set of common components of quality transition care. Experts, including this project’s Key Informants, point to the Got Transition Six Core Elements of Health Care Transition as a useful framework that is widely accessible and flexible. Got Transition is the name of The National
Alliance to Advance Adolescent Health initiative supported by the U.S. Maternal and Child Bureau/Health Resources and Services Administration. These efforts led four practice-based Breakthrough Series-style Learning Collaboratives, adapting quality improvement methodology used by the National Initiative for Children’s Healthcare Quality (NICHQ) and pioneered by the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI) to generate and test its suggested transition framework.

The core elements defined by Got Transition mirror the algorithm for best practices in the clinical report titled “Supporting the Health Care Transition From Adolescence to Adulthood in the Medical Home” that was jointly published by the AAP, AAFP, and ACP. These core elements, or components, of health care transition are transition policy, transitioning youth registry, transition preparation, transition planning, transition and transfer of care, and transition completion and apply to both pediatric and adult health care settings. These components underscore the key concept that transition care is a process that involves actionable steps by both pediatric and adult provider, not a single event or passive process.

Each of these components can be augmented by the use of specific tools to address issues including comorbidities, the presence of both physical and intellectual disabilities, and confounding psychosocial circumstances. Different tools may be used for different groups of patients or different clinical settings. Incorporation and implementation of different tools also may vary based on available resources and support services. Most published transition programs incorporate multiple components and tools, making assessment of individual components difficult.

We have organized the review of the literature addressing transition care components (Guiding Question 1b) around the Got Transition algorithm based on advice from this project’s Key Informants. However, given the recognized need for further evidence-based recommendations in this field, we do not formally endorse the program. Rather, as noted elsewhere in this report, we use it as an organizing tool; we recognize that some users of this report may prefer other approaches to organizing the literature.

**Transition Policy**

An explicit transition policy describes the practice’s approach to health care transition, outlines goals of the program, and clarifies the roles and responsibilities of the youth, family, and health care team. Development of policies may involve both pediatric and adult transition teams.

The transition policy typically includes a timeline with a suggested age for beginning the transition process and tentative deadline for ultimate transfer of care. It is frequently recommended in the published literature that transition care start early, perhaps as young as 10 to 12 years of age, to allow for an adequate period of preparation, and some advocate for beginning the process at time of diagnosis. There is little empirical evidence, however, about optimal age at which to begin the process.

Timing of transfer takes into account the youth’s cognitive development, physical abilities, and environment, which includes socioeconomic characteristics and psychosocial resources including family or peer support. In particular, it is typically recommended that transfer of care not take place during a period of health crisis, especially if the support system is unstable.

Nonetheless, having a target age of transfer could be useful to catalyze transition activities to plan and prepare for the ultimate transfer of care. Age 18 years is most commonly considered an
acceptable age for transfer, and this is the age at which parents lose access to their child’s medical records. However, the range of suggested age of transfer is from 12 years to 25 years. The wide range of suggested transfer age in the literature may underscore that timing of transfer of care should be individualized.

Other suggestions for introducing anticipated transition that we identified in our search were transition alert letters, videos, and pamphlets or books. Pamphlets, in particular, theoretically offer an accessible, portable, convenient, and cost-effective means for information distribution.

**Transition Registry**

Some medical practices maintain transition registries to help identify patients with special health care needs at the appropriate chronologic age for transition and enable a system for monitoring which steps of the transition process still need to be completed. Use of electronic health record systems can facilitate development and organization of such registries. Minimal information is available at this time regarding the utility of and implementation strategies for transition registries as a component of a transition program. Nonetheless, the use of a registry to track the status of patients with special health care needs and also to stratify them in terms of complexity is endorsed as an important distinction of a medical home.

**Transition Preparation**

Youth, their families and their providers all need to be prepared to initiate and complete a transition process. Key Informants reported that providers may not have access to adequate training to manage the challenges associated with transition and that adult providers may be unprepared to care for CSHCN who transfer to adult care, and this opinion is echoed the published literature. Thus, transition care involves active preparation on the part of providers, transitioning youth, and their families. Educational needs exist for both the clinical team and the patients and their families in preparation for transition.

First, some health care providers may need additional education on transition care topics and professional training in caring for adolescent patients. Team members may need to be supported with continuing medical education programs that are tailored for their specific functional needs as a member of the transition team. One specific educational resource for health care providers is the Transition-Oriented Health Supervision (TOHS), based upon the American Academy of Pediatrics Bright Futures developmental approach to health supervision, which helps guide clinical encounters to prepare CSHCN, and their families, for the necessary move from pediatric to adult health care. The AAP is also developing Maintenance of Certification modules addressing transition planning.

Second, youth and family report a need for education about the differences between pediatric and adult care and may receive ongoing anticipatory guidance regarding what to expect from adult specialty care as well as instruction for navigating the system of entitlements, such as Medicaid and Supplemental Security Income. Youth and their parents also report the need for disease-specific education during this time.

Transition should include a formal transition curriculum to address medical and non-medical issues including disease-specific topics, skills training in communication, decisionmaking, creative problem solving, assertiveness, self-care, self-determination, and self-advocacy. Incorporation of online materials and text messaging can be a useful tool to promote health management skills in adolescents.
Transition preparation also is recommended to include support for youth and their family. Both family and peer support groups or mentoring programs have been proposed as forms of support and education during the transition process. The Adolescent Leadership Council is an example of a group-mentoring program that brings together high school students with chronic illness and college student mentors with chronic illness. Participants have reported that they learned to better care for their illness and gained skills talking about their illness, and investigators reported a small increase in transition readiness scores for participants in the program, although successful transitioning has not been evaluated.

Another example is the Good2Go Transition Program which used psycho-educational transition groups to discuss issues of self-advocacy, independent behaviors, health lifestyle issues, and health care access strategies. These groups were also available to support parents in growing independence of their adolescent.

Mentoring via email or internet chat rooms has also been proposed but not evaluated. Summer camps are another option that could provide opportunities to increase social networks and promote development of self-management skills.

Transition care programs typically attempt to be family-centered and engage the family in the process by recognizing that the family’s role in care of the young adult is not necessarily diminishing, but changing to a more consultative role for health care needs. Some studies report that continued involvement of parents in youth’s lives has a protective effect on better health outcomes. Thus, transition planning with parents may be a beneficial aspect of transition preparation for the youth. Examples of opportunities for family engagement include concurrent visits for parents, specific focus on fathers, and modeling of healthy lifestyles. Printed materials to educate and coach parents about their evolving role, particularly as it pertains to communication and co-management, are available through Family Voices.

**Transition Planning**

**Individualized Transition Plan**

There is a marked emphasis in the literature supporting a formal, individualized transition plan documented in the medical record. Careful documentation in the patient chart of intent to transfer to an adult health care provider and details regarding transition conversations among patients and providers may predict successful transfer of care. The transition plan generally includes goals for achieving self-care, health care decision-making, and self-advocacy and outlines specific actions required to achieve these goals. The transition plan also documents the expectations for the adolescent’s knowledge and understanding about his or her condition and addresses strategies for securing health insurance. Key informants provided information on the degree to which developing such a plan is time intensive, but essential for ensuring that the process goes well.

In theory, collaborative development of a transition plan may enhance communication between the patient and the health care provider and helps parents learn to consider their child’s future capacity in multiple domains such as education, employment, and independent living, and it helps adolescents learn that they will be expected to take gradual increased responsibility for their health care. Enhancing patient-provider communication has been cited as a means for smoothing the transition to adult care. Multiple approaches may be used to facilitate
communication; for example holding visits in a consultation room without examination equipment and the provider-patient dialogue taking place sitting around a table rather than behind a desk.141

**Assessing Readiness**

Most transition programs suggest that assessment of transition readiness can guide individualized interventions that promote appropriate patient education and skill development.36,49,66,97,98,108,122 Readiness assessment tools also offer the added advantage of measuring overlapping constructs or assessing the continuum of transition preparedness as opposed to the simple “yes/no” questions on checklists.49,67,98 Readiness assessment tools can also be used to measure clinical outcomes of developing transition programs.142 A systematic review completed by Stinson and colleagues in September 2012 identified seven transition readiness measures, but found that none of these tools had been appropriately psychometrically tested to establish validity and reliability.142

In the absence of rigorously tested transition readiness tools, use of behavior theories, such as the transtheoretical model and stages of change, to assess patient readiness has been suggested. The five stages of change in the transtheoretical model are precontemplation, contemplation, preparation, action, and maintenance and can describe transition from a patient who has not yet considered transition to the adult health care system through a patient that fully accepts responsibility for his/her health.102

**Legal Considerations**

Some youth with special health care needs will not attain independence because of significant developmental or functional disabilities. Discussions about guardianship, health care power of attorney, and other legal issues may need to take place during transition preparation.36,123,143 It is recommended that such youth have a functional competency assessment well before age 18 to determine specific supports needed and arrange for these supports in order to increase self-determination.111

Medical providers providing transition care recognize that parents appointed as legal guardians will remain involved in the youth’s care for the remainder of the individual’s life.51 Appropriate steps can be taken to ensure these parents continue to have access to their child’s medical records even after their child reaches 18 years of age. Parental estate planning to protect Supplemental Security Income eligibility should also be considered during the transition planning period.111 The transition period also provides an opportunity to hold end-of-life and emergency planning discussions collaboratively and without urgency.53,116,143

**Transfer of Care**

The transfer of care from a pediatric to adult provider is just one concrete aspect of the overall transition process. Nonetheless, much of the empirical literature uses successful transfer as a measure of effectiveness.

Checklists, portable medical summaries, and meeting the adult provider before transfer are often recommended as part of the transfer of care process.38,62,63,96,98,99,102,103,109,114,135,144 Table 2 summarizes specific examples of these tools.
Table 2. Tools to aid transition and transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Checklists</td>
<td>• May be placed in the patients’ charts</td>
<td>• Spina Bifida Transition Program at the University of Wisconsin(^{82})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Allows providers to keep track of the skills and knowledge that the transitioning youth needs to acquire before transfer of care</td>
<td>• Clinical Pathway Document developed by the ON TRAC program at British Columbia Children’s Hospital(^{45})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• May be used to ensure that all crucial education topics are covered</td>
<td>• Checklist developed at the Center for Inflammatory Bowel Disease at Children’s Hospital Boston(^{146})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• May divide tasks based on chronological age</td>
<td>• Rheumatology transition program at the Princess of Wales Children’s Hospital, Birmingham, United Kingdom(^{101})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Clinical decision support systems that remind clinical teams about steps for transition planning</td>
<td>• Checklist available through the Endocrine Society’s online practice management resource (^{79})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Medical Summary</td>
<td>• May include past medical and surgical history, list of current medications, allergies, immunizations, pertinent family and social history, most recent diagnostic and imaging studies, disease specific parameters (i.e., cardiac anatomy and physiology for patients with congenital heart disease), upcoming appointments, and contact information for health care providers</td>
<td>• MyHealth Passport developed by the Good2Go Transition Program at the Hospital for Sick Children(^{129,147})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Updated at each visit and a copy should be included in the medical record and given to the adolescent to keep</td>
<td>• Your Plan It developed by the ON TRAC program at the British Columbia Children’s Hospital(^{145})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• May require special adaptation for those with intellectual disability to include non-written forms of communication such as pictures or tape recordings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting the Adult Provider</td>
<td>• May alleviate the lack of trust, fear, and anxiety that the youth and family may have related to acquiring a new adult provider</td>
<td>• Spina Bifida Transition Program at the University of Wisconsin(^{82})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• May increase confidence and comfort among transitioning youth</td>
<td>• Cystic Fibrosis Transition Program at the University of Michigan(^{148})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• May promote higher rates of retention in adult clinics after transfer</td>
<td>• Young Persons Clinic at the Royal Manchester Children’s Hospital(^{149})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Joint clinics (or appointments) are a strategy for patients to meet the adult provider(s) before the transfer of care and help facilitate communication and convey trust among pediatric and adult members of the transition team</td>
<td>• University Diabetes Center in Italy(^{150})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tours of receiving adult clinics are another strategy used to enable patients to meet the accepting adult health care team before transfer and acclimate the patient to their new health care setting</td>
<td>• Transition Pilot Program at St. Jude Children’s Hospital(^{148})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Sickle Cell Transition Program at Froedtert Hospital and Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee(^{151})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• MAGICC approach in the Rheumatology Divisions at the Royal Hallamshire Hospital and Sheffield Children’s Hospital(^{105})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Royal Gwent Hospital diabetes program,(^{120}) Newport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• DON’T RETARD transition program for youth with juvenile idiopathic arthritis at University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium(^{78})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Liverpool Model, Liverpool Heart and Chest Hospital, United Kingdom(^{121})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transition Completion

Written communication and good documentation may serve to promote continuity of care. Maintaining an up to date medical summary that is portable and accessible enables such communication. The medical summary for transition includes recommendations for treatments that work best physiologically and psychologically for the individual patient and family, as well as details such as advanced directives and provisions for affordable, continuous health insurance coverage. Providing the patient with a copy of the portable medical summary may help with communication and allows the patient an opportunity to include any personal information and advanced directives that he chooses. Personally controlled electronic health records or emerging smart phone applications can help support this effort. It may be helpful to have youth prepare their own referral letter to clarify their medical needs and set objectives for self-management, promoting identity development and responsibility.

It is typically recommended that the referring pediatric team be available to the adult team as a resource immediately after the transfer of care to allow for a period of co-management with pediatric and adult providers. A transition coordinator, specifically, can help bridge communication between the pediatric and adult teams upon completing transfer of care. Some programs have included a celebration, including certificates, letters of gratitude to the health team, and graduation ceremonies. These strategies may address the feelings of abandonment and sadness with the loss of patient-provider relationship that youth have reported after transfer to adult health care.

After complete transfer of care has taken place, the overall transition process should be evaluated to highlight areas for future improvement. Participation of youth and their families in evaluation of transition care also is recommended when developing and improving transition services. Continual transition service improvement will require the development more robust data collection methods and measurement tools that reflect the essential components of transition.

Such tools could be developed in conjunction with electronic clinical decision support systems that aid health care providers in completing the sequential steps of transition planning, particularly since decision support and clinical information systems are two of the six “pillars” of the Care Model for Child Health. The systematic review conducted by Stinson and colleagues in September 2012 identified six transfer satisfaction measures, but found that none of these tools had well-established evidence of reliability and validity and most did not comment on how CSHCN or their families had been involved in the development and testing of these patient-reported outcome measures.

Transition in the Face of Patient Complexity (Guiding Question 1c)

Youth with special health care needs often have a complex array of medical and sometimes psychological or psychiatric conditions, reinforcing the need for individualized transition care. Each of the general components above can be personalized or augmented by the use of specific tools to address the complexity of health issues including comorbidities, the presence of both physical and intellectual disabilities, and confounding psychosocial circumstances.

The following may be helpful for addressing complexity in patient populations: maintaining a flexible policy regarding the timing of the transfer, adequate preparation through focused education and support, development of individualized transition plans, utilization of a transition
coordinator, and facilitated communication between multidisciplinary providers and patient or family through use of a portable medical summary.

Our Key Informants noted that while all adolescents with a chronic condition would need some sort of transition support. Furthermore, their diversity in terms of conditions and complexity affects what is needed and where. For highly complex cases, the range and quantity of resources may be available, for example, only at centralized programs, often at academic centers. For some types of chronic conditions, on the other hand, and in the absence of multiple conditions, Key Informants recommended that community based programs can and should be developed.

Guiding Question 2. Description of the Context for Implementing Transition Care

a. How widely available are programs or approaches to transition care within the health care setting for children/adolescents with special health care needs?

b. What are the resources needed to implement transition care?

c. What are the specific barriers to implementing transition care or processes for children/adolescents with special health care needs?

d. Who delivers transition interventions and what training is required to implement identified approaches to transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs?

Availability of Transition Programs (Guiding Question 2a)

The data on availability of transition programs are limited, but the little research that has been done suggests that these programs are not widely available. Although current numbers are unavailable, about one-half of diabetes centers in the United States reported having a structured transition program in 2010, and only 18 percent of pediatric rheumatology units in the United Kingdom had a dedicated adolescent clinic in 2000.

Transition services might be especially inaccessible if one is receiving public services in childhood, a survey of state mental health administrators from across the United States found that only 5 percent reported the existence of any type of programs or services within the State mental health system to transition mental health treatment from the child to adult service system. Thus, the findings from limited research converge around some level of inaccessibility of transition programs and services, with anywhere from 5 to 50 percent of agencies or clinics offering such programs. Key Informants affirmed that availability and resources rather than standards defined as best practice and resources shape the landscape of transition care approaches seen in practice.

Dedicated transition programs are not only relatively inaccessible, but many pediatric clinics do not have formal plans for transitioning their pediatric patients with special health care needs to adult care. In a survey of providers of pediatric HIV care in the United States, 81 percent had designated a transition coordinator, but few clinics had established policies to define the details of transition. Established transition policies were also relatively uncommon in a survey of primary care pediatricians in the United States; only 13 percent had written policies about the transition from pediatric to adult care.

Aside from information on the availability of specific transition programs or plans, the proportion of youth with special health care needs who are given information and assistance with transition (either within the context of a transition program or through their pediatric provider) is
low. Nationally, only about 40 percent of youth with special health care needs meet criteria for adequate transition support, with the other 60 percent being unprepared for transition in at least one area.  

Similarly, among young adults with diabetes only 50 percent reported receiving specific adult provider or clinic recommendations. Fewer than 15 percent of participants reported receiving written transition materials, having a specific visit to discuss transition, or meeting the adult provider before transition. Finally, less than 40 percent of a sample of young adults with sickle cell disease received any preparation before transferring from pediatric to adult care.

Perhaps in part because of a lack of transition support, just over 20 percent of youth with special health care needs nationally have successfully transitioned to adult care, defined by constructs such as having an adult provider who provides routine preventative care and having continuous health insurance coverage.

In sum, the limited data on the availability of transition programs and information suggests that the majority of transitioning youth with special health care needs do not have access to a specific program to aid with their transition, nor to the necessary information or planning to make the transition a smooth process. Lack of access appears to be particularly pronounced for youth who are not receiving care within a medical home setting or are receiving public assistance, as well as youth with certain neurodevelopmental or psychiatric conditions, whose parents have less education, and who are racial/ethnic minorities.

Our search for transition programs with information available online identified specific programs, presented in Appendix C. Few of these programs are evaluated in the published or gray literature. The gray literature search also retrieved a variety of online resources for transition care for individuals with special health needs.

Resources To Implement Transition Care (Guiding Question 2b)

The resources needed to implement transition care vary, of course, by the type or complexity of the program or service. Commonly described resources include space, time, personnel, materials, and systems for knowledge transfer. Key Informants noted that successful implementation of a transition care program requires significant staffing and resources.

Space
Like all other health care programs, transition programs require dedicated space. Some transition programs use space to create a dedicated transition clinic. In other transition programs, space is needed to house a dedicated transition coordinator or other personnel within a pediatric clinic. Still other transition programs require space to convene transition-related activities such as peer support or mentoring groups.

Time
Time is necessary to develop and implement transition policies, establish and maintain transition registries, prepare patients and families for transition, and transition planning and actual case transfer. For example, transition preparation, planning and case transfer all require communication and coordination between pediatric and adult providers. This requires patients and their families, pediatric providers, and adult providers to dedicate time to these activities.

It may be particularly important for adult providers to allow additional time for the first post-transition appointment because this may help build rapport with transitioning youth and their family and help the provider understand the youth’s health care needs. Flexibility in terms of
when the first adult appointment is scheduled may also be helpful. For example, scheduling the first adult provider appointment close in time to the last pediatric appointment, instead of following the regular visit schedule (which means that the patient might not meet with the adult provider for 6 months or more after transition), may ease the transition process and improve adherence.  

**Personnel**

Personnel are also required at each stage of the transition process from policy development to transition completion but are not used in the same way across transition programs. Some transition programs are separate, stand-alone clinics. These programs require front-end, clinical, and back-end staff to support transition-related activities. Other transition programs exist within pediatric clinics and require personnel with transition-specific responsibilities such as a transition coordinator. These programs must either hire staff whose responsibility is to manage transition processes or designate a portion of an existing staff member’s responsibilities to transition-related tasks. Finally, other programs convene transition-related activities such as peer support or mentoring groups. These programs also must hire staff to coordinate and provide services.

Given the diversity and complexity of young adults with special health care needs, a multidisciplinary approach to transition seems most likely to ensure a transition process that meets the range of medical, cognitive, and social needs. Health care staff members involved in transition may include physicians, nurses, case managers, social workers, and peer mentors. Nonetheless, the Society of Adolescent Medicine emphasizes the need for one designated professional to take responsibility for the process together with the patient and the family. One of the more common suggestions is for that individual to be an assigned transition coordinator and advocate. A dedicated transition coordinator, either within the context of routine clinical care or in a specialized transition clinic, is a common approach to implementing a transition program. This is also a role that is valued by patients and their families who consider the availability of a transition coordinator to be more important than paper-based resources. The transition coordinator works with the transitioning youth to set developmentally appropriate goals, manage the transfer of information from the pediatric to adult provider, assist in making appointments with adult providers, arrange transportation, and facilitate the transfer from the family-centered orientation of pediatric care to the more individually oriented adult care system. The transition coordinator may attend the first adult appointment and sometimes follows-up with the patient multiple times after transition to ensure that they are satisfied and receiving the care they need. An advantage to assigning a care coordinator is that this staff member can assume a role that spans both pediatric and adult services as well as leverage already available community resources to meet individualized needs of transitioning youth. Several transition programs have reported using an advanced practice nurse as the transition coordinator, with the theoretical advantages being their capacity to attain dedicated time for transition initiatives and their ability to serve as an expert, educator, researcher, leader, and consultant. Alternatively, a community-based “navigator”, or facilitator, offers the advantage of being unencumbered by an affiliation with any particular service system.
Regardless of whether transition coordinators are used, both pediatric and adult providers and their staff need training in issues of adolescence. Clinicians treating adolescents face specific challenges – particularly when the adolescent has special health care needs. These challenges may include determining the degree of autonomy versus family involvement appropriate to that individual, addressing risky behavior, and providing information in a way that is appropriate to their level of cognitive development (e.g., difficulties in considering long-term consequences of behaviors or nonadherence).

As is discussed in more detail in Guiding Question 2c, many providers (both adult and pediatric) do not receive specific training in providing care to adolescents and may be inadequately prepared to deal with adolescent issues such as puberty or lack of adherence to medication and treatment regimens because of the desire to be viewed the same as one’s peers. For a transition program to be most effective, training in adolescent medicine can be helpful for the transition coordinator as well as for pediatric and adult primary care providers.

Further, pediatric providers may need training in transition processes and adult providers may need training in treatment of complex medical conditions beginning in childhood (e.g., cerebral palsy, Down syndrome, autism spectrum disorder); this is particularly true for adult physicians who treat patients with intellectual disabilities. In cases where training the adult providers is not feasible, or the specific condition that a patient presents with is too rare to warrant training, the availability of consultation with experts in specific childhood-onset conditions may increase adult providers’ willingness to provide care to transitioning youth with special health care needs.

Perhaps the most important resource needed to implement high-quality transition care is a productive collaboration between pediatric and adult providers. This collaboration can take different forms, such as scheduling one or a series of overlapping appointments with a pediatric and adult provider, a joint clinic that includes both pediatric and adult providers, or commencing appointments with an adult provider before transition. Other effective strategies are having the pediatric provider attend the first appointment with the adult provider and having the pediatric team followup with the transitioning youth after he or she has transitioned into adult care.

Finally, trained personnel who are knowledgeable about the health insurance options available to transitioning youth with special health care needs are helpful to ensure that youth remain covered during the transition. Adolescents and young adults with a chronic disease are less likely to have health insurance than any other age group, and a trained staff person who can help them navigate changes in insurance coverage during the transition to adulthood can be valuable to avoid gaps in coverage or care. While the allowance for children to remain on parental insurance until age 26 and other expansions associated with the Affordable Care Act have the potential to mitigate some of these issues, it will still be important to provide support for navigating this changing benefits and access landscape.

Materials
Written guidelines can include information such as the age at which transition should be initiated, the age by which certain behaviors are expected to have occurred, how youth readiness will be determined, as well as the specific staff responsibilities for different aspects of the transition process.

Although written guidelines can serve as a type of transfer checklist, formal transfer checklists designed to guide pediatric provider actions and transfer knowledge to adult providers
are also available. A transfer checklist or plan might, for example, be kept on file with the pediatric provider and be sent to the adult provider. The plan may include information not necessarily contained in the medical record such as transition readiness, noncompliance issues, possible problems with insurance after transition, or other contextual factors.

Information transfer ideally occurs well before the transition, so adult providers can understand the complications and challenges they might encounter. Information transfer well in advance may be particularly important if the patient’s condition is severe and requires emergency care after transitioning from pediatric care but before the first adult ambulatory visit. Transition checklists can also be useful in helping families prepare their son or daughter with special health care needs to take on more responsibility for his/her health care.

Several tools have been proposed to assess patient readiness and may be incorporated into guidelines and checklists. These need to be acquired or developed at the clinic level. Examples include the Readiness to Transition Questionnaire and a self-management scale to help providers determine how much of the youth’s own care he or she has taken responsibility for (e.g., taking medications independently). Another tool is The Transition Readiness Assessment Questionnaire (TRAQ), which incorporates the Transtheoretical Model for the five stages of change into a 5-point ordinal scale for measurement of completed transition tasks specifically for youth with special health care needs. Disease specific transition readiness tools have also been developed for cystic fibrosis, cerebral palsy, and diabetes. The Self-Management Scale, piloted to assess transition readiness in youth with cystic fibrosis, was found to be a better predictor than age for success in transfer to adult care.

Additionally, for youth with special health care needs who also have an intellectual disability, an assessment of cognitive, developmental, and adaptive functioning will be critical in determining transition readiness, the optimal level of involvement by parents, and to inform adult providers.

In addition to written guidelines, transfer checklists, and readiness assessments patient-and family-centered educational resources encouraging autonomy, self-advocacy, self-care responsibility, and treatment adherence may also be important. Education materials that are available electronically, such as internet sites or mobile phone applications, are accessed more easily by young people. Teens have also identified games, animation, messaging, and chat features as desirable features for such education materials.

Workbooks used as learning tools can help providers assess the patients’ knowledge and understanding of their disease process and transition needs. Gilliam and colleagues describe the development of a workbook for transitioning youth with HIV/AIDS that uses a developmental approach to teach and reinforce life skills and health information.

Education strategies are an important part of improving adherence and preparing the youth to be responsible for his or her care. Providers may tailor the specific approach to patient education based on the resources of the clinic and the needs of the population.

**Tools for Transferring Knowledge Between Providers**

Key Informants recommended that care plans be in place at the time of patient transfer. Similarly, the literature asserts that a system to transfer knowledge and information from the pediatric provider to the adult provider is important. One example is the use of a transfer summary or checklist, kept on file with the pediatric provider and sent to the adult provider. The transfer summary or checklist includes important information not necessarily contained in the medical record such as a comprehensive summary of history of care, transition...
readiness, noncompliance issues, possible problems with insurance after transition, and recommendations for what might work best for the youth psychologically or physically.61,122,171 Towns and colleagues62 noted that the transfer of information would ideally happen well before the transition actually occurs, so that the adult care team can have a full understanding of the extent of complications and challenges they might encounter. Having this transfer occur ahead of the first adult appointment could also be important if the youth with special health care needs requires emergency care after transitioning from pediatric care, but before their first ambulatory visit with the adult provider. Key Informants uniformly endorsed this idea.

Barriers to Implementation of Transition Care (Guiding Question 2c)

The literature describes several barriers to implementing transition care. We divided these barriers into systems and provider-related, and patient and family-related barriers.

Systems and Provider-Related Barriers

Cost and Insurance Problems

Changes in insurance and gaps in coverage are common in transition care, per the literature and the Key Informant interviews.17,51,57,58,66,81,87,97,108,111,122,123,140,144,159,169,182,192-195 Key Informants noted that the challenges to implementing seamless transition of care are complicated by significant differences between pediatric and adult health care practice, stemming from issues related to coverage, eligibility, and other financial disincentives.

When children and adolescents age out of Medicaid eligibility or their parents’ insurance, options for obtaining coverage may be limited or nonexistent,108,140,193 and adolescents and young adults with special health care needs are less likely to have health insurance than any other age group.169 Up to one-third of youth experience gaps greater than 6 months in health care coverage when moving from a pediatric to adult provider,196 and between 15 and 30 percent of young adults with special health care needs have no insurance coverage.14,193

The age of transition often corresponds to a time when insurance coverage and benefits change. These insurance changes can result in decreased access to care for young adults and lack of coverage for those clinics that provide transition care further affecting reimbursement for services. Lack of insurance coverage during and after transition, as well as greater difficulties getting needed services for those receiving publically funded health care in adulthood represents a significant barrier to implementing transition services, with serious implications for care throughout adulthood. Further, many young adults with special health care needs have difficulty maintaining employment, which can pose additional challenges to paying for health care.122

Health care providers are often held to benchmarked standards for volume of patients seen and levels of reimbursement within their practice. Transition care requires a significant amount of provider time, which results in a decrease in the number of patients seen by an individual provider.78,111,189 However, this care does not result in a substantial increase in per visit reimbursement and can therefore translate into a financial loss to clinics that provide this type of service.187

In addition, transition care incorporates multidisciplinary services, which can be costly to those clinics that do not use these services for other patients. With the recent focus on pediatric medical homes, many pediatric clinics have greater access to multidisciplinary care,125 so this
cost difference might be more significant for the adult clinics typically designed for individual focused care.

**Differences in the Culture of Pediatric Versus Adult Providers**

Differences in how pediatric and adult clinics are structured, as well as in expectations for transitioning youth, may pose another barrier to effective transition care. Given the initiation of the medical home concept in pediatric care, pediatric providers may be more accustomed to ensuring patients have followup appointments and prescription refills, whereas adult providers place more responsibility on the individual. Further, pediatric clinics may have greater familiarity with family-centered care, which is focused on developing a treatment plan that works for the youth with special health care needs and his or her family. Adult clinics, take a more patient-centered approach by treating the patient as an autonomous adult who makes his or her own informed decisions in collaboration with a provider. Although this emphasis on personal agency and self-sufficiency for adult patients compared with pediatric patients is developmentally appropriate, it can be difficult and overwhelming for the transitioning youth. In particular, increasing emphasis on patient-centeredness in adult care, in which patients work in partnership with their clinicians to make health care decisions, may paradoxically cause undue stress on individuals with special health care needs especially as they transition.

The adult health care system currently tends to provide more fragmented care than the pediatric health care system, although an increasing emphasis on accountable care and models for implementing the adult medical home have significant potential to decrease fragmentation. Medical and psychosocial services are particularly separate, and medical and psychiatric records are sometimes kept entirely distinct even within the same medical system, even though transitioning youth with special health care needs often have comorbid psychiatric disorders that need to be addressed in addition to their complex medical needs. The need to make multiple appointments with different providers to get medical and psychosocial needs met through the adult care system, compared with the one-stop shop of pediatric providers, may pose a barrier to successful transition care. In particular, increasing emphasis on patient-centeredness in adult care, in which patients work in partnership with their clinicians to make health care decisions, may paradoxically cause undue stress on individuals with special health care needs especially as they transition.

**Lack of Provider Training in Child-Onset Conditions**

To some degree, adult providers lack in-depth training in childhood-onset conditions, having specialized in their training in adult medical care. Data from the literature support the Key Informant input that this is often the case; transitioning youth report that some adult providers do not have the skills or knowledge about childhood conditions to most effectively treat them.

This lack of knowledge can lead to reluctance by adult providers to accept responsibility for adolescents who have complex physical and psychological needs, leading to difficulty finding adult providers, especially for youth with significant cognitive limitations. As an example, in one study, more than half of pediatric neurologists were unable to find adult neurologists willing to care for patients with severe disabilities.
Patient and Family-Related Barriers

Issues of Adolescent Development

Various developmental issues arise during adolescence that can be barriers to successful transition care. Risky behavior, substance abuse, and concerns about sexual health all peak at this time. Furthermore, adolescence is a time when peers take on greater importance, and youth with special health care needs can make great effort to appear “normal” to their peers. Many adolescents have limited experience with financial independence or making their own decisions and may have difficulty keeping appointments and being responsible for their own health care. They may not have developed the skills to negotiate independently the adult service system, which is more complicated and fragmented than the pediatric system. Many have not reached a level of maturity to be able to appreciate fully the long-term implications of their decisions.

All of these behaviors, which are common to adolescence, can have a negative effect on the transitioning youth’s ability to adhere to complicated medical regimens, and so are particularly troublesome for children with special health care needs. Further, because most providers are trained to provide care to children or adults, few providers have training in how to treat adolescents, and it can be very difficult to know the most effective way to deliver care to this population.

Finally, some youth with special health care needs have conditions that worsen in adolescence, such as increased difficulties with glycemic control for youth with diabetes or the emergence of comorbid mental health problems, which are common among many youth with special health care needs.

Problems With Adherence

Although likely confounded with issues of adolescent development (e.g., difficulty considering long-term implications of decisions and behaviors), differences in the structure of pediatric versus adult clinics (e.g., more hands-on followup to ensure adherence in pediatric clinics), or difficulties with insurance coverage, problems with adherence are common in transition-aged youth with special health care needs. Problems can include forgetting to take medications, running out of medications, and not showing up for scheduled appointments. Some youth with special health care needs may feel “burnt out” on managing their conditions and might not seek maintenance care.

Non-adherence is one of the leading causes of organ rejection, and older adolescents and young adults have the highest rates of non-adherence. Young adult patients with diabetes have high rates of non-attendance after transfer to adult care and less frequent clinic contact is associated with poorer glucose control. Youth with diabetes have higher rates of hospitalization because of acute hyperglycemia within two years after transfer.

Resistance to Changing Providers

Youth and parents may resist changing providers. It can be very difficult for families of youth with special health care needs to leave their familiar pediatric clinics for caregivers who are unfamiliar with their history, and to “start over” and develop relationship with new providers. Many youth express a preference for their pediatric providers and consider the adult service system to be impersonal. Further, youth and their families express concern that the quality of care will
not be as good in the adult setting as that they are receiving in the pediatric setting. Reluctance about transitioning care to an adult provider can be compounded for youth who have medical conditions that carry with them stigma, such as HIV/AIDS.

Resistance to changing health care providers can also occur on the part of the pediatric provider. Pediatricians may be reluctant to transfer care to another physician who does not have a longstanding relationship with the youth with special health care needs, either because pediatricians feel that they can provide the best care for the youth and family, or because they are unaware of community and adult resources.

Other Barriers

Other barriers to implementing transition care are mentioned sporadically in the extant literature. Not properly assessing and understanding the implications of cognitive delays among youth with special health care needs can pose barriers to implementing successful transition care. For these youth, providers must determine the extent to which parents should be involved in decisionmaking, and difficult behaviors (which are common among many youth with significant intellectual disability) may not be tolerated in adult provider offices.

Similar issues arise for youth with physical disabilities, as parents may remain a critical part of decisionmaking if they provide substantial physical support. Experiencing multiple other transitions at the same time as the health care transition, such as graduating from school, finding employment, moving out of the parental home, and starting a postsecondary education program, can be overwhelming to youth and affect their willingness to participate in transition programming. Further, when youth with special health care needs attend a college program in another city, the physical distance between them and their primary care providers can be a barrier to successful transition care. Finally, the lack of well-defined criteria for determining transition readiness makes decisions about when transition should begin difficult, especially if transition is initiated too early or too late.

Delivery of Transition Interventions and Training (Guiding Question 2d)

Who Delivers Transition Interventions?

The National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) recommends that transition teams include physicians, mid-level practitioners (e.g., nurses or physicians assistants), and social service workers from both pediatric and adult care settings. Transition programs that take this multidisciplinary approach may have multiple team members who can address the array of complex needs experienced by youth with special health care needs.

Although the specific personnel in each of these programs differ, a common thread throughout is to assemble the personnel to address both the medical and psychological needs of youth with special health care needs as they transition, including experts in disease specific conditions, adolescent development, psychosocial considerations, and case management.

Several examples of comprehensive transition programs have been described, each one tailored to meet the specific needs of the focus population or condition. A clinic for youth with chronic rheumatic disease, for example, includes a nurse specialist, physiotherapist, occupational therapist, social worker, and the availability of vocational and sexual health counseling in addition to the primary care providers. A transition clinic for renal transplant patients includes
a pediatric nephrologist, renal nurse, youth health specialist, renal pharmacist, renal dietician, and social worker. A transition clinic for youth with sickle cell disease includes direct-care nurses, a nurse educator, clinical nurse specialist, nurse manager, physicians, social workers, case managers, pharmacists, and emergency staff. Finally, one transition team for youth with HIV includes a case manager, social worker, health care provider, and youth advocate or peer partner.

Depending on the specific needs of the youth, some of these professionals will play a more integral role in transition care, whereas others can be available for consultation as needed. In some programs, an individualized team is assembled after assessing the needs of the transitioning youth.

More common are transition programs whose personnel include one pediatric provider, one adult provider, and a mid-level provider who facilitates the transition between the pediatric and adult provider. These cases, the mid-level provider, often a nurse clinician, takes the role of the “transition specialist” by coordinating care from the pediatric to the adult provider and ensuring that the appropriate information is transferred. In one model, the nurse practitioner manages the care of the transitioning youth during his or her last years with the pediatric provider and then becomes the primary provider once the youth has transitioned to the adult care system.

Although it is theoretically possible that the multidisciplinary team-based approach advocated by the NHLBI may result in better transition outcomes than programs that include health care providers only, these types of programs have not been tested against each other, and thus evidence for superiority is lacking. Resource barriers to implementation of team-based care in independent practices may be substantial, so practicality of this type of approach should also be studied.

What Additional Training is Necessary?

Ideally both pediatric and adult providers should receive training in issues of adolescence, and at the least, the mid-level provider serving as the transition specialist should receive this training. Further, for adult providers and for the transition specialist, additional training in complex conditions that begin in childhood (e.g., cerebral palsy, Down syndrome, autism spectrum disorder, congenital heart disease) is helpful; this is particularly true for adult physicians who treat patients with intellectual disability. Osterkamp and colleagues describe an example of modules for training adult providers and include topics such as family-centered care, development of the healthy versus the chronically ill adolescent, and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act. Training in adolescent medicine (to pediatric or adult providers) may address issues such as substance use, emotional wellbeing, and sexual health. Callahan and colleagues suggested that physicians trained in a medicine-pediatrics residency program might be particularly well suited to receive this type of training and to provide adult care to young adults with special health care needs.

Finally, because the transition specialist will need to work effectively with multiple providers and systems of care, training in how to promote collaboration among providers and team members may be helpful.
Guiding Question 3. Description of the Existing Evidence (Evidence Map)

a. What patient groups/clinical conditions are represented in studies on the use and evaluation of transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs?

b. What is the length of followup in studies on the use and evaluation of transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs?

c. What outcomes are measured in studies on the use and evaluation of transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs?

Patients and Conditions Represented in Evaluation Studies
(Guiding Question 3a)

We identified 25,56,89,128,148-150,164-166,172-175,180,207-215, 239 studies reported in 30 publications 25,56,89,101,109,128,148-150,154,164-166,168,172-175,180,207,216, 239 that evaluated a system of purposeful transition care from the peer reviewed literature. We sought studies that measured effectiveness of a transition program and did not limit to any types of programs. This means we included studies of any approach to a system of transition care even if the evaluation outcome was defined as successful transfer, or if the system focused primarily on the transfer process. As discussed earlier in this technical brief, we use the term “transfer” generally to describe the point-in-time when a case is transferred from pediatric to adult care; we use the term “transition” to communicate a more comprehensive set of support processes and care that ideally begin before and extend some period of time after the moment of transfer. See Figure 1 for detailed reasons for exclusion.

Among the 25 studies, eight56,150,164-166,173,210,213, 239 studied transition care for adolescents with diabetes. Five studies 25,89,172,174,207 studied transition care in adolescents who had undergone organ transplant; all but one of these focused on kidney transplant. Two studied transition care in sickle cell disease. 180,212 The remainder studied a variety of conditions including congenital adrenal hyperplasia, 149 HIV, 208 epilepsy, 209 juvenile idiopathic arthritis, 175 spina bifida, 214 cystic fibrosis, 148 inflammatory bowel disease, 215 or included a patient population comprising more than one chronic disease. 128, 211, 239

Twelve studies were conducted in Europe: eight in the United Kingdom, 56,149,164,166,207,211,212,217 one in Germany, 25 one in Spain, 213 and two in Italy. 150,173 Eight studies were conducted in the United States; 128,148,165,174,180,212,214, 239 three studies were conducted in Canada; 89,168,209 and one study was conducted in Australia. 172 One study included data from the United Kingdom and Australia. 208 Interpretation of information from evaluation studies of transition care published in English from countries other than the United States must consider differences in the structure and financing of health care systems across countries.

Very few studies used a concurrent comparison group. Some studies compared survey responses of individuals who had participated in transition care with those of individuals who had not, with transition generally not occurring concurrently. This is because most interventions are implemented at the system level and provided to all relevant patients at the same time; thus, these studies generally relied on data from individuals who transitioned before the services were available as comparators.

Outcomes were generally patient-reported and focused mostly on issues such as satisfaction with the process or health-related quality of life. Some clinical outcomes are available in the literature; these include objective measures such as glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels for
patients with diabetes and rates of organ rejection among transplant patients. Generally, however, studies defined successful transition as attendance in adult care (transfer) or continued adherence to medication. Thus, although the programs offered comprehensive support rightly regarded as transition care, evaluations often focused on outcomes traditionally regarded as an index of the more limited concept of transfer.

In addition to the evaluation information on transition care for youth with special health needs that we found in the indexed literature, we catalogued relevant transition care resources, programs, and projects found in the gray literature. We include a detailed list of projects and resources in Appendix C and a summary of ongoing studies and funded projects in Appendix E.

Figure 1. Literature flow diagram

[Diagram flowchart showing steps of the literature search process, from identifying records to selecting articles for guiding questions, with specific notes on reasons for exclusion and total numbers involved.]
Length of Followup (Guiding Question 3b) and Outcomes Measured (Guiding Question 3c) in Evaluation Studies

Diabetes

The most commonly studied group of transitioning youth was individuals with diabetes (Table 3). Numbers of young people with type I and type II diabetes have been steadily increasing,\(^{218}\) and some evidence suggests that hormonal shifts in adolescence may complicate the maintenance of metabolic control.\(^{219,220}\) Coupled with the need to participate in an adult health care system, maintaining good control in the transition to adulthood can be challenging in this population.

Transition care interventions studied to date have included use of a transition coordinator (n=2),\(^{164,173}\) attendance of the pediatrician at the adult care visit(s) (n=2),\(^{150,173}\) education and skill building (n=3),\(^{165,166,213}\) a specialized young adult clinic (n=4),\(^{56,164-166}\) and use of technology for education and reminders (n=2).\(^{164,210}\) In five models, patients transferred directly into an adult clinic.\(^{56,150,173,210,213}\) Practical assistance with scheduling was used in two programs.\(^{164,213}\)

The most common diabetes-related outcomes were HbA1c levels (a marker for glycemic control),\(^{56}\) diabetes-related hospitalizations,\(^{164,210}\) and adult service attendance.\(^{56,150,173,210,213}\) Seven studies used HbA1c levels as outcomes.\(^{56,150,164-166,173,213}\) Patient satisfaction was the focus of two studies.\(^{150,173}\)

None of the studies was entirely prospective. Five included some sort of comparison group,\(^{56,165,166,173,210}\) with three using concurrent comparators,\(^{56,165,173}\) although the analyses were retrospective. Three studies did not use a comparison group at all.\(^{150,164,213}\) In general, studies were published as quality improvement evaluations, rather than with the intention of providing research inferences.

No two studies evaluated precisely the same transition care intervention, although some intervention components were common across studies. Nonetheless, there is clearly a need for replicated studies and for the use of concurrent comparison groups to identify best practices. All studies reported either improved health outcomes or maintenance of health.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation Location Study Description Length of Followup</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Transition Care Model</th>
<th>Setting(s)/ Provider(s)</th>
<th>Outcome(s) Reported</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cadario F, et al., 2009(^{73}) Italy Retrospective cohort (for identifying cases and collecting clinical data) with cross sectional survey data 1 year</td>
<td>Youth with type I diabetes in structured transfer plan (n=30) or unstructured method (n=32)</td>
<td>Structured transfer plan, including a designated pediatrician transition coordinator working with an endocrinologist to transfer care</td>
<td>Hospital Adult physicians, transition coordinator and endocrinologist</td>
<td>Date of first admission, mean HbA1c, clinic attendance rates, transition experience</td>
<td>Patients in the structured program had shorter transition, better clinic attendance and lower HbA1c. All reported favorable experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Location Study Description Length of Followup</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Transition Care Model</td>
<td>Setting(s)/Provider(s)</td>
<td>Outcome(s) Reported</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gholap N, et al., 2006 (^6) U.K. Retrospective comparison with data from another published study NR</td>
<td>Adolescents and young adults ages 16 to 25 with type I diabetes (n=88)</td>
<td>Young person’s diabetes clinic that met monthly. Based on the Alphabet strategy, a mnemonic based approach to care: Advice; Blood Pressure lowering; Cholesterol and creatinine control; Diabetes control; Eye examination; Foot examination; use of Guardian drugs</td>
<td>Outpatient diabetes clinic (young person’s diabetes clinic) Diabetologist, pediatrician, 2 “associate specialists”, a pediatric and adult nurse specialist, a dietician and Asian link worker</td>
<td>Clinic attendance, HbA1c, hypertension, nephropathy, retinopathy, presence of complications</td>
<td>Patients who attended young person clinic had lower non-attendance (12% vs. 24.6%), lower mean HbA1c (8.4% vs. 9.5%), better blood pressure monitoring (100% vs. 88%), higher rates of screening for nephropathy, and lower rates of nephropathy (5% vs. 21%) compared with data from a recently published multicenter study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes-Walker DJ, et al., 2007 (^6) Australia Quality improvement assessment included retrospective collection of clinical data 12 months before participation and prospective data collection after clinic attendance. No comparison group. NR</td>
<td>Young adults with type I diabetes aged 15-25 years (n=191)</td>
<td>Transition coordinator or diabetes educator scheduled appointments for young people and provided reminders via phone, email or text; afterhours phone service was available</td>
<td>Young adult diabetes clinic within an adult referral hospital Diabetes educator Transition coordinator</td>
<td>Frequency of clinic visits, HbA1c, diabetic ketoacidosis, admissions</td>
<td>HbA1c improved significantly after a median of 5 visits (p&lt;0.001); 82% attended clinics in the last 6 months; diabetic ketoacidosis admissions fell by 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kipps S, et al., 2002 (^6) U.K. Retrospective cohort study of four regions, each of which employed a different transition approach Up to 2 years after transfer</td>
<td>Individuals with type I diabetes aged older than 18 years (n=229)</td>
<td>Four different transfer procedures at the district level: transfer from pediatric clinic to adult clinic, transfer to a young adult clinic, transfer with introduction to the adult provider before transfer, initial move to an adolescent clinic before moving to an adult clinic</td>
<td>4 health districts in the U.K. Young adult clinic</td>
<td>Age at transfer, clinic attendance rates, HbA1c</td>
<td>Clinic attendance dropped from 94% two years pre-transfer to 57% two years post transfer. Clinic attendance ranged from 29% to 71% across districts with higher rates among patients who met the adult provider before transfer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Overview of diabetes transition studies (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation Location</th>
<th>Study Description</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Transition Care Model</th>
<th>Setting(s)/Provider(s)</th>
<th>Outcome(s) Reported</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lane JT, et al., 2007</strong>&lt;sup&gt;165&lt;/sup&gt; Nebraska, U.S.</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study comparing outcomes in patients participating in the specialized clinic to patients who directly entered adult endocrine clinics</td>
<td>Young adults with type I diabetes aged 15 to 25 years seen in young adult clinic (n=96) or in general endocrine clinic (n=153)</td>
<td>Specialized clinic including an endocrinologist, 2 nurse educators and 2 dieticians. Services included substantial focus on education as well as group classes. The general endocrine clinic (comparison group) met in the same place and included a multidisciplinary provider team but without a class and with longer intervals between visits.</td>
<td>University diabetes center</td>
<td>Glycemic control measured via HbA1c</td>
<td>HbA1c levels did not change in either clinic overall. Within the highest tertile of HbA1c, patients in the young adult clinic had the largest decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Van Walleghem N, et al., 2006, and 2012</strong>&lt;sup&gt;154&lt;/sup&gt; Manitoba, Canada</td>
<td>Comparison of two cohorts – a younger group who had used the system, and an older group that had transferred before its implementation. Up to one year after referral to the program</td>
<td>Youth with type I diabetes aged under 18 years who participated in model (n=84) and older group aged 19-25 years who had transitioned without support (n=64)</td>
<td>Systems navigator model, administrative coordinator maintains phone and email contact with patients to identify barriers. Delivery methods include a comprehensive website, a bimonthly newsletter, a drop-in group, and educational events.</td>
<td>Community clinics, diabetes education resource center</td>
<td>Number of medical and diabetes educator visits, first year loss to follow up, diabetes-related hospitalizations, chronic complications, barriers to care in adult system</td>
<td>40% who did not have access to the navigator dropped out of medical care versus 11% who did</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vanelli M, et al., 2004</strong>&lt;sup&gt;150&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cross sectional survey of patient experience, with pre-transition and post-transition HbA1c data collected from medical records. No comparison group. One year post transition and at study initiation</td>
<td>Adolescents with type I diabetes (n=73) with a mean age at transition of 21.0±0.95 years</td>
<td>Protocol for an uninterrupted procedure for transfer including introduction to the adult provider before transition and attendance by the pediatrician at the first adult visit. Transition occurred when the patient and parents agreed</td>
<td>Pediatric, adult specialty clinic Pediatric and adult providers</td>
<td>Patient satisfaction, attendance, HbA1c</td>
<td>94% of patients reported being satisfied with the process. Consensus about readiness to transition was achieved within 2 to 4 visits for 66% of patients. Mean HbA1c one-year post transition was 7.6±1.1% compared with 8.8±2.1% pre-transition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Overview of diabetes transition studies (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation Location</th>
<th>Study Description</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Transition Care Model</th>
<th>Setting(s)/Provider(s)</th>
<th>Outcome(s) Reported</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vidal M, et al., 2004</td>
<td>Pre-post, no comparison group</td>
<td>Young adults with type 1 diabetes and a mean age of 19±1.3 years at transition (n=80)</td>
<td>Therapeutic Education Program for young adults transferring to adult care, including coordinated transfer visits, initial extended evaluation by adult staff (90 minutes), a pact to commit to the insulin therapy protocol with goal-setting, 4 group sessions with relatives, 3 to 6 individual visits over 6 months</td>
<td>Hospital-based adult outpatient clinic</td>
<td>Meal plan composition, total daily insulin, HbA1c, body weight, number of hypoglycemic episodes</td>
<td>No changes in meal plan composition, no differences in daily insulin dose, increase in proportion of patients performing readjustments of insulin dose, decrease in HbA1c and in the number of hypoglycemic episodes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HbA1c = Glycosylated hemoglobin; U.K. = United Kingdom; U.S. = United States

### Solid Organ Transplant

A small body of literature is available on the transition of pediatric patients with organ transplants to adult care (Table 4). Four of the five studies focus on kidney transplant patients, with the remaining study on liver transplant. All of the studies on kidney transplant patients include the evaluation of a specific transition oriented clinic – either one for youth alone or a joint pediatric-adult clinic. The one study on liver transplant patients evaluated the role of a transition coordinator. This was the only prospective study, but the study did not use a concurrent control group, relying on historical comparators who had transitioned before implementation of the coordinator role. The four studies on kidney transplant patients report clinical outcomes, including organ rejection and mortality. The study on liver transplant patients reports on patient satisfaction, psychological benefits, and medication adherence, confirmed via blood draw.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Location Study Description</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Transition Care Model</th>
<th>Setting(s)/Provider(s)</th>
<th>Outcome(s) Reported</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annunziato RA, et al., 2013</td>
<td>U.S. Prospective evaluation using historical (asynchronous) comparators who transferred before establishment of a transition coordinator 1 year</td>
<td>Patients in the pediatric liver transplant program (n=20) and historical cohort (n=14)</td>
<td>Transition coordinator who assisted with preparation, served as a liaison between pediatric and adult services, provided care coordination, provided outreach before and after transfer, and implemented research protocols to evaluate transition programming</td>
<td>Academic medical center; transition coordinator was a licensed clinical psychologist</td>
<td>Medication adherence measured via patient report and blood levels; Psychosocial outcomes including developmental skills and acceptability of the transfer process</td>
<td>Medication adherence was significantly better for patients who had access to the transition coordinator compared with the cohort who did not</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4. Overview of transplant transition studies (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Study Description</th>
<th>Length of Followup</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Transition Care Model</th>
<th>Setting(s)/Provider(s)</th>
<th>Outcome(s) Reported</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chaturvedi S, et al., 2009</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Retrospective review of case notes followed by a patient survey</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Pediatric kidney transplant recipients (n=11)</td>
<td>Transition clinic, development of self-management skills and a written transition summary</td>
<td>Children’s hospital renal clinic, Transition coordinator, transition adult nephrologist, and transition nurse</td>
<td>Serum creatinine levels, episodes of acute rejection, number of inpatient days, frequency of scheduled appointments and missed appointments</td>
<td>Patient health outcomes were fairly stable during the 12 months before and 12 months following transfer as measured by number of acute rejection episodes and hospital inpatient days. Adherence, as measured by attendance of scheduled appointments dropped from 73% before transfer to 57% after transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Location</td>
<td>Study Description</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Transition Care Model</td>
<td>Setting(s)/Provider(s)</td>
<td>Outcome(s) Reported</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harden PN, et al., 2012, 2013</td>
<td>Comparison of two cohorts – a younger group who had used the system, and an older group that had transferred before its implementation</td>
<td>Young adult kidney transplant recipients (n=21); before 2006 (n=9); between 2006 and 2010 (n=12)</td>
<td>Integrated pediatric-young adult joint transition clinic and care pathway plus a young adult clinic located in a college sports center that included a youth worker. Patients are seen jointly by pediatric and adult teams from ages 15 – 18 and then transfer to the adult clinic at age 18. Patients are seen by providers without family members present to promote autonomy, and then meet with family members to review progress and management plans</td>
<td>Adult renal center and two pediatric renal centers (joint transition clinic)</td>
<td>Rates of acute organ rejection, morbidity, admissions</td>
<td>Six of nine patients who transitioned before implementation of the transition clinic had transplant failure compared with no transplant failures in the group that transferred after implementation of the transition clinic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Overview of transplant transition studies (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation Location Study Description Length of Followup</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Transition Care Model</th>
<th>Setting(s)/Provider(s)</th>
<th>Outcome(s) Reported</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pape L, et al., 2013 Germany Retrospective cohort One year prior and one year after transfer</td>
<td>Pediatric kidney transplant patients (n=66) in a transition clinic (n=15), patients transferred directly to an adult nephrologist (n=25), patients attending an adult nephrology clinic with a phase of alternate appointments over 1 to 2 years before transfer</td>
<td>Specialized transition clinic led by a specialized adult neurologist</td>
<td>Academic medical center (specialized transition clinic)</td>
<td>Survival, stability of immunosuppressive therapy, use of steroids and patient satisfaction</td>
<td>There was no difference in changes in clinical outcomes before and after transfer between the settings. Patient satisfaction was higher among those who transitioned via a specialized adolescent clinic compared with the patients who transferred to an adult nephrologist, either directly or by alternating appointments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Overview of transplant transition studies (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation Location Study Description Length of Followup</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Transition Care Model</th>
<th>Setting(s)/ Provider(s)</th>
<th>Outcome(s) Reported</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prestidge et al., 201289 Canada System-level pre-post using historical controls 2 years</td>
<td>Kidney transplant recipients pre-transition clinic (n=34); transferred after opening transition clinic (n=12)</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary transition clinic where patients are seen every 4 to 6 months until transition in addition to attending standard transplant clinic. Transition team members see each patient. Specific educational goals include identifying the primary care provider, demonstrating medication knowledge, recognizing signs of rejection and infection, appraisal of ability to self-manage and awareness of reproductive health issues</td>
<td>Children's hospital, which is the referral center for renal transplantation in the region. Specialized transition clinic. Team includes a dedicated pediatric nephrologist, renal nurse, youth health specialist, renal pharmacist, renal dietician and social worker.</td>
<td>Deaths, allograft losses, graft function, costs per patient</td>
<td>The time to either graft loss or death was better for individuals transferred to adult care after implementation of the transition clinic than for individuals who transferred to adult care before implementation of the transition clinic. The average annual cost was less per patient for those who participated in the transition care clinic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: U.K. = United Kingdom; U.S. = United States

Other Conditions

We identified an additional 12 studies128,148,149,175,180,208,209,211,212,214,215,239 on a range of conditions (Table 5). Two studies focused on sickle cell disease,180,212 and three studies included patients with a variety of conditions,128,211,239 while the remainder of the studies had one clinical focus.148,149,175,208,209,214,215

Two transition care interventions used a transition coordinator.148,175 Five transition care interventions used multidisciplinary teams to provide care jointly,148,180,208,211,215 and one evaluated a separate young adult clinic.149 One transition care intervention provided direct scheduling of visits;212 one was a mentoring group that met over 10 months,128 and another used a generic 2 month intensive internet- and text message-based intervention followed by a 6 month review period.239 Patient education was a common component of transition care.128,148,175,180,209,214,215,239
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Transition Care Model</th>
<th>Setting(s)/ Provider(s)</th>
<th>Outcome(s) Reported</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andemariam B, et al., 2013</td>
<td>Patients with sickle cell disease ages 16 to 24 years who began transition process between 2007-2012 (n=47)</td>
<td>Transition program combined between existing pediatric center with newly-formed adult center. Components included patient education, transitional phase included family meetings</td>
<td>Pediatric hospital and academic medical center</td>
<td>Demographics, clinical information (genotype, 3 year admission history for vaso-occlusive crisis or acute chest syndrome episodes, hydroxyurea or chronic transfusion therapy program), and transition clinic attendance.</td>
<td>68% patients had successfully transitioned. Risk factors for unsuccessful transition included: greater distance to travel and older age at time of initiation of transition. Patients with less severe disease (genotypes and no chronic transfusion therapy) were higher risk for unsuccessful transfer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bent N, et al., 2002</td>
<td>Youth with long term physical disability (n=245)</td>
<td>Young Adult Teams, including multidisciplinary teams including a consultant in rehabilitation medicine, a psychologist, therapists and a social worker</td>
<td>4 health care regions in the U.K., 2 with young adult team services and 2 with ad hoc services</td>
<td>Participation in society based on the international classification of functioning, disability and health</td>
<td>Individuals in the Young Adult Teams were more likely to participate in society than individuals who used ad hoc services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Location Study Description Length of Followup</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Transition Care Model</td>
<td>Setting(s)/Provider(s)</td>
<td>Outcome(s) Reported</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betz CL, et al., 2010214 California, U.S. RCT 4 months followup</td>
<td>Youth with spina bifida, ages 14-18 years (n=65)</td>
<td>Transition Preparation Training, cognitive-behavioral program (8 sessions in a 2-day workshop) to facilitate development of transition plan</td>
<td>Academic children’s hospital Training program administered by trainer</td>
<td>Well-being, role mastery, and self-care practice</td>
<td>No groups differences between groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundock H, et al., 2011208 U.K. and Australia Comparison of satisfaction with transition care among youth with HIV compared with youth with diabetes NR</td>
<td>Adolescents with perinatally acquired HIV in (n=21); Adolescents attending diabetes transition service (n=39)</td>
<td>Outpatient services using sequential approach to transition for HIV patients Outpatient clinic using direct transition model for patients with diabetes</td>
<td>Academic health science center clinic Pediatric infectious disease specialist, adult senior lecturer in HIV genitourinary medicine, adult HIV clinical nurse, adult psychologist</td>
<td>Patient satisfaction</td>
<td>Patients in both groups reported that transition from pediatric to adult care went smoothly and that transition was associated with improved health care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaudhry SR, et al., 2013148 Michigan, U.S. Retrospective survey NA</td>
<td>Adults with cystic fibrosis (n=91) in a transition program vs. non-program participants</td>
<td>Structured transition program beginning early in adolescence, focusing on developing independence. Included a transition coordinator and participation of the adult pulmonologist in the pediatric clinic until readiness is achieved</td>
<td>Academic medical center</td>
<td>Patient satisfaction, perceived health status</td>
<td>Patient who went through a transition program were more satisfied with care before transferring to adult care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleeson H, et al., 2013149 U.K. Retrospective record review NA</td>
<td>Individuals with congenital adrenal hyperplasia aged 16 years and older who attended pediatric clinic from 1992 to 2009 (n=61); pediatric clinics (n=37); Young Person Clinic (n=24)</td>
<td>Young Person Clinic at which the youth is introduced to an adult endocrinologist</td>
<td>Children’s hospital Young Person Clinic had both pediatric and adult endocrine teams in attendance</td>
<td>Adult clinic attendance</td>
<td>Introduction of the Young Person Clinic had no effect on rates of engagement, with 50% lost to followup after transfer to adult services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Location Study Description Length of Followup</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Transition Care Model</td>
<td>Setting(s)/Provider(s)</td>
<td>Outcome(s) Reported</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greveson K, et al., 2011&lt;sup&gt;215&lt;/sup&gt; London, U.K. Pre-post survey</td>
<td>Adolescents with inflammatory bowel disease (n=25)</td>
<td>Joint bimonthly transition clinic based on the Royal College of Nursing Model and including six key aspects: self-advocacy, sexual health, education and vocation, independent health care behavior, psychosocial support, and health and lifestyle. Adolescents enter at age 16 and remain in the transition service until the health care provider and parents agree that transfer to adult care is appropriate.</td>
<td>Pediatric specialty center</td>
<td>Time spent in transition clinic, patient knowledge of disease and factors important to transition</td>
<td>5 of the 21 pre-transfer respondents transferred to the adult service. Mean time spent in transition clinic was 8 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hankins JS, et al., 2012&lt;sup&gt;212&lt;/sup&gt; Tennessee, U.S. Pre-post pilot study 18 months preceding start of transition program and 18 months after start of the transition program</td>
<td>Youth with sickle cell disease aged 17 to 19 years (n=83)</td>
<td>Transition Pilot Program including a tour of adult SCD programs, lunch discussion with pediatric staff and scheduling of the first adult visit by the pediatric hematology case manager</td>
<td>Pediatric hospital Pediatric hematology staff</td>
<td>Proportion of pediatric patients fulfilling their first adult hematology appointment</td>
<td>Most (74%) of the transition program participants completed their first adult hematology appointment within 3 months as compared with 33% of those who did not participate in the transition program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al., 2014&lt;sup&gt;239&lt;/sup&gt; California, U.S. Randomized Controlled Trial After the 8-month intervention</td>
<td>Youth ages 12-20 years with diabetes, inflammatory bowel disease, and cystic fibrosis (n=81)</td>
<td>Disease management intervention based on social cognitive theory and delivered via Web site and text messaging</td>
<td>Pediatrician, public health educator</td>
<td>Disease self-management, health-related self-efficacy, patient-initiated communications, receipt of curriculum materials,</td>
<td>Relative to controls, transition program patients had improved disease management task performance, health-related self-efficacy, and patient-initiated communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Location Study Description Length of Followup</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Transition Care Model</td>
<td>Setting(s)/Provider(s)</td>
<td>Outcome(s) Reported</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurasek L, et al., 2010 Canada Cross sectional NA</td>
<td>Adolescents with epilepsy (n=97)</td>
<td>Nurse-led Adolescent Epilepsy Transition Clinic</td>
<td>Children’s hospital</td>
<td>Patient satisfaction, understanding, and fears at 2 to 3 months after first visit</td>
<td>Patients and caregivers were satisfied with the transition process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maslow G, et al., 2012 Rhode Island, U.S. Pre-post</td>
<td>Individuals with a chronic illness (14 different conditions) aged 13 to 19 years (mean age 15.4 years) (n=20)</td>
<td>The Adolescent Leadership Council 10-month group mentoring program based on the Positive Youth Development framework</td>
<td>Children’s hospital Pediatric and psychiatry residents, child life therapists, medical students, supervised by pediatric and psychiatry attending physicians; Had a fulltime director and clinical care was provided pro bono</td>
<td>Loneliness, chronic disease management, self-advocacy and successful transfer to adult care</td>
<td>Participants reported less loneliness and improved self-advocacy after participation in the mentoring program. There was a small increase in transition readiness scores for program participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonough JE, et al., 2006a, 2006b, 2006c Shaw KL, et al., 2006 U.K. Systems-level pre-post; Cross sectional 6 month followup 12 months after clinic visit</td>
<td>Adolescents with juvenile idiopathic arthritis aged 11 to 18 years (n=308)</td>
<td>Program of transitional care coordinated within each center with a program coordinator funded for one day per week. Patients worked through a series of templates that were developmentally appropriate and focused on home, health, and school. Informational resources were provided to patients and their families.</td>
<td>10 pediatric rheumatology centers</td>
<td>Satisfaction with care, health-related quality of life, and arthritis-related knowledge</td>
<td>Overall satisfaction scores improved significantly for adolescents and their parents after transition program implementation. Higher scores of acceptability for the local program coordinator compared with paper-based resources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** NA = not applicable; NR = not reported; U.K. = United Kingdom

**Guiding Question 4. Issues and Future Research**

a. What are the implications (e.g., ethical, privacy, economic) of the current level of diffusion and of further diffusion of transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs?
b. What are possible areas of future research for transition care for children/adolescents with special health care needs and which research designs are most appropriate to address these research topics?

**Implications (Guiding Question 4a)**

Documented decreases in adherence to medications and clinic appointments following transition are reasons to maximize successful transition.²³,²⁰³,²²¹ Decreases in adherence are associated with worsening clinical outcomes including increased hospitalizations secondary to poorly controlled diabetes²²³ and increased allograft loss in kidney transplant recipients²⁰³ following transition, suggesting that the risks of unsuccessful transition are significant and that a paucity of transition programs could have substantial implications.

Poor reimbursement for transition services affects the ability of clinics to provide this care, which is often time intensive and multidisciplinary.¹⁸,¹⁰⁸,²²² Up to 70 percent of physicians have reported that compensation adversely affected their ability to provide appropriate care for children with special health care needs because of lack of time, lack of patient insurance coverage and low reimbursement for the extra time required.²²² One review identified billing codes that can be used by clinicians to obtain appropriate reimbursement for these services, but it is unclear whether many or most physicians and coders are aware of how to use these codes appropriately.²²³

Given poor reimbursement and an overall dearth of transition programs, the reach and success of interventions may be affected by the income of the patient’s family or type of insurance¹⁴,¹⁸,¹⁷⁰ as access to health care in the adult system is often limited for those patients with Medicaid insurance coverage.¹⁷⁰ Historically, the adolescent and young adult years marked a time during which a child was no longer covered under a parent’s insurance.²²⁴ This impact may be minimized in future years by the implementation of the Affordable Care Act in which individuals can remain on their parents’ insurance plans up to age 26. Inequality exists in overall access to health care in the adult clinical setting with those of lower income or without private insurance receiving less access to health care, which affects medical care post-transition.²²⁵

Racial disparity may also occur, with some research suggesting that transition from pediatric to adult care is less successful in non-Hispanic black and Hispanic patients compared with Caucasian patients¹⁴ and less successful in minority patients in general compared with Caucasians even in the setting of the pediatric medical home.¹⁷,¹⁸ In addition, a higher familial education level has been associated with more successful transition, which may imply a lack of health literacy in the lower educated families whose educational needs are not met in the current transition systems.¹⁸ Timing of transition may also affect medical outcomes after transition to adult care with one study of diabetic young adults suggesting that transfer to an adult clinic at a younger age was associated with worse outcomes.¹³³

One barrier to successful transition is the lack of experience and training of adult clinicians in chronic diseases that were historically pediatric diseases.⁴⁵,⁵⁴,⁶⁸,¹⁹¹,²²⁶,²²⁷ In addition to the lack of experience of the clinicians, many adult clinics are not designed to treat adults with behavioral or developmental concerns, causing some to raise ethical concerns about whether it is appropriate to transition those patients to an adult provider unprepared to care for them.¹⁷⁰

There are particular risks for patients with developmental or cognitive delay in that the current transition process most often involves an adult clinic, which has more of an individual focus rather than family focus when the patient’s needs may require family-focused care.⁴⁵,¹⁷⁰,²²⁸ Integrated systems of support for multidisciplinary care that are available in many pediatric
practices less often present in the adult clinic setting although the concept of the adult medical home is growing in concert with emphasis on population health and accountable care. 54,211,225,229

Finally, developing transition programs will need to address the question of privacy as more providers and types of providers have access to the patient’s medical information. 68,229,230

In sum, the implications of the current diffusion of transition care are that many young people who need support in moving from pediatric to adult care are not receiving that support, and the adult system of care is unprepared to receive them. The risk of these patients falling through the cracks is substantial as they have serious and ongoing medical needs.

Areas for Future Research (Guiding Question 4b)

Methodologic and substantive issues should be addressed in future research about transition care. Methods issues include a common and validated definition of transition success, a need for more rigorous study designs, dedicated funding, and inclusion of a broader range of clinical research perspectives (i.e., involvement of pediatric and adult researchers). Areas and opportunities for future research include: technology, information about health care systems, disease progression, patient-specific transition, educational research, and cost research.

Definition of Successful Transition

A major barrier to transition research is a lack of well-defined outcome measures. 106,231-233 Possible metrics to evaluate success could include perceptions of success and satisfaction with the transition process (on the part of clinicians, adolescents, and parents), improved or stable disease-specific medical outcomes, 169,229 decreased or stable cost of health care, or educational milestones in a patient’s ability to care for themselves or navigate the health care setting. 144,233,234 Without clear clinical or functional outcomes identified, most studies have focused on qualitative measures including clinicians’ or patients’ perceptions of success without objective measurements to support the claims of success of individual transition processes. No validated measures of transition have been developed. 231

Future methodologic research should focus on identifying or developing objective measures of successful transition as well as transition tools. 114,161 Quality of life and personalized outcomes identified by the adolescents participating in transition care could be significant outcome measures, but others should be developed as well. 225,231-233,235 In addition, very few studies provided data on long-term followup, which could be important for considering the ultimate success of transitioning.

Study Design

Randomization in transition research can be problematic as medical care is multidisciplinary, and isolating any one intervention or holding constant concomitant interventions, even in a randomized controlled trial is difficult. 170,174,211,234 However, rigorous evaluation of these multidisciplinary transition programs is still needed.

Transition is a process often beginning in early adolescence and continuing through young adulthood. Ideally, researchers will design studies to evaluate participants before, during, and after the transition period. These studies would therefore need to be long, and thus may be cost prohibitive. 131,171,235 One method to obtain prospective data for evaluation of transition would be the development of disease-specific or location-specific core transition data sets that could be used for research of the transition process over the short term period as well as longitudinally. 234
An alternative to using longitudinal studies to evaluate the impact of transition on patient outcomes and assess overall improvement in the transition process is quality improvement initiatives and evaluation designs.\textsuperscript{20,59,236,237} Quality improvement research could help identify best practices for transition,\textsuperscript{59} factors within transition that affect outcomes positively or negatively,\textsuperscript{144,169,232} as well as individual predictors for successful transition.\textsuperscript{169,232}

**Funding**

Funding streams generally focus on specific diseases, but the field of transition research would benefit from more generalized research that can identify effective methods across disease groups. Identifying funding streams that are nondisease specific may be challenging but important.\textsuperscript{234}

**Involvement of Pediatric and Adult Researchers**

Traditionally, transition efforts and transition research has been led by pediatric providers even though adult providers are an essential component to the transition process. Future research should include both pediatric and adult researchers.\textsuperscript{234} Research will also require involvement of primary care providers in addition to subspecialty care providers when applicable. No research has identified an optimal timing of transfer when multiple provider specialties are involved in an individual patient’s care. Therefore, no data are available to guide which service should transfer first during the transition process.

**Technology**

The use of technology in transition has particular promise for adolescents, who tend to be comfortable users of technology. Novel uses of technology to improve adherence to medications, to provide education regarding their medical disease, to identify medical deterioration earlier, and to communicate with their health care providers should be further considered in future studies.\textsuperscript{106,234,238-9} Given some of the disparities in access to care as children with special health care needs transition to adulthood may benefit from expansion and evaluation of uses of technology in the form of telemedicine.

One study reported improvement in medication adherence and decreased rejection in pediatric liver transplant patients who received text message reminders.\textsuperscript{234} Another study also suggested that a disease generic intervention including text messaging components was associated with improvements in disease management task performance, health-related self-efficacy, and patient-provider communication,\textsuperscript{239} but more research is needed in the area to confirm and expand on these concepts. In addition, the use of social media and its role in improving transition care would be an important area of research.

**Information about Health Care Systems**

A paucity of data exists regarding how individual systems affect transition. Transition programs would vary based on the health care system in which the care is provided. Some pediatric and adult clinic systems would share a core electronic medical record whereas other systems function more independently requiring development of standardized methods to communicate the complex medical history of the transitioning patients.

While research focusing on generalizable transition care processes is essential, the development of validated tools to aid a variety of systems in implementing successful transition is also necessary. Evaluations of transition care programs will need to specify the type of systems...
in which the transition was performed and what resources or tools were required to implement the program.

Documentation of resources could include specific programs such as city based transportation programs available to patients or clinic and institutional resources such as personnel, educational opportunities, and electronic medical record support. Identifying the differences and similarities within successful transition processes could be beneficial to the medical community as individual clinical systems modify components of the transition processes to work within their unique systems.

Natural Progression of Diseases

With improved clinical outcomes, many chronic diseases that were formerly seen only in pediatrics are now affecting adults. The adult course of these diseases is largely unknown, and therefore, aspects of transition specific for these diseases remain unclear. For these diseases, prospective tracking of the natural course and complications of these diseases will be necessary to determine what components of transition will be required when caring for adults with these diseases.170,225,229

Patient-Specific Information

Appropriate timing and necessary tools for successful transition may vary by severity or type of disease. Transition for individuals with a mildly debilitating disease could focus on disease self-management skills and medical system navigation whereas a transition program for individuals with severe disease may focus on palliative care and end of life challenges. The desired outcomes of the transition process could vary based on severity of disease as well.

Research of these programs would need to control for these differences in care and outcomes. In addition, the hypothesis that children with different diseases may require different transition processes requires further investigation since no study has evaluated the efficacy of disease specific versus general transition processes in a comparative manner.

Intellectual disability can be associated with some chronic diseases that affect children transitioning to adult care. The severity of disability influences the degree with which a young adult can manage their own care and therefore affects measures of successful transition. In addition, physical developmental delays or impairment can affect the ability of individuals to navigate the medical system independently. Successful research in transition would need to include stratification for cognitive ability and developmental delay for the subjects if variability exists. Future research efforts should evaluate the success of transition program modifications for patients with cognitive or physical impairments.

Behavioral health care is important in the transition process to provide support and services to address coping with chronic medical diseases and treatment, nonadherence, and psychological effects of their chronic disease. Few studies have addressed this aspect of transition care. Studies evaluating the role of behavioral health within the transition process will be critical.

Educational Research

As transition programs progress, one area of research that will be important is educational research to determine whether adult providers, multidisciplinary team members, adolescent providers, and developmental medicine providers are trained in the tenets of successful transition for adolescents with special health care needs.44,93,131
Educational research can also focus on the education provided to patients and parents throughout the transition process.\textsuperscript{44,93,131,159}

**Cost Research**

We identified one cost study. The study took place in England so the relevance of the results to the United States health care system may be limited. The study did identify increased costs associated with the transition period, but did not find that an organized transition program was more resource intensive than ad hoc services.\textsuperscript{211} Researchers should attempt to report the costs associated with transition implementation and service. This information can then be compared with the costs of unsuccessful transition in this patient population.\textsuperscript{174,235}
Summary and Implications

The issue of how to provide good transition care for children with special health care needs warrants further attention. The numbers of children with special health care needs reaching adulthood are increasing, and the diversity of their clinical conditions is expanding. The Got Transition resource provides a framework for transition care that can be adapted to serve the individual needs of a given patient population, but there is little evidence that it is used to provide a framework for evaluation in the research literature. Despite identifying numerous descriptions of existing transition programs or services, we identified only 24 evaluation studies. Among the 25 studies, eight studied transition care for adolescents with diabetes. Five studies studied transition care in adolescents who had undergone organ transplant; all but one of these focused on kidney transplant. Two studied transition care in sickle cell disease. The remainder studied a variety of conditions including congenital adrenal hyperplasia, HIV, epilepsy, juvenile idiopathic arthritis, spina bifida, cystic fibrosis, inflammatory bowel disease, or included a patient population comprising more than one chronic disease.

Twelve studies were conducted in Europe: eight in the United Kingdom, one in Germany, one in Spain, and two in Italy. Eight studies were conducted in the United States; three studies were conducted in Canada, and one study was conducted in Australia. Interpretation of information from evaluation studies of transition care published in English from countries other than the United States must consider differences in the structure and financing of healthcare systems across countries.

Very few studies used a concurrent comparison group, although some compared survey responses of individuals who had participated in transition care with those of individuals who had not, with transition generally not occurring concurrently. This is because most interventions are implemented at the system level and provided to all relevant patients at the same time; thus, these studies generally relied on data from individuals who had previously transitioned before the services were available as comparators.

Outcomes were generally patient-reported and focused mostly on issues such as satisfaction with the process or health-related quality of life. Some clinical outcomes are available in the literature; these include objective measures such as glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels for patients with diabetes and rates of organ rejection among transplant patients. Generally, however, successful transition is defined as attendance in adult care (transfer) or continued adherence to medication. Thus, although the programs offered comprehensive support rightly regarded as transition care, evaluation outcomes focused – at least in large part – on outcomes traditionally regarded as an index of the more limited concept of transfer.

Common components of care included use of a transition coordinator (6 studies), a special clinic for young adults in transition (8 studies) and provision of educational materials (12 studies), sometimes using computer-based programming.
Next Steps

Research needs are wide-ranging, including both substantive and methodologic concerns. At this point in time, the field lacks even a consistent and accepted way of measuring transition success, and it will be essential to establish consistent goals in order to build an adequate body of literature to affect practice.

One example of current efforts is The Health Care Transition Research Consortium, a volunteer organization of adolescent/young adult patients and health providers/researchers whose mission is to advance an evidence-based research agenda on health care transition. Stated goals include validated assessment tools to assess transition readiness, development and evaluation of interventions to improve transition process and disease self-management, and development of practice-based health care transition research networks (https://sites.google.com/site/healthcaretransition).

An important consideration going forward is recognizing that while the health care system as a whole should more uniformly address transition needs for children with special health care needs, the specific implementations will reflect the substantial heterogeneity of this population. For example, transition care for chronic conditions like diabetes may warrant a different approach than care provided for more heterogeneous and complex conditions, particularly those that include a behavioral or intellectual component. Care for some patients may be appropriately provided in primary care at the community level, while for others, it may be available only in highly specialized regional or academic centers. This heterogeneity and implications for approaches to transition care could form an important basis for research, including identifying predictors of successful transition as well as assessing the appropriateness of common elements of transition care for different conditions and identifying which elements should be different.

The impact of aging out of both Medicaid and Title V services for youth receiving them as children warrants consideration in development of transition plans, both in terms of educating youth and their families and in developing realistic plans for accessing care. As noted in the report, the concept of transition care in pediatrics is closely aligned with the medical home, and in fact, the “Got Transition” approach is built on the medical home concept. With implementation of the Affordable Care Act and increasing emphasis on coordinated care in accountable care organizations, there may be a natural place for transition services to be a part of new approaches to healthcare currently being piloted and implemented.

As noted in our brief, although Got Transition principles are described by experts as the ideal basis for transition care, intervention studies are reported in such a way that we were unable to track back their interventions to these principles. If investigators would at minimum describe their interventions with the Got Transition rubric—or another agreed upon rubric—then synthesizing the literature as it evolves would be more straightforward and enhance applicability.

Research on the costs and resources needed to provide good care will improve the likelihood of diffusion and may provide a basis for understanding reimbursement challenges. The broad availability of tools and materials to support providers and teams have the potential to reduce costs and increase provision of care.
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### Appendix A. Literature Search Strategies

#### Table A-1: Search strategy and results from PubMed (updated 9/5/2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search Terms</th>
<th>Search Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>#7</strong> #6 AND English[la] AND humans[mh]</td>
<td>1656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>#8</strong> #7 AND (“2000/01/01”[dp] : “3000/12/31”[dp])</td>
<td>1373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: [tia] title or abstract word; [th] therapy; [la] language; [mh] medical subject heading; [pt] publication type; “jsubsetk” consumer health journal subset
# Appendix B. Screening Forms

## Table B-1. Abstract screening form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Cannot Determine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Population is children (youth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Population with special health need (excluding end of life, palliative care, and cancer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Addresses transition care from pediatric to adult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Health care setting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Reports original research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Addresses a guiding question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Retain for:

- [ ] BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
- [ ] REVIEW OF REFERENCES
- [ ] Other ______________________

**COMMENTS:**
Table B-2. Full text screening form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Population is children (youth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Population with special health need (exclude end of life, palliative care, cancer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Addresses care transition from pediatric to adult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Healthcare setting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Reports original research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Addresses one or more of the following:

- GQ1a: What is the purpose of transition care and what are the theoretical advantages and disadvantages?
- GQ1b: What are the common components of transition care interventions or processes used in clinical practice for children/adolescents with special healthcare needs?
- GQ1c: How do currently used approaches to transitioning healthcare address the complexity of health issues including comorbidities and the presence of both physical and intellectual/developmental disabilities?
- GQ2a: How widely available are programs or approaches to transition care within the healthcare setting for children/adolescents with special healthcare needs?
- GQ2b: What are the resources needed to implement transition care?
- GQ2c: What are the specific barriers to implementing transition care or processes for children/adolescents with special healthcare needs?
- GQ2d: Who delivers transition interventions and what training is required to implement identified approaches to transition care for children/adolescents with special healthcare needs?
- GQ3a: What patient groups/clinical conditions are represented in studies on the use and evaluation of transition care for children/adolescents with special healthcare needs?
- GQ3b: What is the length of followup in studies on the use and evaluation of transition care for children/adolescents with special healthcare needs?
- GQ3c: What outcomes are measured in studies on the use and evaluation of transition care for children/adolescents with special healthcare needs?
- GQ4a: What are the implications (e.g., ethical, privacy, economic) of the current level of diffusion and of further diffusion of transition care for children/adolescents with special healthcare needs?
- GQ4b: What are possible areas of future research for transition care for children/adolescents with special healthcare needs and what research designs are appropriate to address these research topics?
- Does not address a Guiding Question

*Must be original research evaluation study

Retain for:

- REVIEW OF REFERENCES
- BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION
- Other

COMMENTS:
## Appendix C. Summary of Gray Literature

### Table C-1. Transition resources identified from Internet searches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Organization/ Institution</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Consensus / Guideline</th>
<th>Portal</th>
<th>Patient Material</th>
<th>Clinician Guide</th>
<th>Fact Sheet / Data</th>
<th>Assessment / Evaluation Tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autism Transition Handbook: Health Care</td>
<td>Information on transition resources for individuals with autism spectrum disorder and links to state-level information.</td>
<td>Devereux Foundation</td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good2Go Transition Program</td>
<td>Program to prepare youth with chronic health conditions to leave the hospital by the age of 8 and use adult health care services successfully.</td>
<td>The Hospital for Sick Children</td>
<td>Chronic health condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Adolescent Leadership Council (TALC)</td>
<td>Mentor program for chronically ill adolescents to prepare for transition to adulthood.</td>
<td>Hasbro Children's Hospital</td>
<td>Chronic health condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking Responsibility for Adolescent/Adult Care (ON TRAC)</td>
<td>Model of transition care for adolescents with chronic health conditions.</td>
<td>Children's and Women's Health Centre</td>
<td>Congenital heart disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic Fibrosis Transition Program</td>
<td>Structured transition program.</td>
<td>University of Michigan</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic Fibrosis Transition Program</td>
<td>Specialized service partners with patients family to facilitate transition to adult care.</td>
<td>Lurie Children's Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CART Model Programs</td>
<td>White paper discusses model programs for transition from childhood and adolescence to adult.</td>
<td>The Special Hope Foundation</td>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth M. Boggs Center on Developmental Disabilities</td>
<td>Developing a New Jersey Developmental Disabilities Transition to Adult Health Care Forum.</td>
<td>Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School</td>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Organization/Institution</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Consensus / Guideline</td>
<td>Portal</td>
<td>Patient Material</td>
<td>Clinician Guide</td>
<td>Fact Sheet / Data</td>
<td>Assessment / Evaluation Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving from Pediatric to Adult Health Care, Healthy Transitions NY</td>
<td>Website developed for youth with developmental disabilities, family caregivers, service coordinators, and health care providers.</td>
<td>Golisano Children's Hospital, State University of New York Upstate Medical University</td>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Hope Foundation</td>
<td>Foundation funds projects on delivery of health care to adults with developmental disabilities.</td>
<td>The Special Hope Foundation</td>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking Charge of My Health: Partners in Transition</td>
<td>Special Hope Foundation funded project to develop and test training modules for parents of young adults with developmental disabilities through transition from pediatric to adult care.</td>
<td>Westchester Institute for Human Development</td>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools for primary care providers The Maestro Project</td>
<td>Provides a list of forms and tools to assist providers of adults with developmental disabilities. A community resource and transition support service for young adults with type I and type II diabetes.</td>
<td>Surrey Place Centre, Department of Pediatrics and Child Health</td>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disability.gov</td>
<td>Federal government website catalogue of nationwide disability programs and services.</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Labor</td>
<td>Disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Best Journey to Adult Life</td>
<td>Youth, family, and service providers identified best practices for transition to adulthood for youth with disabilities.</td>
<td>CanChild Centre for Childhood Disability Research, McMaster University</td>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Organization/ Institution</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Consensus / Guideline</td>
<td>Portal</td>
<td>Patient Material</td>
<td>Clinician Guide</td>
<td>Fact Sheet / Data</td>
<td>Assessment / Evaluation Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Planning for Adolescents with Special Health Care Needs: Information for Families and Teens</td>
<td>Booklet for youth transitioning to adulthood with a section on health care issues. Resources and tools to prepare youth with disabilities for health care transition.</td>
<td>Institute for Community Inclusion, University of Massachusetts</td>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitioning Youth: Healthcare</td>
<td></td>
<td>Governor's Interagency Transition Council</td>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Ability Utah: Transition to Adult Health Care Consensus</td>
<td>Resources for assessment and planning of health care transitions for youth with special health care needs. Consensus workshop summary of transition for growth hormone treated patients in transition from pediatric to adult care.</td>
<td>Utah Department of Health European Society for Paediatric Endocrinology</td>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Person Clinic Young Person Clinic</td>
<td>Special clinic with pediatric and adult endocrine teams Nurse led transition clinic within an epilepsy program. Specialty multidisciplinary clinic patients attend for 6-8 years.</td>
<td>Royal Manchester Children’s Hospital University of Alberta Hospital Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust</td>
<td>Endocrine disease</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent Epilepsy Transition Clinic 900 Clinic Transition Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition from CAMHS to adult mental health services (TRACK): a study of service organisation, policies, process and user and carer perspective</td>
<td>National Institutes for Health Research funded transition project on organizational and clinical determinants of effective transition from child and adolescent mental health services to adult psychiatric services.</td>
<td>University of Warwick</td>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Organization/ Institution</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Consensus / Guideline</td>
<td>Patient Material</td>
<td>Clinician Guide</td>
<td>Fact Sheet / Data</td>
<td>Assessment / Evaluation Tool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Medical Home Implementation: Transitions</td>
<td>Links to resources on appropriate care transitions, guidance documents, and opinion statements.</td>
<td>American Academy of Pediatrics</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition to Adult Health Services</td>
<td>Information for providers and patients transitioning to adult health care.</td>
<td>Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving Sickle Cell Transitions of Care through Health Information Technology</td>
<td>Ongoing project to understand the needs of Sickle cell disease patients, caregivers, and providers and create a toolkit for Sickle cell disease care transitions.</td>
<td>National Initiative for Children's Healthcare Quality (NICHQ)</td>
<td>Sickle cell disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Curriculum for Transition from Pediatric to Adult Medical Care for Adolescents with Sickle Cell Disease</td>
<td>Suggested topics, methods, and efficacy measurements and proposes steps for transitioning by age group with subsections on medical issues.</td>
<td>National Initiative for Children's Healthcare Quality (NICHQ)</td>
<td>Sickle cell disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle Cell Disease Transition Program</td>
<td>Educational program coordinated by Child Life Specialist with didactic information, web-based and print resources.</td>
<td>Department of Pediatrics, Duke University</td>
<td>Sickle cell disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle Cell Disease Treatment Demonstration Program</td>
<td>Ongoing project of quality improvement strategies for care of individuals with sickle cell disease.</td>
<td>National Initiative for Children's Healthcare Quality (NICHQ)</td>
<td>Sickle cell disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent Health Transition Project</td>
<td>Information, links, and material on transition from pediatric to adult health care for adolescents with special health care needs.</td>
<td>Center on Human Development and Disability, University of Washington</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Organization/ Institution</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Consensus / Guideline</th>
<th>Portal</th>
<th>Patient Material</th>
<th>Clinician Guide</th>
<th>Fact Sheet / Data</th>
<th>Assessment / Evaluation Tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent Transition for People with Special Health Care Needs</td>
<td>Links to brochures summarizing transition, checklists for youth, and other resources. Funded by Maternal and Child Health Bureau to support youth transition, telemedicine, and other capacity building services.</td>
<td>Rhode Island Department of Health</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst Center: Youth Transition, Telemedicine and Other Capacity Building Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>Catalyst Center, Boston University School of Public Health</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission for Children with Special Health Care Needs: Transition Resources</td>
<td>Links to sites with information for helping young people with independence in health care</td>
<td>Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating Healthy Futures (CHF clinic)</td>
<td>Transition clinic for adolescents and young adults with special health needs.</td>
<td>Department of Nursing, University of Southern California</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Got Transition? Center for Health Care Transition Improvement</td>
<td>Guidance and tools to aid in health care transitions for adolescents and young adults.</td>
<td>The National Alliance to Advance Adolescent Health</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Transition Wisconsin</td>
<td>Web site with transition tools and links to additional resources for families and clinicians Includes resources for understanding systems, access to quality health care, and increasing involvement of youth.</td>
<td>Health Transition Wisconsin</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy and Ready to Work, National Resource Center</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal and Child Health Bureau</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky Youth Transitioning to Employment and Comprehensive Healthcare (KY TEACH)</td>
<td>Assist young people with special health care needs to find medical homes and employment with health insurance.</td>
<td>Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Organization/ Institution</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Consensus / Guideline</td>
<td>Portal</td>
<td>Patient Material</td>
<td>Clinician Guide</td>
<td>Fact Sheet / Data</td>
<td>Assessment / Evaluation Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigating Health Care Transitions</td>
<td>Information about pilot project and tool for recommendations by age group and life domain.</td>
<td>Family Voices Colorado</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office for Genetics &amp; Children with Special Health Care Needs: Health Care Transition</td>
<td>Resource site for youth and providers, including an adult care notebook.</td>
<td>Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadmap for Transitioning Adolescents from a Pediatric to an Adult Practice</td>
<td>Document developed by providers and parents to address transition from pediatric to adult care for patients with special health needs.</td>
<td>Children's Hospitals and Clinics of Minnesota</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Medical Services: Health Care Transition</td>
<td>Description of planning process by the Specialized Medical Services and Health Care Transition Coalition to promote quality of care during the health care transition.</td>
<td>New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools for Transition</td>
<td>Educational resources for youth, a transition resource directory, and health care transition checklist. Transition planning for adolescents with special health care needs and disabilities.</td>
<td>Massachusetts Family Voices</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Age Youth</td>
<td></td>
<td>Massachusetts Child Psychiatry Access Project</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Information and Resources for Families and Youth</td>
<td>Transition resources for youth with special health care needs.</td>
<td>Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Program</td>
<td>Provides support for medically complex patients ready to transition from pediatric to adult care.</td>
<td>Lurie Children's Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Organization/ Institution</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Consensus / Guideline</td>
<td>Portal</td>
<td>Patient Material</td>
<td>Clinician Guide</td>
<td>Fact Sheet / Data</td>
<td>Assessment / Evaluation Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition to Adult Health Care: A Training Guide in Two Parts</td>
<td>Workshop guide and materials for parents, and youth with special health care needs ready to transition to adult healthcare. Describes processes, strategies, and tools to establish a transition program.</td>
<td>Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin Madison, Children's Hospital of Wisconsin</td>
<td>Special health need</td>
<td>Spina bifida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Data Coding and Definitions for Table C-1

### Resource Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Organization / Institution</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name of resource</td>
<td>Name of organization, hospital, etc. and geographic location</td>
<td>Disease or condition of the target population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- cystic fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- congenital heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- cerebral palsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- any endocrine disease except diabetes (e.g. adrenal hyperplasia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- mental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- any rheumatology disease (e.g., juvenile idiopathic arthritis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- sickle cell disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- special health need, may be generic or various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- physical disability, may be generic or various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- developmental disability, may be generic or various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- chronic health condition except for those specified above, may be generic or various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- not specified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Resource Components; coded as 0=no; 1=yes; X=unclear

- Consensus / Guideline: Clear statements issued by organization or professional group usually identified as guideline, practice parameter, consensus statement, etc.
- Portal: Links to various resources or materials
- Patient Material: The resource or information targets the patient or the patient family
- Clinician Guide: The resource of information targets the provider/clinician
- Facts / Data Sheet: May be specific or generic information on transition- may include statistics, facts, summary points- but is generally succinct.
- Assessment / Evaluation Tool: Assessment or evaluation of transition readiness, transition process, or transition methods
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achieving the Outcomes for CSHCN</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>Transition to Adult Health Care, Work, &amp; Independence is a major outcome of focus; section links to original guides and workbooks</td>
<td>State of Hawaii, Department of Health, Children with Special Health Needs Branch</td>
<td><a href="http://health.hawaii.gov/cshcn/cshcnoutcomes/">http://health.hawaii.gov/cshcn/cshcnoutcomes/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent Services, Helping You Transition to Adulthood: Resources</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>Contains resource guides and outside resources for transitioning adolescents</td>
<td>New Jersey Department of Children and Families</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nj.gov/dcf/adolescent/">http://www.nj.gov/dcf/adolescent/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for New Jersey's Youth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent Transition for People with Special Health Care Needs</td>
<td>RI</td>
<td>Links to brochures summarizing transition, checklists for youth, Youth transition workbook (with section on healthcare advocacy), and other resources</td>
<td>State of Rhode Island Department of Health</td>
<td><a href="http://www.health.ri.gov/specialhealthcareneeds/about/adolescenttransition/">http://www.health.ri.gov/specialhealthcareneeds/about/adolescenttransition/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric to Adult Health Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission for Children with Special Health Care Needs: Transition</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>Assist young people with special healthcare needs to find medical homes and employment with health insurance; create system changes that promote smooth</td>
<td>Commission for Children with Special Health Care Needs, Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services</td>
<td><a href="http://chfs.ky.gov/ccshcn/ccshcntransition.htm">http://chfs.ky.gov/ccshcn/ccshcntransition.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>transitions from school to work and from pediatric to adult healthcare; links to sites with information for helping young people with independence in health care.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuum of Care</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Handbooks and manuals for transitioning to adulthood, with sections on health</td>
<td>Governor's Office of Executive Policy and Programs</td>
<td><a href="http://www.oepp.sc.gov/coc/default.html">http://www.oepp.sc.gov/coc/default.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSH Healthcare Transitioning</td>
<td>WY</td>
<td>Suggestions and resources for transitioning to adult health services</td>
<td>Wyoming Department of Health</td>
<td><a href="http://www.health.wyo.gov/familyhealth/csh/transition.html">http://www.health.wyo.gov/familyhealth/csh/transition.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMH Transitional Age Youth Initiative</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Links to Young Adult Resource Guide with a section on health</td>
<td>Massachusetts Department of Mental Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Program for Children with Special Needs (HCP)</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Page has a section that links to transition to adult health care resources - some created by state, some external</td>
<td>Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment</td>
<td><a href="http://www.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite/CDPHE-PSD/CDPHE-1251617590646">http://www.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite/CDPHE-PSD/CDPHE-1251617590646</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Transition Wisconsin</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Web site with transition tools including videos, a checklist, and pocket guide, and links to additional resources for families and clinicians</td>
<td>Wisconsin Regional Centers, Children and Youth with Special Health Care Needs</td>
<td><a href="http://www.healthtransitionwi.org/">http://www.healthtransitionwi.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>URL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy and Ready to Work, National Resource Center</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Website for a project that has ended, but includes resources for understanding systems, access to quality health care, and increasing involvement of youth.</td>
<td>Maternal and Child Health Bureau</td>
<td><a href="http://www.syntiro.org/hrtw/">http://www.syntiro.org/hrtw/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Living Program</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>Program with resources to prepare foster youth to transition to adulthood; medical care is one topic</td>
<td>Nevada Division of Child and Family Services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dcfs.state.nv.us/dcfs_independent_living.htm">http://www.dcfs.state.nv.us/dcfs_independent_living.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Now that you're in high school... it's time to be more in charge of your health</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Booklet directs teens with SHN to be more in charge of their health care, focusing on both teens and the transition to adulthood</td>
<td>Florida Department of Health and University of FL</td>
<td><a href="http://www.floridahealth.gov/alternatesites/cms-kids/kids_teens/documents/highschool_booklet.pdf">http://www.floridahealth.gov/alternatesites/cms-kids/kids_teens/documents/highschool_booklet.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office for Genetics &amp; Children with Special Health Care Needs: Health Care Transition</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>Office For Genetics And People With Special Health Care Needs resource site for youth and providers, including an adult care notebook</td>
<td>Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene</td>
<td><a href="http://phpa.dhmh.maryland.gov/genetics/SitePages/Health_Care_Transition.aspx">http://phpa.dhmh.maryland.gov/genetics/SitePages/Health_Care_Transition.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Healthy Transitions Initiative</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>Initiative to establish consortium of statewide community-based services for transitioning youth; also has resource guides</td>
<td>Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services</td>
<td><a href="http://ok.gov/odmhsas/Mental_Health_/Children_Youth_&amp;_Family_Services/Systems_of_Care/Oklahoma_Healthy_Transitions_Initiative_%28OHTI%29/">http://ok.gov/odmhsas/Mental_Health_/Children_Youth_&amp;_Family_Services/Systems_of_Care/Oklahoma_Healthy_Transitions_Initiative_%28OHTI%29/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Medical Services: Health Care Transition</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>Description of planning process by the Specialized Medical Services and Health Care Transition Coalition to promote quality of care during the health care transition from adolescent to adult, including transition checklist and timeline for providers and other tools</td>
<td>New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dhhs.state.nh.us/dcbcs/bds/sms/transition.htm">http://www.dhhs.state.nh.us/dcbcs/bds/sms/transition.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems in Sync: Transition to Adulthood</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>Project focusing on guiding young adults with SHN through the transition to adulthood, specifically integrating health transition with work, education, and independent living.</td>
<td>Kansas Department of Health and Environment</td>
<td><a href="http://www.systemsinync.org/goals_transition.htm">http://www.systemsinync.org/goals_transition.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition for Young Adults</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>Page contains facts, principles, and local resources for transitioning</td>
<td>Healthy Delawareans with Disabilities</td>
<td><a href="http://www.gohdwd.org/health-care/transition-for-young-adults/">http://www.gohdwd.org/health-care/transition-for-young-adults/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>URL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Health Care Checklist: Transition to Adult Living in Pennsylvania</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Checklist, resources, steps to assist youth and families in transitioning to adult health care</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Health</td>
<td><a href="http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/special_kids_network/14205/transition_health_care_checklist/558090">http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/special_kids_network/14205/transition_health_care_checklist/558090</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Information</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Information and links to resources for patients, patient families, and providers on transition of youth with special health needs from pediatric to adult care.</td>
<td>Texas Department of State Health Services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/cshcn/transinfo.shtml">http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/cshcn/transinfo.shtml</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Information and Resources for Families and Youth</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Transition resources for youth with special health care needs, including a guide to family involvement and resources by state region</td>
<td>Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals</td>
<td><a href="http://dhhs.louisiana.gov/index.cfm/page/1137">http://dhhs.louisiana.gov/index.cfm/page/1137</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Information Packet</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Booklet on transition for youth exiting the foster care system, with a section on health</td>
<td>Iowa Department of Human Services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dhs.iowa.gov/Consumers/Child_Welfare/Transition_Services/Transitioning%20to%20Adulthood.html">http://www.dhs.iowa.gov/Consumers/Child_Welfare/Transition_Services/Transitioning%20to%20Adulthood.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Resources</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Page of outside health care transition resources</td>
<td>Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dphhs.mt.gov/publichealth/cshs/transitionresources.shtml">http://www.dphhs.mt.gov/publichealth/cshs/transitionresources.shtml</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition to Adulthood</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Page contains original guides and resource manuals for health care transition</td>
<td>Michigan Department of Community Health</td>
<td><a href="http://www.michigan.gov/mdch/0,4612,7-132-2942_4911_35698-135030-00.html">http://www.michigan.gov/mdch/0,4612,7-132-2942_4911_35698-135030-00.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition to Adulthood</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Page has a section that links to external pediatric to adult health resources</td>
<td>North Dakota Department of Health, Children's Special Health Services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ndhealth.gov/cshs/TransitionToAdulthood.htm">http://www.ndhealth.gov/cshs/TransitionToAdulthood.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitioning Youth: Healthcare</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>Resources and tools to prepare youth with disabilities for health care transition</td>
<td>State of Maryland (collaboration of many departments)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mdtransition.org/Health%20Care">http://www.mdtransition.org/Health%20Care</a>. html</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Ability Utah: Transition to Adult Health Care</td>
<td>UT</td>
<td>Resources for assessing current status and planning health care transitions for youth with special health care needs</td>
<td>Utah Department of Health</td>
<td><a href="http://www.workabilityutah.org/community/healthy/transitionhealthcare.php">http://www.workabilityutah.org/community/healthy/transitionhealthcare.php</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young Adults in Transition</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Links to a few resources for young adults in transition</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Human Services, Addiction and Mental Health Services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.oregon.gov/oha/amh/pages/child-mh-soc-in-plan-grp/main.aspx#young">http://www.oregon.gov/oha/amh/pages/child-mh-soc-in-plan-grp/main.aspx#young</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth and Transition Services</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Resources for transitioning youth with mental health needs</td>
<td>Minnesota Department of Human Services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/idcplg?IdcService=GET_DYNAMIC_CONVERSION&amp;RevisionSelectionMethod=LatestReleased&amp;dDocName=dhs16_167209">http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/idcplg?IdcService=GET_DYNAMIC_CONVERSION&amp;RevisionSelectionMethod=LatestReleased&amp;dDocName=dhs16_167209</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth in Transition Grant</td>
<td>VT</td>
<td>Project to support youth transitioning to adulthood through community supports, events, resources - access to a medical home is one of the desired outcomes</td>
<td>Vermont Department of mental Health</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youth-in-transition-grant.com/">http://www.youth-in-transition-grant.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth in Transition to Adulthood</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Links to a few resources and programs for transitioning youth, some for health and mental health</td>
<td>Tennessee Division of Mental Health Services</td>
<td><a href="http://tn.gov/mental/children/child_youth_adult.shtml">http://tn.gov/mental/children/child_youth_adult.shtml</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Transitions, Office for Children with Special Health Care Needs</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Page on government website that links to health transition resources</td>
<td>Arizona Department of Health Services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.azdhs.gov/phs/owch/ocshcn/youth-transition.htm">http://www.azdhs.gov/phs/owch/ocshcn/youth-transition.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth with Special Health Care Needs</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Page contains some original resources on transitioning to adult health care (for young adults, parents, and providers) and links to some external resources</td>
<td>Connecticut Department of Public Health</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ct.gov/dph/cwp/view.asp?a=3138&amp;q=432684">http://www.ct.gov/dph/cwp/view.asp?a=3138&amp;q=432684</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table C-3. Consensus statements for transition care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Organization(s)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Academy of Family Physicians, and the American College of Physicians-American Society of Internal Medicine, 2002</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Critical first steps that the medical profession needs to take to realize the vision of a family-centered, continuous, comprehensive, coordinated, compassionate, and culturally competent health care system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell et al., Adolescent transition to adult care in solid organ transplantation: a consensus conference report. (2008)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Recommendations from a consensus conference for transition of children who have received solid organ transplants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayton et al., Consensus statement on the management of the GH-treated adolescent in the transition to adult care. (2005)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Summary of discussions at a consensus workshop related to issues in caring for GH-treated patients in the transition from pediatric to adult life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutt et al., Evidence-based guidelines for management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adolescents in transition to adult services and in adults. (2007)</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Consensus conference to review the body of evidence on childhood ADHD and the growing literature on ADHD in older age groups. Much of this initial guidance on managing ADHD in adolescents in transition and in adults is based on expert opinion derived from childhood evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Association for Psychopharmacology, Consensus Development Conference</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Consensus statement provides a framework for health care delivery during the transition period and an agenda for future research for youth and young adults with diabetes and their health care providers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosen et al., Transition to adult health care for adolescents and young adults with chronic conditions. (2003)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Recommendation for transition care for adolescents with congenital heart disease. Address timing, social and family dynamics, health supervision issues, and sexuality, pregnancy and reproductive issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sable et al., Best practices in managing transition to adulthood for adolescents with congenital heart disease: the transition process and medical and psychosocial issues. (2011)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Colloquium on guidelines for the primary health care of adults with developmental disabilities held in March of 2009 updates the 2006 Canadian guidelines for primary care of adults with developmental disabilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan et al., Primary care of adults with developmental disabilities. (2011)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Consensus statement developed by the panel of adult and pediatric nephrologists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Location</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurasek et al., 2010&lt;sup&gt;“&lt;/sup&gt; Edmonton, Canada **</td>
<td>Adolescent Epilepsy Transition Clinic</td>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kripke et al., 2011&lt;sup&gt;“&lt;/sup&gt; California, U.S.</td>
<td>CART model program</td>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betz and Redcay, 2003&lt;sup&gt;“&lt;/sup&gt; California, U.S.</td>
<td>Creating Healthy Futures</td>
<td>Special health care needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amaria et al., 2011&lt;sup&gt;“&lt;/sup&gt; Toronto, ON, Canada</td>
<td>Good2Go Transition Program</td>
<td>Chronic health conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paone, et al., 2006&lt;sup&gt;“&lt;/sup&gt; British Columbia</td>
<td>ON TRAC</td>
<td>Pediatric transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufmann Rauen et al., 2013&lt;sup&gt;“&lt;/sup&gt; Wisconsin, U.S.</td>
<td>Spina Bifida Transition Program</td>
<td>Spina bifida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart, et al. 2009&lt;sup&gt;“&lt;/sup&gt; Hamilton, ON, Canada</td>
<td>The Best Journey to Adult Life</td>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al., 2011&lt;sup&gt;14&lt;/sup&gt; North Carolina, U.S.</td>
<td>Sickle Cell Disease Transition</td>
<td>Sickle cell disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanelli et al., 2004&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt; **</td>
<td>Parma protocol</td>
<td>Adolescents with type 1 diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaudhry et al., 2013&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt; Michigan, U.S. **</td>
<td>Structured transition program</td>
<td>Adults with cystic fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerber et al., 2007&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt; Illinois, US</td>
<td>STYLE</td>
<td>Young adults with diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maslow et al., 2012&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt; Rhode Island, U.S. **</td>
<td>The Adolescent Leadership Council (TALC)</td>
<td>Individuals with a chronic illness aged 13-19 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Walleghem et al., 2006&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt; and 2008 Manitoba, Canada **</td>
<td>The Maestro Project</td>
<td>Youth with type 1 diabetes aged under 18 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hankins et al., 2012&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt; Tennessee, U.S. **</td>
<td>Transition Pilot Program</td>
<td>Youth with sickle cell disease aged 17-19 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Location</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaturvedi et al., 2009&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt; Australia **</td>
<td>Transition program</td>
<td>Pediatric kidney transplant recipients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig et al., 2007&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt; Australia</td>
<td>Transition program</td>
<td>Youth with cystic fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byron and Madge, 2001&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Transition Programme</td>
<td>Youth with cystic fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price et al., 2011&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt; UK</td>
<td>Transitions Pathway model</td>
<td>Youth with type 1 diabetes aged 16-18 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleeson et al., 2013&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt; UK **</td>
<td>Young Person Clinic</td>
<td>Individuals with congenital adrenal hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bent et al., 2002&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt; UK **</td>
<td>Young Adult Teams</td>
<td>Youth with long-term physical disability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** also in the transition program evaluation table in GQ3
References for Appendix C.


15. Vanelli M, Caronna S, Aminolifi B, et al. Effectiveness of an uninterrupted procedure to transfer adolescents with Type 1 diabetes from the Paediatric to the Adult Clinic held in the same


## Appendix D. Reasons for Exclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusion Code</th>
<th>Exclusion Reason</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-1</td>
<td>Not youth</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-2</td>
<td>Population does not have a special health need</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-3</td>
<td>Publication did not address transition from pediatric to adult health care</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-4</td>
<td>Not a health care setting</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-5</td>
<td>Original research and does not address a guiding question</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-6</td>
<td>Not original research and does not address a guiding question</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-7</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-8</td>
<td>Duplicate</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Appendix E. Ongoing Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sponsors and Collaborators</th>
<th>Population Disease/Condition Age</th>
<th>Interventions / Groups</th>
<th>Primary Outcome Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transition of Adolescents and Young Adults with Diabetes from Pediatric to Adult Care</td>
<td>University of Kansas Medical Center Research Institute NCT01109797</td>
<td>Kurt Midyett, MD, CDE</td>
<td>Type I Diabetes or type 2 diabetes managed with insulin</td>
<td>Behavioral: Transition Social Behavioral Intervention</td>
<td>• change in self-efficacy &lt;br&gt;• change in diabetes knowledge &lt;br&gt;• diabetes quality of life &lt;br&gt;• family conflict &lt;br&gt;• treatment satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete, results not published Start: April 2010 Complete: May 2012</td>
<td>Age 16-29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Care Management Compared to Standard Diabetes Care in Adolescents and Young Adults with Type 1 Diabetes (TransClin)</td>
<td>University of Western Ontario, Canada Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation Recruiting Start: April 2012 Complete: December 2016</td>
<td>University of Western Ontario, Canada</td>
<td>T1D diagnosis Age 17-20</td>
<td>Other: Transition Coordinator</td>
<td>• change in one outpatient adult endocrinology visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete, results not published Start: April 2010 Complete: May 2012</td>
<td>Age 16-29</td>
<td>Behavioral: Transition Social Behavioral Intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Care Transition Study</td>
<td>Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia</td>
<td>Enrolling by invitation</td>
<td>1 chronic condition and/or cognitive disability Age 19 or older</td>
<td>Behavioral: REACH for Independence Other: Transition Consult Other: Study Materials</td>
<td>Successful transition to an adult provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Start: October 2012 Complete: December 2013</td>
<td>Age 19 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent, Caregiver, and Young Adult Perspectives of the Transition from Pediatric to Adult Care for Sickle Cell Disease: A Preliminary Evaluation of the Sickle Cell Disease Transition Program</td>
<td>St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital Plough Foundation Health Resources and Services Administration Recruiting Start: March 2012 Complete: September 2013</td>
<td>St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital</td>
<td>Adolescents with SCD Young Adults with SCD Age 12-30</td>
<td>Other: Assessment (focus groups and questionnaires) Grounded theory qualitative analysis of data</td>
<td>Grounded theory qualitative analysis of data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete, results not published Start: April 2010 Complete: May 2012</td>
<td>Age 16-29</td>
<td>Behavioral: Diabetes Transition Clinic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Population Disease/Condition Age</td>
<td>Interventions / Groups</td>
<td>Primary Outcome Measures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The LETS Study: A Longitudinal Evaluation of Transition Services</td>
<td>Holland Bloorview Kids Rehabilitation Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada</td>
<td>Diagnosis of cerebral palsy or acquired brain injury &lt;br&gt;Diagnosis of spina bifida &lt;br&gt;Age 16-23</td>
<td>Other: Prospective LIFEspan&lt;br&gt;Other: Prospective Non-LIFEspan&lt;br&gt;Other: Retrospective Non-LIFEspan</td>
<td>Maintenance of continuous care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Holland Bloorview Neurotrauma Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active, not recruiting Start: September 2009 Complete: September 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital Heart Adolescents: Program of Transition Evaluation Research</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Moderate or complex congenital heart disease or acquired heart disease &lt;br&gt;Age 15-17</td>
<td>Behavioral: Clinic-based Educational Intervention</td>
<td>change in patient satisfaction questionnaire (PSQ-18)&lt;br&gt;change in parent/guardian Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire (PSQ-18)&lt;br&gt;change in patient knowledge of his/her CHD (MyHeart score)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER (CHAPTER)</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting Start: January 2011 Complete: December 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Alberta</td>
<td>University of Alberta Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada</td>
<td>Moderate or complex CHD &lt;br&gt;Age 16-17</td>
<td>Behavioral: Educational&lt;br&gt;Behavioral: Self-management</td>
<td>Excess time to first ACHD clinic appointment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01286480</td>
<td>Recruiting Start: November 2012 Complete: May 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Study of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) Patients from</td>
<td>Vanderbilt University Unknown Start: August 2006 Complete: December 2012</td>
<td>Confirmed diagnosis of IBD &lt;br&gt;Age 16 and older</td>
<td>Other: Transition program</td>
<td>Decrease IBD flare at 1 year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric Gastroenterologist to Adult Gastroenterologist</td>
<td>University of California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00360022</td>
<td>Recruiting Start: April 2010 Complete: December 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of a Pediatric-to-Adult Asthma Transition Program</td>
<td>University of Calgary</td>
<td>Clinical diagnosis of asthma &lt;br&gt;Age 17-19</td>
<td>Other: Asthma Transition Program</td>
<td>Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire with Standardized Activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Calgary</td>
<td>Recruiting Start: April 2010 Complete: December 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Name</td>
<td>Sponsors and Collaborators Study Status</td>
<td>Population Disease/Condition Age</td>
<td>Interventions / Groups</td>
<td>Primary Outcome Measures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet-based Educational Program to Promote Self-Management for Teens with Hemophilia</td>
<td>The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada Completed Start: November 2011 Complete: March 2013</td>
<td>Diagnosis of mild, moderate or severe hemophilia A or B • Age 13-18</td>
<td>Other: Teens Taking Charge: Managing Hemophilia Online-Online self-management intervention</td>
<td>Disease-specific knowledge gained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Survival with HIV: Psychological and Behavioral Factors Associated with the Transition from Adolescence to Young Adulthood</td>
<td>National Cancer Institute Completed Start: July 2001 Complete: June 2005</td>
<td>HIV-infected adolescents • Age 13-24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health literacy-disparities and transition in teens with special healthcare needs</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities Ongoing Start: September 2011 End: July 2016</td>
<td>Special healthcare need • Age 15-17</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes after transfer of pediatric renal transplant patients to adult providers</td>
<td>National Institute of Nursing Research Completed Start: August 2009 End: May 2011</td>
<td>Kidney transplant recipients • Ages 16-25</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From adolescence to adulthood: persons with and without diabetes</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Ongoing Start: July 2013 End: June 2017</td>
<td>Diabetes • Ages 22-24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Sponsors and Collaborators Study Status</td>
<td>Population Disease/Condition Age</td>
<td>Interventions / Groups</td>
<td>Primary Outcome Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitioning from childhood to adulthood: the impact of perinatal HIV infection</td>
<td>New York State Psychiatric Institute 5R01MH069133-10</td>
<td>National Institute of Mental Health Ongoing Start: December 2012 End: November 2013</td>
<td>• Perinatally HIV infected youth • Perinatally HIV exposed, uninfected youth</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>• NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barriers &amp; facilitators to health care: transitioning youth with special needs</td>
<td>Okumura, Megie University of California, San Francisco AHRQ 5K08HS017716-05 HSRP20084171</td>
<td>Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Ongoing Start: September 2012 End: September 2013</td>
<td>• Youth and young adults complex chronic conditions</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>• NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic fibrosis as a model of health care transition for chronically ill youth</td>
<td>Children’s Hospital Corporation 5K23HL105541-03</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Ongoing Start: July 2012 End: June 2016</td>
<td>• Adolescents and young adults with cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>• NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-provider interventions to improve transition to adult care in SCD</td>
<td>Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center 5K07HL108720-03</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Ongoing Start: August 2013 End: July 2015</td>
<td>• Sickle Cell Disease • Ages 16-24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>• NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A health care transition randomized trial for minority youth with special health care needs</td>
<td>Tuchman, Lisa HRSA and MCHB HSRP20123116</td>
<td>Maternal and Child Health Bureau Ongoing through 2015</td>
<td>• Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>• Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Name</td>
<td>Sponsors and Collaborators Study Status</td>
<td>Population Disease/Condition Age</td>
<td>Interventions / Groups</td>
<td>Primary Outcome Measures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service transitions among youth with autism spectrum disorders</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health; National Institute of Mental Health</td>
<td>• Autism spectrum disorder</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>• Not specified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shattuck, Paul NIMH HSRP20102263</td>
<td>Ongoing through 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth with complex needs: transition to adulthood plans</td>
<td>National Institute of Child Health and Human Development</td>
<td>• Complex chronic conditions</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>• Not specified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehm, Roberta S NICHD HSRP20102257</td>
<td>Completed 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Disabilities Health Care E-Toolkit</td>
<td>Special Hope Foundation Ongoing</td>
<td>• Developmental disability</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>• NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMillan, Elise Special Hope Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family-centered transition project</td>
<td>Maternal and Child Health Bureau Completed 2011</td>
<td>• Autism spectrum disorders</td>
<td>Family-Centered transition planning model compared to usual care</td>
<td>• Not specified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagner, David HSRP20111046 University of New Hampshire, Institute on Disability</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Age 16-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>