## Draft Comparative Effectiveness Review

Number xx

## Systematic Review – ADHD Diagnosis and Treatment in Children and Adolescents

#### **Prepared for:**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville, MD 20857 www.ahrq.gov

and Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute 1333 New Hampshire Avenue NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036 www.pcori.org

This information is distributed solely for the purposes of predissemination review. It has not been formally disseminated by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The findings are subject to change based on the literature identified in the interim and peer-review/public comments and should not be referenced as definitive. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent an Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality or Department of Health and Human Services (AHRQ) determination or policy.

#### Contract No. [to be inserted in the final report]

**Prepared by:** [to be inserted in the final report]

**Investigators:** [to be inserted in the final report]

AHRQ Publication No. xx-EHCxxx <Month Year> This report is based on research conducted by the [to be inserted in the final report] Evidencebased Practice Center (EPC) under contract to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), Rockville, MD (Contract No. [to be inserted in the final report]). The Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute<sup>®</sup> (PCORI<sup>®</sup>) funded the report (PCORI<sup>®</sup> Publication No. 2023-SR-03). The findings and conclusions in this document are those of the authors, who are responsible for its contents; the findings and conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of AHRQ or PCORI<sup>®</sup>, its Board of Governors or Methodology Committee. Therefore, no statement in this report should be construed as an official position of PCORI<sup>®</sup>, AHRQ or of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

## None of the investigators have any affiliations or financial involvement that conflicts with the material presented in this report.

The information in this report is intended to help healthcare decision makers—patients and clinicians, health system leaders, and policymakers, among others—make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of healthcare services. This report is not intended to be a substitute for the application of clinical judgment. Anyone who makes decisions concerning the provision of clinical care should consider this report in the same way as any medical reference and in conjunction with all other pertinent information, i.e., in the context of available resources and circumstances presented by individual patients.

This report is made available to the public under the terms of a licensing agreement between the author and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Most AHRQ documents are publicly available to use for noncommercial purposes (research, clinical or patient education, quality improvement projects) in the United States, and do not need specific permission to be reprinted and used unless they contain material that is copyrighted by others. Specific written permission is needed for commercial use (reprinting for sale, incorporation into software, incorporation into for-profit training courses) or for use outside of the U.S. If organizational policies requires permission to adapt or use these materials, AHRQ will provide such permission in writing.

PCORI®, AHRQ or U.S. Department of Health and Human Services endorsement of any derivative products that may be developed from this report, such as clinical practice guidelines, other quality enhancement tools, or reimbursement or coverage policies, may not be stated or implied.

A representative from AHRQ served as a Contracting Officer's Representative and reviewed the contract deliverables for adherence to contract requirements and quality. AHRQ did not directly participate in the literature search, determination of study eligibility criteria, data analysis, interpretation of data, or preparation or drafting of this report.

AHRQ appreciates appropriate acknowledgment and citation of its work. Suggested language for acknowledgment: This work was based on an evidence report, Systematic Review – ADHD Diagnosis and Treatment in Children and Adolescents, by the Evidence-based Practice Center Program at the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ).

**Suggested citation:** [to be inserted in the final report]. Systematic Review – ADHD Diagnosis and Treatment in Children and Adolescents. Effectiveness Review No. XXX. (Prepared by the [to be inserted in the final report] Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. [to be inserted in the final report].) AHRQ Publication No. [Insert Number]. PCORI Publication No. XXXX-SR-XX. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; [Insert Date]. DOI: [Insert DOI]

Posted final reports are located on the Effective Health Care Program search page.

#### Preface

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), through its Evidence-based Practice Centers (EPCs), sponsors the development of evidence reports and technology assessments to assist public- and private-sector organizations in their efforts to improve the quality of healthcare in the United States. The Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) requested this report from the EPC Program at AHRQ. AHRQ assigned this report to the EPC (to be added for the final version) (Contract Number: to be added for the final version).

AHRQ EPC reviews provide comprehensive, science-based information on common, costly medical conditions, and new healthcare technologies and strategies.

The Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) was established to fund research that helps patients and caregivers make better informed health care choices. To fulfill its authorizing mandate, PCORI partners with AHRQ to generate evidence synthesis products and make comparative effectiveness research more available to patients and providers.

Systematic reviews are the building blocks underlying evidence-based practice; they focus attention on the strength and limits of evidence from research studies about the effectiveness and safety of a clinical intervention. In the context of developing recommendations for practice, systematic reviews can help clarify whether assertions about the value of the intervention are based on strong evidence from clinical studies. For more information about AHRQ EPC systematic reviews, go to www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/reference/purpose.cfm.

AHRQ expects that the EPC evidence reports and technology assessments, when appropriate, will inform individual health plans, providers, and purchasers as well as the healthcare system as a whole by providing important information to help improve healthcare quality. Transparency and stakeholder input are essential to the Effective Health Care Program. Please visit the website (www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov) to see draft research questions and reports or to join an email list to learn about new program products and opportunities for input.

If you have comments on this evidence report, they may be sent by mail to the Task Order Officer named below at: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, or by email to epc@ahrq.hhs.gov.

Robert O. Valdez, Ph.D., M.H.S.A. Director Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Therese Miller, D.P.H. Acting Director Center for Evidence and Practice Improvement Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Craig A. Umscheid, M.D., M.S. Director, Evidence-based Practice Center Program Center for Evidence and Practice Improvement Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Kim Wittenberg, M.S. Task Order Officer Center for Evidence and Practice Improvement Nakela Cook, M.D., M.P.H. Executive Director Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute

Greg Martin Acting Chief Engagement and Dissemination Officer Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute

Michelle Althuis, Ph.D. Associate Director, Research Synthesis Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute

Jennie Dalton, M.P.H. Program Officer, Research Synthesis Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute

Paula Eguino Medina, M.P.H. Program Associate, Research Synthesis Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute

## Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the following individuals for their contributions to this project: [to be inserted in the final report]

## **Key Informants**

In designing the study questions, the EPC consulted several Key Informants who represent the end-users of research. The EPC sought the Key Informant input on the priority areas for research and synthesis. Key Informants are not involved in the analysis of the evidence or the writing of the report. Therefore, in the end, study questions, design, methodological approaches, and/or conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of individual Key Informants.

Key Informants must disclose any financial conflicts of interest greater than \$5,000 and any other relevant business or professional conflicts of interest. Because of their role as end-users, individuals with potential conflicts may be retained. The TOO and the EPC work to balance, manage, or mitigate any conflicts of interest.

The list of Key Informants who provided input to this report follows:

[to be inserted in the final report]

## **Technical Expert Panel**

In designing the study questions and methodology at the outset of this report, the EPC consulted several technical and content experts. Broad expertise and perspectives were sought. Divergent and conflicting opinions are common and perceived as healthy scientific discourse that results in a thoughtful, relevant systematic review. Therefore, in the end, study questions, design, methodologic approaches, and/or conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of individual technical and content experts.

Technical Experts must disclose any financial conflicts of interest greater than \$5,000 and any other relevant business or professional conflicts of interest. Because of their unique clinical or content expertise, individuals with potential conflicts may be retained. The TOO and the EPC work to balance, manage, or mitigate any potential conflicts of interest identified.

The list of Technical Experts who provided input to this report follows:

[to be inserted in the final report]

\*Provided input on Draft Report

## **Peer Reviewers**

Prior to publication of the final evidence report, EPCs sought input from independent Peer Reviewers without financial conflicts of interest. However, the conclusions and synthesis of the scientific literature presented in this report do not necessarily represent the views of individual reviewers. AHRQ may also seek comments from other federal agencies when appropriate.

Peer Reviewers must disclose any financial conflicts of interest greater than \$5,000 and any other relevant business or professional conflicts of interest. Because of their unique clinical or content expertise, individuals with potential non-financial conflicts may be retained. The TOO and the EPC work to balance, manage, or mitigate any potential non-financial conflicts of interest identified.

The list of Peer Reviewers follows: [to be inserted for the final report]

# Systematic Review – ADHD Diagnosis and Treatment in Children and Adolescents

## Abstract

**Objective.** The systematic review assessed evidence on the diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents to inform a planned update of the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) guidelines.

**Data sources.** We searched PubMed, EMBASE, PsycINFO, ERIC, and clinicaltrials.gov and prior reviews for primary studies published since 1980. The draft report includes studies published to 2021, and an ongoing update search will capture 2022 and 2023 studies.

**Review methods.** The review followed a detailed protocol and was supported by a Technical Expert Panel (TEP). Citation screening was facilitated by machine learning; two independent reviewers screened full text citations for eligibility. We abstracted data using software designed for systematic reviews. Risk of bias assessments focused on key sources of bias for diagnostic and intervention studies. We conducted strength of evidence (SoE) and applicability assessments for key outcomes.

Results. Searches identified 22,091 citations, and 6,900 were obtained as full text. We included 533 studies reported in 1,058 publications (223 studies addressed diagnosis, 304 studies addressed treatment, and 9 studies addressed monitoring). Diagnostic studies reported on the diagnostic performance of numerous parental ratings, teacher rating scales, teen/child selfreports, clinician tools, neuropsychological tests, EEG approaches, imaging, biomarkers, activity monitoring, and observation. Multiple approaches showed promising diagnostic performance but estimates of performance varied considerably across studies and the SoE was generally low. Few studies report estimates for children under the age of 7 years. Treatment studies evaluated FDAapproved and newer, non-FDA-approved pharmacological agents, psychological/ behavioral approaches, combined pharmacological and behavior approaches, cognitive training, physical exercise, nutrition and supplements, integrative medicine, parent support, school interventions, and provider or model-of-care interventions. Pharmacological treatment was associated with improved broadband scale scores and ADHD symptoms (high SoE) as well as function (moderate SoE), but also appetite suppression and adverse events (high SoE). Psychosocial interventions, neurofeedback, and school interventions showed improvement in ADHD symptoms (moderate SoE). Few studies have evaluated combinations of pharmacological and behavioral interventions and we did not find combination treatments superior to monotherapy. Monitoring approaches for ADHD were limited to nine evaluations of ADHD monitoring strategies, and the SoE is insufficient.

**Conclusion.** Many diagnostic tools are available to diagnose ADHD, but few monitoring strategies have been studied. Medication therapies remain important treatment options, even as other non-drug treatment approaches emerge.

Ex	ecutive SummaryEs	<b>S-1</b>
1.	Introduction	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Purpose and Scope of the Systematic Review	5
2.	Methods	7
	2.1 Review Approach	7
	2.1.1 Key Questions (KQs)	7
	2.1.2 Analytic Framework	9
	2.2 Study Selection	9
	2.2.1 Search Strategy	9
	2.2.2 Eligibility Criteria	10
	2.3 Data Extraction	13
	2.4 Risk of Bias Assessment	13
	2.5 Data Synthesis and Analysis	14
	2.6 Grading the Body of Evidence	15
	2.6.1 Key Outcomes	15
	2.6.2 Strength of Evidence Assessments	17
	2.7 Peer Review and Public Commentary	18
3.	Results: Description of Included Evidence	19
4.	Results: Diagnosis of ADHD	21
	4.1 KQ1 ADHD Diagnosis Key Points	21
	4.2 KQ1 ADHD Diagnosis Summary of Findings	22
	4.3 Summary ADHD Diagnosis By Tests for All Age Groups	26
	4.3.1 Parental Ratings	26
	4.3.2 Teacher Ratings	28
	4.3.3 Teen/Child Self Reports	30
	4.3.4.1 Combined Ratings	31
	4.3.4 Clinicians Tools	32
	4.3.5 Biomarkers	33
	4.3.6 Diagnosis Supported by Machine Learning	34
	4.4.1 KQ1a. What is the comparative diagnostic accuracy of approaches that can be used	in
	the primary care practice setting or by specialists to diagnose ADHD among individuals	
	younger than 7 years of age?	35
	4.4.2 KQ1b. What is the comparative diagnostic accuracy of EEG, imaging, or approache	es
	assessing executive function that can be used in the primary care practice setting or by	
	specialists to diagnose ADHD among individuals aged 7 through 17?	36
	presentationpresentation4.4.2.1 EEG	37
	4.4.2.2 Imaging	39
	4.4.2.3 Neuropsychological Tests	41
	4.4.3 KQ1c. For both populations, how does the comparative diagnostic accuracy of these	
	approaches vary by clinical setting or patient subgroup, or other risk factors associated with	
	ADHD?	45
	4.4.4 KQ1d. What are the adverse effects associated with being labeled correctly or incorrec	tly
	as having ADHD?	49

5. Results: Treatment of ADHD	50
5.1 KQ2 ADHD Treatment Key Points	50
5.2 KQ2 ADHD Treatment Summary of Findings	50
5.2.1 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Behavior	52
5.2.2 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Broadband Measures	53
5.2.3 Effects of ADHD Treatment on ADHD Symptoms	53
5.2.4 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Functional Impairment	54
5.2.5 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Acceptability of Treatment	54
5.2.6 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Academic Performance	54
5.2.7 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Appetite Changes	54
5.2.8 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Number of Participants with Adverse Events	55
5.3 Effects by Intervention	55
5.3.1 Combined Pharmacological and Behavioral Treatment	56
5.3.2 FDA-approved Pharmacological Treatment	60
5.3.3 New Pharmaceutical Agents	89
5.3.4 Psychosocial Treatment	94
5.3.5 Cognitive Training	. 100
5.3.6 Neurofeedback	. 105
5.3.7 Physical Exercise	. 110
5.3.8 Nutrition and Supplements	. 111
5.3.9 CAM	. 120
5.3.10 Parent Support	. 122
5.3.11 School Interventions	. 128
5.3.12 Provider Interventions	. 132
5.4 KQ2a. How do these outcomes vary by presentation (inattentive, hyperactive/impuls	sive,
and combined) or other co-occurring conditions?	. 135
5.4.1 Key Points KQ2a Effect of Presentation	. 135
5.5 KQ2a. How do outcomes vary by presentation or other co-occurring conditions?	. 136
5.6 KQ2b. What is the risk of diversion of pharmacologic treatment?	. 141
5.6.1 Key Points KQ2b	. 141
6. Results: Monitoring ADHD	. 142
6.1 KQ3 ADHD Monitoring Key Points	. 142
6.2 KQ 3 ADHD Monitoring Summary of Findings	. 142
7. Discussion	152
Findings in Relation to the Decisional Dilemma(s)	152
Diagnosuic Approaches for ADHD	152
A DUD Monitoring	150
ADRD Monitoring	150
Implications	160
Strongths and Limitations	161
Suchguis and Linnianons	161
Applicability	162
Applicability	16 <i>1</i>
Abbreviations and Acronyms	250
AUDI CYTAUUIIS AUU AU UUYIIIS	• 437

#### Tables

Table 1. Eligibility Criteria    11
Table 2. Definitions of the grades of overall strength of evidence <sup>109</sup>
Table 3. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for the Diagnosis of ADHD 22
Table 4. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Parental Ratings 27
Table 5. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Teacher Ratings
Table 6. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Self Reports
Table 7. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Clinician Tools
Table 8. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Biomarkers
Table 9. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for EEG
Table 10. KQ1Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Neuroimaging
Table 11. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Neuropsychological Tests. 42
Table 12. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Combined Pharmacological and
Psychological Treatment
Table 13. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Pharmacological
Interventions
Table 14. KQ2Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for New Pharmacological Agents
Table 15. KQ2Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Psychosocial Treatment 98
Table 16. KQ2Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Cognitive Training
Table 17. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Neurofeedback 109
Table 18. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Physical Exercise 111
Table 19. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Nutrition and Supplements
Table 20. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for CAM    121
Table 21. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Parent Interventions 126
Table 22. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for School Interventions 131
Table 23. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Provider Interventions 133
Table 24. KQ2a Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for ADHD Interventions 135
Table 25. KQ3 Monitoring Strategies Evidence

#### Figures

8	
Figure 1. Analytic Framework	9
Figure 2. Flow Diagram	
Figure 3. Risk of Bias in KQ1 Studies	
Figure 4. KQ1 Applicability Rating	
Figure 5. Sensitivity by Setting	
Figure 6. Specificity by Setting	
Figure 7. Sensitivity by Clinical Population	
Figure 8. Specificity by Clinical Population	
Figure 9. Sensitivity by Minimum Age	
Figure 10. Specificity by Minimum Age	
Figure 11. Sensitivity and Specificity by Proportion of Female Participants	
Figure 12. Risk of Bias in KQ2 ADHD Treatment Studies	
Figure 13. KQ2 ADHD Treatment Applicability Rating	

Figure 14. Effects of Combined Pharmacological and Psychological Treatment on Broadband
Measures (SMD)
Figure 15. Effects of Combined Pharmacological and Psychological Treatment on Symptoms
(SMD)
Figure 16. Effects of Combined Pharmacological and Psychological Treatment on Symptoms
(RR)
Figure 17. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Behavior (SMD) 61
Figure 18. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Broadband
Measures (SMD)
Figure 19. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Broadband
Measures (RR)
Figure 20. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on ADHD Symptoms
(SMD)
Figure 21. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on ADHD Symptoms
(RR)
Figure 22. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Functional
Impairment (SMD)
Figure 23. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Appetite
Suppression (SMD)
Figure 24. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Appetite
Suppression (RR)
Figure 25. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Number of
Participants with Adverse Events (RR)
Figure 26. Comparison Non-stimulant (All SNR, All Atomoxetine) versus Stimulant (All
Methylphenidate) on Problem Behaviors (SMD)
Figure 27. Subgroup Analysis: Non-Stimulants versus Control on Problem Behavior (SMD) 73
Figure 28. Comparison Non-stimulants (All SNRIs, all Atomoxetine) versus Stimulants (All
Methylphenidate) on Broadband Measures (SMD)
Figure 29. Subgroup Analysis: Non-Stimulants versus Control on Broadband Measures (RR). 75
Figure 30. Subgroup Analysis: Stimulants versus Control on Broadband Measures (RR)
Figure 31 Comparison Non-stimulant (All SNRI) versus Stimulant on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)
76
Figure 32 Subgroup Analysis: Non-Stimulants versus Control on ADHD Symptoms (SMD) 77
Figure 33 Subgroup Analysis: Non Stimulants versus Control on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)
Figure 34. Subgroup Analysis: Non Stimulants versus Control on ADHD Symptoms (BR) 78
Figure 25. Subgroup Analysis: Non-Stimulants versus Control on AD11D Symptoms (KK)
rigure 55. Subgroup Analysis: Non-Sumulants versus Control on Functional Impairment (SMD)
Eigung 26 Subangun Analyzia, Stimulanta vangua Control on Eurotional Impointment (SMD) 70
Figure 36. Subgroup Analysis: Sumulants versus Control on Functional Impairment (SWD) /9
Figure 37. Comparison Non-stimulant (all SNRIS) versus Stimulant on Appetite Suppression
$(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}) = \mathbf{X} = \mathbf$
Figure 38. Comparison Non-Stimulant (all SNRIs) versus Stimulant on Participants with
Adverse Events (KR)
Figure 39. Subgroup Analysis: Amphetamine versus Control on ADHD Symptoms (SMD) 82
Figure 40. Subgroup Analysis: Methylphenidate versus Control on ADHD Symptoms (SMD). 82
Figure 41. Comparison Amphetamine versus Methylphenidate on Participants with Adverse
Events (RR)

Figure 43. Subgroup Analysis: Alpha Agonists versus Control on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)... 85 Figure 45. Subgroup Analysis: Alpha Agonists versus Control on Appetite Suppression (SMD) 86 Figure 54. Effects of Cognitive Training on Broadband Measures (SMD)...... 102 Figure 55. Effects of Cognitive Training on Symptoms (SMD) ...... 102 Figure 57. Effects of Cognitive Training on Participants with Adverse Events (SMD) ...... 104 Figure 59. Effects of Neurofeedback on Symptoms (SMD)...... 107 Figure 60. Neurofeedback versus Cognitive Training on Behaviors (SMD) ...... 108 Figure 61. Neurofeedback versus Cognitive Training on Symptoms (SMD) ...... 108 Figure 63. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Broadband Measures (SMD)...... 113 Figure 64. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Broadband Measures (RR)...... 114 Figure 65. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)...... 115 Figure 66. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Functional Impairment (SMD)...... 116 Figure 67. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Appetite Suppression (SMD) ...... 116 Figure 68. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Appetite Suppression (RR) ...... 117 Figure 69. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Participants with Adverse Events (RR)..... 118 Figure 71. Effects of Parent Support on Broadband Measures (SMD) ...... 123 Figure 73. Effects of Parent Support on Functional Impairment (SMD) ...... 125 Figure 75. Effects of School Interventions on Academic Performance (SMD) ...... 130 

#### Appendixes

Appendix A. Methods

Appendix B. List of Excluded Studies

<u>Appendix C</u>. Evidence Tables

Appendix D. Critical Appraisal and Applicability Tables

Appendix E. Expert Guidance and Review

Appendix F. PCORI Checklist

## **Executive Summary**

## **Main Points**

Diagnosis

- Diagnostic test performance likely depends on whether youth with ADHD are being differentiated from typically developing children or from clinically referred children who had some kind of mental health or behavioral problem.
- Rating scales for parent, teacher, or self assessment as a diagnostic tool for ADHD have high internal consistency but poor to moderate reliability between raters, indicating that obtaining ratings from multiple informants (the youth, both parents, and teachers) may be valuable to inform clinical judgement.
- Studies evaluating neuropsychological tests of executive functioning (e.g., Continuous Performance Test) used unique combinations of individual cognitive measures, making it difficult to compare performance across studies.
- Diagnostic performance of biomarkers, EEG, and MRI scans show great variability across studies and their ability to aid clinical diagnosis for ADHD remains unclear. Studies have rarely assessed test-retest reliability, no findings have been replicated prospectively using the same measure in independent samples, and real-world effectiveness studies of diagnostic performance have not been conducted.
- Very few studies have assessed performance of diagnostic tools for ADHD in children under the age of 7 years and more research is needed.
- The identified studies did not assess the adverse effects of being labeled correctly or incorrectly as having a diagnosis of ADHD.

Treatment

- We found moderate strength of evidence that several treatment modalities improve core ADHD symptoms with a moderate effect size compared to control groups (e.g., placebo). These include FDA-approved medications, psychosocial interventions, neurofeedback, and school interventions.
- FDA-approved stimulant (e.g., methylphenidate) and non-stimulant (e.g., atomoxetine) medications had the strongest evidence across interventions for significantly improving ADHD symptoms and additional outcomes, including broadband measures and functional impairment.
- Although indirect comparisons across studies suggest that the studies evaluating stimulants report larger effect sizes than studies evaluating non-stimulants for improving ADHD symptoms, head-to-head comparisons did not detect significant differences. Stimulant and non-stimulant medications yielded comparable effects on most effectiveness outcomes and adverse events, including appetite suppression.
- We did not find that combination therapies of medication plus psychococial therapies produce better results than medication alone, but existing research evaluated unique combinations of intervention components.
- Despite the large body of research, comparative effectiveness and safety information is limited and more research is needed to help choose between treatments.
- Data were insufficient to assess the effect of co-occurring disorders on treatment effects.

• We found too few studies reporting on diversion to quantify the risk of diversion of pharmacological treatment.

Monitoring

- Very few monitoring studies have been reported and more research is needed on how youth with ADHD should be monitored over time.
- Different assessment modalities may provide valid but different perspectives and more than a single assessment modality may be required for comprehensive and effective monitoring of ADHD outcomes over time.

## **Background and Purpose**

ADHD is the single most prevalent behavioral and mental health problem in youth. Approximately 10 percent of US children have received a clinical diagnosis of ADHD, and clinical diagnoses have increased steadily over time.

Commissioned by the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI), this review assesses evidence on important gaps in knowledge related to the diagnosis of ADHD; concerns about treatment strategies, including over- and under-treatment; and how to best monitor ADHD patients over time.

This review updates prior AHRQ reviews on ADHD,<sup>1-3</sup> and is meant to inform a planned update of the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) guidelines.

## **Methods**

The methods for this evidence review follow the Methods Guide for the Evidence-based Practice Center (EPC) Program.<sup>4</sup> The evidence report is based on a systematic review protocol. The evidence review team was supported by a technical expert panel (TEP), a diverse panel of relevant stakeholders. The key questions (KQs) and the protocol were posted on the AHRQ Effective Health Care website (<u>https://effectivehealthcare</u>.ahrq.gov/) to allow additional public input. KQs addressed the diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring strategies for ADHD in children and adolescents.

We abstracted diagnostic performance measures as reported by the individual study authors. We converted to scale-independent standardized mean differences (SMD) and relative risks (RR) together with the 95 percent confidence interval (CI) for treatment studies. For monitoring studies, we reported all information on the success and impact of the monitoring strategy. We reported the range of reported diagnostic performance for diagnostic studies; treatment studies were summarized in random effects meta-analyses; monitoring studies were summarized narratively. We differentiated high, moderate, low, and insufficient strength of evidence (SoE).

The draft report includes studies published Through 2021; an ongoing update search will capture 2022 and 2023 studies.

## **Results**

The searches identified 22,091 citations. Of these, we obtained 6,900 as full text. In total, 533 studies reported in 1,058 publications met the eligibility criteria. This included 223 studies addressing diagnosis (KQ1), 304 studies addressing treatment (KQ2), and 9 studies addressing monitoring (KQ3). The risk of bias in included studies varied considerably. The median minimum age in included studies was six years old and the median number of girls included in the studies was 25 percent.

We identified a large number of diagnostic approaches. Studies reported on the diagnostic performance for parental ratings, teacher ratings, teen/child self-reports, clinician tools, neuropsychological tests, EEG approaches, imaging, biomarkers, activity measures, and observation. Diagnostic test performance likely depends on whether youth with ADHD are being differentiated from typically developing children (i.e., a discrimination of little clinical relevance) or from clinically referred children who have some kind of mental health or behavioral problem.

Rating scales for parent, teacher, or self assessment as a diagnostic tool for ADHD have high internal consistency but poor to moderate reliability between raters, indicating that obtaining ratings from multiple informants (the youth, both parents, and teachers) may be valuable to inform clinical judgement. Studies evaluating neuropsychological tests of executive functioning (e.g., Continuous Performance Test) used unique combinations of individual cognitive measures, making it difficult to compare performance across studies.

Diagnostic performance of biomarkers, EEG, and MRI scans show great variability across studies and their ability to aid clinical diagnosis for ADHD remains unclear. Studies have rarely assessed test-retest reliability, no findings have been replicated prospectively using the same measure in independent samples, and real-world effectiveness studies of diagnostic performance have not been conducted.

Very few studies have assessed performance of each of the diagnostic tools for ADHD in children under the age of 7 years and more research is needed. Furthermore, the identified studies did not assess the adverse effects of being labeled correctly or incorrectly as having a diagnosis of ADHD.

Treatment studies evaluated FDA-approved pharmacological and new agents, psychological or behavioral approaches, combined pharmacological and behavior, cognitive training, physical exercise, nutrition and supplements, integrative medicine, parent support, school interventions, and provider or model of care interventions aiming to treat or manage ADHD.

We found moderate to high strength of evidence that several treatment modalities improve core ADHD symptoms with a moderate effect size compared to control groups (e.g., placebo). These include FDA-approved medications (SMD -0.58; CI -0.67, -0.50; 46 studies, n=7237; RR 1.85, CI 1.38, 2.48; 11 studies, n=1751, high SoE), psychosocial interventions (SMD -0.34, CI - 0.53, -0.14; 12 studies, n=1450; moderate SoE), neurofeedback (SMD -0.45; CI -0.83, -0.08; 8 studies, n=736; moderate SoE); and school interventions (SMD -0.50; CI -0.92, -0.07; 6 studies, n=898; moderate SoE).

FDA-approved medications had the strongest evidence for significantly improving additional outcomes, including measures describing child behavior more broadly (RR 0.53; CI 0.42, 0.64; 24 studies, n=4044; high SoE) and functional impairment (SMD 0.49; CI 0.12, 0.86; 12 studies, n=2152; moderate SoE). Effect sizes on ADHD symptoms in studies evaluating stimulants versus control (SMD -0.88; CI -1.13, -0.062; 12 studies, n=1471) were larger than those in studies evaluating non-stimulant medications versus control (SMD -0.50; CI -0.57, -0.43; 33 studies, n=5684), though head-to-head comparisons did not detect significant differences between these medication classes on ADHD symptoms (SMD 0.23; CI -0.03, 0.49; 7 studies, n=1611). Medication studies typically did not include children under 6 years of age. Identified combination therapies of medication plus psychosocial interventions did not produce better results than medication alone (e.g., ADHD symptoms SMD -0.02; CI -0.20, 0.15; 4 studies, n=630; moderate SoE), although existing research evaluated unique intervention component combinations, and the evidence base is limited.

Despite the large body of research, comparative effectiveness and safety information is limited. Stimulant and non-stimulant medications yielded comparable effects on most effectiveness outcomes and assessed adverse events. Across studies, medication therapy evaluations reported more adverse events than non-medication interventions.

Data were insufficient to assess the effect of co-occurring disorders on treatment effects. We found too few studies reporting on diversion to quantify the risk of diversion of pharmacological treatment.

We identified only a very small number of evaluations of strategies monitoring ADHD over time. Studies did not provide information on key comparative effectiveness and safety outcomes, and SoE is insufficient.

## **Strengths and Limitations**

Our comprehensive review addresses numerous important diagnostic and treatment questions relevant to clinical practice. Despite the large number of identified studies, some areas remain the subject of future research, including identifying key effect modifiers explaining variation in diagnostic performance and comparative effects of ADHD treatments. In addition, the evidence base for ADHD monitoring strategies is very limited.

## **Implications and Conclusions**

A large number of diagnostic tools are available to inform the clinical diagnosis of ADHD, but few monitoring strategies have been studied. Medication therapy remains a central treatment modality even as evidence for other non-pharmacolocial therapies strengthen and as novel treatment approaches emerge.

## References

 Kemper AR, Maslow GR, Hill S, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Diagnosis and Treatment in Children and Adolescents. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 203. (Prepared by the Duke University Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2015-00004-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 18-EHC005-EF Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US). Rockville (MD): 2018. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2955 8081

2. Jadad AR, Boyle M, Cunningham C, et al. Treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ). 1999 Nov(11):i-viii, 1-341. PMID: 10790990.

3. Charach A, Dashti B, Carson P, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Effectiveness of Treatment in At-Risk

Preschoolers; Long-Term Effectiveness in All Ages; and Variability in Prevalence, Diagnosis, and Treatment. Compartative Effectiveness Review No. 44 (Prepared by the McMaster University Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. MME2202 290-02-0020.) AHRQ Publication No. 12-EHC003-EF Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Rockville, MD: Oct Preschoolers; Long-Term Effectiveness in All Ages; and Variability in Prevalence, Diagnosis, and Treatment October 2011. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fc gi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citati on&list uids=22191110

4. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. Rockville (MD); 2008.

### 1.1 Background

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is the single most prevalent behavioral and mental health problem in youth. Approximately 10 percent of US children have received a clinical diagnosis of ADHD.<sup>1</sup> Clinical diagnoses have increased steadily over time,<sup>2</sup> though the higher rates seem attributable to changing clinical practices (including changes in diagnostic criteria, awareness, clinical practice guidelines, and educational policies that motivated clinical assessment and diagnosis), rather than to an increase in true population rates. The prevalence of ADHD based on rigorous diagnostic procedures is approximately 5.3 percent, a rate that is similar across geographic regions worldwide and that has remained constant over more than 20 years when diagnostic criteria have remained constant.<sup>3</sup> This rate, when compared with the much higher rates of clinical diagnoses, suggests that a large number of youth may be receiving a diagnosis when they should not be. The increasing rates of diagnosis could in part represent the clinical recognition of youth who have clinically significant and functionally impairing ADHD symptoms but who may not meet full, formal diagnostic criteria,<sup>4</sup> since increasing evidence suggests that ADHD symptoms are continuously distributed quantitative traits and therefore lie on a continuum of severity in the general population.<sup>5-7</sup> Some vouth. however, are misdiagnosed as having ADHD when they in fact have symptoms of other disorders that are similar to, or overlap with, the symptoms of ADHD -- difficulty concentrating, for example, is a symptom that occurs in many other conditions.<sup>8</sup> ADHD is more than twice as likely to be diagnosed in boys than in girls,<sup>1</sup> though this sexspecific difference in prevalence is thought to derive at least in part from diagnostic biases and cultural influences, in addition to true underlying biological determinants.9, 10 ADHD is a more prevalent diagnosis in youth from low-income families<sup>11</sup> and in Caucasian compared to Black, Hispanic, and Asian youth,<sup>12</sup> although diagnostic bias and cultural influences may again contribute to these socioeconomic, ethnic, and racial disparities in diagnostic rates.<sup>13, 14</sup>

The first question patients, parents, teachers, and clinicians ask when considering ADHD is, "Does this child truly have ADHD?" Unfortunately, *clinician judgement*, especially by non-specialist clinicians in primary care, is poor in diagnosing ADHD.<sup>15</sup> Accurately identifying youth who have ADHD has proved difficult at a population level, in part because diagnoses are often made using subjective clinical impressions and limited diagnostic tools. These tools include structured and semi-structured parent, youth, and teacher questionnaires. They represent an improvement over unsupported clinician judgement, but they are nevertheless highly subjective, prone to disagreement across reporters,<sup>16</sup> and likely overestimate the prevalence of ADHD.<sup>17, 18</sup> More objective diagnostic tools have been proposed, including activity monitors,<sup>19</sup> neuropsychological test measures,<sup>20-23</sup> biomarkers such as genotyping,<sup>24</sup> electrophysiological indices,<sup>25, 26</sup> and MRI measures,<sup>27, 28</sup> though they are not yet established diagnostic tools.

It is essential to know how the comparative accuracy of these diagnostic tools varies by clinical setting, including primary care or specialty clinic, and/or patient subgroup, including age, sex, socioeconomic status, racial or ethnic group, co-occurring mental, emotional, or developmental disorders, or other risk factors associated with ADHD. The accuracy of an ADHD diagnosis is especially poor in preschool-aged children, for whom

hyperactivity, general rambunctiousness, and difficulties with impulse control are often relatively normative and difficult to distinguish from ADHD-related behaviors. Preschool youth also typically do not have the same classroom expectations for behavioral self-regulation that children in elementary school are expected to have,<sup>29</sup> further obscuring the distinction between ADHD and neurotypical early childhood behaviors.

ADHD diagnosis is normally based on an assessment to determine whether the patient meets the criteria described in the DSM-5-TR.<sup>30</sup> Rating scales, which can be completed by parents, teachers, and/or patients, are used to evaluate the frequency and severity of each of the 18 symptoms in DSM-5-TR<sup>30</sup> (9 symptoms related to inattention, and 9 symptoms related to hyperactivity/impulsivity), as well as the degree of symptom-related impairment across settings (e.g., home, school, work). Rating scale data are integrated with a clinical interview to determine the onset, course, duration, and impairment associated with symptoms. In addition, screening and clinical evaluation of potential co-occurring psychiatric conditions is a key part of the diagnostic process. Important questions remain about the accuracy of this approach in primary care settings. A particular challenge is separating ADHD from other conditions that may appear similar (e.g., anxiety, conduct disorders, speech or language delay, other developmental disorders) and determining whether another condition may better explain ADHD symptoms or is present as a co-occurring diagnosis.

Inaccurate diagnoses of ADHD can lead either to the administration of treatments, usually stimulant medications, in children who do not need them, or to the withholding of treatment and services for those who would benefit from such treatments.<sup>29, 31</sup> Prescription of stimulant medications across the US population has doubled in the last decade,<sup>32</sup> with a prevalence in 2019 of approximately 6 percent, and as high as 14 percent regionally.<sup>33</sup> These rates are higher than the 5.3 percent population prevalence of rigorously diagnosed ADHD,<sup>34</sup> suggesting that many youth may be receiving stimulants when they do not have ADHD.<sup>34, 35</sup> These trends have created alarm in the lay public, policy makers, and health care providers.<sup>35, 36</sup> Adding to their concern is that diversion and abuse of stimulants is common, particularly in college students.<sup>37</sup> Little is known or understood about how the risk for diversion and abuse of stimulant medications approved for ADHD varies with patient characteristics (e.g., as a function of age, race/ethnicity, or socioeconomic status). Conversely, only about half of US children who receive a clinical diagnosis of ADHD are treated with stimulants,<sup>38</sup> suggesting a large number of children are not receiving medication when perhaps they should be. Additional important clinical consequences of an incorrect diagnosis include stigmatizing youth unnecessarily with a diagnosis of ADHD<sup>29, 39</sup> (i.e., "labeling harms," which can impair self-esteem or reduce future educational attainment or career opportunities).<sup>40-42</sup> Misdiagnosis of ADHD not only leads to its overdiagnosis or underdiagnosis, but it can also can lead to incorrectly diagnosing as ADHD other conditions that share symptoms with ADHD (e.g., anxiety, conduct disorders, speech or language delay, complex trauma, difficult home environments, attachment problems or other medical disorders/diseases or developmental disorders).<sup>43-46</sup> Thus, treating disorders misconstrued as ADHD may withhold appropriate psychosocial and psychological therapies for those conditions and instead inappropriately treat them with stimulants and other ADHD therapies that may have little or no effectiveness in treating those conditions.

Once a diagnosis of ADHD is made, patients and their parents ask, "What treatment should be undertaken?" The answer to this question is challenging for most clinicians and requires a detailed and accurate understanding of the comparative safety and effectiveness of pharmacologic and behavioral treatments for improving not only the immediate symptoms of ADHD, but also the long-term impact that ADHD has on academic and occupational success, mental health, substance abuse, and conduct or antisocial behaviors.<sup>47</sup> This answer, however, is always conditioned on characteristics of the individual child or the child's environment that are known to modify response to treatment. These "tailoring variables" can include patient age, ADHD presentation (primarily inattentive, hyperactive/impulsive, or combined), socioeconomic status, race and ethnicity, prior trauma history, co-occurring conditions (e.g., depression or anxiety), family conflict, and biomarker status (e.g., genotype, cognitive testing profile).<sup>48, 49</sup> Possible benefits of medication must be weighed against risks and side effects. Many parents and clinicians do not have ready access to information that can help them identify and assess these potential risks and whether their child is likely to respond better or worse to any specific possible treatment they might undertake.

Treatment strategies for ADHD are diverse and can be divided into pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies. The main categories of pharmacologic therapies include stimulants (either methylphenidate or amphetamine derivatives) or non-stimulants (selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors, alpha-2 agonists, and antidepressants). The current frontline treatment for ADHD is stimulant medication, with or without combined psychological and behavioral therapies. Nonpharmacologic therapies include *psychosocial interventions* (e.g., homework, organizational, and social skills training, sleep-focused interventions, dialectical behavior therapy, cognitive behavior therapy, and mindfulness training), school-based interventions (e.g., psychoeducation and expert consultation for class-room based interventions by teachers), *cognitive training therapies* (e.g., training of working memory, executive function, and motor skills using interactive games and tasks), parent support (e.g., behavioral training for parents, in-home nurse visits, group psychotherapy, telephone-assisted self-help, psychoeducation, and parental friendship coaching), provider interventions (e.g., psychoeducation and training of providers, support for monitoring therapeutic response, and expert consultation) neurofeedback (e.g., learning to modulate EEG activity), nutritional or dietary supplements (e.g., Omega-3, vitamins, herbs), complementary, alternative, or integrative *medicine* (acupuncture, homeopathy, physical therapy, and chiropractic treatment). In children over the age of 5, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends stimulants as the first line of therapy.<sup>18</sup> Whether combining behavioral therapy with stimulant medication confers a significant benefit over stimulants alone, or whether nonpharmacologic therapy alone may be effective, is at present unclear. Adverse effects of pharmacologic treatment depend on the specific intervention and may include gastrointestinal symptoms, changes in appetite, slowed somatic growth, and sleep disturbance.<sup>50</sup> Treatment can also lead to personality changes or perceived loss of spontaneity. Individuals who are initially misdiagnosed or who have inadequate monitoring may be overtreated with stimulant medications. Overtreatment leads to the risk of treatment with little or no benefit or to unnecessary side effects. Long-term adherence to medication regimens is often poor in youth who have ADHD and can limit the long-term, real-world effectiveness of medication.<sup>51</sup>

Reported effect sizes on short-term outcomes for either class of stimulant medication (methylphenidate or amphetamine) have been large, whereas effect sizes for psychological and behavioral therapies on short-term outcomes generally have been small or moderate in magnitude.<sup>50</sup> Long-term outcomes for both medication and nonmedication therapies have been less well studied,<sup>50</sup> and little is known about which treatment to begin first and for whom, or how best to sequence treatments for ADHD when the first intervention proves ineffective or insufficient. SMART (Sequential Multiple Assignment Randomized Trial) study designs have begun to emerge to help identify the best sequences of treatment and they have begun to call into question the dominant practice of beginning treatment with medication rather than behavioral therapy.<sup>52</sup> Emerging SMART designs also help identify which treatment sequences work best for which type of patient – young or old, in which ethnic group, with which cooccurring illnesses, and with which specific genotypes.<sup>24, 53-56</sup> Recent advances in the development and testing of novel therapies for ADHD warrant a systematic review of their efficacy and effectiveness that will provide information eagerly awaited by clinicians and stakeholders. These novel therapeutics include cognitive training, 57-60 game-based digital devices such as the FDA-approved EndeavorRx,<sup>61</sup> and neuromodulation techniques<sup>62</sup> such as repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation<sup>63-65</sup> and the FDA-approved external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulator.<sup>66-68</sup>

Once treatment is begun, the central question is, "Is the treatment working?" The answer to this question is not as straightforward as it may at first appear, as ADHD symptoms and the capacity to compensate for them may vary over time and with circumstance (e.g., school day or weekend, the presence of psychosocial stress), by symptom presentation (e.g., hyperactivity, inattention, impulsivity), and by functional domain (academics, risk-taking behaviors, socialization). Thus, valid and reliable methods are needed to monitor treatment response easily and accurately. If the current treatment is not producing the desired response, or if side effects are limiting the dose of medication prescribed, the final question is what to do next to improve short- and long-term outcomes. For example, is it better to optimize dosing of the current medication, switch to another first-line medication, switch to a second-line medication, add an additional medication, or add an adjunctive psychological or behavioral therapy? And how does a clinician or parent prevent the complete abandonment of treatment, which is exceedingly common, when the first line treatment is ineffective or produces troubling side effects?<sup>69</sup>

After a child is diagnosed with ADHD and an initial treatment strategy is determined, a monitoring strategy is applied to ensure that outcomes are evaluated over time, and modification of treatments are made when needed.<sup>70</sup> Repeat monitoring should provide the opportunity to intervene (e.g., modify the treatment) before the undesirable or adverse outcomes associated with ADHD occur or determine whether and which treatment for remains clinically indicated. Several instruments are available to assess treatment response and adverse effects over time, including the Vanderbilt, Conners, ADHD Rating Scale-5, and SNAP-IV rating scales. Monitoring may also include assessment of any adverse treatment effects. The frequency of monitoring may depend on the age of the child, the specific treatment, duration of treatment, previous symptoms, co-occurring conditions, and family and health care provider preferences. One-third to one-half of patients with ADHD will have clinically significant symptoms that persist into adulthood.

Co-occurring problems are the rule, as approximately half are diagnosed with an oppositional defiant or conduct disorder diagnosis, one-third have an anxiety disorder, and 20 percent have depression.<sup>2</sup> Youth with ADHD are at increased risk for future problems associated with risk-taking, such as substance abuse, motor vehicle accidents, unprotected sexual intercourse, and criminal behavior. They are at considerable risk as adults for chronic health problems, including diabetes, heart disease, and poor oral health, in part because they engage in behaviors that increase risk for these conditions, and they often fail to adhere to health-protective behaviors. They are also at risk for future depression, anxiety, suicide attempts, and problematic peer and family relationships.<sup>47</sup> In addition, the long-term effectiveness of standard and novel interventions for ADHD, and their potential long-term adverse effects, are not well known<sup>71-75</sup> and are difficult to detect and document,<sup>76-78</sup> even though they are critically important considerations for patients, parents, and clinicians as they make treatment decisions. Knowledge of the ways in which unique patient characteristics modify these short- and long-term treatment outcomes is essential to tailor and personalize care for individual patients.<sup>79</sup>

### 1.2 Purpose and Scope of the Systematic Review

This review updates prior AHRQ reviews on ADHD.<sup>11, 50, 80</sup> It builds on the previous reports and will address important gaps in knowledge related to the diagnosis of ADHD, concerns about overtreatment and undertreatment, and conflicting literature about the effectiveness of long-term treatment. The review is especially intended to be a resource for clinicians, researchers, and policymakers, although through them, we hope the review will benefit the many youth who have ADHD, as well as their families and teachers. We anticipate that the analyses and results will be difficult for most parents, educators, and lay persons to understand, although the executive summary, key points, and discussion are intentionally crafted to be accessible to a much wider audience. Finally, this systematic review aims to inform a planned update of the current American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) clinical guidelines for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of ADHD.

Since the last AHRQ report was published, further diagnostic and treatment strategies have been suggested, warranting an update of the literature. Identified references address predominantly diagnostic questions such as the diagnostic validity of specific tests and suggested diagnostic tools.<sup>16, 17, 23, 26, 81</sup> Furthermore, key studies that provide important information on the diagnosis of ADHD predate the most recent ADHD report. Hence, the current systematic review will include older studies. Searches for studies of diagnostic tools will extend back to 1980, when the diagnosis of ADHD and its diagnostic criteria were first introduced in the DSM as Attention Deficit Disorder with or without hyperactivity (DSM-III).<sup>82</sup>

In addition, since the last AHRQ review, several studies have been published that explore novel interventions, such as game-based cognitive therapy or computer training.<sup>52, 59, 67, 83-85</sup> Furthermore, key studies that predate the most recent ADHD report provide important information on the treatment of ADHD. Hence, the current systematic review also includes older treatment studies. Searches for studies of ADHD interventions will therefore extend back to 1980, when long-acting stimulants were introduced, heralding the modern era of ADHD pharmacotherapy.

Given that the 2018 AHRQ report on ADHD identified no monitoring study, we removed limits on the search date for this question and will aim for a comprehensive review that considers older studies (the 2018 report included only studies published to 2009). Based on discussions and preliminary literature searches, we still do not expect to identify many studies for monitoring strategies and long-term outcomes, although we anticipated that some data may be available from the educational and school psychology literature, such as Response to Intervention – Behavioral (RTI-B) strategies to monitor behavioral and psychosocial interventions in the classroom that aim to improve ADHD outcomes.

To our knowledge, no prior reviews of ADHD have been as comprehensive as the current review in the range of diagnostic tools, treatments, clinical outcomes, participant ages, and year of publication for the included studies. We hope that it will be a valuable resource for patients, families, clinicians, educators, policymakers, and researchers for years to come.

#### 2.1 Review Approach

The methods for this evidence review follow the Methods Guide for Evidence-based Practice Center (EPC) Program (available at https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/topics/cer-methods-guide/overview).

The topic of this report was developed by the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) in consultation with AHRQ. KQs were posted on AHRQ's Effective Health Care (EHC) website for public comment in August 2021 for three weeks. PCORI conducted an online townhall meeting of stakeholder to discuss the comments in November 2021 (<u>Appendix E</u>). The protocol was refined following stakeholder input through public posting of the KQs, the townhall meeting, and input from key informants. The final protocol is posted on the EHC website at <u>https://effectivehealthcare</u>.ahrq.gov/products/attention-deficit-hyperactivity-disorder/protocol. A panel of technical experts provided high-level content and methodological expertise throughout development of the review protocol.

#### 2.1.1 Key Questions (KQs)

The KQs proposed for the systematic review, addressing diagnosis (KQ1), treatment (KQ2), and monitoring (KQ3) of ADHD, were refined following input from Key Informants, stakeholder input through public posting, and a townhall organized by the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI).

We obtained input from eight key informants. Key informants included a parent of an underserved, ethnic minority youth with ADHD, an advocate from the national advocacy group CHADD (Children and Adults with ADHD), an expert in medical safety, an expert in testing and assessment, a representative from the Association for Child and Adolescent Counseling (ACAC), a family medicine representative, and members of the guideline group who will use the review to update the guidelines. The key informants showed strong support for the importance and relevance of the KQs. They suggested relevant references and provided important input on terminology relevant to the literature searches. There were discussions about developments since the last report and about where the field is now from the perspective of each participant.

Additional input on the project was received through public posting of the review questions on the AHRQ website. The posting aimed to elicit responses from stakeholders to ensure that the review is addressing the right questions, and all aspects have been considered. A submission from the American Psychological Association (APA) and a submission from a researcher at Immaculata University addressed all review questions. For KQ1, input stressed the importance of minimizing false positive diagnoses from the presence of co-occurring conditions; costs and reliability of EEG diagnostic information; that a developmental lens should be adopted (e.g., does a child's relative age and developmental maturity in comparison to classmates influence the odds of receiving a diagnosis of ADHD?); that the role of sleep, trauma, and language development should be considered; and that annual reassessments of behaviors and impairment are important. For KQ2, input addressed the importance of reviewing the effects of medications and the risk of diversion of pharmacological treatment; of treatment fidelity; of adherence to and persistence of medication use; of behavioral treatment, including use of different modalities (in person, video, online); and of the Multimodal Treatment of ADHD study, specifically. For KQ3, the input targeted the conduct of routine assessments, including reports from parents, teachers,

and the children/adolescents, that should be accessible to all parties; and that routine monitoring should be part of the child/adolescent's record.<sup>70</sup>

Finally, at the online townhall meeting in November 2021 hosted by PCORI, there were passionate discussions and advocacy for changes in ADHD policy and research. Some participants felt strongly that both important policies and data were lacking across the board. Specific areas identified by this group included lumping ADHD-Inattentive with the Combined presentation, the lack of empirical data on executive function training and executive function coaches, the general lack of specific and feasible non-pharmacological interventions that parents can use easily and have access to, as well as the lack of availability of parent training programs being offered before initiating stimulant medication.

Following key informant and stakeholder input, the KQs are as follows:

#### KQ1. For the diagnosis of ADHD:

- a. What is the comparative diagnostic accuracy of approaches that can be used in the primary care practice setting or by specialists to diagnose ADHD among individuals younger than 7 years of age?
- b. What is the comparative diagnostic accuracy of EEG, imaging, or approaches assessing executive function that can be used in the primary care practice setting or by specialists to diagnose ADHD among individuals aged 7 through 17?
- c. For both populations, how does the comparative diagnostic accuracy of these approaches vary by clinical setting, including primary care or specialty clinic, or patient subgroup, including, age, sex, or other risk factors associated with ADHD?
- d. What are the adverse effects associated with being labeled correctly or incorrectly as having ADHD?

# KQ2. What are the comparative safety and effectiveness of pharmacologic and/or nonpharmacologic treatments of ADHD in improving outcomes associated with ADHD?

- a. How do these outcomes vary by presentation (inattentive, hyperactive/impulsive, and combined) or other co-occurring conditions?
- b. What is the risk of diversion of pharmacologic treatment?

#### KQ3. What are the comparative safety and effectiveness of different empirical monitoring strategies to evaluate the effectiveness of treatment in improving ADHD symptoms or other long-term outcomes?

While the diagnosis and treatment KQs are unchanged from the 2018 AHRQ EPC report on the topic, the KQ regarding monitoring ADHD over time was rephrased for clarity. Of note, the restricted age range for sub-question 1b is based on recognition that most of these specialized technologies require the child to remain very still, which is difficult for children younger than seven. Neuropsychological tests as well as genetic markers are included in 1a and 1b. In question 1d, we will assess whether the literature suggests whether these adverse effects differ for those youth who are on the threshold of clinical or subclinical diagnoses. Co-morbidities may include co-occurring conditions such as conduct disorder, mood disorders, autism spectrum disorders,

Williams syndrome, Down syndrome, learning and language disabilities, and developmental coordination disorder. Questions 2 and 3 address effectiveness as well as adverse outcomes.

#### 2.1.2 Analytic Framework

The analytic framework (Figure 1) depicts the KQs and outcomes to evaluate the diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring strategies for ADHD.

#### Figure 1. Analytic Framework



#### 2.2 Study Selection

The <u>eligibility criteria</u> are organized in a PICOTSO (population, intervention, comparator, outcome, timing, setting, study design, and other limiters) framework. The draft report includes studies published from 1980 to 2021, an ongoing update search will capture 2022 and 2023 studies.

#### 2.2.1 Search Strategy

For primary research studies, we searched the database PubMed (biomedical literature), EMBASE (pharmacology emphasis), PsycINFO (psychological research), and ERIC (education research). We also searched the U.S. trial database – ClinicalTrials.gov – to capture all relevant data regardless of the publication status. Increasingly trial registries include data and a complete record of adverse events, making them an important evidence review tool to identify all relevant data and to reduce publication bias.

We used existing reviews for reference-mining; these were identified through the same databases used for primary research plus searching the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Campbell Collaboration, What Works in Education, and PROSPERO. Scoping searches identified several published reviews. These often address medication treatment with an increased focus on safety.<sup>86-90</sup> Given that many practice guidelines are now based on systematic reviews, we also searched the ECRI Guidelines Trust, G-I-N, and ClinicalKey. Using external systematic reviews in addition to building on prior AHRQ reports increases the certainty that all relevant studies have been captured.

The literature searches for this project were built on prior ADHD reports published by AHRQ. KQ1 searches covered 1980 to 2011, and 2016 to present. Since research published between 2011 and 2016 was thoroughly screened by the 2018 review, we used the identified studies listed in the 2018 AHRQ report to cover 2011 to 2016. KQ2 searches covered 1980 to 2011 and 2016 to date, omitting search terms covered in the 2011 AHRQ report, and adding the adolescent population, which was not previously fully covered. We used the identified studies in the AHRQ report and reference-mining of pertinent reviews to identify relevant studies. KQ3 searches were not limited by date. We simplified the search strategies and removed filters for specific interventions for key databases to ensure that no existing test or intervention evaluation would be missed. Searches were designed, executed, and documented by the evidence review center librarian. The search strategy underwent peer review to ensure high quality searches. The search strategies for the databases are shown in the methods appendix (Appendix A). Furthermore, we used information provided by content experts,<sup>91</sup> and the technical expert panel reviewed the list of included studies to ensure that all relevant literature has been captured.

We used detailed pre-established criteria to determine eligibility for inclusion and exclusion of publications in accordance with the AHRQ Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. To reduce reviewer errors and bias, all citations were reviewed by a human reviewer and screened by a machine learning algorithm. Citations deemed potentially relevant were obtained as full text. Each full-text article was reviewed for eligibility by two literature reviewers, including any articles suggested by peer reviewers or that arose from the public posting process, submission through the SEADS (Supplemental Evidence And Data for Systematic reviews) portal, or response to Federal Register notice. Any disagreements were resolved by consensus. We maintain a record of studies excluded at the full-text level with reasons for exclusion (see Appendix B).

The SEADS portal was open from July 1<sup>st</sup> through August 15<sup>th</sup> 2022. We received two submissions, including one from the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. Submissions include comments on the need for an evidence review of ADHD research, the usefulness of the review as outlined in the posted protocol, and in total four published studies were submitted to be considered for the systematic review.

While the draft report is under peer review and open for public comment, we will update the search and include any eligible studies identified either during that search or through peer or public reviews in the final report.

#### 2.2.2 Eligibility Criteria

The detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in Table 1.

#### Table 1. Eligibility Criteria

PICOTS	KQ1 (Diagnosis)	KQ2 (Treatments)	KQ3 (Monitoring)
Population	Individuals birth through 17	Individuals birth through 17 years	Individuals birth through 17 years
	diagnosis of ADHD		treatment for ADHD
	<b>Exclusion</b> : Individuals 18 years of age or older unless findings are reported separately for younger participants	<b>Exclusion</b> : Individuals 18 years of age or older unless findings are reported separately for younger participants	<b>Exclusion</b> : For long-term studies, the age of the individuals will be greater than 17, but these studies are only considered for inclusion if the age at enrollment in the study was 18 years or younger, and administrative claims data used for diagnosis of ADHD
Interventions	Any ADHD diagnostic strategy for the diagnosis of ADHD in children through 17 years	Any treatment of ADHD, alone or in combination. Exclusion: Studies with less than	Follow-up visit methods and frequencies for monitoring, independent of treatment, including remote monitoring or telehealth
	<b>Exclusion</b> : Validation studies or not reporting on diagnostic performance; non-English language questionnaires and interview guides	4 weeks of treatment	strategies
Comparators	Confirmation of diagnosis by a specialist (gold standard), such as a psychologist, psychiatrist or other care provider using a well- validated and reliable process of confirming a clinical diagnosis of ADHD	Specific treatments compared with other treatments as described above or to no treatment <b>Exclusion</b> : Comparisons to other patient groups rather than treatments	Follow-up compared with differing frequencies of follow-up or different settings of follow-up for monitoring strategies; no restrictions for long- term outcomes
	<b>Exclusion</b> : Comparison to diagnosis with a non-validated instrument		
Outcomes	Diagnostic accuracy (e.g., sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, area under the curve, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, likelihood ratios, false positives, false negatives, false negatives, false positives, misdiagnosis, stigma, and costs following diagnosis comparing those with and without ADHD	Patient health outcomes, global clinical impression, social and family functioning, functional impairment, executive functioning, academic performance outcomes, acceptability of treatment, adverse events of treatment, loss of spontaneity, progress toward patient-identified goals, quality of peer relationships, motor vehicle collisions or other accidents, risk- taking behaviors and interactions with the legal system	Monitoring strategy success (e.g., feasibility, uptake), changes in treatment or dose, adverse effects of treatment, changes in intermediate and final outcomes
Timing	<ul> <li>For assessment of diagnostic accuracy: diagnostic follow-up must be within 4 months of the initial evaluation and must be completed before treatment is initiated</li> <li>For labeling: any time after the ADHD diagnosis</li> </ul>	Any	Any
Setting	Primary or specialty care settings	Any (including remote monitoring and telehealth)	Any (including remote monitoring and telehealth)
Study Design	<ul> <li>Randomized controlled trials (RCTs)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Randomized controlled trials (RCTs)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Randomized controlled trials (RCTs)</li> </ul>

PICOTS	KQ1 (Diagnosis)	KQ2 (Treatments)	KQ3 (Monitoring)
Element			
	<ul> <li>For diagnostic accuracy, observational studies, are eligible if they include patients with diagnostic uncertainty and direct comparison of diagnosis in primary care to diagnosis by a specialist</li> <li>Controlled clinical trials and prospective and retrospective observational studies with comparator for non-drug treatments</li> <li>Exclusion: Editorials, nonsystematic reviews, letters, case series, case reports, pre- post studies. Systematic reviews are not eligible for inclusion but will be retained</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Controlled clinical trials and prospective and retrospective observational studies with comparator for non-drug treatments</li> <li>Exclusion: Editorials, nonsystematic reviews, letters, case series, case reports, pre-post studies. Studies with fewer than 100 participants needs to report a power calculation to determine that studies had sufficient power to detect effects. Systematic reviews are not eligible for inclusion but will be retained</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No study size restriction</li> <li>Exclusion: Editorials, nonsystematic reviews, letters, case series, case reports, pre-post studies. Systematic reviews are not eligible for inclusion but will be retained</li> </ul>
Other limiters	<ul> <li>English-language publications</li> <li>Published after 1980</li> <li>Exclusion: Non-English language and abbreviated publications (abstracts, letters)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>English-language publications</li> <li>Published after 1980</li> <li>Exclusion: Non-English language and abbreviated publications (abstracts, letters)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>English-language publications</li> <li>Monitoring strategies and long- term effects have no publication year restriction</li> <li>Journal manuscripts and trial record data with results</li> <li>Exclusion: Non-English language and abbreviated publications (abstracts, letters)</li> </ul>

Note: FDA: Food and Drug Administration, KQ: Key Question

Compared to the prior 2018 report on ADHD, the <u>eligibility criteria</u> were simplified and now includes all tests used to diagnose ADHD and all treatments for ADHD treatments. In addition, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are no longer limited by sample size given that RCTs allow strong evidence statements; however, treatment studies with fewer than 100 participants had to report a power calculation indicating sufficient power for at least one patient outcome to ensure that the studies were designed to detect a difference between the intervention and comparison group. Not all studies can be combined in meta-analyses to aggregate data, because the intervention, comparator, and reported outcome combinations are often unique to the study; hence we required individual studies to show sufficient power to detect effects. We specified that intervention studies had to have a treatment duration of four weeks; we excluded experiments of shorter duration (e.g., proof of concept studies) and focused on treatment for ADHD. Finally, no comparator is needed anymore for monitoring studies, and these are not restricted by publication date, given the small evidence base (the 2018 report found no relevant study).

Relevant systematic reviews and meta-analyses were retained as background or for reference-mining but will not be included as evidence. Publications reporting on the same participants were consolidated into one study record. Studies exclusively published in non-English language publications remain excluded given the high volume of literature, the focus on the review on populations in the U.S., the scope of the KQs, and the aim to support a U.S. clinical practice guideline.

#### 2.3 Data Extraction

We abstracted detailed information regarding study characteristics, participants, methods, and results. The review team created data abstraction forms for the KQs in DistillerSR, an online program for systematic reviews. Forms included extensive guidance to support reviewers, both to aid reproducibility and standardization of data collection. One literature reviewer abstracted the data, and a second reviewer checked for accuracy and completeness. Further data checks were conducted while synthesizing results across studies. Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

We designed the data abstraction forms to collect the data required to evaluate the study, as well as demographic and other data needed for determining outcomes, informed by existing research.<sup>92-95</sup> We paid particular attention to describing the details of the treatment (e.g., pharmacotherapy dosing, methods of behavioral interventions), patient characteristics (e.g., ADHD presentation, co-occurring disorders, age), and study design (e.g., RCT versus observational), which may influence the reported outcome results. In addition, we carefully described comparators, as treatment standards may have changed during the period covered by the review. In addition, data necessary for assessing quality and applicability as described in the EPC Methods Guide were abstracted. Forms were pilot-tested with a sample of included articles to ensure that all relevant data elements are captured and that ambiguity is avoided.

The abstracted information was used for analyses as well as to populate the <u>evidence tables</u> showing characteristics for each included study. Final abstracted data will be uploaded to SRDR per EPC requirements and will be publicly available.

#### 2.4 Risk of Bias Assessment

The critical appraisal for individual studies applied criteria consistent with QUADAS 2 for diagnostic studies and the RoB 2 guidance for common sources of bias in intervention studies adapted for the <u>eligible</u> study designs.<sup>96, 97</sup>

QUADAS 2 evaluates four domains: *patient selection, index test* characteristics, *reference standard* quality, as well as *flow and timing*:<sup>97</sup>

- Patient selection: The domain *patient selection* addresses whether the selection of patients could have introduced bias, taking into account whether the study enrolled a consecutive or random sample, whether the data are not based on a retrospective case-control design, and whether the study avoided inappropriate or problematic exclusions from the patient pool.
- Index test: The *index test* domain evaluates whether the conduct or interpretation of the test could have introduced bias, taking into account whether the results of the test were interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard and whether any thresholds or cut-offs were pre-specified (e.g., instead of determined during the study to maximize diagnostic performance).
- Reference standard: The domain *reference standard* evaluates whether the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation may have introduced bias, taking into account the quality of the reference standard in correctly classifying the condition and whether the reference standard test results were interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test.
- Flow and timing: The last domain, *flow and timing*, evaluates whether the conduct of the study may have introduced bias. The assessment takes into account whether the interval between the test and the reference standard was appropriate, whether all patients received

the reference standard and whether they received the same reference standard, and whether all patients were included in the analysis. For each domain, we assessed the potential risk of bias in the study in order to identify high risk of bias and low risk of bias studies. We evaluated for each study and appraisal domain whether there are concerns regarding the applicability of the study results to the review question (<u>Appendix D</u>). This encompassed whether the patients included in the studies match the review question; whether the test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question; or whether the target condition as defined by the reference standard fully matches the review question.

For treatment and monitoring studies, we assessed the six domains selection, detection, performance, attrition, reporting, and study-specific sources of bias:

- Selection bias: For *selection bias*, we assessed the randomization sequence and allocation concealment in RCTs as well as baseline differences and potential confounders in all studies.
- Performance bias: *Performance bias* evaluated whether patient- or caregiver knowledge of the intervention allocation or circumstances such as the trial context may have affected the outcome, and whether any deviations from intended interventions were balanced between groups.
- Attrition bias: *Attrition bias* considered the number of dropouts, any imbalances across study arms, and whether missing values may have affected the reported outcomes.
- Detection bias: *Detection bias* assessed whether outcome assessors were aware of the intervention allocation, whether this knowledge could have influenced the outcome measurement, and whether the outcome ascertainment could differ between arms.
- Reporting bias: *Reporting bias* assessment includes an evaluation of whether a prespecified analysis plan exists (e.g., a published protocol), whether the numerical results likely have been selected on the basis of the results, and whether key outcomes were not reported (e.g., an obvious effectiveness indicator is missing) or inadequately reported (e.g., anecdotal adverse event reporting).
- Study-specific sources of bias: In addition to the types of bias listed above, we assessed *other potential sources of bias* such as inadequate reporting of intervention details.

Each study was initially appraised by the data abstractor for the study. In a second step, we reviewed risk of bias results across studies to ensure consistency of ratings. Risk of bias results informed the study limitation assessment in the quality of evidence assessment across studies.

## 2.5 Data Synthesis and Analysis

We summarized key features of the included studies, including study design; participant characteristics; diagnostic, treatment, and monitoring strategies; and frequent outcomes in a narrative overview. We answered each KQ with the available evidence using quantitative syntheses across studies where possible to increase statistical power, to increase precision, and to objectively summarize results across all available evidence. We ordered our findings by diagnostic, treatment, and monitoring strategy, i.e., the KQs.

We broadly characterized tests (KQ1), interventions (KQ2), and monitoring strategies (KQ3). For diagnostic studies, we reported the range of reported diagnostic performance. For KQ2, we differentiated effectiveness and comparative effectiveness results (i.e., comparing to a passive comparison in the form of a control group, or an active comparator in the form of an alternative intervention). We documented results by the pre-specified key outcomes. We

consistently abstracted the longest follow up for each study. We converted reported standard errors and confidence intervals to standard deviations to compute effect sizes. We reversed originally reported outcomes where necessary to facilitate comparisons across studies. For statistical pooling, we used random-effects models corrected for small numbers of studies where necessary to synthesize the available evidence quantitatively.<sup>98</sup> We computed standardized mean differences (SMD) for continuous outcomes and relative risks (RR) for categorical outcomes to document results across studies. We present summary estimates and 95 percent confidence intervals (CI) for all summary estimates. We tested for heterogeneity using graphical displays and the I-squared statistics. The statistic ranges from zero to 100 percent and we noted in particular results where heterogeneity exceeded 70 percent or above. We anticipated that intervention effects may be heterogeneous across studies. We explored potential sources of heterogeneity, while recognizing that the ability of statistical methods to detect individual sources of heterogeneity may be limited in the presence of multiple sources of heterogeneity.<sup>99</sup> We hypothesized that the methodological rigor of individual studies and patients' underlying clinical presentations are potentially associated with the intervention effects. We performed meta-regression analyses to examine these hypotheses and reported sensitivity analyses where necessary. For KO3, we documented outcomes as reported by the original authors.

Pre-defined subgroups for KQ1 included children younger than 7 years of age and children and adolescents, 7 through 17. We assessed whether diagnostic performance is associated with the age of participants using reported sensitivity and specificity estimates in a regression analysis across studies. In addition, we assessed the effect of treatment and diagnosis in participants with concomitant morbidities; the racial and ethnic composition of study samples; and the potential effect of the diagnostic, treatment, and monitoring setting in meta-regressions across studies and KQs. We assessed the potential for publication bias for all <u>key outcomes</u> using the Begg and the Egger test.<sup>100, 101</sup> The trim and fill method provides alternative estimates where evidence of publication bias was detected.<sup>102</sup>

Applicability was assessed in accordance with the AHRQ's Methods Guide. Factors that may affect applicability, which we have identified a priori, include patient, intervention, comparisons, outcomes, settings, and study design features. We used this information to assess the situations in which the evidence is most relevant and to evaluate applicability to real-world clinical practice in typical U.S. settings, summarizing applicability assessments qualitatively.

#### 2.6 Grading the Body of Evidence

The <u>strength of evidence</u> assessment documents uncertainty, outlines the reasons for insufficient evidence where appropriate, and communicates our confidence in the findings.

The strength of evidence for each body of evidence (based on the KQ, diagnostic and treatment approach, comparator, and outcome) was initially assessed by one researcher with experience in determining strength of evidence for each primary clinical outcome by following the principles for adapting GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation), outlined in the AHRQ methods guide.<sup>103</sup> The initial assessment was then discussed in the team.

#### 2.6.1 Key Outcomes

We prioritized outcomes with the help of the TEP in combination with team expertise. The panelists reviewed a large number of possible outcomes. We considered outcomes most

clinically relevant and important to patients and clinicians to guide clinical practice. The following outcomes were selected for the <u>strength of evidence</u> assessment:

- Key Question 1:
  - Sensitivity
  - o Specificity
  - o Costs
  - o Inter-rater reliability
  - o Internal consistency
  - Test-retest reliability
  - $\circ$  Misdiagnosis
- Key Question 2:
  - Behavior changes
  - Broadband scale scores
  - o Standardized symptom scores
  - Functional impairment
  - Acceptability of treatment
  - Academic rating scale scores
  - Appetite changes and growth suppression
  - Number of participants with adverse events
- Key Question 3:
  - Functional impairment
  - Broadband scale scores
  - Standardized symptom scores
  - Progress toward patient-identified goals
  - o Acceptability of treatment
  - Academic rating scale scores
  - Any long-term effects
  - $\circ$  Growth suppression
  - Quality of peer relationships

For diagnostic studies in KQ1, we abstracted the number of true positive and true negatives in order to compute diagnostic performance measures, but we also abstracted all values as reported by the authors. We added information on the specific cut-off and model used to achieve the diagnostic performance where reported. The impact of misdiagnosis included the risk of missed conditions that can appear as ADHD as well as being incorrectly labeled as having or not having ADHD.

For treatment studies in KQ2, we abstracted numerical values for all key outcomes to facilitate meta-analysis. We also abstracted a brief narrative for the <u>evidence table</u> for each outcome focusing on the comparison to a control or a comparator group (rather than pre-post data). In addition, we summarized study-specific health outcomes and reported adverse events to complete the <u>evidence table</u> for all included studies. For the *behavior change* domain, we abstracted individual behaviors such as aggression or conduct problems, either from direct observations or behavior ratings, where studies reported these in addition to global impression or symptom scales. We used global psychological, mental health, and child development assessments, such as the CGI (Clinical Global Impression)<sup>104</sup> and total scores of the Conners rating scales, that go beyond assessing individual ADHD symptoms as *broadband scale scores*. For *standardized symptom scores*, we included summary measures for ADHD symptoms, such

as ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale Version IV),<sup>105, 106</sup> or, when unavailable, subclasses of individual symptoms for ADHD, such as inattention. For *functional impairment*, we abstracted functional measures such as the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale.<sup>107, 108</sup> For acceptability of treatment we abstracted child, parent, or teacher satisfaction with intervention, depending on what was reported. We abstracted *academic rating scale scores* where reported, in the absence of these, we used broad academic performance measures such as GPA (grade point average). Other, narrower performance measures, such as specific cognitive skills, were summarized in the free text field in the <u>evidence table</u>. For *appetite changes* and *growth suppression*, we abstracted indicators such as decreased appetite or growth during the study period. The number of participants with adverse events was restricted to documenting the number of adverse events across participants) were summarized in the free adverse event text field in the evidence table.

For monitoring studies <u>eligible</u> for KQ 3, we abstracted all information provided by the authors on the suitability of the applied monitoring strategy in addition to all pre-specified outcomes.

The synthesis documented the presence and the absence of evidence for the key outcomes for all included diagnostic tests, treatment interventions, and monitoring strategies in the respective sections.

### 2.6.2 Strength of Evidence Assessments

In determining the quality of the body of evidence, the following domains were evaluated:

- Study limitations: The extent to which studies reporting on a particular outcome are likely to be protected from bias. The aggregate risk of bias across individual studies reporting an outcome is considered; graded as low, medium, or high level of study limitations.
- Inconsistency: The extent to which studies report the same direction or magnitude of effect for a particular outcome; graded as consistent, inconsistent, or unknown (in the case of a single study).
- Indirectness: Describes whether the intervention (test, treatment, or strategy) and the comparator were directly compared (i.e., in head-to-head trials) or indirectly (e.g., through meta-regressions across studies). In addition, indirectness reflects whether the outcome is directly or indirectly related to health outcomes of interest. The domain is graded as direct or indirect.
- Imprecision: Describes the level of certainty of the estimate of effect for a particular outcome, where a precise estimate is one that allows a clinically useful conclusion. Graded as precise or imprecise. When quantitative synthesis is not possible, sample size and assessment of variance within individual studies will be considered.
- Reporting bias: Occurs when publication or reporting of findings is based on their direction or magnitude of effect. Publication bias, selective outcome reporting, and selective analysis reporting are types of reporting bias. Reporting bias is difficult to assess as systematic identification of unpublished evidence is challenging. If sufficient numbers of RCTs are available, we reviewed Begg and Egger tests and used trim and fill methods to assess the robustness of effect estimates.

Bodies of evidence consisting of RCTs were initially considered as high strength, while bodies of comparative observational studies began as low-strength evidence. The strength of the

evidence could be downgraded based on the limitations described above. There are also situations where evidence may be upgraded (e.g., large magnitude of effect, presence of dose-response relationship, or plausible unmeasured confounders could potentially increase the magnitude of effect) as described in the AHRQ Methods guides.<sup>103</sup> A final strength of evidence grade for each evidence statement was assigned by evaluating and weighing the combined results of the above domains. We differentiated an overall grade of high, moderate, low, or insufficient according to a four-level scale outlined in Table 2.

Grade	Definition		
High	We are very confident that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect for this outcome. The		
	study would not change the conclusions).		
Moderate	We are moderately confident that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect for this outcome. The body of evidence has some deficiencies. We believe that the findings are likely to be stable, but		
Low	We have limited confidence that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect for this outcome.		
	The body of evidence has major or numerous deficiencies (or both). We believe that additional		
	evidence is needed before concluding either that the findings are stable or that the estimate of		
Insufficient	We have no evidence, we are unable to estimate an effect, or we have no confidence in the		
mouncient	estimate of effect for this outcome. No evidence is available, or the body of evidence has		
	unacceptable deficiencies, precluding reaching a conclusion.		

Table 2. Definitions of the grades of overall strength of evidence<sup>109</sup>

Summary tables include reasons for downgrading or upgrading the strength of evidence. We will summarize updated evidence and describe what it adds to the previous review and highlight changes to the key findings.

## 2.7 Peer Review and Public Commentary

The report will be updated after having undergone peer review and public commentary.
## 3. Results: Description of Included Evidence

Below we provide the report results, including the Key Points for each KQ, and describe the included evidence, as well as the data synthesis and a summary of the <u>strength of evidence</u>. Details on results of literature searches, included studies, and the strength of evidence can be found in the Appendix.

The searches identified 22,091 citations. Of these, we obtained 6,900 as full text. The flow diagram (Figure 2) describes the study flow through the literature review.

#### Figure 2. Flow Diagram



In total, 533 studies reported in 1,058 publications met the <u>eligibility criteria</u>.<sup>17, 20, 23, 26, 27, 52, 59, 83, 110-1159</sup> This included 223 studies addressing KQ1, 304 studies addressing KQ2, and 9 studies addressing KQ3. The flow diagram summarizes the main reason for exclusion from the review. In addition, it shows that we retained a large number of papers as Background. The list of excluded studies and background studies is listed in <u>Appendix B</u>. In most cases, these were

#### 3. Results

existing systematic reviews addressing an individual aspect of ADHD research that were then reference-mined to ensure that all <u>eligible</u> studies had been included in the report.

The median minimum age in included studies was six years old and the median number of girls included in the studies was 25 percent.

The following subchapters address each KQ.

The KQ is divided into four subquestions:

- KQ1a. What is the comparative diagnostic accuracy of approaches that can be used in the primary care practice setting or by specialists to diagnose ADHD among individuals younger than 7 years of age?
- KQ1b. What is the comparative diagnostic accuracy of EEG, imaging, or approaches assessing executive function that can be used in the primary care practice setting or by specialists to diagnose ADHD among individuals aged 7 through 17?
- KQ1c. For both populations, how does the comparative diagnostic accuracy of these approaches vary by clinical setting, including primary care or specialty clinic, or patient subgroup, including, age, sex, or other risk factors associated with ADHD?
- KQ1d. What are the adverse effects associated with being labeled correctly or incorrectly as having ADHD?

The gold standard or reference standard against which diagnostic tools were compared was diagnosis by a mental health specialist, such as a psychologist, psychiatrist or other care provider, using a well-validated and reliable process of confirming the diagnosis of ADHD according to the DSM. Many identified studies included a broader age range rather than differentiating clearly between younger (KQ1a) or older (KQ1b) than 7 years of age. Hence we added a section describing the results for parental ratings, teacher ratings, clinician tools, and biomarkers before addressing the key questions. The section summarizes results by test and most studies evaluated a combined sample of children and adolescents. The KQ1a section describes all diagnostic approaches for children younger than 7 years of age regardless of the applied test. The KQ1b section describes teen/child self reports, EEG, imaging, and neuropsychological tests.

## 4.1 KQ1 ADHD Diagnosis Key Points

Key points pertaining to the diagnosis of ADHD are as follows.

- Diagnostic test performance likely depend on whether youth with ADHD are being differentiated from typically developing children or from clinically referred children who had some kind of mental health or behavioral issue.
- Rating scales for parent, teacher, or self assessment as a diagnostic tool for ADHD have high internal consistency but poor to moderate reliability between raters, indicating that obtaining ratings from multiple informants (the youth, both parents, and teachers) may be valuable to inform clinical judgement.
- Studies evaluating neuropsychological tests of executive functioning (e.g., Continuous Performance Test) used unique combinations of individual cognitive measures, making it difficult to compare performance across studies.
- Diagnostic performance of biomarkers, EEG, and MRI scans show great variability across studies and their ability to aid clinical diagnosis for ADHD remains unclear. Studies have rarely assessed test-retest reliability, no findings have been replicated prospectively using the same measure in independent samples, and real-world effectiveness studies of diagnostic performance have not been conducted.
- Very few studies have assessed performance of diagnostic tools for ADHD in children under the age of 7 years and more research is needed.
- The identified studies did not assess the adverse effects of being labeled correctly or incorrectly as having a diagnosis of ADHD.

## 4.2 KQ1 ADHD Diagnosis Summary of Findings

We identified 223 studies addressing the performance of tests aiming to diagnose ADHD.<sup>17,</sup> 20, 23, 26, 27, 118, 119, 122, 124, 126-128, 131, 135, 140, 141, 147-151, 160, 161, 165, 167, 170, 175-178, 180, 185, 187-196, 201, 202, 215, 217, 218, 222, 227, 229, 235, 236, 238, 239, 241, 245, 246, 248-250, 254, 256, 263, 266, 270, 278, 279, 283-285, 287, 293, 297-300, 305, 307, 309, 311, 312, 315, 318, 319, 322, 326, 332, 334-336, 338, 340, 341, 345, 346, 349, 352, 355, 357, 359-362, 364, 373, 377, 380-382, 384-386, 388, 392-396, 398, 399, 402, 403, 405-407, 410-414, 417, 419, 423, 425, 426, 433-439, 450-453, 455-459, 461, 463, 465, 467, 471, 475, 476, 480-484, 486-490, 494, 502-504, 506, 507, 512, 515, 516, 524, 525, 529-532, 535-538, 542, 546, 547, 551, 553, 557, 558, 563, 567-571, 574, 579, 580, 586-588, 591, 592, 595, 597, 603, 604, 614, 618-621, 623, 626, 627, 629, 631, 634 Table 3 provides a very broad overview of the identified research. Results of the individual studies are shown in the evidence table in the appendix.

Tests to diagnose ADHD	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study	Findings	SoE*
KQ1a Diagnostic tests for under 7 year olds	Sensitivity	6 studies <sup>170, 175, 193, 326, 406, 458</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 66% combining teacher and parent ratings (no corresponding specificity reported) <sup>193</sup> to 97% (corresponding specificity 84%) for an activity measure <sup>406</sup> differentiating ADHD and neurotypical development Sensitivity ranged from 64% (corresponding specificity 75%) for a neuropsychological test <sup>170</sup> to 76% (corresponding specificity 70%) for a different neuropsychological test <sup>458</sup> in clinical samples	Low
KQ1a Diagnostic tests for under 7 year olds	Specificity	6 studies <sup>170, 175, 193, 326, 406, 458</sup>	Specificity ranged from 38% (corresponding sensitivity 95) using EEG data <sup>193</sup> to 84% (corresponding sensitivity 97% and 87%) <sup>193,</sup> <sup>406</sup> for an activity measure and an EEG algorithm differentiating ADHD and neurotypical development Specificity ranged from 70% (corresponding sensitivity 76%) for a neuropsychological test <sup>458</sup> to 91% (corresponding sensitivity 71%) for the <i>Child Behavior Checklist</i> for ages 1.5 to 5 Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems scale <sup>326</sup> in clinical samples	Low
KQ1a Diagnostic tests for under 7 year olds	Accuracy	<b>5 studies</b> <sup>170, 193, 326, 406, 455</sup>	Accuracy ranged from 64% <sup>455</sup> combining different executive function tasks to 93% <sup>455</sup> combining teacher and parent ratings, both in a model supported by machine learning differentiating ADHD and neurotypical development Accuracy ranged from 70% <sup>170</sup> for a neuropsychological test to 80% <sup>326</sup> for parent rating of the <i>Child Behavior Checklist</i> for ages 1.5 to 5 Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems scale in clinical samples	Low
KQ1a Diagnostic tests for under 7 year olds	AUC	6 studies <sup>175, 193, 326,</sup> 402, 406, 455	AUC ranged from 0.68 <sup>193</sup> using EEG data to 0.98 <sup>455</sup> for combined teacher and parent ratings differentiating ADHD and neurotypical development AUC was 0.83 in a clinical sample <sup>326</sup> using the <i>Child Behavior Checklist</i> for ages 1.5 to 5 Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems scale	Low

#### Table 3. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for the Diagnosis of ADHD

Tests to diagnose ADHD	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design; IDs	Findings	SoE*
KQ1a Diagnostic tests for under 7 year olds	Inter-rater reliability	1 study <sup>175</sup>	ICC 0.92 between researchers administering the <i>Disruptive Behavior Diagnostic</i> <i>Observation Schedule</i> <sup>175</sup> differentiating ADHD and neurotypical development	Low
KQ1a Diagnostic tests for under 7 year olds	Internal consistenc y	2 studies <sup>455, 504</sup>	Neurotypical samples: Cronbach's alpha 0.92 for parent ratings on the <i>Diagnostic Infant and Preschool</i> <i>Assessment Likert version</i> (DIPA-L) <sup>504</sup> Cronbach's alpha <i>Behavior Rating Inventory</i> <i>of Executive Function</i> preschool version 0.976 for teacher ratings and 0.970 for parent ratings; child version 0.724 for teacher ratings and 0.978 for parent ratings <sup>455</sup>	Low
KQ1a Diagnostic tests for under 7 year olds	Test-retest reliability	1 study <sup>504</sup>	ICC 0.91 and Kappa 0.84 for parent ratings on the <i>Diagnostic Infant and Preschool</i> <i>Assessment Likert version</i> (DIPA-L), 30 days or less between interviews <sup>504</sup> differentiating ADHD and neurotypical development	Low
KQ1a Diagnostic tests for under 7 year olds	Misdiagno sis consequen ces	0 studies	No data	Insufficient
KQ1a Diagnostic tests for under 7 year olds	Costs	0 studies	No data	Insufficient
KQ1b Diagnostic tests for 7-18 year olds	Sensitivity	See test-specific results	See test-specific results	See test- specific results
KQ1b Diagnostic tests for 7-18 year olds	Specificity	See test-specific results	See test-specific results	See test- specific results
KQ1b Diagnostic tests for 7-18 year olds	Accuracy	See test-specific results	See test-specific results	See test- specific results
KQ1b Diagnostic tests for 7-18 year olds	AUC	See test-specific results	See test-specific results	See test- specific results
KQ1b Diagnostic tests for 7-18 year olds	Inter-rater reliability	See test-specific results	See test-specific results	See test- specific results
KQ1b Diagnostic tests for 7-18 year olds	Internal consistenc y	See test-specific results	See test-specific results	See test- specific results
KQ1b Diagnostic tests for 7-18 year olds	Test-retest reliability	See test-specific results	See test-specific results	See test- specific results
KQ1b Diagnostic tests for 7-18 year olds	Misdiagno sis consequen ces	See test-specific results	See test-specific results	See test- specific results

Tests to diagnose ADHD	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design; IDs	Findings	SoE*
KQ1b Diagnostic tests for 7-18 year olds	Costs	See test-specific results	See test-specific results	See test- specific results
KQ1c (effect modifier) setting	Sensitivity	N/A	Indirect analyses indicated that the setting may be associated with reported results (p<0.001)	Low
KQ1c (effect modifier) setting	Specificity	N/A	Indirect analyses indicated that the setting may be associated with reported results (p<0.001)	Low
KQ1c (effect modifier) population	Sensitivity	N/A	Indirect analyses did not detect a systematic effect (p 0.21)	Low
KQ1c (effect modifier) population	Specificity	N/A	Indirect analyses indicated that the population may be associated with reported results (p 0.04)	Low
KQ1c (effect modifier) age	Sensitivity	N/A	Indirect analyses did not detect a systematic effect (p 0.90, p 0.58)	Low
KQ1c (effect modifier) age	Specificity	N/A	Indirect analyses did not detect a systematic effect (p 0.35, 0.45)	Low
KQ1c (effect modifier) gender	Sensitivity and specificity	N/A	Indirect analyses did not detect a systematic effect (p 0.80) but the number of female participants was small	Insufficient
KQ1d (labeling)	Any outcome	0 studies	No data	Insufficient

Notes: KQ key question, N/A not applicable, SoE strength of evidence

As documented in the summary of findings table, tests to diagnose ADHD were very diverse, and studies reported a large range of diagnostic and psychometric performance. Few studies were available to diagnose ADHD in young children. Effect modifier analyses were hindered by the lack of reported detail, although indirect analyses indicated that the diagnostic setting (primary care or specialty care) may influence sensitivity and specificity estimates and population characteristics (comparison to neurotypical developing or clinical samples) may affect specificity estimates. Given that both aspects may be associated (e.g., clinical samples are seen in specialty care), we stratified the remainder of the result presentation by neurotypical or clinical sample. We did not identify studies reporting on the impact of correctly or incorrectly labeling youth as having ADHD.

<u>Strength of evidence</u> assessments for this group were low or insufficient for all outcomes. We downgraded results for study limitation (lack of details on the selected tests and employed machine learning algorithm), imprecision (large variation in reported diagnostic performance across studies), and/or lack of replication in more than one study assessing the same test (i.e., consistency could not be assessed).

The methodological rigor and the reporting varied substantially in the identified studies. The potential for risk of bias in the studies is documented in Figure 3. The critical appraisal for the individual studies is in <u>Appendix D</u>.



Figure 3. Risk of Bias in KQ1 Studies

Selection bias was likely present in two thirds of studies. Often samples were restricted and did not necessarily represent the full range of children with ADHD. For example, in Robles et al., 2011,<sup>487</sup> a convenience sampling strategy was used. Index test issues were present in ten percent of studies. Although the review was restricted to studies reporting a clinical diagnosis of ADHD for participants, reference standard issues were also present in a small number of studies, in particular due to lack of details on procedures and/or diagnosticians. <sup>118, 149, 238, 338, 396, 402, 439, 504, 542, 569, 629</sup> Flow and timing was rated as high risk of bias in several studies. <sup>118, 128, 150, 170, 180, 309, 315, 345, 373, 489</sup> Typically this was due to an unclear participant flow (e.g., it was unclear whether the diagnosis was known before the results of the index test was known).

We also assessed possible applicability issues that could influence the generalizability of the reported data. Figure 4 shows the summary of rated applicability. The applicability for the individual studies is in <u>Appendix D</u>.



Figure 4. KQ1 Applicability Rating

In several studies, samples were employed that do not represent the general population of children with ADHD, usually because children with co-morbidities were excluded. In addition, several papers took place in specialty care settings with diagnostic and treatment options that go beyond the standard course of action for children with ADHD.

## 4.3 Summary ADHD Diagnosis By Tests for All Age Groups

We broadly differentiated between parental ratings, teacher ratings, tools for clinicians, teen self-reports, neuropsychological tests, imaging, EEG, biomarker, activity markers, and other (e.g., EKG indicators). This section describes diagnostic tools relevant to all age groups.

## 4.3.1 Parental Ratings

We identified 35 studies using Parental ratings to diagnose ADHD.<sup>17, 124, 135, 176, 194, 222, 238, 239, 254, 266, 297, 299, 300, 311, 326, 335, 338, 352, 355, 382, 413, 414, 417, 435, 437, 452, 476, 480, 504, 507, 515, 516, 524, 542, 569, 629 The earliest study meeting inclusion criteria was published in 1994.<sup>194, 437</sup> Evaluations of parental rating tools came from five different English-language speaking countries, but most studies were from the US.<sup>135, 238, 239, 266, 326, 335, 338, 352, 382, 413, 414, 437, 450, 452, 480, 504, 507, 515, 516, 524, 542, 629 The populations studied were predominately males between the ages of five and 18. Three studies exclusively included children younger than seven years old.<sup>326, 504, 507</sup> For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, most of the participants were diagnosed with the combined or inattentive presentations. In one study focusing on preschool age children who presented with disruptive behavior disorders, 57 percent of participants were diagnosed with the hyperactive/impulsive presentation.<sup>326</sup> While ADHD participants with co-occurring disorders were not excluded from most studies, only a few purposely included children with specific co-occurring disorders such as disruptive behavior disorders<sup>326</sup> or autism.<sup>239, 435</sup> However, about half of identified studies came from clinical samples, rather than general neurotypically developing</sup></sup>

children -- i.e., they identified children undergoing a diagnostic workup for a potential diagnosis of ADHD, conduct disorders, autism, or depression.

In 13 studies, White participants made up more than 70 percent of the sample.<sup>124, 135, 266, 311, 338, 352, 355, 382, 437, 504, 507, 629</sup> Two studies evaluated samples in which over 50 percent of participants were Black/African American,<sup>450, 524</sup> and one study was identified in which 85 percent of participants were Hispanic or Latino.<sup>542</sup>

Studies reported predominantly on sensitivity, specificity, and area under the curve (AUC). Table 4 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers. We report findings from population samples that differentiated ADHD from neurotypical developing children separately from results obtained in clinical samples, given that the population was identified as one of the sources of heterogeneity in reported results (see KQ1c).

KQ1 Diagnostic	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
Test				
KQ1 Parental Ratings	Sensitivity	<b>13 studies</b> <sup>176, 300, 311, 326, 335, 352, 414, 437, 450, 515, 542, 569, 629</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 61% (corresponding specificity 73%) <sup>417</sup> to 94% (corresponding specificity 51%) <sup>629</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development Sensitivity showed more variation and ranged from 38% (corresponding specificity 96%) <sup>335</sup> to 87% (corresponding specificity 41%) <sup>437</sup> differentiating ADHD in <u>clinical</u> samples	Low
KQ1 Parental Ratings	Specificity	<b>13 studies</b> <sup>176, 300, 311, 326, 335, 352, 414, 437, 450, 515, 542, 569, 629</sup>	Specificity ranged from 50% (corresponding sensitivity 82%) <sup>542</sup> to 94% (corresponding sensitivity 73%) <sup>515</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development Specificity ranged from 22% (corresponding sensitivity 81%) <sup>476</sup> to 96% (corresponding sensitivity 38%) <sup>335</sup> differentiating ADHD in <u>clinical</u> samples	Low
KQ1 Parental Ratings	Accuracy	6 studies <sup>326, 335,</sup> 417, 450, 524, 620	Accuracy ranged from 67% <sup>417</sup> to 86% differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development Accuracy ranged from 60% <sup>476</sup> to 84% <sup>524</sup> differentiating ADHD in clinical samples	Low
KQ1 Parental Ratings	AUC	<b>13 studies</b> <sup>176, 238, 239, 266, 300, 326, 335, 338, 352, 480, 542, 569</sup>	AUC ranged from 0.70 <sup>542</sup> to 0.91 <sup>569</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development AUC ranged from 0.65 <sup>338</sup> to 0.97 <sup>239</sup> differentiating ADHD in <u>clinical samples</u>	Low
KQ1 Parental Ratings	Inter-rater reliability	1 study <sup>413</sup>	ICC 0.51 for inattention, 0.56 for hyperactivity, and 0.58 for impulsivity between mother and father subscale ratings on the <i>DSM-ADHD-Symptom Rating Scale</i> <sup>413</sup> in a sample of children with ADHD	Low
KQ1 Parental Ratings	Internal consistency	6 studies <sup>176, 335,</sup> 338, 352, 413, 414, 515	In neurotypical samples: Cronbach's alpha Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD Symptoms and Normal Behavior Rating Scale (SWAN) 0.95 <sup>176</sup> ; Cronbach's alpha Behavior Assessment System for Children, Second Edition (BASC- 2), Executive Function Screener parent rating global sum score 0.91 <sup>352</sup> ;	Low

Table 4. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Parental Ratings

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
			Cronbach's alpha <i>Parent Disruptive Behavior</i> <i>Disorder Ratings Scale</i> (DBDRS) Inattention 0.94, hyperactivity / impulsivity 0.91 <sup>515</sup> <u>In clinical samples:</u> Cronbach's alpha <i>Child Behavior Checklist</i> (CBCL) Attention Problems 0.76 <sup>338</sup> ; Cronbach's alpha <i>Behavior Rating Inventory</i> <i>of Executive Function, Second Edition</i> (BRIEF2) global executive composite summary score 0.97 <sup>335</sup> ; Cronbach's alpha <i>DSM-ADHD-Symptom</i> <i>Rating Scale</i> total 0.90 for mother's rating, 0.91 for father's rating <sup>413</sup> ; Cronbach's alpha <i>The Pediatric Symptom</i> <i>Checklist</i> (PSC) attention subscale 0.90 <sup>414</sup>	
KQ1 Parental Ratings	Test-retest reliability	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 Parental Ratings	Misdiagnosis	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 Parental Ratings	Costs	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient

Notes: AUC area under the curve, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, SoE strength of evidence

Parental ratings reported mainly on the sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and area under the curve. A few studies reported perfect diagnostic performance for parental ratings for either sensitivity or specificity, but not both together. Little information was provided in these diagnostic studies regarding the reliability of the measures. We downgraded the <u>strength of evidence</u> for imprecision (large variation in reported diagnostic performance) and for inconsistency (when consistency could not be assessed because only one study was identified reporting on the test and outcome of interest, and results had not been replicated by another author group). None of the included studies provided information on the effect of misdiagnosis. None of the identified studies reported the costs associated with obtaining parental ratings.

## 4.3.2 Teacher Ratings

We identified 13 studies using Teacher ratings to diagnose ADHD.<sup>17, 222, 300, 311, 338, 352, 355, 382, 480, 507, 515, 516, 629</sup> The earliest study meeting <u>eligibility criteria</u> was published 2008<sup>222</sup> from five different English-speaking countries, primarily the US.<sup>338, 352, 382, 480, 507, 515, 516, 629</sup> The populations studied were predominately males between the ages of five and 18. One study exclusively included children younger than seven years old<sup>507</sup> and one exclusively in children eight years or older.<sup>352</sup> For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, most of the participants were diagnosed with the combined or inattentive presentations. Almost all of the studies mention race and ethnicity demographics, with seven studies where White participants made up greater than 70 percent of the sample,<sup>311, 338, 352, 355, 382, 507, 629</sup> and one study where over 85 percent of the participants were Black/African American.<sup>480</sup>

ADHD participants with co-occurring disorders were not excluded from most of the studies. Studies were divided into clinical samples and those recruited from a less selective population. None of the included studies includes children where all had a dual diagnosis, such as ADHD and conduct disorder.

Studies reported a variety of outcomes, with sensitivity, specificity, and area under the curve (AUC) being the most frequently reported outcomes. Table 5 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers.

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and	Findings	SoE
		IDs		
KQ1 Teacher Ratings	Sensitivity	6 studies <sup>300,</sup> 311, 352, 515, 516, 629	Sensitivity ranged from 48% in a long-term predictive validity study (corresponding specificity 70%) <sup>515</sup> to 82% (corresponding specificity 55%) <sup>516</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development Sensitivity ranged from 72% (corresponding specificity 75%) <sup>300</sup> to 97% (corresponding specificity 26%) <sup>311</sup> in clinical sample	Low
KQ1 Teacher Ratings	Specificity	<b>6 studies</b> <sup>300,</sup> 311, 352, 515, 516, 629	Specificity ranged from 55% (corresponding sensitivity 82%) <sup>516</sup> to 73% (corresponding sensitivity 70%) <sup>629</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development Specificity ranged from 26% (corresponding sensitivity 97%) <sup>311</sup> to 91% (corresponding sensitivity 48%) <sup>300</sup> in clinical samples	Low
KQ1 Teacher Ratings	Accuracy	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 Teacher Ratings	AUC	<b>4 studies</b> <sup>300,</sup> 338, 352, 480	AUC was 0.83 <sup>352</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development AUC was 0.56 <sup>480</sup> in a clinical sample	Low
KQ1 Teacher Ratings	Inter-rater reliability	2 studies <sup>355,</sup> 382	In clinical samples: Pearson correlations between teacher and parent ratings ranged from 0.17 to 0.41 over four subscales on the <i>Conduct-Hyperactive-</i> <i>Attention Problem- Oppositional Symptom</i> (CHAOS) scale <sup>382</sup> ; Kappa 0.29 between teacher and parent ratings on the <i>Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder</i> <i>Rating Scale, 4<sup>th</sup> edition</i> <sup>355</sup>	Low
KQ1 Teacher Ratings	Internal consistency	5 studies <sup>338,</sup> 352, 382, 515, 516	In neurotypical samples: Cronbach's alpha 0.94 for both teacher-rated inattention and hyperactivity symptom counts on the Disruptive Behavior Disorder Rating Scale <sup>516</sup> (DBDRS); Cronbach's alpha was 0.95 for the Behavior Assessment System for Children, 2 <sup>nd</sup> edition (BASC-2), executive function screener <sup>352</sup> Cronbach's alpha was 0.94 for the Teacher Disruptive Behavior Disorder Scale <sup>515</sup> (DBDRS) In clinical samples: Cronbach's alpha 0.95 for the Teacher Report Form (TRF) Attention Problems <sup>338</sup> ; Cronbach's alpha ranged from 0.64 to 0.91 over four subscales on the Conduct-Hyperactive- Attention Problem- Oppositional Symptom (CHAOS) scale <sup>382</sup>	Low
KQ1 Teacher Ratings	Test-retest reliability	1 study <sup>382</sup>	Pearson correlations ranged from 0.74 to 0.87 over four subscales on the <i>Conduct-</i> <i>Hyperactive-Attention Problem- Oppositional</i> <i>Symptom</i> (CHAOS) scale, retest between 1 and 829 days <sup>382</sup> in a clinical sample	Low

#### Table 5. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Teacher Ratings

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ1 Teacher Ratings	Misdiagnosis	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 Teacher Ratings	Costs	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient

Notes: AUC area under the curve, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, SoE strength of evidence

Across all teacher rating studies, reported sensitivity in individual studies were up to 97 percent in a clinical sample, but the corresponding specificity was only 26 percent.<sup>311</sup> We downgraded the <u>strength of evidence</u> for imprecision (large variation in reported diagnostic performance) and for inconsistency (when consistency could not be assessed because only one study was identified reporting on the test and outcome of interest and results had not been replicated by another author group). Identified diagnostic accuracy studies did not report on several of the other <u>key outcomes</u>.

## 4.3.3 Teen/Child Self Reports

We identified three studies using teen/child self-reports to diagnose ADHD.<sup>176, 297, 480</sup> The earliest study was published in 2017<sup>480</sup> and data came from two different countries, the US<sup>297, 480</sup> and Canada.<sup>176</sup> Self-reports were primarily completed by adolescents ages 12 to 18, however one study provided a research assistant to help read the questions for participants under 11 years old.<sup>297</sup> Only one study documented the ADHD presentation: 10 percent inattentive presentation, four percent hyperactive/impulsive presentation, and 25 percent combined presentation.<sup>480</sup> Two studies mentioned race and ethnicity demographics. In one study, White participants made up 61 percent of the sample<sup>297</sup> and one study reported 89 percent of the participants were Black/African American.<sup>480</sup>

Studies reported a limited number of outcomes, with area under the curve (AUC) being the most frequently reported outcome. Table 6 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers.

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ1 Self Reports	Sensitivity	1 study <sup>176</sup>	Sensitivity 57% (corresponding specificity 81%) using the <i>Strengths and Weaknesses of</i> <i>ADHD Symptoms and Normal Behavior Rating</i> <i>Scale</i> (SWAN) Self report, <sup>176</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Self Reports	Specificity	1 study <sup>176</sup>	Specificity 81% (corresponding sensitivity 57%) using the <i>Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD Symptoms and Normal Behavior Rating Scale</i> (SWAN) Self report, <sup>176</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development <sup>176</sup>	Low
KQ1 Self Reports	Accuracy	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient

Table 6. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Self Reports

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ1 Self Reports	AUC	3 studies <sup>176, 297, 480</sup>	AUC was 0.71 for the Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD Symptoms and Normal Behavior Rating Scale (SWAN) Self report, <sup>176</sup> and the Kiddie-Computerized adaptive test (K- CAT) <sup>297</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development AUC was 0.56 <sup>480</sup> for the Youth Self Report of the Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment (ASEBA) in a <u>clinical</u> sample	Low
KQ1 Self Reports	Inter-rater reliability	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 Self Reports	Internal consistency	1 study <sup>176</sup>	Cronbach's alpha was 0.88 for the <i>Strengths</i> and <i>Weaknesses of ADHD Symptoms and</i> <i>Normal Behavior Rating Scale</i> (SWAN) Self Report <sup>176</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Self Reports	Test-retest reliability	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 Self Reports	Misdiagnosis	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 Self Reports	Costs	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient

Notes: AUC area under the curve, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, SoE strength of evidence

The reported diagnostic performance of teen self-reports was limited. We downgraded for inconsistency (inability to judge the consistency across studies because only one study was identified reporting on the test and outcome of interest). In several cases, our searches identified no studies and the <u>strength of evidence</u> is insufficient for the outcome.

## 4.3.4.1 Combined Ratings

We identified only four studies that assessed the diagnostic performance of ratings combined across informants.<sup>17, 279, 297, 455</sup> Only one of these studies compared performance when combining data from multiple informants vs single informants: it found negligible improvement when combining youth self-report to the parent report alone using an adaptive testing questionnaire (AUC youth only 0.71 parent only 0.85; combined 0.86) in a treatment-seeking population.<sup>297</sup> A second study combined parent and teacher ratings on the Conners scales by requiring youth to meet diagnostic cutoffs (T-score  $\geq 65$ ) in one setting and substantial symptoms in the other setting (T-score  $\geq 60$ ). It reported a diagnostic sensitivity of 83.5 percent and specificity of 35.7 percent for the combined rating when distinguishing ADHD from other clinically referred youth.<sup>17</sup> The study did not report diagnostic performance using either parent or teacher rating alone. A third study reported findings from a discriminant function analysis of mother, father, and teacher ratings on the Conners scale when distinguishing ADHD youth who were considered either intellectually gifted or not from typically developing, intellectually gifted youth. It found that the discriminant function using all three informants distinguished the typically developing youth from the two ADHD groups but did not distinguish the two ADHD groups from one another.<sup>279</sup> A fourth study of 4 to 7 year old children used machine learning to combine parent and teacher ratings on the BRIEF in distinguishing youth with ADHD from typically developing controls. It reported an average diagnostic accuracy of 0.93, with teacher ratings being the most

informative in the machine learning algorithm, though it did not formally compare accuracy for combined informants with accuracy for either informant alone. The study also found that the addition of neuropsychological test measures and cortical thickness measures to the machine learning algorithm did not meaningfully improved diagnostic performance over use of the BRIEF alone.<sup>455</sup>

## 4.3.4 Clinicians Tools

We identified a small number of studies evaluating additional clinician tools (beyond neuropsychological tests; parent, teacher, or self report ratings; biomarkers; or imaging) to aid the diagnosis of ADHD.<sup>26, 128, 175, 298, 355, 406, 487, 530</sup> One study assessed an insurance claim-based algorithm<sup>553</sup> and another an electronic health record phenotype algorithm.<sup>530</sup> One study focused on the clinical utility of ICD-11 diagnostic guidelines<sup>487</sup> and a clinician diagnosis combined with an assessment aid that involved integrating EEG and theta/beta ratio data.<sup>26</sup> The earliest identified study was published in 2015.<sup>26</sup> Evaluations were published in three different countries, including one from the US.<sup>26</sup> Three studies measured child activity levels,<sup>128, 298, 406</sup> and two evaluated direct observation as a diagnostic tool.<sup>175, 355</sup>

The populations studied were predominately males between the ages of five and 17. None of the studies distinguished between ADHD presentations. Two studies mentioned race and ethnicity demographics; for both, the majority of participants were White (69%).<sup>26</sup>

Studies are difficult to compare since they assess different tools and approaches. Studies reported a variety of outcomes, with sensitivity, specificity, and inter-rater reliability being the most frequently reported outcomes. Table 7 shows the findings for the key outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers. Where all identified studies evaluated the same tool, the first column of the study indicates the tool, otherwise estimates are reported across all tools.

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SOE
KQ1 Clinician tool – activity measurement	Sensitivity	3 studies	Activity measures ranged from 80% (corresponding specificity 90%) <sup>298</sup> to 98% (corresponding specificity 100%) <sup>128</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Clinician tool – activity measurement	Specificity	3 studies	Activity measures ranged from 84% (corresponding sensitivity 97%) <sup>406</sup> to 100% (corresponding sensitivity 98%) <sup>128</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Clinician tool – activity measurement	Accuracy	2 studies	Activity measures ranged from 0.82 <sup>298</sup> to 0.99 <sup>128</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Clinician tool – activity measurement	AUC	2 studies	Activity measures ranged from 0.94 <sup>406</sup> to 0.999 <sup>128</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Clinician tools	Inter-rater reliability	2 studies <sup>26, 487</sup>	Kappa was 0.46 <sup>487</sup> and ICC was 0.83 <sup>26</sup> in <u>clinical</u> samples	Low
KQ1 Clinician tools	Internal consistency	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 Clinician tools	Test-retest reliability	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient

Table 7. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Clinician Tools

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ1 Clinician tools	Misdiagnosis	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 Clinician tools	Costs	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient

Notes: AUC area under the curve, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, SoE strength of evidence

We downgraded the <u>strength of evidence</u> for imprecision (very large variation in reported diagnostic performance) and for inconsistency (when consistency could not be assessed because only one study was identified reporting on the test, and outcome of interest and results had not been replicated by another author group). The tools were difficult to compare and answered study-specific questions.

## 4.3.5 Biomarkers

We identified six studies using proposed biomarkers to diagnose ADHD that were not based on EEG or imaging.<sup>218, 307, 489, 551, 592, 623</sup> EEG and imaging approaches are reported in the <u>next</u> <u>section</u>. Four studies used blood measures, including membrane potential ratio,<sup>551</sup> miRNA,<sup>592, 623</sup> and erythropoietin/erythropoietin receptor.<sup>307</sup> The other two studies evaluated pupillometrics (pupil-size dynamics)<sup>218</sup> and urine tetrahydroisoquinoline levels.<sup>489</sup> The earliest identified study was published in 2007.<sup>489</sup> Evaluations were published in five different countries, including two from the US.<sup>218, 551</sup>

The populations studied were predominately males between the ages of six and 17. Most studies required participants to not be taking stimulant medication.<sup>218, 307, 592, 623</sup> For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, most of the participants were diagnosed with the combined presentation.<sup>551, 623</sup> Only two studies mentioned race and ethnicity demographics, one where 100 percent of the participants were Han Chinese<sup>592</sup> and the other where the majority of participants (71%) were Black/African American.<sup>551</sup> None of the studies used a clinical sample or children with a consistent co-morbidity.

Table 8 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers.

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ1 Biomarkers	Sensitivity	<b>6 studies</b> <sup>218, 307, 489, 551, 592, 623</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 56% (corresponding specificity 95%) <sup>489</sup> to100% (corresponding specificity 100%) <sup>307</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Biomarkers	Specificity	<b>6 studies</b> <sup>218, 307, 489, 551, 592, 623</sup>	Specificity ranged from 25% (corresponding sensitivity 79%) <sup>551</sup> to 100% (corresponding sensitivity 100%) <sup>307</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Biomarkers	Accuracy	3 studies <sup>218, 551, 592</sup>	Accuracy ranged from 55% <sup>551</sup> to 85% <sup>592</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Biomarkers	AUC	<b>4 studies</b> <sup>218, 307, 592,</sup> 623	AUC ranged from 0.68 <sup>623</sup> to 1.00 <sup>307</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Biomarkers	Inter-rater reliability	0 studies	No data	Insufficient

Table 8. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Biomarkers

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ1 Biomarkers	Internal consistency	0 studies	No data	Insufficient
KQ1 Biomarkers	Test-retest reliability	0 studies	No data	Insufficient
KQ1 Biomarkers	Misdiagnosis	0 studies	No data	Insufficient
KQ1 Biomarkers	Costs	0 studies	No data	Insufficient
KQ1 Blood Biomarkers	Sensitivity	4 studies <sup>307, 551, 592,</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 68% (corresponding specificity 71%) <sup>623</sup> to 100% (corresponding specificities 97% and 100%) <sup>307</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Blood Biomarkers	Specificity	<b>4</b> studies <sup>307, 551, 592,</sup> 623	Specificity ranged from 25% (corresponding sensitivity 79%) <sup>551</sup> to 100% (corresponding sensitivity 100%) <sup>307</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Blood Biomarkers	Accuracy	4 studies <sup>307, 551, 592,</sup>	Accuracy ranged from 55% <sup>551</sup> to 85% <sup>592</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 Blood Biomarkers	AUC	4 studies <sup>307, 551, 592,</sup>	AUC ranged from 0.68 <sup>623</sup> to 1.00 <sup>307</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low

Notes: AUC area under the curve, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, SoE strength of evidence

Biomarker studies reported mainly on the sensitivity and specificity. Individual studies achieved very high sensitivity. Little information was provided in the studies regarding the reliability of the markers or combinations of markers. None of the included studies provided information on the effect of misdiagnosis. None of the identified studies reported the costs associated with analyzing biomarkers. We identified four studies that reported on blood biomarkers specifically.

## 4.3.6 Diagnosis Supported by Machine Learning

We identified 44 studies in total using machine learning algorithms to diagnose ADHD using different measurement modalities.<sup>27, 122, 127, 128, 150, 160, 165, 180, 187, 191, 192, 195, 215, 218, 238, 239, 256, 283, 318, 336, 359, 364, 385, 402, 426, 438, 439, 455, 456, 461, 482, 483, 506, 532, 558, 567, 580, 586, 592, 618-621, 1153 Studies were published since 2012<sup>27</sup> and came from 20 different countries, but primarily the US<sup>27, 160, 238, 239, 402, 455, 483, 506, 1153</sup> and China.<sup>191, 192, 195, 385, 558, 567, 618, 620</sup> A third of identified studies used electroencephalogram (EEG) markers as the data source<sup>122, 127, 150, 165, 180, 187, 191, 192, 318, 336, 359, 364, 385, 402, 426, 438, 456, 461, 482, 580 with another third of the studies using functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)<sup>195, 283, 483, 506, 567, 618</sup> or multimodal MRI (using some combination of structural, functional, or diffusion tensor imaging).<sup>558, 621, 1153</sup> A wide variety of machine learning algorithms were used for classification, with the most popular being support vector machine followed by neural network classification. Studies reported a variety of outcomes, with sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy being the most frequently reported outcomes.</sup></sup>

The majority of studies reported on sensitivity.<sup>27, 127, 128, 150, 160, 165, 180, 187, 195, 215, 218, 256, 283, 364, 439, 461, 483, 506, 532, 558, 567, 580, 592, 618, 619, 621, 1153 Reported sensitivity ranged from 59 percent (corresponding specificity 83%)<sup>319</sup> to 100 percent (corresponding specificity 100%)<sup>150, 160</sup> Specificity estimates ranged from 55 percent (corresponding sensitivity 95%)<sup>506</sup> to 100 percent</sup>

(corresponding sensitivities 100, 97, 75, 98, and 100% respectively).<sup>128, 150, 160, 364, 439</sup> Accuracy was reported in 40 studies <sup>122, 127, 128, 150, 160, 165, 180, 191, 192, 215, 218, 256, 283, 318, 336, 359, 364, 385, 426, 438, 439, 455, 456, 461, 482, 483, 506, 532, 558, 567, 580, 586, 592, 618-621, 1153 and ranged from 61 percent<sup>283</sup> to 100 percent.<sup>150, 160, 456</sup> Area under the curve estimates were reported in some of the included studies<sup>127, 128, 187, 191, 215, 218, 238, 239, 402, 455, 506, 567, 586, 592, 619, 621, 1153</sup> and performance ranged from 0.698<sup>1153</sup> to 0.9993<sup>128</sup> Studies rarely reported on reliability measures, and the impact of misdiagnosis or costs were not mentioned.</sup>

In studies using EEG data only, sensitivity ranged from 80 percent (corresponding specificity 80%)<sup>187</sup> to 98 percent (corresponding specificity 92% and 99%).<sup>165, 180</sup> One study combining EEG data and demographics reported a sensitivity of 100% (corresponding specificity 100%).<sup>150</sup> In the studies using neuroimaging datasets, sensitivity ranged from 61 percent (corresponding specificity 68%)<sup>1153</sup> to 99 percent (corresponding specificity 99%).<sup>567</sup> Several studies combined neuroimaging data with demographic data; sensitivity ranged from 70 percent (corresponding specificity 65%)<sup>506</sup> to 89 percent (corresponding specificity 84%)<sup>619</sup> including two near-infrared spectroscopy studies that reported 73 percent sensitivity (corresponding specificity 84%).<sup>619</sup>

## 4.4.1 KQ1a. What is the comparative diagnostic accuracy of approaches that can be used in the primary care practice setting or by specialists to diagnose ADHD among individuals younger than 7 years of age?

We identified three studies that explicitly reported on diagnostic performance data collected in primary care.<sup>170, 433, 595</sup>The earliest identified study was published in 2004<sup>595</sup> with data from the US and Portugal. The percent female ranged from 24 to 39 percent, where reported. One study was restricted to young children,<sup>170</sup> whereas the others had a broader age range. One study reported on race and ethnicity and included 23 percent Hispanic and 10 percent African American children.<sup>1160</sup>

Studies evaluated parent ratings and neuropsychological tests. Sensitivity and specificity was reported in all three studies. Sensitivity ranged widely, with estimates from 28 percent (corresponding specificity 95%)<sup>433</sup> using a neuropsychological test battery, to 84 percent (corresponding specificity 84%) for the attention problems subscale of the Child Behavior Checklist.<sup>595</sup>

We identified 10 studies focused on the diagnosis of ADHD in children under seven years old.<sup>170, 175, 193, 326, 402, 406, 455, 458, 504, 507</sup> The earliest study was published in 2012<sup>406</sup> and data came from six different countries, primarily the US.<sup>170, 326, 402, 455, 504, 507</sup> The populations studied were predominately males between the ages of one and seven. Half of the studies mentioned race and ethnicity demographics with five studies where White participants made up over 50 percent of the sample,<sup>170, 175, 326, 504, 507</sup> and one study that was 83 percent Hispanic or Latino.<sup>455</sup> Several studies used clinic populations of children referred for diagnostic purposes and children often presented with multiple co-occurring disorders.

The most common tests used for diagnosis in these studies were parent rating, teacher rating, and neuropsychological testing. Two studies used electroencephalography (EEG),<sup>193, 402</sup> one study used imaging,<sup>455</sup> one used 24-hour long actigraphic registries,<sup>406</sup> and one used observation of behavior.<sup>175</sup>

Studies reported a variety of outcomes, with sensitivity, specificity, and area under the curve (AUC) being the most frequently reported outcomes. The KQ1a section of the Summary of Findings Table 3 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers for children under seven years old. The table shows that six studies<sup>170, 175, 193, 326, 406, 458</sup> reported on sensitivity, with the results depending highly on the test used for diagnosis and the sample characteristic (e.g., clinical samples or general samples differentiating ADHD from neurotypical development).Sensitivity ranged from 66 percent combining teacher and parent ratings <sup>193</sup> to 97 percent for an activity measure<sup>406</sup> in samples differentiating ADHD and neurotypical development. Sensitivity ranged from 64 percent for a neuropsychological test<sup>170</sup> to 76 percent for a different neuropsychological test<sup>458</sup> in clinical samples. Specificity also varied substantially and ranged from 38 percent using EEG data in this age group<sup>193</sup> to 84 percent<sup>193, 406</sup> for an activity measure and an EEG algorithm differentiating ADHD and neurotypical development. Specificity ranged from 70 percent for a neuropsychological test<sup>458</sup> to 91 percent for a rating scale<sup>326</sup> in clinical samples. Similar variation was seen in other diagnostic measures.

Few of these diagnostic studies reported reliability measures. Most commonly reported was the internal consistency of rating scales. Cronbach's alpha was 0.92 for parent ratings on the Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment Likert version (DIPA-L).<sup>504</sup> Cronbach's alpha for the *Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function* preschool version was 0.976 for teacher ratings and 0.970 for parent ratings and 0.724 for teacher ratings and 0.978 for parent ratings for the child version.<sup>455</sup>

We did not identify any study reporting on the adverse effect following a misdiagnosis (not being diagnosed or incorrectly diagnosed) in this age group. In addition, none of the diagnostic studies mentioned costs of tests in this subsample.

# 4.4.2 KQ1b. What is the comparative diagnostic accuracy of EEG, imaging, or approaches assessing executive function that can be used in the primary care practice setting or by specialists to diagnose ADHD among individuals aged 7 through 17?

This section documents the evidence for diagnostic approaches using EEG and various imaging technologies. In addition, the section summarizes the diagnostic utility of neuropsychological tests. The neuropsychological tests included multiple measures of executive function. Questionnaires assessing executive function through parent or teacher report are documented in the beginning of the chapter.

We identified 34 EEG, imaging, or executive function studies restricting to children between the ages of seven and 17.<sup>118, 127, 147, 161, 180, 195, 218, 256, 283, 297-299, 309, 345, 346, 352, 359, 364, 373, 385, 388, 426, 434, 438, 452, 453, 455, 482, 506, 558, 567, 586, 597, 1153 However, we identified a large number of studies that included younger as well as older children, suggesting a broader applicability of the evaluated tests. Most of the identified samples did not include very young children, but the large majority included five and six year old children. In addition, meta-regressions (see KQ1) did not detect a systematic effect of the proportion of young children in the sample on the reported effect sizes. Hence, the following sections report on the results for the individual tests across all identified</sup>

diagnostic studies, and we did not restrict to studies that exclusively targeted individuals aged 7 and above.

## presentationpresentationpresentation4.4.2.1 EEG

We identified 35 studies using EEG markers to diagnose ADHD.<sup>26, 118, 122, 127, 150, 165, 180, 187, 191-193, 196, 201, 309, 318, 336, 345, 359, 361, 362, 364, 385, 386, 388, 402, 403, 405, 426, 438, 453, 456, 461, 476, 482, 580 The</sup>

earliest identified study was published in 2005.<sup>386</sup> EEG evaluations were published in 17 different countries, primarily Iran,<sup>122, 165, 359, 426, 482</sup> China,<sup>191, 192, 385, 386</sup> and Taiwan.<sup>187, 193, 201</sup> The populations studied were predominately males between the ages of six and 17, with only three studies including children as young as four years old.<sup>165, 193, 336</sup> One study included only female participants,<sup>201</sup> and seven studies included only males.<sup>118, 187, 402, 403, 438, 456, 461</sup> In several studies, participants were required to demonstrate an IQ of 80 or higher.<sup>118, 187, 191, 192, 336, 385, 388</sup> Almost half of the studies required that participants not take stimulant medication or stop medication several days before testing. For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, most focused on the combined and inattentive presentations. Only two studies included individuals solely with the hyperactive/impulsive presentation.<sup>318, 361</sup> Race and ethnicity demographics were not mentioned in most studies.

While ADHD participants with co-occurring disorders were not excluded from most studies, only a few studies purposely included specific co-occurring disorders to evaluate the diagnostic test performance in children with co-occurring conduct disorder<sup>364</sup> or other behavioral disorders.<sup>150</sup> The large majority of studies had unselected samples, i.e., comparing children with ADHD to neurotypical developing children.

Two thirds of studies used machine learning algorithms for classification. Table 9 shows findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers.

KQ1 Diagnostic	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
Test				
KQ1 EEG	Sensitivity	<b>18</b> studies <sup>26, 118, 127,</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 46% (corresponding	Low
		150, 165, 180, 187, 193, 201,	specificity 74%) <sup>201</sup> to 100% (corresponding	
		550, 545, 504, 580, 588, 405,	specificities 71% or 100%) <sup>130, 403</sup> differentiating	
		401, 470, 380	ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	
			Sensitivity ranged from 82% (corresponding	
			specificity 94%) <sup>26</sup> to 94% (corresponding	
			specificity 100%) <sup>470</sup> in <u>clinical</u> samples	
			Sensitivity ranged from 67% <sup>399</sup> to 98% <sup>180</sup>	
1/04 550	0	<b>40</b> ( ); 26 118 127	restricting to children / or above	
KQ1 EEG	Sensitivity	18 studies <sup>20, 110, 127,</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 46% (corresponding	LOW
		226 245 264 286 288 402	specificity 74%) <sup>201</sup> to 100% (corresponding	
		<i>4</i> 61 <i>4</i> 76 580	specificities /1% or 100%) <sup>130,403</sup> differentiating	
		401, 470, 500	ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	
			Sensitivity ranged from 82% (corresponding	
			specificity 94%) <sup>20</sup> to 94% (corresponding	
			specificity 100%) <sup>170</sup> in <u>clinical</u> samples	
			Sensitivity ranged from 67% <sup>399</sup> to 98% <sup>100</sup>	
	<b>A</b>	<b>00</b> - <b>t 1 26</b> - 118 - 122	restricting to <u>children / or above</u>	1
KQ1 EEG	Accuracy	20 SIUCIES <sup>20, 110, 122,</sup> 127 148 150 165 180 191-	Accuracy ranged from 58% <sup>201</sup> to 100% <sup>130,430</sup>	LOW
		193 201 318 336 345 359	differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u>	
		362, 364, 385, 388, 426, 438	Accuracy represent from 610/26 to 800/26 to the	
		456 461 482 580	Accuracy ranged from 61% <sup>20</sup> to 88% <sup>20</sup> In the	
		120, 101, 102, 200	same study using a different prediction model in	
			a <u>ciinicai</u> sampie	

Table 9. KQ1 Summa	ry of Findings and S	Strength of Evidence for EE	G
--------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------	---

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
			Accuracy ranged from 73% <sup>388</sup> to 99.8% <sup>180</sup> restricting to children <u>7 and above</u>	
KQ1 EEG	AUC	9 studies <sup>127, 187, 191,</sup> 193, 201, 336, 402, 403, 405	AUC ranged from 0.63 <sup>201</sup> to 0.92 <sup>191</sup> differentiating ADHD from <u>neurotypical</u> development AUC was 0.91 <sup>127</sup> in a study with children <u>7 and</u> <u>above</u>	Low
KQ1 EEG	Inter-rater reliability	3 studies <sup>118, 122, 127</sup>	Kappa between the DSM and behavioral/psychological/neurophysiological data was 0.75 <sup>118</sup> (all children were <u>7 and above</u> ) Kappa for classifiers ranged from 0.73 <sup>127</sup> to 0.99 <sup>122</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development Kappa was reported as 0.75 <sup>118</sup> and 0.82 <sup>127</sup> in children <u>7 and above</u>	Low
KQ1 EEG	Internal consisten cy	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 EEG	Test- retest reliability	1 study <sup>26</sup>	ICC was 0.83 for Theta/Beta ratio; repeated measures collected on two different visits in a clinical sample <sup>26</sup> (all children were <u>7 and above</u> )	Low
KQ1 EEG	Misdiagno sis	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 EEG	Costs	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ1 EEG plus ratings or demographi cs combined	Sensitivity	4 studies <sup>26, 150, 193, 345</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 87% (corresponding specificity 84%) <sup>193</sup> to 100% (corresponding specificity100%) <sup>150</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development Sensitivity was 82% (corresponding specificity 94%) <sup>26</sup> in <u>clinical</u> samples	Low
KQ1 EEG plus ratings or demographi cs combined	Specificity	4 studies <sup>26, 150, 193, 345</sup>	Specificity ranged from 84% (corresponding sensitivity 87%) <sup>193</sup> to 100% (corresponding sensitivity 100%) <sup>150</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development Specificity was 82% (corresponding sensitivity 94%) <sup>26</sup> in a <u>clinical</u> sample	Low
KQ1 EEG plus ratings or demographi cs combined	Accuracy	<b>5 studies</b> <sup>26, 150, 193, 318, 345</sup>	Accuracy ranged from 76% <sup>318</sup> to 100% <sup>150</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development Accuracy was 88% <sup>26</sup> in a clinical sample	Low
KQ1 EEG plus ratings or demographi cs combined	AUC	1 study <sup>193</sup>	AUC was 0.926 <sup>193</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low

Notes: AUC area under the curve, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, SoE strength of evidence

EEG studies predominantly reported accuracy estimates. Sensitivity in individual studies ranged widely from 46 percent<sup>201</sup> to perfect sensitivity (corresponding specificities 71%);<sup>150, 403</sup> the range was reduced in studies restricting to older children. Studies in clinical samples reported a reduced range of sensitivity and specificity compared to studies differentiating children with ADHD from neurotypically developing children, but the identified samples were small or they augmented EEG predictions with demographic variables. Some studies combined EEG data with

demographics; the achieved sensitivity was reported as 100 percent (corresponding specificity 100%) in one study.<sup>150</sup> We downgraded the <u>strength of evidence</u> for imprecision (large variation in performance across studies). In addition, we downgraded for study limitations as diagnostic approaches were often not well described. For some outcomes, no study was identified, and it was not possible to determine the effects associated with the test.

## 4.4.2.2 Imaging

We identified 17 studies using neuroimaging, mainly magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), to diagnose ADHD.<sup>27, 195, 215, 283, 315, 452, 455, 483, 506, 512, 538, 558, 567, 618, 619, 621, 1153</sup> A publicly available dataset (ADHD-200) produced numerous analyses.<sup>195, 283, 483, 567</sup> The populations studied were predominately males between the ages of six and 17, with three studies including only male participants.<sup>215, 483, 618</sup> In several studies, participants were required to demonstrate an IQ of 80 or higher to be included in the sample.<sup>215, 483, 538, 558, 618, 619</sup> A quarter of the studies required participants not take stimulant medication or stop medication several days before testing.<sup>215, 558, 618, 621</sup> Approximately a third of the studies included only right-handed participants.<sup>483, 558, 618, 1153</sup> For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, most focused on the combined and inattentive presentations. Only three studies specified including individuals with the hyperactive/impulsive presentation.<sup>215, 538, 621</sup> Nearly all studies did not include race and ethnicity demographics.

While ADHD participants with co-occurring disorders were not excluded from most of the studies, no studies specifically assessed test performance in children with specific co-occurring disorders. One study differentiated children with ADHD from those with dyslexia.<sup>512</sup> One evaluated the diagnostic performance of an algorithm differentiating ADHD from autism.<sup>283</sup> All studies used unselected, general samples, rather than clinical samples referred for further diagnostic workup (where a large proportion of children will either be diagnosed with ADHD, conduct disorders, autism, or depression).

Most imaging studies used a large number of imaging indicators and utilized machine learning algorithms to detect markers and to optimize the classifications. Reported diagnostic accuracy estimates varied widely. Table 10 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest, together with the number of studies and study identifiers.

KQ1 Diagnostic Test	Outcome	Number of Studies and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ1 Imaging to diagnose ADHD	Sensitivity	<b>13 studies</b> <sup>27, 215, 283, 315, 483, 506, 538, 558, 567, 618, 619, 621, 1153</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 61% (corresponding specificity 64%) combining structural and functional MRI <sup>1153</sup> to 100% (corresponding specificity 100%) utilizing resting state functional MRI in a complex machine learning procedure <sup>195</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development (both studies restricted to children <u>7 and above</u> )	Low
KQ1 Imaging to diagnose ADHD	Specificity	<b>13 studies</b> <sup>27, 215, 283, 315, 483, 506, 538, 558, 567, 618, 619, 621, 1153</sup>	Specificity ranged from 55% (corresponding sensitivity 95%) in a model using resting state functional MRI <sup>506</sup> to 100% (corresponding sensitivity 100%) utilizing resting state functional MRI in a complex machine learning procedure <sup>195</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u> development (both studies restricted to children <u>7 and above</u> )	Low

Table 10. KQ1Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Neuroimaging

KQ1	Outcome	Number of	Findings	SoE
Diagnostic Test		Studies and IDs	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
KQ1 Imaging	Accuracy	11 studies <sup>215, 283,</sup>	Accuracy ranged from 64% combining functional	Low
to diagnose		315, 483, 506, 558, 567, 618,	and structural MRI <sup>1153</sup> to 99.6% in a model based on	
ADHD		019, 021, 1155	resting state functional MRI <sup>193</sup> differentiating ADHD	
			restricted to children <u>7 and above</u> )	
KQ1 Imaging	AUC	8 studies <sup>215, 315, 506,</sup>	AUC ranged from 0.72 <sup>506</sup> in a complex machine	Low
to diagnose		538, 507, 619, 621, 1155	learning approach to 0.996 in a model based on	
ADHD			and neurotypical development (the same range was	
			also seen in studies restricting to children <u>7 and</u>	
			above)	
KQ1 Imaging	Inter-rater	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
ADHD	Tellability			
KQ1 Imaging	Internal	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
to diagnose ADHD	consistency			
KQ1 Imaging	Test-retest	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
to diagnose	reliability			
KQ1 Imaging	Misdiagnosis	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
to diagnose ADHD	Ū			
KQ1 Imaging	Costs	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
to diagnose				
KQ1 Imaging	Sensitivity	5 studies <sup>215, 283, 506,</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 70% (corresponding	Low
and	-	619, 621	specificity 65%) <sup>506</sup> to 89% (corresponding specificity	
phenotypic or			84%) in a complex machine learning approach to	
variables			MRI <sup>619</sup> differentiating ADHD and neurotypical	
Variabioo			development	
KQ1 Imaging	Specificity	5 studies <sup>215, 283, 506,</sup>	Specificity ranged from 55% (corresponding	Low
and phonotypic or		619, 621	sensitivity 95%) in a complex machine learning	
demographic			100%) in a model based on resting state functional	
variables			MRI <sup>195</sup> differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u>	
<u></u>		<b>0</b> 4 1: 215 202 492	development	
KQ1 Imaging	Accuracy	6 studies <sup>215, 285, 485, 506, 619</sup>	Accuracy ranged from 68% in a complex machine	Low
phenotypic or			resting state functional MRI <sup>619</sup> differentiating ADHD	
demographic			and neurotypical development	
variables		1 atudia a <sup>215</sup> 506 619	ALIC ranged from 0.70 uping structural functions	Low
and	AUC	<b>4</b> Studies <sup>213, 300, 019,</sup> 621	and diffusion-tensor MRI plus are sex and $IO^{621}$ to	LOW
phenotypic or			0.898 in a model based on resting state functional	
demographic			MRI <sup>619</sup> differentiating ADHD and neurotypical	
variables		<u> </u>	development	

Notes: AUC area under the curve, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, SoE strength of evidence

Studies reported primarily on sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy. Across all neuroimaging studies, reported sensitivity varied widely. We downgraded the <u>strength of evidence</u> for imprecision (large variation in performance reported across studies). In addition, we downgraded for study limitations as the individual diagnostic models were often not well described and the number and type of predictor variables feeding into the model was unclear. For some outcomes, no study was identified, and it was not possible to determine the effects associated with the

diagnostic modality. Some studies combined neuroimaging data and demographics, though the relevance is unclear, since the only demographic characteristic that is likely associated with a diagnosis of ADHD is sex, with a higher prevalence in males.

## 4.4.2.3 Neuropsychological Tests

We identified a large number of studies using neuropsychological tests, assessing executive function and/or encompassing a variety of cognitive assessments, including continuous performance tests, to diagnose ADHD.<sup>20, 23, 147, 148, 160, 161, 170, 178, 194, 202, 250, 256, 266, 270, 298, 312, 341, 346, 373, 384, 412, 433, 434, 439, 450, 455, 457-459, 475, 488, 525, 532, 597, 603, 620, 627, 634 Rating scales of executive</sup>

function are described in the parent and teacher rating section in the beginning of the chapter.

Studies evaluating neuropsychological tests were published between 2000<sup>597</sup> and 2021<sup>193, 373, 455, 458, 525</sup> from 18 different countries, primarily the US.<sup>147, 160, 170, 178, 266, 338, 450, 455, 597</sup> The populations studied were predominately males between the ages of six and 18. Four studies exclusively included children seven years old or younger.<sup>170, 193, 455, 458</sup> In several studies, participants were required to demonstrate an IQ of 70 or higher<sup>23, 341, 346, 361, 453, 455, 457, 488</sup> with some studies requiring IQ to be at least 80<sup>20, 160, 256, 458, 634</sup> or 85.<sup>373, 434, 475</sup> Almost 60 percent of the studies required participants not take stimulant medication or stop medication several days before testing. For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, most of the participants were diagnosed with the combined or inattentive presentations. About a third of the studies mentioned race and ethnicity demographics, with seven studies where White participants made up half or more of the sample,<sup>20, 170, 178, 266, 338, 450, 597</sup> one study where all of the participants were Asian,<sup>384</sup> one study where over 50% were Black/African American,<sup>450</sup> and one study where 83 percent of the participants were Hispanic or Latino.<sup>455</sup>

ADHD participants with co-occurring disorders were not excluded from most of the studies. Some studies used clinical samples with participants who were referred for diagnostic work-up where all children presented with attention issues or other symptoms indicative of ADHD or a different clinical diagnosis.<sup>23, 161, 170, 266, 312, 338, 458</sup> One study specifically looked at distinguishing between children with ADHD, developmental dyslexia, and those who had both disorders.<sup>434</sup> The remaining studies used samples of neurotypically developing children as controls rather than clinical samples.

Studies described a wide range of test batteries but 25 studies used continuous performance testing (CPT) to diagnose children and adolescents.<sup>20, 23, 147, 148, 160, 161, 170, 194, 202, 256, 266, 298, 312, 341, 384, 439, 450, 457, 459, 488, 525, 532, 620, 627, 634 CPTs provide multiple behavioral outputs relevant to ADHD, including omission errors (reflecting inattention), commission errors (reflecting impulsivity), and reaction time standard deviation (RTSD; reflecting moment-to-moment response variability). Studies varied in their use of traditional visual CPTs, such as the TOVA, or more novel, multifaceted CPT approaches. These latter "hybrid" CPT paradigms included CPTs that combined auditory and visual attentional processing demands together in the same task, those that monitored physical movements during task administration, and virtual reality CPTs built upon environments designed to emulate real-world distractibility in a classroom setting. The included studies often used idiosyncratic combinations of individual cognitive measures. Multiple studies reported on attention and impulsivity measures included in the continuous performance tests.</sup>

Studies reported a variety of statistical parameters to determine the accuracy of the diagnostic approach. Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were the most frequently reported diagnostic

measures. Table 11 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers for key outcomes that were assessed in more than one study.

KQ1 Diagnostic	Outcome	Number of	Findings	SoE
Test		Studies and IDs		
KQ1	Sensitivity	26 studies <sup>20, 23, 160,</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 28% (corresponding	Low
Neuropsycholo		161, 170, 178, 193, 202, 256,	specificity 95%) <sup>433</sup> to 100% (corresponding	
gical tests		270, 341, 346, 373, 384, 433,	specificity 100%) <sup>160</sup> differentiating ADHD and	
-		434, 439, 450, 457-459, 475,	neurotypical development	
		525, 532, 627, 634	Sensitivity ranged from 59% (corresponding	
			specificity 77%) <sup>412</sup> to 91% (corresponding	
			specificity 22%) <sup>627</sup> in clinical samples	
			Sensitivity ranged from 63% <sup>161</sup> to 83 <sup>256</sup> in studies	
			restricting to children 7 and above	
KQ1	Specificity	26 studies <sup>20, 23, 160,</sup>	Specificity ranged from 46% (corresponding	Low
Neuronsycholo	opcomony	161, 170, 178, 193, 202, 256,	sensitivity 85%) <sup>457</sup> to 100% (corresponding	2011
nical tests		270, 341, 346, 373, 384, 433,	sensitivity 100% and 75% respectively) <sup>160,439</sup>	
giour tooto		434, 439, 450, 457-459, 475,	differentiating ADHD and neurotypical	
		525, 532, 627, 634	development	
			Specificity ranged from 22% (corresponding	
			sensitivity 01%) <sup>627</sup> to 85% (corresponding	
			sensitivity 63%) <sup>161</sup> in clinical samples	
			Specificity ranged from 70% <sup>603</sup> to 94% <sup>603</sup> in	
			studies restricting to children 7 and above	
KO1	Acourcov	<b>19</b> atudioa160, 170,	Accuracy ranged from 579/488 to 1009/160	Low
Neuronsycholo	Accuracy	178, 193, 202, 256, 341, 439,	differentiating ADHD and neurotypical	LOW
rical tests		450, 453, 455, 457, 459, 488,	differentiating ADHD and <u>neurotypical</u>	
gical tests		525 532 597 620	development $A_{20}$ and $A_{20}$ to $A_{20}$ (597 in	
		020,002,000,000	Accuracy ranged from 64% to 64% in	
			disorder	
			$\frac{1}{2}$	
			to children 7 and choice	
KOA		<b>14</b> atudiaa <sup>23</sup> 147 178	ALLC ranged from 0.65457 to 0.02 for individual	Low
Nuranovahala	AUC	193 202 266 270 341 346	AUC ranged from 0.05 <sup>167</sup> to 0.95 for individual	LOW
neuropsycholo		433, 434, 455, 457, 475	and neurotypical development	
gical lesis		,,,,	ALLC represed from 0.6223 266 to 0.97266 in olinical	
			AUC ranged from 0.02 <sup>-0,000</sup> to 0.07 <sup>-00</sup> in <u>clinical</u>	
			ALIC renged from 0.90346 to 0.02147 in studios	
			rostricting to children 7 and above	
KOI	Inter reter	<b>2</b> atudioa <sup>178, 266, 627</sup>	Neurotunical complex:	Low
Nuranovahala	roliobility	5 Studies <sup>176, 200, 027</sup>	Kenne was 0 55 between Cognitive Assessment	LOW
Neuropsycholo	reliability		Sustem discriminant function analysis	
gical tests			System discriminant function analysis	
			Clinical samples:	
			Rappa 0.15 between Groundskeeper game and	
			Conners subscales, 0.18 between	
			Groundskeeper game and Conners Continuous	
			Performance Test (CPT), and 0.3 between	
			Conners subscales and Conners CP1200	
			Kappa 0.15 between Test of Variables of	
1/01		4 4 4 202	Attention and diagnosis by clinical assessment <sup>027</sup>	
KQ1	Internal	1 study <sup>202</sup>	Cronbach's alpha ranged from 0.906 to 0.987	Low
Neuropsycholo	consistency		across 15 variables in the diagnosis-supported	
gical tests			decision support system (DS-ADHD) across all	
		477	children <sup>202</sup>	
KQ1	Fest-retest	1 study <sup>457</sup>	ICC less than 0.5 for the ADHD group on all	Insufficient
Neuropsycholo	reliability		visual and auditory test variables on The	
gical tests			Advanced Test of Attention repeated after 2	
			weeks <sup>457</sup>	

 Table 11. KQ1 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Neuropsychological Tests

KQ1 Diagnostic	Outcome	Number of Studios and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ1	Misdiagnosi	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
Neuropsycholo gical tests	s			moundont
KQ1 Neuropsycholo gical tests	Costs	1 study <sup>312</sup>	£31 [~\$42] for QbTest including 30-minute appointment, £108 a consultation within the UK Medway NHS Trust at the time of audit <sup>312</sup> in a <u>clinical</u> sample	Insufficient
KQ1 CPT	Sensitivity	<b>19 studies</b> <sup>20, 23, 147, 160, 170, 202, 256, 266, 298, 312, 341, 439, 450, 457, 488, 525, 532, 620, 634</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 84% (corresponding specificity 94%) combining two commercial test software scores <sup>20</sup> to 100% (corresponding specificity 75%) for a virtual reality based test <sup>634</sup> differentiating ADHD from <u>neurotypical</u> development Sensitivity ranged from 47% (no corresponding specificity) using the QbTest <sup>23</sup> to 91% (corresponding specificity 22%) for the TOVA <sup>411</sup> in <u>clinical</u> samples	Low
KQ1 CPT	Specificity	<b>10</b> studies <sup>20, 161, 170, 341, 384, 450, 457, 459, 525, 627</sup>	Specificity ranged from 46% (corresponding sensitivity 85%) using the Advanced Test of Attention <sup>457</sup> to 100% (corresponding sensitivity 89%) using the PANDAS <sup>439</sup> differentiating ADHD from <u>neurotypical</u> development Specificity ranged from 22% (corresponding sensitivity 91%) using TOVA <sup>627</sup> to 85% (corresponding sensitivity 63%) using TOVA <sup>161</sup> in <u>clinical</u> samples	Low
KQ1 CPT	Accuracy	8 studies <sup>148, 341, 439, 457, 459, 488, 525, 620</sup>	Accuracy ranged from 57% using a virtual reality CPT <sup>488</sup> to 95% using TOVA <sup>148</sup> differentiating ADHD from <u>neurotypical</u> development	Low
KQ1 CPT	AUC	5 studies <sup>147, 266, 341, 384, 457</sup>	AUC ranged from 0.65 using the Advanced Test of Attention <sup>457</sup> to 0.92 using the MOXO CPT <sup>147</sup> differentiating ADHD from <u>neurotypical</u> development AUC was 0.79 using a go/no go task with multimodal distractions <sup>266</sup> in a <u>clinical</u> sample	Low
KQ1 CPT Attention	Sensitivity	3 studies <sup>20, 23, 170</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 48% (corresponding specificity $83\%$ ) <sup>23</sup> to $68\%$ (corresponding specificity 76%, ) <sup>20</sup>	Low
KQ1 CPT Attention	Specificity	3 studies <sup>20, 23, 170</sup>	Specificity ranged from 64% (corresponding sensitivity 55%) <sup>170</sup> to 83% (corresponding sensitivity 48%) <sup>23</sup>	Low
KQ1 CPT Impulsivity	Sensitivity	2 studies <sup>23, 170</sup>	Sensitivity ranged from 48% (corresponding specificity 83%) <sup>23</sup> to 55% (corresponding specificity 64%) <sup>170</sup>	Low
KQ1 CPT Impulsivity	Specificity	2 studies <sup>23, 170</sup>	Specificity ranged from 64% (corresponding sensitivity 55%) <sup>170</sup> to 83% (corresponding sensitivity 48%) <sup>23</sup>	Low

Notes: AUC area under the curve, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, SoE <u>strength of evidence; CPT continuous</u> performance test, TOVA test of variable attention

Studies evaluating neuropsychological tests reported predominantly on sensitivity and specificity. Selected studies reported perfect diagnostic performance for neuropsychological tests.<sup>160</sup> However, those studies reported the diagnostic performance for composite measures (unique combinations of individual cognitive measures), making it difficult to compare test performance across studies. The wide range in performance was narrower in studies restricting to children 7 and above. Reliability measures were rarely reported in the identified studies. No study addressed the effects of misdiagnosis. Costs were reported in only one study. We

downgraded the <u>strength of evidence</u> for imprecision (large variation in performance reported across studies). For some outcomes, no study was identified, and it was not possible to determine the effects associated with the test.

## 4.4.3 KQ1c. For both populations, how does the comparative diagnostic accuracy of these approaches vary by clinical setting or patient subgroup, or other risk factors associated with ADHD?

We did not identify studies comparing the accuracy in different settings in direct, head-tohead comparisons. Hence, we had to address this KQ in indirect analyses across studies. Our analyses were further limited by studies providing insufficient details on the accuracy of performance (e.g., reporting clearly on the false positives and false negatives) and could not be based on a meta-analytic model. Instead, we used the reported summary performance measures of sensitivity and specificity as reported by the study authors to explore potential effect modifiers. The most common reported diagnostic performance measures were sensitivity and specificity. Figure 5 plots reported sensitivity by setting.



Figure 5. Sensitivity by Setting

The figure shows the large number of community settings that, when reporting on sensitivity, reported homogenous values around 80 percent. Studies specifying the context as healthcare settings primary care or specialty care reported a larger range of achieved sensitivity. Comparing the reported sensitivities, a simple regression analysis indicated that setting is associated with reported sensitivity (p<0.001). However, the result should be interpreted with caution, as it does not take study size or quality into account, and it was not established within a meta-analytic model. The corresponding reported specificities are shown in Figure 6.





Reported specificity values ranged considerably in all settings. Comparing the reported specificities, a simple regression analysis indicated that setting is associated with reported specificity (p<0.001). However, the result should be interpreted with caution, as it does not take study size or quality into account, and it was not established within a meta-analytic model.

We also evaluated whether the studies in clinical samples (i.e., referred for a clinical diagnosis of ADHD, oppositional defiance disorder, or autism) and those with primarily neurotypical developing children reported different diagnostic performance values. The figure plots the sensitivity results for the two populations (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Sensitivity by Clinical Population

Across studies, we did not detect a statistically significant difference in reported sensitivity results (p 0.21). The next figure plots the specificity stratified by population (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Specificity by Clinical Population



The analysis indicated that the reported specificity was associated with the population that was used to establish diagnostic accuracy (p 0.04). On average, clinical samples reported lower specificities than studies in neurotypical samples (mean 71.3, SD 26.4 vs mean 82.0, SD 14.4). The result suggests that the clinical population appears to be a source of heterogeneity seen in the

studies. However, the result should be interpreted with caution as the data were not analyzed in a meta-analytical model, but used the diagnostic performance data as reported by the original authors.

We further investigated whether age of the participants is associated with the achieved diagnostic performance. Figure 9 plots sensitivity by minimum age in the sample.





Across studies, we did not detect a statistically significant linear association between samples including younger children versus not on sensitivity (p 0.90). However, it should be noted that the number of studies that included smaller children was low and thus hindered statistical power to detect differences. The equivalent figure for the specificity is shown in Figure 10.



Figure 10. Specificity by Minimum Age

Across studies, there was no statistically significant linear association between samples including younger children or not on specificity (p 0.35). We also categorized studies as younger versus older children and results are shown in the next sample. Using a dichotomous indicator

differentiating between young (under 7) and older children (7 and over) also did not indicate a systematic effect for sensitivity (p 0.58) or specificity (p 0.45).

We also analyzed the gender distribution in the identified studies, as the accuracy of a diagnosis may be associated with the reported gender of the participants. Figure 11 plots the percent female participants and sensitivity.



Figure 11. Sensitivity and Specificity by Proportion of Female Participants

Across samples, the proportion of girls was not associated with reported sensitivity or specificity (p 0.80). However, the number of female participants was small across studies, which lowers the statistical power to detect an effect.

There were insufficient numbers of studies to evaluate any other risk factors or participant variables.

## 4.4.4 KQ1d. What are the adverse effects associated with being labeled correctly or incorrectly as having ADHD?

Identified studies did not address consequence for patients correctly or not correctly receiving a diagnosis of ADHD or adverse effects associated with being labeled correctly or incorrectly as having ADHD. One study highlighted that a missed diagnosis has implications for accessing funding in the Australian healthcare system (e.g., national Disability Insurance Scheme) but provided no further empirical data.<sup>435</sup> None of the included studies reported on stigma associated with being diagnosed or labeled with ADHD.

This section describes studies reporting on a treatment of ADHD. Key points are listed first, followed by a summary of findings section before going into the effects and comparative effects of specific interventions.

## 5.1 KQ2 ADHD Treatment Key Points

- We found moderate <u>strength of evidence</u> that several treatment modalities improve core ADHD symptoms with a moderate effect size compared to control groups (e.g., placebo). These include FDA-approved medications, psychosocial interventions, school interventions, and neurofeedback
- FDA-approved stimulant (e.g., methylphenidate) and non-stimulant (e.g., atomoxetine) medications had the strongest evidence for significantly improving ADHD symptoms and additional outcomes, including broadband measures and functional impairment.
- Although indirect comparisons across studies suggest that studies evaluating stimulants report larger effect sizes than studies evaluating non-stimulants for improving ADHD symptoms, head-to-head comparisons did not detect significant differences. Stimulant and non-stimulant medications yielded comparable effects on most effectiveness outcomes and adverse events, including appetite suppression.
- We did not find that combination therapies of medication plus psychosocial therapies produce better results than medication alone, but existing research evaluated unique combinations of intervention components.
- Despite the large body of research, comparative effectiveness and safety information is limited and more research is needed to help choose between treatments.
- Data were insufficient to assess the effect of co-occurring disorders on treatment effects.
- We found too few studies reporting on diversion to quantify the risk of diversion of pharmacological treatment.

## 5.2 KQ2 ADHD Treatment Summary of Findings

We identified 304 studies evaluating a treatment for ADHD. Although studies from 1980 were eligible, the earliest treatment studies meeting inclusion criteria were published in 1995.<sup>52</sup>, 59, 83, 110-117, 120, 121, 123, 125, 129, 130, 132-134, 136-139, 142-146, 152-159, 162-164, 166, 168, 169, 171-174, 179, 182-184, 186, 197-200, 203-206, 208-214, 216, 219-221, 223-226, 228, 230-234, 237, 240, 242-244, 247, 251-253, 255, 257-262, 264, 265, 267-269, 272-276, 280-282, 286, 288-292, 294-296, 301-304, 306, 308, 310, 313, 314, 316, 317, 320, 321, 323-325, 327-331, 333, 337, 339, 342-344, 347, 348, 350, 351, 353, 354, 356, 358, 363, 365-372, 374-376, 378, 379, 383, 387, 389-391, 397, 400, 401, 404, 408, 409, 415, 416, 418, 420-422, 424, 427-432, 440-449, 454, 460, 462, 464, 466, 468-470, 472-474, 477-479, 485, 491-493, 495-501, 505, 508-511, 513, 514, 517-523, 526-528, 533, 539-541, 543-545, 548-550, 552, 554-556, 559-562, 564-566, 572, 573, 575-578, 581-585, 589, 590, 593, 594, 596, 598-602, 605-613, 615, 616, 622, 624, 625, 628, 630, 632, 633 Studies were published in 30 different countries, although about 40 percent were US studies (contributing 120 included studies).

The summary of findings table broadly summarizes the available evidence for the <u>key</u> <u>outcomes</u> across identified treatment studies.

The potential for risk of bias in KQ2 studies is documented in Figure 12. The critical appraisal for the individual studies is in <u>Appendix D</u>.



Figure 12. Risk of Bias in KQ2 ADHD Treatment Studies

Across studies, *selection bias* was likely present in multiple identified studies. This was predominantly attributable to highly selected samples and exclusions, or a biased allocation into groups because of study logistics. The review was open to all studies evaluating intervention in youth with a ADHD without further limitations, but some included studies reported a number of additional inclusion and exclusion criteria. *Performance bias* was noted in half of the included studies. An example of this kind of bias is that participants deviated from protocol medication administration (e.g., parents frequently reduced weekend medication use on their own). *Attrition bias* was also often noted, with large numbers of participants being unavailable for follow-up assessments. *Detection bias* was detected in many studies where blinding was not possible or would be very difficult and the outcome assessors (often the parents of the participants) were aware of the participants' intervention assignment. *Reporting bias* was also suspected in some of the studies, usually indicating that the study did not report on key ADHD outcomes, and no study protocol was published specifying that prospectively. Other sources of bias were identified in a third of studies, concerning small samples or inadequate descriptions of either the interventions or study flow.

Figure 13 shows the distribution of KQ2 studies with applicability issues. The applicability for the individual studies is documented in <u>Appendix D</u>.



#### Figure 13. KQ2 ADHD Treatment Applicability Rating

Applicability issues primarily concerned the participant samples in the identified studies. Some of the samples were less diverse than the typical population seen in clinical practice, often because of very strict inclusion criteria for the study (e.g., excluding children with co-occurring disorders). A large number of studies did not report any characteristics that flagged the comparator or the setting as different from the level of care in the community.

The populations studied were predominately males, and some studies (2%) were restricted to boys; samples included on average a quarter female participants. The youngest children in individual studies were three years old. Race and ethnicity demographics were not mentioned in over half of the studies. For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, the most prevalent type was the combined type.

The following sections summarize the effects of interventions on the <u>key outcomes</u>. Additional information on study-specific primary outcomes are documented in the <u>evidence</u> <u>table</u>.

## 5.2.1 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Behavior

The results for any achieved changes in behavior (e.g., conduct problems) across the diverse ADHD interventions evaluating a continuous outcome (and reporting sufficient information to allow effect size calculations) showed a positive effect compared to passive control groups (SMD 0.33; CI 0.10, 0.56; 27 studies, n=2989). There was evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 87%). We tested whether the intervention was the key source of heterogeneity to explain differences in effects, but we did not detect a systematic effect (p 0.78). There was evidence of publication bias (Begg p 0.04, Egger, p 0.03). However, the alternative effect estimate using the trim and fill method was unchanged. We also estimate in a sensitivity analysis whether the result was mainly driven by high risk-of-bias studies; after removing high risk-of-bias studies, the estimate was similar (SMD 0.30; CI 0.02, 0.58). Across studies, only three studies were

identified reporting on categorical outcomes (e.g., assessing whether or not behavior had improved). Results indicated reductions in problematic behavior associated with ADHD treatment (RR 0.46; CI 0.24, 0.87; 3 studies, n=154). In this small set of studies, there was no evidence of heterogeneity or publication bias (Begg p 0.33, Egger p 0.58). None of the studies was classified as high risk.

## **5.2.2 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Broadband Measures**

The results for broadband scales describing a child's behavior more generally showed positive effects of ADHD interventions (SMD 0.43, CI 0.33, 0.54; 52 studies, n=6997). There was some evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 74%). We tested whether the intervention was the key source of heterogeneity to explain differences in effects and the analysis suggested that the type of intervention is systematically associated with the effect size seen in the study (p 0.03). There was no evidence of publication bias (Begg p 0.77, Egger p 0.45). We removed high risk-of-bias studies in a sensitivity analysis, but the effect estimate remained similar (SMD 0.48, CI 0.35, 0.61). Multiple studies also reported on these global impressions as categorical variables and the effect was similar for the categorical broadband measures, indicating improvement associated with ADHD treatment (RR 0.56; CI 0.48, 0.65; 36 studies, n=5515). There was evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 77%). We tested whether the intervention was the key source of heterogeneity to explain differences in effects, but we did not detect a systematic effect (p 0.71). There was evidence of publication bias (Begg 0.01, Egger 0.001) and an alternative estimate using the trim and fill method showed a somewhat smaller effect (RR 0.63; CI 0.54, (0.74). We also conducted a sensitivity analysis to determine whether results are robust when removing six high risk-of-bias studies; the estimate was very similar to the original results (RR 0.56; CI 0.46, 0.68).

## 5.2.3 Effects of ADHD Treatment on ADHD Symptoms

A large number of studies reported on standardized symptom assessment tools. Standardized mean difference results across studies using continuous data found a positive effect of interventions successfully reducing ADHD symptom severity (SMD -0.46, CI -0.55, -0.38; 126 studies, n=16743). There was evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 85%). We tested whether the intervention was the key source of heterogeneity to explain differences in effects and found that the reported effect size is systematically associated with the type of intervention evaluated (p 0.04). There was no statistically significant evidence of publication bias (Begg p 0.28, Egger, p 0.06). Excluding 40 high-risk-of-bias studies in a sensitivity analysis resulted in a similar estimate (SMD -0.45, CI -0.55, -0.35) and heterogeneity was not reduced. A smaller number of studies reported on a dichotomous outcome for ADHD symptoms (e.g., meeting or not meeting an improvement target). Across studies, we found a positive effect of ADHD interventions (RR 1.58, CI 1.28, 1.95; 21 studies, n=3041). We detected heterogeneity (I-squared 76%) but a moderator analysis did not detect the intervention as a source of heterogeneity (p 0.46). There was evidence of publication bias (Begg p 0.04, Egger p<0.001). A more appropriate estimate of the true effect on symptom reduction may be somewhat smaller (RR 1.31, CI 1.02, 1.70). We also removed four high risk of bias studies in a sensitivity analysis which showed the treatment effect to be robust (RR 1.52, CI 1.23, 1.95) but heterogeneity was not reduced.

### **5.2.4 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Functional Impairment**

The results for functional impairment measures across the diverse interventions in studies reporting on a continuous outcome found a positive effect of ADHD interventions on functional impairment (SMD 0.39; CI 0.23, 0.54; 33 studies, n=4293). There was evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 81%). We tested whether the intervention was the key source of heterogeneity to explain differences in effects, but we did not detect a systematic effect (p 0.86). There was no significant publication bias (Begg p 0.09, Egger p 0.08). When removing ten high risk of bias studies in a sensitivity analysis, the estimate remained similar (SMD 0.35; CI 0.16, 0.53) and heterogeneity was not reduced. Very few studies reported on functional impairment as a categorical variable, and only one study reported sufficient information to compute effect sizes. The study indicated improvement but the confidence interval was wide (RR 1.29; CI 1.00, 1.66; 1 study, n=332).<sup>366</sup>

## 5.2.5 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Acceptability of Treatment

Only one study assessed treatment acceptability formally in a rating scale for all groups and reported sufficient detail to compute effect sizes; the study did not find a statistically significant difference between groups (SMD 0.22; CI -0.09, 0.53; 1 study, n=164).<sup>264</sup> One study reported categorical data to express satisfaction with the treatment; the study favored the intervention (RR 0.47; CI 0.32, 0.68; 1 study, n=198).<sup>211</sup> There were insufficient data for further analyses.

## **5.2.6 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Academic Performance**

The results for academic performance changes reported in sufficient detail across the diverse interventions favored ADHD treatment arms, but we did not detect a statistically significant difference between ADHD treatment and passive control groups on academic performance (SMD -0.26; CI -0.62, 0.09; 9 studies, n=1549). There was evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 88%). We tested whether the intervention was the key source of heterogeneity to explain differences in effects and the intervention contributed to the heterogeneity of effects (p 0.04). Publication bias tests did not indicate potential bias (Begg p 0.12, Egger 0.62). Removing high risk-of-bias studies in a sensitivity analysis showed a smaller effect, and the difference between groups remained not statistically significant (-0.052; CI -0.23, 0.13). None of the studies comparing to a control group reported on a categorical outcome in sufficient detail to allow effect size calculation.

## **5.2.7 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Appetite Changes**

We identified several studies that reported on a continuous measure to capture appetite changes or growth suppression. Across ADHD interventions, analyses indicated an effect on significantly reducing appetite in studies reporting continuous outcomes (SMD 0.44; CI 0.04, 0.84; 12 studies, n=2016). Heterogeneity was high (I-squared 92%). The type of intervention was one source of heterogeneity, as indicated in a meta-regression (p 0.01). There was no evidence of publication bias (Begg p 1.00, Egger 0.34). Removing two high-risk-of-bias studies in a sensitivity analysis found a similar point estimate, but the effect was not statistically significant (SMD 0.48; CI -0.01, 0.97); heterogeneity was not reduced. Across all ADHD interventions, ADHD treatment was associated with decreased appetite compared to control group participants (RR 2.66; CI 2.10, 3.42; 56 studies, n=8070). A large number of studies and participants
contributed to the results, and while many individual interventions did not detect statistically significant effects for this rare event, the data aggregation across studies shows a statistically significant effect. Heterogeneity was not remarkable (I-squared 60%). We tested whether the intervention was the key source of heterogeneity to explain some of the heterogeneity, but we did not detect a systematic effect (p 0.61). It should be noted that adverse events generally were more systematically reported in drug studies, and this outcome in particular was usually only reported in studies evaluating a pharmacological component; hence the analysis of the source of heterogeneity should be interpreted with caution. There was some evidence of publication bias (Egger p 0.08, Begg p<0.04). The alternative estimate of the effect using the trim and fill method to account for unpublished studies was somewhat smaller (RR 2.22; CI 1.70, 2.90). We also conducted a sensitivity analysis removing high risk-of-bias studies; the resulting estimate suggested an even stronger effect (RR 2.88; CI 2.20, 3.77) and heterogeneity was reduced further.

# **5.2.8 Effects of ADHD Treatment on Number of Participants with Adverse Events**

Several identified studies reported on the number of participants experiencing an adverse event. Across ADHD interventions, participants undergoing active ADHD treatment were more likely to report adverse events than control group participants (RR 1.25; CI 1.17, 1.32; 55 studies, n=8191). We did not detect noticeable heterogeneity in this analysis (I-squared 58%). An analysis of the intervention as a potential source of heterogeneity indicated borderline results (p 0.5). There was no evidence of publication bias (Begg p 0.84, Egger p 0.25). Removing 11 high risk-of-bias studies in a sensitivity analysis did result in a similar point estimate (RR 1.25; CI 1.17, 1.34) and heterogeneity estimates were unchanged.

## 5.3 Effects by Intervention

The identified interventions were highly diverse and addressed ADHD treatment in very different ways. In addition, exploring heterogeneity across studies indicated that for several <u>key</u> <u>outcomes</u> the type of intervention that was evaluated is a key source explaining variation in effect estimates. Hence, we broadly differentiated different types of interventions:

- Combined pharmacological and behavioral treatment
- FDA-approved pharmacological agents
- New pharmaceutical agents
- Psychosocial treatment
- Cognitive training
- Neurofeedback
- Physical exercise
- Nutrition and supplements
- Complementary, alternative, and integrative medicine (CAM)
- Parent support
- School interventions
- Provider intervention

The scope of each intervention category is described in detail in each intervention section. In addition to categorizing the type of intervention, we noted whether the intervention was tested as

augmentation, i.e., it was given in addition to and concurrently with stimulant medication. In these studies, the intervention as well as the control group received stimulants while the intervention group was given an additional intervention component. The following provides an overview of the available studies for each intervention category, together with a summary of the effects of the interventions on outcomes.

## **5.3.1 Combined Pharmacological and Behavioral Treatment**

We identified nine <u>eligible</u> treatment studies that evaluated a combination of pharmacological intervention and nonpharmacological behavioral therapy.<sup>114, 159, 205, 220, 339, 350, 462, <sup>485, 548</sup> The behavioral or psychological treatment had to be directed at the participating children in order to be included here. Studies assessing the effect of parental training in combination with medication are reported in the parent intervention section. The earliest identified set of studies were those published from the NIMH Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD (MTA), which dates to 1999. For the current review, we used the Jensen et al. 3-year followup<sup>339</sup> as the key outcome data publication, but we reviewed information from the MTA that has been56ategoshed thus far in 73 articles, as shown in the <u>evidence table</u>. Half of the identified combined pharmacological and behavioral studies were conducted in the US.<sup>159, 339, 485, 1127</sup></sup>

The populations studied were predominately males (girls/females comprised a quarter of the target ADHD cohorts across studies) between the ages of five and 18. Evidence of intellectual disability (i.e., full-scale IQ < 70) was exclusionary in all studies, and most studies required fullscale IQ scores of 80 or higher. Half of the studies allowed participants to be included if they had prior exposure to stimulant treatment for ADHD, whereas the remaining studies required participants to be stimulant naïve, or else it was unclear what their inclusion criteria were regarding prior treatment with stimulant medication. For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations (i.e., ADHD-combined type, ADHD-inattentive type, and ADHDhyperactive/impulsive type), the most prevalent type (ranging from  $54\%^{205}$  to  $88\%^{339}$  of the ADHD participants) was the ADHD-combined presentation. In most studies, children were allowed to have common co-occurring conditions such as oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder, or dyslexia/learning disorder, but more severe neurodevelopmental conditions such as autism were exclusionary in this subarea of studies. One study<sup>159</sup> specifically required ADHD plus a co-occurring disruptive disorder and significant aggressive behavior, as it examined the usefulness of adjunctive risperidone and/or divalproex sodium in addition to optimal stimulant dosing and behavior therapy. Most studies reported at least some general information regarding the racial/ethnic makeup of their sample; on average, children of Caucasian/European ancestry comprised two thirds of sample makeup, a third were Hispanic or Latino, and a smaller percentage were African American.

The pharmacological treatment components employed in this area were predominantly shortor long-acting stimulants (such as methylphenidate and amphetamine)<sup>159, 205, 260, 339, 485</sup> or else the non-stimulant medication atomoxetine, which is an SNRI (Serotonin and Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitor).<sup>220</sup> Behavioral treatment components varied in approach and complexity and included cognitive behavioral therapy,<sup>205, 220, 485, 548</sup> multi-modal psychosocial treatment;<sup>114, 339</sup> a solution-focused approach,<sup>350</sup> behavioral therapy,<sup>159</sup> and a humanistic intervention.<sup>462</sup> Studies compared most frequently combinations of pharmacological and psychosocial treatment to pharmacology or psychosocial treatment alone rather than no treatment or placebo.

Studies reported a variety of often study-specific outcomes, such as improvement in core ADHD symptoms or co-occurring symptoms. In terms of pre-specified <u>key outcomes</u>, symptom scores were most frequently reported.

Three studies reported on changes in a specific behavior, but they used different metrics and reported different effect estimates and could not be combined; none detected statistically significant difference between the intervention and a control group (SMD -0.04; CI -2.26, 2.18; 2 studies, n=311; RR 0.47; CI 0.18, 1.25; 1 study, n=26).<sup>114, 159, 339</sup> Studies reporting on broadband measures are shown in Figure 14.

## Figure 14. Effects of Combined Pharmacological and Psychological Treatment on Broadband Measures (SMD)



Across studies, we found no systematic difference between intervention and control groups (SMD 0.43; CI -0.76, 1.63; 3 studies, n=171), but it should be noted that all studies included in this analysis compared to the medication component of the combined intervention (i.e., control participants received one of the two intervention components). The included studies evaluated different interventions (multimodal psychosocial treatment plus methylphenidate;<sup>114</sup> CBT plus methylphenidate;<sup>205</sup> and CBT plus FDA-approved medication<sup>471</sup>) and compared to medication alone.<sup>114, 205, 548</sup> The analysis detected some heterogeneity (I-squared 66%). There was no indication of publication bias. All three studies were judged to be high risk of bias. A study reporting on a categorical outcome also found no difference between studies (RR 0.85; CI 0.54, 1.36; 1 study, n=227).<sup>485</sup>

Studies reporting on ADHD symptom scales are shown in the next forest plot (Figure 15).



Figure 15. Effects of Combined Pharmacological and Psychological Treatment on Symptoms (SMD)

Studies did not identify a systematic treatment effect to indicate superiority of the combined pharmacological and psychological treatment versus control (SMD -0.21; CI -0.80, 0.38; 4 studies, n=630). However, the control groups consisted of groups that received the pharmacological intervention component alone rather than no intervention, i.e., the analysis was typically a comparative effectiveness analysis rather than a pure effectiveness analysis. There was some indication of statistical heterogeneity (I-squared 71%). The analysis did not detect publication bias. Removing two high risk of bias studies in a sensitivity analysis did not result in a different effect (SMD -0.02; CI -0.89, 0.85). The forest plot (Figure 16) shows studies reporting on a categorical symptom assessment.



Figure 16. Effects of Combined Pharmacological and Psychological Treatment on Symptoms (RR)

Studies did not identify a statistically significant treatment effect in the categorical outcome either (RR 1.35; CI 0.92, 1.98; 3 studies, n=155) that would suggest superiority of the combined treatment compared to medication alone. There was no indication of heterogeneity in this small set of studies and further analyses were not possible due to the small number of studies.

The MTA follow up reporting on functional impairment (SMD 0.11; CI -0.15, 0.37; 1 study, n=243) and an academic performance measure (SMD -0.12; CI -0.37, 0.14; 1 study, n=243) also did not find statistically significant differences.<sup>220</sup> We did not identify studies reporting on treatment satisfaction. One study reporting on appetite suppression found no difference between groups (RR 0.93; CI 0.29, 3.03; 1 study, n=29). None of the identified studies reported on the number of participants experiencing adverse events.

## 5.3.1.1 Combined Pharmacological and Psychological Treatment Comparative Effectiveness

In addition to comparing combined pharmacologic and psychological interventions to pharmacologic treatments alone, one study also compared one pharmacologic and psychological intervention. The study compared combined behavioral therapy and stimulant treatment plus risperidone versus behavioral therapy and stimulant splus divalproex sodium in children with aggressive behavior and ADHD.<sup>159</sup> The study reported on aggressive behavior and concluded that both adjuvants were efficacious (RR 0.61; CI 0.31, 1.20; 1 study, n=175) but also noted that rigorous titration of stimulant medication and concurrent behavior therapy may avert the need for additional medication.

# **5.3.1.2 Combined Pharmacological and Psychological Treatment Summary of Findings**

Table 12 shows the findings for all key outcomes of interest, together with the number of studies and study identifiers.

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study	Findings	SoE
		Design and IDs		
KQ2 combined treatment vs control (individual component or usual care)	Behavior	3 RCTs <sup>114, 159, 339</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD -0.04; CI -2.26, 2.18; 2 studies, n=311; RR 0.47; CI 0.18, 1.25; 1 study, n=26)	Low for no difference
KQ2 combined treatment vs control (individual component, wait list)	Broadband measures	4 studies <sup>114, 205, 485, 548</sup>	Studies favored the combination intervention but there was no statistically significant difference and effect estimates varied (SMD 0.43; CI -0.76, 1.63; 3 studies, n=171; RR 0.85; CI 0.54, 1.36; 1 study, n=227)	Low for no difference
KQ2 combined treatment vs control (individual component, usual care, wait list)	ADHD symptoms	6 studies, 5 RCTs, <sup>114, 220, 339, 462,</sup> <sup>485</sup> and one crossover trial <sup>548</sup>	Analyses did not detect a difference between groups across two analyses (SMD -0.02; CI -0.20, 0.15; 4 studies, n=630; RR 1.17; CI 0.91, 1.51; 3 studies, n=155)	Moderate for no difference
KQ2 combined treatment vs control (individual component, usual care)	Functional impairment	2 RCTs <sup>114, 339</sup>	No systematic differences between groups detected (SMD 0.11; CI -0.15, 0.37; 1 study, n=243)	Insufficient
KQ2 combined treatment vs control	Acceptability of treatment	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 combined treatment vs usual care	Academic performance	1 RCT <sup>339</sup>	No systematic differences between groups (SMD -0.12; CI -0.37, 0.14; 1 study, n=243)	Insufficient
KQ2 combined treatment vs control (individual component, usual care)	Appetite suppression	2 RCTs <sup>220, 339</sup>	No systematic differences (RR 0.93; Cl 0.29, 3.03; 1 study, n=29)	Low for no difference

 Table 12. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Combined Pharmacological and

 Psychological Treatment

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE strength of evidence

The summary of findings table above generally shows little support that a treatment modality comprising combined medication and behavior treatment as superior to control groups where control groups typically provided medication alone. For multiple outcomes we found very few or no studies to determine intervention effects. We downgraded the <u>strength of evidence</u> for functional impairment, academic performance, and adverse events to insufficient due to study limitation and inconsistency (downgraded by 2 given that consistency could not be determined as only one study has reported on the outcome to date).

## 5.3.2 FDA-approved Pharmacological Treatment

We identified 103 studies evaluating an FDA-approved pharmacological intervention. <sup>115, 116, 116, 125, 133, 137, 138, 144, 153, 162, 166, 169, 172, 182, 183, 197, 198, 200, 206, 209, 211, 212, 221, 224, 230, 231, 251-253, 273-276, 282, 288, 289, 292, 303, 304, 317, 321, 333, 337, 342, 367, 368, 370, 372, 374, 375, 379, 404, 408, 409, 415, 421, 422, 430, 432, 441-444, 447-449, 470, 492, 499, 500, 513, 514, 526-528, 544, 545, 549, 555, 562, 575, 578, 585, 593, 598-601, 605-608, 610-612, 615, 622, 632, 892, 1088, 1161</sup>

Although studies from 1980 were eligible, the earliest studies meeting <u>inclusion criteria</u> were published in 1995.<sup>142, 528</sup> Evaluations were published in 15 different countries, but 60 percent

was US-based. Although the percent of female participants ranged from seven to 56 percent, samples were predominantly male. The age minimum varied, but across all identified studies, only five studies included children three to five years old.<sup>116, 198, 237, 274, 372</sup> Studies varied in whether they required participants to be drug naïve at study beginning, while others allowed concomitant medication even during the study. The identified studies included some that explicitly tested adjunctive medication to augment stimulant treatment.<sup>111, 114, 260, 367, 462, 477, 585, 611</sup>

Studies included different presentations of ADHD. Where reported, the combined presentation was most common in studies, on average representing two thirds of the sample. While ADHD participants with co-occurring disorders were not excluded from most of the studies, only a few studies purposely included specific co-occurring disorders, including oppositional defiant disorder or conduct disorder, <sup>182, 211, 224, 231, 260, 422, 612</sup> Tourette syndrome or tic disorder, <sup>125, 374, 528, 544</sup> or learning disabilities. <sup>514, 526</sup> Demographics were often not reported, but where studies reported a breakdown by race or ethnicity, on average, 75 percent of children were white.

Of the identified studies, the majority reported on the comparison to a control group not receiving pharmacological treatment, most frequently placebo. Half of identified studies reported alternatively or in addition on the effects of an alternative intervention, for example a different dose of the same medication or a different medication.

Studies most frequently reported on symptom scale scores. Studies that reported on a control group with sufficient detail to allow effect size calculations for individual behavior changes (not already captured in broadband or symptom score measures) are shown in Figure 17.

#### Figure 17. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Behavior (SMD)



Figure notes: ATX atomoxetine, HCI clonidine hydrochloride, MPH methylphenidate, stim stimulants (not further defined)

Across studies, pharmacological intervention (all non-stimulants) were associated with significant improvements in individual problem behaviors (SMD 0.66; CI 0.22, 1.10; 4 studies, n=523). The minimum age in the included studies was six years old. There was little evidence of heterogeneity (49%). There was no indication of publication bias. Excluding a high risk of bias

study in a sensitivity analysis increased the CI and the effect was not statistically significant (SMD 0.64; CI -0.22, 1.51), but did not reduce heterogeneity. Stratifying the non-stimulants further, the norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI) atomoxetine showed improved problem behaviors (SMD 0.74; CI 0.17, 1.32; 3 studies), while alpha agonist study detected no difference (SMD 0.31; CI -0.17, 0.80; 1 study). We identified one study reporting on a categorical variable based on a behavior measure and providing sufficient detail to allow effect size computation. The identified study evaluated the alpha-agonist clonidine adjunctive to psychostimulant medication<sup>317</sup>); the study did not detect a statistically significant difference between arms (RR 0.31; CI -0.17, 0.80; 1 study, n=66).

Multiple studies reported on a broadband measure as shown in Figure 18.

## Figure 18. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Broadband Measures (SMD)



Standardized Mean Difference

Notes: ATX atomoxetine, GXR guanfacine, LDX lisdexamfetamine dimesylate, MPH methylphenidate, SPN SPN-812, stim stimulants (not further defined)

Across studies, pharmacological treatment was associated with a systematic benefit on broadband scale assessments compared to control (SMD 0.73; CI 0.40, 1.06; 27 studies, n=4618). Only one study included children younger than six years old.<sup>116</sup> Studies assessed different medication regimes but analyses detected little heterogeneity (I-squared 58%). Largest effects were reported in studies evaluating lisdexamfetamine dimesylate,<sup>137</sup> atomoxetine,<sup>251</sup> methylphenidate,<sup>116</sup> and extended-release guanfacine added to usual care stimulant therapy,<sup>585</sup> respectively. There was no evidence of publication bias. Removing six high-risk-of-bias studies in a sensitivity analysis found a smaller but also significant effect estimate (SMD 0.53; CI 0.38, 0.69), indicating that the documented treatment effect is not mainly based on biased studies.

Several studies included in the pharmacological analysis assessed stimulants and when restricting to stimulants alone, we also found statistically significantly improved broadband scale scores, but heterogeneity in this intervention subgroup was not reduced but increased (SMD 0.67; CI 0.16, 1.18; 6 studies; I-squared 87%). Stratifying the stimulants into methylphenidate and amphetamine medication, we found that methylphenidate studies showed a similar point estimate, but the result was not statistically significant in this small subset and heterogeneity was negligible (SMD 0.58; CI -0.03, 1.19; 3 studies; I-squared 25%). Similarly, results across amphetamine versus placebo were not statistically significant in this equally small subset and heterogeneity was high and not reduced (SMD 0.76; CI -0.96, 2.46; 3 studies; I-squared 94%). A large intervention subgroup included in the pharmacological medications reporting on broadband measures were non-stimulants. Across studies, non-stimulants improved broadband scale scores with reduced, negligible heterogeneity (SMD 0.52; CI 0.41, 0.64; 18 studies; I-squared 32%). Results restricting to SNRIs only were similar to the combined non-stimulant analysis and indicated a clear effect on broadband measure scores, with heterogeneity reduced further (SMD 0.54; CI 0.42, 0.65; 15 studies; I-squared 25%). Most of the non-stimulant studies evaluated atomoxetine and excluding three viloxazine studies did not change the estimate (SMD 0.58; 0.43, 0.73; 12 studies; I-squared 38%). The alpha agonist studies that contributed to the non-stimulant estimate reported a similar effect to the main analysis and there was no heterogeneity in this subset (SMD 0.47; CI 0.10, 0.85; 3 studies; I-squared 0).

Multiple studies reported on broadband scale as a categorial outcome (e.g., criteria for improvement met or not) as shown in Figure 19.





Notes: DEX dexmethylphenidate, GXR guanfacine, LDX lisdexamfetamine dimesylate, MPH methylphenidate, stim stimulants (not further defined)

Across studies, results also indicated that pharmacological ADHD treatment was associated with a systematic benefit compared to control (RR 0.50; CI 0.43, 0.59; 25 studies, n=3959). Only two studies included children younger than six years old.<sup>116, 372</sup> Analyses detected some heterogeneity (I-squared 74%). There was evidence of publication bias (Begg p 0.003, Egger p<0.001) and an alternative estimate using the trim and fill method suggested a somewhat smaller effect (RR 0.60; CI 0.50, 0.72). When excluding six high-risk-of-bias studies in a sensitivity analysis, effect estimates were similar to the original effect (RR 0.53; CI 0.42, 0.69) and heterogeneity was not reduced. This analysis included a substantial number of studies evaluating different stimulants and restricting to stimulants alone, we also found improved broadband scale scores with reduced heterogeneity (RR 0.39; CI 0.31, 0.49; 13 studies; I-squared 46%). Restricting to methylphenidate alone reduced heterogeneity further and the effect was also statistically significant in this smaller subset (RR 0.39; CI 0.30, 0.49; 9 studies; I-squared 33%). In the subset of amphetamine, results were similar but there was evidence of heterogeneity (RR 0.39; CI 0.26, 0.60; 3 studies; I-squared 65%). Across studies, non-stimulants compared to placebo improved broadband scale score evaluations and heterogeneity was low (RR 0.66; CI 0.57, 0.76; 11 studies; I-squared 36%). Results of restricting analyses to SNRIs to identify sources of heterogeneity also showed an improvement in broadband scale scores (RR 0.58; CI 0.46, 0.73; 4 studies),<sup>162, 372, 430, 470</sup> and the analysis did not detect any heterogeneity. The equivalent analysis for alpha agonists versus placebo was also statistically significant with little heterogeneity (RR 0.69; CI 0.58, 0.82; 7 studies; I-squared 49%).<sup>153, 321, 368, 447, 499, 612</sup>

A large number of studies reported on symptom Improvements. Standardized mean differences are shown in Figure 20.



Figure 200. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)

Standardized Mean Difference

Notes: ATX atomoxetine, HCI clonidine hydrochloride, GXR guanfacine, LDX lisdexamfetamine dimesylate, MPH methylphenidate, SPN SPN-812, stim stimulants (not further defined)

Across studies, pharmacological interventions for ADHD were associated with a systematic reduction in symptom scale scores compared to control (SMD -0.59; CI -0.68, -0.51; 47 studies, n=7358). Only two studies included children vounger than six years old.<sup>116, 372</sup> There was some evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 67%). Tests for publication bias were not statistically significant. Excluding nine high-risk-of-bias studies in a sensitivity analysis estimated similar symptom reductions, indicating that the result is not primarily driven by high risk studies (SMD -0.60; CI -0.71, -0.49). Restricting medications to stimulants also showed improved ADHD symptoms but heterogeneity remained (SMD -0.88; CI 1.13, -0.06; 12 studies; I-squared 77%). When restricting to methylphenidate evaluations only to explore heterogeneity, we found that methylphenidate showed improvement in ADHD symptom scores and heterogeneity was considerably reduced (SMD -0.61; CI -0.84, -0.39; 6 studies; I-squared 29%). The equivalent analysis for amphetamine studies also showed improvement in symptom scores but heterogeneity was not reduced (SMD -1.13; CI -1.62, -0.64; 5 studies; I-squared 79%).<sup>137, 169, 206,</sup> <sup>333, 409</sup> Non-stimulants also improved ADHD symptom scores and heterogeneity was not remarkable (SMD -0.51; CI -0.58, -0.44; 35 studies; I-squared 47%). Results of restricting to SNRIs were similar to the overall non-stimulant analysis with heterogeneity further reduced (SMD -0.52; -0.60, -0.43; 24 studies; I-squared 34%). Most of these studies evaluated

atomoxetine specifically, and excluding other studies (assessing guanfacine or viloxanzine) found a similar treatment effect (SMD -0.57; CI -0.68, -0.46; 18 studies; I-squared 40%). Effects for alpha agonists versus placebo were also statistically significant (SMD -0.49; CI -0.64, -0.34; 11 studies).

Results for symptom measures used as categorical data are shown in Figure 21.



Figure 211. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on ADHD Symptoms (RR)

Notes: ATX atomoxetine, HCI clonidine hydrochloride, LDX lisdexamfetamine dimesylate, SPN SPN-812, stim stimulants (not further defined)

Results across studies also indicated a significant benefit (RR 1.75, CI 1.32, 2.31; 12 studies, n=1850). None of the studies included children under six years of age. There was some evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 71%). There was also some evidence of publication bias (Begg p 0.07. Egger p 0.02). Applying the trim and fill method for an alternative estimate, results were similar (RR 1.76; CI 1.36, 2.27). When removing high risk of bias studies in a sensitivity analysis, the treatment effect was even higher than the main analysis (RR 1.92, CI 1.42, 2.59) and heterogeneity was further reduced, indicating that methodological rigor of the studies was one source of heterogeneity. Stratifying studies further found that stimulants improved ADHD symptoms (RR 2.61; CI 1.00, 6.77; 3 studies) and the small subset did not detect heterogeneity. Results for methylphenidate alone showed the same point estimate but results were not statistically significant due to wide confidence intervals (RR 1.72; CI 0.52, 5.12; 2 studies).<sup>114, 462</sup> The only amphetamine study reported a statistically significant effect (RR 4.28; CI 2.49, 7.35; 1 study).<sup>206</sup> Across studies, non-stimulants improved ADHD symptoms with negligible heterogeneity (RR 1.49; CI 1.21, 1.83; 10 studies; I-squared 46%). Most of the non-stimulant studies evaluated atomoxetine and excluding all other studies showed a very similar effect estimate (RR 1.49; CI 1.13, 1.95; 6 studies; I-squared 69%). One study assessing an alpha agonist did not find a systematic difference between groups due to wide confidence intervals (RR 2.04; CI 0.82, 5.06; 1 study).

Some of the identified studies reported on functional outcomes as shown in Figure 22.





Notes: amph amphetamines (not further defined), ATX atomoxetine, LDX lisdexamfetamine dimesylate, MPH methylphenidate, SPN SPN-812, stim stimulants (not further defined)

Across studies, treatment was associated with a decrease in functional impairment (SMD 0.51; CI 0.10, 0.92; 11 studies, n=1739). Only one study included children younger than six years old.<sup>116</sup> There was evidence of substantial heterogeneity (I-squared 92%). There was no evidence of publication bias. Excluding three high-risk-of-bias studies in a sensitivity analysis did not change the treatment estimate (SMD 0.50; CI 0.08, 0.92) and heterogeneity was not reduced. Across studies, stimulants specifically improved functional impairment; however, estimates varied substantially, and heterogeneity was high (SMD 0.93; CI 0.05, 1.81; 5 studies; I-squared 91%). Restricting to methylphenidate to explore the source of heterogeneity left two studies reporting different effect estimates for functional impairment that could not be meaningfully combined and the effect was not statistically significant (SMD 0.78; CI -7.36, 8.92; 2 studies, I-squared 94%). The results of the equivalent analysis for amphetamines showed a significant effect but there remained heterogeneity (SMD -1.16; CI -1.20, -0.67; 5 studies; Isquared 79%).<sup>137, 197, 575</sup> Across studies, non-stimulants also improved functional impairment but there remained evidence of heterogeneity (SMD 0.22; CI 0.02, 0.41; 7 studies; I-squared 56%). Removing the one alpha agonist study (SMD 0.00; CI -0.34, 0.34; 1 study)<sup>209</sup> and restricting to SNRIs alone did not change the effect estimate substantially and heterogeneity was not reduced (SMD 0.27; CI 0.00, 0.55; 6 studies; I-squared 71%). Restricting to atomoxetine studies, evaluated in three of the included studies, did not detect a systematic effect between intervention versus control and also did not reduce heterogeneity (SMD 0.34; CI -0.48, 1.17; 3 studies; Isquared 80%).

We only identified one study formally assessing treatment satisfaction for all study arms; the study reported significant satisfaction with the alpha agonist treatment compared to placebo

treatment (RR 0.47; CI 0.32, 0.68; 1 study, n=198).<sup>211</sup> Only one study reported on academic performance; the study reported improvements in the methylphenidate compared to control group (SMD -1.37; CI -1.72, -1.03; 1 study, n=156) in the correct answers on the Permanent Product Measure of Performance (PERMP).<sup>607</sup>

All studies reporting in sufficient detail on a continuous measure for appetite, weight or growth suppression are shown in the Figure 23.

Figure 233. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Appetite Suppression (SMD)



Notes: ATX atomoxetine, HCI clonidine hydrochloride, LDX lisdexamfetamine dimesylate, MPH methylphenidate, stim stimulants (not further defined)

Across studies, pharmacological treatment indicated reduced appetite but the effect was not statistically significant (SMD 0.48; CI -0.04, 1.00; 6 studies, n=605). There was evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 82%). We did not detect publication bias. Removing one high-risk-ofbias study in a sensitivity analysis did not change the effect (SMD 0.46; CI 0.08, 0.83) and heterogeneity was not reduced. Across studies in this analysis, we found no statistically significant effect of stimulants on appetite suppression (SMD 0.12; CI -0.30, 0.54; 3 studies; I-squared 0) and no heterogeneity was detected in this subset of studies. A study evaluating methylphenidate found a smaller and not significant effect (SMD 0.22; CI -0.41, 0.84; 1 study). The single amphetamine study also did not show a statistically significant effect (SMD 0.18; CI - 0.13, 0.50; 1 study).<sup>206</sup> Across non-stimulant studies, we found a statistically significant effect of non-stimulants on increasing appetite suppression but heterogeneity remained high (SMD 0.64; CI 0.04, 1.25; 4 studies; I-squared 84%). The alpha agonist studies reported conflicting results and did not detect a systematic effect across studies (SMD 0.13; CI -3.12, 3.39; 2 studies; I-squared 51%).

A much larger number of studies reported on appetite suppression as a categorical measure (e.g., reported incidences per sample) indicating the number of patients reporting this adverse event as shown in Figure 24.



Figure 244. Effects of FDA-Approved Pharmacological ADHD Treatment on Appetite Suppression (RR)

Notes: ATX atomoxetine, GXR guanfacine, LDX lisdexamfetamine dimesylate, MPH methylphenidate, SPN SPN-812, stim stimulants (not further defined)

Across studies, pharmacological treatment was associated with a suppression in appetite compared to control groups (RR 3.24; CI 2.49, 4.20; 46 studies, n=7389). Only two studies included children under the age of six.<sup>198, 372</sup> Heterogeneity was negligible (I-squared 45%). There was evidence of publication bias (Begg p 0.03, Egger p<0.005). An alternative treatment estimate using the trim and fill method suggested a somewhat smaller effect on appetite suppression (RR 2.41; CI 1.79; 3.25). When removing six high-risk-of-bias studies in a sensitivity analysis, effect estimates were similar to the main effect (RR 3.18; CI 2.35, 4.32). Across studies, stimulants specifically were associated with suppressed appetite compared to placebo, but there was some heterogeneity (RR 3.85; CI 2.33, 6.36; 19 studies; I-squared 64%). Restricting to methylphenidate only to explore heterogeneity found a somewhat reduced, but still clear and statistically significant effect (RR 3.02; CI 1.11, 8.25; 6 studies; I-squared 64%) and heterogeneity was not reduced when restricting to this subset. Amphetamine were also associated with appetite suppression compared to placebo and heterogeneity was not remarkable (RR 6.23; CI 2.48, 15.66; 7 studies; I-squared 55%).<sup>137, 169, 198, 206, 273, 409, 545</sup> The non-stimulants were also associated with suppressed appetite compared to placebo with negligible heterogeneity (RR 2.86; CI 2.09, 3.91; 25 studies; I-squared 24%). Results restricting to SNRIs also showed an association with suppressed appetite compared to placebo with no heterogeneity (RR 3.29; CI

2.42, 4.47; 22 studies; I-squared 2%). Most studies evaluated atomoxetine and excluding all other studies did not change the estimate substantially and heterogeneity was essentially nonexistent (RR 3.21; CI 2.34, 4.39; 17 studies; I-squared 4%). Although the small set did also not detect heterogeneity, the alpha agonist studies reported conflicting results and did not indicate a systematic effect (RR 1.25; CI 0.58, 2.70; 4 studies; I-squared ).

The number of participants experiencing any adverse event is documented in Figure 25.





Notes: ATX atomoxetine, HCI clonidine hydrochloride, GXR guanfacine, LDX lisdexamfetamine dimesylate, MPH methylphenidate, stim stimulants (not further defined)

Pharmacological interventions were associated with a higher risk of experiencing adverse events compared to control groups (RR 1.29; CI 1.23, 1.36; 42 studies, n=7130). None of the studies included children under the age of six. We detected only negligible heterogeneity (I-squared 45%). There was evidence of publication bias (Begg p 0.12, Egger p<0.001) and an alternative effect estimate using the trim and fill method suggested a smaller effect (RR 1.23; CI 1.16, 1.30). We also assessed in a sensitivity analysis whether results were mainly driven by high-risk-of-bias studies; estimates remained stable (RR 1.28; CI 1.22, 1.35) after excluding eight high-risk of bias studies and heterogeneity was reduced further. Across studies, we found that stimulants were associated with an increased reporting of adverse events compared to control and heterogeneity remained the same as in the main analysis (RR 1.29; CI 1.14, 1.46; 14

studies; I-squared 51%). Stratifying medications further, we did not find a statistically significant effect of methylphenidate on the number of participants reporting on adverse events but heterogeneity estimates were higher than in the overall stimulant analysis (RR 1.22; CI 0.95, 1.55; 7 studies) (I-squared 72%). <sup>221, 275, 276, 303, 601, 608, 615</sup> Amphetamine treatment was associated with an increased risk of experiencing adverse events compared to placebo and the analysis detected no heterogeneity in this stimulant medication subset (RR 1.34; CI 1.20, 1.50; 7 studies). Non-stimulants were equally associated with increased reported adverse events (RR 1.29; CI 1.20, 1.38; 21 studies; I-squared 40%). Results restricting to SNRIs also showed increased reporting of adverse events in this subgroup and heterogeneity was further reduced (RR 1.36; CI 1.24, 1.50; 11 studies; I-squared 28%). Most of these studies evaluated atomoxetine and excluding all other studies found a similar effect estimate (RR 1.32; CI 1.18, 1.49; 8 studies; I-squared 34%). Similarly, alpha agonists were associated with the number of participants experiencing adverse events compared to placebo with some heterogeneity (RR 1.21; CI 1.10, 1.32; 13 studies; I-squared 61%).

# 5.3.2 FDA-Approved ADHD Pharmacological Treatment Comparative Effects

We identified over 60 studies comparing pharmacological agents to an alternative treatment; however, comparators varied. Comparators were often different doses of the same medication and some found a dose-response effect. For example, one study compared 200mg with 100mg of SPN-812 (extended release viloxazine, an SNRI) and reported improvement in both symptoms and functional impairment in both dosage groups, while the rate of children reporting decreased appetite was 7.5 in the 200mg group compared to 4.5 in the 100mg group.<sup>442</sup> The <u>evidence table</u> in the appendix shows results for dose comparisons in detail.

The following documents results of direct comparisons within head-to-head trials, followed by indirect comparisons across studies where possible.

#### 5.3.2.1 Non-Stimulants versus Stimulants

Non-stimulants versus stimulants in direct, head-to-head comparisons within identified studies for individual problem behaviors are shown in Figure 26.





Across studies, non-stimulants (all **SNRI**s) were slightly but statistically significantly associated with more reductions in individual problem behavior compared to stimulants (SMD -0.08; CI -0.14, -0.03; 4 studies, n=608); all studies compared **atomoxetine versus methylphenidate**. None of the studies included children under the age of 6. The analysis did not detect heterogeneity or evidence of publication bias. However, removing all high risk of bias studies left only one study, which individually did not detect a difference between atomoxetine versus methylphenidate (SMD -0.13; CI -0.43, 0.17). There were insufficient studies reporting on the outcome for indirect comparisons between non-stimulant and stimulant studies. Given the difference between medications, the next figure (Figure 27) reports a subgroup analysis for nonstimulants on problem behavior.

Figure 277. Subgroup Analysis: Non-Stimulants versus Control on Problem Behavior (SMD)



In the subgroup of **non-stimulant studies**, treatment was associated with a reduction in problem behavior compared to placebo (SMD 0.66; CI 0.22, 1.10; 4 studies, n=523). We identified only one study that compared **stimulants** alone to a control group, the study did not detect a systematic difference between methylphenidate and placebo (SMD 0.31; CI -0.33, 0.95; n=91).<sup>228</sup>

Results for broadband measures are shown in Figure 28; all studies compared atomoxetine with methylphenidate.





Across studies, we did not detect a systematic difference between stimulants and nonstimulants for continuous broadband measure outcomes (SMD -0.16; CI -0.36, 0.04; 4 studies, n=1080); all studies compared the SNRI **atomoxetine versus methylphenidate**.<sup>370, 448, 527, 593</sup> We did not detect heterogeneity or evidence of publication bias. Removing all high risk of bias studies left only one study that reported a similar effect estimate (SMD -0.15; CI -0.37, 0.06).<sup>593</sup> We also assessed in indirect comparisons whether the subgroup of studies evaluating nonstimulants versus studies evaluating stimulants reported different effect sizes (both compare the intervention against a control group, rather than comparing the two drug classes directly). We did not detect differences for continuous outcomes in this analysis (p 0.17).

We identified only one study that reported on a categorical assessment of a broadband impression; the study found no difference between non-stimulants and stimulants (RR 1.01; CI 0.75, 1.37; 1 study, n=237); the study compared the **SNRI atomoxetine versus methylphenidate** specifically.<sup>555</sup> However, a meta-regression for categorical broadband measures indicated a statistically significant difference between results reported in **non-stimulant versus stimulant studies** (p 0.0004). The next figure (Figure 29) shows the subgroup analysis results.



Figure 29. Subgroup Analysis: Non-Stimulants versus Control on Broadband Measures (RR)

In the subgroup of **non-stimulant studies**, treatment was associated with a reduction in broadband measures, but the effect was smaller than for stimulants (RR 0.66; CI 0.57, 0.76; 11 studies, n=2174). Only one of the studies included children under the age of six.<sup>372</sup> The subgroup analysis of stimulant studies is shown in Figure 30.

Figure 30. Subgroup Analysis: Stimulants versus Control on Broadband Measures (RR)



As already indicated in the prior section, the effect estimate for **stimulant studies** showed a clear effect for individual studies and across studies in this medication subgroup (RR 0.39; CI 0.31, 0.49; 13 studies, n=1569). Only one study included children younger than six years old.<sup>116</sup>

A large number of studies reported on ADHD symptoms, and we identified a number of head-to-head comparisons. The analysis comparing **non-stimulants versus stimulants** for ADHD symptoms is shown in Figure 31.





Standardized Mean Difference

Although more studies favored stimulants, across studies, we did not detect a systematic difference between non-stimulants (all SNRI) versus stimulants (methylphenidate in all but one case) in direct comparisons (SMD 0.23; CI -0.03, 0.49; 7 studies, n=1611). We detected some heterogeneity (I-squared 69%) in this analysis. There was no evidence of publication bias. Removing all high risk of bias studies left only two studies that also found no systematic difference between interventions (SMD 0.33; CI -3.53, 4.20). When restricting to the comparator methylphenidate, the difference between stimulants and non-stimulants was not statistically significant either (SMD 0.18; CI -0.18, 0.44; 6 studies); all the studies compared atomoxetine versus methylphenidate in this comparison. Across studies, more evaluations favored methylphenidate, but overall, there was no systematic or statistically significant difference between atomoxetine versus methylphenidate in direct comparisons.<sup>144, 370, 448, 527, 593, 632</sup> There was little heterogeneity (I-squared 49%) in this analysis, although the direction of effects varied by study. There was no indication of publication bias. Removing high-risk-of-bias studies did not identify a statistically significant difference between atomoxetine versus methylphenidate for ADHD symptoms either (SMD 0.33; CI -3.53, 4.20) and heterogeneity was not reduced. However, we also analyzed whether indirect comparisons between **non-stimulant versus** stimulant studies indicate systematic differences, and we found a statistically significant difference (p 0.0001). The effect estimates for the subgroups are documented in the following section. Figure 32 shows the subgroup analysis for non-stimulants reporting on ADHD symptoms.



Figure 322. Subgroup Analysis: Non-Stimulants versus Control on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)

In the subgroup of **non-stimulant studies**, results were associated with a reduction in ADHD symptoms measured as a continuous variable (SMD -0.52; CI -0.58, -0.45; 34 studies, n=5593). Only one study included children younger than six years old.<sup>372</sup> Results for the subgroup of stimulant studies on ADHD symptoms are shown in Figure 33.





In the subgroup of **stimulant studies**, treatment was associated with a substantial reduction in ADHD symptoms (SMD -0.88; CI -1.13, -0.62; 12 studies, n=1471). Only one study included children younger than six years old.<sup>116</sup> None of the direct, head-to-head trials reported on symptom improvement as a categorical measure (e.g., treatment response vs not). An indirect comparison suggested that **non-stimulant versus stimulant studies** report statistically significantly different results (p= 0.02). The subgroups are shown separately in Figures 34 and 35.



Figure 34. Subgroup Analysis	: Non-Stimulants versus Control	i on ADHD Symptoms (RR)
------------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------

In the subgroup of non-stimulant studies, we found a clear treatment effect on ADHD symptoms (RR 1.52; CI 1.24, 1.87; 11 studies, n=1697). None of the studies included children under the age of six. However, the effect was not as pronounced as in the single stimulant study that was identified (evaluating lisdexamfetamine dimesylate), which reported a very large treatment effect (RR 4.28; CI 2.49, 7.35; 1 study, n=153).<sup>206</sup>

We did not identify studies reporting on functional impairment in a head-to-head comparison. Indirect analysis comparing non-stimulant versus stimulant studies showed a statistically significant result (p 0.02). Subgroup analyses are shown in Figure 35.



Figure 355. Subgroup Analysis: Non-Stimulants versus Control on Functional Impairment (SMD)

In the subgroup of **non-stimulant studies**, treatment was associated with a small but not statistically significant improvement in functional impairment (SMD 0.20; CI -0.05, 0.44; 6 studies, n=1163). None of the studies included children under the age of six. The equivalent analysis for stimulant studies is shown in Figure 36.

Figure 366. Subgroup Analysis: Stimulants versus Control on Functional Impairment (SMD)



In the subgroup of **stimulant studies**, treatment was associated with large improvement in functional impairment (SMD 0.93; CI 0.05, 1.81; 5 studies, n=576). Only one study included children younger than six years old.<sup>116</sup>

There were insufficient studies for analyses regarding treatment satisfaction as well as academic performance. Both direct and indirect comparisons could not be analyzed due to the small number of identified studies. Results for appetite suppression are shown in Figure 37.





Across studies, we found no systematic difference between non-stimulant (all identified studies evaluated **SNRIs**) versus stimulants (RR 0.82; CI 0.53, 1.26; 8 studies, n=1463). There continued to be heterogeneity (I-squared 78%). There was no evidence of publication bias. Removing high risk of bias studies in a sensitivity analysis left only two studies; results remained not statistically significantly different between interventions (RR 1.34: CI 0.51, 3.52). When restricting the comparator to methylphenidate, we found no systematic difference between SNRI and methylphenidate interventions either and heterogeneity was reduced, but in this subset, all studies compared **atomoxetine versus methylphenidate** (RR 0.98; CI 0.67, 1.44; 7 studies; I-squared 58%). Results varied, sometimes favoring atomoxetine, sometimes methylphenidate and across studies, no systematic difference was detected. Publication bias was not detected. An indirect comparison did not detect systematic differences between non-stimulant and stimulant studies for appetite suppression (p 0.34).

The comparative studies reporting sufficient detail to compute effect sizes for the number of participants with adverse events is shown in Figure 38.



Figure 388. Comparison Non-Stimulant (all SNRIs) versus Stimulant on Participants with Adverse Events (RR)

Across studies, we found no systematic difference between non-stimulant (all identified studies were **SNRIs**) versus stimulant interventions (RR 1.11; CI 0.90, 1.37; 4 studies, n=756). There was some indication of heterogeneity (I-squared 63%). There was no evidence of publication bias. Removing high risk of bias studies left one study; the study favored stimulants (RR 1.28; CI 1.14, 1.45).<sup>593</sup> When restricting to methylphenidate as the stimulant comparator, there was a trend towards favoring methylphenidate, but the comparison between interventions was not statistically significant (RR 1.23; CI 0.99, 1.52; 3 studies); studies in this analysis all compared **atomoxetine versus methylphenidate**.<sup>183, 527, 593</sup> In this small set of studies, no heterogeneity was detected and there were insufficient studies for further analyses. We also evaluated in indirect comparisons across studies whether non-stimulant and stimulant studies vary systematically in effect size reporting. However, we did not detect an effect (p 0.94).

#### Stimulant Comparisons: Amphetamine versus Methylphenidate

A small number of included studies compared amphetamine and methylphenidate in direct, head-to-head comparisons.

We did not identify any studies reporting on individual behaviors for a direct comparison of amphetamine and methylphenidate and indirect comparisons across studies also had insufficient number of studies for comparisons.

A single study reported on a broadband measure and found more positive change in lisdexamfetamine dimesylate (an amphetamine) versus osmotic-release oral system methylphenidate (SMD 0.29; CI 0.02, 0.56; 1 study, n=211).<sup>137</sup> Indirect comparisons across studies did not detect a systematic difference between amphetamine and methylphenidate studies (continuous outcomes p 0.97, categorical outcomes 0.89).

The single study also reported better symptom control with the amphetamine lisdexamfetamine dimesylate versus osmotic-release oral system methylphenidate (SMD -0.46; CI -0.73, -0.19; 1 study, n=221). Indirect comparisons detected a statistically significant

difference across studies for the continuous outcome analysis (p 0.02). The figure shows the results separately for the stimulant subgroups (see Figure 39 below).





Standardized Mean Difference

In the subgroup of **amphetamine studies**, we found a significant effect of treatment (SMD - 1.16; CI - 1.20, -0.67; 5 studies, n=757). None of the studies included children under the age of six. The subgroup analysis results for methylphenidate studies are shown in Figure 40.

Figure 40. Subgroup Analysis: Methylphenidate versus Control on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)



In the subgroup of **methylphenidate studies**, we found a significant treatment effect but effect estimates were smaller (SMD -0.61; CI -0.84, -0.39; 5 studies, n=757). Only one study

included children younger than six years old.<sup>116</sup> Indirect comparisons between amphetamine and methylphenidate using categorical data were not statistically significant (p 0.58).

There was no statistically significant differences in functional impairment in a head-to-had comparison (SMD 0.16; CI -0.11, 0.43; 1 study, n=211). The indirect comparison across studies did also not detect a systematic difference (p 0.76). We identified no studies report on treatment satisfaction or academic performance in direct head-to-head comparisons and there were insufficient data for indirect analyses.

Results for direct comparisons on the outcome appetite suppression are shown in Figure 41.

## Figure 41. Comparison Amphetamine versus Methylphenidate on Participants with Adverse Events (RR)



The two studies reporting on appetite suppression did not find a systematic difference between the amphetamine lisdexamfetamine dimesylate versus osmotic-release oral system methylphenidate (RR 0.99; CI 0.27, 3.64; 2 studies, n=294).<sup>137, 578</sup> Similarly, indirect comparisons across studies did also not detect a significant difference between the two stimulant classes (p 0.29).

One study reporting on a number of participants reporting adverse event found no statistically significant difference between intervention (RR 1.11; CI 0.93, 1.33).<sup>137</sup> Similarly, indirect comparisons did also not detect a difference between amphetamines and methylphenidate regarding the number of participants reporting adverse events (p 0.18).

#### Non-Stimulant Comparisons: SNRIs versus Alpha Agonists

We identified one study comparing an alpha agonist (guanfacine) with an SNRI (atomoxetine) directly.<sup>321</sup> The study detected no difference for a broadband measure (number of improved patients per CGI). However, ADHD symptom improvement (ADHD-RS-IV) favored guanfacine over atomoxetine (SMD -0.47; CI -0.73, -0.2; 1 study). The study did not report on other effectiveness measures but found fewer instances of decreased appetite for guanfacine versus atomoxetine (RR 0.48; CI 0.27, 0.83; 1 study). There were no differences in the number of patients experiencing adverse events (RR 1.14; CI 0.97, 1.34) between the interventions.

In indirect comparisons, there were no differences for problem behaviors (p 0.31), broadband measures (p 0.75), ADHD symptoms (p 0.94), or functional impairment (p 0.38).

Effects for treatment satisfaction and academic performance could not be evaluated. However, indirect comparisons for the outcome appetite suppression indicated a significant difference between SNRIs and alpha agonists (p 0.003). The following shows the subgroup results for SNRI studies versus control separately for ADHD symptoms (Figure 42).



Figure 422. Subgroup Analysis: SNRIs versus Control on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)

In the subgroup of **SNRI studies**, we found a clear effect on ADHD symptoms (SMD -0.52; CI -0.60, -0.43; 24 studies, n=4111). Only one study included children younger than six years old.<sup>372</sup> The equivalent analysis for the subgroup of alpha agonist studies is shown in Figure 43.



Figure 433. Subgroup Analysis: Alpha Agonists versus Control on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)

In the smaller subgroup of **alpha agonist studies**, we also found a clear effect on ADHD symptoms (SMD -0.52; CI -0.67, -0.37; 11 studies, n=1885). None of the studies reported on children younger than six years of age. Results for appetite suppression are shown in Figure 44.

Figure 444. Subgroup Analysis: SNRIs versus Control on Appetite Suppression (SMD)



In the subgroup of **SNRI studies**, we found a substantially increased risk of appetite suppression (RR 3.08; CI 2.22, 4.47; 23 studies, n=3520). Only one study included children

younger than six years old.<sup>1162</sup> The equivalent analysis for the subgroup of alpha agonist studies is shown in Figure 45.



Figure 45. Subgroup Analysis: Alpha Agonists versus Control on Appetite Suppression (SMD)

Unlike in the SNRI studies, in the subgroup of **alpha agonist studies**, no systematic effect of appetite suppression was detected (RR 1.25; CI 0.58, 2.70; 4 studies; n=919). Potential differential effects for the number of participants reporting adverse events could not be evaluated.

### 5.3.3 FDA-Approved Medication Summary of Findings

Table 13 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers. The table only shows unique comparison for the individual outcome, i.e., for some outcomes, we did not identify non-stimulant versus stimulant studies that were not atomoxetine versus methylphenidate.

Table 13. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Pharmacological Interventions					
Intervention and	Outcome	Number of Studies	Findings	SoE	
Comparison		and Study Design	-		
KQ2	Behavior	9 RCTs <sup>162, 231, 251, 317, 374,</sup>	Results favored intervention (SMD	Low for	
pharmacological		422, 448, 598, 599	0.66; CI -0.22, 1.10; 4 studies,	benefit	
vs control			n=523); RR 0.45; CI 0.25, 0.81; 2		
			studies, n=128)		
KQ2	Broadband	<b>57</b> RCTs <sup>116, 137, 153, 162,</sup>	Results favored intervention (SMD	High for	
pharmacological	measures	166, 169, 198, 206, 209, 211, 221,	0.73; CI 0.40, 1.06; 27 studies,	benefit	
vs control		224, 231, 251, 273, 275, 276, 288,	n=4618; RR 0.50; CI 0.43, 0.59; 25		
		292, 303, 321, 337, 342, 367, 368,	studies, n=3959)		
		372, 374, 404, 409, 415, 421, 422,	, ,		
		430, 441-444, 447-449, 470, 499, 526,			
		544, 545, 585, 598-601, 606, 608,			
		611, 612, 615, 622, 892			
KQ2	ADHD	<b>69</b> <sup>115, 116, 125, 137, 138, 153, 162,</sup>	Results favor intervention (SMD -	High for	
pharmacological	symptoms	166, 169, 172, 198, 206, 209, 211,	0.58; CI -0.67, -0.50; 46 studies,	benefit	
vs control	· ·	212, 221, 224, 231, 251, 273-276,	n=7237; RR 1.85, CI 1.38, 2.48; 11		
		288, 292, 303, 304, 317, 321, 333,	studies, n=1751)		

Table 13, KQ2	Summary of Findings	s and Strength of Evidence	e for Pharmacological Interventions

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies and Study Design	Findings	SoE
		337, 342, 367, 368, 372, 375, 404, 409, 415, 421, 422, 430, 441-444, 447-449, 470, 499, 514, 526, 528, 544, 545, 562, 585, 598-601, 606, 608, 611, 612, 615, 622, 892		
KQ2 non- stimulants vs control	ADHD symptoms	<b>34</b> studies <sup>125, 138, 172, 209, 211, 221, 224, 251, 288, 304, 317, 321, 337, 354, 367, 372, 389, 404, 421, 422, 430, 441-444, 447, 448, 470, 499, 544, 562, 585, 600, 611</sup>	Results favor intervention (SMD - 0.52; CI -0.58, -0.45; 34 studies, n=5593; RR 1.52; CI 1.24, 1.87; 11 studies, n=1697)	High for benefit
KQ2 stimulants vs control	ADHD symptoms	<b>12</b> studies <sup>115, 116, 137, 166, 169, 206, 212, 275, 333, 409, 526, 615</sup>	Results favor intervention (SMD - 0.88; CI -1.13, -0.62; 12 studies, n=1471; RR 4.28; CI 2.49, 7.35; 1 study, n=153)	High for benefit
KQ2 pharmacological vs control	Functional impairment	<b>19 RCTs</b> <sup>116, 137, 172, 197, 206, 209, 374, 422, 441-444, 447, 449, 575, 607, 611, 612, 892</sup>	Results favor intervention (SMD 0.51; CI 0.10, 0.92; 11 studies, n=1739)	Moderate for benefit
KQ2 non- stimulants vs control	Functional impairment	6 RCTs <sup>172, 209, 422, 442-444</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD 0.20; CI - 0.05, 0.44; 6 studies, n=1163)	Low for no benefit
KQ2 stimulants vs control	Functional impairment	5 RCTs <sup>116, 137, 197, 575, 607</sup>	Results favor intervention (SMD 0.93; CI 0.05, 1.81; 5 studies, n=576)	Moderate for benefit
KQ2 pharmacological vs control	Acceptability of treatment	2 RCTs <sup>211, 599</sup>	Results favor alpha agonist intervention (RR 0.47; Cl 0.32, 0.68; 1 study, n=198)	Insufficient
KQ2 pharmacological vs control	Academic performances	4 RCTs <sup>514, 575, 607, 608</sup>	Results favor intervention (SMD - 1.37; -1.72, -1.03; 1 study, n=156)	Low for benefit
KQ2 pharmacological vs control	Appetite suppression	<b>52 RCTs</b> <sup>116</sup> , 125, 137, 138, 153, 162, 166, 169, 172, 198, 206, 221, 224, 251, 273, 275, 276, 288, 292, 303, 317, 321, 342, 372, 375, 404, 409, 421, 422, 430, 441-444, 448, 470, 499, 526, 544, 545, 562, 598-601, 606, 607, 611, 615, 622, 892, 1088	Intervention is associated with appetite suppression (SMD 0.48; CI - 0.04, 1.00; 6 studies, n=605; RR 3.24; CI 2.49, 4.20; 46 studies, n=7389)	High for increased risk
KQ2 pharmacological vs control	Participants with adverse events	37 RCTs <sup>137</sup> , 153, 162, 169, 198, 206, 209, 211, 221, 251, 273, 275, 276, 303, 321, 333, 337, 367, 368, 404, 409, 415, 430, 441-443, 447, 528, 562, 585, 598, 601, 608, 611, 615, 622, 892	Pharmacological treatment is associated with a higher risk of reported adverse events (RR 1.30; CI 1.23, 1.36; 41 studies, n=6972)	High for increased risk
KQ2 Atomoxetine vs Methylphenidate	Behavior	4 studies <sup>183, 448, 492, 513</sup>	SNRIs showed more improvement than stimulants (SMD -0.08; CI - 0.14, -0.03; 4 studies, n=608)	Low for larger effects in SNRI
KQ2 Non- Stimulants vs Stimulants	Broadband measures	N/Ā (indirect comparison)	Non-stimulant studies reported smaller effects than stimulant studies (RR 0.66; Cl 0.57, 0.76; 11 studies, n=2174 vs RR 0.39; Cl 0.31, 0.49; 13 studies, n=1569; p 0.0004)	Low for larger effects in stimulants
KQ2 Atomoxetine vs Methylphenidate	Broadband measures	4 studies <sup>183, 448, 492, 513</sup>	No difference detected (SMD -0.16; CI -0.36, 0.04; 4 studies, n=1080)	Low for no difference
KQ2 Non- stimulants vs stimulants	ADHD symptoms	N/A (indirect comparison)	Non-stimulant studies reported smaller effects than stimulant studies (SMD -0.49; CI -0.56, -0.42; 33 studies, n=5861 vs SMD -0.88; CI	Low for larger effects in stimulants

Intervention and	Outcome	Number of Studies	Findings	SoE
Companson		and olddy boolgn	1.13, -0.062; 12 studies, n=1471; p 0.0001)	
KQ2 SNRIs vs stimulants	ADHD symptoms	<b>7 studies</b> <sup>144, 230, 370, 448, 527, 593, 632</sup>	No difference detected (SMD 0.24; CI -0.02, 0.50; 7 studies)	Low for no difference
KQ2 Atomoxetine vs Methylphenidate	ADHD symptoms	6 studies <sup>144, 370, 448, 527, 593, 632</sup>	No difference detected (SMD -0.16; CI -0.36, 0.04)	Low for no difference
KQ2 Non- stimulants vs stimulants	Functional impairment	N/A (indirect comparison)	Non-stimulant studies reported small effects than stimulant studies (SMD 0.22; CI 0.02, 0.41; 7 studies, n=1576 vs SMD 0.93; CI 0.05, 1.81; 5 studies, n=576; p 0.02)	Low for larger effects in stimulants
KQ2 Non- stimulants vs stimulants	Appetite suppression	8 studies <sup>183, 230, 370, 500,</sup> 527, 555, 632	No difference detected (RR 0.82; CI 0.53, 1.26; 8 studies, n=1463)	Low for no difference
KQ2 Atomoxetine vs Methylphenidate	Appetite suppression	<b>7</b> studies <sup>183, 230, 370, 500, 527, 555, 632</sup>	No difference detected (RR 0.89; Cl 0.71, 1.35; 7 studies, n=1201)	Low for no difference
KQ2 SNRIs vs stimulants	Participants with adverse events	4 studies <sup>183, 230, 527, 593</sup>	No difference detected (RR 1.11; Cl 0.90, 1.37; 4 studies, n=756)	Low for no difference
KQ2 Atomoxetine vs Methylphenidate	Participants with adverse events	3 studies <sup>183, 527, 593</sup>	No difference detected (RR 1.23; CI 0.99, 1.52; 3 studies, n=494)	Low for no difference
KQ2 Amphetamine vs Methylphenidate	ADHD symptoms	N/A (indirect comparison)	Amphetamine studies reported larger effects than methylphenidate studies for continuous outcomes (SMD - 1.16; CI -1.64, -0.67; n=757; SMD - 0.61; CI -0.84, -0.39; 6 studies, n=672; p 0.02) but there was no systematic difference for categorical outcomes (p 0.58)	Insufficient for determining differences
KQ2 Amphetamine vs Methylphenidate	Appetite suppression	2 studies <sup>137, 578</sup>	No difference detected (RR 0.99; Cl 0.27, 3.64; 2 studies, n=294)	Low for no difference
KQ2 SNRI vs Alpha agonists	Appetite suppression	N/A (indirect comparison)	SNRI studies reported larger effects than alpha agonist studies (RR 3.29; CI 2.42, 4.47; 22 studies, n=3295 vs RR 1.25; CI 0.58, 2.70; 4 studies; n=919; n 0.003)	Low for favoring alpha agonist studies

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE strength of evidence

Across studies, we found high <u>strength of evidence</u> that ADHD medication had beneficial effects on broadband measures and ADHD symptom scores when comparing to passive control groups. Results were consistent when excluding high risk of bias studies or using an alternative estimate to account for possible publication bias. However, it should be noted that only few studies included children under six years of age in the evaluated interventions. We also found moderate <u>strength of evidence</u> that pharmacological treatment reduces impairment but we downgraded the strength of evidence due to heterogeneity. Across studies, there was high <u>strength of evidence</u> that ADHD medication is associated with appetite suppression and that ADHD medication increases the risk of experiencing an adverse event compared to passive control groups.

The analyses comparing two alternative interventions and the corresponding <u>strength of</u> <u>evidence</u> were more limited. While SNRIs had more favorable results than stimulants on problem behaviors, the number of studies and the effect was small, and the strength was downgraded due to study limitations. For the direct comparisons, we downgraded the <u>strength of</u> <u>evidence</u> for broadband measures and ADHD symptoms due to differences in direction of effects and study limitation. We downgraded the <u>strength of evidence</u> for appetite suppression for all comparisons due to differences in direction of effects, and some were further downgraded due to the small number of studies leading to imprecision, though alpha agonist studies did not reduce appetite significantly. Comparing atomoxetine versus methylphenidate did not identify systematic differences for any of the key outcomes, but <u>strength of evidence</u> was low or insufficient. The comparison between amphetamine versus methylphenidate was downgraded to low due to imprecision in the small number of identified studies. All indirect comparisons were downgraded to low due to indirectness and insufficient where there were conflicting results between continuous and categorical variables.

## **5.3.3 New Pharmaceutical Agents**

We also identified a small number of studies evaluating a pharmaceutical agent not FDAapproved for ADHD.<sup>112, 120, 121, 129, 142, 154, 155, 163, 173, 210, 223, 267, 272, 302, 348, 371, 391, 427, 495, 496, 501, 561,</sup> <sup>609, 624, 625</sup> This included new formulations, off-label use of existing medication approved for other conditions such as modafinil,<sup>129, 154, 155, 302, 348, 561</sup> amantadine,<sup>527</sup> or venlafaxine,<sup>624</sup> and agents no longer available in the US such as agomelatine.<sup>496</sup> Identified studies were published between 2005 and 2020, with some only available as a trial record. Agents were evaluated in five different countries; with the majority of studies originating in the Unites States<sup>272, 371</sup> and Iran.<sup>223,</sup> <sup>348, 427, 496</sup> All studies used a randomized control trial design. Nearly all children within the studies received a confirmatory diagnosis by a specialist and/or clinician; exceptions<sup>495, 625</sup> required only a preliminary clinical diagnosis. The populations were predominantly males between the ages of six and eighteen. Female population proportions ranged from 15 percent<sup>495</sup> to 29 percent<sup>391</sup> where reported. In nearly all studies, participants were required to demonstrate an IQ of 70 or higher. For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, the most prevalent (ranging from 58%<sup>495</sup> to 100%<sup>348</sup>) wa the combined presentation. Approximately half of studies did not report data regarding ADHD presentation type.<sup>120, 267, 272, 317</sup> The only study that addressed co-occurring disorders in the form of a dual diagnosis evaluated children with ADHD and mood disorders.<sup>371</sup> Race and ethnicity demographics were described only in a portion of studies.<sup>120, 272, 371, 391</sup>

A variety of new pharmaceutical agents were tested for their efficacy in treating ADHD symptoms. Several studies evaluated the use of modafinil for youth with ADHD.<sup>129, 154, 155, 302, 348, 561</sup> Modafinil is a stimulant medication that has been FDA-approved for the treatment of narcolepsy and sleep apnea. Two studies evaluated ABT-089, a neuronal nicotinic receptor partial agonist.<sup>112, 121</sup> Two studies tested an inhibitor of G protein-coupled inward-rectifying potassium channels (GIRKs, tipepidine).<sup>223, 495</sup> All of the studies of new pharmaceutical agents reported on a control group, typically placebo.<sup>223, 272, 348, 371, 391, 495, 625</sup> The most common adjunctive treatment was methylphenidate. In addition to controls, several studies reported efficacy results for comparator groups, usually composed of participants who received a reduced dose of the pharmaceutical agent being tested.<sup>272, 371, 391, 495</sup>

Studies reported a variety of study-specific outcomes, such as treatment-related adverse effects. In terms of pre-specified outcomes, broadband scale scores, standardized symptom scores, and appetite changes were the most frequently reported outcomes.

Only some of the identified studies reported sufficient detail to compute effect sizes for our <u>key outcomes</u>. The identified new agents are difficult to compare, particularly as they are chemically very diverse, and it is unclear whether any represent promising approaches for ADHD treatment. However, three agents were assessed in multiple studies.

### 5.3.3.1 Modafinil

The identified studies that reported on a broadband measure are shown in Figure 46.





Across studies, we did not detect a systematic effect of modafinil on broadband scores (RR 0.49; CI -.12, 2.07; 3 studies, n=539). Two out of three studies were positive and there was heterogeneity (I-squared 76%). There was no indication of publication bias. None of the studies was considered high risk of bias, hence methodological rigor was not a likely source of the heterogeneity. Studies reporting on symptoms are shown in Figure 47.


### Figure 47. Effects of Modafinil on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)

Although all studies reported a positive effect, estimates varied and we did not find a statistically significant effect due to wide confidence intervals (SMD -0.76; CI -1.75, 0.23; 4 studies, n=667). Heterogeneity was high (I-squared 91%). Results for publication bias were borderline (Begg p 1.00, Egger p 0.05) but the alternative estimate using the trim and fill method showed the same effect estimate. One study reported on the number of responders and found a large effect size given that most of the intervention participants showed at least a 40 percent decrease in the ADHD rating scores but none of the placebo participants did (RR 37.00; CI 2.36, 578.24; 1 study, n=46).<sup>348</sup> Studies did not report on other outcomes other than appetite suppression (see Figure 48).



Figure 48. Effects of Modafinil on Appetite Suppression (RR)

Modafinil significantly increased the risk of appetite suppression (RR 4.44; CI 2.27, 8.69; 5 studies; n=780). We detected no heterogeneity. We also found no indication of publication bias. None of the studies was categorized as high risk, hence it is unlikely that the result is purely based on methodological flaws of the studies.

## 5.3.3.2 Tipepidine

Although two studies assessed tipepidine, the studies did not report on the same outcome measures. One study each found no difference in a broadband measure (SMD 0.38; CI -0.17, 0.93; 1 study, n=51)<sup>223</sup> or appetite suppression (RR 0.30; CI 0.01, 6.98; 1 study, n=105).<sup>495</sup> One of the studies reported on symptoms and found a significant effect on ADHD symptoms (SMD - 0.58, CI -1.14, -0.02; 1 study, n=51).<sup>223</sup>

## 5.3.3.3 ABT-089

Two studies by the same author group reported on  $\alpha 4\beta 2$  neuronal nicotinic receptor partial agonist for use in ADHD.<sup>112, 609</sup> Both studies reported on a broadband measure but reported conflicting results and no meaningful summary measure could be derived (SMD 0.02, -2.58, 2.53; 2 studies, n=168). One of the studies reported on ADHD symptoms and found improvement (SMD -1.02; -1.46, -0.57; 1 study, n=88). Results for the number of participants reporting an adverse event are documented in Figure 49.



### Figure 49. Effects of ABT-089 on Participants Reporting Adverse Events (RR)

Across studies, we found no statistically significant effect for an increased risk of adverse events (RR 0.90; CI 0.64, 1.25; 2 studies, n=171). We detected no heterogeneity, there was no effect of publication bias, and none of the studies was considered high risk.

# 5.3.3.4 Summary of Findings New Pharmacological Agents

Given the diversity of agents that cannot be combined easily, no summary of findings across all studies could be established. Results of the individual studies are shown in the <u>evidence table</u> in the appendix. The summary of findings table is limited to the agents assessed in multiple studies and Table 14 only shows results where effect size calculation was possible.

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 modafinil vs control	Broadband measures	3 RCTs <sup>154, 155, 302</sup>	No systematic effect detected (RR 0.49; CI -0.12, 2.07; 3 studies, n=539).	Low for no effect
KQ2 modafinil vs control	ADHD symptoms	4 RCTs <sup>155, 302, 348, 561</sup>	All individual studies were positive (SMD - 0.76; CI -1.75, 0.23; 4 studies, n=667; RR 37.00; CI 2.36, 578.24; 1 study, n=46)	Low for benefit
KQ2 modafinil vs control	Appetite suppression	5 RCTs <sup>154, 155, 302, 348, 561</sup>	Intervention was associated with an effect (RR 4.44; CI 2.27, 8.69; 5 studies; n=780)	Moderate for effect
KQ2 ABT-089 vs control	Broadband measure	2 studies <sup>112, 609</sup>	No meaningful summary estimate could be derived (SMD 0.02, -2.58, 2.53; 2 studies, n=168)	Insufficient
KQ2 ABT-089 vs control	Number of participants reporting on the event	2 RCTs <sup>112, 609</sup>	No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.64, 1.25; 2 studies, n=171)	Low for no effect

Table 14. KQ2Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for New Pharmacological Agents

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE <u>strength of evidence</u>

Modafinil was associated with positive effects on ADHD symptoms (low SoE, downgraded due to imprecision by 2). Modafinil was also associated with appetite suppression (moderate for

effect). We did not find a positive effect on broadband measure scores, but the <u>strength of</u> <u>evidence</u> was limited (downgraded for study limitations).

The research benefit of ABT-089 is limited. We could not establish a meaningful effect estimate on broadband measures (downgraded to insufficient due to heterogeneity and imprecision). There was low <u>strength of evidence</u> (study limitation, imprecision) indicating that the intervention is associated with adverse events.

# **5.3.4 Psychosocial Treatment**

We identified 24 studies evaluating psychological, psychosocial, or behavioral interventions for children and adolescents with ADHD.<sup>52, 113, 168, 208, 264, 324, 325, 330, 331, 351, 416, 420, 464, 469, 474, 510, 511, 520-523, 552, 581, 613</sup> We included studies in this section that evaluated psychosocial interventions targeting children or adolescents with ADHD, either alone or combined with components for the children's parents or their teachers. The intervention category did not include combinations of psychosocial treatments plus medication unless the control group received the same medication.

The earliest identified <u>eligible</u> study was first published in 2009.<sup>416</sup> Evaluations were conducted in ten different countries, primarily the US.<sup>113, 208, 242, 264, 324, 464, 469, 510</sup> The populations studied were children and adolescents with ADHD between the ages of "preschool" and 18, with half of the studies including teenagers.<sup>168, 257, 264, 350, 420, 520-523, 581</sup> In studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, the most prevalent type (ranging from 23.4%<sup>330</sup> to 100%<sup>510</sup> of the ADHD participants) was the combined presentation. While ADHD participants with co-occurring disorders were not excluded from most of the studies, three studies purposely included patients with language difficulties,<sup>613</sup> homework problems,<sup>469</sup> and organizational deficits.<sup>113</sup> Race and ethnicity demographics were not mentioned in most studies.

Interventions studied included skills training (e.g., homework and organizational skills),<sup>113, 208, 469, 474</sup> problem-solving coach and/or mentoring,<sup>242, 416</sup> social skills training,<sup>331, 510, 552</sup> sleep-focused intervention,<sup>511</sup> dialectical behavior therapy,<sup>420</sup> cognitive behavior therapy, <sup>168, 548</sup> and mindfulness training.<sup>523, 581</sup> Many interventions had multiple components<sup>257, 330, 464, 469, 474, 510</sup> that involving patients, parents, teachers, therapists, and counselors in addition to direct interventions for the participating children (interventions addressing parents exclusively are documented in the parent education and support section).

Of the identified studies, 19 reported on a control group, including attention-matched groups,<sup>264, 510</sup> no intervention (i.e., wait list), or treatment as usual where it varied what treatment individual children received.<sup>113, 208, 242, 257, 330, 331, 350, 351, 416, 464, 474, 511, 520, 521, 523, 548, 552, 581, 613</sup> One of those studies included an alternative psychological or behavioral intervention to test the comparative effectiveness of the intervention in addition to a control group comparison.<sup>464</sup> Four studies had no control group, only an alternative intervention in the form of another psychological approach<sup>168, 469, 522</sup> or a combined medication and behavioral support program.<sup>325</sup>

The most frequently reported outcomes in the included studies were the Conners Parent Rating Scales (CPRS), Clinical Global Impression (CGI) scores, and the ADHD Rating Scale, Version IV.

Figure 50 shows the effect of the intervention on individual problem behaviors such as tardiness, delinquency, and conduct problems, assessed in the individual studies.



Figure 50. Effects of Psychosocial Interventions on Behavior (SMD)

Across studies, we did not detect a systematic effect of the interventions on problematic behaviors (SMD 0.10; CI -0.12, 0.32; 7 studies, n=897). The analysis did not detect substantial heterogeneity (I-squared 50%). We did not detect publication bias. Removing high risk of bias studies in a sensitivity analysis left only three studies and showed a different estimate with wide confidence intervals, but the effect was still not statistically significant (RR -0.06; CI -0.64, 5.2). Studies reporting on broadband measure score changes are documented in Figure 51.

Figure 51. Effects of Psychosocial Interventions on Broadband Measures (SMD)



The small number of studies reported different estimates and, although both positive, the pooled effect was not statistically significant (SMD 0.50; -0.18, 1.17; 2 studies, n=170). In this

small set of studies, no heterogeneity was detected, there was no indications of publication bias, and no sensitivity analyses could be conducted. Removing high risk of bias studies in a sensitivity analysis left only one study; the study indicated a beneficial treatment effect.<sup>464</sup>

All studies reporting sufficient detail for changes on a continuous symptom scale are shown in Figure 52.





Analyses indicated a symptom reduction associated with the psychological or behavioral intervention (SMD -0.34; CI -0.53, -0.14; 12 studies, n=1450). Interventions were diverse and often included multiple components. Particularly successful interventions included social skills plus parent skills training (compared to no intervention),<sup>331</sup> a multi-component child life and attention skills program (compared to treatment as usual and a diagnostic report).<sup>464</sup> ecological executive skills training with parent components (compared to waitlist),<sup>474</sup> a family intervention focused on sleep (compared to usual care without focus on sleep management).<sup>511</sup> family therapy focused on teens' academic needs (compared to usual care without family therapy),<sup>521</sup> and mindfulness training for children and parents (compared to waitlist).<sup>581</sup> The youngest children included in the studies were five years old, and several studies targeted pre-teens and teenagers. Statistical heterogeneity was not remarkable, highlighting the diversity of the approaches. Statistical heterogeneity was not remarkable (I-squared 57%). There was some indication of publication bias (Begg p 0.31, Egger p 0.02) but an alternative effect estimate using the trim and fill method came to similar results (SMD -0.56; CI -1.02, -.09). Removing high risk of bias studies in a sensitivity analysis indicated a stronger treatment effect, but the confidence interval was wide and the effect was not statistically significant anymore (SMD -0.33; CI -0.71, 0.05).

One study reported on symptom improvement as a categorical variable; the study favored a multi-component, behavioral psychosocial treatment integrated across home and school (Child

Life and Attention Skills) for youth with ADHD compared to families receiving a diagnostic report and a resource list (RR 0.69; CI 0.54, 0.88; 1 study, n=125).<sup>464</sup>

Very few studies reported on functional outcomes and two studies reporting on functional impairment as a categorical outcome could not be combined to a meaningful estimate (SMD 0.40; CI -1.16, 1.97; 2 studies, n=245).<sup>474, 511</sup>

Only one study reported sufficient detail to compute an effect size for treatment satisfaction, indicating no statistically significant difference between a parent-teen intervention focusing on safe driving and an attention-matched control group (SMD 0.19; CI -0.12, 0.49; 1 study; n=164).<sup>264</sup>

Studies reporting on academic outcomes and reporting sufficient detail to compute effect sizes are shown in Figure 53.





Across studies, we did not detect a systematic effect of the intervention on academic performance compared to control groups (SMD -0.07; CI -0.52, 0.66; 3 studies, n=459). The analysis detected little heterogeneity (I-squared 52%). There was no indication of publication bias. None of the studies included in this analysis was judged to be high risk of bias, suggesting that the lack of effect is not primarily driven by high risk of bias studies.

Only one study formally reported on the number of participants with adverse events; the study found no increased risk associated with the social skills training intervention compared to treatment as usual (RR 0.97; CI 0.02, 47.1; 1 study, n=55).<sup>552</sup>

## 5.3.4.1 Psychosocial Treatment Comparative Effects

We identified a small number of studies that compared diverse psychological and behavioral interventions to an alternative therapeutic approach.<sup>52, 168, 325, 464, 469, 522</sup>

One study compared a group parent and adolescent skills training versus a dyadic skills training blended with motivational interviewing and reported similar results across assessed outcomes, including ADHD symptoms (SMD -0.23; CI -0.61, 0.16; 1 study, n=123).<sup>522</sup> A study comparing two cognitive behavioral therapy programs (planning skills CBT versus solution-

focused therapy CBT) reported initially more favorable results for the planning skills program, but the effect was not maintained, including for ADHD symptoms (SMD -0.14; CI -0.45, 0.17; 1 study, n=159).<sup>168</sup>

A study comparing a multi-component program (Child Life and Attention Skills, CLAS) versus a parent-focused treatment with fewer school interactions, found the intensive program to have more positive effects, but there was no difference in broadband measures (SMD 0.20; CI - 0.13, 0.52 and RR 1.23; CI 0.89, 1.71; 1 study, n=199).<sup>464</sup> A family-school intervention versus an intervention about coping with ADHD through relationships and education (CARE) favored the family-school interventions for ADHD symptoms (SMD -0.34; CI -.061, -0.06; 1 study, n=199) but other outcomes assessed in the study did not show differences between interventions.<sup>469</sup> One study (n=145) compared a multi-component intervention of motivational components, homework management and schoolwork organization training, as well as family-school partnership building versus a complex medication integrated medication management. There were insufficient details reported to allow effect size calculations, but the authors concluded that both interventions showed positive effects.<sup>325</sup>

One study addressed sequencing of interventions.<sup>52</sup> Children assigned to a multi-component behavioral intervention consisting of social skills training for children, parent training to establish a daily reward system, teacher consultations, and a case manager versus medication first reported significantly fewer classroom rule violations per hour than the medication first intervention. The study found no difference in the disruptive behavior disorder rating scales across groups (SMD -0.02; CI -0.34, 0.31; 1 study, n=152) or functional impairment (SMD - 0.01; CI -0.33, 0.31; 1 study, n=153).

# 5.3.4.2 Psychosocial Treatment Summary of Findings

Table 15 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers. Only findings are shown for which effect sizes could be computed.

Table 15. Noze	building of t		dence for i sychosocial freatment	
Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 psychosocial treatment vs control	Behavior	7 RCTs <sup>331, 510, 511, 520, 521, 523, 581</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD 0.10, CI -0.12, 0.32; 7 studies, n=897)	Low for no effect
KQ2 psychosocial treatment vs control	Broadband measures	3 RCTs <sup>113, 351, 464</sup>	Pooled result was not statistically significant (SMD 0.50, CI -0.18, 1.17; 2 studies, n=170)	Low for no effect
KQ2 psychosocial treatment vs control	ADHD symptoms	<b>13 RCTs</b> <sup>208, 330, 331, 416, 464, 474, 510, 511, 520, 521, 523, 552, 581</sup>	Results favored intervention (SMD -0.34, CI -0.53, -0.14; 12 studies, n=1450; RR 0.69; CI 0.54, 0.88; 1 study, n=125)	Moderate for benefit
KQ2 psychosocial treatment vs control	Functional impairment	4 RCTs <sup>113, 464, 474, 511</sup>	Pooled result was not statistically significant (SMD 0.40, CI -1.16, 1.97; 2 studies, n=245)	Insufficient
KQ2 psychosocial treatment vs control	Acceptability of treatment	4 RCTs <sup>113, 264, 464, 520</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD 0.22, CI -0.09, 0.53; 1 study, n=164)	Insufficient

Table 15. KQ2Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Psychosocial Treatment

Intervention and	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
Comparison				
KQ2 psychosocial treatment vs control	Academic performance	4 RCTs <sup>520, 521, 552</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD 0.07, CI -0.52, 0.66; 3 studies, n=459)	Low for no effect
KQ2 psychosocial treatment vs control	Appetite suppression	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 psychosocial treatment vs control	Participants with adverse events	1 RCT <sup>552</sup>	No effect (RR 0.97; Cl 0.02, 47.01; 1 study, n=55)	Insufficient
KQ2 intensive family-school intervention vs coping intervention	ADHD symptoms	1 RCT <sup>469</sup>	Results favored family-school success intervention (SMD -0.34;061, -0.06; 1 study, n=199)	Insufficient
KQ2 intensive family-school intervention vs coping intervention	Acceptability of treatment	1 RCT <sup>469</sup>	Results favored family-school success intervention (SMD -0.34;061, -0.06; 1 study, n=199)	Insufficient
KQ2 intensive family-school intervention vs coping intervention	Academic performance	1 RCT <sup>469</sup>	No difference detected (SMD -0.21; -0.49, 0.07; 1 study, n=199)	Insufficient
KQ2 intensive child life and attention skills intervention vs less intense intervention	Broadband measures	1 RCT <sup>464</sup>	No difference detected (SMD 0.20; CI - 0.13, 0.52; 1 study, n=199)	Insufficient
KQ2 intensive child life and attention skills intervention vs less intense intervention	ADHD symptoms	1 RCT <sup>464</sup>	No difference detected (SMD -0.27; CI - 0.60, 0.05 and RR 1.23; CI 0.89, 1.71; 1 study, n=199)	Insufficient
KQ2 planning CBT vs solution- focused CBT	ADHD symptoms	1 RCT <sup>168</sup>	No difference detected (SMD -0.14; CI - 0.45, 0.17; 1 study, n=159)	Insufficient
KQ2 group parent and adolescent skills training vs dyadic skills training with motivational interviewing	ADHD symptoms	1 RCT <sup>522</sup>	No difference detected (SMD -0.23; CI - 0.61, 0.16; 1 study, n=159)	Insufficient
KQ2 multi- component	Behavior	1 RCT <sup>52</sup>	Behavioral management intervention associated with fewer classroom rule	Insufficient

Intervention	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study	Findings	SoE
Comparison		Design and iDs		
behavior management intervention			violations (incidence rate ratio 0.66, p<0.01; 1 study, n=152)	
vs methylphenid ate				
KQ2 multi- component behavior management intervention vs methylphenid ate	Symptoms	1 RCT <sup>52</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD -0.02; CI - 0.34, 0.31; 1 study, n=152)	Insufficient
KQ2 multi- component behavior management intervention vs methylphenid ate	Functional impairment	1 RCT <sup>52</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD -0.01; CI - 0.33, 0.31; 1 study, n=152)	Insufficient

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE <u>strength of evidence</u>

The majority of psychological and behavioral interventions were multicomponent interventions and we found favorable effects of these on ADHD symptoms with a moderate strength of evidence. We downgraded all outcomes for study limitation as studies were at high or moderate risk of bias, often because studies of behavioral interventions versus no intervention cannot be blinded, and unblinded parents provided most outcome data. We found low strength of evidence that psychological interventions do not improve problem behaviors across studies and we also found no effect on broadband measure scores. These findings were also downgraded for inconsistency (direction of effects varied). There was insufficient evidence for functional outcomes due to additional imprecision as it was not clear whether or not psychological interventions influence functional impairment. Meta-analysis across studies found no difference in academic outcomes; strength of evidence is insufficient due to inconsistency of direction, lack of precision, and risk of bias. Only one study reported sufficient detail to compute effect sizes for treatment acceptability; the strength of evidence was rated insufficient. No studies reported on appetite changes or growth suppression, and only one study reported on the number of participants with adverse events; strength of evidence was determined to be insufficient.

The comparative effectiveness results were downgraded due to study limitation and the lack of replication (downgraded by two for inconsistency) and <u>strength of evidence</u> was determined to be insufficient.

# 5.3.5 Cognitive Training

We identified 19 studies evaluating cognitive training to treat ADHD. The earliest identified study was from 2013.<sup>59, 146, 156, 174, 203, 225, 226, 234, 247, 261, 310, 363, 366, 445, 446, 478, 518, 582, 602</sup> Evaluations were published in 16 different countries, including the USA,<sup>363, 366</sup> China,<sup>203, 518</sup> Netherlands,<sup>234, 582</sup> and Spain.<sup>156, 261</sup>

The populations studied were predominately males aged six to 17 years, with only one study including children as young as three years old.<sup>478</sup> Evidence of intellectual disability (i.e., full-scale IQ < 70) was exclusionary in all studies, and eight studies required full-scale IQ scores of 80 or higher. Over 70 percent of studies included participants with a history of stimulant medication treatment, and of those, two thirds of their ADHD cohorts had prior or ongoing stimulant treatment. Five of the studies required stimulant treatment to be discontinued at least 24-hours before undergoing cognitive training, and several required an even longer washout period. For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations (combined, inattentive, hyperactive/impulsive), the most prevalent (ranging from  $26\%^{203}$  to  $100\%^{156, 226, 234, 247}$  of the ADHD participants) was ADHD-combined type. While ADHD participants with typical co-occurring disorders such as conduct disorder were not excluded from most studies, a few studies purposefully included children with concomitant learning disorders (e.g., dyslexia, language disorder).<sup>226, 582</sup> Race and ethnicity demographics were not mentioned in almost all studies.

Cognitive training interventions were delivered across different settings, including homebased and hospital/clinic-based programs. More than half of the studies used a computerized video game format such as the Cogmed digital working memory training program.<sup>59, 146, 156, 174, <sup>226, 234, 247, 363, 366, 582</sup> The other studies used other non-computerized cognitive training modalities including structured, interactive games (e.g., Training Executive, Attention, and Motor Skills) and paper-and-pencil neuropsychological tasks,<sup>203, 261, 478, 518</sup> or they employed functional cognitive rehabilitation paradigms used in occupational therapy settings<sup>310, 445, 602</sup> to improve ADHD. Some studies included a control group comprising demographically similar children and adolescents with ADHD. ADHD-matched control groups received treatment as usual,<sup>59, 445, 518, 602</sup> treatment as usual but then the targeted intervention during a crossover trial,<sup>174, 310</sup> nonadaptive/non-calibrated versions of the targeted cognitive intervention,<sup>156, 226, 234, 366</sup> cognitive training of a separate domain (e.g., training of working memory vs. training of inhibitory control),<sup>363</sup> or else they were randomized to a waitlist and received no extra intervention during the trial.<sup>146, 203, 247, 261</sup> Other studies reported on the comparative effects for two alternative interventions, such as a different modality (e.g., behavioral parent training)<sup>478</sup>; or cognitive training using a different intervention.<sup>582</sup></sup>

Studies reported a variety of study-specific outcomes, such as improvement in individual cognitive tasks. In terms of pre-specified <u>key outcomes</u> for this review, symptom rating scale scores were most frequently reported.

Across identified studies, only two reported on a passive control group and reported on a problematic behavior, but the studies (although both favoring the intervention) reported very different treatment effects and could not be combined to a meaningful summary estimate (SMD 0.24; CI -0.31, 0.78; 2 studies, n=101).<sup>156, 261</sup>

Studies reporting on broadband measure scores as a continuous variable are documented in figure 54.



### Figure 54. Effects of Cognitive Training on Broadband Measures (SMD)

The interventions were associated with an improvement in broadband measures (SMD 0.56; CI -0.18, 0.93; 3 studies, n=173). Children included in the studies were between six and seven, and seven and ten, where reported. The analysis did not detect statistical heterogeneity and there were too few studies for further analyses. Only one study reported sufficient detail for a categorical analysis indicating no difference between groups (RR 0.96; CI 0.59, 1.55; 1 study, n=339).<sup>366</sup>

The studies reporting on the effect of cognitive training on ADHD symptoms are shown in Figure 55.

Figure 55.	Effects of	Cognitive	Training on	Symptoms	(SMD)
------------	------------	-----------	-------------	----------	-------



Across studies, we did not identify a systematic improvement of ADHD symptoms associated with cognitive training compared to control groups not receiving cognitive training (SMD -0.18; CI -0.48, 0.12; 9 studies, n=574). The analysis did not detect substantial heterogeneity (I-squared 49%). There was no evidence of publication bias. Removing studies with high risk of bias indicated a similar lack of systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI -0.65, 0.49). An additional study reporting on a categorical symptom outcome (number with at least 30% improvement) did not detect differences between groups (RR 1.28; CI 0.85, 1.94; 1 study, n=337).<sup>366</sup>

Studies reporting on effects of cognitive training on functional impairment are shown in Figure 56.



Figure 56. Effects of Cognitive Training on Functional Impairment (SMD)

Studies indicated an improvement in functional impairment, but the effect was not statistically significant (SMD 0.44; CI -0.08, 0.97; 5 studies, n=462). There was some heterogeneity and effect estimates varied somewhat (I-squared 67%). There was no indication of publication bias. Excluding high risk of bias studies in a sensitivity analysis (and thereby removing an outlier) did result in a smaller effect estimate (number of participants improved by 1 point on rating scale) but the effect was statistically significant (SMD 0.29; CI 0.03, 0.55). An additional study reporting on impairment as a categorical variable did not detect differences between groups (RR 1.29; CI 1.00. 1.66, n=348).<sup>366</sup>

We could not compute effect estimates for treatment satisfaction or academic performance ratings in this intervention subset. Appetite suppression was not assessed but the number of participants experiencing an adverse event is shown in Figure 57.



### Figure 57. Effects of Cognitive Training on Participants with Adverse Events (SMD)

Only two studies reported clearly on the number of participants with adverse events in both treatment arms. Across studies, we did not detect a systematic effect of the intervention compared to a control group (RR 3.16; CI 0.96, 10.36; 2 studies, n=402). In this small set of studies there was no evidence of heterogeneity and publication bias could not be assessed. Removing the high risk of bias study left one estimate that suggested a higher rate in the intervention group (RR 3.73; CI 1.01, 10.83).<sup>366</sup>

## 5.3.5.1 Cognitive Training Comparative Effects

A small number of individual studies had active comparators. One study compared structured games versus parent training.<sup>478</sup> The study did not report on <u>key outcomes</u> but it concluded that working memory training is effective.

Three studies compared different cognitive training approaches.<sup>234, 363, 582</sup> A study comparing central executive training versus inhibitory control training did not report on outcomes of interest in sufficient detail to allow us to compute effect sizes, but the study concluded that the finding supported the use of central executive training.<sup>363</sup> Another study compared Cogmed working memory training versus a new active working memory and executive executive function compensatory training (paying attention in class).<sup>582</sup> The study found no difference in a broadband measure but reported insufficient details to compute effect sizes. An additional study compared executive function training with multiple targets versus working memory training or inhibition and cognitive flexibility.<sup>234</sup> The study did not report on key outcomes addressed in this review but concluded that there was no significant difference on any executive function measures.

## 5.3.5.1 Cognitive Training Summary of Findings

Table 16 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers. Comparative effectiveness and safety results are not shown as none of the identified studies reported on the key outcomes in sufficient detail.

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 cognitive training vs control	Behavior	3 RCTs <sup>156, 261, 446</sup>	Two studies favored the intervention, but estimates varied and could not be combined to a meaningful estimate (SMD 0.59; CI -3.75, 4.92; 2 studies, n=101)	Insufficient
KQ2 cognitive training vs control	Broadband measures	3 studies, 2 RCTs <sup>146, 366</sup> 1 CT <sup>310</sup>	Cognitive training was associated with positive effects in some studies (SMD 0.56; CI -0.18, 0.93; 3 studies, n=173; RR 0.96; CI 0.59, 1.55; 1 study, n=339)	Low for benefit
KQ2 cognitive training vs control	Symptoms	<b>12 RCTs</b> <sup>146, 156, 225, 226, 261, 363, 366, 518</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD -0.13; CI - 0.41, 0.16; 8 studies, n=544; RR 1.28; CI 0.85, 1.93; 1 study, n=337)	Low for no effect
KQ2 cognitive training vs control	Functional impairment	6 RCTs <sup>59, 156, 203, 247, 261, 366</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD 0.44; CI - 0.08, 0.97; 5 studies, n=462)	Low for no effect
KQ2 cognitive training vs control	Acceptability of treatment	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 cognitive training vs control	Academic performance	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 cognitive training vs control	Appetite suppression	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 cognitive training vs	Participants with adverse	2 RCTs <sup>261, 366</sup>	No systematic effect (RR 3.30; CI 1.01, 10.83; 2 studies, n=402)	Low for no effect

 control
 events
 I

 Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE strength of evidence
 Strength of evidence

The summary of findings table above generally shows an emerging evidence base. Studies predominantly reported on specific measures rather than generally important outcomes such as ADHD symptoms. <u>Strength of evidence</u> was downgraded due to heterogeneity and imprecision. The evidence for multiple outcomes of interest is insufficient to date.

While different cognitive trainings have been compared in comparative effectiveness and safety evaluations, studies reported on study-specific intermediate outcomes and it is unclear whether and which cognitive training is superior to others.

# 5.3.6 Neurofeedback

We identified 15 studies using neurofeedback.<sup>83, 110, 136, 219, 244, 291, 294, 301, 316, 390, 424, 472, 473, 550, <sup>554</sup> The earliest identified study was published in 2010 and studies came from ten different countries Almost all studies used a randomized control trial study design, except for one,<sup>301</sup> a non-randomized clinical trial. All children received a confirmatory ADHD diagnosis by a specialist and/or clinician. The populations studied were between the ages of six and 18 years. Female population proportions ranged from 15<sup>390</sup> to 37<sup>301</sup> percent; only two studies did not include females.<sup>83, 219</sup> In nearly all studies, participants were required to demonstrate an IQ of 80 or higher. For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, the most prevalent type, ranging from 15<sup>83</sup> to 100<sup>554</sup> percent of ADHD participants, was the combined type. There were no reported systemic co-occurring disorders within the included study populations, though many</sup>

did not exclude commonly associated co-occurring disorders within their study population. Race and ethnicity demographics were described in few of the identified studies.<sup>110, 550</sup>

A variety of neurofeedback protocols were tested for their efficacy in treating ADHD symptoms. Two thirds of the neurofeedback protocols that were investigated involved theta/beta EEG marker modulation.<sup>83, 110, 136, 180, 219, 244, 291, 294, 301, 390, 550</sup> One third of protocols centered around modulation of slow cortical potentials.<sup>294, 316, 424, 554</sup> Among the neurofeedback studies, three quarters reported on a passive control group, including attention-matched task,<sup>219, 291</sup> waitlisted for intervention,<sup>83, 390</sup> and no intervention groups.<sup>301, 550</sup> Several studies reported efficacy results compared to an alternative intervention; methylphenidate<sup>244, 291, 472</sup> and cognitive trainings <sup>294, 316, 424, 550</sup> were the most common comparators.

Studies reported a variety of often study-specific outcomes, such as improvement in individual cognitive tasks as documented in the <u>evidence table</u>. In terms of pre-specified outcomes, broadband scale scores and standardized symptom scores were the most frequently reported outcomes.

Studies reporting on reductions in problematic behaviors, such as aggression and off-task behavior at school, are shown in Figure 58.





Study results varied considerably and no systematic effect was seen across studies (SMD 0.35; CI -1.61, 2.31; 3 studies, n=252). Despite the small number of studies, the analysis detected heterogeneity (I-squared 90%). There was no indication of publication bias. Removing one high risk of bias study did reduce heterogeneity but there was still no systematic positive effect on the intervention (SMD -0.03; CI -2.33, 2.27).

Two studies reported on broadband measure scores, but effect estimates varied so that the pooled estimate had very large confidence intervals (SMD 0.67; CI -2.65, 3.99; 2 studies, n=195). One of the studies also reported on a categorical broadband scale outcome (improvement of more than 2 on the CGI); the study did not find find a statistically significant difference between groups (RR 0.88; CI 0.66, 1.19; 1 study, n=142).<sup>110</sup>

Results for ADHD symptoms are reported in Figure 59.



Figure 59. Effects of Neurofeedback on Symptoms (SMD)

Across studies, neurofeedback was associated with a statistically significant ADHD symptom reduction compared to different passive control groups (SMD -0.47; CI -0.83, -0.11; 8 studies, n=736). The youngest children included in the studies were six years old. The analysis detected some heterogeneity (I-squared 69%). Excluding three high risk of bias studies found smaller but more precise and still statistically significant estimate (SMD -0.27; CI -0.35, -0.17) and there was no indication of heterogeneity anymore, suggesting that risk of bias was a key source of heterogeneity. We detected no evidence for publication bias.

Two studies reported on functional impairment outcomes but effect estimates varied considerably and no meaningful summary effect could be derived due to wide confidence intervals (SMD 0.19; CI -1.74, 2.13; 2 studies, n=212). We did not identify treatment satisfaction or academic performance estimates.

Appetite suppression was reported in one study; the Neurofeedback Collaborative group found no statistically significant difference between intervention and control group participants (RR 1.64; CI 0.77, 3.49; 1 study, n=142).<sup>110</sup> We could not determine the presence or absence of participants experiencing adverse events as none of the identified studies reported on the outcome.

# 5.3.6.1 Neurofeedback Comparative Effects

Seven studies reported on active comparators, including cognitive training,<sup>294, 316, 424, 550</sup> medication with methylphenidate,<sup>291, 472</sup> and electromyographic biofeedback<sup>219</sup> as documented in the next subsections.

### 5.3.6.1.1 Neurofeedback Versus Cognitive Training

Two studies reported on individual behaviors as documented in Figure 60.



### Figure 60. Neurofeedback versus Cognitive Training on Behaviors (SMD)

Across studies, we found no statistically significant difference between neurofeedback and cognitive training, but the number of identified studies contributing to the comparison was small (SMD 0.13; CI -0.31, 0.57; 2 studies, n=129). The set did not identify heterogeneity. The identified studies did not report on broadband measures. Results for ADHD symptoms are shown in Figure 61.

Figure 61. Neurofeedback versus Cognitive Training on Symptoms (SMD)



Across studies, we found no systematic difference between interventions (SMD 0.07; CI - 0.55, 0.70; 3 studies, n=167) and in the small set of studies, no heterogeneity was detected. Two of the studies were judged to be high risk of bias, leaving only one study for a sensitivity analysis. The study also detected no statistically significant difference between neurofeedback and cognitive training (SMD 0.19; CI -0.28, 0.67)

Two studies reported on a functional impairment measure. Both reported no statistically significant difference between interventions, but estimates varied and the studies could not be combined to a meaningful effect estimate (SMD 0.08; CI -1.27, 1.44; 2 studies, n=133) given the wide confidence intervals.<sup>294, 550</sup> We did not identify studies that evaluated neurofeedback versus cognitive training that reported on other outcomes of interest for the review.

### 5.3.6.1.2 Neurofeedback Versus Stimulants

Two studies were identified that made comparisons to medication and each one reported on some of the outcomes of interest. One study compared personalized at-home neurofeedback training versus methylphenidate.<sup>472</sup> The study found more improvement in broadband measures in the medication group compared to neurofeedback (RR 3.61; 2.36, 5.52; 1 study, n=149). Both studies reported on ADHD symptom measures comparing neurofeedback versus methylphenidate.<sup>291, 472</sup> Both studies found more improvement associated with methylphenidate but effect estimates differed and resulted in wide confidence intervals, precluding a meaningful effect estimate (SMD 0.57; CI -1.68, 2.81; 2 studies, n=209).

One of the studies reported adverse events; the study found significantly fewer participants experienced adverse events in the neurofeedback versus the methylphenidate group (RR 0.23; CI 0.15, 0.35; 1 study, n=149).<sup>472</sup>

## 5.3.6.1.3 Neurofeedback Versus Other Active Comparators

One study compared neurofeedback and electromyographic biofeedback.<sup>136</sup> The authors reported that for ADHD symptoms, results favored neurofeedback in parent reports but no effect estimate could be derived.

# 5.3.6.2 Neurofeedback Summary of Findings

Table 17 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest, together with the number of studies and study identifiers.

KQ2 Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study	Findings	SoE
		Design and IDs		
KQ2 neurofeedback vs control	Behavior	3 RCTs <sup>110, 219, 550</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD 0.35; CI -1.61, 2.31; 3 studies, n=252)	Low for no effect
KQ2 neurofeedback vs control	Broadband measures	4 RCTs <sup>83, 110, 390, 473</sup>	The studies indicated improvements, but estimates varied or could not be computed and no meaningful summary estimate could be derived (SMD 0.77; CI -4.16, 5.7; 2 studies, n=195; RR 0.88; CI 0.66, 1.19; 1 study, n=142)	Insufficient
KQ2 neurofeedback vs control	ADHD symptoms	9 studies, 8 RCTs <sup>219, 244, 291, 316,</sup> <sup>390, 473, 550, 554</sup> 1 CT <sup>301</sup>	Results favor intervention (SMD - 0.45; CI -0.83, -0.08; 8 studies, n=736)	Moderate for benefit
KQ2 neurofeedback vs control	Functional impairment	2 RCTs, <sup>110,550</sup>	1 study reported an improvement, 1 no difference and no summary estimate could be derived (SMD 0.2; -1.61, 2.00; 2 studies; n=212)	Insufficient
KQ2 neurofeedback vs control	Acceptability of treatment	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient

Table 17. KQ2 Summa	ry of Findings and	Strength of Evidence	for Neurofeedback
---------------------	--------------------	----------------------	-------------------

5. Results: Treatment of	of ADHD
--------------------------	---------

KQ2	Academic	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
neurofeedback vs control	performance			
KQ2	Appetite .	1 study <sup>110</sup>	No systematic effect (RR 1.45; CI	Insufficient
neurofeedback vs	suppression		0.68, 3.10; 1 study, n=142)	
KQ2	Participants	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
neurofeedback vs control	with adverse events			
KQ2	Behavior	2 studies <sup>294, 550</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD	Low for no
neurofeedback vs cognitive training			0.13; CI -0.31, 0.57; 2 studies, n=129)	difference
KQ2	Symptoms	3 studies <sup>294, 424, 550</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD	Low for no
neurofeedback vs cognitive training			0.07; CI -0.55, 0.70; 3 studies, n=167)	difference
KQ2	Broadband	1 study <sup>472</sup>	Results favored methylphenidate	Low for favoring
Neurofeedback vs methylphenidate	measures		(RR 3.61; CI 2.36, 5.52; 1 study, n=149)	methylphenidate
KQ2	ADHD	2 studies <sup>291, 472</sup>	Both studies favored	Insufficient
Neurofeedback vs	symptoms		methylphenidate but no	
metnyiphenidate			between groups (SMD 0.57; CI -	
			1.68, 2.81; 2 studies, n=209)	
KQ2	Participants	1 study <sup>472</sup>	Results favored neurofeedback	Insufficient
Neuroteedback vs	with adverse		(RR 0.23; CI 0.15, 0.35; 1 study,	
methylphenidate	events		11-149)	

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE <u>strength of evidence</u>

The summary of finding table shows an improvement for ADHD symptom scores compared to passive control (moderate strength of evidence, downgraded for study limitation). Results for other outcomes were less favorable or unclear. For all outcomes, we downgraded for imprecision where no summary estimate could be derived. We downgraded the strength of evidence for appetite suppression due to imprecision. It should be noted that the included neurofeedback approaches varied by study and results of individual studies are shown in the evidence table in more detail.

We detected no systematic difference between neurofeedback and cognitive training in the small number of studies that reported on this comparison for the outcomes of interest. We upgraded the evidence for broadband measure scores comparing neurofeedback versus methylphenidate due to the large effect. All other comparisons were downgraded for inconsistency by two (results were based on a single study and it was not possible to determine whether another study by another author group would report an effect) and study limitation (unclear whether the study was statistically powered to detect an effect for the outcome).

# **5.3.7** Physical Exercise

We identified two studies reporting on physical exercise that met <u>eligibility criteria</u>.<sup>243, 347</sup> One RCT published in 2020<sup>243</sup> compared treadmill training plus whole body vibration training, versus treadmill training alone, in children with ADHD. Training took place three days per week for eight weeks. The study was conducted in Turkey; children ranged in age from 7 to 11 years and were treatment naïve. Eighty percent of participants had combined type ADHD and the same percentage were male. The study reported no difference between groups (SMD 0.16; -0.55, 0.88; 1 study, n=30) for a broadband measure. A 2019 RCT (n=40) conducted in Tunisia evaluated the effect of Taekwondo exercises. The study reported on attentional inhibitory control and visual

attention and concluded that Taekwondo improved performance on measures of selective attention using the Stroop test in adolescents with ADHD.<sup>347</sup>

# 5.3.7.1 Exercise Comparative Effectiveness

We did not detect exercise studies comparing to different active treatments.

## 5.3.7.2 Exercise Summary of Findings

Table 18 below shows the results for the outcomes of interest.

KQ2 Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 exercise vs control	Behavior	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 exercise vs control	Broadband measures	1 RCT <sup>243</sup>	1 RCT <sup>243</sup> reported whole body vibration training plus treadmill training group improved more on Conners Parent Rating Scale-Revised/Long Form total score than the treadmill training alone group, but the difference did not reach statistical significance (p 0.055), the Intervention group had significantly more improvement in the teacher version of same instrument.	Insufficient
KQ2 exercise vs control	Symptoms	0 RCT	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 exercise vs control	Functional impairment	0 RCT	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 exercise vs control	Acceptability of treatment	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 exercise vs control	Academic performance	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 exercise vs control	Appetite suppression	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 exercise vs control	Participants with adverse	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE <u>strength of evidence</u>

Given the lack of studies or lack of replication of effects in more than one study, we determined evidence for all outcomes of interest to be insufficient.

# 5.3.8 Nutrition and Supplements

We identified 32 studies of nutrition or supplement interventions.<sup>111, 123, 143, 157, 186, 214, 216, 265, 295, 308, 314, 320, 323, 343, 344, 353, 356, 358, 401, 428, 429, 431, 460, 466, 477, 493, 497, 498, 573, 577, 583, 596 The vast majority were placebo-controlled studies of dietary supplements; one of those was a crossover trial.<sup>1</sup> Two studies evaluated diets.<sup>358, 460</sup> Several evaluated nutritional supplements as augmentation to stimulant medication. The earliest <u>eligible</u> study was published in 2004. Only two of the identified studies were conducted in the US.<sup>344, 596</sup> Most others were conducted in the Middle</sup>

East<sup>265, 320, 353, 358, 401</sup> or Europe.<sup>157, 214, 216, 308, 343, 460, 573</sup> All studies but one (which included children as young as four)<sup>460</sup> enrolled children at least six years of age. Race and ethnicity were rarely reported, perhaps due to the racial homogeneity of the trial locations. Two studies had no females,<sup>214, 358</sup> one did not report sex,<sup>308</sup> and the rest were majority male. ADHD presentations were rarely reported. Children with psychological and psychiatric co-occurring disorders were excluded from at least half of the studies. One studied children with co-occurring epilepsy.<sup>265</sup>

The studies assessed a wide range of dietary and supplement approaches. However, Omega 3 fatty acid (DHA and/or EPA) was evaluated in more than one study.<sup>186, 214, 216, 265, 308, 314, 343, 401, 429, 498</sup> Other nutritional supplements included saffron,<sup>143</sup> zinc sulfate,<sup>157</sup> Vitamin D,<sup>320</sup> a multivitamin containing essential minerals, amino acids and antioxidants,<sup>344</sup> a different multivitamin,<sup>493</sup> a herbal preparation including spirulina,<sup>353</sup> pycnogenol (an extract from the bark of the French maritime pine),<sup>573</sup> and St. John's wort.<sup>596</sup> The DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) diet<sup>358</sup> and an individually designed restricted elimination diet<sup>460</sup> were also studied. And one study each of saffron,<sup>356</sup> melatonin,<sup>428</sup> Ma'aljobon powder,<sup>431, 1151</sup> or iron.<sup>466</sup>

The most common categories of outcomes were broadband and ADHD symptom scores. In terms of instruments, Conners Parent Rating Scale (CPRS) and the ADHD Rating Scale, 4<sup>th</sup> Version (ADHD RS-IV) were the most frequently reported outcome measures. Figure 62 shows results for individual problem behavior such as teacher-reported conduct problems evaluated in individual studies.



#### Figure 62. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Behavior (SMD)

Across studies, nutritional approaches (docosahexaenoic acid, phosphatidylserine, vitamins and minerals, sarcosine), were associated with improvement in problem behavior compared to control (SMD 0.25; CI 0.17, 0.33; 4 studies, n=294). None of the studies included children under six years of age. There was no evidence of heterogeneity and publication bias was not detected. None of the included studies was considered high risk of bias. The included **Omega 3** study reported no statistically significant differences (SMD 0.15; CI -0.41, 0.72; 1 study, n=55).<sup>216</sup> Results of nutrition and supplements on broadband measures are shown in Figure 63.





Across studies, we did not detect a consistent effect of the intervention compared to control (SMD 0.03; CI -0.29, 0.34; 8 studies, n=818). There was evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 70%). Heterogeneity was not explained by risk of bias. There was no evidence of publication bias. A few studies assessed the number of participants that improved according to a broadband measure as shown in Figure 64.



#### Figure 64. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Broadband Measures (RR)

Similar effects are shows for broadband measures used as a categorical variable and the analysis did not detect a systematic treatment effect (RR 0.65; CI 0.35, 1.21; 3 studies, n=273). The three studies assessed different interventions, including micronutrients,<sup>344</sup> vitamin-mineral treatment,<sup>493</sup> and St. John's Wort<sup>596</sup> and there was some evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 73%). None of the studies was judged to be high risk of bias. There was some evidence of publication bias for the categorical outcome but the alternative estimate based on the trim and fill method was unchanged from the original effect.

The most common supplement assessed in this category was **Omega 3**. Restricting to Omega 3 studies, results for broadband measures were similar to the overall analyses in that they did not show a systematic benefit compared to control groups (SMD 0.07; CI -0.39, 0.53; 6 studies, n=620).<sup>214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup>

All studies reporting on ADHD symptoms are shown in Figure 65.



Figure 65. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on ADHD Symptoms (SMD)

Standardized Mean Difference

Across studies, analyses for the nutritional approaches and supplements showed a positive effect on ADHD symptoms compared to control (SMD -0.49; CI -0.80, -0.17; 19 studies, n=1854). The youngest children included in the studies were four years old. There was considerable heterogeneity (I-squared 89%) in results across studies. The largest effects were reported by a study evaluating a zinc sulfate supplement<sup>157</sup> and a restricted elimination diet.<sup>460</sup> Excluding three high risk of bias studies suggested a smaller treatment effect and the result was not statistically significant anymore (SMD -0.65; CI -0.79, 0.10), but heterogeneity was still not reduced. There was no evidence of publication bias. An omega 3 supplement was the only intervention that was studied in more than one of the otherwise very diverse studies. Restricting to Omega 3 studies did not find any benefits of the supplement (SMD -0.09; CI -0.53, 0.35; 6 studies, n=559).<sup>186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup> In this subset, heterogeneity was reduced, but still present (Isquared 75%). Two nutrition studies reported on symptom improvement as a categorical variable (i.e., number of participants showing a treatment response) but estimates varied and no meaningful effect estimate could be derived due to the large confidence interval (RR 1.88; CI 0.01, 678.58; 2 studies, n=256). Despite the small number of studies, some heterogeneity was detected (I-squared 43%). There was no evidence of publication bias either. One of the studies with a categorical ADHD symptom measure evaluated Omega 3; the study found no statistically significant effect (RR 3.93; 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=75)<sup>343</sup> and the estimate was imprecise.

Effects of nutrition and supplements on functional outcomes are shown in Figure 66.



### Figure 66. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Functional Impairment (SMD)

Across available studies reporting sufficient detail for effect size calculations, no systematic benefit was found on functional impairment (SMD 0.37; CI -0.51, 1.26; 3 studies, n=272). Studies evaluated different interventions, including vitamin D plus magnesium,<sup>320</sup> micronutrients,<sup>344</sup> and the DASH (dietary approach to stop hypertension) diet.<sup>358</sup> Despite the small number of studies, the analysis detected heterogeneity (I-squared 65%). There were no data for treatment satisfaction or academic performance. None of the omega 3 studies reported on these outcomes. A few studies addressed height, BMI, and weight changes as shown in Figure 67.

Figure 67. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Appetite Suppression (SMD)



There were no differences between treatment arms (SMD -0.05; CI -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373) for appetite suppression. Heterogeneity was negligible (I-squared 26%). There was no indication of publication bias. Removing one high risk of bias study showed no effect either (SMD -0.19; CI -0.67, 0.29). One of the studies assessed **omega 3** specifically; the study did not detect a statistically significant effect (SMD 0.20; CI -0.18, 0.59; 1 study, n=200).<sup>401</sup> The equivalent analysis for a categorical outcome (number of participants reporting appetite suppression) is shown in Figure 68.



Figure 68. Effects of Nutrition or	Supplements on	Appetite Suppression	(RR)
------------------------------------	----------------	----------------------	------

The equivalent analyses for a categorical outcome came to similar conclusions and did not detect an effect on appetite suppression (RR 1.10; CI 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439). The analysis did not detect heterogeneity. There was some indication of publication bias (Begg p 0.08, Egger p0.02). An alternative estimate using the trim and fill method also showed no systematic benefit (RR 1.16; CI 0.88, 1.54). Removing a high-risk of bias study in a sensitivity analysis found a similar effect (RR 1.14; CI 0.79, 1.64) suggesting that the result was not primarily driven by poor methodology.

Studies evaluating the effects on nutrition or supplements on adverse events are shown in Figure 69.



Figure 69. Effects of Nutrition or Supplements on Participants with Adverse Events (RR)

Across studies, there was no indication that the interventions were associated with a higher risk of experiencing an adverse event (RR 0.80; CI 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600). Heterogeneity was negligible (I-squared 33%), there was no evidence of publication bias, and none of the studies contributing to the effect estimate were considered high risk of bias. This analysis included three **omega 3** studies.<sup>214, 216, 265</sup> The result for this subset was similar to the overall analysis and omega 3 was also not associated with an increased risk of experiencing adverse events (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263).

## 5.3.8.1 Nutrition and Supplements Comparative Effects

Few of the nutrition and supplement studies used active comparators comparing the nutrition or supplement to a different intervention.

Two studies compared to methylphenidate while the intervention group received saffron<sup>143</sup> or ginkgo biloba<sup>497</sup> Both studies reported on symptoms but they found conflicting results. One reported no difference between saffron versus methylphenidate groups, while one favored methylphenidate over ginkgo biloba and the studies could not be combined to a meaningful summary estimate (SMD 0.40; CI -4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104). However, both studies reported also appetite suppression and found more events in the methylphenidate groups (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104).

One study compared omega 3 versus zinc supplements and found no difference in a broadband measure (SMD 0.02; CI -0.37, 0.41; 1 study, n=150).<sup>498</sup>

## 5.3.8.2 Nutrition and Supplements Summary of Findings

Table 19 displays the findings for each outcome category along with the number of studies and study identifiers. All outcomes displayed are for the longest follow-up reported. The summary of findings table displays data for all outcomes of interest across nutrition/supplements and for specific supplements where more than one study reported on the particular agent for the outcome. Results of individual studies are documented in the <u>evidence table</u> in the appendix.

Intervention and Comparison         Study Design and IDs           Comparison         4 RCTs <sup>310,30,30,30,30,307</sup> Results favored intervention (SMD 0.25; CI 0.17, 0.33; 4 studies, n=294)         Low for benefit           Polements vs control         Proadband         10 RCTs <sup>310,300,301,30,41,41</sup> 0 <sup>1,40,400,403,303,304,41</sup> 0 <sup>1,40,400,403,71,308         No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.29, 0.34; 8 studies, n=733)         Moderate for no effect           Vs control         ADHD symptoments vs control         10 RCTs<sup>310,310,330,41,350,4124,02,41</sup> 13.400         No systematic effect (SMD 0.49; CI -0.80, -0.17; 19 studies, n=273)         Low for benefit           KQ2 vs control         Functional impairment vs control         14 RCTs<sup>320,314,338,401</sup>         No systematic effect (SMD 0.37; CI -0.52, 12 studies, n=272)         Low for no effect           KQ2 vs control         Accelemic polements vs control         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Accelemic performance         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Accelemic performance         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Accelemic performance         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         9 RCTs<sup>314,134,341,49,491,494</sup>         No systematic effect </sup>	KQ2	Outcome	Number of Studies;	Findings	SoE
Comparison KQ2         Comparison Comparison         Comparison KQ2         Comparison RCC	Intervention		Study Design and IDs		
KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Behavior         4 RCTs <sup>216,405,573,377</sup> Results favored intervention (SMD 0.25; CI 0.17, 0.33; 4 studies, n=294)         Low for benefit           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Broadband measures         10 RCTs <sup>214,216,305,301,314,41</sup> No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.29, 0.34; 8 studies, n=273)         Moderate for no effect           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         ADHD symptoms         18 RCTs <sup>305,314,306,428,429,4 31,466         Positive effect (SMD 0.049; CI -0.80,-0.17; 19 studies, n=273)         Low for no effect           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Functional impairment vs control         4 RCTs<sup>305,314,356,400</sup>         No systematic effect (SMD 0.37; CI -0.52, 1.26; 3 studies, n=272)         Low for no effect           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Acceptability of treatment vs control         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Acpetite changes and growth suppression         6 RCTs<sup>144,353,401,429,490,377</sup>         No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; CI -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=4373; RR 1.10; CI 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=4320         Low for no effect           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Number of participants with adverse events         6 RCTs<sup>144,353,401,429,403,404,404</sup>         No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; CI 0.44, 1.43; No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI 0.44, 1.43; No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI 0.44, 1.43; No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI 0.44, 1.43; No systemat</sup>	Comparison				
nutrition/supplements vs control         Broadband measures         10 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 306, 428, 444, 01, 493, 494, 355, 466         No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.29, 0.34; 8 studies, n=818; RR 0.65; CI 0.35, 1.21; 3 studies, n=273)         Moderate for no effect           KQ2 vs control         ADHD symptoms         18 RCTs<sup>295, 314, 356, 428, 429, 4 01, 493, 496, 375, 966         Positive effect (SMD -0.49; CI -0.80, -0.17; 19 studies, n=1854; RR 1.88; CI 0.01, 677.13; 2 studies)         Low for benefit           kQ2 nutrition/supplements vs control         Functional impairment         4 RCTs<sup>295, 314, 356, 428, 429, 4 31, 466         No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.52, 10 studies, n=1854; RR 1.88; CI 0.01, 677.13; 2 studies)         Low for no effect           vs control         Acceptability of treatment performance         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           Vs control         Acceptability vs control         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Appetite changes and growth suppression         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Broadband growth suppression         0 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 206, 314, 314, 491, 491         No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=600)         Low for no effect           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Broadband growth suppression         R CTs<sup>214, 216, 216, 314, 314, 491, 491         No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.</sup></sup></sup></sup></sup>	KQ2	Behavior	4 RCTs <sup>216, 493, 573, 577</sup>	Results favored intervention (SMD 0.25; CI	Low for
pplements vs control         Proadband measures         IO RCTs <sup>214,216,308,343,344,40, 0,43,8 studies, n=818; RR 0.65; CI 0.35, 121; 3 studies, n=816; RR 0.65; CI 0.35, 121; 3 studies, n=7273)         Moderate for no effect           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         ADHD symptoms         18 RCTs<sup>214,216,308,343,344,40,4 0,43,855,314,356,408,400,40         Positive effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.80, -0.17; 19 studies, n=1854; RR 1.88; CI 0.01, 677,13; 2 studies, n=1854; RR 1.18; CI 0.01, 677,13; 2 studies, n=1854; RR 1.08; CI 0.01, 677,13; 2 studies, n=272)         Low for benefit           Vs control         Functional impairment pplements vs control         A RCTs<sup>120,344,355,401</sup>         No systematic effect (SMD 0.037; CI -0.52, 1.26; 3 studies, n=272)         Low for no effect           KQ2 vs control         Acceptability of treatment pplements vs control         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 vs control         Academic performance pression         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Number of participants with adverse events         9 RCTs<sup>214,216,205,314,304,401,491,491,491,491,491,491,491,491,491,49</sup></sup></sup>	nutrition/su			0.17, 0.33; 4 studies, n=294)	benefit
vs control       Broadband measures       IO RCTs <sup>214,216,308,343,344,4 01,492,498,53,396       No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.29, 0.34; 8 studies, n=818; RR 0.65; Cl 0.35, 1.21; 3 studies, n=818; RR 0.65; Cl 0.35, 1.21; 3 studies, n=818; RR 0.65; Cl 0.01, 0.34; 8 studies, n=818; RR 0.65; Cl 0.01, 0.34; 8 studies, n=818; RR 0.65; Cl 0.01, 0.34; 8 studies, n=1854; RR 1.88; Cl 0.01, 0.77, 13; 2 studies, n=272)       Low for no effect         KQ2 vs control       Functional mutrition/su pplements vs control       A Cceptability of treatment performance       0 studies       N/A       Insufficient         Vs control       0 studies       N/A       Insufficient       Insufficient         vs control       0 studies       N/A       Insufficient         vs control       0 studies       N/A       Insufficient         vs control       0 studies       N/A       Insufficient         vs control       9 RCTs<sup>14,10,30,30,401,493,470, 401,439,460,396       No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; Cl -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; Cl 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=620)       Low for no effect         KQ2 vs control       Number of participants with adverse events       6 RCTs<sup>14,216,303,304,404,490,498, 401,439,460,306       No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)       Moderate for no effect         KQ2 sontrol       <t< sup=""></t<></sup></sup></sup>	pplements				
KQ2 pplements vs controlBroadband measures10 RCTs^214.216,383,343,44,4 u.,493,493,494,40No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.29, 0.34; 8 studies, n=1264; RR 0.55; Cl 0.035, 1.21; 3 studies, n=1264; RR 1.88; Cl 0.01, effectModerate for no effectKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlFunctional impairment18 RCTs^30,314,356,428,429,4 31,400Positive effect (SMD 0.04; Cl -0.80, -0.17; 19 studies, n=1264; RR 1.88; Cl 0.01, 677,13; 2 studies, n=1264; RR 1.88; Cl 0.01, 677,13; 2 studies, n=1264; RR 1.88; Cl 0.01, effectLow for no effectKQ2 vs controlFunctional impairments0 studiesNi 4No systematic effect (SMD 0.37; Cl -0.52, 1.26; 3 studies, n=272)Low for no effectKQ2 vs controlAcceptability of treatment0 studiesNi AInsufficientKQ2 untrition/su pplements0 studiesNi AInsufficientKQ2 vs controlAcceptability of treatment0 studiesNi AInsufficientKQ2 untrition/su pplementsAcceptability of treatment0 studiesNi AInsufficientKQ2 vs controlAppetite changes and growth6 RCTs^14,314,301,434,491,491,493,493,497No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl 0.44, 1.43; No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl 0.46, 1.77; Low for no effectKQ2 controlNumber of participants with adverse9 RCTs^14,316,308,343,401,490 entrity 14,344,401,490No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl 0.46, 1.77;	vs control				
Number of the source sectors         Interactive sectors         01, 49, 48, 533, 596         02, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         02, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 596         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546, 546         01, 49, 530, 544, 553, 546, 546         01, 49, 553, 556, 556         01, 49, 556, 556, 556, 556, 556, 556, 556, 55	KQ2	Broadband	<b>10 RCTs</b> <sup>214</sup> , 216, 308, 343, 344, 4	No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.29,	Moderate
KQ2 vs control       ADHD symptoms       18 RCTs <sup>345,314,356,423,423,4 31,466       Positive effect (SMD -0.49; Cl -0.80, -0.17; 19 studies, n=1824; RR 1.88; Cl 0.01, 677.13; 2 studies, n=272)       Low for no effect         kQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control       Functional impairment       4 RCTs<sup>320,344,356,401</sup>       No systematic effect (SMD 0.37; Cl -0.52, 1.26; 3 studies, n=272)       Low for no effect         KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control       Acceptability of treatment       0 studies       N/A       Insufficient         KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control       Acceptability of treatment       0 studies       N/A       Insufficient         KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control       Academic performance       0 studies       N/A       Insufficient         KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control       Appetite changes and growth       6 RCTs<sup>344,333,401,429,493,577</sup>       No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; Cl -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=439)       Low for no effect         KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control       9 RCTs<sup>214,216,363,314,344</sup>       No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl 0.44, 1.43; No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl 0.44, 1.43; No systematic effect (RN 0.80; Cl 0.44, 1.43; No systematic effect (RN 0.80; Cl 0.44, 1.43; No systematic effect (RN 0.80; Cl 0.44, 1.43; No systematic effect (RN 0.90; Cl 0.46, 1.77; Low for no effect       Moderate for no effect         KQ2 no symptoms control       ADHD symptoms       3 RCTs<sup>214,216,363</sup>       No systematic effect (RN 0.90; Cl 0.46, 1.77; Low for no effect  </sup>	nutrition/su	measures	01, 493, 498, 573, 596	0.34, 0 Studies, 11-010, KK 0.05, CI 0.35, 1.21: 3 studies, n=273)	offect
KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlADHD symptoms18 RCTs <sup>395, 314, 356, 428, 429, 43 31, 466Positive effect (SMD -0.49; Cl -0.80, -0.17; 19 studies, n=1854; RR 1.88; Cl 0.01, 677.13; 2 studies)Low for benefitKQ2 vs controlFunctional impairment pplements vs control4 RCTs<sup>120, 344, 358, 401</sup>No systematic effect (SMD 0.37; Cl -0.52, 1.26; 3 studies, n=272)Low for no effectKQ2 vs controlAcceptability of treatment0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 vs controlAcademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 outrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and measures0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 outrition/su pplements vs controlNumber of participants events9 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 205, 314, 344, 401, 429, 409, 577No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 outrolNumber of participants events9 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 305, 314, 344, 401, 498</sup> (1 + 22, 465, 314, 344, 401, 498)No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs<sup>141, 216, 314, 343, 401, 498</sup> (1 + 22, 463, 314, 344, 401)No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlADHD symptom3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 314, 344, 401 (1 +</sup></sup></sup>	vs control			1.21, 0 30003, 11–2707	Cheol
Numericition/supplements vs control18 RCTs <sup>395, 34, 356, 428, 429, 4 11, 46010 studies, n=1634, RR 1.08; Cl 0.01, 677, 13; 2 studies)Early the term benefitKQ2 nutrition/supplements vs controlFunctional impairment4 RCTs<sup>320, 344, 358, 401</sup>No systematic effect (SMD 0.37; Cl -0.52, 1.26; 3 studies, n=272)Low for no effectKQ2 nutrition/supplements vs controlAcceptability of treatment0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/supplements vs controlAccademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/supplements vs controlAccademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/supplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression6 RCTs<sup>344, 333, 401, 429, 403, 537</sup>No systematic effect (SMD 0.05; Cl -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; Cl 0.68, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 nutrition/supplements vs control9 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 285, 314, 344, 401, 429, 403, 537 401, 423, 466, 596No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl 0.44, 1.43; No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.33, 0.36; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlADHD participants with adverse6 RCTs<sup>314, 216, 214, 216, 314, 314, 429, 401, 423, 401, 439No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; Cl -0.51, 0.36; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlADHD participants with adverse6 RCTs<sup>314, 216, 214, 216, 314, 314, 429No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; Cl -0.51, 0.36; 6 studies, n=620)Low for no effect</sup></sup></sup></sup>	KO2			Positive effect (SMD -0.49; CL-0.80, -0.17;	Low for
pplements vs controlSymptom31,466677.13; 2 studies)Anticity of the fieldKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlFunctional impairment4 RCTs^30,344,358,401No systematic effect (SMD 0.37; CI -0.52, 1.26; 3 studies, n=272)Low for no effectKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAcceptability of treatment0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAcceptability of treatment0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAcademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression6 RCTs^344,353,401,439,493,577 0 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; CI 0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; CI 0.64, 0.52; 3 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control9 RCTs^244,216,365,314,344, 401,438,466,566No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 ControlBroadband measures6 RCTs^214,216,314,344,429No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI 0.44, 1.43; 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 ControlADHD symptoms3 RCTs^214,216,314,344,429No systematic effect (SMD 0.08; CI 0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 1.0,35; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs^14,216,314,434,429No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n	nutrition/su	symptoms	<b>18 RCTs</b> <sup>295, 314, 356, 428, 429, 4</sup>	19 studies, n=1854: RR 1.88: CI 0.01.	benefit
vs controlvs controlVRCsFunctional impairment4 RCTs 320, 344, 358, 401No systematic effect (SMD 0.37; Cl - 0.52, 1.26; 3 studies, n=272)Low for no effectKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAcceptability of treatment0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAcademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAcademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite erformance6 RCTs 344, 333, 401, 429, 493, 377 arrowNo systematic effect (SMD -0.05; Cl -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; Cl 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events9 RCTs 214, 216, 343, 401, 498, 493, 491, 498, 493, 491, 491, 492, 466, 596No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs 214, 216, 343, 401, 498No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.51, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Low for no effectKQ2 somptomsNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs 214, 216, 343, 401, 498No systematic effect (RR 0.90; Cl 0.48, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 sup	pplements	, ,	31, 466	677.13; 2 studies)	
KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Functional impairment         4 RCTs <sup>320, 344, 384, 401</sup> No systematic effect (SMD 0.37; Cl -0.52, 1.26; 3 studies, n=272)         Low for no effect           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Acceptability of treatment         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Academic performance         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Academic performance         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Appetite of nanges and growth         6 RCTs <sup>344, 333, 401, 429, 409, 577         No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; Cl -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; Cl 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)         Low for no effect           KQ2 vs control         Number of participants         9 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 245, 344, 344, 40, 429, 409, 59         No systematic effect (SMD 0.05; Cl 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)         Moderate for no effect           KQ2 control         Broadband measures         6 RCTs<sup>3146, 214, 216, 344, 343, 409</sup> symptoms         No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl 0.44, 1.43; 6 studies, n=650)         Moderate for no effect           KQ2 control         ADHD symptom         3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 314, 344, 409</sup> symptom         No systematic effect (RR 0.90; Cl 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)         Low for no effect            KQ2 sountor         ADHD sy</sup></sup>	vs control				
nutrition/su pplementsimpairment1.26; 3 studies, n=272)effectKQ2 vs controlAcceptability of treatment0 studiesN/AInsufficientnutrition/su pplements0 studiesN/AInsufficientpolementsAcceptability of treatment0 studiesN/AInsufficientnutrition/su pplementsAcademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientnutrition/su pplementsAppetite changes and growth0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 polementsAppetite changes and growth6 RCTs <sup>314, 433, 401, 429, 493, 597</sup> No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; Cl -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; Cl 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 controlNumber of participants with adverse9 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 596No systematic effect (RR 0.80; Cl 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlBroadband measures6 RCTs<sup>114, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup>No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlADHD symptoms3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429No systematic effect (SMD 0.08; Cl -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=259; RR 3.97; Cl 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 sopplementsADHD symptom2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; Cl - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 suppressionADHD suppression2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic </sup></sup>	KQ2	Functional	4 RCTs <sup>320, 344, 358, 401</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD 0.37; CI -0.52,	Low for no
pplements vs control         Acceptability of treatment         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Acceptability of treatment         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Academic performance         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Academic performance         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Appetite changes and porters         6 RCTs <sup>144, 353, 401, 429, 403, 577</sup> No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; Cl -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; Cl 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)         Low for no effect           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Number of participants with adverse vernts         9 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 596         No systematic effect (RR 0.80; Cl 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)         Moderate for no effect           Control         Broadband measures         6 RCTs<sup>140, 216, 318, 343, 401, 499</sup>         No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)         Moderate for no effect           Comega 3 vs control         ADHD symptoms         3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 314, 314, 349</sup>         No systematic effect (SMD 0.08; Cl -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=263)         Low for no effect           KQ2 Omega 3 vs control         ADHD symptoms         2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup> </sup>	nutrition/su	impairment		1.26; 3 studies, n=272)	effect
VS control         Acceptability of treatment         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           nutrition/su pplements vs control         Acceptability of treatment         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Academic performance         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Academic changes and growth         0 studies         N/A         Insufficient           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Appetite changes and growth         6 RCTs <sup>344,353,401,429,493,577</sup> No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; CI -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; CI 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)         Low for no effect           KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control         Number of events         9 RCTs <sup>214,216,205,314,344, 401,423,466,590         No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)         Moderate for no effect           KQ2 Omega 3 vs control         ADHD symptoms         6 RCTs<sup>114,216,314,343,499</sup>         No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)         Low for no effect           KQ2 Omega 3 vs control         ADHD symptom         3 RCTs<sup>214,216,344,347</sup>         No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)         Insufficient           KQ2 Omega 3 vs control         ADHD supplement vs         2 RCTs<sup>143,497</sup>         No </sup>	pplements				
Nutrition/su pplements vs controlAcademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAcademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression6 RCTs <sup>344, 353, 401, 429, 493, 577</sup> 0 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; CI 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439) 7 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 ws controlNumber of participants with adverse events9 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 205, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 596No systematic effect (RR 0.80; CI 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 motryBroadband measures6 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup> 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup> 0 studies, n=620)No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=620)Low for no effectKQ2 controlADHD symptoms3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 205</sup> 145, 214, 216, 205No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 0 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 205</sup> 143, 497No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)Insufficient favoring supplement studies, an=263)KQ2 controlAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, </sup>	KO2	Accentability	Ω studies	Ν/Α	Insufficient
pplements vs controlAcademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression6 RCTs <sup>344, 353, 401, 429, 493, 577</sup> PRTSNo systematic effect (SMD -0.05; CI -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; CI 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events9 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 596No systematic effect (RR 0.80; CI 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlBroadband measures6 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup> 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs<sup>146, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup> 0 Systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=563)Low for no effectKQ2 controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 265</sup> 2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 supplement vs methylphenAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)Insufficient favoring supplement supplement vs methylphen</sup>	nutrition/su	of treatment	0 studies		moundent
vs controlAcademic performanceAcademic performanceN/AInsufficientKQ2 mutrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 mutrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression6 RCTs <sup>344, 353, 401, 429, 493, 577</sup> of RCTs <sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 596No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; CI -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; CI 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlNumber of measures9 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 596No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlBroadband measures6 RCTs<sup>146, 214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup> A0, 38; 6 studies, n=620)No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs<sup>146, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup> ADHD symptomNo systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for favoring supplement vs 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Insufficient favoring supplement vs supplement vs methylphenAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)<!--</sup--></sup></sup>	pplements	or a outmone			
KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAcademic performance0 studiesN/AInsufficientKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression6 RCTs <sup>344, 353, 401, 429, 493, 577</sup> of RCTs <sup>214, 216, 305, 314, 344, 401, 429, 466, 596No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; CI -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; CI 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 pplements vs control9 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 305, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 596No systematic effect (RR 0.80; CI 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs control6 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup> measuresNo systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs<sup>186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup> participants with adverse eventsNo systematic effect (SMD 0.08; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 Supplement vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 305</sup> 214, 216, 305No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)Insufficient favoring supplement 4.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)</sup></sup>	vs control				
nutrition/su pplements vs controlperformanceperformanceKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression6 RCTs <sup>344, 353, 401, 429, 493, 577</sup> of RCTs <sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 396No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; Cl -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; Cl 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 Number of pplements vs control9 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 396No systematic effect (RR 0.80; Cl 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 ControlBroadband measures6 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup> 401, 428, 466, 396No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 ControlADHD symptoms6 RCTs<sup>186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup> anticipants with adverse eventsNo systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.51, 0.38; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; Cl 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 ControlADHD symptom3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 265</sup> symptomNo systematic effect (RR 0.90; Cl 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateADPD symptom2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup> suppressionNo systematic effect (RR 0.90; Cl 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for favoring supplementKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup> SupplementNo systematic difference (SMD 0.40; Cl - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)Insufficient favoring suppleme nts&lt;</sup></sup>	KQ2	Academic	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth6 RCTs^{344, 353, 401, 429, 493, 577No systematic effect (SMD -0.05; Cl -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; Cl 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 vs controlNumber of participants with adverse9 RCTs^{214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 423, 466, 596No systematic effect (RR 0.80; Cl 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 vs controlBroadband measures6 RCTs^{214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 423, 466, 596No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs^{186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 401, 498}No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; Cl -0.51, 0.38; 6 studies, n=520)Low for no effectKQ2 controlADHD symptoms3 RCTs^{214, 216, 314, 343, 429}No systematic effect (RR 0.90; Cl 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs^{214, 216, 265}No systematic effect (RR 0.90; Cl 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs^{143, 497}No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; Cl - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 supplement vs methylphenAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs^{143, 497}Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; Cl 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement	nutrition/su	performance			
KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppression6 RCTs344.353.401.429.493.577 suppressionNo systematic effect (SMD -0.05; Cl -0.63, 0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; Cl 0.88, 1.38; 6 studies, n=439)Low for no effectKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs control9 RCTs214.216.265.314.344, 401.428,466.596No systematic effect (RR 0.80; Cl 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 omega 3 vs control9 RCTs214.216.265.314.343,401.498 401.428,466.596No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs control6 RCTs186.214.216.308.343.401.498 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; Cl -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; Cl 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs214.216.265 2 RCTs143.497No systematic effect (RR 0.90; Cl 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 controlADHD symptom2 RCTs143.497 2 RCTs143.497No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; Cl - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)Insufficient favoring supplement vs	pplements				
Intertion/su pplements vs controlAppetite changes and growth suppressionOffer to the suppressionOffer to the suppressionIntertion of the offer to the to suppressionDefine to the suppressionDefine to the suppressionKQ2 mutrition/su pplements vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events9 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 396No systematic effect (RR 0.80; CI 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 ControlBroadband measures6 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup> 0.1, 428, 466, 396No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 ControlADHD symptoms6 RCTs<sup>146, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup>No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 ControlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 265</sup>No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement nts</sup>	KQ2	Annetite	6 RCTs <sup>344, 353, 401, 429, 493, 577</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD -0.05: CL-0.63	Low for no
pplements vs controlgrowth suppression1.38; 6 studies, n=439)KQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events9 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 596No systematic effect (RR 0.80; CI 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlBroadband measures6 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup> effectNo systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs<sup>186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup> articipantsNo systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 265</sup>No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement nts</sup>	nutrition/su	changes and		0.52; 3 studies, n=373; RR 1.10; CI 0.88,	effect
vs controlsuppressionModerate for no effectKQ2 nutrition/su pplements vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events9 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 596No systematic effect (RR 0.80; Cl 0.44, 1.43; for no effectModerate for no effectKQ2 controlBroadband measures6 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup>No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs<sup>186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup>No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; Cl -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; Cl 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 265</sup>No systematic effect (RR 0.90; Cl 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; Cl - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)Insufficient favoring supplement vs supplement vs supplement vs supplement vs supplement vs supplement vs methylphen2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; Cl 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement nts</sup>	pplements	growth		1.38; 6 studies, n=439)	
KQ2 nutrition/su pplementsNumber of participants with adverse events9 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344, 401, 428, 466, 596No systematic effect (RR 0.80; CI 0.44, 1.43; 7 studies, n=600)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlBroadband measures6 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup>No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs<sup>186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup>No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs<sup>214, 216, 265</sup>No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs<sup>143, 497</sup>No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)Insufficient</sup>	vs control	suppression			
Number of omega 3 vs controlBroadband weaks6 RCTs214,216,308,343,401,498No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; Cl -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs186,214,216,314,343,429No systematic effect (SMD 0.08; Cl -0.51, 0.38; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; Cl 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Moderate for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs214,216,265No systematic effect (RR 0.90; Cl 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs143,497No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; Cl - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)Insufficient	KQ2	Number of	<b>9 RCTs</b> <sup>214, 216, 265, 314, 344,</sup>	No systematic effect (RR 0.80; CI 0.44, 1.43;	Moderate
Preferenceswith adversewith adverse <th>nutrition/su</th> <th>participants</th> <th>401, 428, 400, 570</th> <th>7 studies, n=600)</th> <th>for no</th>	nutrition/su	participants	401, 428, 400, 570	7 studies, n=600)	for no
KQ2 Omega 3 vs controlBroadband measures6 RCTs^{214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498}No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.33, 0.38; 6 studies, n=620)Moderate for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs^{186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429}No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs^{214, 216, 265}No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs^{143, 497}No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs^{143, 497}Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement nts	vs control	events			eneci
Omega 3 vs controlmeasures0.38; 6 studies, n=620)for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs214, 216, 265No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs143, 497No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs143, 497Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement nts	KQ2	Broadband	6 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 308, 343, 401, 498</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD 0.03; CI -0.33,	Moderate
controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs186,214,216,314,343,429No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs214,216,265No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs143,497No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs143,497Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement nts	Omega 3 vs	measures		0.38; 6 studies, n=620)	for no
KQ2 Omega 3 vs controlADHD symptoms6 RCTs <sup>186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup> No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI -0.51, 0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)Low for no effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs <sup>214, 216, 265</sup> No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateADHD participants with adverse events2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup> No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup> Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement nts	control				effect
Omega 3 vs controlsymptoms0.34; 6 studies, n=559; RR 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95; 1 study, n=64)effectKQ2 Omega 3 vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs^{214, 216, 265}No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs^{143, 497}No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs^{143, 497}Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement nts	KQ2	ADHD	6 RCTs <sup>186, 214, 216, 314, 343, 429</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD -0.08; CI -0.51,	Low for no
KQ2 Omega 3 vs controlNumber of participants with adverse events3 RCTs^{214, 216, 265}No systematic effect (RR 0.90; CI 0.46, 1.77; 3 studies, n=263)Low for no effectKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs^{143, 497}No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 symptomAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs^{143, 497}Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement nts	Omega 3 vs	symptoms		0.34; 6 studies, n=559; KK 3.97; CI 0.93, 16.95: 1 study, n=64)	enect
NoNoSystematic onset (NT 5.56, ST 5.16, NT 1, St 5.66, ST 5.16, ST 5	KQ2	Number of	3 BCTs <sup>214, 216, 265</sup>	No systematic effect (RR 0 90: CI 0 46, 1 77:	Low for no
controlwith adverse eventswith adverse eventsKQ2 Supplement 	Omega 3 vs	participants		3 studies. n=263)	effect
KQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs143,497No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs143,497Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring supplement nts	control	with adverse			
KQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateADHD symptom2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup> No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; Cl - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 Supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup> No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; Cl - 4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)InsufficientKQ2 supplement vs methylphen idateAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup> Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; Cl 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring suppleme nts		events			
Supplement       symptom       4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)         vs       methylphen       4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)         idate       KQ2       Appetite       2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup> Supplement       changes and       2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup> Supplements reported fewer events (RR         vs       grows       grows       suppression       1.29; Cl 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)	KQ2	ADHD	2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD 0.40; CI -	Insufficient
vs     methylphen idate     Appetite     2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup> Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; Cl 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)     Low for favoring supplement nts	Supplement	symptom		4.79, 5.58; 2 studies, n=104)	
idate     Appetite     2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup> Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)     Low for favoring supplement nts	vs methvinhen				
KQ2 Supplement vs methylphenAppetite changes and grows suppression2 RCTs143, 497Supplements reported fewer events (RR 0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)Low for favoring suppleme nts	idate				
Supplement vs methylphenchanges and grows suppression0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)favoring suppleme nts	KQ2	Appetite	2 RCTs <sup>143, 497</sup>	Supplements reported fewer events (RR	Low for
vs grows suppleme nts	Supplement	changes and		0.29; CI 0.14, 0.59; 2 studies, n=104)	favoring
idate suppression nts	vs	grows .			suppleme
	idate	suppression			nts

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE strength of evidence

The majority of studies reported on ADHD symptoms and we found low strength of evidence that nutrition and supplements can show benefits. We downgraded by two for inconsistency since we only found effects for one outcome type (continuous, not categorical data) and the continuous data showed considerable heterogeneity. In addition, the evaluated supplements and dietary approaches were very diverse and it was not possible to identify an effect of a specific intervention that has shown positive effects in more than one study. There was also a positive effect shown for individual problem behaviors but the number of studies and samples were small, none of the individual studies reported statistically significant effects, and an additional study may change the statistical significance of the pooled effect (downgraded by two for imprecision). We found no systematic effect on broadband measures or functional impairment but we downgraded the strength of evidence due to heterogeneity (inconsistency). There was insufficient evidence to estimate the effect on acceptability of treatment and academic performance due to the lack of research studies. There was moderate strength evidence that nutrition and supplement interventions are just as safe as a placebo but we downgraded for study limitation as some studies had reported adverse events but did not report on the number of participants experiencing adverse events.

The evaluated supplements and dietary approaches were very diverse but the effect of omega 3 has been assessed in multiple studies. We found no evidence that omega 3 improves behavior, broadband measure scores, or ADHD symptoms, and it was not associated with appetite suppression or experiencing adverse events. We downgraded the omega 3 evidence due to study limitations.

We found two studies that reported the comparative effectiveness of supplements versus methylphenidate. While both reported on ADHD symptoms, we determined the <u>strength of</u> <u>evidence</u> to be insufficient because of the small number of studies reporting on two different supplements (inconsistency), studies reported conflicting results (inconsistency) and no meaningful summary estimate could be derived (imprecision). There was low <u>strength of</u> <u>evidence</u> that supplements reported fewer appetite suppression events than methylphenidate (downgraded for inconsistency and imprecision).

We downgraded the <u>strength of evidence</u> for no difference between omega 3 and zinc in broadband measures to insufficient (study limitation, downgraded by two as the single study did not let us assess inconsistency).

# 5.3.9 CAM

We identified four studies that evaluated complementary, alternative, or integrative medicine (CAM) interventions.<sup>158, 280, 281, 327</sup> Studies were published between 2001 and 2019; they were conducted in Switzerland,<sup>280, 281</sup> Iran,<sup>158</sup> and Korea.<sup>327</sup> All studies included both children and adolescents and participants were predominately male. Race or ethnicity was not reported, presumably because populations of these countries are fairly homogenous. ADHD presentations and presentations were not reported. Studies evaluated acupuncture and homeopathy. Three studies compared to a passive control group (waitlist, placebo, attention-matched control).

None of the studies reported on individual problem behaviors. One of the identified studies reported on a broadband measure in sufficient detail to calculate an effect size; the study found no systematic improvement associated with acupuncture compared to waitlist (SMD -0.19; CI - 0.60, 0.22; 1 study, n=93).<sup>327</sup> One homeopathy study reported insufficient detail for effect size calculations but concluded that the intervention had improved the Conners Global Index compared to placebo.<sup>280</sup>

Two studies reported on ADHD symptoms, but the effects varied somewhat and no meaningful summary estimate could be derived (SMD 0.18; CI -1.66, 2.01; 2 studies, n=190).<sup>158, 327</sup> The studies evaluated traditional acupuncture and auricular acupuncture. One of the studies reported on symptom improvement as a categorical variable and found auricular acupuncture improved symptoms (RR 4.26; CI 1.42, 12.77; 1 study, n=50).<sup>158</sup>

None of the identified studies reported sufficient detail to calculate effect estimates for the other outcomes of interest, including functional impairment, treatment satisfaction, academic performance, appetite suppression, or participants experiencing adverse events.

# 5.3.9.1 CAM Comparative Effects

One of the identified studies (n=115) compared homeopathy and methylphenidate.<sup>281</sup> The high risk of bias study used the Clinical Global Impression (CGI) scale but did not provide sufficient detail to allow computation of effect sizes. The authors concluded that homeopathic treatment appears to be similar to the effect of methylphenidate.

# 5.3.9.2 CAM Summary of Findings

Table 20 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers.

Tuble Let Ride Bull		inge and eacing		
Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 CAM vs control	Behavior	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 CAM vs control	Broadband measures	2 RCTs <sup>280, 327</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD -0.19; -0.60, 0.22; 1 study, n=140)	Low for no effect
KQ2 CAM vs control	ADHD symptoms	2 RCTs <sup>158, 327</sup>	Conflicting results (SMD 0.19; CI 1.72, 2.11; 2 studies, n=190; RR 4.26; CI 1.42, 12.77; 1 study, n=44)	Insufficient
KQ2 CAM vs control	Functional impairment	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 CAM vs control	Acceptability of treatment	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 CAM vs control	Academic performance	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 CAM vs control	Appetite suppression	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 CAM vs control	Participants with adverse events	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient

Table 20. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for CAM

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE strength of evidence

Very few studies reported on the <u>key outcomes</u> selected for the review and the conclusion for several outcomes was that the evidence base is insufficient because of lack of research. The <u>strength of evidence</u> was downgraded for broadband measure scores due to inconsistency and imprecision (both studies reported a positive effect but estimates varied). The <u>strength of</u> <u>evidence</u> was determined to be insufficient for symptoms because of conflicting results and it is unclear whether CAM interventions have an effect on ADHD symptoms.

Only one comparative effectiveness study was identified and the study reported insufficient details to compute effect sizes for the outcomes of interest.

# 5.3.10 Parent Support

We identified 18 studies evaluating an intervention primarily targeting parents.<sup>117, 184, 204, 228, 233, 260, 268, 269, 290, 328, 376, 418, 508, 533, 539-541, 572 Of note, some psychosocial studies presented earlier in the chapter also included a parent component, but in addition to targeting the children and adolescents directly. The earliest identified parent support study was published in 2001.<sup>539</sup> Evaluations were published in ten different countries, primarily the US<sup>52, 117, 184, 204</sup> and the UK.<sup>269, 539-541</sup> The populations studied were parents of children with ADHD between the ages of three and up to 18 years, but only three studies included teenagers.<sup>204, 268, 269</sup> For studies that distinguished between ADHD presentations, the most prevalent type (ranging from 33.5%<sup>117</sup> to 63%<sup>328</sup> of the ADHD participants) was the combined type. While ADHD participants with cooccurring disorders were not excluded from most of the studies, no studies purposely included specific co-occurring disorders such as oppositional defiant disorder or conduct disorder, i.e., where the children had a dual diagnosis. Two studies included children with sleep problems.<sup>324, 418</sup> Race and ethnicity demographics for the parents or children were not mentioned in most studies.</sup>

Interventions were diverse in terms of intervention approach as well as intensity and included behavioral training for parents, in-home nurse visits, group psychotherapy, telephone-assisted self help, psychoeducation, and parental friendship coaching. One intervention each targeted sleep or reading, several evaluated the New Forest Parenting Program. Of the identified studies, most reported on a control group, including attention-matched groups,<sup>268, 290</sup> no intervention, waitlist, or treatment as usual.<sup>233, 269, 324, 376, 508, 540, 572</sup> Some studies included both a control group and an alternative psychological or behavioral intervention.<sup>117, 184, 328, 539, 541</sup> Three studies had no control group, only an alternative intervention.<sup>52, 204, 533</sup> Two studies compared parent training as stimulant augmentation to medication alone.<sup>52, 418</sup>

We only included studies that reported data on the effects on the children with ADHD; studies reporting only on parental outcomes were excluded (see <u>eligibility criteria</u>). Studies reported a variety of often study-specific outcomes, such as family dynamics and parental stress. In terms of pre-specified outcomes, broadband scales and symptom scores were the most frequently reported outcomes. Figure 70 shows the effects on individual behaviors assessed in the studies, including showing physical aggression, externalizing problem behavior in the family, and observed ADHD behavior in a play situation.





Across studies, we did not detect a systematic effect of the parent-oriented interventions (SMD 0.35; CI -0.70, 1.40; 3 studies, n=252). The analysis did detect statistical heterogeneity (I-squared 70%). None of the studies was considered high risk. There was no evidence of publication bias.

Results for broadband measures are shown in Figure 71.





Analyses found positive effects of parent support interventions but the effect was only borderline statistically significant (SMD 0.42; CI 0.01, 0.83; 4 studies, n=379). The youngest children included in the studies were three years old, the oldest were 18. The included

interventions were all multi-component interventions targeting parents, but the content varied considerably. Interventions included the New Forest Parenting Package for parents of preschoolers versus wait list,<sup>117</sup> a combination of methylphenidate plus parental training and support versus medication alone,<sup>260</sup> a psychoeducation interventions versus treatment as usual,<sup>269</sup> and parent training for mothers versus waitlist,<sup>540</sup> in the individual studies. Heterogeneity was unremarkable (I-squared 28%). There was no evidence of publications bias and none of the studies was considered high risk of bias.

A number of studies reported on ADHD symptom measures (Figure 72).



### Figure 72. Effects of Parent Support on Symptoms (SMD)

Analyses indicated a benefit of the parent interventions on ADHD symptoms compared to control groups not receiving the intervention, but the effect was small and the statistical significance was borderline (SMD -0.23; CI -0.45, -0.00; 10 studies; n=1053). The youngest children included in the studies were three years old, the oldest were 18. There was little statistical heterogeneity (I-squared 51%) in results, but the multi-component interventions varied in content and complexity. Strongest effects were shown for an education and behavior strategy program for parents of preschoolers,<sup>539</sup> psychoeducation for families,<sup>269</sup> and the New Forest Parenting Package for parents of preschoolers,<sup>376</sup> specifically. Removing high risk of bias studies suggested a smaller, not statistically significant effect (SMD -0.20; CI -1.00, 0.60) but heterogeneity increased in this sensitivity analysis. There was no evidence of publication bias. One study evaluating an education and behavior strategy program for parents of preschoolers; the study found no statistically significant effect (RR 0.47; CI 0.20, 1.07; 1 study, n=50).

Functional impairment outcomes were also frequently reported in identified studies as shown in Figure 73.



#### Figure 73. Effects of Parent Support on Functional Impairment (SMD)

Pooled effect estimates showed no systematic effect of the intervention on functional impairment (SMD 0.29; CI -0.29, 0.86; 4 studies, n=344). There was some heterogeneity (I-squared 61%). Removing two high risk of bias studies reported also a non-significant effect with wide confidence intervals (SMD 0.47; CI -4.18, 5.11). There was no evidence of publication bias. There were insufficient data to calculate effects on treatment satisfaction or academic outcomes.

One study reported on appetite suppression and found no systematic effect (RR 7.14; CI 0.38, 134.71; 1 study, n=99) but the estimate was very imprecise. The study also reported on the number of participants with adverse events, but results were likely driven by the pharmacological component of the intervention: the study found more events in psychoeducation for parents plus atomoxetine versus psychoeducation for parents plus placebo (RR 1.21; CI 1.00, 1.47; 1 study, n=92).<sup>560</sup>

## 5.3.10.1 Parent Support Comparative Effectiveness

Multiple studies compared two different parenting approaches.

Two studies assessed the New Forest Parenting program compared to an alternative approach. One study compared the New Forest Parenting versus an alternative comprehensive program (helping the noncompliant child) and found no difference in aggressive behaviors (SMD 0.05; CI -0.29, 0.40; 1 study, n=164) but the CPRS ratings were lower in the helping the noncompliant child group (SMD -0.41; CI 0.76, -0.07; 1 study, n=164). There was no difference in treatment satisfaction (SMD -0.13; CI -0.48, 0.21; 1 study, n=164).<sup>117</sup> One study compared the New Forest Parenting program with the Incredible Years alternative parenting program.<sup>541</sup> The study found no difference in ADHD symptom scores (SMD -0.09; CI -0.33, 0.15; 1 study, n=307). A study by the same author group compared a parent training focusing on education about ADHD and behavior management strategies versus a parent counseling and support intervention.<sup>539</sup> The study found no differences in behavior in direct observations (SMD 0.36; CI -0.36, 0.88; 1 study, n=307) or broadband measure scores (RR 0.74; 0.42, 1.30; 1 study, n=307) but results favored

the parent training when comparing the parental account of childhood symptom score to assess ADHD (SMD -0.69; CI -1.22, -0.16; 1 study, n=307).

A study comparing parent psychoeducation to parent counseling found no statistically significant differences in ADHD symptom assessments (SMD -0.32; -0.77, 0.13; 1 study, n=81) or functional impairment (SMD 0.07; CI -0.38, 0.52; 1 study, n=81) and concluded that psychoeducation is a complementary rather than a substitute treatment.<sup>268</sup>

A study (n=92) evaluating a behavioral parent training for children with ADHD targeting executive function versus a consequence-based program did not report sufficient detail on our key outcomes to calculate effect sizes, but the study concluded positive effects on daily rated problem behaviors and hyperactivity-impulsivity symptoms for both interventions. Results favored the targeted behavioral training for inattention.<sup>328</sup> A nursing case-management intervention working with families versus receiving a parenting book and newsletter did not report sufficient detail to assess effect sizes but the study (n=174) indicated that for broadband measures there were no significant differences between groups (while the overall evaluation was considered positive).<sup>204</sup> A study (n=172) comparing a parental friendship coaching intervention versus psychoeducation and social support found no significant differences in aggressive behaviors in the children with ADHD and did not report sufficient detail for effect size calculations, but the study concluded that the coaching intervention showed parents providing more emotion strategies and praise.<sup>533</sup>

Authors comparing the STEPP (Strategies To Enhance Positive Parenting) program to a traditional parent training program found no differences in ADHD symptoms (SMD 0.16; CI - 0.28, 0.60; 1 study, 120) but found lower functional impairment scores favoring STEPP (SMD 0.51; CI 0.07, 0.96; 1 study, n=120).<sup>184</sup>

# 5.3.10.2 Parent Support Summary of Findings

Table 21 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers.

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 parent support vs control	Behavior	<b>5</b> RCTs <sup>117, 290, 328, 376, 539</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD 0.35; CI -0.70, 1.40; 3 studies, n=252)	Low for no effect
KQ2 parent support vs control	Broadband measures	6 RCTs <sup>117, 260, 269, 539, 540, 560</sup>	Results favor intervention (SMD 0.42; Cl 0.01, 0.83; 4 studies, n=379)	Low for benefit
KQ2 parent support vs control	ADHD symptoms	<b>14 RCTs</b> <sup>184, 233, 260, 269, 290, 328, 376, 508, 539-541, 560, 572</sup>	Results favor intervention (SMD -0.23; CI -0.45, - 0.00; 10 studies, n=1053; RR 0.47, CI 0.20, 1.07; 1 study, n=50)	Low for benefit
KQ2 parent support vs control	Functional impairment	4 RCTs <sup>184, 233, 269, 290</sup>	No systematic effect (SMD 0.29; CI -0.29, 0.86; 4 studies, n=344)	Low for no effect
KQ2 parent support vs control	Acceptability of treatment	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 parent support vs control	Academic performance	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 parent support vs control	Appetite suppression	1 RCT <sup>560</sup>	No systematic effect (RR 7.14; CI 0.38, 134.71; 1 study, n=99)	Insufficient

Table 21. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Parent Interventions
Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 parent support vs control	Participants with adverse events	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 New Forest Parenting program vs Helping the Noncompliant Child	Behavior	1 RCT <sup>117</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD 0.05; CI -0.29, 0.40; 1 study, n=164)	Insufficient
KQ2 New Forest Parenting program vs Helping the Noncompliant Child	Broadband measures	1 RCT <sup>117</sup>	Results favored the helping-the-noncompliant-child intervention (SMD -0.41; CI 0.76, -0.07; 1 study, n=164)	Insufficient
KQ2 New Forest Parenting program vs Helping the Noncompliant Child	Functional impairment	1 RCT <sup>117</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD -0.13; CI -0.48, 0.21; 1 study)	Insufficient
KQ2 New Forest Parenting program vs The Incredible Years	ADHD symptoms	1 RCT <sup>541</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD 0.09; CI -0.33, 0.15; 1 study, n=307)	Insufficient
KQ2 Parent training vs parent counseling	Behavior	1 RCT <sup>539</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD 0.36; CI -0.16, 0.88; 1 study, n=78)	Insufficient
KQ2 Parent training vs parent counseling	Broadband measures	1 RCT <sup>539</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD 0.74; CI 0.42, 1.30; 1 study, n=78)	Insufficient
KQ2 Parent training vs parent counseling	ADHD symptoms	1 RCT <sup>539</sup>	Results favored the parent training intervention (SMD -0.69; CI -1.22, -0.16; 1 study, n=78)	Insufficient
KQ2 Parent friendship coaching vs psychoeducation	Behavior	1 RCT <sup>533</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD 0.14; CI -0.16, 0.43; 1 study, n=172)	Insufficient
KQ2 Parent psychoeducation vs parent counseling	ADHD symptoms	1 RCT <sup>268</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD -0.32; CI -0.77, 0.13; 1 study, n=81)	Insufficient
KQ2 Parent psychoeducation vs parent counseling	Functional impairment	1 RCT <sup>268</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD 0.07; CI -0.38, 0.52; 1 study, n=81)	Insufficient
KQ2 Strategies to Enhance Positive Parenting Program vs traditional parent behavior training	ADHD symptoms	1 RCT <sup>184</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD -0.16; CI -0.28, 0.60; 1 study, n=120)	Insufficient
KQ2 Strategies to Enhance Positive Parenting Program vs traditional parent behavior training	Functional impairment	1 RCT <sup>184</sup>	Results favored the positive parenting program (SMD 0.51; CI 0.07, 0.96; 1 study, n=120)	Insufficient

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE strength of evidence

Across studies, parent training interventions were associated with improvements in broadband measure scores (low <u>strength of evidence</u>, downgraded for inconsistency given the variation and small number of studies and imprecision) and standardized symptom scores (moderate <u>strength of evidence</u>, downgraded for inconsistency and imprecision) as well as. There was no systematic effect on individual behaviors assessed in the studies, but the existing evidence is limited (inconsistency). We found no systematic effect on functional impairment (inconsistency). Evidence was insufficient to determine acceptability of treatment, academic performance, and participants with adverse events due to lack of research reporting on the outcome. Although one study reported on appetite suppression, the estimate was so imprecise and the study did not assess parent interventions per se (it assessed the combinations parent training plus atomoxetine versus parent training plus placebo) that we also determined the evidence base as insufficient for that outcome (downgraded due to study limitation, inconsistency as no replication could be evaluated, and imprecision due to the wide confidence intervals).

The comparative studies were downgraded to insufficient as studies had not been replicated yet and all results were unique to the reported study and the robustness of results could not be further evaluated; in addition it was unclear whether the study was sufficiently powered to detect a difference for the outcome examined (downgraded for inconsistency, study limitation).

### 5.3.11 School Interventions

We identified ten studies reporting on teacher or school environment interventions.<sup>171, 213, 242, 262, 509, 517, 519, 564, 590, 628</sup> The earliest study was published in 2009.<sup>590</sup> Interventions were evaluated in four different countries, predominantly the US. The populations studied were most often children attending elementary through middle school between the ages of six and 14, with only one study including adolescents up to 17 years old.<sup>509</sup> In two studies, participants were required to demonstrate an IQ of 80 or higher.<sup>171, 262</sup> Only one study required participants to not be taking stimulant medication or to be on a stable dose with no plans of change during the study duration.<sup>213</sup> The majority of participants used ADHD medication at baseline. For studies that provided information on ADHD presentations, the combined type was the most prevalent presentation, followed by inattentive type. While ADHD participants with co-occurring disorders were not excluded from most of the studies, one study purposely required participants to have word-reading difficulties or reading disabilities in addition to ADHD.<sup>564</sup> Several studies also report on participant co-occurring disorders, with the most common conditions reported being oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder, and anxiety and mood disorders.<sup>171, 519, 564, 590</sup>

Approximately half of the studies used a multimodal intervention strategy comprising both teacher training and parent training,<sup>509, 517, 519, 628</sup> with some studies also including intervention components targeting children with ADHD.<sup>171, 262, 519, 564</sup> Two studies examined teacher-specific interventions. One<sup>213</sup> tested a web-based online learning modules for elementary-school teachers, while the other<sup>590</sup> tested two different types of ADHD consultation services for teachers to help them plan and execute classroom-based ADHD interventions for students. Most studies reported on a control group, including waitlist control,<sup>171, 213, 509</sup> no intervention,<sup>262, 519</sup> and ADHD medication only (compared to other modes of active treatment).<sup>517, 628</sup> Some studies reported on an alternative intervention, such a lower intensity intervention<sup>519</sup> or a modified version of an original intervention,<sup>262</sup> or multimodal intervention packets targeted at both parents and teachers<sup>509</sup> and evaluated the comparative effectiveness of these interventions.

Studies reported a variety of often study-specific outcomes, such as improvement in individual cognitive tasks. In terms of pre-specified outcomes, symptom scores, functional impairment, and academic scores were the most frequently reported outcomes. Two studies reported on individual problem behaviors, but results were conflicting and could not be combined to a meaningful summary estimate (SMD 0.01; CI -1.36, 1.38; 2 studies, n=395).<sup>242, 519</sup> We did not identify studies reporting on broadband measure scores. Studies reporting on ADHD symptoms are shown in Figure 74.





Across studies, school interventions were associated with a reduction in ADHD symptoms (SMD -0.50; CI -0.92, -0.07; 6 studies, n=898). The age of the children in the included studies ranged from six to 17. There was evidence of heterogeneity (I-squared 82%). We found no indication of publication bias. Removing high risk of bias studies in a sensitivity analysis left only three studies; the effect estimate was smaller and was not statistically significant anymore (SMD -0.24; CI -1.00, 0.48). Heterogeneity was reduced, suggesting that the methodological rigor of the study is one source of heterogeneity.

Two studies reported on functional outcomes, however, they reported conflicting results and could not be combined to a meaningful estimate (SMD 0.22; CI -4.39, 4.82; 2 studies; n=274).<sup>213, 262</sup> There was heterogeneity (I-squared 83%) but no further analyses could be performed due to the small number of studies. One study evaluated a web-based intervention for teachers of elementary students with ADHD<sup>213</sup> and reported improvements. The other assessed a school-based training intervention program for adolescents but found no differences compared to community care in the relation with peer scale domain of the IRS (Impairment Rating Scale).<sup>262</sup>

A small number of studies reported on academic performance measures as shown in Figure 75.



Figure 75. Effects of School Interventions on Academic Performance (SMD)

Although all individual studies reported a reduction, across studies, the effect was not statistically significant (SMD -0.25; CI -0.59, 0.08; 4 studies, n=691). There was little heterogeneity (I-squared 47%). We did not detect potential publication bias. Removing one high-risk of bias study found a smaller effect that was not statistically significant (SMD -0.15; CI 0.44, 0.14) and the analysis detected no heterogeneity, suggesting that methodological rigor of the studies was a source of heterogeneity. Identified studies did not report on other prespecified outcomes for the review.

#### **5.3.11.1 School Interventions Comparative Effects**

One study assessed a dose-response question and compared a high versus a low intensity summer program. The study is shown in more detail in the appendix; the authors found no differences in school disciplinary incidents (SMD 0.01; CI -0.26, 0.28; 1 study, n=325), ADHD symptom assessments (SMD 0.01; CI -0.26, 0.29; 1 study, n=325), functional impairment (SMD -0.14; CI -0.42, 0.13; 1 study, n=325), or academic performance (SMD -0.25; -0.64, 0.14; 1 study, n=325) but concluded that the high intensity intervention was superior in engagement and uptake of selected skills.<sup>519</sup>

Other school interventions reported on the comparison to alternative, school-based or teacher-led interventions. This included a study comparing two homework management programs, one focused on contingency management-based treatment versus a planning skill program.<sup>171</sup> The study found no statistically significant differences in GPA (grade point average) scores (SMD 0.12; CI -0.14, 0.39; 1 study, n=222) and concluded that developing a strong

working alliance and engaging parents and students are key elements for school-based programs. Comparing the after-school version of the program Challenging Horizons versus the mentoring version of the program found no differences in functional impairment (SMD 0.02; CI -0.24, 0.28; 1 study, n=326) or academic performance as measured by GPA (SMD -0.19; CI -0.46, 0.07; 1 study, n=326), but the study concluded that the after school version offers more benefits for adolescents.<sup>262</sup> Another study compared approach of ongoing feedback for teachers that selected interventions for students on the basis of functional and academic assessment data versus a traditional data-based approach chosen by the teacher. The difference between interventions for academic performance was not statistically significant (SMD -0.26; CI -0.56, 0.05; 1 study, n=167).<sup>590</sup>

One study compared an academic problem solving and organization skill intervention versus progressive muscle relaxation and found no statistically significant difference in ADHD symptoms (SMD -0.29; CI -0.74, 0.16; 1 study, n=113).<sup>509</sup>

# 5.3.11.2 School Interventions Summary of Findings

Table 22 shows the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers.

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 school intervention vs control	Behavior	2 RCTs <sup>242, 519</sup>	Conflicting results (SMD 0.01; CI -1.36, 1.38; 2 studies, n=395)	Insufficient
KQ2 school intervention vs control	Broadband measures	0 studies N/A		Insufficient
KQ2 school intervention vs control	ADHD symptoms	<b>7 RCTs</b> <sup>213, 262, 509, 517, 519, 564, 628</sup>	7 RCTs <sup>213, 262, 509, 517,</sup> Results favor interventions (SMD - 0.50; CI -0.92, -0.07; 6 studies, n=898)	
KQ2 school intervention vs control	Functional impairment	2 RCTs <sup>213, 262</sup>	Conflicting results (SMD 0.22; CI -4.39, 4.82; 2 studies, n=274)	Insufficient
KQ2 school intervention vs control	Acceptability of treatment	3 RCTs <sup>213, 517, 519</sup>	Studies reported favorable results but effect could not be estimated	Low for benefit
KQ2 school intervention vs control	Academic performance	4 RCTs <sup>171, 262, 517, 519</sup>	No statistically significant difference but all studies positive (SMD -0.25; CI - 0.59, 0.08; 4 studies, n=691)	Insufficient
KQ2 school intervention vs control	Appetite suppression	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 school intervention vs control	Participants with adverse events	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 contingency- management based vs planning skills homework program	Academic performance	1 RCT <sup>171</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD 0.12; CI -0.14, 0.39; 1 study, n=222)	Insufficient
KQ2 After school program vs mentoring program	Functional impairment	1 RCT <sup>262</sup>	No systematic difference SMD 0.02; CI -0.24, 0.28; 1 study, n=326)	Insufficient

Table 22. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for School Interventions

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 After school	Academic	1 RCT <sup>262</sup>	No systematic difference SMD -19; CI -	Insufficient
program vs	performance		0.46, 0.07; 1 study, n=376)	
mentoring				
program				
KQ2 Consultant	Academic	1 RCT <sup>590</sup>	No systematic difference SMD -0.26;	Insufficient
and data-driven	performance		CI -0.56, 0.05; 1 study, n=326)	
interventions vs				
teacher selected				
interventions				
KQ2 School skills	ADHD	1 RCT <sup>509</sup>	No systematic difference (SMD -0.29;	Insufficient
training vs	symptoms		CI -0.74, 0.16; 1 study, n=113)	
progressive				
muscle relaxation				

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE strength of evidence

School interventions showed favorable results for ADHD symptoms (moderate <u>strength of</u> <u>evidence</u>) but we downgraded the effect for study limitations (effects were lower and not statistically significant when removing high risk of bias studies). Identified studies showed conflicting results for behavior and functional impairment, and given the small number of studies, we were not able to determine whether school interventions improve these outcomes and judged the evidence base to be insufficient. Treatment acceptability (low <u>strength of evidence</u>) was favorable across multiple studies, but no effect estimate could be determined (downgraded by two for imprecision). We did not identify studies reporting on appetite suppression or participants with adverse events and no evidence statement could be derived.

The comparative studies were downgraded to insufficient as evaluations had not been replicated yet and all results were unique to the reported study, the specific intervention and the specific comparator, and the robustness of results could not be further evaluated(downgraded for inconsistency, study limitation).

### **5.3.12** Provider Interventions

We identified eight studies<sup>257-259, 306, 365, 378, 440, 454</sup> evaluating provider interventions or interventions changing how ADHD care is delivered. The earliest study was published in 2007.<sup>259</sup> All evaluations were conducted in the US. The populations studied were children with ADHD; no studies included teenagers. Only one study<sup>378</sup> reported ADHD presentation type; 41 percent of children were classified as inattentive, ten percent as hyperactive and 49 percent as combined presentation. No studies purposely included patients with specific co-occurring disorders. A study conducted in Philadelphia<sup>306</sup> reported that 46 percent of patients were African American. The majority of patients in the other studies were White.

Of the identified studies, five reported on a control group that underwent treatment as usual.<sup>258, 259, 306, 378, 454</sup> In one of these trials, pediatricians used titration trials to determine optimal medication dosages; doses were standardized by week, but doctors were blinded to exact dosage.<sup>259</sup> Another study<sup>258</sup> held four training sessions for providers and installed a web portal to assist with treatment monitoring. Another combined a web portal with an ADHD care manager.<sup>306</sup> One study provided office-based training in using stimulant medications to physicians and one hour of training to office staff in the use of new software.<sup>378</sup> Another created a web-based platform that enabled clinicians to administer online clinical questionnaires to

parents and teachers to monitor patients remotely between visits.<sup>454</sup> Finally, one head to head study compared collaborative care, where a care manager delivered three or four content modules to parents and children, to "enhanced usual care" from a provider known to the care manager.<sup>365</sup>

The studies are difficult to compare and assessed unique interventions. In addition, many used study-specific evaluation measures and rarely reported on <u>key outcomes</u> for this review or did not report sufficient detail to compute effect sizes. One study reported on a broadband measure and indicated children under the care of providers that used a trigger algorithm and alert resolution process to facilitate online clinical questionnaires to monitor patients remotely between visits, reported less improvement in global functioning (SMD -0.36; CI -0.65, -0.07; 1 study, n=263) than control group participants.

Parent-reported outcomes were the only outcomes reported in more than one study. Studies reported conflicting results and no meaningful summary estimate could be derived (SMD 0.26; CI -4.79, 5.31; 2 studies, n=537).<sup>306, 454</sup> This included the trigger algorithm study which did not find positive effects<sup>454</sup> and a study evaluating a care manager combined with an online electronic health record portal to enhance communication and shared decision making which favored the intervention.<sup>306</sup>

#### 5.3.12.1 Provider Interventions Comparative Effects

Two studies also compared provider interventions to an alternative model. One assessed a collaborative care model versus a referral to mental health providers in an enhanced usual care condition. The study (n=411) did not report sufficient detail to compute effect sizes but concluded that the collaborative care model improved symptoms more than the referred group.<sup>365</sup> A telehealth service delivery model combining pharmacotherapy and caregiver behavior training versus children remaining under the care of their primary care provider who received only a single consultation with a tele-psychiatrist who shared treatment recommendations were compared in the second study.<sup>440</sup> The study reported improvement in symptom measures in the telehealth intervention (SMD -0.54; CI -0.81, -0.27; RR 1.64; CI 1.09, 2.47; 1 study, n=223) and functional impairment (SMD 0.27; CI 0.01, 0.54; 1 study, n=223).<sup>440</sup>

#### 5.3.12.2 Provider Interventions Summary of Findings

Table 23 displays the findings for the outcomes of interest together with the number of studies and study identifiers. Comparative effectiveness results are only shown for outcomes where effect sizes could be calculated.

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 provider interventions vs control	Behavior	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 provider interventions vs control	Broadband measures	1 RCT <sup>454</sup>	Results favored intervention (SMD -0.36; CI -0.65, -0.07; 1 study, n=263)	Insufficient
KQ2 provider interventions	ADHD symptoms	<b>5</b> RCTs <sup>258, 259, 306, 378, 454</sup>	Conflicting results (SMD 0.26; CI -4.79, 5.31; 2 studies; n=537)	Insufficient

#### Table 23. KQ2 Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for Provider Interventions

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study Design and IDs	Findings	SoE
KQ2 provider interventions vs control	Functional impairment	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 provider interventions vs control	Acceptability of treatment	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 provider interventions vs control	Academic performance	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 provider interventions vs control	Appetite suppression	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 provider interventions vs control	Participants with adverse events	0 studies	N/A	Insufficient
KQ2 Tele- psychiatry program vs single consultation	ADHD symptoms	1 RCT <sup>440</sup>	Results favored the tele-psychiatry program (SMD -0.54; CI -0.81, -0.27; RR 1.64; CI 1.09, 2.47; 1 study, n=223)	Insufficient
KQ2 Tele- psychiatry program vs single consultation	Functional impairment	1 RCT <sup>440</sup>	Results favored the tele-psychiatry program (SMD 0.27; Cl 0.01, 0.54; 1 study, n=223)	Insufficient

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE <u>strength of evidence</u>

Studies reported on very different intervention approaches and studies were difficult to compare and many did not report in sufficient detail (or not at all) on the outcomes of interest for this review. All studies had moderate or high risk of bias, as randomization at the provider level led to some imbalances in patient characteristics between groups. Attrition and detection bias also affected most studies. <u>Strength of evidence</u> was determined to be insufficient either for lack of research (behavior, functional impairment, treatment acceptability, academic performance, appetite suppression, participants with adverse events), study limitations and lack of replication (broadband measure scores), or studies reporting conflicting results making it difficult to determine whether interventions do affect the outcomes of interest (ADHD symptoms).

# 5.4 KQ2a. How do these outcomes vary by presentation (inattentive, hyperactive/impulsive, and combined) or other co-occurring conditions?

# 5.4.1 Key Points KQ2a Effect of Presentation

- We did not detect differential treatment effects associated with ADHD presentation, but analyses were based on indirect comparisons and should be interpreted with caution.
- We identified only a small number of studies systematically addressing co-occurring disorders, and evidence is insufficient for concrete evidence statements.

Table 24 documents the results across studies.

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study	Findings	SoE
KQ2a effect modifier ADHD presentation	Behavior changes	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not suggest an effect	Low for no effect
KQ2a effect modifier ADHD presentation	Broad-band scale score	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not suggest an effect	Low for no effect
KQ2a effect modifier ADHD presentation	Standardized symptom scores	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not suggest an effect	Low for no effect
KQ2a effect modifier ADHD presentation	Functional impairment	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not suggest an effect	Low for no effect
KQ2a effect modifier ADHD presentation	Acceptability of treatment	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not suggest an effect	Low for no effect
KQ2a effect modifier ADHD presentation	Academic performance	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not suggest an effect	Low for no effect
KQ2a effect modifier ADHD presentation	Appetite suppression	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not suggest an effect	Low for no effect
KQ2a effect modifier ADHD presentation	Participants with adverse events	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not suggest an effect	Low for no effect
KQ2a effect modifiers co- occurring disorders	Behavior changes	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not detect effects, but few studies addressed co-occurring disorders systematically	Insufficient
KQ2a effect modifiers presentation and co-occurring disorders	Broad-band scale score	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not detect effects, but few studies addressed co-occurring disorders systematically	Insufficient
KQ2a effect modifiers presentation and co-occurring disorders	Standardized symptom scores	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not detect effects ,but few studies addressed co-occurring disorders systematically	Insufficient

#### Table 24. KQ2a Summary of Findings and Strength of Evidence for ADHD Interventions

Intervention and Comparison	Outcome	Number of Studies; Study	Findings	SoE
KQ2a effect modifiers presentation and co-occurring disorders	Functional impairment	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not detect effects ,but few studies addressed co-occurring disorders systematically	Insufficient
KQ2a effect modifiers presentation and co-occurring disorders	Acceptability of treatment	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not detect effects, but few studies addressed co-occurring disorders systematically	Insufficient
KQ2a effect modifiers presentation and co-occurring disorders	Academic performance	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not detect effects, but few studies addressed co-occurring disorders systematically	Insufficient
KQ2a effect modifiers presentation and co-occurring disorders	Appetite suppression	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not detect effects, but few studies addressed co-occurring disorders systematically	Insufficient
KQ2a effect modifiers presentation and co-occurring disorders	Participants with adverse events	N/A	Indirect comparisons did not detect effects ,but few studies addressed co-occurring disorders systematically	Insufficient
KQ2b diversion	Misuse	2 studies <sup>444,</sup> 485	Did not indicate any issues	Insufficient

Notes: CI 95% confidence interval, KQ key question, N/A not applicable, RR relative risk, RCT randomized controlled trial, SMD standardized mean differences, SoE strength of evidence

Across identified studies, we either detected no evidence of effect modifiers or the research base was insufficient for any evidence statements.

# 5.5 KQ2a. How do outcomes vary by presentation or other co-occurring conditions?

We assessed for all <u>key outcomes</u> whether the impact of interventions was associated with the ADHD presentation and whether co-occurring conditions were associated with the treatment effect. Studies varied in what proportion of children with inattentive, hyperactive/impulsive, and combined presentation of ADHD were included. Some studies targeted specific presentations, e.g., evaluated an intervention in a sample with exclusively combined presentation. And while most identified studies did not exclude children with co-occurring disorders, we identified a few studies that purposefully addressed interventions for children with specific co-occurring disorders. In these studies, all children had a dual diagnosis.

#### 5.5.1 ADHD Presentation

Most studies included a range of ADHD presentations. However, we identified one study that only included participants with inattentive ADHD presentation.<sup>464</sup> The study evaluated an integrated psychosocial treatment approach; results are documented in the <u>evidence table</u> in the appendix. A number of studies included only children with combined presentation.<sup>111, 156, 234, 264, 295, 348, 427, 432, 496, 497, 510, 554, 624</sup> The studies evaluated diverse interventions. Half of the studies

restricting to the combined presentation evaluated FDA-approved pharmacological treatments, and individual studies assessed the effects of a behavior intervention, nutrition intervention, psychosocial interventions, neurofeedback, cognitive training, and a new pharmacological agent.

We assessed the effect of the presentation in indirect comparisons across studies and we documented results of subgroup analyses as reported by the individual authors.

#### 5.5.1.1 Indirect analyses

We first conducted indirect analyses across the large number of studies included in the review. For individual behavior measures, we did not find an effect of the proportion of children with inattentive (p 0.09), hyperactive (p 0.23), or combined (p 0.32) presentation on the reported effect size across all included interventions. For broadband assessments, we did not find an effect on the reported effect size for the proportion of children with inattentive presentation (continuous data p 0.74, categorical data p 0.90), hyperactive (continuous data p 0.67, categorical data p 0.92), or combined (continuous data p 0.34, categorical data p 0.96) across all included interventions.

For ADHD symptom scores in studies reporting a continuous outcome, we did not find an effect on the reported effect size for the proportion of children with inattentive presentation (p 0.55), hyperactive (p 0.70), or combined (p 0.52) across all included interventions. However, the equivalent analysis for categorical outcomes was statistically significant for inattentive presentation (p 0.03). The analysis indicated that treatment effects were lower in samples with a higher proportion of inattentive children, but the effect was very small (1 percentage point increase in the inattentive proportion was associated with a 1.3% reduction in the relative risk for symptom improvement). Results for hyperactive (p 0.17) and combined (p 0.41) presentation were not statistically significant.

None of the analysis for the outcome functional impairment were significant; results were borderline for the proportion of children with inattentive presentation (p 0.12), hyperactive (p 0.31), or combined (p 0.10), indicating a systematic effect across all included interventions. Results could not be confirmed in the analyses for categorical data as too few studies were available for the analysis.

There were insufficient data to test the effect for treatment satisfaction. For academic performance outcomes, results were borderline for the proportion of children with inattentive presentation (p 0.06), but results for hyperactive presentation (p 0.59) and combined presentation (p 0.25) were not statistically significant. Findings could not be confirmed or refuted with categorical data due to lack of studies.

For the outcome appetite suppression, we did not find an effect of the presentation on the reported effect size in the continuous data analyses, i.e., results for inattentive (p 0.39), hyperactive (p 0.24), or combined presentation (p 0.52) were not significant across all included interventions. However, for the equivalent analyses for the more commonly reported outcome analyzing appetite suppression as categorical data, effects for the combined presentation was borderline (p 0.05). Results for inattentive (p 0.18) or hyperactive (p 0.31) presentation did not indicate a systematic effect. Similarly, across studies, we did not identify an effect of the likelihood of experiencing an adverse event based on the ADHD presentation as results for inattentive (p 0.34), hyperactive presentation (p 0.42), and combined presentation (p 0.50) were not statistically significant.

#### 5.5.1.2 Reported Analyses for Subgroups in ADHD Presentation

Some of the identified studies reported results stratified by ADHD presentation or reported results of a moderator analysis that evaluated the effects of the ADHD presentation on treatment effects. The studies reported on different intervention types including: FDA-approved pharmacological interventions,<sup>115, 172, 304, 430, 526, 545</sup> a new pharmaceutical agent,<sup>625</sup> psychosocial interventions;<sup>171, 511</sup> cognitive training;<sup>174</sup> nutritional supplements;<sup>308, 343, 401, 498</sup> and provider training,<sup>378</sup> respectively. The reported subgroup results were primarily for ADHD symptoms and broadband assessments.

A cognitive training intervention identified a subgroup of boys who had both a lower hyperactivity and a higher conduct disorder symptom score with significantly better planning/organizing skills than the total group of participants.<sup>174</sup> A study evaluating an omega-3 supplement reported that improvements were significantly more frequent in the inattentive ADHD presentation (p 0.03) than in the combined ADHD presentation (no statistically significant treatment effect).<sup>343</sup> One omega 3 and zinc study<sup>498</sup> reported the superior effect of zinc over omega-3 was only seen in the inattentive, not in the combined presentation of ADHD children (p 0.21).

All other studies did not detect systematic effects of ADHD presentation. One study<sup>115</sup> evaluating long-acting methylphenidate reported that inattentive and combined ADHD subgroups did not differ significantly in their improvements in the parent (p 0.61) or teacher (p 0.85) SNAP-IV ratings. A further study reported no significant treatment interaction between relapse and the ADHD presentation.<sup>172</sup> A study evaluating atomoxetine reported that baseline ADHD severity did not moderate treatment efficacy on response inhibition (p 0.54), sustained attention (p 0.96), or fear identification (p 0.66).<sup>304</sup> A study assessing the effects of omega 3<sup>308</sup> found a higher percentage of children who ranked below the median in hyperactivity/impulsivity on a continuous performance test improved more in ADHD symptom severity, but the difference was not statistically significant (p 0.177). Reported results for the effects of a provider intervention on ADHD Rating Scale-IV Scores and SNAP-IV Scores showed no treatment effects specific to combined ADHD presentation or ADHD inattentive presentation.<sup>378</sup>A study of atomoxetine<sup>430</sup> assessed changes from baseline of ADHD-RS-IV-Parent Total Score and did not find any interaction.

Some studies stratified by clinical severity. A study evaluating mixed amphetamine salts<sup>545</sup> stratified participants by low or high baseline severity on ADHD-RS-IV Scale and CGI scores. The mean reduction in ADHD severity was greater for low baseline severity in all dose groups relative to placebo (p<.01) on the ADHD-RS-IV scale and for doses above 10mg on CGI Impression Scores (p<.01). One study evaluating pantogam<sup>378</sup> indicated that treatment effects were maximized in patients with the ADHD combined presentation group but between-group differences were not statistically significant. Stratified analyses of an omega 3 intervention evaluating ADHD Rating Scale-IV Scores explored whether children rated with abnormal scores in at least two of the Conners' subscales showed a different treatment response. The interaction was statistically significant (p < 0.15) in four out of the eight CRS-P subscales.<sup>401</sup> A behavioral sleep intervention for children with ADHD<sup>511</sup> reported that children with ADHD symptom severity scores above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile were more likely to have moderate/severe sleep problems over time. ADHD symptom severity was a moderator for ADHD symptoms (p 0.04) and quality of life (p 0.04) over time, suggesting the intervention is less effective for youth who have sleep problems.

All other studies did not detect an effect. Evaluated efficacy and adverse effects of methylphenidate treatment for baseline ADHD severity as reported by teachers and parents found no significant effect on parent- or teacher-rated Conners ADHD index at 16 weeks (p values >0.1).<sup>526</sup>

#### 5.5.2 Effect of Co-Occurring Disorders

We abstracted the results of study-reported effects (subgroup analyses or moderator analyses) as well as indirect comparisons across studies using a meta-regression approach.

A small number of studies addressed co-occurring disorders presenting with ADHD overall. Identified studies targeting specific populations included participants with ADHD as well as oppositional defiance disorder or conduct disorder, <sup>159, 182, 211, 224, 231, 260, 267, 317, 422, 612</sup> learning disabilities, <sup>225, 469, 514, 526, 564, 590, 613</sup> sleep conditions, <sup>324, 418, 501, 511</sup> mood disorders such as depression and anxiety, <sup>138, 292, 371</sup> tic disorders, <sup>125, 374, 528, 544</sup> traumatic brain injury, <sup>375</sup> epilepsy, <sup>265</sup> substance use disorder, <sup>485</sup> iron deficiency, <sup>466</sup> genetic disorders, <sup>120</sup> or organizational deficits, <sup>113</sup> respectively. Few of the studies reported statistically significant, systematic effects of cooccurring conditions and only selected studies reported effects on the key outcomes for this report.

In the MTA study, children with ADHD-only or ADHD with ODD or conduct disorder (but without anxiety disorders) responded best to MTA medication treatments (with or without behavioral treatments), while children with multiple comorbid disorders (anxiety and ODD/conduct disorder) responded optimally to combined (medication and behavioral) treatments;<sup>339</sup> children with comorbid anxiety, particularly those with overlapping disruptive disorder comorbidities, showed preferential benefits to the intervention;<sup>835</sup> no detrimental effect of anxiety on medication response for core ADHD or other outcomes in anxious or non-anxious ADHD children was demonstrated<sup>876</sup>; comorbid anxiety disorder did moderate outcome, in participants without anxiety, results paralleled intent-to-treat findings, for those with anxiety disorders, behavioral treatment yielded significantly better outcomes than community care (and was no longer statistically different from medication management and combined treatment) regarding ADHD symptoms<sup>908</sup>; comorbidity with oppositional defiant disorder or conduct disorder (54% of the sample yielded such preintervention comorbidity) significantly moderated findings, initial comorbidity with anxiety disorder served as a clear moderator of treatment response. Whereas the 66% of the MTA sample without anxiety at baseline displayed a response to treatment that was close to that of the overall sample, the 34% with comorbid anxiety showed a relatively better response to the behavioral aspects of the MTA treatments.<sup>802</sup> Parent-reported anxiety and ODD/CD status were noted on response to treatment, indicating that children with ADHD and anxiety disorders (but no ODD/CD) were likely to respond equally well to the MTA behavioral and medication treatments, children with ADHD-only or ADHD with ODD/CD (but without anxiety disorders) responded best to MTA medication treatments (with or without behavioral treatments), while children with multiple comorbid disorders (anxiety and ODD/CD) responded optimally to combined (medication and behavioral) treatments.<sup>834</sup> For other functioning domains (social skills, academics, parent-child relations, oppositional behavior, anxiety/depression), results suggested slight advantages of combined over single treatments (medical management, behavior) and community care, children with parent-defined comorbid anxiety disorders, particularly those with overlapping disruptive disorder comorbidities, showed preferential benefits to the behavioral and combined interventions.<sup>835</sup> A further study<sup>449</sup> reported that youths with ADHD and comorbid ODD showed statistically significant improvement in

ADHD, ODD, and quality-of-life measures following atomoxetine treatment; treatment response was similar in youths with and without ODD, except that the comorbid group showed improvement compared with placebo at 1.8 mg/kg/day but not 1.2 mg/kg/day. In contrast, youths without ODD showed improvement at 1.2 mg/kg/day and no incremental benefit at 1.8 mg/kg/day. A third study reported that children with ODD did not benefit as much from the atomoxetine than other children.<sup>139</sup> All other studies did not detect treatment effect differences associated with co-occurring conditions or reported on other outcomes such as ODD scores as documented in the <u>evidence table</u>.

We assessed whether the subgroup influences the impact of the interventions for the <u>key</u> <u>outcomes</u> in indirect comparisons. For the outcome behavior, we did not find a systematic effect across any of the evaluated subgroups that provided sufficient data for the analysis (sleep p 0.93, ODD p 0.32). For broadband scale scores, we also found no systematic effect (sleep p 0.85, ODD p 0.68, learning disability p 0.11). Symptom scores provided the most data for the comparisons; however, the analysis did not detect systematic effects (sleep p 0.61, ODD p 0.66, learning disability p 0.83, coordination disorder p 0.77). For functional outcomes also, results were not statistically significant (sleep p 0.93, ODD p 0.57). Treatment satisfaction could not be evaluated due to the small number of studies. Appetite suppression was not significant (ODD p 0.69, learning disability p 0.24), nor was adverse events (sleep p 0.94, ODD p 0.87).

We did not detect evidence indicating a differential effect associated with co-occurring disorders. However, based on the small number of studies and the indirect nature of effect analysis, the results have to be interpreted with caution.

# 5.6 KQ2b. What is the risk of diversion of pharmacologic treatment?

# 5.6.1 Key Points KQ2b

Only two studies reported on diversion and it was not possible to quantify the risk of diversion of pharmacological treatment

Only two studies met <u>inclusion criteria</u> for KQ2b.<sup>444, 485</sup> One was an RCT evaluating either 200 or 400 mg viloxazine vs placebo and found no evidence for misuse.<sup>444</sup> Viloxazine, however, is a non-stimulant (SNRI) medication with low abuse potential.

The other study was a double-blind RCT of OROS (Osmotic-Release Oral System) methylphenidate plus cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) versus placebo plus CBT in adolescents with ADHD and a co-occurring substance use disorder .<sup>485</sup> Rates of misuse or diversion in the stimulant group (2.1%-4.8%) were approximately double the rates in the placebo group, though the differences did not reach statistical significance. Findings are difficult to generalize to non-substance-use ADHD populations, as misuse and diversion rates may be higher in this subpopulation than in ADHD adolescents without substance use disorder. On the other hand, nearly doubled rates of misuse may be clinically relevant, given that participants were blinded to treatment assignment, and rates were systematically higher in the stimulant group.

# 6.1 KQ3 ADHD Monitoring Key Points

- Very few monitoring studies have been reported and more research is needed on how youth with ADHD should be monitored over time.
- Different assessment modalities may provide valid but different perspectives and more than a single assessment modality may be required for comprehensive and effective monitoring of ADHD outcomes over time.

# 6.2 KQ 3 ADHD Monitoring Summary of Findings

We identified a small number of studies addressing a monitoring strategy.<sup>181, 207, 258, 259, 271, 277, 454, 534, 617</sup> Results of the individual studies are shown in the <u>evidence table</u> in the appendix. However, studies did not provide information on the predefined key outcomes.

The potential for risk of bias in the KQ3 studies is documented in Figure 76. The critical appraisal for the individual studies is in <u>Appendix D</u>.



#### Figure 76. Risk of Bias in KQ3 Studies

Across studies, selection bias was likely present in two studies.<sup>277, 454</sup> Performance bias was present in two studies.<sup>271, 277</sup> Attrition bias was also present in two of the identified studies.<sup>181, 207</sup> Detection bias was determined to be present in three studies.<sup>181, 277, 454</sup> Reporting bias was likely in one study.<sup>534</sup> In the small set of studies, a third were rated as high risk of bias for other sources.<sup>258, 271, 617</sup>

Figure 77 shows the distribution of applicability issues in KQ3 studies. The applicability for the individual studies is in <u>Appendix D</u>.





Given the small number of available studies, results of the different monitoring strategies are documented in Table 25.

Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Co-occurring disorders; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Intervention	Results
Cedergren, 2021 <sup>181</sup> Göteborg University, 2017 <sup>768</sup> ID: NCT03250013 Pre-post study Single center N = 78 Sweden Setting: Specialty care	Target: Participants between the ages of 6-18; ADHD diagnosis meets DSM-V criteria; IQ > 70; excluded if participant physically/psychologically unable to complete monitoring test, has cardiovascular disease, seizures, other unstable medical conditions, bipolar disorder, conduct disorder, psychosis, severe autism, or other severe psychiatric conditions, taking psychoactive	Open-label monitoring consisting of 5 follow-up visits in 12 months using a continuous performance test (QbTest) and investigator rating on the ADHD-RS. Qualitative comparison of change in ADHD-RS and QbTest scores over 12 months Naturalistic follow up, with medication administered according to clinician judgement of need.	Bonferroni-adjusted pairwise comparisons showed significant reductions in QbTest and ADHD-RS scores over the 12-month study. Both measures appear to capture symptom change over time, but weak correlations between the measures suggest that their role in medical follow-up might be complementary rather than interchangeable.

#### Table 25. KQ3 Monitoring Strategies Evidence

Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Co-occurring disorders; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Intervention	Results
	medications, or has substance use disorder <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive: 31,combined: 68; 26% had an autism spectrum disorder (ASD), and another 19% had ASD traits.		
	Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Pediatrician, child psychiatrist, psychologists Comorbidity: N/A Female: 37 % Age mean: 12.4 (3.6) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 18		
	Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Cohen, 1989 <sup>207</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 26 US Setting: N/A	Target:21 children of active-dutyand retired militaryservice personnel,between ages 8-12,clinically diagnosed usingDSM-III criteria, nohistory of stimulanttreatmentParents and teachersADHD presentation:N/ADiagnosis: Confirmationby specialist	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study of the use of monitoring ADHD symptoms – before and during treatment with methylphenidate – using the ADD-H Comprehensive Teacher Rating Scale, Conners parent rating scale, and the Gordon Diagnostic System (a computerized continuous performance task assessing vigilance and impulse	Both rating scales demonstrated significant change in symptoms (inattention and hyperactivity on the ADD-H scale; hyperactivity on the Conners scale) during treatment with methylphenidate compared with placebo, whereas the Gordon task did not demonstrate change. Rating scales, but not this continuous performance task, appear helpful in monitoring
	Pediatrician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 14 % Age mean:	control). Group differences in change in symptom scores over time.	the short-term effects of stimulant treatment.
	Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Naturalistic follow up, before and during treatment with fixed-dose, short-acting methylphenidate administered twice daily for 1 month, with measures collected at baseline, 1	

Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Co-occurring disorders; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Intervention	Results
		month (the time of crossover), and 2 months (endpoint).	
Epstein, 2007 <sup>259</sup> ID: NA Cluster RCT Multicenter N = 377 US Setting: Primary Care	Target: 377 children from participating practices who met DSM-IV criteria for ADHD, stimulant-I, attending 1 <sup>st</sup> – 5 <sup>th</sup> grade 52 pediatricians (27 men, 25 women) from 12 practices; 146 randomly selected for follow-up assessments ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Conners Rating Scale Comorbidity: N/A Female: 36.3 % Age mean: 7.8 (1.5) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 10 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : .68 % Black/African American : 16.4 % White : 79.5 Other info on race or ethnicity:	12 pediatric practices were randomly assigned to receive access to collaborative consultative services or a control group. In the collaborative consultation services, pediatricians were encouraged and assisted to use rating scales for symptom monitoring and titration trials to determine optimal medication dosages. Physicians were taught to prescribe 4 different doses of methylphenidate during a titration trial (placebo, 18 mg, 36 mg, 54 mg); the order of week-long dosing was blinded but standardized across patients (week 1, 18 mg; week 2, placebo; week 3, 36 mg; week 4, 54 mg) to determine optimal dosing for each patient. Parents and teachers completed weekly behavioral ratings (Conners Global Index) & side effect rating scales. Data were returned to Duke Univ psychiatrist to determine the best starting medication dose; a report describing the titration results was faxed back to pediatricians. Patients in control group practices received treatment as usual, without access to consultative services. Assessed Conners Global Index & side effect rating scales.	Use of symptom ratings did not differ significantly by group, nor did the change in symptoms over time. Pediatrician compliance with the collaborative consultation service was poor (pediatricians for 29 of 59 patients in the consultation group received a titration trial and 13/59 participated in monthly medication monitoring). Preliminary secondary analyses indicated that those children whose pediatricians complied with titration had significantly better outcomes compared with those who did not and TAU controls (group x time P<.01) Children in the collaborative consultation service–complier group had a 27% reduction in symptom scores compared with 18% reduction in the TAU controls and 13% reduction in consultation non-compliers.

Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Co-occurring disorders; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Intervention	Results
		Monthly follow up with Conners and side effect rating scales for 12 months, sent to Duke U psychiatrists for interpretatin, with recommendations returned to the pediatrician	
Epstein, 2016 <sup>258</sup> Childrens Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, 2010 <sup>692</sup> ID: NCT01143701 Cluster RCT Multicenter N = 577 US Setting: Primary Care	Target:         577 patients in grades 1         through 5, presenting for         ADHD evaluation, and         were ADHD         medicalnaive         50 community-based         pediatric primary care         practices with ≥2         physicians (213         providers), uses an         electronic billing system,         office has Internet         access, must not have         co-located mental health         care         ADHD presentation:         N/A         Diagnosis: Confirmation         by specialist         DSM-IV by research staff         Co-occurring         disorders: N/A         Female: 29.5 %         Age mean: 7.8 (1.4)         Minimum age:         Maximum age:         Ethnicity:         Other info on race or         ethnicity: Other : 36.7%         were –on-white –         unspecified	Cluster randomized controlled trial of either a technology-assisted quality improvement (QI) intervention or TAU control. QI intervention consisted of 4 training sessions, office flow modification, guided QI, and an ADHD Internet portal to assist with treatment monitoring versus TAU control practices Assessed intervention effects on parent- and teacher-rated ADHD severity using on the Vanderbilt ADHD total symptom score. 12 months follow up	Intent-to-treat analyses examining outcomes (parent ratings of ADHD severity) in all 577 children assessed for ADHD were not significant (b=- 1.97, P=0.08), but among the 373 children prescribed ADHD medication, a significant intervention effect on reducing parent-rated symptom severity (b=-2.42, P=0.04) but not teacher-rated symptoms was observed. Prescriber compliance with treatment guidelines was poor, as only 373 of the 577 patients received medication at any time in the 1-year follow-up, and many who did receive it were prescribed sub-optimal doses. Compared with the usual care group, providers in the intervention group had 25% more patient contacts (d=.38, p=.0008) and collected 4.6 (d=.57, p<.0001) and 9.9 (d=.54, p<.0001) times more parent and teacher ratings, respectively. However, providers in the intervention group collected parent ratings in only half and teacher ratings in a quarter of their patients during the initial year of medication treatment.
Fiks, 2017 <sup>271</sup> Childrens Hospital of Philadelphia, 2014 <sup>693</sup> ID: NCT02271386	I arget:Children aged 5-12 yearswith ADHD diagnosis;children with autismspectrum disorderexcluded.105 clinicians practicingat 19 sites within a	Cluster-randomized open label trial at the practice level (9 intervention, 10 control sites) for 3- component quality- improvement program that employs distance learning: (1) 3 15-minute web-based	Differences between intervention arms were not statistically significant, though clinicians in both study arms were significantly more likely to administer and receive parent and teacher rating scales compared to an 8-

Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Co-occurring disorders; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Intervention	Results
Cluster RCT Multicenter N = 790 US Setting: Primary Care	hospital-owned primary care research network ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Diagnosis made by clinicians Co-occurring disorders: N/A Female: 29.9 % Age mean: 9.3 (1.9) For intervention group; 9.2(2.0) for control group Minimum age: 2 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 16 (4.0),Other : 18 (6.4) for control % Black/African American : 104 (25.9),Other : Control group: 221 (57.0 % White : 248 (61.7),Other : Control Other info on race or ethnicity:	presentations on evidence- based practices for managing ADHD in primary care; (2) optional collaborative consultation with ADHD experts via a health system online networking site or private email/telephone conversation; (3) and performance feedback reports or calls every 2 months informing them of their rates of sending and receiving ADHD rating scales from parents and teachers and allowed them to compare their results to results of the entire group; feedback reports were discussed during four, 1- hour conference calls). Participation qualified for Maintenance of Certification credit from the American Board of Pediatrics. Collection of rating scales was facilitated via an electronic application linked to the electronic health record versus waitlist control Number of parent and teacher rating scales sent out and received back assessed	month baseline period. Intervention clinicians who participated in at least one performance feedback call were more likely to send out parent rating scales than intervention clinicians who did not participate (relative difference of 14.2 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.6, 27.7. For all study outcomes, practices with the highest rates of clinician participation in the study (≥ 80%), were not superior to practices with lower rates of involvement (< 80%). Participation was low (105 of 166 invited); 42 of 53 in the intervention group completed all 3 education presentations; 30 (57%) participated in at least one feedback call, and 19 (36%) participated in all 3 components of the intervention.
Florida International University, $2010^{277}$ ID: NCT01109849 RCT Single center N = 71 US Setting: Mixed	Target: 23 children with ADHD with no history of chronic stimulant use ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % Age mean: N/A	Randomized to receive either osmotic release oral system-methylphenidate alone (78%) or behavioral therapy alone (22%). After 6 months, children with a decline in body mass index >0.5 z-units were randomized to 1 of 3 weight recovery treatments: (1) monthly height/weight monitoring plus daily medication; (2) drug holidays on non-school	All groups significantly increased their weight gain. Drug holidays + monitoring, caloric supplementation + monitoring, and monitoring alone all led to increased weight velocity in children taking CNS stimulants, but with no differences between groups, and no intervention led to increased height velocity. When analyzed by what parents did (versus what they were assigned to), caloric

Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Co-occurring disorders; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Intervention	Results
	Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	days (with monthly monitoring); or (3) daily caloric supplements (with daily medication and monthly monitoring). Standardized body weight and height assessed 18 follow-up visits over 30 months	supplementation (p<0.01) and drug holidays (p<0.05) increased weight velocity more than monitoring of height and weight. Over the entire study, participants declined in standardized weight (-0.44 z- units) and height (-0.20 z- units).
Oppenheimer, 2019 <sup>454</sup> Boston Childrens Hospital, 2014 <sup>678</sup> ID: NCT02097355 Cluster RCT Multicenter N = 518 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: 98 children receiving ongoing treatment for ADHD, prescribed ADHD medication, parents and children proficient in English. 88 clinicians providing ADHD care ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Neurology department clinician at 1 of 5 locations Comorbidity: N/A Female: 24.3 % Age mean: 11 Intervention 9.85 (3.21), control 11.09 (3.24) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 5.8 % White : 78.4,Other : 406 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Naturalistic study of a web- based platform enabling clinicians to administer online monthly clinical questionnaires to parents and teachers for monitoring of patients remotely between visits. Trigger algorithm alerts clinicians to clinically actionable events that are documented in the medical record versus non- alert group Patients were the unit of analysis. Parent and teacher reports of current medication, medication side effects inventory, Vanderbilt ADHD Parent Rating Scale, Clinical Global Impression- Severity (CGI-S) scale, and Clinical Global Impression- Improvement (CGI-I) scale 15 months follow up	Trigger algorithms produced alerts requiring immediate review in 8% of the parent reports. Clinicians perceived 74% of alerts to be significant enough to prompt urgent follow-up with parents, suggesting a low rate of false positive alerts. Patients who generated alerts compared to those who did not had more severe ADHD symptoms (beta = 5.8, 95% CI: 3.5–8.1 [p < 0.001] in the 90 days prior to an alert, further supporting validity of the alerts.
Smith, 2000 <sup>534</sup> ID: N/A Cohort study Single center N = 36	Target: 36 adolescents who completed a summer treatment program; 12 years and older; diagnosis meets DSM-III criteria; verbal IQ higher	Intervention: assessed the reliability, validity, and unique contributions of self- reports by adolescents receiving treatment for ADHD in a summer treatment program that	Average reliability for the adolescent self-report across all measures was .78 (range .7483), similar to the reliability of .82 for counselors (range .7885), and significantly better than the

Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Co-occurring disorders; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Intervention	Results
US Setting: Specialty care	than 80; no medical conditions that precluded stimulant medication or full participatio' in study's academic and physical activities <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Psychologist confirmed <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 19 % <b>Age mean:</b> 13.4 (0.8) 1994 cohort; 14.1 (1.5) for 1995 cohort <b>Minimum age:</b> 12 <b>Maximum age:</b> <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other: 6 % White: 85 Other info on race or ethnicity:	included self-monitoring as a treatment component Self-reported IOWA Conners Inattention/Overactivity and Oppositional/Defiant subscales, ratings of interactions with peers and staff. Assessed changes in reliability during a placebo- controlled, cross-over study of 30 mg of methylphenidate. Observed frequencies of negative behavior, rating from parents and teachers	teacher reliability of .60 (range .5168). Teacher and counselor ratings on the Conners changed significantly during stimulant treatment whereas adolescent self- ratings did not. The findings suggest that adolescents can provide reliable information on their symptoms, but not beyond what parents can provide. Adolescents may also be poor sources of information about the change in ADHD symptoms, but a good source of intormation about improved interactions with others in response to treatment.
Yang, 2012 <sup>617</sup> ID: N/A Crossover trial Single center N = 39 Korea Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> 39 children ages between ages 7-13; diagnosis meets DSM-IV criteria; capacity to communicate with investigators; current use of fixed dose osmotic- controlled release oral delivery system methylphenidate medication; exclusion of children with developmental disorders, severe medical conditions, seizure disorder; children excluded if medication was adjusted during study period <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 15.4,hyperactive : 2.6,combined : 76.9	Naturalistic study of medication adherence assessed using the Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS), a bottle cap with a microprocessor that records all instances and times that the bottle is opened Patient self-report, clinician rating, pill count assessed; measure of adherence 8 weeks follow up	The rate of non-adherence measured by the MEMS was 46.2%, higher than patient self-report of 17.9%, clinician rating of 31.7%, and pill count of 12.8%. Pill count and MEMS concordance was 0.249 (95% CI: 0.102-0.386). Self-report and MEMS concordance was 0.237 (95% CI: -0.024-0.468). Non- adherent patients (based on the MEMS) had more severe symptoms at baseline and inferior improvement compared with adherent patients.

Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Co-occurring disorders; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Intervention	Results
	<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Child-adolescent psychiatrists		
	Comorbidity: N/A		
	Female: 10.3 %		
	Age mean: 10.44 (2.22)		
	Minimum age: 7		
	Maximum age: 13		
	Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		

We identified 9 studies addressing some type of monitoring strategy for ADHD.<sup>181, 207, 258, 259, 271, 277, 454, 534, 617</sup> Three studies of ADHD rating scales and/or a computerized continuous performance task assessed their reliability and sensitivity to detect symptom change over time. The studies reported a relatively poor correlation between these measures over time, whether the correlations were between different raters on the same rating scale<sup>534</sup> or between assessment modalities (e.g., rating scale vs computerized performance test).<sup>181, 207</sup> Both subjective assessment modalities (e.g., self-report, parent, teacher, and clinician rating scales)<sup>181, 207, 534</sup> and more objective measurement modalities (e.g., continuous performance task)<sup>181</sup> may be sensitive to clinical change in response to treatment, but one study suggested that subjective measures may be more sensitive to detecting treatment-associated changes in ADHD symptom severity and other functional outcomes.<sup>207</sup>

Three studies assessed the impact on ADHD symptoms of interventions that target medication prescriber training to improve either symptom monitoring or adherence to treatment guidelines. One study assessed the impact of collaborative consultative services,<sup>259</sup> and two assessed the impact of a quality improvement intervention on outcome monitoring<sup>271, 692</sup> or ADHD symptoms.<sup>692</sup> Collectively, the studies showed that medication prescribers (mostly pediatricians) exhibited poor compliance in attending training programs for quality improvement in treating ADHD.<sup>259, 271</sup> Even when they did participate in those trainings, pediatrician compliance with treatment guidelines was poor, as the pediatricians rarely acquired ratings of symptom severity from either parents or, even less often, from teachers,<sup>259, 271</sup> even when the intervention increased the collection of ratings compared with waitlist controls.<sup>271</sup> Moreover, pediatricians often did not prescribe stimulant medication for youth who met diagnostic criteria for ADHD,<sup>258, 259</sup> and when they did prescribe, the doses were sub-optimal,<sup>258</sup> even when provided intensive advice and support services from mental health specialists.<sup>259</sup> Youth whose prescribers participated in the consultative services from specialists, however, had greater reductions in ADHD symptom severity.<sup>259</sup> One study assessed the validity of alerts generated by a computer algorithm based on ratings from monthly monitoring of ADHD symptom severity.

Alerts were then sent to prescribers notifying them of putatively actionable clinical events.<sup>454</sup> Prescribers deemed the alerts to be generally valid, suggesting that computerized algorithms applied to symptom ratings combined with automated clinican alerts may have clinical utility.

One study of youth who had stimulant-induced weight loss compared the effects of (1) height and weight monitoring alone, with (2) caloric supplementation plus monitoring, and (3) medication holidays plus monitoring on the trajectory of weight gain.<sup>277</sup> All three interventions increased weight significantly, suggesting that monitoring of height and weight during medication administration may be efficacious in attenuating stimulant-induced weight loss, though the study did not include the no-intervention control that would have been needed to prove this. Intent-to-treat analyses showed that the addition of caloric supplementation or medication holidays did not provide significant incremental benefit on attenuating weight loss when compared with monitoring alone, though per-protocol analyses suggested that the use of these additional interventions yielded significant additional benefits.

One study assessed the use of an electronic bottle cap (the Medication Event Monitoring System) for stimulant medication to monitor treatment adherence.<sup>617</sup> Non-adherence was shown to be higher when monitored with this bottle cap compared with patient report, clinician rating, and pill count. The methods used to assess adherence correlated weakly with one another. Non-adherent patients had more severe symptoms at baseline and inferior improvement compared with adherent patients, providing evidence for the validity of the bottle cap method for monitoring adherence. If the bottle cap is considered the gold-standard, then self-reports, clinician impressions, and even pill counts would be deemed unreliable measures of medication adherence.

#### 7. Discussion

# 7. Discussion

We identified a large body of evidence contributing to the knowledge base on ADHD diagnostic tools, treatment outcomes, and monitoring strategies. We included studies dating back to 1980, marking the advent of modern diagnostic criteria for ADHD and the introduction of long-acting forms of stimulant medication. The questions addressed in our review were informed by key informants and supported by a technical expert panel. A dedicated systematic review team with content experts conducted a detailed synthesis of existing research, including over 400 studies in this systematic review.

Despite the large number of publications included, our review has limitations in its scope due, in part, to decisions about which studies to include in the review. For example, we required intervention studies to treat participants for at least four weeks to ensure that the studies assessed sustained, and not merely temporary, effects on outcomes. This decision excluded some early studies of ADHD treatment that have contributed to the development of the field. We also required studies to be either large or to report a power analysis to ensure that they were sufficiently powered to detect effects. This criterion ensured the reader would not be left guessing whether a study was either underpowered to show effects or genuinely showed the absence of evidence of an effect. This criterion, however, also <u>excluded studies</u> that have contributed historically to the evidence base. We furthermore limited treatment studies to youth with a clinical diagnosis of ADHD, which excluded studies that evaluated interventions in broader populations. Finally, we restricted publications to the English language, which may have excluded other important studies that have contributed to the evidence base.

# Findings in Relation to the Decisional Dilemma(s)

The following text discusses findings in the context of the decisional dilemmas the review set out to address.

### **Diagnostic Approaches for ADHD**

Studies of diagnostic approaches most commonly report sensitivity (true positive rate) and specificity (true negative rate) for a given diagnostic threshold applied to the measure being assessed. Sensitivity and specificity, however, depend on the diagnostic threshold selected, and their values are inherently a trade-off, such that varying the diagnostic threshold to increase either sensitivity or specificity reduces the other. Interpreting diagnostic performance in terms of sensitivity and specificity is therefore difficult. Investigators instead often report performance for sensitivity and specificity in terms of Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curves because the Area Under the Curve (AUC) provides an overall, single index of performance that does not depend on the diagnostic threshold for the tool being assessed. AUC values range from 0.5 (corresponding to the y=x diagonal of the ROC curve, and indicating that the tool provides no information above chance for classification) to 1.0 (corresponding to the x=0 vertical line, which indicates that the test can correctly classify all participants as having ADHD, and all non-ADHD participants as not having it – a perfect test). AUC values are commonly interpreted as follows: 90 to 100 represents excellent performance; 80 to 90 is good; 70 to 80 fair; 60 to 70 poor; and 50 to 60 indicates failed performance.

Many diagnostic studies in this review aimed to distinguish ADHD youth from neurotypical controls, which is of limited clinical relevance: in clinically referred youth, most parents, teachers, and clinicians are reasonably confident that something is wrong, but they are unsure

#### 7. Discussion

whether the cause of their concern is ADHD. The more clinically relevant and difficult question, therefore, is how well the measures distinguish ADHD youth from youth who have other emotional and behavioral problems. Moreover, studies that simply discriminate ADHD youth from neurotypical controls cannot discern whether diagnostic performance is determined by the presence of ADHD or by the presence of any other characteristics that accompany clinical "caseness", such as the presence of comorbid illnesses or effects of chronic stress or current or past treatment.

AUCs for parent rating scales ranged widely from "poor"<sup>335</sup> to excellent,<sup>620</sup> with a low <u>strength of evidence</u> (SoE) due to imprecision and inconsistency. Only one study reported interrater reliability (between mothers and fathers), with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.51 for inattention, 0.56 for hyperactivity, and 0.58 for impulsivity, indicating moderate inter-rater reliability. Internal consistency for rating scale items was generally high across most rating scales.

AUCs for teacher rating scales ranged from "failed performance" (distinguishing ADHD from other patients<sup>480</sup>) to "good" (distinguishing ADHD from healthy controls or from patients with reading disability<sup>352</sup>) to "excellent" (distinguishing ADHD from typically developing controls),<sup>455</sup> again with a low <u>SoE</u> due to imprecision and consistency. The internal consistency for scale items was generally high. Teacher ratings demonstrated very low inter-rater reliability with the corresponding parent rating scales, suggesting either a problem with the instruments or a large variability in symptom presentation that depended on environmental context (home or school). Clinicians likely need ratings from both parents and teachers to yield a more complete representation of symptom expression across informants or settings. We found only two studies, however, that formally combined ratings from parents and teachers to diagnose ADHD, with one study reporting poor specificity (35.7 per cent with associated sensitivity of 83.5 per cent) when using the Conners to distinguish ADHD from other clinically referred youth,<sup>17</sup> and a machine learning study reporting a diagnostic accuracy of 0.93 when using the BRIEF to distinguish ADHD youth from typically developing controls.<sup>455</sup>

Though data are limited, self-reports from youth seem to perform less well than corresponding parent and teacher reports, with AUCs ranging from 0.56 ("fail" for CBCL/ASEBA distinguishing ADHD from other patients)<sup>480</sup> to 0.71 ("fair" for the SWAN distinguishing ADHD from community controls).<sup>176, 297</sup>

Studies employing combined approaches, such as integrating diagnostic aids with clinician impressions, were limited. One study reported increased sensitivity and specificity when an initial clinician diagnosis was combined with an EEG biomarker for that patient (the reference standard was a consensus diagnosis from a panel of ADHD experts).<sup>26</sup> These findings were not independently replicated, and no test-retest reliability was reported.

AUCs for all biomarkers ranged from 0.68 (serum miRNAs)<sup>623</sup> to 1.00 (erythropoietin and erythropoietin receptors levels)<sup>307</sup> but with a low <u>SoE</u>. None have been independently replicated, and no test-retest reliability was reported.

#### **Diagnostic Accuracy for Youth Younger than 7 Years of Age**

We found only a small number of studies in youth younger than 7 year of age (Table 3).<sup>175,</sup> <sup>193, 326, 402, 406, 455</sup> Only three of the studies assessed the performance of rating scales: the CBCL ADHD Problems Scale to distinguish ADHD (co-occurring with a disruptive behavior disorder) from a disruptive behavior disorder alone ("good" AUC 0.83);<sup>175</sup> or the total score for the Disruptive Behavior Diagnostic Observation Schedule to distinguish ADHD (with or without a

#### 7. Discussion

comorbid disruptive behavior disorder) from typically developing youth ("good" AUC 0.81);<sup>175</sup> or the BRIEF to distinguish ADHD from typically developing controls (average diagnostic accuracy of 0.93). The other studies assessed imaging or EEG measures, with AUCs ranging from fair to excellent. The findings provide very little evidence for the utility of any diagnostic approach in youth younger than age 7, though the two studies of rating scales suggest that performance may be comparable to performance of similar scales in youth older than 7.

# Comparative diagnostic accuracy of EEG, imaging, or executive function measures for youth aged 7 through 17

Most studies used machine learning for classification based on EEG measures. AUCs ranged from 0.63<sup>201</sup> to 0.97.<sup>402</sup> <u>SoE</u> is low due to large variations in diagnostic performance across studies, and often the methods for classification were not well described. The ICC for the Theta/Beta ratio, based on repeated measures on two different visits,<sup>26</sup> was 0.83.

AUCs ranged from "poor" for distinguishing ADHD youth without co-occurring disorders from healthy controls<sup>1153</sup> to "excellent" for distinguishing ADHD youth from healthy controls<sup>567</sup> in the neuroimaging studies. Most studies relied on machine learning to develop the diagnostic algorithms, and none assessed test-retest reliability or the independent reproducibility of findings.

Many machine learning studies have been reported to date. Machine learning has usually been applied retrospectively to pre-existing datasets or repositories. AUCs generally were not reported for machine learning studies. Using EEG data, sensitivity ranged from 80 percent (with a corresponding specificity of 80%)<sup>187</sup> to 98 percent (with a corresponding specificity of 92% or 99%).<sup>165, 180</sup> Using MRI data, sensitivity ranged from 61 percent (with a corresponding specificity of 68%)<sup>1153</sup> to 99 percent (with a corresponding specificity of 68%)<sup>1153</sup> to 99 percent (with a corresponding specificity of 68%)<sup>1153</sup> to 99 percent (with a corresponding specificity of 99%).<sup>567</sup> Most studies attempted to discriminate ADHD youth from healthy controls retrospectively in pre-existing datasets, not from other clinical populations and not prospectively. In addition, reporting of final mathematical models or algorithms differentiating the diagnostic groups was limited. The overall <u>SoE</u> is low.

Most of the EEG and imaging studies have employed leave-one-out cross validation and have rarely assessed performance in independent samples not contributing to generation of the diagnostic algorithm -- a serious overall weakness. No independent replication studies using the same marker/measure have been conducted, and very few have assessed test-retest or inter-rater reliability. No clinical effectiveness studies have been performed using these measures or diagnostic algorithms in the real world. Thus, biomarker, EEG, imaging, and machine learning algorithms do not seem remotely close to being ready for clinical application.

Studies evaluating neuropsychological tests yielded AUCs ranging from "poor"<sup>23, 266</sup> to "excellent",<sup>147</sup> with a low SoE due to imprecision and inconsistency. Many studies used idiosyncratic combinations of cognitive measures, including various measures from continuous performance tests (e.g. errors of omission, errors of commission, response time, response time variability, and detectability) to differentiate ADHD from control participants. These idiosyncratic measures make the results of meta-analyses difficult to interpret. Extracting specific, comparable measures of inattention and impulsivity from CPTs yielded only fair diagnostic performance.<sup>20, 23, 170</sup> Only one diagnostic study assessed test-retest reliability, which was poor. No studies provided an independent replication of diagnosis using the same measure. <u>SoE</u> for CPT measures is low due to imprecision. Thus, despite the widespread use of neuropsychological testing in the evaluation of youth suspected as having ADHD, often at

considerable expense, the performance of neuropsychological test measures in the diagnosis of ADHD is comparable to the diagnostic performance of ADHD rating scales from a single informant, and the overall SOE for estimates of that diagnostic performance is low. Moreover, in head-to-head comparisons, the diagnostic accuracy of parent rating scales is typically better than neuropsychological test measures.<sup>455, 712</sup>

# Variation in Diagnostic Accuracy by Clinical Setting or Patient Subgroup

We did not identify studies that directly compared diagnostic accuracy in head-to-head comparisons across different clinical settings. Instead, we had to compare performance indirectly, across studies. In addition, the reporting of diagnostic accuracy data was limited, and therefore analyses had to be performed on estimates as reported by the original authors, precluding meta-analytic modeling. Indirect comparisons nevertheless indicated that the setting is an effect modifier for diagnostic performance. The range of reported diagnostic sensitivities (with a mode at 80%) was much narrower in community settings, indicating that the detection of true positive cases was more consistent across studies in the community when compared to clinical settings, perhaps because ADHD youth identified in community samples are much less complex in their presentations than those presenting in clinical settings. We also found that the population appeared to modify diagnostic performance, in that specificity (the rate of identifying true negatives) was significantly lower when discriminating ADHD youth from neurotypical developing youth. A lower true negative rate indicated that clinically identified youth who did not have ADHD were mistakenly diagnosed as having ADHD, likely because they had symptoms or other non-specific aspects of clinical "caseness" that were confused with those of ADHD. Thus, the diagnostic group being differentiated from ADHD – whether it is a neurotypical "healthy" control, or youth who have a different emotional/behavioral/psychiatric disorder -- has a critical role in diagnostic performance. We found some indication that diagnostic performance was better for youth who were older compared with younger than 7 years of age (Figure 9), but effects were not statistically significant. Hence we analyzed studies of mixed samples together and reported on the diagnostic performance by diagnostic test modality, rather than by age group, and reported on the diagnostic performance by diagnostic test modality rather than by age group.

# Adverse Effects of Being Labeled Correctly or Incorrectly as Having ADHD

We did not identify any study that addressed the consequence of correctly or incorrectly receiving a diagnosis of ADHD.

# Safety and Effectiveness of Pharmacologic and Nonpharmacologic Treatments

Analyses that included studies of all therapeutic interventions, regardless of treatment modality, provided strong evidence for the significant efficacy of treatments in improving ADHD outcomes. We conducted extensive analyses to understand which classes of interventions produced significant therapeutic responses in various clinical outcome domains. We can compare the magnitude of those therapeutic responses (effect sizes) across interventions, as well as within and across outcome measures, using the Standardized Mean Difference (SMD) for the active compared with control intervention. SMD values of 0.2 to 0.5 are considered small, 0.5 to 0.8 medium, and above 0.8 are large. We will use the descriptive terms in summarizing the magnitude of treatment responses here, but the precise numerical values can be found in the Results section.

Numerous classes of intervention yielded significant effects on measures of *ADHD symptom severity*. These included: FDA-approved medications collectively; psychosocial treatment; neurofeedback; nutrition or supplements; school interventions; and parent support. All had medium effect sizes, except small effects were observed for psychosocial interventions, parent support, neurofeedback, and nutrition and supplements. The <u>SoE</u> for effects on ADHD symptoms is high for FDA-approved medications; moderate for psychosocial interventions, neurofeedback, parent support, and school interventions; and low for nutritional interventions. We note that many of the studies for psychosocial interventions and parent support compared the active intervention against either wait list controls, treatment as usual, or another passive intervention against one that did not adequately control for the effects of parent or therapist attention and other non-specific effects of therapy. Other studies compared the active intervention against one that did not adequately blind either participants or study assessors to the treatments and hypotheses.<sup>1163, 1164</sup> These limitations in study design considerably undermines the SOE for psychosocial and parent interventions. Similar considerations limit the SOE for studies of neurofeedback and nutrition and supplements.

For broadband measures, FDA-approved medications collectively yielded significant, medium-sized effects, parent support had significant small effects across four studies (low SOE), and cognitive training had medium effects across three studies (low SOE). For disruptive behaviors, only nutrition or supplements yielded significant but small effects across four different supplements (low SOE). For functional impairments, only FDA-approved medications collectively yielded significant effects that were medium-sized. No treatment modality yielded significant effects on academic performance, though only nine studies (3 psychological, 1 stimulant, 1 combined psychological plus stimulant, and 4 school interventions) assessed this as a treatment outcome, with all individual studies yielding nonsignificant improvements of small effect size). We found only two studies for the effects of exercise, and two for the effects of complementary and alternative medicines, that met our inclusion criteria, and they did not yield significant improvement in any ADHD outcome domain. Thus, the large number of studies combined with their medium-to-large effect sizes allow us to conclude with a high SOE that FDA-approved medications collectively improve ADHD clinical outcomes in all domains we assessed - in ADHD symptom severity, broadband measures, disruptive problem behaviors, and functional impairment. Only one study assessed the effectiveness of an FDA-approved medication in improving academic performance, and it reported large, significant, and positive effects.

We also found benefits from more specific medication classes. *Stimulant medications*, for example, significantly improved broadband scale scores with medium effect sizes, with comparable effects for amphetamine and methylphenidate derivatives, though amphetamines yielded much more variable effects across studies. Only one study included children younger than six years of age.<sup>116</sup> Similarly, stimulants significantly improved ADHD symptoms, with modest but homogeneous effects across methylphenidate studies and large but highly variable effects across amphetamine studies. Stimulants significantly improved functional impairment, with large effect sizes. A newer stimulant medication, modafinil, produced significant

improvement in ADHD symptoms in each of four studies, though in aggregate the improvement was not statistically significant, due to effect size heterogeneity.

*Non-stimulant medications* collectively yielded significant improvements in ADHD symptom scores with a medium effect size; similar effect sizes were observed separately for the SNRIs and alpha agonists compared with placebo. Non-stimulants also improved broadband scale scores, with similar effects observed for the SNRI subclass. Only one study included children younger than six years old.<sup>372</sup> Non-stimulants reduced functional impairment with a significant but small effect size, and comparable effects observed for SNRIs alone (the effects of alpha agonists could not be assessed).

Medication therapies reported substantially more adverse events than did the other interventions, including appetite suppression, with a high <u>SoE</u>. Stimulants were associated with an increased reporting of adverse events compared with placebo, with a similar but nonsignificant effect of methylphenidate and a similar though significant effect of amphetamines on adverse events. Stimulants were associated with appetite suppression compared to placebo, with somewhat smaller effects for methylphenidate than for amphetamines. Modafinil significantly suppressed appetite, with very large effect sizes. Non-stimulants compared with placebo were associated with an increased number of participants reporting adverse events, with comparable rates in SNRI studies and alpha agonists. Non-stimulants were also associated with suppressed appetite compared to placebo, with significant appetite suppression from SNRIs but much weaker and non-significant effects form alpha agonists.

The most common head-to-head comparison between two alternative medication treatments was atomoxetine vs methylphenidate,<sup>144, 370, 448, 500, 513, 527, 593, 632</sup> which did not detect significant differences in effects on ADHD symptoms,<sup>144, 370, 448, 527, 593, 632</sup>, broadband measures,<sup>370, 448, 527, 593</sup> behavioral problems<sup>448, 513</sup>, functional impairment, appetite suppression,<sup>370, 500, 527, 593, 632</sup> or the number of patients experiencing adverse events, though the direction of effects consistently favored methylphenidate. Indirect comparison of studies evaluating stimulants and non-stimulants compared to control groups, however, showed larger reported effect sizes for stimulants providing much greater improvement for ADHD symptoms and functional impairment, while effect sizes for broadband measures and appetite suppression were comparable. We did not identify head-to-head comparisons of SNRIs versus alpha agonists that met <u>eligibility criteria</u>.

We found no evidence that interventions are better when delivered in combination than as monotherapies. Furthermore, our findings suggest that combined medication and behavioral therapies do not improve ADHD symptoms better than either medication or behavioral therapy alone. We note, however, that these analyses do not consider the possibility that exact sequencing of psychological and medication therapies may produce differential effects on outcomes.<sup>52, 208</sup>

#### Variation in Outcomes by Clinical Presentation

We found little evidence that treatment outcomes varied by ADHD presentation.

#### **Risk of Medication Diversion**

We found only one study that assessed the risk of medication diversion in the treatment of ADHD. It was a double-blind RCT comparing stimulant plus CBT vs placebo plus CBT in treating adolescents who had ADHD with comorbid substance use disorder (SUD). The stimulant arm had twice the self-reported rate of diversion than the placebo arm which, though

not statistically significant, suggests that further studies of diversion and stimulant misuse is warranted, particularly in ADHD youth with SUD. Caution is indicated when prescribing stimulants to ADHD youth who have comorbid SUD.

# **ADHD Monitoring**

We identified only nine studies pertaining to the assessment of monitoring strategies for ADHD outcomes.

Several of the studies indicated that monitoring measures correlated poorly over time, whether the correlations were between different raters using the same rating scale<sup>534</sup> or between different assessment modalities (e.g., rating scale with computerized performance test).<sup>181, 207</sup> These findings suggest that assessment modalities may be more complementary than interchangeable, and that more than a single assessment modality may be required for comprehensive and effective monitoring of ADHD outcomes.<sup>181, 534</sup> One study suggested that subjective outcome measures, such as rating scales, may be more sensitive than more objective measures, such as the continuous performance task, for detecting treatment-induced changes in ADHD.<sup>207</sup>

Three studies assessed the effects on ADHD symptoms of interventions that train pediatricians to improve either their symptom monitoring or their adherence to treatment guidelines.<sup>258, 259, 271</sup> Despite very extensive training efforts, and even when expert support and consultation was available,<sup>259</sup> pediatricians exhibited poor compliance in attending training programs for treating ADHD,<sup>259, 271</sup> and even when they did attend, pediatrician compliance with treatment guidelines. Use of expert consultative services and compliance with recommendations was poor.<sup>259</sup>

One study suggested that monitoring height and weight, combined with either medication holidays or caloric supplementation, may be helpful for attenuating stimulant-associated weight loss but not slowing of height velocity.<sup>277</sup> Another study suggested that use of an electronic bottle cap may be more accurate and valid than patient reports, clinician impression, or pill counts for monitoring of medication adherence.<sup>617</sup>

# Findings in Relation to Existing Research Syntheses and Practice Guidelines

The conclusions and clinical recommendations of this review are generally consistent with those of the two prior AHRQ reviews on ADHD.<sup>11, 50</sup> The key questions of the 2011 review focused primarily on long-term (> 1 year) treatment effectiveness and adverse effects, whereas the three key questions of the 2018 review were nearly identical to ours. The 2018 review served as an important resource for development of the 2019 clinical practice guidelines for the evaluation and treatment of ADHD from the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP)<sup>1165</sup>, which in turn was the primary source for the recommendations from the US Center for Disease Control for the diagnosis and treatment of ADHD.<sup>1166</sup>

Our findings for diagnostic tools suggest that the clinical diagnosis of ADHD likely benefits from ratings of ADHD symptoms from multiple informants, which is consistent with the AAP guidelines that advise documentation of symptoms and impairment in more than one setting (such as home and school), with information obtained from parents, school personnel, and mental health clinicians. To these informants we would add that inquiring about symptoms from both parents, and directly from the youth, can also be helpful. The 2018 review did not assess the diagnostic performance of ADHD rating scales. That review concluded, however, that brain imaging and EEG had insufficient evidence to support their use as diagnostic tools, consistent with our conclusions, and despite the FDA approval of one EEG measure as a purported diagnostic aid.<sup>25, 26</sup> To those conclusions we add that neuropsychological tests (including measures from continuous performance tests) and blood biomarkers also do not yet have sufficient evidence to serve as diagnostic tools.

Our treatment findings concluded that FDA-approved stimulant and non-stimulant medications had the greatest strength of evidence across all interventions for significantly improving ADHD symptoms and other outcomes. Thirty-five papers that met criteria for inclusion in the current review assessed treatment effectiveness for more than a year, which was the focus of the 2011 review. That 2011 review concluded with a low SOE that methylphenidate and atomoxetine were both effective long-term, though the average effect sizes after a year were somewhat lower than those for the short-term studies included in the present review. The 2018 review did not restrict the time frame for treatment, but nevertheless found insufficient evidence to modify conclusions for the effectiveness of FDA-approved medications. The present review adds to these prior reviews by providing mean effect sizes for comparisons of FDA-approved medication with placebo on improving not only ADHD symptoms, but a range of other important outcomes as well, at least for short-term outcomes. The current review also provided showed that stimulant and non-stimulant medications yielded comparable effects on most effectiveness outcomes when these medications were compared head-to-head, though the overall direction of effects across all outcomes tended to favor stimulant medications. Clinical guidelines advise starting treatment for youth older than 6 years of age with FDA-approved medications, which the findings of this review support.

The current review did not find that combination therapies of medication plus psychosocial therapies produce better results than medication alone. Moreover, we found that the effect sizes for parent therapies tended to be smaller than those for other interventions in improving ADHD outcomes. The 2011 review found larger effect sizes than we found for parent training for preschool youth with ADHD or disruptive behavioral disorders, but the prior review included many studies that did not meet criteria for inclusion in our review. The 2018 review also found that parent training improved ADHD symptoms, though did not provide a mean effect size. Neither of the prior reviews assessed the effectiveness of combination treatment. The AAP clinical guidelines for preschool children advise treatment with parent training and/or classroom behavioral interventions as the first line of treatment, if available. These recommendations remain supported by the present review, particularly given the paucity of prior medication studies for preschool children. The guidelines also recommend the combination of parent training, classroom interventions, or behavioral interventions with medication therapy for older youth with ADHD, though no evidence suggests that this combination of therapies is better than monotherapy, and some evidence from head-to-head comparison studies suggests that the combination is not better than monotherapy.

The 2018 review found some evidence that cognitive training, and insufficient evidence that neurofeedback, improve ADHD symptoms. We found low SoE that cognitive training does not improve ADHD symptoms, and moderate SOE that neurofeedback does. Clinical guidelines do not currently recommend neurofeedback as a second line treatment, but should consider doing so. We also found, with low SOE, that nutritional supplements and dietary interventions improve

ADHD symptoms and problem behaviors. The SOE for nutritional interventions is still too low to recommend their routine use.

The 2018 review found no papers pertaining to the assessment of monitoring strategies for youth with ADHD, whereas our current review identified 9 such papers. The APA and CDC clinical guidelines do not include recommendations for monitoring strategies.

### Implications

ADHD treatment guidelines should educate clinicians on the complementary nature of rating scales from multiple informants – from both parents if possible and from teachers, and even from the youth as well – since the scores tend to correlate poorly with one another and because ADHD symptom in the same child can vary across settings. No single informant is a gold-standard. Multiple informants will provide a more complete clinical picture for how symptoms are expressed and perceived in different settings, and they will accordingly inform clinical judgement when making a diagnosis. Similarly, neuropsychological test measures of executive functioning, such as the CPT, may help inform a clinical diagnosis, but they are not definitive either in ruling in or ruling out a diagnosis of ADHD. Rating scales and neuropsychological tests are more helpful in diagnosis when the clinical question is whether a youth has ADHD or is healthy, rather than when the clinical question is whether a youth had ADHD or another mental health or behavioral problem, which tends to incorrectly identify youth with other clinical conditions as having ADHD. Biomarkers, EEG, and MRI are not yet close to being ready to aid clinical diagnosis. Ultimately, a valid and reliable diagnosis of ADHD requires the judgement of a clinician who is experienced in the evaluation of youth with and without ADHD, with the aid of standardized rating scales and input from multiple informants across multiple settings, including parents, teachers, and the youth themselves.

An increasing number of treatment modalities have been shown to significantly improve ADHD symptoms, and with comparable effect sizes when delivered as monotherapies. These include stimulant medications (methylphenidate and amphetamine), non-stimulant medications (particularly the SNRIs atomoxetine and viloxazine, as well as the alpha agonists clonidine and guanfacine), individual psychosocial treatments, neurofeedback, nutritional interventions, and school interventions (often combined with parent training). Psychosocial interventions, parent support, neurofeedback, and nutrition and supplements may exert considerably weaker effects on ADHD symptoms than the other interventions. Strength of evidence is high for medications and moderate for the other treatment modalities. The absence of head-to-head studies comparing the effectiveness of these monotherapies precludes recommendations regarding which is most likely to be helpful and should be tried first. Stimulant and SNRI medications, separately and in headto-head comparisons, have shown effectiveness and similar rates of side effects, including appetite suppression. The combination of treatment modalities, including combined medication plus psychosocial therapy, has minimal evidence for improving ADHD outcomes, and in fact a moderate strength of evidence indicates that combined therapy is no better than monotherapy. Treatment guidelines that recommend combination therapy<sup>1165, 1167, 1168</sup> should consider that successful combinations showing clear superiority still need to be explored and identified. A further finding of this review with clinical implications is that only FDA-approved medications have been shown to significantly improve broadband symptoms and functional impairment.

Findings from studies that attempted to train pediatricians in better adherence to ADHD monitoring and treatment guidelines suggest that training established pediatricians to adhere more closely to the guidelines does not work and that either much stronger incentives are needed

for established pediatricians (such as including training and demonstrated compliance in criteria for maintenance of board certification), or else demonstrable guideline adherence should be included in pediatric residency training programs.

# **Strengths and Limitations**

A major strength of this review is its inclusiveness, incorporating publications from 1980 and yielding more than 400 separate studies that informed our findings. Other strengths include: a review of evidence for the utility of biomarkers, EEG, and neuroimaging measures in the diagnosis of ADHD; parsing of non-pharmacological therapies by the target of the therapy (the youth, parent, or school); and the parsing of ADHD outcome measures to provide more clarity on the functional domains that treatments affect.

Space limitations precluded a more detailed parsing of putative diagnostic tools (such as similar rating scales or specific domains of cognitive functioning) and medication classes across the large number of available treatments. Those finer-grained analyses will be the subject of future publications. Moreover, despite the large number of included studies, we restricted this review to studies that reported on children with a clinically confirmed diagnosis of ADHD, excluding studies with broader samples (such as evaluations of psychosocial programs that were not specific to youth with a clinical diagnosis). In addition, although studies of children of all ages were eligible for inclusion in the report, the number of studies exclusively addressing younger children with ADHD were relatively few. The median minimum age in included studies was six years old. Samples were predominantly male, and the median number of girls included in the studies was only 25 percent. Furthermore, smaller studies were not included unless they demonstrated a power analysis, which may have excluded more smaller studies of more intensive treatments. We also excluded studies documenting very short-term treatment effects by requiring studies to report on a minimum treatment duration of four weeks. This requirement may have excluded relevant brief interventions, or very intense psychosocial interventions delivered in a short time period. Furthermore, this synthesis was focused on outcomes selected with the help of an expert panel, and it should be noted that individual interventions may show effects on other outcomes. Finally, despite a very comprehensive search, few monitoring studies were available to inform this report.

### **Future Research**

One of the most important potential uses of this systematic review would be the identification of effect modifiers for both the performance of diagnostic tools and therapeutic interventions – for example, determining whether a diagnostic tool performs better or worse, or a treatment is more or less effective, in one patient subgroup than another (KQ1c and KQ2a), such as in younger or older patients, in ethnic minorities, in those experiencing material hardship, in patients with a comorbid illness, or in those with a specific ADHD presentation. These analyses are essential for improving clinical assessments and treatment planning. Because studies did not compare effects in direct, head-to-head comparisons, we had to explore modifiers indirectly, across studies. Future studies of ADHD should more systematically address the modifier effects of these patient characteristics. Much more research is needed in the use of diagnostic tools, effectiveness of medication and other therapies, and monitoring strategies in preschool youth who have ADHD.

#### Future Research on ADHD Diagnosis

Future studies of diagnostic tools should include assessment of how well the tools distinguish ADHD youth not simply from typically developing youth, but especially from youth who have other emotional and behavioral problems. They should also assess the potential adverse consequences of youth being incorrectly diagnosed with or without ADHD. Research is needed to identify consensus algorithms that combine rating scale data from multiple informants to improve the clinical diagnosis of ADHD, which at present is unguided, ad hoc, and suboptimal.

Despite the theoretical promise and a large number of prior studies of the use of continuous performance tests, EEG, or imaging to diagnose ADHD, conclusions about these potential diagnostic tools was severely limited by the use of different diagnostic measures within each test modality, differing diagnostic thresholds applied to those measures across studies, and differing algorithms that combine those variables to reach a diagnostic decision, and the frequent failure to clearly report those study elements in the publication. Therefore, to support future efforts at synthetic analyses, diagnostic studies should report sufficient detail of their measures and diagnostic algorithms -- precise operational definitions and measurements of the variable(s) used for diagnosis, any diagnostic algorithm employed, the chosen statistical cut-offs, and the number of false positives and false negatives the diagnostic tool yields.

Studies of diagnostic tools should include ROC analyses to support comparison of test performance across studies that are independent of diagnostic threshold for the tool. Studies should also include assessment of test-retest reliability to help discern whether variability in measures and test performance across settings is a function of setting or is a consequence of measurement variability across time. Future studies should address the role of co-occurring disorders in the diagnostic process and their influences on their performance of the diagnostic tools. In addition, more studies are needed that compare the diagnostic accuracy of different test modalities head-to-head.

Making available in public repositories the raw, individual-level data, as well as the algorithms or computer code, for diagnostic tools is important to aid future efforts at replication, synthesis, and new discovery. Independent replication of performance measures of diagnostic tools in real-world settings is essential prior to FDA approval and before recommendations for widespread clinical use.

Finally, the "diagnostic tests" that are most often used clinically, usually at considerable financial expense, are neuropsychological measures of "executive functioning". These include, among others, measures of working memory and errors of omission on continuous performance tests (thought to represent the clinical construct of inattention) and measures of impulsive responding on continuous performance tests (thought to represent the clinical construct of impulsivity). These and other objective, quantitative neuropsychological test measures of executive functioning notoriously correlate only weakly with the clinical constructs of inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity that are based on observation of real-world behavior and that define ADHD.<sup>181</sup> Many youth with ADHD have normal executive functioning profiles on neuropsychological tests do not have ADHD.<sup>1169</sup> A major open question for future research is how these two constructs – neuropsychological test measures of executive functioning and the real-world functional problems that define ADHD --- map on to one another, and how the correspondence of that mapping can be improved.
### **Future Research on ADHD Treatment**

More trials are needed that compare alternative interventions head-to-head or that compare combination treatments with monotherapy. Future studies of psychosocial and parent interventions should employ study designs that support more valid causal inferences and higher SOE for the effectiveness of the interventions assessed, including active attention comparator conditions and effective blinding of participants and assessors to study interventions and hypotheses.<sup>1163, 1164</sup> More and higher quality studies with independent replication are needed to assess the effectiveness of individual complementary and alternative therapies, as well as exercise. Much more research is needed to assess long-term treatment compliance, treatment effectiveness across a wide array of interventions and outcomes, medication diversion, and adverse effects associated with treatment.

Studies evaluating ADHD interventions should address the role of patient characteristics as modifiers of treatment effects. This effort will help to identify which treatments are most effective for which patients, to aid in the development of personalized treatments for youth with ADHD. To aid discovery and confirmation of these modifiers, future treatment studies should make publicly available all individual-level demographic, clinical, treatment, and all available outcome data (not only the primary outcomes), together with a detailed data dictionary. Patient-centered outcomes that assess functional domains other than ADHD symptoms, such as functional impairment and academic performance, should be acquired in clinical trials and shared publicly.

## **Future Research on ADHD Monitoring**

Much more research is needed that compares the utility of various strategies for monitoring treatment and outcomes in ADHD youth. The temporal stability of outcome measures and their sensitivity to change in response to treatment should be assessed. Future synthetic studies should consider reviewing studies of long-term outcomes in ADHD youth, even if not in the context of comparing monitoring strategies, as the findings will be of interest to patients, parents, and clinicians and will critically inform treatment decisions.

# Applicability

Several included studies reported multiple exclusions for <u>eligible</u> participants, which limited the generalizability of findings. Diagnostic performance, as well as treatment effects in clinical practice, may not translate from the favorable effects shown in the documented research to real world practice.

# References

1. Danielson ML, Bitsko RH, Ghandour RM, et al. Prevalence of parent-reported ADHD diagnosis and associated treatment among U.S. children and adolescents, 2016. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2018 Mar-Apr;47(2):199-212. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2017.1417860. PMID: 29363986.

2. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Data and Statistics About ADHD.

www.https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/adhd/dat a.html. Accessed August 23, 2021.

3. Polanczyk G, de Lima MS, Horta BL, et al. The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: a systematic review and metaregression analysis. Am J Psychiatry. 2007 Jun;164(6):942-8. doi: 10.1176/ajp.2007.164.6.942. PMID: 17541055.

4. Hong SB, Dwyer D, Kim JW, et al. Subthreshold attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is associated with functional impairments across domains: a comprehensive analysis in a large-scale community study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Aug;23(8):627-36. doi: 10.1007/s00787-013-0501-z. PMID: 24318039.

5. Asherson P, Trzaskowski M. Attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder is the extreme and impairing tail of a continuum. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Apr;54(4):249-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2015.01.014. PMID: 25791141.

6. Greven CU, Merwood A, van der Meer JMJ, et al. The opposite end of the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder continuum: genetic and environmental aetiologies of extremely low ADHD traits. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines. 2016;57:523 - 31.  McLennan JD. Understanding attention deficit hyperactivity disorder as a continuum. Canadian family physician Medecin de famille canadien.
 2016;62(12):979-82. PMID: 27965331.

8. MedicalNewsToday. What to know about ADHD misdiagnosis. 2019. https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles//325595. Accessed on May 5, 2023.

9. Hinshaw SP, Nguyen PT, O'Grady SM, et al. Annual Research Review: Attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in girls and women: underrepresentation, longitudinal processes, and key directions. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2022 Apr;63(4):484-96. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13480. PMID: 34231220.

 Greven C, Richards JS, Buitelaar JK. Chapter 16: Sex differences in ADHD. Oxford Textbook of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder In: Banaschewski T, Coghill D, Zuddas A, eds. Oxford Textbook of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Oxford University Press; 2018:154-60.

11. Charach A, Dashti B, Carson P, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Effectiveness of Treatment in At-Risk Preschoolers; Long-Term Effectiveness in All Ages; and Variability in Prevalence, Diagnosis, and Treatment. Compartative Effectiveness Review No. 44 (Prepared by the McMaster University Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. MME2202 290-02-0020.) AHRO Publication No. 12-EHC003-EF Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Rockville, MD: Oct Preschoolers; Long-Term Effectiveness in All Ages; and Variability in Prevalence, Diagnosis, and Treatment October 2011. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fc

<u>nttp://www.ncbi.nim.nin.gov/entre2/query.rc</u> <u>gi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citati</u> <u>on&list\_uids=22191110</u> 12. Shi Y, Hunter Guevara LR, Dykhoff HJ, et al. Racial Disparities in Diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in a US National Birth Cohort. JAMA Netw Open. 2021 Mar 1;4(3):e210321. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.0321. PMID: 33646315.

13. Morgan PL, Hillemeier MM, Farkas G, et al. Racial/ethnic disparities in ADHD diagnosis by kindergarten entry. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2014 Aug;55(8):905-13. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12204. PMID: 24456307.

14. Fadus MC, Ginsburg KR, Sobowale K, et al. Unconscious Bias and the Diagnosis of Disruptive Behavior Disorders and ADHD in African American and Hispanic Youth. Academic Psychiatry. 2020 2020/02/01;44(1):95-102. doi: 10.1007/s40596-019-01127-6.

15. Chan E, Hopkins MR, Perrin JM, et al. Diagnostic practices for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a national survey of primary care physicians. Ambul Pediatr. 2005 Jul-Aug;5(4):201-8. doi: 10.1367/A04-054R1.1. PMID: 16026184.

16. Nobel E, Brunnekreef JA, Schachar RJ, et al. Parent–clinician agreement in rating the presence and severity of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms. ADHD Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorders. 2019 2019/03/01;11(1):21-9. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0267-8. PMID: 30927229.

17. Parker A, Corkum P. ADHD Diagnosis: As Simple As Administering a Questionnaire or a Complex Diagnostic Process? Journal of Attention Disorders.
2016 Jun;20(6):478-86. doi: 10.1177/1087054713495736. PMID: 23887860.

 Chang L-Y, Wang M-Y, Tsai P-S.
 Diagnostic Accuracy of Rating Scales for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-analysis. Pediatrics. 2016 Mar;137(3):e20152749. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-2749. PMID: 26928969.

19. De Crescenzo F, Licchelli S, Ciabattini M, et al. The use of actigraphy in the monitoring of sleep and activity in ADHD: A meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev. 2016 Apr;26:9-20. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2015.04.002. PMID: 26163053.

20. Williams LM, Hermens DF, Thein T, et al. Using Brain-Based Cognitive Measures to Support Clinical Decisions in ADHD. Pediatric Neurology. 2010 2010/02/01/;42(2):118-26. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2009.</u> 08.010. PMID: 20117748.

21. Wasserman T, Wasserman LD. The Sensitivity and Specificity of Neuropsychological Tests in the Diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Applied Neuropsychology: Child. 2012 2012/07/01;1(2):90-9. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2012.702025. PMID: 23428295.

22. Barkley RA. Neuropsychological Testing is Not Useful in the Diagnosis of ADHD: Stop It (or Prove It)! The ADHD Report. 2019;27(2):1-8. doi: 10.1521/adhd.2019.27.2.1.

23. Hult N, Kadesjö J, Kadesjö B, et al.
ADHD and the QbTest: Diagnostic Validity of QbTest. Journal of Attention Disorders.
2018 Sep;22(11):1074-80. doi:
10.1177/1087054715595697. PMID:
26224575.

24. Anita Thapar, F.R.C.Psych., Ph.D. Discoveries on the Genetics of ADHD in the 21st Century: New Findings and Their Implications. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2018 Oct 1;175(10):943-50. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.18040383. PMID: 30111187. 25. Snyder SM. Systems and methods to identify a subgroup of ADHD at higher risk for complicating conditions. US Patent and Trademark Office. (U.S. PPA Number 61/237,911; August 27, 2009) (U.S. PA Number 12/870,328; August 28, 2010). 2010.

26. Snyder SM, Rugino TA, Hornig M, et al. Integration of an EEG biomarker with a clinician's ADHD evaluation. Brain and Behavior. 2015 Apr;5(4):e00330. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.330</u>. PMID: 25798338.

27. Bansal R, Staib LH, Laine AF, et al. Anatomical brain images alone can accurately diagnose chronic neuropsychiatric illnesses. PLoS One.
2012;7(12):e50698. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050698. PMID: 23236384.

28. Haubold A, Peterson BS, Bansal R. Annual research review: progress in using brain morphometry as a clinical tool for diagnosing psychiatric disorders. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2012 May;53(5):519-35. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02539.x. PMID: 22394424.

29. Ford-Jones PC. Misdiagnosis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: 'Normal behaviour' and relative maturity. Paediatrics & child health. 2015 May;20(4):200-2. doi: 10.1093/pch/20.4.200. PMID: 26038639.

30. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed. Arlington, VA: Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.

31. Sciutto MJ, Eisenberg M. Evaluating the Evidence For and Against the Overdiagnosis of ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2007 Sep;11(2):106-13. doi: 10.1177/1087054707300094. PMID: 17709814. 32. Tseregounis IE, Stewart SL, Crawford A, et al. Age- and Sex-Specific Increases in Stimulant Prescribing Rates—California, 2008-2017. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Jan;24(2):205-14. doi: 10.1177/1087054719883008. PMID: 31680608.

33. Board AR, Guy G, Jones CM, et al. Trends in stimulant dispensing by age, sex, state of residence, and prescriber specialty — United States, 2014–2019. Drug and Alcohol Dependence. 2020 2020/12/01/;217:108297. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.10 8297. PMID: 32961454.

34. Kazda L, Bell K, Thomas R, et al. Overdiagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Scoping Review. JAMA Network Open. 2021 Apr 1;4(4):e215335-e. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.5335.
PMID: 33843998.

35. Cook G. Big Pharma's Manufactured Epidemic: The Misdiagnosis of ADHD. Scientific American. 2016;Oct 11, 2016.

36. Cha AE. CDC warns that Americans may be overmedicating youngest children with ADHD. The Washington Post. 2016.

37. Ramachandran S, Dertien D, Bentley SI. Prevalence of ADHD symptom malingering, nonmedical use, and drug diversion among college-enrolled adults with a prescription for stimulant medications. Journal of Addictive Diseases. 2020 2020/02/17;38(2):176-85. doi: 10.1080/10550887.2020.1732762. PMID: 32242510.

38. Visser SN, Danielson ML, Bitsko RH, et al. Trends in the Parent-Report of Health Care Provider-Diagnosed and Medicated Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: United States, 2003-2011. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2014 Jan;53(1):34-46.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2013.09.001. PMID: 24342384.

39. DosReis S, Barksdale CL, Sherman A, et al. Stigmatizing experiences of parents of children with a new diagnosis of ADHD. Psychiatr Serv. 2010 Aug;61(8):811-6. doi: 10.1176/ps.2010.61.8.811. PMID: 20675840.

40. Cook J, Knight E, Hume I, et al. The self-esteem of adults diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a systematic review of the literature. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2014 Dec;6(4):249-68. doi: 10.1007/s12402-014-0133-2. PMID: 24668198.

41. Lebowitz MS. Stigmatization of ADHD: A Developmental Review. J Atten Disord. 2016 Mar;20(3):199-205. doi: 10.1177/1087054712475211. PMID: 23407279.

42. Wiener J, Malone M, Varma A, et al. Children's Perceptions of Their ADHD Symptoms:Positive Illusions, Attributions, and Stigma. Canadian Journal of School Psychology. 2012;27(3):217-42. doi: 10.1177/0829573512451972.

43. Weinstein D, Staffelbach D, Biaggio M. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder: Differential diagnosis in childhood sexual abuse. Clinical Psychology Review. 2000 2000/04/01/;20(3):359-78. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358(98)00107-X. PMID: 10779899.

44. Szymanski K, Sapanski L, Conway F. Trauma and ADHD – Association or Diagnostic Confusion? A Clinical Perspective. Journal of Infant, Child, and Adolescent Psychotherapy. 2011 2011/01/01;10(1):51-9. doi: 10.1080/15289168.2011.575704. 45. Langevin R, Marshall C, Wallace A, et al. Disentangling the Associations Between Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Child Sexual Abuse: A Systematic Review. Trauma, Violence, & Abuse. 2021 Jul 9:15248380211030234. doi: 10.1177/15248380211030234. PMID: 34238078.

46. Dahmen B, Pütz V, Herpertz-Dahlmann B, et al. Early pathogenic care and the development of ADHD-like symptoms. Journal of Neural Transmission. 2012 2012/09/01;119(9):1023-36. doi: 10.1007/s00702-012-0809-8. PMID: 22661337.

47. Erskine HE, Norman RE, Ferrari AJ, et al. Long-Term Outcomes of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Conduct Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Oct;55(10):841-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.06.016. PMID: 27663939.

48. Mehta T, Mannem N, Yarasi NK, et al. Biomarkers for ADHD: the Present and Future Directions. Current Developmental Disorders Reports. 2020 2020/09/01;7(3):85-92. doi: 10.1007/s40474-020-00196-9.

49. Faraone SV, Bonvicini C, Scassellati C. Biomarkers in the Diagnosis of ADHD – Promising Directions. Current Psychiatry Reports. 2014 2014/10/10;16(11):497. doi: 10.1007/s11920-014-0497-1.

50. Kemper AR, Maslow GR, Hill S, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Diagnosis and Treatment in Children and Adolescents. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 203. (Prepared by the Duke University Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2015-00004-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 18-EHC005-EF Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US). Rockville (MD): 2018. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2955 8081

51. Biederman J, Fried R, DiSalvo M, et al. Evidence of Low Adherence to Stimulant Medication Among Children and Youths With ADHD: An Electronic Health Records Study. Psychiatr Serv. 2019 Oct 1;70(10):874-80. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800515. PMID: 31242830.

52. Pelham WE, Jr., Fabiano GA, Waxmonsky JG, et al. Treatment sequencing for childhood ADHD: a multiplerandomization study of adaptive medication and behavioral interventions. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2016 Jul-Aug;45(4):396-415. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2015.1105138. PMID: 26882332.

53. Lei H, Nahum-Shani I, Lynch K, et al. A "SMART" design for building individualized treatment sequences. Annual review of clinical psychology. 2012;8:21-48. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032511-143152. PMID: 22224838.

54. Almirall D, Nahum-Shani I, Sherwood NE, et al. Introduction to SMART designs for the development of adaptive interventions: with application to weight loss research. Translational behavioral medicine. 2014 Sep;4(3):260-74. doi: 10.1007/s13142-014-0265-0. PMID: 25264466.

55. Murphy SA. An experimental design for the development of adaptive treatment strategies. Stat Med. 2005 May 30;24(10):1455-81. doi: 10.1002/sim.2022.
PMID: 15586395.

56. Ogbagaber SB, Karp J, Wahed AS. Design of sequentially randomized trials for testing adaptive treatment strategies. Stat Med. 2016 Mar 15;35(6):840-58. doi: 10.1002/sim.6747. PMID: 26412033. 57. Veloso A, Vicente SG, Filipe MG. Effectiveness of cognitive training for school-aged children and adolescents with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: a systematic review. Frontiers in Psychology. 2020 2020-January-14;10(2983):2983. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02983. PMID: 32010026.

58. Moore AL, Carpenter DM, 2nd, Miller TM, et al. Clinician-delivered cognitive training for children with attention problems: effects on cognition and behavior from the ThinkRx randomized controlled trial. Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment. 2018;14:1671-83. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S165418. PMID: 29983567.

59. Bikic A, Leckman JF, Christensen TØ, et al. Attention and executive functions computer training for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): results from a randomized, controlled trial. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018 2018/12/01;27(12):1563-74. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1151-y. PMID: 29644473.

60. Scionti N, Cavallero M, Zogmaister C, et al. Is cognitive training effective for improving executive functions in preschoolers? a systematic review and metaanalysis. Frontiers in Psychology. 2020 2020-January-10;10(2812):2812. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02812. PMID: 31998168.

61. US Food and Drug Administration. FDA Permits Marketing of First Game-Based Digital Therapeutic to Improve Attention Function in Children with ADHD. FDA News Release.

https://www.fda.gov/news-events/pressannouncements/fda-permits-marketing-firstgame-based-digital-therapeutic-improveattention-function-children-adhd. 2020;June 15. 62. Westwood S, Radua J, Rubia K. Noninvasive brain stimulation as an alternative treatment for ADHD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Brain Stimulation: Basic, Translational, and Clinical Research in Neuromodulation. 2019;12(2):502. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2018.12.644.

63. Zangen A. T033 Right prefrontal rTMS for the treatment of ADHD: Electrophysiological correlates and prognostic biomarkers. Clinical Neurophysiology. 2017 2017/03/01/;128(3):e11. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2016.10.131.

64. Rubia K. Precision medicine in neurotherapeutics for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jul;60(7):813-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.11.013. PMID: 33264662.

65. Wong HC, Zaman R. Neurostimulation in treating ADHD. Psychiatr Danub. 2019 Sep;31(Suppl 3):265-75. PMID: 31488739.

66. Voelker R. Trigeminal nerve stimulator for ADHD. JAMA. 2019 Jun4;321(21):2066-. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.6992. PMID: 31162556.

67. McGough JJ, Sturm A, Cowen J, et al. Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled, Pilot Study of Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Apr;58(4):403-11.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.11.013. PMID: 30768393.

68. Abrams Z. A new device for treating ADHD in children. Monitor on Psychology. <u>http://www.apa.org/monitor/2019/07-</u>08/adhd-children. 2019;50(7).

69. Miller AR, Lalonde CE, McGrail KM. Children's persistence with methylphenidate therapy: a population-based study. Can J Psychiatry. 2004 Nov;49(11):761-8. doi: 10.1177/070674370404901107. PMID: 15633854.

70. Coghill D, Seth S. Effective management of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) through structured re-assessment: the Dundee ADHD Clinical Care Pathway. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2015;9:52. doi: 10.1186/s13034-015-0083-2. PMID: 26587055.

71. Steven R. Pliszka, M.D. Is There Long-Term Benefit From Stimulant Treatment for ADHD? American Journal of Psychiatry.
2019 Sep 1;176(9):685-6. doi:
10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.19070681. PMID: 31474129.

72. Craig SG, Davies G, Schibuk L, et al. Long-Term Effects of Stimulant Treatment for ADHD: What Can We Tell Our Patients? Current Developmental Disorders Reports. 2015 2015/03/01;2(1):1-9. doi: 10.1007/s40474-015-0039-5.

73. Swanson JM. Debate: Are Stimulant Medications for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Effective in the Long Term? (Against). J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Oct;58(10):936-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.07.001. PMID: 31515165.

74. Coghill D. Debate: Are Stimulant Medications for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Effective in the Long Term? (For). J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Oct;58(10):938-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.07.002. PMID: 31515164.

75. Krinzinger H, Hall CL, Groom MJ, et al. Neurological and psychiatric adverse effects of long-term methylphenidate treatment in ADHD: A map of the current evidence. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2019 2019/12/01/;107:945-68. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.09. 023. PMID: 31545988.

76. Shaw M, Hodgkins P, Caci H, et al. A systematic review and analysis of long-term outcomes in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: effects of treatment and non-treatment. BMC Med. 2012 Sep 4;10:99. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-10-99. PMID: 22947230.

77. Arnold LE, Hodgkins P, Caci H, et al. Effect of treatment modality on long-term outcomes in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2015;10(2):e0116407. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0116407. PMID: 25714373.

78. Swanson JM, Rommelse N, Cotton J, et al. 142. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (updated chapter). In press (2022).

79. Wong HK, Tiffin PA, Chappell MJ, et al. Personalized Medication Response Prediction for Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Learning in the Model Space vs. Learning in the Data Space. Frontiers in physiology. 2017;8:199-. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00199. PMID: 28443027.

80. Jadad AR, Boyle M, Cunningham C, et al. Treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ). 1999 Nov(11):iviii, 1-341. PMID: 10790990.

81. Vaidya CJ, You X, Mostofsky S, et al. Data-driven identification of subtypes of executive function across typical development, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and autism spectrum disorders. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 Jan;61(1):51-61. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13114. PMID: 31509248.

82. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 3rd ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1980.

83. Rajabi S, Pakize A, Moradi N. Effect of combined neurofeedback and game-based cognitive training on the treatment of ADHD: A randomized controlled study.
Applied Neuropsychology: Child. 2020 2020/07/02;9(3):193-205. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2018.1556101. PMID: 30734583.

84. Pelham WE, Jr., Meichenbaum DL,
Smith BH, et al. Acute Effects of MPH on
the Parent-Teen Interactions of Adolescents
With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017
Jan;21(2):158-67. doi:
10.1177/1087054713480833. PMID:
23543401.

85. Hannesdottir DK, Ingvarsdottir E,
Bjornsson A. The OutSMARTers Program for Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord.
2017 Feb;21(4):353-64. doi:
10.1177/1087054713520617. PMID:
24505061.

86. Catalá-López F, Hutton B, Núñez-Beltrán A, et al. The pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: A systematic review with network meta-analyses of randomised trials. PLoS One. 2017;12(7):e0180355. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180355. PMID: 28700715.

87. Joseph A, Ayyagari R, Xie M, et al.
Comparative efficacy and safety of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder pharmacotherapies, including guanfacine extended release: a mixed treatment comparison. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry.
2017 Aug;26(8):875-97. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-0962-6. PMID: 28258319.

88. Padilha S, Virtuoso S, Tonin FS, et al. Efficacy and safety of drugs for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a network meta-analysis. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Oct;27(10):1335-45. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1125-0. PMID: 29460165.

89. Anand S, Tong H, Besag FMC, et al.
Safety, Tolerability and Efficacy of Drugs for Treating Behavioural Insomnia in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review with Methodological Quality Assessment. Paediatr Drugs. 2017 Jun;19(3):235-50. doi: 10.1007/s40272-017-0224-6. PMID: 28391425.

90. Tsujii N, Usami M, Naya N, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Medication for Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents with Common Comorbidities: A Systematic Review. Neurol Ther. 2021 Jun 4. doi: 10.1007/s40120-021-00249-0. PMID: 34089145.

91. Maglione M, Das L, Motala A, et al. Surveillance Assessment on CER 44: Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Effectiveness of Treatment in At-Risk Preschoolers; Long-term Effectiveness in All Ages; and Variability in Prevalence, Diagnosis, and Treatment (CER 44) Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Rockville, MD: July 2012 2012.

92. Gidengil C, Goetz MB, Maglione M, et al. Safety of Vaccines Used for Routine Immunization in the United States: An Update. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 244. (Prepared by the Southern California Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2015-00010-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 21-EHC024. .
Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2021.

93. Hempel S, Graham GD, Fu N, et al. A systematic review of modifiable risk factors in the progression of multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler. 2017 Apr;23(4):525-33. doi:

10.1177/1352458517690270. PMID: 28151053.

94. Hempel S, Newberry S, Ruelaz A, et al. Safety of probiotics used to reduce risk and prevent or treat disease. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep). 2011 Apr(200):1-645. PMID: 23126627.

95. Hempel S, Newberry SJ, Maher AR, et al. Probiotics for the prevention and treatment of antibiotic-associated diarrhea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2012 May 9;307(18):1959-69. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.3507. PMID: 22570464.

96. Sterne JAC, Savovic J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2019 Aug 28;366:14898. doi: 10.1136/bmj.14898. PMID: 31462531.

97. University of Bristol. QUADAS-2. https://www.bristol.ac.uk/population-healthsciences/projects/quadas/quadas-2/. Accessed on February 10, 2021.

98. Rover C, Knapp G, Friede T. Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman approach and its modification for random-effects metaanalysis with few studies. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2015 Nov 14;15:99. doi: 10.1186/s12874-015-0091-1. PMID: 26573817.

99. Hempel S, Miles JN, Booth MJ, et al. Risk of bias: a simulation study of power to detect study-level moderator effects in metaanalysis. Syst Rev. 2013 Nov 28;2:107. doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-2-107. PMID: 24286208.

100. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997 Sep 13;315(7109):629-34. doi:
10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629. PMID: 9310563.

101. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for

publication bias. Biometrics. 1994 Dec;50(4):1088-101. PMID: 7786990.

102. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in metaanalysis. Biometrics. 2000 Jun;56(2):455-63. doi: 10.1111/j.0006-341x.2000.00455.x. PMID: 10877304.

103. Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. Content last reviewed March 2021. Effective Health Care Program Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Rockville, MD: 2021 https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products /cer-methods-guide

104. Guy W. ECDEU Assessment Manual for Psychopharmacology US Department of Heath, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration. Rockville, MD: 1976.

105. DuPaul GJ, Power TJ, Anastopoulos AD, et al. ADHD Rating Scale—IV: Checklists, norms, and clinical interpretation: Guilford press; 1998.

106. DuPaul GJ, Power TJ, Anastopoulos AD, et al. ADHD Rating Scale—5: Checklists, norms, and clinical interpretation: Guilford press; 2016.

107. Canadian Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Resource Alliance (CADDRA). Canadian ADHD practice guidelines CADDRA. Toronto: 2011.

108. Canadian Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Resource Alliance (CADDRA). Canadian ADHD practice guidelines CADDRA. Toronto: 2020.

109. Berkman ND, Lohr KN, Ansari MT, et al. Grading the strength of a body of evidence when assessing health care interventions: an EPC update. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 2015;68(11):1312-24. 110. Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Randomized Clinical Trial of Neurofeedback for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder With 13-Month Follow-up. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jul;60(7):841-55. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.07.906. PMID: 32853703.

111. Abbasi SH, Heidari S, Mohammadi MR, et al. Acetyl-L-carnitine as an adjunctive therapy in the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a placebocontrolled trial. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2011 Jun;42(3):367-75. doi: 10.1007/s10578-011-0220-y. PMID: 21336630.

112. AbbVie, AbbVie. Safety and Tolerability Study of ABT-089 in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2008.

113. Abikoff H, Gallagher R, Wells KC, et al. Remediating organizational functioning in children with ADHD: immediate and long-term effects from a randomized controlled trial. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2013 Feb;81(1):113-28. doi: 10.1037/a0029648. PMID: 22889336.

114. Abikoff H, Hechtman L, Klein RG, et al. Symptomatic improvement in children with ADHD treated with long-term methylphenidate and multimodal psychosocial treatment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Jul;43(7):802-11. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000128791.10014.ac. PMID: 15213581.

115. Abikoff H, Nissley-Tsiopinis J, Gallagher R, et al. Effects of MPH-OROS on the organizational, time management, and planning behaviors of children with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Feb;48(2):166-75. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181930626. PMID: 19127171. 116. Abikoff H, Vitiello B, Riddle M, et al. Methylphenidate effects on functional outcomes in the Preschoolers with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treatment Study (PATS). J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007;17(5):581-92.

117. Abikoff HB, Thompson M, Laver-Bradbury C, et al. Parent training for preschool ADHD: a randomized controlled trial of specialized and generic programs. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2015 Jun;56(6):618-31. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12346. PMID: 25318650.

118. Abramov DM, Lazarev VV, Gomes SC, et al. Estimating biological accuracy of DSM for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder based on multivariate analysis for small samples. PeerJ. 2019;2019(6). doi: 10.7717/peerj.7074.

119. Adams R, Finn P, Moes E, et al. Distractibility in Attention/Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): the virtual reality classroom. Child Neuropsychol. 2009 Mar;15(2):120-35. doi: 10.1080/09297040802169077. PMID: 18608217.

120. Aevi Genomic Medicine L, a Cerecor company, Inc C. Efficacy and Safety of NFC-1 in Adolescents With Genetic Disorders Impacting mGluR and ADHD.2016.

121. Aevi Genomic Medicine L, a Cerecor company, Inc C. PART B: Efficacy and Safety of AEVI-001 in Children and Adolescents With ADHD and Without mGluR Mutations. 2018.

122. Ahmadi A, Kashefi M, Shahrokhi H, et al. Computer aided diagnosis system using deep convolutional neural networks for ADHD subtypes. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control. 2021;63. doi: 10.1016/j.bspc.2020.102227. 123. Akhondzadeh S, Mohammadi MR, Khademi M. Zinc sulfate as an adjunct to methylphenidate for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children: a double blind and randomized trial [ISRCTN64132371]. BMC Psychiatry. 2004 Apr 8;4:9. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-4-9. PMID: 15070418.

124. Algorta GP, Dodd AL, Stringaris A, et al. Diagnostic efficiency of the SDQ for parents to identify ADHD in the UK: a ROC analysis. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Sep;25(9):949-57. doi: 10.1007/s00787-015-0815-0. PMID: 26762184.

125. Allen AJ, Kurlan RM, Gilbert DL, et al. Atomoxetine treatment in children and adolescents with ADHD and comorbid tic disorders. Neurology. 2005 Dec 27;65(12):1941-9. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000188869.58300.a7. PMID: 16380617.

126. Alloway TP, Gathercole SE, Holmes J, et al. The diagnostic utility of behavioral checklists in identifying children with ADHD and children with working memory deficits. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2009 Sep;40(3):353-66. doi: 10.1007/s10578-009-0131-3. PMID: 19280339.

127. Altınkaynak M, Dolu N, Güven A, et
al. Diagnosis of Attention Deficit
Hyperactivity Disorder with combined time
and frequency features. Biocybernetics and
Biomedical Engineering. 2020;40(3):92737. doi: 10.1016/j.bbe.2020.04.006.

128. Amado-Caballero P, Casaseca-de-la-Higuera P, Alberola-Lopez S, et al. Objective ADHD Diagnosis Using Convolutional Neural Networks Over Daily-Life Activity Records. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform. 2020 Sep;24(9):2690-700. doi: 10.1109/jbhi.2020.2964072. PMID: 31905156. 129. Amiri S, Mohammadi MR, Mohammadi M, et al. Modafinil as a treatment for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in children and adolescents: a double blind, randomized clinical trial. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2008 Jan 1;32(1):145-9. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2007.07.025. PMID: 17765380.

130. Antshel KM, Remer R. Social skills training in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomizedcontrolled clinical trial. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2003 Mar;32(1):153-65. doi: 10.1207/S15374424JCCP3201\_14. PMID: 12611031.

131. Arjona A, Angulo-Ruiz BY, Rodríguez-Martínez EI, et al. Timefrequency neural dynamics of ADHD children and adolescents during a Working Memory task. Neurosci Lett. 2023 Feb 28;798:137100. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2023.137100. PMID: 36720344.

132. Arnold LE, Arns M, Barterian JA, et al. Neurofeedback for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: 25-Month Follow-up of Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Dec 8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.862. PMID: 36521694.

133. Ashkenasi A. Effect of transdermal methylphenidate wear times on sleep in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Pediatr Neurol. 2011 Dec;45(6):381-6. doi:

10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2011.09.003. PMID: 22115000.

134. Aviv TM, Katz YJ, Berant E. The Contribution of Therapeutic Horseback Riding to the Improvement of Executive Functions and Self-Esteem Among Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2021 Oct;25(12):1743-53. doi: 10.1177/1087054720925898. PMID: 32508191.

135. Babinski D, Shroff DM, Cao VT, et al.
Sex-Specific Norms for Diagnosing
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in
Childhood: A Receiver Operating
Characteristic (ROC) Analysis. EvidenceBased Practice in Child and Adolescent
Mental Health. 2021;6(2):290-301. doi:
10.1080/23794925.2021.1875343.

136. Bakhshayesh AR, Hänsch S, Wyschkon A, et al. Neurofeedback in ADHD: a single-blind randomized controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Sep;20(9):481-91. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0208-y. PMID: 21842168.

137. Banaschewski T, Soutullo C, Lecendreux M, et al. Health-related quality of life and functional outcomes from a randomized, controlled study of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. CNS Drugs. 2013 Oct;27(10):829-40. doi: 10.1007/s40263-013-0095-5. PMID: 23893527.

138. Bangs ME, Emslie GJ, Spencer TJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of atomoxetine in adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and major depression. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Aug;17(4):407-20. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.0066. PMID: 17822337.

139. Bangs ME, Hazell P, Danckaerts M, et al. Atomoxetine for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and oppositional defiant disorder. Pediatrics.
2008 Feb;121(2):e314-20. doi: 10.1542/peds.2006-1880. PMID: 18245404.

140. Bard DE, Wolraich ML, Neas B, et al. The psychometric properties of the Vanderbilt attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder diagnostic parent rating scale in a community population. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2013 Feb;34(2):72-82. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e31827a3a22. PMID: 23363972.

141. Barkley RA, Grodzinsky GM. Are tests of frontal lobe functions useful in the diagnosis of attention deficit disorders? Clinical Neuropsychologist. 1994;8:121-39. doi: 10.1080/13854049408401552.

142. Barrickman LL, Perry PJ, Allen AJ, et al. Bupropion versus methylphenidate in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 May;34(5):649-57. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199505000-00017. PMID: 7775360.

143. Baziar S, Aqamolaei A, Khadem E, et al. Crocus sativus L. Versus
Methylphenidate in Treatment of Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity
Disorder: A Randomized, Double-Blind
Pilot Study. J Child Adolesc
Psychopharmacol. 2019 Apr;29(3):205-12.
doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0146. PMID: 30741567.

144. Bédard AC SM, Halperin JM, Krone B, Rajwan E, Newcorn JH. Differential impact of methylphenidate and atomoxetine on sustained attention in youth with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2015 Jan;56(1):40-8. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12272.

145. Behdani F, Hebrani P, Naseraee A, et al. Does omega-3 supplement enhance the therapeutic results of methylphenidate in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder patients? J Res Med Sci. 2013 Aug;18(8):653-8. PMID: 24379840.

146. Benzing V, Schmidt M. The effect of exergaming on executive functions in children with ADHD: A randomized clinical trial. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2019

Aug;29(8):1243-53. doi: 10.1111/sms.13446. PMID: 31050851.

147. Berger I, Slobodin O, Cassuto H. Usefulness and Validity of Continuous Performance Tests in the Diagnosis of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Children. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2017 Feb;32(1):81-93. doi:

10.1093/arclin/acw101. PMID: 28122767.

148. Berger I GG. Objective measures of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a pilot study. Isr Med Assoc J. 2010;12(9):531-5.

149. Bergeron L, Smolla N, Berthiaume C, et al. Reliability, Validity, and Clinical Utility of the Dominic Interactive for Adolescents-Revised(A DSM-5-Based Self-Report Screen for Mental Disorders, Borderline Personality Traits, and Suicidality). Can J Psychiatry. 2017 Mar;62(3):211-22. doi: 10.1177/0706743716670129. PMID: 27638424.

150. Beriha SS. Computer aided diagnosis system to distinguish adhd from similar behavioral disorders. Biomedical and Pharmacology Journal. 2018;11(2):1135-41. doi: 10.13005/bpj/1474.

151. Bernanke J, Luna A, Chang L, et al. Structural brain measures among children with and without ADHD in the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development Study cohort: a cross-sectional US populationbased study. Lancet Psychiatry. 2022 Mar;9(3):222-31. doi: 10.1016/s2215-0366(21)00505-8. PMID: 35143759.

152. Biederman J, Krishnan S, Zhang Y, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate (NRP-104) in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, forced-dose, parallel-group study. Clin Ther. 2007 Mar;29(3):450-63. doi: 10.1016/s0149-2918(07)80083-x. PMID: 17577466.

153. Biederman J, Melmed RD, Patel A, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study of guanfacine extended release in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2008 Jan;121(1):e73-84. doi: 10.1542/peds.2006-3695. PMID: 18166547.

154. Biederman J, Swanson JM, Wigal SB, et al. A comparison of once-daily and divided doses of modafinil in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, double-blind, and placebocontrolled study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2006 May;67(5):727-35. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v67r0506\_PMID: 16841622

10.4088/jcp.v67n0506. PMID: 16841622.

155. Biederman J, Swanson JM, Wigal SB, et al. Efficacy and safety of modafinil filmcoated tablets in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, flexible-dose study. Pediatrics. 2005 Dec;116(6):e777-84. doi: 10.1542/peds.2005-0617. PMID: 16322134.

156. Bigorra A, Garolera M, Guijarro S, et al. Long-term far-transfer effects of working memory training in children with ADHD: a randomized controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Aug;25(8):853-67. doi: 10.1007/s00787-015-0804-3. PMID: 26669692.

157. Bilici M, Yildirim F, Kandil S, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled study of zinc sulfate in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2004 Jan;28(1):181-90. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2003.09.034. PMID: 14687872.

158. Binesh M, Daghighi MR, Shirazi E, et al. Comparison of Auricular Therapy with Sham in Children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Altern Complement Med. 2020 Jun;26(6):515-20. doi: 10.1089/acm.2019.0477. PMID: 32434376.

159. Blader JC, Pliszka SR, Kafantaris V, et al. Stepped Treatment for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Aggressive Behavior: A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Adjunctive Risperidone, Divalproex Sodium, or Placebo After Stimulant Medication Optimization. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Feb;60(2):236-51. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.12.009. PMID: 32007604.

160. Bledsoe JC, Xiao C, Chaovalitwongse A, et al. Diagnostic Classification of ADHD Versus Control: Support Vector Machine Classification Using Brief Neuropsychological Assessment. J Atten Disord. 2020 Sep;24(11):1547-56. doi: 10.1177/1087054716649666. PMID: 27231214.

161. Bloch Y FM, Maoz H, et al. Can computerized cognitive tests assist in the clinical diagnosis of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder? J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2012;24(1):111-4. doi: 10.1176/appi.neuropsych.11010014.

162. Block SL, Kelsey D, Coury D, et al. Once-daily atomoxetine for treating pediatric attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: comparison of morning and evening dosing. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2009 Sep;48(7):723-33. doi: 10.1177/0009922809335321. PMID: 19420182.

163. Blumer JL, Findling RL, Shih WJ, et al. Controlled clinical trial of zolpidem for the treatment of insomnia associated with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder in children 6 to 17 years of age. Pediatrics. 2009 May;123(5):e770-6. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-2945. PMID: 19403468. 164. Bluschke A, Eggert E, Friedrich J, et al. The Effects of Different Theta and Beta Neurofeedback Training Protocols on Cognitive Control in ADHD. J Cogn Enhanc. 2022;6(4):463-77. doi: 10.1007/s41465-022-00255-6. PMID: 36373033.

165. Boroujeni YK, Rastegari AA, Khodadadi H. Diagnosis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder using non-linear analysis of the EEG signal. IET Syst Biol. 2019 Oct;13(5):260-6. doi: 10.1049/ietsyb.2018.5130. PMID: 31538960.

166. Bostic JQ, Biederman J, Spencer TJ, et al. Pemoline treatment of adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a short-term controlled trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2000 Fall;10(3):205-16. doi: 10.1089/10445460050167313. PMID: 11052410.

167. Boucugnani LL, Jones RW. Behaviors analogous to frontal lobe dysfunction in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology. 1989 1989/01/01/;4(2):161-73. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0887-6177(89)90154-6</u>.

168. Boyer BE, Geurts HM, Prins PJ, et al. One-year follow-up of two novel CBTs for adolescents with ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Mar;25(3):333-7. doi: 10.1007/s00787-015-0776-3. PMID: 26433369.

169. Brams M, Childress AC, Greenbaum M, et al. SHP465 Mixed Amphetamine Salts in the Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents: Results of a Randomized, Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018
Feb;28(1):19-28. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0053. PMID: 28816509.

170. Breaux RP, Griffith SF, Harvey EA. Preschool Neuropsychological Measures as Predictors of Later Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2016 Nov;44(8):1455-71. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0140-1. PMID: 26936037.

171. Breaux RP, Langberg JM, McLeod BD, et al. The importance of therapeutic processes in school-based psychosocial treatment of homework problems in adolescents with ADHD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2018 May;86(5):427-38. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000300. PMID: 29683700.

172. Buitelaar JK, Michelson D, Danckaerts M, et al. A randomized, double-blind study of continuation treatment for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder after 1 year. Biol Psychiatry. 2007 Mar 1;61(5):694-9. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.03.066. PMID: 16893523.

173. Buitelaar JK, van der Gaag RJ, Swaab-Barneveld H, et al. Pindolol and methylphenidate in children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. Clinical efficacy and side-effects. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1996 Jul;37(5):587-95. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.1996.tb01445.x. PMID: 8807439.

174. Bul KC, Kato PM, Van der Oord S, et al. Behavioral Outcome Effects of Serious Gaming as an Adjunct to Treatment for Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Med Internet Res. 2016 Feb 16;18(2):e26. doi: 10.2196/jmir.5173. PMID: 26883052.

175. Bunte TL LS, Schoemaker K, et al. Clinical usefulness of observational assessment in the diagnosis of DBD and ADHD in preschoolers. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2013;42(6):749-61. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2013.773516. 176. Burton CL, Wright L, Shan J, et al. SWAN scale for ADHD trait-based genetic research: a validity and polygenic risk study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019 Sep;60(9):988-97. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13032. PMID: 30908652.

177. Bussing R, Schuhmann E, Belin TR, et al. Diagnostic utility of two commonly used ADHD screening measures among special education students. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1998 Jan;37(1):74-82. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199801000-00020. PMID: 9444903.

178. Canivez GL, Gaboury AR. Construct Validity and Diagnostic Utility of the Cognitive Assessment System for ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2016 Jun;20(6):519-29. doi: 10.1177/1087054713489021. PMID: 23757332.

179. Carucci S, Romaniello R, Demuru G, et al. Omega-3/6 supplementation for mild to moderate inattentive ADHD: a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled efficacy study in Italian children. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2022 Dec;272(8):1453-67. doi: 10.1007/s00406-022-01428-2. PMID: 35672606.

180. Catherine Joy R, Thomas George S, Albert Rajan A, et al. Detection of ADHD From EEG Signals Using Different Entropy Measures and ANN. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2021 Aug 23:15500594211036788. doi: 10.1177/15500594211036788. PMID: 34424101.

181. Cedergren K, Ostlund S, Asberg Johnels J, et al. Monitoring medication response in ADHD: what can continuous performance tests tell us? Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2022 Mar;272(2):291-9. doi: 10.1007/s00406-021-01319-y. PMID: 34420075.

182. Ceresoli-Borroni G, Nasser A, Adewole T, et al. A Double-Blind, Randomized Study of Extended-Release Molindone for Impulsive Aggression in ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2021 Sep;25(11):1564-77. doi: 10.1177/1087054720909084. PMID: 32338106.

183. Çetin FH, Taş Torun Y, Işik Taner Y. Atomoxetine versus OROS methylphenidate in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A six-month follow up study for efficacy and adverse effects. Dikkat eksikliği hiperaktivite bozukluğu tedavisinde atomoksetin ve osmotik salınımlı metilfenidat: Etkinlik ve yan etki profilinin değerlendirildiği Altı Aylık İzlem Çalışması. 2015;35(2):88-96. doi: 10.5336/medsci.2015-43336.

184. Chacko A WB, Wymbs FA, et al. . Enhancing traditional behavioral parent training for single mothers of children with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2009 Mar;38(2):206-18. doi: 10.1080/15374410802698388.

185. Chan AS, Ding Z, Lee TL, et al. Temporal processing deficit in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: An online assessment. Digit Health. 2022 Jan-Dec;8:20552076221120325. doi: 10.1177/20552076221120325. PMID: 36060612.

186. Chang JP, Su KP, Mondelli V, et al. High-dose eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) improves attention and vigilance in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and low endogenous EPA levels. Transl Psychiatry. 2019 Nov 20;9(1):303. doi: 10.1038/s41398-019-0633-0. PMID: 31745072.

187. Chang MY, Ouyang CS, Chiang CT, et al. A New Method of Diagnosing Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Male Patients by Quantitative EEG Analysis. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2019 Sep;50(5):339-47. doi: 10.1177/1550059419859164. PMID: 31321994. 188. Charach A, Chen S, Hogg-Johnson S, et al. Using the Conners' Teacher Rating
Scale-Revised in school children referred for assessment. Can J Psychiatry. 2009
Apr;54(4):232-41. doi:
10.1177/070674370905400404. PMID:
19321029.

189. Chelune GJ, Ferguson W, Koon R, et
al. Frontal lobe disinhibition in attention
deficit disorder. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev.
1986 Summer;16(4):221-34. doi:
10.1007/BF00706479. PMID: 3743175.

190. Chen C, Li Z, Liu X, et al. Cognitive Control Deficits in Children With Subthreshold Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Hum Neurosci. 2022;16:835544. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2022.835544. PMID: 35360286.

191. Chen H, Chen W, Song Y, et al. EEG characteristics of children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Neuroscience. 2019 May 15;406:444-56. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2019.03.048. PMID: 30926547.

192. Chen H, Song Y, Li X. A deep learning framework for identifying children with ADHD using an EEG-based brain network. Neurocomputing. 2019;356:83-96. doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2019.04.058.

193. Chen IC, Lee PW, Wang LJ, et al. Incremental Validity of Multi-Method and Multi-Informant Evaluations in the Clinical Diagnosis of Preschool ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2021 Dec 23:10870547211045739. doi: 10.1177/10870547211045739. PMID: 34949123.

194. Chen WJ, Faraone SV, Biederman J, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of the Child Behavior Checklist scales for attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder: a receiveroperating characteristic analysis. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1994 Oct;62(5):1017-25. doi: 10.1037/0022-006x.62.5.1017. PMID: 7806710.

195. Chen Y, Tang Y, Wang C, et al. ADHD classification by dual subspace learning using resting-state functional connectivity. Artif Intell Med. 2020 Mar;103:101786. doi: 10.1016/j.artmed.2019.101786. PMID: 32143793.

196. Chiarenza GA, Villa S, Galan L, et al. Junior temperament character inventory together with quantitative EEG discriminate children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder combined subtype from children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder combined subtype plus oppositional defiant disorder. Int J Psychophysiol. 2018 Aug;130:9-20. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2018.05.007. PMID: 29787785.

197. Childress AC, Kando JC, King TR, et al. Early-Onset Efficacy and Safety Pilot Study of Amphetamine Extended-Release Oral Suspension in the Treatment of Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 Feb;29(1):2-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0078. PMID: 30575407.

198. Childress AC, Lloyd E, Jacobsen L, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Lisdexamfetamine in Preschool Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 May 13. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.03.034. PMID: 35577034.

199. Childress AC, Spencer T, Lopez F, et al. Efficacy and safety of dexmethylphenidate extended-release capsules administered once daily to children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Aug;19(4):351-61. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0007. PMID: 19702487. 200. Cho S, Lee SI, Yoo H, et al. A randomized, open-label assessment of response to various doses of atomoxetine in Korean pediatric outpatients with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Investigation. 2011;8(2):141-8. doi: 10.4306/pi.2011.8.2.141.

201. Chow JC, Ouyang CS, Chiang CT, et al. Novel method using Hjorth mobility analysis for diagnosing attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in girls. Brain Dev. 2019 Apr;41(4):334-40. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2018.11.006. PMID: 30473392.

202. Chu KC, Huang YS, Tseng CF, et al. Reliability and validity of DS-ADHD: A decision support system on attention deficit hyperactivity disorders. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2017 Mar;140:241-8. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2016.12.003. PMID: 28254080.

203. Chu L, Zhu P, Ma C, et al. Effects of Combing Group Executive Functioning and Online Parent Training on School-Aged Children With ADHD: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Front Pediatr. 2021;9:813305. doi: 10.3389/fped.2021.813305. PMID: 35223713.

204. Churchill SS, Leo MC, Brennan EM, et al. Longitudinal Impact of a Randomized Clinical Trial to Improve Family Function, Reduce Maternal Stress and Improve Child Outcomes in Families of Children with ADHD. Matern Child Health J. 2018 Aug;22(8):1172-82. doi: 10.1007/s10995-018-2502-5. PMID: 29476416.

205. Coelho LF, Barbosa DLF, Rizzutti S, et al. Group cognitive behavioral therapy for children and adolescents with ADHD. Psicol Reflex Crit. 2017 May 16;30(1):11. doi: 10.1186/s41155-017-0063-y. PMID: 32026094.

206. Coghill DR, Banaschewski T, Lecendreux M, et al. Maintenance of efficacy of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: randomizedwithdrawal study design. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Jun;53(6):647-57.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2014.01.017. PMID: 24839883.

207. Cohen ML, Kelly PC, Atkinson AW. Parent, teacher, child. A trilateral approach to attention deficit disorder. Am J Dis Child. 1989 Oct;143(10):1229-33. PMID: 2801667.

208. Coles EK, Pelham WE, Fabiano GA, et al. Randomized Trial of First-Line Behavioral Intervention to Reduce Need for Medication in Children with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2020 Sep-Oct;49(5):673-87. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2019.1630835. PMID: 31411903.

209. Concordia Pharmaceuticals Inc. B. Efficacy & Safety of KAPVAY<sup>TM</sup> Extended-Release in Children & Adolescents With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2011.

210. Conners CK, Casat CD, Gualtieri CT, et al. Bupropion hydrochloride in attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Oct;35(10):1314-21. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199610000-00018. PMID: 8885585.

211. Connor DF FR, Kollins SH, Sallee F, López FA, Lyne A, Tremblay G. Effects of guanfacine extended release on oppositional symptoms in children aged 6-12 years with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and oppositional symptoms: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. CNS Drugs. 2010 Sep;24(9):755-68. doi: 10.2165/11537790-000000000-00000. 212. Corkum P, Begum EA, Rusak B, et al. The Effects of Extended-Release Stimulant Medication on Sleep in Children with ADHD. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Mar;29(1):33-43. PMID: 32194650.

213. Corkum P, Elik N, Blotnicky-Gallant PAC, et al. Web-Based Intervention for Teachers of Elementary Students With ADHD: Randomized Controlled Trial. J Atten Disord. 2019 Feb;23(3):257-69. doi: 10.1177/1087054715603198. PMID: 26362259.

214. Cornu C, Mercier C, Ginhoux T, et al. A double-blind placebo-controlled randomised trial of omega-3 supplementation in children with moderate ADHD symptoms. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Mar;27(3):377-84. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-1058-z. PMID: 28993963.

215. Crippa A, Salvatore C, Molteni E, et al. The Utility of a Computerized Algorithm Based on a Multi-Domain Profile of Measures for the Diagnosis of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Psychiatry. 2017;8:189. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2017.00189. PMID: 29042856.

216. Crippa A, Tesei A, Sangiorgio F, et al. Behavioral and cognitive effects of docosahexaenoic acid in drug-naïve children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Apr;28(4):571-83. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1223-z. PMID: 30246216.

217. Culbertson WC, Zillmer EA. The Tower of LondonDX: A Standardized Approach to Assessing Executive Functioning in Children. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology. 1998 1998/04/01/;13(3):285-301. doi:

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6177(97)00033-4.

218. Das W, Khanna S. A Robust Machine Learning Based Framework for the Automated Detection of ADHD Using Pupillometric Biomarkers and Time Series Analysis. Sci Rep. 2021 Aug 12;11(1):16370. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-95673-5. PMID: 34385511.

219. Dashbozorgi Z, Ghaffari A, Karamali Esmaili S, et al. Effect of Neurofeedback Training on Aggression and Impulsivity in Children With Attention-

Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Double-Blinded Randomized Controlled Trial. Basic Clin Neurosci. 2021 Sep-Oct;12(5):693-702. doi: 10.32598/bcn.2021.2363.1. PMID: 35173923.

220. David D, Dobrean A, Păsărelu CR, et al. Psychotherapy, Atomoxetine or Both? Preliminary Evidence from a Comparative Study of Three Types of Treatment for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children. Cognitive Therapy and Research. 2021;45(1):149-65. doi: 10.1007/s10608-020-10157-6.

221. Daviss WB, Patel NC, Robb AS, et al. Clonidine for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: II. ECG changes and adverse events analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Feb;47(2):189-98. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31815d9ae4. PMID: 18182964.

222. Deb S, Dhaliwal AJ, Roy M. The usefulness of Conners' Rating Scales-Revised in screening for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children with intellectual disabilities and borderline intelligence. J Intellect Disabil Res. 2008 Nov;52(11):950-65. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2788.2007.01035.x. PMID: 18179511.

223. Dehbozorghi S, Bagheri S, Moradi K, et al. Efficacy and safety of tipepidine as adjunctive therapy in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled clinical trial. Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences. 2019 Nov 2019;73(11):690-6.

224. Dell'Agnello G, Maschietto D, Bravaccio C, et al. Atomoxetine hydrochloride in the treatment of children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid oppositional defiant disorder: A placebocontrolled Italian study. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2009 Nov;19(11):822-34. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2009.07.008. PMID: 19716683.

225. Denton CA, Tamm L, Schatschneider C, et al. The Effects of ADHD Treatment and Reading Intervention on the Fluency and Comprehension of Children with ADHD and Word Reading Difficulties: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Sci Stud Read. 2020;24(1):72-89. doi: 10.1080/10888438.2019.1640704. PMID:

10.1080/10888438.2019.1640704. PMID: 32982141.

226. Dentz A, Guay MC, Gauthier B, et al. Is the Cogmed program effective for youths with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder under pharmacological treatment? Applied Cognitive Psychology. 2020;34(3):577-89. doi: 10.1002/acp.3631.

227. Deserno MK, Bathelt J, Groenman AP, et al. Probing the overarching continuum theory: data-driven phenotypic clustering of children with ASD or ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Jun 10. doi: 10.1007/s00787-022-01986-9. PMID: 35687205.

228. Diamond IR, Tannock R, Schachar RJ. Response to methylphenidate in children with ADHD and comorbid anxiety. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999 Apr;38(4):402-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199904000-00012. PMID: 10199111. 229. Dickerson Mayes S, Calhoun SL, Crowell EW. Clinical validity and interpretation of the Gordon Diagnostic System in ADHD assessments. Child Neuropsychol. 2001 Mar;7(1):32-41. doi: 10.1076/chin.7.1.32.3151. PMID: 11815879.

230. Dittmann RW, Cardo E, Nagy P, et al. Efficacy and safety of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate and atomoxetine in the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a head-to-head, randomized, double-blind, phase IIIb study. CNS Drugs. 2013 Dec;27(12):1081-92. doi: 10.1007/s40263-013-0104-8. PMID: 23959815.

231. Dittmann RW, Schacht A, Helsberg K, et al. Atomoxetine versus placebo in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid oppositional defiant disorder: a doubleblind, randomized, multicenter trial in Germany. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Apr;21(2):97-110. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0111. PMID: 21488751.

232. Dong Y, Chow BW, Mo J, et al. Dialogic reading with attention-deficithyperactivity disorder (ADHD) kindergarteners: Does reading with parents or siblings enhance their language development? Dev Psychol. 2022 Oct 6. doi: 10.1037/dev0001466. PMID: 36201815.

233. Dose C, Hautmann C, Buerger M, et al. Telephone-assisted self-help for parents of children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder who have residual functional impairment despite methylphenidate treatment: a randomized controlled trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017 Jun;58(6):682-90. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12661. PMID: 27878809.

234. Dovis S, Van der Oord S, Wiers RW, et al. Improving executive functioning in children with ADHD: training multiple executive functions within the context of a computer game. a randomized double-blind placebo controlled trial. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):e0121651. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0121651. PMID: 25844638.

235. Doyle A, Ostrander R, Skare S, et al. Convergent and criterion-related validity of the Behavior Assessment System for Children-Parent Rating Scale. J Clin Child Psychol. 1997 Sep;26(3):276-84. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp2603\_6. PMID: 9292385.

236. Doyle R, Mick E, Biederman J.
Convergence between the Achenbach youth self-report and structured diagnostic interview diagnoses in ADHD and non-ADHD youth. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2007
Apr;195(4):350-2. doi: 10.1097/01.nmd.0000253732.79172.43.
PMID: 17435486.

237. Dreakhshanpour PF, Deylamsalehi A, Moghaddas SSJ, et al. EFFICACY OF ARIPIPRAZOLE AND RISPERIDONE IN TREATMENT OF CHILDREN WITH ATTENTION-DEFICIT/HYPEP ACTIVITY DISOPDEP:

DEFICIT/HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER: A DOUBLE-BLIND CLINICAL TRIAL STUDY. Bulletin of Pharmaceutical Sciences. Assiut. 2022;45(1):281-8. doi: 10.21608/bfsa.2022.239464.

238. Duda M, Haber N, Daniels J, et al. Crowdsourced validation of a machinelearning classification system for autism and ADHD. Transl Psychiatry. 2017 May 16;7(5):e1133. doi: 10.1038/tp.2017.86. PMID: 28509905.

239. Duda M, Ma R, Haber N, et al. Use of machine learning for behavioral distinction of autism and ADHD. Transl Psychiatry.
2016 Feb 9;6(2):e732. doi:
10.1038/tp.2015.221. PMID: 26859815.

240. DuPau GJ, Kern L, Volpe R, et al. Comparison of parent education and functional assessment-based intervention across 24 months for young children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. National Assn of School Psychologists; 2013. p. 56-75.

241. DuPaul GJ, Anastopoulos AD, Shelton TL, et al. Multimethod assessment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: The diagnostic utility of clinic-based tests. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology. 1992;21:394-402. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp2104\_10.

242. DuPaul GJ, Evans SW, Owens JS, et al. School-based intervention for adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Effects on academic functioning. J Sch Psychol. 2021 Aug;87:48-63. doi: 10.1016/j.jsp.2021.07.001. PMID: 34303447.

243. Durgut E, Orengul AC, Algun ZC. Comparison of the effects of treadmill and vibration training in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A randomized controlled trial. NeuroRehabilitation. 2020;47(2):121-31. doi: 10.3233/nre-203040. PMID: 32741784.

244. Duric NS, Assmus J, Gundersen D, et al. Multimodal treatment in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a 6-month follow-up. Nord J Psychiatry. 2017 Jul;71(5):386-94. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2017.1305446. PMID: 28345387.

245. Ebesutani C, Bernstein A, Nakamura BJ, et al. Concurrent Validity of the Child Behavior Checklist DSM-Oriented Scales: Correspondence with DSM Diagnoses and Comparison to Syndrome Scales. J Psychopathol Behav Assess. 2010 Sep;32(3):373-84. doi: 10.1007/s10862-009-9174-9. PMID: 20700377.

246. Edwards MC, Sigel BA. Estimates of the utility of Child Behavior

Checklist/Teacher Report Form Attention Problems scale in the diagnosis of ADHD in children referred to a specialty clinic. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2015;37:50-9. doi: 10.1007/s10862-014-9431-4.

247. Egeland J AA, Saunes BK. Few effects of far transfer of working memory training in ADHD: a randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 2013;8(10):e75660. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075660.

248. Eiraldi RB, Power TJ, Karustis JL, et al. Assessing ADHD and comorbid disorders in children: the Child Behavior Checklist and the Devereux Scales of Mental Disorders. J Clin Child Psychol. 2000 Mar;29(1):3-16. doi: 10.1207/S15374424jccp2901\_2. PMID: 10693028.

249. Ekhlasi A, Nasrabadi AM, Mohammadi M. Analysis of EEG brain connectivity of children with ADHD using graph theory and directional information transfer. Biomed Tech (Berl). 2022 Oct 6. doi: 10.1515/bmt-2022-0100. PMID: 36197950.

250. El-Sayed E, van't Hooft I, Fried I, et al. Measurements of attention deficits and impulsivity: a Swedish study of the Gordon Diagnostic System. Acta Paediatr. 1999 Nov;88(11):1262-8. doi: 10.1080/080352599750030400. PMID: 10591431.

251. Eli Lilly Company. Comparison of Atomoxetine Versus Placebo in Children and Adolescents With ADHD and Comorbid ODD in Germany. 2006.

252. Eli Lilly Company. Atomoxetine to Treat Korean Children and Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2007.

253. Eli Lilly Company. Comparison of Slow and Fast Transition From Stimulants

to Atomoxetine in Children and Adolescents With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder(ADHD). 2008.

254. Elkins RM, Carpenter AL, Pincus DB, et al. Inattention symptoms and the diagnosis of comorbid attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder among youth with generalized anxiety disorder. J Anxiety Disord. 2014 Dec;28(8):754-60. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2014.09.003. PMID: 25260213.

255. Elmaadawi AZ, Patel R, Almaaitah Y, et al. Effect of pharmacogenomic testing on pediatric mental health outcome: a 6-month follow-up. Pharmacogenomics. 2022 Dec 5. doi: 10.2217/pgs-2022-0131. PMID: 36468359.

256. Emser TS, Johnston BA, Steele JD, et al. Assessing ADHD symptoms in children and adults: evaluating the role of objective measures. Behav Brain Funct. 2018 May 18;14(1):11. doi: 10.1186/s12993-018-0143x. PMID: 29776429.

257. Enns JE, Randall JR, Smith M, et al. A Multimodal Intervention for Children with ADHD Reduces Inequity in Health and Education Outcomes. Can J Psychiatry. 2017 Jun;62(6):403-12. doi: 10.1177/0706743717692301. PMID: 28146649.

258. Epstein JN, Kelleher KJ, Baum R, et al. Impact of a Web-Portal Intervention on Community ADHD Care and Outcomes.
Pediatrics. 2016 Aug;138(2). doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-4240. PMID: 27462065.

259. Epstein JN, Rabiner D, Johnson DE, et al. Improving attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder treatment outcomes through use of a collaborative consultation treatment service by community-based pediatricians: a cluster randomized trial. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2007 Sep;161(9):835-40. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.161.9.835. PMID: 17768282. 260. Ercan ES, Ardic UA, Kutlu A, et al.
No beneficial effects of adding parent training to methylphenidate treatment for ADHD + ODD/CD children: a 1-year prospective follow-up study. J Atten Disord.
2014 Feb;18(2):145-57. doi: 10.1177/1087054711432884. PMID: 22522574.

261. Estrada-Plana V, Esquerda M, Mangues R, et al. A Pilot Study of the Efficacy of a Cognitive Training Based on Board Games in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Games Health J. 2019 Aug;8(4):265-74. doi: 10.1089/g4h.2018.0051. PMID: 30653355.

262. Evans SW, Langberg JM, Schultz BK, et al. Evaluation of a school-based treatment program for young adolescents with ADHD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2016 Jan;84(1):15-30. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000057. PMID: 26501496.

263. F. C. New marker using bioimpedance technology in screening for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children as an adjunct to conventional diagnostic methods. Psychol Res Behav Manag. 2011;4:113-7. doi: 10.2147/prbm.s22924.

264. Fabiano GA, Schatz NK, Morris KL, et al. Efficacy of a family-focused intervention for young drivers with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2016 Dec;84(12):1078-93. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000137. PMID: 27618640.

265. Fallah R, Eiliaei S, Ferdosian F. Clinical Trial of Efficacy Evaluation of Omega-3 with Risperidone on Seizures Frequency in Children with Refractory Epilepsy and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Iran J Child Neurol. 2018 Fall;12(4):28-36. PMID: 30279706. 266. Faraone SV, Newcorn JH, Antshel KM, et al. The Groundskeeper Gaming Platform as a Diagnostic Tool for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Sensitivity, Specificity, and Relation to Other Measures. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016 Oct;26(8):672-85. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0174. PMID: 27105181.

267. Farmer CA, Epstein JN, Findling RL, et al. Risperidone Added to Psychostimulant in Children with Severe Aggression and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Lack of Effect on Attention and Short-Term Memory. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Mar;27(2):117-24. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0040. PMID: 27348211.

268. Ferrin M, Moreno-Granados JM, Salcedo-Marin MD, et al. Evaluation of a psychoeducation programme for parents of children and adolescents with ADHD: immediate and long-term effects using a blind randomized controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Aug;23(8):637-47. doi: 10.1007/s00787-013-0494-7. PMID: 24292412.

269. Ferrin M, Perez-Ayala V, El-Abd S, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial Evaluating the Efficacy of a Psychoeducation Program for Families of Children and Adolescents With ADHD in the United Kingdom: Results After a 6-Month Follow-Up. J Atten Disord. 2020 Mar;24(5):768-79. doi: 10.1177/1087054715626509. PMID: 26838557.

270. Ferrin M VA. Examination of neurological subtle signs in ADHD as a clinical tool for the diagnosis and their relationship to spatial working memory. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2012;53(4):390-400. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2011.02496.x.

271. Fiks AG, Mayne SL, Michel JJ, et al. Distance-Learning, ADHD Quality

Improvement in Primary Care: A Cluster-Randomized Trial. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2017 Oct;38(8):573-83. doi: 10.1097/dbp.000000000000490. PMID: 28816912.

272. Findling RL, Adler LA, Spencer TJ, et al. Dasotraline in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Six-Week, Placebo-Controlled, Fixed-Dose Trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol.
2019 Mar;29(2):80-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0083. PMID: 30694697.

273. Findling RL, Childress AC, Cutler AJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Apr;50(4):395-405. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2011.01.007. PMID: 21421179.

274. Findling RL, Short EJ, Manos MJ. Developmental aspects of psychostimulant treatment in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Dec;40(12):1441-7. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200112000-00015. PMID: 11765290.

275. Findling RL, Turnbow J, Burnside J, et al. A randomized, double-blind, multicenter, parallel-group, placebo-controlled, doseoptimization study of the methylphenidate transdermal system for the treatment of ADHD in adolescents. CNS Spectr. 2010 Jul;15(7):419-30. doi: 10.1017/s1092852900000353. PMID: 20625364.

276. Findling RL BO, Melmed RD, López FA, Sallee FR, Arnold LE, Pratt RD. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled, parallel-group study of methylphenidate transdermal system in pediatric patients with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008;69(1):149-59. 277. Florida International University. Novel Approach to Stimulant Induced Weight Suppression and Its Impact on Growth.2010.

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT0110 9849. Accessed on October 11 2022.

278. Forbes GB. Clinical utility of the Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA) in the diagnosis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychol. 1998 Jun;54(4):461-76. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1097-4679(199806)54:4<461::aid-jclp8>3.0.co;2q. PMID: 9623751.

279. François-Sévigny J, Pilon M, Gauthier LA. Differences in Parents and Teachers' Perceptions of Behavior Manifested by Gifted Children with ADHD Compared to Gifted Children without ADHD and Non-Gifted Children with ADHD Using the Conners 3 Scale. Brain Sci. 2022 Nov 18;12(11). doi: 10.3390/brainsci12111571. PMID: 36421895.

280. Frei H, Everts R, von Ammon K, et al. Homeopathic treatment of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomised, double blind, placebo controlled crossover trial. Eur J Pediatr. 2005 Dec;164(12):758-67. doi: 10.1007/s00431-005-1735-7. PMID: 16047154.

281. Frei H, Thurneysen A. Treatment for hyperactive children: homeopathy and methylphenidate compared in a family setting. Br Homeopath J. 2001 Oct;90(4):183-8. doi: 10.1054/homp.1999.0506. PMID: 11680802.

282. Fuentes J, Danckaerts M, Cardo E, et al. Long-term quality-of-life and functioning comparison of atomoxetine versus other standard treatment in pediatric attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2013 Dec;33(6):766-74. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e31829c762b. PMID: 23963057.

283. Gao MS, Tsai FS, Lee CC. Learning a Phenotypic-Attribute Attentional Brain Connectivity Embedding for ADHD Classification using rs-fMRI. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2020 Jul;2020:5472-5. doi: 10.1109/embc44109.2020.9175789. PMID: 33019218.

284. García-Sánchez C, Estévez-González A, Suárez-Romero E, et al. Right hemisphere dysfunction in subjects with attention-deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity. J Child Neurol. 1997 Feb;12(2):107-15. doi: 10.1177/088307389701200207. PMID: 9075020.

285. Gardner W, Lucas A, Kolko DJ, et al. Comparison of the PSC-17 and alternative mental health screens in an at-risk primary care sample. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 May;46(5):611-8. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e318032384b. PMID: 17450052.

286. Garg J, Arun P, Chavan BS. Comparative short term efficacy and tolerability of methylphenidate and atomoxetine in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Indian Pediatr. 2014 Jul;51(7):550-4. doi: 10.1007/s13312-014-0445-5. PMID: 25031133.

287. Gargaro BA, May T, Tonge BJ, et al. Using the DBC-P Hyperactivity Index to screen for ADHD in young people with autism and ADHD: A pilot study. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders. 2014 2014/09/01/;8(9):1008-15. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2014.05.004.

288. Gau SS, Huang YS, Soong WT, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled clinical trial on once-daily atomoxetine in Taiwanese children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Aug;17(4):447-60. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.0091. PMID: 17822340.

289. Gau SS, Shen HY, Soong WT, et al. An open-label, randomized, activecontrolled equivalent trial of osmotic release oral system methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Taiwan. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2006 Aug;16(4):441-55. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.16.441. PMID: 16958569.

290. Geissler JM, Vloet TD, Strom N, et al. Does helping mothers in multigenerational ADHD also help children in the long run? 2year follow-up from baseline of the AIMAC randomized controlled multicentre trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Oct;29(10):1425-39. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01451-0. PMID: 31807943.

291. Geladé K, Bink M, Janssen TW, et al. An RCT into the effects of neurofeedback on neurocognitive functioning compared to stimulant medication and physical activity in children with ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Apr;26(4):457-68. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0902-x. PMID: 27665293.

292. Geller D, Donnelly C, Lopez F, et al. Atomoxetine Treatment for Pediatric Patients with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder with Comorbid Anxiety Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007 09/01/;46(9):1119-S. PMID: EJ777646.

293. Geurts HM, Verté S, Oosterlaan J, et al. How specific are executive functioning deficits in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and autism? J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2004 May;45(4):836-54. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2004.00276.x. PMID: 15056314. 294. Gevensleben H HB, Albrecht B, et al. Neurofeedback training in children with ADHD: 6-month follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Sep;19(9):715-24. doi: 10.1007/s00787-010-0109-5.

295. Ghajar A, Aghajan-Nashtaei F, Afarideh M, et al. l-Carnosine as Adjunctive Therapy in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Jun;28(5):331-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0157. PMID: 29469593.

296. Ghanizadeh A, Haddad B. The effect of dietary education on ADHD, a randomized controlled clinical trial. Ann Gen Psychiatry. 2015;14:12. doi: 10.1186/s12991-015-0050-6. PMID: 25767556.

297. Gibbons RD, Kupfer DJ, Frank E, et al. Computerized Adaptive Tests for Rapid and Accurate Assessment of Psychopathology Dimensions in Youth. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Nov;59(11):1264-73. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.08.009. PMID: 31465832.

298. Gilbert H, Qin L, Li D, et al. Aiding the diagnosis of AD/HD in childhood: Using actigraphy and a continuous performance test to objectively quantify symptoms. Res Dev Disabil. 2016 Dec;59:35-42. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2016.07.013. PMID: 27497372.

299. Gomez R, Vance A, Stavropoulos V. Test-Retest Measurement Invariance of Clinic Referred Children's ADHD Symptoms. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2018;40(2):194-205. doi: 10.1007/s10862-017-9636-4.

300. Gomez R, Vance A, Watson S, et al. ROC Analyses of Relevant Conners 3-Short

Forms, CBCL, and TRF Scales for Screening ADHD and ODD. Assessment. 2021 Jan;28(1):73-85. doi: 10.1177/1073191119876023. PMID: 31535569.

301. González-Castro P, Cueli M,
Rodríguez C, et al. Efficacy of
Neurofeedback Versus Pharmacological
Support in Subjects with ADHD. Appl
Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2016
Mar;41(1):17-25. doi: 10.1007/s10484-0159299-4. PMID: 26290167.

302. Greenhill LL, Biederman J, Boellner SW, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of modafinil filmcoated tablets in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 May;45(5):503-11. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000205709.63571.c9. PMID: 16601402.

303. Greenhill LL, Muniz R, Ball RR, et al. Efficacy and safety of dexmethylphenidate extended-release capsules in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Jul;45(7):817-23. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000220847.41027.5d. PMID: 16832318.

304. Griffiths KR, Leikauf JE, Tsang TW, et al. Response inhibition and emotional cognition improved by atomoxetine in children and adolescents with ADHD: The ACTION randomized controlled trial. J Psychiatr Res. 2018 Jul;102:57-64. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.03.009. PMID: 29674270.

305. Grodzinsky GM, Diamond R. Frontal lobe functioning in boys with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder.
Developmental Neuropsychology. 1992
1992/01/01;8(4):427-45. doi: 10.1080/87565649209540536. 306. Guevara JP, Power TJ, Bevans K, et al. Improving Care Management in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: An RCT. Pediatrics. 2021 Aug;148(2). doi: 10.1542/peds.2020-031518. PMID: 34281997.

307. Gungor M, Kurutas EB, Oner E, et al. Diagnostic performance of erythropoietin and erythropoietin receptors levels in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clinical Psychopharmacology and Neuroscience. 2021;19(3):530-6. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2021.19.3.530.

308. Gustafsson PA B-TU, Duchen K, et al. EPA supplementation improves teacherrated behaviour and oppositional symptoms in children with ADHD. Acta Paediatr. 2010 Oct;99(10):1540-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2010.01871.x.

309. Häger LA, Åsberg Johnels J, Kropotov JD, et al. Biomarker support for ADHD diagnosis based on Event Related Potentials and scores from an attention test. Psychiatry Res. 2021 Jun;300:113879. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113879. PMID: 33882399.

310. Hahn-Markowitz J, Berger I, Manor I, et al. Efficacy of Cognitive-Functional (Cog-Fun) Occupational Therapy Intervention Among Children With ADHD: An RCT. J Atten Disord. 2020 Mar;24(5):655-66. doi: 10.1177/1087054716666955. PMID: 27637735.

311. Hall CL, Guo B, Valentine AZ, et al. The Validity of the SNAP-IV in Children Displaying ADHD Symptoms. Assessment.
2020 Sep;27(6):1258-71. doi: 10.1177/1073191119842255. PMID: 30991820.

312. Hall CL, Selby K, Guo B, et al. Innovations in Practice: an objective measure of attention, impulsivity and activity reduces time to confirm attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder diagnosis in children – a completed audit cycle. Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2016;21(3):175-8. doi: 10.1111/camh.12140.

313. Harfterkamp M, van de Loo-Neus G, Minderaa RB, et al. A randomized doubleblind study of atomoxetine versus placebo for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in children with autism spectrum disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2012 Jul;51(7):733-41. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2012.04.011. PMID: 22721596.

314. Hariri M DA, Djalali M, et al. Effect of n-3 supplementation on hyperactivity, oxidative stress and inflammatory mediators in children with attention-deficithyperactivity disorder. Malays J Nutr. 2012 Dec;18(3):329-35.

315. Hasaneen BM, Sarhan M, Samir S, et al. T2\* magnetic resonance imaging: A noninvasive biomarker of brain iron content in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine. 2017;48(1):161-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrnm.2016.08.001.

316. Hasslinger J, Bölte S, Jonsson U. Slow Cortical Potential Versus Live Z-score Neurofeedback in Children and Adolescents with ADHD: A Multi-arm Pragmatic Randomized Controlled Trial with Active and Passive Comparators. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2021 Sep 3. doi: 10.1007/s10802-021-00858-1. PMID: 34478006.

317. Hazell PL, Stuart JE. A randomized controlled trial of clonidine added to psychostimulant medication for hyperactive and aggressive children. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2003 Aug;42(8):886-94. doi:

10.1097/01.Chi.0000046908.27264.00. PMID: 12874489. 318. Helgadóttir H, Gudmundsson Ó, Baldursson G, et al. Electroencephalography as a clinical tool for diagnosing and monitoring attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open.
2015 Jan 16;5(1):e005500. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005500. PMID: 25596195.

319. Heller MD, Roots K, Srivastava S, et
al. A Machine Learning-Based Analysis of
Game Data for Attention Deficit
Hyperactivity Disorder Assessment. Games
Health J. 2013 Oct;2(5):291-8. doi:
10.1089/g4h.2013.0058. PMID: 26196929.

320. Hemamy M, Pahlavani N, Amanollahi A, et al. The effect of vitamin D and magnesium supplementation on the mental health status of attention-deficit hyperactive children: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Pediatr. 2021 Apr 17;21(1):178. doi: 10.1186/s12887-021-02631-1. PMID: 33865361.

321. Hervas A, Huss M, Johnson M, et al. Efficacy and safety of extended-release guanfacine hydrochloride in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, controlled, phase III trial. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2014 Dec;24(12):1861-72. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2014.09.014. PMID: 25453486.

322. Hinshaw SP, Carte ET, Sami N, et al. Preadolescent girls with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: II. Neuropsychological performance in relation to subtypes and individual classification. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2002 Oct;70(5):1099-111. doi: 10.1037//0022-006x.70.5.1099. PMID: 12362960.

323. Hirayama S, Terasawa K, Rabeler R, et al. The effect of phosphatidylserine administration on memory and symptoms of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled clinical trial. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2014 Apr;27 Suppl 2:284-91. doi: 10.1111/jhn.12090. PMID: 23495677.

324. Hiscock H, Mulraney M, Heussler H, et al. Impact of a behavioral intervention, delivered by pediatricians or psychologists, on sleep problems in children with ADHD: a cluster-randomized, translational trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019 Nov;60(11):1230-41. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13083. PMID: 31184382.

325. Hogue A, Horan Fisher J, Dauber S, et al. Randomized Trial of Academic Training and Medication Decision-Making for Adolescents with ADHD in Usual Care. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2020 Feb 20:1-14. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2020.1716362. PMID: 32078394.

326. Hong N, Comer JS. High-End
Specificity of the AttentionDeficit/Hyperactivity Problems Scale of the
Child Behavior Checklist for Ages 1.5-5 in a
Sample of Young Children with Disruptive
Behavior Disorders. Child Psychiatry Hum
Dev. 2019 Apr;50(2):222-9. doi:
10.1007/s10578-018-0834-4. PMID:
30056520.

327. Hong SS, Cho SH. Treating attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with acupuncture: A randomized controlled trial. European Journal of Integrative Medicine. 2016;8(3):150-7. doi: 10.1016/j.eujim.2015.11.018.

328. Hornstra R, van der Oord S, Staff AI, et al. Which Techniques Work in Behavioral Parent Training for Children with ADHD? A Randomized Controlled Microtrial. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2021 Aug 23:1-16. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2021.1955368. PMID: 34424102.

329. Hosainzadeh Maleki Z, Mashhadi A, Soltanifar A, et al. Barkley's Parent Training Program, Working Memory Training and their Combination for Children with ADHD: Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Iran J Psychiatry. 2014 Apr;9(2):47-54. PMID: 25632280.

330. Huang XX, Ou P, Qian QF, et al. Long-term effectiveness of behavioural intervention in preschool children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Southeast China – a randomized controlled trial. BMC Pediatrics. 2021;21(1). doi: 10.1186/s12887-021-03046-8.

331. Huang YH CC, Ou HY, et al. Treatment effects of combining social skill training and parent training in Taiwanese children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association. 2015;114(3):260-7.

332. Hudziak JJ, Copeland W, Stanger C, et al. Screening for DSM-IV externalizing disorders with the Child Behavior Checklist: a receiver-operating characteristic analysis. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2004 Oct;45(7):1299-307. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2004.00314.x. PMID: 15335349.

333. Ichikawa H, Miyajima T, Yamashita Y, et al. Phase II/III Study of
Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate in Japanese
Pediatric Patients with AttentionDeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child
Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020
Feb;30(1):21-31. doi:
10.1089/cap.2019.0076. PMID: 31718254.

334. Ickowicz A, Schachar RJ, Sugarman R, et al. The parent interview for child symptoms: a situation-specific clinical research interview for attention-deficit hyperactivity and related disorders. Can J Psychiatry. 2006 Apr;51(5):325-8. doi: 10.1177/070674370605100508. PMID: 16986822.

335. Jacobson LA, Pritchard AE, Koriakin TA, et al. Initial Examination of the BRIEF2 in Clinically Referred Children With and Without ADHD Symptoms. J Atten Disord. 2020 Oct;24(12):1775-84. doi: 10.1177/1087054716663632. PMID: 27519529.

336. Jahanshahloo HR, Shamsi M, Ghasemi E, et al. Automated and ERP-BasedDiagnosis of Attention-Deficit HyperactivityDisorder in Children. J Med Signals Sens.2017 Jan-Mar;7(1):26-32. PMID: 28487830.

337. Jain R, Segal S, Kollins SH, et al. Clonidine extended-release tablets for pediatric patients with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Feb;50(2):171-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.11.005. PMID: 21241954.

338. Jarrett MA, Meter AV, Youngstrom EA, et al. Evidence-Based Assessment of ADHD in Youth Using a Receiver
Operating Characteristic Approach. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018 Sep-Oct;47(5):808-20. doi:
10.1080/15374416.2016.1225502. PMID: 27775429.

339. Jensen PS, Arnold LE, Swanson JM, et
al. 3-year follow-up of the NIMH MTA
study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.
2007 Aug;46(8):989-1002. doi:
10.1097/CHI.0b013e3180686d48. PMID:
17667478.

340. Jensen-Doss A, Osterberg LD, Hickey JS, et al. Agreement between chart diagnoses and standardized instrument ratings of youth psychopathology. Adm Policy Ment Health. 2013 Sep;40(5):428-37. doi: 10.1007/s10488-012-0436-6. PMID: 22918708.

341. Jiménez-Figueroa G, Ardila-Duarte C, Pineda DA, et al. Prepotent response inhibition and reaction times in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder from a Caribbean community. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2017 Dec;9(4):199-211. doi: 10.1007/s12402-017-0223-z. PMID: 28238028.

342. Johnson JK, Liranso T, Saylor K, et al. A Phase II Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Efficacy and Safety Study of SPN-812 (Extended-Release Viloxazine) in Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jan;24(2):348-58. doi: 10.1177/1087054719836159. PMID: 30924702.

343. Johnson M OS, Fransson G, et al. Omega-3/omega-6 fatty acids for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomized placebo-controlled trial in children and adolescents. J Atten Disord. 2009 Mar;12(5):394-401. doi: 10.1177/1087054708316261.

344. Johnstone JM, Hatsu I, Tost G, et al.
Micronutrients for AttentionDeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Youths: A
Placebo-Controlled Randomized Clinical
Trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.
2022 May;61(5):647-61. doi:
10.1016/j.jaac.2021.07.005. PMID:
34303786.

345. Johnstone SJ, Parrish L, Jiang H, et al. Aiding diagnosis of childhood attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder of the inattentive presentation: Discriminant function analysis of multi-domain measures including EEG. Biol Psychol. 2021 Apr;161:108080. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2021.108080. PMID: 33744372.

346. Juneja M, Mehar H, Sairam S, et al. Children's Color Trail Test for Objective Assessment of Attention in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Diagnostic Accuracy Study. Indian Pediatr. 2019 Dec 15;56(12):1025-8. PMID: 31884432.

347. Kadri A, Slimani M, Bragazzi NL, et al. Effect of Taekwondo Practice on Cognitive Function in Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Jan 12;16(2). doi: 10.3390/ijerph16020204. PMID: 30642062.

348. Kahbazi M, Ghoreishi A, Rahiminejad F, et al. A randomized, double-blind and placebo-controlled trial of modafinil in children and adolescents with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2009 Aug 15;168(3):234-7. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2008.06.024. PMID: 19439364.

349. Karabiber Cura O, Kocaaslan Atli S, Akan A. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder recognition based on intrinsic timescale decomposition of EEG signals.
Biomedical Signal Processing and Control.
2023;81. doi: 10.1016/j.bspc.2022.104512.

350. Karakaya D, Özgür G. Effect of a Solution-Focused Approach on Self-Efficacy and Self-Esteem in Turkish Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv. 2019 Nov 1;57(11):45-55. doi: 10.3928/02793695-20190708-01. PMID: 31305949.

351. Kareem MEA, Latef SAA, Rafaatamin O. Impact of intervention program on attention of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Children (ADHD). Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences. 2021;15(1):407-11.

352. Karr JE, Kibby MY, Jagger-Rickels AC, et al. Sensitivity and Specificity of an Executive Function Screener at Identifying Children With ADHD and Reading Disability. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jan;25(1):134-40. doi: 10.1177/1087054718763878. PMID: 29562850.

353. Katz M LA, Kol-Degani H, et al. A compound herbal preparation (CHP) in the treatment of children with ADHD: a randomized controlled trial. J Atten Disord.

2010 Nov;14(3):281-91. doi: 10.1177/1087054709356388.

354. Kelsey DK, Sumner CR, Casat CD, et al. Once-daily atomoxetine treatment for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, including an assessment of evening and morning behavior: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Pediatrics. 2004 Jul;114(1):e1-8. doi: 10.1542/peds.114.1.e1. PMID: 15231966.

355. Kennerley S, Jaquiery B, Hatch B, et al. Informant discrepancies in the assessment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment. 2018 Mar 2018;36(2):136-47.

356. Khaksarian M, Ahangari N, Masjedi-Arani A, et al. A comparison of methylphenidate (MPH) and combined methylphenidate with crocus sativus (saffron) in the treatment of children and adolescents with ADHD: A randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, clinical trial. Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. 2021;15(3). doi: 10.5812/IJPBS.108390.

357. Khare SK, Acharya UR. An explainable and interpretable model for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children using EEG signals. Computers in Biology and Medicine. 2023;155. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2023.106676.

358. Khoshbakht Y, Moghtaderi F, Bidaki R, et al. The effect of dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms: a randomized controlled clinical trial. Eur J Nutr. 2021 Mar 14. doi: 10.1007/s00394-021-02527-x. PMID: 33715085.

359. Khoshnoud S, Nazari MA, Shamsi M. Functional brain dynamic analysis of ADHD and control children using nonlinear dynamical features of EEG signals. J Integr Neurosci. 2018 Aug 15;17(1):11-7. doi: 10.31083/jin-170033. PMID: 29172003.

360. Kieling RR, Kieling C, Aguiar AP, et al. Searching for the best approach to assess teachers' perception of inattention and hyperactivity problems at school. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Jun;23(6):451-9. doi: 10.1007/s00787-013-0466-y. PMID: 23999730.

361. Kim J LY, Han D, et al. The utility of quantitative electroencephalography and Integrated Visual and Auditory Continuous Performance Test as auxiliary tools for the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder diagnosis. Clin Neurophysiol.
2015;126(3):532-40. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2014.06.034.

362. Kim JW LJ, Kim BN, et al. Thetaphase gamma-amplitude coupling as a neurophysiological marker of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. Neurosci Lett. 2015 Aug 31;603:25-30. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2015.07.006.

363. Kofler MJ, Wells EL, Singh LJ, et al. A randomized controlled trial of central executive training (CET) versus inhibitory control training (ICT) for ADHD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2020 Aug;88(8):738-56. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000550. PMID: 32700955.

364. Koh JEW, Ooi CP, Lim-Ashworth NS, et al. Automated classification of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and conduct disorder using entropy features with ECG signals. Computers in Biology and Medicine. 2022;140. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.105120.

365. Kolko DJ, Hart JA, Campo J, et al.
Effects of Collaborative Care for Comorbid
Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder
Among Children With Behavior Problems in
Pediatric Primary Care. Clin Pediatr (Phila).
2020 Jul;59(8):787-800. doi:
10.1177/0009922820920013. PMID:
32503395.

366. Kollins SH, DeLoss DJ, Cañadas E, et al. A novel digital intervention for actively reducing severity of paediatric ADHD (STARS-ADHD): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Digit Health. 2020 Apr;2(4):e168-e78. doi: 10.1016/s2589-7500(20)30017-0. PMID: 33334505.

367. Kollins SH, Jain R, Brams M, et al. Clonidine extended-release tablets as add-on therapy to psychostimulants in children and adolescents with ADHD. Pediatrics. 2011 Jun;127(6):e1406-13. doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-1260. PMID: 21555501.

368. Kollins SH, López FA, Vince BD, et al. Psychomotor functioning and alertness with guanfacine extended release in subjects with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Apr;21(2):111-20. doi:

10.1089/cap.2010.0064. PMID: 21476931.

369. Korfmacher AK, Hirsch O, Chavanon ML, et al. Self-management training vs. neurofeedback interventions for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Results of a randomized controlled treatment study. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:969351. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.969351. PMID: 36061275.

370. Kratochvil CJ, Heiligenstein JH, Dittmann R, et al. Atomoxetine and methylphenidate treatment in children with ADHD: a prospective, randomized, openlabel trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2002 Jul;41(7):776-84. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200207000-00008. PMID: 12108801.

371. Kratochvil CJ, Newcorn JH, Arnold LE, et al. Atomoxetine alone or combined with fluoxetine for treating ADHD with comorbid depressive or anxiety symptoms. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Sep;44(9):915-24. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000169012.81536.38. PMID: 16113620. 372. Kratochvil CJ VB, Stoner JA, Daughton JM, Lubberstedt BD, Murray DW, Chrisman AK, Faircloth MA, Itchon-Ramos NB, Kollins SH, Maayan LA, Greenhill LL, Kotler LA, Fried J, March JS. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of atomoxetine in young children with ADHD. Pediatrics. 2011 Apr;127(4):e862-8. doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-0825.

373. Krieger V, Amador-Campos JA.
Clinical presentations of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents: comparison of neurocognitive performance. Child Neuropsychol. 2021 Apr 30:1-30. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2021.1917530. PMID: 33928840.

374. Kurlan R, Goetz CG, McDermott MP, et al. Treatment of ADHD in children with tics: a randomized controlled trial. Neurology. 2002 Feb 26;58(4):527-36. doi: 10.1212/wnl.58.4.527. PMID: 11865128.

375. Kurowski BG, Epstein JN, Pruitt DW, et al. Benefits of Methylphenidate for Long-Term Attention Problems After Traumatic Brain Injury in Childhood: A Randomized, Double-Masked, Placebo-Controlled, Dose-Titration, Crossover Trial. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2019 Mar/Apr;34(2):E1-e12. doi: 10.1097/htr.00000000000432. PMID: 30169436.

376. Lange AM, Daley D, Frydenberg M, et al. Parent Training for Preschool ADHD in Routine, Specialist Care: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Aug;57(8):593-602. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.04.014. PMID: 30071980.

377. Lau C, Stewart SL, Saklofske DH, et al. Psychometric Evaluation of the interRAI Child and Youth Mental Health Disruptive/Aggression Behaviour Scale (DABS) and Hyperactive/Distraction Scale (HDS). Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2018 Apr;49(2):279-89. doi: 10.1007/s10578-017-0751-y. PMID: 28791517.

378. Lavigne JV, Dulcan MK, LeBailly SA, et al. Computer-assisted management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2011 Jul;128(1):e46-53. doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-2684. PMID: 21669891.

379. Law SF SR. Do typical clinical doses of methylphenidate cause tics in children treated for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999;38(8):944-51.

380. Lefler EK, Hartung CM, Fedele DA.
Psychometric properties of a primary care mental health screening tool for young children. Children's Health Care.
2012;41:79-96. doi:
10.1080/02739615.2012.657058.

381. Lesica S, Skeel R, Fust B. The parentreported ADHD symptom infrequency scale (PRASIS): a parent report measure of ADHD symptom exaggeration. Child Neuropsychol. 2023 Feb;29(2):255-75. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2022.2081676. PMID: 35618325.

382. Levy JD, Kronenberger WG, Dunn DW. Development of a Very Brief Measure of ADHD: The CHAOS Scale. J Atten Disord. 2017 May;21(7):575-86. doi: 10.1177/1087054713497792. PMID: 23995051.

383. Li D, Guo J. Intervention Effect of Theme Building Block Games on the Mental Health and Behavior of Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder.
Psychiatr Danub. 2022 Winter;34(4):660-7. doi: 10.24869/psyd.2022.660. PMID: 36548878.

384. Li F, Zheng Y, Smith SD, et al. A preliminary study of movement intensity during a Go/No-Go task and its association with ADHD outcomes and symptom severity. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2016;10(1). doi: 10.1186/s13034-016-0135-2.

385. Li W, Zhou T, Zou L, et al.
Identification of attention
deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children
using multiple ERP features. Current
Bioinformatics. 2018;13(5):501-7. doi:
10.2174/1574893612666171201142836.

386. Li YL, Tang YQ, Liu B, et al. Electroencephalogram diagnosis and biofeedback treatment for the child with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation. 2005;9(8):236-7.

387. Liang X, Qiu H, Wang P, et al. The impacts of a combined exercise on executive function in children with ADHD: A randomized controlled trial. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2022 Aug;32(8):1297-312. doi: 10.1111/sms.14192. PMID: 35611615.

388. Liechti MD VL, Muller UC, et al. Diagnostic value of resting electroencephalogram in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder across the lifespan. Brain Topogr. 2013 Jan;26(1):135-51. doi: 10.1007/s10548-012-0258-6.

389. Lilly E, Company. An Italian Study of the Efficacy of Atomoxetine in the Treatment of Children and AdolescentsWith Attention-Deficit/HyperactivityDisorder (ADHD) and ComorbidOppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD). 2004.

390. Lim CG, Poh XWW, Fung SSD, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a braincomputer interface based attention training program for ADHD. PLoS One. 2019;14(5):e0216225. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0216225. PMID: 31112554.

391. Lin DY, Kratochvil CJ, Xu W, et al. A randomized trial of edivoxetine in pediatric patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol.

2014 May;24(4):190-200. doi: 10.1089/cap.2013.0043. PMID: 24840045.

392. Lin H, Haider SP, Kaltenhauser S, et al. Population level multimodal neuroimaging correlates of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder among children. Front Neurosci. 2023;17:1138670. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2023.1138670. PMID: 36908780.

393. Lin HY. The Effect of Spatial Uncertainty on Visual Search in Older School-Aged Children with and without ADHD. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2023 Jan 30. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acad003. PMID: 36715611.

394. Lindhiem O, Goel M, Shaaban S, et al.
Objective Measurement of Hyperactivity
Using Mobile Sensing and Machine
Learning: Pilot Study. JMIR Form Res.
2022 Apr 25;6(4):e35803. doi:
10.2196/35803. PMID: 35468089.

395. Liu X, Sun L, Zhang D, et al. Phase-Amplitude Coupling Brain Networks in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2022 Sep;53(5):399-405. doi: 10.1177/15500594221086195. PMID: 35257602.

396. Longridge R, Norman S, Henley W, et al. Investigating the agreement between the clinician and research diagnosis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and how it changes over time; a clinical cohort study. Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2019 May;24(2):133-41. doi: 10.1111/camh.12285. PMID: 32677186.

397. Ludyga S, Mücke M, Leuenberger R, et al. Behavioral and neurocognitive effects of judo training on working memory capacity in children with ADHD: A randomized controlled trial. Neuroimage Clin. 2022;36:103156. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2022.103156. PMID: 35988343. 398. Luo J, Huang H, Wang S, et al. A Wearable Diagnostic Assessment System vs. SNAP-IV for the auxiliary diagnosis of ADHD: a diagnostic test. BMC Psychiatry. 2022 Jun 21;22(1):415. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04038-3. PMID: 35729503.

399. Luo N, Luo X, Zheng S, et al. Aberrant brain dynamics and spectral power in children with ADHD and its subtypes. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Aug 22. doi: 10.1007/s00787-022-02068-6. PMID: 35996018.

400. Luo X, Guo X, Zhao Q, et al. A randomized controlled study of remote computerized cognitive, neurofeedback, and combined training in the treatment of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Feb 19:1-12. doi: 10.1007/s00787-022-01956-1. PMID: 35182242.

401. Manor I, Magen A, Keidar D, et al. The effect of phosphatidylserine containing Omega3 fatty-acids on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms in children: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial, followed by an open-label extension. Eur Psychiatry. 2012 Jul;27(5):335-42. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2011.05.004. PMID: 21807480.

402. Marcano JL, Bell MA, Beex AAL. Classification of ADHD and non-ADHD subjects using a universal background model. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control. 2018;39:204-12. doi: 10.1016/j.bspc.2017.07.023.

403. Markovska-Simoska S, Pop-Jordanova N. Quantitative EEG in Children and Adults With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Comparison of Absolute and Relative Power Spectra and Theta/Beta Ratio. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2017 Jan;48(1):20-32. doi: 10.1177/1550059416643824. PMID: 27170672.

404. Martenyi F, Zavadenko NN, Jarkova NB, et al. Atomoxetine in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a 6-week, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial in Russia. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Jan;19(1):57-66. doi: 10.1007/s00787-009-0042-7. PMID: 19568826.

405. Martín-Brufau R, Nombela Gómez M. Bioelectrical Markers of ADHD: Enhancement of Direct EEG Analysis. Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology. 2017 04/01/;15(1):185-200. PMID: EJ1136431.

406. Martin-Martinez D C-d-1-HP, Alberola-Lopez S, et al. Nonlinear analysis of actigraphic signals for the assessment of the attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Med Eng Phys. 2012 Nov;34(9):1317-29. doi: 10.1016/j.medengphy.2011.12.023.

407. Matier-Sharma K, Perachio N, Newcorn JH, et al. Differential diagnosis of ADHD: Are objective measures of attention, impulsivity, and activity level helpful? Child Neuropsychology. 1995;1:118-27. doi: 10.1080/09297049508402243.

408. Matthijssen AM, Dietrich A, Bierens M, et al. Continued Benefits of Methylphenidate in ADHD After 2 Years in Clinical Practice: A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Discontinuation Study. Am J Psychiatry. 2019 Sep 1;176(9):754-62. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.18111296. PMID: 31109200.

409. Mattingly G, Arnold V, Yan B, et al. A Phase 3, Randomized Double-Blind Study of the Efficacy and Safety of Low-Dose SHP465 Mixed Amphetamine Salts Extended-Release in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Nov;30(9):549-57. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0005. PMID: 33185468.

410. Maya-Piedrahita MC, Herrera-Gomez PM, Berrío-Mesa L, et al. Supported Diagnosis of Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder from EEG Based on Interpretable Kernels for Hidden Markov Models. Int J Neural Syst. 2022 Mar;32(3):2250008. doi: 10.1142/s0129065722500083. PMID: 34996341.

411. Mayes SD, Calhoun SL. The Gordon Diagnostic System and WISC-III Freedom from Distractibility Index: validity in identifying clinic-referred children with and without ADHD. Psychol Rep. 2002 Oct;91(2):575-87. doi: 10.2466/pr0.2002.91.2.575. PMID: 12416852.

412. Mayes SD, Calhoun SL. Similarities and differences in Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children--Third Edition (WISC-III) profiles: support for subtest analysis in clinical referrals. Clin Neuropsychol. 2004 Dec;18(4):559-72. doi: 10.1080/13854040490888530. PMID: 15841957.

413. Mayfield AR, Parke EM, Barchard KA, et al. Equivalence of mother and father ratings of ADHD in children. Child Neuropsychology. 2018 Feb 2018;24(2):166-83.

414. McCarthy A, Asghar S, Wilens T, et al. Using a Brief Parent-Report Measure to Track Outcomes for Children and Teens with ADHD. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2016 Jun;47(3):407-16. doi: 10.1007/s10578-015-0575-6. PMID: 26271346.

415. McCracken JT, McGough JJ, Loo SK, et al. Combined Stimulant and Guanfacine Administration in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Controlled, Comparative Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Aug;55(8):657-66.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.05.015. PMID: 27453079.

416. McGrath PJ L-PP, Thurston C, MacLean C, Cunningham C, Waschbusch DA, Watters C, Stewart S, Bagnell A, Santor D, Chaplin W. Telephone-based mental health interventions for child disruptive behavior or anxiety disorders: randomized trials and overall analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Nov;50(11):1162-72. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2011.07.013.

417. McIntosh DE, Mulkins RS, Dean RS. Utilization of maternal perinatal risk indicators in the differential diagnosis of ADHD and UADD children. Int J Neurosci. 1995 Mar;81(1-2):35-46. doi: 10.3109/00207459509015297. PMID: 7775071.

418. Mehri M, Chehrzad MM, Mardani A, et al. The effect of behavioral parent training on sleep problems of school-age children with ADHD: A parallel randomized controlled trial. Arch Psychiatr Nurs. 2020 Aug;34(4):261-7. doi: 10.1016/j.apnu.2020.04.001. PMID: 32828358.

419. Merzon L, Pettersson K, Aronen ET, et al. Eye movement behavior in a real-world virtual reality task reveals ADHD in children. Sci Rep. 2022 Nov 24;12(1):20308. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-24552-4. PMID: 36434040.

420. Meyer J, Ramklint M, Hallerbäck MU, et al. Evaluation of a structured skills training group for adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomised controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Mar 15. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01753-2. PMID: 33721085. 421. Michelson D, Allen AJ, Busner J, et al. Once-daily atomoxetine treatment for children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. Am J Psychiatry. 2002 Nov;159(11):1896-901. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.159.11.1896. PMID: 12411225.

422. Michelson D, Faries D, Wernicke J, et al. Atomoxetine in the treatment of children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-response study. Pediatrics. 2001 Nov;108(5):E83. doi: 10.1542/peds.108.5.e83. PMID: 11694667.

423. Mikolas P, Vahid A, Bernardoni F, et al. Training a machine learning classifier to identify ADHD based on real-world clinical data from medical records. Sci Rep. 2022 Jul 28;12(1):12934. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-17126-x. PMID: 35902654.

424. Minder F, Zuberer A, Brandeis D, et al. Informant-related effects of neurofeedback and cognitive training in children with ADHD including a waiting control phase: a randomized-controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Aug;27(8):1055-66. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1116-1. PMID: 29396712.

425. Mitchell WG, Chavez JM, Baker SA, et al. Reaction time, impulsivity, and attention in hyperactive children and controls: a video game technique. J Child Neurol. 1990 Jul;5(3):195-204. doi: 10.1177/088307389000500308. PMID: 2398235.

426. Moghaddari M, Lighvan MZ, Danishvar S. Diagnose ADHD disorder in children using convolutional neural network based on continuous mental task EEG. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2020 Dec;197:105738. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2020.105738. PMID: 32927404.
427. Mohammadi MR, Kazemi MR, Zia E, et al. Amantadine versus methylphenidate in children and adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, double-blind trial. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2010 Nov;25(7-8):560-5. doi: 10.1002/hup.1154. PMID: 21312290.

428. Mohammadi MR MS, Keshavarz SA, et al. Melatonin effects in methylphenidate treated children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomized double blind clinical trial. Iran J Psychiatry. 2012 Spring;7(2):87-92.

429. Mohammadzadeh S, Baghi N, Yousefi F, et al. Effect of omega-3 plus methylphenidate as an alternative therapy to reduce attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder in children. Korean J Pediatr. 2019 Sep;62(9):360-6. doi:

10.3345/kjp.2018.06982. PMID: 31122010.

430. Montoya A, Hervas A, Cardo E, et al. Evaluation of atomoxetine for first-line treatment of newly diagnosed, treatmentnaïve children and adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Curr Med Res Opin. 2009 Nov;25(11):2745-54. doi: 10.1185/03007990903316152. PMID: 19785510.

431. Mostajeran Z, Mosavat SH, Najafi M, et al. Whey Protein (Ma'aljobon) as a Complementary Therapy for Treatment of Attention-deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A Randomized Open-label Controlled Clinical Trial. Galen Med J. 2020;9:e1690. doi: 10.31661/gmj.v9i0.1690. PMID: 34466569.

432. Motaharifard MS, Effatpanah M, Karimi M, et al. Effect of sweet almond syrup versus methylphenidate in children with ADHD: A randomized triple-blind clinical trial. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2019 Aug;36:170-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2019.07.008. PMID: 31383435. 433. Moura O, Costa P, Simões MR. WISC-III Cognitive Profiles in Children with ADHD: Specific Cognitive Impairments and Diagnostic Utility. J Gen Psychol. 2019 Jul-Sep;146(3):258-82. doi: 10.1080/00221309.2018.1561410. PMID: 30729871.

434. Moura O, Pereira M, Alfaiate C, et al. Neurocognitive functioning in children with developmental dyslexia and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: Multiple deficits and diagnostic accuracy. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2017 Apr;39(3):296-312. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2016.1225007. PMID: 27617883.

435. Mouti A, Dryer R, Kohn M. Differentiating Autism Spectrum Disorder From ADHD Using the Social Communication Questionnaire. J Atten Disord. 2019 Jun;23(8):828-37. doi: 10.1177/1087054718781945. PMID: 29936891.

436. Mukherjee S, Aneja S, Russell PS, et al. INCLEN diagnostic tool for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (INDT-ADHD): development and validation. Indian Pediatr. 2014 Jun;51(6):457-62. doi: 10.1007/s13312-014-0436-6. PMID: 24986281.

437. Mulhern S, Dworkin PH, Bernstein B. Do parental concerns predict a diagnosis of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder? J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1994 Oct;15(5):348-52. PMID: 7868703.

438. Muthuraman M, Moliadze V, Boecher L, et al. Multimodal alterations of directed connectivity profiles in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders. Sci Rep. 2019 Dec 27;9(1):20028. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-56398-8. PMID: 31882672.

439. Mwamba HM, Fourie PR, den Heever DV. PANDAS: Paediatric Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Application Software. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2019 Jul;2019:1444-7. doi: 10.1109/embc.2019.8857357. PMID: 31946165.

440. Myers K VSA, Zhou C, et al. Effectiveness of a telehealth service delivery model for treating attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a communitybased randomized controlled trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Apr;54(4):263-74. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2015.01.009.

441. Nasser A, Liranso T, Adewole T, et al. A Phase 3, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Once-Daily Viloxazine Extended-Release Capsules in Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2021 Jul-Aug 01;41(4):370-80. doi: 10.1097/jcp.000000000001404. PMID: 34181360.

442. Nasser A, Liranso T, Adewole T, et al. A Phase III, Randomized, Placebocontrolled Trial to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Once-daily SPN-812 (Viloxazine Extended-release) in the Treatment of Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in School-age Children. Clin Ther. 2020 Aug;42(8):1452-66. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2020.05.021. PMID: 32723670.

443. Nasser A, Liranso T, Adewole T, et al. A Phase 3 Placebo-Controlled Trial of Once-Daily 400-mg and 600-mg SPN-812 (Viloxazine Extended-Release) in Adolescents with ADHD. Psychopharmacol Bull. 2021 Mar 16;51(2):43-64. PMID: 34092822.

444. Nasser A, Liranso T, Adewole T, et al. Once-Daily SPN-812 200 and 400 mg in the treatment of ADHD in School-aged Children: A Phase III Randomized, Controlled Trial. Clin Ther. 2021 Apr;43(4):684-700. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2021.01.027. PMID: 33750646.

445. Nejati V. Program for attention rehabilitation and strengthening (PARS) improves executive functions in children with attention deficit- hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Res Dev Disabil. 2021 Jun;113:103937. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2021.103937. PMID: 33756252.

446. Nejati V, Fallah F, Raskin S. Inhibitory Control Training Improves Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms and Externalizing Behavior. Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2022 Dec 6:13591045221144356. doi: 10.1177/13591045221144356. PMID: 36474404.

447. Newcorn JH, Harpin V, Huss M, et al. Extended-release guanfacine hydrochloride in 6-17-year olds with ADHD: a randomised-withdrawal maintenance of efficacy study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2016 Jun;57(6):717-28. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12492. PMID: 26871297.

448. Newcorn JH, Kratochvil CJ, Allen AJ, et al. Atomoxetine and osmotically released methylphenidate for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: acute comparison and differential response. Am J Psychiatry. 2008 Jun;165(6):721-30. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.05091676. PMID: 18281409.

449. Newcorn JH, Spencer TJ, Biederman J, et al. Atomoxetine treatment in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid oppositional defiant disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Mar;44(3):240-8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200503000-00008. PMID: 15725968.

450. Newman E, Reddy LA. Diagnostic Utility of the Pediatric Attention Disorders Diagnostic Screener. J Atten Disord. 2017 Mar;21(5):372-80. doi: 10.1177/1087054714526431. PMID: 24639402.

451. Nolan EE, Volpe RJ, Gadow KD, et al. Developmental, Gender, and Comorbidity Differences in Clinically Referred Children with ADHD. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders. 1999 1999/01/01;7(1):11-20. doi: 10.1177/106342669900700102.

452. O'Neill J, O'Connor MJ, Kalender G, et al. Combining neuroimaging and behavior to discriminate children with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder with and without prenatal alcohol exposure. Brain Imaging Behav. 2021 Jun 5. doi: 10.1007/s11682-021-00477-w. PMID: 34089460.

453. Ogrim G KJ, Hestad K. The quantitative EEG theta/beta ratio in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and normal controls: sensitivity, specificity, and behavioral correlates. Psychiatry Res. 2012 Aug 15;198(3):482-8. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2011.12.041.

454. Oppenheimer J, Ojo O, Antonetty A, et al. Timely Interventions for Children with ADHD through Web-Based Monitoring Algorithms. Diseases. 2019 Feb 7;7(1). doi: 10.3390/diseases7010020. PMID: 30736492.

455. Öztekin I, Finlayson MA, Graziano PA, et al. Is there any incremental benefit to conducting neuroimaging and neurocognitive assessments in the diagnosis of ADHD in young children? A machine learning investigation. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience. 2021 Jun 2021;49.

456. Öztoprak H, Toycan M, Alp YK, et al. Machine-based classification of ADHD and nonADHD participants using time/frequency features of event-related neuroelectric activity. Clin Neurophysiol. 2017 Dec;128(12):2400-10. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2017.09.105. PMID: 29096213.

457. Park J, Kim C, Ahn JH, et al. Clinical Use of Continuous Performance Tests to Diagnose Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2019 Apr;23(6):531-40. doi: 10.1177/1087054716658125. PMID: 27412120.

458. Pauli-Pott U, Becker K. Impulsivity as Early Emerging Vulnerability Factor-Prediction of ADHD by a Preschool Neuropsychological Measure. Brain Sci.
2021 Jan 6;11(1). doi: 10.3390/brainsci11010060. PMID: 33418940.

459. Peijnenborgh JC, Hurks PP, Aldenkamp AP, et al. A Study on the Validity of a Computer-Based Game to Assess Cognitive Processes, Reward Mechanisms, and Time Perception in Children Aged 4-8 Years. JMIR Serious Games. 2016 Sep 22;4(2):e15. doi: 10.2196/games.5997. PMID: 27658428.

460. Pelsser LM, Frankena K, Toorman J, et al. Effects of a restricted elimination diet on the behaviour of children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder (INCA study): A randomised controlled trial. The Lancet. 2011;377(9764):494-503.

461. Pereda E, García-Torres M, Melián-Batista B, et al. The blessing of Dimensionality: Feature Selection outperforms functional connectivity-based feature transformation to classify ADHD subjects from EEG patterns of phase synchronisation. PLoS One. 2018;13(8):e0201660. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201660. PMID: 30114248.

462. Perez-Alvarez F, Serra-Amaya C, Timoneda-Gallart CA. Cognitive versus behavioral ADHD phenotype: what is it all about? Neuropediatrics. 2009 Feb;40(1):328. doi: 10.1055/s-0029-1231055. PMID: 19639526.

463. Perugini EM, Harvey EA, Lovejoy DW, et al. The predictive power of combined neuropsychological measures for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. Child Neuropsychol. 2000 Jun;6(2):101-14. doi: 10.1076/chin.6.2.101.7059. PMID: 16210207.

464. Pfiffner LJ HS, Owens E, et al. A twosite randomized clinical trial of integrated psychosocial treatment for ADHDinattentive type. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2014 Dec;82(6):1115-27. doi: 10.1037/a0036887.

465. Pineda DA, Lopera F, Puerta IC, et al. Potential cognitive endophenotypes in multigenerational families: segregating ADHD from a genetic isolate. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2011 Sep;3(3):291-9. doi: 10.1007/s12402-011-0061-3. PMID: 21779842.

466. Pongpitakdamrong A,
Chirdkiatgumchai V, Ruangdaraganon N, et al. Effect of Iron Supplementation in
Children with AttentionDeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Iron
Deficiency: A Randomized Controlled Trial.
J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2021 Jul 26. doi:
10.1097/dbp.000000000000993. PMID:
34313619.

467. Power TJ, Doherty BJ, Panichelli-Mindel SM, et al. The Predictive Validity of Parent and Teacher Reports of ADHD Symptoms. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 1998 1998/03/01;20(1):57-81. doi: 10.1023/A:1023035426642.

468. Power TJ, Mautone JA, Marshall SA, et al. Feasibility and potential effectiveness of integrated services for children with ADHD in urban primary care practices. Clinical Practice in Pediatric Psychology. 2014;2:412-26. doi: 10.1037/cpp0000056.

469. Power TJ MJ, Soffer SL, et al. A family-school intervention for children with ADHD: results of a randomized clinical trial. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2012 Aug;80(4):611-23. doi: 10.1037/a0028188.

470. Prasad S, Harpin V, Poole L, et al. A multi-centre, randomised, open-label study of atomoxetine compared with standard current therapy in UK children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Curr Med Res Opin. 2007 Feb;23(2):379-94. doi: 10.1185/030079906x167309. PMID: 17288692.

471. Preston AS, Fennell EB, Bussing R. Utility of a CPT in diagnosing ADHD among a representative sample of high-risk children: a cautionary study. Child Neuropsychol. 2005 Oct;11(5):459-69. doi: 10.1080/09297040591001067. PMID: 16306020.

472. Purper-Ouakil D, Blasco-Fontecilla H, Ros T, et al. Personalized at-home neurofeedback compared to long-acting methylphenidate in children with ADHD: NEWROFEED, a European randomized noninferiority trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 Jun 24. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13462. PMID: 34165190.

473. Qian X, Loo BRY, Castellanos FX, et al. Brain-computer-interface-based intervention re-normalizes brain functional network topology in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Transl Psychiatry. 2018 Aug 10;8(1):149. doi: 10.1038/s41398-018-0213-8. PMID: 30097579.

474. Qian Y, Fan Z, Gao B, et al. Efficacy and acceptability of a second dose of ecological executive skills training for children with ADHD: a randomized controlled study and follow-up. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jun;30(6):921-35. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01571-y. PMID: 32596788.

475. Qin L, Liu H, Zhang H, et al. Evaluation of the diagnostic implications of Das-Naglieri cognitive assessment system in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. BMC Psychiatry. 2018 Dec 12;18(1):386. doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1970-x. PMID: 30541503.

476. Quintana H, Snyder SM, Purnell W, et al. Comparison of a standard psychiatric evaluation to rating scales and EEG in the differential diagnosis of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2007 Aug 30;152(2-3):211-22. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2006.04.015. PMID: 17451810.

477. Rafeiy-Torghabeh M, Ashraf-Ganjouei A, Moradi K, et al. Resveratrol adjunct to methylphenidate improves symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 May;30(5):799-807. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01562-z. PMID: 32449130.

478. Raghuveer R, Ruchi. Comparative efficacy of structured games and behavioural parent training on working memory in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A pilot study. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2020;14(7):YC01-YC4. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2020/43576.13807.

479. Rahmani M, Mahvelati A, Farajinia AH, et al. Comparison of Vitamin D, Neurofeedback, and Neurofeedback Combined with Vitamin D Supplementation in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Arch Iran Med. 2022 May 1;25(5):285-393. doi: 10.34172/aim.2022.47. PMID: 35943003. 480. Raiker JS, Freeman AJ, Perez-Algorta G, et al. Accuracy of Achenbach Scales in the Screening of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in a Community Mental Health Clinic. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 May;56(5):401-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.02.007. PMID: 28433089.

481. Reddy LA, Alperin A, Lekwa A. Construct Validity and Diagnostic Utility of the Woodcock Johnson Tests of Cognitive Abilities and Clinical Clusters for Children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Preliminary Investigation. European Journal of Psychology and Educational Research. 2021 01/01/;4(1):36-49. PMID: EJ1333596.

482. Rezaeezadeh M, Shamekhi S, Shamsi M. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Diagnosis using non-linear univariate and multivariate EEG measurements: a preliminary study. Phys Eng Sci Med. 2020 Jun;43(2):577-92. doi: 10.1007/s13246-020-00858-3. PMID: 32524443.

483. Riaz A, Asad M, Alonso E, et al. DeepFMRI: End-to-end deep learning for functional connectivity and classification of ADHD using fMRI. J Neurosci Methods. 2020 Apr 1;335:108506. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2019.108506. PMID: 32001294.

484. Rielly NE, Cunningham CE, Richards JE, et al. Detecting Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in a communications clinic: diagnostic utility of the Gordon Diagnostic System. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 1999 Oct;21(5):685-700. doi: 10.1076/jcen.21.5.685.866. PMID: 10572287.

485. Riggs PD, Winhusen T, Davies RD, et al. Randomized controlled trial of osmoticrelease methylphenidate with cognitivebehavioral therapy in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and substance use disorders. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Sep;50(9):903-14. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2011.06.010. PMID: 21871372.

486. Rishel CW, Greeno C, Marcus SC, et al. Use of the Child Behavior Checklist as a Diagnostic Screening Tool in Community Mental Health. Research on Social Work Practice. 2005 2005/05/01;15(3):195-203. doi: 10.1177/1049731504270382.

487. Robles R, de la Peña FR, Medina-Mora ME, et al. ICD-11 Guidelines for Mental and Behavioral Disorders of Children and Adolescents: Reliability and Clinical Utility. Psychiatr Serv. 2021 Aug 26:appips202000830. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.202000830. PMID: 34433288.

488. Rodríguez C, Areces D, García T, et al. Comparison between two continuous performance tests for identifying ADHD: Traditional vs. Virtual reality. International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology. 2018 Sep 2018 - Dec 2018;18(3):254-63.

489. Roessner V, Walitza S, Riederer F, et al. Tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives: A new perspective on monoaminergic dysfunction in children with ADHD? Behavioral and Brain Functions. 2007;3. doi: 10.1186/1744-9081-3-64.

490. Rogers EA, Graves SJ, Freeman AJ, et al. Improving accuracy of ADHD subtype diagnoses with the ADHD symptom rating scale. Child Neuropsychol. 2022 Oct;28(7):962-78. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2022.2044768. PMID: 35287549.

491. Rothe J, Kattlun FA, Kaufmann J, et al. Effects of methylphenidate and physiotherapeutic treatment on graphomotor movements in children with ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2023 Jan 23. doi: 10.1007/s00787-023-02144-5. PMID: 36688969.

492. Rubio Morell B, Hernández Expósito S. Differential long-term medication impact on executive function and delay aversion in ADHD. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2019 Apr-Jun;8(2):140-57. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2017.1407653. PMID: 29244542.

493. Rucklidge JJ, Eggleston MJF, Johnstone JM, et al. Vitamin-mineral treatment improves aggression and emotional regulation in children with ADHD: a fully blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Mar;59(3):232-46. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12817. PMID: 28967099.

494. Rucklidge JJ, Tannock R. Validity of the Brown ADD scales: an investigation in a predominantly inattentive ADHD adolescent sample with and without reading disabilities. J Atten Disord. 2002 Jan;5(3):155-64. doi: 10.1177/108705470200500303. PMID: 11911008.

495. Saito T, Yamashita Y, Tomoda A, et al. Using the drug repositioning approach to develop a novel therapy, tipepidine hibenzate sustained-release tablet (TS-141), for children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Nov 10;20(1):530. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02932-2. PMID: 33167920.

496. Salardini E, Zeinoddini A, Kohi A, et al. Agomelatine as a Treatment for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents: A Double-Blind, Randomized Clinical Trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016 Aug;26(6):513-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0024. PMID: 27286139.

497. Salehi B, Imani R, Mohammadi MR, et al. Ginkgo biloba for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a double blind, randomized controlled trial. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2010 Feb 1;34(1):76-80. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2009.09.026. PMID: 19815048.

498. Salehi B, Mohammadbeigi A, Sheykholeslam H, et al. Omega-3 and Zinc supplementation as complementary therapies in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Res Pharm Pract. 2016 Jan-Mar;5(1):22-6. doi: 10.4103/2279-042x.176561. PMID: 26985432.

499. Sallee FR, McGough J, Wigal T, et al. Guanfacine extended release in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a placebocontrolled trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Feb;48(2):155-65. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e318191769e. PMID: 19106767.

500. Sangal RB, Owens J, Allen AJ, et al. Effects of atomoxetine and methylphenidate on sleep in children with ADHD. Sleep. 2006 Dec;29(12):1573-85. doi: 10.1093/sleep/29.12.1573. PMID: 17252888.

501. Sangal RB BJ, Lankford DA, Grinnell TA, Huang H. Eszopiclone for insomnia associated with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2014 Oct;134(4):e1095-103. doi: 10.1542/peds.2013-4221.

502. Satin MS, Winsberg BG, Monetti CH, et al. A general population screen for attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. J Am Acad Child Psychiatry. 1985 Nov;24(6):756-64. doi: 10.1016/s0002-7138(10)60120-3. PMID: 4067144.

503. Schatz AM, Ballantyne AO, Trauner DA. Sensitivity and specificity of a computerized test of attention in the diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Assessment. 2001 Dec;8(4):357-65. doi: 10.1177/107319110100800401. PMID: 11785580.

504. Scheeringa MS. The Diagnostic Infant Preschool Assessment-Likert Version: Preparation, Concurrent Construct Validation, and Test-Retest Reliability. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Jun;30(5):326-34. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0168. PMID: 32159386.

505. Schertz M, Karni-Visel Y, Genizi J, et al. Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS) in children with ADHD: A randomized, sham-controlled pilot study. J Psychiatr Res. 2022 Nov;155:302-12. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2022.08.022. PMID: 36174365.

506. Schirmer MD, Venkataraman A, Rekik I, et al. Neuropsychiatric disease classification using functional connectomics - results of the connectomics in neuroimaging transfer learning challenge. Med Image Anal. 2021 May;70:101972. doi: 10.1016/j.media.2021.101972. PMID: 33677261.

507. Schneider H, Ryan M, Mahone EM. Parent versus teacher ratings on the BRIEFpreschool version in children with and without ADHD. Child Neuropsychol. 2020 Jan;26(1):113-28. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2019.1617262. PMID: 31094642.

508. Schorr-Sapir I, Gershy N, Apter A, et al. Parent training in non-violent resistance for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a controlled outcome study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Feb 2. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01723-8. PMID: 33528659.

509. Schramm SA, Hennig T, Linderkamp F. Training Problem Solving and Organizational Skills in Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology. 2016 01/01/;15(3):391-411. PMID: EJ1226769.

510. Schuck SEB, Emmerson NA, Abdullah MM, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Traditional Psychosocial and Canine-Assisted Interventions for Children with ADHD. Human-Animal Interaction Bulletin. 2018;6(1):64-80.

511. Sciberras E, Mulraney M, Mensah F, et al. Sustained impact of a sleep intervention and moderators of treatment outcome for children with ADHD: a randomised controlled trial. Psychol Med. 2020 Jan;50(2):210-9. doi: 10.1017/s0033291718004063. PMID: 30654852.

512. Serrallach B, Groß C, Bernhofs V, et al. Neural biomarkers for dyslexia, ADHD, and ADD in the auditory cortex of children. Frontiers in Neuroscience. 2016;10(JUL). doi: 10.3389/fnins.2016.00324.

513. Shang CY, Shih HH, Pan YL, et al. Comparative Efficacy of Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine on Social Adjustment in Youths with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Apr;30(3):148-58. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0139. PMID: 31794244.

514. Shaywitz S, Shaywitz B, Wietecha L, et al. Effect of Atomoxetine Treatment on Reading and Phonological Skills in Children with Dyslexia or Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Comorbid Dyslexia in a Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Feb;27(1):19-28. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0189. PMID: 27410907.

515. Shemmassian SK, Lee SS. Predictive Utility of Four Methods of Incorporating Parent and Teacher Symptom Ratings of ADHD for Longitudinal Outcomes. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2016;45(2):176-87. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2014.971457. PMID: 25643854.

516. Shemmassian SK, Lee SS. Comparative Validity of DSM-IV and Alternative Empirically Derived Approaches for the Assessment of ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Mar;21(5):405-15. doi: 10.1177/1087054714522511. PMID: 24532800.

517. Shen L, Wang C, Tian Y, et al. Effects of Parent-Teacher Training on Academic Performance and Parental Anxiety in School-Aged Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial in Shanghai, China. Front Psychol. 2021;12:733450. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.733450. PMID: 34955960.

518. Shuai L, Wang Y, Li W, et al. Executive Function Training for Preschool Children With ADHD: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Atten Disord. 2020 Sep 23:1087054720956723. doi: 10.1177/1087054720956723. PMID: 32964771.

519. Sibley MH, Coxe SJ, Campez M, et al. High versus Low Intensity Summer Treatment for ADHD Delivered at
Secondary School Transitions. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018 Mar-Apr;47(2):248-65. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2018.1426005.
PMID: 29498550.

520. Sibley MH, Graziano PA, Coxe S, et al. Effectiveness of Motivational Interviewing-Enhanced Behavior Therapy for Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Community-Based Trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jun;60(6):745-56. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.07.907. PMID: 32861773.

521. Sibley MH, Graziano PA, Kuriyan AB, et al. Parent-teen behavior therapy +

motivational interviewing for adolescents with ADHD. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2016 Aug 2016;84(8):699-712.

522. Sibley MH, Rodriguez L, Coxe S, et al. Parent-Teen Group versus Dyadic Treatment for Adolescent ADHD: What Works for Whom? J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2020 Jul-Aug;49(4):476-92. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2019.1585257. PMID: 30990088.

523. Siebelink NM, Bögels SM, Speckens AEM, et al. A randomised controlled trial (MindChamp) of a mindfulness-based intervention for children with ADHD and their parents. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 May 24. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13430. PMID: 34030214.

524. Silverstein M, Hironaka LK, Feinberg E, et al. Using Clinical Data to Predict Accurate ADHD Diagnoses Among Urban Children. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2016 Apr;55(4):326-32. doi: 10.1177/0009922815591882. PMID: 26130393.

525. Simões EN, Carvalho ALN, Schmidt SL. The Role of Visual and Auditory Stimuli in Continuous Performance Tests: Differential Effects on Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jan;25(1):53-62. doi: 10.1177/1087054718769149. PMID: 29671360.

526. Simonoff E, Taylor E, Baird G, et al. Randomized controlled double-blind trial of optimal dose methylphenidate in children and adolescents with severe attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and intellectual disability. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2013 May;54(5):527-35. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02569.x. PMID: 22676856.

527. Sinai ISoMaM, Health NIoM. Imaging Stimulant and Non Stimulant Treatments for ADHD: A Network Based Approach. 2012.

528. Singer HS, Brown J, Quaskey S, et al. The treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in Tourette's syndrome: a double-blind placebocontrolled study with clonidine and desipramine. Pediatrics. 1995 Jan;95(1):74-81. PMID: 7770313.

529. Skogli EW, Teicher MH, Andersen PN, et al. ADHD in girls and boys--gender differences in co-existing symptoms and executive function measures. BMC Psychiatry. 2013 Nov 9;13:298. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-13-298. PMID: 24206839.

530. Slaby I, Hain HS, Abrams D, et al. An electronic health record (EHR) phenotype algorithm to identify patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorders (ADHD) and psychiatric comorbidities. J Neurodev Disord. 2022 Jun 11;14(1):37. doi: 10.1186/s11689-022-09447-9. PMID: 35690720.

531. Slobodin O, Davidovitch M. Primary School Children's Self-Reports of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder-Related Symptoms and Their Associations With Subjective and Objective Measures of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Hum Neurosci. 2022;16:806047. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2022.806047. PMID: 35250516.

532. Slobodin O, Yahav I, Berger I. A Machine-Based Prediction Model of ADHD Using CPT Data. Front Hum Neurosci.
2020;14:560021. doi:
10.3389/fnhum.2020.560021. PMID:
33093829.

533. Smit S, Mikami AY, Normand S.
Effects of the Parental Friendship Coaching Intervention on Parental Emotion
Socialization of Children with ADHD. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2021 May 26.
doi: 10.1007/s10802-021-00818-9. PMID: 34037888. 534. Smith BH, Pelham WE, Gnagy E, et al. The reliability, validity, and unique contributions of self-report by adolescents receiving treatment for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2000 Jun;68(3):489-99. doi: 10.1037/0022-006x.68.3.489. PMID: 10883565.

535. Smith JL, Johnstone SJ, Barry RJ. Aiding diagnosis of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and its subtypes: discriminant function analysis of event-related potential data. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2003 Oct;44(7):1067-75. doi: 10.1111/1469-7610.00191. PMID: 14531589.

536. Smith SR, Wingenfeld SA, Hilsenroth MJ, et al. The Use of the Devereux Scales of Mental Disorders in the Assessment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Conduct Disorder. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2000 2000/09/01;22(3):237-55. doi: 10.1023/A:1007510216543.

537. Snyder SM, Quintana H, Sexson SB, et al. Blinded, multi-center validation of EEG and rating scales in identifying ADHD within a clinical sample. Psychiatry Res. 2008 Jun 30;159(3):346-58. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2007.05.006. PMID: 18423617.

538. Soliva JC FJ, Bielsa A, et al. Quantitative MR analysis of caudate abnormalities in pediatric ADHD: proposal for a diagnostic test. Psychiatry Res. 2010 Jun 30;182(3):238-43. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.01.013.

539. Sonuga-Barke EJ DD, Thompson M, et al. Parent-based therapies for preschool attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, controlled trial with a community sample. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001;40(4):402-8. 540. Sonuga-Barke EJ TM, Daley D, et al. Parent training for Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: is it as effective when delivered as routine rather than as specialist care? Br J Clin Psychol. 2004;43(Pt 4):4-57.

541. Sonuga-Barke EJS, Barton J, Daley D, et al. A comparison of the clinical effectiveness and cost of specialised individually delivered parent training for preschool attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and a generic, group-based programme: a multi-centre, randomised controlled trial of the New Forest Parenting Programme versus Incredible Years. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Jun;27(6):797-809. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-1054-3. PMID: 29086103.

542. Spencer AE, Plasencia N, Sun Y, et al. Screening for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Comorbidities in a Diverse, Urban Primary Care Setting. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2018 Oct;57(12):1442-52. doi: 10.1177/0009922818787329. PMID: 30003797.

543. Spencer T, Heiligenstein JH, Biederman J, et al. Results from 2 proof-ofconcept, placebo-controlled studies of atomoxetine in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2002 Dec;63(12):1140-7. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v63n1209. PMID: 12523874.

544. Spencer TJ, Sallee FR, Gilbert DL, et al. Atomoxetine Treatment of ADHD in Children with Comorbid Tourette Syndrome. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2008 01/01/;11(4):470-81. PMID: EJ793564.

545. Spencer TJ, Wilens TE, Biederman J, et al. Efficacy and safety of mixed amphetamine salts extended release (Adderall XR) in the management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adolescent patients: a 4-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallelgroup study. Clin Ther. 2006 Feb;28(2):266-79. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2006.02.011. PMID: 16678648.

546. Sprafkin J, Gadow KD. Choosing an attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder rating scale: is item randomization necessary? J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Feb;17(1):75-84. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.0035. PMID: 17343555.

547. Sprafkin J, Volpe RJ, Gadow KD, et al. A DSM-IV-referenced screening instrument for preschool children: the Early Childhood Inventory-4. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2002 May;41(5):604-12. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200205000-00018. PMID: 12014793.

548. Sprich SE, Safren SA, Finkelstein D, et al. A randomized controlled trial of cognitive behavioral therapy for ADHD in medication-treated adolescents. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2016 Nov;57(11):1218-26. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12549. PMID: 26990084.

549. Steele M WM, Swanson J, Wang J, Prinzo RS, Binder CE. A randomized, controlled effectiveness trial of OROSmethylphenidate compared to usual care with immediate-release methylphenidate in attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Can J Clin Pharmacol. 2006 Winter;13(1):e50-62.

550. Steiner NJ FE, Rene KM, et al. Inschool neurofeedback training for ADHD: sustained improvements from a randomized control trial. Pediatrics. 2014 Mar;133(3):483-92. doi: 10.1542/peds.2013-2059.

551. Stepanova E, Findling RL, Kaplin D, et al. An Examination of Blood Cell Membrane Potential as a Diagnostic Test of Attention Deficit Disorder in Children. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jan;25(1):73-80. doi: 10.1177/1087054718772169. PMID: 29707999.

552. Storebo OJ GC, Winkel P, et al. Social-skills and parental training plus standard treatment versus standard treatment for children with ADHD--the randomised SOSTRA trial. PLoS One. 2012;7(6):e37280. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037280.

553. Straub L, Bateman BT, Hernandez-Diaz S, et al. Validity of claims-based algorithms to identify neurodevelopmental disorders in children. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2021 Dec;30(12):1635-42. doi: 10.1002/pds.5369. PMID: 34623720.

554. Strehl U, Aggensteiner P, Wachtlin D, et al. Neurofeedback of Slow Cortical Potentials in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Multicenter Randomized Trial Controlling for Unspecific Effects. Front Hum Neurosci. 2017;11:135. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00135. PMID: 28408873.

555. Su Y, Yang L, Stein MA, et al.
Osmotic Release Oral System
Methylphenidate Versus Atomoxetine for the Treatment of AttentionDeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Chinese
Youth: 8-Week Comparative Efficacy and
1-Year Follow-Up. J Child Adolesc
Psychopharmacol. 2016 May;26(4):362-71.
doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0031. PMID:
26779845.

556. Sugaya LS, Salum GA, de Sousa Gurgel W, et al. Efficacy and safety of methylphenidate and behavioural parent training for children aged 3-5 years with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, and sham behavioural parent training-controlled trial. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2022 Dec;6(12):845-56. doi: 10.1016/s2352-4642(22)00279-6. PMID: 36306807.

557. Sullivan JR, Riccio CA. Diagnostic group differences in parent and teacher ratings on the BRIEF and Conners' Scales. J Atten Disord. 2007 Nov;11(3):398-406. doi: 10.1177/1087054707299399. PMID: 17932389.

558. Sun H, Chen Y, Huang Q, et al. Psychoradiologic Utility of MR Imaging for Diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Radiomics Analysis. Radiology. 2018 May;287(2):620-30. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2017170226. PMID: 29165048.

559. Supernus Pharmaceuticals I. Treatment of Impulsive Aggression in Subjects With ADHD in Conjunction With Standard ADHD Treatment (CHIME 1). 2016.

560. Svanborg P, Thernlund G, Gustafsson PA, et al. Efficacy and safety of atomoxetine as add-on to psychoeducation in the treatment of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in stimulant-naïve Swedish children and adolescents. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Apr;18(4):240-9. doi: 10.1007/s00787-008-0725-5. PMID: 19156355.

561. Swanson JM, Greenhill LL, Lopez FA, et al. Modafinil film-coated tablets in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled, fixed-dose study followed by abrupt discontinuation. J Clin Psychiatry. 2006 Jan;67(1):137-47. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v67n0120. PMID: 16426100.

562. Takahashi M, Takita Y, Yamazaki K, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study of atomoxetine in Japanese children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Aug;19(4):341-50. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0154. PMID: 19702486.

563. Talebi N, Motie Nasrabadi A.
Investigating the discrimination of linear and nonlinear effective connectivity patterns of EEG signals in children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Typically Developing children. Comput Biol Med. 2022 Sep;148:105791. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2022.105791.
PMID: 35863245.

564. Tamm L, Denton CA, Epstein JN, et al. Comparing treatments for children with ADHD and word reading difficulties: A randomized clinical trial. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2017 May;85(5):434-46. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000170. PMID: 28333510.

565. Tamm L, Epstein JN, Peugh JL, et al. Preliminary data suggesting the efficacy of attention training for school-aged children with ADHD. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2013 Apr;4:16-28. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2012.11.004. PMID: 23219490.

566. Tan ML, Foong SC, Foong WC, et al. Tocotrienol-rich fractions (TRF) supplementation in school-going children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactive Disorder (ADHD): a randomized controlled trial. BMC Nutrition. 2016 2016/03/21;2(1):14. doi: 10.1186/s40795-016-0055-9.

567. Tang Y, Sun J, Wang C, et al. ADHD classification using auto-encoding neural network and binary hypothesis testing.Artificial Intelligence in Medicine.2022;123. doi:

10.1016/j.artmed.2021.102209.

568. Ter-Minassian L, Viani N, Wickersham A, et al. Assessing machine learning for fair prediction of ADHD in school pupils using a retrospective cohort study of linked education and healthcare data. BMJ Open. 2022 Dec 5;12(12):e058058. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-058058. PMID: 36576182.

569. Thompson T, Lloyd A, Joseph A, et al. The Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Parent Form for assessing ADHD: evaluating diagnostic accuracy and determining optimal thresholds using ROC analysis. Qual Life Res. 2017 Jul;26(7):1879-85. doi: 10.1007/s11136-017-1514-8. PMID: 28220338.

570. Tian X, Liu X, Wang Y, et al. Urinary Metabolomic Study in a Healthy Children Population and Metabolic Biomarker Discovery of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2022;13. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.819498.

571. Tillman R, Geller B. A brief screening tool for a prepubertal and early adolescent bipolar disorder phenotype. Am J Psychiatry. 2005 Jun;162(6):1214-6. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.162.6.1214. PMID: 15930075.

572. Tiwawatpakorn N, Worachotekamjorn J, Tassanakijpanich N. Effectiveness of Parenting Training on Emotional and Behavioral Problems in First through Fourth Grade Thai Children with ADHD: A Randomized Controlled Study. Psychol Rep. 2021 Jun 22:332941211026846. doi: 10.1177/00332941211026846. PMID: 34154470.

573. Trebatická J, Kopasová S, Hradecná Z, et al. Treatment of ADHD with French maritime pine bark extract, Pycnogenol. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Sep;15(6):329-35. doi: 10.1007/s00787-006-0538-3. PMID: 16699814.

574. Tripp G, Schaughency EA, Clarke B. Parent and teacher rating scales in the evaluation of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: contribution to diagnosis and differential diagnosis in clinically referred children. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2006 Jun;27(3):209-18. doi: 10.1097/00004703-200606000-00006. PMID: 16775518.

575. Tris Pharma I. TRI102 in the Treatment of Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD).2014.

576. Tutty S, Gephart H, Wurzbacher K. Enhancing behavioral and social skill functioning in children newly diagnosed with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in a pediatric setting. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2003 Feb;24(1):51-7. doi: 10.1097/00004703-200302000-00010. PMID: 12584485.

577. Tzang RF, Chang YC, Tsai GE, et al. Sarcosine treatment for oppositional defiant disorder symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder children. J Psychopharmacol. 2016 Oct;30(10):976-82. doi: 10.1177/0269881116658986. PMID: 27443598.

578. University D, Health NIoM.Comparing the Effectiveness of New VersusOlder Treatments for Attention DeficitHyperactivity Disorder (The NOTA Study).2009.

579. Uyulan C, Erguzel TT, Turk O, et al. A Class Activation Map-Based Interpretable Transfer Learning Model for Automated Detection of ADHD from fMRI Data. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2023 Mar;54(2):151-9. doi: 10.1177/15500594221122699. PMID: 36052402.

580. Vahid A, Bluschke A, Roessner V, et al. Deep Learning Based on Event-Related EEG Differentiates Children with ADHD from Healthy Controls. J Clin Med. 2019 Jul 19;8(7). doi: 10.3390/jcm8071055. PMID: 31330961.

581. Valero M, Cebolla A, Colomer C. Mindfulness Training for Children with ADHD and Their Parents: A Randomized Control Trial. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jun 30:10870547211027636. doi: 10.1177/10870547211027636. PMID: 34189992.

582. van der Donk M, Hiemstra-Beernink AC, Tjeenk-Kalff A, et al. Cognitive training for children with ADHD: a randomized controlled trial of cogmed working memory training and 'paying attention in class'. Front Psychol. 2015;6:1081. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01081. PMID: 26284005.

583. Van der Heijden KB, Smits MG, Van Someren EJ, et al. Effect of melatonin on sleep, behavior, and cognition in ADHD and chronic sleep-onset insomnia. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Feb;46(2):233-41. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000246055.76167.0d. PMID: 17242627.

584. van der Oord S, Prins PJ, Oosterlaan J, et al. Does brief, clinically based, intensive multimodal behavior therapy enhance the effects of methylphenidate in children with ADHD? Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Feb;16(1):48-57. doi: 10.1007/s00787-006-0574-z. PMID: 16972117.

585. van Stralen JPM. A Controlled Trial of Extended-Release Guanfacine and Psychostimulants on Executive Function and ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jan;24(2):318-25. doi: 10.1177/1087054717751197. PMID: 29313415.

586. Varela Casal P, Lorena Esposito F, Morata Martínez I, et al. Clinical Validation of Eye Vergence as an Objective Marker for Diagnosis of ADHD in Children. J Atten Disord. 2019 Apr;23(6):599-614. doi: 10.1177/1087054717749931. PMID: 29357741.

587. Vimalajeewa D, McDonald E, Bruce SA, et al. Wavelet-based approach for diagnosing attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Sci Rep. 2022 Dec

19;12(1):21928. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-26077-2. PMID: 36535997.

588. Vogt C, Shameli A. Assessments for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: Use of objective measurements. The Psychiatrist. 2011;35:380-3. doi: 10.1192/pb.bp.110.032144.

589. Voigt RG, Llorente AM, Jensen CL, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial of docosahexaenoic acid supplementation in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Pediatr. 2001 Aug;139(2):189-96. doi: 10.1067/mpd.2001.116050. PMID: 11487742.

590. Volpe RJ DG, Jitendra AK, et al. Consultation-based academic interventions for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: effects on reading and mathematics outcomes at 1-year followup. School Psych Rev. 2009;38(1):5-13.

591. Wang C, Wang X, Jing X, et al. Towards high-accuracy classifying attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders using CNN-LSTM model. J Neural Eng. 2022 Jul 20;19(4). doi: 10.1088/1741-2552/ac7f5d. PMID: 35797967.

592. Wang LJ, Li SC, Lee MJ, et al. Bloodbourne microRNA biomarker evaluation in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder of han chinese individuals: An exploratory study. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2018;9(MAY). doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00227.

593. Wang Y, Zheng Y, Du Y, et al. Atomoxetine versus methylphenidate in paediatric outpatients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, double-blind comparison trial. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2007 Mar;41(3):222-30. doi: 10.1080/00048670601057767. PMID: 17464703. 594. Wang YC, Liu J, Wu YC, et al. A randomized, sham-controlled trial of highdefinition transcranial direct current stimulation on the right orbital frontal cortex in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. Front Psychiatry. 2023;14:987093. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.987093. PMID: 36860502.

595. Wassenberg R, Max JE, Koele SL, et al. Classifying psychiatric disorders after traumatic brain injury and orthopaedic injury in children: adequacy of K-SADS versus CBCL. Brain Inj. 2004 Apr;18(4):377-90. doi: 10.1080/02699050310001617325. PMID: 14742151.

596. Weber W, Vander Stoep A, McCarty RL, et al. Hypericum perforatum (St John's wort) for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a randomized controlled trial. Jama. 2008 Jun 11;299(22):2633-41. doi: 10.1001/jama.299.22.2633. PMID: 18544723.

597. Webster RE. Attending Patterns of ADHD Children on the Learning Efficiency Test-II. 2000.

598. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Ulberstad F, et al. Does atomoxetine improve executive function, inhibitory control, and hyperactivity? Results from a placebocontrolled trial using quantitative measurement technology. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2012 Oct;32(5):653-60. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e318267c304. PMID: 22926599.

599. Weiss M, Hechtman L, Turgay A, et al. Once-daily multilayer-release methylphenidate in a double-blind, crossover comparison to immediate-release methylphenidate in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Oct;17(5):675-88. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.0101. PMID: 17979587.

600. Weiss M, Tannock R, Kratochvil C, et al. A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study of Once-Daily Atomoxetine in the School Setting in Children with ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2005 07/01/;44(7):647-55. PMID: EJ698912.

601. Weiss MD, Cutler AJ, Kollins SH, et al. Efficacy and Safety of a Long-Acting Multilayer-Release Methylphenidate Formulation (PRC-063) in the Treatment of Adolescent Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized, Double-Blind Clinical Trial with a 6-Month Open-Label Extension. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Nov;31(9):610-22. doi: 10.1089/cap.2021.0034. PMID: 34637343.

602. Wennberg B, Janeslätt G, Kjellberg A, et al. Effectiveness of time-related interventions in children with ADHD aged
9-15 years: a randomized controlled study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018
Mar;27(3):329-42. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-1052-5. PMID: 28956183.

603. Westerberg H, Hirvikoski T, Forssberg H, et al. Visuo-spatial working memory span: a sensitive measure of cognitive deficits in children with ADHD. Child Neuropsychol. 2004 Sep;10(3):155-61. doi: 10.1080/09297040409609806. PMID: 15590494.

604. Weyandt LL, Willis WG. Executive functions in school-aged children: Potential efficacy of tasks in discriminating clinical groups. Developmental Neuropsychology. 1994 1994/01/01;10(1):27-38. doi: 10.1080/87565649409540564.

605. Wietecha LA, Williams DW, Herbert M, et al. Atomoxetine treatment in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child

Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Dec;19(6):719-30. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.074. PMID: 20035590.

606. Wigal S, Swanson JM, Feifel D, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of dexmethylphenidate hydrochloride and d,lthreo-methylphenidate hydrochloride in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Nov;43(11):1406-14. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000138351.98604.92. PMID: 15502600.

607. Wigal SB, Wigal T, Schuck S, et al. Academic, behavioral, and cognitive effects of OROS® methylphenidate on older children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Apr;21(2):121-31. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0047. PMID: 21488750.

608. Wilens TE, Boellner SW, López FA, et al. Varying the wear time of the methylphenidate transdermal system in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Jun;47(6):700-8. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e31816bffdf. PMID: 18434918.

609. Wilens TE, Gault LM, Childress A, et al. Safety and efficacy of ABT-089 in pediatric attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: results from two randomized placebo-controlled clinical trials. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Jan;50(1):73-84.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.10.001. PMID: 21156272.

610. Wilens TE, Spencer TJ, Biederman J. Short- and long-term cardiovascular effects of mixed amphetamine salts extendedrelease in adolescents with ADHD. CNS Spectrums. 2005;10(10 SUPPL. 15):22-30. doi: 10.1017/s1092852900014115.

611. Wilens TE BO, Brams M, Cutler AJ, Childress A, Rugino T, Lyne A, Grannis K,

Youcha S. A controlled trial of extendedrelease guanfacine and psychostimulants for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2012 Jan;51(1):74-85.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2011.10.012.

612. Wilens TE RB, Sikirica V, Harper L, Young JL, Bloomfield R, Lyne A, Rynkowski G, Cutler AJ. A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Guanfacine Extended Release in Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Nov;54(11):916-25.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2015.08.016.

613. Wilkes-Gillan S, Bundy A, Cordier R, et al. A Randomised Controlled Trial of a Play-Based Intervention to Improve the Social Play Skills of Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). PLoS One. 2016;11(8):e0160558. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0160558. PMID: 27529693.

614. Wodka EL, Loftis C, Mostofsky SH, et al. Prediction of ADHD in boys and girls using the D-KEFS. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2008 May;23(3):283-93. doi: 10.1016/j.acn.2007.12.004. PMID: 18243646.

615. Wolraich ML, Greenhill LL, Pelham W, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of oros methylphenidate once a day in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2001 Oct;108(4):883-92. doi: 10.1542/peds.108.4.883. PMID: 11581440.

616. Wu Y, Xu L, Wu Z, et al. Computerbased multiple component cognitive training in children with ADHD: a pilot study. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2023;17(1). doi: 10.1186/s13034-022-00553-z.

617. Yang J, Yoon BM, Lee MS, et al. Adherence with electronic monitoring and symptoms in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Investigation. 2012;9(3):263-8. doi: 10.4306/pi.2012.9.3.263.

618. Yao D, Guo X, Zhao Q, et al. Discriminating ADHD From Healthy Controls Using a Novel Feature Selection Method Based on Relative Importance and Ensemble Learning. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2018 Jul;2018:4632-5. doi: 10.1109/embc.2018.8513155. PMID: 30441383.

619. Yasumura A, Omori M, Fukuda A, et al. Applied Machine Learning Method to Predict Children With ADHD Using Prefrontal Cortex Activity: A Multicenter Study in Japan. J Atten Disord. 2020 Dec;24(14):2012-20. doi: 10.1177/1087054717740632. PMID: 29154696.

620. Yeh SC, Lin SY, Wu EH, et al. A Virtual-Reality System Integrated With Neuro-Behavior Sensing for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Intelligent Assessment. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2020 Sep;28(9):1899-907. doi: 10.1109/tnsre.2020.3004545. PMID: 32746303.

621. Yoo JH, Kim JI, Kim BN, et al. Exploring characteristic features of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: findings from multi-modal MRI and candidate genetic data. Brain Imaging Behav. 2020 Dec;14(6):2132-47. doi: 10.1007/s11682-019-00164-x. PMID: 31321662.

622. Young J, Rugino T, Dammerman R, et al. Efficacy of guanfacine extended release assessed during the morning, afternoon, and evening using a modified Conners' Parent Rating Scale-revised: Short Form. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2014 Oct;24(8):435-41. doi: 10.1089/cap.2013.0134. PMID: 25286026. 623. Zadehbagheri F, Hosseini E, Bagheri-Hosseinabadi Z, et al. Profiling of miRNAs in serum of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder shows significant alterations. J Psychiatr Res. 2019 Feb;109:185-92. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.12.013. PMID: 30557705.

624. Zarinara AR, Mohammadi MR, Hazrati N, et al. Venlafaxine versus methylphenidate in pediatric outpatients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, double-blind comparison trial. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2010 Nov;25(7-8):530-5. doi: 10.1002/hup.1148. PMID: 20860068.

625. Zavadenko NN, Suvorinova NY, Vakula IN, et al. Pharmacotherapy of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children: Results of a Multicenter, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Hopantenic Acid. Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology. 2019;49(1):129-35. doi: 10.1007/s11055-018-0705-2.

626. Zelko FA. Comparison of parentcompleted behavior rating scales: differentiating boys with ADD from psychiatric and normal controls. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1991 Feb;12(1):31-7. PMID: 2016400.

627. Zelnik N B-BO, Miari W, et al. Is the test of variables of attention reliable for the diagnosis of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)? J Child Neurol. 2012 Jun;27(6):703-7. doi: 10.1177/0883073811423821.

628. Zheng X, Shen L, Jiang L, et al. Parent and Teacher Training Increases Medication Adherence for Primary School Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Pediatr. 2020;8:486353. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.486353. PMID: 33240827. 629. Zhou X, Reynolds CR, Zhu J, et al. Evidence-based assessment of ADHD diagnosis in children and adolescents. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2018 Apr-Jun;7(2):150-6. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2017.1284661. PMID: 28631964.

630. Zhu C. Effects of Musicotherapy
Combined with Cognitive Behavioral
Intervention on the Cognitive Ability of
Children with Attention Deficit
Hyperactivity Disorder. Psychiatr Danub.
2022 Summer;34(2):288-95. doi:
10.24869/psyd.2022.288. PMID: 35772139.

631. Zhu P, Pan J, Cai QQ, et al. MicroRNA profile as potential molecular signature for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children. Biomarkers. 2022 May;27(3):230-9. doi: 10.1080/1354750x.2021.2024600. PMID: 34989306.

632. Zhu X, Sun X, Zhang Y, et al. A randomized parallel-controlled study of curative effect and safety of atomoxetine and methylphenidate in treatment of ADHD in children. International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine. 2017;10(6):9576-82.

633. Zhuo L, Zhao X, Zhai Y, et al. Transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation for children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized clinical trial. Transl Psychiatry. 2022 Apr 21;12(1):165. doi: 10.1038/s41398-022-01914-0. PMID: 35449191.

634. Zulueta A, Díaz-Orueta U, Crespo-Eguilaz N, et al. Virtual reality-based assessment and rating scales in ADHD diagnosis. Psicología Educativa. 2019 2019;25(1):13-22.

635. The ADHD-200 Consortium: A Model to Advance the Translational Potential of Neuroimaging in Clinical Neuroscience. Front Syst Neurosci. 2012;6:62. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2012.00062. PMID: 22973200.

636. AbbVie, AbbVie. A Safety and Efficacy Study of ABT-089 in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2007.

637. Abikoff H. Tailored psychosocial treatments for ADHD: the search for a good fit. J Clin Child Psychol. 2001 Mar;30(1):122-5. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp3001\_14. PMID: 11294070.

638. Abikoff H, Hechtman L, Klein RG, et al. Social functioning in children with ADHD treated with long-term methylphenidate and multimodal psychosocial treatment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Jul;43(7):820-9. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000128797.91601.1a. PMID: 15213583.

639. Acosta MT, Swanson J, Stehli A, et al. ADGRL3 (LPHN3) variants are associated with a refined phenotype of ADHD in the MTA study. Mol Genet Genomic Med. 2016 Sep;4(5):540-7. doi: 10.1002/mgg3.230. PMID: 27652281.

640. Adalio CJ, Owens EB, McBurnett K, et al. Processing Speed Predicts Behavioral Treatment Outcomes in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Predominantly Inattentive Type. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2018 May;46(4):701-11. doi: 10.1007/s10802-017-0336-z. PMID: 28791531.

641. Addrenex Pharmaceuticals Inc. Study Evaluating the Safety and Efficacy of CLONICEL® to Treat Children and Adolescents With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2007.

642. Addrenex Pharmaceuticals Inc. CLONICEL (Clonidine Sustained Release) as Add-on to Stimulant Medication in 6 to 17 Yr-olds With ADHD. 2008. 643. ADHD-200 Consortium. The ADHD-200 Sample. 2011. http://fcon 1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/adhd

200/6. Accessed on July 20 2022.

644. ADHD-200 Consortium. The ADHD-200 Global Competition. 2012. http://fcon\_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/adhd 200/results.html Accessed on July 20 2022.

645. Aggensteiner PM, Brandeis D, Millenet S, et al. Slow cortical potentials neurofeedback in children with ADHD: comorbidity, self-regulation and clinical outcomes 6 months after treatment in a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Aug;28(8):1087-95. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-01271-8. PMID: 30610380.

646. Akili Interactive Labs Inc. Software Treatment for Actively Reducing Severity of ADHD. 2016.

647. Alza Corporation D, USA. A Multicenter Study Comparing the Efficacy and Safety of OROS Methylphenidate HCl, Ritalin (Methylphenidate HCl) and Placebo in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder.

648. Aman MG, Bukstein OG, Gadow KD, et al. What does risperidone add to parent training and stimulant for severe aggression in child attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Jan;53(1):47-60 e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2013.09.022. PMID: 24342385.

649. Ann Robert H Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Health NIoM. Improving Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Treatment Adherence and Outcome in Primary Care Settings. 2003.

650. Armin A, Alireza Khorrami M, Mohammad Reza M, et al. Detecting ADHD Children using the Attention Continuity as Nonlinear Feature of EEG. Frontiers in Biomedical Technologies. 2016 06/30;3(1-2).

651. Arnold LE, Abiko MHB, Cantwell DP, et al. NIMH Collaborative Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD (MTA): design, methodology, and protocol evolution. Journal of Attention Disorders. 1997;2(3):141-58.

652. Arnold LE, Abikoff HB, Cantwell DP, et al. National Institute of Mental Health Collaborative Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD (the MTA). Design challenges and choices. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1997 Sep;54(9):865-70. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1997.01830210113015. PMID: 9294378.

653. Arnold LE, Chuang S, Davies M, et al. Nine months of multicomponent behavioral treatment for ADHD and effectiveness of MTA fading procedures. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2004 Feb;32(1):39-51. doi: 10.1023/b:jacp.0000007579.61289.31. PMID: 14998110.

654. Arnold LE, Elliot M, Sachs L, et al. Effects of ethnicity on treatment attendance, stimulant response/dose, and 14-month outcome in ADHD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2003 Aug;71(4):713-27. doi: 10.1037/0022-006x.71.4.713. PMID: 12924677.

655. Arnold LE, Gadow KD, Farmer CA, et al. Comorbid anxiety and social avoidance in treatment of severe childhood aggression: response to adding risperidone to stimulant and parent training; mediation of disruptive symptom response. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2015 Apr;25(3):203-12. doi: 10.1089/cap.2014.0104. PMID: 25885010.

656. author N. Methylphenidate: growth retardation. Prescrire Int. 2011 Oct;20(120):238-9. PMID: 21970086.

657. Babes-Bolyai University. Psychotherapy, atomoxetine, or combined treatment for ADHD in children. 2018. https://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN92640175? g=ISRCTN92640175&filters=&sort=&offse t=1&totalResults=1&page=1&pageSize=10. Accessed on October 11 2022.

658. Babinski DE, Waschbusch DA, Waxmonsky JG. Sex and Pubertal Status Moderate the Association Between ADHD and Depression Symptoms: An Examination From Preadolescence Through Late Adolescence. J Clin Psychiatry. 2019 May 21;80(3). doi: 10.4088/JCP.18m12548. PMID: 31120201.

659. Bakhtyari M, Mirzaei S. ADHD detection using dynamic connectivity patterns of EEG data and ConvLSTM with attention framework. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control. 2022;76. doi: 10.1016/j.bspc.2022.103708.

660. Banaschewski T, Johnson M, Lecendreux M, et al. Health-related quality of life and functional outcomes from a randomized-withdrawal study of long-term lisdexamfetamine dimesylate treatment in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. CNS Drugs. 2014 Dec;28(12):1191-203. doi: 10.1007/s40263-014-0193-z. PMID: 25139785.

661. Bansal R, Staib LH, Whiteman R, et al. ROC-based assessments of 3D cortical surface-matching algorithms. Neuroimage. 2005 Jan 1;24(1):150-62. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.08.054. PMID: 15588606.

662. Baren M, Swanson J, Wigal SB. Lack of effect of different breakfast conditions on the pharmacokinetics and efficacy of OROS (R) methylphenidate HCl extended-release tablets in children with ADHD. Pediatric Research. 2000 04/01;47:23A-A.

663. Barnes G, Wilkes-Gillan S, Bundy A, et al. The social play, social skills and parent-child relationships of children with

ADHD 12 months following a RCT of a play-based intervention. Aust Occup Ther J. 2017 Dec;64(6):457-65. doi: 10.1111/1440-1630.12417. PMID: 29044556.

664. Barney S, Sibley MH, Coxe SJ, et al.
High Versus Low Intensity Summer
Adolescent ADHD Treatment Effects on
Internalizing, Social, and Self-Esteem
Problems. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol.
2022 May 26:1-8. doi:
10.1080/15374416.2022.2062761. PMID:
35617099.

665. Baweja R, Waschbusch DA, Pelham WE, 3rd, et al. The Impact of Persistent Irritability on the Medication Treatment of Paediatric Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:699687. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.699687. PMID: 34366928.

666. Bell Z, Shader T, Webster-Stratton C, et al. Improvements in negative parenting mediate changes in children's autonomic responding following a preschool intervention for ADHD. Clinical Psychological Science. 2018 Jan 2018;6(1):134-44.

667. Bellec P, Chu C, Chouinard-Decorte F, et al. The Neuro Bureau ADHD-200 Preprocessed repository. Neuroimage. 2017 Jan;144(Pt B):275-86. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.06.034. PMID: 27423255.

668. Berger I, Shenberger IY, Slobodin O. A machine-based prediction model of adhd using cpt data. Neurology. 2020;94(15).

669. Bethlehem RAI, Romero-Garcia R, Mak E, et al. Structural Covariance Networks in Children with Autism or ADHD. Cereb Cortex. 2017 Aug 1;27(8):4267-76. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhx135. PMID: 28633299.

670. Bezmialem Vakif University, University M. Comparison of Treadmill and Whole Body Vibration Training in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2018.

671. Biederman J, Faraone SV, Keenan K, et al. Further evidence for family-genetic risk factors in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Patterns of comorbidity in probands and relatives psychiatrically and pediatrically referred samples. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1992 Sep;49(9):728-38. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1992.01820090056010. PMID: 1514878.

672. Biederman J, Melmed RD, Patel A, et al. Long-term, open-label extension study of guanfacine extended release in children and adolescents with ADHD. CNS Spectr. 2008 Dec;13(12):1047-55. doi: 10.1017/s1092852900017107. PMID: 19179940.

673. Biederman J, Wozniak J, Tarko L, et al. Re-examining the risk for switch from unipolar to bipolar major depressive disorder in youth with ADHD: a long term prospective longitudinal controlled study. J Affect Disord. 2014 Jan;152-154:347-51. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2013.09.036. PMID: 24144583.

674. Bigorra A, Garolera M, Guijarro S, et al. Impact of working memory training on hot executive functions (decision-making and theory of mind) in children with ADHD: A randomized controlled trial. Neuropsychiatry. 2016;6(5):251-63. doi: 10.4172/Neuropsychiatry.1000147.

675. Bilder RM, Loo SK, McGough JJ, et al. Cognitive effects of stimulant, guanfacine, and combined treatment in child and adolescent attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2016 Aug 2016;55(8):667-73.

676. Bioulac S, Purper-Ouakil D, Ros T, et al. Personalized at-home neurofeedback compared with long-acting methylphenidate in an european non-inferiority randomized trial in children with ADHD. BMC Psychiatry. 2019 Aug 1;19(1):237. doi: 10.1186/s12888-019-2218-0. PMID: 31370811.

677. Blume F, Hudak J, Dresler T, et al. NIRS-based neurofeedback training in a virtual reality classroom for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2017 Jan 24;18(1):41. doi: 10.1186/s13063-016-1769-3. PMID: 28118856.

678. Boston Children's Hospital. Using an Online Patient Monitoring System to Improve Care for Children With Chronic Conditions. 2014.

679. Boyer B, Geurts HM, Prins PJ, et al. Two novel CBTs for adolescents with ADHD: the value of planning skills. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Sep;24(9):1075-90. doi: 10.1007/s00787-014-0661-5.

680. Boyer BE, Doove LL, Geurts HM, et al. Qualitative Treatment-Subgroup
Interactions in a Randomized Clinical Trial of Treatments for Adolescents with ADHD: Exploring What Cognitive-Behavioral
Treatment Works for Whom. PLoS One.
2016;11(3):e0150698. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0150698. PMID:
26977602.

681. Breaux RP, Langberg JM, Bourchtein E, et al. Brief homework intervention for adolescents with ADHD: Trajectories and predictors of response. School Psychology. 2019 Mar 2019;34(2):201-11.

682. Brinkman WB, Simon JO, Epstein JN. Reasons Why Children and Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Stop and Restart Taking Medicine. Acad Pediatr. 2018 Apr;18(3):273-80. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2017.09.005. PMID: 28919571. 683. Bukstein OG, Kolko DJ. Effects of methylphenidate on aggressive urban children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Child Psychol. 1998 Oct;27(3):340-51. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp2703\_10. PMID: 9789193.

684. Bul KCM, Doove LL, Franken IHA, et al. A serious game for children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Who benefits the most? PLoS One. 2018;13(3):e0193681. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0193681. PMID: 29543891.

685. Cannon M, Pelham WH, Sallee FR, et al. Effects of clonidine and methylphenidate on family quality of life in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Oct;19(5):511-7. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0008. PMID: 19877975.

686. Carey WB. What the multimodal treatment study of children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder did and did not say about the use of methylphenidate for attention deficits. Pediatrics. 2000 Apr;105(4 Pt 1):863-4. doi: 10.1542/peds.105.4.863. PMID: 10742336.

687. Castro-Cabrera P, Gomez-Garcia J, Restrepo F, et al. Evaluation of feature extraction techniques on event-related potentials for detection of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2010;2010:851-4. doi: 10.1109/iembs.2010.5626862. PMID: 21096317.

688. Centre IH, Research CIoH. Strongest Families (Formerly Family Help Program): Pediatric Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2003.

689. Chen C, Wu EH, Chen Y, et al. Neuronal Correlates of Task Irrelevant Distractions Enhance the Detection of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering. 2023:1-. doi: 10.1109/TNSRE.2023.3241649.

690. Chen M, Li H, Wang J, et al. A multichannel deep neural network model analyzing multiscale functional brain connectome data for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder detection. Radiology: Artificial Intelligence. 2020;2(1). doi: 10.1148/ryai.2019190012.

691. Children's Hospital Medical Center C. Methylphenidate for Attention Problems After Pediatric TBI. 2013.

692. Children's Hospital Medical Center Cincinnati NCsH, National Institute of Mental Health, Evaluation of an Intervention for Improving Communitybased Pediatric Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Care. 2010.

693. Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. Educating and Supporting Primary Care Providers in the Implementation of Evidence-based Practices for ADHD. 2014.

694. Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Institute P-COR. Communication to Improve Shared Decision-Making in ADHD. 2016.

695. Childress AC, Arnold V, Adeyi B, et al. The effects of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate on emotional lability in children 6 to 12 years of age with ADHD in a double-blind placebo-controlled trial. J Atten Disord. 2014 Feb;18(2):123-32. doi: 10.1177/1087054712448252. PMID: 22740112.

696. Childress AC, Foehl HC, Newcorn JH, et al. Long-Term Treatment With Extended-Release Methylphenidate Treatment in Children Aged 4 to <6 Years. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Apr 20. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.03.019. PMID: 33892111. 697. China Medical University Hospital, National Science Council T, London KsC. Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids in Youth With ADHD. 2016.

698. Chovanová Z, Muchová J, Sivonová M, et al. Effect of polyphenolic extract, Pycnogenol, on the level of 8-oxoguanine in children suffering from attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Free Radic Res. 2006 Sep;40(9):1003-10. doi: 10.1080/10715760600824902. PMID: 17015282.

699. Chow JC, Ouyang CS, Tsai CL, et al. Entropy-Based Quantitative Electroencephalogram Analysis for Diagnosing Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Girls. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2019 May;50(3):172-9. doi: 10.1177/1550059418814983. PMID: 30497294.

700. Christiansen H, Reh V, Schmidt MH, et al. Slow cortical potential neurofeedback and self-management training in outpatient care for children with ADHD: study protocol and first preliminary results of a randomized controlled trial. Front Hum Neurosci. 2014;8:943. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00943. PMID: 25505396.

701. Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. The effect of acupuncture on ADHD.

https://trialsearch.who.int/Trial2.aspx?TrialI D=KCT0000019. 2010. PMID: CN-01870268.

702. Coghill D, Banaschewski T, Lecendreux M, Soutullo C, Johnson M, Zuddas A, Anderson C, Civil R, Higgins N, Lyne A, Squires L. European, randomized, phase 3 study of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2013 Oct;23(10):1208-18. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2012.11.012.

703. Coghill D, Banaschewski T, Lecendreux M, Zuddas A, Dittmann RW, Otero IH, Civil R, Bloomfield R, Squires LA. Efficacy of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate throughout the day in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: results from a randomized, controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Feb;23(2):61-8. doi: 10.1007/s00787-013-0421-y.

704. Coghill DR, Banaschewski T, Lecendreux M, et al. Post hoc analyses of the impact of previous medication on the efficacy of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in the treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in a randomized, controlled trial. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2014;10:2039-47. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S68273. PMID: 25378930.

705. Coghill DR, Werner-Kiechle T, Farahbakhshian S, et al. Functional impairment outcomes in clinical trials of different ADHD medications: post hoc responder analyses and baseline subgroup analyses. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 May;30(5):809-21. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01586-5. PMID: 32691164.

706. Conners CK, Epstein JN, March JS, et al. Multimodal treatment of ADHD in the MTA: an alternative outcome analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Feb;40(2):159-67. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200102000-00010. PMID: 11211364.

707. Crippa A, Agostoni C, Mauri M, et al. Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Are Associated With Behavior But Not With Cognition in Children With and Without ADHD: An Italian study. J Atten Disord. 2018 Aug;22(10):971-83. doi: 10.1177/1087054716629215. PMID: 26861157. 708. Crosbie J, Arnold P, Paterson A, et al. Response inhibition and ADHD traits: correlates and heritability in a community sample. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2013 Apr;41(3):497-507. doi: 10.1007/s10802-012-9693-9. PMID: 23315233.

709. Cutler AJ, Brams M, Bukstein O, et al. Response/remission with guanfacine extended-release and psychostimulants in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Oct;53(10):1092-101. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2014.08.001. PMID: 25245353.

710. D. M. Results from a double-blind study of atomoxetine, OROS methylphenidate, and placebo. 51st Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry; 2004 October 19–24; Washington, DC.

711. Dalhousie University. Classroombased Distance Intervention for Teachers of Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2012.

712. Davidson F, Cherry K, Corkum P. Validating the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning for children with ADHD and their typically developing peers. Applied Neuropsychology: Child. 2016 Apr 2016;5(2):127-37.

713. Dawson AE, Wymbs BT, Marshall SA, et al. The Role of Parental ADHD in Sustaining the Effects of a Family-School Intervention for ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2016;45(3):305-19. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2014.963858. PMID: 25496523.

714. Department of Research and Technology GUoMS. Survey the effect of behavioral education to parents on sleep situation of school-age children. 2013. <u>https://en.irct.ir/trial/12954</u>. Accessed on October 6 2022. 715. Dey S, Rao AR, Shah M. Attributed graph distance measure for automatic detection of attention deficit hyperactive disordered subjects. Frontiers in Neural Circuits. 2014 2014-June-16;8. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2014.00064.

716. Dickerson Mayes S, Calhoun SL, Crowell EW. WISC-III freedom from distractibility as a measure of attention in children with and without Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Attention Disorders. 1998;2(4):217-27. doi: 10.1177/108705479800200402.

717. Döpfner M, Ise E, Breuer D, et al.
Long-Term Course After Adaptive
Multimodal Treatment for Children With
ADHD: An 8-Year Follow-Up. J Atten
Disord. 2020 Jan;24(1):145-62. doi:
10.1177/1087054716659138. PMID:
27449186.

718. Döpfner M, Mandler J, Breuer D, et al. Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Grown Up: An 18-Year Follow-Up after Multimodal Treatment. J Atten Disord. 2021 Nov;25(13):1801-17. doi: 10.1177/1087054720948133. PMID: 32772881.

719. Dose C, Hautmann C, Bürger M, et al. Negative parenting behaviour as a mediator of the effects of telephone-assisted self-help for parents of pharmacologically treated children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jun;30(6):861-75. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01565-w. PMID: 32488456.

720. Dovis S, Maric M, Prins PJM, et al. Does executive function capacity moderate the outcome of executive function training in children with ADHD? Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Dec;11(4):445-60. doi: 10.1007/s12402-019-00308-5. PMID: 31123915. 721. Doyle AE, Biederman J, Seidman LJ, et al. Diagnostic efficiency of neuropsychological test scores for discriminating boys with and without attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2000 Jun;68(3):477-88. doi: 10.1037/0022-006x.68.3.477. PMID: 10883564.

722. Du J, Wang L, Jie B, et al. Networkbased classification of ADHD patients using discriminative subnetwork selection and graph kernel PCA. Comput Med Imaging Graph. 2016 Sep;52:82-8. doi: 10.1016/j.compmedimag.2016.04.004. PMID: 27166430.

723. Durell TM, Pumariega AJ, Rothe EM, et al. Effects of open-label atomoxetine on African-American and Caucasian pediatric outpatients with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Ann Clin Psychiatry. 2009 Jan-Mar;21(1):26-37. PMID: 19239830.

724. Duric NE, IB. Characteristics of Norwegian children suffering from ADHD symptoms: ADHD and primary health care. Psychiatry Res. 2011;3:402–5.

725. Duric NS, Aßmus J, Elgen IB. Selfreported efficacy of neurofeedback treatment in a clinical randomized controlled study of ADHD children and adolescents. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2014;10:1645-54. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S66466. PMID: 25214789.

726. Duric NS AJ, Gundersen D, et al. Neurofeedback for the treatment of children and adolescents with ADHD: a randomized and controlled clinical trial using parental reports. BMC Psychiatry. 2012;12:107. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-12-107.

727. Dvorsky M, Tamm L, Denton CA, et al. Trajectories of Response to Treatments in Children with ADHD and Word Reading Difficulties. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2021 Aug;49(8):1015-30. doi: 10.1007/s10802-021-00815-y. PMID: 33772416.

728. Eli Lilly and Company. Efficacy, Tolerability, and Safety of Once-Daily Atomoxetine Hydrochloride Versus Placebo in Russian Children and Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder.
2004.

729. Eli Lilly and Company. Maintenance of Benefit With Atomoxetine Hydrochloride in Adolescents With ADHD. 2004.

730. Eli Lilly and Company. Comparison of Atomoxetine Versus Placebo in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2007.

731. Eli Lilly and Company. A Study Comparing the Effect of Atomoxetine Versus Other Standard Care Therapy on the Long Term Functioning in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Children and Adolescents. 2007.

732. Eli Lilly and Company. Treatment of ADHD With Atomoxetine in Children & Adolescents With ADHD & Comorbid Dyslexia. 2008.

733. Eli Lilly and Company. A Study of Pediatric Patients With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2009.

734. Eli Lilly Company. Evaluation of Continuous Symptom Treatment of ADHD. 2003.

735. Eli Lilly Company. Efficacy and Safety of Atomoxetine in Children With Recent Diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD).2005.

736. Elliott GR, Blasey C, Rekshan W, et al. Cognitive Testing to Identify Children With ADHD Who Do and Do Not Respond to Methylphenidate. J Atten Disord. 2017 Dec;21(14):1151-60. doi: 10.1177/1087054714543924. PMID: 25122732. 737. Enzymotec. The Efficacy and Safety of Phosphatidylserine-Omega3 in Children With Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder. 2007.

738. Escobar R, Montoya A, Polavieja P, et al. Evaluation of patients' and parents' quality of life in a randomized placebocontrolled atomoxetine study in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Jun;19(3):253-63. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0109. PMID: 19519260.

739. Etz-HaChayim Clinic (Israel). A randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled clinical trial of a Compound Herbal Preparation (CHP) in the treatment of children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2007. https://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN10628149? q=ISRCTN10628149&filters=&sort=&offse t=1&totalResults=1&page=1&pageSize=10. Accessed on October 11 2022.

740. Evans SW, Allan D, Xiang J, et al.
Organization interventions as a mediator of change in grades in the Challenging
Horizons Program. J Sch Psychol. 2021
Aug;87:18-27. doi:
10.1016/j.jsp.2021.05.001. PMID:
34303445.

741. Faculty of Rehabilitation SBUoMS. The effect of neurofeedback training on aggression and impulsivity in elementary boy students with attention deficit / hyperactivity disorders. 2018. <u>https://en.irct.ir/trial/23385</u>. Accessed on October 6 2022.

742. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Lehman BK, et al. Evidence for the independent familial transmission of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and learning disabilities: results from a family genetic study. Am J Psychiatry. 1993 Jun;150(6):891-5. doi: 10.1176/ajp.150.6.891. PMID: 8494064. 743. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Lehman BK, et al. Intellectual performance and school failure in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and in their siblings. J Abnorm Psychol. 1993 Nov;102(4):616-23. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.102.4.616. PMID: 8282932.

744. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Zimmerman B. Correspondence of parent and teacher reports in medication trials. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Feb;14(1):20-7. doi: 10.1007/s00787-005-0415-5. PMID: 15756512.

745. Faraone SV, Gomeni R, Hull JT, et al. Early response to SPN-812 (viloxazine extended-release) can predict efficacy outcome in pediatric subjects with ADHD: a machine learning post-hoc analysis of four randomized clinical trials. Psychiatry Res. 2021 Feb;296:113664. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113664. PMID: 33418457.

746. Fernandez de la Cruz L, Simonoff E, McGough JJ, et al. Treatment of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and irritability: results from the multimodal treatment study of children with ADHD (MTA). J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Jan;54(1):62-70 e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2014.10.006. PMID: 25524791.

747. Findling RL, Adeyi B, Chen G, et al. Clinical response and symptomatic remission in children treated with lisdexamfetamine dimesylate for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. CNS Spectrums. 2010;15(9):559-68. doi: 10.1017/S1092852900000535.

748. Findling RL, Katic A, Rubin R, et al. A 6-month, open-label, extension study of the tolerability and effectiveness of the methylphenidate transdermal system in adolescents diagnosed with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Oct;20(5):365-75. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0122. PMID: 20973707.

749. Findling RL, McBurnett K, White C, et al. Guanfacine extended release adjunctive to a psychostimulant in the treatment of comorbid oppositional symptoms in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2014 Jun;24(5):245-52. doi: 10.1089/cap.2013.0103. PMID: 24945085.

750. Findling RL, Townsend L, Brown NV, et al. The Treatment of Severe Childhood Aggression Study: 12 Weeks of Extended, Blinded Treatment in Clinical Responders. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Feb;27(1):52-65. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0081. PMID: 28212067.

751. Findling RL, Wigal SB, Bukstein OG, et al. Long-term tolerability of the methylphenidate transdermal system in pediatric attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a multicenter, prospective, 12-month, open-label, uncontrolled, phase III extension of four clinical trials. Clin Ther. 2009 Aug;31(8):1844-55. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2009.08.002. PMID: 19808143.

752. Florida International University. STAND Community Trial. 2015.

753. Forehand R, Parent J, Peisch VD, et al. Do parental ADHD symptoms reduce the efficacy of parent training for preschool ADHD? A secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. Behav Res Ther. 2017 Oct;97:163-9. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2017.08.002. PMID: 28800444.

754. Forehand R, Parent J, Sonuga-Barke E, et al. Which Type of Parent Training Works Best for Preschoolers with Comorbid ADHD and ODD? A Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Generic and Specialized Programs. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2016 Nov;44(8):1503-13. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0138-8. PMID: 26909683.

755. Fu C, Chen S, Qian A, et al. Larger thalamus correlated with inattentive severity in the inattentive subtype of ADHD without comorbidity. Psychiatry Res. 2021 Jul 16;304:114079. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.114079. PMID: 34333322.

756. Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital AHoFMU. Research on Children's Psychological Behavior Development Monitoring and Information Network System Management. 2022.

https://www.chictr.org.cn/hvshowproject.asp x?id=161110. Accessed on October 11 2022.

757. Gadow KD, Arnold LE, Molina BS, et al. Risperidone added to parent training and stimulant medication: effects on attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder, and peer aggression. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Sep;53(9):948-59 e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2014.05.008. PMID: 25151418.

758. Gadow KD, Brown NV, Arnold LE, et al. Severely Aggressive Children Receiving Stimulant Medication Versus Stimulant and Risperidone: 12-Month Follow-Up of the TOSCA Trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Jun;55(6):469-78. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.03.014. PMID: 27238065.

759. Galanter CA, Carlson GA, Jensen PS, et al. Response to methylphenidate in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and manic symptoms in the multimodal treatment study of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder titration trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2003 Summer;13(2):12336. doi: 10.1089/104454603322163844. PMID: 12880507.

760. Gasior M, Findling R, Childress A, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled efficacy and safety study of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in adolescents with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2010;35:S103-S4. doi: 10.1038/npp.2010.216.

761. Geladé K, Janssen TW, Bink M, et al. Behavioral Effects of Neurofeedback Compared to Stimulants and Physical Activity in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Clin Psychiatry. 2016 Oct;77(10):e1270-e7. doi: 10.4088/JCP.15m10149. PMID: 27631143.

762. Geladé K, Janssen TWP, Bink M, et al. A 6-month follow-up of an RCT on behavioral and neurocognitive effects of neurofeedback in children with ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 May;27(5):581-93. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-1072-1. PMID: 29098467.

763. Gevensleben H, Holl B, Albrecht B, et al. Is neurofeedback an efficacious treatment for ADHD? A randomised controlled clinical trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry.
2009 Jul;50(7):780-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.02033.x.

764. Ghasemi E, Ebrahimi M, Ebrahimie E. Machine learning models effectively distinguish attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder using event-related potentials.
Cognitive Neurodynamics.
2022;16(6):1335-49. doi: 10.1007/s11571-021-09746-2.

765. Ghiassian S, Greiner R, Jin P, et al.
Using Functional or Structural Magnetic
Resonance Images and Personal
Characteristic Data to Identify ADHD and
Autism. PLoS One. 2016;11(12):e0166934.
doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0166934. PMID:
28030565.

766. Ghuman JK RM, Vitiello B, et al. Comorbidity moderates response to methylphenidate in the Preschoolers with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treatment Study (PATS). J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007;17(5):563-80.

767. Gonzalez J, Mendez LD, Manas S, et al. Performance analysis of univariate and multivariate EEG measurements in the diagnosis of ADHD. Clin Neurophysiol. 2013 Jun;124(6):1139-50. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2012.12.006.

768. Göteborg University. Long-term Effects of Medication for ADHD (LMA). 2017.

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT0325 0013?term=NCT03250013&draw=2&rank= 1. Accessed on October 11 2022.

769. Gray KM, Riggs PD, Min SJ, et al. Cigarette and cannabis use trajectories among adolescents in treatment for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and substance use disorders. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2011 Sep 1;117(2-3):242-7. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2011.02.005. PMID: 21411243.

770. Green CD, Dvorsky MR, Langberg JM, et al. The Impact of Social Determinants of Health on the Efficacy of School-Based Interventions for Adolescents with ADHD. School Ment Health. 2020 Sep;12(3):580-94. doi: 10.1007/s12310-020-09367-w. PMID: 34093886.

771. Greene RW, Ablon JS. What does the MTA study tell us about effective psychosocial treatment for ADHD? J Clin Child Psychol. 2001 Mar;30(1):114-21. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp3001\_13. PMID: 11294069.

772. Greenhill L KS, Abikoff H, et al. Efficacy and safety of immediate-release methylphenidate treatment for preschoolers with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006;45(11):1284-93. 773. Greenhill LL. Editorial: Does a Diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Childhood Determine an Increased Risk for Future Criminality? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Apr;58(4):401-2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.01.008. PMID: 30768386.

774. Greenhill LL, Abikoff HB, Arnold LE, et al. Medication treatment strategies in the MTA Study: relevance to clinicians and researchers. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Oct;35(10):1304-13. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199610000-00017. PMID: 8885584.

775. Greenhill LL, Swanson JM, Hechtman L, et al. Trajectories of Growth Associated With Long-Term Stimulant Medication in the Multimodal Treatment Study of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Aug;59(8):978-89. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.06.019. PMID: 31421233.

776. Greenhill LL, Swanson JM, Vitiello B, et al. Impairment and deportment responses to different methylphenidate doses in children with ADHD: the MTA titration trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Feb;40(2):180-7. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200102000-00012. PMID: 11211366.

777. Grodzinsky GM, Barkley RA.
Predictive power of frontal lobe tests in the diagnosis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clin Neuropsychol. 1999
Feb;13(1):12-21. doi: 10.1076/clin.13.1.12.1983. PMID: 10937644.

778. Grondhuis SN, Farmer CA, Arnold LE, et al. Standardized Observation Analogue Procedure in the Treatment of Severe Childhood Aggression Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Feb;30(1):48-54. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0109. PMID: 31730370.

779. Groves NB, Chan ESM, Marsh CL, et al. Does central executive training and/or inhibitory control training improve emotion regulation for children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder? A randomized controlled trial. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:1034722. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1034722. PMID: 36561637.

780. Haack LM, Villodas M, McBurnett K, et al. Parenting as a Mechanism of Change in Psychosocial Treatment for Youth with ADHD, Predominantly Inattentive Presentation. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2017 Jul;45(5):841-55. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0199-8. PMID: 27628742.

781. Haack LM, Villodas MT, McBurnett K, et al. Parenting Mediates Symptoms and Impairment in Children With ADHD-Inattentive Type. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2016;45(2):155-66. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2014.958840. PMID: 25411896.

782. Hadassah Medical Organization. New Continuous Performance Tests (CPT) for the Diagnosis of Pediatric Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD).2008.

783. Hadassah Medical Organization. Effectiveness of a Cognitive-functional (Cog-Fun) Intervention for Children With ADHD. 2013.

784. Häge A, Alm B, Banaschewski T, et al. Does the efficacy of parent-child training depend on maternal symptom improvement? Results from a randomized controlled trial on children and mothers both affected by attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Aug;27(8):1011-21. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1109-0. PMID: 29362929. 785. Hagler DJ, Jr., Hatton S, Cornejo MD, et al. Image processing and analysis methods for the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. Neuroimage. 2019 Nov 15;202:116091. doi:

10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116091. PMID: 31415884.

786. Hahn-Markowitz J, Berger I, Manor I, et al. Impact of the Cognitive-Functional (Cog-Fun) Intervention on Executive
Functions and Participation Among Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity
Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial.
Am J Occup Ther. 2017
Sep/Oct;71(5):7105220010p1-p9. doi: 10.5014/ajot.2017.022053. PMID: 28809658.

787. Hall CL, James M, Brown S, et al. Protocol investigating the clinical utility of an objective measure of attention, impulsivity and activity (QbTest) for optimising medication management in children and young people with ADHD 'QbTest Utility for Optimising Treatment in ADHD' (QUOTA): a feasibility randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open. 2018 Feb 15;8(2):e021104. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021104. PMID: 29453304.

788. Hall CL, Valentine AZ, Walker GM, et al. Study of user experience of an objective test (QbTest) to aid ADHD assessment and medication management: a multi-methods approach. BMC Psychiatry. 2017 Feb 10;17(1):66. doi: 10.1186/s12888-017-1222-5. PMID: 28183284.

789. Handen BL, Janosky J, McAuliffe S. Long-term follow-up of children with mental retardation/borderline intellectual functioning and ADHD. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1997 Aug;25(4):287-95. doi: 10.1023/a:1025760302598. PMID: 9304445.

790. Hansen L, Thomsen PH. [How to treat ADHD/DAMP? Is there a conclusive

answer? A critical survey of the MTA trial?]. Ugeskr Laeger. 2005 Nov 28;167(48):4555-9. PMID: 16324436.

791. Harfterkamp M, Buitelaar JK, Minderaa RB, et al. Atomoxetine in autism spectrum disorder: no effects on social functioning; some beneficial effects on stereotyped behaviors, inappropriate speech, and fear of change. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2014 Nov;24(9):481-5. doi: 10.1089/cap.2014.0026. PMID: 25369243.

792. Hasslinger J, Souto MDA, Hellstadius LF, et al. Neurofeedback in ADHD: A qualitative study of strategy use in slow cortical potential training. PLoS ONE. 2020 Jun 4, 2020;15(6).

793. Hatch B, Iosif AM, Chuang A, et al. Correction to: Longitudinal Differences in Response to Name Among Infants Developing ASD and Risk for ADHD. J Autism Dev Disord. 2021 Mar;51(3):837-8. doi: 10.1007/s10803-020-04590-5. PMID: 32662051.

794. Hautmann C, Döpfner M, Katzmann J, et al. Sequential treatment of ADHD in mother and child (AIMAC study): importance of the treatment phases for intervention success in a randomized trial. BMC Psychiatry. 2018 Dec 13;18(1):388. doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1963-9. PMID: 30545333.

795. Hazell P, Zhang S, Wolańczyk T, et al. Comorbid oppositional defiant disorder and the risk of relapse during 9 months of atomoxetine treatment for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Mar;15(2):105-10. doi: 10.1007/s00787-006-0506-y. PMID: 16523251.

796. Health D, Authority H, Psychiatry. AAoCA. Atomoxetine for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Adolescents With Substance Use Disorder. 2005. 797. Hechtman L, Abikoff H, Klein RG, et al. Children with ADHD treated with longterm methylphenidate and multimodal psychosocial treatment: impact on parental practices. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Jul;43(7):830-8. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000128785.52698.19. PMID: 15213584.

798. Hechtman L, Abikoff H, Klein RG, et al. Academic achievement and emotional status of children with ADHD treated with long-term methylphenidate and multimodal psychosocial treatment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Jul;43(7):812-9. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000128796.84202.eb. PMID: 15213582.

799. Hechtman L, Etcovitch J, Platt R, et al. Does multimodal treatment of ADHD decrease other diagnoses? Clinical Neuroscience Research. 2005;5(5-6):273-82. doi: 10.1016/j.cnr.2005.09.007.

800. Hela Pharma AB. Placebo controlled, randomised, double-blind, multicentre study of PlusEPA (a PUFA, Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids, supplement) as treatment for ADHD (combined type) with co-morbidity in Swedish children ages 7-12. 2004. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctrsearch/trial/2004-003853-13/SE. Accessed on October 12 2022.

801. Hemamy M, Heidari-Beni M, Askari G, et al. Effect of Vitamin D and Magnesium Supplementation on Behavior Problems in Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Prev Med. 2020;11:4. doi:

10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM\_546\_17. PMID: 32089804.

802. Hinshaw SP. Moderators and mediators of treatment outcome for youth with ADHD: understanding for whom and how interventions work. J Pediatr Psychol. 2007 Jul;32(6):664-75. doi:

10.1093/jpepsy/jsl055. PMID: 17264086.

803. Hinshaw SP, Arnold LE. Attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder, multimodal treatment, and longitudinal outcome: evidence, paradox, and challenge. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Cogn Sci. 2015 Jan-Feb;6(1):39-52. doi: 10.1002/wcs.1324. PMID: 26262927.

804. Hinshaw SP, March JS, Abikoff H, et al. Comprehensive assessment of childhood Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in the context of a multisite, multimodal clinical trial. Journal of Attention Disorders. 1997;1(4):217-34. doi: 10.1177/108705479700100403.

805. Hinshaw SP OE, Wells KC, et al. Family processes and treatment outcome in the MTA: negative/ineffective parenting practices in relation to multimodal treatment. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2000;28(6):555-68.

806. Hiscock H SE, Mensah F, et al. Impact of a behavioural sleep intervention on symptoms and sleep in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and parental mental health: randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2015;350:h68. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h68.

807. Hollis C, Hall CL, Guo B, et al. The impact of a computerised test of attention and activity (QbTest) on diagnostic decision-making in children and young people with suspected attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: single-blind randomised controlled trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Dec;59(12):1298-308. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12921. PMID: 29700813.

808. Hollway JA, Aman MG, Mendoza-Burcham MI, et al. Caregiver Satisfaction with a Multisite Trial of Atomoxetine and Parent Training for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Behavioral Noncompliance in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016 Nov;26(9):807-14. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0130. PMID: 26797318.

809. Holtmann M, Pniewski B, Wachtlin D, et al. Neurofeedback in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)--a controlled multicenter study of a non-pharmacological treatment approach.
BMC Pediatr. 2014 Aug 13;14:202. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-14-202. PMID: 25123917.

810. Hong SS, Cho SH. Acupuncture for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials. 2011 Jul 11;12:173. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-12-173. PMID: 21745388.

811. Hovik KT, Saunes BK, Aarlien AK, et al. RCT of working memory training in ADHD: long-term near-transfer effects.
PLoS One. 2013;8(12):e80561. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080561. PMID: 24352414.

812. Howard AL, Kennedy TM, Macdonald EP, et al. Depression and ADHD-Related Risk for Substance Use in Adolescence and Early Adulthood: Concurrent and Prospective Associations in the MTA. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2019 Dec;47(12):1903-16. doi: 10.1007/s10802-019-00573-y. PMID: 31273568.

813. Howard AL, Kennedy TM, Mitchell JT, et al. Early substance use in the pathway from childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) to young adult substance use: Evidence of statistical mediation and substance specificity. Psychol Addict Behav. 2020 Mar;34(2):281-92. doi: 10.1037/adb0000542. PMID: 31886682.

814. Howard AL, Strickland NJ, Murray DW, et al. Progression of impairment in adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder through the transition out of high school: Contributions of parent involvement and college attendance. J Abnorm Psychol. 2016 Feb;125(2):233-47. doi: 10.1037/abn0000100. PMID: 26854508.

815. Hoza B GA, Mrug S, et al. Peerassessed outcomes in the multimodal treatment study of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2005;34(1):74-86.

816. Huss M, Dirks B, Gu J, et al. Longterm safety and efficacy of guanfacine extended release in children and adolescents with ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Oct;27(10):1283-94. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1113-4. PMID: 29442229.

817. Hustus CL, Evans SW, Owens JS, et al. An evaluation of 504 and individualized education programs for high school students with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. School Psychology Review. 2020 2020;49(3):333-45.

818. Icahn School of Medicine at MountSinai, Health NIoM. Stimulant VersusNonstimulant Medication for AttentionDeficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children.2005.

819. Ichikawa H, Miyajima T, Yamashita Y, et al. Long-term study of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in Japanese children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder.
Neuropsychopharmacol Rep. 2020
Mar;40(1):52-62. doi: 10.1002/npr2.12091.
PMID: 31814294.

820. IRCCS Eugenio Medea, srl D. The Effects of DHA on Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder. 2012.

821. Irwin Harper LN, Groves NB, Marsh CL, et al. Does training working memory or inhibitory control produce far-transfer improvements in set shifting for children with ADHD? A randomized controlled trial. Child Neuropsychol. 2022 Nov 4:1-21. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2022.2138301. PMID: 36331068.

822. Itani S, Lecron F, Fortemps P. A multi-level classification framework for multi-site medical data: Application to the ADHD-200 collection. Expert Systems with Applications. 2018 2018/01/01/;91:36-45. doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2017.08.044.

823. Itani S, Rossignol M, Lecron F, et al. Towards interpretable machine learning models for diagnosis aid: A case study on attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder.
PLoS One. 2019;14(4):e0215720. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0215720. PMID: 31022245.

824. Jain R, Duncan D, Babcock T, et al. Lisdexamfetamine dimesylate (LDX) in children with ADHD after suboptimal response to methylphenidate. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S126-S7. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5.

825. Jaite C, van Noort BM, Vloet TD, et al. A multicentre randomized controlled trial on trans-generational attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in mothers and children (AIMAC): an exploratory analysis of predictors and moderators of treatment outcome. Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother. 2019 Jan;47(1):49-65. doi: 10.1024/1422-4917/a000602. PMID: 30084719.

826. Jans T, Graf E, Jacob C, et al. A randomized controlled multicentre trial on the treatment for ADHD in mothers and children: enrolment and basic characteristics of the study sample. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2013 Mar;5(1):29-40. doi: 10.1007/s12402-012-0092-4. PMID: 23070786.

827. Jans T, Jacob C, Warnke A, et al. Does intensive multimodal treatment for maternal

ADHD improve the efficacy of parent training for children with ADHD? A randomized controlled multicenter trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2015 Dec;56(12):1298-313. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12443. PMID: 26123832.

828. Janssen TW, Bink M, Geladé K, et al. A randomized controlled trial into the effects of neurofeedback, methylphenidate, and physical activity on EEG power spectra in children with ADHD. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2016 May;57(5):633-44. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12517. PMID: 26748531.

829. Janssen TW, Bink M, Geladé K, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial
Investigating the Effects of Neurofeedback, Methylphenidate, and Physical Activity on Event-Related Potentials in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J
Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016
May;26(4):344-53. doi:
10.1089/cap.2015.0144. PMID: 26771913.

830. Janssen TWP, Bink M, Weeda WD, et al. Learning curves of theta/beta neurofeedback in children with ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017
May;26(5):573-82. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0920-8. PMID: 27866283.

831. Janssen TWP, Geladé K, Bink M, et al. Long-term effects of theta/beta neurofeedback on EEG power spectra in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clin Neurophysiol. 2020
Jun;131(6):1332-41. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2020.02.020. PMID: 32304847.

832. Janssen-Ortho Inc. C. An Effectiveness and Safety Study of CONCERTA\* vs. Immediate Release Methylphenidate (IR MPH) in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Children.

833. Jarratt KP, Riccio CA, Siekierski BM. Assessment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) using the BASC and BRIEF. Appl Neuropsychol. 2005;12(2):83-93. doi: 10.1207/s15324826an1202\_4. PMID: 16083397.

834. Jensen PS, Hinshaw SP, Kraemer HC, et al. ADHD comorbidity findings from the MTA study: comparing comorbid subgroups. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Feb;40(2):147-58. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200102000-00009. PMID: 11211363.

835. Jensen PS, Hinshaw SP, Swanson JM, et al. Findings from the NIMH Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD (MTA): implications and applications for primary care providers. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2001 Feb;22(1):60-73. doi: 10.1097/00004703-200102000-00008. PMID: 11265923.

836. Jensen PS, Hoagwood KE, Roper M, et al. The Services for Children and Adolescents-Parent Interview: Development and Performance Characteristics. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2004 11/01/;43(11):1334-N. PMID: EJ696660.

837. Jitendra A, DuPaul G, Volpe R, et al. Consultation-based academic intervention for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: school functioning outcomes. School Psych Rev. 2007;36(2):217-36.

838. Johnson JA, Jakubovski E, Reed MO, et al. Predictors of Long-Term Risky Driving Behavior in the Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Oct;27(8):747-54. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0025. PMID: 28771386.

839. Johnson M MJ, Ostlund S, et al. Fatty acids in ADHD: plasma profiles in a placebo-controlled study of Omega 3/6 fatty acids in children and adolescents. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2012 Dec;4(4):199-204. doi: 10.1007/s12402-012-0084-4. 840. Johnstone JM, Leung B, Gracious B, et al. Rationale and design of an international randomized placebo-controlled trial of a 36ingredient micronutrient supplement for children with ADHD and irritable mood: The Micronutrients for ADHD in Youth (MADDY) study. Contemp Clin Trials Commun. 2019 Dec;16:100478. doi: 10.1016/j.conctc.2019.100478. PMID: 31763491.

841. Joseph Blader, Texas Uo, Health N, et al. Effectiveness of Combined Medication Treatment for Aggression in Children With Attention Deficit With Hyperactivity Disorder (The SPICY Study). 2008.

842. Joseph HM, Farmer C, Kipp H, et al. Attendance and Engagement in Parent Training Predict Child Behavioral Outcomes in Children Pharmacologically Treated for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Severe Aggression. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 Mar;29(2):90-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0119. PMID: 30592635.

843. JPM van Stralen Medicine Professional. Efficacy of GXR as Adjunctive Therapy With Psycho-stimulant on Executive Function in Children With ADHD. 2013.

844. Karakter Kinder- en Jeugdpsychiatrie, Gezondheid FP, Commission E, et al. Mindfulness Training for Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Mindful Parenting. 2016.

845. Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm R, Child, et al. Neurofeedback and Working Memory Training for Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2013.

846. Karpouzis F, Pollard H, Bonello R. A randomised controlled trial of the Neuro Emotional Technique (NET) for childhood Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): a protocol. Trials. 2009 Jan 27;10:6. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-10-6. PMID: 19173743.

847. Kelly C, Castellanos FX, Tomaselli O, et al. Distinct effects of childhood ADHD and cannabis use on brain functional architecture in young adults. Neuroimage Clin. 2017;13:188-200. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2016.09.012. PMID: 27995073.

848. Kennedy TM, Howard AL, Mitchell JT, et al. Adult substance use as a function of growth in peer use across adolescence and young adulthood in the context of ADHD: Findings from the MTA. Addict Behav. 2019 Dec;99:106106. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2019.106106. PMID: 31473568.

849. Kerson C. A proposed multisite double-blind randomized clinical trial of neurofeedback for ADHD: need, rationale, and strategy. J Atten Disord. 2013 Jul;17(5):420-36. doi: 10.1177/1087054713482580. PMID: 23590978.

850. Kerson C, Roley-Roberts ME, deBeus RJ, et al. 39.3 NEUROCOGNITIVE OUTCOMES IN A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL OF NEUROFEEDBACK FOR ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2020;59(10):S326. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.07.779.

851. Khoram-Abad University of Medical Sciences. Comparison of Methylphenidate with the Combanition of Methylphenidate (MPH) and Crocus sativus (Saffron) in the treatment of children and adolescents with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): a Randomized, Double Blind Clinical Trial. 2020.

https://www.irct.ir/trial/39997. Accessed on October 11 2022.

852. Kibby MY, Dyer SM, Vadnais SA, et al. Visual processing in reading disorders

and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and its contribution to basic reading ability. Front Psychol. 2015;6:1635. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01635. PMID: 26579020.

853. Kibby MY, Lee SE, Dyer SM. Reading performance is predicted by more than phonological processing. Frontiers in Psychology. 2014 2014-September-19;5. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00960.

854. Kim E, Song J, Kyeong S, et al. Subgroups identification of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in dimensions of symptom severity and intelligence using topological data analysis and their functional network modular organizations. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2016;55(10):S223. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.09.376.

855. Klein RG, Abikoff H, Hechtman L, et al. Design and rationale of controlled study of long-term methylphenidate and multimodal psychosocial treatment in children with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Jul;43(7):792-801. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000128798.91601.fe. PMID: 15213580.

856. Kollins S, Greenhill L, Swanson J, et al. Rationale, Design, and Methods of the Preschool ADHD Treatment Study (PATS). Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2006 11/01/;45(11):1275-83. PMID: EJ754439.

857. Kratochvil CJ, May DE, Silva SG, et al. Treatment response in depressed adolescents with and without co-morbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the Treatment for Adolescents with Depression Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Oct;19(5):519-27. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0143. PMID: 19877976.

858. Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences. omega-3 supplement in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. 2017. https://trialsearch.who.int/Trial2.aspx?TrialI D=IRCT2016060128182N2. Accessed on October 12 2022.

859. Laezer KL, Tischer I, Gaertner B, et al. Expensive Long-Term Psychotherapy vsCost-Effective Combined Behavioral Therapy/Medication Treatment: Comparison of Total Treatment Costs of Children with ADHD and ODD. Gesundheitsokonomie und Qualitatsmanagement. 2015;20(4):178-85. doi: 10.1055/s-0034-1398880.

860. Langberg JM, Dvorsky MR, Molitor SJ, et al. Overcoming the research-to-practice gap: A randomized trial with two brief homework and organization interventions for students with ADHD as implemented by school mental health providers. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2018 Jan;86(1):39-55. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000265. PMID: 29172596.

861. Langberg JM, Evans SW, Schultz BK, et al. Trajectories and predictors of response to the challenging Horizons program for adolescents with ADHD. Behavior Therapy. 2016 May 2016;47(3):339-54.

862. Langberg JM AL, Flowers AM, et al. Parent-reported homework problems in the MTA study: evidence for sustained improvement with behavioral treatment. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2010;39(2):220-33.

863. Lange AM, Daley D, Frydenberg M, et al. The Effectiveness of Parent Training as a Treatment for Preschool Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled, Multicenter Trial of the New Forest Parenting Program in Everyday Clinical Practice. JMIR Res Protoc. 2016 Apr 13;5(2):e51. doi: 10.2196/resprot.5319. PMID: 27076496.

864. Larsen LB, Daley D, Lange AM, et al. Functional somatic symptoms in preschool attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a secondary analysis of data from a randomized controlled trial of parent training. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Jun 24. doi: 10.1007/s00787-022-02025-3. PMID: 35748937.

865. Larsen LB, Daley D, Lange AM, et al. Effect of Parent Training on Health-Related Quality of Life in Preschool Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Secondary Analysis of Data From a Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jun;60(6):734-44.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.04.014. PMID: 32505701.

866. Lim CG, Lee TS, Guan C, et al. A brain-computer interface based attention training program for treating attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. PLoS One. 2012;7(10):e46692. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046692. PMID: 23115630.

867. Lo HH, Wong SY, Wong JY, et al. The effect of a family-based mindfulness intervention on children with attention deficit and hyperactivity symptoms and their parents: design and rationale for a randomized, controlled clinical trial (Study protocol). BMC Psychiatry. 2016 Mar 15;16:65. doi: 10.1186/s12888-016-0773-1. PMID: 26980323.

868. Loo SK, Bilder RM, Cho AL, et al.
Effects of d-Methylphenidate, Guanfacine, and Their Combination on
Electroencephalogram Resting State
Spectral Power in AttentionDeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad
Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016
Aug;55(8):674-82.e1. doi:
10.1016/j.jaac.2016.04.020. PMID:
27453081.

869. Lopez FA, Ginsberg LD, Arnold V. Effect of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate on parent-rated measures in children aged 6 to
12 years with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a secondary analysis. Postgrad Med. 2008 Sep;120(3):89-102. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2008.09.1910. PMID: 18824828.

870. Lu B, Marcus S. Evaluating long-term effects of a psychiatric treatment using instrumental variable and matching approaches. Health Serv Outcomes Res Methodol. 2012 Dec 1;12(4):288-301. doi: 10.1007/s10742-012-0101-2. PMID: 23483774.

871. Mackay Memorial Hospital. Placebo-Controlled Trial With GlyTI-M Among Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2012.

872. Mafi M, Radfar S. High Dimensional Convolutional Neural Network for EEG Connectivity-Based Diagnosis of ADHD. J Biomed Phys Eng. 2022 Dec;12(6):645-54. doi: 10.31661/jbpe.v0i0.2108-1380. PMID: 36569562.

873. Mannuzza S, Klein RG, Truong NL, et al. Age of methylphenidate treatment initiation in children with ADHD and later substance abuse: prospective follow-up into adulthood. Am J Psychiatry. 2008
May;165(5):604-9. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.07091465. PMID: 18381904.

874. Manor I, Magen A, Keidar D, et al. Safety of phosphatidylserine containing omega3 fatty acids in ADHD children: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial followed by an open-label extension. Eur Psychiatry. 2013 Aug;28(6):386-91. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2012.11.001.

875. Månsson AG, Elmose M, Dalsgaard S, et al. The influence of participation in targetshooting sport for children with inattentive, hyperactive and impulsive symptoms - A controlled study of best practice. BMC Psychiatry. 2017 Mar 28;17(1):115. doi: 10.1186/s12888-017-1283-5. PMID: 28351351.

876. March JS, Swanson JM, Arnold LE, et al. Anxiety as a predictor and outcome variable in the multimodal treatment study of children with ADHD (MTA). J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2000 Dec;28(6):527-41. doi: 10.1023/a:1005179014321. PMID: 11104315.

877. Massachusetts General Hospital, Health NIoM. Skills Training for Adolescents With ADHD. 2009.

878. Matthijssen AM, Dietrich A, Bierens M, et al. Effects of Discontinuing Methylphenidate on Strengths and Difficulties, Quality of Life and Parenting Stress. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Apr;30(3):159-65. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0147. PMID: 31880479.

879. Matza LS, Rentz AM, Secnik K, et al. The link between health-related quality of life and clinical symptoms among children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2004 Jun;25(3):166-74. doi: 10.1097/00004703-200406000-00005. PMID: 15194901.

880. McAuley T, Chen S, Goos L, et al. Is the behavior rating inventory of executive function more strongly associated with measures of impairment or executive function? J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2010 May;16(3):495-505. doi: 10.1017/s1355617710000093. PMID: 20188014.

881. McBurnett K, Clemow D, Williams D, et al. Atomoxetine-Related Change in Sluggish Cognitive Tempo Is Partially Independent of Change in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Inattentive Symptoms. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Feb;27(1):38-42. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0115. PMID: 27845858. 882. McGonnell M, Corkum P, McKinnon M, et al. Doing it right: an interdisciplinary model for the diagnosis of ADHD. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Nov;18(4):283-6. PMID: 19881936.

883. McNorgan C, Judson C, Handzlik D, et al. Linking ADHD and Behavioral Assessment Through Identification of Shared Diagnostic Task-Based Functional Connections. Frontiers in Physiology.
2020;11. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.583005.

884. Mehri M, Chehrzad MM, Maleki M, et al. The effect of behavioral parent training of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder on parents' mental health. Neurology Psychiatry and Brain Research. 2020;37:53-9. doi: 10.1016/j.npbr.2020.06.003.

885. Mendes SL, Pinaya WHL, Pan P, et al.
Estimating Gender and Age from Brain
Structural MRI of Children and
Adolescents: A 3D Convolutional Neural
Network Multitask Learning Model.
Comput Intell Neurosci.
2021;2021:5550914. doi:
10.1155/2021/5550914. PMID: 34122531.

886. Mensia Technologies SA, Instrument EUHS. Effectiveness of a Personalized Neurofeedback Training Device (ADHD@Home) in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2016.

887. Meppelink R, de Bruin EI, Bögels SM. Meditation or Medication? Mindfulness training versus medication in the treatment of childhood ADHD: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Psychiatry. 2016 Jul 26;16:267. doi: 10.1186/s12888-016-0978-3. PMID: 27460004.

888. Meyer J, Zetterqvist V, Unenge Hallerbäck M, et al. Moderators of longterm treatment outcome when comparing two group interventions for adolescents with ADHD: who benefits more from DBT-based skills training? BMC Psychiatry. 2022 Dec 6;22(1):767. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04435-8. PMID: 36474201.

889. Miao B, Zhang LL, Guan JL, et al. Classification of ADHD Individuals and Neurotypicals Using Reliable RELIEF: A Resting-State Study. IEEE Access.
2019;7:62163-71. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2915988.

890. Michelini G, Lenartowicz A, Diaz-Fong JP, et al. Methylphenidate,
Guanfacine, and Combined Treatment
Effects on Electroencephalography
Correlates of Spatial Working Memory in
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J
Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2023
Jan;62(1):37-47. doi:
10.1016/j.jaac.2022.06.017. PMID:
35963558.

891. Michelini G, Lenartowicz A, Vera JD, et al. Electrophysiological and Clinical
Predictors of Methylphenidate, Guanfacine, and Combined Treatment Outcomes in
Children With AttentionDeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad
Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Aug 10. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.08.001. PMID: 35963559.

892. Michelson D, Buitelaar JK, Danckaerts M, et al. Relapse prevention in pediatric patients with ADHD treated with atomoxetine: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Jul;43(7):896-904. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000125089.35109.81. PMID: 15213591.

893. Mikami AY, Hinshaw SP, Arnold LE, et al. Bulimia nervosa symptoms in the multimodal treatment study of children with ADHD. Int J Eat Disord. 2010 Apr;43(3):248-59. doi: 10.1002/eat.20692. PMID: 19378318.

894. Mikami AY, Normand S, Hudec KL, et al. Treatment of friendship problems in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity

disorder: Initial results from a randomized clinical trial. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2020 Oct;88(10):871-85. doi:

10.1037/ccp0000607. PMID: 33048569.

895. Mitchell JT, Howard AL, Belendiuk KA, et al. Cigarette Smoking Progression Among Young Adults Diagnosed With ADHD in Childhood: A 16-year Longitudinal Study of Children With and Without ADHD. Nicotine Tob Res. 2019 Apr 17;21(5):638-47. doi: 10.1093/ntr/nty045. PMID: 29538764.

896. Mitchell JT, Weisner TS, Jensen PS, et al. How Substance Users With ADHD Perceive the Relationship Between Substance Use and Emotional Functioning. J Atten Disord. 2018 Jul;22(9\_suppl):49s-60s. doi: 10.1177/1087054716685842. PMID: 28166690.

897. Mizuno Y, Jung M, Fujisawa T, et al. Structural classification feature in children with ADHD using machine learning approach. No To Hattatsu. 2019;51:S223. doi: 10.11251/ojjscn.51.S1.

898. Mohammadi MR, Khaleghi A, Nasrabadi AM, et al. EEG classification of ADHD and normal children using non-linear features and neural network. Biomedical Engineering Letters. 2016;6(2):66-73. doi: 10.1007/s13534-016-0218-2.

899. Mohr-Jensen C, Müller Bisgaard C, Boldsen SK, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Childhood and Adolescence and the Risk of Crime in Young Adulthood in a Danish Nationwide Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Apr;58(4):443-52. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.11.016. PMID: 30768385.

900. Molina BS, Hinshaw SP, Eugene Arnold L, et al. Adolescent substance use in the multimodal treatment study of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (MTA) as a function of childhood ADHD, random assignment to childhood treatments, and subsequent medication. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2013 Mar;52(3):250-63. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2012.12.014. PMID: 23452682.

901. Molina BS, Pelham WE, Gnagy EM, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder risk for heavy drinking and alcohol use disorder is age specific. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2007 Apr;31(4):643-54. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2007.00349.x. PMID: 17374044.

902. Molina BSG, Flory K, Hinshaw SP, et al. Delinquent behavior and emerging substance use in the MTA at 36 months: prevalence, course, and treatment effects. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Aug;46(8):1028-40. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e3180686d96. PMID: 17667481.

903. Molina BSG, Hinshaw SP, Swanson JW, et al. The MTA at 8 Years: Prospective Follow-Up of Children Treated for Combined-Type ADHD in a Multisite Study. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2009 05/01/;48(5):484-500. PMID: 19318991.

904. Molina BSG, Howard AL, Swanson JM, et al. Substance use through adolescence into early adulthood after childhood-diagnosed ADHD: findings from the MTA longitudinal study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Jun;59(6):692-702. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12855. PMID: 29315559.

905. Molina BSG, Howard AL, Swanson JM, et al. "Substance use through adolescence into early adulthood after childhood-diagnosed ADHD: Findings from the MTA longitudinal study": Erratum. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2018 Nov 2018

2018-11-08;59(11):e1-e4. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12970. PMID: 2130900386; 2018-53193-001.

906. Morgan JE, Dvorsky MR, Meza JI, et al. Co-Occurring Psychopathology
Moderates Social Skills Improvement in a Randomized Controlled Trial of a Collaborative School-Home Intervention for Children with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2020 Sep 15:1-13. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2020.1815206. PMID: 32930610.

907. MTA Cooperative Group. A 14-month randomized clinical trial of treatment strategies for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. The MTA Cooperative Group. Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1999 Dec;56(12):1073-86. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.56.12.1073. PMID: 10591283.

908. MTA Cooperative Group. Moderators and mediators of treatment response for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: the Multimodal Treatment Study of children with Attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1999 Dec;56(12):1088-96. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.56.12.1088. PMID: 10591284.

909. MTA Cooperative Group. National Institute of Mental Health Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD follow-up: 24month outcomes of treatment strategies for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2004 Apr;113(4):754-61. doi: 10.1542/peds.113.4.754. PMID: 15060224.

910. MTA Cooperative Group. National Institute of Mental Health Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD follow-up: changes in effectiveness and growth after the end of treatment. Pediatrics. 2004 Apr;113(4):762-9. doi: 10.1542/peds.113.4.762. PMID: 15060225. 911. Murdoch Children's Research Institute (Australia). Sleeping Sound with Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). 2014.

https://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN50834814? q=ISRCTN50834814&filters=&sort=&offse t=1&totalResults=1&page=1&pageSize=10. Accessed on October 11 2022.

912. Murdoch Childrens Research Institute (MCRI) (Australia). Can a sleep intervention improve outcomes for children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)?; 2010.

https://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN68819261? q=ISRCTN68819261&filters=&sort=&offse t=2&totalResults=2&page=1&pageSize=10. Accessed on October 11 2022.

913. Myers K, Vander Stoep A, Lobdell C. Feasibility of conducting a randomized controlled trial of telemental health with children diagnosed with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in underserved communities. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2013 Aug;23(6):372-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2013.0020. PMID: 23952183.

914. Nagy P, Häge A, Coghill DR, et al. Functional outcomes from a head-to-head, randomized, double-blind trial of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate and atomoxetine in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and an inadequate response to methylphenidate. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Feb;25(2):141-9. doi: 10.1007/s00787-015-0718-0. PMID: 25999292.

915. National Brain Mapping Lab. First National EEG Data Analysis Competition with Clinical Application. 2019. <u>https://nbml.ir/en/scientific-</u> tournament/First-Iranian-EEG-competition. Accessed on October 10 2022. 916. National Center for Complementary Integrative Health. Herbal Treatment for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2005.

917. National Healthcare Group Singapore D-NGMS, Agency for Science Technology Research, Singapore Clinical Research Institute. Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) Based Intervention for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2011.

918. National Institute of Mental Health. Treatment of Severe Childhood Aggression (The TOSCA Study). 2008.

919. National Taiwan University Hospital, National Science Council T.Pharmacogenetic Studies on Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2009.

920. Nebraska Uo, Health NIoM.Atomoxetine for Treating Attention DeficitHyperactivity Disorder in Young Children.2005.

921. Nejati V. Cognitive rehabilitation in children with attention deficit- hyperactivity disorder: Transferability to untrained cognitive domains and behavior. Asian J Psychiatr. 2020 Mar;49:101949. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.101949. PMID: 32114377.

922. New York State Psychiatric Institute, National Institute of Mental Health. Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Preschool-Age Children (PATS). 2001.

923. Newcorn JH, Halperin JM, Jensen PS, et al. Symptom profiles in children with ADHD: effects of comorbidity and gender. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Feb;40(2):137-46. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200102000-00008. PMID: 11214601.

924. Newcorn JH, Michelson D, Kratochvil CJ, et al. Low-dose atomoxetine for maintenance treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics.

2006 Dec;118(6):e1701-6. doi: 10.1542/peds.2005-2999. PMID: 17101710.

925. Newcorn JH, Stein MA, Childress AC, et al. Randomized, double-blind trial of guanfacine extended release in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: morning or evening administration. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2013 Sep;52(9):921-30. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2013.06.006. PMID: 23972694.

926. Newcorn JH, Stein MA, Cooper KM. Dose-response characteristics in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder treated with OROS methylphenidate in a 4week, open-label, dose-titration study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Jun;20(3):187-96. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0102. PMID: 20578931.

927. Nguyen RD, Smyth M, Zhu L, et al. Convolutional neural networks classification of pediatric refractory epilepsy with RsMRI latency imaging. Journal of Neurosurgery. 2019;131(1):38. doi:

10.3171/2019.7.JNS.AANS2019abstracts.

928. Nieweg EH. [Does ADHD medication stop working after 2-3 years? On the surprising, but little-known follow-up of the MTA study]. Tijdschr Psychiatr. 2010;52(4):245-54. PMID: 20503165.

929. Nobel E, Hoekstra PJ, Brunnekreef JA, et al. Correction to: Home-based parent training for school-aged children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and behavior problems with remaining impairing disruptive behaviors after routine treatment: a randomized controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Oct 31. doi: 10.1007/s00787-022-02102-7. PMID: 36315259.

930. Noven Therapeutics. Safety and Efficacy of MTS Versus Concerta in Pediatric Patients (Aged 6-12 Years) With ADHD. 2004. 931. Noven Therapeutics NP, Inc.,. Efficacy, Safety and Tolerability of SPD485 in Children Aged 6-12 Diagnosed With ADHD. 2005.

932. Nutrition and Food security research center SSUoMS, Yazd, Iran,. The effect of the DASH diet on the severity of attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms in children. 2018. https://en.irct.ir/trial/12623. Accessed on October 6 2022.

933. NYU Langone Health. Multimodal Treatment Study of Children With Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder (MTA). 1998.

934. NYU Langone Health. Parent Training for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Preschoolers. 2007.

935. Ohio State University, Health NIoM, Carolina UoN. Double-Blind 2-Site Randomized Clinical Trial of Neurofeedback for ADHD. 2014.

936. Ohio University, University L.Multisite Study of High School-basedTreatment for Adolescents With ADHD.2015.

937. Oregon Health Science University, University OS, Lethbridge Uo, et al. Micronutrients for ADHD in Youth (MADDY) Study. 2018.

938. Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs LLC. Lab School Day Study for CONCERTA of Older Children With ADHD. 2008.

939. Owens EB, Hinshaw SP, Kraemer HC, et al. Which treatment for whom for ADHD? Moderators of treatment response in the MTA. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2003 Jun;71(3):540-52. doi: 10.1037/0022-006x.71.3.540. PMID: 12795577.

940. Padmavathy TV, Vinothkumar M, Vimal Kumar MN. Modified cuckoo searchcascade forest (Mcs-cf) for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (adhd) diagnosis. NeuroQuantology. 2020;18(7):83-94. doi: 10.14704/nq.2020.18.7.NQ20196.

941. Pagani LS, Harbec M-J, Fortin G, et al. Childhood exercise as medicine: Extracurricular sport diminishes subsequent ADHD symptoms. Preventive Medicine: An International Journal Devoted to Practice and Theory. 2020 Dec 2020;141.

942. Palko L, Canadas E, Jina A. STARS Adjunct Trial: Evidence for the Effectiveness of a Digital Therapeutic as Adjunct to Treatment With Medication in Pediatric ADHD. Annals of Clinical Psychiatry. 2022;34(3):2.

943. Palumbo DR, Sallee FR, Pelham WE, Jr., et al. Clonidine for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: I. Efficacy and tolerability outcomes. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Feb;47(2):180-8. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31815d9af7. PMID: 18182963.

944. Papadopulos E, Jensen PS, Chait AR, et al. Medication Adherence in the MTA: Saliva Methylphenidate Sample versus Parent Report and Mediating Effect of Concomitant Behavior Treatment. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2009 05/01/;48(5):501-M. PMID: EJ846168.

945. Pardoe HR, Kucharsky Hiess R, Kuzniecky R. Motion and morphometry in clinical and nonclinical populations. Neuroimage. 2016 Jul 15;135:177-85. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.05.005. PMID: 27153982.

946. Paulo UoS, Tecnológico CNdDCe. Early Interventions in Children With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2016.

947. Peking University. Concerta and Strattera on the Executive Function in

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Children. 2008.

948. Pelham WE, Jr. The NIMH multimodal treatment study for attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder: just say yes to drugs alone? Can J Psychiatry. 1999 Dec;44(10):981-90. doi: 10.1177/070674379904401004. PMID: 10637677.

949. Pelsser L, Stobernack T, Frankena K. Physical Complaints Decrease after Following a Few-Foods Diet in Children with ADHD. Nutrients. 2022 Jul 24;14(15). doi: 10.3390/nu14153036. PMID: 35893890.

950. Perez Algorta G, MacPherson HA, Arnold LE, et al. Maternal personality traits moderate treatment response in the Multimodal Treatment Study of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Nov;29(11):1513-24. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01460-z. PMID: 31863182.

951. Perkins ER, Joyner KJ, Foell J, et al. Assessing general versus specific liability for externalizing problems in adolescence: Concurrent and prospective prediction of symptoms of conduct disorder, ADHD, and substance use. J Psychopathol Clin Sci. 2022 Oct;131(7):793-807. doi: 10.1037/abn0000743. PMID: 36222627.

952. Peterson BS. Form determines function: new methods for identifying the neuroanatomical loci of circuit-based disturbances in childhood disorders. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Jun;49(6):533-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.03.010. PMID: 20494263.

953. Pharmaceuticals NR. NRP104, Adderall XR or Placebo in Children Aged 6-12 Years With ADHD. 2004. 954. Pharmaceuticals NR, Shire. Phase 3 Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Study of NRP104 in Children Aged 6-12 With ADHD. 2004.

955. Plessen KJ, Bansal R, Zhu H, et al. Hippocampus and amygdala morphology in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2006 Jul;63(7):795-807. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.63.7.795. PMID: 16818869.

956. Purdue Pharma Canada. PRC-063 Classroom Study in Children (6-12 Years of Age) With ADHD. 2017.

957. Qi S, Schumann G, Bustillo J, et al. Reward Processing in Novelty Seekers: A Transdiagnostic Psychiatric Imaging Biomarker. Biol Psychiatry. 2021 Jan 30. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.01.011. PMID: 33875230.

958. Qureshi MN, Min B, Jo HJ, et al. Multiclass Classification for the Differential Diagnosis on the ADHD Subtypes Using Recursive Feature Elimination and Hierarchical Extreme Learning Machine: Structural MRI Study. PLoS One. 2016;11(8):e0160697. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0160697. PMID: 27500640.

959. Qureshi MNI, Oh J, Min B, et al. Multi-modal, Multi-measure, and Multiclass Discrimination of ADHD with Hierarchical Feature Extraction and Extreme Learning Machine Using Structural and Functional Brain MRI. Front Hum Neurosci. 2017;11:157. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00157. PMID: 28420972.

960. Raine A, Ang RP, Choy O, et al. Omega-3 (omega-3) and social skills interventions for reactive aggression and childhood externalizing behavior problems: a randomized, stratified, double-blind, placebo-controlled, factorial trial. Psychol Med. 2019 Jan;49(2):335-44. doi: 10.1017/S0033291718000983. PMID: 29743128.

961. Reed MO, Jakubovski E, Johnson JA, et al. Predictors of Long-Term School-Based Behavioral Outcomes in the Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 May;27(4):296-309. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0168. PMID: 28253029.

962. Reeves G, Anthony B. Multimodal treatments versus pharmacotherapy alone in children with psychiatric disorders: implications of access, effectiveness, and contextual treatment. Paediatr Drugs. 2009;11(3):165-9. doi: 10.2165/00148581-200911030-00002. PMID: 19445545.

963. Region Syddanmark. Cognitive Training in Children With Attention Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2013.

964. Region Zealand, Hospital ZU, Copenhagen Trial Unit CfCIR, et al. Social Skills Training and Standard Treatment Versus Standard Treatment of Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2009.

965. Research Institute for Islamic and Complementary Medicine. Comparison of efficacy of auricular acupuncture with a control group in childhood with Attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. 2019. https://en.irct.ir/trial/41872. Accessed on October 6 2022.

966. Rezaei M, Zare H, Hakimdavoodi H, et al. Classification of drug-naive children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder from typical development controls using resting-state fMRI and graph theoretical approach. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. 2022;16. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2022.948706.

967. Rhodes Pharmaceuticals LP. PRC-063 in Adolescent ADHD. 2014. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT0213 <u>9111?term=NCT02139111&draw=2&rank=</u> <u>1</u>. Accessed on October 11 2022.

968. Rhodes Pharmaceuticals LP. Long-Term Safety of PRC-063 in Adolescents and Adults With ADHD. 2014. <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT0216</u> <u>8127?term=NCT02168127&draw=2&rank=</u> <u>1</u>. Accessed on October 11 2022.

969. Riaz A, Alonso E, Slabaugh G. Phenotypic Integrated Framework for Classification of ADHD Using fMRI; 2016.

970. Riaz A, Asad M, Alonso E, et al. Fusion of fMRI and non-imaging data for ADHD classification. Comput Med Imaging Graph. 2018 Apr;65:115-28. doi: 10.1016/j.compmedimag.2017.10.002. PMID: 29137838.

971. Deep fMRI: AN end-to-end deep network for classification of fMRI data.2018 IEEE 15th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI 2018); 20184-7 April 2018.

972. Richters JE, Arnold LE, Jensen PS, et al. NIMH collaborative multisite multimodal treatment study of children with ADHD: I. Background and rationale. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 Aug;34(8):987-1000. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199508000-00008. PMID: 7665456.

973. Ricketts EJ, Sturm A, McMakin DL, et al. Changes in Sleep Problems Across
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Treatment: Findings from the Multimodal
Treatment of AttentionDeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Study. J
Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018
Dec;28(10):690-8. doi:
10.1089/cap.2018.0038. PMID: 30388029.

974. Riddle MA, Yershova K, Lazzaretto D, et al. The Preschool Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treatment Study (PATS) 6-year follow-up. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2013 Mar;52(3):264-78.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2012.12.007. PMID: 23452683.

975. Rockhill CM, Carlisle LL, Qu P, et al. Primary Care Management of Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Appears More Assertive Following Brief Psychiatric Intervention Compared with Single Session Consultation. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Jun;30(5):285-92. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0013. PMID: 32167784.

976. Rockhill CM, Tse YJ, Fesinmeyer MD, et al. Telepsychiatrists' Medication Treatment Strategies in the Children's Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Telemental Health Treatment Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016 Oct;26(8):662-71. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0017. PMID: 26258927.

977. Roger deBeus P, Cowley B, Ptukha A, et al. 30.1 EEG Learning Parameters in the ICAN Double-Blind RCT of Neurofeedback for ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S322. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.721.

978. Rojas-Líbano D, Wainstein G, Carrasco X, et al. A pupil size, eye-tracking and neuropsychological dataset from ADHD children during a cognitive task. Sci Data. 2019 Apr 11;6(1):25. doi: 10.1038/s41597-019-0037-2. PMID: 30975993.

979. Roley-Roberts ME, Pan X, Bergman R, et al. For Which Children with ADHD is TBR Neurofeedback Effective?
Comorbidity as a Moderator. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2022 Dec 16. doi: 10.1007/s10484-022-09575-x. PMID: 36526924.

980. Rooney M, Hinshaw S, McBurnett K, et al. Parent Adherence in Two Behavioral Treatment Strategies for the Predominantly Inattentive Presentation of ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018;47(sup1):S233-s41. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2016.1236341. PMID: 27808556.

981. Roozbeh Psychiatric Hospital TUoMS. Ginkgo biloba in the treatment of ADHD in child and adolescent: a randomized clinical trial. 2009. <u>https://en.irct.ir/trial/856</u>. Accessed on October 6 2022.

982. Roozbeh Psychiatric Hospital TUoMS. Comparing the efficacy of Resveratrol and Ritalin with Ritaline pelacebo in treating children with ADHD in a randomized double-blinded clinical trial. 2018. https://en.irct.ir/trial/35596. Accessed on October 6 2022.

983. Roozbeh Psychiatric Hospital TUoMS. Tipeptidine as adjuvant therapy in children with ADHD: A double blind and randomised trial. 2018. <u>https://en.irct.ir/trial/30360</u>. Accessed on October 6 2022.

984. Rosenau PT, Openneer TJC, Matthijssen AM, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on executive functioning in children and adolescents with ADHD after long-term use: a randomized, placebocontrolled discontinuation study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 Mar 28. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13419. PMID: 33778945.

985. Roy A, Garner AA, Epstein JN, et al. Effects of Childhood and Adult Persistent Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder on Risk of Motor Vehicle Crashes: Results From the Multimodal Treatment Study of Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Aug;59(8):952-63. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.08.007. PMID: 31445873.

986. Roy A, Hechtman L, Arnold LE, et al. Childhood Predictors of Adult Functional Outcomes in the Multimodal Treatment Study of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (MTA). J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Aug;56(8):687-95.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.05.020. PMID: 28735698.

987. Rucklidge JJ, Eggleston MJF, Darling KA, et al. Can we predict treatment response in children with ADHD to a vitamin-mineral supplement? An investigation into pre-treatment nutrient serum levels, MTHFR status, clinical correlates and demographic variables. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2019 Mar 8;89:181-92. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2018.09.007. PMID: 30217770.

988. Sanofi. Efficacy, Safety, and Tolerability of Ambien (Zolpidem) in the Treatment of Children Ages 6 to 17 With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)-Associated Insomnia. 2006.

989. Sayer GR, McGough JJ, Levitt J, et al.
Acute and Long-Term Cardiovascular
Effects of Stimulant, Guanfacine, and
Combination Therapy for AttentionDeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child
Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016
Dec;26(10):882-8. doi:
10.1089/cap.2015.0264. PMID: 27483130.

990. Saylor K, Williams DW, Schuh KJ, et al. Effects of atomoxetine on self-reported high-risk behaviors and health-related quality of life in adolescents with ADHD. Curr Med Res Opin. 2010 Sep;26(9):2087-95. doi: 10.1185/03007995.2010.493747. PMID: 20642391.

991. Schachar R, Chen S, Crosbie J, et al. Comparison of the predictive validity of hyperkinetic disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007;16(2):90-100.

992. Scholte EM, van Berckelaer-Onnes IA, van der Ploeg JD. DSM-IV related ADHD symptom ratings by professional caretakers

in residential treatment centres. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2001 Mar;42(3):341-6. PMID: 11321203.

993. Scholte EM, van Berckelaer-Onnes IA, van der Ploeg JD. Factorial validity, reliability of assessments and prevalence of ADHD behavioural symptoms in day and residential treatment centres for children with behavioural problems. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2002;11(1):33-44. doi: 10.1002/mpr.121. PMID: 12459803.

994. School of Public Health SJTU. Effect of the intervention combining medicine and education on school-age children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. 2018.

https://www.chictr.org.cn/hvshowproject.asp x?id=12683. Accessed on October 12 2022.

995. Schuck SEB, Johnson HL, Abdullah MM, et al. The Role of Animal Assisted Intervention on Improving Self-Esteem in Children With Attention
Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Front
Pediatr. 2018;6:300. doi:
10.3389/fped.2018.00300. PMID:
30450352.

996. Schultz BK, Evans SW, Langberg JM, et al. Outcomes for adolescents who comply with long-term psychosocial treatment for ADHD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2017 Mar;85(3):250-61. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000172. PMID: 28221060.

997. Sciberras E, Efron D, Gerner B, et al. Study protocol: the sleeping sound with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder project. BMC Pediatr. 2010 Dec 30;10:101. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-10-101. PMID: 21192797.

998. Sciberras E, Mulraney M, Hayes N, et al. A brief clinician training program to manage sleep problems in ADHD: what works and what do clinicians and parents think? Sleep Med. 2021 Apr 20. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2021.04.007. PMID: 34001454.

999. Seattle Children's Hospital, Washington Uo. Children's Attention Deficit Disorder With Hyperactivity (ADHD) Telemental Health Treatment Study. 2009.

1000. Sen B, Borle NC, Greiner R, et al. A general prediction model for the detection of ADHD and Autism using structural and functional MRI. PLoS One. 2018;13(4):e0194856. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194856. PMID: 29664902.

1001. Seoul National University Childrens Hospital. Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Translational Center for Identifying Biomarkers. 2012.

1002. Setyawan J, Yang H, Cheng D, et al. Developing a Risk Score to Guide Individualized Treatment Selection in Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Value Health. 2015 Sep;18(6):824-31. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2015.06.005. PMID: 26409610.

1003. Shah MN, Nguyen RD, Pao LP, et al. Role of resting state MRI temporal latency in refractory pediatric extratemporal epilepsy lateralization. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2019 May;49(5):1347-55. doi: 10.1002/jmri.26320. PMID: 30350326.

1004. Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences Y, Iran,. Clinical trial of efficacy evaluation of omega-3 capsule with risperidone and risperidone alone on improvement of hyperactivity and inattention symptoms and decrease of monthly frequency of seizure of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and refractory epilepsy. 2016. https://en.irct.ir/trial/2450. Accessed on October 6 2022.

1005. Shang CY, Pan YL, Lin HY, et al. An Open-Label, Randomized Trial of

Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine Treatment in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2015 Sep;25(7):566-73. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0035. PMID: 26222447.

1006. Shanghai Children's Hospital. Intervention study of group executive function training combined with online parent training on children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder 2021. <u>https://www.chictr.org.cn/com/25/hvshowpr</u> <u>oject.aspx?id=106593</u>. Accessed on October 12 2022.

1007. Shelton TL BR, Crosswait C, et al. Multimethod psychoeducational intervention for preschool children with disruptive behavior: two-year post-treatment followup. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2000;28(3):253-66.

1008. Shemmassian SK, Lee SS. Comparing four methods of integrating parent and teacher symptom ratings of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2012;34:1-10. doi: 10.1007/s10862-011-9262-5.

1009. Shih HH, Shang CY, Gau SS. Comparative Efficacy of Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine on Emotional and Behavioral Problems in Youths with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 Feb;29(1):9-19. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0076. PMID: 30457349.

1010. Shire. Efficacy and Safety of Extended-release Guanfacine Hydrochloride in Children and Adolescents Aged 6-17 Years With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2011.

1011. Shire. Effectiveness of VyvanseCompared to Concerta in Adolescents WithAttention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder.2012.

1012. Shire, Takeda. Safety and Efficacy of ADDERALL XR in the Treatment of Adolescents Aged 13-17 With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2003.

1013. Shire, Takeda. SPD503 (Guanfacine Hydrochloride) in ADHD Plus Oppositional Symptoms. 2006.

1014. Shire, Takeda. Efficacy and Safety of Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate (LDX) in Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2008.

1015. Shire, Takeda. Randomized, Doubleblind Safety and Efficacy Study of Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate (LDX) in Children and Adolescents Aged 6-17. 2008.

1016. Shire, Takeda. Efficacy and Safety of SPD503 in Combination With Psychostimulants. 2008.

1017. Shire, Takeda. Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Randomised Withdrawal, Extension, Safety and Efficacy Study of LDX in Children and Adolescents Aged 6-17. 2009.

1018. Shire, Takeda. Comparison of Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate With Atomoxetine HCl in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Subjects With an Inadequate Response to Methylphenidate. 2010.

1019. Shire, Takeda. Effectiveness of Vyvanse Compared to Concerta in Adolescents With Attentiondeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2012.

1020. Shire, Takeda. Safety and Efficacy Study of SHP465 in Children and Adolescents Aged 6-17 Years With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2015.

1021. Shire, Takeda. Safety and Efficacy Study in Preschool Children Aged 4-5 Years With Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2017.

1022. Shire T. Safety and Efficacy of SPD503 in Treating Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Children Aged 6-17. 2003.

1023. Shire T. Safety and Efficacy of SPD503 in Treating ADHD in Children and Adolescents Aged 6-17. 2004.

1024. Shire T. Safety, Tolerability and Efficacy of SPD503 in Treating ADHD in Children Aged 6-17. 2005.

1025. Shire T. Tolerability and Efficacy of AM and PM Once Daily Dosing With Extended-release Guanfacine Hydrochloride in Children 6-12 With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) (The ADHD Tempo Study). 2009.

1026. Shire T. Maintenance of Efficacy of Extended-Release Guanfacine HCl in Children and Adolescents With Attentiondeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2010.

1027. Shire T. Dose-optimization in Adolescents Aged 13-17 Diagnosed With Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Using Extended-release Guanfacine HCl. 2011.

1028. Shire T. Efficacy and Safety of SHP465 at 6.25 mg in the Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Children Aged 6-12 Years. 2017.

1029. Sho'ouri N. Detection of ADHD From EOG Signals Using Approximate Entropy and Petrosain's Fractal Dimension. J Med Signals Sens. 2022 Jul-Sep;12(3):254-62. doi: 10.4103/jmss.jmss\_119\_21. PMID: 36120401.

1030. Sibley MH, Arnold LE, Swanson JM, et al. Variable Patterns of Remission From ADHD in the Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD. Am J Psychiatry. 2021 Aug 13:appiajp202121010032. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.21010032. PMID: 34384227.

1031. Sibley MH, Bickman L, Coxe SJ, et al. Community Implementation of MI-Enhanced Behavior Therapy for Adolescent ADHD: Linking Fidelity to Effectiveness. Behav Ther. 2021 Jul;52(4):847-60. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2020.10.007. PMID: 34134825.

1032. Sibley MH, Campez M, Raiker JS. Reexamining ADHD-related self-reporting problems using polynomial regression. Assessment. 2019 Mar 2019;26(2):305-14.

1033. Sibley MH, Coxe SJ. The ADHD teen integrative data analysis longitudinal (TIDAL) dataset: Background, methodology, and aims. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Jul 8, 2020;20.

1034. Sibley MH, Coxe SJ, Page TF, et al. Four-Year Follow-Up of High versus Low Intensity Summer Treatment for Adolescents with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2020 Nov 19:1-14. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2020.1833734. PMID: 33210938.

1035. Sibley MH, Kuriyan AB. DSM-5 changes enhance parent identification of symptoms in adolescents with ADHD. Psychiatry Research. 2016 Aug 30, 2016;242:180-5.

1036. Sibley MH, Morley C, Rodriguez L, et al. A peer-delivered intervention for high school students with impairing ADHD symptoms. School Psychology Review. 2020 2020;49(3):275-90.

1037. Sibley MH, Olson S, Morley C, et al. A school consultation intervention for adolescents with ADHD: Barriers and implementation strategies. Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2016 Nov 2016;21(4):183-91. 1038. Sibley MH, Swanson JM, Arnold LE, et al. Defining ADHD symptom persistence in adulthood: optimizing sensitivity and specificity. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017 Jun;58(6):655-62. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12620. PMID: 27642116.

1039. Siebelink NM, Bögels SM, Boerboom LM, et al. Mindfulness for children with ADHD and Mindful Parenting (MindChamp): Protocol of a randomised controlled trial comparing a family Mindfulness-Based Intervention as an addon to care-as-usual with care-as-usual only. BMC Psychiatry. 2018 Jul 25;18(1):237. doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1811-y. PMID: 30045714.

1040. Sierawska A, Prehn-Kristensen A, Brauer H, et al. Transcranial direct-current stimulation and pediatric attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)-Findings from an interview ethics study with children, adolescents, and their parents. Prog Brain Res. 2021;264:363-86. doi: 10.1016/bs.pbr.2021.04.002. PMID: 34167663.

1041. Silk TJ, Genc S, Anderson V, et al. Developmental brain trajectories in children with ADHD and controls: a longitudinal neuroimaging study. BMC Psychiatry. 2016 Mar 11;16:59. doi: 10.1186/s12888-016-0770-4. PMID: 26969310.

1042. Silva R, Muniz R, Pestreich LK, et al. Efficacy of two long-acting methylphenidate formulations in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in a laboratory classroom setting. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2005 Aug;15(4):637-54. doi: 10.1089/cap.2005.15.637. PMID: 16190795.

1043. Smith SD, Crowley MJ, Ferrey A, et al. Effects of Integrated Brain, Body, and Social (IBBS) intervention on ERP measures of attentional control in children with ADHD. Psychiatry Res. 2019 Aug;278:248-57. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.06.021. PMID: 31233935.

1044. Smith T, Aman MG, Arnold LE, et al. Atomoxetine and Parent Training for Children With Autism and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A 24-Week Extension Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Oct;55(10):868-76.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.06.015. PMID: 27663942.

1045. Smith ZR, Langberg JM. Do sluggish cognitive tempo symptoms improve with school-based ADHD interventions? Outcomes and predictors of change. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 May;61(5):575-83. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13149. PMID: 31667859.

1046. Sokolova E, Groot P, Claassen T, et al. Statistical Evidence Suggests that Inattention Drives Hyperactivity/Impulsivity in Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder. PLoS One. 2016;11(10):e0165120. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165120. PMID: 27768717.

1047. Solanto MV, Abikoff H, Sonuga-Barke E, et al. The ecological validity of delay aversion and response inhibition as measures of impulsivity in AD/HD: a supplement to the NIMH multimodal treatment study of AD/HD. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2001 Jun;29(3):215-28. doi: 10.1023/a:1010329714819. PMID: 11411784.

1048. Solleveld MM, Schrantee A, Baek HK, et al. Effects of 16 Weeks of Methylphenidate Treatment on Actigraph-Assessed Sleep Measures in Medication-Naive Children With ADHD. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:82. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00082. PMID: 32184743.

1049. Song S, Qiu J, Lu W. Predicting disease severity in children with combined

attention deficit hyperactivity disorder using quantitative features from structural MRI of amygdaloid and hippocampal subfields. J Neural Eng. 2021 Mar 25;18(4). doi: 10.1088/1741-2552/abeddf. PMID: 33706290.

1050. Sonuga-Barke E, Daley D, Thompson M. Does maternal ADHD reduce the effectiveness of parent training for preschool children's ADHD? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2002;41(6):696-702.

1051. Soutullo C, Banaschewski T, Lecendreux M, et al. A post hoc comparison of the effects of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate and osmotic-release oral system methylphenidate on symptoms of attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. CNS Drugs. 2013 Sep;27(9):743-51. doi: 10.1007/s40263-013-0086-6. PMID: 23801529.

1052. Spencer TJ, Faraone SV, Biederman J, et al. Does prolonged therapy with a longacting stimulant suppress growth in children with ADHD? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 May;45(5):527-37. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000205710.01690.d4. PMID: 16670649.

1053. Sprafkin J, Gadow KD, Nolan EE. The Utility of a DSM-IV-Referenced Screening Instrument for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders. 2001 2001/07/01;9(3):182-91. doi: 10.1177/106342660100900304.

1054. Stein MA, Sikirica V, Weiss MD, et al. Does Guanfacine Extended Release Impact Functional Impairment in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder? Results from a Randomized Controlled Trial. CNS Drugs. 2015 Nov;29(11):953-62. doi: 10.1007/s40263-015-0291-6. PMID: 26547425.

1055. Steiner N, Frenette EC, Rene KM, et al. Neurofeedback and cognitive attention

training for children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in schools. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2014 Jan;35(1):18-27. doi: 10.1097/dbp.000000000000009.

1056. Storebo OJ, Pedersen J, Skoog M, et al. Randomised social-skills training and parental training plus standard treatment versus standard treatment of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder - the SOSTRA trial protocol. Trials. 2011 Jan 21;12:18. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-12-18. PMID: 21255399.

1057. Sun Y, Zhao L, Lan Z, et al. Differentiating boys with ADHD from those with typical development based on wholebrain functional connections using a machine learning approach. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2020;16:691-702. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S239013.

1058. Sunovion. Safety & Efficacy Study of Study Drug (Eszopiclone) in Children and Adolescents With Attentiondeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder - Associated Insomnia. 2009.

1059. Sunovion. Long Term Safety Study of Study Drug (Eszopiclone) in Children and Adolescents With ADHD -Associated Insomnia. 2009.

1060. Sunovion. Dasotraline Pediatric ADHD Study. 2015.

1061. Sunovion. Dasotraline Pediatric Extension Study. 2015.

1062. Supernus Pharmaceuticals I. Efficacy and Safety of SPN-812 (Viloxazine Extended-release Capsule) in Children With ADHD. 2016.

1063. Supernus Pharmaceuticals I. Evaluation of SPN-812 (Viloxazine Extended-release Capsule) Low Dose in Children With ADHD. 2017.

1064. Supernus Pharmaceuticals Inc. A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of SPN-810 as Adjunctive Therapy in Children With Impulsive Aggression Comorbid With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2011.

1065. Supernus Pharmaceuticals Inc. Openlabel Study to Evaluate Long-term Safety and Efficacy of SPN-812 Extended Release (ER). 2016.

1066. Supernus Pharmaceuticals Inc. Evaluation of SPN-812 (Viloxazine Extended-release Capsule) High Dose in Adolescents With ADHD. 2017.

1067. Supernus Pharmaceuticals Inc. Evaluation of SPN-812 (Viloxazine Extended-release Capsule) High Dose in Children With ADHD. 2017.

1068. Svanborg P, Thernlund G, Gustafsson PA, et al. Atomoxetine improves patient and family coping in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in Swedish children and adolescents. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Dec;18(12):725-35. doi: 10.1007/s00787-009-0031-x. PMID: 19466476.

1069. Swanson J, Arnold LE, Kraemer H, et al. Evidence, interpretation, and qualification from multiple reports of longterm outcomes in the Multimodal Treatment study of Children With ADHD (MTA): part I: executive summary. J Atten Disord. 2008 Jul;12(1):4-14. doi: 10.1177/1087054708319345. PMID: 18573923.

1070. Swanson J, Arnold LE, Kraemer H, et al. Evidence, interpretation, and qualification from multiple reports of longterm outcomes in the Multimodal Treatment Study of children with ADHD (MTA): Part II: supporting details. J Atten Disord. 2008 Jul;12(1):15-43. doi: 10.1177/1087054708319525. PMID: 18573924. 1071. Swanson J GL, Wigal T, et al. Stimulant-related reductions of growth rates in the PATS. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006;45(11):1304-13.

1072. Swanson JM, Arnold LE, Molina BSG, et al. Young adult outcomes in the follow-up of the multimodal treatment study of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: symptom persistence, source discrepancy, and height suppression. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017 Jun;58(6):663-78. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12684. PMID: 28295312.

1073. Swanson JM, Elliott GR, Greenhill LL, et al. Effects of stimulant medication on growth rates across 3 years in the MTA follow-up. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Aug;46(8):1015-27. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e3180686d7e. PMID: 17667480.

1074. Swanson JM, Hinshaw SP, Arnold LE, et al. Secondary Evaluations of MTA 36-Month Outcomes: Propensity Score and Growth Mixture Model Analyses. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007 08/01/;46(8):1003-14. PMID: EJ944777.

1075. Swanson JM, Kraemer HC, Hinshaw SP, et al. Clinical relevance of the primary findings of the MTA: success rates based on severity of ADHD and ODD symptoms at the end of treatment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Feb;40(2):168-79. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200102000-00011. PMID: 11211365.

1076. Swanson JM, Wigal T, Jensen PS, et al. The Qualitative Interview Study of Persistent and Nonpersistent Substance Use in the MTA: Sample Characteristics, Frequent Use, and Reasons for Use. J Atten Disord. 2018 Jul;22(9\_suppl):21s-37s. doi: 10.1177/1087054717714058. PMID: 29090967.

1077. Taisho Pharmaceutical Co. L. A Phase II, Placebo-Controlled, Double-Blind Trial of TS-141 in Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2016. <u>https://www.clinicaltrials.jp/cti-</u> <u>user/trial/Show.jsp</u>. Accessed on October 11 2022.

1078. Tehran University of Medical Sciences. Amantadine Versus Ritalin in the Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2010.

1079. Tehran University of Medical Sciences. Saffron versus Methylphenidate in the treatment of Attention Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder: a double-blind and randomized trial. 2017.

https://en.irct.ir/trial/943. Accessed on October 6 2022.

1080. The National Center on Addiction Substance Abuse at Columbia University, Institute P-COR. Integrated Treatment for Adolescents With ADHD. 2015.

1081. The Neurofeedback Collaborative Group. Neurofeedback for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: 25-Month Follow-up of Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2023;62(4):435-46. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.862.

1082. The University of Texas Health Science Center Houston. Interventions for Children With Attention and Reading Disorders. 2010.

1083. Tor HT, Ooi CP, Lim-Ashworth NS, et al. Automated detection of conduct disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder using decomposition and nonlinear techniques with EEG signals. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2021 Mar;200:105941. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2021.105941. PMID: 33486340.

1084. Tran JLA, Sheng R, Beaulieu A, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of a Behavioral

Psychosocial Treatment Integrated Across Home and School for Pediatric ADHD-Inattentive Type. Adm Policy Ment Health. 2018 Sep;45(5):741-50. doi: 10.1007/s10488-018-0857-y. PMID: 29480503.

1085. Tremols V, Bielsa A, Soliva JC, et al. Differential abnormalities of the head and body of the caudate nucleus in attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2008 Aug 30;163(3):270-8. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2007.04.017. PMID: 18656331.

1086. Tris Pharma Inc. Dyanavel® XR Extended-Release Oral Suspension in the Treatment of Children With ADHD: A Laboratory School Study. 2017.

1087. Trust OH, Oslo Uo. Comparing the Efficacy of Methylphenidate, Dextroamphetamine and Placebo in Children Diagnosed With ADHD. 2006.

1088. Trzepacz PT, Spencer TJ, Zhang S, et al. Effect of atomoxetine on Tanner stage sexual development in children and adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: 18-month results from a double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. Curr Med Res Opin. 2011;27 Suppl 2:45-52. doi: 10.1185/03007995.2011.599372. PMID: 21973230.

1089. Tsang TW, Kohn MR, Hermens DF, et al. A randomized controlled trial investigation of a non-stimulant in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ACTION): rationale and design. Trials. 2011 Mar 13;12:77. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-12-77. PMID: 21396130.

1090. Tufts Medical Center. Study of Computer Attention Training Programs in Schools for Children With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2009. 1091. Universität BernInstitut für Sportwissenschaft. The effects of an exergame intervention on the executive functions of children with ADHD. 2016. https://www.drks.de/drks\_web/navigate.do? navigationId=trial.HTML&TRIAL\_ID=DR KS00010171. Accessed on October 11 2022.

1092. Université du Québec a Montréal, Montréal Ud, Sherbrooke Ud, et al. the Cogmed Program for Youths With ADHD. 2014.

1093. University of Aarhus, Glostrup University Hospital C, TrygFonden D, et al. A Controlled Study of Parent Training in the Treatment of ADHD in Young Children. 2012.

1094. University of California Los Angeles, Health NIoM. Single Versus Combination Medication Treatment for Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2007.

1095. University of Cincinnati, Abuse NIoD, University of Colorado D. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Adolescents With Substance Use Disorders (SUD). 2006.

1096. University of Cincinnati, Disorders NIoN, Stroke. Clonidine in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Children. 1999.

1097. University of Cologne, Shire.Enhancement of Methylphenidate Treatmentby Psychosocial Intervention and Support.2012.

1098. University of Genova. Taekwondo Practice in Adolescents With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2015.

1099. University of Nebraska. Study of the Effect of Calorie Supplementation on Growth in Young Children on ADHD Medication. 2006. 1100. University of Pittsburgh. Effectiveness of Collaborative Services in Primary Care for Treating Children With Behavior Disorders. 2000.

1101. University of Zurich, Foundation SNS. Neurofeedback and Computerized Cognitive Training in Different Settings for Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2013.

1102. Uppsala County Council CaAP. Structured skill training for adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder - a randomized controlled study. 2016. https://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN17366720? q=ISRCTN17366720&filters=&sort=&offse t=1&totalResults=1&page=1&pageSize=10. Accessed on October 11 2022.

1103. van der Donk ML, Hiemstra-Beernink AC, Tjeenk-Kalff AC, et al. Interventions to improve executive functioning and working memory in schoolaged children with AD(H)D: a randomised controlled trial and stepped-care approach. BMC Psychiatry. 2013 Jan 11;13:23. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-13-23. PMID: 23311304.

1104. van der Donk MLA, Hiemstra-Beernink AC, Tjeenk-Kalff AC, et al. Predictors and Moderators of Treatment Outcome in Cognitive Training for Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Nov;24(13):1914-27. doi: 10.1177/1087054716632876. PMID: 26951059.

1105. van der Oord S, Prins PJ, Oosterlaan J, et al. The adolescent outcome of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder treated with methylphenidate or methylphenidate combined with multimodal behaviour therapy: results of a naturalistic follow-up study. Clin Psychol Psychother. 2012 May-Jun;19(3):270-8. doi: 10.1002/cpp.750. PMID: 21404369.

1106. van Mourik R, Research ZTNOfH, Development, et al. Train Your Brain and Exercise Your Heart? Advancing the Treatment for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2010.

1107. Vander Stoep A, McCarty CA, Zhou C, et al. The Children's Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Telemental Health Treatment Study: Caregiver Outcomes. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2017 Jan;45(1):27-43. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0155-7. PMID: 27117555.

1108. Vice Chancellor for research of Tehran university of Medical Sciences. Effectiveness of Sweet almond syrup, Iranian Traditional Medicine product, compared with methylphenidate for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in children: triple-blind randomized Clinical trial. 2015. <u>https://en.irct.ir/trial/19183</u>. Accessed on October 6 2022.

1109. Vice chancellor for Research- Guilan University of Medical Sciences- Iran. Evaluation of methylphenidate's effect on motor skills disorder in children with ADHD and developmental coordination disorder referred to Shafa hospital, Rasht 1390. 2011. <u>https://en.irct.ir/trial/763</u>. Accessed on October 6 2022.

1110. Vitiello B, Elliott GR, Swanson JM, et al. Blood pressure and heart rate over 10 years in the multimodal treatment study of children with ADHD. Am J Psychiatry. 2012 Feb;169(2):167-77. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2011.10111705. PMID: 21890793.

1111. Vitiello B, Severe JB, Greenhill LL, et al. Methylphenidate dosage for children with ADHD over time under controlled conditions: lessons from the MTA. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Feb;40(2):188-96. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200102000-00013. PMID: 11211367. 1112. von Wirth E, Breuer D, Mandler J, et al. Prediction of Educational Attainment and Occupational Functioning in Young Adults With a Childhood Diagnosis of ADHD: Results from the Cologne Adaptive Multimodal Treatment (CAMT) Study. J Atten Disord. 2021 Oct 26:10870547211045740. doi: 10.1177/10870547211045740. PMID: 34697953.

1113. Wageningen University (The Netherlands). The INCA study: a study into the Impact of Nutrition on Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2008.

https://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN76063113? q=ISRCTN76063113&filters=&sort=&offse t=1&totalResults=1&page=1&pageSize=10. Accessed on October 11 2022.

1114. Wainstein G, Rojas-Líbano D, Crossley NA, et al. Pupil Size Tracks Attentional Performance In Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Sci Rep. 2017 Aug 15;7(1):8228. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-08246-w. PMID: 28811624.

1115. Wang D, Hong D, Wu Q. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Classification Based on Deep Learning.
IEEE/ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinform.
2022 Apr 26;Pp. doi:
10.1109/tcbb.2022.3170527. PMID:
35471884.

1116. Wang D, Hu R, Wang Q, et al. Spatiotemporal consistency analysis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder children. Neurosci Lett. 2020 Aug 24;734:135099. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2020.135099. PMID: 32470553.

1117. Wang JB, Zheng LJ, Cao QJ, et al. Inconsistency in abnormal brain activity across cohorts of adhd-200 in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Frontiers in Neuroscience. 2017;11(JUN). doi: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00320.

1118. Wang P, Zhao X, Zhong J, et al. Localization and Diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Healthcare (Basel). 2021 Mar 27;9(4). doi: 10.3390/healthcare9040372. PMID: 33801750.

1119. Wang XH, Jiao Y, Li L. Predicting clinical symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder based on temporal patterns between and within intrinsic connectivity networks. Neuroscience. 2017 Oct 24;362:60-9. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.08.038. PMID: 28843999.

1120. Wang XH, Jiao Y, Li L. Identifying individuals with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder based on temporal variability of dynamic functional connectivity. Sci Rep. 2018 Aug 7;8(1):11789. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-30308-w. PMID: 30087369.

1121. Wang XH, Jiao Y, Li L. Diagnostic model for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder based on interregional morphological connectivity. Neurosci Lett.
2018 Oct 15;685:30-4. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2018.07.029. PMID: 30031733.

1122. Wang XH, Xu J, Li L. Estimating individual scores of inattention and impulsivity based on dynamic features of intrinsic connectivity network. Neurosci Lett. 2020 Apr 17;724:134874. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2020.134874. PMID: 32114120.

1123. Wang Y. 18.4 IMAGING THE TREATMENT OF ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2021;60(10):S286. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.07.658. 1124. Wang Z, Zhou X, Gui Y, et al. Multiple measurement analysis of restingstate fMRI for ADHD classification in adolescent brain from the ABCD study. Transl Psychiatry. 2023 Feb 6;13(1):45. doi: 10.1038/s41398-023-02309-5. PMID: 36746929.

1125. Wangler S, Gevensleben H, Albrecht B, et al. Neurofeedback in children with ADHD: specific event-related potential findings of a randomized controlled trial. Clin Neurophysiol. 2011 May;122(5):942-50. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2010.06.036.

1126. Waxmonsky JG, Pelham WE, 3rd, Baweja R, et al. Predictors of Changes in Height, Weight, and Body Mass Index After Initiation of Central Nervous System Stimulants in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Pediatr. 2021 Sep 25. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2021.09.030. PMID:

34571023.

1127. Waxmonsky JG, Pelham WE, 3rd, Campa A, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Interventions for Growth Suppression in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treated With Central Nervous System Stimulants. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Dec;59(12):1330-41. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.08.472. PMID: 31473291.

1128. Webster-Stratton C, Reid MJ, Beauchaine TP. One-year follow-up of combined parent and child intervention for young children with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2013;42(2):251-61. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2012.723263. PMID: 23020199.

1129. Wehmeier PM, Dittmann RW, Banaschewski T, et al. Does stimulant pretreatment modify atomoxetine effects on core symptoms of ADHD in children assessed by quantitative measurement technology? J Atten Disord. 2014 Feb;18(2):105-16. doi: 10.1177/1087054712445184. PMID: 22617861.

1130. Wehmeier PM, Kipp L, Banaschewski T, et al. Does Comorbid Disruptive Behavior Modify the Effects of Atomoxetine on ADHD Symptoms as Measured by a Continuous Performance Test and a Motion Tracking Device? J Atten Disord. 2015 Jul;19(7):591-602. doi: 10.1177/1087054712456739. PMID: 22930789.

1131. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Dittmann RW, et al. Effect of atomoxetine on quality of life and family burden: results from a randomized, placebo-controlled, doubleblind study in children and adolescents with ADHD and comorbid oppositional defiant or conduct disorder. Qual Life Res. 2011 Jun;20(5):691-702. doi: 10.1007/s11136-010-9803-5. PMID: 21136299.

1132. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Wolff C, et al. Neuropsychological outcomes across the day in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder treated with atomoxetine: results from a placebocontrolled study using a computer-based continuous performance test combined with an infra-red motion-tracking device. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Oct;21(5):433-44. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0142. PMID: 22040189.

1133. Weisner TS, Murray DW, Jensen PS, et al. Follow-Up of Young Adults With ADHD in the MTA: Design and Methods for Qualitative Interviews. J Atten Disord. 2018 Jul;22(9\_suppl):10s-20s. doi: 10.1177/1087054717713639. PMID: 28617075.

1134. Weiss M, Childress A, Mattingly G, et al. Relationship Between Symptomatic and Functional Improvement and Remission in a Treatment Response to Stimulant Trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Oct;28(8):521-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0166. PMID: 30036076.

1135. Weiss M, Childress A, Nordbrock E, et al. Characteristics of ADHD symptom response/remission in a clinical trial of methylphenidate extended release. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2019;8(4). doi: 10.3390/jcm8040461.

1136. Weiss MD, Surman C, Khullar A, et al. Effect of a Multilayer, Extended-Release Methylphenidate Formulation (PRC-063) on Sleep in Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Fixed-Dose, Placebo-Controlled Trial Followed by a 6-Month Open-Label Follow-Up. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Nov;31(9):623-30. doi: 10.1089/cap.2021.0087. PMID: 34714112.

1137. Wells KC, Epstein JN, Hinshaw SP, et al. Parenting and family stress treatment outcomes in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): an empirical analysis in the MTA study. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2000 Dec;28(6):543-53. doi: 10.1023/a:1005131131159. PMID: 11104316.

1138. Wells KC CT, Hinshaw SP, et al. Treatment-related changes in objectively measured parenting behaviors in the multimodal treatment study of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2006;74(4):649-57.

1139. Wietecha LW, D.; Shaywitz, S.; Shaywitz, B.; Hooper, SR.; Wigal, SB.; Dunn, D.; McBurnett, K. Atomoxetine improved attention in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and dyslexia in a 16 week, acute, randomized, double-blind trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2013;23:605–13. 1140. Wigal T GL, Chuang S, et al. Safety and tolerability of methylphenidate in preschool children with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006;45(11):1294-303.

1141. Wilens TE, McBurnett K, Turnbow J, et al. Morning and Evening Effects of Guanfacine Extended Release Adjunctive to Psychostimulants in Pediatric ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(2):110-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054713500144. PMID: 24071772.

1142. Williamson D, Murray DW, Damaraju CV, et al. Methylphenidate in children with ADHD with or without learning disability. J Atten Disord. 2014 Feb;18(2):95-104. doi: 10.1177/1087054712443411. PMID: 22628142.

1143. Winhusen TM, Lewis DF, Riggs PD, et al. Subjective effects, misuse, and adverse effects of osmotic-release methylphenidate treatment in adolescent substance abusers with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Oct;21(5):455-63. doi: 10.1089/cap.2011.0014. PMID: 22040190.

1144. Wolraich ML, Bard DE, Neas B, et al. The psychometric properties of the Vanderbilt attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder diagnostic teacher rating scale in a community population. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2013 Feb;34(2):83-93. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e31827d55c3. PMID: 23363973.

1145. Wu CS, Shang CY, Lin HY, et al. Differential Treatment Effects of Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine on Executive Functions in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Apr;31(3):187-96. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0146. PMID: 33890819. 1146. Xinhua Hospital Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. A Randomized Controlled Study of MEFP for Preschool Children With ADHD. 2017.

1147. Yale University, Health EKSNIoC, Development H, et al. Integrated Brain, Body and Social Intervention for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD).2012.

1148. Yang L, Cao Q, Shuai L, et al. Comparative study of OROS-MPH and atomoxetine on executive function improvement in ADHD: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2012 Feb;15(1):15-26. doi: 10.1017/S1461145711001490. PMID: 22017969.

1149. Yasumura A, Kokubo N, Yamamoto H, et al. Neurobehavioral and hemodynamic evaluation of Stroop and reverse Stroop interference in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Brain Dev. 2014 Feb;36(2):97-106. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2013.01.005. PMID: 23414618.

1150. Yin W, Li T, Mucha PJ, et al. Altered neural flexibility in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Mol Psychiatry. 2022 Nov;27(11):4673-9. doi: 10.1038/s41380-022-01706-4. PMID: 35869272.

1151. Zeinab Mostajeran. Effect of Ma-Al-Jabin on expression symptoms in hyperactivity disorder: a randomized clinical trial. 2018. <u>https://en.irct.ir/trial/30538</u>. Accessed on October 6 2022.

1152. Zhan Y, Wei J, Liang J, et al. Diagnostic Classification for Human Autism and Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Based on Machine Learning From a Primate Genetic Model. Am J Psychiatry. 2021 Jan 1;178(1):65-76. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.19101091. PMID: 32539526. 1153. Zhou X, Lin Q, Gui Y, et al.
Multimodal MR Images-Based Diagnosis of Early Adolescent Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Using Multiple Kernel Learning. Front Neurosci.
2021;15:710133. doi:
10.3389/fnins.2021.710133. PMID:
34594183.

1154. Zhou ZW, Fang YT, Lan XQ, et al. Inconsistency in abnormal functional connectivity across datasets of ADHD-200 in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2019;10(SEP). doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00692.

1155. Zhu L, Chang W. Application of deep convolutional neural networks in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder classification: Data augmentation and convolutional neural network transfer learning. Journal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics. 2019;9(8):1717-24. doi: 10.1166/jmihi.2019.2843.

1156. ZIli Fan, University P. Intensive Executive Function Training. 2016.

1157. Zimovetz EA, Beard SM, Hodgkins P, et al. A cost-utility analysis of lisdexamfetamine versus atomoxetine in the treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and inadequate response to methylphenidate. CNS Drugs. 2016 Oct 2016;30(10):985-96.

1158. Zou L, Zheng J, Miao C, et al. 3D CNN Based Automatic Diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Using Functional and Structural MRI. IEEE Access. 2017;5:23626-36. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2762703.

1159. Zuberer A, Minder F, Brandeis D, et al. Mixed-Effects Modeling of Neurofeedback Self-Regulation Performance: Moderators for Learning in Children with ADHD. Neural Plast. 2018;2018:2464310. doi: 10.1155/2018/2464310. PMID: 29765401.

1160. Brandt L, Fischer G. Adult ADHD Is Associated With Gambling Severity and Psychiatric Comorbidity Among Treatment-Seeking Problem Gamblers. J Atten Disord. 2019 Oct;23(12):1383-95. doi: 10.1177/1087054717690232. PMID: 28162086.

1161. Froehlich TE, Epstein JN, Nick TG, et al. Pharmacogenetic Predictors of Methylphenidate Dose-Response in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011 11/01/;50(11):1129-39. PMID: EJ944478.

1162. Fabiano GA, Pelham, W. E., Gnagy, E. M., Burrows-Maclean, L., Coles, E. K., Chacko, A., ... Robb, J. A. The single and combined effects of multiple intensities of behavior modification and methylphenidate for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in a classroom setting. School Psychology Review. 2007;36(2):195–216.

1163. Daley D, Van Der Oord S, Ferrin M, et al. Practitioner Review: Current best practice in the use of parent training and other behavioural interventions in the treatment of children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Sep;59(9):932-47. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12825. PMID: 29083042.

1164. Sonuga-Barke EJ, Brandeis D, Cortese S, et al. Nonpharmacological interventions for ADHD: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials of dietary and psychological treatments. Am J Psychiatry. 2013 Mar;170(3):275-89. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.12070991. PMID: 23360949.

1165. Wolraich ML, Hagan JF, Jr., Allan C, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Pediatrics. 2019 Oct 2019;144(4).

1166. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD).

https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/adhd/index.ht ml. Accessed on May 5, 2023.

1167. Pliszka S, Issues AWGoQ. Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Jul;46(7):894-921. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e318054e724. PMID: 17581453.

1168. Barbaresi WJ, Campbell L, Diekroger EA, et al. Society for Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics Clinical Practice Guideline for the Assessment and Treatment of Children and Adolescents with Complex Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2020 Feb/Mar;41 Suppl 2S:S35-S57. doi:

10.1097/DBP.000000000000770. PMID: 31996577.

1169. Hall CL, Valentine AZ, Groom MJ, et al. The clinical utility of the continuous performance test and objective measures of activity for diagnosing and monitoring ADHD in children: a systematic review. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Jul;25(7):677-99. doi: 10.1007/s00787-015-0798-x. PMID: 26620873.

AAP	American Academy of Pediatrics
ACAC	Association for Child and Adolescent Counseling
ADD-H	attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity
ADHD	Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
ADHD-RS-IV	ADHD Rating Scale Version IV
AHDD	attention hyperactivity deficit disorder
AHRQ	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
APA	American Psychological Association
ASD	autism spectrum disorder
AUC	Area Under the Curve
BASC-2	Behavior Assessment System for Children, Second Edition
BMI	body mass index
BRIEF2	Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function, Second Edition
CAM	complementary, alternative, or integrative medicine
CBCL	Child Behavior Checklist
CBT	Cognitive-behavioral therapy
CHADD	Children and Adults with ADHD
CHAOS	Conduct-Hyperactive-Attention Problem-Oppositional Symptom
CGI	Clinical Global Impression
CGI-I	Clinical Global Impression-Improvement
CGI-S	Clinical Global Impression-Severity
CI	Confidence Intervals
CNS	Central nervous system
CPRS	Conners Parent Rating Scale
CPT	Continuous Performance Test
DASH	Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension
DBDRS	Disruptive Behavior Disorder Ratings Scale
DHA	Docosahexaenoic acid
DIPA-L	Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment, Likert version
DS-ADHD	diagnosis-supported attention deficit hyperactivity disorder
DSM	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
DSM-III	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition
DSM-5	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition
EEG	electroencephalogram / electroencephalography
e.g.	exempli gratia
EHC	Effective Health Care

EKG	electrocardiogram
EPA	Eicosapentaenoic acid
EPC	Evidence-based Practice Center
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GPA	Grade Point Average
GRADE	Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation
GIRK	G protein-coupled inward-rectifying potassium channel
ICC	Intraclass Correlation Coefficient
ICD-11	International Classification of Diseases, Eleventh Edition
ID	identification
IQ	Intelligence quotient
KQ1	Key Question 1
KQ2	Key Question 2
KQ3	Key Question 3
MEMS	Medication Event Monitoring System
mg	milligram
MPH	Methylphenidate
MTA	Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
Ν	Sample size
N/A	Not applicable
ODD	oppositional defiant disorder
OROS	osmotic-release oral system
р	probability
PCORI	Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute
PICOTSO	Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome, Timing, Setting, Study Design, and Other limiters
PSC	The Pediatric Symptom Checklist
QbTest	continuous performance test
QI	quality improvement
QUADAS 2	Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies
RCT	Randomized controlled trial
RoB 2	Risk-of-Bias tool for randomized trials, version 2
RTI-B	Response to Intervention – Behavioral
RR	Relative Risks
SEADS	Submit Supplemental Evidence and Data for Systematic Reviews
SMART	Sequential Multiple Assignment Randomized Trial
SMD	standardized mean differences

SNAP-IV	Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham (SNAP) Questionnaire
SNRI	Serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor
SoE	strength of evidence
SPN-812	viloxazine extended release
SRDR	Systematic Review Data Repository
SUD	substance use disorder
SWAN	Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD Symptoms and Normal Behavior Rating Scale
TAU	Treatment-as-usual
TEP	Technical Expert Panel
ТОО	Task Order Officer
TRF	Teacher Report Form
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States

# **Appendix A. Methods**

# **Search Strategies**

# Search Strategy KQ1

#### PubMed

1

"Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity"[Mesh] OR "attention deficit hyperactivity disorder"[tiab] OR "ADHD"[tiab] OR "attention deficit disorder"[tiab]

2

"Pediatrics"[Mesh] OR "Adolescent"[Mesh] OR "Infant"[Mesh] OR "Child"[Mesh] OR child[tiab] OR children[tiab] OR infant[tiab] OR infants[tiab] OR preschool[tiab] OR preschooler[tiab] OR pediatric [tiab] OR teenager[tiab] OR teenagers[tiab] OR teenaged[tiab] OR teen[tiab] OR teens[tiab] OR adolescent[tiab] OR adolescents[tiab] OR adolescence[tiab] OR youth[tiab] OR paediatric[tiab] OR youths[tiab]

3

"Attention Deficit and Disruptive Behavior Disorders/diagnosis"[Majr] OR mass screening[mesh] OR questionnaires[mesh] OR Interviews as Topic[Mesh] OR Psychometrics[Mesh] OR Psychiatric Status Rating Scales[Mesh] OR diagnosis[mesh:noexp] OR "Diagnostic Techniques and Procedures"[Mesh] OR "Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders"[Mesh] OR "Referral and Consultation"[Mesh] OR questionnaire[tiab] OR questionnaires[tiab] OR screening[tiab] OR screen[tiab] OR scale[tiab] OR instrument[tiab] OR instruments[tiab] OR interview[tiab] OR interviews[tiab] OR DSM[tiab] OR diagnosis[tiab] OR diagnostic[tiab] OR diagnosed[tiab] OR Measure [tiab] OR tests[tiab] OR testing[tiab] OR "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity/diagnostic imaging"[Majr] 4

"Sensitivity and Specificity" [Mesh] OR "Diagnostic Errors" [Mesh] OR sensitivity[tiab] OR specificity[tiab] OR accuracy[tiab] OR accurate[tiab] OR accurately[tiab] OR misdiagnos\*[tiab] OR (randomized controlled trial[pt] OR controlled clinical trial[pt] OR randomized[tiab] OR randomised[tiab] OR randomization[tiab] OR randomisation[tiab] OR placebo[tiab] OR randomly[tiab] OR trial[tiab] OR groups[tiab] OR Clinical trial[pt] OR "clinical trial" [tiab] OR "clinical trials" [tiab] OR "evaluation studies" [pt] OR "evaluation studies as topic" [MeSH] OR "evaluation study" [tiab] OR evaluation studies[tiab] OR "intervention studies" [MeSH] OR "intervention study" [tiab] OR "intervention studies" [tiab] OR "cohort studies" [MeSH] OR cohort[tiab] OR "longitudinal studies" [MeSH] OR "longitudinal" [tiab] OR longitudinally [tiab] OR "prospective" [tiab] OR prospectively [tiab] OR "comparative study" [pt] OR "comparative study" [tiab] OR systematic[sb] OR "ROC Curve" [tiab] OR "positive predictive value" [tiab] OR "negative predictive value" [tiab] OR "false positive" [tiab] OR "false negative" [tiab] OR "likelihood ratio" [tiab])

5

Editorial[ptyp] OR Letter[pt] OR Case Reports[pt] OR Comment[pt] address[pt] OR "autobiography"[pt] OR "bibliography"[pt] OR "biography"[pt] OR "case report"[tw] OR "case reports"[tw] OR "case series"[tw] OR "comment on"[All Fields] OR congress[pt] OR

"dictionary"[pt] OR "directory"[pt] OR "festschrift"[pt] OR "historical article"[pt] OR lecture[pt] OR "legal case"[pt] OR "legislation"[pt] OR "news"[pt] OR "newspaper article"[pt] OR "patient education handout"[pt] OR "periodical index"[pt] 6 animals[mh] 7 humans[mh] 8 English[la] 9 #1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #4 NOT #5 NOT #6 NOT #7 AND #8 PUBLICATION DATE RANGE: 2016 to Jan 2023

# KQ #2

### <u>PubMed</u>

1

"Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity"[Mesh] OR "attention deficit hyperactivity disorder"[tiab] OR "ADHD"[tiab] OR "attention deficit disorder"[tiab]

```
2
```

"Pediatrics"[Mesh] OR "Adolescent"[Mesh] OR "Infant"[Mesh] OR "Child"[Mesh] OR child[tiab] OR children[tiab] OR infant[tiab] OR infants[tiab] OR preschool[tiab] OR preschooler[tiab] OR pediatric[tiab] OR teenager[tiab] OR teenagers[tiab] OR teenaged[tiab] OR teen[tiab] OR teens[tiab] OR adolescent[tiab] OR adolescents[tiab] OR adolescence[tiab] OR youth[tiab]

3

7

```
(randomized controlled trial[pt] OR controlled clinical trial[pt] OR randomized[tiab] OR
randomised[tiab] OR randomization[tiab] OR randomisation[tiab] OR placebo[tiab] OR
randomly[tiab] OR trial[tiab] OR groups[tiab] OR Clinical trial[pt] OR "clinical trial"[tiab] OR
"clinical trials"[tiab] OR "evaluation studies"[pt] OR "evaluation studies as topic"[MeSH] OR
"evaluation study"[tiab] OR "evaluation studies"[tiab] OR "intervention studies"[MeSH] OR
"intervention study"[tiab] OR "intervention studies"[tiab] OR "case-control studies"[MeSH] OR
"case-control"[tiab] OR "cohort studies"[MeSH] OR cohort[tiab] OR "longitudinal"[tiab] OR
longitudinally[tiab] OR "prospective"[tiab] OR prospectively[tiab] OR "retrospective"[tiab] OR
"comparative study"[pt] OR "comparative study"[tiab] OR systematic[sb] OR "meta-
analysis"[pt] OR "meta-analysis as topic"[MeSH] OR "meta-analysis"[fiab] OR
"metaanalyses"[tiab])
4
Editorial[ptyp] OR Letter[pt] OR Case Reports[pt] OR Comment[pt]
5.
animals[mh]
6
humans[mh]
```

English[la]

```
8
#1 AND #2 AND #3 NOT #4 NOT #5 NOT #6 AND #7
PUBLICATION DATE RANGE: 1980 to Jan 2023
```

## **PsycInfo**

#### **S**1

MAINSUBJECT.EXACT("Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity") OR SU "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity" OR TI ( "attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder" ) OR AB ( "attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder")

S2

AG (adolescence) OR TI (teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) OR AB (teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) S3

(MAINSUBJECT.EXACT("Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity") OR SU "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity" OR TI ("attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder") OR AB ("attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder")) AND (AG (adolescence ) OR TI (teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) OR AB (teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) )

S4

DE "CNS Stimulating Drugs" OR DE "Methylphenidate" OR DE "Dextroamphetamine" OR DE "Amphetamine" OR DE "Clonidine" OR DE "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR DE "Atomoxetine" OR DE "Tricyclic Antidepressant Drugs" OR DE "Desipramine" OR DE "Nortriptyline" OR DE "Bupropion" OR DE "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR DE "Venlafaxine" OR DE "Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors" OR DE "Amantadine" OR TI (Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants " OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda)

OR AB (Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants " OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrinedopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda) S5

DE "Psychotherapy" OR DE "Adolescent Psychotherapy" OR DE "Multisystemic Therapy" OR DE "Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Dialectical Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Brief Psychotherapy" OR DE "Child Psychotherapy" OR DE "Play Therapy" OR DE "Client Centered Therapy" OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Group Psychotherapy" OR DE "Therapeutic Community" OR DE "Integrative Psychotherapy" OR DE "Psychotherapeutic Counseling" OR DE "Family Therapy" OR DE "Supportive Psychotherapy" OR DE "Cognitive Therapy" OR DE "Parent Training" OR DE "Parent Child Relations" OR DE "Time Management" OR DE "Mindfulness" OR DE "School Based Intervention" OR DE "Memory Training" OR DE "Biofeedback Training" OR DE "Biofeedback" OR DE "Computer Assisted Instruction" OR DE "Intelligent Tutoring Systems" OR DE "Diets" OR DE "Dietary Supplements" OR DE "Food Additives" OR DE "Fatty Acids" OR DE "Acupuncture" OR DE "Remedial Education" OR DE "Early Intervention" OR DE "Alternative Medicine" OR TI (Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills") AND (training OR intervention)) OR "psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR "parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR

"time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR "Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR "food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor ) OR AB (Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills") AND (training OR intervention)) OR"psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR "parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR "time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR "Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR "food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor) **S6** 

(DE "CNS Stimulating Drugs" OR DE "Methylphenidate" OR DE "Dextroamphetamine" OR DE "Amphetamine" OR DE "Clonidine" OR DE "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR DE "Atomoxetine" OR DE "Tricyclic Antidepressant Drugs" OR DE "Desipramine" OR DE "Nortriptyline" OR DE "Bupropion" OR DE "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR DE "Venlafaxine" OR DE "Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors" OR DE "Amantadine" OR TI (Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants " OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda) OR AB (Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants " OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrinedopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda)) OR (DE "Psychotherapy" OR DE "Adolescent Psychotherapy" OR DE "Multisystemic Therapy" OR DE "Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Dialectical Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Brief Psychotherapy" OR DE "Child Psychotherapy" OR DE "Play Therapy" OR DE "Client Centered Therapy" OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Group Psychotherapy" OR DE "Therapeutic Community" OR DE "Integrative Psychotherapy" OR DE "Psychotherapeutic Counseling" OR DE "Family Therapy" OR DE "Supportive Psychotherapy" OR DE "Cognitive Therapy" OR DE "Parent Training" OR DE "Parent Child Relations" OR DE "Time

Management" OR DE "Mindfulness" OR DE "School Based Intervention" OR DE "Memory Training" OR DE "Biofeedback Training" OR DE "Biofeedback" OR DE "Computer Assisted Instruction" OR DE "Intelligent Tutoring Systems" OR DE "Diets" OR DE "Dietary Supplements" OR DE "Food Additives" OR DE "Fatty Acids" OR DE "Acupuncture" OR DE "Remedial Education" OR DE "Early Intervention" OR DE "Alternative Medicine" OR TI (Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills") AND (training OR intervention)) OR "psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR "parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR "time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR "Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR "food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor ) OR AB (Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills") AND (training OR intervention)) OR"psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR

"parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR "time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR "Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR "food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor )) **S**7

((MAINSUBJECT.EXACT("Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity") OR SU "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity" OR TI ("attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder") OR AB ("attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder")) AND (AG (childhood OR adolescence) OR DE "Pediatrics" OR TI ( child OR children OR infant OR infants OR preschool OR preschooler OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) OR AB ( child OR children OR infant OR infants OR preschool OR preschooler OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) )) AND ((DE "CNS Stimulating Drugs" OR DE "Methylphenidate" OR DE "Dextroamphetamine" OR DE "Amphetamine" OR DE "Clonidine" OR DE "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR DE "Atomoxetine" OR DE "Tricyclic Antidepressant Drugs" OR DE "Desipramine" OR DE "Nortriptyline" OR DE "Bupropion" OR DE "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR DE "Venlafaxine" OR DE "Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors" OR DE "Amantadine" OR TI ( Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants " OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR

bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda) OR AB (Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants " OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrinedopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda)) OR (DE "Psychotherapy" OR DE "Adolescent Psychotherapy" OR DE "Multisystemic Therapy" OR DE "Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Dialectical Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Brief Psychotherapy" OR DE "Child Psychotherapy" OR DE "Play Therapy" OR DE "Client Centered Therapy" OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Group Psychotherapy" OR DE "Therapeutic Community" OR DE "Integrative Psychotherapy" OR DE "Psychotherapeutic Counseling" OR DE "Family Therapy" OR DE "Supportive Psychotherapy" OR DE "Cognitive Therapy" OR DE "Parent Training" OR DE "Parent Child Relations" OR DE "Time Management" OR DE "Mindfulness" OR DE "School Based Intervention" OR DE "Memory Training" OR DE "Biofeedback Training" OR DE "Biofeedback" OR DE "Computer Assisted Instruction" OR DE "Intelligent Tutoring Systems" OR DE "Diets" OR DE "Dietary Supplements" OR DE "Food Additives" OR DE "Fatty Acids" OR DE "Acupuncture" OR DE "Remedial Education" OR DE "Early Intervention" OR DE "Alternative Medicine" OR TI (Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills") AND (training OR intervention)) OR "psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR "parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's
defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR "time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR "Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR "food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor ) OR AB (Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills") AND (training OR intervention)) OR"psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR "parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR "time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR "Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR

"food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor ))) S8

ZC "longitudinal study" OR ZC "empirical study" OR ZC "followup study" OR ZC "longitudinal study" OR ZC "meta analysis" OR ZC "prospective study" OR ZC "retrospective study" OR ZC "systematic review" OR ZC "treatment outcome/clinical trial"OR DE "Clinical Trials" OR DE "Cohort Analysis" OR DE "Followup Studies" OR DE "Longitudinal Studies" OR DE "Prospective Studies" OR DE "Meta Analysis" OR TI (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomisation OR randomly OR trial OR groups OR trials OR "evaluation study" OR cohort OR longitudinal OR longitudinally OR prospective OR prospectively OR retrospective OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomised OR randomization OR randomised OR randomised OR randomized OR randomised OR "intervention study" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomised OR randomised OR randomised OR randomised OR randomized OR randomised OR randomized OR randomised OR randomized OR randomised OR randomized OR randomised OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomised OR randomised OR randomised OR randomised OR "intervention study" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR revaluation study" OR evaluation studies OR "intervention study" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR revaluation study" OR revaluation study OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomised OR "intervention study" OR "meta-analyses" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR Case-control OR cohort OR longitudinal OR longitudinal OR longitudinally OR prospective OR prospectively OR retrospective OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-anal

#### S9

(((MAINSUBJECT.EXACT("Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity") OR SU "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity" OR TI ("attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder") OR AB ("attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder")) AND (AG (childhood OR adolescence)) OR DE "Pediatrics" OR TI ( child OR children OR infant OR infants OR preschool OR preschooler OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) OR AB ( child OR children OR infant OR infants OR preschool OR preschooler OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) )) AND ((DE "CNS Stimulating Drugs" OR DE "Methylphenidate" OR DE "Dextroamphetamine" OR DE "Amphetamine" OR DE "Clonidine" OR DE "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR DE "Atomoxetine" OR DE "Tricyclic Antidepressant Drugs" OR DE "Desipramine" OR DE "Nortriptyline" OR DE "Bupropion" OR DE "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR DE "Venlafaxine" OR DE "Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors" OR DE "Amantadine" OR TI (Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants " OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake

inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda) OR AB (Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants " OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrinedopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda)) OR (DE "Psychotherapy" OR DE "Adolescent Psychotherapy" OR DE "Multisystemic Therapy" OR DE "Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Dialectical Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Brief Psychotherapy" OR DE "Child Psychotherapy" OR DE "Play Therapy" OR DE "Client Centered Therapy" OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Group Psychotherapy" OR DE "Therapeutic Community" OR DE "Integrative Psychotherapy" OR DE "Psychotherapeutic Counseling" OR DE "Family Therapy" OR DE "Supportive Psychotherapy" OR DE "Cognitive Therapy" OR DE "Parent Training" OR DE "Parent Child Relations" OR DE "Time Management" OR DE "Mindfulness" OR DE "School Based Intervention" OR DE "Memory Training" OR DE "Biofeedback Training" OR DE "Biofeedback" OR DE "Computer Assisted Instruction" OR DE "Intelligent Tutoring Systems" OR DE "Diets" OR DE "Dietary Supplements" OR DE "Food Additives" OR DE "Fatty Acids" OR DE "Acupuncture" OR DE "Remedial Education" OR DE "Early Intervention" OR DE "Alternative Medicine" OR TI (Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills") AND (training OR intervention)) OR "psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR "parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention

skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR "time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR "Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR "food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor ) OR AB (Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills") AND (training OR intervention)) OR"psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR "parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR "time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR "Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR "food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor )))) AND (ZC "longitudinal

study" OR ZC "empirical study" OR ZC "followup study" OR ZC "longitudinal study" OR ZC "meta analysis" OR ZC "prospective study" OR ZC "retrospective study" OR ZC "systematic review" OR ZC "treatment outcome/clinical trial"OR DE "Clinical Trials" OR DE "Cohort Analysis" OR DE "Followup Studies" OR DE "Longitudinal Studies" OR DE "Prospective Studies" OR DE "Meta Analysis" OR TI (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomisation OR randomly OR trial OR groups OR trials OR "evaluation study" OR cohort OR longitudinal OR longitudinally OR prospective OR prospectively OR retrospective OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR randomized OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR randomized OR r

(((MAINSUBJECT.EXACT("Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity") OR SU "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity" OR TI ("attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder") OR AB ("attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder")) AND (AG (childhood OR adolescence) OR DE "Pediatrics" OR TI ( child OR children OR infant OR infants OR preschool OR preschooler OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) OR AB ( child OR children OR infant OR infants OR preschool OR preschooler OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) )) AND ((DE "CNS Stimulating Drugs" OR DE "Methylphenidate" OR DE "Dextroamphetamine" OR DE "Amphetamine" OR DE "Clonidine" OR DE "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR DE "Atomoxetine" OR DE "Tricyclic Antidepressant Drugs" OR DE "Desipramine" OR DE "Nortriptyline" OR DE "Bupropion" OR DE "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR DE "Venlafaxine" OR DE "Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors" OR DE "Amantadine" OR TI (Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants " OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda)

OR AB (Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants " OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrinedopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda)) OR (DE "Psychotherapy" OR DE "Adolescent Psychotherapy" OR DE "Multisystemic Therapy" OR DE "Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Dialectical Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Brief Psychotherapy" OR DE "Child Psychotherapy" OR DE "Play Therapy" OR DE "Client Centered Therapy" OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Group Psychotherapy" OR DE "Therapeutic Community" OR DE "Integrative Psychotherapy" OR DE "Psychotherapeutic Counseling" OR DE "Family Therapy" OR DE "Supportive Psychotherapy" OR DE "Cognitive Therapy" OR DE "Parent Training" OR DE "Parent Child Relations" OR DE "Time Management" OR DE "Mindfulness" OR DE "School Based Intervention" OR DE "Memory Training" OR DE "Biofeedback Training" OR DE "Biofeedback" OR DE "Computer Assisted Instruction" OR DE "Intelligent Tutoring Systems" OR DE "Diets" OR DE "Dietary Supplements" OR DE "Food Additives" OR DE "Fatty Acids" OR DE "Acupuncture" OR DE "Remedial Education" OR DE "Early Intervention" OR DE "Alternative Medicine" OR TI (Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills") AND (training OR intervention)) OR "psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR "parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR "time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR

"Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR "food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor ) OR AB (Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills") AND (training OR intervention)) OR"psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR "parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR "time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR "Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR "food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor )))) AND (ZC "longitudinal study" OR ZC "empirical study" OR ZC "followup study" OR ZC "longitudinal study" OR ZC "meta analysis" OR ZC "prospective study" OR ZC "retrospective study" OR ZC "systematic review" OR ZC "treatment outcome/clinical trial"OR DE "Clinical Trials" OR DE "Cohort

Analysis" OR DE "Followup Studies" OR DE "Longitudinal Studies" OR DE "Prospective Studies" OR DE "Meta Analysis" OR TI (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomisation OR randomly OR trial OR groups OR trials OR "evaluation study" OR evaluation studies OR "intervention study" OR "intervention studies" OR "case-control" OR cohort OR longitudinal OR longitudinally OR prospective OR prospectively OR retrospective OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomisation OR randomly OR trial OR groups OR trials OR "evaluation study" OR evaluation studies OR "intervention study" OR "intervention studies" OR "case-control" OR cohort OR longitudinal OR longitudinally OR prospective OR prospectively OR retrospective OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analysis" OR "metaanalyses" ) AND (ZZ "journal article") ) AND yr(1980-2011)

## <u>ERIC</u>

**S**1

DE "Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder" OR SU "Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder" OR ("attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder")

S2

adolescence OR teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescence OR youth

S3

S1 AND S2

S4

("CNS Stimulating Drugs" OR "Methylphenidate" OR "Dextroamphetamine" OR "Amphetamine" OR "Clonidine" OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR "Atomoxetine" OR "Tricyclic Antidepressant Drugs" OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR "Bupropion" OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR "Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors" OR "Amantadine" ) OR ( Azstarys OR Cotempla XR-ODT OR Desoxyn OR "Alpha agonist" OR psychostimulants OR "CNS stimulating" OR "Central Nervous System stimulants" OR methylphenidate OR Dexmethylphenidate OR Dextroamphetamine OR lisdexamfetamine OR Amphetamine OR aptensio OR concerta OR Ritalin OR methylin OR medikinet OR equasym OR quillivant OR metadate OR daytrana OR focalin OR Dexedrine OR dextrostat OR procentra OR zenzedi OR Adderall OR vyvanse OR elvanse OR tyvense OR dyanavel OR evekeo OR "alpha-2 agonists" OR guanfacine OR intuniv OR tenex OR estulic OR afken OR clonidine OR catapres OR clophelin OR kapvay OR nexiclon OR duraclon OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR Strattera OR atomoxetine OR "Tricyclic Antidepressants" OR "Desipramine" OR "Nortriptyline" OR norpramin OR pertofrane OR pamelor OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR modanifil OR Provigil OR alertec OR modavigil OR modiodal OR modalert OR armodafinil OR nuvigil OR "norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR bupropion OR Wellbutrin OR zyban OR forfivo OR "Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors" OR duloxetine OR Cymbalta OR "serotonin norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors" OR "Venlafaxine" OR Effexor OR trevilor OR (Monoamine Oxidase AND Inhibitors) OR selegiline OR eldepryl OR emsam OR selgene OR zelapar OR "n methyl d aspartate receptor agonists" OR "Amantadine" OR symmetrel OR memantine OR Namenda) **S**5

"Psychotherapy" OR "Adolescent Psychotherapy" OR "Multisystemic Therapy" OR "Behavior Therapy" OR "Dialectical Behavior Therapy" OR "Brief Psychotherapy" OR "Child Psychotherapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "Client Centered Therapy" OR "Cognitive Behavior Therapy" OR "Group Psychotherapy" OR "Therapeutic Community" OR "Integrative Psychotherapy" OR "Psychotherapeutic Counseling" OR "Family Therapy" OR "Supportive Psychotherapy" OR "Cognitive Therapy" OR "Parent Training" OR "Parent Child Relations" OR "Time Management" OR "Mindfulness" OR "School Based Intervention" OR "Memory Training" OR "Biofeedback Training" OR "Biofeedback" OR "Computer Assisted Instruction" OR "Intelligent Tutoring Systems" OR "Diets" OR "Dietary Supplements" OR "Food Additives" OR "Fatty Acids" OR "Acupuncture" OR "Remedial Education" OR "Early Intervention" OR "Alternative Medicine" OR Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation OR eTNS OR "EndeavorRx" OR ((classroom OR school OR schools) AND (behavior intervention OR behavior interventions)) OR "peer intervention" OR (("organization skills")) AND (training OR intervention)) OR "psychosocial therapy" OR "psychosocial intervention" OR "psychosocial interventions" OR "psychosocial approach" OR "psychosocial approaches" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychosocial support" OR "psychoeducation" OR "nonpharmacologic therapy" OR "nondrug therapy" OR "non-drug therapy" OR "Play Therapy" OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "cognitive behavior therapy" OR "cognitive behavioural therapy" OR "cognitive behaviour therapy" OR Mindfulness OR complementary OR "alternative medicine" OR "alternative therapy" OR "alternative therapies" OR "Interpersonal skills training" OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy" OR "parent training" OR "parent engagement" OR "parent management" OR "parenting skills" OR "parenting intervention" OR "parenting interventions" OR "Barkley's defiant child" OR "Teacher-Child Interaction Training" OR "Incredible Years" OR "New Forest Parenting" OR "Triple P" OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child" OR "child life and attention skills" OR "clas" OR PCIT OR "parent child interaction therapy" OR "Summer Treatment Program" OR "Daily Report Card" OR "organization skills" OR "organizational skills" OR "time management" OR "homework intervention" OR braintrain OR "memory training" OR "Captain's log mindpower builder" OR "memory gyms" OR "attention gym" OR "smartdriver plus" OR "smartmind pro" OR "RoboMemo" OR "play attention" OR metronome OR brainmaster OR mindmed OR "attention lab" OR (activate AND c8) OR "attention training" OR "CogniPlus" OR cogmed OR "working memory training" OR biofeedback OR neurofeedback OR neuroagility OR neuroptimal OR acupuncture OR "vision training" OR "visual training" OR "vision therapy" OR "education intervention" OR "cognitive remediation" OR neurotherapy OR "elimination diet" OR "diet therapy" OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates" OR "gluten free") AND diet) OR "feingold diet" OR "red dye" OR ((vitamin OR vitamins) AND (supplement OR supplements)) OR "herbal supplement" OR "herbal supplements" OR probiotics OR "omega 3" OR "slow cortical potentials" OR "few foods diet" OR "oligoantigenic diet" OR "restriction diet" OR "food intolerance" OR "food allergy" OR "food allergies" OR "food sensitivity" OR "food sensitivities" OR "multimodal treatment" OR homeopathy OR homeopathic OR chiropractic OR chiropractor S6 S4 OR S5 S7 S3 AND S6

**S**8

"longitudinal study" OR "empirical study" OR "followup study" OR "longitudinal study" OR "meta analysis" OR "prospective study" OR "retrospective study" OR "systematic review" OR "treatment outcome/clinical trial" OR "Clinical Trials" OR "Cohort Analysis" OR "Followup Studies" OR "Longitudinal Studies" OR "Prospective Studies" OR "Meta Analysis" OR randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomisation OR randomly OR trial OR groups OR trials OR "evaluation study" OR evaluation studies OR "intervention study" OR "intervention studies" OR "case-control" OR cohort OR longitudinal OR longitudinally OR prospective OR prospectively OR retrospective OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-analyses"

S9 S7 AND S8

Publication Date Range: 1980-2011; Publication Type: Journal Articles

## **EMBASE**

1

'attention deficit disorder'/exp OR 'attention deficit disorder' OR 'attention deficit hyperactivity disorder':ab,ti OR 'adhd':ab,ti OR 'attention deficit disorder':ab,ti

2

'adolescent'/exp OR teenager:ab,ti OR teenager:ab,ti OR teenaged:ab,ti OR teen:ab,ti OR teen:ab,ti OR adolescent:ab,ti OR adol

#1 AND #2

4

'azstarys':ab,ti OR 'cotempla xr-odt':ab,ti OR 'desoxyn':ab,ti OR 'alpha agonist':ab,ti OR 'attention deficit disorder'/exp/mj/dm dt OR 'central stimulant agent'/exp OR 'psychostimulant agent'/exp OR 'guanfacine'/exp OR 'adrenergic receptor affecting agent'/exp OR 'atomoxetine'/exp OR 'antidepressant agent'/exp OR 'n methyl dextro aspartic acid receptor'/exp OR 'memantine'/exp OR 'amantadine'/exp OR 'dopamine uptake inhibitor'/exp OR 'central nervous system stimulants':ab,ti OR 'psychostimulant':ab,ti OR 'methylphenidate':ab,ti OR 'methylphenidate hydrochloride':ab,ti OR 'aptensio':ab,ti OR 'concerta':ab,ti OR 'ritalin':ab,ti OR 'ritalin la':ab.ti OR 'medikinet':ab.ti OR 'equasym':ab.ti OR 'guillivant':ab.ti OR 'metadate':ab.ti OR 'daytrana':ab,ti OR 'dexmethylphenidate':ab,ti OR 'dexmethylphenidate hydrochloride':ab,ti OR 'focalin':ab,ti OR 'dextroamphetamine':ab,ti OR 'dexedrine':ab,ti OR 'dextrostat':ab,ti OR 'procentra':ab,ti OR 'zenzedi':ab,ti OR 'mixed amphetamine salts':ab,ti OR 'adderall':ab,ti OR 'lisdexamfetamine':ab,ti OR 'lisdexamfetamine dimesylate':ab,ti OR 'vyvanse':ab,ti OR 'venvanse':ab,ti OR 'elvanse':ab,ti OR 'tyvense':ab,ti OR 'dyanavel':ab,ti OR 'evekeo':ab,ti OR 'guanfacine':ab,ti OR 'sympatholytics':ab,ti OR 'central alpha-2 adrenergic agonist':ab,ti OR 'clonidine':ab,ti OR 'intuniv':ab,ti OR 'estulic':ab,ti OR 'tenex':ab,ti OR 'catapres':ab,ti OR 'clophelin':ab,ti OR 'kapvay':ab,ti OR 'nexiclon':ab,ti OR 'duraclon':ab,ti OR 'norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors':ab,ti OR 'selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors':ab,ti OR 'adrenergic uptake inhibitors':ab,ti OR 'atomoxetine':ab,ti OR 'strattera':ab,ti OR 'tricyclic antidepressants':ab,ti OR 'desipramine':ab,ti OR 'norpramin':ab,ti OR 'nortriptyline':ab,ti OR 'pamelor':ab,ti OR 'dopamine reuptake inhibitors':ab,ti OR 'modafinil':ab,ti OR 'provigil':ab,ti OR 'armodafinil':ab,ti OR 'norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitors':ab,ti OR 'bupropion':ab,ti OR 'wellbutrin':ab,ti OR 'forfivo':ab,ti OR 'venlafaxine':ab,ti OR 'reboxetine':ab,ti OR 'monoamine oxidase type b inhibitors':ab,ti OR 'selegiline':ab,ti OR 'nmda receptors':ab,ti OR 'n-methyl-d-aspartate receptor antagonists':ab,ti OR 'amantadine':ab,ti OR

'memantine':ab,ti OR 'pertofrane':ab,ti OR 'nuvigil':ab,ti OR 'cymbalta':ab,ti OR 'duloxetine':ab,ti OR 'effexor':ab,ti OR 'eldepryl':ab,ti OR 'emsam':ab,ti OR 'trevilor':ab,ti OR 'symmetrel':ab,ti OR 'namenda':ab,ti OR 'zelapar':ab,ti 5

'monarch external trigeminal nerve stimulation':ab,ti OR etns:ab,ti OR ((classroom:ab,ti OR school:ab,ti OR schools:ab,ti) AND ('behavior intervention':ab,ti OR 'behavior interventions':ab,ti)) OR 'peer intervention':ab,ti OR ('organization skills':ab,ti AND (training:ab,ti OR intervention:ab,ti)) OR 'attention deficit disorder'/exp/mj/dm rh,dm dm OR 'psychotherapy'/exp OR 'child psychiatry'/exp OR 'child parent relation'/exp OR 'time management'/exp OR 'feedback system'/exp OR 'teaching'/exp OR 'adaptive behavior'/exp OR 'diet therapy'/exp OR 'omega 3 fatty acid'/exp OR 'vitamin'/exp/dd do,dd dt,dd ad OR 'food additive'/exp/dd ae OR 'probiotic agent'/exp OR 'acupuncture'/exp OR 'early childhood intervention'/exp OR 'alternative medicine'/exp OR 'psychosocial therapy':ab,ti OR 'psychosocial intervention':ab,ti OR 'psychosocial interventions':ab,ti OR 'psychosocial approach':ab,ti OR 'psychosocial approaches':ab,ti OR 'psychosocial treatment':ab,ti OR 'psychosocial support':ab,ti OR 'psychoeducation':ab,ti OR 'nonpharmacologic therapy':ab,ti OR 'nondrug therapy':ab,ti OR 'non-drug therapy':ab,ti OR 'play therapy':ab,ti OR 'cognitive behavioral therapy':ab,ti OR 'cognitive behavior therapy':ab,ti OR 'cognitive behavioural therapy':ab,ti OR 'cognitive behaviour therapy':ab,ti OR mindfulness:ab,ti OR complementary:ab,ti OR 'alternative medicine':ab,ti OR 'alternative therapy':ab,ti OR 'alternative therapies':ab,ti OR 'interpersonal skills training':ab,ti OR 'parent-child interaction therapy':ab,ti OR 'parent training':ab,ti OR 'parent engagement':ab,ti OR 'parent management':ab,ti OR 'parenting skills':ab,ti OR 'parenting intervention':ab,ti OR 'parenting interventions':ab,ti OR 'barkleys defiant child':ab,ti OR 'teacher-child interaction training':ab,ti OR 'incredible years':ab,ti OR 'new forest parenting':ab,ti OR 'triple p':ab,ti OR 'helping the noncompliant child':ab,ti OR 'child life and attention skills':ab,ti OR 'clas':ab,ti OR pcit:ab,ti OR 'parent child interaction therapy':ab,ti OR 'summer treatment program':ab,ti OR 'daily report card':ab,ti OR 'organization skills':ab,ti OR 'organizational skills':ab,ti OR 'time management':ab,ti OR 'homework intervention':ab,ti OR braintrain:ab,ti OR 'memory training':ab,ti OR 'captains log mindpower builder':ab,ti OR 'memory gyms':ab,ti OR 'attention gym':ab,ti OR 'smartdriver plus':ab,ti OR 'smartmind pro':ab,ti OR 'robomemo':ab,ti OR 'play attention':ab,ti OR metronome:ab,ti OR brainmaster:ab,ti OR mindmed:ab,ti OR 'attention lab':ab,ti OR (activate:ab,ti AND c8:ab,ti) OR 'attention training':ab,ti OR 'cogniplus':ab,ti OR cogmed:ab,ti OR 'working memory training':ab,ti OR biofeedback:ab,ti OR neurofeedback:ab,ti OR neuroagility:ab,ti OR neuroptimal:ab,ti OR acupuncture:ab,ti OR 'vision training':ab,ti OR 'visual training':ab,ti OR 'vision therapy':ab,ti OR 'education intervention':ab,ti OR 'cognitive remediation':ab,ti OR neurotherapy:ab,ti OR 'elimination diet':ab,ti OR 'diet therapy':ab,ti OR (('low carb' OR 'low carbohydrate' OR 'low carbohydrates':ab,ti OR 'gluten free') AND diet:ab,ti) OR 'feingold diet':ab,ti OR 'red dye':ab,ti OR ((vitamin:ab,ti OR vitamins:ab,ti) AND (supplement:ab,ti OR supplements:ab,ti)) OR 'herbal supplement':ab,ti OR 'herbal supplements':ab,ti OR probiotics:ab,ti OR 'omega 3':ab,ti OR 'slow cortical potentials':ab,ti OR 'few foods diet':ab,ti OR 'oligoantigenic diet':ab,ti OR 'restriction diet':ab,ti OR 'food intolerance':ab,ti OR 'food allergy':ab,ti OR 'food allergies':ab,ti OR 'food sensitivity':ab,ti OR 'food sensitivities':ab,ti OR 'multimodal treatment':ab,ti OR homeopathy:ab,ti OR homeopathic:ab,ti OR chiropractic:ab,ti OR chiropractor:ab,ti 6

```
Appendix A. Methods
```

```
#4 OR #5
7
#3 AND #6
8
```

('randomized controlled trial'/exp OR 'crossover procedure'/exp OR 'double blind procedure'/exp OR 'single blind procedure'/exp OR random\*:ab,ti OR factorial\*:ab,ti OR crossover\*:ab,ti OR ((cross NEAR/1 over\*):ab,ti) OR placebo\*:ab,ti OR ((doubl\* NEAR/1 blind\*):ab,ti) OR ((singl\* NEAR/1 blind\*):ab,ti) OR assign\*:ab,ti OR allocat\*:ab,ti OR volunteer\*:ab,ti OR 'clinical study'/exp OR 'clinical trial':ti,ab OR 'clinical trials':ti,ab OR 'controlled study'/exp OR 'evaluation'/exp OR 'evaluation study':ab,ti OR 'evaluation studies':ab,ti OR 'intervention study':ab,ti OR 'intervention studies':ab,ti OR 'case control':ab,ti OR 'cohort analysis'/exp OR cohort:ab,ti OR longitudinal\*:ab,ti OR prospective:ab,ti OR prospectively:ab,ti OR retrospective:ab,ti OR 'follow up'/exp OR 'follow up':ab,ti OR 'comparative effectiveness'/exp OR 'comparative study'/exp OR 'systematic review':ab,ti OR 'meta-analysis':ab,ti OR 'meta-analyses':ab,ti) NOT ('case report'/exp OR 'case study'/exp OR 'editorial'/exp OR 'letter'/exp OR 'note'/exp)

```
9
```

```
#7 AND #8
```

10

```
#9 AND [embase]/lim NOT [medline]/lim
```

11

```
#10 AND [humans]/lim AND [1980-2011]/py
```

## **Cochrane Reviews**

#1 [mh "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity"] #2 attention deficit hyperactivity disorder:ab,ti OR "ADHD":ab,ti OR "attention deficit disorder":ab,ti #3 #1 OR #2 #4 [mh Adolescent] #5 teenager:ab,ti OR teenagers:ab,ti OR teenaged:ab,ti OR teens:ab,ti OR teens:ab,ti OR adolescent:ab,ti OR adolescents:ab,ti OR adolescence:ab,ti OR youth:ab,ti #6 #4 OR #5 #7 [mh "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity"/DT] OR [mh "Central Nervous System Stimulants"] OR [mh Methylphenidate] OR [mh Dexmethylphenidate] OR [mh Dextroamphetamine] OR [mh Amphetamine] OR [mh Guanfacine] OR [mh Sympatholytics] OR [mh Clonidine] OR [mh "Adrenergic Uptake Inhibitors"] OR [mh "alpha-2 Adrenergic

Receptors"] OR [mh "Adrenergic alpha-Agonists"] OR [mh "Adrenergic alpha-2 Receptor Agonists"] OR [mh "Tricyclic Antidepressive Agents"] OR [mh Desipramine] OR [mh

"Dopamine Uptake Inhibitors"] OR [mh Sympathomimetics] OR [mh "Serotonin Uptake Inhibitors"] OR [mh "Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors"] OR [mh "Monoamine Oxidase"] OR [mh Selegiline] OR [mh Bupropion] OR [mh "N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Receptors"] OR [mh Memantine] OR [mh Amantadine]

#8

"Azstarys":ab,ti OR "Cotempla XR-ODT":ab,ti OR "Desoxyn":ab,ti OR "Alpha agonist":ab,ti OR "psychostimulants":ab,ti OR "CNS stimulating":ab,ti OR "Central Nervous System Stimulants":ab,ti OR "psychostimulant":ab,ti OR "Methylphenidate":ab,ti OR "Methylphenidate Hydrochloride":ab,ti OR "Aptensio":ab,ti OR "Concerta":ab,ti OR "Ritalin":ab,ti OR "Ritalin LA":ab,ti OR "Medikinet":ab,ti OR "Equasym":ab,ti OR "Quillivant":ab,ti OR "Metadate":ab,ti OR "Daytrana":ab,ti OR "Dexmethylphenidate":ab,ti OR "Dexmethylphenidate Hydrochloride":ab,ti OR "Focalin":ab,ti OR "Dextroamphetamine":ab,ti OR "Dexedrine":ab,ti OR "Dextrostat":ab.ti OR "ProCentra":ab.ti OR "Zenzedi":ab.ti OR "mixed amphetamine salts":ab,ti OR "Adderall":ab,ti OR "lisdexamfetamine":ab,ti OR "lisdexamfetamine dimesylate":ab,ti OR "Vyvanse":ab,ti OR "Venvanse":ab,ti OR "Elvanse":ab,ti OR "Tyvense":ab,ti OR "Dyanavel":ab,ti OR "Evekeo":ab,ti OR "Guanfacine":ab,ti OR "Sympatholytics":ab,ti OR "Central alpha-2 Adrenergic Agonist":ab,ti OR "Clonidine":ab,ti OR "Intuniv":ab,ti OR "Estulic":ab,ti OR "Tenex":ab,ti OR "Catapres":ab,ti OR "Clophelin":ab,ti OR "Kapvay":ab,ti OR "Nexiclon":ab,ti OR "Duraclon":ab,ti OR "Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors":ab,ti OR "Selective Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors":ab,ti OR Adrenergic Uptake Inhibitors:ab,ti OR "atomoxetine":ab,ti OR "Strattera":ab,ti OR "Tricyclic antidepressants":ab,ti OR "Desipramine":ab,ti OR "Norpramin":ab,ti OR "Nortriptyline":ab,ti OR "Pamelor":ab,ti OR Dopamine Reuptake Inhibitors:ab,ti OR "modafinil":ab,ti OR "Provigil":ab,ti OR Armodafinil:ab,ti OR Norepinephrine-dopamine Reuptake Inhibitors:ab,ti OR "Bupropion":ab,ti OR "Wellbutrin":ab,ti OR "Forfivo":ab,ti OR "Cymbalta":ab,ti OR "venlafaxine":ab.ti OR "reboxetine":ab.ti OR Monoamine Oxidase Type B inhibitors:ab.ti OR "Selegiline":ab,ti OR "Eldepryl":ab,ti OR "Zelapar":ab,ti OR "NMDA receptors":ab,ti OR N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor Antagonists:ab,ti OR "Amantadine":ab,ti OR "Memantine":ab,ti OR "Pertofrane":ab,ti OR "Nuvigil":ab,ti OR "Cymbalta":ab,ti OR "duloxetine":ab,ti OR "Effexor":ab.ti OR "Eldepryl":ab.ti OR "Emsam":ab.ti OR "Trevilor":ab.ti OR "Symmetrel":ab.ti OR "Namenda":ab,ti OR "Zelapar":ab,ti

#9

#7 OR #8

#10

'monarch external trigeminal nerve stimulation':ab,ti OR etns:ab,ti OR ((classroom:ab,ti OR school:ab,ti OR school:ab,ti) AND ('behavior intervention':ab,ti OR 'behavior interventions':ab,ti)) OR 'peer intervention':ab,ti OR ('organization skills':ab,ti AND (training:ab,ti OR intervention:ab,ti)) OR [mh "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity"/DH] OR [mh "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity"/RH] OR [mh "Psychotherapy] OR [mh "Behavior Therapy"] OR [mh "Parent-Child Relations"] OR [mh "Play Therapy"] OR [mh "Cognitive Therapy"] OR [mh "Time Management"] OR [mh "Computer-Assisted Instruction"] OR [mh "Diet Therapy"] OR [mh "Omega-3 Fatty Acids"/TU] OR [mh Vitamins/AD] OR [mh Vitamins/TU] OR [mh "Food Additives"/AE] OR [mh Probiotics/TU] OR [mh "Acupuncture Therapy"] OR [mh "Remedial Teaching"] OR [mh "Early Intervention (Education)"] OR [mh "Complementary Therapies"] OR [mh "Combined Modality Therapy"] #11

psychosocial therapy:ab,ti OR "psychosocial intervention":ab,ti OR "psychosocial interventions":ab,ti OR "psychosocial approach":ab,ti OR "psychosocial approaches":ab,ti OR "psychosocial treatment":ab,ti OR "psychosocial support":ab,ti OR "psychoeducation":ab,ti OR "nonpharmacologic therapy":ab,ti OR "nondrug therapy":ab,ti OR "non-drug therapy":ab,ti OR "Play Therapy":ab,ti OR "cognitive behavioral therapy":ab,ti OR "cognitive behavior therapy":ab,ti OR "cognitive behavioural therapy":ab,ti OR "cognitive behaviour therapy":ab,ti OR Mindfulness:ab,ti OR complementary:ab,ti OR "alternative medicine":ab,ti OR "alternative therapy":ab,ti OR "alternative therapies":ab,ti OR "Interpersonal skills training":ab,ti OR "Parent-Child Interaction Therapy":ab,ti OR "parent training":ab,ti OR "parent engagement":ab,ti OR "parent management":ab,ti OR "parenting skills":ab,ti OR "parenting intervention":ab,ti OR "parenting interventions":ab,ti OR "Barkley's defiant child":ab,ti OR "TeacherChild Interaction Training":ab,ti OR "Incredible Years":ab,ti OR "New Forest Parenting":ab,ti OR "Triple P":ab,ti OR "Helping the Noncompliant Child":ab,ti OR "child life and attention skills":ab,ti OR "clas":ab,ti OR PCIT:ab,ti OR "parent child interaction therapy":ab,ti OR "Summer Treatment Program":ab,ti OR "Daily Report Card":ab,ti OR "organization skills":ab,ti OR "organizational skills":ab,ti OR "time management":ab,ti OR "homework intervention":ab,ti OR braintrain:ab,ti OR "memory training":ab,ti OR "Captain's log mindpower builder":ab,ti OR "memory gyms":ab,ti OR "attention gym":ab,ti OR "smartdriver plus":ab,ti OR "smartmind pro":ab,ti OR "RoboMemo":ab,ti OR "play attention":ab,ti OR metronome:ab,ti OR brainmaster:ab,ti OR mindmed:ab,ti OR "attention lab":ab,ti OR (activate:ab,ti AND c8:ab,ti) OR "attention training":ab,ti OR "CogniPlus":ab,ti OR cogmed:ab,ti OR "working memory training":ab,ti OR biofeedback:ab,ti OR neurofeedback:ab,ti OR neuroagility:ab,ti OR neuroptimal:ab,ti OR acupuncture:ab,ti OR "vision training":ab,ti OR "visual training":ab,ti OR "vision therapy":ab,ti OR "education intervention":ab,ti OR "cognitive remediation":ab,ti OR neurotherapy:ab,ti OR "elimination diet":ab,ti OR "diet therapy":ab,ti OR (("low carb" OR "low carbohydrate" OR "low carbohydrates":ab,ti OR "gluten free") AND diet:ab,ti) OR "feingold diet":ab,ti OR "red dye":ab,ti OR ((vitamin:ab,ti OR vitamins:ab,ti) AND (supplement:ab,ti OR supplements:ab,ti)) OR "herbal supplement":ab,ti OR "herbal supplements":ab,ti OR probiotics:ab,ti OR "omega 3":ab,ti OR "slow cortical potentials":ab,ti OR "few foods diet":ab,ti OR "oligoantigenic diet":ab,ti OR "restriction diet":ab,ti OR "food intolerance":ab,ti OR "food allergy":ab,ti OR "food allergies":ab,ti OR "food sensitivity":ab,ti OR "food sensitivities":ab,ti OR "multimodal treatment":ab,ti OR homeopathy:ab,ti OR homeopathic:ab,ti OR chiropractic:ab,ti OR chiropractor:ab,ti #12 #10 OR #11 #13 #12 OR #9 #14 #3 AND #6 AND #13 with Cochrane Library publication date Between Jan 1980 and Dec 2011, in Cochrane Reviews #15

#3 AND #6 in Cochrane Reviews

## ADHD KQ3

## <u>PubMed</u>

1

"Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity"[Mesh] OR "attention deficit hyperactivity disorder"[tiab] OR "ADHD"[tiab] OR "attention deficit disorder"[tiab] 2

"Pediatrics"[Mesh] OR "Adolescent"[Mesh] OR "Infant"[Mesh] OR "Child"[Mesh] OR child[tiab] OR children[tiab] OR infant[tiab] OR infants[tiab] OR preschool[tiab] OR preschooler[tiab] OR pediatric[tiab] OR teenager[tiab] OR teenagers[tiab] OR teenaged[tiab] OR teen[tiab] OR teens[tiab] OR adolescent[tiab] OR adolescents[tiab] OR adolescence[tiab] OR youth[tiab]

3

monitor[tiab] OR monitored[tiab] OR monitoring[tiab] OR "follow up"[tiab] OR "followed up"[tiab] OR visit[tiab] OR visits[tiab] OR session[tiab] OR sessions[tiab] OR appointment[tiab] OR appointments[tiab]

4

(randomized controlled trial[pt] OR controlled clinical trial[pt] OR randomized[tiab] OR randomised[tiab] OR randomization[tiab] OR randomisation[tiab] OR placebo[tiab] OR randomly[tiab] OR trial[tiab] OR groups[tiab] OR Clinical trial[pt] OR "clinical trial"[tiab] OR "clinical trials"[tiab] OR "evaluation studies"[pt] OR "evaluation studies as topic"[MeSH] OR "evaluation study"[tiab] OR "evaluation studies"[tiab] OR "intervention studies"[MeSH] OR "intervention study"[tiab] OR "intervention studies"[tiab] OR "case-control studies"[MeSH] OR "case-control"[tiab] OR "cohort studies"[MeSH] OR cohort[tiab] OR "longitudinal"[tiab] OR longitudinally[tiab] OR "prospective"[tiab] OR prospectively[tiab] OR "retrospective"[tiab] OR "comparative study"[pt] OR "comparative study"[tiab] OR systematic[sb] OR "metaanalysis"[pt] OR "meta-analysis as topic"[MeSH])

5

Editorial[ptyp] OR Letter[pt] OR Case Reports[pt] OR Comment[pt] 6 animals[mh] 7 humans[mh] 8 English[la] 9

#1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #4 NOT #5 NOT #6 NOT #7 AND #8 Publication Date Range: To January 2023

## <u>PsycINFO</u>

#1

SU "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity" OR TI ( "attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder" ) OR AB ( "attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder") #2

AGE (childhood OR adolescence ) OR SU "Pediatrics" OR TI ( child OR children OR infant OR infants OR preschool OR preschooler OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth ) OR AB ( child OR children OR infant OR infants OR preschool OR preschooler OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenager OR teenager OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescent OR adolescent OR adolescent OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenager OR teenager OR teenager OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescents OR adolescents OR adolescents OR adolescent OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenager OR teenager OR teenager OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescents OR adolescent OR adolescent OR teenager O

#3

TI(monitor OR monitored OR monitoring OR ("follow up" OR "followed up" OR visit OR visits OR session OR sessions OR appointment OR appointments) AND (schedule\* OR strategy\*) OR "longitudinal" OR longitudinally OR "long term") OR AB(monitor OR monitored OR monitoring OR ("follow up" OR "followed up" OR visit OR visits OR session OR sessions OR appointment OR appointments) AND (schedule\* OR strategy\*) OR "longitudinal" OR longitudinally OR "long term")

#4

"longitudinal study" OR "empirical study" OR "followup study" OR "longitudinal study" OR "meta analysis" OR "prospective study" OR "retrospective study" OR "systematic review" OR "treatment outcome/clinical trial"OR "Clinical Trials" OR "Cohort Analysis" OR "Followup Studies" OR "Longitudinal Studies" OR "Prospective Studies" OR "Meta Analysis" OR TI (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomisation OR randomly OR trial OR groups OR trials OR "evaluation study" OR evaluation studies OR "intervention study" OR "intervention studies" OR "case-control" OR cohort OR longitudinal OR longitudinally OR prospective OR prospectively OR retrospective OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomisation OR randomly OR trial OR groups OR trials OR "evaluation study" OR evaluation studies OR "intervention study" OR evaluation studies OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-analyses" ) OR AB (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomisation OR randomly OR trial OR groups OR trials OR "evaluation study" OR evaluation studies OR "intervention study" OR "intervention studies" OR "case-control" OR cohort OR longitudinal OR longitudinally OR prospective OR prospectively OR retrospective OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-analyses" ) AND (RTYPE "journal article") #5

#1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #4

#6

#5, English

Publication Date Range: To 2021

### **ERIC**

#1

"Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity" OR TI/AB "attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" OR ADHD OR "attention deficit disorder"

#2

childhood OR adolescence OR "Pediatrics" OR TI/AB ( child OR children OR infant OR infants OR preschool OR preschooler OR pediatric OR teenager OR teenagers OR teenaged OR teen OR teens OR adolescent OR adolescents OR adolescence OR youth )

#3

TI/AB monitor OR monitored OR monitoring OR (("follow up" OR "followed up" OR visit OR visits OR session OR sessions OR appointment OR appointments) AND (schedule\* OR strategy\*)) OR longitudinal OR longitudinally OR "long term" #4

"longitudinal study" OR "empirical study" OR "followup study" OR "longitudinal study" OR "meta analysis" OR "prospective study" OR "retrospective study" OR "systematic review" OR "treatment outcome/clinical trial" OR "Clinical Trials" OR "Cohort Analysis" OR "Followup Studies" OR "Longitudinal Studies" OR "Prospective Studies" OR "Meta Analysis" OR TI/AB (randomized OR randomised OR randomization OR randomisation OR randomly OR trial OR groups OR trials OR "evaluation study" OR

evaluation studies OR "intervention study" OR "intervention studies" OR "case-control" OR cohort OR longitudinal OR longitudinally OR prospective OR prospectively OR retrospective OR "comparative study" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-analyses" )

#5

#1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #4

## **EMBASE**

#1

'attention deficit disorder'/exp OR "attention deficit hyperactivity disorder":ab,ti OR "ADHD":ab,ti OR "attention deficit disorder":ab,ti

#2

'pediatrics'/exp OR 'adolescent'/exp OR 'infant'/exp OR 'child'/exp OR child:ab,ti OR children:ab,ti OR infant:ab,ti OR infants:ab,ti OR preschool:ab,ti OR preschooler:ab,ti OR pediatric:ab,ti OR teenager:ab,ti OR teenager:ab,ti OR teenaged:ab,ti OR teen:ab,ti OR teens:ab,ti OR adolescent:ab,ti OR adolescent:ab,ti OR adolescent:ab,ti OR adolescent:ab,ti OR adolescent:ab,ti OR teens:ab,ti OR adolescent:ab,ti OR adolescent:a

monitor:ab,ti OR monitored:ab,ti OR monitoring:ab,ti OR (('follow up':ab,ti OR 'followed up':ab,ti OR visit:ab,ti OR visit:ab,ti OR session:ab,ti OR session:ab,ti OR appointment:ab,ti OR appointments:ab,ti) AND (schedule\* OR strategy\*)) OR 'longitudinal':ab,ti OR longitudinally:ab,ti OR 'long term':ab,ti

#4

('randomized controlled trial'/exp OR 'crossover procedure'/exp OR 'double blind procedure'/exp OR 'single blind procedure'/exp OR random\*:ab,ti OR factorial\*:ab,ti OR crossover\*:ab,ti OR (cross NEAR/1 over\*):ab,ti OR placebo\*:ab,ti OR (doubl\* NEAR/1 blind\*):ab,ti OR (singl\* NEAR/1 blind\*):ab,ti OR assign\*:ab,ti OR allocat\*:ab,ti OR volunteer\*:ab,ti OR 'clinical study'/exp OR 'clinical trial':ti,ab OR 'clinical trials':ti,ab OR 'controlled study'/exp OR 'evaluation study':ab,ti OR 'evaluation study':ab,ti OR 'evaluation study':ab,ti OR 'clinical trials':ti,ab OR 'controlled study'/exp OR 'evaluation study':ab,ti OR 'evaluation studies':ab,ti OR 'cohort analysis'/exp OR cohort:ab,ti OR longitudinal\*:ab,ti OR prospective:ab,ti OR prospectively:ab,ti OR retrospective:ab,ti OR 'follow up'/exp OR 'follow up':ab,ti OR 'comparative effectiveness'/exp OR 'comparative study'/exp OR 'comparative study':ab,ti OR 'meta-analysis':ab,ti OR 'meta-analysis':ab,ti OR 'meta-analysis':ab,ti OR 'meta-analysis':ab,ti OR 'note'/exp OR 'note'/exp)

#5 #1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #4 #6 #5 AND [humans]/lim #7 #6 AND [embase]/lim NOT [medline]/lim Appendix A. Methods

Publication Date Range: To 2021

## **Cochrane Reviews**

#1 [mh "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity"]

#2

attention deficit hyperactivity disorder:ab,ti OR ADHD:ab,ti OR attention deficit disorder:ab,ti #3

#1 OR #2 #4

[mh Pediatrics] OR [mh Adolescent] OR [mh Infant] OR [mh Child]

*#*5

child:ab,ti OR children:ab,ti OR infant:ab,ti OR infants:ab,ti OR preschool:ab,ti OR preschooler:ab,ti OR pediatric:ab,ti OR teenager:ab,ti OR teenager:ab,ti OR teenaged:ab,ti OR teenaged:ab,ti OR teen:ab,ti OR teens:ab,ti OR adolescent:ab,ti OR

#6

#4 OR #5

#7

monitor:ab,ti OR monitored:ab,ti OR monitoring:ab,ti OR (("follow up":ab,ti OR "followed up":ab,ti OR visit:ab,ti OR visits:ab,ti OR session:ab,ti OR sessions:ab,ti OR appointment:ab,ti OR appointments:ab,ti) AND (schedule\* OR strategy\*)) OR longitudinal:ab,ti OR longitudinally:ab,ti OR "long term":ab,ti #8 #6 OR #7

#9 #3 AND #6 AND #8

#10 Limit to CDSR

## **ClinicalTrials.gov**

Conditions: ADHD OR attention deficit Recruitment: Completed studies Study Results: All studies Study type: Interventional studies Age group: Child Phase :Phase 2, Phase 3, Phase 4

# Appendix B. List of Excluded Studies

This appendix shows the list of excluded studies with reasons for exclusion. We only recorded one reason per publications.

1. Use of methylphenidate for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Mental Health Committee, Canadian Paediatric Society. Cmaj. 1990 Apr 15;142(8):817-8. PMID: 2322913. *Design* 

2. A 14-month randomized clinical trial of treatment strategies for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. The MTA Cooperative Group. Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1999 Dec;56(12):1073-86. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.56.12.1073. PMID: 10591283. *Duplicate* 

 National Institute of Mental Health Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD follow-up: changes in effectiveness and growth after the end of treatment. Pediatrics. 2004 Apr;113(4):762-9. doi: 10.1542/peds.113.4.762. PMID: 15060225. *Duplicate*

4. Randomized, controlled, crossover trial of methylphenidate in pervasive developmental disorders with hyperactivity. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005 Nov;62(11):1266-74. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.62.11.1266. PMID: 16275814. *Population* 

5. The pharmacological treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in adolescents is effective and relatively safe. Drugs and Therapy Perspectives. 2007;23(11):9-12. doi: 10.2165/00042310-200723110-00003. *Design* 

6. Guanfacine effective for attention-deficit/hyerpactivity disorder, but side effects are significant. Journal of the National Medical Association. 2008;100(5):579-80. doi: 10.1016/S0027-9684(15)31311-0. *Design* 

7. ADHD medications may be linked to sudden unexplained death. Formulary. 2009;44(7):192. *Design* 

8. St John's wort and ADHD in children and adolescents. Australian Journal of Pharmacy. 2009;90(1066):79. *Design* 

9. Increasing prevalence of parent-reported attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder among children --- United States, 2003 and 2007. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2010 Nov 12;59(44):1439-43. doi: mm5944a3 [pii]. PMID: 21063274. *Outcome* 

10. Corrigendum: Cigarette Smoking Progression Among Young Adults Diagnosed With ADHD in Childhood: A 16-year Longitudinal Study of Children With and Without ADHD. Nicotine Tob Res. 2019 Sep 19;21(10):1449. doi: 10.1093/ntr/nty260. PMID: 30615186. *Intervention* 

11. Effect of Vergence/Accommodative Therapy on Attention in Children with Convergence Insufficiency: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Optom Vis Sci. 2021 Mar 1;98(3):222-33. doi: 10.1097/opx.00000000001659. PMID: 33771952. *Population* 

12. Azstarys (serdexmethylphenidate/dexmethylphenidate) for ADHD. Med Lett Drugs Ther. 2021 Oct 4;63(1634):157-9. PMID: 34550957. *Design* 

13. Psychiatry Update 2022 Spring Abstract. Annals of Clinical Psychiatry. 2022;34(3). Design

14. Aaronson B, Glick SN, Kirk CJ, et al. Assessment of Feasibility of Face Covering in School-Aged Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. JAMA Netw Open. 2021 May 3;4(5):e2110281. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.10281. PMID: 33999167. *Intervention* 

15. Abadi MS, Madgaonkar J, Venkatesan S. Effect of yoga on children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychological Studies. 2008;53:154-9. *Power* 

16. Abbas AK, Azemi G, Amiri S, et al. Effective connectivity in brain networks estimated using EEG signals is altered in children with ADHD. Comput Biol Med. 2021 Jul;134:104515. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104515. PMID: 34126282. *Intervention* 

17. Abbas R, Palumbo D, Walters F, et al. Single-dose Pharmacokinetic Properties and Relative Bioavailability of a Novel Methylphenidate Extended-release Chewable Tablet Compared With Immediate-release Methylphenidate Chewable Tablet. Clin Ther. 2016 May;38(5):1151-7. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2016.02.026. PMID: 27021606. *Population* 

18. Abbasi S-H, Heidari S, Mohammadi M-R, et al. Acetyl-L-Carnitine as an Adjunctive Therapy in the Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents: A Placebo-Controlled Trial. Child Psychiatry and Human Development. 2011 06/01/;42(3):367-75. PMID: EJ923317. *Duplicate* 

19. Abbey McClemont SF. Racial Disparities in Teacher Ratings of ADHD Symptoms and Behavior: A Systematic Review. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020194385. 2020. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=194385. *Outcome* 

20. Abdekhodaie Z, Tabatabaei SM, Gholizadeh M. The investigation of ADHD prevalence in kindergarten children in northeast Iran and a determination of the criterion validity of Conners' questionnaire via clinical interview. Res Dev Disabil. 2012 Mar-Apr;33(2):357-61. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2011.10.006. PMID: 22119681. *Language* 

21. Abdel Ghaffar HMGED, Abdelghaffar NK, Ahmed HH, et al. Study of serum neopterin in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and autistic spectrum disorder: Fayoum Governorate, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery. 2022;58(1). doi: 10.1186/s41983-022-00448-y. *Outcome* 

22. Abdel Kader AA, Mohamed NA, El Sayed BB, et al. Continuous performance task in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder children. Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery. 2016;53(1):19-22. doi: 10.4103/1110-1083.176340. *Intervention* 

23. Abdulhay E, Abdelhay A, Kilani A, et al. Development of arduino based low cost neuro-feedback applied to ADHD. Biomedical Research (India). 2016;2016:S31-S7. *Intervention* 

24. Abed M, Mansureh HH, Masoud GL, et al. Construction of Meta-Thinking Educational Program Based on Mental-Brain Simulation (MTMBS) and Evaluating its Effectiveness on Executive Functions, Emotion Regulation, and Impulsivity in Children With ADHD: A Resting-State Functional MRI Study. J Atten Disord. 2023 Feb 26:10870547231155436. doi: 10.1177/10870547231155436. PMID: 36843348. *Power* 

25. Abernethy LJ, Palaniappan M, Cooke RW. Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging of the brain in survivors of very low birth weight. Arch Dis Child. 2002 Oct;87(4):279-83. doi: 10.1136/adc.87.4.279. PMID: 12243993. *Intervention* 

26. Abhijit Dutta PFSGSSMK. Homeopathy in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020156564. 2020. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=156564. *Design* 

27. Abigail Russell DMASBDRHJKES-BLPTF. Synthesising the existing evidence for non-pharmacological interventions targeting outcomes relevant to young people with ADHD in the school setting: systematic review protocol. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021233924. 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=233924. *Design* 

28. Abikoff H, Arnold LE, Newcorn JH, et al. Emergency/Adjunct services and attrition prevention for randomized clinical trials in children: the MTA manual-based solution. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2002 May;41(5):498-504. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200205000-00006. PMID: 12014781. *Design* 

29. Abikoff H, McGough J, Vitiello B, et al. Sequential pharmacotherapy for children with comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity and anxiety disorders. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 May;44(5):418-27. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000155320.52322.37. PMID: 15843763. *Intervention* 

30. Abikoff HB, Thompson M, Laver-Bradbury C, et al. Parent training for preschool ADHD: a randomized controlled trial of specialized and generic programs. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2015 Jun;56(6):618-31. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12346. PMID: 25318650. *Duplicate* 

31. Abikoff HB, Thompson M, Laver-Bradbury C, et al. Parent training for preschool ADHD: a randomized controlled trial of specialized and generic programs. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2015 Jun;56(6):618-31. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12346. PMID: 25318650. *Duplicate* 

32. Abo Elella E, Hassan GAM, Sabry W, et al. Trait emotional intelligence in a sample of Egyptian children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2017 Nov;22(4):216-23. doi: 10.1111/camh.12236. PMID: 32680413. *Intervention* 

33. Abou-Abdallah T, Guilé JM, Menusier C, et al. Cognitive and relationship correlates associated with Attention-Deficit-Disorders with/without hyperactivity. Neuropsychiatrie de l'Enfance et de l'Adolescence. 2010;58(5):293-7. doi: 10.1016/j.neurenf.2009.07.001. *Intervention* 

34. Abrantes AM, Strong DR, Ramsey SE, et al. Substance use disorder characteristics and externalizing problems among inpatient adolescent smokers. J Psychoactive Drugs. 2005 Dec;37(4):391-9. doi: 10.1080/02791072.2005.10399812. PMID: 16480166. *Intervention* 

35. Ackermann S, Halfon O, Fornari E, et al. Cognitive Working Memory Training (CWMT) in adolescents suffering from Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A controlled trial taking into account concomitant medication effects. Psychiatry Res. 2018 Nov;269:79-85. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.07.036. PMID: 30145306. *Power* 

36. Acland EL, Jambon M, Malti T. Children's emotion recognition and aggression: A multicohort longitudinal study. Aggress Behav. 2021 Aug 9. doi: 10.1002/ab.21989. PMID: 34369593. *Intervention* 

37. Adamis D, Tatlow-Golden M, Gavin B, et al. General practitioners' (GP) attitudes and knowledge about attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in Ireland. Ir J Med Sci. 2019 Feb;188(1):231-9. doi: 10.1007/s11845-018-1804-3. PMID: 29654530. *Population* 

38. Adams CD, Kelly ML, McCarthy M. The Adolescent Behavior Checklist: development and initial psychometric properties of a self-report measure for adolescents with ADHD. J Clin Child Psychol. 1997 Mar;26(1):77-86. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp2601\_8. PMID: 9118178. *Outcome* 

39. Adams W. Lack of behavioral effects from Feingold diet violations. Percept Mot Skills. 1981 Feb;52(1):307-13. doi: 10.2466/pms.1981.52.1.307. PMID: 7232091. *Design* 

40. Adhvaryu KP, Karthikbabu S, Rao PT. Motor performance of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: focus on the Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency. Clinical and Experimental Pediatrics. 2022;65(11):510-8. doi: 10.3345/cep.2021.00962. *Design* 

41. Adisetiyo V, Gray KM. Neuroimaging the neural correlates of increased risk for substance use disorders in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder-A systematic review. Am J Addict. 2017 Mar;26(2):99-111. doi: 10.1111/ajad.12500. PMID: 28106934. *Intervention* 

42. Adisetiyo V, Gray KM, Jensen JH, et al. Brain iron levels in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder normalize as a function of psychostimulant treatment duration. Neuroimage Clin. 2019;24:101993. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101993. PMID: 31479897. *Intervention* 

43. Adjei AL, Chaudhary I, Kollins SH, et al. A Pharmacokinetic Study of Methylphenidate Hydrochloride Multilayer Extended-Release Capsules (Aptensio XR(®)) in Preschool-Aged Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Paediatr Drugs. 2020 Oct;22(5):561-70. doi: 10.1007/s40272-020-00409-z. PMID: 32776159. *Timing* 

44. Adler CM, Delbello MP, Mills NP, et al. Comorbid ADHD is associated with altered patterns of neuronal activation in adolescents with bipolar disorder performing a simple attention task. Bipolar Disord. 2005 Dec;7(6):577-88. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-5618.2005.00257.x. PMID: 16403183. *Outcome* 

45. Adler LA, Dirks B, Deas PF, et al. Lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in adults with attentiondeficit/ hyperactivity disorder who report clinically significant impairment in executive function: results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2013 Jul;74(7):694-702. doi: 10.4088/JCP.12m08144. PMID: 23945447. *Population* 

46. Adler LA, Goodman DW, Kollins SH, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008 Sep;69(9):1364-73. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v69n0903. PMID: 19012818. *Population* 

47. Adler LA, Liebowitz M, Kronenberger W, et al. Atomoxetine treatment in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid social anxiety disorder. Depress Anxiety. 2009;26(3):212-21. doi: 10.1002/da.20549. PMID: 19194995. *Population* 

48. Adler LA, Lynch LR, Shaw DM, et al. Medication adherence and symptom reduction in adults treated with mixed amphetamine salts in a randomized crossover study. Postgrad Med. 2011 Sep;123(5):71-9. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2011.09.2461. PMID: 21904088. *Population* 

49. Adler LA, Orman C, Starr HL, et al. Long-term safety of OROS methylphenidate in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: an open-label, dose-titration, 1-year study. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2011 Feb;31(1):108-14. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e318203ea0a. PMID: 21192153. *Design* 

50. Adra N, Cao A, Makris N, et al. Sensory Modulation Disorder and its Neural Circuitry in Adults with ADHD: A Pilot Study. Brain Imaging Behav. 2021 Apr;15(2):930-40. doi: 10.1007/s11682-020-00302-w. PMID: 32770315. *Population* 

51. Adriani W, Romano E, Pucci M, et al. Potential for diagnosis versus therapy monitoring of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A new epigenetic biomarker interacting with both genotype and auto-immunity. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018 Feb 2018;27(2):241-52. *Intervention* 

52. Aduen PA, Kofler MJ, Bradshaw CP, et al. The role of top-down attentional control and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in predicting future motor vehicle crash risk. Neuropsychology. 2020 Nov;34(8):894-905. doi: 10.1037/neu0000707. PMID: 33197201. *Population* 

53. Aduen PA, Kofler MJ, Sarver DE, et al. ADHD, depression, and motor vehicle crashes: A prospective cohort study of continuously-monitored, real-world driving. J Psychiatr Res. 2018 Jun;101:42-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.02.026. PMID: 29547761. *Population* 

54. Aebi M, Kuhn C, Banaschewski T, et al. The contribution of parent and youth information to identify mental health disorders or problems in adolescents. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2017;11(1). doi: 10.1186/s13034-017-0160-9. *Population* 

55. Aebi M, Winkler Metzke C, Steinhausen HC. Accuracy of the DSM-oriented attention problem scale of the child behavior checklist in diagnosing attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Atten Disord. 2010 Mar;13(5):454-63. doi: 10.1177/1087054708325739. PMID: 19372495. *Language* 

56. Aevi Genomic Medicine L, a Cerecor company, Inc C. PART A: Efficacy and Safety of AEVI-001 in Children and Adolescents With ADHD and With mGluR Mutations. 2017. *Power* 

57. Aflalo J, Caldani S, Acquaviva E, et al. Pilot study to explore poor visual searching capabilities in children with ADHD: a tablet-based computerized test battery study. Nord J Psychiatry. 2023 Jan 4:1-7. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2022.2162122. PMID: 36598162. *Outcome* 

58. Agarwal V, Sitholey P, Kumar S, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of clonidine in hyperactive children with mental retardation. Ment Retard. 2001 Aug;39(4):259-67. doi: 10.1352/0047-6765(2001)039<0259:Dbpcto>2.0.Co;2. PMID: 11448249. *Power* 

59. Aggarwal SS, Ott SD, Padhye NS, et al. Clinical and demographic predictors of concussion resolution in adolescents: A retrospective study. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2019 Jan-Mar;8(1):50-60. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2017.1381099. PMID: 29058480. *Intervention* 

60. Aggarwal SS, Ott SD, Padhye NS, et al. Sex, race, ADHD, and prior concussions as predictors of concussion recovery in adolescents. Brain Inj. 2020 May 11;34(6):809-17. doi: 10.1080/02699052.2020.1740942. PMID: 32200661. *Intervention* 

61. Aggensteiner PM, Albrecht B, Strehl U, et al. Can Neurophysiological Markers of Anticipation and Attention predict ADHD severity and Neurofeedback Outcomes? Biol Psychol. 2021 Aug 17:108169. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2021.108169. PMID: 34416347. *Intervention* 

62. Agha SS, Zammit S, Thapar A, et al. Maternal psychopathology and offspring clinical outcome: A four-year follow-up of boys with ADHD. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2017 Feb 2017;26(2):253-62. *Intervention* 

63. Aghaee MH, Tarkhan M. A comparative study of effectiveness of medicinal therapy and combined therapy (cognitive–behavioral and drug) of students diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Bali Med J. 2017;6(1):82–9. *Power* 

64. Aghebati A, Gharraee B, Hakim Shoshtari M, et al. Triple p-positive parenting program for mothers of ADHD children. Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci. 2014 Spring;8(1):59-65. PMID: 24995031. *Power* 

65. Agnew-Blais JC, Belsky DW, Caspi A, et al. Polygenic Risk and the Course of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder From Childhood to Young Adulthood: Findings From a Nationally Representative Cohort. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Sep;60(9):1147-56. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.12.033. PMID: 33440202. *Intervention* 

66. Agnew-Blais JC, Polanczyk GV, Danese A, et al. Evaluation of the Persistence, Remission, and Emergence of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Young Adulthood. JAMA Psychiatry. 2016 Jul 1;73(7):713-20. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.0465. PMID: 27192174. *Population* 

67. Agnew-Blais JC, Polanczyk GV, Danese A, et al. Young adult mental health and functional outcomes among individuals with remitted, persistent and late-onset ADHD. Br J Psychiatry. 2018 Sep;213(3):526-34. doi: 10.1192/bjp.2018.97. PMID: 29957167. *Intervention* 

68. Agnew-Blais JC, Polanczyk GV, Danese A, et al. Are changes in ADHD course reflected in differences in IQ and executive functioning from childhood to young adulthood? Psychol Med. 2020 Dec;50(16):2799-808. doi: 10.1017/s0033291719003015. PMID: 31718730. *Design* 

69. Agnew-Blais JC, Wertz J, Arseneault L, et al. Mother's and children's ADHD genetic risk, household chaos and children's ADHD symptoms: A gene-environment correlation study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2022 Oct;63(10):1153-63. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13659. PMID: 35833717. *Design* 

70. Agostini F, Benassi M, Minelli M, et al. Validation of the Italian Version of the Behavioral Inhibition Questionnaire (BIQ) for Preschool Children. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 May 21;18(11). doi: 10.3390/ijerph18115522. PMID: 34063941. *Population* 

71. Agranat-Meged AN, Deitcher C, Goldzweig G, et al. Childhood obesity and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a newly described comorbidity in obese hospitalized children. Int J Eat Disord. 2005 May;37(4):357-9. doi: 10.1002/eat.20096. PMID: 15856493. *Population* 

72. Aguirre Castaneda RL, Kumar S, Voigt RG, et al. Childhood Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Sex, and Obesity: A Longitudinal Population-Based Study. Mayo Clin Proc. 2016 Mar;91(3):352-61. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.09.017. PMID: 26853710. *Intervention* 

73. Ahlqvist G, Larsson JO, von Rosen T, et al. The Sävsjö-school-project: a cluster-randomized trial aimed at improving the literacy of beginners-achievements, mental health, school satisfaction and reading capacity at the end of grade three using an alternative school curriculum. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2019;13:27. doi: 10.1186/s13034-019-0285-0. PMID: 31285753. *Population* 

74. Ahmad SI, Meza JI, Posserud MB, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptom Dimensions Differentially Predict Adolescent Peer Problems: Findings From Two Longitudinal

Studies. Front Psychol. 2020;11:609789. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.609789. PMID: 33584444. *Intervention* 

75. Ahmadi N, Chaudhry S, Salam T, et al. A Randomized Controlled Feasibility Trial of Reminder-Focused Positive Psychiatry in Adolescents With Comorbid Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord. 2020 Sep 3;22(5). doi: 10.4088/PCC.19m02579. PMID: 32898346. *Power* 

76. Ahmann PA, Theye FW, Berg R, et al. Placebo-controlled evaluation of amphetamine mixture-dextroamphetamine salts and amphetamine salts (Adderall): efficacy rate and side effects. Pediatrics. 2001 Jan;107(1):E10. doi: 10.1542/peds.107.1.e10. PMID: 11134474. *Timing* 

77. Ahmann PA, Waltonen SJ, Olson KA, et al. Placebo-controlled evaluation of Ritalin side effects. Pediatrics. 1993 Jun;91(6):1101-6. PMID: 8502509. *Timing* 

78. Ahmed GM, Mohamed S. Effect of Regular Aerobic Exercises on Behavioral, Cognitive and Psychological Response in Patients with Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder. Life Science Journal. 2011;8(2):366-71. *Power* 

79. Ahmed R, Borst J, Wei YC, et al. Parents' Perspectives About Factors Influencing Adherence to Pharmacotherapy for ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(2):91-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054713499231. PMID: 23995052. *Intervention* 

80. Ahmed R, McCaffery KJ, Silove N, et al. The evaluation of a question prompt list for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in pediatric care: A pilot study. Res Social Adm Pharm. 2017 Jan-Feb;13(1):172-86. doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2016.01.009. PMID: 27086063. *Population* 

81. Ahmed T, Salem E. Enhancing a nutrition and self-management: An intervention program via teletherapy for teenager with ADHD. A pilot case study. European Psychiatry. 2021;64:S790. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.2089. *Design* 

82. Ahn B, Joung YS, Kwon JY, et al. Effects of equine-assisted activities on attention and quality of life in children with cerebral palsy in a randomized trial: examining the comorbidity with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. BMC Pediatr. 2021 Mar 19;21(1):135. doi: 10.1186/s12887-021-02597-0. PMID: 33740922. *Power* 

83. Ahn S, Hwang S. Cognitive rehabilitation with neurodevelopmental disorder: A systematic review. NeuroRehabilitation. 2017;41(4):707-19. doi: 10.3233/nre-172146. PMID: 28946583. *Intervention* 

84. Aita SL, Sofko CA, Hill BD, et al. Utility of the Personality Assessment Inventory in detecting feigned Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): The Feigned Adult ADHD index. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2018 Nov 1;33(7):832-44. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acx113. PMID: 29186287. *Population* 

85. Ajnakina O, Shamsutdinova D, Wimberley T, et al. High polygenic predisposition for ADHD and a greater risk of all-cause mortality: a large population-based longitudinal study. BMC Med. 2022 Feb 23;20(1):62. doi: 10.1186/s12916-022-02279-3. PMID: 35193558. *Design* 

86. Akabri M, Sarikhani Y, Khatami K, et al. The association between the score of adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity traits and risky driving behaviors with alcohol intake and narcotics consumption among Iranian motorcyclists. Traffic Inj Prev. 2021;22(3):189-94. doi: 10.1080/15389588.2021.1877278. PMID: 33661079. *Population* 

87. Akaltun İ, Kara T. Atomoxetine-related trichotillomania in a boy with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 2017 Dec 2017;27(10):923-. *Design* 

88. Akhondzadeh S. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and herbal medicine: An evidenced based approach. Journal of Medicinal Plants. 2018;17(65):1-6. *Design* 

89. Akhondzadeh S, Mohammadi MR, Momeni F. Passiflora incarnata in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Therapy. 2005;2(4):609-14. doi: 10.1586/14750708.2.4.609. *Power* 

90. Akili Interactive Labs I. Software Treatment for Actively Reducing Severity of ADHD as Adjunctive Treatment to Stimulant. 2018. *Design* 

91. Al Ansari A, Hamadeh RR, Jahrami H, et al. Outcomes of children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: global functioning and symptoms persistence. East Mediterr Health J. 2017 Nov 19;23(9):589-93. doi: 10.26719/2017.23.9.589. PMID: 29178114. *Intervention* 

92. Al Ghriwati N, Langberg JM, Gardner W, et al. Impact of Mental Health Comorbidities on the Community-Based Pediatric Treatment and Outcomes of Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2017 Jan;38(1):20-8. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000359. PMID: 27902542. *Intervention* 

93. Al Shehhi M, Forman EB, Fitzgerald JE, et al. NRXN1 deletion syndrome; phenotypic and penetrance data from 34 families. Eur J Med Genet. 2019 Mar;62(3):204-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmg.2018.07.015. PMID: 30031152. *Population* 

94. Al-Ghannami SS, Al-Adawi S, Ghebremeskel K, et al. Randomized open-label trial of docosahexaenoic acid-enriched fish oil and fish meal on cognitive and behavioral functioning in Omani children. Nutrition. 2019 Jan;57:167-72. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2018.04.008. PMID: 30195244. *Population* 

95. Al-Habib DM, Alhaidar FA, Alzayed IM, et al. Consistency of child self-reports with parent proxy reports on the quality of life of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Riyadh, 2016. J Family Community Med. 2019 Jan-Apr;26(1):9-16. doi: 10.4103/jfcm.JFCM\_19\_18. PMID: 30697099. *Language* 

96. Al-Moghamsi EY, Aljohani A. Elementary school teachers' knowledge of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Family Med Prim Care. 2018 Sep-Oct;7(5):907-15. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_183\_18. PMID: 30598932. *Population* 

97. Al-Mohsin ZJ, Al-Saffar HA, Al-Shehri SZ, et al. Saudi mothers' perception of their children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in Dammam, Al-Qatif, and Al-Khobar cities, Saudi Arabia. J Family Community Med. 2020 Jan-Apr;27(1):46-52. doi: 10.4103/jfcm.JFCM\_149\_19. PMID: 32030078. *Population* 

98. Al-Yagon M, Borenstein T. Adolescents' executive functions: Links to inattention, hyperactivity-impulsivity, trait mindfulness, and attachment relationships with fathers and mothers. Res Dev Disabil. 2022 May;124:104212. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2022.104212. PMID: 35278837. *Design* 

99. Al-Yagon M. Models of child–parent attachment in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Links to executive functions. Personal Relationships. 2018 Jun 2018;25(2):280-98. *Intervention* 

100. Alaghband-Rad J, Dashti B, Tehranidoost M, et al. A Preliminary Investigation of Deficits in Executive Functions of Adults With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2021 Jan;209(1):35-9. doi: 10.1097/nmd.00000000001247. PMID: 33093356. *Population* 

101. Alamolhoda M, Farjami M, Bagheri Z, et al. Assessing whether child and parent reports of the KINDL questionnaire measure the same constructs of quality of life in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2021 Jan 15;19(1):19. doi: 10.1186/s12955-020-01649-w. PMID: 33446186. *Language* 

102. Alamri ES. Efficacy of gluten- and casein-free diets on autism spectrum disorders in children. Saudi Med J. 2020 Oct;41(10):1041-6. doi: 10.15537/smj.2020.10.25308. PMID: 33026043. *Population* 

103. Álava Sordo S, Cantero-García M, Garrido-Hernansaiz H, et al. Sustained and Selected Attention in ADHD Subtypes and LD: A Clinical Comparison. Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology. 2021 04/01/;19(53):117-44. PMID: EJ1293567. *Design* 

104. Alavi K, Shirazi E, Akbari M, et al. Effects of piracetam as an adjuvant therapy on Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. 2021;15(2). doi: 10.5812/ijpbs.59421. *Power* 

105. AlAzzam M, Tawalbeh L, Abu Al-Rub M, et al. Exploring Elementary Schoolteachers' Perceptions of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Northern Jordan. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2021 Mar 10. doi: 10.1007/s10578-021-01131-8. PMID: 33689060. *Population* 

106. Albajara Sáenz A, Villemonteix T, Massat I. Structural and functional neuroimaging in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2019 Apr;61(4):399-405. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14050. PMID: 30276811. *Design* 

107. Albajara Sáenz A, Villemonteix T, Massat I. Structural and functional neuroimaging in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 2019 Apr 2019;61(4):399-405. *Duplicate* 

108. Albatti TH, Alhedyan Z, Alnaeim N, et al. Prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among primary school-children in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; 2015-2016. Int J Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2017 Sep;4(3):91-4. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpam.2017.02.003. PMID: 30805508. *Intervention* 

109. Albaugh MD, Ivanova M, Chaarani B, et al. Ventromedial Prefrontal Volume in Adolescence Predicts Hyperactive/Inattentive Symptoms in Adulthood. Cereb Cortex. 2019 May 1;29(5):1866-74. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhy066. PMID: 29912404. *Intervention* 

110. Aldemir R, Demirci E, Bayram AK, et al. Evaluation of Two Types of Drug Treatment with QEEG in Children with ADHD. Transl Neurosci. 2018;9:106-16. doi: 10.1515/tnsci-2018-0017. PMID: 30191077. *Intervention* 

111. Aldemir R, Demirci E, Per H, et al. Investigation of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) sub-types in children via EEG frequency domain analysis. Int J Neurosci. 2018 Apr;128(4):349-60. doi: 10.1080/00207454.2017.1382493. PMID: 28925800. *Intervention* 

112. Alegre HdCdP, Tecnológico CNdDCe. Cost-Effectiveness Study Of The Treatment Of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder In Brazil. 2010. *Intervention* 

113. Alegria AA, Wulff M, Brinson H, et al. Real-time fMRI neurofeedback in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Hum Brain Mapp. 2017 Jun;38(6):3190-209. doi: 10.1002/hbm.23584. PMID: 28342214. *Power* 

114. Alegria AA, Wulff M, Brinson H, et al. Real-time fMRI neurofeedback in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Human Brain Mapping. 2017 Jun 2017;38(6):3190-209. *Duplicate* 

115. Aleksandrov AA, Karpina NV, Stankevich LN. Mismatch negativity in evoked brain potentials in adolescents in normal conditions and attention deficit in response to presentation of short-duration acoustic stimuli. Neurosci Behav Physiol. 2003 Sep;33(7):671-5. doi: 10.1023/a:1024408807079. PMID: 14552534. *Intervention* 

116. Aleksandrov AA, Polyakova NV, Stankevich LN. Evoked brain potentials in adolescents in normal conditions and in attention deficit during solution of tasks requiring recognition of short-duration acoustic stimuli. Neurosci Behav Physiol. 2005 Feb;35(2):153-7. doi: 10.1007/s11055-005-0058-5. PMID: 15779327. *Intervention* 

117. Alemany S, Avella-García C, Liew Z, et al. Prenatal and postnatal exposure to acetaminophen in relation to autism spectrum and attention-deficit and hyperactivity symptoms in childhood: Meta-analysis in six European population-based cohorts. Eur J Epidemiol. 2021 May 28. doi: 10.1007/s10654-021-00754-4. PMID: 34046850. *Intervention* 

118. Alessio Bellato IICHSCMG. The effects of stimulant and non-stimulant medications on the Autonomic Nervous System (ANS) functioning in people with ADHD: systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020212439. 2020. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=212439. *Design* 

119. Alexander DM, Hermens DF, Keage HA, et al. Event-related wave activity in the EEG provides new marker of ADHD. Clin Neurophysiol. 2008 Jan;119(1):163-79. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2007.09.119. PMID: 18054279. *Intervention* 

120. Alexandre JL, Lange AM, Bilenberg N, et al. The ADHD rating scale-IV preschool version: Factor structure, reliability, validity, and standardisation in a Danish community sample. Res Dev Disabil. 2018 Jul;78:125-35. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2018.05.006. PMID: 29853334. *Population* 

121. Alford JL. Inhibition in children with attention/deficit/hyperactivity disorder, combined type (ADHD+C): An examination of Barkley's hybrid model and Zentall's optimal stimulation model: Pacific Graduate School of Psychology; 2007. *Design* 

122. Alger JR, O'Neill J, O'Connor MJ, et al. Neuroimaging of Supraventricular Frontal White Matter in Children with Familial Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Due to Prenatal Alcohol Exposure. Neurotox Res. 2021 Aug;39(4):1054-75. doi: 10.1007/s12640-021-00342-0. PMID: 33751467. *Outcome* 

123. Ali H, Hussain A, Haq SU, et al. Prevalence and assessment of attention deficit hyperactive disorder in school going children of grade 1 to grade 5 in swat. Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences. 2020;14(4):731-3. *Intervention* 

124. Ali N, Rigney G, Weiss SK, et al. Optimizing an eHealth insomnia intervention for children with neurodevelopmental disorders: a Delphi study. Sleep Health. 2018 Apr;4(2):224-34. doi: 10.1016/j.sleh.2017.12.008. PMID: 29555138. *Population* 

125. Ali Nathwani A, Lakhdir MPA, Hasnani FB, et al. Factors Associated with Parenting Stress among Mothers of Children with Developmental Disabilities: A Cross-Sectional Study. Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities. 2021 01/01/;14(4):375-87. PMID: EJ1313787. *Population* 

126. Ali S, Kerns KA, Mulligan BP, et al. An investigation of intra-individual variability in children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD). Child Neuropsychol. 2018 Jul;24(5):617-37. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2017.1302579. PMID: 28301980. *Population* 

127. Ali S, Macoun SJ, Bedir B, et al. Intraindividual variability in children is related to informant ratings of attention and executive function. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology. 2019 Sep 2019;41(7):740-8. *Intervention* 

128. Alisha Bruton JNDHMGAS. The efficacy of phosphatidylserine in the treatment of pediatric attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a systematic review and metaanalysis. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018093188. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=93188. *Design* 

129. Alizadeh H, Walton FX, Soheili F. Social interest in children with and without attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. The Journal of Individual Psychology. 2016 Jan 2016 - Mar 2016;72(4):290-307. *Intervention* 

130. AlKalaf HY, AlHashem AM, AlSaleh NS, et al. Epilepsy, neuropsychiatric phenotypes, neuroimaging findings, and genotype-neurophenotype correlation in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. Neurosciences (Riyadh). 2020 Aug;25(4):287-91. doi: 10.17712/nsj.2020.4.20200045. PMID: 33130809. *Population* 

131. Alkan D, Kaner S, Çakici E. Investigation of psychometric properties of conners' parent rating scale long form-revised for primary school students in TRNC. Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi. 2020;21:62-9. doi: 10.5455/apd.76887. *Intervention* 

132. Allan CC, DeShazer M, Staggs VS, et al. Accidental Injuries in Preschoolers: Are We Missing an Opportunity for Early Assessment and Intervention? J Pediatr Psychol. 2021 May 19. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsab044. PMID: 34010419. *Intervention* 

133. Allan DM, Lonigan CJ. Examination of the Structure and Measurement of Inattentive, Hyperactive, and Impulsive Behaviors from Preschool to Grade 4. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2019 Jun;47(6):975-87. doi: 10.1007/s10802-018-0491-x. PMID: 30547313. *Intervention* 

134. Allan N, Wilkes-Gillan S, Bundy A, et al. Parents' perceptions of the long-term appropriateness of a psychosocial intervention for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Aust Occup Ther J. 2018 Aug;65(4):259-67. doi: 10.1111/1440-1630.12460. PMID: 29574905. *Intervention* 

135. Allen AJ, Wernicke JF, Dunn D, et al. Safety and efficacy of atomoxetine in pediatric CYP2D6 extensive vs. poor metabolizers. Biol. Psychiatry. 2002;51:37S. *Design* 

136. Allen RA, Decker SL. Utility of the Bender Visual-Motor Gestalt Test-Second Edition in the assessment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Percept Mot Skills. 2008 Dec;107(3):663-75. doi: 10.2466/pms.107.3.663-675. PMID: 19235398. *Outcome* 

137. Alpaslan AH, Ucok K, Coşkun KŞ, et al. Resting metabolic rate, pulmonary functions, and body composition parameters in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Eating and Weight Disorders. 2017 Mar 2017;22(1):91-6. *Intervention* 

138. Alshehri AM, Shehata SF, Almosa KM, et al. Schoolteachers' Knowledge of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder-Current Status and Effectiveness of Knowledge Improvement Program: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Aug 3;17(15). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17155605. PMID: 32756485. *Population* 

139. Alsop B, Furukawa E, Sowerby P, et al. Behavioral sensitivity to changing reinforcement contingencies in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2016 Aug 2016;57(8):947-56. *Intervention* 

140. Alston CY, Romney DM. A comparison of medicated and nonmedicated attention-deficit disordered hyperactive boys. Acta Paedopsychiatr. 1992;55(2):65-70. PMID: 1585804. *Intervention* 

141. Altszuler AR, Morrow AS, Merrill BM, et al. The Effects of Stimulant Medication and Training on Sports Competence Among Children With ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2019;48(sup1):S155-s67. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2016.1270829. PMID: 28103159. *Intervention* 

142. Altunç U, Pittler MH, Ernst E. Homeopathy for childhood and adolescence ailments: systematic review of randomized clinical trials. Mayo Clin Proc. 2007 Jan;82(1):69-75. doi: 10.4065/82.1.69. PMID: 17285788. *Population* 

143. Altunel A, Altunel E, Sever A. Response to adrenocorticotropic in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder-like symptoms in electrical status epilepticus in sleep syndrome is related to electroencephalographic improvement: A retrospective study. Epilepsy Behav. 2017 Sep;74:161-6. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2017.06.019. PMID: 28778058. *Population* 

144. Alves DC, Casella EB, Ferraro AA. Spelling performance of students with developmental dyslexia and with developmental dyslexia associated to attention deficit disorder and hyperactivity. Codas. 2016 Apr;28(2):123-31. doi: 10.1590/2317-1782/20162015068. PMID: 27191875. *Intervention* 

145. Aly HH, AbdelAziz EA, Mousa MA, et al. Attention-deficit hyperkinetic disorder among children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: a cross-sectional study. Egyptian Pediatric Association Gazette. 2022;70(1). doi: 10.1186/s43054-022-00147-6. *Intervention* 

146. Alza Corporation D, USA. Long-term Safety and Effectiveness of OROS Methylphenidate HCl in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. *Intervention* 

147. Alza Corporation D, USA. A Comparative Effectiveness Study Evaluating OROS Methylphenidate HCl, Ritalin (Methylphenidate HCl), and Placebo for the Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children. 1998. *Power* 

148. Alza Corporation D, USA. An Effectiveness and Safety Study Evaluating OROS Methylphenidate Hydrochloride (HCl), Ritalin (Methylphenidate HCl) and Placebo in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 1998. *Intervention* 

149. AlZaben FN, Sehlo MG, Alghamdi WA, et al. Prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and comorbid psychiatric and behavioral problems among primary school students in western Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J. 2018 Jan;39(1):52-8. doi: 10.15537/smj.2018.1.21288. PMID: 29332109. *Intervention* 

150. Amado L, Jarque S, Ceccato R. Differential impact of a multimodal versus pharmacological therapy on the core symptoms of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in childhood. Res Dev Disabil. 2016 Dec;59:93-104. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2016.08.004. PMID: 27521718. *Design* 

151. Aman CJ, Roberts RJ, Pennington BF. A neuropsychological examination of the underlying deficit in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: frontal lobe versus right parietal lobe theories. Dev Psychol. 1998 Sep;34(5):956-69. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.34.5.956. PMID: 9779742. *Population* 

152. Aman MG, Armstrong S, Buican B, et al. Four-year follow-up of children with low intelligence and ADHD: a replication. Res Dev Disabil. 2002 Mar-Apr;23(2):119-34. doi: 10.1016/s0891-4222(02)00090-2. PMID: 12061750. *Power* 

153. Aman MG, Buican B, Arnold LE. Methylphenidate treatment in children with borderline IQ and mental retardation: analysis of three aggregated studies. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2003 Spring;13(1):29-40. doi: 10.1089/104454603321666171. PMID: 12804124. *Population* 

154. Aman MG, De Smedt G, Derivan A, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled study of risperidone for the treatment of disruptive behaviors in children with subaverage intelligence. Am J Psychiatry. 2002 Aug;159(8):1337-46. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.159.8.1337. PMID: 12153826. *Population* 

155. Aman MG, Kern RA, McGhee DE, et al. Fenfluramine and methylphenidate in children with mental retardation and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: laboratory effects. J Autism Dev Disord. 1993 Sep;23(3):491-506. doi: 10.1007/bf01046052. PMID: 8226583. *Population* 

156. Aman MG, Kern RA, McGhee DE, et al. Fenfluramine and methylphenidate in children with mental retardation and ADHD: clinical and side effects. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1993 Jul;32(4):851-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199307000-00022. PMID: 8340309. *Power* 

157. Aman MG, Kern RA, Osborne P, et al. Fenfluramine and methylphenidate in children with mental retardation and borderline IQ: clinical effects. Am J Ment Retard. 1997 Mar;101(5):521-34. PMID: 9083608. *Power* 

158. Aman MG, Marks RE, Turbott SH, et al. Methylphenidate and thioridazine in the treatment of intellectually subaverage children: effects on cognitive-motor performance. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1991 Sep;30(5):816-24. PMID: 1938800. *Population* 

159. Aman MG, Marks RE, Turbott SH, et al. Clinical effects of methylphenidate and thioridazine in intellectually subaverage children. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1991 Mar;30(2):246-56. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199103000-00013. PMID: 2016229. *Population* 

160. Aman MG, Mitchell EA, Turbott SH. The effects of essential fatty acid supplementation by Efamol in hyperactive children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1987 Mar;15(1):75-90. doi: 10.1007/BF00916467. PMID: 3553274. *Power* 

161. Aman MG, Pejeau C, Osborne P, et al. Four-year follow-up of children with low intelligence and ADHD. Res Dev Disabil. 1996 Nov-Dec;17(6):417-32. doi: 10.1016/s0891-4222(96)00023-6. PMID: 8946568. *Power* 

162. Ambrosino S, de Zeeuw P, Wierenga LM, et al. What can Cortical Development in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Teach us About the Early Developmental Mechanisms Involved? Cereb Cortex. 2017 Sep 1;27(9):4624-34. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhx182. PMID: 28922857. *Population* 

163. Ameis SH, Kassee C, Corbett-Dick P, et al. Systematic review and guide to management of core and psychiatric symptoms in youth with autism. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2018 Nov;138(5):379-400. doi: 10.1111/acps.12918. PMID: 29904907. *Population* 

164. Ameis SH, Lerch JP, Taylor MJ, et al. A Diffusion Tensor Imaging Study in Children With ADHD, Autism Spectrum Disorder, OCD, and Matched Controls: Distinct and Non-Distinct White Matter Disruption and Dimensional Brain-Behavior Relationships. Am J Psychiatry. 2016 Dec 1;173(12):1213-22. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2016.15111435. PMID: 27363509. *Intervention* 

165. Amen DG, Carmichael BD. High-resolution brain SPECT imaging in ADHD. Ann Clin Psychiatry. 1997 Jun;9(2):81-6. doi: 10.1023/a:1026201218296. PMID: 9242893. *Outcome* 

166. Amiri M, Fatemi SAM, Jabbari S, et al. The effectiveness of mindful parenting training on attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in male students. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2022 Jan;26(1):138-43. doi: 10.26355/eurrev\_202201\_27759. PMID: 35049029. *Power* 

167. Amsterdam VUo, Shire. The Effects of Long-acting Methylphenidate on Academic Activity and Related Constructs in Children With ADHD. 2013. *Outcome* 

168. Anagnostopoulos DC. Comorbidity of learning disorders. Archives of Hellenic Medicine. 2001;18(5):457-65. *Design* 

169. Anand NS, Ji Y, Wang G, et al. Maternal and cord plasma branched-chain amino acids and child risk of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a prospective birth cohort study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 Jul;62(7):868-75. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13332. PMID: 32960988. *Intervention* 

170. Anand P, Sachdeva A. Effect of Poly Unsaturated Fatty Acids Administration on Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Clin Diagn Res. 2016 Sep;10(9):Oc01-oc5. doi: 10.7860/jcdr/2016/20423.8471. PMID: 27790483. *Power* 

171. Anas Sohail A, Ortiz F, Varghese T, et al. The Cognitive-Enhancing Outcomes of Caffeine and L-theanine: A Systematic Review. Cureus. 2021 Dec;13(12):e20828. doi: 10.7759/cureus.20828. PMID: 35111479. *Population* 

172. Anckarsäter H, Lundström S, Kollberg L, et al. The Child and Adolescent Twin Study in Sweden (CATSS). Twin Res Hum Genet. 2011 Dec;14(6):495-508. doi: 10.1375/twin.14.6.495. PMID: 22506305. *Language* 

173. Andersen AC, Sund AM, Thomsen PH, et al. Cognitive behavioural group therapy for adolescents with ADHD: a study of satisfaction and feasibility. Nord J Psychiatry. 2022 May;76(4):280-6. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2021.1965212. PMID: 34410203. *Power* 

174. Andersen SL, Andersen S, Vestergaard P, et al. Maternal Thyroid Function in Early Pregnancy and Child Neurodevelopmental Disorders: A Danish Nationwide Case-Cohort Study. Thyroid. 2018 Apr;28(4):537-46. doi: 10.1089/thy.2017.0425. PMID: 29584590. *Population* 

175. Anderson BA, Kim H. Test-retest reliability of value-driven attentional capture. Behav Res Methods. 2019 Apr;51(2):720-6. doi: 10.3758/s13428-018-1079-7. PMID: 29987775. *Population* 

176. Anderson J. Reported Diagnosis and Prescription Utilization Related to Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children Ages 5-17, 2008-2015. Statistical Brief (Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (US)). Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2001. *Intervention* 

177. Anderson V, Anderson D, Anderson P. Comparing attentional skills in children with acquired and developmental central nervous system disorders. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2006 Jul;12(4):519-31. doi: 10.1017/s135561770606067x. PMID: 16981604. *Intervention* 

178. Anderson VR, Keating GM. Methylphenidate controlled-delivery capsules (EquasymXL, Metadate CD): a review of its use in the treatment of children and adolescents with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. Paediatr Drugs. 2006;8(5):319-33. doi: 10.2165/00148581-200608050-00005. PMID: 17037949. *Design* 

179. Anderson VR, Keating GM. Spotlight on methylphenidate controlled-delivery capsules (Equasym XL, Metadate CD) in the treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. CNS Drugs. 2007;21(2):173-5. doi: 10.2165/00023210-200721020-00007. PMID: 17284098. *Design* 

180. Andersson H, Sonnesen L. Sleepiness, occlusion, dental arch and palatal dimensions in children attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2018 Apr;19(2):91-7. doi: 10.1007/s40368-018-0330-3. PMID: 29542042. *Intervention* 

181. Andersson M, Bäckström M, Ivarsson T, et al. Validity of the Brief Child and Family Phone Interview by comparison with Longitudinal Expert All Data diagnoses in outpatients. Scand J Child Adolesc Psychiatr Psychol. 2018;6(2):83-90. doi: 10.21307/sjcapp-2018-009. PMID: 33520755. *Language* 

182. Ando A, Pignolo C, Viglione DJ, et al. Assessing the personality profile with ADHD characteristics using the Rorschach Performance Assessment System (R-PAS). Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2019 May 1, 2019;28(5):1196-206. *Intervention* 

183. Andrade BF, Courtney D, Duda S, et al. A Systematic Review and Evaluation of Clinical Practice Guidelines for Children and Youth with Disruptive Behavior: Rigor of Development and Recommendations for Use. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2019 Dec;22(4):527-48. doi: 10.1007/s10567-019-00292-2. PMID: 30927153. *Population* 

184. Andriola MR. Efficacy and safety of methylphenidate and pemoline in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Current Therapeutic Research - Clinical and Experimental. 2000;61(4):208-15. doi: 10.1016/S0011-393X(00)89035-9. *Duplicate* 

185. Anesiadou S, Makris G, Michou M, et al. Salivary cortisol and alpha-amylase daily profiles and stress responses to an academic performance test and a moral cognition task in children with neurodevelopmental disorders. Stress Health. 2021 Feb;37(1):45-59. doi: 10.1002/smi.2971. PMID: 32608561. *Intervention* 

186. Angeli E, Korpa T, Johnson EO, et al. Salivary cortisol and alpha-amylase diurnal profiles and stress reactivity in children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder.
Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2018 Apr;90:174-81. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.02.026. PMID: 29501948. *Intervention*

187. Angold A, Erkanli A, Egger HL, et al. Stimulant treatment for children: a community perspective. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000 Aug;39(8):975-84; discussion 84-94. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200008000-00009. PMID: 10939226. *Intervention* 

188. Anguera JA, Brandes-Aitken AN, Rolle CE, et al. Characterizing cognitive control abilities in children with 16p11.2 deletion using adaptive 'video game' technology: a pilot study. Transl Psychiatry. 2016 Sep 20;6(9):e893. doi: 10.1038/tp.2016.178. PMID: 27648915. *Population* 

189. Angulo-Ruiz BY, Muñoz V, Rodríguez-Martínez EI, et al. Multiscale entropy of ADHD children during resting state condition. Cognitive Neurodynamics. 2022. doi: 10.1007/s11571-022-09869-0. *Intervention* 

190. Angyal N, Halasz J, Meszaros G, et al. Potential salivary biomarkers and their genetic effects in a pilot study of adolescent boys with externalizing problems. Neuropsychopharmacol Hung. 2016 Dec;18(4):173-9. PMID: 28259860. *Intervention* 

191. Angyal N, Horvath EZ, Tarnok Z, et al. Association analysis of norepinephrine transporter polymorphisms and methylphenidate response in ADHD patients. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2018 Jun 8;84(Pt A):122-8. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2018.01.013. PMID: 29374517. *Intervention* 

192. Anixt JS, Vaughn AJ, Powe NR, et al. Adolescent Perceptions of Outgrowing Childhood Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Relationship to Symptoms and Quality of Life. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2016 Apr;37(3):196-204. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000279. PMID: 26950341. *Intervention* 

193. Annabeth Groenman PHMLSvdOJOPPBBMRJBBvdH. Psychosocial interventions for children and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: an individual participant data meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017069877. 2017. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=69877. *Design* 

194. Annie Bryant RM-S. Stimulant and non-stimulant medication for children and adolescents with ADHD: a meta-analysis of effects on mood and anxiety. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020208755. 2020.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=208755. Design

195. Anokye R, Acheampong E, Edusei A, et al. Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder among primary school children in Oforikrom, Ghana based on the Disruptive Behavior Disorders Rating Scale. East Asian Archives of Psychiatry. 2020 Sep 2020;30(3):88-90. *Intervention* 

196. Ansari Nasab S, Panahi S, Ghassemi F, et al. Functional neuronal networks reveal emotional processing differences in children with ADHD. Cognitive Neurodynamics. 2022;16(1):91-100. doi: 10.1007/s11571-021-09699-6. *Intervention* 

197. Anthshel K, Faraone SV, Kunwar A. ADHD in adults: How to recognize and treat. Consultant. 2008;48(12). *Population* 

198. Anton R, Opriș D, Dobrean A, et al. Virtual Reality in Rehabilitation of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder The Instrument Construction Principles; 2009. *Outcome* 

199. Antonangeli LM, Kenzhebekova S, Colosio C. Neurobehavioral Effects of Low-Dose Chronic Exposure to Insecticides: A Review. Toxics. 2023 Feb 19;11(2). doi: 10.3390/toxics11020192. PMID: 36851066. *Design* 

200. Antonini TN, Kingery KM, Narad ME, et al. Neurocognitive and Behavioral Predictors of Math Performance in Children With and Without ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2016 Feb;20(2):108-18. doi: 10.1177/1087054713504620. PMID: 24071774. *Intervention* 

201. Antonio García Hermoso MS-LVM-VMJP-G. The effects of stimulants or non-stimulants drugs in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016052178. 2016. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=52178. *Design* 

202. Antonio TUoTHSCaS, Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs L. Neuroimaging of the Effects of Concerta in the Treatment of ADHD. 2008. *Population* 

203. Antonio TUoTHSCaS, Shire. Electrophysiological Effects of Guanfacine Extended Release in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2010. *Power* 

204. Antony A. Study of Factors Influencing Treatment Adherence in Childhood Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in a Tertiary Healthcare Facility. Indian J Psychol Med. 2016 Jan-Feb;38(1):20-4. doi: 10.4103/0253-7176.175094. PMID: 27011397. *Intervention* 

205. Antshel KM, Faraone SV, Maglione K, et al. Temporal stability of ADHD in the high-IQ population: results from the MGH Longitudinal Family Studies of ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Jul;47(7):817-25. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e318172eecf. PMID: 18520956. *Intervention* 

206. Antshel KM, Hendricks K, Shprintzen R, et al. The longitudinal course of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in velo-cardio-facial syndrome. J Pediatr. 2013 Jul;163(1):187-93.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.12.026. PMID: 23337092. *Population* 

207. Aoki Y, Yoncheva YN, Chen B, et al. Association of White Matter Structure With Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. JAMA Psychiatry. 2017 Nov 1;74(11):1120-8. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.2573. PMID: 28877317. *Intervention* 

208. Apostol G, Abi-Saab W, Kratochvil CJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of the novel alpha(4)beta(2) neuronal nicotinic receptor partial agonist ABT-089 in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2012 Feb;219(3):715-25. doi: 10.1007/s00213-011-2393-2. PMID: 21748252. *Population* 

209. Appelbaum S, Lefering R, Wolff C, et al. Differential Item Functioning for Boys and Girls in a Screening Instrument for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2019 Sep 3;267:3-8. doi: 10.3233/shti190797. PMID: 31483248. *Intervention* 

210. Arabgol F, Panaghi L, Hebrani P. Reboxetine versus methylphenidate in treatment of children and adolescents with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Jan;18(1):53-9. doi: 10.1007/s00787-008-0705-9. PMID: 18563471. *Power* 

211. Arabgol F, Panaghi L, Nikzad V. Risperidone Versus Methylphenidate in Treatment of Preschool Children With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Iran J Pediatr. 2015 Feb;25(1):e265. doi: 10.5812/ijp.265. PMID: 26199694. *Power* 

212. Arabi Z, Moghaddam LF, Sahebalzamani M. The effect of emotion regulation training on family relationships of hyperactive children. J Educ Health Promot. 2020;9:101. doi: 10.4103/jehp.jehp\_738\_19. PMID: 32509909. *Outcome* 

213. Aradhya AMS, Subbaraju V, Sundaram S, et al. Regularized Spatial Filtering Method (R-SFM) for detection of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) from resting-state functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (rs-fMRI). Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2018 Jul;2018:5541-4. doi: 10.1109/embc.2018.8513522. PMID: 30441592. *Design* 

214. Aral A, Onat M, Aydemir H. Functional outcomes of extended-release methylphenidate and atomoxetine in children: retrospective chart analysis. Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery. 2022;58(1). doi: 10.1186/s41983-022-00532-3. *Design* 

215. Arán Filippetti V, Krumm GL, Raimondi W. Computerized versus manual versions of the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test: Implications with typically developing and ADHD children. Applied Neuropsychology: Child. 2020 Jul 2020 - Sep 2020;9(3):230-45. *Intervention* 

216. Araz Altay M, Bozatlı L, Demirci Şipka B, et al. Current Pattern of Psychiatric Comorbidity and Psychotropic Drug Prescription in Child and Adolescent Patients. Medicina (Kaunas). 2019 May 17;55(5). doi: 10.3390/medicina55050159. PMID: 31108992. *Intervention* 

217. Arbor Pharmaceuticals I. Crossover Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of AR11 in Pediatric Patients With ADHD in a Laboratory Classroom Setting. 2013. *Timing* 

218. Arbor Pharmaceuticals I. AR08 for Treatment of ADHD in Children. 2013. Outcome

219. Arcieri R, Germinario EA, Bonati M, et al. Cardiovascular measures in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder who are new users of methylphenidate and atomoxetine. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2012 Dec;22(6):423-31. doi: 10.1089/cap.2012.0014. PMID: 23362511. *Design* 

220. Ardulov V, Martinez VR, Somandepalli K, et al. Robust diagnostic classification via Qlearning. Sci Rep. 2021 Jun 3;11(1):11730. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-90000-4. PMID: 34083579. *Design* 

221. Areces D, García T, Cueli M, et al. Is a Virtual Reality Test Able to Predict Current and Retrospective ADHD Symptoms in Adulthood and Adolescence? Brain Sci. 2019 Oct 13;9(10). doi: 10.3390/brainsci9100274. PMID: 31614922. *Population* 

222. Areces D, García T, González-Castro P, et al. Naming speed as a predictive diagnostic measure in reading and attentional problems. Child Neuropsychol. 2018 Nov;24(8):1115-28. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2017.1391191. PMID: 29050518. *Intervention*
223. Areces D, Rodríguez C, García T, et al. Efficacy of a Continuous Performance Test Based on Virtual Reality in the Diagnosis of ADHD and Its Clinical Presentations. J Atten Disord. 2018 Sep;22(11):1081-91. doi: 10.1177/1087054716629711. PMID: 26896148. *Outcome* 

224. Areces D, Rodríguez C, García T, et al. The influence of state and trait anxiety on the achievement of a virtual reality continuous performance test in children and adolescents with adhd symptoms. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2021;10(12). doi: 10.3390/jcm10122534. *Population* 

225. Areces D, Rodríguez C, González-Castro P, et al. Naming Speed and its effect on attentional variables and reading errors depending on the diagnosis. Anales de Psicología. 2017 May 2017;33(2):301-10. *Intervention* 

226. Arfuso M, Salas R, Castellanos FX, et al. Evidence of Altered Habenular Intrinsic Functional Connectivity in Pediatric ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2021 Mar;25(5):749-57. doi: 10.1177/1087054719843177. PMID: 31014160. *Intervention* 

227. Ari ME, Cetin II, Ekici F, et al. Assessment of cardiovascular risks due to methylphenidate in six months of treatment in children with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder. Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bulteni. 2014;24(3):248-52. doi: 10.5455/bcp.20140702010106. *Intervention* 

228. Arias VB, Arias B, Burns GL, et al. Invariance of parent ratings of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms for children with and without intellectual disability. J Appl Res Intellect Disabil. 2019 Mar;32(2):288-99. doi: 10.1111/jar.12525. PMID: 30156358. *Intervention* 

229. Arias VB, Esnaola I, Rodríguez-Medina J. Identifying potentially marker symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. PeerJ. 2018;6:e4820. doi: 10.7717/peerj.4820. PMID: 29844973. *Intervention* 

230. Arildskov TW, Sonuga-Barke EJS, Thomsen PH, et al. How much impairment is required for ADHD? No evidence of a discrete threshold. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 May 27. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13440. PMID: 34041741. *Intervention* 

231. Arildskov TW, Virring A, Thomsen PH, et al. Testing the evolutionary advantage theory of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder traits. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jan 4. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01692-4. PMID: 33392724. *Intervention* 

232. Arizona Uo. Atomoxetine Pilot Study in Preschool Children With ADHD. 2004. *Intervention* 

233. Arizona Uo. Autonomic Correlates of Impulsivity for Preschool Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT00856063; 2009. *Intervention* 

234. Arizona Uo, Health NIoM. Methylphenidate Study in Young Children With Developmental Disorders. 2001. *Outcome* 

235. Arkan B, Ustun B, Guvenir T. An analysis of two evidence-based parent training programmes and determination of the characteristics for a new programme model. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs. 2012 Feb 20. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2850.2012.01876.x. PMID: 22340132. *Population* 

236. Arman AR, Yazgan Y, Berkem M. The effects of risperidone on behaviors seen in children with pervasive developmental disorder and mental retardation in an educational setting. Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bulteni. 2003;13(4):174-8. *Intervention* 

237. Armayani, Yati M, Yusnayanti C, et al. Minimizing attention of deficit hyperactivity disorder in children ages 7-10 years through early detection in state 1st SD 1 Poasia Kendari 2017. Enferm Clin. 2020 Jun;30 Suppl 5:81-3. doi: 10.1016/j.enfcli.2019.11.026. PMID: 32713591. *Intervention* 

238. Armenteros JL, Lewis JE, Davalos M. Risperidone Augmentation for Treatment-Resistant Aggression in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Placebo-Controlled Pilot Study. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007 05/01/;46(5):558-M. PMID: EJ769737. *Power* 

239. Armstrong JM, Ruttle PL, Klein MH, et al. Associations of child insomnia, sleep movement, and their persistence with mental health symptoms in childhood and adolescence. Sleep. 2014 May 1;37(5):901-9. doi: 10.5665/sleep.3656. PMID: 24790268. *Intervention* 

240. Arnett AB, Rutter TM, Stein MA. Neural Markers of Methylphenidate Response in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Behav Neurosci. 2022;16:887622. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2022.887622. PMID: 35600991. *Outcome* 

241. Arnold LE. 1.3 DOUBLE-BLIND PLACEBO-CONTROLLED RANDOMIZED CLINICAL TRIAL OF NEUROFEEDBACK FOR ATTENTION-DEFICIT/HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER WITH 13-MONTH FOLLOW-UP. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S120-S1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.005. *Design* 

242. Arnold LE, Abikoff HB, Cantwell DP, et al. National Institute of Mental Health Collaborative Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD (the MTA). Design challenges and choices. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1997 Sep;54(9):865-70. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1997.01830210113015. PMID: 9294378. *Duplicate* 

243. Arnold LE, Aman MG, Cook AM, et al. Atomoxetine for hyperactivity in autism spectrum disorders: placebo-controlled crossover pilot trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Oct;45(10):1196-205. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000231976.28719.2a. PMID: 17003665. *Population* 

244. Arnold LE, Christopher J, Huestis R, et al. Methylphenidate vs dextroamphetamine vs caffeine in minimal brain dysfunction: controlled comparison by placebo washout design with Bayes' analysis. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1978 Apr;35(4):463-73. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1978.01770280073008. PMID: 365123. *Power* 

245. Arnold LE, Disilvestro RA, Bozzolo D, et al. Zinc for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: placebo-controlled double-blind pilot trial alone and combined with amphetamine. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Feb;21(1):1-19. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0073. PMID: 21309695. *Power* 

246. Arnold LE, Hodgkins P, Kahle J, et al. Long-Term Outcomes of ADHD: Academic Achievement and Performance. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jan;24(1):73-85. doi: 10.1177/1087054714566076. PMID: 25583985. *Intervention* 

247. Arnold LE, Jensen PS. MICRONUTRIENTS AS TREATMENT AND PREVENTION: NEW FINDINGS FROM 2 RCTS (MADDY AND NUTRIMUM) FOR ADHD, EMOTIONAL

DYSREGULATION, AND ANTENATAL DEPRESSION. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S282. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.575. *Design* 

248. Arnold LE, Kleykamp D, Votolato NA, et al. Gamma-linolenic acid for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: placebo-controlled comparison to D-amphetamine. Biol Psychiatry. 1989 Jan 15;25(2):222-8. doi: 10.1016/0006-3223(89)90167-4. PMID: 2539203. *Power* 

249. Arnold LE, Lindsay RL, Conners CK, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled withdrawal trial of dexmethylphenidate hydrochloride in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2004 Winter;14(4):542-54. doi: 10.1089/cap.2004.14.542. PMID: 15662146. *Intervention* 

250. Arnold LE, Lofthouse N, Hersch S, et al. EEG neurofeedback for ADHD: double-blind sham-controlled randomized pilot feasibility trial. J Atten Disord. 2013 Jul;17(5):410-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054712446173. PMID: 22617866. *Power* 

251. Arnold LE, Ober N, Aman MG, et al. A 1.5-Year Follow-Up of Parent Training and Atomoxetine for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms and Noncompliant/Disruptive Behavior in Autism. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Jun;28(5):322-30. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0134. PMID: 29694241. *Population* 

252. Arnold LE, Van Meter AR, Fristad MA, et al. Development of bipolar disorder and other comorbidity among youth with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 Feb;61(2):175-81. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13122. PMID: 31523819. *Intervention* 

253. Arnold LE DR, Bozzolo D, et al. Zinc for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: placebocontrolled double-blind pilot trial alone and combined with amphetamine. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Feb;21(1):1-19. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0073. *Duplicate* 

254. Arns M, Vollebregt MA, Palmer D, et al. Electroencephalographic biomarkers as predictors of methylphenidate response in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2018 Aug;28(8):881-91. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2018.06.002. PMID: 29937325. *Outcome* 

255. Aron AR, Dowson JH, Sahakian BJ, et al. Methylphenidate improves response inhibition in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2003 Dec 15;54(12):1465-8. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(03)00609-7. PMID: 14675812. *Population* 

256. Aronowitz B, Liebowitz M, Hollander E, et al. Neuropsychiatric and neuropsychological findings in conduct disorder and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 1994 Summer;6(3):245-9. doi: 10.1176/jnp.6.3.245. PMID: 7950346. *Outcome* 

257. Aronson B. Peer influence as a potential magnifier of ADHD diagnosis. Soc Sci Med. 2016 Nov;168:111-9. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.09.010. PMID: 27643845. *Intervention* 

258. Arrar SR, Khudhair SH. Effectiveness of an Instructional Program on Behaviors of Parents Toward Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Autism Centers at Baghdad City. Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences. 2022;16(5):528-31. doi: 10.53350/pjmhs22165528. *Outcome* 

259. Arria AM, Caldeira KM, O'Grady KE, et al. Nonmedical use of prescription stimulants among college students: associations with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder and polydrug

use. Pharmacotherapy. 2008 Feb;28(2):156-69. doi: 10.1592/phco.28.2.156. PMID: 18225963. *Intervention* 

260. Arruda MA, Arruda R, Anunciação L. Psychometric properties and clinical utility of the executive function inventory for children and adolescents: a large multistage populational study including children with ADHD. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2020 Mar 2:1-17. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2020.1726353. PMID: 32116035. *Intervention* 

261. Arruda MA, Arruda R, Guidetti V, et al. Associated Factors of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Diagnosis and Psychostimulant Use: A Nationwide Representative Study. Pediatr Neurol. 2022 Mar;128:45-51. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2021.11.008. PMID: 35066370. *Intervention* 

262. Arteaga-Henríquez G, Rosales-Ortiz SK, Arias-Vásquez A, et al. Treating impulsivity with probiotics in adults (PROBIA): study protocol of a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Trials. 2020 Feb 11;21(1):161. doi: 10.1186/s13063-019-4040-x. PMID: 32046750. *Population* 

263. Asadi Z. The effect of maternal foot massage on the severity of symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children aged 6-12. 2019. https://en.irct.ir/trial/36395. Accessed on October 6 2022. *Population* 

264. Asadi Z, Shakibaei F, Mazaheri M, et al. The Effect of Foot Massage by Mother on the Severity of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in Children Aged 6-12. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res. 2020 May-Jun;25(3):189-94. doi: 10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR\_78\_19. PMID: 32724763. *Population* 

265. Asarnow RF, Newman N, Weiss RE, et al. Association of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Diagnoses With Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury: A Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2021 Jul 12. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.2033. PMID: 34251435. *Population* 

266. Ashdown-Franks G, Firth J, Carney R, et al. Exercise as Medicine for Mental and Substance Use Disorders: A Meta-review of the Benefits for Neuropsychiatric and Cognitive Outcomes. Sports Med. 2020 Jan;50(1):151-70. doi: 10.1007/s40279-019-01187-6. PMID: 31541410. *Design* 

267. Asherson P, Agnew-Blais J. Annual Research Review: Does late-onset attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder exist? J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019 Apr;60(4):333-52. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13020. PMID: 30843223. *Intervention* 

268. Asherson P, Brookes K, Franke B, et al. Confirmation that a specific haplotype of the dopamine transporter gene is associated with combined-type ADHD. Am J Psychiatry. 2007 Apr;164(4):674-7. doi: 10.1176/ajp.2007.164.4.674. PMID: 17403983. *Intervention* 

269. Ashitani M, Ueno C, Doi T, et al. Clinical features of functional hearing loss with inattention problem in Japanese children. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2011 Nov;75(11):1431-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2011.08.009. PMID: 21906824. *Population* 

270. Ashraf S, Eskander N, Ceren Amuk O, et al. Do Demographics and Comorbidities Act as Predictors of Co-diagnosis of Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Autism Spectrum Disorder? Cureus. 2020 Apr 23;12(4):e7798. doi: 10.7759/cureus.7798. PMID: 32461866. *Intervention* 

271. Assareh M, Davari Ashtiani R, Khademi M, et al. Efficacy of Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids (PUFA) in the Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(1):78-85. doi: 10.1177/1087054712463962. PMID: 23160488. *Power* 

272. Astrid Dahlgren, Karianne Hammerstrøm, Mari Elvsashagen, et al. A review of systematic reviews: interventions for ADHD in children and adolescents. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020159885 2020.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?ID=CRD42020159885. Design

273. Asztély K, Kopp S, Gillberg C, et al. Chronic Pain And Health-Related Quality Of Life In Women With Autism And/Or ADHD: A Prospective Longitudinal Study. J Pain Res. 2019;12:2925-32. doi: 10.2147/jpr.S212422. PMID: 31695481. *Intervention* 

274. Atefi N, Rohaninasab M, Shooshtari M, et al. The Association between Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Atopic Dermatitis: A Study among Iranian Children. Indian J Dermatol. 2019 Nov-Dec;64(6):451-5. doi: 10.4103/ijd.IJD\_458\_18. PMID: 31896842. *Intervention* 

275. Ateşci F, Tüysüzoğullari HD, Özdel O, et al. Comorbidity of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adult bipolar I disorder: A preliminary study. Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bulteni. 2010;20(1):66-73. doi: 10.1080/10177833.2010.11790636. *Population* 

276. Atherton OE, Ferrer E, Robins RW. The development of externalizing symptoms from late childhood through adolescence: A longitudinal study of Mexican-origin youth. Dev Psychol. 2018 Jun;54(6):1135-47. doi: 10.1037/dev0000489. PMID: 29251969. *Intervention* 

277. Atherton OE, Lawson KM, Ferrer E, et al. The role of effortful control in the development of ADHD, ODD, and CD symptoms. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2020 Jun;118(6):1226-46. doi: 10.1037/pspp0000243. PMID: 30920279. *Intervention* 

278. Atmaca F, Baloglu M. The Two Sides of Cognitive Masking: A Three-Level Bayesian Meta-Analysis on Twice-Exceptionality. Gifted Child Quarterly. 2022 10/01/;66(4):277-95. PMID: EJ1350310. *Population* 

279. Atzori P, Usala T, Carucci S, et al. Predictive factors for persistent use and compliance of immediate-release methylphenidate: a 36-month naturalistic study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Dec;19(6):673-81. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0146. PMID: 20035585. *Comparator* 

280. Au A, Lau Km, Wong AHc, et al. The Efficacy of a Group Triple P (Positive Parenting Program) for Chinese Parents with a Child Diagnosed with ADHD in Hong Kong: A Pilot Randomised Controlled Study. Australian Psychologist. 2014 2014/06/01;49(3):151-62. doi: 10.1111/ap.12053. *Power* 

281. August GJ, Garfinkel BD. Behavioral and cognitive subtypes of ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1989 Sep;28(5):739-48. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198909000-00016. PMID: 2793802. *Outcome* 

282. Auiler JF, Liu K, Lynch JM, et al. Effect of food on early drug exposure from extendedrelease stimulants: results from the Concerta, Adderall XR Food Evaluation (CAFE) Study. Curr Med Res Opin. 2002;18(5):311-6. doi: 10.1185/030079902125000840. PMID: 12240794. *Population*  283. Aureli A, Sebastiani P, Del Beato T, et al. Investigation on the possible relationship existing between the HLA-DR gene and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and/or mental retardation. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2008 Oct-Dec;21(4):985-91. doi: 10.1177/039463200802100423. PMID: 19144284. *Outcome* 

284. Avcil S. Association between altered lipid profiles and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in boys. Nord J Psychiatry. 2018 Jul;72(5):361-6. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2018.1465591. PMID: 29688116. *Intervention* 

285. Avcil S. Evaluation of the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio, platelet/lymphocyte ratio, and mean platelet volume as inflammatory markers in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences. 2018 Jul 2018;72(7):522-30. *Intervention* 

286. Avcil S, Uysal P, Avcil M, et al. Dynamic thiol/disulfide homeostasis in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and its relation with disease subtypes. Compr Psychiatry. 2017 Feb;73:53-60. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2016.11.003. PMID: 27915219. *Intervention* 

287. Ayaz AB, Erol Güler E, Yildirim B, et al. Factors predicting aggressive behaviors in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi. 2016;17(3):231-9. doi: 10.5455/apd.198960. *Language* 

288. Aydin K, Okuyaz C, Serdaroğlu A, et al. Utility of electroencephalography in the evaluation of common neurologic conditions in children. J Child Neurol. 2003 Jun;18(6):394-6. doi: 10.1177/08830738030180060801. PMID: 12886973. *Population* 

289. Aydin SU, Basay BK, Cetin GO, et al. Altered microRNA 5692b and microRNA let-7d expression levels in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Psychiatric Research. 2019 Aug 2019;115:158-64. *Intervention* 

290. Aydinli FE, Çak T, Kirazli M, et al. Effects of distractors on upright balance performance in school-aged children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, preliminary study. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2018 May-Jun;84(3):280-9. doi: 10.1016/j.bjorl.2016.10.007. PMID: 27939853. *Intervention* 

291. Aydın S, Çetin FH, Uytun MÇ, et al. Comparison of domain specific connectivity metrics for estimation brain network indices in boys with ADHD-C. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control. 2022;76. doi: 10.1016/j.bspc.2022.103626. *Outcome* 

292. Ayyildiz D, Bikmazer A, Örengül AC, et al. Executive Functions and Social Responsiveness in Children and Adolescents With Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Psychiatry and Clinical Psychopharmacology. 2021;31(2):165-72. doi: 10.5152/pcp.2021.20167. *Outcome* 

293. Azami S, Moghadas A, Sohrabi-Esmrood F, et al. A pilot randomized controlled trial comparing computer-assisted cognitive rehabilitation, stimulant medication, and an active control in the treatment of ADHD. Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2016;21(4):217-24. doi: 10.1111/camh.12157. *Intervention* 

294. Azami S, Moghadas A, Sohrabi-Esmrood F, et al. A pilot randomized controlled trial comparing computer-assisted cognitive rehabilitation, stimulant medication, and an active control in the treatment of ADHD. Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2016 Nov 2016;21(4):217-24. *Duplicate* 

295. Azevedo AF, Seabra-Santos MJ, Gaspar MF, et al. The Incredible Years Basic Parent Training for Portuguese preschoolers with AD/HD behaviors: Does it make a difference? : Springer; 2013. p. 403-24. *Population* 

296. Azouz HG, Ghareib B, Gad HA, et al. Effectiveness of Atomoxetine on children with autism spectrum disorder and comorbid attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. NeuroQuantology. 2022;20(10):2816-29. doi: 10.14704/nq.2022.20.10.NQ55271. *Power* 

297. Babinski DE, Mazzant JR, Merrill BM, et al. Lifetime caregiver strain among mothers of adolescents and young adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Fam Psychol. 2020 Apr;34(3):342-52. doi: 10.1037/fam0000609. PMID: 31750692. *Intervention* 

298. Babinski DE, Waxmonsky JG, Waschbusch DA, et al. Parent-Reported Improvements in Family Functioning in a Randomized Controlled Trial of Lisdexamfetamine for Treatment of Parental Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Apr;27(3):250-7. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0129. PMID: 27991835. *Power* 

299. Baboli R, Cao M, Halperin JM, et al. Distinct Thalamic and Frontal Neuroanatomical Substrates in Children with Familial vs. Non-Familial Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Brain Sciences. 2023;13(1). doi: 10.3390/brainsci13010046. *Outcome* 

300. Babu KM, Cotter BV, Jackson DA, et al. Prescription amphetamine and illicit stimulant use among adolescent and young adult emergency department patients. Clinical Toxicology. 2011;49(6):524. doi: 10.3109/15563650.2011.598695. *Intervention* 

301. Baccarin M, Picinelli C, Tomaiuolo P, et al. Appropriateness of array-CGH in the ADHD clinics: A comparative study. Genes Brain Behav. 2020 Jul;19(6):e12651. doi: 10.1111/gbb.12651. PMID: 32141190. *Intervention* 

302. Bach-Morrow L, Boccalatte F, DeRosa A, et al. Functional changes in prefrontal cortex following frequency-specific training. Sci Rep. 2022 Nov 24;12(1):20316. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-24088-7. PMID: 36434008. *Power* 

303. Bachmann CJ, Philipsen A, Hoffmann F. ADHD in Germany: Trends in Diagnosis and Pharmacotherapy. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2017 Mar 3;114(9):141-8. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2017.0141. PMID: 28351466. *Outcome* 

304. Bachmann M, Bachmann CJ, John K, et al. The effectiveness of child and adolescent psychiatric treatments in a naturalistic outpatient setting. World Psychiatry. 2010;9(2):111-7. doi: 10.1002/j.2051-5545.2010.tb00288.x. *Comparator* 

305. Badger K, Anderson L, Kagan RJ. Attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder in children with burn injuries. J Burn Care Res. 2008 Sep-Oct;29(5):724-9. doi: 10.1097/BCR.0b013e31818480e1. PMID: 18695621. *Intervention* 

306. Bae S, Han DH, Kim SM, et al. Neurochemical correlates of internet game play in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) study. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging. 2016 Aug 30, 2016;254:10-7. *Outcome* 

307. Bae S, Kim JT, Han JM, et al. Pilot Study: An Ocular Biomarker for Diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Psychiatry Investig. 2019 May;16(5):370-8. doi: 10.30773/pi.2019.02.26.1. PMID: 31132841. *Intervention* 

308. Bae S, Park S, Han DH. A mixed herbal extract as an adjunctive therapy for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. Integrative Medicine Research. 2021;10(3). doi: 10.1016/j.imr.2021.100714. *Power* 

309. Baglivio MT, Wolff KT, Piquero AR, et al. Racial/Ethnic Disproportionality in Psychiatric Diagnoses and Treatment in a Sample of Serious Juvenile Offenders. J Youth Adolesc. 2017 Jul;46(7):1424-51. doi: 10.1007/s10964-016-0573-4. PMID: 27665279. *Intervention* 

310. Bagner DM ES. Parent-child interaction therapy for disruptive behavior in children with mental retardation: a randomized controlled trial. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2007;36(3):418-29. *Power* 

311. Bahmanyar S, Sundström A, Kaijser M, et al. Pharmacological treatment and demographic characteristics of pediatric patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, Sweden. Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety. 2011;20:S132. doi: 10.1002/pds.2206. *Intervention* 

312. Bahn GH, Seo K. Combined Medication with Stimulants and Non-stimulants for Attentiondeficit/hyperactivity Disorder. Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci. 2021 Nov 30;19(4):705-11. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2021.19.4.705. PMID: 34690125. *Design* 

313. Bahng H, Yoo S, Choi HY, et al. Optimization and classification of developmental brain diseases using machine learning of functional brain networks. IBRO Reports. 2019;6:S468. doi: 10.1016/j.ibror.2019.07.1474. *Design* 

314. Bahram ME, Assarian F, Atoof F, et al. Effect of a 12-week interval running program on female primary school students with ADHD. Feyz Journal of Kashan University of Medical Sciences. 2014;18(2):151-8. *Language* 

315. Bai GN WY, Yang L, et al. Effectiveness of a focused, brief psychoeducation program for parents of ADHD children: Improvement of medication adherence and symptoms. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2015;11:2721-35. *Power* 

316. Baijot S, Cevallos C, Zarka D, et al. EEG Dynamics of a Go/Nogo Task in Children with ADHD. Brain Sci. 2017 Dec 20;7(12). doi: 10.3390/brainsci7120167. PMID: 29261133. *Intervention* 

317. Bailey UL, Derefinko KJ, Milich R, et al. The effects of stimulant medication on free recall of story events among children with ADHD. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2011;33(4):409-19. doi: 10.1007/s10862-011-9249-2. *Intervention* 

318. Bain EE, Apostol G, Sangal RB, et al. A randomized pilot study of the efficacy and safety of ABT-089, a novel alpha4beta2 neuronal nicotinic receptor agonist, in adults with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2012 Jun;73(6):783-9. doi: 10.4088/JCP.10m06719. PMID: 22795204. *Population* 

319. Bain EE, Robieson W, Pritchett Y, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 study of alpha4beta2 agonist ABT-894 in adults with ADHD. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2013 Feb;38(3):405-13. doi: 10.1038/npp.2012.194. PMID: 23032073. *Population* 

320. Bakar EE, Bakar B, Taner YI, et al. Evaluation of the intellectual skill problems of hydrocephalic children: a clinical study. Turk Neurosurg. 2009 Jan;19(1):29-35. PMID: 19263350. *Population* 

321. Baker BH, Lugo-Candelas C, Wu H, et al. Association of Prenatal Acetaminophen Exposure Measured in Meconium With Risk of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Mediated by Frontoparietal Network Brain Connectivity. JAMA Pediatr. 2020 Nov 1;174(11):1073-81. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.3080. PMID: 32986124. *Intervention* 

322. Baker BL, Neece CL, Fenning RM, et al. Mental Disorders in Five-Year-Old Children with or without Developmental Delay: Focus on ADHD. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2010 01/01/;39(4):492-505. PMID: EJ892364. *Intervention* 

323. Baker TC. The use of mini -exercise breaks in the classroom management of ADHD -type behaviors [Ph.D.]. United States -- Minnesota: Capella University; 2005. *Design* 

324. Bakhtadze S, Beridze M, Geladze N, et al. Effect of EEG biofeedback on cognitive flexibility in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with and without epilepsy. Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback. 2016 Mar 2016;41(1):71-9. *Intervention* 

325. Bakre SA, Reddy A, Sharp H, et al. 1.28 Differences in Vitamin D Deficiency in Depression, Anxiety, and ADHD During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S150. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.044. *Intervention* 

326. Balázs J, Dallos G, Keresztény A, et al. Methylphenidate treatment and dyskinesia in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Apr;21(2):133-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0030. PMID: 21486166. *Intervention* 

327. Baldwin JS, Dadds MR. Examining alternative explanations of the covariation of ADHD and anxiety symptoms in children: a community study. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2008 Jan;36(1):67-79. doi: 10.1007/s10802-007-9160-1. PMID: 17636434. *Intervention* 

328. Bali V, Kamble PS, Aparasu RR. Cardiovascular Safety of Concomitant Use of Atypical Antipsychotics and Long-Acting Stimulants in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2019 Jan;23(2):163-72. doi: 10.1177/1087054715608443. PMID: 26494504. *Intervention* 

329. Ball G, Malpas CB, Genc S, et al. Multimodal Structural Neuroimaging Markers of Brain Development and ADHD Symptoms. Am J Psychiatry. 2019 Jan 1;176(1):57-66. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.18010034. PMID: 30220220. *Intervention* 

330. Ballentine KL. Understanding racial differences in diagnosing ODD versus ADHD using critical race theory. Families in Society. 2019 Jul 2019;100(3):282-92. *Intervention* 

331. Ballinger CT, Varley CK, Nolen PA. Effects of methylphenidate on reading in children with attention deficit disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 1984 Dec;141(12):1590-3. doi: 10.1176/ajp.141.12.1590. PMID: 6507665. *Power* 

332. Banaschewski T, Johnson M, Nagy P, et al. Growth and Puberty in a 2-Year Open-Label Study of Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. CNS Drugs. 2018 May;32(5):455-67. doi: 10.1007/s40263-018-0514-8. PMID: 29790103. *Design* 

333. Banaschewski T, Tiffin-Richards M, Hasselhorn M, et al. Comorbidity of ADHD and reading and spelling disorder as reflected in phonological, semantic and syntactic language performance. Sprache Stimme Gehor. 2000;24(3):106-12. doi: 10.1055/s-2000-11158. *Language* 

334. Banaschewski T, Woerner W, Becker A, et al. Diagnostik der Aufmerksamkeitsdefizit-Hyperaktivitäts-Störung: Unterstützung durch den Elternfragebogen zu Stärken und Schwächen des Kindes (SDQ). Monatsschrift Kinderheilkunde. 2004 07/01;152. doi: 10.1007/s00112-003-0775-1. *Language* 

335. Bandeira ID, Guimarães RS, Jagersbacher JG, et al. Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation in Children and Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A Pilot Study. J Child Neurol. 2016 Jun;31(7):918-24. doi: 10.1177/0883073816630083. PMID: 26879095. *Timing* 

336. Bandel Castro T. Slovenian Families With Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Interpersonal Relations, Parents' Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms and Implications for Family Therapy. Journal of Family Psychotherapy. 2017;28(2):170-86. doi: 10.1080/08975353.2017.1288993. *Intervention* 

337. Banerjee S. Use of atomoxetine in children and adolescents with ADHD. Progress in Neurology and Psychiatry. 2009;13(2):18-20. doi: 10.1002/pnp.114. *Intervention* 

338. Banerjee S, Venables S. Re-audit of NICE guidance for treatment of ADHD children with methylphenidate. Clinical Governance. 2006;11(3):193-7. doi: 10.1108/14777270610683128. *Intervention* 

339. Bangert KJ, Finestack LH. Linguistic maze production by children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research. 2020 Jan 2020;63(1):274-85. *Intervention* 

340. Bangs ME, Tauscher-Wisniewski S, Polzer J, et al. Meta-Analysis of Suicide-Related Behavior Events in Patients Treated with Atomoxetine. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2008 02/01/;47(2):209-F. PMID: EJ788818. *Design* 

341. Bangs ME, Wietecha LA, Wang S, et al. Meta-analysis of suicide-related behavior or ideation in child, adolescent, and adult patients treated with atomoxetine. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2014 Oct;24(8):426-34. doi: 10.1089/cap.2014.0005. PMID: 25019647. *Population* 

342. Bar-Ilan RT, Cohen N, Maeir A. Comparison of Children With and Without ADHD on a New Pictorial Self-Assessment of Executive Functions. Am J Occup Ther. 2018 May/Jun;72(3):7203205040p1-p9. doi: 10.5014/ajot.2018.021485. PMID: 29689173. *Comparator* 

343. Barbaresi WJ, Colligan RC, Weaver AL, et al. Mortality, ADHD, and psychosocial adversity in adults with childhood ADHD: a prospective study. Pediatrics. 2013 Apr;131(4):637-44. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-2354. PMID: 23460687. *Population* 

344. Barbaresi WJ, Katusic SK, Colligan RC, et al. How common is attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder? Incidence in a population-based birth cohort in Rochester, Minn. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2002 Mar;156(3):217-24. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.156.3.217. PMID: 11876664. *Intervention* 

345. Barbaresi WJ, Katusic SK, Colligan RC, et al. Modifiers of long-term school outcomes for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: does treatment with stimulant medication make a difference? Results from a population-based study. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2007 Aug;28(4):274-87. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e3180cabc28. PMID: 17700079. *Design*  346. Barbaresi WJ, Katusic SK, Colligan RC, et al. Long-term stimulant medication treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: results from a population-based study. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2006 Feb;27(1):1-10. doi: 10.1097/00004703-200602000-00001. PMID: 16511362. *Duplicate* 

347. Barbaresi WJ, Katusic SK, Colligan RC, et al. Long-term stimulant medication treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: results from a population-based study. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2014 Sep;35(7):448-57. doi: 10.1097/dbp.000000000000099. PMID: 25180895. *Design* 

348. Barberio AM, Quiñonez C, Hosein FS, et al. Fluoride exposure and reported learning disability diagnosis among Canadian children: Implications for community water fluoridation. Can J Public Health. 2017 Sep 14;108(3):e229-e39. doi: 10.17269/cjph.108.5951. PMID: 28910243. *Population* 

349. Barclay RP, Dillon-Naftolin E, Russell D, et al. A Second-Opinion Program for the Care of Youths Prescribed Five or More Psychotropics in Washington State. Psychiatr Serv. 2021 Mar 1;72(3):362-5. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.202000234. PMID: 32878541. *Intervention* 

350. Barg G, Daleiro M, Queirolo EI, et al. Association of Low Lead Levels with Behavioral Problems and Executive Function Deficits in Schoolers from Montevideo, Uruguay. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018 Dec 4;15(12). doi: 10.3390/ijerph15122735. PMID: 30518085. *Intervention* 

351. Barker ED, Oliver BR, Maughan B. Co-occurring problems of early onset persistent, childhood limited, and adolescent onset conduct problem youth. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2010 Nov;51(11):1217-26. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02240.x. PMID: 20738447. *Intervention* 

352. Barker ED, Tremblay RE, van Lier PAC, et al. The neurocognition of conduct disorder behaviors: Specificity to physical aggression and theft after controlling for ADHD symptoms. Aggressive Behavior. 2011 Jan 2011 - Feb

Jan 2011 - Feb 2011

2017-09-25;37(1):63-72. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ab.20373. PMID: 852909916; 2010-26526-006. *Intervention* 

353. Barkley RA. Psychosocial treatments for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. J Clin Psychiatry. 2002;63 Suppl 12:36-43. PMID: 12562060. *Design* 

354. Barkley RA. Recent longitudinal studies of childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Important themes and questions for further research. J Abnorm Psychol. 2016 Feb;125(2):248-55. doi: 10.1037/abn0000125. PMID: 26854509. *Intervention* 

355. Barkley RA, Anastopoulos AD, Guevremont DC, et al. Adolescents with ADHD: patterns of behavioral adjustment, academic functioning, and treatment utilization. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1991 Sep;30(5):752-61. doi: 10.1016/s0890-8567(10)80010-3. PMID: 1938790. *Intervention* 

356. Barkley RA, Anastopoulos AD, Guevremont DC, et al. Adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: mother-adolescent interactions, family beliefs and conflicts, and maternal

psychopathology. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1992 Jun;20(3):263-88. doi: 10.1007/bf00916692. PMID: 1619134. *Intervention* 

357. Barkley RA, Connor DF, Kwasnik D. Challenges to determining adolescent medication response in an outpatient clinical setting: Comparing Adderal and methylphenidate for ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2000 2000/08/01;4(2):102-13. doi: 10.1177/108705470000400204. *Power* 

358. Barkley RA, DuPaul GJ, McMurray MB. Comprehensive evaluation of attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity as defined by research criteria. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1990 Dec;58(6):775-89. doi: 10.1037//0022-006x.58.6.775. PMID: 2292627. *Intervention* 

359. Barkley RA, Edwards G, Laneri M, et al. The efficacy of problem-solving communication training alone, behavior management training alone, and their combination for parent-adolescent conflict in teenagers with ADHD and ODD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2001 Dec;69(6):926-41. PMID: 11777120. *Power* 

360. Barkley RA, Edwards G, Laneri M, et al. Executive functioning, temporal discounting, and sense of time in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and oppositional defiant disorder (ODD). J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2001 Dec;29(6):541-56. doi: 10.1023/a:1012233310098. PMID: 11761287. *Intervention* 

361. Barkley RA, et al. A Comparison of Three Family Therapy Programs for Treating Family Conflicts in Adolescents with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 1992 06/01/;60(3):450-62. PMID: EJ451116. *Power* 

362. Barkley RA, Fischer M. Hyperactive Child Syndrome and Estimated Life Expectancy at Young Adult Follow-Up: The Role of ADHD Persistence and Other Potential Predictors. J Atten Disord. 2019 Jul;23(9):907-23. doi: 10.1177/1087054718816164. PMID: 30526189. *Intervention* 

363. Barkley RA, Fischer M. Time Reproduction Deficits at Young Adult Follow-Up in Childhood ADHD: The Role of Persistence of Disorder and Executive Functioning. Dev Neuropsychol. 2019 Jan-Feb;44(1):50-70. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2018.1541992. PMID: 30375893. *Intervention* 

364. Barkley RA, Fischer M, Edelbrock CS, et al. The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children diagnosed by research criteria: I. An 8-year prospective follow-up study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1990 Jul;29(4):546-57. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199007000-00007. PMID: 2387789. *Intervention* 

365. Barkley RA, Fischer M, Smallish L, et al. Does the treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder with stimulants contribute to drug use/abuse? A 13-year prospective study. Pediatrics. 2003 Jan;111(1):97-109. doi: 10.1542/peds.111.1.97. PMID: 12509561. *Design* 

366. Barkley RA, Grodzinsky G, DuPaul GJ. Frontal lobe functions in attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity: a review and research report. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1992 Apr;20(2):163-88. doi: 10.1007/BF00916547. PMID: 1593025. *Intervention* 

367. Barkley RA, Guevremont DC, Anastopoulos AD, et al. A comparison of three family therapy programs for treating family conflicts in adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1992 Jun;60(3):450-62. doi: 10.1037/0022-006x.60.3.450. PMID: 1619099. *Power* 

368. Barkley RA, Koplowitz S, Anderson T, et al. Sense of time in children with ADHD: effects of duration, distraction, and stimulant medication. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 1997 Jul;3(4):359-69. PMID: 9260445. *Intervention* 

369. Barkley RA, McMurray MB, Edelbrock CS, et al. The response of aggressive and nonaggressive ADHD children to two doses of methylphenidate. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1989 Nov;28(6):873-81. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198911000-00011. PMID: 2808257. *Design* 

370. Barkley RA, McMurray MB, Edelbrock CS, et al. Side effects of methylphenidate in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systemic, placebo-controlled evaluation. Pediatrics. 1990 Aug;86(2):184-92. PMID: 2196520. *Power* 

371. Barkley RA, Shelton TL, Crosswait C, et al. Multi-method psycho-educational intervention for preschool children with disruptive behavior: preliminary results at post-treatment. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2000 Mar;41(3):319-32. PMID: 10784079. *Population* 

372. Barkley RA, Smith KM, Fischer M, et al. An examination of the behavioral and neuropsychological correlates of three ADHD candidate gene polymorphisms (DRD4 7+, DBH TaqI A2, and DAT1 40 bp VNTR) in hyperactive and normal children followed to adulthood. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2006 Jul 5;141b(5):487-98. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30326. PMID: 16741944. *Intervention* 

373. Barkley RA KJ, Pollard S, et al. Developmental changes in the mother-child interactions of hyperactive boys: Effects of two dose levels of Ritalin. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1985;26(5):705-15. *Power* 

374. Barnard-Brak L, Brak V. Pharmacotherapy and academic achievement among children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Dec;21(6):597-603. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0127. PMID: 22196315. *Design* 

375. Barnard-Brak L, Stevens T, Xiao F, et al. Approaches to learning and medicated ADHD: The potential impact on learning and assessment. Learning and Individual Differences. 2016 Apr 2016;47:298-303. *Intervention* 

376. Barner JC, Khoza S, Oladapo A. ADHD medication use, adherence, persistence and cost among Texas Medicaid children. Curr Med Res Opin. 2011;27 Suppl 2:13-22. doi: 10.1185/03007995.2011.603303. PMID: 21973228. *Intervention* 

377. Barnes G, Wilkes-Gillan S, Bundy A, et al. The social play, social skills and parent–child relationships of children with ADHD 12 months following a RCT of a play-based intervention. Australian Occupational Therapy Journal. 2017 Dec 2017;64(6):457-65. *Duplicate* 

378. Barnes GL, Wretham AE, Sedgwick R, et al. Evaluation of a diagnostic ADHD pathway in a community child mental health service in South London. Mental Health Review Journal. 2020 2020;25(1):1-19. *Comparator* 

379. Barnett R, Maruff P, Vance A, et al. Abnormal executive function in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: the effect of stimulant medication and age on spatial working memory. Psychol Med. 2001 Aug;31(6):1107-15. doi: 10.1017/s0033291701004172. PMID: 11513378. *Intervention* 

380. Barragán E, Breuer D, Döpfner M. Efficacy and Safety of Omega-3/6 Fatty Acids, Methylphenidate, and a Combined Treatment in Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Mar;21(5):433-41. doi: 10.1177/1087054713518239. PMID: 24464327. *Power* 

381. Barragán Pérez E, García Beristain JC, Hidalgo Gutiérrez R. Evaluation of the response of lisdexamfetamine in children and adolescents with ADHD: Quasi-experimental study. Salud Mental. 2018 2018;41(6):279-85. *Intervention* 

382. Barrickman L, Noyes R, Kuperman S, et al. Treatment of ADHD with fluoxetine: a preliminary trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1991 Sep;30(5):762-7. PMID: 1938791. *Comparator* 

383. Barrie ES, Pinsonneault JK, Sadee W, et al. Testing genetic modifiers of behavior and response to atomoxetine in autism spectrum disorder with ADHD. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities. 2018 Jun 2018;30(3):355-71. *Intervention* 

384. Barrios CS, Jay SY, Smith VC, et al. Stability and Predictive Validity of the Parent-Child Sleep Interactions Scale: A Longitudinal Study Among Preschoolers. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018 May-Jun;47(3):382-96. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2017.1357125. PMID: 28816508. *Intervention* 

385. Barry RJ, Clarke AR, McCarthy R, et al. Event-related potentials in adults with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: an investigation using an inter-modal auditory/visual oddball task. Int J Psychophysiol. 2009 Feb;71(2):124-31. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2008.09.009. PMID: 19022305. *Population* 

386. Bartlett DM, Sieplinga K, Bowden J, et al. 115. USING IMPLEMENTATION RESEARCH FRAMEWORK TO FOCUS ON PEDIATRIC MENTAL HEALTH. Academic Pediatrics. 2020;20(7):e54-e5. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2020.06.136. *Design* 

387. Barton J. Atomoxetine: a new pharmacotherapeutic approach in the management of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Dis Child. 2005 Feb;90 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):i26-9. doi: 10.1136/adc.2004.059386. PMID: 15665154. *Design* 

388. Basel D, Mosheva M, Maeder J, et al. Stimulant treatment effectiveness, safety and risk for psychosis in individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Sep;31(9):1367-75. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01780-z. PMID: 33871687. *Design* 

389. Bastiaens L. Both atomoxetine and stimulants improve quality of life in an ADHD population treated in a community clinic. Psychiatr Q. 2008 Jun;79(2):133-7. doi: 10.1007/s11126-008-9070-6. PMID: 18327640. *Intervention* 

390. Bathelt J, Holmes J, Astle DE. Data-Driven Subtyping of Executive Function-Related Behavioral Problems in Children. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Apr;57(4):252-62.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.01.014. PMID: 29588051. *Intervention* 

391. Battel L, Kieling RR, Kieling C, et al. Intrinsic brain connectivity following long-term treatment with methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 2016 Aug 2016;26(6):555-61. *Population* 

392. Batterson KD, Southard KA, Dawson DV, et al. The effect of chronic methylphenidate administration on tooth maturation in a sample of Caucasian children. Pediatr Dent. 2005 Jul-Aug;27(4):292-7. PMID: 16317968. *Intervention* 

393. Bauer BW, Gustafsson HC, Nigg J, et al. Working memory mediates increased negative affect and suicidal ideation in childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Psychopathol Behav Assess. 2018 Jun;40(2):180-93. doi: 10.1007/s10862-017-9635-5. PMID: 30386005. *Intervention* 

394. Bauer NS, Azer N, Sullivan PD, et al. Acceptability of Group Visits for Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Pediatric Clinics. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2017 Oct;38(8):565-72. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000492. PMID: 28816910. *Comparator* 

395. Bauer NS, Sullivan PD, Szczepaniak D, et al. Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder Group Visits Improve Parental Emotional Health and Perceptions of Child Behavior. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2018 Jul/Aug;39(6):461-70. doi: 10.1097/dbp.000000000000575. PMID: 29877990. *Power* 

396. Bauer NS, Szczepaniak D, Sullivan PD, et al. Group Visits to Improve Pediatric Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Chronic Care Management. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2015 Oct;36(8):553-61. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000207. PMID: 26414089. *Power* 

397. Bauermeister JJ, Barkley RA, Martinez JV, et al. Time Estimation and Performance on Reproduction Tasks in Subtypes of Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2005 01/01/;34(1):151-62. PMID: EJ724953. *Intervention* 

398. Bauermeister JJ, Bird HR, Shrout PE, et al. Short-term persistence of DSM-IV ADHD diagnoses: influence of context, age, and gender. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Jun;50(6):554-62. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2011.03.017. PMID: 21621139. *Outcome* 

399. Baumeister S, Wolf I, Hohmann S, et al. The impact of successful learning of selfregulation on reward processing in children with ADHD using fMRI. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Mar;11(1):31-45. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0269-6. PMID: 30225805. *Power* 

400. Baumeister S, Wolf I, Holz N, et al. Neurofeedback Training Effects on Inhibitory Brain Activation in ADHD: A Matter of Learning? Neuroscience. 2018 May 15;378:89-99. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.09.025. PMID: 27659116. *Power* 

401. Baweja R, Belin PJ, Humphrey HH, et al. The Effectiveness and Tolerability of Central Nervous System Stimulants in School-Age Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder Across Home and School. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016 Mar;26(2):154-63. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0053. PMID: 26771437. *Intervention* 

402. Baweja R, Hale DE, Waxmonsky JG. Impact of CNS Stimulants for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder on Growth: Epidemiology and Approaches to Management in Children and Adolescents. CNS Drugs. 2021 Aug;35(8):839-59. doi: 10.1007/s40263-021-00841-w. PMID: 34297331. *Design* 

403. Bax AC, Bard DE, Cuffe SP, et al. The Association Between Race/Ethnicity and Socioeconomic Factors and the Diagnosis and Treatment of Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2019 Feb/Mar;40(2):81-91. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000626. PMID: 30407938. *Intervention* 

404. Bayo-Tallón V, Esquirol-Caussa J, Pàmias-Massana M, et al. Effectiveness of a Manual Therapy Program as Adjuvant Treatment for School-Age Children with Attention-

Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Pilot Study. SAGE Open. 2020 01/01/;10(4). PMID: EJ1283372. *Power* 

405. Bazanova OM, Auer T, Sapina EA. On the Efficiency of Individualized Theta/Beta Ratio Neurofeedback Combined with Forehead EMG Training in ADHD Children. Front Hum Neurosci. 2018;12:3. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00003. PMID: 29403368. *Outcome* 

406. Bearden CE, Hellemann GS, Rosser T, et al. A randomized placebo-controlled lovastatin trial for neurobehavioral function in neurofibromatosis I. Annals of Clinical and Translational Neurology. 2016;3(4):266-79. doi: 10.1002/acn3.288. *Population* 

407. Beauchaine TP, Neuhaus E, Gatzke-Kopp LM, et al. Electrodermal responding predicts responses to, and may be altered by, preschool intervention for ADHD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2015 Apr;83(2):293-303. doi: 10.1037/a0038405. PMID: 25486374. *Intervention* 

408. Beauregard M, Levesque J. Functional magnetic resonance imaging investigation of the effects of neurofeedback training on the neural bases of selective attention and response inhibition in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2006 Mar;31(1):3-20. doi: 10.1007/s10484-006-9001-y. PMID: 16552626. *Outcome* 

409. Beck SJ, Hanson CA, Puffenberger SS, et al. A controlled trial of working memory training for children and adolescents with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2010;39(6):825-36. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2010.517162. PMID: 21058129. *Duplicate* 

410. Beck SJ HC, Puffenberger SS, et al. A controlled trial of working memory training for children and adolescents with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2010;39(6):825-36. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2010.517162. *Power* 

411. Becker A, Hagenberg N, Roessner V, et al. Evaluation of the self-reported SDQ in a clinical setting: do self-reports tell us more than ratings by adult informants? Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004;13 Suppl 2:II17-24. doi: 10.1007/s00787-004-2004-4. PMID: 15243782. *Outcome* 

412. Becker A, Steinhausen HC, Baldursson G, et al. Psychopathological screening of children with ADHD: Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire in a pan-European study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Dec;15 Suppl 1:I56-62. doi: 10.1007/s00787-006-1008-7. PMID: 17177017. *Outcome* 

413. Becker A, Woerner W, Hasselhorn M, et al. Validation of the parent and teacher SDQ in a clinical sample. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004;13 Suppl 2:II11-6. doi: 10.1007/s00787-004-2003-5. PMID: 15243781. *Intervention* 

414. Becker KB, McCloskey LA. Attention and conduct problems in children exposed to family violence. Am J Orthopsychiatry. 2002 Jan;72(1):83-91. doi: 10.1037//0002-9432.72.1.83. PMID: 14964597. *Population* 

415. Becker SP, Burns GL, Leopold DR, et al. Differential impact of trait sluggish cognitive tempo and ADHD inattention in early childhood on adolescent functioning. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Oct;59(10):1094-104. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12946. PMID: 29957822. *Intervention* 

416. Becker SP, Duraccio KM, Sidol CA, et al. Impact of a Behavioral Sleep Intervention in Adolescents With ADHD: Feasibility, Acceptability, and Preliminary Effectiveness From a Pilot

Open Trial. J Atten Disord. 2021 Nov 5:10870547211056965. doi: 10.1177/10870547211056965. PMID: 34738484. *Power* 

417. Becker SP, Epstein JN, Tamm L, et al. Shortened Sleep Duration Causes Sleepiness, Inattention, and Oppositionality in Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Findings From a Crossover Sleep Restriction/Extension Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Apr;58(4):433-42. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.09.439. PMID: 30768404. *Intervention* 

418. Becker SP, Froehlich TE, Epstein JN. Effects of Methylphenidate on Sleep Functioning in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2016 Jun;37(5):395-404. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000285. PMID: 27011002. *Timing* 

419. Becker SP, Schindler DN, Luebbe AM, et al. Psychometric Validation of the Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scales-Parent Version (RCADS-P) in Children Evaluated for ADHD. Assessment. 2019 Jul;26(5):811-24. doi: 10.1177/1073191117735886. PMID: 29029564. *Outcome* 

420. Becker SP, Schindler DN, Luebbe AM, et al. Psychometric validation of the Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scales–Parent Version (RCADS-P) in children evaluated for ADHD. Assessment. 2019 Jul 2019;26(5):811-24. *Duplicate* 

421. Becker SP, Tamm L, Epstein JN, et al. Impact of sleep restriction on affective functioning in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 Oct;61(10):1160-8. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13235. PMID: 32157691. *Intervention* 

422. Becker SP, Tamm L, Epstein JN, et al. Impact of sleep restriction on affective functioning in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2020 Oct 2020;61(10):1160-8. *Duplicate* 

423. Bedard A-C, Jain U, Hogg-Johnson S, et al. Effects of Methylphenidate on Working Memory Components: Influence of Measurement. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2007 09/01/;48(9):872-80. PMID: EJ813115. *Power* 

424. Bedard A-C, Martinussen R, Ickowicz A, et al. Methylphenidate Improves Visual-Spatial Memory in Children with Attention-Deficit- hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2004 03/01/;43(3):260-M. PMID: EJ696053. *Intervention* 

425. Bedard AC, Ickowicz A, Logan GD, et al. Selective inhibition in children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder off and on stimulant medication. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2003 Jun;31(3):315-27. doi: 10.1023/a:1023285614844. PMID: 12774864. *Outcome* 

426. Bedard AC, Ickowicz A, Logan GD, et al. Selective inhibition in children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder off and on stimulant medication. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2003 Jun;31(3):315-27. doi: 10.1023/a:1023285614844. PMID: 12774864. *Outcome* 

427. Bedard AC, Jain U, Johnson SH, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on working memory components: influence of measurement. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2007 Sep;48(9):872-80. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01760.x. PMID: 17714372. *Duplicate* 

428. Bédard AC SK, Krone B, Pedraza J, Duhoux S, Halperin JM, Newcorn JH. Neural mechanisms underlying the therapeutic actions of guanfacine treatment in youth with ADHD: a

pilot fMRI study. Psychiatry Res. 2015 Mar 30;231(3):353-6. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2015.01.012. *Power* 

429. Bednarz HM, Kana RK. Advances, challenges, and promises in pediatric neuroimaging of neurodevelopmental disorders. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2018 Jul;90:50-69. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.03.025. PMID: 29608989. *Outcome* 

430. Beer RJ, Cnattingius S, Susser ES, et al. Associations of preterm birth, small-forgestational age, preeclampsia and placental abruption with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the offspring: Nationwide cohort and sibling-controlled studies. Acta Paediatr. 2022 Aug;111(8):1546-55. doi: 10.1111/apa.16375. PMID: 35485179. *Design* 

431. Beery SH, Quay HC, Pelham WE, Jr. Differential Response to Methylphenidate in Inattentive and Combined Subtype ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(1):62-70. doi: 10.1177/1087054712469256. PMID: 23283758. *Design* 

432. Begnini GJ, Brancher JA, Guimarães AT, et al. Oral Health of Children and Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2019 Nov-Dec;12(6):543-7. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1691. PMID: 32440072. *Intervention* 

433. Behbahani M, Zargar F. Effectiveness of mindful parenting training on clinical symptoms and self-efficacy in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Isfahan Medical School. 2017;35(429):511-7. *Design* 

434. Behbahani M, Zargar F, Assarian F, et al. Effects of Mindful Parenting Training on Clinical Symptoms in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Parenting Stress: Randomized Controlled Trial. Iran J Med Sci. 2018 Nov;43(6):596-604. PMID: 30510336. *Design* 

435. Bekker J, Bruck D, Sciberras E. Congruent Validity of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire to Screen for Comorbidities in Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2016 Oct;20(10):879-88. doi: 10.1177/1087054713496462. PMID: 23881559. *Intervention* 

436. Bélanger SA, Vanasse M, Spahis S, et al. Omega-3 fatty acid treatment of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Paediatr Child Health. 2009 Feb;14(2):89-98. doi: 10.1093/pch/14.2.89. PMID: 19436468. *Power* 

437. Belendiuk KA, Pedersen SL, King KM, et al. Change over time in adolescent and friend alcohol use: Differential associations for youth with and without childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Psychol Addict Behav. 2016 Feb;30(1):29-38. doi: 10.1037/adb0000117. PMID: 26437359. *Intervention* 

438. Bellato A, Arora I, Kochhar P, et al. Indices of Heart Rate Variability and Performance During a Response-Conflict Task Are Differently Associated With ADHD and Autism. J Atten Disord. 2022 Feb;26(3):434-46. doi: 10.1177/1087054720972793. PMID: 33535874. *Intervention* 

439. Bellgrove MA, Hawi Z, Gill M, et al. The cognitive genetics of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): sustained attention as a candidate phenotype. Cortex. 2006 Aug;42(6):838-45. doi: 10.1016/s0010-9452(08)70426-x. PMID: 17131588. *Intervention* 

440. Bellgrove MA, Hawi Z, Kirley A, et al. Dissecting the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) phenotype: sustained attention, response variability and spatial attentional asymmetries in relation to dopamine transporter (DAT1) genotype. Neuropsychologia. 2005;43(13):1847-57. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2005.03.011. PMID: 16168728. *Outcome* 

441. Bellgrove MA, Johnson KA, Barry E, et al. Dopaminergic haplotype as a predictor of spatial inattention in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2009 Oct;66(10):1135-42. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.120. PMID: 19805704. *Outcome* 

442. Bellgrove MA, Mattingley JB, Hawi Z, et al. Impaired temporal resolution of visual attention and dopamine beta hydroxylase genotype in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Nov 15;60(10):1039-45. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.03.062. PMID: 16876143. *Outcome* 

443. Beltran-Quintero M, Rangachar L, Adjo J, et al. IMPACT OF SLEEP HYGIENE INTERVENTION IN PATIENTS WITH ADHD AND SLEEP DISORDERS. Journal of Investigative Medicine. 2022;70(4):1149. doi: 10.1136/jim-2022-ERM.212. *Design* 

444. Ben Shoham A, Shefer G, Tsafrir S. Patterns of longitudinal medical treatment of pediatric patients ever-diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactive disorder: A community-based, retrospective, naturalistic study. Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2022 Oct;27(4):1033-47. doi: 10.1177/13591045221110732. PMID: 35729797. *Design* 

445. Bender SL, Privitera GJ. The Influence of Feedback of Diagnosis and Executive Function Skills on Rates of False Positive and False Negative Outcomes for ADHD. Emotional & Behavioural Difficulties. 2016 01/01/;21(2):181-9. PMID: EJ1099231. *Population* 

446. Benevides TW, Carretta HJ, Ivey CK, et al. Therapy access among children with autism spectrum disorder, cerebral palsy, and attention-deficit–hyperactivity disorder: A population-based study. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 2017 Dec 2017;59(12):1291-8. *Intervention* 

447. Bennett AE, Power TJ, Eiraldi RB, et al. Identifying learning problems in children evaluated for ADHD: the Academic Performance Questionnaire. Pediatrics. 2009 Oct;124(4):e633-9. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-0143. PMID: 19736265. *Outcome* 

448. Bennett DS, Power TJ, Rostain AL, et al. Parent acceptability and feasibility of ADHD interventions: assessment, correlates, and predictive validity. J Pediatr Psychol. 1996 Oct;21(5):643-57. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/21.5.643. PMID: 8936894. *Intervention* 

449. Bennett KS, Hay DA, Piek J, et al. The Australian Twin ADHD Project: current status and future directions. Twin Res Hum Genet. 2006 Dec;9(6):718-26. doi: 10.1375/183242706779462804. PMID: 17254397. *Population* 

450. Bennett-Back O, Keren A, Zelnik N. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children with benign epilepsy and their siblings. Pediatr Neurol. 2011 Mar;44(3):187-92. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2010.10.003. PMID: 21310334. *Population* 

451. Bental B, Tirosh E. The effects of methylphenidate on word decoding accuracy in boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2008 Feb;28(1):89-92. doi: 10.1097/jcp.0b013e3181603f0e. PMID: 18204348. *Power* 

452. Benzing V, Chang YK, Schmidt M. Acute Physical Activity Enhances Executive Functions in Children with ADHD. Sci Rep. 2018 Aug 17;8(1):12382. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-30067-8. PMID: 30120283. *Power* 

453. Benzing V, Schmidt M. Cognitively and physically demanding exergaming to improve executive functions of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomised clinical trial. BMC Pediatr. 2017 Jan 10;17(1):8. doi: 10.1186/s12887-016-0757-9. PMID: 28068954. *Outcome* 

454. Berchiatti M, Ferrer A, Badenes-Ribera L, et al. School Adjustments in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Peer Relationships, the Quality of the Student-Teacher Relationship, and Children's Academic and Behavioral Competencies. Journal of Applied School Psychology. 2022 01/01/;38(3):241-61. PMID: EJ1354983. *Design* 

455. Berek M, Kordon A, Hargarter L, et al. Improved functionality, health related quality of life and decreased burden of disease in patients with ADHD treated with OROS® MPH: Is treatment response different between children and adolescents? Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2011;5. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-5-26. *Design* 

456. Berger I, Dakwar-Kawar O, Grossman ES, et al. Scaffolding the attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder brain using transcranial direct current and random noise stimulation: A randomized controlled trial. Clin Neurophysiol. 2021 Mar;132(3):699-707. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2021.01.005. PMID: 33561725. *Power* 

457. Berger I, Felsenthal-Berger N. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and birth order. J Child Neurol. 2009 Jun;24(6):692-6. doi: 10.1177/0883073808330763. PMID: 19211923. *Intervention* 

458. Bergin A, Waranch HR, Brown J, et al. Relaxation therapy in Tourette syndrome: a pilot study. Pediatr Neurol. 1998 Feb;18(2):136-42. doi: 10.1016/s0887-8994(97)00200-2. PMID: 9535299. *Population* 

459. Bergwerff CE, Luman M, Weeda WD, et al. Neurocognitive profiles in children with ADHD and their predictive value for functional outcomes. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2019 Nov 2019;23(13):1567-77. *Intervention* 

460. Bériault M, Turgeon L, Labrosse M, et al. Comorbidity of ADHD and Anxiety Disorders in School-Age Children: Impact on Sleep and Response to a Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment. J Atten Disord. 2018 Mar;22(5):414-24. doi: 10.1177/1087054715605914. PMID: 26396144. *Power* 

461. Berlin L, Bohlin G, Nyberg L, et al. Sustained performance and regulation of effort in clinical and non-clinical hyperactive children. Child Care Health Dev. 2003 Jul;29(4):257-67. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2214.2003.00340.x. PMID: 12823330. *Outcome* 

462. Berman T, Douglas VI, Barr RG. Effects of methylphenidate on complex cognitive processing in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Abnorm Psychol. 1999 Feb;108(1):90-105. doi: 10.1037/0021-843x.108.1.90. PMID: 10066996. *Intervention* 

463. Bernard Alexis Carpio ZCRADL. A systematic review of the effectiveness of classwide peer tutoring in improving school performance of school-aged children with ADHD. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019130165. 2019.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=130165. Design

464. Bernhard A, Mayer JS, Fann N, et al. Cortisol response to acute psychosocial stress in ADHD compared to conduct disorder and major depressive disorder: A systematic review. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2021 Aug;127:899-916. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2021.06.005. PMID: 34089765. *Intervention* 

465. Bestmann A, Conzelmann A, Baving L, et al. Associations between cognitive performance and sigma power during sleep in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, healthy children, and healthy adults. PLoS One. 2019;14(10):e0224166. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0224166. PMID: 31648258. *Intervention* 

466. Bettis AH, Coiro MJ, England J, et al. Comparison of two approaches to prevention of mental health problems in college students: Enhancing coping and executive function skills. J Am Coll Health. 2017 Jul;65(5):313-22. doi: 10.1080/07448481.2017.1312411. PMID: 28358274. *Population* 

467. Beyoglu R, Erdur B. Evaluation of the Relationship Between Head Trauma and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Primary School Children Admitted to the Emergency Department. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2022 Nov 1;38(11):609-12. doi: 10.1097/pec.00000000002854. PMID: 36173338. *Design* 

468. Bhaduri N, Mukhopadhyay K. Lack of significant association between -1021C-->T polymorphism in the dopamine beta hydroxylase gene and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neurosci Lett. 2006 Jul 10;402(1-2):12-6. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2006.03.036. PMID: 16616989. *Intervention* 

469. Bhaijiwala M, Chevrier A, Schachar R. Withholding and canceling a response in ADHD adolescents. Brain Behav. 2014 Sep;4(5):602-14. doi: 10.1002/brb3.244. *Intervention* 

470. Bhang SY, Kwack YS, Joung YS, et al. Factors that Affect the Adherence to ADHD Medications during a Treatment Continuation Period in Children and Adolescents: A Nationwide Retrospective Cohort Study Using Korean Health Insurance Data from 2007 to 2011. Psychiatry Investig. 2017 Mar;14(2):158-65. doi: 10.4306/pi.2017.14.2.158. PMID: 28326113. *Intervention* 

471. Bhat BA, Hussain A, Dar MA, et al. The Pattern of Psychiatric Morbidity in an Outpatient Child Psychiatry Clinic: A Cross-sectional, Descriptive Study from a Tertiary Care Hospital in Kashmir, North India. Indian J Psychol Med. 2018 Jul-Aug;40(4):349-55. doi: 10.4103/ijpsym.Jjpsym 34 18. PMID: 30093746. *Intervention* 

472. Bhat V, Sengupta SM, Grizenko N, et al. Therapeutic response in children with ADHD: role of observers and settings. World J Pediatr. 2020 Jun;16(3):314-21. doi: 10.1007/s12519-019-00332-5. PMID: 31965445. *Intervention* 

473. Bhat V, Sengupta SM, Grizenko N, et al. Therapeutic Response to Methylphenidate in ADHD: Role of Child and Observer Gender. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Mar;29(1):44-52. PMID: 32194651. *Timing* 

474. Bhatara V, Feil M, Hoagwood K, et al. National trends in concomitant psychotropic medication with stimulants in pediatric visits: practice versus knowledge. J Atten Disord. 2004 May;7(4):217-26. doi: 10.1177/108705470400700404. PMID: 15487478. *Intervention* 

475. Bhatara VS, Vogt HB, Patrick S, et al. Acceptability of a Web-based attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder scale (T-SKAMP) by teachers: a pilot study. J Am Board Fam Med. 2006 Mar-Apr;19(2):195-200. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.19.2.195. PMID: 16513909. *Intervention* 

476. Bhattacharjee S, Chen H, Bhatara V, et al. Is stimulant or atomoxetine utilization associated with neurological adverse events in children with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)? A retrospective analysis of propensity score matched data. Value in Health. 2011;14(3):A185. *Design* 

477. Bhattacharyya N, Singh S, Banerjee A, et al. Integration of electroencephalogram (EEG) and motion tracking sensors for objective measure of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (MAHD) in pre-schoolers. Rev Sci Instrum. 2022 May 1;93(5):054101. doi: 10.1063/5.0088044. PMID: 35649790. *Outcome* 

478. Bhide S, Sciberras E, Anderson V, et al. Association Between Parenting Style and Social Outcomes in Children with and Without Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: An 18-Month Longitudinal Study. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2017 Jul/Aug;38(6):369-77. doi: 10.1097/dbp.0000000000453. PMID: 28661954. *Intervention* 

479. Bied A, Biederman J, Faraone S. Parent-based diagnosis of ADHD is as accurate as a teacher-based diagnosis of ADHD. Postgrad Med. 2017 Apr;129(3):375-81. doi: 10.1080/00325481.2017.1288064. PMID: 28271921. *Intervention* 

480. Biederman J. Pharmacotherapy for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) decreases the risk for substance abuse: findings from a longitudinal follow-up of youths with and without ADHD. J Clin Psychiatry. 2003;64 Suppl 11:3-8. PMID: 14529323. *Design* 

481. Biederman J, Baldessarini RJ, Wright V, et al. A double-blind placebo controlled study of desipramine in the treatment of ADD: III. Lack of impact of comorbidity and family history factors on clinical response. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1993 Jan;32(1):199-204. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199301000-00028. PMID: 8428872. *Power* 

482. Biederman J, Baldessarini RJ, Wright V, et al. A double-blind placebo controlled study of desipramine in the treatment of ADD: I. Efficacy. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1989 Sep;28(5):777-84. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198909000-00022. PMID: 2676967. *Power* 

483. Biederman J, Baldessarini RJ, Wright V, et al. A double-blind placebo controlled study of desipramine in the treatment ADD: II. Serum drug levels and cardiovascular findings. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1989 Nov;28(6):903-11. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198911000-00015. PMID: 2808261. *Power* 

484. Biederman J, Boellner SW, Childress A, et al. Lisdexamfetamine dimesylate and mixed amphetamine salts extended-release in children with ADHD: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover analog classroom study. Biol Psychiatry. 2007 Nov 1;62(9):970-6. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.04.015. PMID: 17631866. *Timing* 

485. Biederman J, Chan J, Faraone SV, et al. A Familial Risk Analysis of Emotional Dysregulation: A Controlled Study. J Atten Disord. 2018 Jul;22(9):848-54. doi: 10.1177/1087054715596576. PMID: 26220788. *Intervention* 

486. Biederman J, DiSalvo M, Fried R, et al. Quantifying the Protective Effects of Stimulants on Functional Outcomes in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Focus on Number Needed to Treat Statistic and Sex Effects. J Adolesc Health. 2019 Dec;65(6):784-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2019.05.015. PMID: 31350122. *Intervention* 

487. Biederman J, Faraone S, Mick E, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and juvenile mania: an overlooked comorbidity? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Aug;35(8):997-1008. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199608000-00010. PMID: 8755796. *Outcome* 

488. Biederman J, Faraone S, Milberger S, et al. Predictors of persistence and remission of ADHD into adolescence: results from a four-year prospective follow-up study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Mar;35(3):343-51. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199603000-00016. PMID: 8714323. *Intervention* 

489. Biederman J, Faraone S, Milberger S, et al. A prospective 4-year follow-up study of attention-deficit hyperactivity and related disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1996 May;53(5):437-46. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1996.01830050073012. PMID: 8624187. *Intervention* 

490. Biederman J, Faraone SV, Hatch M, et al. Conduct disorder with and without mania in a referred sample of ADHD children. J Affect Disord. 1997 Jul;44(2-3):177-88. doi: 10.1016/s0165-0327(97)00043-8. PMID: 9241578. *Intervention* 

491. Biederman J, Faraone SV, Monuteaux MC. Differential effect of environmental adversity by gender: Rutter's index of adversity in a group of boys and girls with and without ADHD. Am J Psychiatry. 2002 Sep;159(9):1556-62. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.159.9.1556. PMID: 12202277. *Intervention* 

492. Biederman J, Faraone SV, Wozniak J, et al. Further evidence of unique developmental phenotypic correlates of pediatric bipolar disorder: findings from a large sample of clinically referred preadolescent children assessed over the last 7 years. J Affect Disord. 2004 Oct;82 Suppl 1:S45-58. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2004.05.021. PMID: 15571789. *Population* 

493. Biederman J, Fitzgerald M, Kirova AM, et al. Further Evidence of Morbidity and Dysfunction Associated With Subsyndromal ADHD in Clinically Referred Children. J Clin Psychiatry. 2018 Aug 7;79(5). doi: 10.4088/JCP.17m11870. PMID: 30086214. *Intervention* 

494. Biederman J, Fitzgerald M, Spencer TJ, et al. Informativeness of Self-Reports of ADHD Symptoms in Monitoring Response to Stimulant Treatment in Clinically Referred Adults With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Feb;24(3):420-4. doi: 10.1177/1087054718776425. PMID: 29804496. *Population* 

495. Biederman J, Fried R, DiSalvo M, et al. Evidence of Low Adherence to Stimulant Medication Among Children and Youths With ADHD: An Electronic Health Records Study. Psychiatr Serv. 2019 Oct 1;70(10):874-80. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800515. PMID: 31242830. *Intervention* 

496. Biederman J, Gonzalez E, Bronstein B, et al. Desipramine and cutaneous reactions in pediatric outpatients. J Clin Psychiatry. 1988 May;49(5):178-83. PMID: 2966797. *Population* 

497. Biederman J, Green A, DiSalvo M, et al. Can polygenic risk scores help identify pediatric bipolar spectrum and related disorders?: A systematic review. Psychiatry Res. 2021 May;299:113843. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113843. PMID: 33721787. *Population* 

498. Biederman J, Hammerness P, Doyle R, et al. Risperidone treatment for ADHD in children and adolescents with bipolar disorder. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2008;4(1 B):203-7. doi: 10.2147/ndt.s1992. *Comparator* 

499. Biederman J, Heiligenstein JH, Faries DE, et al. Efficacy of atomoxetine versus placebo in school-age girls with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2002 Dec;110(6):e75. doi: 10.1542/peds.110.6.e75. PMID: 12456942. *Power* 

500. Biederman J, Kim JW, Doyle AE, et al. Sexually dimorphic effects of four genes (COMT, SLC6A2, MAOA, SLC6A4) in genetic associations of ADHD: a preliminary study. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2008 Dec 5;147b(8):1511-8. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30874. PMID: 18937309. *Intervention* 

501. Biederman J, Lopez FA, Boellner SW, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled, parallel-group study of SLI381 (Adderall XR) in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2002 Aug;110(2 Pt 1):258-66. doi: 10.1542/peds.110.2.258. PMID: 12165576. *Timing* 

502. Biederman J, Mick E, Faraone SV. Normalized functioning in youths with persistent attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Pediatr. 1998 Oct;133(4):544-51. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3476(98)70065-4. PMID: 9787695. *Intervention* 

503. Biederman J, Mick E, Faraone SV, et al. Patterns of remission and symptom decline in conduct disorder: a four-year prospective study of an ADHD sample. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Mar;40(3):290-8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200103000-00008. PMID: 11288770. *Intervention* 

504. Biederman J, Mick E, Faraone SV, et al. A prospective follow-up study of pediatric bipolar disorder in boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Affect Disord. 2004 Oct;82 Suppl 1:S17-23. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2004.05.012. PMID: 15571786. *Intervention* 

505. Biederman J, Mick E, Surman C, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of OROS methylphenidate in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 May 1;59(9):829-35. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.09.011. PMID: 16373066. *Population* 

506. Biederman J, Mick E, Surman C, et al. A randomized, 3-phase, 34-week, double-blind, long-term efficacy study of osmotic-release oral system-methylphenidate in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2010 Oct;30(5):549-53. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e3181ee84a7. PMID: 20814332. *Population* 

507. Biederman J, Milberger S, Faraone SV, et al. Associations between childhood asthma and ADHD: issues of psychiatric comorbidity and familiality. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1994 Jul-Aug;33(6):842-8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199407000-00010. PMID: 8083141. *Intervention* 

508. Biederman J, Milberger S, Faraone SV, et al. Impact of adversity on functioning and comorbidity in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 Nov;34(11):1495-503. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199511000-00017. PMID: 8543518. *Intervention* 

509. Biederman J, Milberger S, Faraone SV, et al. Family-environment risk factors for attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. A test of Rutter's indicators of adversity. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1995 Jun;52(6):464-70. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1995.03950180050007. PMID: 7771916. *Intervention*  510. Biederman J, Monuteaux MC, Mick E, et al. Psychopathology in females with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a controlled, five-year prospective study. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Nov 15;60(10):1098-105. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.02.031. PMID: 16712802. *Intervention* 

511. Biederman J, Monuteaux MC, Mick E, et al. Is cigarette smoking a gateway to alcohol and illicit drug use disorders? A study of youths with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Feb 1;59(3):258-64. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.07.009. PMID: 16154546. *Intervention* 

512. Biederman J, Monuteaux MC, Spencer T, et al. Do stimulants protect against psychiatric disorders in youth with ADHD? A 10-year follow-up study. Pediatrics. 2009 Jul;124(1):71-8. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-3347. PMID: 19564285. *Intervention* 

513. Biederman J, Monuteaux MC, Spencer T, et al. Stimulant therapy and risk for subsequent substance use disorders in male adults with ADHD: a naturalistic controlled 10-year follow-up study. Am J Psychiatry. 2008 May;165(5):597-603. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.07091486. PMID: 18316421. *Intervention* 

514. Biederman J, Petty CR, Dolan C, et al. The long-term longitudinal course of oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder in ADHD boys: findings from a controlled 10-year prospective longitudinal follow-up study. Psychol Med. 2008 Jul;38(7):1027-36. doi: 10.1017/s0033291707002668. PMID: 18205967. *Intervention* 

515. Biederman J, Petty CR, Doyle AE, et al. Stability of executive function deficits in girls with ADHD: a prospective longitudinal followup study into adolescence. Dev Neuropsychol. 2008;33(1):44-61. doi: 10.1080/87565640701729755. PMID: 18443969. *Intervention* 

516. Biederman J, Petty CR, Evans M, et al. How persistent is ADHD? A controlled 10-year follow-up study of boys with ADHD. Psychiatry Res. 2010 May 30;177(3):299-304. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2009.12.010. PMID: 20452063. *Intervention* 

517. Biederman J, Petty CR, Fried R, et al. Stability of executive function deficits into young adult years: a prospective longitudinal follow-up study of grown up males with ADHD. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2007 Aug;116(2):129-36. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2007.01008.x. PMID: 17650275. *Intervention* 

518. Biederman J, Petty CR, Hammerness P, et al. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for other substance misuse: 10-year study of individuals with and without attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2012 Sep;201(3):207-14. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.111.100339. PMID: 22844023. *Intervention* 

519. Biederman J, Petty CR, Monuteaux MC, et al. Familial risk analysis of the association between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and psychoactive substance use disorder in female adolescents: a controlled study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2009 Mar;50(3):352-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.02040.x. PMID: 19309331. *Intervention* 

520. Biederman J, Petty CR, Ten Haagen KS, et al. Effect of candidate gene polymorphisms on the course of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2009 Dec 30;170(2-3):199-203. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2008.12.016. PMID: 19906444. *Intervention* 

521. Biederman J, Quinn D, Weiss M, et al. Efficacy and safety of Ritalin LA, a new, once daily, extended-release dosage form of methylphenidate, in children with attention deficit

hyperactivity disorder. Paediatr Drugs. 2003;5(12):833-41. doi: 10.2165/00148581-200305120-00006. PMID: 14658924. *Intervention* 

522. Biederman J, Spencer T. Non-stimulant treatments for ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000;9 Suppl 1:I51-9. doi: 10.1007/s007870070019. PMID: 11140780. Design

523. Biederman J, Spencer TJ, Newcorn JH, et al. Effect of comorbid symptoms of oppositional defiant disorder on responses to atomoxetine in children with ADHD: a meta-analysis of controlled clinical trial data. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2007 Jan;190(1):31-41. doi: 10.1007/s00213-006-0565-2. PMID: 17093981. *Design* 

524. Biederman J, Wilens T, Mick E, et al. Pharmacotherapy of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder reduces risk for substance use disorder. Pediatrics. 1999 Aug;104(2):e20. doi: 10.1542/peds.104.2.e20. PMID: 10429138. *Intervention* 

525. Biele G, Lekhal R, Overgaard KR, et al. The effect of special educational assistance in early childhood education and care on psycho-social difficulties in elementary school children. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2022 Feb 24;16(1):14. doi: 10.1186/s13034-022-00442-5. PMID: 35209931. *Population* 

526. Biele G, Zeiner P, Aase H. Convergent and discriminant validity of psychiatric symptoms reported in the Norwegian mother and child Cohort study at age 3 years with independent clinical assessment in the Longitudinal ADHD Cohort study. Norsk Epidemiologi. 2014;24(1-2):169-76. doi: 10.5324/nje.v24i1-2.1819. *Population* 

527. Bień MP, Adamczewska KA, Wilczyński KM, et al. Correlation between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and bipolar disorder in children and adolescents: Systematic review. Psychiatr Pol. 2022 Feb 25:1-20. doi: 10.12740/PP/OnlineFirst/144050. PMID: 36370442. *Intervention* 

528. Bikic A, Christensen T, Leckman JF, et al. A double-blind randomized pilot trial comparing computerized cognitive exercises to Tetris in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Nord J Psychiatry. 2017 Aug;71(6):455-64. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2017.1328070. PMID: 28598701. *Power* 

529. Bikic A, Leckman JF, Christensen T, et al. Attention and executive functions computer training for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): results from a randomized, controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Dec;27(12):1563-74. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1151-y. PMID: 29644473. *Duplicate* 

530. Bilgin A, Baumann N, Jaekel J, et al. Early Crying, Sleeping, and Feeding Problems and Trajectories of Attention Problems From Childhood to Adulthood. Child Dev. 2020 Jan;91(1):e77-e91. doi: 10.1111/cdev.13155. PMID: 30291757. *Intervention* 

531. Billstedt E, Anckarsäter H, Wallinius M, et al. Neurodevelopmental disorders in young violent offenders: Overlap and background characteristics. Psychiatry Res. 2017 Jun;252:234-41. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2017.03.004. PMID: 28285251. *Population* 

532. Bink M, Bongers IL, Popma A, et al. 1-year follow-up of neurofeedback treatment in adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: randomised controlled trial. BJPsych Open. 2016 Mar;2(2):107-15. doi: 10.1192/bjpo.bp.115.000166. PMID: 27703763. *Population* 

533. Bink M, van Nieuwenhuizen C, Popma A, et al. Behavioral effects of neurofeedback in adolescents with ADHD: a randomized controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Sep;24(9):1035-48. doi: 10.1007/s00787-014-0655-3. PMID: 25477074. *Population* 

534. Bioulac S, Arfi L, Bouvard MP. Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and video games: a comparative study of hyperactive and control children. Eur Psychiatry. 2008 Mar;23(2):134-41. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2007.11.002. PMID: 18206354. *Intervention* 

535. Bioulac S, Lallemand S, Rizzo A, et al. Impact of time on task on ADHD patient's performances in a virtual classroom. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2012 Sep;16(5):514-21. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2012.01.006. PMID: 22269913. *Outcome* 

536. Bioulac S, Micoulaud-Franchi JA, Maire J, et al. Virtual Remediation Versus Methylphenidate to Improve Distractibility in Children With ADHD: A Controlled Randomized Clinical Trial Study. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jan;24(2):326-35. doi: 10.1177/1087054718759751. PMID: 29562853. *Power* 

537. Birchwood J, Daley D. Brief report: The impact of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms on academic performance in an adolescent community sample. J Adolesc. 2012 Feb;35(1):225-31. doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2010.08.011. PMID: 20880572. *Intervention* 

538. Bird HR, Shrout PE, Duarte CS, et al. Longitudinal mental health service and medication use for ADHD among Puerto Rican youth in two contexts. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Aug;47(8):879-89. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e318179963c. PMID: 18596555. *Intervention* 

539. Birmaher B, Greenhill LL, Cooper TB, et al. Sustained release methylphenidate: pharmacokinetic studies in ADDH males. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1989 Sep;28(5):768-72. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198909000-00020. PMID: 2793805. *Intervention* 

540. Biscaldi M, Bednorz N, Weissbrodt K, et al. Cognitive endophenotypes of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and intra-subject variability in patients with autism spectrum disorder. Biological Psychology. 2016 Jul 2016;118:25-34. *Population* 

541. Bishop JC, Kelly LE, Hull M. Knowledge of performance feedback among boys with ADHD. Res Dev Disabil. 2018 Mar;74:31-40. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2017.12.003. PMID: 29360046. *Power* 

542. Bishry Z, Ramy HA, El-Shahawi HH, et al. Screening for ADHD in a Sample of Egyptian Adolescent School Students. J Atten Disord. 2018 Jan;22(1):58-65. doi: 10.1177/1087054714533190. PMID: 24891559. *Population* 

543. Bitsakou P, Psychogiou L, Thompson M, et al. Inhibitory deficits in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder are independent of basic processing efficiency and IQ. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2008;115(2):261-8. doi: 10.1007/s00702-007-0828-z. PMID: 17994184. *Intervention* 

544. Bitta MA, Kipkemoi P, Kariuki SM, et al. Validity and reliability of the Neurodevelopmental Screening Tool (NDST) in screening for neurodevelopmental disorders in children living in rural Kenyan coast. Wellcome Open Res. 2021;6:137. doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16765.1. PMID: 34676305. *Language* 

545. Björnsdotter A, Ghaderi A, Enebrink P. Cluster Analysis of Child Externalizing and Prosocial Behaviors in a Randomized Effectiveness Trial of the Family-Check Up and Internet-

Delivered Parent Training (iComet). J Pers Oriented Res. 2020;6(2):88-102. doi: 10.17505/jpor.2020.22403. PMID: 33569154. *Population* 

546. Black DS, Milam J, Sussman S. Sitting-meditation interventions among youth: a review of treatment efficacy. Pediatrics. 2009 Sep;124(3):e532-41. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-3434. PMID: 19706568. *Design* 

547. Blader JC, Schooler NR, Jensen PS, et al. Adjunctive divalproex versus placebo for children with ADHD and aggression refractory to stimulant monotherapy. Am J Psychiatry. 2009 Dec;166(12):1392-401. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09020233. PMID: 19884222. *Power* 

548. Blanken TF, Courbet O, Franc N, et al. Is an irritable ADHD profile traceable using personality dimensions? Replicability, stability, and predictive value over time of data-driven profiles. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Apr;30(4):633-45. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01546-z. PMID: 32399809. *Intervention* 

549. Blasco-Fontecilla H, Moyano-Ramírez E, Méndez-González O, et al. Effectivity of Saffron Extract (Saffr'Activ) on Treatment for Children and Adolescents with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A Clinical Effectivity Study. Nutrients. 2022 Sep 28;14(19). doi: 10.3390/nu14194046. PMID: 36235697. *Power* 

550. Blázquez A, Ortiz AE, Castro-Fornieles J, et al. Five-year diagnostic stability among adolescents in an inpatient psychiatric unit. Compr Psychiatry. 2019 Feb;89:33-9. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2018.11.011. PMID: 30583125. *Population* 

551. Bloch MH. Editorial: The continuing contributions of multimodal treatment of attention over nearly two decades to initial attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder pharmacotherapy and long-term clinical course. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017 Jun;58(6):637-9. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12755. PMID: 28524461. *Outcome* 

552. Block SL, Williams D, Donnelly CL, et al. Post hoc analysis: early changes in ADHD-RS items predict longer term response to atomoxetine in pediatric patients. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2010 Aug;49(8):768-76. doi: 10.1177/0009922810368134. PMID: 20522617. *Outcome* 

553. Blomqvist M, Ahadi S, Fernell E, et al. Dental caries in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a population-based follow-up study. Eur J Oral Sci. 2011 Oct;119(5):381-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0722.2011.00844.x. PMID: 21896055. *Intervention* 

554. Bloomquist ML, August GJ, Ostrander R. Effects of a school-based cognitive-behavioral intervention for ADHD children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1991 Oct;19(5):591-605. doi: 10.1007/BF00925822. PMID: 1770187. *Power* 

555. Blouin B, Maddeaux C, Stanley Firestone J, et al. Predicting response of ADHD symptoms to methylphenidate treatment based on comorbid anxiety. J Atten Disord. 2010 Jan;13(4):414-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054708326269. PMID: 19401504. *Intervention* 

556. Blum NJ, Jawad AF, Clarke AT, et al. Effect of osmotic-release oral system methylphenidate on different domains of attention and executive functioning in children with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2011 Sep;53(9):843-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2011.03944.x. PMID: 21585365. *Intervention* 

557. Blum NJ, Shults J, Harstad E, et al. Common use of stimulants and alpha-2 agonists to treat preschool attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A DBPNet study. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. 2018 Sep 2018;39(7):531-7. *Intervention* 

558. Bluschke A, Chmielewski WX, Mückschel M, et al. Neuronal intra-individual variability masks response selection differences between ADHD subtypes—a need to change perspectives. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. 2017;11. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00329. *Outcome* 

559. Bluschke A, Schuster J, Roessner V, et al. Neurophysiological mechanisms of interval timing dissociate inattentive and combined ADHD subtypes. Sci Rep. 2018 Feb 1;8(1):2033. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20484-0. PMID: 29391481. *Intervention* 

560. Bluschke A, Zink N, Mückschel M, et al. A novel approach to intra-individual performance variability in ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 May;30(5):733-45. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01555-y. PMID: 32410131. *Intervention* 

561. Boaden K, Tomlinson A, Cortese S, et al. Antidepressants in Children and Adolescents: Meta-Review of Efficacy, Tolerability and Suicidality in Acute Treatment. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:717. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00717. PMID: 32982805. *Population* 

562. Bodey C. Effectiveness and tolerability of methylphenidate in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clinical Medicine Insights: Therapeutics. 2011;3:353-63. doi: 10.4137/CMT.S6615. *Design* 

563. Boedhoe PSW, van Rooij D, Hoogman M, et al. Subcortical Brain Volume, Regional Cortical Thickness, and Cortical Surface Area Across Disorders: Findings From the ENIGMA ADHD, ASD, and OCD Working Groups. Am J Psychiatry. 2020 Sep 1;177(9):834-43. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.19030331. PMID: 32539527. *Intervention* 

564. Boellner SW, Earl CQ, Arora S. Modafinil in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a preliminary 8-week, open-label study. Curr Med Res Opin. 2006 Dec;22(12):2457-65. doi: 10.1185/030079906x148300. PMID: 17257460. *Intervention* 

565. Boellner SW, Pennick M, Fiske K, et al. Pharmacokinetics of a guanfacine extendedrelease formulation in children and adolescents with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Pharmacotherapy. 2007 Sep;27(9):1253-62. doi: 10.1592/phco.27.9.1253. PMID: 17723079. *Intervention* 

566. Bohlin G, Janols LO. Behavioural problems and psychiatric symptoms in 5-13 year-old Swedish children-a comparison of parent ratings on the FTF (Five to Fifteen) with the ratings on CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist). Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004;13 Suppl 3:14-22. doi: 10.1007/s00787-004-3003-1. PMID: 15692875. *Population* 

567. Bokhari FAS, Heiland F, Levine P, et al. Risk factors for discontinuing drug therapy among children with ADHD. Health Services and Outcomes Research Methodology. 2008;8(3):134-58. doi: 10.1007/s10742-008-0035-x. *Intervention* 

568. Boland H, DiSalvo M, Fried R, et al. A literature review and meta-analysis on the effects of ADHD medications on functional outcomes. Journal of Psychiatric Research. 2020 Apr 2020;123:21-30. *Duplicate* 

569. Bolat GÜ, Ercan ES, Salum GA, et al. Validity of proposed DSM-5 ADHD impulsivity symptoms in children. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2016 Oct 2016;25(10):1121-32. *Intervention* 

570. Bolea-Alamañac B, Davies SJ, Evans J, et al. Do mothers who are anxious during pregnancy have inattentive children? J Affect Disord. 2018 Aug 15;236:120-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2018.04.118. PMID: 29730511. *Intervention* 

571. Bolfer C, Pacheco SP, Tsunemi MH, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: the impact of methylphenidate on working memory, inhibition capacity and mental flexibility. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2017 Apr;75(4):204-8. doi: 10.1590/0004-282x20170030. PMID: 28489138. *Design* 

572. Bolic Baric V, Hellberg K, Kjellberg A, et al. Internet Activities During Leisure: A Comparison Between Adolescents With ADHD and Adolescents From the General Population. J Atten Disord. 2018 Oct;22(12):1131-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054715613436. PMID: 26610742. *Intervention* 

573. Bolic Baric V, Skuthälla S, Pettersson M, et al. The effectiveness of weighted blankets on sleep and everyday activities - A retrospective follow-up study of children and adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and/or autism spectrum disorder. Scand J Occup Ther. 2021 Jun 29:1-11. doi: 10.1080/11038128.2021.1939414. PMID: 34184958. *Population* 

574. Bonati M, Cartabia M, Zanetti M, et al. Age level vs grade level for the diagnosis of ADHD and neurodevelopmental disorders. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018 Sep 2018;27(9):1171-80. *Intervention* 

575. Bonati M, Reale L, Zanetti M, et al. A regional ADHD center-based network project for the diagnosis and treatment of children and adolescents with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2018 Oct 2018;22(12):1173-84. *Language* 

576. Bonete S, Osuna Á, Molinero C, et al. MAGNITIVE: Effectiveness and Feasibility of a Cognitive Training Program Through Magic Tricks for Children With Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder. A Second Clinical Trial in Community Settings. Front Psychol. 2021;12:649527. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.649527. PMID: 33868126. *Comparator* 

577. Bong SH, Kim JW. The Role of Quantitative Electroencephalogram in the Diagnosis and Subgrouping of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Soa Chongsonyon Chongsin Uihak. 2021 Jul 1;32(3):85-92. doi: 10.5765/jkacap.210010. PMID: 34285632. *Intervention* 

578. Boon-yasidhi V, Kim YS, Scahill L. An open-label, prospective study of guanfacine in children with ADHD and tic disorders. J Med Assoc Thai. 2005 Nov;88 Suppl 8:S156-62. PMID: 16856436. *Intervention* 

579. Booster GD, Mautone JA, Nissley-Tsiopinis J, et al. Reductions in Negative Parenting Practices Mediate the Effect of a Family-School Intervention for Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. School Psychology Review. 2016 06/01/;45(2):192-208. PMID: EJ1141239. *Intervention* 

580. Booster GD, Mautone JA, Nissley-Tsiopinis J, et al. Reductions in negative parenting practices mediate the effect of a family–school intervention for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. School Psychology Review. 2016 2016;45(2):192-208. *Duplicate* 

581. Bor W SM, Markie-Dadds C. The effects of the Triple P-Positive Parenting Program on preschool children with co-occurring disruptive behavior and attentional/hyperactive difficulties. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2002;30(6):571-87. *Power* 

582. Borcherding BG, Keysor CS, Rapoport JL, et al. Motor/vocal tics and compulsive behaviors on stimulant drugs: is there a common vulnerability? Psychiatry Res. 1990 Jul;33(1):83-94. doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(90)90151-t. PMID: 2217661. *Power* 

583. Borgen NT, Frønes I, Raaum O. Impact of the School Environment on Medical Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Population-Wide Register Data Study of School-Wide Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. Child Development. 2021 09/01/;92(5):2089-105. PMID: EJ1312837. *Design* 

584. Borger N, van der Meere J, Ronner A, et al. Heart rate variability and sustained attention in ADHD children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1999 Feb;27(1):25-33. doi: 10.1023/a:1022610306984. PMID: 10197404. *Intervention* 

585. Borgs GP, Runions K, Biskup CS, et al. Reactive aggression in young patients with ADHD-a critical role for small provocations. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2016 Dec;134(6):566-8. doi: 10.1111/acps.12661. PMID: 27869991. *Design* 

586. Boris M, Mandel FS. Foods and additives are common causes of the attention deficit hyperactive disorder in children. Ann Allergy. 1994 May;72(5):462-8. PMID: 8179235. *Design* 

587. Borlase N, Melzer TR, Eggleston MJF, et al. Resting-state networks and neurometabolites in children with ADHD after 10 weeks of treatment with micronutrients: results of a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Nutr Neurosci. 2020 Nov;23(11):876-86. doi: 10.1080/1028415x.2019.1574329. PMID: 30821654. *Power* 

588. Bornmann BA, Mitelman SA, Beer DA. Psychotherapeutic relaxation: How it relates to levels of aggression in a school within inpatient child psychiatry. A pilot study. Arts in Psychotherapy. 2007;34(3):216-22. doi: 10.1016/j.aip.2007.01.004. *Population* 

589. Bos DJ, Oranje B, Veerhoek ES, et al. Reduced Symptoms of Inattention after Dietary Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplementation in Boys with and without Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2015 Sep;40(10):2298-306. doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.73. PMID: 25790022. *Power* 

590. Bos-Veneman NG, Kuin A, Minderaa RB, et al. Role of perinatal adversities on tic severity and symptoms of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents with a tic disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2010 Feb-Mar;31(2):100-6. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e3181cc7cbc. PMID: 20110829. *Intervention* 

591. Bosenbark DD, Krivitzky L, Ichord R, et al. Clinical Predictors of Attention and Executive Functioning Outcomes in Children After Perinatal Arterial Ischemic Stroke. Pediatr Neurol. 2017 Apr;69:79-86. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2017.01.014. PMID: 28274640. *Intervention* 

592. Bottelier MA, Schrantee A, Ferguson B, et al. Age-dependent effects of acute methylphenidate on amygdala reactivity in stimulant treatment-naive patients with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging. 2017 Nov 30;269:36-42. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2017.09.009. PMID: 28938219. *Intervention* 

593. Bouchard MF, Bellinger DC, Wright RO, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and urinary metabolites of organophosphate pesticides. Pediatrics. 2010 Jun;125(6):e1270-7. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-3058. PMID: 20478945. *Intervention* 

594. Bouhadoun S, Poulin C, Berrahmoune S, et al. A retrospective analysis of memantine use in a pediatric neurology clinic. Brain Dev. 2021 May 29. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2021.05.012. PMID: 34074563. *Intervention* 

595. Bourchtein E, Langberg JM, Owens JS, et al. Is the Positive Illusory Bias Common in Young Adolescents with ADHD? A Fresh Look at Prevalence and Stability Using Latent Profile and Transition Analyses. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2017 Aug;45(6):1063-75. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0248-3. PMID: 28004285. *Intervention* 

596. Bourel-Ponchel E, Querné L, Le Moing AG, et al. Maturation of response time and attentional control in ADHD: evidence from an attentional capture paradigm. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2011 Mar;15(2):123-30. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2010.08.008. PMID: 21185754. *Intervention* 

597. Boutros N, Fristad M, Abdollohian A. The fourteen and six positive spikes and attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 1998 Aug 15;44(4):298-301. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(97)00460-5. PMID: 9715362. *Intervention* 

598. Bouziane C, Caan MWA, Tamminga HGH, et al. ADHD and maturation of brain white matter: A DTI study in medication naive children and adults. Neuroimage Clin. 2018;17:53-9. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2017.09.026. PMID: 29527472. *Intervention* 

599. Bouziane C, Filatova OG, Schrantee A, et al. White Matter by Diffusion MRI Following Methylphenidate Treatment: A Randomized Control Trial in Males with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Radiology. 2019 Oct;293(1):186-92. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2019182528. PMID: 31407970. *Power* 

600. Bowling A, Davison K, Haneuse S, et al. ADHD Medication, Dietary Patterns, Physical Activity, and BMI in Children: A Longitudinal Analysis of the ECLS-K Study. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2017 Oct;25(10):1802-8. doi: 10.1002/oby.21949. PMID: 28834373. *Intervention* 

601. Boxhoorn S, Lopez E, Schmidt C, et al. Attention profiles in autism spectrum disorder and subtypes of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018 Nov 2018;27(11):1433-47. *Intervention* 

602. Boyer B, MacKay KJ, McLeod BD, et al. Comparing Alliance in Two Cognitive-Behavioural Therapies for Adolescents With ADHD Using a Randomized Controlled Trial. Behav Ther. 2018 Sep;49(5):781-95. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2018.01.003. PMID: 30146144. *Power* 

603. Boyle MH, Cunningham CE, Georgiades K, et al. The Brief Child and Family Phone Interview (BCFPI): 2. Usefulness in screening for child and adolescent psychopatholog. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2009 Apr;50(4):424-31. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01971.x. PMID: 19175807. *Population* 

604. Boyle MH, Duncan L, Georgiades K, et al. The 2014 Ontario Child Health Study Emotional Behavioural Scales (OCHS-EBS) Part II: Psychometric Adequacy for Categorical Measurement of Selected DSM-5 Disorders. Can J Psychiatry. 2019 Jun;64(6):434-42. doi: 10.1177/0706743718808251. PMID: 30376363. *Population*  605. Brackenridge R, McKenzie K, Murray GC, et al. An examination of the effects of stimulant medication on response inhibition: a comparison between children with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Res Dev Disabil. 2011 Nov-Dec;32(6):2797-804. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2011.05.027. PMID: 21700419. *Intervention* 

606. Bramble DJ, Cosgrove PVF. Parental assessments of the efficacy of risperidone in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2002;7(2):225-33. doi: 10.1177/1359104502007002009. *Intervention* 

607. Brammer WA, Galán CA, Mesri B, et al. Parental ADHD and Depression: Time-Varying Prediction of Offspring Externalizing Psychopathology. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018;47(sup1):S137-s49. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2016.1183495. PMID: 27398972. *Intervention* 

608. Brams M, Muniz R, Childress A, et al. A randomized, double-blind, crossover study of once-daily dexmethylphenidate in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: rapid onset of effect. CNS Drugs. 2008;22(8):693-704. doi: 10.2165/00023210-200822080-00006. PMID: 18601306. *Power* 

609. Brams M, Tenorio E, Wang C, et al. Clonidine hydrochloride extended release tablet monotherapy for children and adolescents with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Annals of Neurology. 2011;70:S143-S4. doi: 10.1002/ana.22558. *Design* 

610. Brams M, Turnbow J, Pestreich L, et al. A randomized, double-blind study of 30 versus 20 mg dexmethylphenidate extended-release in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: late-day symptom control. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2012 Oct;32(5):637-44. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e3182677825. PMID: 22926597. *Timing* 

611. Brams M, Weisler R, Findling RL, et al. Maintenance of efficacy of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: randomized withdrawal design. J Clin Psychiatry. 2012 Jul;73(7):977-83. doi: 10.4088/JCP.11m07430. PMID: 22780921. *Population* 

612. Brancati GE, Perugi G, Milone A, et al. Development of bipolar disorder in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. J Affect Disord. 2021 Oct 1;293:186-96. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.06.033. PMID: 34217137. *Intervention* 

613. Brandley ET, Holton KF. Breakfast Positively Impacts Cognitive Function in College Students With and Without ADHD. Am J Health Promot. 2020 Jul;34(6):668-71. doi: 10.1177/0890117120903235. PMID: 32013526. *Population* 

614. Brandt A, Rehm J, Lev-Ran S. Clinical Correlates of Cannabis Use Among Individuals With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2018 Sep;206(9):726-32. doi: 10.1097/nmd.000000000000877. PMID: 30124577. *Intervention* 

615. Braulio M Girela-Serrano AP-SIP-CLKJL. Video games for the treatment and assessment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020166313. 2020.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=166313. Design

616. Breaux R, Langberg JM. Development and Refinement of the RELAX Intervention, an Intervention Targeting Emotion Dysregulation and Interpersonal Conflict in Adolescents with

ADHD: Results from a Pilot Study. Evidence-Based Practice in Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2020;5(2):147-63. doi: 10.1080/23794925.2020.1759468. *Population* 

617. Breaux RP, Langberg JM, Molitor SJ, et al. Predictors and Trajectories of Response to the Homework, Organization, and Planning Skills (HOPS) Intervention for Adolescents With ADHD. Behav Ther. 2019 Jan;50(1):140-54. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2018.04.001. PMID: 30661554. *Comparator* 

618. Breen MJ. Cognitive and behavioral differences in AdHD boys and girls. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1989 Sep;30(5):711-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.1989.tb00783.x. PMID: 2793958. *Population* 

619. Breider S, de Bildt A, Nauta MH, et al. Self-directed or therapist-led parent training for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder? A randomized controlled non-inferiority pilot trial. Internet Interv. 2019 Dec;18:100262. doi: 10.1016/j.invent.2019.100262. PMID: 31890615. *Power* 

620. Breier JI, Gray LC, Klaas P, et al. Dissociation of sensitivity and response bias in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder during central auditory masking. Neuropsychology. 2002 Jan;16(1):28-34. doi: 10.1037//0894-4105.16.1.28. PMID: 11853354. *Intervention* 

621. Breitling C, Zaehle T, Dannhauer M, et al. Improving interference control in ADHD patients with transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS). Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience. 2016;10(MAR2016). doi: 10.3389/fncel.2016.00072. *Power* 

622. Breitling-Ziegler C, Zaehle T, Wellnhofer C, et al. Effects of a five-day HD-tDCS application to the right IFG depend on current intensity: A study in children and adolescents with ADHD. Prog Brain Res. 2021;264:117-50. doi: 10.1016/bs.pbr.2021.01.014. PMID: 34167653. *Intervention* 

623. Breuer D, von Wirth E, Mandler J, et al. Predicting delinquent behavior in young adults with a childhood diagnosis of ADHD: results from the Cologne Adaptive Multimodal Treatment (CAMT) Study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Dec 4. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01698-y. PMID: 33277675. *Intervention* 

624. Brevik EJ, Lundervold AJ, Haavik J, et al. Validity and accuracy of the Adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Self-Report Scale (ASRS) and the Wender Utah Rating Scale (WURS) symptom checklists in discriminating between adults with and without ADHD. Brain Behav. 2020 Jun;10(6):e01605. doi: 10.1002/brb3.1605. PMID: 32285644. *Population* 

625. Brewer VR, Fletcher JM, Hiscock M, et al. Attention processes in children with shunted hydrocephalus versus attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychology. 2001 Apr;15(2):185-98. doi: 10.1037//0894-4105.15.2.185. PMID: 11324862. *Intervention* 

626. Brewis A, Schmidt KL. Gender variation in the identification of Mexican children's psychiatric symptoms. Med Anthropol Q. 2003 Sep;17(3):376-93. doi: 10.1525/maq.2003.17.3.376. PMID: 12974203. *Population* 

627. Bridges RM, Decker SL. ADHD in University Settings: Predictive Validity of Quantitative EEG Coherence. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2021 Jul 1;38(4):323-30. doi: 10.1097/wnp.00000000000695. PMID: 32501946. *Population* 

628. Brieber S, Neufang S, Bruning N, et al. Structural brain abnormalities in adolescents with autism spectrum disorder and patients with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2007 Dec;48(12):1251-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01799.x. PMID: 18093031. *Outcome* 

629. Brinkman WB, Baum R, Kelleher KJ, et al. Relationship Between Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Care and Medication Continuity. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Apr;55(4):289-94. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.02.001. PMID: 27015719. *Intervention* 

630. Brinkman WB, Sherman SN, Zmitrovich AR, et al. Parental angst making and revisiting decisions about treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2009 Aug;124(2):580-9. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-2569. PMID: 19651580. *Intervention* 

631. Brinksma DM, Dietrich A, de Bildt A, et al. ADHD symptoms across adolescence: the role of the family and school climate and the DRD4 and 5-HTTLPR genotype. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Aug;29(8):1049-61. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01424-3. PMID: 31628528. *Intervention* 

632. Brito A, Grant R, Overholt S, et al. The enhanced medical home: the pediatric standard of care for medically underserved children. Adv Pediatr. 2008;55:9-28. doi: 10.1016/j.yapd.2008.07.007. PMID: 19048725. *Population* 

633. Britta Seiffer MHRUSW. Efficacy of regular moderate to vigorous physical activity in children and adolescents with ADHD: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019142166. 2019.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=142166. Design

634. Brocki KC, Forslund T, Frick M, et al. Do Individual Differences in Early Affective and Cognitive Self-Regulation Predict Developmental Change in ADHD Symptoms From Preschool to Adolescence? J Atten Disord. 2019 Nov 1;23(13):1656-66. doi: 10.1177/1087054717693372. PMID: 29254424. *Population* 

635. Bron TI, Bijlenga D, Boonstra AM, et al. OROS-methylphenidate efficacy on specific executive functioning deficits in adults with ADHD: a randomized, placebo-controlled cross-over study. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2014 Apr;24(4):519-28. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2014.01.007. PMID: 24508533. *Population* 

636. Brook JS, Duan T, Zhang C, et al. The association between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adolescence and smoking in adulthood. Am J Addict. 2008 Jan-Feb;17(1):54-9. doi: 10.1080/10550490701756039. PMID: 18214723. *Intervention* 

637. Brookes K, Xu X, Chen W, et al. The analysis of 51 genes in DSM-IV combined type attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: association signals in DRD4, DAT1 and 16 other genes. Mol Psychiatry. 2006 Oct;11(10):934-53. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.4001869. PMID: 16894395. *Intervention* 

638. Brown G. Assessment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Nurs Times. 2003 Jun 24-30;99(25):34-6. PMID: 12861637. *Outcome* 

639. Brown HR, Harvey EA. Psychometric Properties of ADHD Symptoms in Toddlers. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2019 May-Jun;48(3):423-39. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2018.1485105. PMID: 30028208. *Outcome* 

640. Brown RT, Borden KA, Clingerman SR. Pharmacotherapy in ADD adolescents with special attention to multimodality treatments. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1985;21(2):192-211. PMID: 2860691. *Design* 

641. Brown RT, Borden KA, Wynne ME, et al. Methylphenidate and cognitive therapy with ADD children: a methodological reconsideration. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1986 Dec;14(4):481-97. doi: 10.1007/bf01260518. PMID: 3782621. *Power* 

642. Brown RT, Jaffe SL, Silverstein J, et al. Methylphenidate and hospitalized adolescents with conduct disorder: Dose effects on classroom behavior, academic performance, and impulsivity. J Youth Adolesc. 1991 Oct;20(5):501-18. doi: 10.1007/bf01540634. PMID: 24263522. *Population* 

643. Brown RT, Madan-Swain A, Baldwin K. Gender differences in a clinic-referred sample of attention-deficit-disordered children. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 1991 Winter;22(2):111-28. doi: 10.1007/bf00707789. PMID: 1800023. *Intervention* 

644. Brown RT, Pacini JN. Perceived family functioning, marital status, and depression in parents of boys with attention deficit disorder. J Learn Disabil. 1989 Nov;22(9):581-7. doi: 10.1177/002221948902200911. PMID: 2809411. *Intervention* 

645. Brown RT, Sexson SB. A controlled trial of methylphenidate in black adolescents. Attentional, behavioral, and physiological effects. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 1988 Feb;27(2):74-81. doi: 10.1177/000992288802700204. PMID: 3338232. *Power* 

646. Brown RT, Sexson SB. Effects of methylphenidate on cardiovascular responses in attention deficit hyperactivity disordered adolescents. J Adolesc Health Care. 1989 May;10(3):179-83. doi: 10.1016/0197-0070(89)90229-5. PMID: 2715089. *Power* 

647. Brown RT, Wynne ME, Borden KA, et al. Methylphenidate and cognitive therapy in children with attention deficit disorder: a double-blind trial. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1986 Jun;7(3):163-74. PMID: 3522630. *Power* 

648. Brown TE, Brams M, Gasior M, et al. Clinical utility of ADHD symptom thresholds to assess normalization of executive function with lisdexamfetamine dimesylate treatment in adults. Curr Med Res Opin. 2011;27 Suppl 2:23-33. doi: 10.1185/03007995.2011.605441. PMID: 21973229. *Population* 

649. Brown TE, Flood E, Sarocco P, et al. Persisting Psychosocial Impairments in Adults Being Treated with Medication for Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Psychopharmacol Bull. 2017 Sep 15;47(4):8-17. PMID: 28936004. *Population* 

650. Brown TE, Holdnack J, Saylor K, et al. Effect of atomoxetine on executive function impairments in adults with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2011 Feb;15(2):130-8. doi: 10.1177/1087054709356165. PMID: 20026871. *Population* 

651. Brown TE, Landgraf JM. Improvements in executive function correlate with enhanced performance and functioning and health-related quality of life: evidence from 2 large, doubleblind, randomized, placebo-controlled trials in ADHD. Postgrad Med. 2010 Sep;122(5):42-51. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2010.09.2200. PMID: 20861587. *Population* 

652. Brown TE, Romero B, Sarocco P, et al. The Patient Perspective: Unmet Treatment Needs in Adults With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord. 2019 Jun 6;21(3). doi: 10.4088/PCC.18m02397. PMID: 31184812. *Population*
653. Brownell MD, Yogendran MS. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in Manitoba children: medical diagnosis and psychostimulant treatment rates. Can J Psychiatry. 2001 Apr;46(3):264-72. doi: 10.1177/070674370104600307. PMID: 11320681. *Intervention* 

654. Brownlie EB, Lazare K, Beitchman J. Validating a self-report screen for ADHD in early adulthood using childhood parent and teacher ratings. J Atten Disord. 2012 Aug;16(6):467-77. doi: 10.1177/1087054711398902. PMID: 21903889. *Outcome* 

655. Broyd SJ, Johnstone SJ, Barry RJ, et al. The effect of methylphenidate on response inhibition and the event-related potential of children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Int J Psychophysiol. 2005 Oct;58(1):47-58. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2005.03.008. PMID: 15925419. *Intervention* 

656. Bruce CR, Unsworth CA, Dillon MP, et al. Hazard perception skills of young drivers with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) can be improved with computer based driver training: An exploratory randomised controlled trial. Accid Anal Prev. 2017 Dec;109:70-7. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2017.10.002. PMID: 29040873. *Population* 

657. Brue AW, Oakland TD, Evans RA. The use of a dietary supplement combination and an essential fatty acid as an alternative and complementary treatment for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Scientific Review of Alternative Medicine. 2001 09/01;5:187-94. *Power* 

658. Bruijn J, Arts WF, Duivenvoorden H, et al. Quality of life in children with primary headache in a general hospital. Cephalalgia. 2009 Jun;29(6):624-30. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2982.2008.01774.x. PMID: 19175611. *Intervention* 

659. Bruno A, Celebre L, Torre G, et al. Focus on Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder: A review of the literature. Psychiatry Res. 2019 Sep;279:323-30. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.05.043. PMID: 31164249. *Population* 

660. Bruun RD, Budman CL. Paroxetine treatment of episodic rages associated with Tourette's disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998 Nov;59(11):581-4. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v59n1104. PMID: 9862603. *Population* 

661. Bucci MP, Goulème N, Dehouck D, et al. Interactions between eye movements and posture in children with neurodevelopmental disorders. Int J Dev Neurosci. 2018 Dec;71:61-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2018.07.010. PMID: 30056251. *Intervention* 

662. Bucci MP, Stordeur C, Septier M, et al. Oculomotor abnormalities in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder are improved by methylphenidate. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 2017 Apr 2017;27(3):274-80. *Intervention* 

663. Buchhorn R, Baumann C, Gündogdu S, et al. Diagnosis and management of an inappropriate sinus tachycardia in adolescence based upon a Holter ECG: A retrospective analysis of 479 patients. PLoS One. 2020;15(8):e0238139. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238139. PMID: 32845894. *Design* 

664. Buchmann J, Gierow W, Weber S, et al. Restoration of disturbed intracortical motor inhibition and facilitation in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder children by methylphenidate. Biol Psychiatry. 2007 Nov 1;62(9):963-9. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.05.010. PMID: 17719015. *Intervention* 

665. Buckley S, Dodd P, Burke A, et al. Diagnosis and management of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adults with and without learning disability. Psychiatric Bulletin. 2006;30(7):251-3. doi: 10.1192/pb.30.7.251. *Design* 

666. Buffalo SUoNYa, Lilly E, Company. Impact of Strattera and Behavior Therapy on the Home and School Functioning of Children With ADHD. 2007. *Power* 

667. Buitelaar JK, Danckaerts M, Gillberg C, et al. A prospective, multicenter, open-label assessment of atomoxetine in non-North American children and adolescents with ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Aug;13(4):249-57. doi: 10.1007/s00787-004-0401-3. PMID: 15365896. *Intervention* 

668. Buitelaar JK, Ramos-Quiroga JA, Casas M, et al. Safety and tolerability of flexible dosages of prolonged-release OROS methylphenidate in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2009;5(1):457-66. *Population* 

669. Buitelaar JK, Trott GE, Hofecker M, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety outcomes with OROS-MPH in adults with ADHD. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2012 Feb;15(1):1-13. doi: 10.1017/s1461145711001131. PMID: 21798108. *Population* 

670. Buitelaar JK, Van der Gaag RJ, Swaab-Barneveld H, et al. Prediction of clinical response to methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 Aug;34(8):1025-32. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199508000-00012. PMID: 7665441. *Intervention* 

671. Buitelaar JK, Wilens TE, Zhang S, et al. Comparison of symptomatic versus functional changes in children and adolescents with ADHD during randomized, double-blind treatment with psychostimulants, atomoxetine, or placebo. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2009 Mar;50(3):335-42. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01960.x. PMID: 19309330. *Design* 

672. Buitelaar NJL, Posthumus JA, Buitelaar JK. ADHD in Childhood and/or Adulthood as a Risk Factor for Domestic Violence or Intimate Partner Violence: A Systematic Review. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jul;24(9):1203-14. doi: 10.1177/1087054715587099. PMID: 25995243. *Intervention* 

673. Bukstein OG, Arnold LE, Landgraf JM, et al. Does switching from oral extended-release methylphenidate to the methylphenidate transdermal system affect health-related quality-of-life and medication satisfaction for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2009 Dec 10;3(1):39. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-3-39. PMID: 20003260. *Comparator* 

674. Bul KCM, Kato PM, Van der Oord S, et al. Behavioral outcome effects of serious gaming as an adjunct to treatment for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2016 Feb 2016;18(2):1-18. *Duplicate* 

675. Bundgaard AF, Asmussen J, Pedersen NS, et al. Disturbed sleep and activity in toddlers with early signs of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). J Sleep Res. 2018 Oct;27(5):e12686. doi: 10.1111/jsr.12686. PMID: 29527757. *Intervention* 

676. Bunford N, Dawson AE, Evans SW, et al. The Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale– Parent Report: A psychometric investigation examining adolescents with and without ADHD. Assessment. 2020 Jul 2020;27(5):921-40. *Intervention*  677. Bunte TL, Schoemaker K, Hessen DJ, et al. Stability and change of ODD, CD and ADHD diagnosis in referred preschool children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2014 Oct;42(7):1213-24. doi: 10.1007/s10802-014-9869-6. PMID: 24781411. *Intervention* 

678. Bunte TL SK, Hessen DJ, et al. Clinical usefulness of the Kiddie-Disruptive Behavior Disorder Schedule in the diagnosis of DBD and ADHD in preschool children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2013 41(5):681-90. doi: 10.1007/s10802-013-9732-1. *Language* 

679. Burgess IC. Service innovations: Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder - development of a multi-professional integrated care pathway. Psychiatric Bulletin. 2002;26(4):148-51. doi: 10.1192/pb.26.4.148. *Outcome* 

680. Burgu B, Aydogdu O, Gurkan K, et al. Lower urinary tract conditions in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: correlation of symptoms based on validated scoring systems. J Urol. 2011 Feb;185(2):663-8. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2010.09.116. PMID: 21172714. *Intervention* 

681. Burkart S, Roberts J, Davidson MC, et al. Behavioral Effects of a Locomotor-Based Physical Activity Intervention in Preschoolers. J Phys Act Health. 2018 Jan 1;15(1):46-52. doi: 10.1123/jpah.2016-0479. PMID: 28771088. *Power* 

682. Burke JD, Loeber R, Lahey BB. Which aspects of ADHD are associated with tobacco use in early adolescence? J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2001 May;42(4):493-502. PMID: 11383965. *Intervention* 

683. Burke JD, Pardini DA, Loeber R. Reciprocal Relationships between Parenting Behavior and Disruptive Psychopathology from Childhood through Adolescence. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2008 07/01/;36(5):679-92. PMID: EJ796469. *Intervention* 

684. Burns GL, Desmul C, Walsh JA, et al. A multitrait (ADHD-IN, ADHD-HI, ODD toward adults, academic and social competence) by multisource (mothers and fathers) evaluation of the invariance and convergent/discriminant validity of the Child and Adolescent Disruptive Behavior Inventory with Thai adolescents. Psychol Assess. 2009 Dec;21(4):635-41. doi: 10.1037/a0016953. PMID: 19947797. *Outcome* 

685. Burns GL, Geiser C, Servera M, et al. Application of the Bifactor S – 1 model to multisource ratings of ADHD/ODD symptoms: An appropriate Bifactor model for symptom ratings. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2020 Jul 2020;48(7):881-94. *Intervention* 

686. Burns GL, Geiser C, Servera M, et al. Application of the Bifactor S - 1 Model to Multisource Ratings of ADHD/ODD Symptoms: an Appropriate Bifactor Model for Symptom Ratings. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2020 Jul;48(7):881-94. doi: 10.1007/s10802-019-00608-4. PMID: 31834589. *Duplicate* 

687. Burns GL, Preszler J, Becker SP. Psychometric and Normative Information on the Child and Adolescent Behavior Inventory in a Nationally Representative Sample of United States Children. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2021 Jan 11:1-10. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2020.1852943. PMID: 33428463. *Outcome* 

688. Burns GL, Servera M, Becker SP. Psychometric Properties and Normative Information on the Child and Adolescent Behavior Inventory with Ratings for Spanish Children from Parents and Teachers. Psicothema. 2021 Feb;33(1):139-45. doi: 10.7334/psicothema2020.267. PMID: 33453747. *Intervention* 

689. Burns GL, Walsh JA, Owen SM, et al. Internal validity of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, and overt conduct disorder symptoms in young children: implications from teacher ratings for a dimensional approach to symptom validity. J Clin Child Psychol. 1997 Sep;26(3):266-75. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp2603\_5. PMID: 9292384. *Outcome* 

690. Busch B, Biederman J, Cohen LG, et al. Correlates of ADHD among children in pediatric and psychiatric clinics. Psychiatr Serv. 2002 Sep;53(9):1103-11. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.53.9.1103. PMID: 12221308. *Intervention* 

691. Bush G ST, Holmes J, Shin LM, Valera EM, Seidman LJ, Makris N, Surman C, Aleardi M, Mick E, Biederman J. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of methylphenidate and placebo in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder during the multi-source interference task. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2008 Jan;65(1):102-14. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2007.16. *Population* 

692. Bushe CJ, Savill N. Atomoxetine in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Systematic review of review papers 2009-2011. An update for clinicians. J Cent Nerv Syst Dis. 2011;3:209-17. doi: 10.4137/jcnsd.S4391. PMID: 23861650. *Design* 

693. Buske-Kirschbaum A, Trikojat K, Tesch F, et al. Altered hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis function: A relevant factor in the comorbidity of atopic eczema and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2019 Jul;105:178-86. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.12.005. PMID: 30583940. *Intervention* 

694. Busold-Hagenbeck D, Elmenhorst J, Irtel von Brenndorff C, et al. Frequency and individual severity of arterial blood pressure changes in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder treated with methylphenidate hydrochloride: a prospective non-interventional study. Gen Psychiatr. 2020;33(2):e100193. doi: 10.1136/gpsych-2020-100193. PMID: 32420522. *Intervention* 

695. Bussing R, Fernandez M, Harwood M, et al. Parent and teacher SNAP-IV ratings of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms: Psychometric properties and normative ratings from a school district sample. Circulation. 2011;124(9):317-28. *Outcome* 

696. Bussing R, Fernandez M, Harwood M, et al. Parent and teacher SNAP-IV ratings of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms: psychometric properties and normative ratings from a school district sample. Assessment. 2008 Sep;15(3):317-28. doi: 10.1177/1073191107313888. PMID: 18310593. *Duplicate* 

697. Bussing R, Gagnon JC, Garvan CW, et al. Psychometric Properties of the Vanderbilt ADHD Diagnostic Rating Scale Completed by Juvenile Corrections Staff. J Atten Disord. 2020 Sep;24(11):1521-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054717690811. PMID: 28164727. *Population* 

698. Bussing R, Mason DM, Bell L, et al. Adolescent outcomes of childhood attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in a diverse community sample. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Jun;49(6):595-605. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.03.006. PMID: 20494269. *Intervention* 

699. Bussing R, Mason DM, Leon CE, et al. Agreement between CASA parent reports and provider records of children's ADHD services. J Behav Health Serv Res. 2003 Oct-Dec;30(4):462-9. doi: 10.1007/bf02287433. PMID: 14593669. *Outcome* 

700. Bussing R, Nelson MM. PARENT-CHILD INTERACTION THERAPY: TREATMENT COMPONENTS AND EVIDENCE BASE. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2020;59(10):S354. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.07.872. *Design* 

701. Bussing R, Nelson MM, Kurtz S. Parent-child interaction therapy: Treatment components and evidence-base. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2016;55(10):S351. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.07.092. *Design* 

702. Bussing R, Nelson MM, Perry N. Parent-Child Interaction Therapy: Evidence-Base and Treatment Components. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018;57(10):S336-S7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.07.853. *Design* 

703. Bussing R, Zima BT, Gary FA, et al. Barriers to detection, help-seeking, and service use for children with ADHD symptoms. J Behav Health Serv Res. 2003 Apr-Jun;30(2):176-89. doi: 10.1007/bf02289806. PMID: 12710371. *Intervention* 

704. Bustamante EE. Physical Activity Intervention for ADHD and DBD: University of Illinois at Chicago; 2013. *Design* 

705. Bustamante EE, Davis CL, Frazier SL, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of Exercise for ADHD and Disruptive Behavior Disorders. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2016 Jul;48(7):1397-407. doi: 10.1249/mss.000000000000891. PMID: 26829000. *Population* 

706. Butera C, Ring P, Sideris J, et al. Impact of Sensory Processing on School Performance Outcomes in High Functioning Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Mind Brain Educ. 2020 Aug;14(3):243-54. doi: 10.1111/mbe.12242. PMID: 34367324. *Intervention* 

707. Butler SF, Arredondo DE, McCloskey V. Affective comorbidity in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Ann Clin Psychiatry. 1995 Jun;7(2):51-5. doi: 10.3109/10401239509149027. PMID: 8556093. *Intervention* 

708. Buttross S, Raggio DJ. Undifferentiated attention deficit disorder as a diagnostic category. Pediatric Reviews and Communications. 1991;5(4):247-50. *Intervention* 

709. Butwicka A, Olén O, Larsson H, et al. Association of Childhood-Onset Inflammatory Bowel Disease With Risk of Psychiatric Disorders and Suicide Attempt. JAMA Pediatr. 2019 Oct 1;173(10):969-78. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.2662. PMID: 31424531. *Intervention* 

710. Byeon J, Choi TY, Won GH, et al. A novel quantitative electroencephalography subtype with high alpha power in ADHD: ADHD or misdiagnosed ADHD? PLoS One. 2020;15(11):e0242566. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0242566. PMID: 33201920. *Outcome* 

711. Bywater T HJ, Daley D, et al. Long-term effectiveness of a parenting intervention for children at risk of developing conduct disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2009;195(4):318-24. *Population* 

712. Caballero J, Nahata MC. Atomoxetine hydrochloride for the treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Clin Ther. 2003 Dec;25(12):3065-83. doi: 10.1016/s0149-2918(03)90092-0. PMID: 14749146. *Design* 

713. Cabrejo R, Lacadie C, Brooks E, et al. Understanding the Learning Disabilities Linked to Sagittal Craniosynostosis. J Craniofac Surg. 2019 Mar/Apr;30(2):497-502. doi: 10.1097/scs.00000000005194. PMID: 30676447. *Intervention* 

714. Cabrejo R, Lacadie C, Chuang C, et al. What is the Functional Difference Between Sagittal With Metopic and Isolated Sagittal Craniosynotosis? J Craniofac Surg. 2019 Jun;30(4):968-73. doi: 10.1097/scs.000000000005288. PMID: 30882572. *Population* 

715. Caci H, Cohen D, Bonnot O, et al. Health Care Trajectories for Children With ADHD in France: Results From the QUEST Survey. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jan;24(1):52-65. doi: 10.1177/1087054715618790. PMID: 26794670. *Intervention* 

716. Caci HM, Morin AJ, Tran A. Teacher Ratings of the ADHD-RS IV in a Community Sample: Results From the ChiP-ARD Study. J Atten Disord. 2016 May;20(5):434-44. doi: 10.1177/1087054712473834. PMID: 23422236. *Intervention* 

717. Cadman T, Findon J, Eklund H, et al. Six-year follow-up study of combined type ADHD from childhood to young adulthood: Predictors of functional impairment and comorbid symptoms. Eur Psychiatry. 2016 May;35:47-54. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2015.08.007. PMID: 27077377. *Intervention* 

718. Cai B, Cai S, He H, et al. Multisensory Enhancement of Cognitive Control over Working Memory Capture of Attention in Children with ADHD. Brain Sciences. 2023;13(1). doi: 10.3390/brainsci13010066. *Intervention* 

719. Cai W, Griffiths K, Korgaonkar MS, et al. Inhibition-related modulation of salience and frontoparietal networks predicts cognitive control ability and inattention symptoms in children with ADHD. Mol Psychiatry. 2021 Aug;26(8):4016-25. doi: 10.1038/s41380-019-0564-4. PMID: 31664176. *Intervention* 

720. Cainelli E, Bisiacchi PS, Cogo P, et al. Detecting neurodevelopmental trajectories in congenital heart diseases with a machine-learning approach. Sci Rep. 2021 Jan 28;11(1):2574. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-82328-8. PMID: 33510389. *Intervention* 

721. Cairney S, Maruff P, Vance A, et al. Contextual abnormalities of saccadic inhibition in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Exp Brain Res. 2001 Dec;141(4):507-18. doi: 10.1007/s002210100890. PMID: 11810144. *Intervention* 

722. Çak HT, Çengel Kültür SE, Gökler B, et al. The Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function and continuous performance test in preschoolers with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Investigation. 2017;14(3):260-70. doi: 10.4306/pi.2017.14.3.260. *Intervention* 

723. Cakmak FH, Gul H. Factors associated with problematic internet use among children and adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. North Clin Istanb. 2018;5(4):302-13. doi: 10.14744/nci.2017.92668. PMID: 30859160. *Intervention* 

724. Calarge C, Farmer C, DiSilvestro R, et al. Serum ferritin and amphetamine response in youth with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Dec;20(6):495-502. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0053. PMID: 21186968. *Power* 

725. Caldani S, Acquaviva E, Moscoso A, et al. Reading performance in children with ADHD: an eye-tracking study. Ann Dyslexia. 2022 Oct;72(3):552-65. doi: 10.1007/s11881-022-00269-x. PMID: 35920972. *Design* 

726. Callahan BL, Bierstone D, Stuss DT, et al. Adult ADHD: Risk Factor for Dementia or Phenotypic Mimic? Front Aging Neurosci. 2017;9:260. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2017.00260. PMID: 28824421. *Population* 

727. Callander EJ, Allele F, Roberts H, et al. The Effect of Childhood ADD/ADHD on Parental Workforce Participation. J Atten Disord. 2019 Mar;23(5):487-92. doi: 10.1177/1087054716680076. PMID: 27866152. *Intervention* 

728. Calver J, Sanfilippo F, Preen D, et al. Prescribed stimulant use by Western Australians with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): does amount dispensed exceed the expected authorised use? Aust N Z J Public Health. 2007 Dec;31(6):533-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-6405.2007.00139.x. PMID: 18081573. *Intervention* 

729. Çam Ray P, Gül Çelik G, Yolga Tahiroğlu A, et al. Methylphenidate treatment outcomes and gender differences in attentional deficit and hyperactivity disorder with epilepsy: A follow-up study. Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi. 2019;20(6):642-50. doi: 10.5455/apd.28181. *Intervention* 

730. Camarata S, Miller LJ, Wallace MT. Evaluating Sensory Integration/Sensory Processing Treatment: Issues and Analysis. Front Integr Neurosci. 2020;14:556660. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2020.556660. PMID: 33324180. *Population* 

731. Camila Borges dos Reis ACCSDCdOT. Pharmacological treatment of patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and anxiety disorders: systematic review. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016043239. 2016.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=43239. Design

732. Camilleri N, Parnis AC, Cassar JR. Problems associated with the diagnosis and the prescribing of anti-psychotic medication in children and adolescents for psychiatric conditions, by non-psychiatric specialists. Malta Medical Journal. 2009;21(1):27-32. *Population* 

733. Camilleri N, Saliba A, Stafrace NC. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder across the lifespan. Journal of the Malta College of Pharmacy Practice. 2017(23):17-24. *Design* 

734. Camp BW. Adolescent mothers and their children: changes in maternal characteristics and child developmental and behavioral outcome at school age. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1996 Jun;17(3):162-9. PMID: 8783062. *Intervention* 

735. Campbell L, Malone MA, Kershner JR, et al. Methylphenidate slows right hemisphere processing in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 1996 Winter;6(4):229-39. doi: 10.1089/cap.1996.6.229. PMID: 9231316. *Intervention* 

736. Campbell M, Cueva JE. Psychopharmacology in child and adolescent psychiatry: a review of the past seven years. Part I. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 Sep;34(9):1124-32. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199509000-00008. PMID: 7559305. *Design* 

737. Campbell M, Small AM, Green WH, et al. Behavioral efficacy of haloperidol and lithium carbonate. A comparison in hospitalized aggressive children with conduct disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1984 Jul;41(7):650-6. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1984.01790180020002. PMID: 6428371. *Population* 

738. Campeño-Martínez Y, Santiago-Ramajo S, Navarro-Asencio E, et al. Efficacy of an intervention program for attention and reflexivity in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Mind, Brain, and Education. 2017 Jun 2017;11(2):64-74. *Comparator* 

739. Campez M, Raiker JS, Little K, et al. An evaluation of the effect of methylphenidate on working memory, time perception, and choice impulsivity in children with ADHD. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 2021 Jan 21. doi: 10.1037/pha0000446. PMID: 33475395. *Power* 

740. Camporeale A, Porsdal V, De Bruyckere K, et al. Safety and tolerability of atomoxetine in treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adult patients: an integrated analysis of 15 clinical trials. J Psychopharmacol. 2015 Jan;29(1):3-14. doi: 10.1177/0269881114560183. PMID: 25424623. *Population* 

741. Cañigueral R, Palmer J, Ashwood KL, et al. Alpha oscillatory activity during attentional control in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), and ASD+ADHD. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 Sep 3. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13514. PMID: 34477232. *Intervention* 

742. Cantrill A, Wilkes-Gillan S, Bundy A, et al. An eighteen-month follow-up of a pilot parentdelivered play-based intervention to improve the social play skills of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and their playmates. Aust Occup Ther J. 2015 Jun;62(3):197-207. doi: 10.1111/1440-1630.12203. PMID: 26058779. *Power* 

743. Cantwell DP. Pharmacotherapy of ADD in adolescents: what do we know, where should we go, how should we do it? Psychopharmacol Bull. 1985;21(2):251-7. PMID: 3889970. *Design* 

744. Canu WH, Bearman SK. Community-clinic-based parent intervention addressing noncompliance in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice. 2011;18(4):491-501. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpra.2010.07.005. *Intervention* 

745. Cao P, Wang L, Cheng Q, et al. Changes in serum miRNA-let-7 level in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder treated by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation or atomoxetine: An exploratory trial. Psychiatry Res. 2019 Apr;274:189-94. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.02.037. PMID: 30807970. *Intervention* 

746. Cao P, Xing J, Cao Y, et al. Clinical effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation combined with atomoxetine in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2018;14:3231-40. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S182527. PMID: 30538481. *Power* 

747. Cao Q, Zang Y, Sun L, et al. Abnormal neural activity in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Neuroreport. 2006 Jul 17;17(10):1033-6. doi: 10.1097/01.wnr.0000224769.92454.5d. PMID: 16791098. *Outcome* 

748. Cao Q, Zang Y, Zhu C, et al. Alerting deficits in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: event-related fMRI evidence. Brain Res. 2008 Jul 11;1219:159-68. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2008.04.028. PMID: 18534567. *Outcome* 

749. Cao X, Cao Q, Long X, et al. Abnormal resting-state functional connectivity patterns of the putamen in medication-naïve children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Brain Res. 2009 Dec 15;1303:195-206. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2009.08.029. PMID: 19699190. *Outcome* 

750. Capodieci A, Gola ML, Cornoldi C, et al. Effects of a working memory training program in preschoolers with symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2018 Feb;40(1):17-29. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2017.1307946. PMID: 28332914. *Power* 

751. Capodieci A, Martinussen R. Math error types and correlates in adolescents with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Frontiers in Psychology. 2017 Oct 11, 2017;8. *Intervention* 

752. Capodieci A, Re AM, Fracca A, et al. The efficacy of a training that combines activities on working memory and metacognition: Transfer and maintenance effects in children with ADHD and typical development. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology. 2019 Dec 2019;41(10):1074-87. *Comparator* 

753. Caraballo RH, Yépez II, Cersósimo RO. Attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. Revista Ecuatoriana de Neurologia. 1999;8(3):51-5. *Language* 

754. Carballo JJ, Rodríguez-Blanco L, García-Nieto R, et al. Screening for the ADHD Phenotype Using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire in a Clinical Sample of Newly Referred Children and Adolescents. J Atten Disord. 2018 Sep;22(11):1032-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054714561858. PMID: 25515677. *Language* 

755. Carboni JA, Roach AT, Fredrick LD. Impact of Mindfulness Training on the Behavior of Elementary Students With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactive Disorder. Research in Human Development. 2013 2013/07/01;10(3):234-51. doi: 10.1080/15427609.2013.818487. *Comparator* 

756. Carla Allan BBERACECW. Efficacy and safety of treatments for preschool-aged children with ADHD: a systematic review of reviews. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016053666. 2016. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=53666. *Design* 

757. Carlisi CO, Chantiluke K, Norman L, et al. The effects of acute fluoxetine administration on temporal discounting in youth with ADHD. Psychol Med. 2016 Apr;46(6):1197-209. doi: 10.1017/s0033291715002731. PMID: 26708124. *Outcome* 

758. Carlson GA, Rapport MD, Kelly KL, et al. Methylphenidate and desipramine in hospitalized children with comorbid behavior and mood disorders: Separate and combined effects on behavior and mood. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 1995;5:191-204. doi: 10.1089/cap.1995.5.191. *Population* 

759. Carlucci S, Ivanova I, Bissada H, et al. Validity and reliability of the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder self-report scale (ASRS-v1.1) in a clinical sample with eating disorders. Eat Behav. 2017 Aug;26:148-54. doi: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2017.03.010. PMID: 28390269. *Population* 

760. Carmeli E, Klein N, Sohn M. The implications of having attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in male adolescents with intellectual disability. Int J Adolesc Med Health. 2007 Apr-Jun;19(2):209-14. doi: 10.1515/ijamh.2007.19.2.209. PMID: 17593772. *Intervention* 

761. Carmona Franceschi MJ, Ascencio Lancheros JL, Ochoa Gómez JF, et al. Resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Radiologia (Engl Ed). 2020 Mar-Apr;62(2):139-47. doi: 10.1016/j.rx.2019.07.001. PMID: 31563420. *Outcome* 

762. Caro-Cañizares I, Serrano-Drozdowskyj E, Pfang B, et al. SDQ Dysregulation Profile and Its Relation to the Severity of Psychopathology and Psychosocial Functioning in a Sample of Children and Adolescents With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Sep;24(11):1557-64. doi: 10.1177/1087054717691829. PMID: 29254417. *Intervention* 

763. Carpena MX, Matijasevich A, Loret de Mola C, et al. The effects of persistent sleep disturbances during early childhood over adolescent ADHD, and the mediating effect of attention-related executive functions: Data from the 2004 Pelotas Birth Cohort. J Affect Disord. 2022 Jan 1;296:175-82. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.09.053. PMID: 34607058. *Intervention* 

764. Carpentier PJ, Levin FR. Pharmacological Treatment of ADHD in Addicted Patients: What Does the Literature Tell Us? Harv Rev Psychiatry. 2017 Mar/Apr;25(2):50-64. doi: 10.1097/hrp.00000000000122. PMID: 28272130. *Population* 

765. Carr AW, Bean RA, Nelson KF. Childhood attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: Family therapy from an attachment based perspective. Children and Youth Services Review. 2020 Dec 2020;119. *Design* 

766. Carr L, Henderson J, Nigg JT. Cognitive control and attentional selection in adolescents with ADHD versus ADD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2010;39(6):726-40. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2010.517168. PMID: 21058121. *Outcome* 

767. Carr-Fanning K, Mc Guckin C. The powerless or the empowered? Stakeholders' experiences of diagnosis and treatment for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in Ireland. Ir J Psychol Med. 2018 Sep;35(3):203-12. doi: 10.1017/ipm.2018.13. PMID: 30124187. *Outcome* 

768. Carrasco X, Rothhammer P, Moraga M, et al. Genotypic interaction between DRD4 and DAT1 loci is a high risk factor for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Chilean families. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2006 Jan 5;141b(1):51-4. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30259. PMID: 16342279. *Intervention* 

769. Carreiro LR, Silva M, Teixeira MC. Application of the decision tree model in ADHD screening. European Psychiatry. 2021;64:S754-S5. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.1999. *Design* 

770. Carrey N, MacMaster FP, Fogel J, et al. Metabolite changes resulting from treatment in children with ADHD: a 1H-MRS study. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2003 Jul-Aug;26(4):218-21. doi: 10.1097/00002826-200307000-00013. PMID: 12897644. *Comparator* 

771. Carson NJ, Stewart M, Lin JY, et al. Use and quality of mental health services for Haitian youth. Ethn Health. 2011 Dec;16(6):567-82. doi: 10.1080/13557858.2011.586024. PMID: 22050537. *Intervention* 

772. Carter CM, Urbanowicz M, Hemsley R, et al. Effects of a few food diet in attention deficit disorder. Arch Dis Child. 1993 Nov;69(5):564-8. doi: 10.1136/adc.69.5.564. PMID: 8257176. *Power* 

773. Carter CS, Krener P, Chaderjian M, et al. Abnormal processing of irrelevant information in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 1995 Jan 31;56(1):59-70. doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(94)02509-h. PMID: 7792343. *Intervention* 

774. Carter Leno V, Chandler S, White P, et al. Testing the specificity of executive functioning impairments in adolescents with ADHD, ODD/CD and ASD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Jul;27(7):899-908. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-1089-5. PMID: 29224173. *Intervention* 

775. Carter R, Honeywell M, Carter T, et al. Atomoxetine: A New Nonstimulant Approach to Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder. P and T. 2003;28(7):444-8. *Design* 

776. Casadei G, Cartabia M, Reale L, et al. Italian regional health service costs for diagnosis and 1-year treatment of ADHD in children and adolescents. International Journal of Mental Health Systems. 2017 Apr 28, 2017;11. *Design* 

777. Casas M, Rösler M, Sandra Kooij JJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of prolonged-release OROS methylphenidate in adults with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a 13-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, fixed-dose study. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2013 May;14(4):268-81. doi: 10.3109/15622975.2011.600333. PMID: 22106853. *Population* 

778. Caserta A, Fabiano GA, Hulme K, et al. A Waitlist-Controlled Trial of Behavioral Parent Training for Fathers of Preschool Children. Evidence-Based Practice in Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2018;3(2):106-16. doi: 10.1080/23794925.2018.1446768. *Population* 

779. Caspi A, Langley K, Milne B, et al. A replicated molecular genetic basis for subtyping antisocial behavior in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2008 Feb;65(2):203-10. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2007.24. PMID: 18250258. *Outcome* 

780. Cassidy CM, Joober R, King S, et al. Childhood symptoms of inattention-hyperactivity predict cannabis use in first episode psychosis. Schizophr Res. 2011 Nov;132(2-3):171-6. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2011.06.027. PMID: 21778038. *Intervention* 

781. Castellanos FX. Neuroimaging of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America. 1997;6(2):383-411. doi: 10.1016/s1056-4993(18)30310-9. *Design* 

782. Castellanos FX, Aoki Y. Intrinsic Functional Connectivity in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Science in Development. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2016 May;1(3):253-61. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2016.03.004. PMID: 27713929. Design

783. Castellanos FX, Giedd JN, Berquin PC, et al. Quantitative brain magnetic resonance imaging in girls with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2001 Mar;58(3):289-95. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.58.3.289. PMID: 11231836. *Outcome* 

784. Castellanos FX, Giedd JN, Elia J, et al. Controlled stimulant treatment of ADHD and comorbid Tourette's syndrome: effects of stimulant and dose. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997 May;36(5):589-96. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199705000-00008. PMID: 9136492. *Power* 

785. Castellanos FX, Lee PP, Sharp W, et al. Developmental trajectories of brain volume abnormalities in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Jama. 2002 Oct 9;288(14):1740-8. doi: 10.1001/jama.288.14.1740. PMID: 12365958. *Intervention* 

786. Castellanos FX, Sharp WS, Gottesman RF, et al. Anatomic brain abnormalities in monozygotic twins discordant for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2003 Sep;160(9):1693-6. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.160.9.1693. PMID: 12944348. *Outcome* 

787. Castelnovo A, Lividini A, Bernardi G, et al. Sleep Power Topography in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Children (Basel). 2022 Feb 3;9(2). doi: 10.3390/children9020197. PMID: 35204918. *Outcome* 

788. Catalá-López F, Ridao M, Núñez-Beltrán A, et al. Prevalence and comorbidity of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Spain: study protocol for extending a systematic review with updated meta-analysis of observational studies. Syst Rev. 2019 Feb 11;8(1):49. doi: 10.1186/s13643-019-0967-y. PMID: 30744675. *Intervention* 

789. Catalá-López F, Ridao M, Sanfélix-Gimeno G, et al. Cost-effectiveness of pharmacological treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: qualitative synthesis of scientific evidence. Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment. 2013 Oct-Dec;6(4):168-77. doi: 10.1016/j.rpsm.2012.12.002. PMID: 23453596. *Design* 

790. Catherine TG, Robert NG, Mala KK, et al. Assessment of prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among schoolchildren in selected schools. Indian J Psychiatry. 2019 May-Jun;61(3):232-7. doi: 10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry\_333\_17. PMID: 31142899. *Intervention* 

791. Cavanagh R, Clifford JS, Gregory WL. The use of bromocriptine for the treatment of attention deficit disorder in two chemically dependent patients. J Psychoactive Drugs. 1989 Apr-Jun;21(2):217-20. doi: 10.1080/02791072.1989.10472161. PMID: 2668485. *Intervention* 

792. Cawkwell PB, Hong DS, Leikauf JE. Neurodevelopmental Effects of Cannabis Use in Adolescents and Emerging Adults with ADHD: A Systematic Review. Harv Rev Psychiatry. 2021 Jul-Aug 01;29(4):251-61. doi: 10.1097/hrp.000000000000303. PMID: 34138796. *Population* 

793. Caye A, Agnew-Blais J, Arseneault L, et al. A risk calculator to predict adult attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: generation and external validation in three birth cohorts and one clinical sample. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2019 May 15;29:e37. doi: 10.1017/s2045796019000283. PMID: 31088588. *Intervention* 

794. Caye A, Machado JD, Rohde LA. Evaluating Parental Disagreement in ADHD Diagnosis: Can We Rely on a Single Report From Home? J Atten Disord. 2017 May;21(7):561-6. doi: 10.1177/1087054713504134. PMID: 24097846. *Language* 

795. Caye A, Petresco S, de Barros AJD, et al. Relative Age and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Data From Three Epidemiological Cohorts and a Meta-analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Aug;59(8):990-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.07.939. PMID: 31442562. *Outcome* 

796. Caye A, Rocha TB, Anselmi L, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Trajectories From Childhood to Young Adulthood: Evidence From a Birth Cohort Supporting a Late-Onset Syndrome. JAMA Psychiatry. 2016 Jul 1;73(7):705-12. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.0383. PMID: 27192050. *Intervention* 

797. Caye A, Sibley MH, Swanson JM, et al. Late-Onset ADHD: Understanding the Evidence and Building Theoretical Frameworks. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2017 Nov 13;19(12):106. doi: 10.1007/s11920-017-0858-7. PMID: 29130145. *Intervention* 

798. Celeste PM, Esteban VP, Mariana L, et al. Continuous performance test in children with intellectual disability and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Appl Neuropsychol Child.

2019 Jul-Sep;8(3):246-52. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2018.1434077. PMID: 29474120. *Intervention* 

799. Celik C, Yigit I, Guzey Yigit M, et al. Examining the factor structure of the WISC-IV in clinical and non-clinical samples: A multiple-group confirmatory factor analysis. Düşünen Adam: Journal of Psychiatry and Neurological Sciences. 2020 2020;33(3):296-309. *Intervention* 

800. Cempaka Thursina CT. Reliability and validity of backward digit span for elementary school students attention deficit screening at Yogyakarta. Journal of the Neurological Sciences. 2017;381:189. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2017.08.541. *Outcome* 

801. Center HUM. Pharmacogenetic Study of Methylphenidate in Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder(ADHD). 2005. *Intervention* 

802. Center MUM, Shire. Extended-release Guanfacine Hydrochloride in Children/Adolescents With Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity. 2011. *Outcome* 

803. Center SZM. Virtual Reality a Novel Screening and Treatment Aid in Attention Deficit Disorder. 2006. *Intervention* 

804. Center T-ASM. Supplementation of Phosphatidylserine (PS) and n-3 Long Chain Fatty Acids (EPA, DHA) in Children With ADHD. 2004. *Population* 

805. Center TM, Health NIoM. Parent Training to Promote Early Identification and Treatment of Childhood Behavioral Disorders. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT00402857; 2006. *Intervention* 

806. Center UHCM, Squibb B-M. Study of Aripiprazole (Abilify) in Children With ADHD (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder). 2005. *Intervention* 

807. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. ADHD Throughout the Years. 2021. https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/adhd/timeline.html. Accessed on January 11 2022. *Design* 

808. Cephalon, R TBPP, D I. Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Modafinil in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2003. *Intervention* 

809. Cephalon, R TBPP, D I. Study to Assess Satisfaction With Modafinil Treatment in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2005. *Intervention* 

810. Cephalon, R TBPP, D I. Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of Modafinil Treatment in Patients With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Who Are Responders to Modafinil Treatment. 2006. *Outcome* 

811. Cetin FH, Isık Y, Torun YT, et al. Carboxylesterase1, alpha 2a adrenergic receptor and noradrenalin transporter gene polymorphisms and their clinical effects in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Turkish children. Gene Reports. 2018;11:58-68. doi: 10.1016/j.genrep.2018.02.001. *Intervention* 

812. Cetin I, Bulut H, Şimsek Ş. Elevated serum ubiquitin-proteasome pathway related molecule levels in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Turkish Journal of Biochemistry. 2017;42(2):187-93. doi: 10.1515/tjb-2016-0291. *Intervention* 

813. Cetin I, Simsek S. A Preliminary Study on Investigation of Serum α-Synuclein and Tau Protein Levels in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Indian Journal of Clinical Biochemistry. 2017;32(3):285-91. doi: 10.1007/s12291-016-0602-9. *Intervention* 

814. Ceylan M, Sener S, Bayraktar AC, et al. Oxidative imbalance in child and adolescent patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2010 Dec 1;34(8):1491-4. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2010.08.010. PMID: 20732373. *Outcome* 

815. Chabot RJ, Merkin H, Wood LM, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of QEEG in children with attention deficit or specific developmental learning disorders. Clin Electroencephalogr. 1996 Jan;27(1):26-34. doi: 10.1177/155005949602700105. PMID: 8719499. *Population* 

816. Chabot RJ, Orgill AA, Crawford G, et al. Behavioral and electrophysiologic predictors of treatment response to stimulants in children with attention disorders. J Child Neurol. 1999 Jun;14(6):343-51. doi: 10.1177/088307389901400601. PMID: 10385840. *Intervention* 

817. Chacko A, Bedard AV, Marks D, et al. Sequenced neurocognitive and behavioral parent training for the treatment of ADHD in school-age children. Child Neuropsychol. 2018 May;24(4):427-50. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2017.1282450. PMID: 28277151. *Power* 

818. Chacko A, Pelham WE, Jr., Gnagy EM, et al. Stimulant Medication Effects in a Summer Treatment Program among Young Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2005 03/01/;44(3):249-57. PMID: EJ696946. *Power* 

819. Chacko A, Scavenius C. Bending the Curve: A Community-Based Behavioral Parent Training Model to Address ADHD-Related Concerns in the Voluntary Sector in Denmark. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2018 Apr;46(3):505-17. doi: 10.1007/s10802-017-0310-9. PMID: 28536873. *Population* 

820. Chacko A, Wymbs BT, Flammer-Rivera LM, et al. A pilot study of the feasibility and efficacy of the Strategies to Enhance Positive Parenting (STEPP) program for single mothers of children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2008 Nov;12(3):270-80. doi: 10.1177/1087054707306119. PMID: 17934177. *Intervention* 

821. Chacko A, Wymbs BT, Wymbs FA, et al. Enhancing Traditional Behavioral Parent Training for Single Mothers of Children with ADHD. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2009 03/01/;38(2):206-18. PMID: EJ833103. *Duplicate* 

822. Chacko A BA, Marks DJ, et al. A randomized clinical trial of Cogmed Working Memory Training in school-age children with ADHD: a replication in a diverse sample using a control condition. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2014 Mar;55(3):247-55. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12146. *Power* 

823. Chae PK, Kim JH, Noh K. Diagnosis of ADHD among gifted children in relation to KEDI-WISC and T.O.V.A. performance. Gifted Child Quarterly. 2003 06/01;47:192-201. *Design* 

824. Chaffin M, Campbell C, Whitworth DN, et al. Accuracy of a Pediatric Behavioral Health Screener to Detect Untreated Behavioral Health Problems in Primary Care Settings. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2017 May;56(5):427-34. doi: 10.1177/0009922816678412. PMID: 28420256. *Population* 

825. Chaimaha N, Chinchai S. Parent and Teacher Perspectives in Collaborative Concepts of Therapeutic Programs for Students with ADHD. Journal of Occupational Therapy, Schools & Early Intervention. 2016 01/01/;9(4):366-81. PMID: EJ1122718. *Intervention* 

826. Chaimaha N, Sriphetcharawut S, Lersilp S, et al. Effectiveness of Therapeutic Programs for Students with ADHD with Executive Function Deficits. Journal of Occupational Therapy, Schools & Early Intervention. 2017 01/01/;10(4):436-56. PMID: EJ1160504. *Intervention* 

827. Chammas M, Ahronheim G, Hechtman L. Reintroduction of stimulant treatment for patients with ADHD, after stimulant-related psychosis. Clinical Practice. 2014 05/01;11:289-94. doi: 10.2217/cpr.14.26. *Comparator* 

828. Chamorro Y, Bolaños L, Trejo S, et al. Do Teachers Confirm Parent's Ratings of ADHD DSM-IV Criteria? A Study of a Mexican Population. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2021;17:1965-75. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S308051. PMID: 34163167. *Population* 

829. Champigny CM, Deotto A, Westmacott R, et al. Academic outcome in pediatric ischemic stroke. Child Neuropsychol. 2020 Aug;26(6):817-33. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2020.1712346. PMID: 31914852. *Population* 

830. Chan E, Zhan C, Homer CJ. Health care use and costs for children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: national estimates from the medical expenditure panel survey. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2002 May;156(5):504-11. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.156.5.504. PMID: 11980558. *Intervention* 

831. Chan ESM, Groves NB, Marsh CL, et al. Are There Resilient Children with ADHD? J Atten Disord. 2022 Mar;26(5):643-55. doi: 10.1177/10870547211025629. PMID: 34167380. *Intervention* 

832. Chan GF, Lai KY, Luk ES, et al. Clinical utility of the Chinese Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD-Symptoms and Normal-Behaviors questionnaire (SWAN) when compared with DISC-IV. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2014;10:1533-42. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S65879. PMID: 25187717. *Language* 

833. Chan HL, Liu WS, Hsieh YH, et al. Screening for attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder, autism spectrum disorder, and developmental delay in Taiwanese aboriginal preschool children. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2016;12:2521-6. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S113880. PMID: 27785028. *Intervention* 

834. Chan M, Tse EK, Bao S, et al. Fidgety Philip and the Suggested Clinical Immobilization Test: Annotation data for developing a machine learning algorithm. Data Brief. 2021 Apr;35:106770. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2021.106770. PMID: 33553523. *Intervention* 

835. Chan MH, Leung PWL, Ho TP, et al. Are psychiatric comorbidities and associated cognitive functions related to treatment response to methylphenidate in boys with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder? Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2017;13:1071-80. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S128086. *Intervention* 

836. Chan RC, Wang L, Ye J, et al. A psychometric study of the Test of Everyday Attention for Children in the Chinese setting. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2008 Jul;23(4):455-66. doi: 10.1016/j.acn.2008.03.007. PMID: 18472391. *Outcome* 

837. Chan T, Martinussen R. Positive Illusions? The Accuracy of Academic Self-Appraisals in Adolescents With ADHD. J Pediatr Psychol. 2016 Aug;41(7):799-809. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsv116. PMID: 26645302. *Intervention* 

838. Chan YP, Swanson JM, Soldin SS, et al. Methylphenidate hydrochloride given with or before breakfast: II. Effects on plasma concentration of methylphenidate and ritalinic acid. Pediatrics. 1983 Jul;72(1):56-9. PMID: 6866592. *Intervention* 

839. Chandra P, Anandakrishna L, Ray P. Caries experience and oral hygiene status of children suffering from attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2009 Fall;34(1):25-9. doi: 10.17796/jcpd.34.1.n170271832662v44. PMID: 19953805. *Intervention* 

840. Chang CC, Chen YM, Hsiao RC, et al. Did Affiliate Stigma Predict Affective and Behavioral Outcomes in Caregivers and Their Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder? Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Jul 15;18(14). doi: 10.3390/ijerph18147532. PMID: 34299983. *Intervention* 

841. Chang CC, Chen YM, Liu TL, et al. Affiliate Stigma and Related Factors in Family Caregivers of Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Jan 16;17(2). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17020576. PMID: 31963190. *Population* 

842. Chang JP, Su KP. Nutritional Neuroscience as Mainstream of Psychiatry: The Evidence-Based Treatment Guidelines for Using Omega-3 Fatty Acids as a New Treatment for Psychiatric Disorders in Children and Adolescents. Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci. 2020 Nov 30;18(4):469-83. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2020.18.4.469. PMID: 33124582. *Design* 

843. Chang JP-C, Jingling L, Huang Y-T, et al. Delay aversion, temporal processing, and N-3 fatty acids intake in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Clinical Psychological Science. 2016 Nov 2016;4(6):1094-103. *Intervention* 

844. Chang K, Nayar D, Howe M, et al. Atomoxetine as an adjunct therapy in the treatment of co-morbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents with bipolar I or II disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Oct;19(5):547-51. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0030. PMID: 19877979. *Intervention* 

845. Chang LY, Wang MY, Tsai PS. Diagnostic Accuracy of Rating Scales for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-analysis. Pediatrics. 2016 Mar;137(3):e20152749. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-2749. PMID: 26928969. *Duplicate* 

846. Chang WH, Herianto S, Lee CC, et al. The effects of phthalate ester exposure on human health: A review. Sci Total Environ. 2021 Sep 10;786:147371. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147371. PMID: 33965815. *Population* 

847. Chang YC, Tzang RF. Proposing and Validating the Diagnosis Scale for Internet Gaming Disorder in Taiwanese ADHD Adolescents: Likert Scale Method Based on the DSM-5. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Feb 4;18(4). doi: 10.3390/ijerph18041492. PMID: 33557435. *Intervention* 

848. Chang YK, Hung CL, Huang CJ, et al. Effects of an aquatic exercise program on inhibitory control in children with ADHD: a preliminary study. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2014 May;29(3):217-23. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acu003. PMID: 24695590. *Power* 

849. Chang YK, Liu S, Yu HH, et al. Effect of acute exercise on executive function in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2012 Mar;27(2):225-37. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acr094. PMID: 22306962. *Power* 

850. Chang Z, D'Onofrio BM, Quinn PD, et al. Medication for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Risk for Depression: A Nationwide Longitudinal Cohort Study. Biol Psychiatry. 2016 Dec 15;80(12):916-22. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.02.018. PMID: 27086545. *Intervention* 

851. Chang Z, Ghirardi L, Quinn PD, et al. Risks and Benefits of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Medication on Behavioral and Neuropsychiatric Outcomes: A Qualitative Review of Pharmacoepidemiology Studies Using Linked Prescription Databases. Biol Psychiatry. 2019 Sep 1;86(5):335-43. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.04.009. PMID: 31155139. *Design*

852. Chang Z, Quinn PD, Hur K, et al. Association Between Medication Use for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Risk of Motor Vehicle Crashes. JAMA Psychiatry. 2017 Jun 1;74(6):597-603. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.0659. PMID: 28492937. *Design* 

853. Chang Z, Quinn PD, O'Reilly L, et al. Medication for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Risk for Suicide Attempts. Biol Psychiatry. 2020 Sep 15;88(6):452-8. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.12.003. PMID: 31987492. *Intervention* 

854. Channon S, Pratt P, Robertson MM. Executive function, memory, and learning in Tourette's syndrome. Neuropsychology. 2003 Apr;17(2):247-54. doi: 10.1037/0894-4105.17.2.247. PMID: 12803430. *Population* 

855. Chappell PB, Riddle MA, Scahill L, et al. Guanfacine treatment of comorbid attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder and Tourette's syndrome: preliminary clinical experience. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 Sep;34(9):1140-6. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199509000-00010. PMID: 7559307. *Intervention* 

856. Charach A. Editorial: Time for a New Conversation on Stimulant Use. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Aug;59(8):929-30. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.10.004. PMID: 31634569. *Outcome* 

857. Charach A, Carson P, Fox S, et al. Interventions for preschool children at high risk for ADHD: a comparative effectiveness review. Pediatrics. 2013 May;131(5):e1584-604. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-0974. PMID: 23545375. *Population* 

858. Charach A, Figueroa M, Chen S, et al. Stimulant treatment over 5 years: effects on growth. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Apr;45(4):415-21. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000199026.91699.20. PMID: 16601646. *Intervention* 

859. Charach A, Gajaria A, Skyba A, et al. Documenting adherence to psychostimulants in children with ADHD. Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2008;17(3):131-6. *Intervention* 

860. Charach A, Ickowicz A, Schachar R. Stimulant treatment over five years: adherence, effectiveness, and adverse effects. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 May;43(5):559-67. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200405000-00009. PMID: 15100562. *Power* 

861. Charach A, Yeung E, Climans T, et al. Childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and future substance use disorders: comparative meta-analyses. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Jan;50(1):9-21. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.09.019. PMID: 21156266. *Intervention* 

862. Charnsil C. Efficacy of atomoxetine in children with severe autistic disorders and symptoms of ADHD: an open-label study. J Atten Disord. 2011 Nov;15(8):684-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054710376907. PMID: 20686100. *Intervention* 

863. Chasle V, Riffaud L, Longuet R, et al. Mild head injury and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children. Childs Nerv Syst. 2016 Dec;32(12):2357-61. doi: 10.1007/s00381-016-3230-z. PMID: 27568372. *Intervention* 

864. Chatterjee M, Saha S, Shom S, et al. Adhesion G protein-coupled receptor L3 gene variants: Statistically significant association observed in the male Indo-caucasoid Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder probands. Mol Biol Rep. 2021 Apr;48(4):3213-22. doi: 10.1007/s11033-021-06365-2. PMID: 33914279. *Intervention* 

865. Chatthong W, Khemthong S, Wongsawat Y. Brain Mapping Performance as an Occupational Therapy Assessment Aid in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Am J Occup Ther. 2020 Mar/Apr;74(2):7402205070p1-p7. doi: 10.5014/ajot.2020.035477. PMID: 32204785. *Intervention* 

866. Chatthong W, Khemthong S, Wongsawat Y. A design thinking model based on quantitative electroencephalography in social emotional learning for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Mind, Brain, and Education. 2020 May 2020;14(2):104-13. *Intervention* 

867. Chatthong W, Khemthong S, Wongsawat Y. Neuropsychological classification based on brain mapping performance in Thai children with and without ADHD. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2022 Jan-Mar;11(1):18-24. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2020.1729155. PMID: 32078777. *Intervention* 

868. Chavez B, Sopko MA, Jr., Ehret MJ, et al. An update on central nervous system stimulant formulations in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Ann Pharmacother. 2009 Jun;43(6):1084-95. doi: 10.1345/aph.1L523. PMID: 19470858. *Design* 

869. Chee P, Logan G, Schachar R, et al. Effects of event rate and display time on sustained attention in hyperactive, normal, and control children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1989 Aug;17(4):371-91. doi: 10.1007/BF00915033. PMID: 2794253. *Intervention* 

870. Chen CY, Gerhard T, Winterstein AG. Determinants of initial pharmacological treatment for youths with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Apr;19(2):187-95. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.096. PMID: 19364296. *Intervention* 

871. Chen CY, Yeh HH, Chen KH, et al. Differential effects of predictors on methylphenidate initiation and discontinuation among young people with newly diagnosed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Jun;21(3):265-73. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0107. PMID: 21663429. *Intervention* 

872. Chen D, Jia T, Cheng W, et al. Brain Signatures During Reward Anticipation Predict Persistent Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Dec 22. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.11.030. PMID: 34954028. *Outcome* 

873. Chen H, Song Y, Li X. Use of deep learning to detect personalized spatial-frequency abnormalities in EEGs of children with ADHD. J Neural Eng. 2019 Nov 19;16(6):066046. doi: 10.1088/1741-2552/ab3a0a. PMID: 31398717. *Intervention* 

874. . THE EFFECT OF APPLYING CINNAMON ARO- MATHERAPY FOR CHILDREN WITH ATTEN- TION DEFICIT HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER. 2008. *Power* 

875. Chen IC, Chang CH, Chang Y, et al. Neural Dynamics for Facilitating ADHD Diagnosis in Preschoolers: Central and Parietal Delta Synchronization in the Kiddie Continuous Performance Test. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2021;29:1524-33. doi: 10.1109/tnsre.2021.3097551. PMID: 34280103. *Intervention* 

876. Chen IC, Chen CL, Chang CH, et al. Task-Rate-Related Neural Dynamics Using Wireless EEG to Assist Diagnosis and Intervention Planning for Preschoolers with ADHD Exhibiting Heterogeneous Cognitive Proficiency. Journal of Personalized Medicine. 2022;12(5). doi: 10.3390/jpm12050731. *Outcome* 

877. Chen J, Liu X, Zhang D. Evaluation of combined effects of brain electronic biofeedback training and psycho-behavior intervention in ADHD affected children. Minerva Pediatr. 2018 Aug;70(4):355-9. doi: 10.23736/s0026-4946.17.04774-0. PMID: 29205977. *Power* 

878. Chen MH, Hsu JW, Huang KL, et al. Sexually Transmitted Infection Among Adolescents and Young Adults With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Nationwide Longitudinal Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Jan;57(1):48-53. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.09.438. PMID: 29301669. *Population* 

879. Chen MH, Lan WH, Bai YM, et al. Influence of Relative Age on Diagnosis and Treatment of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Taiwanese Children. J Pediatr. 2016 May;172:162-7.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.02.012. PMID: 26973148. *Intervention* 

880. Chen MH, Pan TL, Hsu JW, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder comorbidity and antidepressant resistance among patients with major depression: A nationwide longitudinal study. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2016 Nov;26(11):1760-7. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2016.09.369. PMID: 27667705. *Population* 

881. Chen MH, Pan TL, Hsu JW, et al. Risk of Type 2 Diabetes in Adolescents and Young Adults With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Nationwide Longitudinal Study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2018 May/Jun;79(3). doi: 10.4088/JCP.17m11607. PMID: 29727071. *Population* 

882. Chen S, Guan L, Tang J, et al. Asymmetry in Cortical and Subcortical Structures of the Brain in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2021;17:493-502. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S292444. PMID: 33603386. *Intervention* 

883. Chen SC, Cheng HL, Han LF, et al. Parent-administered pediatric tuina for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms: Process evaluation of a pilot randomized controlled trial. Complement Ther Med. 2022 Nov;70:102854. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2022.102854. PMID: 35842070. *Power* 

884. Chen SC, Yu J, Suen LK, et al. Pediatric tuina for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms in preschool children: study protocol for a pilot randomized controlled trial. Pilot Feasibility Stud. 2020 Nov 5;6(1):169. doi: 10.1186/s40814-020-00704-z. PMID: 33292826. *Outcome* 

885. Chen VC, Chan HL, Wu SI, et al. Methylphenidate and mortality in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: population-based cohort study. Br J Psychiatry. 2020 Jul 14:1-9. doi: 10.1192/bjp.2020.129. PMID: 32662370. *Intervention* 

886. Chen VC, Yang YH, Liao YT, et al. The association between methylphenidate treatment and the risk for fracture among young ADHD patients: A nationwide population-based study in Taiwan. PLoS One. 2017;12(3):e0173762. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173762. PMID: 28296941. *Intervention* 

887. Chen VC, Yang YH, Yu Kuo T, et al. Methylphenidate and the risk of burn injury among children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2020 Jul 20;29:e146. doi: 10.1017/s2045796020000608. PMID: 32686635. *Intervention* 

888. Chen W, Cepoiu-Martin M, Stang A, et al. Antipsychotic Prescribing and Safety Monitoring Practices in Children and Youth: A Population-Based Study in Alberta, Canada. Clin Drug Investig. 2018 May;38(5):449-55. doi: 10.1007/s40261-018-0626-4. PMID: 29453686. *Population* 

889. Chen Y, Huang X, Wu M, et al. Disrupted brain functional networks in drug-naïve children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder assessed using graph theory analysis. Hum Brain Mapp. 2019 Dec 1;40(17):4877-87. doi: 10.1002/hbm.24743. PMID: 31361385. *Intervention* 

890. Chen Y-Y, Chen Y-L, Gau SS-F. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and suicidality: The mediating effects of psychiatric comorbidities and family function. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2019 Jan 1, 2019;242:96-104. *Intervention* 

891. Chen YL, Chang CC, Chen YM, et al. Association between affiliate stigma and depression and its moderators in caregivers of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Affect Disord. 2021 Jan 15;279:59-65. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.09.121. PMID: 33038701. *Population* 

892. Chen YL, Chen VCH, Gossop M. Reliability and validity of the chen ADHD scale (C-ADHDS). Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2021;17:229-37. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S292696. *Language* 

893. Chen YL, Ho HY, Hsiao RC, et al. Correlations between Quality of Life, School Bullying, and Suicide in Adolescents with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 May 7;17(9). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17093262. PMID: 32392842. *Intervention* 

894. Chen YL, Shen LJ, Gau SS. The Mandarin version of the Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Epidemiological version for DSM-5 - A psychometric study. J Formos Med Assoc. 2017 Sep;116(9):671-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jfma.2017.06.013. PMID: 28709821. *Language* 

895. Chen YX, Jiao GK, Wang CY, et al. Therapeutic effectiveness of electroencephalography biofeedback on children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation. 2004;8(18):3690-1. *Comparator* 

896. Cheng CH, Chan PS, Hsieh YW, et al. A meta-analysis of mismatch negativity in children with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorders. Neurosci Lett. 2016 Jan 26;612:132-7. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2015.11.033. PMID: 26628248. *Intervention* 

897. Cheng CY, Gau SF. A deep learning based approach for missing data imputation in ADHD. ADHD Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorders. 2019;11(1):S22-S3. doi: 10.1007/s12402-019-00295-7. *Intervention* 

898. Cheng CY, Tseng WL, Chang CF, et al. A Deep Learning Approach for Missing Data Imputation of Rating Scales Assessing Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:673. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00673. PMID: 32765316. *Duplicate* 

899. Cheng J, Wan YF. Observation of behavior problem and posture stability before and after visual feedback balance training in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation. 2005;9(48):58-61. *Language* 

900. Cheon KA, Cho DY, Koo MS, et al. Association between homozygosity of a G allele of the alpha-2a-adrenergic receptor gene and methylphenidate response in Korean children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2009 Apr 1;65(7):564-70. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.12.003. PMID: 19150055. *Intervention* 

901. Cherkasova MV, Faridi N, Casey KF, et al. Amphetamine-induced dopamine release in treatment-naïve adults with ADHD: A pet/[11c]raclopride study. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2010;35:S255. doi: 10.1038/npp.2010.217. *Population* 

902. Cherland E, Fitzpatrick R. Psychotic side effects of psychostimulants: a 5-year review. Can J Psychiatry. 1999 Oct;44(8):811-3. doi: 10.1177/070674379904400810. PMID: 10566114. *Comparator* 

903. Cheuk DK, Li SY, Wong V. No association between VNTR polymorphisms of dopamine transporter gene and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Chinese children. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2006 Mar 5;141b(2):123-5. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30280. PMID: 16402340. *Outcome* 

904. Cheuk DK, Wong V. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and blood mercury level: a case-control study in Chinese children. Neuropediatrics. 2006 Aug;37(4):234-40. doi: 10.1055/s-2006-924577. PMID: 17177150. *Outcome* 

905. Cheung CH, Rijdijk F, McLoughlin G, et al. Childhood predictors of adolescent and young adult outcome in ADHD. J Psychiatr Res. 2015 Mar;62:92-100. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.01.011. PMID: 25680235. *Intervention* 

906. Chevalier N, Parent V, Rouillard M, et al. The impact of a motor-cognitive remediation program on attentional functions of preschoolers with ADHD symptoms. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Nov 2017;21(13):1121-9. *Population* 

907. Chiang HL, Gau SS, Ni HC, et al. Association between symptoms and subtypes of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and sleep problems/disorders. J Sleep Res. 2010 Dec;19(4):535-45. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2869.2010.00832.x. PMID: 20408926. *Outcome* 

908. Chicago UoIa, Health NIoM. Basic and Clinical Research on Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT00663442; 1999. *Design* 

909. Chien WC, Chung CH, Lin FH, et al. The risk of injury in adults with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A nationwide, matched-cohort, population-based study in Taiwan. Res Dev Disabil. 2017 Jun;65:57-73. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2017.04.011. PMID: 28458048. *Population* 

910. Children's Hospital Medical Center C. Response Variability in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2006. *Timing* 

911. Children's Hospital Medical Center C. Improving Sleep and Daytime Functioning Among Children Diagnosed With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2010. *Power* 

912. Children's Hospital Medical Center C, Health NIoM. Attention Deficit Disorder Medication Response Study. 2006. *Timing* 

913. Children's Hospital Medical Center C, Health NIoM. Comparing School Based Interventions for Adolescents With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2010. *Outcome* 

914. Childress A, Hoo-Cardiel A, Lang P. Evaluation of the current data on guanfacine extended release for the treatment of ADHD in children and adolescents. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2020 Mar;21(4):417-26. doi: 10.1080/14656566.2019.1706480. PMID: 31971448. *Design* 

915. Childress A, Mehrotra S, Gobburu J, et al. Single-Dose Pharmacokinetics of HLD200, a Delayed-Release and Extended-Release Methylphenidate Formulation, in Healthy Adults and in Adolescents and Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Feb;28(1):10-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0044. PMID: 29039979. *Intervention* 

916. Childress A, Newcorn J, Stark JG, et al. A single-dose, single-period pharmacokinetic assessment of an extended-release orally disintegrating tablet of methylphenidate in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 2016 Aug 2016;26(6):505-12. *Intervention* 

917. Childress AC, Brams M, Cutler AJ, et al. The Efficacy and Safety of Evekeo, Racemic Amphetamine Sulfate, for Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms: A Multicenter, Dose-Optimized, Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Crossover Laboratory Classroom Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2015 Jun;25(5):402-14. doi: 10.1089/cap.2014.0176. PMID: 25692608. *Timing* 

918. Childress AC, Brams MN, Cutler AJ, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Multilayer, Extended-Release Methylphenidate (PRC-063) in Children 6-12 Years of Age with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Laboratory Classroom Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Dec;30(10):580-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0109. PMID: 33090921. *Timing* 

919. Childress AC, Chow H. Amphetamine extended-release oral suspension for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2019 Oct;12(10):965-71. doi: 10.1080/17512433.2019.1659723. PMID: 31526076. *Design* 

920. Childress AC, Cutler AJ, Marraffino A, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study of HLD200, a Delayed-Release and Extended-Release Methylphenidate, in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: An Evaluation of Safety and Efficacy Throughout the Day and Across Settings. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Feb;30(1):2-14. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0070. PMID: 31464511. *Timing* 

921. Childress AC, Cutler AJ, Po MD, et al. Symptomatic and Functional Response and Remission From the Open-Label Treatment-Optimization Phase of a Study With DR/ER-MPH in Children With ADHD. J Clin Psychiatry. 2021 Jun 22;82(4). doi: 10.4088/JCP.21m13914. PMID: 34166587. *Design* 

922. Childress AC, Findling RL, Wu J, et al. Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate for Preschool Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Apr;30(3):128-36. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0117. PMID: 32233956. *Design*  923. Childress AC, Kollins SH, Cutler AJ, et al. 5.10 EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF AN EXTENDED-RELEASE, ORALLY DISINTEGRATING METHYLPHENIDATE TABLET IN CHILDREN 6-12 YEARS OF AGE BASED ON ADHD RATING SCALE-IV SCORE AT BASELINE. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2020;59(10):S152. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.08.070. *Design* 

924. Childress AC, Kollins SH, Cutler AJ, et al. Efficacy, Safety, and Tolerability of an Extended-Release Orally Disintegrating Methylphenidate Tablet in Children 6-12 Years of Age with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in the Laboratory Classroom Setting. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Feb;27(1):66-74. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0002. PMID: 27183299. *Timing* 

925. Childress AC, Kollins SH, Cutler AJ, et al. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of an extended-release orally disintegrating methylphenidate tablet in children 6–12 years of age with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the laboratory classroom setting. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 2017 Feb 2017;27(1):66-74. *Duplicate* 

926. Childress AC, Kollins SH, Cutler AJ, et al. Open-Label Dose Optimization of Methylphenidate Extended-Release Orally Disintegrating Tablet in a Laboratory Classroom Study of Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Jun;31(5):342-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0142. PMID: 34081560. *Timing* 

927. Childress AC, Kollins SH, Foehl HC, et al. Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Flexible-Dose Titration Study of Methylphenidate Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsules (Aptensio XR) in Preschool Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Mar;30(2):58-68. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0085. PMID: 32125903. *Timing* 

928. Childress AC, Newcorn JH, Cutler AJ. Gender Effects in the Efficacy of Racemic Amphetamine Sulfate in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Adv Ther. 2019 Jun;36(6):1370-87. doi: 10.1007/s12325-019-00942-5. PMID: 30972657. *Timing* 

929. Childress AC, Sallee FR, Berry SA. Single-dose pharmacokinetics of NWP06, an extended-release methylphenidate suspension, in children and adolescents with ADHD. Postgrad Med. 2011 Sep;123(5):80-8. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2011.09.2462. PMID: 21904089. *Intervention* 

930. Childress AC, Uchida CL, Po MD, et al. A Post Hoc Comparison of Prior ADHD Medication Dose and Optimized Delayed-release and Extended-release Methylphenidate Dose in a Pivotal Phase III Trial. Clin Ther. 2020 Dec;42(12):2332-40. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2020.10.004. PMID: 33168234. *Design* 

931. Childress AC, Wigal SB, Brams MN, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Amphetamine Extended-Release Oral Suspension in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Jun;28(5):306-13. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0095. PMID: 29211967. *Timing* 

932. Chiraphadhanakul K, Jaimchariyatam N, Pruksananonda C, et al. Increased sleep disturbances in Thai children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder compared with typically developing children. Behavioral Sleep Medicine. 2016 Nov 2016;14(6):677-86. *Intervention* 

933. Cho BH, Ku J, Jang DP, et al. The effect of virtual reality cognitive training for attention enhancement. Cyberpsychol Behav. 2002 Apr;5(2):129-37. doi: 10.1089/109493102753770516. PMID: 12025879. *Population* 

934. Cho S-J, Blair K-SC. Using a multicomponent function-based intervention to support students with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. The Journal of Special Education. 2017 Feb 2017;50(4):227-38. *Comparator* 

935. Cho YJ, Yum JY, Kim K, et al. Evaluating attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms in children and adolescents through tracked head movements in a virtual reality classroom: The effect of social cues with different sensory modalities. Front Hum Neurosci. 2022;16:943478. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2022.943478. PMID: 35992945. *Outcome* 

936. Choi C, Bae J, Jang C, et al. Dose adjustment of atomoxetine based on CYP2D6 genotype in healthy Koreans. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics. 2011;89:S45. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2010.332. *Population* 

937. Choi CI, Bae JW, Kim MJ, et al. Focused Conference Group: P13 - Maximising benefits and minimizing harms from drugs effects of CYP2D6\*10 allele on the pharmacokinetics of atomoxetine in healthy Koreans. Basic and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology. 2010;107:234-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-7843.2010.00600.x. *Population* 

938. Choi EJ, Vandewouw MM, Taylor MJ, et al. Beyond diagnosis: Cross-diagnostic features in canonical resting-state networks in children with neurodevelopmental disorders. Neuroimage Clin. 2020;28:102476. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102476. PMID: 33201803. *Outcome* 

939. Choi JW, Han DH, Kang KD, et al. Aerobic exercise and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: brain research. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2015 Jan;47(1):33-9. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000000373. PMID: 24824770. *Power* 

940. Choi JW, Jung AH, Nam S, et al. Interaction between lead and noradrenergic genotypes affects neurocognitive functions in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a case control study. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Aug 6;20(1):407. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02799-3. PMID: 32791971. *Intervention* 

941. Chou CC, Huang CJ. Effects of an 8-week yoga program on sustained attention and discrimination function in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. PeerJ. 2017;2017(1). doi: 10.7717/peerj.2883. *Power* 

942. Chou WJ, Chou MC, Tzang RF, et al. Better efficacy for the osmotic release oral system methylphenidate among poor adherents to immediate-release methylphenidate in the three ADHD subtypes. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2009 Apr;63(2):167-75. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2009.01937.x. PMID: 19335386. *Intervention* 

943. Chou WJ, Liu TL, Hsiao RC, et al. Application and Perceived Effectiveness of Complementary and Alternative Intervention Strategies for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Relationships with Affiliate Stigma. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Feb 26;17(5). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051505. PMID: 32110955. *Intervention* 

944. Chou WJ, Wang LJ, Lin CH, et al. Social adjustment and family function after drug switch from IR-methylphenidate to OROS-methylphenidate in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2018;14:2783-91. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S176913. PMID: 30425496. *Comparator* 

945. Chou YT, Chen PT, Wu WH, et al. Children's sustained attention correlates better with teachers than parents: Using Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham, version IV scale and continuous performance test. Asian J Psychiatr. 2017 Feb;25:205-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2016.11.005. PMID: 28262151. *Intervention* 

946. Christensen J, Pedersen L, Sun Y, et al. Association of Prenatal Exposure to Valproate and Other Antiepileptic Drugs With Risk for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Offspring. JAMA Netw Open. 2019 Jan 4;2(1):e186606. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.6606. PMID: 30646190. *Population* 

947. Christensen L, Sasané R, Hodgkins P, et al. Pharmacological treatment patterns among patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: retrospective claims-based analysis of a managed care population. Curr Med Res Opin. 2010 Apr;26(4):977-89. doi: 10.1185/03007991003673617. PMID: 20178404. *Intervention* 

948. Christian C, Martel MM, Levinson CA. Emotion regulation difficulties, but not negative urgency, are associated with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and eating disorder symptoms in undergraduate students. Eat Behav. 2020 Jan;36:101344. doi: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2019.101344. PMID: 31743854. *Intervention* 

949. Christiansen H, Hirsch O, Drechsler R, et al. German Validation of the Conners 3® Rating Scales for Parents, Teachers, and Children. Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother. 2016;44(2):139-47. doi: 10.1024/1422-4917/a000408. PMID: 27008903. *Comparator* 

950. Christiansen H, Hirsch O, König A, et al. Prevention of ADHD Related Problems: A Universal Preschool Program. Health Education. 2015 01/01/;115(3-4):285-300. PMID: EJ1062067. *Population* 

951. Christiansen L, Beck MM, Bilenberg N, et al. Effects of Exercise on Cognitive Performance in Children and Adolescents with ADHD: Potential Mechanisms and Evidencebased Recommendations. J Clin Med. 2019 Jun 12;8(6). doi: 10.3390/jcm8060841. PMID: 31212854. *Design* 

952. Christiansen MS, Labriola M, Kirkeskov L, et al. The impact of childhood diagnosed ADHD versus controls without ADHD diagnoses on later labour market attachment—a systematic review of longitudinal studies. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2021;15(1). doi: 10.1186/s13034-021-00386-2. *Duplicate* 

953. Chromik LC, Quintin EM, Lepage JF, et al. The Influence of Hyperactivity, Impulsivity, and Attention Problems on Social Functioning in Adolescents and Young Adults With Fragile X Syndrome. J Atten Disord. 2019 Jan;23(2):181-8. doi: 10.1177/1087054715571739. PMID: 25731183. *Intervention* 

954. Chronis AM, Pelham WE, Jr., Gnagy EM, et al. The impact of late-afternoon stimulant dosing for children with ADHD on parent and parent-child domains. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2003 Mar;32(1):118-26. doi: 10.1207/S15374424JCCP3201\_11. PMID: 12573937. *Power* 

955. Chronis-Tuscano A, Molina BS, Pelham WE, et al. Very early predictors of adolescent depression and suicide attempts in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010 Oct;67(10):1044-51. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.127. PMID: 20921120. *Intervention* 

956. Chronis-Tuscano A, Seymour KE, Stein MA, et al. Efficacy of osmotic-release oral system (OROS) methylphenidate for mothers with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): preliminary report of effects on ADHD symptoms and parenting. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008 Dec;69(12):1938-47. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v69n1213. PMID: 19192455. *Intervention* 

957. Chu CS, Tsai SJ, Hsu JW, et al. Diagnostic progression to bipolar disorder in 17,285 adolescents and young adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A longitudinal followup study. J Affect Disord. 2021 Dec 1;295:1072-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.08.097. PMID: 34706416. *Population* 

958. Chu KC, Lu HK, Huang MC, et al. Using Mobile Electroencephalography and Actigraphy to Diagnose Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Case-Control Comparison Study. JMIR Ment Health. 2020 Jun 19;7(6):e12158. doi: 10.2196/12158. PMID: 32558658. *Outcome* 

959. Chuang WC, Yeh CB, Wang SC, et al. Potential Negative Effects of Dextromethorphan as an Add-On Therapy to Methylphenidate in Children With ADHD. Front Psychiatry. 2019;10:437. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00437. PMID: 31333511. *Power* 

960. Chueh TY, Hsieh SS, Tsai YJ, et al. The relationship between internalizing problems and acute exercise duration in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: The role of frontal alpha asymmetry. Res Dev Disabil. 2021 Nov;118:104063. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2021.104063. PMID: 34507050. *Intervention* 

961. Chung J, Tchaconas A, Meryash D, et al. Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Preschool-Age Children: Child and Adolescent Psychiatrists' Adherence to Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016 May;26(4):335-43. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0108. PMID: 27105063. *Intervention* 

962. Chung SY, Kang M, Hong SB, et al. Standardized Lycium chinense fruit extract enhances attention and cognitive function in healthy young people by a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover trial. Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2019;24(1). doi: 10.4103/jrms.JRMS\_851\_18. *Population* 

963. Chutko LS, Surushkina SY, Nikishena IS, et al. Use of Adaptol in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children. Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology. 2010;40(9):1038-41. doi: 10.1007/s11055-010-9366-5. *Intervention* 

964. Cianchetti C, Faedda N, Pasculli M, et al. Predictive validity for the clinical diagnosis of a new parent questionnaire, the CABI, compared with CBCL. Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 Apr;25(2):507-19. doi: 10.1177/1359104519895056. PMID: 31894698. *Language* 

965. Cianchetti C, Pasculli M, Pittau A, et al. Child and Adolescent Behavior Inventory (CABI): Standardization for age 6-17 years and first clinical application. Clinical Practice and Epidemiology in Mental Health. 2017;13:20-6. doi: 10.2174/1745017901713010020. *Intervention* 

966. Ciarrusta J, O'Muircheartaigh J, Dimitrova R, et al. Social Brain Functional Maturation in Newborn Infants With and Without a Family History of Autism Spectrum Disorder. JAMA Netw Open. 2019 Apr 5;2(4):e191868. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.1868. PMID: 30951164. *Population* 

967. Cibrian FL, Monteiro E, Ankrah E, et al. Parents' perspectives on a smartwatch intervention for children with ADHD: Rapid deployment and feasibility evaluation of a pilot

intervention to support distance learning during COVID-19. PLoS One. 2021;16(10):e0258959. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0258959. PMID: 34705845. *Power* 

968. Cid-Jofré V, Gárate-Pérez M, Clark PJ, et al. Chronic modafinil administration to preadolescent rats impairs social play behavior and dopaminergic system. Neuropharmacology. 2021 Feb 1;183:108404. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2020.108404. PMID: 33197467. *Population* 

969. Ciesielski HA, Loren REA, Tamm L. Behavioral Parent Training for ADHD Reduces Situational Severity of Child Noncompliance and Related Parental Stress. J Atten Disord. 2020 Mar;24(5):758-67. doi: 10.1177/1087054719843181. PMID: 31046533. *Population* 

970. Cincinnati Uo, Disorders NIoN, Stroke. Preschool Supplement to Clonidine in ADHD (Kiddie-CAT). 2003. *Outcome* 

971. Cincinnati Uo, DSM Nutritional Products I. Effect of Omega-3 Fatty Acid on Cortical Function in ADHD. 2013. *Design* 

972. Cirino PT, Chapieski LM, Massman PJ. Card sorting performance and ADHD symptomatology in children and adolescents with tourette syndrome. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2000 Apr;22(2):245-56. doi: 10.1076/1380-3395(200004)22:2;1-1;ft245. PMID: 10779838. *Intervention* 

973. Clancy TA, Rucklidge JJ, Owen D. Road-crossing safety in virtual reality: a comparison of adolescents with and without ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2006 Jun;35(2):203-15. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp3502\_4. PMID: 16597216. *Intervention* 

974. Clark C, Prior M, Kinsella G. The relationship between executive function abilities, adaptive behaviour, and academic achievement in children with externalising behaviour problems. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2002 Sep;43(6):785-96. doi: 10.1111/1469-7610.00084. PMID: 12236613. *Intervention* 

975. Clark C, Prior M, Kinsella GJ. Do executive function deficits differentiate between adolescents with ADHD and oppositional defiant/conduct disorder? A neuropsychological study using the Six Elements Test and Hayling Sentence Completion Test. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2000 Oct;28(5):403-14. doi: 10.1023/a:1005176320912. PMID: 11100915. *Intervention* 

976. Clark D, Seymour KE, Findling RL, et al. Subtle Motor Signs as a Biomarker for Mindful Movement Intervention in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2020 Jun/Jul;41(5):349-58. doi: 10.1097/dbp.000000000000795. PMID: 32555070. *Comparator* 

977. Clarke AR, Barry RJ, Baker IE, et al. An Investigation of Stimulant Effects on the EEG of Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2017 Jul;48(4):235-42. doi: 10.1177/1550059416664657. PMID: 27552823. *Design* 

978. Clarke AR, Barry RJ, Bond D, et al. Effects of stimulant medications on the EEG of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2002 Nov;164(3):277-84. doi: 10.1007/s00213-002-1205-0. PMID: 12424551. *Intervention* 

979. Clarke AR, Barry RJ, McCarthy R, et al. Electroencephalogram differences in two subtypes of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychophysiology. 2001 Mar;38(2):212-21. PMID: 11347867. *Intervention* 

980. Clarke AR, Barry RJ, McCarthy R, et al. EEG evidence for a new conceptualisation of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clin Neurophysiol. 2002 Jul;113(7):1036-44. doi: 10.1016/s1388-2457(02)00115-3. PMID: 12088697. *Outcome* 

981. Clarke AR, Barry RJ, McCarthy R, et al. EEG differences between good and poor responders to methylphenidate in boys with the inattentive type of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Clin Neurophysiol. 2002 Aug;113(8):1191-8. doi: 10.1016/s1388-2457(02)00147-5. PMID: 12139997. *Intervention* 

982. Clarke AR, Barry RJ, McCarthy R, et al. Effects of imipramine hydrochloride on the EEG of children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder who are non-responsive to stimulants. Int J Psychophysiol. 2008 Jun;68(3):186-92. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2008.01.007. PMID: 18304665. *Intervention* 

983. Clarke AR, Barry RJ, McCarthy R, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on EEG coherence in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Int J Psychophysiol. 2005 Oct;58(1):4-11. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2005.03.004. PMID: 15921774. *Intervention* 

984. Classi PM, Le TK, Ward S, et al. Patient characteristics, comorbidities, and medication use for children with ADHD with and without a co-occurring reading disorder: A retrospective cohort study. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2011;5. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-5-38. *Intervention* 

985. Claussen AH, Holbrook JR, Hutchins HJ, et al. All in the Family? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Parenting and Family Environment as Risk Factors for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Children. Prev Sci. 2022 Apr 19:1-23. doi: 10.1007/s11121-022-01358-4. PMID: 35438451. *Intervention* 

986. Clavenna A, Bonati M. Pediatric pharmacoepidemiology - safety and effectiveness of medicines for ADHD. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2017 Dec;16(12):1335-45. doi: 10.1080/14740338.2017.1389894. PMID: 28984477. *Design* 

987. Clayton EH, Hanstock TL, Garg ML, et al. Long chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the treatment of psychiatric illnesses in children and adolescents. Acta Neuropsychiatr. 2007 Apr;19(2):92-103. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5215.2007.00189.x. PMID: 26952820. *Design* 

988. Clemow DB, Bushe C, Mancini M, et al. A review of the efficacy of atomoxetine in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adult patients with common comorbidities. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2017;13:357-71. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S115707. *Design* 

989. Clemow DB MO, Sarkis EH, et al. Atomoxetine monotherapy compared with combination therapy for the treatment of ADHD: a retrospective chart review study. Expert Rev Neurother. 2015 Oct 21;15(11):1353-66. doi: 10.1586/14737175.2015.1102060. *Design* 

990. Climie EA, Mitchell K. Parent-child relationship and behavior problems in children with ADHD. International Journal of Developmental Disabilities. 2017;63(1):27-35. doi: 10.1080/20473869.2015.1112498. *Intervention* 

991. Clince M, Connolly L, Nolan C. Comparing and Exploring the Sensory Processing Patterns of Higher Education Students With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Autism Spectrum Disorder. Am J Occup Ther. 2016 Mar-Apr;70(2):7002250010p1-9. doi: 10.5014/ajot.2016.016816. PMID: 26943106. *Intervention* 

992. Coben R, Myers TE. Sensitivity and specificity of long wave infrared imaging for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Atten Disord. 2009 Jul;13(1):56-65. doi: 10.1177/1087054708329778. PMID: 19429882. *Population* 

993. Coccini T, Crevani A, Rossi G, et al. Reduced platelet monoamine oxidase type B activity and lymphocyte muscarinic receptor binding in unmedicated children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Biomarkers. 2009 Nov;14(7):513-22. doi: 10.3109/13547500903144436. PMID: 19863190. *Intervention* 

994. Cockcroft K, Ashwal J, Bentley A. Sleep and daytime sleepiness in methylphenidate medicated and un-medicated children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Afr J Psychiatry (Johannesbg). 2009 Nov;12(4):275-9. doi: 10.4314/ajpsy.v12i4.49043. PMID: 20033109. *Intervention* 

995. Coghill D. Evidence-based psychopharmacology for children and adolescents. Current Opinion in Psychiatry. 2002;15(4):361-8. doi: 10.1097/00001504-200207000-00004. *Design* 

996. Coghill D. Current issues in child and adolescent psychopharmacology. Part 1: Attentiondeficit hyperactivity and affective disorders. Advances in Psychiatric Treatment. 2003;9(2):86-94. doi: 10.1192/apt.9.2.86. *Design* 

997. Coghill D. The impact of medications on quality of life in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. CNS Drugs. 2010 Oct;24(10):843-66. doi: 10.2165/11537450-00000000000000. PMID: 20839896. *Design* 

998. Coghill D. Pragmatic measures in paediatric psychopharmacology--are we getting it right? Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2011 Aug;21(8):571-83. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2010.11.007. PMID: 21194897. *Design* 

999. Coghill D, Joseph A, Sikirica V, et al. Correlations of symptoms, functional impairment and quality of life in children and adolescents with ADHD. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. 2017;51(1):107. doi: 10.1177/0004867417702054. *Design* 

1000. Coghill D, Seth S. Osmotic, controlled-release methylphenidate for the treatment of ADHD. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2006 Oct;7(15):2119-38. doi: 10.1517/14656566.7.15.2119. PMID: 17020437. *Design* 

1001. Coghill D, Soutullo C, d'Aubuisson C, et al. Impact of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder on the patient and family: results from a European survey. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2008;2(1):31. doi: 1753-2000-2-31 [pii]

10.1186/1753-2000-2-31. PMID: 18957105. Intervention

1002. Coghill D, Spiel G, Baldursson G, et al. Which factors impact on clinician-rated impairment in children with ADHD? Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Dec;15 Suppl 1:I30-7. doi: 10.1007/s00787-006-1005-x. PMID: 17177013. *Intervention* 

1003. Coghill DR, Banaschewski T, Bliss C, et al. Cognitive Function of Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in a 2-Year Open-Label Study of Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate. CNS Drugs. 2018 Jan;32(1):85-95. doi: 10.1007/s40263-017-0487-z. PMID: 29383572. *Comparator* 

1004. Coghill DR, Banaschewski T, Nagy P, et al. Long-Term Safety and Efficacy of Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate in Children and Adolescents with ADHD: A Phase IV, 2-Year,

Open-Label Study in Europe. CNS Drugs. 2017 Jul;31(7):625-38. doi: 10.1007/s40263-017-0443-y. PMID: 28667569. *Intervention* 

1005. Coghill DR, Rhodes SM, Matthews K. The neuropsychological effects of chronic methylphenidate on drug-naive boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2007 Nov 1;62(9):954-62. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.12.030. PMID: 17543895. *Power* 

1006. Cogo-Moreira H, Lúcio PS, Swardfager W, et al. Comparability of an ADHD Latent Trait Between Groups: Disentangling True Between-Group Differences From Measurement Problems. J Atten Disord. 2019 May;23(7):712-20. doi: 10.1177/1087054717707047. PMID: 28478691. Intervention

1007. Cohen A, Plonsky-Toder M, Tirosh E. The Short-Term Placebo Response in Children With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). J Child Neurol. 2018 Apr;33(5):340-6. doi: 10.1177/0883073818756403. PMID: 29451082. *Outcome* 

1008. Cohen R, Cohen-Kroitoru B, Halevy A, et al. Handwriting in children with Attention Deficient Hyperactive Disorder: role of graphology. BMC Pediatr. 2019 Dec 10;19(1):484. doi: 10.1186/s12887-019-1854-3. PMID: 31823772. *Intervention* 

1009. Cohen SCL, Harvey DJ, Shields RH, et al. Effects of Yoga on Attention, Impulsivity, and Hyperactivity in Preschool-Aged Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2018 Apr;39(3):200-9. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000552. PMID: 29538185. *Population* 

1010. Cohen-Yavin I, Yoran-Hegesh R, Strous RD, et al. Efficacy of reboxetine in the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in boys with intolerance to methylphenidate: an openlabel, 8-week, methylphenidate-controlled trial. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2009 Jul-Aug;32(4):179-82. doi: 10.1097/WNF.0b013e318183796d. PMID: 19644227. *Intervention* 

1011. Cohen-Zion M, Ancoli-Israel S. Sleep in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a review of naturalistic and stimulant intervention studies. Sleep Med Rev. 2004 Oct;8(5):379-402. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2004.06.002. PMID: 15336238. *Intervention* 

1012. Cohn LM, Caliendo GC. Guanfacine use in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Ann Pharmacother. 1997 Jul-Aug;31(7-8):918-9. PMID: 9220058. *Design* 

1013. Coker TR, Elliott MN, Toomey SL, et al. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in ADHD Diagnosis and Treatment. Pediatrics. 2016 Sep;138(3). doi: 10.1542/peds.2016-0407. PMID: 27553219. *Intervention* 

1014. Collings RD. Differences Between ADHD Inattentive and Combined Types on the CPT. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2003 2003/09/01;25(3):177-89. doi: 10.1023/A:1023525007441. *Intervention* 

1015. Collins KP, Cleary SD. Racial and ethnic disparities in parent-reported diagnosis of ADHD: National Survey of Children's Health (2003, 2007, and 2011). J Clin Psychiatry. 2016 Jan;77(1):52-9. doi: 10.4088/JCP.14m09364. PMID: 26761486. *Design* 

1016. Colomer C, Berenguer C, Roselló B, et al. The Impact of Inattention, Hyperactivity/Impulsivity Symptoms, and Executive Functions on Learning Behaviors of Children with ADHD. Front Psychol. 2017;8:540. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00540. PMID: 28446885. *Intervention* 

1017. Colomer C, Wiener J, Varma A. Do Adolescents with ADHD Have a Self-Perception Bias for Their ADHD Symptoms and Impairment? Canadian Journal of School Psychology. 2020 12/01/;35(4):238-51. PMID: EJ1272595. *Intervention* 

1018. Colter AL, Cutler C, Meckling KA. Fatty acid status and behavioural symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adolescents: a case-control study. Nutr J. 2008 Feb 14;7:8. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-7-8. PMID: 18275609. *Intervention* 

1019. Columbia UoB. Effects of L-Theanine in Boys With ADHD. 2005. Outcome

1020. Colvin AN, Yeates KO, Enrile BG, et al. Motor adaptation in children with myelomeningocele: comparison to children with ADHD and healthy siblings. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2003 May;9(4):642-52. doi: 10.1017/s1355617703940045. PMID: 12755176. *Intervention* 

1021. Comings DE, Comings BG. A controlled study of Tourette syndrome. I. Attention-deficit disorder, learning disorders, and school problems. Am J Hum Genet. 1987 Nov;41(5):701-41. PMID: 2890294. *Intervention* 

1022. Connell S SM, Markie-Dadds C. Self-directed behavioral family intervention for parents of oppositional children in rural and remote areas. Behav Modif. 1997;21(4):379-408. *Power* 

1023. Conners CK. Rating scales in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: use in assessment and treatment monitoring. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998;59 Suppl 7:24-30. PMID: 9680050. *Design* 

1024. Conners CK. Forty years of methylphenidate treatment in Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder. J Atten Disord. 2002;6 Suppl 1:S17-30. doi: 10.1177/070674370200601s04. PMID: 12685516. *Design* 

1025. Conners CK, Goyette CH, Southwick DA, et al. Food additives and hyperkinesis: a controlled double-blind experiment. Pediatrics. 1976 Aug;58(2):154-66. PMID: 781610. *Design* 

1026. Conners CK, Levin ED, Sparrow E, et al. Nicotine and attention in adult attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Psychopharmacol Bull. 1996;32(1):67-73. PMID: 8927677. *Population* 

1027. Conners CK, Taylor E. Pemoline, methylphenidate, and placebo in children with minimal brain dysfunction. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1980 Aug;37(8):922-30. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1980.01780210080009. PMID: 7406656. *Population* 

1028. Connor DF, Barkley RA, Davis HT. A pilot study of methylphenidate, clonidine, or the combination in ADHD comorbid with aggressive oppositional defiant or conduct disorder. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2000 Jan;39(1):15-25. doi: 10.1177/000992280003900102. PMID: 10660814. *Power* 

1029. Connor DF, Carlson GA, Chang KD, et al. Juvenile maladaptive aggression: a review of prevention, treatment, and service configuration and a proposed research agenda. J Clin Psychiatry. 2006 May;67(5):808-20. PMID: 16841631. *Population* 

1030. Connor DF, Rubin J. Guanfacine extended release in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Drugs Today (Barc). 2010 May;46(5):299-314. doi: 10.1358/dot.2010.46.5.1450095. PMID: 20517532. *Design* 

1031. Consoli A, Bouzamondo A, Guilé JM, et al. Comorbidity with ADHD decreases response to pharmacotherapy in children and adolescents with acute mania: evidence from a metaanalysis. Can J Psychiatry. 2007 May;52(5):323-8. doi: 10.1177/070674370705200507. PMID: 17542383. *Design* 

1032. Constable PA, Marmolejo-Ramos F, Gauthier M, et al. Discrete Wavelet Transform Analysis of the Electroretinogram in Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Frontiers in Neuroscience. 2022;16. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.890461. *Outcome* 

1033. Consumer M, Specialty Pharmaceuticals aDoM-P, Inc. Community-based Study Comparing Extended-release Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine in Children With Attentiondeficit Hyperactivity Disorder. *Intervention* 

1034. Consumer M, Specialty Pharmaceuticals aDoM-P, Inc. An Effectiveness and Safety Study of CONCERTA® (Methylphenidate Hydrochloride) in the Treatment of Adolescents With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2002. *Intervention* 

1035. Conzelmann A, Müller S, Jans T, et al. Long-term cardiovascular safety of psychostimulants in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract. 2019 Jun;23(2):157-9. doi: 10.1080/13651501.2018.1519078. PMID: 30663922. *Intervention* 

1036. Coogan AN, McGowan NM. A systematic review of circadian function, chronotype and chronotherapy in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2017 Sep;9(3):129-47. doi: 10.1007/s12402-016-0214-5. PMID: 28064405. *Intervention* 

1037. Cook CM, Bolinger E, Suhr J. Further Validation of the Conner's Adult Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Rating Scale Infrequency Index (CII) for Detection of Non-Credible Report of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2016 Jun;31(4):358-64. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acw015. PMID: 27193367. *Population* 

1038. Cook NE, Huang DS, Silverberg ND, et al. Baseline cognitive test performance and concussion-like symptoms among adolescent athletes with ADHD: examining differences based on medication use. Clin Neuropsychol. 2017 Nov;31(8):1341-52. doi: 10.1080/13854046.2017.1317031. PMID: 28429656. *Population* 

1039. Cook NE, Iverson GL, Maxwell B, et al. Adolescents With ADHD Do Not Take Longer to Recover From Concussion. Front Pediatr. 2020;8:606879. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.606879. PMID: 33520893. *Intervention* 

1040. Cook NE, Karr JE, Iverson GL. Children with ADHD Have a Greater Lifetime History of Concussion: Results from the ABCD Study. J Neurotrauma. 2022 Jan;39(1-2):86-92. doi: 10.1089/neu.2021.0019. PMID: 33626946. *Intervention* 

1041. Cook NE, Kelshaw PM, Caswell SV, et al. Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Perform Differently on Pediatric Concussion Assessment. J Pediatr. 2019 Nov;214:168-74.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2019.07.048. PMID: 31477384. *Intervention* 

1042. Cook NE, Sapigao RG, Silverberg ND, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Mimics the Post-concussion Syndrome in Adolescents. Front Pediatr. 2020;8:2. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.00002. PMID: 32117823. *Population*  1043. Cook NE, Teel E, Iverson GL, et al. Lifetime History of Concussion Among Youth With ADHD Presenting to a Specialty Concussion Clinic. Front Neurol. 2021;12:780278. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.780278. PMID: 35126288. *Intervention* 

1044. Cooley K, Medicine TCCoN, Toronto Uo, et al. Combination Natural Health Product in Children With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2008. *Intervention* 

1045. Coolidge FL, Starkey MT, Cahill BS. Comparison of a parent-rated DSM-IV measure of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and quantitative EEG parameters in an outpatient sample of children. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2007 Aug;24(4):348-51. doi: 10.1097/WNP.0b013e318067bcfc. PMID: 17938604. *Population* 

1046. Coon JT, Gwernan-Jones R, Moore D, et al. End-user involvement in a systematic review of quantitative and qualitative research of non-pharmacological interventions for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder delivered in school settings: reflections on the impacts and challenges. Health Expect. 2016 Oct;19(5):1084-97. doi: 10.1111/hex.12400. PMID: 26389784. *Design* 

1047. Coons HW, Klorman R, Borgstedt AD. Effects of methylphenidate on adolescents with a childhood history of attention deficit disorder: II. Information processing. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1987 May;26(3):368-74. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198705000-00016. PMID: 3298202. *Intervention* 

1048. Cooper RE, Williams E, Seegobin S, et al. Cannabinoids in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A randomised-controlled trial. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2017 Aug;27(8):795-808. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2017.05.005. PMID: 28576350. *Population* 

1049. Cooper WO, Habel LA, Sox CM, et al. ADHD drugs and serious cardiovascular events in children and young adults. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011;365(20):1896-904. *Intervention* 

1050. Cop E, Oner O, Yurtbasi P, et al. Effects of maternal symptom ratings and other clinical features on short-term treatment response to OROS methylphenidate in children and adolescents with ADHD in a naturalistic clinical setting. Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bülteni / Bulletin of Clinical Psychopharmacology. 2016 Jun 2016;26(2):126-33. *Intervention* 

1051. Corbisiero S, Bitto H, Newark P, et al. A Comparison of Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy and Pharmacotherapy vs. Pharmacotherapy Alone in Adults With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)-A Randomized Controlled Trial. Front Psychiatry. 2018;9:571. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00571. PMID: 30505283. *Population* 

1052. Cordeiro ML, Farias AC, Whybrow PC, et al. Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve Analysis of Screening Tools for Bipolar Disorder Comorbid With ADHD in Schoolchildren. J Atten Disord. 2020 Aug;24(10):1403-12. doi: 10.1177/1087054715620897. PMID: 26721636. *Intervention* 

1053. Cordier R, Munro N, Wilkes-Gillan S, et al. Evaluating the pragmatic language skills of children with ADHD and typically developing playmates following a pilot parent-delivered playbased intervention. Australian Occupational Therapy Journal. 2017 Feb 2017;64(1):11-23. *Comparator* 

1054. Cordier R, Vilaysack B, Doma K, et al. Peer Inclusion in Interventions for Children with ADHD: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Biomed Res Int. 2018;2018:7693479. doi: 10.1155/2018/7693479. PMID: 29744363. *Design* 

1055. Corkum P, Corbin N, Pike M. Evaluation of a School-Based Social Skills Program for Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Child & Family Behavior Therapy. 2010 01/01/;32(2):139-51. PMID: EJ885578. *Comparator* 

1056. Corkum P, Lingley-Pottie P, Davidson F, et al. Better nights/better days—Distance intervention for insomnia in school-aged children with/without ADHD: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2016 Jul 2016;41(6):701-13. *Population* 

1057. Corkum P, Lingley-Pottie P, Davidson F, et al. Better Nights/Better Days-Distance Intervention for Insomnia in School-Aged Children With/Without ADHD: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Pediatr Psychol. 2016 Jul;41(6):701-13. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsw031. PMID: 27189687. *Duplicate* 

1058. Corkum P, Moldofsky H, Hogg-Johnson S, et al. Sleep problems in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: impact of subtype, comorbidity, and stimulant medication. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999 Oct;38(10):1285-93. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199910000-00018. PMID: 10517062. *Intervention* 

1059. Corkum P, Rimer P, Schachar R. Parental knowledge of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and opinions of treatment options: impact on enrollment and adherence to a 12-month treatment trial. Can J Psychiatry. 1999 Dec;44(10):1043-8. doi: 10.1177/070674379904401011. PMID: 10637684. *Design* 

1060. Cormier E, Park H, Schluck G. eMental Health Literacy and Knowledge of Common Child Mental Health Disorders among Parents of Preschoolers. Issues Ment Health Nurs. 2020 Jun;41(6):540-51. doi: 10.1080/01612840.2020.1719247. PMID: 32400237. *Intervention* 

1061. Cornforth C, Sonuga-Barke E, Coghill D. Stimulant drug effects on attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a review of the effects of age and sex of patients. Curr Pharm Des. 2010;16(22):2424-33. doi: 10.2174/138161210791959827. PMID: 20513225. *Intervention* 

1062. Cornu C, Mercier C, Ginhoux T, et al. A double-blind placebo-controlled randomised trial of omega-3 supplementation in children with moderate ADHD symptoms. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018 Mar 2018;27(3):377-84. *Duplicate* 

1063. Corona R, Dvorsky MR, Romo S, et al. Integrating Tobacco Prevention Skills into an Evidence-Based Intervention for Adolescents with ADHD: Results from a Pilot Efficacy Randomized Controlled Trial. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2020 Nov;48(11):1439-53. doi: 10.1007/s10802-020-00689-6. PMID: 32778992. *Power* 

1064. Correia Filho AG, Bodanese R, Silva TL, et al. Comparison of risperidone and methylphenidate for reducing ADHD symptoms in children and adolescents with moderate mental retardation. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Aug;44(8):748-55. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000166986.30592.67. PMID: 16034276. *Power* 

1065. Correll CU, Starling BR, Huss M. Systematic review of transdermal treatment options in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: implications for use in adult patients. CNS Spectr. 2021 Apr 12:1-13. doi: 10.1017/s1092852921000341. PMID: 33843531. *Population* 

1066. Cortese S, Adamo N, Mohr-Jensen C, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of pharmacological interventions for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children, adolescents and adults: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2017 Jan 10;7(1):e013967. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013967. PMID: 28073796. *Intervention* 

1067. Cortese S, Barbui C. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): from randomised controlled trials to evidence-based clinical services. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2017 Oct;26(5):445-7. doi: 10.1017/s2045796016001177. PMID: 28065196. *Intervention* 

1068. Cortese S, Konofal E, Bernardina BD, et al. Sleep disturbances and serum ferritin levels in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Jul;18(7):393-9. doi: 10.1007/s00787-009-0746-8. PMID: 19205783. *Intervention* 

1069. Cortese S, Novins DK. Editorial: Why JAACAP Published an "Inconclusive" Trial: Optimize, Optimize, Optimize Psychostimulant Treatment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Feb;60(2):213-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.03.009. PMID: 32497602. *Outcome* 

1070. Cortese S PP, Arcieri R, et al. Safety of Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Data from the Italian National ADHD Registry. CNS Drugs. 2015 Oct;29(10):865-77. doi: 10.1007/s40263-015-0266-7. *Design* 

1071. Coskun M, Ahmetoglu E, Ozturk M. Mirtazapine treatment for comorbid anxiety/depressive disorders in young subjects with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: Case series. Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bulteni. 2010;20(3):246-51. doi: 10.1080/10177833.2010.11790666. *Power* 

1072. Coskun S, Karadag M, Gokcen C, et al. miR-132 and miR-942 expression levels in children with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder: A controlled study. Clinical Psychopharmacology and Neuroscience. 2021;19(2):262-8. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2021.19.2.262. *Intervention* 

1073. Costa DS, de Paula JJ, Malloy-Diniz LF, et al. Parent SNAP-IV rating of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: accuracy in a clinical sample of ADHD, validity, and reliability in a Brazilian sample. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2019 Nov-Dec;95(6):736-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jped.2018.06.014. PMID: 30236592. *Language* 

1074. Côté SM, Orri M, Brendgen M, et al. Psychometric properties of the Mental Health and Social Inadaptation Assessment for Adolescents (MIA) in a population-based sample. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2017 Dec;26(4). doi: 10.1002/mpr.1566. PMID: 28449235. *Population* 

1075. Cotton J, Baker ST. A data mining and item response mixture modeling method to retrospectively measureDiagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5 attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in the 1970 British Cohort Study. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research. 2019 Mar 2019;28(1). *Intervention* 

1076. Cotton MF, Rothberg AD. Methylphenidate v. placebo--a randomised double-blind crossover study in children with the attention deficit disorder. S Afr Med J. 1988 Sep 17;74(6):268-71. PMID: 3047886. *Power* 

1077. Cottone DM, McCabe PC. Gender-Based Differences in the Neuroanatomy and Symptomatology of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Communique. 2019 05/01/;47(7):1-22. PMID: EJ1216319. *Intervention* 

1078. Courbet O, Slama H, Purper-Ouakil D, et al. Context-dependent irritability in Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: correlates and stability of family-restricted versus cross-situational temper outbursts. Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2021 May;26(2):122-33. doi: 10.1111/camh.12399. PMID: 32558093. *Intervention* 

1079. Courrégé SC, Skeel RL, Feder AH, et al. The ADHD Symptom Infrequency Scale (ASIS): A novel measure designed to detect adult ADHD simulators. Psychol Assess. 2019 Jul;31(7):851-60. doi: 10.1037/pas0000706. PMID: 30802120. *Population* 

1080. Coutinho D, Farias AC, Felden EPG, et al. ADHD Comorbid With Major Depression on Parents and Teachers Perceptions. J Atten Disord. 2021 Feb;25(4):508-18. doi: 10.1177/1087054718815574. PMID: 30537879. *Intervention* 

1081. Coutinho G, Mattos P, Malloy-Diniz LF. Neuropsychological differences between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and control children and adolescents referred for academic impairment. Braz J Psychiatry. 2009 Jun;31(2):141-4. doi: 10.1590/s1516-44462009000200011. PMID: 19578687. *Intervention* 

1082. Cowles BJ. Lisdexamfetamine for treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Ann Pharmacother. 2009 Apr;43(4):669-76. doi: 10.1345/aph.1L521. PMID: 19318601. *Design* 

1083. Cowles BJ. Update on the management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adults: Patient considerations and the role of lisdexamfetamine. Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management. 2009;5(1):943-8. doi: 10.2147/tcrm.s6733. *Intervention* 

1084. Cox DJ, Davis M, Mikami AY, et al. Long-acting methylphenidate reduces collision rates of young adult drivers with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2012 Apr;32(2):225-30. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e3182496dc5. PMID: 22367664. *Population* 

1085. Cox DJ, Kovatchev BP, Morris JB, Jr., et al. Electroencephalographic and psychometric differences between boys with and without attention-deficit/Hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a pilot study. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 1998 Sep;23(3):179-88. doi: 10.1023/a:1022247405278. PMID: 10384249. *Population* 

1086. Cox DJ, Merkel RL, Moore M, et al. Relative benefits of stimulant therapy with OROS methylphenidate versus mixed amphetamine salts extended release in improving the driving performance of adolescent drivers with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2006 Sep;118(3):e704-10. doi: 10.1542/peds.2005-2947. PMID: 16950962. *Timing* 

1087. Cox DJ, Moore M, Burket R, et al. Rebound effects with long-acting amphetamine or methylphenidate stimulant medication preparations among adolescent male drivers with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Feb;18(1):1-10. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.0141. PMID: 18294083. *Intervention* 

1088. Coxe S, Sibley MH, Becker SP. Presenting problem profiles for adolescents with ADHD: differences by sex, age, race, and family adversity. Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2020 Dec 17. doi: 10.1111/camh.12441. PMID: 33350581. *Intervention* 

1089. Crabtree VM, Ivanenko A, Gozal D. Clinical and parental assessment of sleep in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder referred to a pediatric sleep medicine center. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2003 Nov-Dec;42(9):807-13. doi: 10.1177/000992280304200906. PMID: 14686552. *Intervention* 

1090. Craig F, Operto FF, De Giacomo A, et al. Parenting stress among parents of children with Neurodevelopmental Disorders. Psychiatry Res. 2016 Aug 30;242:121-9. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2016.05.016. PMID: 27280521. *Intervention*
1091. Craig F, Savino R, Fanizza I, et al. A systematic review of coping strategies in parents of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Res Dev Disabil. 2020 Mar;98:103571. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103571. PMID: 31931455. *Intervention* 

1092. Crasta JE, Zhao Y, Seymour KE, et al. Developmental trajectory of subtle motor signs in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A longitudinal study from childhood to adolescence. Child Neuropsychol. 2021 Apr;27(3):317-32. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2020.1847265. PMID: 33243074. *Intervention* 

1093. Cremonte M, Sisti D, Maraucci I, et al. The Effect of Experimental Supplementation with the Klamath Algae Extract Klamin on Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Med Food. 2017 Dec;20(12):1233-9. doi: 10.1089/jmf.2016.0181. PMID: 29116873. *Intervention* 

1094. Crimmins CR, Rathbun SR, Husmann DA. Management of urinary incontinence and nocturnal enuresis in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Urol. 2003 Oct;170(4 Pt 1):1347-50. doi: 10.1097/01.ju.0000084669.59166.16. PMID: 14501767. *Intervention* 

1095. Crippa A, Grazioli S, Rosi E, et al. NIRS Hemodynamic Response to Methylphenidate in Children with Attention Aeficit Hyperactivity Disorder: First Administration, Titration Phase and Associations with Clinical Severity. European Psychiatry. 2022;65:S54. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.181. *Outcome* 

1096. Crowe M, Maciver D, Rush R, et al. Psychometric Evaluation of the ACHIEVE Assessment. Frontiers in Pediatrics. 2020;8. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.00245. *Intervention* 

1097. Cubero-Millán I, Ruiz-Ramos MJ, Molina-Carballo A, et al. BDNF concentrations and daily fluctuations differ among ADHD children and respond differently to methylphenidate with no relationship with depressive symptomatology. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2017 Jan;234(2):267-79. doi: 10.1007/s00213-016-4460-1. PMID: 27807606. *Intervention* 

1098. Cubo E, Fernández Jaén A, Moreno C, et al. Donepezil use in children and adolescents with tics and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: an 18-week, single-center, dose-escalating, prospective, open-label study. Clin Ther. 2008 Jan;30(1):182-9. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2008.01.010. PMID: 18343255. *Population* 

1099. Cueva JE, Overall JE, Small AM, et al. Carbamazepine in aggressive children with conduct disorder: a double-blind and placebo-controlled study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Apr;35(4):480-90. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199604000-00014. PMID: 8919710. *Population* 

1100. Cuffe SP, Moore CG, McKeown R. ADHD and health services utilization in the national health interview survey. J Atten Disord. 2009 Jan;12(4):330-40. doi: 10.1177/1087054708323248. PMID: 19095891. *Intervention* 

1101. Cuffe SP, Moore CG, McKeown RE. Prevalence and correlates of ADHD symptoms in the national health interview survey. J Atten Disord. 2005 Nov;9(2):392-401. doi: 10.1177/1087054705280413. PMID: 16371662. *Design* 

1102. Cui X, Wang J, Chang Y, et al. Visual Search in Chinese Children With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Comorbid Developmental Dyslexia: Evidence for Pathogenesis From Eye Movements. Front Psychol. 2020;11:880. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00880. PMID: 32670125. *Intervention* 

1103. Cukrowicz KC, Taylor J, Schatschneider C, et al. Personality differences in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, conduct disorder, and controls. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2006 Feb;47(2):151-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2005.01461.x. PMID: 16423146. *Intervention* 

1104. Cummings JG WJ-V. Supportive expressive therapy - parent child version: An exploratory study. Psychother. 2008;45(2):148-64. *Power* 

1105. Cunningham CE, Boyle MH, Hong S, et al. The Brief Child and Family Phone Interview (BCFPI): 1. Rationale, development, and description of a computerized children's mental health intake and outcome assessment tool. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2009 Apr;50(4):416-23. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01970.x. PMID: 19017368. *Population* 

1106. Cunningham CE BR, Boyle M. Large group community-based parenting programs for families of preschoolers at risk for disruptive behaviour disorders: utilization, cost effectiveness, and outcome. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1995;36(7):1141-59. *Population* 

1107. Cupertino RB, Soheili-Nezhad S, Grevet EH, et al. Reduced fronto-striatal volume in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in two cohorts across the lifespan. Neuroimage Clin. 2020;28:102403. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102403. PMID: 32949876. *Intervention* 

1108. Curry AE, Metzger KB, Pfeiffer MR, et al. Motor Vehicle Crash Risk Among Adolescents and Young Adults With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. JAMA Pediatr. 2017 Aug 1;171(8):756-63. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.0910. PMID: 28604931. *Population* 

1109. Curry AE, Yerys BE, Metzger KB, et al. Traffic Crashes, Violations, and Suspensions Among Young Drivers With ADHD. Pediatrics. 2019 Jun;143(6). doi: 10.1542/peds.2018-2305. PMID: 31110164. *Intervention* 

1110. Curtis DF, Heath CL, Hogan WJ. Child skills training for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A randomized controlled trial of structured dyadic behavior therapy (SDBT). Psychotherapy. 2021 Mar 2021;58(1):68-80. *Power* 

1111. Cutler AJ, Suzuki K, Starling B, et al. 5.1 D-Amphetamine Transdermal System (d-ATS) in the Treatment of Children and Adolescents With ADHD: Secondary Endpoint Results From a Phase 2 Trial. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2021;60(10):S150. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.09.049. *Intervention* 

1112. Cutler AJ, Suzuki K, Starling B, et al. D-Amphetamine Transdermal System in Treatment of Children and Adolescents with ADHD: Secondary Endpoint Results from a Phase 2 Trial. CNS Spectrums. 2022;27(2):230-1. doi: 10.1017/S1092852922000256. *Design* 

1113. Cutler AJ, Suzuki K, Starling B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Dextroamphetamine Transdermal System for the Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents: Results from a Pivotal Phase 2 Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2022 Mar;32(2):89-97. doi: 10.1089/cap.2021.0107. PMID: 35020462. *Timing* 

1114. Cutler AJ, Tenorio E, Wang C, et al. Clonidine extended release tablets for the treatment of ADHD in children and adolescents with inadequate response to stimulants. Annals of Neurology. 2011;70:S143. doi: 10.1002/ana.22558. *Design* 

1115. D'Agati E, Curatolo P, Mazzone L. Comorbidity between ADHD and anxiety disorders across the lifespan. Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract. 2019 Nov;23(4):238-44. doi: 10.1080/13651501.2019.1628277. PMID: 31232613. *Intervention* 

1116. Da Costa CRCM, Maia Filho HDS, Gomes MDM. Clinical and neuropsychological evaluation of attention in children and adolescents with epilepsy: A systematic review. Journal of Epilepsy and Clinical Neurophysiology. 2009;15(2):77-82. doi: 10.1590/S1676-26492009000200006. *Design* 

1117. da Silva N, Jr., Szobot CM, Anselmi CE, et al. Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: is there a correlation between dopamine transporter density and cerebral blood flow? Clin Nucl Med. 2011 Aug;36(8):656-60. doi: 10.1097/RLU.0b013e318219b49d. PMID: 21716015. *Intervention* 

1118. da Silva TL, Pianca TG, Roman T, et al. Adrenergic alpha2A receptor gene and response to methylphenidate in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder-predominantly inattentive type. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2008;115(2):341-5. doi: 10.1007/s00702-007-0835-0. PMID: 18200436. *Intervention* 

1119. Dachew BA, Scott JG, Mamun A, et al. Pre-eclampsia and the risk of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in offspring: Findings from the ALSPAC birth cohort study. Psychiatry Res. 2019 Feb;272:392-7. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.12.123. PMID: 30605798. *Intervention* 

1120. Dadds MR, Schollar-Root O, Lenroot R, et al. Epigenetic regulation of the DRD4 gene and dimensions of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2016 Oct 2016;25(10):1081-9. *Intervention* 

1121. Dadds MR MT. Social support and treatment outcome in behavioral family therapy for child conduct problems. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1992;60(2):252-9. *Population* 

1122. Daffner MS, DuPaul GJ, Kern L, et al. Enhancing social skills of young children with ADHD: Effects of a sibling-mediated intervention. Behavior Modification. 2020 Sep 2020;44(5):698-726. *Intervention* 

1123. Dajani DR, Burrows CA, Odriozola P, et al. Investigating functional brain network integrity using a traditional and novel categorical scheme for neurodevelopmental disorders. Neuroimage Clin. 2019;21:101678. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101678. PMID: 30708240. *Outcome* 

1124. Dakwar-Kawar O, Berger I, Barzilay S, et al. Examining the Effect of Transcranial Electrical Stimulation and Cognitive Training on Processing Speed in Pediatric Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Pilot Study. Front Hum Neurosci. 2022;16:791478. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2022.791478. PMID: 35966992. *Outcome* 

1125. Dale C, Parent J, Forehand R, et al. Behavioral Parent Training for Preschool ADHD: Family-Centered Profiles Predict Changes in Parenting and Child Outcomes. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2021 Jan 25:1-14. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2020.1867987. PMID: 33492172. *Intervention* 

1126. Daley D, Tarver J, Sayal K. Efficacy of a self-help parenting intervention for parents of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adjunct to usual treatment-Small-scale randomized controlled trial. Child Care Health Dev. 2021 Mar;47(2):269-80. doi: 10.1111/cch.12825. PMID: 33159336. *Power* 

1127. Daley D, Van Der Oord S, Ferrin M, et al. Practitioner Review: Current best practice in the use of parent training and other behavioural interventions in the treatment of children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Sep;59(9):932-47. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12825. PMID: 29083042. *Design* 

1128. Daley MF, Newton DA, DeBar L, et al. Accuracy of electronic health record-derived data for the identification of incident ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Mar 2017;21(5):416-25. *Intervention* 

1129. Dalsgaard S, Hansen N, Mortensen PB, et al. Reassessment of ADHD in a historical cohort of children treated with stimulants in the period 1969-1989. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Dec;10(4):230-9. doi: 10.1007/s007870170012. PMID: 11794548. *Intervention* 

1130. Dalsgaard S, Kvist AP, Leckman JF, et al. Cardiovascular safety of stimulants in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a nationwide prospective cohort study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2014 Aug;24(6):302-10. doi: 10.1089/cap.2014.0020. PMID: 24956171. *Intervention* 

1131. Dalsgaard S, Mortensen PB, Frydenberg M, et al. Conduct problems, gender and adult psychiatric outcome of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2002 Nov;181:416-21. doi: 10.1192/bjp.181.5.416. PMID: 12411268. *Intervention* 

1132. Danckaerts M, Heptinstall E, Chadwick O, et al. Self-report of attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in adolescents. Psychopathology. 1999 Mar-Apr;32(2):81-92. doi: 10.1159/000029071. PMID: 10026452. *Outcome* 

1133. Daneshparvar M, Mostafavi SA, Zare Jeddi M, et al. The Role of Lead Exposure on Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder in Children: A Systematic Review. Iran J Psychiatry. 2016 Jan;11(1):1-14. PMID: 27252763. *Intervention* 

1134. Danforth JS, DuPaul GJ. Interrater reliability of teacher rating scales for children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 1996;18(3):227-37. doi: 10.1007/BF02229046. *Outcome* 

1135. Danielson ML, Visser SN, Chronis-Tuscano A, et al. A National Description of Treatment among United States Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Pediatr. 2018 Jan;192:240-6.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.08.040. PMID: 29132817. *Outcome* 

1136. Darabi Z, Sangouni AA, Darand M, et al. Dietary phytochemical index and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in Iranian children: a case control study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2021 Jun 10. doi: 10.1038/s41430-021-00952-z. PMID: 34112986. *Intervention* 

1137. Darchia N, Campbell IG, Basishvili T, et al. Longitudinal assessment of NREM sleep EEG in typically developing and medication-free ADHD adolescents: first year results. Sleep Med. 2021 Apr;80:171-5. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2021.01.052. PMID: 33601229. *Intervention* 

1138. Dardani C, Riglin L, Leppert B, et al. Is genetic liability to ADHD and ASD causally linked to educational attainment? Int J Epidemiol. 2022 Jan 6;50(6):2011-23. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyab107. PMID: 34999873. *Intervention* 

1139. Darling KA, Eggleston MJF, Retallick-Brown H, et al. Mineral-Vitamin Treatment Associated with Remission in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms and Related Problems: 1-Year Naturalistic Outcomes of a 10-Week Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. J Appendix B. List of Excluded and Background Studies

Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 Nov;29(9):688-704. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0036. PMID: 31343273. *Power* 

1140. Darracq MA, Thornton SL. Sustained stimulation? Characteristics of modified release and immediate release stimulant exposures reported to the national poison data system. Clin Toxicol (Phila). 2021 Mar;59(3):200-7. doi: 10.1080/15563650.2020.1787428. PMID: 32609552. *Intervention* 

1141. Darwish AH, Elgohary TM, Nosair NA. Serum Interleukin-6 Level in Children With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). J Child Neurol. 2019 Feb;34(2):61-7. doi: 10.1177/0883073818809831. PMID: 30430896. *Intervention* 

1142. Dashbozorgi Z, Ghaffari A, Esmaili SK, et al. Effect of Neurofeedback Training on Aggression and Impulsivity in Children With Attention- Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Double-Blinded Randomized Controlled Trial. Basic and Clinical Neuroscience. 2021;12(5):693-702. doi: 10.32598/bcn.2021.2363.1. *Duplicate* 

1143. Dashti N, Hekmat H, Soltani HR, et al. Comparison of therapeutic effects of omega-3 and methylphenidate (ritalin((R))) in treating children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci. 2014 Winter;8(4):7-11. PMID: 25798168. *Power* 

1144. Daughton JM, Kratochvil CJ. Review of ADHD pharmacotherapies: advantages, disadvantages, and clinical pearls. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Mar;48(3):240-8. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e318197748f. PMID: 19242289. *Design* 

1145. Davidovitch M, Koren G, Fund N, et al. Challenges in defining the rates of ADHD diagnosis and treatment: trends over the last decade. BMC Pediatr. 2017 Dec 29;17(1):218. doi: 10.1186/s12887-017-0971-0. PMID: 29284437. *Intervention* 

1146. Davidovitch M, Shmueli D, Rotem RS, et al. Diagnosis despite clinical ambiguity: physicians' perspectives on the rise in Autism Spectrum disorder incidence. BMC Psychiatry. 2021 Mar 12;21(1):150. doi: 10.1186/s12888-021-03151-z. PMID: 33711966. *Population* 

1147. Davidson F, Rigney G, Rusak B, et al. Sleep variables as predictors of treatment effectiveness and side effects of stimulant medication in newly diagnosed children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. 2021 Jan 2021;42(1):1-8. *Comparator* 

1148. Davies M, Coughtrie A, Layton D, et al. Use of atomoxetine and suicidal ideation in children and adolescents: Results of an observational cohort study within general practice in England. Eur Psychiatry. 2017 Jan;39:11-6. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2016.06.005. PMID: 27810613. *Intervention* 

1149. Davis AS, Pass LA, Finch WH, et al. The canonical relationship between sensory-motor functioning and cognitive processing in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2009 May;24(3):273-86. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acp032. PMID: 19574293. *Intervention* 

1150. Davis CL, Premji S, Ahn YJ, et al. Effects of aerobic exercise on cognition and mental health symptoms in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Annals of Behavioral Medicine. 2017;51:S1005. *Design* 

1151. Davis DW, Feygin Y, Creel L, et al. Epidemiology of Treatment for Preschoolers on Kentucky Medicaid Diagnosed with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Sep;30(7):448-55. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0015. PMID: 32614247. *Intervention* 

1152. Davis DW, Jawad K, Feygin Y, et al. Disparities in ADHD Diagnosis and Treatment by Race/Ethnicity in Youth Receiving Kentucky Medicaid in 2017. Ethn Dis. 2021 Winter;31(1):67-76. doi: 10.18865/ed.31.1.67. PMID: 33519157. *Intervention* 

1153. Davis N, Lutz J, Kollins SH. 5.27 STARS-ADJUNCT: AKL-T01, A HOME-BASED DIGITAL INTERVENTION AS AN ADJUNCT TO STIMULANT MEDICATION FOR PEDIATRIC ADHD: ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND RELATION TO OBJECTIVE MEASURES OF ATTENTION. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2020;59(10):S157-S8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.08.088. *Design* 

1154. Davis NO, Bower J, Kollins SH. Proof-of-concept study of an at-home, engaging, digital intervention for pediatric ADHD. PLoS One. 2018;13(1):e0189749. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0189749. PMID: 29324745. *Comparator* 

1155. Davis SM, Katusic SK, Barbaresi WJ, et al. Epilepsy in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatr Neurol. 2010 May;42(5):325-30. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2010.01.005. PMID: 20399385. *Intervention* 

1156. Daviss WB, Bentivoglio P, Racusin R, et al. Bupropion sustained release in adolescents with comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and depression. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Mar;40(3):307-14. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200103000-00010. PMID: 11288772. *Intervention* 

1157. Daviss WB, Birmaher B, Diler RS, et al. Does pharmacotherapy for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder predict risk of later major depression? J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Jun;18(3):257-64. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.0100. PMID: 18582180. *Intervention* 

1158. Dawidowsky B, Cerovski B, Klobučar A, et al. DO ORTHOPTIC EXERCISES HAVE ANY INFLUENCE ON CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS DIAGNOSED WITH CONVERGENCE INSUFFICIENCY AND ATTENTION DEFICIT/HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER? Acta Clin Croat. 2019 Dec;58(4):662-71. doi: 10.20471/acc.2019.58.04.14. PMID: 32595252. *Power* 

1159. Day C, Michelson D, Thomson S, et al. Evaluation of a peer led parenting intervention for disruptive behaviour problems in children: community based randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2012;344:e1107. PMID: 22416059. *Population* 

1160. de Bruin EJ, Bögels SM, Oort FJ, et al. Improvements of adolescent psychopathology after insomnia treatment: results from a randomized controlled trial over 1 year. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 May;59(5):509-22. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12834. PMID: 29052846. *Population* 

1161. De Dea F, Zanus C, Carrozzi M, et al. Characteristics of EEG power spectrum during sleep spindle events in ADHD children. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2018 Jul;2018:1456-9. doi: 10.1109/embc.2018.8512486. PMID: 30440667. *Intervention* 

1162. de Jong CG VDVS, Roeyers H, Raymaekers R, Allen AJ, Knijff S, Verhelst H, Temmink AH, Smit LM, Rodriques-Pereira R, Vandenberghe D, van Welsen I, ter Schuren L, Al-Hakim

M, Amin A, Vlasveld L, Oosterlaan J, Sergeant JA. Differential effects of atomoxetine on executive functioning and lexical decision in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and reading disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Dec;19(6):699-707. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0029. *Power* 

1163. de la Osa N, Granero R, Trepat E, et al. The discriminative capacity of CBCL/1½-5-DSM5 scales to identify disruptive and internalizing disorders in preschool children. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Jan;25(1):17-23. doi: 10.1007/s00787-015-0694-4. PMID: 25715996. *Population* 

1164. De la Pena F, Patino M, Mendizabal A, et al. Adolescents semistructured interview (ASI): Characteristics and inter- rater, and test-retest reliability study. Salud Mental. 1998;21(6):11-8. *Language* 

1165. de la Viuda Suárez ME, Alonso Lorenzo JC, Ruiz Jiménez FJ, et al. Assessing ADHD symptoms in clinical public practice: Is a reliable final diagnosis possible? Aten Primaria. 2021 Mar;53(3):101945. doi: 10.1016/j.aprim.2020.10.004. PMID: 33548739. *Population* 

1166. De Lucas Taracena MT, Rada FM. Atomoxetine: Lights and shadows. Psiquiatria Biologica. 2007;14(1):13-23. doi: 10.1016/S1134-5934(07)73255-4. *Design* 

1167. De Meyer H, Beckers T, Tripp G, et al. Reinforcement Contingency Learning in Children with ADHD: Back to the Basics of Behavior Therapy. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2019 Dec;47(12):1889-902. doi: 10.1007/s10802-019-00572-z. PMID: 31292806. *Intervention* 

1168. De Meyer H, Tripp G, Beckers T, et al. Conditional learning deficits in children with ADHD can be reduced through reward optimization and response-specific reinforcement. Research on Child and Adolescent Psychopathology. 2021 Sep 2021;49(9):1165-78. *Intervention* 

1169. de Moura MFL, Neves É TB, Firmino RT, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and oral health literacy exert an influence on the occurrence of dental caries in early adolescence. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2020 Nov 21. doi: 10.1111/ipd.12756. PMID: 33220138. *Intervention* 

1170. de Nijs PF, Ferdinand RF, de Bruin EI, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): parents' judgment about school, teachers' judgment about home. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Oct;13(5):315-20. doi: 10.1007/s00787-004-0405-z. PMID: 15490279. *Language* 

1171. de Oliveira Rosa V, Moreira-Maia CR, Wagner F, et al. Computerized Cognitive Training for ADHD as an Add-On Treatment to Stimulants: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jan;25(2):275-85. doi: 10.1177/1087054718816818. PMID: 30547696. *Power* 

1172. de Oliveira Rosa V, Rosa Franco A, Abrahão Salum Júnior G, et al. Effects of computerized cognitive training as add-on treatment to stimulants in ADHD: a pilot fMRI study. Brain Imaging Behav. 2020 Oct;14(5):1933-44. doi: 10.1007/s11682-019-00137-0. PMID: 31218531. *Power* 

1173. de Quirós GB, Kinsbourne M, Palmer RL, et al. Attention deficit disorder in children: three clinical variants. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1994 Oct;15(5):311-9. PMID: 7868698. *Intervention* 

1174. De Sanctis VA, Nomura Y, Newcorn JH, et al. Childhood maltreatment and conduct disorder: independent predictors of criminal outcomes in ADHD youth. Child Abuse Negl. 2012 Nov-Dec;36(11-12):782-9. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2012.08.003. PMID: 23146580. *Intervention* 

1175. De Sanctis VA, Trampush JW, Harty SC, et al. Childhood maltreatment and conduct disorder: independent predictors of adolescent substance use disorders in youth with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2008 Oct;37(4):785-93. doi: 10.1080/15374410802359650. PMID: 18991129. *Intervention* 

1176. de Sena Oliveira AC, Athanasio BDS, Mrad FCC, et al. Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder and nocturnal enuresis co-occurrence in the pediatric population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatr Nephrol. 2021 Nov;36(11):3547-59. doi: 10.1007/s00467-021-05083-y. PMID: 34009466. *Intervention* 

1177. de Zeeuw EL, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Ehli EA, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms and Low Educational Achievement: Evidence Supporting A Causal Hypothesis. Behav Genet. 2017 May;47(3):278-89. doi: 10.1007/s10519-017-9836-4. PMID: 28191586. *Intervention* 

1178. de Zwaan M, Gruss B, Muller A, et al. The estimated prevalence and correlates of adult ADHD in a German community sample. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2012 Feb;262(1):79-86. doi: 10.1007/s00406-011-0211-9. PMID: 21499942. *Population* 

1179. Dean AJ, Bor W, Adam K, et al. A randomized, controlled, crossover trial of fish oil treatment for impulsive aggression in children and adolescents with disruptive behavior disorders. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2014 Apr;24(3):140-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2013.0093. PMID: 24689967. *Population* 

1180. Debes NM, Hjalgrim H, Skov L. The presence of comorbidity in Tourette syndrome increases the need for pharmacological treatment. J Child Neurol. 2009 Dec;24(12):1504-12. doi: 10.1177/0883073808331363. PMID: 19494355. *Intervention* 

1181. DeFroda SF, Quinn M, Yang DS, et al. The effects of methylphenidate on stress fractures in patients' ages 10-29: a national database study. Phys Sportsmed. 2020 Nov;48(4):412-6. doi: 10.1080/00913847.2020.1725400. PMID: 32013692. *Intervention* 

1182. Dehbokri N, Noorazar G, Ghaffari A, et al. Effect of vitamin D treatment in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. World J Pediatr. 2019 Feb;15(1):78-84. doi: 10.1007/s12519-018-0209-8. PMID: 30456564. *Power* 

1183. Dehbozorghi S, Bagheri S, Moradi K, et al. Efficacy and safety of tipepidine as adjunctive therapy in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2019 Nov;73(11):690-6. doi: 10.1111/pcn.12913. PMID: 31294924. *Duplicate* 

1184. Dehghanpour P, Einalou Z. Evaluating the features of the brain waves to quantify ADHD improvement by neurofeedback. Technol Health Care. 2017 Oct 23;25(5):877-85. doi: 10.3233/thc-170845. PMID: 28759980. *Intervention* 

1185. Deilami M, Jahandideh A, Kazemnejad Y, et al. The effect of neurofeedback therapy on reducing symptoms associated with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A case series study. Basic and Clinical Neuroscience. 2016 2016;7(2):167-71. *Comparator* 

1186. Dekkers TJ, Huizenga HM, Popma A, et al. Decision-Making Deficits in Adolescent Boys with and without Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): an Experimental Assessment of Associated Mechanisms. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2020 Apr;48(4):495-510. doi: 10.1007/s10802-019-00613-7. PMID: 31883040. *Intervention* 

1187. Dekkers TJ, Popma A, Sonuga-Barke EJS, et al. Risk Taking by Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): a Behavioral and Psychophysiological Investigation of Peer Influence. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2020 Sep;48(9):1129-41. doi: 10.1007/s10802-020-00666-z. PMID: 32607755. *Intervention* 

1188. Del Giudice T, Tervoort J, Hautmann C, et al. Cross-Cultural Validity of the Child and Adolescent Dispositions Model in a Clinical Sample of Children With Externalizing Behavior Problems. Front Psychol. 2020;11:641. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00641. PMID: 32322227. *Intervention* 

1189. Delgado-Gomez D, Peñuelas-Calvo I, Masó-Besga AE, et al. Microsoft Kinect-based Continuous Performance Test: An Objective Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Assessment. J Med Internet Res. 2017 Mar 20;19(3):e79. doi: 10.2196/jmir.6985. PMID: 28320691. *Intervention* 

1190. Delgado-Gómez D, Sújar A, Ardoy-Cuadros J, et al. Objective Assessment of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Using an Infinite Runner-Based Computer Game: A Pilot Study. Brain Sci. 2020 Oct 9;10(10). doi: 10.3390/brainsci10100716. PMID: 33050130. *Intervention* 

1191. Delgado-Lobete L, Pértega-Díaz S, Santos-Del-Riego S, et al. Sensory processing patterns in developmental coordination disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and typical development. Res Dev Disabil. 2020 May;100:103608. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103608. PMID: 32087509. *Intervention* 

1192. Demaree JL, Ortiz RJ, Cai X, et al. Exposure to methylphenidate during peri-adolescence decouples the prefrontal cortex: a multimodal MRI study. Am J Transl Res. 2021;13(7):8480-95. PMID: 34377346. *Population* 

1193. Demb HB, Chang C. The Use of Psychostimulants in Children with Disruptive Behavior Disorders and Developmental Disabilities in a Community Setting. Mental Health Aspects of Developmental Disabilities. 2004;7(1):26-36. *Intervention* 

1194. Demidovich M, Kolko DJ, Bukstein OG, et al. Medication refusal in children with oppositional defiant disorder or conduct disorder and comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: medication history and clinical correlates. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Feb;21(1):57-66. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0001. PMID: 21288119. *Intervention* 

1195. Demirci E, Erdogan A. Is emotion recognition the only problem in ADHD? effects of pharmacotherapy on face and emotion recognition in children with ADHD. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2016 Dec;8(4):197-204. doi: 10.1007/s12402-016-0201-x. PMID: 27473346. *Comparator* 

1196. Demirci E, Ozmen S, Kilic E, et al. The relationship between aggression, empathy skills and serum oxytocin levels in male children and adolescents with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder. Behav Pharmacol. 2016 Dec;27(8):681-8. doi: 10.1097/fbp.0000000000234. PMID: 27031167. *Intervention* 

1197. Demmer DH, Puccio F, Stokes MA, et al. The Influence of Child Gender on the Prospective Relationships between Parenting and Child ADHD. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2018 Jan;46(1):113-25. doi: 10.1007/s10802-017-0284-7. PMID: 28255673. *Intervention* 

1198. Denchev P, Kaltman JR, Schoenbaum M, et al. Modeled economic evaluation of alternative strategies to reduce sudden cardiac death among children treated for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Circulation. 2010 Mar 23;121(11):1329-37. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.109.901256. PMID: 20212277. *Intervention* 

1199. Deng CP, Liu M, Wei W, et al. Latent factor structure of the Das-Naglieri Cognitive Assessment System: a confirmatory factor analysis in a Chinese setting. Res Dev Disabil. 2011 Sep-Oct;32(5):1988-97. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2011.04.005. PMID: 21571501. *Outcome* 

1200. Denis I, Guay MC, Foldes-Busque G, et al. Effect of Treating Anxiety Disorders on Cognitive Deficits and Behaviors Associated with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Preliminary Study. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2016 Jun;47(3):518-26. doi: 10.1007/s10578-015-0584-5. PMID: 26323585. *Power* 

1201. Denkowski KM, Denkowski GC. Is group progressive relaxation training as effective with hyperactive children as individual EMG biofeedback treatment? Biofeedback Self Regul. 1984 Sep;9(3):353-64. doi: 10.1007/bf00998978. PMID: 6395905. *Power* 

1202. Denkowski KM, Denkowski GC, Omizo MM. The effects of EMG-assisted relaxation training on the academic performance, locus of control, and self-esteem of hyperactive boys. Biofeedback Self Regul. 1983 Sep;8(3):363-75. doi: 10.1007/bf00998746. PMID: 6367832. *Power* 

1203. Deotto A, Eastwood JD, Toplak ME. Temperament Profiles Associated with Internalizing Symptoms and Externalizing Behavior in Adolescents with ADHD. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2021 Jan 5. doi: 10.1007/s10578-020-01116-z. PMID: 33398690. *Intervention* 

1204. Derella OJ, Burke JD, Stepp SD, et al. Reciprocity in Undesirable Parent-Child Behavior? Verbal Aggression, Corporal Punishment, and Girls' Oppositional Defiant Symptoms. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2020 May-Jun;49(3):420-33. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2019.1603109. PMID: 31059308. *Population* 

1205. Derks EM, Hudziak JJ, Dolan CV, et al. The relations between DISC-IV DSM diagnoses of ADHD and multi-informant CBCL-AP syndrome scores. Compr Psychiatry. 2006 Mar-Apr;47(2):116-22. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2005.05.006. PMID: 16490569. *Population* 

1206. Dever BV, Raines TC, Dowdy E. Factor structure and differential item functioning of the BASC-2 BESS Spanish Language Parent Form. School Psychology Quarterly. 2016 Jun 2016;31(2):213-25. *Intervention* 

1207. DeVito EE, Blackwell AD, Clark L, et al. Methylphenidate improves response inhibition but not reflection-impulsivity in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2009 Jan;202(1-3):531-9. doi: 10.1007/s00213-008-1337-y. PMID: 18818905. *Intervention* 

1208. DeVito EE, Blackwell AD, Kent L, et al. The effects of methylphenidate on decision making in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2008 Oct 1;64(7):636-9. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.04.017. PMID: 18504036. *Intervention* 

1209. Devkota N, Subba S, Devkota J, et al. Validation of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Diagnostic Scale for Children. J Nepal Health Res Counc. 2018 Oct 30;16(3):264-8. PMID: 30455483. *Language*  1210. Devkota N, Subba S, Sharma N, et al. Intake Assessment and Diagnostic Accuracy of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder diagnostic Scale Being Developed for Children in Nepal. J Nepal Health Res Counc. 2020 Sep 7;18(2):228-32. doi: 10.33314/jnhrc.v18i2.2253. PMID: 32969383. *Language* 

1211. Dhavale HS, Bhagat V, Thakkar P. A comparative study of behaviour problems between adopted and non-adopted children in India. Journal of Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2005;17(1):27-30. doi: 10.2989/17280580509486589. *Design* 

1212. Di Martino A, Melis G, Cianchetti C, et al. Methylphenidate for pervasive developmental disorders: safety and efficacy of acute single dose test and ongoing therapy: an open-pilot study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2004 Summer;14(2):207-18. doi: 10.1089/1044546041649011. PMID: 15319018. *Population* 

1213. Diamond G, Josephson A. Family-based treatment research: a 10-year update. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Sep;44(9):872-87. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000169010.96783.4e. PMID: 16113616. *Design* 

1214. Diaz-Orueta U, Garcia-Lopez C, Crespo-Eguilaz N, et al. AULA virtual reality test as an attention measure: convergent validity with Conners' Continuous Performance Test. Child Neuropsychol. 2014;20(3):328-42. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2013.792332. PMID: 23638628. *Outcome* 

1215. Díaz-Román A, Buela-Casal G. Shorter REM latency in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2019 Aug;278:188-93. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.06.012. PMID: 31207456. *Intervention* 

1216. Dicesare A, McAdam DB, Toner A, et al. The effects of methylphenidate on a functional analysis of disruptive behavior: a replication and extension. J Appl Behav Anal. 2005 Spring;38(1):125-8. doi: 10.1901/jaba.2005.155-03. PMID: 15898483. *Population* 

1217. Didoni A, Sequi M, Panei P, et al. One-year prospective follow-up of pharmacological treatment in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2011 Oct;67(10):1061-7. doi: 10.1007/s00228-011-1050-3. PMID: 21538145. *Design* 

1218. Díez-Suárez A, Vallejo-Valdivielso M, Marín-Méndez JJ, et al. Weight, Height, and Body Mass Index in Patients with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treated with Methylphenidate. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Oct;27(8):723-30. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0150. PMID: 28817309. *Intervention* 

1219. Dimoska A, Johnstone SJ, Barry RJ, et al. Inhibitory motor control in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: event-related potentials in the stop-signal paradigm. Biol Psychiatry. 2003 Dec 15;54(12):1345-54. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(03)00703-0. PMID: 14675798. *Intervention* 

1220. Ding K, Yang J, Reynolds GP, et al. DAT1 methylation is associated with methylphenidate response on oppositional and hyperactive-impulsive symptoms in children and adolescents with ADHD. The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry. 2017 May 2017;18(4):291-9. *Outcome* 

1221. Dir AL, Allebach CL, Hummer TA, et al. Atypical Cortical Activation During Risky Decision Making in Disruptive Behavior Disordered Youths With Histories of Suicidal Ideation.

Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2020 May;5(5):510-9. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2019.10.016. PMID: 32007432. *Intervention* 

1222. Dirksen SJ, D'Imperio JM, Birdsall D, et al. A postmarketing clinical experience study of Metadate CD. Curr Med Res Opin. 2002;18(7):371-80. doi: 10.1185/030079902125001100. PMID: 12487502. *Intervention* 

1223. Dirlikov B, Younes L, Nebel MB, et al. Novel Automated Morphometric and Kinematic Handwriting Assessment: A Validity Study in Children with ASD and ADHD. Journal of Occupational Therapy, Schools & Early Intervention. 2017 01/01/;10(2):185-201. PMID: EJ1141322. *Outcome* 

1224. DiScala C, Lescohier I, Barthel M, et al. Injuries to children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 1998 Dec;102(6):1415-21. doi: 10.1542/peds.102.6.1415. PMID: 9832578. *Intervention* 

1225. Disney ER, Elkins IJ, McGue M, et al. Effects of ADHD, conduct disorder, and gender on substance use and abuse in adolescence. Am J Psychiatry. 1999 Oct;156(10):1515-21. doi: 10.1176/ajp.156.10.1515. PMID: 10518160. *Intervention* 

1226. DiTraglia J. Methylphenidate protocol: feasibility in a pediatric practice. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 1991 Dec;30(12):656-60. doi: 10.1177/000992289103001201. PMID: 1764872. *Design* 

1227. Dittmann RW, Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, et al. Self-esteem in adolescent patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder during open-label atomoxetine treatment: psychometric evaluation of the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale and clinical findings. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2009 Dec;1(2):187-200. doi: 10.1007/s12402-009-0011-5. PMID: 20234829. *Intervention* 

1228. Dittmann RW, Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, et al. Atomoxetine treatment and ADHDrelated difficulties as assessed by adolescent patients, their parents and physicians. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2009 Aug 24;3(1):21. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-3-21. PMID: 19703299. *Intervention* 

1229. Do EK, Haberstick BC, Williams RB, et al. The role of genetic and environmental influences on the association between childhood ADHD symptoms and BMI. Int J Obes (Lond). 2019 Jan;43(1):33-42. doi: 10.1038/s41366-018-0236-5. PMID: 30349010. *Intervention* 

1230. Dobrakowski P, Łebecka G. Individualized Neurofeedback Training May Help Achieve Long-Term Improvement of Working Memory in Children With ADHD. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2020 Mar;51(2):94-101. doi: 10.1177/1550059419879020. PMID: 31578889. *Power* 

1231. Dobrean A, Păsărelu CR, Balazsi R, et al. Measurement Invariance of the ADHD Rating Scale-IV Home and School Versions Across Age, Gender, Clinical Status, and Informant. Assessment. 2021 Jan;28(1):86-99. doi: 10.1177/1073191119858421. PMID: 31253044. *Language* 

1232. Dodangi N, Habibi N. Comparison of duloxetine and methylphenidate in the treatment of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Tehran University Medical Journal. 2016;74(3):190-8. *Language* 

1233. Dodangi N, Tehrani-Doost M, Mahmoudi-Gharaei J, et al. Duloxetine in comparison with methylphenidate in treatment of adolescents with ADHD. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S116. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Comparator* 

1234. Dodangi N, Vameghi R, Habibi N. Evaluation of Knowledge and Attitude of Parents of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Children towards Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Clinical Samples. Iran J Psychiatry. 2017 Jan;12(1):42-8. PMID: 28496501. *Population* 

1235. Doepfner M, Ose C, Fischer R, et al. The CoMeCo-trial: Comparison of the efficacy of two methylphenidate preparations for children and adolescents with ADHD in a natural setting. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S116. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Design* 

1236. Doherty BR, Longhi E, Cole V, et al. Disentangling autism spectrum and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity symptoms over development in fragile X syndrome. Res Dev Disabil. 2020 Sep;104:103692. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103692. PMID: 32505083. *Intervention* 

1237. Doidge JL, Flora DB, Toplak ME. A Meta-Analytic Review of Sex Differences on Delay of Gratification and Temporal Discounting Tasks in ADHD and Typically Developing Samples. J Atten Disord. 2021 Feb;25(4):540-61. doi: 10.1177/1087054718815588. PMID: 30596297. *Intervention* 

1238. Doig J, McLennan JD, Gibbard WB. Medication effects on symptoms of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Aug;18(4):365-71. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.0121. PMID: 18759646. *Intervention* 

1239. Dölp A, Schneider-Momm K, Heiser P, et al. Oligoantigenic Diet Improves Children's ADHD Rating Scale Scores Reliably in Added Video-Rating. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:730. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00730. PMID: 32973571. *Population* 

1240. Dolu N, Altınkaynak M, Güven A, et al. Effects of methylphenidate treatment in children with ADHD: a multimodal EEG/fNIRS approach. Psychiatry and Clinical Psychopharmacology. 2019;29(3):285-92. doi: 10.1080/24750573.2018.1542779. *Intervention* 

1241. Dombrowski SC, Watkins MW, McGill RJ, et al. Measurement invariance of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, Fifth Edition 10-subtest primary battery: Can index scores be compared across age, sex, and diagnostic groups? Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment. 2021 Feb 2021;39(1):89-99. *Intervention* 

1242. Donfrancesco R, Calderoni D, Vitiello B. Open-label amantadine in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Oct;17(5):657-64. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.0128. PMID: 17979585. *Intervention* 

1243. Dönmez YE, Özcan Ö, Çankaya C, et al. Is contrast sensitivity a physiological marker in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder? Med Hypotheses. 2020 Dec;145:110326. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110326. PMID: 33075582. *Intervention* 

1244. Donnchadha S, Bramham J, Greene C. Rethinking the association between overweight/obesity and ADHD in children: a longitudinal and psychosocial perspective. Ir J Psychol Med. 2020 Jan 24:1-14. doi: 10.1017/ipm.2019.61. PMID: 31973774. *Intervention* 

1245. Donnelly C, Bangs M, Trzepacz P, et al. Safety and tolerability of atomoxetine over 3 to 4 years in children and adolescents with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Feb;48(2):176-85. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e318193060e. PMID: 20040824. *Design* 

1246. Donnelly C, Bangs M, Trzepacz P, et al. Safety and Tolerability of Atomoxetine over 3 to 4 Years in Children with ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2009 02/01/;48(2):176-F. PMID: EJ831667. *Design* 

1247. Donnelly M, Rapoport JL, Potter WZ, et al. Fenfluramine and dextroamphetamine treatment of childhood hyperactivity. Clinical and biochemical findings. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1989 Mar;46(3):205-12. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1989.01810030011002. PMID: 2645848. *Power* 

1248. Donnelly M, Zametkin AJ, Rapoport JL, et al. Treatment of childhood hyperactivity with desipramine: plasma drug concentration, cardiovascular effects, plasma and urinary catecholamine levels, and clinical response. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 1986 Jan;39(1):72-81. doi: 10.1038/clpt.1986.13. PMID: 3510796. *Power* 

1249. Donovan GH, Michael YL, Gatziolis D, et al. Association between exposure to the natural environment, rurality, and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children in New Zealand: a linkage study. Lancet Planet Health. 2019 May;3(5):e226-e34. doi: 10.1016/s2542-5196(19)30070-1. PMID: 31128768. *Outcome* 

1250. Donovan SJ, Levin FR. The "younger-sibling-at-risk design": a pilot study of adolescents with ADHD and an older sibling with substance use disorder. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse. 2011 Jul;37(4):235-9. doi: 10.3109/00952990.2011.569805. PMID: 21517711. *Intervention* 

1251. Döpfner M, Breuer D, Ose C, et al. Modified-release methylphenidate in routine treatment : Effectiveness and tolerability in a study in children and adolescents with ADHD (attention deficit hyperactivity syndrome). Monatsschrift fur Kinderheilkunde. 2011;159(11):1119-25. doi: 10.1007/s00112-011-2413-7. *Intervention* 

1252. Döpfner M, Breuer D, Schürmann S, et al. Effectiveness of an adaptive multimodal treatment in children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder -- global outcome. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004;13 Suppl 1:I117-29. doi: 10.1007/s00787-004-1011-9. PMID: 15322962. *Power* 

1253. Döpfner M, Breuer D, Walter D, et al. An observational study of once-daily modifiedrelease methylphenidate in ADHD: the effect of previous treatment on ADHD symptoms, other externalising symptoms and quality-of-life outcomes. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Oct;20 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):S277-88. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0205-1. PMID: 21901414. *Intervention* 

1254. Döpfner M, Dose C, Breuer D, et al. Efficacy of Omega-3/Omega-6 Fatty Acids in Preschool Children at Risk of ADHD: A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jun;25(8):1096-106. doi: 10.1177/1087054719883023. PMID: 31680604. *Population* 

1255. Döpfner M, Gerber WD, Banaschewski T, et al. Comparative efficacy of once-a-day extended-release methylphenidate, two-times-daily immediate-release methylphenidate, and placebo in a laboratory school setting. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004;13 Suppl 1:I93-101. doi: 10.1007/s00787-004-1009-3. PMID: 15322960. *Intervention* 

1256. Döpfner M, Hautmann C, Görtz-Dorten A, et al. Long-term course of ADHD symptoms from childhood to early adulthood in a community sample. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Jun;24(6):665-73. doi: 10.1007/s00787-014-0634-8. PMID: 25395380. *Intervention* 

1257. Döpfner M, Ose C, Fischer R, et al. Comparison of the efficacy of two different modified release methylphenidate preparations for children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in a natural setting: comparison of the efficacy of Medikinet(®) retard and Concerta(®)--a randomized, controlled, double-blind multicenter clinical crossover trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Oct;21(5):445-54. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0082. PMID: 21790298. *Timing* 

1258. Döpfner M, Steinhausen HC, Coghill D, et al. Cross-cultural reliability and validity of ADHD assessed by the ADHD Rating Scale in a pan-European study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Dec;15 Suppl 1:I46-55. doi: 10.1007/s00787-006-1007-8. PMID: 17177016. *Outcome* 

1259. Döpfner M, Wähnke L, Klemp M-T, et al. Efficacy of web-assisted self-help for parents of children with ADHD (WASH)—A three-arm randomized trial under field/routine care conditions in Germany. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Feb 21, 2020;20. *Duplicate* 

1260. Döpfner M, Wähnke L, Klemp MT, et al. Efficacy of web-assisted self-help for parents of children with ADHD (WASH) - a three-arm randomized trial under field/routine care conditions in Germany. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Feb 21;20(1):76. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-2481-0. PMID: 32085706. *Population* 

1261. Dorman Ilan S, Fishman Y, Kufert Y, et al. Children's Friendship Training Program for Israeli elementary school age children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2019 Nov;126(11):1513-6. doi: 10.1007/s00702-019-02061-5. PMID: 31407114. *Power* 

1262. Dorrego MF, Canevaro L, Kuzis G, et al. A randomized, double-blind, crossover study of methylphenidate and lithium in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: preliminary findings. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2002 Summer;14(3):289-95. doi: 10.1176/jnp.14.3.289. PMID: 12154153. *Population* 

1263. Dose C, Hautmann C, Buerger M, et al. Telephone-assisted self-help for parents of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder who have residual functional impairment despite methylphenidate treatment: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2017 Jun 2017;58(6):682-90. *Duplicate* 

1264. Dose C, Hautmann C, Doepfner M. Functional Impairment in Children With Externalizing Behavior Disorders: Psychometric Properties of the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Parent Report in a German Clinical Sample. J Atten Disord. 2019 Nov 1;23(13):1546-56. doi: 10.1177/1087054716661234. PMID: 27469396. *Intervention* 

1265. DosReis S, Mychailyszyn MP, Evans-Lacko SE, et al. The meaning of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder medication and parents' initiation and continuity of treatment for their child. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Aug;19(4):377-83. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0118. PMID: 19702489. *Intervention*  1266. dosReis S, Owens PL, Puccia KB, et al. Multimodal treatment for ADHD among youths in three Medicaid subgroups: disabled, foster care, and low income. Psychiatr Serv. 2004 Sep;55(9):1041-8. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.55.9.1041. PMID: 15345765. *Intervention* 

1267. Dosreis S, Zito JM, Safer DJ, et al. Parental perceptions and satisfaction with stimulant medication for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2003 Jun;24(3):155-62. doi: 10.1097/00004703-200306000-00004. PMID: 12806227. *Intervention* 

1268. Dotson WH, Leaf JB, Sheldon JB, et al. Group teaching of conversational skills to adolescents on the autism spectrum. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders. 2010;4(2):199-209. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2009.09.005. *Population* 

1269. Dougherty DM, Olvera RL, Acheson A, et al. Acute effects of methylphenidate on impulsivity and attentional behavior among adolescents comorbid for ADHD and conduct disorder. J Adolesc. 2016 Dec;53:222-30. doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2016.10.013. PMID: 27816696. *Power* 

1270. Douglas PK, Gutman B, Anderson A, et al. Hemispheric brain asymmetry differences in youths with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Neuroimage Clin. 2018;18:744-52. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2018.02.020. PMID: 29876263. *Intervention* 

1271. Doyle RL, Frazier J, Spencer TJ, et al. Donepezil in the treatment of ADHD-like symptoms in youths with pervasive developmental disorder: a case series. J Atten Disord. 2006 Feb;9(3):543-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054705284091. PMID: 16481671. *Population* 

1272. Drabick DAG, Gadow KD, Carlson GA, et al. ODD and ADHD Symptoms in Ukrainian Children: External Validators and Comorbidity. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2004 06/01/;43(6):735-J. PMID: EJ696277. *Intervention* 

1273. Drechsler R, Straub M, Doehnert M, et al. Controlled evaluation of a neurofeedback training of slow cortical potentials in children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Behavioral and Brain Functions. 2007 2007/07/26;3(1):35. doi: 10.1186/1744-9081-3-35. *Power* 

1274. Drtilkova I. Antidepressants in child psychiatry. Ceska a Slovenska Psychiatrie. 1999;95(SUPPL. 1):36. *Language* 

1275. Druker K, Hennessey N, Mazzucchelli T, et al. Elevated attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms in children who stutter. J Fluency Disord. 2019 Mar;59:80-90. doi: 10.1016/j.jfludis.2018.11.002. PMID: 30477807. *Intervention* 

1276. Druker K, Mazzucchelli T, Hennessey N, et al. An Evaluation of an Integrated Stuttering and Parent-Administered Self-Regulation Program for Early Developmental Stuttering Disorders. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2020 Sep 15;63(9):2894-912. doi: 10.1044/2020\_jslhr-19-00310. PMID: 32812840. *Population* 

1277. Drumond VZ, Souza GLN, Pereira MJC, et al. Dental Caries in Children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-Analysis. Caries Res. 2022;56(1):3-14. doi: 10.1159/000521142. PMID: 34929707. *Intervention* 

1278. Du Rietz E, Cheung CH, McLoughlin G, et al. Self-report of ADHD shows limited agreement with objective markers of persistence and remittance. J Psychiatr Res. 2016 Nov;82:91-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.07.020. PMID: 27478936. *Intervention* 

1279. Du Rietz E, James SN, Banaschewski T, et al. Autonomic arousal profiles in adolescents and young adults with ADHD as a function of recording context. Psychiatry Res. 2019 May;275:212-20. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.03.039. PMID: 30928724. *Intervention* 

1280. Du Rietz E, Kuja-Halkola R, Brikell I, et al. Predictive validity of parent- and self-rated ADHD symptoms in adolescence on adverse socioeconomic and health outcomes. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Jul;26(7):857-67. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-0957-3. PMID: 28185096. *Intervention* 

1281. Du Rietz E, Kuja-Halkola R, Brikell I, et al. Predictive validity of parent- and self-rated ADHD symptoms in adolescence on adverse socioeconomic and health outcomes. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2017 Jul 2017;26(7):857-67. *Duplicate* 

1282. Du Y, Kou J, Coghill D. The validity, reliability and normative scores of the parent, teacher and self report versions of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire in China. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2008;2. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-2-8. *Population* 

1283. Du Y, Li M, Jiang W, et al. Developing the symptoms and functional impairment rating scale: A multi-dimensional adhd scale. Psychiatry Investigation. 2018;15(1):13-23. doi: 10.4306/pi.2018.15.1.13. *Intervention* 

1284. Du Y, Zheng Y, Ke X, et al. Validity and reliability of the Dundee difficult times of the day scale in Chinese children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Comp Eff Res. 2019 Jan;8(1):33-44. doi: 10.2217/cer-2018-0091. PMID: 30468394. *Language* 

1285. Duan K, Chen J, Calhoun V, et al. T3GENETIC FACTOR AND GRAY MATTER CO-VARIATION UNDERLYING PERSISTENT WORKING MEMORY UNDERPERFORMANCE IN ATTENTION-DEFICIT/HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER. European Neuropsychopharmacology. 2019;29:S221-S2. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.08.202. *Population* 

1286. Duan K, Jiang W, Rootes-Murdy K, et al. Gray matter networks associated with attention and working memory deficit in ADHD across adolescence and adulthood. Transl Psychiatry. 2021 Mar 25;11(1):184. doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01301-1. PMID: 33767139. *Intervention* 

1287. Dubnov-Raz G, Khoury Z, Wright I, et al. The effect of alpha-linolenic acid supplementation on ADHD symptoms in children: a randomized controlled double-blind study. Front Hum Neurosci. 2014;8:780. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00780. PMID: 25339885. *Power* 

1288. Dubnov-Raz G, Perry A, Berger I. Body mass index of children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Neurol. 2011 Mar;26(3):302-8. doi: 10.1177/0883073810380051. PMID: 20929910. *Intervention* 

1289. Dubreuil-Vall L, Ruffini G, Camprodon JA. Deep Learning Convolutional Neural Networks Discriminate Adult ADHD From Healthy Individuals on the Basis of Event-Related Spectral EEG. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:251. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2020.00251. PMID: 32327965. *Population* 

1290. Dück A, Reis O, Wagner H, et al. Clock Genes Profiles as Diagnostic Tool in (Childhood) ADHD—A Pilot Study. Brain Sciences. 2022;12(9). doi: 10.3390/brainsci12091198. *Outcome* 

1291. Dugauquier A, Bidgoli S. Methylphenidate-associated Alice in Wonderland syndrome. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2020 Dec 9:1120672120978882. doi: 10.1177/1120672120978882. PMID: 33295214. *Design* 

1292. Duh-Leong C, Fuller A, Brown NM. Associations Between Family and Community Protective Factors and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Outcomes Among US Children. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2020 Jan;41(1):1-8. doi: 10.1097/dbp.0000000000000720. PMID: 31464826. *Intervention* 

1293. Duinhof EL, Lek KM, de Looze ME, et al. Revising the self-report strengths and difficulties questionnaire for cross-country comparisons of adolescent mental health problems: the SDQ-R. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2019 May 3;29:e35. doi: 10.1017/s2045796019000246. PMID: 31046859. *Intervention* 

1294. Dulce Romero-Ayuso JMT-JPGENJPM-MPA-V. Effectiveness of Virtual Reality in cognitive rehabilitation for children with ADHD. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020152677. 2020. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=152677. *Design* 

1295. Dumais-Huber C, Rothenberger A. Psychophysiological correlates of orienting, anticipation and contingency changes in children with psychiatric disorders. Journal of Psychophysiology. 1992;6(3):225-39. *Intervention* 

1296. Duncan L, Comeau J, Wang L, et al. Research review: Test–retest reliability of standardized diagnostic interviews to assess child and adolescent psychiatric disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2019 Jan 2019;60(1):16-29. *Duplicate* 

1297. DuPaul GJ, Anastopoulos AD, McGoey KE, et al. Teacher ratings of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms: Factor structure and normative data. Psychological Assessment. 1997;9(4):436-44. doi: 10.1037//1040-3590.9.4.436. *Intervention* 

1298. DuPaul GJ, Dahlstrom-Hakki I, Gormley MJ, et al. College Students With ADHD and LD: Effects of Support Services on Academic Performance. Learning Disabilities Research & Practice. 2017;32(4):246-56. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/ldrp.12143. *Population* 

1299. DuPaul GJ, Eckert TL. The Effects of School-Based Interventions for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-Analysis. School Psychology Review. 1997 01/01/;26(1):5-27. PMID: EJ590916. *Design* 

1300. DuPaul GJ, Jitendra AK, Tresco KE, et al. Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Are There Gender Differences in School Functioning? School Psychology Review. 2006;35:292-308. *Outcome* 

1301. DuPaul GJ, Kern L, Belk G, et al. Face-to-Face Versus Online Behavioral Parent Training for Young Children at Risk for ADHD: Treatment Engagement and Outcomes. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018;47(sup1):S369-s83. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2017.1342544. PMID: 28715272. *Power* 

1302. DuPaul GJ, Kern L, Gormley MJ, et al. Early Intervention for Young Children with ADHD: Academic Outcomes for Responders to Behavioral Treatment. School Mental Health. 2011 2011/09/01;3(3):117-26. doi: 10.1007/s12310-011-9053-x. *Power* 

1303. DuPaul GJ, Reid R, Anastopoulos AD, et al. Parent and teacher ratings of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms: Factor structure and normative data. Psychological Assessment. 2016 Feb 2016;28(2):214-25. *Intervention* 

1304. Dupaul GJ, Weyandt LL, Rossi JS, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study of the efficacy and safety of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in college students with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2012 Apr;16(3):202-20. doi: 10.1177/1087054711427299. PMID: 22166471. *Population* 

1305. Dupuis A, Mudiyanselage P, Burton CL, et al. Hyperfocus or flow? Attentional strengths in autism spectrum disorder. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:886692. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.886692. PMID: 36276327. *Outcome* 

1306. Duric NS, Elgen I. Characteristics of Norwegian children suffering from ADHD symptoms: ADHD and primary health care. Psychiatry Res. 2011 Aug 15;188(3):402-5. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2011.05.008. PMID: 21621851. *Intervention* 

1307. Durston S, Davidson MC, Mulder MJ, et al. Neural and behavioral correlates of expectancy violations in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2007 Sep;48(9):881-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01754.x. PMID: 17714373. *Outcome* 

1308. Durston S, Mulder M, Casey BJ, et al. Activation in ventral prefrontal cortex is sensitive to genetic vulnerability for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Nov 15;60(10):1062-70. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.12.020. PMID: 16712804. *Outcome* 

1309. Durukan I, Karaman D, Kara K, et al. Diagnoses of patients referring to a child and adolescent psychiatry outpatient clinic. Dusunen Adam. 2011;24(2):113-20. doi: 10.5350/DAJPN2011240204. *Intervention* 

1310. Durukan I, Yucel M, Erdem M, et al. P50 sensory gating in children and adolescents with ADHD and effects of methylphenidate administration on P50 sensory gating. Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bulteni. 2011;21(1):42-8. doi: 10.5350/kpb-bcp201121107. *Intervention* 

1311. Dutta B BT, Ray J, et al. A study of evaluation of safety and efficacy of memomet, a multi herbal formulation (memomet) in the treatment of behavioural disorder in children. International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2012;3(2):282-6. *Power* 

1312. Dvoráková M, Jezová D, Blazícek P, et al. Urinary catecholamines in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): modulation by a polyphenolic extract from pine bark (pycnogenol). Nutr Neurosci. 2007 Jun-Aug;10(3-4):151-7. doi: 10.1080/09513590701565443. PMID: 18019397. *Intervention* 

1313. Dvoráková M, Sivonová M, Trebatická J, et al. The effect of polyphenolic extract from pine bark, Pycnogenol on the level of glutathione in children suffering from attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Redox Rep. 2006;11(4):163-72. doi: 10.1179/135100006x116664. PMID: 16984739. *Outcome* 

1314. Dvorsky MR, Friedman LM, Spiess M, et al. Patterns of parental adherence and the association to child and parenting outcomes following a multicomponent school-home intervention for youth with ADHD. Behavior Therapy. 2021 May 2021;52(3):745-60. *Population* 

1315. Dvorsky MR, Langberg JM, Becker SP, et al. Trajectories of Global Self-Worth in Adolescents with ADHD: Associations with Academic, Emotional, and Social Outcomes. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2019 Sep-Oct;48(5):765-80. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2018.1443460. PMID: 29714502. *Intervention* 

1316. Eadeh H-M, Bourchtein E, Langberg JM, et al. Longitudinal evaluation of the role of academic and social impairment and parent-adolescent conflict in the development of depression in adolescents with ADHD. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2017 Sep 2017;26(9):2374-85. *Intervention* 

1317. Eadeh H-M, Breaux R, Langberg JM, et al. Multigroup multilevel structure of the child and parent versions of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) in adolescents with and without ADHD. Psychological Assessment. 2020 Apr 2020;32(4):374-82. *Intervention* 

1318. Eadeh H-M, Markon KE, Nigg JT, et al. Evaluating the viability of neurocognition as a transdiagnostic construct using both latent variable models and network analysis. Research on Child and Adolescent Psychopathology. 2021 Jun 2021;49(6):697-710. *Intervention* 

1319. Eadeh HM, Bourchtein E, Langberg JM, et al. Longitudinal Evaluation of the Role of Academic and Social Impairment and Parent-Adolescent Conflict in the Development of Depression in Adolescents with ADHD. J Child Fam Stud. 2017 Sep;26(9):2374-85. doi: 10.1007/s10826-017-0768-7. PMID: 29713135. *Intervention* 

1320. Eadeh HM, Davis J, Ismail AA, et al. Evaluating How Occupational Exposure to Organophosphates and Pyrethroids Impacts ADHD Severity in Egyptian Male Adolescents. Neurotoxicology. 2023 Jan 5. doi: 10.1016/j.neuro.2023.01.001. PMID: 36621468. *Intervention* 

1321. Eapen V, Gururaj AK. Risperidone treatment in 12 children with developmental disorders and attention-deficit/hyeractivity disorder. Primary Care Companion to the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry. 2005;7(5):221-4. doi: 10.4088/pcc.v07n0502. *Intervention* 

1322. Earla JR, Abughosh S, Chen H. Association of the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) Follow-Up Care Measures and Medication Adherence Among Medicaid Insured Children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jan 12:1087054720986929. doi: 10.1177/1087054720986929. PMID: 33435795. *Intervention* 

1323. Eaton Hoagwood K, Jensen PS, Arnold LE, et al. Reliability of the services for children and adolescents-parent interview. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Nov;43(11):1345-54. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000139558.54948.1f. PMID: 15502593. *Intervention* 

1324. Edbom T, Lichtenstein P, Granlund M, et al. Long-term relationships between symptoms of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and self-esteem in a prospective longitudinal study of twins. Acta Paediatr. 2006 Jun;95(6):650-7. doi: 10.1080/08035250500449866. PMID: 16754544. *Intervention* 

1325. Eddy C, Rizzo R, Gulisano M, et al. A controlled study of quality of life in young people with Tourette syndrome. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S21. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Population* 

1326. Edinoff AN, Akuly HA, Wagner JH, et al. Viloxazine in the Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:789982. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.789982. PMID: 34975586. *Design* 

1327. Ediriarachchi WM, Senanayake G, Jayasinghe HEH, et al. Classification of Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Healthy Subjects using Toro's Gyrification Index. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences. 2022;53(4):S5-S6. doi: 10.1016/j.jmir.2022.10.021. *Design* 

1328. Edokpolo O, Nkire N, Smyth BP. Irish adolescents with ADHD and comorbid substance use disorder. Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine. 2010;27(3):148-51. doi: 10.1017/S079096670000135X. *Intervention* 

1329. Effatpanah M, Rezaei M, Effatpanah H, et al. Magnesium status and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A meta-analysis. Psychiatry Res. 2019 Apr;274:228-34. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.02.043. PMID: 30807974. *Intervention* 

1330. Efron D, Bryson H, Lycett K, et al. Children referred for evaluation for ADHD: comorbidity profiles and characteristics associated with a positive diagnosis. Child Care Health Dev. 2016 Sep;42(5):718-24. doi: 10.1111/cch.12364. PMID: 27273368. *Intervention* 

1331. Efron D, Jarman F, Barker M. Side effects of methylphenidate and dexamphetamine in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a double-blind, crossover trial. Pediatrics. 1997 Oct;100(4):662-6. doi: 10.1542/peds.100.4.662. PMID: 9310521. *Timing* 

1332. Efron D, Jarman F, Barker M. Methylphenidate versus dexamphetamine in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A double-blind, crossover trial. Pediatrics. 1997 Dec;100(6):E6. doi: 10.1542/peds.100.6.e6. PMID: 9382907. *Duplicate* 

1333. Efron D, Jarman FC, Barker MJ. Child and parent perceptions of stimulant medication treatment in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Paediatr Child Health. 1998 Jun;34(3):288-92. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1754.1998.00224.x. PMID: 9633980. *Intervention* 

1334. Efron D, Jarman FC, Barker MJ. Medium-term outcomes are comparable with short-term outcomes in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder treated with stimulant medication. J Paediatr Child Health. 2000 Oct;36(5):457-61. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1754.2000.00555.x. PMID: 11036801. *Timing* 

1335. Efron D, Mulraney M, Sciberras E, et al. Patterns of long-term ADHD medication use in Australian children. Arch Dis Child. 2020 Jun;105(6):593-7. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2019-317997. PMID: 31937570. *Intervention* 

1336. Efron D, Sciberras E, Hiscock H, et al. The diagnosis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Australian children: Current paediatric practice and parent perspective. Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health. 2016 Apr 2016;52(4):410-6. *Outcome* 

1337. Efron D, Sciberras E, Hiscock H, et al. The diagnosis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Australian children: Current paediatric practice and parent perspective. J Paediatr Child Health. 2016 Apr;52(4):410-6. doi: 10.1111/jpc.13091. PMID: 27145504. *Duplicate* 

1338. Efron D, Wijaya M, Hazell P, et al. Peer Victimization in Children With ADHD: A Community-Based Longitudinal Study. J Atten Disord. 2021 Feb;25(3):291-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054718796287. PMID: 30191751. *Intervention* 

1339. Egeland J, Johansen SN, Ueland T. Differentiating between ADHD sub-types on CCPT measures of sustained attention and vigilance. Scand J Psychol. 2009 Aug;50(4):347-54. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2009.00717.x. PMID: 19486490. *Outcome* 

1340. Egger J, Carter CM, Graham PJ, et al. Controlled trial of oligoantigenic treatment in the hyperkinetic syndrome. Lancet. 1985 Mar 9;1(8428):540-5. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(85)91206-1. PMID: 2857900. *Power* 

1341. Egger J, Stolla A, McEwen LM. Controlled trial of hyposensitisation in children with food-induced hyperkinetic syndrome. Lancet. 1992 May 9;339(8802):1150-3. doi: 10.1016/0140-6736(92)90742-1. PMID: 1349376. *Power* 

1342. Eich WF, Thim EB, Crowder JE. Effect of the Feingold Kaiser Permanente diet in minimal brain dysfunction. J Med Assoc State Ala. 1979 Oct;49(4):16-8, 20. PMID: 387906. *Population* 

1343. Eichelberger I, Plücka J, Hautmann C, et al. Effectiveness of the Prevention Program for Externalizing Problem Behavior (PEP) in Preschoolers with Severe and No or Mild ADHD Symptoms. Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother. 2016;44(3):231-9. doi: 10.1024/1422-4917/a000425. PMID: 27216329. *Comparator* 

1344. Eichele H, Eichele T, Marquardt L, et al. Development of Performance and ERPs in a Flanker Task in Children and Adolescents with Tourette Syndrome-A Follow-Up Study. Front Neurosci. 2017;11:305. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00305. PMID: 28659750. *Population* 

1345. Eichler A, Hudler L, Grunitz J, et al. Effects of prenatal alcohol consumption on cognitive development and ADHD-related behaviour in primary-school age: a multilevel study based on meconium ethyl glucuronide. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Feb;59(2):110-8. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12794. PMID: 28892122. *Population* 

1346. Eisenberg J, Asnis GM, van Praag HM, et al. Effect of tyrosine on attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. J Clin Psychiatry. 1988 May;49(5):193-5. PMID: 3284877. *Comparator* 

1347. Eisenberg J, Ben-Daniel N, Mei-Tal G, et al. An autonomic nervous system biofeedback modality for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder--an open pilot study. Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci. 2004;41(1):45-53. PMID: 15160655. *Intervention* 

1348. Eisenberg J, Chazan-Gologorsky S, Hattab J, et al. A controlled trial of vasopressin treatment of childhood learning disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 1984 Jul;19(7):1137-41. PMID: 6477993. *Intervention* 

1349. Eke H, Janssens A, Downs J, et al. How to measure the need for transition to adult services among young people with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): a comparison of surveillance versus case note review methods. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2019 Aug 20;19(1):179. doi: 10.1186/s12874-019-0820-y. PMID: 31429715. *Population* 

1350. Eke H, Janssens A, Newlove-Delgado T, et al. Clinician perspectives on the use of National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines for the process of transition in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Child Care Health Dev. 2020 Jan;46(1):111-20. doi: 10.1111/cch.12718. PMID: 31613391. *Intervention* 

1351. Ekhart C, Vries T, Hunsel FV. Psychiatric adverse drug reactions in the paediatric population. Arch Dis Child. 2020 Aug;105(8):749-55. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2019-317933. PMID: 32060030. *Intervention* 

1352. Ekhlasi A, Motie Nasrabadi A, Mohammadi MR. Analysis of Effective Connectivity Strength in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Using Phase Transfer Entropy. Iran J Psychiatry. 2021 Oct;16(4):374-82. doi: 10.18502/ijps.v16i4.7224. PMID: 35082849. *Intervention* 

1353. Ekhlasi A, Nasrabadi AM, Mohammadi MR. Direction of information flow between brain regions in ADHD and healthy children based on EEG by using directed phase transfer entropy. Cogn Neurodyn. 2021 Dec;15(6):975-86. doi: 10.1007/s11571-021-09680-3. PMID: 34790265. *Intervention* 

1354. Ekhlasi A, Nasrabadi AM, Mohammadi MR. Analysis of Effective Connectivity Strength in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Using Phase Transfer Entropy. Iranian Journal of Psychiatry. 2021;16(4):374-82. doi: 10.18502/ijps.v16i4.7224. *Outcome* 

1355. Ekinci O, Direk M, Gunes S, et al. Short-term efficacy and tolerability of methylphenidate in children with traumatic brain injury and attention problems. Brain Dev. 2017 Apr;39(4):327-36. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2016.11.005. PMID: 27903419. *Intervention* 

1356. Ekinci O, Gunes S, Ekinci N. Psychotic symptoms associated with switching from OROS methylphenidate to modified-release methylphenidate. Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry. 2016 01/01;18:1. doi: 10.5455/apd.227212. *Design* 

1357. Eklund H, Cadman T, Findon J, et al. Clinical service use as people with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder transition into adolescence and adulthood: a prospective longitudinal study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2016 Jul 11;16:248. doi: 10.1186/s12913-016-1509-0. PMID: 27400778. *Population* 

1358. El Baza F, AlShahawi HA, Zahra S, et al. Magnesium supplementation in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Egyptian Journal of Medical Human Genetics. 2016;17(1):63-70. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmhg.2015.05.008. *Power* 

1359. El Sheikh MM, El Missiry MA, Hatata HA, et al. Frequency of occurrence of specific reading disorder and associated psychiatric comorbidity in a sample of Egyptian primary school students. Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2016 Nov;21(4):209-16. doi: 10.1111/camh.12174. PMID: 32680335. *Population* 

1360. El-Baz FM, Youssef AM, Khairy E, et al. Association between circulating zinc/ferritin levels and parent Conner's scores in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Eur Psychiatry. 2019 Oct;62:68-73. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2019.09.002. PMID: 31546229. *Design* 

1361. El-Faddagh M, Laucht M, Maras A, et al. Association of dopamine D4 receptor (DRD4) gene with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in a high-risk community sample: a longitudinal study from birth to 11 years of age. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2004 Jul;111(7):883-9. doi: 10.1007/s00702-003-0054-2. PMID: 15206004. *Intervention* 

1362. Eleanor Dommett CKBGT. A systematic review of the use of meditation to manage Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021292110. 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=292110. *Design* 

1363. Eleanor Dommett NPLD. A systematic review of the use of tryptophan as a treatment for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020188649. 2020. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=188649. *Design*  1364. Eli Lilly Company. Treatment With Atomoxetine Hydrochloride in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2003. *Intervention* 

1365. Elia J, Ambrosini P, Berrettini W. ADHD characteristics: I. Concurrent co-morbidity patterns in children & adolescents. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2008;2. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-2-15. *Intervention* 

1366. Elia J, Arcos-Burgos M, Bolton KL, et al. ADHD latent class clusters: DSM-IV subtypes and comorbidity. Psychiatry Res. 2009 Dec 30;170(2-3):192-8. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2008.10.008. PMID: 19900717. *Intervention* 

1367. Elia J, Ungal G, Kao C, et al. Fasoracetam in adolescents with ADHD and glutamatergic gene network variants disrupting mGluR neurotransmitter signaling. Nat Commun. 2018 Jan 16;9(1):4. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02244-2. PMID: 29339723. *Comparator* 

1368. Elia J, Welsh PA, Gullotta CS, et al. Classroom academic performance: improvement with both methylphenidate and dextroamphetamine in ADHD boys. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1993 Jul;34(5):785-804. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.1993.tb01071.x. PMID: 8340445. *Power* 

1369. Eliezer DD, Samnakay N, Starkey MR, et al. Effectiveness of standard urotherapy (basic bladder advice) and combination therapies in managing bladder dysfunction in children with treated behavioral disorders: Results of a prospective cohort (DABBED) study. Low Urin Tract Symptoms. 2021 Jul 27. doi: 10.1111/luts.12400. PMID: 34313379. *Population* 

1370. Elkins IJ, Malone S, Keyes M, et al. The impact of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder on preadolescent adjustment may be greater for girls than for boys. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2011;40(4):532-45. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2011.581621. PMID: 21722026. *Intervention* 

1371. Elkins IJ, Saunders GRB, Malone SM, et al. Increased Risk of Smoking in Female Adolescents Who Had Childhood ADHD. Am J Psychiatry. 2018 Jan 1;175(1):63-70. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17010009. PMID: 28838251. *Population* 

1372. Elkins IJ, Saunders GRB, Malone SM, et al. Differential implications of persistent, remitted, and late-onset ADHD symptoms for substance abuse in women and men: A twin study from ages 11 to 24. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2020 Jul 1;212:107947. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.107947. PMID: 32444170. *Intervention* 

1373. Ellis B, Nigg J. Parenting Practices and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: New Findings Suggest Partial Specificity of Effects. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2009 02/01/;48(2):146-F. PMID: EJ831661. *Intervention* 

1374. Elman I, Sigler M, Kronenberg J, et al. Characteristics of patients with schizophrenia successive to childhood attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci. 1998;35(4):280-6. PMID: 9988985. *Population* 

1375. Elosúa MR, Del Olmo S, Contreras MJ. Differences in Executive Functioning in Children with Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Front Psychol. 2017;8:976. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00976. PMID: 28676771. *Intervention* 

1376. Elsadek AE, Al-Shokary AH, Abdelghani WE, et al. Serum Levels of Interleukin-6 and Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha in Children With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J

Pediatr Neurosci. 2020 Oct-Dec;15(4):402-8. doi: 10.4103/jpn.JPN\_1\_20. PMID: 33936305. *Intervention* 

1377. Elsadek AE, Maksoud YHA, Suliman HA, et al. Omega-3 supplementation in children with ADHD and intractable epilepsy. J Clin Neurosci. 2021 Dec;94:237-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2021.10.021. PMID: 34863444. *Outcome* 

1378. Elshorbagy HH, Barseem NF, Abdelghani WE, et al. Impact of Vitamin D Supplementation on Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children. Ann Pharmacother. 2018 Jul;52(7):623-31. doi: 10.1177/1060028018759471. PMID: 29457493. *Intervention* 

1379. Emadian SO, Bahrami H, Hassanzade R, et al. Effects of narrative therapy and computerassisted cognitive rehabilitation on the reduction of ADHD symptoms in children. Journal of Babol University of Medical Sciences. 2016;18(6):28-34. *Power* 

1380. Emadian SO, Bahrami H, Hassanzadeh R, et al. Comparing the effectiveness of behavioral management training in parents and narrative therapy in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder on quality of mother-child relationship. Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. 2016;26(143):80-9. *Language* 

1381. Emilsson B, Gudjonsson G, Sigurdsson JF, et al. Cognitive behaviour therapy in medication-treated adults with ADHD and persistent symptoms: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Psychiatry. 2011 Jul 25;11:116. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-11-116. PMID: 21787431. *Population* 

1382. Emilsson M, Gustafsson P, Öhnström G, et al. Impact of personality on adherence to and beliefs about ADHD medication, and perceptions of ADHD in adolescents. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Mar 30;20(1):139. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02543-x. PMID: 32228527. *Intervention* 

1383. Emilsson M, Gustafsson PA, Öhnström G, et al. Beliefs regarding medication and side effects influence treatment adherence in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 May;26(5):559-71. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0919-1. PMID: 27848023. *Intervention* 

1384. Emslie GJ, Hughes CW, Crismon ML, et al. A feasibility study of the childhood depression medication algorithm: the Texas Children's Medication Algorithm Project (CMAP). J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 May;43(5):519-27. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200405000-00005. PMID: 15100558. *Power* 

1385. Engelhard M, Berchuck S, Garg J, et al. Patterns of Health Services Use Before Age 1 in Children Later Diagnosed With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2021 Oct;25(12):1639. doi: 10.1177/1087054720914352. PMID: 34448663. *Intervention* 

1386. Enggaard H, Laugesen B, DeJonckheere M, et al. Impact of the guided self-determination intervention among adolescents with co-existing ADHD and medical disorder: A mixed methods study. Issues in Mental Health Nursing. 2021 Jan 2021;42(1):87-98. *Intervention* 

1387. Eppright TD, Vogel SJ, Horwitz E, et al. Results of blood lead screening in children referred for behavioral disorders. Mo Med. 1997 Jun;94(6):295-7. PMID: 9193134. *Intervention* 

1388. Epstein JN, Erkanli A, Conners CK, et al. Relations between Continuous Performance Test performance measures and ADHD behaviors. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2003 Oct;31(5):543-54. doi: 10.1023/a:1025405216339. PMID: 14561061. *Design*  1389. Epstein JN, Kelleher KJ, Baum R, et al. Variability in ADHD care in community-based pediatrics. Pediatrics. 2014 Dec;134(6):1136-43. doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-1500. PMID: 25367532. *Intervention* 

1390. Epstein JN, Kelleher KJ, Baum R, et al. Specific Components of Pediatricians' Medication-Related Care Predict Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptom Improvement. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Jun;56(6):483-90 e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.03.014. PMID: 28545753. *Intervention* 

1391. Epstein JN, Langberg JM, Lichtenstein PK, et al. Use of an Internet portal to improve community-based pediatric ADHD care: a cluster randomized trial. Pediatrics. 2011 Nov;128(5):e1201-8. doi: 10.1542/peds.2011-0872. PMID: 22007005. *Outcome* 

1392. Epstein T, Patsopoulos NA, Weiser M. Methylphenidate for adults with attention deficithyperactivity disorder, a systematic review. European Neuropsychopharmacology. 2009;19:S341. doi: 10.1016/S0924-977X(09)70515-2. *Population* 

1393. Ercan ES, Coşkunol H, Varan A, et al. Childhood attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and alcohol dependence: a 1-year follow-up. Alcohol Alcohol. 2003 Jul-Aug;38(4):352-6. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agg084. PMID: 12814903. *Intervention* 

1394. Ercan ES, Kutlu A, Çikoğlu S, et al. Risperidone in children and adolescents with conduct disorder: A single-center, open-label study. Current Therapeutic Research - Clinical and Experimental. 2003;64(1):55-64. doi: 10.1016/S0011-393X(03)00006-7. *Comparator* 

1395. Ercan ES, Polanczyk G, Akyol Ardıc U, et al. The prevalence of childhood psychopathology in Turkey: a cross-sectional multicenter nationwide study (EPICPAT-T). Nord J Psychiatry. 2019 Feb;73(2):132-40. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2019.1574892. PMID: 30964388. *Population* 

1396. Ercan ES, Unsel-Bolat G, Tufan AE, et al. Effect of Impairment on the Prevalence and Comorbidities of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in a National Survey: Nation-Wide Prevalence and Comorbidities of ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2021 May 25:10870547211017985. doi: 10.1177/10870547211017985. PMID: 34032170. *Intervention* 

1397. Ercan ES, Varan A, Deniz U. Effects of combined treatment on Turkish children diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a preliminary report. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2005 Apr;15(2):203-19. doi: 10.1089/cap.2005.15.203. PMID: 15910205. *Intervention* 

1398. Erez C, Reuveni H, Freud T, et al. Reasons for referrals of children and adolescents to alternative medicine in southern Israel. J Altern Complement Med. 2009 Jun;15(6):681-4. doi: 10.1089/acm.2008.0578. PMID: 19489708. *Comparator* 

1399. Erford BT, Bardhoshi G, Haecker P, et al. Selecting Assessment Instruments for Problem Behavior Outcome Research with Youth. Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development. 2019 01/01/;52(1):52-68. PMID: EJ1204523. *Population* 

1400. Erhart M, Döpfner M, Ravens-Sieberer U. Psychometric properties of two ADHD questionnaires: comparing the Conners' scale and the FBB-HKS in the general population of German children and adolescents--results of the BELLA study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Dec;17 Suppl 1:106-15. doi: 10.1007/s00787-008-1012-1. PMID: 19132310. *Outcome* 

1401. Erika Félix DMMRVSFRNSCC. A systematic review of the effectiveness of motor interventions for ADHD. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017076082. 2017. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=76082. *Design* 

1402. Erkuran HO, Cakaloz B, Onen O, et al. Suicide Attempt with High Dose Long Acting Methylphenidate Ingestion: A Case Presentation. Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bülteni-Bulletin of Clinical Psychopharmacology. 2016 2016/09/01;26(3):316-8. doi: 10.5455/bcp.20151223093022. *Intervention* 

1403. Erlanger DM, Kaushik T, Broshek D, et al. Development and validation of a web-based screening tool for monitoring cognitive status. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2002 Oct;17(5):458-76. doi: 10.1097/00001199-200210000-00007. PMID: 12802255. *Population* 

1404. Ernst M, Liebenauer LL, King AC, et al. Reduced brain metabolism in hyperactive girls. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1994 Jul-Aug;33(6):858-68. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199407000-00012. PMID: 8083143. *Outcome* 

1405. Ernst M, Tata S. Review of functional neuroimaging research in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Economics of Neuroscience. 2001;3(5):58-66. *Design* 

1406. Erskine HE, Norman RE, Ferrari AJ, et al. Long-Term Outcomes of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Conduct Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Oct;55(10):841-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.06.016. PMID: 27663939. *Population* 

1407. Ertugrul CC, Kirzioglu Z, Aktepe E, et al. The effects of psychostimulants on oral health and saliva in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A case-control study. Niger J Clin Pract. 2018 Sep;21(9):1213-20. doi: 10.4103/njcp.njcp\_385\_17. PMID: 30156210. *Intervention* 

1408. Ertuğrul G, Toros F. Correlation between perceived parenting style children and adolescents with ADHD and marital adjustment of their parents. Yeni Symposium. 2010;48(3):172-83. *Intervention* 

1409. Ervin RA, DuPaul GJ, Kern L, et al. Classroom-based functional and adjunctive assessments: proactive approaches to intervention selection for adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Appl Behav Anal. 1998 Spring;31(1):65-78. doi: 10.1901/jaba.1998.31-65. PMID: 9532751. *Intervention* 

1410. Escobar R, Schacht A, Wehmeier PM, et al. Quality of life and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder core symptoms: a pooled analysis of 5 non-US atomoxetine clinical trials. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2010 Apr;30(2):145-51. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e3181d21763. PMID: 20520287. *Design* 

1411. Esin IS, Turan B, Akıncı MA, et al. Do we need to re-think on subthreshold childhood psychiatric cases? A follow-up study. Med Hypotheses. 2020 Jun;139:109697. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2020.109697. PMID: 32247189. *Intervention* 

1412. Eugene YHY. Meta-analysis of the effects of atomoxetine on executive functioning in patients with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019128046. 2019.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=128046. Population

1413. Evans S, Bhide S, Quek J, et al. Mindful Parenting Behaviors and Emotional Self-Regulation in Children With ADHD and Controls. J Pediatr Psychol. 2020 Oct 1;45(9):1074-83. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsaa073. PMID: 32929486. *Intervention* 

1414. Evans S, Sciberras E, Mulraney M. The Relationship Between Maternal Stress and Boys' ADHD Symptoms and Quality of Life: An Australian Prospective Cohort Study. J Pediatr Nurs. 2020 Jan-Feb;50:e33-e8. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2019.09.029. PMID: 31653468. *Intervention* 

1415. Evans SW, Beauchaine TP, Chronis-Tuscano A, et al. The Efficacy of Cognitive Videogame Training for ADHD and What FDA Clearance Means for Clinicians. Evidence-Based Practice in Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2021;6(1):116-30. doi: 10.1080/23794925.2020.1859960. *Design* 

1416. Evans SW, Langberg J, Raggi V, et al. Development of a school-based treatment program for middle school youth with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2005 Aug;9(1):343-53. doi: 10.1177/1087054705279305. PMID: 16371680. *Intervention* 

1417. Evans SW, Langberg JM, Egan T, et al. Middle school-based and high school-based interventions for adolescents with ADHD. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2014 Oct;23(4):699-715. doi: 10.1016/j.chc.2014.05.004. PMID: 25220081. *Design* 

1418. Evans SW, Owens JS, Wymbs BT, et al. Evidence-Based Psychosocial Treatments for Children and Adolescents With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018 Mar-Apr;47(2):157-98. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2017.1390757. PMID: 29257898. *Design* 

1419. Evans SW, Pelham WE. Psychostimulant effects on academic and behavioral measures for ADHD junior high school students in a lecture format classroom. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1991 Oct;19(5):537-52. doi: 10.1007/bf00925819. PMID: 1770184. *Power* 

1420. Evans SW, Pelham WE, Smith BH, et al. Dose-response effects of methylphenidate on ecologically valid measures of academic performance and classroom behavior in adolescents with ADHD. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 2001 May;9(2):163-75. doi: 10.1037//1064-1297.9.2.163. PMID: 11518092. *Power* 

1421. Evans SW, Schultz BK, DeMars CE. High school-based treatment for adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Results from a pilot study examining outcomes and dosage. School Psychology Review. 2014;43:185-202. *Power* 

1422. Evans SW, Schultz BK, Demars CE, et al. Effectiveness of the Challenging Horizons After-School Program for young adolescents with ADHD. Behav Ther. 2011 Sep;42(3):462-74. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2010.11.008. PMID: 21658528. *Power* 

1423. Evans SW, Serpell ZN, Schultz BK, et al. Cumulative Benefits of Secondary School-Based Treatment of Students with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. School Psychology Review. 2007 01/01/;36(2):256-73. PMID: EJ788323. *Power* 

1424. Everett CA, Toff HD. Response to sparks and duncan's do no harm critique of the use of stimulant medications to treat ADHD in children and adolescents. Journal of Family Psychotherapy. 2008;19(1):27-35. doi: 10.1080/08975350801904106. *Design* 

1425. Eyberg SM BS, Algina J. Parent-child interaction therapy: a psychosocial model for the treatment of young children with conduct problem behavior and their families. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1995;31(1):83-91. *Population* 

1426. Eyre O, Riglin L, Leibenluft E, et al. Irritability in ADHD: association with later depression symptoms. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Oct;28(10):1375-84. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01303-x. PMID: 30834985. *Intervention* 

1427. Ezpeleta L, Granero R, Penelo E, et al. Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning-Preschool (BRIEF-P) Applied to Teachers: Psychometric Properties and Usefulness for Disruptive Disorders in 3-Year-Old Preschoolers. J Atten Disord. 2015 Jun;19(6):476-88. doi: 10.1177/1087054712466439. PMID: 23264366. *Language* 

1428. Faber A, Keizer RJ, van den Berg PB, et al. Use of double-blind placebo-controlled N-of-1 trials among stimulant-treated youths in The Netherlands: a descriptive study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2007 Jan;63(1):57-63. doi: 10.1007/s00228-006-0219-7. PMID: 17115147. *Design* 

1429. Faber A, van Agthoven M, Kalverdijk LJ, et al. Long-acting methylphenidate-OROS in youths with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder suboptimally controlled with immediate-release methylphenidate: a study of cost effectiveness in The Netherlands. CNS Drugs. 2008;22(2):157-70. doi: 10.2165/00023210-200822020-00006. PMID: 18193926. *Intervention* 

1430. Fabiano GA, Pelham, W. E., Gnagy, E. M., Burrows-Maclean, L., Coles, E. K., Chacko, A., ... Robb, J. A. The single and combined effects of multiple intensities of behavior modification and methylphenidate for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in a classroom setting. School Psychology Review. 2007;36(2):195–216. *Power* 

1431. Fabiano GA, Hulme K, Linke S, et al. The Supporting a Teen's Effective Entry to the Roadway (STEER) Program: Feasibility and Preliminary Support for a Psychosocial Intervention for Teenage Drivers With ADHD. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice. 2011;18(2):267-80. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpra.2010.04.002. *Comparator* 

1432. Fabiano GA, Pelham WE, Cunningham CE, et al. A waitlist-controlled trial of behavioral parent training for fathers of children with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2012;41(3):337-45. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2012.654464. PMID: 22397639. *Power* 

1433. Fabiano GA, Schatz NK, Aloe AM, et al. A systematic review of meta-analyses of psychosocial treatment for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2015 Mar;18(1):77-97. doi: 10.1007/s10567-015-0178-6. PMID: 25691358. *Design* 

1434. Fabiano GA, Schatz NK, Aloe AM, et al. Comprehensive Meta-Analysis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Psychosocial Treatments Investigated Within Between Group Studies. Review of Educational Research. 2021 2021/10/01;91(5):718-60. doi: 10.3102/00346543211025092. *Design* 

1435. Fabiano GA, Schatz NK, Hulme KF, et al. Positive Bias in Teenage Drivers With ADHD Within a Simulated Driving Task. J Atten Disord. 2018 Oct;22(12):1150-7. doi: 10.1177/1087054715616186. PMID: 26637839. *Intervention* 

1436. Fabiano GA, Schatz NK, Lupas K, et al. A school-based parenting program for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Impact on paternal caregivers. J Sch Psychol. 2021 Jun;86:133-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jsp.2021.04.002. PMID: 34051909. *Population* 

1437. Fabiano GA, Vujnovic RK, Pelham WE, et al. Enhancing the Effectiveness of Special Education Programming for Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Using a Daily Report Card. School Psychology Review. 2010 2010/01/01;39(2):219-39. doi: 10.1080/02796015.2010.12087775. *Power* 

1438. Fabio RA, Bianco M, Caprì T, et al. Working memory and decision making in children with ADHD: an analysis of delay discounting with the use of the dual-task paradigm. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Jun 1;20(1):272. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02677-y. PMID: 32487039. *Intervention* 

1439. Fabio RA, Caprì T, Iannizzotto G, et al. Interactive avatar boosts the performances of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in dynamic measures of intelligence. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking. 2019 Sep 2019;22(9):588-96. *Intervention* 

1440. Faedda GL, Ohashi K, Hernandez M, et al. Actigraph measures discriminate pediatric bipolar disorder from attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and typically developing controls. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2016 Jun;57(6):706-16. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12520. PMID: 26799153. *Population* 

1441. Fageera W, Chaumette B, Fortier M, et al. Association between COMT methylation and response to treatment in children with ADHD. J Psychiatr Res. 2021 Mar;135:86-93. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.01.008. PMID: 33453563. *Intervention* 

1442. Fageera W, Sengupta SM, Fortier M, et al. Sex-dependent complex association of TPH2 with multiple dimensions of ADHD. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2021 Aug 30;110:110296. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2021.110296. PMID: 33677046. *Intervention* 

1443. Fageera W, Traicu A, Sengupta SM, et al. Placebo response and its determinants in children with ADHD across multiple observers and settings: A randomized clinical trial. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2018 Mar;27(1). doi: 10.1002/mpr.1572. PMID: 28664541. *Timing* 

1444. Fairchild G. Developmental pathways from childhood ADHD to adolescent depression: insights from the ALSPAC study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Nov;29(11):1477-8. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01658-6. PMID: 33037489. *Outcome* 

1445. Falissard B, Coghill D, Rothenberger A, et al. Short-term effectiveness of medication and psychosocial intervention in a cohort of newly diagnosed patients with inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity problems. J Atten Disord. 2010 Sep;14(2):147-56. doi: 10.1177/1087054709347173. PMID: 19767593. *Population* 

1446. Fallgatter AJ, Ehlis AC, Seifert J, et al. Altered response control and anterior cingulate function in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder boys. Clin Neurophysiol. 2004 Apr;115(4):973-81. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2003.11.036. PMID: 15003781. *Intervention* 

1447. Fallu A, Dabouz F, Furtado M, et al. A randomized, double-blind, cross-over, phase IV trial of oros-methylphenidate (CONCERTA(®)) and generic novo-methylphenidate ER-C (NOVO-generic). Ther Adv Psychopharmacol. 2016 Aug;6(4):237-51. doi: 10.1177/2045125316643674. PMID: 27536342. *Population* 

1448. Fan LY, Shang CY, Tseng WYI, et al. Visual processing as a potential endophenotype in youths with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A sibling study design using the counting Stroop functional MRI. Human Brain Mapping. 2018 Oct 2018;39(10):3827-35. *Intervention* 

1449. Fang Y, Han D, Luo H. A virtual reality application for assessment for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in school-aged children. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2019;15:1517-23. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S206742. PMID: 31239686. *Outcome* 

1450. Fankhauser MP, Karumanchi VC, German ML, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy of transdermal clonidine in autism. J Clin Psychiatry. 1992 Mar;53(3):77-82. PMID: 1548248. *Population* 

1451. Fanti KA, Colins OF, Andershed H, et al. Stability and change in callous-unemotional traits: Longitudinal associations with potential individual and contextual risk and protective factors. Am J Orthopsychiatry. 2017;87(1):62-75. doi: 10.1037/ort0000143. PMID: 27046166. *Intervention* 

1452. Fanton JH, MacDonald B, Harvey EA. Preschool parent-pediatrician consultations and predictive referral patterns for problematic behaviors. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2008 Dec;29(6):475-82. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e31818d4345. PMID: 18941427. *Intervention* 

1453. Farahani PV, Hekmatpou D, Khonsari AH, et al. Effectiveness of super brain yoga for children with hyperactivity disorder. Perspectives in Psychiatric Care. 2019 Apr 2019;55(2):140-6. *Intervention* 

1454. Faraone S, Biederman J, Monuteaux MC. Further evidence for the diagnostic continuity between child and adolescent ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2002 Jun;6(1):5-13. doi: 10.1177/108705470200600102. PMID: 12045756. *Intervention* 

1455. Faraone SV, Biederman J. Efficacy of Adderall for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: a meta-analysis. J Atten Disord. 2002 Sep;6(2):69-75. doi: 10.1177/108705470200600203. PMID: 12142863. *Design* 

1456. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Feighner JA, et al. Assessing symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adults: which is more valid? J Consult Clin Psychol. 2000 Oct;68(5):830-42. PMID: 11068969. *Intervention* 

1457. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Jetton JG, et al. Attention deficit disorder and conduct disorder: longitudinal evidence for a familial subtype. Psychol Med. 1997 Mar;27(2):291-300. doi: 10.1017/s0033291796004515. PMID: 9089822. *Intervention* 

1458. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Mick E, et al. A family study of psychiatric comorbidity in girls and boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2001 Oct 15;50(8):586-92. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(01)01146-5. PMID: 11690593. *Intervention* 

1459. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Zimmerman B. An analysis of patient adherence to treatment during a 1-year, open-label study of OROS methylphenidate in children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2007 Sep;11(2):157-66. doi: 10.1177/1087054706295663. PMID: 17494833. *Intervention* 

1460. Faraone SV, Bonvicini C, Scassellati C. Biomarkers in the Diagnosis of ADHD – Promising Directions. Current Psychiatry Reports. 2014 2014/10/10;16(11):497. doi: 10.1007/s11920-014-0497-1. *Design* 

1461. Faraone SV, Buitelaar J. Comparing the efficacy of stimulants for ADHD in children and adolescents using meta-analysis. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Apr;19(4):353-64. doi: 10.1007/s00787-009-0054-3. PMID: 19763664. *Design* 

1462. Faraone SV, Childress A, Wigal SB, et al. Reliability and Validity of the Daily Parent Rating of Evening and Morning Behavior Scale, Revised. J Atten Disord. 2018 Sep;22(11):1066-73. doi: 10.1177/1087054715619009. PMID: 26700792. *Intervention* 

1463. Faraone SV, DeSousa NJ, Komolova M, et al. Functional Impairment in Youth With ADHD: Normative Data and Norm-Referenced Cutoff Points for the Before School Functioning Questionnaire and the Parent Rating of Evening and Morning Behavior Scale, Revised. J Clin Psychiatry. 2019 Dec 10;81(1). doi: 10.4088/JCP.19m12956. PMID: 31846241. *Intervention* 

1464. Faraone SV, DeSousa NJ, Sallee FR, et al. Psychometric validation of the before school functioning questionnaire and parent rating of evening and morning behavior scale, revised in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2017;56(10):S212-S3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.09.177. *Design* 

1465. Faraone SV, Glatt SJ. Effects of extended-release guanfacine on ADHD symptoms and sedation-related adverse events in children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2010 Mar;13(5):532-8. doi: 10.1177/1087054709332472. PMID: 19395648. *Design* 

1466. Faraone SV, Hammerness PG, Wilens TE. Reliability and Validity of the Before-School Functioning Scale in Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2018 Sep;22(11):1040-8. doi: 10.1177/1087054714564623. PMID: 25575616. *Population* 

1467. Faraone SV, Newcorn JH, Cipriani A, et al. Placebo and nocebo responses in randomised, controlled trials of medications for ADHD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Mol Psychiatry. 2021 May 10. doi: 10.1038/s41380-021-01134-w. PMID: 33972692. *Design* 

1468. Faraone SV, Silverstein MJ, Antshel K, et al. The Adult ADHD Quality Measures Initiative. J Atten Disord. 2019 Aug;23(10):1063-78. doi: 10.1177/1087054718804354. PMID: 30511593. *Population* 

1469. Faraone SV, Spencer TJ, Montano CB, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adults: a survey of current practice in psychiatry and primary care. Arch Intern Med. 2004 Jun 14;164(11):1221-6. doi: 10.1001/archinte.164.11.1221

164/11/1221 [pii]. PMID: 15197048. Population

1470. Faraone SV, Wilens T. Does stimulant treatment lead to substance use disorders? J Clin Psychiatry. 2003;64 Suppl 11:9-13. PMID: 14529324. *Intervention* 

1471. Farhi A, Gabis LV, Frank S, et al. Cognitive achievements in school-age children born following assisted reproductive technology treatments: A prospective study. Early Hum Dev. 2021 Apr;155:105327. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2021.105327. PMID: 33607602. *Population* 

1472. Farias AC, Cordeiro ML, Felden EPG, et al. Attention–memory training yields behavioral and academic improvements in children diagnosed with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder comorbid with a learning disorder. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2017;13:1761-9. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S136663. *Intervention* 

1473. Farias AC, Cunha A, Benko CR, et al. Manganese in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: relationship with methylphenidate exposure. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Apr;20(2):113-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0073. PMID: 20415606. *Intervention*  1474. Faridi F, Alvand A, Khosrowabadi R. Brain Structural Correlates of Intelligence in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Individuals. Basic and Clinical Neuroscience. 2022;13(4):551-72. doi: 10.32598/bcn.2021.2244.1. *Outcome* 

1475. Farokhzadi F, Mohamadi MR, Khajevand Khosli A, et al. Comparing the effectiveness of the transcranial alternating current stimulation (TACS) and ritalin on symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in 7-14-year-old children. Acta Medica Iranica. 2020;58(12):637-48. doi: 10.18502/acta.v58i12.5156. *Power* 

1476. Farran EK, Bowler A, D'Souza H, et al. Is the motor impairment in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) a co-occurring deficit or a phenotypic characteristic? Advances in Neurodevelopmental Disorders. 2020 Sep 2020;4(3):253-70. *Intervention* 

1477. Farran EK, Bowler A, Karmiloff-Smith A, et al. Cross-Domain Associations Between Motor Ability, Independent Exploration, and Large-Scale Spatial Navigation; Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Williams Syndrome, and Typical Development. Front Hum Neurosci. 2019;13:225. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2019.00225. PMID: 31333435. *Intervention* 

1478. Farrell LJ, Lavell C, Baras E, et al. Clinical expression and treatment response among children with comorbid obsessive compulsive disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Affect Disord. 2020 Apr 1;266:585-94. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.01.144. PMID: 32056931. *Intervention* 

1479. Fasihi F, Alavi-Naeini A, Najafi M, et al. The effects of vitamin D supplementation on the antioxidant serum level in 6-13 years old children with ADHD. Tehran University Medical Journal. 2017;75(8):600-8. *Power* 

1480. Fassbender C, Schweitzer JB, Cortes CR, et al. Working memory in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder is characterized by a lack of specialization of brain function. PLoS One. 2011;6(11):e27240. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0027240. PMID: 22102882. *Outcome* 

1481. Fegert JM, Bode H, Hach I, et al. Treatment with methylphenidate in childhood and adolescence. Conclusions reached at an expert consensus conference. Monatsschrift fur Kinderheilkunde. 2007;155(8):747-52. doi: 10.1007/s00112-007-1573-y. *Language* 

1482. Fehlings DL, Roberts W, Humphries T, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: does cognitive behavioral therapy improve home behavior? J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1991 Aug;12(4):223-8. PMID: 1939676. *Power* 

1483. Feigin A, Kurlan R, McDermott MP, et al. A controlled trial of deprenyl in children with Tourette's syndrome and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neurology. 1996 Apr;46(4):965-8. doi: 10.1212/wnl.46.4.965. PMID: 8780073. *Power* 

1484. Feil EG, Small JW, Seeley JR, et al. Early Intervention for Preschoolers at Risk for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Preschool First Step to Success. Grantee Submission. 2016 02/01/;41(2):95-106. PMID: ED581149. *Population* 

1485. Feldman M, Bélanger S. Extended-release medications for children and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Paediatrics and Child Health. 2009;14(9):593-7. doi: 10.1093/pch/14.9.593. *Design* 

1486. Feldman ME. Amphetamines for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Paediatrics and Child Health (Canada). 2017;22(5):288-9. doi: 10.1093/pch/pxx084. *Design* 

1487. Felver JC, Tipsord JM, Morris MJ, et al. The Effects of Mindfulness-Based Intervention on Children's Attention Regulation. J Atten Disord. 2017 Aug;21(10):872-81. doi: 10.1177/1087054714548032. PMID: 25172884. *Population* 

1488. Fenesy MC, Teh SE, Lee SS. Negative Parenting Moderates the Prospective Association of ADHD Symptoms and Youth Social Problems. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2019 Oct;47(10):1583-97. doi: 10.1007/s10802-019-00542-5. PMID: 30955186. *Intervention* 

1489. Feng L, Ren Y, Cheng J, et al. Balance Training as an Adjunct to Methylphenidate: A Randomized Controlled Pilot Study of Behavioral Improvement Among Children With ADHD in China. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:552174. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.552174. PMID: 33488411. *Power* 

1490. Feng LJ, Chen AW, Luo XY, et al. Increased attention deficit/hyperactivity and oppositional defiance symptoms of 6-12 years old Chinese children with atopic dermatitis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2020 Jun 19;99(25):e20801. doi: 10.1097/md.000000000020801. PMID: 32569226. *Population* 

1491. Fenollar Cortés J, Servera M, Becker SP, et al. External validity of ADHD inattention and Sluggish Cognitive Tempo dimensions in Spanish children with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Jun 2017;21(8):655-66. *Intervention* 

1492. Fenollar-Cortés J, López-Pinar C, Watkins MW. Structural Validity of the Spanish Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children--Fourth Edition in a Large Sample of Spanish Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. International Journal of School & Educational Psychology. 2019 01/01/;7:2-14. PMID: EJ1235980. *Intervention* 

1493. Fenollar-Cortés J, López-Pinar C, Watkins MW. Structural validity of the Spanish Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children–Fourth Edition in a large sample of Spanish children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. International Journal of School & Educational Psychology. 2019 2019;7(Suppl 1):2-14. *Duplicate* 

1494. Fenollar-Cortés J, Parra-Martínez J, Hernández-Pérez E, et al. The HIDEA School-Based Screening Scale for Teachers to Detect ADHD Markers in Elementary Students. Psicothema. 2017 Aug;29(3):329-34. doi: 10.7334/psicothema2016.246. PMID: 28693702. *Intervention* 

1495. Fernandes Azevedo A, Seabra-Santos MJ, Gaspar MF, et al. A parent-based intervention programme involving preschoolers with AD/HD behaviours: are children's and mothers' effects sustained over time? Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Jun;23(6):437-50. doi: 10.1007/s00787-013-0470-2. PMID: 23999733. *Population* 

1496. Fernandez A, Dor E, Maurin T, et al. Exploration and characterisation of the phenotypic and genetic profiles of patients with early onset schizophrenia associated with autism spectrum disorder and their first-degree relatives: a French multicentre case series study protocol (GenAuDiss). BMJ Open. 2018 Jul 5;8(7):e023330. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023330. PMID: 29980548. *Population* 

1497. Fernández-Jaén A, Albert J, Fernández-Mayoralas DM, et al. Cingulate cortical thickness and dopamine transporter (DAT1) genotype in children and adolescents with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2018 May 2018;22(7):651-60. *Intervention* 

1498. Fernández-Jaén A, Fernández-Mayoralas DM, Calleja Pérez B, et al. Atomoxetine for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in mental retardation. Pediatr Neurol. 2010 Nov;43(5):341-7. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2010.06.003. PMID: 20933178. *Intervention* 

1499. Fernandez-Quintana A, Olofsdotter S, Vadlin S, et al. P.229 Clinical utility of two sensitivity/specificity-maximized cut-off scores of The World Health Organization ADHD Self-Report Scale for Adolescents (ASRS-A). European Neuropsychopharmacology. 2019;29:S176-S7. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.09.272. *Design* 

1500. Ferrara P, Sannicandro V, Ianniello F, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and enuresis: a study about effectiveness of treatment with methylphenidate or desmopressin in a pediatric population. Minerva Pediatr. 2019 Apr;71(2):135-8. doi: 10.23736/s0026-4946.17.04680-1. PMID: 28260347. *Intervention* 

1501. Ferro MA, Leatherdale ST. Traffic Violations among Young People with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Can J Psychiatry. 2019 Jul;64(7):511-5. doi: 10.1177/0706743718809340. PMID: 30370781. *Intervention* 

1502. Fibert P, Peasgood T, Relton C. Rethinking ADHD intervention trials: feasibility testing of two treatments and a methodology. Eur J Pediatr. 2019 Jul;178(7):983-93. doi: 10.1007/s00431-019-03374-z. PMID: 31020392. *Power* 

1503. Fibert P, Relton C. What families in the UK use to manage attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a survey of resource use. BMJ Paediatr Open. 2020;4(1):e000771. doi: 10.1136/bmjpo-2020-000771. PMID: 33294627. *Intervention* 

1504. Fibert P, Relton C, Heirs M, et al. A comparative consecutive case series of 20 children with a diagnosis of ADHD receiving homeopathic treatment, compared with 10 children receiving usual care. Homeopathy. 2016 May;105(2):194-201. doi: 10.1016/j.homp.2015.09.008. PMID: 27211327. *Power* 

1505. Fibert P, Relton C, Peasgood T, et al. Protocol for the STAR (Sheffield Treatments for ADHD) project: an internal pilot study assessing the feasibility of the Trials within Cohorts (TwiCs) design to test the effectiveness of interventions for children with ADHD. Pilot Feasibility Stud. 2018;4:61. doi: 10.1186/s40814-018-0250-3. PMID: 29511570. *Outcome* 

1506. Field TM, Quintino O, Hernandez-Reif M, et al. Adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder benefit from massage therapy. Adolescence. 1998 Spring;33(129):103-8. PMID: 9583664. *Comparator* 

1507. Fields SA, Hale LR. Psychoeducational groups for youth attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a family medicine pilot project. Ment Health Fam Med. 2011 Sep;8(3):157-65. PMID: 22942897. *Power* 

1508. Figueiredo T, Fortes D, Erthal P, et al. Impulsivity as an Endophenotype in ADHD: Negative Findings. J Atten Disord. 2021 Feb;25(4):502-7. doi: 10.1177/1087054718816161. PMID: 30520670. *Intervention* 

1509. Filho AGC, Bodanese R, Silva TL, et al. Comparison of Risperidone and Methylphenidate for Reducing ADHD Symptoms in Children and Adolescents with Moderate Mental Retardation. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2005 08/01/;44(8):748-A. PMID: EJ697265. *Duplicate* 

1510. Filipek PA, Semrud-Clikeman M, Steingard RJ, et al. Volumetric MRI analysis comparing subjects having attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder with normal controls. Neurology. 1997 Mar;48(3):589-601. doi: 10.1212/wnl.48.3.589. PMID: 9065532. *Intervention* 

1511. Filippetti VA, Richaud MC, Krumm G, et al. Cognitive and Socioeconomic Predictors of Stroop Performance in Children and Developmental Patterns According to Socioeconomic Status and ADHD Subtype. Psychology and Neuroscience. 2021;14(2):183-206. doi: 10.1037/pne0000224. *Intervention* 

1512. Findling RL, Biederman J, Wilens TE, et al. Short- and long-term cardiovascular effects of mixed amphetamine salts extended release in children. J Pediatr. 2005 Sep;147(3):348-54. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2005.03.014. PMID: 16182674. *Timing* 

1513. Findling RL, Childress AC, Krishnan S, et al. Long-term effectiveness and safety of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in school-aged children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. CNS Spectr. 2008 Jul;13(7):614-20. doi: 10.1017/s1092852900016898. PMID: 18622366. *Comparator* 

1514. Findling RL, Connor DF, Wigal T, et al. A linguistic analysis of in-office dialogue among psychiatrists, parents, and child and adolescent patients with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2009 Jul;13(1):78-86. doi: 10.1177/1087054708323002. PMID: 18768452. *Intervention* 

1515. Findling RL, Ginsberg LD, Jain R, et al. Effectiveness, safety, and tolerability of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: an open-label, dose-optimization study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Dec;19(6):649-62. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0165. PMID: 20035583. *Comparator* 

1516. Findling RL, Greenhill LL, McNamara NK, et al. Venlafaxine in the treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Aug;17(4):433-45. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.0119. PMID: 17822339. *Timing* 

1517. Findling RL, Quinn D, Hatch SJ, et al. Comparison of the clinical efficacy of twice-daily Ritalin and once-daily Equasym XL with placebo in children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Dec;15(8):450-9. doi: 10.1007/s00787-006-0565-0. PMID: 16791541. *Timing* 

1518. Findling RL, Robb AS, DelBello M, et al. Pharmacokinetics and Safety of Vortioxetine in Pediatric Patients. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Aug;27(6):526-34. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0155. PMID: 28333546. *Population* 

1519. Findling RL, Schwartz MA, Flannery DJ, et al. Venlafaxine in adults with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: an open clinical trial. J Clin Psychiatry. 1996 May;57(5):184-9. PMID: 8626348. *Population* 

1520. Findling RL, Short EJ, Manos MJ. Short-term cardiovascular effects of methylphenidate and adderall. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 May;40(5):525-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200105000-00011. PMID: 11349696. *Timing*
1521. Findling RL, Short EJ, McNamara NK, et al. Methylphenidate in the treatment of children and adolescents with bipolar disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Nov;46(11):1445-53. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31814b8d3b. PMID: 18049294. *Power* 

1522. Findon J, Cadman T, Stewart CS, et al. Screening for co-occurring conditions in adults with autism spectrum disorder using the strengths and difficulties questionnaire: A pilot study. Autism Res. 2016 Dec;9(12):1353-63. doi: 10.1002/aur.1625. PMID: 27120552. *Population* 

1523. Fine S, Johnston C. Drug and placebo side effects in methylphenidate-placebo trial for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 1993 Fall;24(1):25-30. doi: 10.1007/BF02353715. PMID: 8404241. *Power* 

1524. Finsaas MC, Kessel EM, Dougherty LR, et al. Early Childhood Psychopathology Prospectively Predicts Social Functioning in Early Adolescence. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2020 May-Jun;49(3):353-64. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2018.1504298. PMID: 30307751. *Intervention* 

1525. Finzi-Dottan R, Manor I, Tyano S. ADHD, temperament, and parental style as predictors of the child's attachment patterns. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2006 Winter;37(2):103-14. doi: 10.1007/s10578-006-0024-7. PMID: 16858640. *Intervention* 

1526. Fioravante I, Lozano-Lozano JA, Martella D. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A pilot study for symptom assessment and diagnosis in children in Chile. Front Psychol. 2022;13:946273. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.946273. PMID: 35992438. *Outcome* 

1527. Firat S, Bolat GU, Gul H, et al. Barkley child attention scale validity and reliability study. Dusunen Adam. 2018;31(3):284-93. doi: 10.5350/DAJPN2018310306. *Intervention* 

1528. Firestone P, Musten LM, Pisterman S, et al. Short-term side effects of stimulant medication are increased in preschool children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a double-blind placebo-controlled study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 1998;8(1):13-25. doi: 10.1089/cap.1998.8.13. PMID: 9639076. *Power* 

1529. Firouzkouhi Moghaddam M, Rakhshani T, Khosravi M. Effectiveness of methylphenidate supplemented by zinc,calcium,and magnesium for treatment of ADHD patients in the city of Zahedan. Shiraz E Medical Journal. 2016;17(9). doi: 10.17795/semj40019. *Power* 

1530. Fischer JA, Najman JM, Williams GM, et al. Childhood and adolescent psychopathology and subsequent tobacco smoking in young adults: findings from an Australian birth cohort. Addiction. 2012 Sep;107(9):1669-76. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03846.x. PMID: 22340634. *Population* 

1531. Fischer M, Barkley RA, Edelbrock CS, et al. The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children diagnosed by research criteria: II. Academic, attentional, and neuropsychological status. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1990 Oct;58(5):580-8. doi: 10.1037//0022-006x.58.5.580. PMID: 2254504. *Outcome* 

1532. Fischer M, Barkley RA, Fletcher KE, et al. The stability of dimensions of behavior in ADHD and normal children over an 8-year followup. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1993 Jun;21(3):315-37. doi: 10.1007/bf00917537. PMID: 8335766. *Comparator* 

1533. Fischer M, Newby RF. Assessment of Stimulant Response in ADHD Children Using a Refined Multimethod Clinical Protocol. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology. 1991 1991/09/01;20(3):232-44. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp2003\_2. *Timing* 

1534. Fisher SL, Bucholz KK, Reich W, et al. Teenagers are right--parents do not know much: an analysis of adolescent-parent agreement on reports of adolescent substance use, abuse, and dependence. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2006 Oct;30(10):1699-710. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2006.00205.x. PMID: 17010137. *Population* 

1535. Fitzpatrick PA, Klorman R, Brumaghim JT, et al. Effects of sustained-release and standard preparations of methylphenidate on attention deficit disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1992 Mar;31(2):226-34. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199203000-00008. PMID: 1564023. *Power* 

1536. Fırat S, Gül H, Aysev A. Distinguishing SCT Symptoms from ADHD in Children: Internal and External Validity in Turkish Culture. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2019;41(4):716-29. doi: 10.1007/s10862-019-09750-1. *Intervention* 

1537. Firat S, Gul H, Aysev A. An Open-Label Trial of Methylphenidate Treating Sluggish Cognitive Tempo, Inattention, and Hyperactivity/Impulsivity Symptoms Among 6- to 12-Year-Old ADHD Children: What Are the Predictors of Treatment Response at Home and School? J Atten Disord. 2021 Jul;25(9):1321-30. doi: 10.1177/1087054720902846. PMID: 32064995. *Comparator* 

1538. Flegenheimer C, Lugo-Candelas C, Harvey E, et al. Neural processing of threat cues in young children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity symptoms. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2018 Mar 2018;47(2):336-44. *Intervention* 

1539. Fleming M, Fitton CA, Steiner MFC, et al. Educational and Health Outcomes of Children Treated for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. JAMA Pediatr. 2017 Jul 3;171(7):e170691. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.0691. PMID: 28459927. *Intervention* 

1540. Fletcher J, Wolfe B. Long-term consequences of childhood ADHD on criminal activities. J Ment Health Policy Econ. 2009 Sep;12(3):119-38. PMID: 19996475. *Population* 

1541. Fletcher KE, Fischer M, Barkley RA, et al. A sequential analysis of the mother-adolescent interactions of ADHD, ADHD/ODD, and normal teenagers during neutral and conflict discussions. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1996 Jun;24(3):271-97. doi: 10.1007/bf01441632. PMID: 8836802. *Intervention* 

1542. Flores REU, Sánchez RD, De La Peña FR, et al. Executive Functioning in Children and Adolescents with ADHD and Disruptive Behavior Disorders. Innovations in Clinical Neuroscience. 2022;19(10-12):16-8. *Design* 

1543. Flores-García L, Lensing MB, Ytterstad E, et al. Quality of life in substance use disorder patients with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder 12 months after treatment: a naturalistic follow-up study. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Sep;11(3):299-310. doi: 10.1007/s12402-019-00297-5. PMID: 30903585. *Population* 

1544. Flory JD, Newcorn JH, Miller C, et al. Serotonergic function in children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder: relationship to later antisocial personality disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2007 May;190:410-4. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.106.027847. PMID: 17470955. *Intervention*  1545. Flory K, Malone PS, Lamis DA. Childhood ADHD symptoms and risk for cigarette smoking during adolescence: School adjustment as a potential mediator. Psychol Addict Behav. 2011 Jun;25(2):320-9. doi: 10.1037/a0022633. PMID: 21401217. *Population* 

1546. Flory K, Molina BSG, Pelham WE, Jr., et al. Childhood ADHD Predicts Risky Sexual Behavior in Young Adulthood. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2006 01/01/;35(4):571-7. PMID: EJ744282. *Intervention* 

1547. Flynn RM, Colon N. Solitary Active Videogame Play Improves Executive Functioning More Than Collaborative Play for Children with Special Needs. Games Health J. 2016 Dec;5(6):398-404. doi: 10.1089/g4h.2016.0053. PMID: 27893289. *Population* 

1548. Fogas BS, Oesterheld JR, Shader RI. A retrospective study of children's perceptions of participation as clinical research subjects in a minimal risk study. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2001 Aug;22(4):211-6. doi: 10.1097/00004703-200108000-00001. PMID: 11530893. *Outcome* 

1549. Fogleman ND, McQuade JD, Mehari KR, et al. In-person victimization, cyber victimization, and polyvictimization in relation to internalizing symptoms and self-esteem in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Child Care Health Dev. 2021 Jun 21. doi: 10.1111/cch.12888. PMID: 34155671. *Intervention* 

1550. Fogler JM, Normand S, O'Dea N, et al. Implementing Group Parent Training in Telepsychology: Lessons Learned During the COVID-19 Pandemic. J Pediatr Psychol. 2020 Oct 1;45(9):983-9. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsaa085. PMID: 32940702. *Design* 

1551. Fogler JM, Weaver AL, Katusic S, et al. Recalled Experiences of Bullying and Victimization in a Longitudinal, Population-Based Birth Cohort: The Influence of ADHD and Co-Occurring Psychiatric Disorder. J Atten Disord. 2022 Jan;26(1):15-24. doi: 10.1177/1087054720969981. PMID: 33174504. *Intervention* 

1552. Foley R, Mrvos R, Krenzelok EP. A profile of methylphenidate exposures. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol. 2000;38(6):625-30. doi: 10.1081/clt-100102011. PMID: 11185969. *Intervention* 

1553. Forssberg H, Fernell E, Waters S, et al. Altered pattern of brain dopamine synthesis in male adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Behavioral and Brain Functions. 2006;2. doi: 10.1186/1744-9081-2-40. *Intervention* 

1554. Forssman L, Bohlin G, Lundervold AJ, et al. Independent contributions of cognitive functioning and social risk factors to symptoms of ADHD in two nordic populations-based cohorts. Dev Neuropsychol. 2009;34(6):721-35. doi: 10.1080/87565640903265111. PMID: 20183729. *Intervention* 

1555. Forte A, Orri M, Galera C, et al. Developmental trajectories of childhood symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention and suicidal behavior during adolescence. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Feb;29(2):145-51. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01338-0. PMID: 31025118. *Intervention* 

1556. Fosco WD, Kofler MJ, Alderson RM, et al. Inhibitory Control and Information Processing in ADHD: Comparing the Dual Task and Performance Adjustment Hypotheses. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2019 Jun;47(6):961-74. doi: 10.1007/s10802-018-0504-9. PMID: 30547312. *Intervention* 

1557. Fosco WD, Rosch KS, Waxmonsky JG, et al. Baseline performance moderates stimulant effects on cognition in youth with ADHD. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 2021 Aug;29(4):302-7. doi: 10.1037/pha0000374. PMID: 32297786. *Timing* 

1558. Fosco WD, Sarver DE, Kofler MJ, et al. Parent and child neurocognitive functioning predict response to behavioral parent training for youth with ADHD. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2018 Dec;10(4):285-95. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0259-8. PMID: 30051256. *Design* 

1559. Fosco WD, White CN, Hawk LW, Jr. Acute Stimulant Treatment and Reinforcement Increase the Speed of Information Accumulation in Children with ADHD. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2017 Jul;45(5):911-20. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0222-0. PMID: 27787672. *Intervention* 

1560. Fosi T, Lax-Pericall MT, Scott RC, et al. Methylphenidate treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in young people with learning disability and difficult-to-treat epilepsy: evidence of clinical benefit. Epilepsia. 2013 Dec;54(12):2071-81. doi: 10.1111/epi.12399. PMID: 24304474. *Design* 

1561. Fossum IN, Andersen PN, Øie MG, et al. Development of executive functioning from childhood to young adulthood in autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A 10-year longitudinal study. Neuropsychology. 2021 Nov 2021;35(8):809-21. *Intervention* 

1562. Fossum S, Cunningham C, Ristkari T, et al. Does parental mental health moderate the effect of a telephone and internet-assisted remote parent training for disruptive 4-year-old children? Scand J Psychol. 2018 Jun;59(3):273-80. doi: 10.1111/sjop.12430. PMID: 29480527. *Population* 

1563. Foubister L, Rennie F, Williams J. Parents in Control: Parental perceptions of problem behaviors before and after attending an ADHD-specific parent-training program. J Child Adolesc Psychiatr Nurs. 2020 Feb;33(1):30-7. doi: 10.1111/jcap.12261. PMID: 31763749. *Intervention* 

1564. Fox O, Adi-Japha E, Karni A. The effect of a skipped dose (placebo) of methylphenidate on the learning and retention of a motor skill in adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2014 Mar;24(3):391-6. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2013.11.005. PMID: 24332892. *Intervention* 

1565. Frame K. Empowering preadolescents With ADHD: demons or delights. ANS Adv Nurs Sci. 2003 Apr-Jun;26(2):131-9. doi: 10.1097/00012272-200304000-00005. PMID: 12795541. *Intervention* 

1566. Frampton JE. Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate: A Review in Paediatric ADHD. Drugs. 2018 Jul;78(10):1025-36. doi: 10.1007/s40265-018-0936-0. PMID: 29923015. *Design* 

1567. Francesco Oliva FMSCGdGGCNCLO. Mindfulness-based intervention and ADHD: outcomes, assessment tools, protocols and efficacy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019130639. 2019.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=130639. Design

1568. Francis SM, Beard KL, Tseng A, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation for compulsivity in adolescent fraternal twins with neurodevelopmental disorders. Brain Stimulation. 2020 Jul 2020 - Aug 2020;13(4):1153-5. *Population* 

1569. Frank Y, Seiden J, Napolitano B. Visual event related potentials and reaction time in normal adults, normal children, and children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: differences in short-term memory processing. Int J Neurosci. 1996 Nov;88(1-2):109-24. doi: 10.3109/00207459608999817. PMID: 9003969. *Intervention* 

1570. Franke N, Keown LJ, Sanders MR. An RCT of an Online Parenting Program for Parents of Preschool-Aged Children With ADHD Symptoms. J Atten Disord. 2020 Oct;24(12):1716-26. doi: 10.1177/1087054716667598. PMID: 27609783. *Power* 

1571. Frankel F, Myatt R, Cantwell DP, et al. Parent-assisted transfer of children's social skills training: effects on children with and without attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997 Aug;36(8):1056-64. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199708000-00013. PMID: 9256585. *Design* 

1572. Fraser AM, Brockert JE, Ward RH. Association of young maternal age with adverse reproductive outcomes. N Engl J Med. 1995 Apr 27;332(17):1113-7. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199504273321701. PMID: 7700283. *Intervention* 

1573. Frei H, von Ammon K, Thurneysen A. Treatment of hyperactive children: increased efficiency through modifications of homeopathic diagnostic procedure. Homeopathy. 2006 Jul;95(3):163-70. doi: 10.1016/j.homp.2006.05.007. PMID: 16815520. *Comparator* 

1574. Freiburg UH, Novartis. Pharmacokinetics of Two Extended-Release Formulations of Methylphenidate in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2008. *Outcome* 

1575. Freitag CM, Hänig S, Palmason H, et al. Cortisol awakening response in healthy children and children with ADHD: impact of comorbid disorders and psychosocial risk factors. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2009 Aug;34(7):1019-28. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.01.018. PMID: 19278790. *Outcome* 

1576. Freitag CM, Rohde LA, Lempp T, et al. Phenotypic and measurement influences on heritability estimates in childhood ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Mar;19(3):311-23. doi: 10.1007/s00787-010-0097-5. PMID: 20213230. *Intervention* 

1577. French B, Hall C, Perez Vallejos E, et al. Evaluation of a Web-Based ADHD Awareness Training in Primary Care: Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial With Nested Interviews. JMIR Med Educ. 2020 Dec 11;6(2):e19871. doi: 10.2196/19871. PMID: 33306027. *Population* 

1578. French B, Sayal K, Daley D. Barriers and facilitators to understanding of ADHD in primary care: a mixed-method systematic review. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Aug;28(8):1037-64. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1256-3. PMID: 30552584. *Intervention* 

1579. French WP, Chronis-Tuscano A, Whitock K, et al. 6.69 TREATING MOTHERS AND FATHERS WITH ADHD WITH STIMULANT MEDICATION AND PARENT TRAINING: EFFECTS ON PARENTING AND GLOBAL IMPROVEMENT. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2019;58(10):S293. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.08.461. *Design* 

1580. Frenkel TI, Donzella B, Frenn KA, et al. Moderating the Risk for Attention Deficits in Children with Pre-Adoptive Adversity: The Protective Role of Shorter Duration of out of Home Placement and Children's Enhanced Error Monitoring. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2020 Sep;48(9):1115-28. doi: 10.1007/s10802-020-00671-2. PMID: 32607754. *Intervention* 

1581. Frick MA, Darling Rasmussen P, Brocki KC. Can attachment predict core and comorbid symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder beyond executive functions and emotion regulation? Br J Clin Psychol. 2021 Jun 30. doi: 10.1111/bjc.12317. PMID: 34190353. *Intervention* 

1582. Fridman M, Banaschewski T, Sikirica V, et al. Caregiver perspective on pediatric attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: medication satisfaction and symptom control. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2017;13:443-55. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S121639. PMID: 28243096. *Intervention* 

1583. Fried R, DiSalvo M, Farrell A, et al. Using a Digital Meditation Application to Mitigate Anxiety and Sleep Problems in Children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2022 May;26(7):1033-9. doi: 10.1177/10870547211025616. PMID: 34865550. *Power* 

1584. Fried R, DiSalvo M, Kelberman C, et al. An innovative SMS intervention to improve adherence to stimulants in children with ADHD: Preliminary findings. J Psychopharmacol. 2020 Aug;34(8):883-90. doi: 10.1177/0269881120908014. PMID: 32077768. *Power* 

1585. Friedman LM, Rapport MD, Fabrikant-Abzug G. Consistently Inconsistent Working Memory Performance Among Children with ADHD: Evidence of Response Accuracy Variability (RAV). Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2022;44(3):787-99. doi: 10.1007/s10862-022-09967-7. *Design* 

1586. Frisch C, Tirosh E, Rosenblum S. Parental Occupation Executive Training (POET): An Efficient Innovative Intervention for Young Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder. Phys Occup Ther Pediatr. 2020;40(1):47-61. doi: 10.1080/01942638.2019.1640336. PMID: 31314651. *Population* 

1587. Froehlich TE, Antonini TN, Brinkman WB, et al. Mediators of methylphenidate effects on math performance in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2014 Feb-Mar;35(2):100-7. doi: 10.1097/DBP.000000000000025. PMID: 24509055. *Timing* 

1588. Froehlich TE, Becker SP, Nick TG, et al. Sluggish Cognitive Tempo as a Possible Predictor of Methylphenidate Response in Children With ADHD: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Clin Psychiatry. 2018 Mar/Apr;79(2). doi: 10.4088/JCP.17m11553. PMID: 29489078. *Timing* 

1589. Froehlich TE, Brinkman WB, Peugh JL, et al. Pre-Existing Comorbid Emotional Symptoms Moderate Short-Term Methylphenidate Adverse Effects in a Randomized Trial of Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Apr;30(3):137-47. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0125. PMID: 31841646. *Timing* 

1590. Froehlich TE, Epstein JN, Nick TG, et al. Pharmacogenetic Predictors of Methylphenidate Dose-Response in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011 11/01/;50(11):1129-39. PMID: EJ944478. *Timing* 

1591. Froehlich TE, Lanphear BP, Epstein JN, et al. Prevalence, recognition, and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in a national sample of US children. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2007 Sep;161(9):857-64. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.161.9.857. PMID: 17768285. *Design* 

1592. Frogner L, Andershed AK, Andershed H. Psychopathic Personality Works Better than CU Traits for Predicting Fearlessness and ADHD Symptoms among Children with Conduct

Problems. J Psychopathol Behav Assess. 2018;40(1):26-39. doi: 10.1007/s10862-018-9651-0. PMID: 29576681. *Intervention* 

1593. Frye SS, Fernandez-Mendoza J, Calhoun SL, et al. Neurocognitive and behavioral significance of periodic limb movements during sleep in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Sleep. 2018 Oct 1;41(10). doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsy129. PMID: 29986077. *Intervention* 

1594. Fu GH, Chen W, Li HM, et al. A potential association of RNF219-AS1 with ADHD: Evidence from categorical analysis of clinical phenotypes and from quantitative exploration of executive function and white matter microstructure endophenotypes. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2021 May;27(5):603-16. doi: 10.1111/cns.13629. PMID: 33644999. *Intervention* 

1595. Fu R, Gartlehner G, Grant M, et al. Conducting quantitative synthesis when comparing medical interventions: AHRQ and the Effective Health Care Program. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011 Nov;64(11):1187-97. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.08.010. PMID: 21477993. *Duplicate* 

1596. Fu T, Li B, Yin W, et al. Sound localization and auditory selective attention in schoolaged children with ADHD. Front Neurosci. 2022;16:1051585. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.1051585. PMID: 36620456. *Outcome* 

1597. Fujioka T, Takiguchi S, Yatsuga C, et al. Advanced Test of Attention in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Japan for Evaluation of Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine Effects. Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci. 2016 Feb 29;14(1):79-87. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2016.14.1.79. PMID: 26792044. *Intervention* 

1598. Fumeaux P, Mercier C, Roche S, et al. Validation of the French Version of Conners' Parent Rating Scale Revised, Short Version: Factorial Structure and Reliability. Can J Psychiatry. 2016 Apr;61(4):236-42. doi: 10.1177/0706743716635549. PMID: 27254416. *Intervention* 

1599. Fumeaux P, Mercier C, Roche S, et al. Validation of the French Version of Conners' Parent Rating Scale-Revised, Short Form in ADHD-Diagnosed Children and Comparison With Control Children. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jan;25(1):124-33. doi: 10.1177/1087054718763908. PMID: 29562852. *Outcome* 

1600. Fumeaux P, Roche S, Mercier C, et al. Validation of the French Version of Conners' Parent Rating Scale-Revised, Short Version (CPRS-R:S): Scale Measurement Invariance by Sex and Age. J Atten Disord. 2020 Oct;24(12):1693-700. doi: 10.1177/1087054717696767. PMID: 29584532. *Comparator* 

1601. Funderburk BW ES, Newcomb K, et al. Parent-child interaction therapy with behavior problem children: maintenance of treatment effects in the school setting. Child Fam Behav Ther. 1998;20(2):17-38. *Population* 

1602. Furlong M, McGilloway S, Bywater T, et al. Behavioural and cognitive-behavioural group-based parenting programmes for early-onset conduct problems in children aged 3 to 12 years. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;2:CD008225. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008225.pub2. PMID: 22336837. *Population* 

1603. Furu K, Karlstad Ø, Zoega H, et al. Utilization of Stimulants and Atomoxetine for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder among 5.4 Million Children Using Population-Based

Longitudinal Data. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol. 2017 Apr;120(4):373-9. doi: 10.1111/bcpt.12724. PMID: 27911044. *Intervention* 

1604. Furukawa E, Alsop B, Caparelli-Dáquer EM, et al. Behavioral adjustment to asymmetric reward availability among children with and without ADHD: effects of past and current reinforcement contingencies. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Jun;11(2):149-58. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0265-x. PMID: 30191501. *Intervention* 

1605. Furukawa E, Alsop B, Shimabukuro S, et al. Is increased sensitivity to punishment a common characteristic of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder? An experimental study of response allocation in Japanese children. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Dec;11(4):433-43. doi: 10.1007/s12402-019-00307-6. PMID: 31098948. *Intervention* 

1606. Furukawa E, Alsop B, Sowerby P, et al. Evidence for increased behavioral control by punishment in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017 Mar;58(3):248-57. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12635. PMID: 27611786. *Intervention* 

1607. Gaastra GF, Groen Y, Tucha L, et al. The Effects of Classroom Interventions on Off-Task and Disruptive Classroom Behavior in Children with Symptoms of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-Analytic Review. PLoS One. 2016;11(2):e0148841. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148841. PMID: 26886218. *Population* 

1608. Gadow KD, Nolan EE, Sverd J, et al. Anxiety and depression symptoms and response to methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and tic disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2002 Jun;22(3):267-74. doi: 10.1097/00004714-200206000-00007. PMID: 12006897. *Power* 

1609. Gadow KD, Sverd J. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, chronic tic disorder, and methylphenidate. Adv Neurol. 2006;99:197-207. PMID: 16536367. *Design* 

1610. Gadow KD, Sverd J, Nolan EE, et al. Immediate-release methylphenidate for ADHD in children with comorbid chronic multiple tic disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Jul;46(7):840-8. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31805c0860. PMID: 17581448. *Power* 

1611. Gadow KD, Sverd J, Sprafkin J, et al. Long-term methylphenidate therapy in children with comorbid attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and chronic multiple tic disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1999 Apr;56(4):330-6. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.56.4.330. PMID: 10197827. *Population* 

1612. Gadow KD DC, Pomeroy J. ADHD symptom subtypes in children with pervasive developmental disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. 2006 Feb;36(2):271-83. *Design* 

1613. Galanter CA, Carlson GA, Jensen PS, et al. Response to methylphenidate in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and manic symptoms in the multimodal treatment study of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder titration trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2003 Summer;13(2):123-36. doi: 10.1089/104454603322163844. PMID: 12880507. *Duplicate* 

1614. Galbiati S, Recla M, Pastore V, et al. Attention remediation following traumatic brain injury in childhood and adolescence. Neuropsychology. 2009 Jan;23(1):40-9. doi: 10.1037/a0013409. PMID: 19210031. *Population* 

1615. Galéra C, Cortese S, Orri M, et al. Medical conditions and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder symptoms from early childhood to adolescence. Mol Psychiatry. 2022 Feb;27(2):976-84. doi: 10.1038/s41380-021-01357-x. PMID: 34703026. *Intervention* 

1616. Galera C, Orri M, Vergunst F, et al. Developmental profiles of childhood attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and irritability: association with adolescent mental health, functional impairment, and suicidal outcomes. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 Feb;62(2):232-43. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13270. PMID: 32474921. *Intervention* 

1617. Galéra C, Pingault JB, Michel G, et al. Clinical and social factors associated with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder medication use: population-based longitudinal study. Br J Psychiatry. 2014 Oct;205(4):291-7. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.113.141952. PMID: 25104834. *Intervention* 

1618. Gallagher R, Haroon M, Yoncheva Y, et al. 1.93 Testing Continued Effectiveness Through Multiple Modifications of an Empirically Supported Treatment for Organization, Time Management, and Planning Deficits in ADHD and Related Disorders. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S171. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.109. *Design* 

1619. Galland BC, Tripp EG, Gray A, et al. Apnea-hypopnea indices and snoring in children diagnosed with ADHD: a matched case-control study. Sleep Breath. 2011 Sep;15(3):455-62. doi: 10.1007/s11325-010-0357-0. PMID: 20440568. *Intervention* 

1620. Gallego-Martínez A, García-Sevilla J, Fenollar-Cortés J. Implication of visuospatial and phonological working memory in the clinical heterogeneity of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Anales de Psicología. 2018 Jan 2018;34(1):16-22. *Intervention* 

1621. Gallen CL, Anguera JA, Gerdes MR, et al. Enhancing neural markers of attention in children with ADHD using a digital therapeutic. PLoS One. 2021;16(12):e0261981. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0261981. PMID: 34972140. *Comparator* 

1622. Gallichan DJ, Curle C. Fitting square pegs into round holes: the challenge of coping with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2008 Jul;13(3):343-63. doi: 10.1177/1359104508090599. PMID: 18783119. *Intervention* 

1623. Galloway-Long H, Huang-Pollock C. Using inspection time and ex-Gaussian parameters of reaction time to predict executive functions in children with ADHD. Intelligence. 2018 Jul 2018 - Aug 2018;69:186-94. *Intervention* 

1624. Galloway-Long H, Huang-Pollock C, Neely K. Ahead of the (ROC) Curve: A Statistical Approach to Utilizing Ex-Gaussian Parameters of Reaction Time in Diagnosing ADHD Across Three Developmental Periods. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2021 Sep 7:1-14. doi: 10.1017/s1355617721000990. PMID: 34488917. *Outcome* 

1625. Gamal F, El Agami O, Salamah A. Coenzyme Q10 in the Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children: A Randomized Controlled Trial. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets. 2021 Nov 23. doi: 10.2174/1871527320666211124093345. PMID: 34819012. *Power* 

1626. Gammon GD, Brown TE. Fluoxetine and methylphenidate in combination for treatment of attention deficit disorder and comorbid depressive disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 1993 Spring;3(1):1-10. doi: 10.1089/cap.1993.3.1. PMID: 19630593. *Comparator* 

1627. Gamo NJ, Wang M, Arnsten AFT. Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine Enhance Prefrontal Function through alpha[subscript 2]-Adrenergic and Dopamine D[subscript 1] Receptors. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2010 10/01/;49(10):1011-23. PMID: EJ944608. *Population* 

1628. Gandhi A, Beekman C, Parker R, et al. Novel and rapid LC-MS/MS method for quantitative analysis of methylphenidate in dried blood spots. Bioanalysis. 2018 Jun 1;10(11):839-50. doi: 10.4155/bio-2018-0024. PMID: 29863895. *Outcome* 

1629. Gao L, Leung MTY, Li X, et al. Linking cohort-based data with electronic health records: a proof-of-concept methodological study in Hong Kong. BMJ Open. 2021 Jun 22;11(6):e045868. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045868. PMID: 34158297. *Intervention* 

1630. Gapin J, Etnier JL. The relationship between physical activity and executive function performance in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Sport Exerc Psychol. 2010 Dec;32(6):753-63. doi: 10.1123/jsep.32.6.753. PMID: 21282836. *Comparator* 

1631. Gapin JI, Labban JD, Bohall SC, et al. Acute exercise is associated with specific executive functions in college students with ADHD: A preliminary study. Journal of Sport and Health Science. 2015 2015/03/01/;4(1):89-96. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2014.11.003. *Timing* 

1632. Garas P, Balazs J. Long-Term Suicide Risk of Children and Adolescents With Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder—A Systematic Review. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2020;11. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.557909. *Duplicate* 

1633. Garbe E, Mikolajczyk RT, Kraut AA, et al. Drug treatment of ADHD in children and youths in Germany. Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety. 2011;20:S132-S3. doi: 10.1002/pds.2206. *Intervention* 

1634. Garces K. Atomoxetine for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Issues Emerg Health Technol. 2003 May(46):1-4. PMID: 12751480. *Population* 

1635. García Murillo L, Ramos-Olazagasti MA, Mannuzza S, et al. Childhood Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Homelessness: A 33-Year Follow-Up Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Nov;55(11):931-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.07.772. PMID: 27806860. *Intervention* 

1636. García Ron A, Rodriguez Mesa M, Arias Vivas E, et al. The impact of methylphenidate treatment on the functional and structural properties of the left ventricle: A medium-term prospective study. An Pediatr (Engl Ed). 2021 Dec 19. doi: 10.1016/j.anpede.2020.12.017. PMID: 34937681. *Intervention* 

1637. Garcia SP, Guimarães J, Zampieri JF, et al. Response to methylphenidate in children and adolescents with ADHD: does comorbid anxiety disorders matters? J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2009 May;116(5):631-6. doi: 10.1007/s00702-009-0211-3. PMID: 19370390. *Intervention* 

1638. García-Baos A, D'Amelio T, Oliveira I, et al. Novel Interactive Eye-Tracking Game for Training Attention in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord. 2019 Jul 3;21(4). doi: 10.4088/PCC.19m02428. PMID: 31274260. *Power* 

1639. García-Castellar R, Sánchez-Chiva D, Jara-Jiménez P, et al. Assessment of social selfperceptions of acceptance and enmity in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Canadian Journal of School Psychology. 2021 Dec 2021;36(4):318-34. *Intervention* 

1640. García-Gómez A, Rodríguez-Jiménez M, Guerrero-Barona E, et al. Benefits of an experimental program of equestrian therapy for children with ADHD. Res Dev Disabil. 2016 Dec;59:176-85. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2016.09.003. PMID: 27614276. *Power* 

1641. García-Pérez A, Expósito-Torrejón J, Martínez-Granero MA, et al. The clinical semiology of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder according to age, and the effectiveness of treatments at different ages. Revista de Neurologia. 2005;41(9):517-24. doi: 10.33588/rn.4109.2005160. *Language* 

1642. García-Redondo P, García T, Areces D, et al. Serious Games and Their Effect Improving Attention in Students with Learning Disabilities. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Jul 11;16(14). doi: 10.3390/ijerph16142480. PMID: 31336804. *Power* 

1643. Gardner DM, Gerdes AC, Weinberger K. Examination of a Parent-Assisted, Friendship-Building Program for Adolescents With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2019 Feb;23(4):363-73. doi: 10.1177/1087054715588188. PMID: 26060282. *Comparator* 

1644. Gardner RM, Yengo-Kahn A, Bonfield CM, et al. Comparison of baseline and postconcussion ImPACT test scores in young athletes with stimulant-treated and untreated ADHD. Phys Sportsmed. 2017 Feb;45(1):1-10. doi: 10.1080/00913847.2017.1248221. PMID: 27736285. *Population* 

1645. Garfinkel BD, Wender PH, Sloman L, et al. Tricyclic antidepressant and methylphenidate treatment of attention deficit disorder in children. J Am Acad Child Psychiatry. 1983 Jul;22(4):343-8. doi: 10.1016/s0002-7138(09)60669-5. PMID: 6875128. *Power* 

1646. Garnock-Jones KP, Keating GM. Atomoxetine: a review of its use in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Paediatr Drugs. 2009;11(3):203-26. doi: 10.2165/00148581-200911030-00005. PMID: 19445548. *Design* 

1647. Garnock-Jones KP, Keating GM. Spotlight on atomoxetine in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. CNS Drugs. 2010 Jan;24(1):85-8. doi: 10.2165/11203670-00000000-00000. PMID: 20030421. *Design* 

1648. Garreta E, Jimeno T, Servera M. Analysis of the effectiveness of a training program for parents of children with ADHD in a hospital environment. Actas Esp Psiquiatr. 2018 Jan;46(1):21-8. PMID: 29417978. *Comparator* 

1649. Garrett A, Penniman L, Epstein JN, et al. Neuroanatomical abnormalities in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Nov;47(11):1321-8. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e318185d285. PMID: 18827721. *Outcome* 

1650. Garvey MA, Kaczynski KJ, Becker DA, et al. Subjective reactions of children to singlepulse transcranial magnetic stimulation. J Child Neurol. 2001 Dec;16(12):891-4. doi: 10.1177/088307380101601205. PMID: 11785502. *Comparator* 

1651. Gastfriend DR, Biederman J, Jellinek MS. Desipramine in the treatment of adolescents with attention deficit disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 1984 Jul;141(7):906-8. doi: 10.1176/ajp.141.7.906. PMID: 6375400. *Comparator* 

1652. Gau SS, Chen SJ, Chou WJ, et al. National survey of adherence, efficacy, and side effects of methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Taiwan. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008 Jan;69(1):131-40. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v69n0118. PMID: 18312048. *Intervention* 

1653. Gau SS, Chiang HL. Sleep problems and disorders among adolescents with persistent and subthreshold attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders. Sleep. 2009 May;32(5):671-9. doi: 10.1093/sleep/32.5.671. PMID: 19480234. *Intervention* 

1654. Gau SS, Chiu CD, Shang CY, et al. Executive function in adolescence among children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Taiwan. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2009 Dec;30(6):525-34. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e3181c21c97. PMID: 19884851. *Comparator* 

1655. Gau SS, Chong MY, Yang P, et al. Psychiatric and psychosocial predictors of substance use disorders among adolescents: longitudinal study. Br J Psychiatry. 2007 Jan;190:42-8. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.106.022871. PMID: 17197655. *Population* 

1656. Gau SS, Lin CH, Hu FC, et al. Psychometric properties of the Chinese version of the Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham, Version IV Scale-Teacher Form. J Pediatr Psychol. 2009 Sep;34(8):850-61. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsn133. PMID: 19074488. *Outcome* 

1657. Gau SS, Lin YJ, Cheng AT, et al. Psychopathology and symptom remission at adolescence among children with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2010 Apr;44(4):323-32. doi: 10.3109/00048670903487233. PMID: 20307165. *Intervention* 

1658. Gau SS, Lin YJ, Shang CY, et al. Emotional/behavioral problems and functional impairment in clinic- and community-based children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Taiwan. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2010 May;38(4):521-32. doi: 10.1007/s10802-009-9381-6. PMID: 20069354. *Intervention* 

1659. Gau SS, Ni HC, Shang CY, et al. Psychiatric comorbidity among children and adolescents with and without persistent attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2010 Feb;44(2):135-43. doi: 10.3109/00048670903282733. PMID: 20113302. *Intervention* 

1660. Gau SS, Shang CY. Improvement of executive functions in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: an open-label follow-up study with once-daily atomoxetine. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2010 Mar;13(2):243-56. doi: 10.1017/s1461145709990836. PMID: 19849892. *Intervention* 

1661. Gau SS, Soong WT, Chiu YN, et al. Psychometric properties of the Chinese version of the Conners' Parent and Teacher Rating Scales-Revised: Short Form. J Atten Disord. 2006 May;9(4):648-59. doi: 10.1177/1087054705284241. PMID: 16648232. *Language* 

1662. Gavin B, McNicholas F. ADHD: science, stigma and service implications. Ir J Psychol Med. 2018 Sep;35(3):169-72. doi: 10.1017/ipm.2018.20. PMID: 30124189. *Outcome* 

1663. Gawrilow C, Gollwitzer PM. Implementation intentions facilitate response inhibition in children with ADHD. Cognitive Therapy and Research. 2008;32(2):261-80. doi: 10.1007/s10608-007-9150-1. *Intervention* 

1664. Gbessemehlan A, Arsandaux J, Orri M, et al. Perceived stress partially accounts for the association between Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) symptoms and suicidal ideation among students. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Sep;291:113284. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113284. PMID: 32763545. *Population* 

1665. Gearing RE. Evidence-based family psychoeducational interventions for children and adolescents with psychotic disorders. Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2008;17(1):2-11. *Design* 

1666. Geissler JM, Vloet TD, Strom N, et al. Correction to: Does helping mothers in multigenerational ADHD also help children in the long run? 2-year follow-up from baseline of the AIMAC randomized controlled multicentre trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jan;30(1):177. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01465-8. PMID: 31897848. *Intervention* 

1667. Gelegen V, Tamam L. Prevalence and clinical correlates of intermittent explosive disorder in psychiatric outpatients. European Neuropsychopharmacology. 2017;27:S1122. *Design* 

1668. Gelegen V, Tamam L. Prevalence and clinical correlates of intermittent explosive disorder in Turkish psychiatric outpatients. Compr Psychiatry. 2018 May;83:64-70. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2018.03.003. PMID: 29604524. *Intervention* 

1669. Gellan Ahmed MTL-PHkAE-DMDPB. Safety and efficacy of methylphenidate as treatment of combined attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and epilepsy in children and adolescents: systematic review. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018073651. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=73651. *Design* 

1670. Geller B, Bolhofner K, Craney JL, et al. Psychosocial functioning in a prepubertal and early adolescent bipolar disorder phenotype. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000 Dec;39(12):1543-8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200012000-00018. PMID: 11128332. *Population* 

1671. Geller B, Warner K, Williams M, et al. Prepubertal and young adolescent bipolarity versus ADHD: assessment and validity using the WASH-U-KSADS, CBCL and TRF. J Affect Disord. 1998 Nov;51(2):93-100. doi: 10.1016/s0165-0327(98)00176-1. PMID: 10743842. *Outcome* 

1672. Geller B, Zimerman B, Williams M, et al. DSM-IV mania symptoms in a prepubertal and early adolescent bipolar disorder phenotype compared to attention-deficit hyperactive and normal controls. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2002 Spring;12(1):11-25. doi: 10.1089/10445460252943533. PMID: 12014591. *Outcome* 

1673. Geltman PL, Fried LE, Arsenault LN, et al. A planned care approach and patient registry to improve adherence to clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Acad Pediatr. 2015 May-Jun;15(3):289-96. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2014.12.002. PMID: 25906699. *Outcome* 

1674. Genç HA, Yorguner N, Bulut S, et al. Validity and reliability of the Turkish version of the adult ADHD Self-Report Screening Scale for DSM-5. Balkan Med J. 2021 Mar;38(2):111-5. doi: 10.4274/balkanmedj.galenos.2020.2020.5.119. PMID: 32996464. *Population* 

1675. Geoffroy MC, Orri M, Girard A, et al. Trajectories of suicide attempts from early adolescence to emerging adulthood: prospective 11-year follow-up of a Canadian cohort. Psychol Med. 2021 Aug;51(11):1933-43. doi: 10.1017/s0033291720000732. PMID: 32290876. *Intervention* 

1676. Georgiopoulos AM, Hua LL. The diagnosis and treatment of attention deficithyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents with cystic fibrosis: a retrospective study. Psychosomatics. 2011 Mar-Apr;52(2):160-6. doi: 10.1016/j.psym.2010.12.016. PMID: 21397109. *Intervention*  1677. Gérardin P, Cohen D, Mazet P, et al. Drug treatment of conduct disorder in young people. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2002 Oct;12(5):361-70. doi: 10.1016/s0924-977x(02)00042-1. PMID: 12208553. *Population* 

1678. Gerber WD, Gerber-von Müller G, Andrasik F, et al. The impact of a multimodal Summer Camp Training on neuropsychological functioning in children and adolescents with ADHD: an exploratory study. Child Neuropsychol. 2012;18(3):242-55. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2011.599115. PMID: 21824010. *Intervention* 

1679. Gerdes AC, Kapke TL, Grace M, et al. Feasibility, Acceptability, and Preliminary Outcomes of a Culturally Adapted Evidence-Based Treatment for Latino Youth With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2021 Feb;25(3):432-47. doi: 10.1177/1087054718821729. PMID: 30667285. *Power* 

1680. Gerdes AC, Malkoff A, Kapke TL, et al. Parental ADHD Knowledge in Latinx Families: Gender Differences and Treatment Effects. J Atten Disord. 2020 Aug 25:1087054720951853. doi: 10.1177/1087054720951853. PMID: 32842839. *Population* 

1681. Gershy N, Meehan KB, Omer H, et al. Randomized Clinical Trial of Mindfulness Skills Augmentation in Parent Training. Child & Youth Care Forum. 2017 2017/12/01;46(6):783-803. doi: 10.1007/s10566-017-9411-4. *Power* 

1682. Gerwe M, Stollhoff K, Mossakowski J, et al. Tolerability and effects of OROS® MPH (Concerta ®) on functioning, severity of disease and quality of life in children and adolescents with ADHD: results from a prospective, non-interventional trial. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2009 Dec;1(2):175-86. doi: 10.1007/s12402-009-0010-6. PMID: 21432582. *Intervention* 

1683. Gevensleben H, Schmiedeke D, Heinrich H, et al. Yes, I can - maybe ... Effects of placebo-related instructions on neuroregulation in children with ADHD. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2020 Jul;127(7):1093-6. doi: 10.1007/s00702-020-02193-z. PMID: 32390102. *Power* 

1684. Ghadamgahi Sani N, Akbarfahimi M, Akbari S, et al. Neurofeedback Training Versus Perceptual-motor Exercises Interventions in Visual Attention for Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Basic Clin Neurosci. 2022 Mar-Apr;13(2):215-24. doi: 10.32598/bcn.2021.563.2. PMID: 36425951. *Power* 

1685. Ghajar A, Aghajan-Nashtaei F, Afarideh M, et al. L-Carnosine as adjunctive therapy in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 2018 Jun 2018;28(5):331-8. *Duplicate* 

1686. Ghanim F, Harkness K, Guadagni V, et al. The relationship between sleep and behavior in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences. 2022;49:S30. doi: 10.1017/cjn.2022.181. *Design* 

1687. Ghanizadeh A. Screening signs of auditory processing problem: does it distinguish attention deficit hyperactivity disorder subtypes in a clinical sample of children? Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2009 Jan;73(1):81-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2008.09.020. PMID: 19012973. *Intervention* 

1688. Ghanizadeh A, Jafari P. Cultural structures of the Persian parents' ratings of ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2010 Jan;13(4):369-73. doi: 10.1177/1087054709332421. PMID: 19487578. *Outcome* 

1689. Ghanizadeh A, Salehi A, Moeini SR. Clinical Presentation of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in Terms of Gender and Chronological Age. Int J Community Based Nurs Midwifery. 2019 Jul;7(3):241-6. doi: 10.30476/ijcbnm.2019.44999. PMID: 31341923. *Intervention* 

1690. Ghanizadeh A, Shahrivar FZ. The effect of Parent Management Training on children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2005;17(1):31-4. doi: 10.2989/17280580509486590. *Intervention* 

1691. Ghirardi L, Larsson H, Chang Z, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Medication and Unintentional Injuries in Children and Adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Aug;59(8):944-51. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.06.010. PMID: 31302218. *Design* 

1692. Ghuman JK, Aman MG, Ghuman HS, et al. Prospective, naturalistic, pilot study of openlabel atomoxetine treatment in preschool children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Apr;19(2):155-66. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.054. PMID: 19364293. *Design* 

1693. Ghuman JK, Aman MG, Lecavalier L, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover study of methylphenidate for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in preschoolers with developmental disorders. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Aug;19(4):329-39. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0137. PMID: 19702485. *Population* 

1694. Ghuman JK, Ghuman HS. Pharmacologic intervention for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in preschoolers : is it justified? Paediatr Drugs. 2013 Feb;15(1):1-8. doi: 10.1007/s40272-012-0001-5. PMID: 23329386. *Intervention* 

1695. Ghuman JK, Ginsburg GS, Subramaniam G, et al. Psychostimulants in preschool children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: clinical evidence from a developmental disorders institution. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 May;40(5):516-24. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200105000-00010. PMID: 11349695. *Intervention* 

1696. Gialluisi A, Andlauer TFM, Mirza-Schreiber N, et al. Genome-wide association scan identifies new variants associated with a cognitive predictor of dyslexia. Transl Psychiatry. 2019 Feb 11;9(1):77. doi: 10.1038/s41398-019-0402-0. PMID: 30741946. *Intervention* 

1697. Giannotta F, Rydell AM. The Prospective Links Between Hyperactive/Impulsive, Inattentive, and Oppositional-Defiant Behaviors in Childhood and Antisocial Behavior in Adolescence: The Moderating Influence of Gender and the Parent-Child Relationship Quality. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2016 Dec;47(6):857-70. doi: 10.1007/s10578-015-0617-0. PMID: 26680210. *Intervention* 

1698. Gibbs K. Australian Adolescent Boys with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (AD/HD): Teacher and Teaching Factors That Assess the Efficacy of Reducing Unwanted Behaviours within the Classroom Environment. Australian Journal of Learning Difficulties. 2018 01/01/;23(1):53-65. PMID: EJ1183615. *Population* 

1699. Giblin JM, Strobel AL. Effect of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate on sleep in children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2011 Aug;15(6):491-8. doi: 10.1177/1087054710371195. PMID: 20574056. *Power* 

1700. Gibson BS, Gondoli DM, Johnson AC, et al. Component analysis of verbal versus spatial working memory training in adolescents with ADHD: a randomized, controlled trial. Child

Neuropsychol. 2011;17(6):546-63. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2010.551186. PMID: 21390920. *Intervention* 

1701. Gibson L, Porter M. Alcohol and Tobacco use While Breastfeeding and Risk of Autism Spectrum Disorder or Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. 2021 Apr 24:1-12. doi: 10.1007/s10803-021-05027-3. PMID: 33893938. *Intervention* 

1702. Giertuga K, Zakrzewska MZ, Bielecki M, et al. Age-Related Changes in Resting-State EEG Activity in Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Cross-Sectional Study. Front Hum Neurosci. 2017;11:285. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00285. PMID: 28620288. *Intervention* 

1703. Gigengack MR, Hein IM, van Meijel EPM, et al. Accuracy of the Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment (DIPA) in a Dutch sample. Compr Psychiatry. 2020 Jul;100:152177. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2020.152177. PMID: 32360141. *Language* 

1704. Gilbert D, Murphy T, Jankovic J, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the D1 receptor antagonist ecopipam for children and adolescents with Tourette syndrome. Movement Disorders. 2017;32(12):e15. doi: 10.1002/mds.27266. *Design* 

1705. Gilbert DL, Sallee FR, Zhang J, et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation-evoked cortical inhibition: a consistent marker of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder scores in tourette syndrome. Biol Psychiatry. 2005 Jun 15;57(12):1597-600. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.02.022. PMID: 15953499. *Population* 

1706. Gilboa Y, Helmer A. Self-management intervention for attention and executive functions using equine-assisted occupational therapy among children aged 6–14 diagnosed with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine. 2020 Mar 2020;26(3):239-46. *Comparator* 

1707. Gilboa Y, Rosenblum S, Fattal-Valevski A, et al. Using a Virtual Classroom Environment to Describe the Attention Deficits Profile of Children with Neurofibromatosis Type 1. Research in Developmental Disabilities: A Multidisciplinary Journal. 2011 11/01/;32(6):2608-13. PMID: EJ942712. *Intervention* 

1708. Gilboa Y, Rosenblum S, Fattal-Valevski A, et al. Using a Virtual Classroom environment to describe the attention deficits profile of children with Neurofibromatosis type 1. Res Dev Disabil. 2011 Nov-Dec;32(6):2608-13. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2011.06.014. PMID: 21757320. *Outcome* 

1709. Gillberg C, Melander H, von Knorring AL, et al. Long-term stimulant treatment of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1997 Sep;54(9):857-64. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1997.01830210105014. PMID: 9294377. *Power* 

1710. Ginsberg DL. Selegiline Patch Effective for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents. Primary Psychiatry. 2003;10(6):19. *Design* 

1711. Ginsberg DL. Theophylline treatment of ADHD. Primary Psychiatry. 2004;11(10):28. *Design* 

1712. Ginsberg Y, Arngrim T, Philipsen A, et al. Long-term (1 year) safety and efficacy of methylphenidate modified-release long-acting formulation (MPH-LA) in adults with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a 26-week, flexible-dose, open-label extension to a 40-week,

double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled core study. CNS Drugs. 2014 Oct;28(10):951-62. doi: 10.1007/s40263-014-0180-4. PMID: 25183661. *Population* 

1713. Gittelman-Klein R, Klein DF, Abikoff H, et al. Relative efficacy of methylphenidate and behavior modification in hyperkinetic children: an interim report. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1976;4(4):361-79. doi: 10.1007/BF00922533. PMID: 1002948. *Power* 

1714. Gittelman-Klein R, Klein DF, Katz S, et al. Comparative effects of methylphenidate and thioridazine in hyperkinetic children. I. Clinical results. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1976 Oct;33(10):1217-31. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1976.01770100079008. PMID: 971031. *Population* 

1715. Giupponi G, Giordano G, Maniscalco I, et al. Suicide risk in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatr Danub. 2018 Mar;30(1):2-10. doi: 10.24869/psyd.2018.2. PMID: 29546852. *Intervention* 

1716. Gjevik E, Sandstad B, Andreassen OA, et al. Exploring the agreement between questionnaire information and DSM-IV diagnoses of comorbid psychopathology in children with autism spectrum disorders. Autism. 2015 May;19(4):433-42. doi: 10.1177/1362361314526003. PMID: 24637430. *Language* 

1717. Glass K, Flory K. Are symptoms of ADHD related to substance use among college students? Psychol Addict Behav. 2012 Mar;26(1):124-32. doi: 10.1037/a0024215. PMID: 21644801. *Population* 

1718. Glass KL, Guli LA, Semrud-Clikeman M. Social competence intervention program: A pilot program for the development of social competence. Journal of Psychotherapy in Independent Practice. 2000;1(4):21-33. doi: 10.1300/J288v01n04\_03. *Intervention* 

1719. Glassgow AE, Wilder J, Caskey R, et al. Mental Health Diagnoses among Children and Adolescents with Chronic Medical Conditions in a Large Urban Cohort. J Behav Health. 2020;9(4):1-8. PMID: 34413989. *Intervention* 

1720. Glenn AL, Remmel RJ, Ong MY, et al. Neurocognitive characteristics of youth with noncomorbid and comorbid forms of conduct disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Compr Psychiatry. 2017 Aug;77:60-70. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2017.06.005. PMID: 28636895. *Intervention* 

1721. Goel B, Menon S, Gupta D. Group intervention for children with ADHD. A prospective intervention technique in a private CAMHS setting. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S130. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Design* 

1722. Goepel J, Kissler J, Rockstroh B, et al. Medio-frontal and anterior temporal abnormalities in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) during an acoustic antisaccade task as revealed by electro-cortical source reconstruction. BMC Psychiatry. 2011 Jan 12;11:7. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-11-7. PMID: 21226906. *Intervention* 

1723. Goetz M, Schwabova JP, Hlavka Z, et al. Dynamic balance in children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder and its relationship with cognitive functions and cerebellum. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2017;13:873-80. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S125169. PMID: 28356743. *Intervention* 

1724. Goetz M, Yeh CB, Ondrejka I, et al. A 12-month prospective, observational study of treatment regimen and quality of life associated with ADHD in central and eastern europe and

eastern Asia. J Atten Disord. 2012 Jan;16(1):44-59. doi: 10.1177/1087054710381480. PMID: 20858785. *Intervention* 

1725. Goez H, Back-Bennet O, Zelnik N. Differential stimulant response on attention in children with comorbid anxiety and oppositional defiant disorder. J Child Neurol. 2007 May;22(5):538-42. doi: 10.1177/0883073807303221. PMID: 17690058. *Intervention* 

1726. Goez HR, Scott O, Nevo N, et al. Using the test of variables of attention to determine the effectiveness of modafinil in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a prospective methylphenidate-controlled trial. J Child Neurol. 2012 Dec;27(12):1547-52. doi: 10.1177/0883073812439101. PMID: 22447850. *Comparator* 

1727. Goh PK, Lee CA, Martel MM, et al. Subgroups of Childhood ADHD Based on Temperament Traits and Cognition: Concurrent and Predictive Validity. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2020 Oct;48(10):1251-64. doi: 10.1007/s10802-020-00668-x. PMID: 32666315. *Intervention* 

1728. Goh SKY, Yang H, Tsotsi S, et al. Mitigation of a Prospective Association Between Early Language Delay at Toddlerhood and ADHD Among Bilingual Preschoolers: Evidence from the GUSTO Cohort. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2020 Apr;48(4):511-23. doi: 10.1007/s10802-019-00607-5. PMID: 31900836. *Intervention* 

1729. Gohr Månsson A, Elmose M, Mejldal A, et al. The effects of practicing target-shooting sport on the severity of inattentive, hyperactive, and impulsive symptoms in children: a non-randomised controlled open-label study in Denmark. Nord J Psychiatry. 2019 May-Jul;73(4-5):233-43. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2019.1612467. PMID: 31107130. *Population* 

1730. Gökçe S, Yazgan Y, Aslan Genç H, et al. Predictors of ADHD persistence in elementary school children who were assessed in earlier grades: A prospective cohort study from Istanbul, Turkey. Brain Dev. 2021 Apr;43(4):495-504. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2020.11.013. PMID: 33349455. *Intervention* 

1731. Gökçe S, Yazgan Y, Ayaz AB, et al. Association Between Age of Beginning Primary School and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2017 Jan;38(1):12-9. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000370. PMID: 27984417. *Intervention* 

1732. Gökçe S, Yusufoğlu C, Akin E, et al. Effect of gender differences on impulsivity in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi. 2017;18(4):379-86. doi: 10.5455/apd.247542. *Intervention* 

1733. Gokcen C, Coskun S, Kutuk MO. Comparison of Depression and Burnout Levels of Mothers of Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Before and After Treatment. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Jun;28(5):350-3. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0050. PMID: 29266970. *Intervention* 

1734. Gokcen C, Erbagci AB, Mutluer T, et al. Mullerian inhibiting substance, sex hormone binding globulin and sex hormone levels in stimulant-naïve, first-diagnosed prepubertal boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: comparison with matched healthy controls as well as before and after oros-methylpenidate treatment. Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract. 2019 Nov;23(4):251-7. doi: 10.1080/13651501.2019.1602657. PMID: 31339400. *Intervention* 

1735. Gokcen C, Kocak N, Pekgor A. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene polymorphisms in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Int J Med Sci. 2011;8(7):523-8. doi: 10.7150/ijms.8.523. PMID: 21897766. *Intervention* 

1736. Göker Z, Aktepe E, Kandil S. Self-esteem and quality of life in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Yeni Symposium. 2011;49(4):209-16. *Intervention* 

1737. Goksøyr PK, Nøttestad JA. The burden of untreated ADHD among adults: the role of stimulant medication. Addict Behav. 2008 Feb;33(2):342-6. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2007.09.008. PMID: 17920777. *Population* 

1738. Göl Özcan G, Öztürk Y, Sari M, et al. Drug holidays may not affect processing speed while they may reduce beneficial effects on resistance to interference among children with treated with methylphenidate: a single-center, prospective study. Nord J Psychiatry. 2021 Jul;75(5):323-9. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2020.1855242. PMID: 33356759. *Design* 

1739. Gold AL, Brotman MA, Adleman NE, et al. Comparing Brain Morphometry Across Multiple Childhood Psychiatric Disorders. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Dec;55(12):1027-37.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.08.008. PMID: 27871637. *Intervention* 

1740. Goldbeck L, Schmid K. Effectiveness of autogenic relaxation training on children and adolescents with behavioral and emotional problems. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2003 Sep;42(9):1046-54. doi: 10.1097/01.Chi.0000070244.24125.F. PMID: 12960704. *Population* 

1741. Goldenson NI, Khoddam R, Stone MD, et al. Associations of ADHD Symptoms With Smoking and Alternative Tobacco Product Use Initiation During Adolescence. J Pediatr Psychol. 2018 Jul 1;43(6):613-24. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsx153. PMID: 29304219. *Intervention* 

1742. Goldman W, Seltzer R, Reuman P. Association between treatment with central nervous system stimulants and Raynaud's syndrome in children: a retrospective case-control study of rheumatology patients. Arthritis Rheum. 2008 Feb;58(2):563-6. doi: 10.1002/art.23301. PMID: 18240233. *Population* 

1743. Golubchik P, Hamerman H, Manor I, et al. Effectiveness of parental training, methylphenidate treatment, and their combination on academic achievements and behavior at school of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2018 Jul;33(4):229-32. doi: 10.1097/yic.00000000000218. PMID: 29608460. *Power* 

1744. Golubchik P, Kodesh A, Weizman A. No Superiority of Treatment With Osmotic Controlled-Release Oral Delivery System-Methylphenidate Over Short/Medium-Acting Methylphenidate Preparations in the Rate and Timing of Injuries in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2017 Jan/Feb;40(1):11-5. doi: 10.1097/wnf.00000000000189. PMID: 27879551. *Design* 

1745. Golubchik P, Levy T, Weizman A. The effect of methylphenidate treatment on psychopathic behavior of patients having attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder with and without oppositional defiant disorder. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2018 Nov;33(6):330-3. doi: 10.1097/yic.00000000000231. PMID: 29958238. *Design* 

1746. Golubchik P, Rapaport M, Weizman A. The effect of methylphenidate on anxiety and depression symptoms in patients with Asperger syndrome and comorbid attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2017 Sep;32(5):289-93. doi: 10.1097/yic.0000000000175. PMID: 28368900. *Population* 

1747. Golubchik P, Sever J, Weizman A. Influence of methylphenidate treatment on smoking behavior in adolescent girls with attention-deficit/hyperactivity and borderline personality disorders. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2009 Sep-Oct;32(5):239-42. doi: 10.1097/wnf.0b013e3181a5d075. PMID: 19834989. *Intervention* 

1748. Golubchik P, Sever J, Weizman A, et al. Methylphenidate treatment in pediatric patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid trichotillomania: a preliminary report. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2011 May-Jun;34(3):108-10. doi: 10.1097/WNF.0b013e31821f4da9. PMID: 21586916. *Comparator* 

1749. Golubchik P, Sever J, Zalsman G, et al. Methylphenidate in the treatment of female adolescents with cooccurrence of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and borderline personality disorder: a preliminary open-label trial. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2008 Jul;23(4):228-31. doi: 10.1097/YIC.0b013e3282f94ae2. PMID: 18446088. *Intervention* 

1750. Golubchik P, Shalev L, Tsamir D, et al. High pretreatment cognitive impulsivity predicts response of oppositional symptoms to methylphenidate in patients with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder/oppositional defiant disorder. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2019 May;34(3):138-42. doi: 10.1097/yic.0000000000252. PMID: 30640748. *Outcome* 

1751. Golubchik P, Weizman A. The effect of methylphenidate treatment on suspiciousness in children with ADHD alone or comorbid with ODD. Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract. 2018 Jun;22(2):109-14. doi: 10.1080/13651501.2017.1383436. PMID: 28959903. *Intervention* 

1752. Golubchik P, Weizman A. Poor performance of the 'child Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test' correlates with poorer social-emotional functioning in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2020 Mar;35(2):105-8. doi: 10.1097/yic.00000000000299. PMID: 32000178. *Intervention* 

1753. Gomes H, Duff M, Ramos M, et al. Auditory selective attention and processing in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Clin Neurophysiol. 2012 Feb;123(2):293-302. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2011.07.030. PMID: 21839675. *Intervention* 

1754. Gomez IN, Domondon LM, Tsang HW, et al. Sensory Behaviours and Resting Parasympathetic Functions among Children with and without ADHD. ScientificWorldJournal. 2021;2021:6615836. doi: 10.1155/2021/6615836. PMID: 34824559. *Intervention* 

1755. Gomez R, Liu L, Krueger R, et al. Unraveling the Optimum Latent Structure of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Evidence Supporting ICD and HiTOP Frameworks. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2021;12. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.666326. *Intervention* 

1756. Gomez R, Stavropoulos V, Vance A. Psychometric Properties of the Autism Spectrum Quotient: Children's Version (AQ-Child). J Autism Dev Disord. 2019 Feb;49(2):468-80. doi: 10.1007/s10803-018-3713-8. PMID: 30140983. *Population* 

1757. Gomez R, Vance A, Gomez RM. Validity of the ADHD Bifactor Model in General Community Samples of Adolescents and Adults, and a Clinic-Referred Sample of Children and Adolescents. J Atten Disord. 2018 Dec;22(14):1307-19. doi: 10.1177/1087054713480034. PMID: 23543402. *Intervention* 

1758. Gomez R, Vance A, Stavropoulos V. Correlated Trait-Correlated Method Minus One Analysis of the Convergent and Discriminant Validity of the Conners 3 Short Forms.

Assessment. 2020 Oct;27(7):1463-75. doi: 10.1177/1073191118803714. PMID: 30295058. *Intervention* 

1759. Gonring K, Gerdes A, Gardner D. Program for the education and enrichment of relational skills: Parental outcomes with an ADHD sample. Child & Family Behavior Therapy. 2017 Jan 2017;39(1):19-42. *Outcome* 

1760. González-Carpio Hernández G, Serrano Selva JP. Medication and creativity in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Psicothema. 2016;28(1):20-5. doi: 10.7334/psicothema2015.126. PMID: 26820419. *Intervention* 

1761. Gonzalez-Heydrich J. OROS methylphenidate for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder plus epilepsy. P and T. 2006;31(12):725-6. *Design* 

1762. Gonzalez-Heydrich J, Dodds A, Whitney J, et al. Psychiatric disorders and behavioral characteristics of pediatric patients with both epilepsy and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Epilepsy Behav. 2007 May;10(3):384-8. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2007.01.010. PMID: 17368109. *Intervention* 

1763. Gonzalez-Heydrich J, Whitney J, Waber D, et al. Adaptive phase I study of OROS methylphenidate treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav. 2010 Jul;18(3):229-37. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2010.02.022. PMID: 20493783. *Power* 

1764. Gooch D, Maydew H, Sears C, et al. Does a child's language ability affect the correspondence between parent and teacher ratings of ADHD symptoms? BMC Psychiatry. 2017 Apr 5;17(1):129. doi: 10.1186/s12888-017-1300-8. PMID: 28381293. *Intervention* 

1765. Goodman D, Faraone SV, Adler LA, et al. Interpreting ADHD rating scale scores: Linking ADHD rating scale scores and CGI levels in two randomized controlled trials of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in ADHD. Primary Psychiatry. 2010;17(3):44-52. *Population* 

1766. Goodwin A, Hendry A, Mason L, et al. Behavioural Measures of Infant Activity but Not Attention Associate with Later Preschool ADHD Traits. Brain Sci. 2021 Apr 21;11(5). doi: 10.3390/brainsci11050524. PMID: 33919004. *Intervention* 

1767. Goodwin A, Jones EJH, Salomone S, et al. INTERSTAARS: Attention training for infants with elevated likelihood of developing ADHD: A proof-of-concept randomised controlled trial. Transl Psychiatry. 2021 Dec 20;11(1):644. doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01698-9. PMID: 34930893. *Population* 

1768. Goodwin A, Salomone S, Bolton P, et al. Erratum to: Attention training for infants at familial risk of ADHD (INTERSTAARS): study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials. 2017 Sep 11;18(1):419. doi: 10.1186/s13063-017-2167-1. PMID: 28889798. *Intervention* 

1769. Gordon CT, Fabiano GA, Hulme KF, et al. Efficacy of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate for promoting occupational success in adolescents and young adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 2021 Aug;29(4):308-18. doi: 10.1037/pha0000365. PMID: 32297783. *Population* 

1770. Gordon CT, Hinshaw SP. Parenting Stress and Youth Symptoms among Girls with and without ADHD. Parent Sci Pract. 2017;17(1):11-29. doi: 10.1080/15295192.2016.1262178. PMID: 29308056. *Population* 

1771. Gordon M. How is a computerized attention test used in the diagnosis of attention deficit disorder? Journal of Children in Contemporary Society. 1986;19:53-64. doi: 10.1300/J274v19n01\_05. *Design* 

1772. Gordon M, Antshel K, Faraone S, et al. Symptoms versus impairment: the case for respecting DSM-IV's Criterion D. J Atten Disord. 2006 Feb;9(3):465-75. doi: 10.1177/1087054705283881. PMID: 16481663. *Population* 

1773. Gorenstein EE, Mammato CA, Sandy JM. Performance of inattentive-overactive children on selected measures of prefrontal-type function. J Clin Psychol. 1989 Jul;45(4):619-32. doi: 10.1002/1097-4679(198907)45:4<619::aid-jclp2270450419>3.0.co;2-m. PMID: 2768502. *Population* 

1774. Gorman EB, Klorman R, Thatcher JE, et al. Effects of Methylphenidate on Subtypes of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2006 07/01/;45(7):808-16. PMID: EJ945162. *Power* 

1775. Gormley M, Sheridan S, Dizona P, et al. Conjoint Behavioral Consultation for Students Exhibiting Symptoms of ADHD: Effects at Post-treatment and One-Year Follow-Up. School Mental Health. 2020 03/01;12. doi: 10.1007/s12310-019-09342-0. *Population* 

1776. Gormley MJ, Pinho T, Pollack B, et al. Impact of Study Skills and Parent Education on First-Year GPA Among College Students With and Without ADHD: A Moderated Mediation Model. J Atten Disord. 2018 Feb;22(4):334-48. doi: 10.1177/1087054715594422. PMID: 26187415. *Population* 

1777. Gossé LK, Bell SW, Hosseini SMH. Functional near-infrared spectroscopy in developmental psychiatry: a review of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2022 Mar;272(2):273-90. doi: 10.1007/s00406-021-01288-2. PMID: 34185132. *Outcome* 

1778. Gossé LK, Braithwaite E, Begum Ali J, et al. Co-modulation of awake theta power and habitual sleep across the first 3 years of life in infants at elevated likelihood for ASD/ADHD. Journal of Sleep Research. 2022;31. doi: 10.1111/jsr.13739. *Design* 

1779. Goth-Owens TL, Martinez-Torteya C, Martel MM, et al. Processing speed weakness in children and adolescents with non-hyperactive but inattentive ADHD (ADD). Child Neuropsychol. 2010;16(6):577-91. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2010.485126. PMID: 20560083. *Outcome* 

1780. Gothelf D, Gruber R, Presburger G, et al. Methylphenidate treatment for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents with velocardiofacial syndrome: an open-label study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2003 Oct;64(10):1163-9. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v64n1004. PMID: 14658963. *Intervention* 

1781. Gould JF, Anderson PJ, Yelland LN, et al. The Influence of Prenatal DHA Supplementation on Individual Domains of Behavioral Functioning in School-Aged Children: Follow-Up of a Randomized Controlled Trial. Nutrients. 2021 Aug 27;13(9). doi: 10.3390/nu13092996. PMID: 34578873. *Population* 

1782. Gould MS, Bird H, Jaramillo BS. Correspondence between statistically derived behavior problem syndromes and child psychiatric diagnoses in a community sample. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1993 Jun;21(3):287-313. doi: 10.1007/bf00917536. PMID: 8335765. *Intervention* 

1783. Goyette GH, Connors CK, Petti TA, et al. Effects of artificial colors on hyperkinetic children: a double-blind challenge study [proceedings]. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1978 Apr;14(2):39-40. PMID: 652927. *Design* 

1784. Gozpinar N, Cakiroglu S, Gormez V. Psychometric Properties of the Sluggish Cognitive Tempo Scale in a Turkish Sample of Children and Adolescents. J Atten Disord. 2022 Jan;26(1):25-33. doi: 10.1177/1087054720961824. PMID: 33026279. *Population* 

1785. Graetz BW, Sawyer MG, Baghurst P. Gender differences among children with DSM-IV ADHD in Australia. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Feb;44(2):159-68. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200502000-00008. PMID: 15689729. *Intervention* 

1786. Granato MF, Ferraro AA, Lellis DM, et al. Associations between Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Treatment and Patient Nutritional Status and Height. Behav Neurol. 2018;2018:7341529. doi: 10.1155/2018/7341529. PMID: 30386441. *Intervention* 

1787. Grandjean A, Suarez I, Da Fonseca D, et al. Dissociable effects of positive feedback on the capture and inhibition of impulsive behavior in adolescents with ADHD versus typically developing adolescents. Child Neuropsychol. 2022 Aug 18:1-26. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2022.2100882. PMID: 35980108. *Power* 

1788. Granero R, Ezpeleta L, Domenech JM, et al. What single reports from children and parents aggregate to attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder and oppositional defiant disorder diagnoses in epidemiological studies. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Sep;17(6):352-64. doi: 10.1007/s00787-008-0677-9. PMID: 18431539. *Intervention* 

1789. Granet DB, Gomi CF, Ventura R, et al. The relationship between convergence insufficiency and ADHD. Strabismus. 2005 Dec;13(4):163-8. doi: 10.1080/09273970500455436. PMID: 16361187. *Intervention* 

1790. Granger DA, Whalen CK, Henker B. Perceptions of methylphenidate effects on hyperactive children's peer interactions. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1993 Oct;21(5):535-49. doi: 10.1007/bf00916318. PMID: 8294652. *Intervention* 

1791. Granziera H, Collie RJ, Martin AJ, et al. Behavioral self-regulation among children with hyperactivity and inattention in the first year of school: A population-based latent profile analysis and links with later ADHD diagnosis. Journal of Educational Psychology. 2021 Sep 27, 2021. *Outcome* 

1792. Gray LC, Breier JI, Foorman BR, et al. Continuum of impulsiveness caused by auditory masking. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2002 Dec 2;66(3):265-72. doi: 10.1016/s0165-5876(02)00251-3. PMID: 12443816. *Intervention* 

1793. Graziano PA, Garcia AM, Landis TD. To fidget or not to fidget, that is the question: A systematic classroom evaluation of fidget spinners among young children with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Jan 2020;24(1):163-71. *Timing* 

1794. Graziano PA, Geffken GR, Lall AS. Heterogeneity in the pharmacological treatment of children with ADHD: cognitive, behavioral, and social functioning differences. J Atten Disord. 2011 Jul;15(5):382-91. doi: 10.1177/1087054710367772. PMID: 20495162. *Intervention* 

1795. Grazioli S, Mauri M, Rosi E, et al. Use of machine learning on clinical questionnaires data to support the diagnostic classification of Attention DeficitHyperactivity Disorder: a

personalized medicine approach. European Psychiatry. 2022;65:S165-S6. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.441. *Design* 

1796. Grazioli VS, Gmel G, Rougemont-Bücking A, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and future alcohol outcomes: Examining the roles of coping and enhancement drinking motives among young men. PLoS One. 2019;14(6):e0218469. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0218469. PMID: 31216319. *Population* 

1797. Grcevich S, Rowane WA, Marcellino B, et al. Retrospective comparison of Adderall and methylphenidate in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2001 Spring;11(1):35-41. doi: 10.1089/104454601750143401. PMID: 11322743. *Intervention* 

1798. Grebla R, Setyawan J, Park C, et al. Examining the heterogeneity of treatment patterns in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among children and adolescents in the Texas Medicaid population: modeling suboptimal treatment response. J Med Econ. 2019 Aug;22(8):788-97. doi: 10.1080/13696998.2019.1606814. PMID: 30983465. *Intervention* 

1799. Green R. 23.4 ADHD Symptoms and Smoking Outcomes in a Randomized Controlled Trial of Varenicline for Adolescent Tobacco Cessation. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S313. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.689. *Population* 

1800. Green T, Weinberger R, Diamond A, et al. The effect of methylphenidate on prefrontal cognitive functioning, inattention, and hyperactivity in velocardiofacial syndrome. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Dec;21(6):589-95. doi: 10.1089/cap.2011.0042. PMID: 22149470. *Timing* 

1801. Greenbaum RL, Stevens SA, Nash K, et al. Social cognitive and emotion processing abilities of children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorders: a comparison with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2009 Oct;33(10):1656-70. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2009.01003.x. PMID: 19624575. *Intervention* 

1802. Greenberg LM, Deem MA, McMahon S. Effects of dextroamphetamine, chlorpromazine, and hydroxyzine on behavior and performance in hyperactive children. Am J Psychiatry. 1972 Nov;129(5):532-9. doi: 10.1176/ajp.129.5.532. PMID: 4562464. *Power* 

1803. Greene RW, Biederman J, Faraone SV, et al. Social impairment in girls with ADHD: patterns, gender comparisons, and correlates. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Jun;40(6):704-10. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200106000-00016. PMID: 11392349. *Intervention* 

1804. Greenhill L, Kollins S, Abikoff H, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Immediate-Release Methylphenidate Treatment for Preschoolers with ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2006 11/01/;45(11):1284-93. PMID: EJ754440. *Duplicate* 

1805. Greenhill LL. Diagnosing attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998;59 Suppl 7:31-41. PMID: 9680051. *Design* 

1806. Greenhill LL, Biederman J, Boellner SW, et al. Modafinil film-coated tablets significantly improve symptoms on ADHD Rating Scale-IV School and Home and overall clinical condition in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J. Child Adolesc. Psychopharmacol. 2005;15(6):849-50. *Design* 

1807. Greenhill LL, Findling RL, Swanson JM. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of modified-release methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2002 Mar;109(3):E39. doi: 10.1542/peds.109.3.e39. PMID: 11875167. *Timing* 

1808. Greenhill LL, Newcorn JH, Gao H, et al. Effect of two different methods of initiating atomoxetine on the adverse event profile of atomoxetine. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 May;46(5):566-72. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e3180335ad1. PMID: 17450047. *Design* 

1809. Gregório Hertz P, Müller M, Barra S, et al. The predictive and incremental validity of ADHD beyond the VRAG-R in a high-risk sample of young offenders. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2021 Dec 3. doi: 10.1007/s00406-021-01352-x. PMID: 34860261. *Population* 

1810. Gregory AM, Agnew-Blais JC, Matthews T, et al. ADHD and Sleep Quality: Longitudinal Analyses From Childhood to Early Adulthood in a Twin Cohort. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2017 Mar-Apr;46(2):284-94. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2016.1183499. PMID: 27485465. *Intervention* 

1811. Greven CU, Merwood A, van der Meer JMJ, et al. The opposite end of the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder continuum: genetic and environmental aetiologies of extremely low ADHD traits. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines. 2016;57:523 - 31. *Intervention* 

1812. Greven P, Sikirica V, Chen YJ, et al. Comparative treatment patterns, healthcare resource utilization and costs of atomoxetine and long-acting methylphenidate among children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Germany. Eur J Health Econ. 2017 Sep;18(7):893-904. doi: 10.1007/s10198-016-0836-8. PMID: 27817164. *Intervention* 

1813. Greydanus DE, Pratt HD, Sloane MA, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: interventions for a complex costly clinical conundrum. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2003 Oct;50(5):1049-92, vi. doi: 10.1016/s0031-3955(03)00081-6. PMID: 14558681. *Design* 

1814. Griffiths KR, Braund TA, Kohn MR, et al. Structural brain network topology underpinning ADHD and response to methylphenidate treatment. Transl Psychiatry. 2021 Mar 2;11(1):150. doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01278-x. PMID: 33654073. *Intervention* 

1815. Griffiths KR, Grieve SM, Kohn MR, et al. Altered gray matter organization in children and adolescents with ADHD: a structural covariance connectome study. Transl Psychiatry. 2016 Nov 8;6(11):e947. doi: 10.1038/tp.2016.219. PMID: 27824356. *Intervention* 

1816. Griggs MS, Mikami AY. Parental Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Predicts Child and Parent Outcomes of Parental Friendship Coaching Treatment. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011 12/01/;50(12):1236-46. PMID: EJ948379. *Power* 

1817. Grigoroiu-Serbanescu M, Giaroli G, Thygesen JH, et al. Predictive power of the ADHD GWAS 2019 polygenic risk scores in independent samples of bipolar patients with childhood ADHD. J Affect Disord. 2020 Mar 15;265:651-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2019.11.109. PMID: 31791676. *Population* 

1818. Grimmsmann T, Himmel W. The 10-year trend in drug prescriptions for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in Germany. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2021 Jan;77(1):107-15. doi: 10.1007/s00228-020-02948-3. PMID: 32803292. *Intervention*  1819. Grizenko N, Kovacina B, Amor LB, et al. Relationship between Response to Methylphenidate Treatment in Children with ADHD and Psychopathology in Their Families. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2006 01/01/;45(1):47-J. PMID: EJ754363. *Intervention* 

1820. Groenman AP, Janssen TWP, Oosterlaan J. Childhood Psychiatric Disorders as Risk Factor for Subsequent Substance Abuse: A Meta-Analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Jul;56(7):556-69. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.05.004. PMID: 28647007. *Intervention* 

1821. Groenman AP, Schweren LJS, Weeda W, et al. Stimulant treatment profiles predicting cooccurring substance use disorders in individuals with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2019 Sep 2019;28(9):1213-22. *Intervention* 

1822. Grönlund MA, Aring E, Landgren M, et al. Visual function and ocular features in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, with and without treatment with stimulants. Eye (Lond). 2007 Apr;21(4):494-502. doi: 10.1038/sj.eye.6702240. PMID: 16518370. *Intervention* 

1823. Groom MJ, Bates AT, Jackson GM, et al. Event-related potentials in adolescents with schizophrenia and their siblings: a comparison with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2008 Apr 15;63(8):784-92. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.09.018. PMID: 17977520. *Population* 

1824. Groom MJ, Cahill JD, Bates AT, et al. Electrophysiological indices of abnormal errorprocessing in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2010 Jan;51(1):66-76. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02128.x. PMID: 19674196. *Outcome* 

1825. Groom MJ, Jackson GM, Calton TG, et al. Cognitive deficits in early-onset schizophrenia spectrum patients and their non-psychotic siblings: a comparison with ADHD. Schizophr Res. 2008 Feb;99(1-3):85-95. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2007.11.008. PMID: 18083349. *Outcome* 

1826. Groß C, Serrallach BL, Möhler E, et al. Musical Performance in Adolescents with ADHD, ADD and Dyslexia—Behavioral and Neurophysiological Aspects. Brain Sciences. 2022;12(2). doi: 10.3390/brainsci12020127. *Outcome* 

1827. Gross MD. Effect of sucrose on hyperkinetic children. Pediatrics. 1984 Nov;74(5):876-8. PMID: 6387615. *Design* 

1828. Gross-Tsur V, Joseph A, Shalev RS. Hallucinations during methylphenidate therapy. Neurology. 2004 Aug 24;63(4):753-4. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000134656.93147.f1. PMID: 15326264. *Design* 

1829. Gross-Tsur V, Lahad A, Shalev RS. Use of complementary medicine in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and epilepsy. Pediatr Neurol. 2003 Jul;29(1):53-5. doi: 10.1016/s0887-8994(03)00027-4. PMID: 13679122. *Intervention* 

1830. Gross-Tsur V, Manor O, van der Meere J, et al. Epilepsy and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: is methylphenidate safe and effective? J Pediatr. 1997 Jan;130(1):40-4. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3476(97)70308-1. PMID: 9003849. *Intervention*  1831. Gross-Tsur V, Shalev RS, Amir N. Attention deficit disorder: association with familialgenetic factors. Pediatr Neurol. 1991 Jul-Aug;7(4):258-61. doi: 10.1016/0887-8994(91)90041-i. PMID: 1930416. *Intervention* 

1832. Gross-Tsur V, Shalev RS, Badihi N, et al. Efficacy of methylphenidate in patients with cerebral palsy and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). J Child Neurol. 2002 Dec;17(12):863-6. doi: 10.1177/08830738020170121401. PMID: 12593456. *Power* 

1833. Groth C, Mol Debes N, Rask CU, et al. Course of Tourette Syndrome and Comorbidities in a Large Prospective Clinical Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Apr;56(4):304-12. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.01.010. PMID: 28335874. *Population* 

1834. Gruber R, Joober R, Grizenko N, et al. Dopamine transporter genotype and stimulant side effect factors in youth diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Jun;19(3):233-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0133. PMID: 19519258. *Intervention* 

1835. Grünblatt E, Nemoda Z, Werling AM, et al. The involvement of the canonical Wntsignaling receptor LRP5 and LRP6 gene variants with ADHD and sexual dimorphism: Association study and meta-analysis. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2019 Sep;180(6):365-76. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.32695. PMID: 30474181. *Intervention* 

1836. Grünblatt E, Werling AM, Roth A, et al. Association study and a systematic meta-analysis of the VNTR polymorphism in the 3'-UTR of dopamine transporter gene and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2019 Apr;126(4):517-29. doi: 10.1007/s00702-019-01998-x. PMID: 30923918. *Intervention* 

1837. Gruschow SM, Yerys BE, Power TJ, et al. Validation of the Use of Electronic Health Records for Classification of ADHD Status. J Atten Disord. 2019 Nov 1;23(13):1647-55. doi: 10.1177/1087054716672337. PMID: 28112025. *Population* 

1838. Grzadzinski R, Dick C, Lord C, et al. Parent-reported and clinician-observed autism spectrum disorder (ASD) symptoms in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): implications for practice under DSM-5. Mol Autism. 2016;7:7. doi: 10.1186/s13229-016-0072-1. PMID: 26788284. *Outcome* 

1839. Gu Y, Xu G, Zhu Y. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for College Students With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2018 Feb;22(4):388-99. doi: 10.1177/1087054716686183. PMID: 28038496. *Population* 

1840. Gualtieri CT, Hicks RE, Mayo JP, et al. The persistence of stimulant effects in chronically treated children: further evidence of an inverse relationship between drug effects and placebo levels of response. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1984;83(1):44-7. doi: 10.1007/bf00427420. PMID: 6146156. *Power* 

1841. Gucuyener K, Erdemoglu AK, Senol S, et al. Use of methylphenidate for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in patients with epilepsy or electroencephalographic abnormalities. J Child Neurol. 2003 Feb;18(2):109-12. doi: 10.1177/08830738030180020601. PMID: 12693777. *Intervention* 

1842. Guderjahn L, Gold A, Stadler G, et al. Self-regulation strategies support children with ADHD to overcome symptom-related behavior in the classroom. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2013 Dec;5(4):397-407. doi: 10.1007/s12402-013-0117-7. PMID: 24062181. *Power* 

1843. Gudjonsson GH, Sigurdsson JF, Eyjolfsdottir GA, et al. The relationship between satisfaction with life, ADHD symptoms, and associated problems among university students. J Atten Disord. 2009 May;12(6):507-15. doi: 10.1177/1087054708323018. PMID: 18716292. *Population* 

1844. Gudmundsson OO, Walters GB, Ingason A, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder shares copy number variant risk with schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorder. Transl Psychiatry. 2019 Oct 17;9(1):258. doi: 10.1038/s41398-019-0599-y. PMID: 31624239. *Population* 

1845. Guelzow BT, Loya F, Hinshaw SP. How Persistent is ADHD into Adulthood? Informant Report and Diagnostic Thresholds in a Female Sample. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2017 Feb;45(2):301-12. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0174-4. PMID: 27338738. *Intervention* 

1846. Güemes Heras I, Santamaría-Orleans A, Colinas Herrero JF, et al. Use of Dietary Supplements among Spanish Pediatricians in Daily Practice: A Cross-Sectional Survey Study. J Nutr Metab. 2019;2019:5819305. doi: 10.1155/2019/5819305. PMID: 31428471. *Intervention* 

1847. Guenzel N, Schober DJ. Psychiatric Comorbidities and BMI: An Exploratory Analysis. Issues Ment Health Nurs. 2017 Sep;38(9):698-704. doi: 10.1080/01612840.2017.1341588. PMID: 28745915. *Population* 

1848. Guertin J, LeLorier J, Durand M, et al. Impact of a restrictive drug access program on the risk of cardiovascular encounters in children exposed to ADHD medications. J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol. 2014;21(3):e357-69. PMID: 25326915. *Design* 

1849. Guevara J, Lozano P, Wickizer T, et al. Utilization and cost of health care services for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2001 Jul;108(1):71-8. doi: 10.1542/peds.108.1.71. PMID: 11433056. *Intervention* 

1850. Guevara J, Lozano P, Wickizer T, et al. Psychotropic medication use in a population of children who have attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2002 May;109(5):733-9. doi: 10.1542/peds.109.5.733. PMID: 11986429. *Intervention* 

1851. Guevara JP, Mandell DS, Rostain AL, et al. National estimates of health services expenditures for children with behavioral disorders: an analysis of the medical expenditure panel survey. Pediatrics. 2003 Dec;112(6 Pt 1):e440. doi: 10.1542/peds.112.6.e440. PMID: 14654642. *Intervention* 

1852. Guevara N, Finnegan T, Wright CW, et al. ONLINE MEDICAL EDUCATION IMPROVES PHYSICIAN KNOWLEDGE ON DIGITAL THERAPEUTICS AND DEVICES IN ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S275. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.424. *Population* 

1853. Guimarães AP, Zeni C, Polanczyk G, et al. MAOA is associated with methylphenidate improvement of oppositional symptoms in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2009 Jun;12(5):709-14. doi: 10.1017/s1461145709000212. PMID: 19309535. *Intervention* 

1854. Gul MK, Sener EF, Onal MG, et al. Role of the norepinephrine transporter polymorphisms in atomoxetine treatment: From response to side effects in children with ADHD. J Psychopharmacol. 2022 Jun;36(6):715-22. doi: 10.1177/02698811211015245. PMID: 33944622. *Outcome*

1855. Gulati S, Saini L, Kaushik JS, et al. The Development and Validation of DSM 5-Based AIIMS-Modified INDT ADHD Tool for Diagnosis of ADHD: A Diagnostic Test Evaluation Study. Neurol India. 2020 Mar-Apr;68(2):352-7. doi: 10.4103/0028-3886.280638. PMID: 32189699. *Language* 

1856. Güler AS, Scahill L, Jeon S, et al. Use of multiple informants to identify children at high risk for ADHD in Turkish school-age children. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Jul 2017;21(9):764-75. *Population* 

1857. Guler HA, Turkoglu S. The Relationship of Comorbid Overweight-Obesity With Cold Executive Functions, Verbal Short-Term Memory, and Learning in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2021 Jun 28. doi: 10.1097/nmd.00000000001383. PMID: 34183623. *Intervention* 

1858. Gumus C, Yazici IP, Yazici KU, et al. Increased Serum Brain-derived Neurotrophic Factor, Nerve Growth Factor, Glial-derived Neurotrophic Factor and Galanin Levels in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, and the Effect of 10 Weeks Methylphenidate Treatment. Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci. 2022 Nov 30;20(4):635-48. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2022.20.4.635. PMID: 36263639. *Design* 

1859. Gümüs F, Ergün G, Dikeç G. Effect of Psychoeducation on Stress in Parents of Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Study. J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv. 2020 Jul 1;58(7):34-41. doi: 10.3928/02793695-20200506-01. PMID: 32396205. *Outcome* 

1860. Gumus YY, Yurumez E. The effect of lowering school entry age on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder diagnosis. Journal of Experimental and Clinical Medicine (Turkey). 2021;38(2):176-81. doi: 10.52142/omujecm.38.2.22. *Intervention* 

1861. Gumustas F, Yilmaz I, Sirin DY, et al. Chondrocyte proliferation, viability and differentiation is declined following administration of methylphenidate utilized for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Hum Exp Toxicol. 2017 Sep;36(9):981-92. doi: 10.1177/0960327116678294. PMID: 27837176. *Population* 

1862. Gumustas F, Yilmaz I, Yulaf Y, et al. Empathy and Facial Expression Recognition in Children With and Without Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Effects of Stimulant Medication on Empathic Skills in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Jun;27(5):433-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0052. PMID: 28332851. *Comparator* 

1863. Gunning WB, Ferdinand RF, De Vrijer JC, et al. The application of clonidine in child and adolescent psychiatry. Tijdschrift voor Psychiatrie. 1990;32(7):462-72. *Language* 

1864. Gunter TD, Arndt S, Riggins-Caspers K, et al. Adult outcomes of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and conduct disorder: are the risks independent or additive? Ann Clin Psychiatry. 2006 Oct-Dec;18(4):233-7. doi: 10.1080/10401230600948415. PMID: 17162622. *Intervention* 

1865. Gunther T, Herpertz-Dahlmann B, Konrad K. Sex differences in attentional performance and their modulation by methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Jun;20(3):179-86. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0060. PMID: 20578930. *Timing* 

1866. Gunther T, Knospe EL, Herpertz-Dahlmann B, et al. Sex Differences in Attentional Performance in a Clinical Sample With ADHD of the Combined Subtype. J Atten Disord. 2015 Sep;19(9):764-70. doi: 10.1177/1087054712461176. PMID: 23093556. *Intervention* 

1867. Guo J, Luo X, Kong Y, et al. The effects of first-dose methylphenidate on the neural signatures of visual selective attention in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychol. 2022 Dec 23;177:108481. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2022.108481. PMID: 36572273. *Comparator* 

1868. Guo J, Luo X, Kong Y, et al. Abnormal Reactivity of Brain Oscillations to Visual Search Target in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2022 Mar 12. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2022.03.002. PMID: 35292405. *Outcome* 

1869. Guo L, Danielson M, Cogan L, et al. Treatment Patterns and Costs Among Children Aged 2 to 17 Years With ADHD in New York State Medicaid in 2013. J Atten Disord. 2021 Feb;25(4):463-72. doi: 10.1177/1087054718816176. PMID: 30547693. *Intervention* 

1870. Guo X, Yao D, Cao Q, et al. Shared and distinct resting functional connectivity in children and adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Transl Psychiatry. 2020 Feb 12;10(1):65. doi: 10.1038/s41398-020-0740-y. PMID: 32066697. *Intervention* 

1871. Gurka MJ, Siddiqi SU, Filipp SL, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder medications and BMI trajectories: The role of medication type, sex and age. Pediatr Obes. 2021 Apr;16(4):e12738. doi: 10.1111/ijpo.12738. PMID: 33064373. *Intervention* 

1872. Gurkan CK, Yurumez E, Akca OF, et al. The effect of treatment on multiple symptom domains and quality of life in children and adolescents with ADHD: A 3-year follow-up study. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S170. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Design* 

1873. Gürkan K, Bilgiç A, Türkoglu S, et al. Depression, anxiety and obsessive-compulsive symptoms and quality of life in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) during three-month methylphenidate treatment. J Psychopharmacol. 2010 Dec;24(12):1810-8. doi: 10.1177/0269881109348172. PMID: 19939861. *Intervention* 

1874. Gürkan K, Kiliç BG, Bilgiç A, et al. Methylphenidate use in children with ADHD: The effect of parental and teacher ratings on clinician's treatment choice. Neurology Psychiatry and Brain Research. 2008;15(4):185-90. *Intervention* 

1875. Gürkan K, Soykan-Aysev A, Akçakin M. Pharmacological management of pervasive developmental disorders. Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bulteni. 2005;15(2):53-9. *Design* 

1876. Gustavson K, Torvik FA, Eilertsen EM, et al. Genetic and environmental contributions to co-occurring ADHD and emotional problems in school-aged children. Dev Psychol. 2021 Aug;57(8):1359-71. doi: 10.1037/dev0001229. PMID: 34591578. *Intervention* 

1877. Guttentag S, Bishop S, Doggett R, et al. The utility of parent-report screening tools in differentiating autism versus attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in school-age children. Autism. 2021 Jul 4:13623613211030071. doi: 10.1177/13623613211030071. PMID: 34219504. *Population* 

1878. Guttmann-Steinmetz S, Crowell J, Doron G, et al. Associations between mothers' and children's secure base scripts in ADHD and community cohorts. Attach Hum Dev. 2011 Nov;13(6):597-610. doi: 10.1080/14616734.2011.609010. PMID: 22011102. *Intervention* 

1879. Güven A, Altinkaynak M, Dolu N, et al. Effects of Methylphenidate on Reaction Time in Children with Attention Deficit / Hyperactivity Disorder. Noro Psikiyatr Ars. 2019 Mar;56(1):27-31. doi: 10.29399/npa.22873. PMID: 30911234. *Outcome* 

1880. Guvenmez O, Cubuk M, Gunes S. The effects of medication on intraocular pressure in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A prospective study. J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol. 2020 May 1;27(2):e45-e50. doi: 10.15586/jptcp.v27i2.665. PMID: 32379404. *Intervention* 

1881. Gwernan-Jones R, Moore DA, Cooper P, et al. A systematic review and synthesis of qualitative research: the influence of school context on symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties. 2016;21(1):83-100. doi: 10.1080/13632752.2015.1120055. *Intervention* 

1882. Haack LM, Araujo EA. Culturally Appropriate Assessment of Functioning in Diverse Children: Development and Preliminary Validation of the FX-II Scale in Mexico. J Atten Disord. 2019 Apr;23(6):584-98. doi: 10.1177/1087054717730613. PMID: 28929831. *Intervention* 

1883. Haack LM, Araujo EA, Delucchi K, et al. The Collaborative Life Skills Program in Spanish (CLS-S): Pilot Investigation of Intervention Process, Outcomes, and Qualitative Feedback. Evidence-Based Practice in Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2019;4(1):18-41. doi: 10.1080/23794925.2018.1560236. *Power* 

1884. Haack LM, Araujo EA, Meza J, et al. Can School Mental Health Providers Deliver Psychosocial Treatment Improving Youth Attention and Behavior in Mexico? A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial of CLS-FUERTE. J Atten Disord. 2020 Sep 30:1087054720959698. doi: 10.1177/1087054720959698. PMID: 32996347. *Power* 

1885. Haack LM, Gerdes AC. Culturally Appropriate Assessment of Functional Impairment in Diverse Children: Validation of the ADHD-FX Scale With an At-Risk Community Sample. J Atten Disord. 2017 Sep;21(11):913-20. doi: 10.1177/1087054714553021. PMID: 25300814. *Comparator* 

1886. Haack LM, Gonring K, Harris M, et al. Assessing Impairment in Childhood ADHD: Validation of the Parent and Teacher ADHD-FX Rating Scale in a Dual-Site Clinical Sample. J Atten Disord. 2019 Apr;23(6):541-52. doi: 10.1177/1087054716659360. PMID: 27431931. *Population* 

1887. Haack LM, Meza J, Jiang Y, et al. Influences to ADHD Problem Recognition: Mixed-Method Investigation and Recommendations to Reduce Disparities for Latino Youth. Adm Policy Ment Health. 2018 Nov;45(6):958-77. doi: 10.1007/s10488-018-0877-7. PMID: 29770911. *Intervention* 

1888. Haas-Lude K, Heimgärtner M, Winter S, et al. Motor dysfunction in NF1: Mediated by attention deficit or inherent to the disorder? Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2018 Jan;22(1):164-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2017.10.005. PMID: 29111114. *Intervention* 

1889. Habel LA, Cooper WO, Sox CM, et al. ADHD medications and risk of serious cardiovascular events in young and middle-aged adults. JAMA. 2011 Dec 28;306(24):2673-83. PMID: 22161946. *Population* 

1890. Hadar Y, Hocherman S, Lamm O, et al. Auditory and Visual Executive Functions in Children and Response to Methylphenidate: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jan;24(2):235-45. doi: 10.1177/1087054717700978. PMID: 28388850. *Timing* 

1891. Hadar Y, Hocherman S, Lamm O, et al. The Visuo-Motor Attention Test in Boys with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Methylphenidate-Placebo Randomized Controlled Trial. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2021 Feb;52(1):96-103. doi: 10.1007/s10578-020-00993-8. PMID: 32342235. *Timing* 

1892. Hadar Y, Hocherman S, Lamm O, et al. The visuo-motor attention test in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): Methylphenidate—Placebo randomized controlled trial. Child Psychiatry and Human Development. 2021 Feb 2021;52(1):96-103. *Duplicate* 

1893. Hadler N, Strome A, Waselewski M, et al. Perspectives of US Adolescents on Diverted Stimulant Use. J Pediatr. 2021 Aug;235:190-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2021.04.010. PMID: 33862023. *Population* 

1894. Haffner J, Roos J, Goldstein N, et al. [The effectiveness of body-oriented methods of therapy in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): results of a controlled pilot study]. Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother. 2006 Jan;34(1):37-47. doi: 10.1024/1422-4917.34.1.37. PMID: 16485612. *Language* 

1895. Haft SL, Chen T, LeBlanc C, et al. Impact of mentoring on socio-emotional and mental health outcomes of youth with learning disabilities and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2019;24(4):318-28. doi: 10.1111/camh.12331. *Comparator* 

1896. Haft SL, Chen T, LeBlanc C, et al. Impact of mentoring on socio-emotional and mental health outcomes of youth with learning disabilities and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2019 Nov 2019;24(4):318-28. *Duplicate* 

1897. Häge A, Alm B, Banaschewski T, et al. Does the efficacy of parent–child training depend on maternal symptom improvement? Results from a randomized controlled trial on children and mothers both affected by attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018 Aug 2018;27(8):1011-21. *Duplicate* 

1898. Haggerty G, Zodan J, Mehra A, et al. Reliability and Validity of Prototype Diagnosis for Adolescent Psychopathology. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2016 Apr;204(4):287-90. doi: 10.1097/nmd.00000000000492. PMID: 26894314. *Intervention* 

1899. Hahn-Markowitz J, Berger I, Manor I, et al. Impact of the Cognitive–Functional (Cog– Fun) intervention on executive functions and participation among children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A randomized controlled trial. American Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2017 Sep 2017 - Oct 2017;71(5):1-9. *Duplicate* 

1900. Hahn-Markowitz J, Berger I, Manor I, et al. Cognitive-Functional (Cog-Fun) Dyadic Intervention for Children with ADHD and Their Parents: Impact on Parenting Self-Efficacy. Phys Occup Ther Pediatr. 2018 Nov;38(4):444-56. doi: 10.1080/01942638.2018.1441939. PMID: 29494784. *Power*  1901. Hai T, Swansburg R, Chenji S, et al. P72. Right Caudate Volume and Parent Ratings of Executive Functions in Pediatric Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Biological Psychiatry. 2022;91(9):S116. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2022.02.306. *Design* 

1902. Hai T, Swansburg R, Kahl CK, et al. Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy of γ-Aminobutyric Acid and Glutamate Concentrations in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. JAMA Netw Open. 2020 Oct 1;3(10):e2020973. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.20973. PMID: 33064134. *Intervention* 

1903. Hakim Shooshtari M, Shariati B, Kamalzadeh L, et al. The prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Iran: An updated systematic review. Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2021;35:8. doi: 10.47176/mjiri.35.8. PMID: 33996659. *Intervention* 

1904. Halawa IF, El Sayed BB, Amin OR, et al. Frontal theta/beta ratio changes during TOVA in Egyptian ADHD children. Neurosciences (Riyadh). 2017 Oct;22(4):287-91. doi: 10.17712/nsj.2017.4.20170067. PMID: 29057854. *Intervention* 

1905. Hale JB, Reddy LA, Semrud-Clikeman M, et al. Executive impairment determines ADHD medication response: implications for academic achievement. J Learn Disabil. 2011 Mar-Apr;44(2):196-212. doi: 10.1177/0022219410391191. PMID: 21383110. *Design* 

1906. Halkett A, Hinshaw SP. Initial Engagement in Oral Sex and Sexual Intercourse Among Adolescent Girls With and Without Childhood Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Arch Sex Behav. 2021 Jan;50(1):181-90. doi: 10.1007/s10508-020-01733-8. PMID: 32458300. *Outcome* 

1907. Halkett A, O'Grady SM, Hinshaw SP. An Exploratory Investigation of Childhood Sexual Abuse and Other Theory-Driven Predictors of Sex Work Among Women with and without Childhood ADHD. Journal of Child and Adolescent Trauma. 2022;15(4):949-62. doi: 10.1007/s40653-022-00467-0. *Design* 

1908. Hall AM, Thistle JE, Manley CK, et al. Organophosphorus Pesticide Exposure at 17 Weeks' Gestation and Odds of Offspring Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Diagnosis in the Norwegian Mother, Father, and Child Cohort Study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022;19(24). doi: 10.3390/ijerph192416851. *Design* 

1909. Hall CL, Guo B, Valentine AZ, et al. The validity of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) for children with ADHD symptoms. PLoS One. 2019;14(6):e0218518. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0218518. PMID: 31216327. *Intervention* 

1910. Hall CL, Selby K, Guo B, et al. Innovations in practice: An objective measure of attention, impulsivity and activity reduces time to confirm attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder diagnosis in children — A completed audit cycle. Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2016 Sep 2016;21(3):175-8. *Duplicate* 

1911. Hall CL, Selby K, Guo B, et al. Innovations in Practice: an objective measure of attention, impulsivity and activity reduces time to confirm attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder diagnosis in children - a completed audit cycle. Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2016 Sep;21(3):175-8. doi: 10.1111/camh.12140. PMID: 32680350. *Duplicate* 

1912. Halliday R, Callaway E, Rosenthal JH. The visual ERP predicts clinical response to methylphenidate in hyperactive children. Psychophysiology. 1984 Jan;21(1):114-21. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.1984.tb02328.x. PMID: 6366860. *Intervention* 

1913. Halperin JM, Marks DJ. Practitioner Review: Assessment and treatment of preschool children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019 Sep;60(9):930-43. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13014. PMID: 30690737. *Intervention* 

1914. Halperin JM, Marks DJ. Practitioner review: Assessment and treatment of preschool children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2019 Sep 2019;60(9):930-43. *Duplicate* 

1915. Halperin JM, Marks DJ, Bedard AC, et al. Training executive, attention, and motor skills: a proof-of-concept study in preschool children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2013 Nov;17(8):711-21. doi: 10.1177/1087054711435681. PMID: 22392551. *Comparator* 

1916. Halperin JM, Marks DJ, Chacko A, et al. Training Executive, Attention, and Motor Skills (TEAMS): a Preliminary Randomized Clinical Trial of Preschool Youth with ADHD. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2020 Mar;48(3):375-89. doi: 10.1007/s10802-019-00610-w. PMID: 31834588. *Power* 

1917. Halperin JM, Sharma V, Siever LJ, et al. Serotonergic function in aggressive and nonaggressive boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 1994 Feb;151(2):243-8. doi: 10.1176/ajp.151.2.243. PMID: 8296897. *Intervention* 

1918. Halperin JM, Trampush JW, Miller CJ, et al. Neuropsychological Outcome in Adolescents/Young Adults with Childhood ADHD: Profiles of Persisters, Remitters and Controls. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2008 09/01/;49(9):958-66. PMID: EJ808060. *Intervention* 

1919. Hamidovic A, Dlugos A, Palmer AA, et al. Polymorphisms in dopamine transporter (SLC6A3) are associated with stimulant effects of D-amphetamine: an exploratory pharmacogenetic study using healthy volunteers. Behav Genet. 2010 Mar;40(2):255-61. doi: 10.1007/s10519-009-9331-7. PMID: 20091113. *Population* 

1920. Hammerness P, Doyle R, Kotarski M, et al. Atomoxetine in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder with prior stimulant therapy: a prospective open-label study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Aug;18(8):493-8. doi: 10.1007/s00787-009-0017-8. PMID: 19377865. *Intervention* 

1921. Hammerness P, Fried R, Petty C, et al. Assessment of cognitive domains during treatment with OROS methylphenidate in adolescents with ADHD. Child Neuropsychol. 2014;20(3):319-27. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2013.790359. PMID: 23639146. *Intervention* 

1922. Hammerness P, Georgiopoulos A, Doyle RL, et al. An open study of adjunct OROSmethylphenidate in children who are atomoxetine partial responders: II. Tolerability and pharmacokinetics. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Oct;19(5):493-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0126. PMID: 19877973. *Intervention* 

1923. Hammerness P, Joshi G, Doyle R, et al. Do stimulants reduce the risk for cigarette smoking in youth with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder? A prospective, long-term, open-label study of extended-release methylphenidate. J Pediatr. 2013 Jan;162(1):22-7.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.06.046. PMID: 22878114. *Comparator* 

1924. Hammerness P, McCarthy K, Mancuso E, et al. Atomoxetine for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a review. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2009;5:215-26. doi: 10.2147/ndt.s3896. PMID: 19557116. *Design* 

1925. Hammerness P, Petty C, Faraone SV, et al. Do Stimulants Reduce the Risk for Alcohol and Substance Use in Youth With ADHD? A Secondary Analysis of a Prospective, 24-Month Open-Label Study of Osmotic-Release Methylphenidate. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(1):71-7. doi: 10.1177/1087054712468051. PMID: 23264367. *Power* 

1926. Hammerness P, Wilens T, Mick E, et al. Cardiovascular effects of longer-term, high-dose OROS methylphenidate in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Pediatr. 2009 Jul;155(1):84-9, 9.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.02.008. PMID: 19394037. *Intervention* 

1927. Hammerness PG, Perrin JM, Shelley-Abrahamson R, et al. Cardiovascular risk of stimulant treatment in pediatric attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: update and clinical recommendations. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Oct;50(10):978-90. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2011.07.018. PMID: 21961773. *Design* 

1928. Hampel P. Stress and intervention among children and adolescents with chronic and mental illness. Pravention und Rehabilitation. 2005;17(3):90-9. doi: 10.5414/prp17090. *Language* 

1929. Hamza M, Abbes Z, Ben Yahyia H, et al. The Cognitive Remediation Therapy Program Among Children with ADHD: Tunisian experience. Tunis Med. 2018 Jan;96(1):30-5. PMID: 30324989. *Intervention* 

1930. Han D, Fang Y, Luo H. A Predictive Model Offor Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Based on Clinical Assessment Tools. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2020;16:1331-7. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S245636. PMID: 32547036. *Intervention* 

1931. Hanć T, Szwed A, Słopień A, et al. Perinatal Risk Factors and ADHD in Children and Adolescents: A Hierarchical Structure of Disorder Predictors. J Atten Disord. 2018 Jul;22(9):855-63. doi: 10.1177/1087054716643389. PMID: 27095561. *Intervention* 

1932. Hand CG, Archer RP, Handel RW, et al. The classification accuracy of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-Adolescent: effects of modifying the normative sample. Assessment. 2007 Mar;14(1):80-5. doi: 10.1177/1073191106291815. PMID: 17314183. *Population* 

1933. Handen BL, Aman MG, Arnold LE, et al. Atomoxetine, Parent Training, and Their Combination in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Nov;54(11):905-15. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2015.08.013. PMID: 26506581. *Population* 

1934. Handen BL, Johnson CR, Lubetsky M. Efficacy of methylphenidate among children with autism and symptoms of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2000;30:245-55. doi: 10.1023/A:1005548619694. *Power* 

1935. Handen BL FH, Lurier A, et al. Efficacy of methylphenidate among preschool children with developmental disabilities and ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999;38(7):805-12. *Power* 

1936. Handwerk ML, Smith GL, Thompson RW, et al. Psychotropic medication utilization at a group-home residential facility for children and adolescents. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Oct;18(5):517-25. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.012. PMID: 18928416. *Intervention* 

1937. Hanisch C, Radach R, Holtkamp K, et al. Oculomotor inhibition in children with and without attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2006 May;113(5):671-84. doi: 10.1007/s00702-005-0344-y. PMID: 16082513. *Intervention* 

1938. Hanisch C F-BI, Hautmann C, et al. Detecting effects of the indicated prevention Programme for Externalizing Problem behaviour (PEP) on child symptoms, parenting, and parental quality of life in a randomized controlled trial. Behav Cogn Psychother. 2010;38(1):95-112. *Population* 

1939. Hannah Huang NABJBA. The use of telepsychiatry in children, adolescents and adults with ADHD: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021228202. 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=228202. *Duplicate* 

1940. Hannesdottir DK, Ingvarsdottir E, Bjornsson A. The OutSMARTers Program for Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Feb;21(4):353-64. doi: 10.1177/1087054713520617. PMID: 24505061. *Power* 

1941. Hannesdottir DK, Ingvarsdottir E, Bjornsson A. The OutSMARTers program for children with ADHD: A pilot study on the effects of social skills, self-regulation, and executive function training. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Feb 2017;21(4):353-64. *Duplicate* 

1942. Hans Hartmann SAEWKADMBKDVPvBHCMOHKMAMMGPS. Screening, diagnosis and Management of ADHD in children with epilepsy. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018094617. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=94617. *Design* 

1943. Hansen AS, Kjaersdam Telléus G, Lauritsen MB. Changes in referral patterns to outpatient child and adolescent psychiatric services from 2005-2018. Nord J Psychiatry. 2021 Aug;75(6):437-46. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2021.1880636. PMID: 33586612. *Population* 

1944. Hansen BH, Skirbekk B, Oerbeck B, et al. Comparison of sleep problems in children with anxiety and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorders. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Jun;20(6):321-30. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0179-z. PMID: 21533911. *Intervention* 

1945. Hansen JB, Bilenberg N, Timmermann CAG, et al. Prenatal exposure to bisphenol A and autistic- and ADHD-related symptoms in children aged 2 and5 years from the Odense Child Cohort. Environ Health. 2021 Mar 12;20(1):24. doi: 10.1186/s12940-021-00709-y. PMID: 33712018. *Intervention* 

1946. Hao Z, He C, Ziqian Y, et al. Neurofeedback training for children with ADHD using individual beta rhythm. Cogn Neurodyn. 2022 Dec;16(6):1323-33. doi: 10.1007/s11571-022-09798-y. PMID: 36408061. *Power* 

1947. Harfterkamp M, Buitelaar JK, Minderaa RB, et al. Long-term treatment with atomoxetine for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder: an open-label extension study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2013 Apr;23(3):194-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2012.0012. PMID: 23578015. *Design* 

1948. Hariprasad VR, Arasappa R, Varambally S, et al. Feasibility and efficacy of yoga as an add-on intervention in attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder: An exploratory study. Indian J Psychiatry. 2013 Jul;55(Suppl 3):S379-84. doi: 10.4103/0019-5545.116317. PMID: 24049203. *Comparator*
1949. Harley JP, Matthews CG, Eichman P. Synthetic food colors and hyperactivity in children: a double-blind challenge experiment. Pediatrics. 1978 Dec;62(6):975-83. PMID: 366539. *Intervention* 

1950. Harley JP, Ray RS, Tomasi L, et al. Hyperkinesis and food additives: testing the Feingold hypothesis. Pediatrics. 1978 Jun;61(6):818-28. PMID: 353681. *Intervention* 

1951. Harmony T, Gutiérrez CC, Carlier M, et al. Early detection and treatment of attention deficits in preterm and at term infants with risk factors for brain damage. Int J Psychophysiol. 2021 Dec 15. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2021.12.002. PMID: 34921894. *Population* 

1952. Harmony T, Gutiérrez-Hernández CC, Carlier M, et al. Early detection and treatment of attention deficits in preterm and at term infants with risk factors for brain damage. Int J Psychophysiol. 2022 Feb;172:17-23. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2021.12.002. PMID: 34921894. *Duplicate* 

1953. Harpin V, Mazzone L, Raynaud JP, et al. Long-Term Outcomes of ADHD: A Systematic Review of Self-Esteem and Social Function. J Atten Disord. 2016 Apr;20(4):295-305. doi: 10.1177/1087054713486516. PMID: 23698916. *Design* 

1954. Harpur RA, Thompson M, Daley D, et al. The attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder medication-related attitudes of patients and their parents. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Oct;18(5):461-73. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.023. PMID: 18928411. *Intervention* 

1955. Harricharan S, Adcock L. CADTH Rapid Response Reports. Guanfacine Hydrochloride Extended-Release for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Review of Clinical Effectiveness, Cost-Effectiveness, and Guidelines. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health

Copyright © 2018 Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health.; 2018. Design

1956. Harrison AG, Armstrong IT. Development of a symptom validity index to assist in identifying ADHD symptom exaggeration or feigning. Clin Neuropsychol. 2016 Feb;30(2):265-83. doi: 10.1080/13854046.2016.1154188. PMID: 26954905. *Intervention* 

1957. Harrison AG, Armstrong IT. Differences in performance on the test of variables of attention between credible vs. noncredible individuals being screened for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2020 Oct-Dec;9(4):314-22. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2020.1750115. PMID: 32301339. *Population* 

1958. Harrison JR, Evans SW, Baran A, et al. Comparison of accommodations and interventions for youth with ADHD: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of School Psychology. 2020 Jun 2020;80:15-36. *Power* 

1959. Harrison LJ, Manocha R, Rubia K. Sahaja Yoga Meditation as a Family Treatment Programme for Children with Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder. Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2004 2004/10/01;9(4):479-97. doi: 10.1177/1359104504046155. *Comparator* 

1960. Harstad E, Blum N, Gahman A, et al. Management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder by developmental-behavioral pediatricians: A DBPNet study. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. 2016 Sep 2016;37(7):541-7. *Outcome* 

1961. Harstad E, Levy S, Committee on Substance A. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and substance abuse. Pediatrics. 2014 Jul;134(1):e293-301. doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-0992. PMID: 24982106. *Design* 

1962. Harstad E, Shults J, Barbaresi W, et al. α2-Adrenergic Agonists or Stimulants for Preschool-Age Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Jama. 2021 May 25;325(20):2067-75. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.6118. PMID: 33946100. *Design* 

1963. Harstad EB, Katusic S, Sideridis G, et al. Children With ADHD Are at Risk for a Broad Array of Adverse Adult Outcomes That Cross Functional Domains: Results From a Population-Based Birth Cohort Study. J Atten Disord. 2022 Jan;26(1):3-14. doi: 10.1177/1087054720964578. PMID: 33090057. *Intervention* 

1964. Harstad EB, Weaver AL, Katusic SK, et al. ADHD, stimulant treatment, and growth: a longitudinal study. Pediatrics. 2014 Oct;134(4):e935-44. doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-0428. PMID: 25180281. *Intervention* 

1965. Hartanto TA, Krafft CE, Iosif AM, et al. A trial-by-trial analysis reveals more intense physical activity is associated with better cognitive control performance in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Child Neuropsychol. 2016;22(5):618-26. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2015.1044511. PMID: 26059476. *Intervention* 

1966. Hartman CA, Rommelse N, van der Klugt CL, et al. Stress Exposure and the Course of ADHD from Childhood to Young Adulthood: Comorbid Severe Emotion Dysregulation or Mood and Anxiety Problems. J Clin Med. 2019 Nov 1;8(11). doi: 10.3390/jcm8111824. PMID: 31683870. *Intervention* 

1967. Hartung CM, McCarthy DM, Milich R, et al. Parent-adolescent agreement on disruptive behavior symptoms: A multitrait-multimethod model. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2005;27(3):159-68. doi: 10.1007/s10862-005-0632-8. *Population* 

1968. Hartung CM, Willcutt EG, Lahey BB, et al. Sex differences in young children who meet criteria for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2002 Dec;31(4):453-64. doi: 10.1207/S15374424JCCP3104\_5. PMID: 12402565. *Intervention* 

1969. Hartwig CAM, Robiyanto R, de Vos S, et al. In utero antidepressant exposure not associated with ADHD in the offspring: A case control sibling design. Front Pharmacol. 2022;13:1000018. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.1000018. PMID: 36438827. *Intervention* 

1970. Harty SC, Ivanov I, Newcorn JH, et al. The impact of conduct disorder and stimulant medication on later substance use in an ethnically diverse sample of individuals with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in childhood. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Aug;21(4):331-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0074. PMID: 21823914. *Intervention* 

1971. Harty SC, Miller CJ, Newcorn JH, et al. Adolescents with childhood ADHD and comorbid disruptive behavior disorders: aggression, anger, and hostility. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2009 Mar;40(1):85-97. doi: 10.1007/s10578-008-0110-0. PMID: 18597170. *Intervention* 

1972. Harvey EA, Lugo-Candelas CI, Breaux RP. Longitudinal changes in individual symptoms across the preschool years in children with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2015;44(4):580-94. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2014.886253. PMID: 24697647. *Intervention* 

1973. Hassan AM, Al-Haidar F, Al-Alim F, et al. A screening tool for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children in Saudi Arabia. Ann Saudi Med. 2009 Jul-Aug;29(4):294-8. doi: 10.4103/0256-4947.55321. PMID: 19584573. *Intervention* 

1974. Hassanzadeh M, Malek A, Norouzi S, et al. Psychometric properties of the Persian version of preschool age psychiatric assessment (PAPA) for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Based on DSM-5. Asian J Psychiatr. 2021 Apr;58:102618. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2021.102618. PMID: 33652288. *Language* 

1975. Häßler F, Dück A, Reis O, et al. Alternative agents used in ADHD. Psychopharmakotherapie. 2007;14(6):229-36. *Design* 

1976. Hatch B, Iosif AM, Chuang A, et al. Longitudinal Differences in Response to Name Among Infants Developing ASD and Risk for ADHD. J Autism Dev Disord. 2021 Mar;51(3):827-36. doi: 10.1007/s10803-020-04369-8. PMID: 31974800. *Intervention* 

1977. Hattabi S, Bouallegue M, Ben Yahya H, et al. Rehabilitation of ADHD children by sport intervention: a Tunisian experience. Tunis Med. 2019 Jul;97(7):874-81. PMID: 31872398. *Power* 

1978. Hattori J, Ogino T, Abiru K, et al. Are pervasive developmental disorders and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder distinct disorders? Brain Dev. 2006 Jul;28(6):371-4. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2005.11.009. PMID: 16504439. *Outcome* 

1979. Haugan AJ, Sund AM, Thomsen PH, et al. Psychometric properties of the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale parent and self-reports in a Norwegian clinical sample of adolescents treated for ADHD. Nord J Psychiatry. 2021 Jan;75(1):63-72. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2020.1795252. PMID: 32749193. *Language* 

1980. Hautmann C, Dose C, Duda-Kirchhof K, et al. Behavioral Versus Nonbehavioral Guided Self-Help for Parents of Children With Externalizing Disorders in a Randomized Controlled Trial. Behav Ther. 2018 Nov;49(6):951-65. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2018.02.002. PMID: 30316493. *Population* 

1981. Hautmann C, Eichelberger I, Hanisch C, et al. The severely impaired do profit most: short-term and long-term predictors of therapeutic change for a parent management training under routine care conditions for children with externalizing problem behavior. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 May;19(5):419-30. doi: 10.1007/s00787-009-0072-1. PMID: 19915886. *Population* 

1982. Hautmann C, Rothenberger A, Döpfner M. An observational study of response heterogeneity in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder following treatment switch to modified-release methylphenidate. BMC Psychiatry. 2013 Sep 3;13:219. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-13-219. PMID: 24004962. *Design* 

1983. Hautmann C, Rothenberger A, Döpfner M. Daily Symptom Profiles of Children With ADHD Treated With Modified-Release Methylphenidate. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(2):120-8. doi: 10.1177/1087054713502233. PMID: 24062276. *Intervention* 

1984. Hautmann C, Rothenberger A, Döpfner M. Daily symptom profiles of children with ADHD treated with modified-release methylphenidate: An observational study. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Jan 2017;21(2):120-8. *Duplicate* 

1985. Hautmann C, Stein P, Hanisch C, et al. Does parent management training for children with externalizing problem behavior in routine care result in clinically significant changes? Psychother Res. 2009 Mar;19(2):224-33. doi: 10.1080/10503300902777148. PMID: 19396653. *Intervention* 

1986. Hawi Z, Yates H, Pinar A, et al. A case-control genome-wide association study of ADHD discovers a novel association with the tenascin R (TNR) gene. Transl Psychiatry. 2018 Dec 18;8(1):284. doi: 10.1038/s41398-018-0329-x. PMID: 30563984. *Intervention* 

1987. Hawk LW, Jr., Fosco WD, Colder CR, et al. How do stimulant treatments for ADHD work? Evidence for mediation by improved cognition. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Dec;59(12):1271-81. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12917. PMID: 29733106. *Timing* 

1988. Haydicky J, Shecter C, Wiener J, et al. Evaluation of MBCT for adolescents with ADHD and their parents: Impact on individual and family functioning. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2015;24:76-94. doi: 10.1007/s10826-013-9815-1. *Comparator* 

1989. Haynes V, Lopez-Romero P, Anand E. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder Under Treatment Outcomes Research (AUTOR): a European observational study in pediatric subjects. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2015 Dec;7(4):295-311. doi: 10.1007/s12402-015-0177-y. PMID: 26115621. *Intervention* 

1990. Haza B, Mersali J, Pinabiaux C, et al. Evaluating spatial cuing effects of social cues in children with ADHD: Pre-test of three versions of a neuropsychological tool in children without disorders. Clinical Neurophysiology. 2022;135:e13. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2021.11.048. *Intervention* 

1991. Hazell P. Review of new compounds available in Australia for the treatment of attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. Australas Psychiatry. 2004 Dec;12(4):369-75. doi: 10.1080/j.1440-1665.2004.02129.x. PMID: 15715810. *Design* 

1992. Hazell P. Pharmacological management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adolescents: An update. Salud(i)Ciencia. 2010;17(6):520-4. *Design* 

1993. Hazell P, Lewin T, Sly K. What is a clinically important level of improvement in symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2005 May;39(5):354-8. doi: 10.1080/j.1440-1614.2005.01581.x. PMID: 15860022. *Intervention* 

1994. Hazell PL, Carr V, Lewin TJ, et al. Manic symptoms in young males with ADHD predict functioning but not diagnosis after 6 years. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2003 May;42(5):552-60. doi: 10.1097/01.Chi.0000046830.95464.33. PMID: 12707559. *Outcome* 

1995. Hazell PL, Kohn MR, Dickson R, et al. Core ADHD Symptom Improvement with Atomoxetine versus Methylphenidate: A Direct Comparison Meta-Analysis. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2011 11/01/;15(8):674-83. PMID: EJ948220. *Duplicate* 

1996. Hazell PL, Lewin TJ, Carr VJ. Confirmation that Child Behavior Checklist clinical scales discriminate juvenile mania from attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Paediatr Child Health. 1999 Apr;35(2):199-203. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1754.1999.t01-1-00347.x. PMID: 10365361. *Outcome* 

1997. He H, Yu Y, Wang H, et al. Five-Minute Apgar Score and the Risk of Mental Disorders During the First Four Decades of Life: A Nationwide Registry-Based Cohort Study in Denmark.

Front Med (Lausanne). 2021;8:796544. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.796544. PMID: 35096886. *Population* 

1998. He J, Ning H, Huang R. Low blood lead levels and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2019 Jun;26(18):17875-84. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-9799-2. PMID: 28780688. *Intervention* 

1999. He L, Huang L. A Study on the Effects of a Cartoon Text Version of Health Education Manual with Sandplay on the Psychological Status and Cognitive Function of Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2022;2022:1816391. doi: 10.1155/2022/1816391. PMID: 36133790. *Power* 

2000. He Sufei WMSJZTCHGX. Efficiency and safety of ginkgo preparations for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017077190. 2017. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=77190. *Design* 

2001. Hebebrand J, Dempfle A, Saar K, et al. A genome-wide scan for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in 155 German sib-pairs. Mol Psychiatry. 2006 Feb;11(2):196-205. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.4001761. PMID: 16222334. *Outcome* 

2002. Hechtman L. Adolescent outcome of hyperactive children treated with stimulants in childhood: a review. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1985;21(2):178-91. PMID: 2860690. *Design* 

2003. Hechtman L, Weiss G, Perlman T. Young adult outcome of hyperactive children who received long-term stimulant treatment. J Am Acad Child Psychiatry. 1984 May;23(3):261-9. doi: 10.1016/s0002-7138(09)60501-x. PMID: 6736490. *Intervention* 

2004. Heckel L, Clarke A, Barry R, et al. The relationship between divorce and the psychological well-being of children with ADHD: differences in age, gender, and subtype. Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties. 2009 2009/03/01;14(1):49-68. doi: 10.1080/13632750802655695. *Intervention* 

2005. Heiligenstein E, Anders J. Pemoline in adult attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: predictors of nonresponse. J Am Coll Health. 1997 Mar;45(5):225-9. doi: 10.1080/07448481.1997.9936890. PMID: 9069682. *Population* 

2006. Heinonen K, Räikkönen K, Pesonen AK, et al. Trajectories of growth and symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children: a longitudinal study. BMC Pediatr. 2011 Oct 10;11:84. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-11-84. PMID: 21985742. *Intervention* 

2007. Heinrich H, Dickhaus H, Rothenberger A, et al. Single-sweep analysis of event-related potentials by wavelet networks--methodological basis and clinical application. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 1999 Jul;46(7):867-79. doi: 10.1109/10.771199. PMID: 10396905. *Outcome* 

2008. Heinrich H, Gevensleben H, Becker A, et al. Effects of neurofeedback on the dysregulation profile in children with ADHD: SCP NF meets SDQ-DP - a retrospective analysis. Psychol Med. 2020 Jan;50(2):258-63. doi: 10.1017/s0033291718004130. PMID: 30674360. *Comparator* 

2009. Heinrich H, Gevensleben H, Becker A, et al. Effects of neurofeedback on the dysregulation profile in children with ADHD: SCP NF meets SDQ-DP—A retrospective analysis. Psychological Medicine. 2020 Jan 2020;50(2):258-63. *Duplicate* 

2010. Heinrich H, Gevensleben H, Freisleder FJ, et al. Training of slow cortical potentials in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: evidence for positive behavioral and neurophysiological effects. Biol Psychiatry. 2004 Apr 1;55(7):772-5. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2003.11.013. PMID: 15039008. *Intervention* 

2011. Heinrichs N, Kliem S, Hahlweg K. "Four-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of Triple P group for parent and child outcomes": Addendum. Prevention Science. 2017 May 2017;18(4):491-503. *Population* 

2012. Hekim Bozkurt Ö, Güney E, Göker Z, et al. Neuropeptide Y Levels in Children and Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Turk Psikiyatri Derg. 2018 Spring;29(1):31-5. PMID: 29730872. *Intervention* 

2013. Helland WA, Posserud MB, Helland T, et al. Language Impairments in Children With ADHD and in Children With Reading Disorder. J Atten Disord. 2016 Jul;20(7):581-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054712461530. PMID: 23074303. *Intervention* 

2014. Hellström L. A Systematic Review of Polyvictimization among Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity or Autism Spectrum Disorder. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Jun 27;16(13). doi: 10.3390/ijerph16132280. PMID: 31252681. *Intervention* 

2015. Hellwig-Brida S, Daseking M, Keller F, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on intelligence and attention components in boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Jun;21(3):245-53. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0041. PMID: 21663427. *Intervention* 

2016. Hemerson Fillipy TFJSEHMANS. The effect of transcranial direct current stimulation on attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018110378. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=110378. *Design* 

2017. Hemmer SA, Pasternak JF, Zecker SG, et al. Stimulant therapy and seizure risk in children with ADHD. Pediatr Neurol. 2001 Feb;24(2):99-102. doi: 10.1016/s0887-8994(00)00240-x. PMID: 11275457. *Intervention* 

2018. Hemmingsson H, Ólafsdóttir LB, Egilson ST. Agreements and disagreements between children and their parents in health-related assessments. Disabil Rehabil. 2017 Jun;39(11):1059-72. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2016.1189603. PMID: 27291406. *Intervention* 

2019. Hennessy S, Schelleman H, Daniel GW, et al. Cardiovascular safety of ADHD medications: rationale for and design of an investigator-initiated observational study. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2010 Sep;19(9):934-41. doi: 10.1002/pds.1992. PMID: 20623519. *Design* 

2020. Hennig T, Jaya ES, Koglin U, et al. Associations of attention-deficit/hyperactivity and other childhood disorders with psychotic experiences and disorders in adolescence. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Apr;26(4):421-31. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0904-8. PMID: 27623819. *Intervention* 

2021. Hennig T, Jaya ES, Lincoln TM. Bullying Mediates Between Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Childhood and Psychotic Experiences in Early Adolescence. Schizophr Bull. 2017 Sep 1;43(5):1036-44. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbw139. PMID: 27803356. *Intervention*  2022. Hennig T, Schramm SA, Linderkamp F. Cross-informant disagreement on behavioral symptoms in adolescent attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and its impact on treatment effects. European Journal of Psychological Assessment. 2018 2018;34(2):79-86. *Language* 

2023. Hennig T, Schramm SA, Linderkamp F, et al. Mediation and Moderation of Outcome in a Training Intervention for Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology. 2016 01/01/;15(3):412-27. PMID: EJ1226758. *Intervention* 

2024. Herbert SD, Harvey EA, Roberts JL, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a parent training and emotion socialization program for families of hyperactive preschool-aged children. Behav Ther. 2013 Jun;44(2):302-16. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2012.10.004. PMID: 23611079. *Power* 

2025. Hergüner A, Alpfidan İ, Yar A, et al. Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness in Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2018 May;22(7):619-26. doi: 10.1177/1087054716664412. PMID: 27535944. *Intervention* 

2026. Heriot SA EI, Foster TM. Critical influences affecting response to various treatments in young children with ADHD: a case series. Child Care Health Dev. 2008;34(1):121-33. *Power* 

2027. Herman LE, Acosta MC, Chang PN. Gender and attention deficits in children diagnosed with a Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder. Can J Clin Pharmacol. 2008 Fall;15(3):e411-9. PMID: 18953085. *Population* 

2028. Hermans N, Antwerpen U. Effect of Pycnogenol® on ADHD. 2017. Outcome

2029. Hermens DF, Cooper NJ, Kohn M, et al. Predicting stimulant medication response in ADHD: evidence from an integrated profile of neuropsychological, psychophysiological and clinical factors. J Integr Neurosci. 2005 Mar;4(1):107-21. doi: 10.1142/s0219635205000653. PMID: 16041867. *Intervention* 

2030. Hermens DF, Kohn MR, Clarke SD, et al. Sex differences in adolescent ADHD: findings from concurrent EEG and EDA. Clin Neurophysiol. 2005 Jun;116(6):1455-63. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2005.02.012. PMID: 15978508. *Intervention* 

2031. Hermens DF, Williams LM, Clarke S, et al. Responses to methylphenidate in adolescent AD/HD: evidence from concurrently recorded autonomic (EDA) and central (EEG and ERP) measures. Int J Psychophysiol. 2005 Oct;58(1):21-33. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2005.03.006. PMID: 15936104. *Intervention* 

2032. Hernandez-Reif M, Field TM, Thimas E. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Benefits from Tai Chi. Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies. 2001;5(2):120-3. doi: 10.1054/jbmt.2000.0219. *Intervention* 

2033. Herpertz SC, Huebner T, Marx I, et al. Emotional processing in male adolescents with childhood-onset conduct disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2008 Jul;49(7):781-91. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01905.x. PMID: 18598245. *Comparator* 

2034. Herpertz SC, Mueller B, Qunaibi M, et al. Response to emotional stimuli in boys with conduct disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2005 Jun;162(6):1100-7. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.162.6.1100. PMID: 15930058. *Intervention* 

2035. Herpertz SC, Wenning B, Mueller B, et al. Psychophysiological responses in ADHD boys with and without conduct disorder: implications for adult antisocial behavior. J Am Acad Child

Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Oct;40(10):1222-30. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200110000-00017. PMID: 11589536. *Intervention* 

2036. Herrera AV, Benjet C, Méndez E, et al. How mental health interviews conducted alone, in the presence of an adult, a child or both affects adolescents' reporting of psychological symptoms and risky behaviors. Journal of Youth and Adolescence. 2017 Feb 2017;46(2):417-28. *Intervention* 

2037. Hervey-Jumper H, Douyon K, Falcone T, et al. Identifying, Evaluating, Diagnosing, and Treating ADHD in Minority Youth. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2008 01/01/;11(5):522-8. PMID: EJ793569. *Design* 

2038. Hicks RE, Mayo JP, Jr., Clayton CJ. Differential psychopharmacology of methylphenidate and the neuropsychology of childhood hyperactivity. Int J Neurosci. 1989 Mar;45(1-2):7-32. doi: 10.3109/00207458908986213. PMID: 2654045. *Design* 

2039. Hidas A, Noy AF, Birman N, et al. Oral health status, salivary flow rate and salivary quality in children, adolescents and young adults with ADHD. Arch Oral Biol. 2011 Oct;56(10):1137-41. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2011.03.018. PMID: 21514566. *Intervention* 

2040. Higashionna T, Iwanaga R, Tokunaga A, et al. The Relationship between Motor Coordination Ability, Cognitive Ability, and Academic Achievement in Japanese Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Brain Sciences. 2022;12(5). doi: 10.3390/brainsci12050674. *Design* 

2041. Higdon C, Blader J, Kalari VK, et al. Measurement-Based Care in the Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Disruptive Behavior Disorders. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2020 Oct;29(4):663-74. doi: 10.1016/j.chc.2020.06.005. PMID: 32891368. *Design* 

2042. Hilbert A, Kurz S, Dremmel D, et al. Cue reactivity, habituation, and eating in the absence of hunger in children with loss of control eating and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Int J Eat Disord. 2018 Mar;51(3):223-32. doi: 10.1002/eat.22821. PMID: 29341214. *Intervention* 

2043. Hill JC, Schoener EP. Age-dependent decline of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 1996 Sep;153(9):1143-6. doi: 10.1176/ajp.153.9.1143. PMID: 8780416. *Intervention* 

2044. Hillemeier MM, Foster EM, Heinrichs B, et al. Racial differences in parental reports of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder behaviors. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2007 Oct;28(5):353-61. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e31811ff8b8. PMID: 18049317. *Intervention* 

2045. Hinshaw SP. Intervention research, theoretical mechanisms, and causal processes related to externalizing behavior patterns. Dev Psychopathol. 2002 Fall;14(4):789-818. doi: 10.1017/s0954579402004078. PMID: 12549704. *Intervention* 

2046. Hinshaw SP, Arnold LE. ADHD, Multimodal Treatment, and Longitudinal Outcome: Evidence, Paradox, and Challenge. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Cogn Sci. 2015 Jan;6(1):39-52. doi: 10.1002/wcs.1324. PMID: 25558298. *Duplicate* 

2047. Hinshaw SP, Carte ET, Fan C, et al. Neuropsychological functioning of girls with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder followed prospectively into adolescence: evidence for

continuing deficits? Neuropsychology. 2007 Mar;21(2):263-73. doi: 10.1037/0894-4105.21.2.263. PMID: 17402826. *Intervention* 

2048. Hinshaw SP, Henker B, Whalen CK. Cognitive-behavioral and pharmacologic interventions for hyperactive boys: comparative and combined effects. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1984 Oct;52(5):739-49. doi: 10.1037//0022-006x.52.5.739. PMID: 6501659. *Intervention* 

2049. Hinshaw SP, Henker B, Whalen CK. Self-control in hyperactive boys in anger-inducing situations: effects of cognitive-behavioral training and of methylphenidate. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1984 Mar;12(1):55-77. doi: 10.1007/bf00913461. PMID: 6715694. *Intervention* 

2050. Hinshaw SP, Nguyen PT, O'Grady SM, et al. Annual Research Review: Attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in girls and women: underrepresentation, longitudinal processes, and key directions. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2022 Apr;63(4):484-96. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13480. PMID: 34231220. *Outcome* 

2051. Hinshaw SP, Owens EB, Zalecki C, et al. Prospective follow-up of girls with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder into early adulthood: continuing impairment includes elevated risk for suicide attempts and self-injury. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2012 Dec;80(6):1041-51. doi: 10.1037/a0029451. PMID: 22889337. *Intervention* 

2052. Hinz M, Stein A, Neff R, et al. Treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with monoamine amino acid precursors and organic caution transporter assay interpretation. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2011;7(1):31-8. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S16270. *Intervention* 

2053. Hira Abdul Razzak NGMAAQDJFSmza. Clinical practice guidelines for the evaluation and diagnosis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a systematic review of the literature. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019121551. 2019. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=121551. *Design* 

2054. Hirayama S, Hamazaki T, Terasawa K. Effect of docosahexaenoic acid-containing food administration on symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder - a placebo-controlled double-blind study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2004 Mar;58(3):467-73. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601830. PMID: 14985685. *Population* 

2055. Hirayama S, Masuda Y, Rabeler R. Effect of phosphatidylserine administration on symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. Agro Food Industry Hi-Tech. 2006 09/01;17:16-20. *Comparator* 

2056. Hirsch E, Davis K, Cao Z, et al. Understanding Phasic Irritability: Anger and Distress in Children's Temper Outbursts. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2022 Apr;53(2):317-29. doi: 10.1007/s10578-021-01126-5. PMID: 33547990. *Intervention* 

2057. Hirsch O, Christiansen H. Factorial Structure and Validity of the Quantified Behavior Test Plus (Qb+©). Assessment. 2017 Dec;24(8):1037-49. doi: 10.1177/1073191116638426. PMID: 26975467. *Population* 

2058. Hiscock H, Mulraney M, Heussler H, et al. Impact of a behavioral intervention, delivered by pediatricians or psychologists, on sleep problems in children with ADHD: A cluster-randomized, translational trial. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2019 Nov 2019;60(11):1230-41. *Duplicate* 

2059. Hjorth S, Lupattelli A, Handal M, et al. Prenatal exposure to non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs and risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A follow-up study in the Norwegian mother, father and child cohort. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2021 Apr 18. doi: 10.1002/pds.5250. PMID: 33866622. *Intervention* 

2060. Ho HY, Wong CK, Wu SY, et al. Increased Alopecia Areata Risk in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and the Impact of Methylphenidate Use: A Nationwide Population-Based Cohort Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Feb 1;18(3). doi: 10.3390/ijerph18031286. PMID: 33535410. *Intervention* 

2061. Ho JD, Sheu JJ, Kao YW, et al. Associations between Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Ocular Abnormalities in Children: A Population-based Study. Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2020 Jun;27(3):194-9. doi: 10.1080/09286586.2019.1704795. PMID: 31878821. *Intervention* 

2062. Ho Kei Y. The efficacy of executive functions training in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019122792. 2019. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=122792. *Design* 

2063. Ho P, Tsao JCI, Bloch L, et al. The impact of group drumming on social-emotional behavior in low-income children. Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2011;2011. doi: 10.1093/ecam/neq072. *Population* 

2064. Hoagwood KE, Acri M, Morrissey M, et al. Animal-Assisted Therapies for Youth with or at Risk for Mental Health Problems: A Systematic Review. Applied Developmental Science. 2017 01/01/;21(1):1-13. PMID: EJ1127892. *Population* 

2065. Hoagwood KE, Jensen PS, Arnold LE, et al. Reliability of the Services for Children and Adolescents-Parent Interview. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2004 11/01/;43(11):1345-N. PMID: EJ696661. *Duplicate* 

2066. Hoang HH, Tran ATN, Nguyen VH, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Associated Factors Among First-Year Elementary School Students. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2021;14:997-1005. doi: 10.2147/jmdh.S301091. PMID: 33958873. *Intervention* 

2067. Hoare P, Remschmidt H, Medori R, et al. 12-month efficacy and safety of OROS MPH in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder switched from MPH. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Sep;14(6):305-9. doi: 10.1007/s00787-005-0486-3. PMID: 16220214. *Intervention* 

2068. Hoare P, Sevar K. The effect of discontinuation of methylphenidate on neuropsychological performance of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Investigation. 2007;4(2):76-83. *Intervention* 

2069. Hoath FE, Sanders MR. A Feasibility Study of Enhanced Group Triple P - Positive Parenting Program for Parents of Children with Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Behaviour Change. 2002;19:191-206. doi: 10.1375/bech.19.4.191. *Power* 

2070. Hochhauser M, Aran A, Grynszpan O. Change Blindness in Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Use of Eye-Tracking. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:770921. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.770921. PMID: 35295775. *Outcome*  2071. Hodgkins P, Arnold LE, Shaw M, et al. A systematic review of global publication trends regarding long-term outcomes of ADHD. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2012;2(JAN). doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2011.00084. *Intervention* 

2072. Hodgkins P, Lloyd A, Erder MH, et al. Estimating minimal important differences for several scales assessing function and quality of life in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. CNS Spectr. 2017 Feb;22(1):31-40. doi: 10.1017/s1092852916000353. PMID: 27535815. *Population* 

2073. Hodgkins P, Sasané R, Christensen L, et al. Treatment outcomes with methylphenidate formulations among patients with ADHD: retrospective claims analysis of a managed care population. Curr Med Res Opin. 2011;27 Suppl 2:53-62. doi: 10.1185/03007995.2011.623158. PMID: 21973231. *Population* 

2074. Hodgkins P, Sasané R, Meijer WM. Pharmacologic treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children: incidence, prevalence, and treatment patterns in the Netherlands. Clin Ther. 2011 Feb;33(2):188-203. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2011.03.001. PMID: 21497704. *Intervention* 

2075. Hoffman K, Webster TF, Weisskopf MG, et al. Exposure to polyfluoroalkyl chemicals and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in U.S. children 12-15 years of age. Environ Health Perspect. 2010 Dec;118(12):1762-7. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1001898. PMID: 20551004. *Intervention* 

2076. Hoffmann MS, McDaid D, Salum GA, et al. The impact of child psychiatric conditions on future educational outcomes among a community cohort in Brazil. Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences. 2021;30. doi: 10.1017/S2045796021000561. *Population* 

2077. Hoffmann MS, Pan PM, Manfro GG, et al. Cross-Sectional and Longitudinal Associations of Temperament and Mental Disorders in Youth. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2019 Jun;50(3):374-83. doi: 10.1007/s10578-018-0846-0. PMID: 30259212. *Intervention* 

2078. Hoffmann NG, Sonis WA, Halikas JA. Issues in the evaluation of chemical dependency treatment programs for adolescents. Pediatr Clin North Am. 1987 Apr;34(2):449-59. doi: 10.1016/s0031-3955(16)36226-5. PMID: 3562103. *Intervention* 

2079. Hogue A, Lichvar E, Bobek M. Pilot evaluation of the medication integration protocol for adolescents with ADHD in behavioral care: Treatment fidelity and medication uptake. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders. 2016 Dec 2016;24(4):223-34. *Power* 

2080. Hohmann S, Hohm E, Treutlein J, et al. Association of norepinephrine transporter (NET, SLC6A2) genotype with ADHD-related phenotypes: findings of a longitudinal study from birth to adolescence. Psychiatry Res. 2015 Apr 30;226(2-3):425-33. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.12.029. PMID: 25724484. *Intervention* 

2081. Hökelekli FÖ, Çak T, Çengel Kültür E. Neuropsychological tests for differential diagnosis of ADHD and ADHD with dyslexia. Turkish Journal of Pediatric Disease. 2020;14(4):302-9. doi: 10.12956/tchd.515837. *Intervention* 

2082. Holdø I, Bramness JG, Handal M, et al. Association Between Prescribed Hypnotics in Infants and Toddlers and Later ADHD: A Large Cohort Study from Norway. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2021 Aug;52(4):533-43. doi: 10.1007/s10578-020-01039-9. PMID: 32772207. *Intervention* 

2083. Hollander E, Kaplan A, Cartwright C, et al. Venlafaxine in children, adolescents, and young adults with autism spectrum disorders: an open retrospective clinical report. J Child Neurol. 2000 Feb;15(2):132-5. doi: 10.1177/088307380001500214. PMID: 10695900. *Population* 

2084. Hollingdale J, Woodhouse E, Young S, et al. Autistic spectrum disorder symptoms in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analytical review. Psychol Med. 2020 Oct;50(13):2240-53. doi: 10.1017/s0033291719002368. PMID: 31530292. *Intervention* 

2085. Hollis C, Falconer CJ, Martin JL, et al. Annual Research Review: Digital health interventions for children and young people with mental health problems - a systematic and meta-review. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017 Apr;58(4):474-503. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12663. PMID: 27943285. *Population* 

2086. Hollis C, Hall CL, Guo B, et al. The impact of a computerised test of attention and activity (QbTest) on diagnostic decision-making in children and young people with suspected attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Single-blind randomised controlled trial. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2018 Dec 2018;59(12):1298-308. *Duplicate* 

2087. Hollway JA, Mendoza-Burcham M, Andridge R, et al. Atomoxetine, Parent Training, and Their Effects on Sleep in Youth with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Mar;28(2):130-5. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0085. PMID: 29112459. *Population* 

2088. Holmberg K, Hjern A. Health complaints in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Acta Paediatr. 2006 Jun;95(6):664-70. doi: 10.1080/08035250600717121. PMID: 16754546. *Outcome* 

2089. Holmberg K, Hjern A. Bullying and Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder in 10-Year-Olds in a Swedish Community. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 2008 02/01/;50(2):134-8. PMID: EJ851382. *Intervention* 

2090. Holmberg K, Sundelin C, Hjern A. Screening for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): can high-risk children be identified in first grade? Child Care Health Dev. 2013 Mar;39(2):268-76. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2214.2012.01382.x. PMID: 22515618. *Language* 

2091. Holmes J, Bryant A, Gathercole SE. Protocol for a transdiagnostic study of children with problems of attention, learning and memory (CALM). BMC Pediatr. 2019 Jan 8;19(1):10. doi: 10.1186/s12887-018-1385-3. PMID: 30621646. *Intervention* 

2092. Holmes J, Gathercole SE, Place M, et al. Working memory deficits can be overcome: Impacts of training and medication on working memory in children with ADHD. Applied Cognitive Psychology. 2010;24(6):827-36. doi: 10.1002/acp.1589. *Comparator* 

2093. Holtmann M, Becker K, Kentner-Figura B, et al. Increased frequency of rolandic spikes in ADHD children. Epilepsia. 2003 Sep;44(9):1241-4. doi: 10.1046/j.1528-1157.2003.13403.x. PMID: 12919398. *Intervention* 

2094. Holtmann M, Matei A, Hellmann U, et al. Rolandic spikes increase impulsivity in ADHD - a neuropsychological pilot study. Brain Dev. 2006 Nov;28(10):633-40. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2006.04.007. PMID: 16757138. *Intervention* 

2095. Holtmann M, Stadler C. Electroencephalographic biofeedback for the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in childhood and adolescence. Expert Rev Neurother. 2006 Apr;6(4):533-40. doi: 10.1586/14737175.6.4.533. PMID: 16623652. *Intervention* 

2096. Hong JF. Family risk factors of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children. Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation. 2005;9(4):64-6. *Intervention* 

2097. Hong JS, Singh V, Kalb L. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in Young Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Autism Res. 2021 Jan;14(1):182-92. doi: 10.1002/aur.2414. PMID: 33073542. *Intervention* 

2098. Hong M, Kim B, Hwang JW, et al. Naturalistic Pharmacotherapy Compliance among Pediatric Patients with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: a Study Based on Three-Year Nationwide Data. J Korean Med Sci. 2016 Apr;31(4):611-6. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2016.31.4.611. PMID: 27051247. *Intervention* 

2099. Hong SB, Dwyer D, Kim JW, et al. Subthreshold attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is associated with functional impairments across domains: a comprehensive analysis in a large-scale community study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Aug;23(8):627-36. doi: 10.1007/s00787-013-0501-z. PMID: 24318039. *Intervention* 

2100. Hong SB, Hwang S. Resting-State Brain Variability in Youth With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2022;13. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.918700. *Intervention* 

2101. Hood KK ES. Outcomes of parent-child interaction therapy: mothers' reports of maintenance three to six years after treatment. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2003;32(3):419-29. *Population* 

2102. Hooks K, Milich R, Pugzles Lorch E. Sustained and selective attention in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology. 1994 1994/03/01;23(1):69-77. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp2301\_9. *Intervention* 

2103. Hooven JT, Fogel BN, Waxmonsky JG, et al. Exploratory study of barriers to successful office contacts for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2018 Sep;10(3):237-43. doi: 10.1007/s12402-017-0246-5. PMID: 29222741. *Intervention* 

2104. Horev A, Freud T, Manor I, et al. Risk of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children with Atopic Dermatitis. Acta Dermatovenerol Croat. 2017 Oct;25(3):210-4. PMID: 29252173. *Intervention* 

2105. Horn WF, Ialongo N, Greenberg G, et al. Additive effects of behavioral parent training and self-control therapy with attention deficit hyperactivity disordered children. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology. 1990;19:98-110. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp1902\_1. *Power* 

2106. Horn WF, Ialongo NS, Pascoe JM, et al. Additive effects of psychostimulants, parent training, and self-control therapy with ADHD children. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1991 Mar;30(2):233-40. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199103000-00011. PMID: 2016227. *Power* 

2107. Horn WF, Wagner AE, Ialongo N. Sex differences in school-aged children with pervasive attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1989 Feb;17(1):109-25. doi: 10.1007/BF00910773. PMID: 2926019. *Intervention* 

2108. Hornyak JE, Nelson VS, Hurvitz EA. The use of methylphenidate in paediatric traumatic brain injury. Pediatr Rehabil. 1997 Jan-Mar;1(1):15-7. doi: 10.3109/17518429709060937. PMID: 9689233. *Population* 

2109. Horowitz I, Avirame K, Naim-Feil J, et al. The interactive effects of test-retest and methylphenidate administration on cognitive performance in youth with ADHD: A double-blind placebo-controlled crossover study. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Sep;291:113056. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113056. PMID: 32554183. *Comparator* 

2110. Horowitz-Kraus T. Can the Error-Monitoring System Differentiate ADHD From ADHD With Reading Disability? Reading and Executive Dysfunction as Reflected in Error Monitoring. J Atten Disord. 2016 Oct;20(10):889-902. doi: 10.1177/1087054713488440. PMID: 23729492. *Intervention* 

2111. Horrigan JP, Barnhill LJ. Guanfacine for treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in boys. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 1995;5(3):215-23. *Intervention* 

2112. Hospital BC, Center HUM. Genetic Polymorphism and OROS-Methylphenidate Treatment in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder(ADHD). 2006. *Intervention* 

2113. Hospital BCs, Health NIoM. Methylphenidate for Treating Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children With Both ADHD and Epilepsy. 2003. *Outcome* 

2114. Hospital H, Health NIo. Effect of Working Memory Training on ADHD Brain Function. 2009. *Outcome* 

2115. Hospital MG. Study of Medication Patch to Treat Children Ages 6-12 With ADHD. 2006. *Power* 

2116. Hospital MG. Proton Magnetic Spectroscopy in Children and Adolescents With ADHD Before and After Treatment With OROS Methylphenidate. 2006. *Intervention* 

2117. Hospital MG. Omega-3 Supplementation to ADHD Medication in Children. 2014. *Intervention* 

2118. Hospital MG, Abuse NIoD. Effectiveness of ATMX in Treating Adolescents With ADHD and SUD. 2004. *Outcome* 

2119. Hospital MG, Lilly E, Company. Strattera Treatment in Children With ADHD Who Have Poor Response to Stimulant Therapy. 2004. *Intervention* 

2120. Hospital MG, Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs L. Prevention of Cigarette Smoking in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Youth With Concerta. 2003. *Intervention* 

2121. Hospital MG, Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs L. Study of Atomoxetine and OROS Methylphenidate to Treat Children and Adolescents Ages 6-17 With ADHD. 2004. *Intervention* 

2122. Hospital RI. The Effect of a Once Daily Dose of Atomoxetine (ATX) on ADHD-Related Insomnia in Children and Adolescents. 2005. *Outcome* 

2123. Hospital SCs, Novartis. Sleep and Tolerability Study: Comparing the Effects of Adderall XR and Focalin XR. 2006. *Power* 

2124. Hospital SCs, Pfizer. Dose Response Effects of Quillivant XR in Children With ADHD and Autism: A Pilot Study. 2014. *Population* 

2125. Hossain B, Bent S, Hendren R. The association between anxiety and academic performance in children with reading disorder: A longitudinal cohort study. Dyslexia. 2021 Aug;27(3):342-54. doi: 10.1002/dys.1680. PMID: 33733531. *Population* 

2126. Hosseinnia M, Mazaheri MA, Heidari Z. Knowledge, attitude, and behavior of elementary teachers regarding attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Educ Health Promot. 2020;9:120. doi: 10.4103/jehp.jehp\_696\_19. PMID: 32642476. *Population* 

2127. Houghton R, de Vries F, Loss G. Psychostimulants/Atomoxetine and Serious Cardiovascular Events in Children with ADHD or Autism Spectrum Disorder. CNS Drugs. 2020 Jan;34(1):93-101. doi: 10.1007/s40263-019-00686-4. PMID: 31768949. *Intervention* 

2128. Houghton S, Douglas G, West J, et al. Differential patterns of executive function in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder according to gender and subtype. J Child Neurol. 1999 Dec;14(12):801-5. doi: 10.1177/088307389901401206. PMID: 10614567. *Intervention* 

2129. Hovens JG, Cantwell DP, Kiriakos R. Psychiatric comorbidity in hospitalized adolescent substance abusers. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1994 May;33(4):476-83. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199405000-00005. PMID: 8005900. *Intervention* 

2130. Hovik KT, Egeland J, Isquith PK, et al. Distinct Patterns of Everyday Executive Function Problems Distinguish Children With Tourette Syndrome From Children With ADHD or Autism Spectrum Disorders. J Atten Disord. 2017 Aug;21(10):811-23. doi: 10.1177/1087054714550336. PMID: 25253683. *Population* 

2131. Howard AL, Robinson M, Smith GJ, et al. ADHD is associated with a "Western" dietary pattern in adolescents. J Atten Disord. 2011 Jul;15(5):403-11. doi: 10.1177/1087054710365990. PMID: 20631199. *Population* 

2132. Howard HR. Agents for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder - An update. Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Patents. 2004;14(7):983-1008. doi: 10.1517/13543776.14.7.983. *Design* 

2133. Howard JT, Walick KS, Rivera JC. Preliminary Evidence of an Association Between ADHD Medications and Diminished Bone Health in Children and Adolescents. J Pediatr Orthop. 2017 Jul/Aug;37(5):348-54. doi: 10.1097/bpo.00000000000000651. PMID: 26398435. *Intervention* 

2134. Howell DC, Huessy HR, Hassuk B. Fifteen-year follow-up of a behavioral history of attention deficit disorder. Pediatrics. 1985 Aug;76(2):185-90. PMID: 4022691. *Intervention* 

2135. Hoza B, Gerdes AC, Mrug S, et al. Peer-Assessed Outcomes in the Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2005 01/01/;34(1):74-86. PMID: EJ724946. *Duplicate* 

2136. Hoza B, Murray-Close D, Arnold LE, et al. Time-dependent changes in positively biased self-perceptions of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a developmental psychopathology perspective. Dev Psychopathol. 2010 May;22(2):375-90. doi: 10.1017/s095457941000012x. PMID: 20423548. *Intervention* 

2137. Hoza B, Shoulberg EK, Tompkins CL, et al. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and processing speed: Predicting adaptive change in ADHD levels and related impairments in preschoolers. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2020 Dec 2020;61(12):1380-7. *Population* 

2138. Hoza B, Smith AL, Shoulberg EK, et al. A randomized trial examining the effects of aerobic physical activity on attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in young children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2015 May;43(4):655-67. doi: 10.1007/s10802-014-9929-y. PMID: 25201345. *Population* 

2139. Hsieh YP, Chou WJ, Wang PW, et al. Development and validation of the Parents' Perceived Self-Efficacy to Manage Children's Internet Use Scale for parents of adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Behav Addict. 2017 Dec 1;6(4):593-600. doi: 10.1556/2006.6.2017.066. PMID: 29076356. *Intervention* 

2140. Hsieh YP, Yen CF, Chou WJ. Development and Validation of the Parental Smartphone Use Management Scale (PSUMS): Parents' Perceived Self-Efficacy with Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Apr 21;16(8). doi: 10.3390/ijerph16081423. PMID: 31010068. *Intervention* 

2141. Hsu CD, Hsieh LH, Chen YL, et al. Complementary effects of pine bark extract supplementation on inattention, impulsivity, and antioxidative status in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A double-blinded randomized placebo-controlled cross-over study. Phytother Res. 2021 Jun;35(6):3226-35. doi: 10.1002/ptr.7036. PMID: 33559134. *Power* 

2142. Hsu YC, Chen CT, Yang HJ, et al. Family structure, birth order, and aggressive behaviors among school-aged boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2019 Jun;54(6):661-70. doi: 10.1007/s00127-018-1624-9. PMID: 30535676. *Intervention* 

2143. Hu CJ, Yu HC, Chang YC. Investigation of the Impact of Dental Care via Composite Resin Restoration among Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Registry-Based Nested Case-Control Study. Healthcare (Basel). 2021 Jun 25;9(7). doi: 10.3390/healthcare9070803. PMID: 34202318. *Intervention* 

2144. Hua MH, Huang KL, Hsu JW, et al. Early Pregnancy Risk Among Adolescents With ADHD: A Nationwide Longitudinal Study. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jul;25(9):1199-206. doi: 10.1177/1087054719900232. PMID: 31971056. *Intervention* 

2145. Huang A, Wu K, Cai Z, et al. Association between postnatal second-hand smoke exposure and ADHD in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2021 Jan;28(2):1370-80. doi: 10.1007/s11356-020-11269-y. PMID: 33097989. *Intervention* 

2146. Huang C, Hu W, Tan G, et al. Clinical and electroencephalographic features of benign childhood epilepsy with centrotemporal spikes comorbidity with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in Southwest China. Epilepsy Behav. 2020 Oct;111:107240. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2020.107240. PMID: 32603807. *Population* 

2147. Huang CJ, Huang CW, Hung CL, et al. Effects of Acute Exercise on Resting EEG in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2018 Dec;49(6):993-1002. doi: 10.1007/s10578-018-0813-9. PMID: 29872997. *Intervention* 

2148. Huang CJ, Huang CW, Tsai YJ, et al. A Preliminary Examination of Aerobic Exercise Effects on Resting EEG in Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Sep;21(11):898-903. doi: 10.1177/1087054714554611. PMID: 25359761. *Outcome* 

2149. Huang KL, Hsu JW, Tsai SJ, et al. Factors Affecting Delayed Initiation and Continuation of Medication Use for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Nationwide Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Apr;31(3):197-204. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0136. PMID: 33464991. *Intervention* 

2150. Huang X, Zhang Q, Gu X, et al. LPHN3 gene variations and susceptibility to ADHD in Chinese Han population: a two-stage case-control association study and gene-environment interactions. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Jun;28(6):861-73. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1251-8. PMID: 30406846. *Intervention* 

2151. Huang XX, Ou P, Qian QF, et al. Long-term effectiveness of behavioural intervention in preschool children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Southeast China - a randomized controlled trial. BMC Pediatr. 2021 Dec 10;21(1):561. doi: 10.1186/s12887-021-03046-8. PMID: 34893038. *Duplicate* 

2152. Huang Y, Zheng S, Xu C, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in elementary school students in Shantou, China: prevalence, subtypes, and influencing factors. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2017;13:785-92. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S126100. PMID: 28352178. *Intervention* 

2153. Huang YF, Chiou HY, Chung CH, et al. Psychiatric Disorders After Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Nationwide Population-Based Study in Taiwan. J Nurs Scholarsh. 2019 Mar;51(2):138-46. doi: 10.1111/jnu.12457. PMID: 30609223. *Intervention* 

2154. Huang YH, Zeng BY, Li DJ, et al. Significantly lower serum and hair magnesium levels in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder than controls: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2019 Mar 2;90:134-41. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2018.11.012. PMID: 30496768. *Intervention* 

2155. Huang YS, Chao CC, Wu YY, et al. Acute effects of methylphenidate on performance during the Test of Variables of Attention in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2007 Jun;61(3):219-25. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2007.01653.x. PMID: 17472588. *Intervention* 

2156. Huang YS, Wang LJ, Chen CK. Long-term neurocognitive effects of methylphenidate in patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, even at drug-free status. BMC Psychiatry. 2012;12(1). doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-12-194. *Comparator* 

2157. Huang YS, Yeh CB, Chen CH, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Two-Way Crossover Clinical Trial of ORADUR-Methylphenidate for Treating Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Apr;31(3):164-78. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0104. PMID: 33395356. *Timing* 

2158. Huang-Pollock C, Ratcliff R, McKoon G, et al. Using the diffusion model to explain cognitive deficits in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2017 Jan 2017;45(1):57-68. *Intervention* 

2159. Huber F, Schulz J, Schlack R, et al. Long-term changes in serum levels of lipoproteins in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Journal of Neural Transmission. 2023. doi: 10.1007/s00702-022-02583-5. *Design* 

2160. Hubers N, Hagenbeek FA, Van Dongen J, et al. Integrative multi-omics analysis of epigenomic and metabolomic data for attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. Behavior Genetics. 2020;50(6):459. doi: 10.1007/s10519-020-10018-8. *Design* 

2161. Hudziak JJ, Heath AC, Madden PF, et al. Latent class and factor analysis of DSM-IV ADHD: a twin study of female adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1998 Aug;37(8):848-57. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199808000-00015. PMID: 9695447. *Intervention* 

2162. Hugtenburg JG, Faber A, Schirm E, et al. Children and methylphenidate: Drug use and experience in The Netherlands. Tijdschrift voor Psychiatrie. 2004;46(1):31-7. *Intervention* 

2163. Huguet A, Izaguirre Eguren J, Miguel-Ruiz D, et al. Deficient Emotional Self-Regulation in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Mindfulness as a Useful Treatment Modality. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2019 Jul/Aug;40(6):425-31. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000682. PMID: 31135603. *Power* 

2164. Huguet A, Ruiz DM, Haro JM, et al. A pilot study of the efficacy of a mindfulness program for children newly diagnosed with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: Impact on core symptoms and executive functions. International Journal of Psychology & Psychological Therapy. 2017 2017;17(3):305-16. *Power* 

2165. Huhdanpää H, Morales-Muñoz I, Aronen ET, et al. Prenatal and Postnatal Predictive Factors for Children's Inattentive and Hyperactive Symptoms at 5 Years of Age: The Role of Early Family-related Factors. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2020 Sep 19. doi: 10.1007/s10578-020-01057-7. PMID: 32951139. *Intervention* 

2166. Huhdanpää H, Morales-Muñoz I, Aronen ET, et al. Sleep Difficulties in Infancy Are Associated with Symptoms of Inattention and Hyperactivity at the Age of 5 Years: A Longitudinal Study. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2019 Jul/Aug;40(6):432-40. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000684. PMID: 31166249. *Intervention* 

2167. Huixin Guan WXRHMXYTYYXC. Omega-3 for ADHD of children: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021242232. 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=242232. *Design* 

2168. Hulpke-Wette M, Paul T. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder : Arterial hypertension by ADHD in childhood and adolescence. Monatsschrift fur Kinderheilkunde. 2010;158(5):489-92. doi: 10.1007/s00112-010-2219-z. *Language* 

2169. Hulsbosch A-K, Boyer BE, Van der Oord S. Parent–adolescent conflict in adolescents with ADHD: Rater agreement and associated factors. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2020 Dec 2020;29(12):3447-58. *Outcome* 

2170. Hulsbosch AK, De Meyer H, Beckers T, et al. Systematic Review: Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Instrumental Learning. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Apr 13. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.03.009. PMID: 33862167. *Intervention* 

2171. Hultman CM, Torrång A, Tuvblad C, et al. Birth weight and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity symptoms in childhood and early adolescence: a prospective Swedish twin study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Mar;46(3):370-7. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000246059.62706.22. PMID: 17314723. *Intervention*  2172. Hulvershorn L, Parkhurst S, Jones S, et al. Improved Metabolic and Psychiatric Outcomes with Discontinuation of Atypical Antipsychotics in Youth Hospitalized in a State Psychiatric Facility. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Dec;27(10):897-907. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0040. PMID: 28880609. *Population* 

2173. Humphreys KL, Tottenham N, Lee SS. Risky decision-making in children with and without ADHD: A prospective study. Child Neuropsychology. 2018 Feb 2018;24(2):261-76. *Design* 

2174. Humphreys KL, Watts EL, Dennis EL, et al. Stressful life events, ADHD symptoms, and brain structure in early adolescence. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2019 Mar 15, 2019;47(3):421-32. *Intervention* 

2175. Hunt RD. Treatment effects of oral and transdermal clonidine in relation to methylphenidate: an open pilot study in ADD-H. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1987;23(1):111-4. PMID: 3602304. *Power* 

2176. Hunt RD, Arnsten AF, Asbell MD. An open trial of guanfacine in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 Jan;34(1):50-4. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199501000-00013. PMID: 7860456. *Intervention* 

2177. Hunt RD, Minderaa RB, Cohen DJ. Clonidine benefits children with attention deficit disorder and hyperactivity: report of a double-blind placebo-crossover therapeutic trial. J Am Acad Child Psychiatry. 1985 Sep;24(5):617-29. doi: 10.1016/s0002-7138(09)60065-0. PMID: 3900182. *Power* 

2178. Hunt TKA, Slack KS, Berger LM. Adverse childhood experiences and behavioral problems in middle childhood. Child Abuse Negl. 2017 May;67:391-402. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2016.11.005. PMID: 27884508. *Intervention* 

2179. Hurtig T, Ebeling H, Taanila A, et al. ADHD symptoms and subtypes: relationship between childhood and adolescent symptoms. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Dec;46(12):1605-13. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e318157517a. PMID: 18030082. *Intervention* 

2180. Huss M, Ginsberg Y, Arngrim T, et al. Open-label dose optimization of methylphenidate modified release long acting (MPH-LA): a post hoc analysis of real-life titration from a 40-week randomized trial. Clin Drug Investig. 2014 Sep;34(9):639-49. doi: 10.1007/s40261-014-0213-2. PMID: 25015027. *Population* 

2181. Huss M, Newcorn J, Harpin V, et al. Extended-release guanfacine hydrochloride in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: A double-blind, placebocontrolled, multicentre, phase 3 randomized withdrawal study. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. 2015;49:111-2. doi: 10.1177/0004867415578344. *Design* 

2182. Huss M, Poustka F, Lehmkuhl G, et al. No increase in long-term risk for nicotine use disorders after treatment with methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): evidence from a non-randomised retrospective study. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2008;115(2):335-9. doi: 10.1007/s00702-008-0872-3. PMID: 18253808. *Intervention* 

2183. Huss M, Völp A, Stauss-Grabo M. Supplementation of polyunsaturated fatty acids, magnesium and zinc in children seeking medical advice for attention-deficit/hyperactivity problems - an observational cohort study. Lipids Health Dis. 2010 Sep 24;9:105. doi: 10.1186/1476-511x-9-105. PMID: 20868469. *Comparator* 

2184. Huss P, Steiner Arzneimittel B, Germany, Mainz JGU. Efficacy and Safety of St. John's Wort/Valerian Extract Versus Placebo in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2008. *Outcome* 

2185. Hutchings J, Griffith N, Bywater T, et al. Evaluating the Incredible Years Toddler Parenting Programme with parents of toddlers in disadvantaged (Flying Start) areas of Wales. Child Care Health Dev. 2017 Jan;43(1):104-13. doi: 10.1111/cch.12415. PMID: 27704590. *Population* 

2186. Hutchings J GF, Bywater T, et al. Parenting intervention in Sure Start services for children at risk of developing conduct disorder: pragmatic randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2007;334(7595):678. *Population* 

2187. Hvolby A. Incidence and relative risk of sleep problems among children and adolescents with newly diagnosed neurodevelopmental disorders. A nation-wide register-based study. Sleep Medicine. 2022;100:S214-S5. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2022.05.578. *Design* 

2188. Hwang IW, Hong JH, Kwon BN, et al. Association of mitochondrial DNA 10398 A/G polymorphism with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in Korean children. Gene. 2017 Sep 30;630:8-12. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2017.08.004. PMID: 28793231. *Intervention* 

2189. Hwang S-L, Gau SS-F, Hsu W-Y, et al. Deficits in Interval Timing Measured by the Dual-Task Paradigm among Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2010 03/01/;51(3):223-32. PMID: EJ871871. *Intervention* 

2190. Hyman SL, Arthur Shores E, North KN. Learning disabilities in children with neurofibromatosis type 1: subtypes, cognitive profile, and attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2006 Dec;48(12):973-7. doi: 10.1017/s0012162206002131. PMID: 17109785. *Population* 

2191. Hyun GJ, Jung TW, Park JH, et al. Changes in Gait Balance and Brain Connectivity in Response to Equine-Assisted Activity and Training in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Altern Complement Med. 2016 Apr;22(4):286-93. doi: 10.1089/acm.2015.0299. PMID: 26982567. *Power* 

2192. Hyun GJ, Park JW, Kim JH, et al. Visuospatial working memory assessment using a digital tablet in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2018 Apr;157:137-43. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2018.01.022. PMID: 29477422. *Intervention* 

2193. Hyun JH, Hong N, Hyung JY, et al. Differences in the clinical characteristics of remission and non-remission groups with once-daily OROS-methylphenidate treatment of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. Clinical Psychopharmacology and Neuroscience. 2008;6(1):24-30. *Comparator* 

2194. Iaccarino MA, Fitzgerald M, Pulli A, et al. Sport concussion and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in student athletes: A cohort study. Neurol Clin Pract. 2018 Oct;8(5):403-11. doi: 10.1212/cpj.0000000000525. PMID: 30564494. *Intervention* 

2195. Ialongo NS, Horn WF, Pascoe JM, et al. The effects of a multimodal intervention with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder children: a 9-month follow-up. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1993 Jan;32(1):182-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199301000-00026. PMID: 8428870. *Power* 

2196. Ialongo NS, Lopez M, Horn WF, et al. Effects of psychostimulant medication on selfperceptions of competence, control, and mood in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. US: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1994. p. 161-73. *Power* 

2197. Ibrahim el SR. Rates of adherence to pharmacological treatment among children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2002 Jul;17(5):225-31. doi: 10.1002/hup.406. PMID: 12404679. *Intervention* 

2198. Ibrahim K, Donyai P. What stops practitioners discussing medication breaks in children and adolescents with ADHD? Identifying barriers through theory-driven qualitative research. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2018 Dec;10(4):273-83. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0258-9. PMID: 29982921. *Intervention* 

2199. Idema IME, Payne JM, Coghill D. Effects of methylphenidate on cognitive functions in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Does baseline performance matter? J Consult Clin Psychol. 2021 Jul;89(7):615-25. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000662. PMID: 34383534. *Timing* 

2200. Iglesias-Sarmiento V, Deaño M, Alfonso S, et al. Mathematical learning disabilities and attention deficit and/or hyperactivity disorder: A study of the cognitive processes involved in arithmetic problem solving. Res Dev Disabil. 2017 Feb;61:44-54. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2016.12.012. PMID: 28042975. *Intervention* 

2201. Ikeda T, Inoue A, Nagashima-Kawada M, et al. Neural Bases of Executive Function in ADHD Children as Assessed Using fNIRS. 2022. p. 188-225. *Outcome* 

2202. Ilbegi S, Groenman AP, Schellekens A, et al. Substance use and nicotine dependence in persistent, remittent, and late-onset ADHD: a 10-year longitudinal study from childhood to young adulthood. J Neurodev Disord. 2018 Dec 27;10(1):42. doi: 10.1186/s11689-018-9260-y. PMID: 30587104. *Intervention* 

2203. Ilic K, Kugler AR, Yan B, et al. Pharmacokinetics, Safety, and Tolerability of SHP465 Mixed Amphetamine Salts After Administration of Multiple Daily Doses in Children Aged 4-5 Years with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. CNS Drugs. 2021 Nov 26. doi: 10.1007/s40263-021-00870-5. PMID: 34826114. *Intervention* 

2204. Imran N. Attention deficit hyperactivity syndrome: An update on assessment and management. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2007;23(1):9-15. *Design* 

2205. In-Albon T, Zumsteg U, Müller D, et al. Mental disorders in the paediatric setting -Results of a Swiss survey. Swiss Medical Weekly. 2010;140(AUGUST). doi: 10.4414/smw.2010.13092. *Intervention* 

2206. Inoue N, Okanishi T, Inoue M, et al. Psychological Preparations Affecting the Emotions of Children with Developmental Disorders Toward Hospitals. Yonago Acta Med. 2021 Feb;64(1):92-7. doi: 10.33160/yam.2021.02.012. PMID: 33642907. *Intervention* 

2207. Inoue Y, Howard AG, Stickley A, et al. Sex and racial/ethnic differences in the association between childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptom subtypes and body mass index in the transition from adolescence to adulthood in the United States. Pediatr Obes. 2019 May;14(5):e12498. doi: 10.1111/ijpo.12498. PMID: 30629806. *Intervention* 

2208. Inoue Y, Inagaki M, Gunji A, et al. Altered effect of preceding response execution on inhibitory processing in children with AD/HD: An ERP study. Int J Psychophysiol. 2010 Aug;77(2):118-25. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2010.05.002. PMID: 20483364. *Intervention* 

2209. Institute NYSP. Long-Duration Stimulant Treatment Study of ADHD in Young Children. 2005. *Intervention* 

2210. Institute NYSP. Pilot Study of Vyvanse<sup>™</sup> In ADHD Adolescents at Risk for Substance Abuse. 2008. *Intervention* 

2211. Institute NYSP, Abuse NIoD. Atomoxetine for Treating Marijuana-Abusing Adolescents Who Have Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2005. *Timing* 

2212. Ioannou C, Seernani D, Stefanou ME, et al. Comorbidity Matters: Social Visual Attention in a Comparative Study of Autism Spectrum Disorder, Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Their Comorbidity. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:545567. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.545567. PMID: 33192661. *Population* 

2213. Iovino I, Fletcher JM, Breitmeyer BG, et al. Colored overlays for visual perceptual deficits in children with reading disability and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: are they differentially effective? J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 1998 Dec;20(6):791-806. doi: 10.1076/jcen.20.6.791.1113. PMID: 10484691. *Design* 

2214. Irwin LN, Groves NB, Soto EF, et al. Is there a functional relation between set shifting and hyperactivity in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)? Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society. 2020 Nov 2020;26(10):1019-27. *Intervention* 

2215. Irwin LN, Kofler MJ, Soto EF, et al. Do children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) have set shifting deficits? Neuropsychology. 2019 May 2019;33(4):470-81. *Intervention* 

2216. Isaksson J, Selinus EN, Åslund C, et al. Physical activity in early adolescence predicts depressive symptoms 3 years later: A community-based study. J Affect Disord. 2020 Dec 1;277:825-30. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.09.008. PMID: 33065823. *Population* 

2217. Isart FA, Mason JW, Isart-Infante FJ, et al. Surface Electrocardiographic Parameters of Children and Adolescents Diagnosed with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in an Ambulatory Community Pediatric Center: A Focus on Cardiac Repolarization Electrocardiogram Intervals. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Apr;31(3):227-32. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0092. PMID: 33635153. *Intervention* 

2218. Isart FA, Ramos FG, Isart-Infante F. Cardiac Early Repolarization Pattern Anomalies Among Children and Adolescents With and Without Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Community Observational Study. Glob Pediatr Health. 2019;6:2333794x19828311. doi: 10.1177/2333794x19828311. PMID: 30793013. *Intervention* 

2219. Ise E, Kierfeld F, Döpfner M. One-year follow-up of guided self-help for parents of preschool children with externalizing behavior. J Prim Prev. 2015 Feb;36(1):33-40. doi: 10.1007/s10935-014-0374-z. PMID: 25331981. *Population* 

2220. Iseri E, Sevri M, Özaslan A, et al. 3.21 A New Objective Diagnostic Tool for ADHD: Development of the Web-Based Auditory-Focused Continuous Performance Test. Journal of the

American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S234. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.300. *Design* 

2221. Ísfeld Víðisdóttir SL, Sveinbjörnsdóttir B. The effects of individualized teaching of school readiness skills to children in preschool with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms. Behavioral Interventions. 2021 Feb 2021;36(1):315-26. *Population* 

2222. Ishida Y, Miyajima T, Morichi S, et al. Clinical effects of extended-release methylphenidate in 109 children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Tokyo Medical University. 2011;69(3):374-81. *Language* 

2223. Ishii S, Kaga Y, Tando T, et al. Disinhibition in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: Changes in [oxy-Hb] on near-infrared spectroscopy during "rock, paper, scissors" task. Brain Dev. 2017 May;39(5):395-402. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2016.12.005. PMID: 28094161. *Intervention* 

2224. Ishii-Takahashi A, Kawakubo Y, Nakajima N, et al. A pilot, open trial of behavioral parent training vs. routine clinical care among parents of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2016;55(10):S170-S1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.09.219. *Design* 

2225. Ishii-Takahashi A, Takizawa R, Nishimura Y, et al. Neuroimaging-Aided Prediction of the Effect of Methylphenidate in Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2015 Nov;40(12):2676-85. doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.128. PMID: 25936640. *Power* 

2226. Işik Ü, Kaygisiz M. Assessment of intraocular pressure, macular thickness, retinal nerve fiber layer, and ganglion cell layer thicknesses: ocular parameters and optical coherence tomography findings in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Braz J Psychiatry. 2020;42(3):309-13. doi: 10.1590/1516-4446-2019-0606. PMID: 32022160. *Design* 

2227. Isiten HN, Cebi M, Sutcubasi Kaya B, et al. Medication Effects on EEG Biomarkers in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2017 Jul;48(4):246-50. doi: 10.1177/1550059416675232. PMID: 27798290. *Intervention* 

2228. Işık Ü, Bilgiç A, Toker A, et al. Serum levels of cortisol, dehydroepiandrosterone, and oxytocin in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder combined presentation with and without comorbid conduct disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2018 Mar;261:212-9. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2017.12.076. PMID: 29324397. *Intervention* 

2229. Ivanov I. 23.1 Possible Sensitization Effects of Amphetamine Treatment in Drug-Naïve Youth with ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S312. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.686. *Power* 

2230. Ivanov I, Bansal R, Hao X, et al. Morphological abnormalities of the thalamus in youths with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2010 Apr;167(4):397-408. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09030398. PMID: 20123910. *Design* 

2231. Ivanov I, Newcorn JH. ADHD AND SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER: EMERGING CONCEPTS. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S311-S2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.685. *Design* 

2232. Ivanov I, Schulz K, Li X, et al. Reward Processing in Drug-Naive Youth with Various Levels of Risk for Substance Use Disorders: A Pilot Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 Aug;29(7):516-25. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0175. PMID: 31180232. *Intervention* 

2233. Ivarsson T, Skarphedinsson GA, Delling N, et al. Combining the Outcome of Diagnostic Interview Assessments in Individual Patients Using a Nomogram Based on Bayesian Logic. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018;57(10):S186. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.09.171. *Design* 

2234. Iverson GL, Wojtowicz M, Brooks BL, et al. High School Athletes With ADHD and Learning Difficulties Have a Greater Lifetime Concussion History. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jun;24(8):1095-101. doi: 10.1177/1087054716657410. PMID: 27431932. *Intervention* 

2235. Iwanami A, Saito K, Fujiwara M, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Guanfacine Extended-Release in the Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Adults: Results of a Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2020 Apr 14;81(3). doi: 10.4088/JCP.19m12979. PMID: 32297719. *Population* 

2236. Izadi-Najafabadi S, Rinat S, Zwicker JG. Brain functional connectivity in children with developmental coordination disorder following rehabilitation intervention. Pediatr Res. 2021 May 1. doi: 10.1038/s41390-021-01517-3. PMID: 33934120. *Population* 

2237. Izzo VA, Donati MA, Novello F, et al. The Conners 3-short forms: Evaluating the adequacy of brief versions to assess ADHD symptoms and related problems. Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019 Oct;24(4):791-808. doi: 10.1177/1359104519846602. PMID: 31074289. *Language* 

2238. Izzo VA, Donati MA, Primi C. Conners 3-Self-Report Scale: An empirical support to the dimensionality of the content scales. Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Oct;23(4):556-66. doi: 10.1177/1359104518757289. PMID: 29446323. *Outcome* 

2239. Izzo VA, Donati MA, Primi C. Assessing ADHD Through the Multi-Informant Approach: The Contribution of the Conners' 3 Scales. J Atten Disord. 2019 Apr;23(6):641-50. doi: 10.1177/1087054718815581. PMID: 30520665. *Population* 

2240. Jaarsma P, Gelhaus P. Medium-Range Narratives as a Complementary Tool to Principle-Based Prioritization in Sweden: Test Case "ADHD". J Bioeth Inq. 2019 Mar;16(1):113-25. doi: 10.1007/s11673-018-9884-3. PMID: 30519994. *Population* 

2241. Jackson JA, Braud M, Neathery S. Urine pyrroles and other orthomolecular tests in patients with ADD/ADHD. Journal of Orthomolecular Medicine. 2010;25(1):39-42. *Intervention* 

2242. Jacob L, Haro JM, Koyanagi A. Relationship between attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms and problem gambling: A mediation analysis of influential factors among 7,403 individuals from the UK. J Behav Addict. 2018 Sep 1;7(3):781-91. doi: 10.1556/2006.7.2018.72. PMID: 30238788. *Population* 

2243. Jacob L, Kostev K. Impact of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder therapy on fracture risk in children treated in German pediatric practices. Osteoporos Int. 2017 Apr;28(4):1265-9. doi: 10.1007/s00198-016-3842-x. PMID: 27882412. *Design* 

2244. Jacobs GR, Voineskos AN, Hawco C, et al. Integration of brain and behavior measures for identification of data-driven groups cutting across children with ASD, ADHD, or OCD.

Neuropsychopharmacology. 2021 Feb;46(3):643-53. doi: 10.1038/s41386-020-00902-6. PMID: 33168947. *Outcome* 

2245. Jacobs J, Williams AL, Girard C, et al. Homeopathy for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a pilot randomized-controlled trial. J Altern Complement Med. 2005 Oct;11(5):799-806. doi: 10.1089/acm.2005.11.799. PMID: 16296913. *Power* 

2246. Jacobson JL, Dodge NC, Burden MJ, et al. Number processing in adolescents with prenatal alcohol exposure and ADHD: differences in the neurobehavioral phenotype. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2011 Mar;35(3):431-42. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2010.01360.x. PMID: 21158874. *Population* 

2247. Jacobson LA, Crocetti D, Dirlikov B, et al. Anomalous brain development is evident in preschoolers with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society. 2018 Jul 2018;24(6):531-9. *Intervention* 

2248. Jacobvitz D, Hazen N, Curran M, et al. Observations of early triadic family interactions: boundary disturbances in the family predict symptoms of depression, anxiety, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in middle childhood. Dev Psychopathol. 2004 Summer;16(3):577-92. doi: 10.1017/s0954579404004675. PMID: 15605626. *Intervention* 

2249. Jafari P, Mehrabani-Zeinabad K, Javadi S, et al. A Machine Learning Approach to Assess Differential Item Functioning of the KINDL Quality of Life Questionnaire Across Children with and Without ADHD. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2021 May 7. doi: 10.1007/s10578-021-01179-6. PMID: 33963488. *Intervention* 

2250. Jaffee WB, Bailey GL, Lohman M, et al. Methods of recruiting adolescents with psychiatric and substance use disorders for a clinical trial. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse. 2009;35(5):381-4. doi: 10.1080/00952990903150860. PMID: 20180668. *Intervention* 

2251. Jahangard L, Akbarian S, Haghighi M, et al. Children with ADHD and symptoms of oppositional defiant disorder improved in behavior when treated with methylphenidate and adjuvant risperidone, though weight gain was also observed - Results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Psychiatry Res. 2017 May;251:182-91. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2016.12.010. PMID: 28213188. *Power* 

2252. Jahangard L, Akbarian S, Haghighi M, et al. Children with ADHD and symptoms of oppositional defiant disorder improved in behavior when treated with methylphenidate and adjuvant risperidone, though weight gain was also observed—Results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Psychiatry Research. 2017 May 2017;251:182-91. *Duplicate* 

2253. Jahrami H, AlAnsari AM, Janahi AI, et al. The risk of eating disorders among children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Results of a matched cohort study. Int J Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2021 Jun;8(2):102-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpam.2020.06.002. PMID: 34084881. *Intervention* 

2254. Jahrami H, AlAnsari AM, Janahi AI, et al. The risk of eating disorders among children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Results of a matched cohort study. International Journal of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine. 2021;8(2):102-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpam.2020.06.002. *Design* 

2255. Jain R, Jain S, Montano CB. Addressing Diagnosis and Treatment Gaps in Adults With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord. 2017 Sep 7;19(5). doi: 10.4088/PCC.17nr02153. PMID: 28906602. *Population* 

2256. Jain U, Hechtman L, Weiss M, et al. Efficacy of a novel biphasic controlled-release methylphenidate formula in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2007 Feb;68(2):268-77. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v68n0213. PMID: 17335326. *Population* 

2257. Jalali P, Sho'ouri N. Neurofeedback Training Protocol Based on Selecting Distinctive Features to Treat or Reduce ADHD Symptoms. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2021 Aug 2:15500594211033435. doi: 10.1177/15500594211033435. PMID: 34338564. *Power* 

2258. Jalilvand M, Bagheri F, Zahra N. The Influence of Positive Parenting Training on Improving Behavioral Function and Impulsivity in Children Suffering From Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. International Journal of Medical Toxicology and Forensic Medicine. 2022;12(1):35740. doi: 10.32598/ijmtfm.v12i1.35740. *Power* 

2259. Jalilvand M, Nikmanesh Z, Bagheri F. Cognitive Rehabilitation Training in Improving Executive Function, Antisocial Behaviors, and Legal Problems in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. International Journal of Medical Toxicology and Forensic Medicine. 2022;12(2). doi: 10.32598/ijmtfm.v12i2.36951. *Power* 

2260. James RS, Sharp WS, Bastain TM, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled study of singledose amphetamine formulations in ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Nov;40(11):1268-76. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200111000-00006. PMID: 11699800. *Power* 

2261. James SN, Rommel AS, Cheung C, et al. Association of preterm birth with ADHD-like cognitive impairments and additional subtle impairments in attention and arousal malleability. Psychol Med. 2018 Jul;48(9):1484-93. doi: 10.1017/s0033291717002963. PMID: 29094658. *Intervention* 

2262. Jamshidnia A, Tavallaei M, Hosseinzadeh M. Food intake and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children: A case\_control study. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2021 Aug;44:342-7. doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2021.05.020. PMID: 34330488. *Intervention* 

2263. Jang B, Song J, Kim J, et al. Equine-Assisted Activities and Therapy for Treating Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Altern Complement Med. 2015 Sep;21(9):546-53. doi: 10.1089/acm.2015.0067. PMID: 26167851. *Comparator* 

2264. Jang G, Im YJ, Suh J, et al. Changes in attention variables in those who treated with anticholinergic agents for nonmonosymptomatic enuresis. Investig Clin Urol. 2020 Mar;61(2):207-15. doi: 10.4111/icu.2020.61.2.207. PMID: 32158972. *Population* 

2265. Jankovic J. Deprenyl in attention deficit associated with Tourette's syndrome. Arch Neurol. 1993 Mar;50(3):286-8. doi: 10.1001/archneur.1993.00540030052014. PMID: 8442708. *Comparator* 

2266. Janmohammadi S, Haghgoo HA, Farahbod M, et al. Effect of a visual tracking intervention on attention and behavior of children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Eye Mov Res. 2020 Apr 22;12(8). doi: 10.16910/jemr.12.8.6. PMID: 33828777. *Power* 

2267. Jansen AG, Jansen PR, Savage JE, et al. The predictive capacity of psychiatric and psychological polygenic risk scores for distinguishing cases in a child and adolescent psychiatric sample from controls. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 Sep;62(9):1079-89. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13370. PMID: 33825194. *Intervention* 

2268. Jansen K, Hanusch B, Pross S, et al. Enhanced Nitric Oxide (NO) and Decreased ADMA Synthesis in Pediatric ADHD and Selective Potentiation of NO Synthesis by Methylphenidate. J Clin Med. 2020 Jan 8;9(1). doi: 10.3390/jcm9010175. PMID: 31936392. *Intervention* 

2269. Jansen M. NPs' use of guidelines to diagnose and treat childhood ADHD. Nurse Pract. 2019 Jul;44(7):37-42. doi: 10.1097/01.Npr.0000559845.76249.48. PMID: 31211737. *Population* 

2270. Janssen Korea L, Korea. An Efficacy and Safety Study of Osmotic Release Oral System (OROS) Methylphenidate in Participants With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2008. *Intervention* 

2271. Janssen Korea L, Korea. An Efficacy Study of Osmotic Release Oral System (OROS) Methylphenidate in Participants With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2008. *Intervention* 

2272. Janssen TWP, Hillebrand A, Gouw A, et al. Neural network topology in ADHD; evidence for maturational delay and default-mode network alterations. Clin Neurophysiol. 2017 Nov;128(11):2258-67. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2017.09.004. PMID: 29028500. *Intervention* 

2273. Janusz J, Harrison C, Boada C, et al. Executive function in XXY: Comparison of performance-based measures and rating scales. Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet. 2020 Jun;184(2):469-81. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.c.31804. PMID: 32519473. *Intervention* 

2274. Jarbin H, Andersson M, Råstam M, et al. Predictive validity of the K-SADS-PL 2009 version in school-aged and adolescent outpatients. Nord J Psychiatry. 2017 May;71(4):270-6. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2016.1276622. PMID: 28413935. *Language* 

2275. Jarczok TA, Haase R, Bluschke A, et al. Bereitschaftspotential and lateralized readiness potential in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: altered motor system activation and effects of methylphenidate. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2019 Aug;29(8):960-70. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.05.003. PMID: 31280897. *Intervention* 

2276. Jarraya S, Wagner M, Jarraya M, et al. 12 Weeks of Kindergarten-Based Yoga Practice Increases Visual Attention, Visual-Motor Precision and Decreases Behavior of Inattention and Hyperactivity in 5-Year-Old Children. Front Psychol. 2019;10:796. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00796. PMID: 31024412. *Population* 

2277. Jastrowski KE, Berlin KS, Sato AF, et al. Disclosure of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder may minimize risk of social rejection. Psychiatry. 2007 Fall;70(3):274-82. doi: 10.1521/psyc.2007.70.3.274. PMID: 17937532. *Population* 

2278. Jaya Gupta RSLHCQ. Efficacy and tolerability of methylphenidate and atomoxetine in the treatment of core symptoms of Attention Deficit Hyperkinetic Disorder (ADHD) in children and young people (CYP) with co-occurring ADHD and Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD): a systematic review. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018093872. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=93872. *Design*  2279. Jegadeesan T, Nagalakshmi P. Effect of vestibular stimulation on language skills of children with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder. Indian Journal of Public Health Research and Development. 2020;11(12):83-7. doi: 10.37506/ijphrd.v11i12.13220. *Power* 

2280. Jennings JR, van der Molen MW, Pelham W, et al. Inhibition in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder as indexed by heart rate change. Dev Psychol. 1997 Mar;33(2):308-18. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.33.2.308. PMID: 9147839. *Intervention* 

2281. Jennum P, Hastrup LH, Ibsen R, et al. Welfare consequences for people diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A matched nationwide study in Denmark. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2020 Aug;37:29-38. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2020.04.010. PMID: 32682821. *Population* 

2282. Jensen PS. Evidence-Based Screening and Assessment in Primary Care: A Decade of Experience. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018;57(10):S121. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.07.558. *Design* 

2283. Jensen PS, Arnold LE, Swanson JM, et al. 3-year follow-up of the NIMH MTA study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Aug;46(8):989-1002. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3180686d48. PMID: 17667478. *Duplicate* 

2284. Jensen PS, Hinshaw SP, Kraemer HC, et al. ADHD comorbidity findings from the MTA study: comparing comorbid subgroups. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Feb;40(2):147-58. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200102000-00009. PMID: 11211363. *Duplicate* 

2285. Jensen PS, Kenny DT. The effects of yoga on the attention and behavior of boys with Attention-Deficit/ hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). J Atten Disord. 2004 May;7(4):205-16. doi: 10.1177/108705470400700403. PMID: 15487477. *Power* 

2286. Jepsen JRM, Rydkjaer J, Fagerlund B, et al. Overlapping and disease specific trait, response, and reflection impulsivity in adolescents with first-episode schizophrenia spectrum disorders or attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychol Med. 2018 Mar;48(4):604-16. doi: 10.1017/s0033291717001921. PMID: 28712363. *Intervention* 

2287. Jerome RN, Pulley JM, Edwards TL, et al. We're not all cut from the same cloth: TAILORing treatments for children with chronic conditions. J Patient Rep Outcomes. 2019 Apr 29;3(1):25. doi: 10.1186/s41687-019-0117-2. PMID: 31037558. *Population* 

2288. Jerrell JM, McIntyre RS. Metabolic, digestive, and reproductive adverse events associated with antimanic treatment in children and adolescents: A retrospective cohort study. Primary Care Companion to the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry. 2010;12(4):e1-e8. doi: 10.4088/PCC.09m00891ora. *Population* 

2289. Jessica Slater, Caroline Palmer, Ridha Joober, et al. Can electroencephalography (EEG) identify ADHD subtypes? A systematic review. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020192911 2020. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?ID=CRD42020192911. *Design* 

2290. Ji Y, Choi TY, Lee J, et al. Characteristics of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Subtypes in Children Classified Using Quantitative Electroencephalography. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2022;18:2725-36. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S386774. *Intervention*  2291. Ji Y, Choi TY, Lee J, et al. Characteristics of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Subtypes in Children Classified Using Quantitative Electroencephalography. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2022;18:2725-36. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S386774. PMID: 36437880. *Intervention* 

2292. Ji Y, Riley AW, Lee LC, et al. Maternal Biomarkers of Acetaminophen Use and Offspring Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Brain Sci. 2018 Jul 3;8(7). doi: 10.3390/brainsci8070127. PMID: 29970852. *Population* 

2293. Ji Y, Riley AW, Lee LC, et al. A Prospective Birth Cohort Study on Maternal Cholesterol Levels and Offspring Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: New Insight on Sex Differences. Brain Sci. 2017 Dec 23;8(1). doi: 10.3390/brainsci8010003. PMID: 29295472. *Population* 

2294. Jiang K, Wang J, Zheng A, et al. Amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation of resting-state fMRI in primary nocturnal enuresis and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Int J Dev Neurosci. 2020 May;80(3):235-45. doi: 10.1002/jdn.10020. PMID: 32092172. *Intervention* 

2295. Jiang Y, Capriotti M, Beaulieu A, et al. Contribution of the behavioral observation of students in schools to ADHD assessment. School Mental Health: A Multidisciplinary Research and Practice Journal. 2019 Sep 1, 2019;11(3):464-75. *Population* 

2296. Jiang Y, Haack LM, Delucchi K, et al. Improved Parent Cognitions Relate to Immediate and Follow-Up Treatment Outcomes for Children With ADHD-Predominantly Inattentive Presentation. Behav Ther. 2018 Jul;49(4):567-79. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2017.11.007. PMID: 29937258. *Outcome* 

2297. Jin J, Liu L, Gao Q, et al. The divergent impact of COMT Val158Met on executive function in children with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Genes, Brain & Behavior. 2016 Feb 2016;15(2):271-9. *Intervention* 

2298. Jin J, Liu L, Li H, et al. The interaction of aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator like (BMAL1) and acetylserotonin O-methyltransferase (ASMT) affects the cognitive functions of male children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. ADHD Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorders. 2017;9(1):S5. doi: 10.1007/s12402-017-0224-y. *Design* 

2299. Jing Gan DMCCTX. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019125698. 2019.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=125698. Design

2300. Joekar S, Amiri S, Joekar S, et al. Effectiveness of a visual attention training program on the reduction of ADHD symptoms in preschool children at risk for ADHD in Isfahan: A pilot study. Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. 2017;11(4). doi: 10.5812/ijpbs.7862. *Power* 

2301. Joensen B, Meyer M, Aagaard L. Specific Genes Associated with Adverse Events of Methylphenidate Use in the Pediatric Population: A Systematic Literature Review. J Res Pharm Pract. 2017 Apr-Jun;6(2):65-72. doi: 10.4103/jrpp.JRPP\_16\_161. PMID: 28616427. *Population* 

2302. Johannes Thome THMG. Comparative efficacy of pharmacological treatments of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016043522. 2016. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=43522. *Population* 

2303. Johansson EK, Ballardini N, Kull I, et al. Association between preschool eczema and medication for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in school age. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2017 Feb;28(1):44-50. doi: 10.1111/pai.12657. PMID: 27637173. *Intervention* 

2304. Johnson, Ltd JT. A Study to Determine Effective and Tolerable Titration Scheme for OROS-Methylphenidate in Children With Attention-deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2006. *Intervention* 

2305. Johnson, Ltd JT. The Impact of Osmotic Release Oral Delivery System Methylphenidate (OROS MPH) Upon Family of Children and Adolescents With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2008. *Intervention* 

2306. Johnson CR, Handen BL, Lubetsky MJ, et al. Efficacy of methylphenidate and behavioral intervention on classroom behavior in children with ADHD and mental retardation. Behav Modif. 1994 Oct;18(4):470-87. doi: 10.1177/01454455940184005. PMID: 7980374. *Intervention* 

2307. Johnson KA, Kelly SP, Bellgrove MA, et al. Response variability in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: evidence for neuropsychological heterogeneity. Neuropsychologia. 2007 Mar 2;45(4):630-8. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.03.034. PMID: 17157885. *Intervention* 

2308. Johnson KA, White M, Wong PS, et al. Aspects of attention and inhibitory control are associated with on-task classroom behaviour and behavioural assessments, by both teachers and parents, in children with high and low symptoms of ADHD. Child Neuropsychology. 2020 Feb 2020;26(2):219-41. *Intervention* 

2309. Johnson M, Åsberg Johnels J, Östlund S, et al. Long-term medication for ADHD and development of cognitive functions in children and adolescents. J Psychiatr Res. 2021 Aug 2;142:204-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.07.055. PMID: 34375772. *Comparator* 

2310. Johnson M, Fransson G, Östlund S, et al. Omega 3/6 fatty acids for reading in children: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in 9-year-old mainstream schoolchildren in Sweden. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017 Jan;58(1):83-93. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12614. PMID: 27545509. *Population* 

2311. Johnson M, Gillberg C, Vinsa I, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a new intervention in early symptomatic syndromes eliciting neurodevelopmental clinical examinations: PR-ESSENCE. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jul 3. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01837-z. PMID: 34218336. *Population* 

2312. Johnson M, Ostlund S, Fransson G, et al. Omega-3/omega-6 fatty acids for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomized placebo-controlled trial in children and adolescents. J Atten Disord. 2009 Mar;12(5):394-401. doi: 10.1177/1087054708316261. PMID: 18448859. *Duplicate* 

2313. Johnston C, Jassy JS. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and oppositional/conduct problems: Links to parent-child interactions. Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007;16(2):74-9. *Design* 

2314. Johnston LD, Miech RA, O'Malley PM, et al. Monitoring the Future national survey results on drug use 1975-2018: Overview, key findings on adolescent drug use. Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan. Ann Arbor: 2019. *Design* 

2315. Johnstone JM, Leung BMY, Srikanth P, et al. Development of a Composite Primary Outcome Score for Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Emotional Dysregulation. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Apr;30(3):166-72. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0179. PMID: 32101469. *Intervention* 

2316. Johnstone JM, Srikanth P, Hatsu IE, et al. 4.3 pediatric urinary Glyphosate effects in response to Micronutrient Supplementation in the Maddy RCT. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S283. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.578. *Design* 

2317. Johnstone SJ, Barry RJ, Anderson JW. Topographic distribution and developmental timecourse of auditory event-related potentials in two subtypes of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Int J Psychophysiol. 2001 Aug;42(1):73-94. doi: 10.1016/s0167-8760(01)00135-0. PMID: 11451480. *Intervention* 

2318. Johnstone SJ, Barry RJ, Clarke AR. Behavioural and ERP indices of response inhibition during a Stop-signal task in children with two subtypes of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Psychophysiol. 2007 Oct;66(1):37-47. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2007.05.011. PMID: 17604142. *Intervention* 

2319. Johnstone SJ, Clarke AR. Dysfunctional response preparation and inhibition during a visual Go/No-go task in children with two subtypes of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2009 Apr 30;166(2-3):223-37. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2008.03.005. PMID: 19286266. *Intervention* 

2320. Johnstone SJ, Roodenrys S, Blackman R, et al. Neurocognitive training for children with and without AD/HD. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2012 Mar;4(1):11-23. doi: 10.1007/s12402-011-0069-8. PMID: 22179720. *Power* 

2321. Johnstone SJ, Roodenrys SJ, Johnson K, et al. Game-based combined cognitive and neurofeedback training using Focus Pocus reduces symptom severity in children with diagnosed AD/HD and subclinical AD/HD. Int J Psychophysiol. 2017 Jun;116:32-44. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2017.02.015. PMID: 28257875. *Population* 

2322. Joiner Jr TE, Brown JS, Gordon KH, et al. Attributional style, hope, and initial response to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors youth psychiatric inpatients. Cognitive Therapy and Research. 2005;29(6):691-704. doi: 10.1007/s10608-005-9633-x. *Intervention* 

2323. Jones K, Daley D, Hutchings J, et al. Efficacy of the Incredible Years Programme as an early intervention for children with conduct problems and ADHD: long-term follow-up. Child Care Health Dev. 2008 May;34(3):380-90. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2214.2008.00817.x. PMID: 18410644. *Population* 

2324. Jones K DD, Hutchings J, et al. Efficacy of the Incredible Years Basic Parent Training Programme as an early intervention for children with conduct problems and ADHD. Child Care Health Dev. 2007;33(6):749-56. *Power* 

2325. The efficacy of homoeopathic simillimum in the treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (AD/HD) in schoolgoing children aged 6-11 years. 2009. *Design* 

2326. Jones MR, Katz B, Buschkuehl M, et al. Exploring N-Back Cognitive Training for Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Mar;24(5):704-19. doi: 10.1177/1087054718779230. PMID: 29877128. *Power* 

2327. Jonkman LM, Kemner C, Verbaten MN, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on eventrelated potentials and performance of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder children in auditory and visual selective attention tasks. Biol Psychiatry. 1997 Mar 15;41(6):690-702. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(96)00115-1. PMID: 9066993. *Intervention* 

2328. Jonkman LM, Kemner C, Verbaten MN, et al. Attentional capacity, a probe ERP study: differences between children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and normal control children and effects of methylphenidate. Psychophysiology. 2000 May;37(3):334-46. PMID: 10860411. *Intervention* 

2329. Jonkman LM, Kemner C, Verbaten MN, et al. Perceptual and response interference in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, and the effects of methylphenidate. Psychophysiology. 1999 Jul;36(4):419-29. PMID: 10432791. *Intervention* 

2330. Jónsdóttir H, Agnarsdóttir H, Jóhannesdóttir H, et al. Parent–youth agreement on psychiatric diagnoses and symptoms: results from an adolescent outpatient clinical sample. Nordic Journal of Psychiatry. 2021. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2021.2002405. *Population* 

2331. Jonsdottir S, Bouma A, Sergeant JA, et al. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) on cognition, behavior, and the rest-activity rhythm in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, combined type. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2004 Dec;18(4):212-21. doi: 10.1177/1545968304270759. PMID: 15537992. *Comparator* 

2332. Jose JP, Cherayi SJ. Effect of parental alcohol abuse severity and child abuse and neglect on child behavioural disorders in Kerala. Child Abuse Negl. 2020 Sep;107:104608. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104608. PMID: 32593842. *Intervention* 

2333. Joseph HM, Kennedy TM, Gnagy EM, et al. Fathers with Childhood ADHD, Parenting, and Their Young Children's Behavior: Offspring of the Pittsburgh ADHD Longitudinal Study (PALS). Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2019 Feb;50(1):35-44. doi: 10.1007/s10578-018-0819-3. PMID: 29872996. *Population* 

2334. Joseph HM, Lorenzo NE, Fisher N, et al. Research Review: A systematic review and meta-analysis of infant and toddler temperament as predictors of childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2023 Jan 4. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13753. PMID: 36599815. *Intervention* 

2335. Joshi HM, Angolkar M. Prevalence of ADHD in Primary School Children in Belagavi City, India. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jan;25(2):154-60. doi: 10.1177/1087054718780326. PMID: 29929414. *Intervention* 

2336. Jouzizadeh M, Khanbabaie R, Ghaderi AH. A spatial profile difference in electrical distribution of resting-state EEG in ADHD children using sLORETA. Int J Neurosci. 2020 Sep;130(9):917-25. doi: 10.1080/00207454.2019.1709843. PMID: 31903823. *Intervention* 

2337. Jović M, Agarwal K, Whitehouse A, et al. Harmonized Phenotypes for Anxiety, Depression, and Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2022;44(3):663-78. doi: 10.1007/s10862-021-09925-9. *Population* 

2338. Joyal CC, Tardif M, Spearson-Goulet JA. Executive Functions and Social Cognition in Juveniles Who Have Sexually Offended. Sex Abuse. 2020 Mar;32(2):179-202. doi: 10.1177/1079063218807487. PMID: 30419790. *Population* 

2339. Joyce Faria CMdPDJLFVALDEP. Academic achievement of students with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) using methylphenidate: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016038140. 2016.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=38140. Design

2340. Juárez-Treviño M, Esquivel AC, Isida LML, et al. Clozapine in the treatment of aggression in conduct disorder in children and adolescents: A randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Clinical Psychopharmacology and Neuroscience. 2019;17(1):43-53. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2019.17.1.43. *Population* 

2341. Jung B, Ahn K, Justice C, et al. Rare copy number variants in males and females with childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Mol Psychiatry. 2022 Dec 14. doi: 10.1038/s41380-022-01906-y. PMID: 36517639. *Outcome* 

2342. Jung M, Tu Y, Park J, et al. Surface-based shared and distinct resting functional connectivity in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2019 Jun;214(6):339-44. doi: 10.1192/bjp.2018.248. PMID: 31088591. *Population* 

2343. Jung SH, Lee SY, Burns GL, et al. Internal and External Validity of Self-Report and Parent-Report Measures of Sluggish Cognitive Tempo in South Korean Adolescents. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2021;43(2):355-66. doi: 10.1007/s10862-020-09821-8. *Intervention* 

2344. Jungersen CM, Lonigan CJ. Do Parent and Teacher Ratings of ADHD Reflect the Same Constructs? A Measurement Invariance Analysis. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2021;43(4):778-92. doi: 10.1007/s10862-021-09874-3. *Outcome* 

2345. Junhua Zhang SCLYYYFN. Effects of neurofeedback and methylphenidate in ADHD: meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018090256. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=90256. *Design* 

2346. Jureidini J, Tonkin A, Jureidini E. Combination pharmacotherapy for psychiatric disorders in children and adolescents: prevalence, efficacy, risks and research needs. Paediatr Drugs. 2013 Oct;15(5):377-91. doi: 10.1007/s40272-013-0032-6. PMID: 23757196. *Population* 

2347. Jurigova BG, Gerdes MR, Anguera JA, et al. Sustained benefits of cognitive training in children with inattention, three-year follow-up. PLoS One. 2021;16(2):e0246449. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0246449. PMID: 33539468. *Population* 

2348. Jusoh M, Dzulkarnain AAA, Rahmat S, et al. Cross-cultural translation and validation of the Malay version of the Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham Parent Rating Scale of attention deficit hyperactivity disorders symptoms among Malaysian probands: A preliminary study. Asia Pac Psychiatry. 2021 Jun;13(2):e12414. doi: 10.1111/appy.12414. PMID: 32815284. *Language* 

2349. Kaalund-Brok K, Houmann TB, Hebsgaard MB, et al. Outcomes of a 12-week ecologically valid observational study of first treatment with methylphenidate in a representative clinical sample of drug naïve children with ADHD. PLoS One. 2021;16(10):e0253727. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0253727. PMID: 34673771. *Intervention* 

2350. Kabukçu C, Kabukçu Başay B, Başay Ö. Primary dysmenorrhea in adolescents: Association with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and psychological symptoms. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2021 Mar;60(2):311-7. doi: 10.1016/j.tjog.2021.01.033. PMID: 33678333. *Intervention*  2351. Kaçamak Öğüt D, Özbaran NB, Köse S, et al. Executive functions in preschool children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi. 2020;21(4):423-8. doi: 10.5455/apd.69056. *Language* 

2352. Kadkhoda Mezerji F, Moharreri F, Mohammadpour AH, et al. Preventive effect of cyproheptadine on sleep and appetite disorders induced by methylphenidate: an exploratory randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract. 2019 Mar;23(1):72-9. doi: 10.1080/13651501.2018.1509095. PMID: 30261781. *Power* 

2353. Kadkhodamezerji F, Elyasi S. Evaluation of Cyproheptadine Administration in Prevention of Sleep Disorders Induced by Methylphenidate in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Children. Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2017;13(4):70-1. *Design* 

2354. Kadosh RC, Kawar OD, Berger I, et al. Improving clinical symptoms and cognition in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder using transcranial random noise stimulation. Brain Stimulation. 2023;16(1):171. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2023.01.170. *Design* 

2355. Kadri A, Slimani M, Bragazzi NL, et al. Effect of Taekwondo Practice on Cognitive Function in Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Jan 12;16(2). doi: 10.3390/ijerph16020204. PMID: 30642062. *Comparator* 

2356. Kahathuduwa CN, Wakefield S, West BD, et al. Effects of L-theanine-caffeine combination on sustained attention and inhibitory control among children with ADHD: a proof-of-concept neuroimaging RCT. Sci Rep. 2020 Aug 4;10(1):13072. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-70037-7. PMID: 32753637. *Power* 

2357. Kahle S, Mukherjee P, Dixon JF, et al. Irritability Predicts Hyperactive/Impulsive Symptoms Across Adolescence for Females. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2021 Feb;49(2):185-96. doi: 10.1007/s10802-020-00723-7. PMID: 33294965. *Intervention* 

2358. Kahrizi MS, Ghanbari Mardasi K, Ghanbari Merdasi P, et al. Prevalence of tics among attention deficit hyperactivity disorder children treated with methylphenidate. Neuropsychiatrie de l'Enfance et de l'Adolescence. 2022;70(3):117-21. doi: 10.1016/j.neurenf.2022.02.001. *Design* 

2359. Kai Feng JWJYDW. Meta-analysis of the clinical efficacy of traditional Chinese medicine in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018083333. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=83333. *Design* 

2360. Kai Feng YLJWQYJWJDYZ. Can probiotic supplements improve the symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children? A systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020148019. 2020.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=148019. Design

2361. Kaiser NM, Hoza B, Pelham WE, Jr., et al. ADHD status and degree of positive illusions: moderational and mediational relations with actual behavior. J Atten Disord. 2008 Nov;12(3):227-38. doi: 10.1177/1087054707311661. PMID: 19048655. *Intervention* 

2362. Kajka N, Kulik A. The Influence of Metacognitive Strategies on the Improvement of Reaction Inhibition Processes in Children with ADHD. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Jan 20;18(3). doi: 10.3390/ijerph18030878. PMID: 33498539. *Outcome* 

2363. Kalb LG, Stuart EA, Vasa RA. Characteristics of psychiatric emergency department use among privately insured adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. Autism. 2019 Apr;23(3):566-73. doi: 10.1177/1362361317749951. PMID: 29385820. *Population* 

2364. Kalechstein AD, De La Garza R, 2nd, Newton TF. Modafinil administration improves working memory in methamphetamine-dependent individuals who demonstrate baseline impairment. Am J Addict. 2010 Jul-Aug;19(4):340-4. doi: 10.1111/j.1521-0391.2010.00052.x. PMID: 20653641. *Intervention* 

2365. Kalil Neto F, Nunes ML. Evaluation of sleep organization in patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and ADHD as a comorbidity of epilepsy. Sleep Med. 2017 May;33:91-6. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2016.08.013. PMID: 28449914. *Population* 

2366. Kallen AM, Perkins ER, Klawohn J, et al. Cross-sectional and prospective associations of P300, RewP, and ADHD symptoms in female adolescents. Int J Psychophysiol. 2020 Dec;158:215-24. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2020.08.017. PMID: 33075431. *Intervention* 

2367. Kalyva E. Prevalence and influences on self-reported smoking among adolescents with mild learning disabilities, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and their typically developing peers. J Intellect Disabil. 2007 Sep;11(3):267-79. doi: 10.1177/1744629507080790. PMID: 17846049. *Intervention* 

2368. Kamal M, Al-Shibli S, Shahbal S, et al. Impact of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and gender differences on academic and social difficulties among adolescents in Qatari Schools. Qatar Med J. 2021(1):11. doi: 10.5339/qmj.2021.11. PMID: 33777722. *Intervention* 

2369. Kamath MS, Dahm CR, Tucker JR, et al. Sensory profiles in adults with and without ADHD. Res Dev Disabil. 2020 Sep;104:103696. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103696. PMID: 32526674. *Population* 

2370. Kambeitz J, Romanos M, Ettinger U. Meta-analysis of the association between dopamine transporter genotype and response to methylphenidate treatment in ADHD. Pharmacogenomics J. 2014 Feb;14(1):77-84. doi: 10.1038/tpj.2013.9. PMID: 23588108. *Outcome* 

2371. Kamimura-Nishimura KI, Epstein JN, Froehlich TE, et al. Factors Associated with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Medication Use in Community Care Settings. J Pediatr. 2019 Oct;213:155-62.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2019.06.025. PMID: 31300310. *Comparator* 

2372. Kaminski A, You X, Vaidya C. P67. Chronic Exposure to Psychostimulants in Pediatric ADHD Moderates Striatal Resting-State Functional Connectivity and Symptom Severity Over Two Years. Biological Psychiatry. 2022;91(9):S114. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2022.02.301. *Design* 

2373. Kando JC, Naik P, Pardo A, et al. The Efficacy and Safety of Amphetamine Extendedrelease Oral Suspension (AMPH EROS) in Children with Attentiondeficit /hyperactivity Disorder. Pediatrics. 2022;149. *Design* 

2374. Kang KD, Choi JW, Kang SG, et al. Sports therapy for attention, cognitions and sociality. Int J Sports Med. 2011 Dec;32(12):953-9. doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1283175. PMID: 22068930. *Power* 

2375. Kang KD, Yun SW, Chung U, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on body index and physical fitness in Korean children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2016 Mar;31(2):76-82. doi: 10.1002/hup.2514. PMID: 26756111. *Design* 

2376. Kapellen TM, Reimann R, Kiess W, et al. Prevalence of medically treated children with ADHD and type 1 diabetes in Germany - Analysis of two representative databases. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2016 Nov 1;29(11):1293-7. doi: 10.1515/jpem-2016-0171. PMID: 27754966. *Intervention* 

2377. Kapke TL, Grace MA, Castro A, et al. Examining Latino family participation in treatment for childhood ADHD: The role of parental cultural factors and perceptions. Child & Family Behavior Therapy. 2019 2019;41(2):84-109. *Intervention* 

2378. Kaplan B, Marcell AV, Kaplan T, et al. Association between e-cigarette use and parents' report of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among US youth. Tob Induc Dis. 2021;19:44. doi: 10.18332/tid/136031. PMID: 34140843. *Intervention* 

2379. Kaplan BJ, McNicol J, Conte RA, et al. Dietary replacement in preschool-aged hyperactive boys. Pediatrics. 1989 Jan;83(1):7-17. PMID: 2909977. *Design* 

2380. Kaplan M, Anderson D. An intensive parent-training intervention model for behavior disorders in children and adolescents. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2016;55(10):S344. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.07.071. *Design* 

2381. Kaplan S, Heiligenstein J, West S, et al. Efficacy and safety of atomoxetine in childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with comorbid oppositional defiant disorder. J Atten Disord. 2004 Oct;8(2):45-52. doi: 10.1177/108705470400800202. PMID: 15801334. *Power* 

2382. Kaplan SL, Busner J, Kupietz S, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on adolescents with aggressive conduct disorder and ADDH: a preliminary report. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1990 Sep;29(5):719-23. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199009000-00007. PMID: 2228924. *Power* 

2383. Kappi A, Martel M. Parental Barriers in Seeking Mental Health Services for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children: Systematic Review. J Atten Disord. 2022 Feb;26(3):408-25. doi: 10.1177/1087054720986909. PMID: 33472504. *Intervention* 

2384. Kara T, Mutlu Mıhçıoğlu A, Yılmaz S, et al. Effects of Long-Term Use of Prescription Methylphenidate on Myocardial Performance in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Tissue Doppler Imaging Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Nov 2. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0052. PMID: 30388033. *Design* 

2385. Karabekiroglu K, Yazgan YM, Dedeoglu C. Can we predict short-term side effects of methylphenidate immediate-release? International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice. 2008;12(1):48-54. doi: 10.1080/13651500701435954. *Comparator* 

2386. Karahmadi M, Saadatmand S, Tarahi MJ. Investigation of Efficacy of Short-Acting Methylphenidate (Ritalin) and Long-Acting (Matoride) on Symptoms of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children Aged 6-18 Years: A Single-Blind, Randomized Clinical Trial. Adv Biomed Res. 2020;9:18. doi: 10.4103/abr.abr\_9\_20. PMID: 32695728. *Timing*
2387. Karakaya SEK, Yektaş Ç, Tufan AE. Activation syndrome in a patient with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder treated with atomoxetine: A case report. Clinical Neuropharmacology. 2021 May 2021 - Jun 2021;44(3):101-3. *Design* 

2388. Karalunas SL, Antovich D, Miller N, et al. Prospective prediction of developing internalizing disorders in ADHD. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2022 Dec 4. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13731. PMID: 36464786. *Intervention* 

2389. Karalunas SL, Bierman KL, Huang-Pollock CL. Test-Retest Reliability and Measurement Invariance of Executive Function Tasks in Young Children With and Without ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Nov;24(13):1891-904. doi: 10.1177/1087054715627488. PMID: 26861156. *Intervention* 

2390. Karande S, Satam N, Kulkarni M, et al. Clinical and psychoeducational profile of children with specific learning disability and co-occurring attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Indian J Med Sci. 2007 Dec;61(12):639-47. PMID: 18174633. *Intervention* 

2391. Karatekin C, Asarnow RF. Working memory in childhood-onset schizophrenia and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 1998 Aug 17;80(2):165-76. doi: 10.1016/s0165-1781(98)00061-4. PMID: 9754696. *Population* 

2392. Karatekin C, White T, Bingham C. Incidental and intentional sequence learning in youthonset psychosis and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Neuropsychology. 2009 Jul;23(4):445-59. doi: 10.1037/a0015562. PMID: 19586209. *Intervention* 

2393. Karatekin C, White T, Bingham C. Shared and nonshared symptoms in youth-onset psychosis and ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2010 Sep;14(2):121-31. doi: 10.1177/1087054709347434. PMID: 19805623. *Intervention* 

2394. Kariuki SM, Newton C, Abubakar A, et al. Evaluation of Psychometric Properties and Factorial Structure of ADHD Module of K-SADS-PL in Children From Rural Kenya. J Atten Disord. 2020 Dec;24(14):2064-71. doi: 10.1177/1087054717753064. PMID: 29392964. *Population* 

2395. Karolinska Institutet. Treatment of ADHD with synbiotics (probiotics plus prebiotics). 2019. https://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN57795429. Accessed on October 11 2022. *Population* 

2396. Kashani Khatib S, Bashardoust S, Radfar S, et al. The Effect of Forehead Cortex Electric Current Stimulation on Inhibitory Control and Working Memory in Children with Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder. Iranian Journal of Learning & Memory. 2019;2(5):19-26. doi: 10.22034/iepa.2019.91051. *Power* 

2397. Kat S, Xu L, Guo Y, et al. Reliability and Validity of the Simplified Chinese Version of the Aberrant Behavior Checklist in Chinese Autism Population. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:545445. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.545445. PMID: 33173506. *Population* 

2398. Kates WR, Mariano MA, Antshel KM, et al. Trajectories of psychiatric diagnoses and medication usage in youth with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: a 9-year longitudinal study. Psychol Med. 2019 Aug;49(11):1914-22. doi: 10.1017/s0033291718002696. PMID: 30226117. *Intervention* 

2399. Katsuki D, Yamashita H, Yamane K, et al. Clinical subtypes in children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder according to their Child Behavior Checklist profile. Child Psychiatry and Human Development. 2020 Dec 2020;51(6):969-77. *Intervention* 

2400. Katusic MZ, Voigt RG, Colligan RC, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children with high intelligence quotient: results from a population-based study. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2011 Feb-Mar;32(2):103-9. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e318206d700. PMID: 21200330. *Intervention* 

2401. Katusic SK, Barbaresi WJ, Colligan RC, et al. Psychostimulant treatment and risk for substance abuse among young adults with a history of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a population-based, birth cohort study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2005 Oct;15(5):764-76. doi: 10.1089/cap.2005.15.764. PMID: 16262593. *Design* 

2402. Katz DL, Cushman D, Reynolds J, et al. Putting physical activity where it fits in the school day: preliminary results of the ABC (Activity Bursts in the Classroom) for fitness program. Prev Chronic Dis. 2010 Jul;7(4):A82. PMID: 20550840. *Population* 

2403. Katz LJ, Brown FC, Roth RM, et al. Processing speed and working memory performance in those with both ADHD and a reading disorder compared with those with ADHD alone. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2011 Aug;26(5):425-33. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acr026. PMID: 21613301. *Intervention* 

2404. Katzenmajer-Pump L, Farkas B, Varga B, et al. Low level of perfectionism as a possible risk factor for suicide in adolescents with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. European Psychiatry. 2022;65:S250-S1. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.646. *Design* 

2405. Katzenmajer-Pump L, Komáromy D, Balázs J. The importance of recognizing worthlessness for suicide prevention in adolescents with Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2022;13. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.969164. *Design* 

2406. Katzmann J, Hautmann C, Greimel L, et al. Behavioral and Nondirective Guided Self-Help for Parents of Children with Externalizing Behavior: Mediating Mechanisms in a Head-To-Head Comparison. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2017 May;45(4):719-30. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0195-z. PMID: 27488368. *Population* 

2407. Kaufman J, Kobak K, Birmaher B, et al. KSADS-COMP Perspectives on Child Psychiatric Diagnostic Assessment and Treatment Planning. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 May;60(5):540-2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.08.470. PMID: 33385508. *Outcome* 

2408. Kawabe K, Horiuchi F, Kondo S, et al. Neurocognitive assessment of children with neurodevelopmental disorders: Preliminary findings. Pediatr Int. 2018 Sep;60(9):820-7. doi: 10.1111/ped.13662. PMID: 30019794. *Intervention* 

2409. Kaypakli GY, Metin Ö, Varmiş DA, et al. Technological addictions in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Are they associated with emotional intelligence? Indian J Psychiatry. 2020 Nov-Dec;62(6):670-7. doi: 10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry\_369\_19. PMID: 33896972. *Population* 

2410. Kazanci SY, Tarakcioglu MC, Bulbul L, et al. Should We Continue Methylphenidate Treatment Despite Orofacial or Extremity Dyskinesias? Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bülteni-Bulletin of Clinical Psychopharmacology. 2015 2015/12/01;25(4):399-402. doi: 10.5455/bcp.20150902042021. *Comparator*  2411. Kazemi A, Nikyar H, Najafi M. Effectiveness of anger management games on behavioral and anger symptoms of children with hyperactivity/attention deficit disorder. Journal of Isfahan Medical School. 2016;34(381):461-9. *Design* 

2412. Ke X, Du Y, Zheng Y, et al. Risk factors for the difficulties in general activities across the day in Chinese children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2019;15:157-66. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S187882. PMID: 30643414. *Intervention* 

2413. Keage HA, Clark CR, Hermens DF, et al. Distractibility in AD/HD predominantly inattentive and combined subtypes: the P3a ERP component, heart rate and performance. J Integr Neurosci. 2006 Mar;5(1):139-58. doi: 10.1142/s0219635206001070. PMID: 16544371. *Intervention* 

2414. Keage HA, Clark CR, Hermens DF, et al. ERP indices of working memory updating in AD/HD: differential aspects of development, subtype, and medication. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2008 Feb;25(1):32-41. doi: 10.1097/WNP.0b013e318163ccc0. PMID: 18303558. *Intervention* 

2415. Kean JD, Downey LA, Stough C. A systematic review of the Ayurvedic medicinal herb Bacopa monnieri in child and adolescent populations. Complement Ther Med. 2016 Dec;29:56-62. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2016.09.002. PMID: 27912958. *Population* 

2416. Kean JD, Sarris J, Scholey A, et al. Reduced inattention and hyperactivity and improved cognition after marine oil extract (PCSO-524®) supplementation in children and adolescents with clinical and subclinical symptoms of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2017 Feb;234(3):403-20. doi: 10.1007/s00213-016-4471-y. PMID: 27921139. *Population* 

2417. Keating GM. Methylphenidate transdermal system: in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in adolescents. CNS Drugs. 2011 Apr;25(4):333-42. doi: 10.2165/11206730-00000000000000000. PMID: 21425884. *Design* 

2418. Keating J, Bramham J, McNicholas F, et al. An Exploration of Sleep and Family Factors in Young Children at Familial Risk for ADHD. Behav Sleep Med. 2020 Dec 22:1-15. doi: 10.1080/15402002.2020.1862119. PMID: 33350348. *Population* 

2419. Keeley LM, Makol BA, Qasmieh N, et al. Validity of adolescent and parent reports on the six-item ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS-6) in clinical assessments of adolescent social anxiety. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2018 Apr 2018;27(4):1041-53. *Outcome* 

2420. Keilow M, Holm A, Fallesen P. Medical treatment of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and children's academic performance. PLoS One. 2018;13(11):e0207905. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207905. PMID: 30496240. *Intervention* 

2421. Keim S, Boone K, Pattison K, et al. Developmental and behavioral follow-up at age 2 years of preterm children supplemented with docosahexaenoic and arachidonic acid at age 1 year: The omega tots trial. European Journal of Pediatrics. 2019;178(11):1677-8. doi: 10.1007/s00431-019-03466-w. *Design* 

2422. Keith RW, Engineer P. Effects of methylphenidate on the auditory processing abilities of children with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder. J Learn Disabil. 1991 Dec;24(10):630-6. doi: 10.1177/002221949102401006. PMID: 1783870. *Intervention* 

2423. Kelly KL, Rapport MD, DuPaul GJ. Attention deficit disorder and methylphenidate: a multi-step analysis of dose-response effects on children's cardiovascular functioning. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 1988 Apr;3(2):167-81. doi: 10.1097/00004850-198804000-00007. PMID: 3294285. *Power* 

2424. Kelly MM, Griffith PB. The Influence of preterm birth beyond infancy: Umbrella review of outcomes of adolescents and adults born preterm. J Am Assoc Nurse Pract. 2020 Aug;32(8):555-62. doi: 10.1097/jxx.0000000000248. PMID: 31651585. *Population* 

2425. Kelsey Aberdeen JT. Children's ADHD interventions and parenting stress: a metaanalysis. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016039022. 2016. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=39022. *Design* 

2426. Kelwalkar A, Nayak R. Trends and patterns in the diagnosis and prescribing of psychotropic medications in children and adolescents with ADHD. Value in Health. 2010;13(3):A105. doi: 10.1016/S1098-3015(10)72503-1. *Intervention* 

2427. Kemner JE, Lage MJ. Effect of methylphenidate formulation on treatment patterns and use of emergency room services. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2006 Feb 15;63(4):317-22. doi: 10.2146/ajhp050129. PMID: 16452517. *Intervention* 

2428. Kemner JE, Lage MJ. Impact of methylphenidate formulation on treatment patterns and hospitalizations: A retrospective analysis. Annals of General Psychiatry. 2006;5. doi: 10.1186/1744-859X-5-5. *Intervention* 

2429. Kemner JE, Starr HL, Ciccone PE, et al. Outcomes of OROS methylphenidate compared with atomoxetine in children with ADHD: a multicenter, randomized prospective study. Adv Ther. 2005 Sep-Oct;22(5):498-512. doi: 10.1007/BF02849870. PMID: 16418159. *Timing* 

2430. Kemper AR, Maslow GR, Hill S, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Diagnosis and Treatment in Children and Adolescents. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2018. *Duplicate* 

2431. KemPharm I. KP415 Classroom Study in Children (6-12 Years of Age) With ADHD. 2017. *Intervention* 

2432. KemPharm I. KP415 Open-Label Safety Study in Children (6-12 Years of Age) With ADHD. 2018. *Intervention* 

2433. Kempton S, Vance A, Maruff P, et al. Executive function and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: stimulant medication and better executive function performance in children. Psychol Med. 1999 May;29(3):527-38. doi: 10.1017/s0033291799008338. PMID: 10405075. *Intervention* 

2434. Kenézloi E, Balogh L, Somogyi S, et al. Comparative analysis of impulsivity profiles in adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Borderline Personality Disorder. European Psychiatry. 2022;65:S867-S8. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.2249. *Population* 

2435. Kennedy D, Ghosh S, Poline JB, et al. IQ in Typical Development: A Mega-Analysis of the Historical Literature. Biological Psychiatry. 2021;89(9):S150. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.02.385. *Design* 

2436. Kennedy TM, Walther CAP, Pedersen SL, et al. Beers with Peers: Childhood ADHD and Risk for Correlated Change in Perceived Peer and Personal Alcohol Use Across Young

Adulthood. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2020 Nov;44(11):2350-60. doi: 10.1111/acer.14467. PMID: 32966613. *Intervention* 

2437. Kennel S, Taylor AG, Lyon D, et al. Pilot feasibility study of binaural auditory beats for reducing symptoms of inattention in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Pediatr Nurs. 2010 Feb;25(1):3-11. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2008.06.010. PMID: 20117669. *Intervention* 

2438. Kenneth Lee ES-B. Racial/ethnic disparities in the diagnosis of ADHD among children and adolescents: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019155459. 2019. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=155459. *Intervention* 

2439. Kern L DG, Volpe RJ, et al. Multisetting assessment-based intervention for young children at risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: initial effects on academic and behavioral functioning. School Psych Rev. 2007;36(2):237-55. *Population* 

2440. Kernder T, Doepfner M, Dose C, et al. Psychometric properties of a modified version of the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Parent Report (WFIRS-P) in a clinical sample of children with aggressive behavior. Qual Life Res. 2019 Jan;28(1):241-51. doi: 10.1007/s11136-018-2015-0. PMID: 30276506. *Population* 

2441. Kerns KA, Price KJ. An investigation of prospective memory in children with ADHD. Child Neuropsychol. 2001 Sep;7(3):162-71. doi: 10.1076/chin.7.3.162.8744. PMID: 12187473. *Population* 

2442. Kerson C, Lubar J, deBeus R, et al. EEG Connectivity in ADHD Compared to a Normative Database: A Cohort Analysis of 120 Subjects from the ICAN Study. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2022 Dec 5. doi: 10.1007/s10484-022-09569-9. PMID: 36469170. *Outcome* 

2443. Keshav NU, Vogt-Lowell K, Vahabzadeh A, et al. Digital Attention-Related Augmented-Reality Game: Significant Correlation between Student Game Performance and Validated Clinical Measures of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Children (Basel). 2019 May 28;6(6). doi: 10.3390/children6060072. PMID: 31142022. *Intervention* 

2444. Keshavarzi Z, Bajoghli H, Mohamadi MR, et al. In a randomized case-control trial with 10-years olds suffering from attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) sleep and psychological functioning improved during a 12-week sleep-training program. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2014 Dec;15(8):609-19. doi: 10.3109/15622975.2014.922698. PMID: 24957753. *Power* 

2445. Kessi M, Duan H, Xiong J, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactive disorder updates. Front Mol Neurosci. 2022;15:925049. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2022.925049. PMID: 36211978. *Design* 

2446. Keulers EH, Hendriksen JG, Feron FJ, et al. Methylphenidate improves reading performance in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and comorbid dyslexia: an unblinded clinical trial. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2007 Jan;11(1):21-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2006.10.002. PMID: 17169593. *Intervention* 

2447. Keulers EHH, Hurks PPM. Psychometric properties of a new ADHD screening questionnaire: Parent report on the (potential) underlying explanation of inattention in their school-aged children. Child Neuropsychol. 2021 Jun 11:1-16. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2021.1937975. PMID: 34114931. *Language* 

2448. Khadka G, Burns GL, Becker SP. Internal and External Validity of Sluggish Cognitive Tempo and ADHD Inattention Dimensions with Teacher Ratings of Nepali Children. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2016;38(3):433-42. doi: 10.1007/s10862-015-9534-6. *Intervention* 

2449. Khadka S, Pearlson GD, Calhoun VD, et al. Multivariate Imaging Genetics Study of MRI Gray Matter Volume and SNPs Reveals Biological Pathways Correlated with Brain Structural Differences in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Psychiatry. 2016;7:128. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00128. PMID: 27504100. *Intervention* 

2450. Khalili Kermani F, Mohammadi MR, Yadegari F, et al. Working Memory Training in the Form of Structured Games in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Iran J Psychiatry. 2016 Oct;11(4):224-33. PMID: 28050182. *Power* 

2451. Khan A, Fahl Mar K, Brown WA. Does the increasing placebo response impact outcomes of adult and pediatric ADHD clinical trials? Data from the US Food and Drug Administration 2000-2009. J Psychiatr Res. 2017 Nov;94:202-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2017.07.018. PMID: 28755620. *Design* 

2452. Khan K, Hall CL, Davies EB, et al. The Effectiveness of Web-Based Interventions Delivered to Children and Young People With Neurodevelopmental Disorders: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2019 Nov 1;21(11):e13478. doi: 10.2196/13478. PMID: 31682573. *Population* 

2453. Khan MU, Aslani P. Exploring Factors Influencing Medication Adherence From Initiation to Discontinuation in Parents and Adolescents With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2020 Mar;59(3):285-96. doi: 10.1177/0009922819900973. PMID: 31976758. *Intervention* 

2454. Khan MU, Balbontin C, Bliemer M, et al. Using discrete choice experiment to investigate patients' and parents' preferences for initiating ADHD medication. J Ment Health. 2021 Oct 10:1-13. doi: 10.1080/09638237.2021.1979495. PMID: 34632936. *Intervention* 

2455. Khan NA, Jahan M, Kanchan A, et al. Management of attention deficit and fine motor incoordination of primary school going ADHD (inattentive type) children. Acta Neuropsychologica. 2017;15(3):283-301. doi: 10.5604/01.3001.0010.6094. *Intervention* 

2456. Khilnani S, Field T, Hernandez-Reif M, et al. Massage therapy improves mood and behavior of students with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Adolescence. 2003 Winter;38(152):623-38. PMID: 15053490. *Power* 

2457. Khoza S, Oladapo AO, Barner JC. Adherence to medication for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Does time frame matter? Journal of Pharmaceutical Health Services Research. 2011;2(3):157-63. doi: 10.1111/j.1759-8893.2011.00054.x. *Intervention* 

2458. Kiani B, Hadianfard H, Mitchell JT. The impact of mindfulness meditation training on executive functions and emotion dysregulation in an Iranian sample of female adolescents with elevated attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms. Australian Journal of Psychology. 2017 Dec 2017;69(4):273-82. *Power* 

2459. Kiani B, Hadianfard H, Mitchell JT. Attention and behavioral control skills in Iranian school children. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Sep;11(3):263-70. doi: 10.1007/s12402-019-00289-5. PMID: 30739285. *Intervention* 

2460. Kiani B, Hadianfard H, Weiss MD. Descriptive and psychometric properties of the Persian version of the Weiss functional impairment rating scale: parent report form in Iranian children. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2018 Dec 7;16(1):225. doi: 10.1186/s12955-018-1053-1. PMID: 30526625. *Intervention* 

2461. Kids CU. Implementation of Climb Up Program for Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Dyslexia in a School in India. 2007. *Intervention* 

2462. Kidwell KM, Hankey M, Nelson JM, et al. Child executive control as a moderator of the longitudinal association between sleep problems and subsequent attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2017 Nov 2017 - Dec 2017;42(10):1144-55. *Intervention* 

2463. Kieling C, Genro JP, Hutz MH, et al. A current update on ADHD pharmacogenomics. Pharmacogenomics. 2010 Mar;11(3):407-19. doi: 10.2217/pgs.10.28. PMID: 20235795. *Intervention* 

2464. Kieling C, Roman T, Doyle AE, et al. Association between DRD4 gene and performance of children with ADHD in a test of sustained attention. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Nov 15;60(10):1163-5. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.04.027. PMID: 16781678. *Population* 

2465. Kilic O, Young S. Presentation and outcomes of attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in females and males. European Psychiatry. 2021;64:S72. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.224. *Design* 

2466. Kiluk BD, Weden S, Culotta VP. Sport participation and anxiety in children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2009 May;12(6):499-506. doi: 10.1177/1087054708320400. PMID: 18596300. *Comparator* 

2467. Kim HW, Cho SC, Kim BN, et al. Does oppositional defiant disorder have temperament and psychopathological profiles independent of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Compr Psychiatry. 2010 Jul-Aug;51(4):412-8. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2009.09.002. PMID: 20579516. *Outcome* 

2468. Kim JI, Yoo JH, Kim D, et al. The effects of GRIN2B and DRD4 gene variants on local functional connectivity in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Brain Imaging Behav. 2018 Feb;12(1):247-57. doi: 10.1007/s11682-017-9690-2. PMID: 28258362. *Intervention* 

2469. Kim JW, Park KH, Cheon KA, et al. The child behavior checklist together with the ADHD rating scale can diagnose ADHD in Korean community-based samples. Can J Psychiatry. 2005 Oct;50(12):802-5. doi: 10.1177/070674370505001210. PMID: 16408529. *Language* 

2470. Kim KM, Ha M, Lim MH, et al. The Symptom Trajectory of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Korean School-Age Children. Psychiatry Investig. 2018 May;15(5):470-5. doi: 10.30773/pi.2017.11.01.1. PMID: 30504751. *Intervention* 

2471. Kim KM, Lim MH, Kwon HJ, et al. Associations between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms and dietary habits in elementary school children. Appetite. 2018 Aug 1;127:274-9. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2018.05.004. PMID: 29758272. *Intervention* 

2472. Kim SG, Park J, Kim HT, et al. The relationship between smartphone addiction and symptoms of depression, anxiety, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity in South Korean

adolescents. Ann Gen Psychiatry. 2019;18:1. doi: 10.1186/s12991-019-0224-8. PMID: 30899316. *Population* 

2473. Kim SH, Choi YH, Kim KU. The effect of hatha yoga and physical activity on the attention of children and adolescents with ADHD tendencies. The Journal of the Korea Entertainment Industry Association. 2014;8:525–37. *Language* 

2474. Kim SJ, Shonka S, French WP, et al. Dose-Response Effects of Long-Acting Liquid Methylphenidate in Children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD): A Pilot Study. J Autism Dev Disord. 2017 Aug;47(8):2307-13. doi: 10.1007/s10803-017-3125-1. PMID: 28474229. *Power* 

2475. Kim SM, Min KJ, Han DH. Effects of Methylphenidate on Somatic Symptoms and Brain Functional Connectivity in Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Pilot Study. Clinical Psychopharmacology and Neuroscience. 2022;20(2):259-70. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2022.20.2.259. *Power* 

2476. Kim SS, Vuong AM, Dietrich KN, et al. Proximity to traffic and exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in relation to Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and conduct disorder in U.S. children. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2021 Mar;232:113686. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2020.113686. PMID: 33429141. *Intervention* 

2477. Kim WJ, Bang YR, Kang JW, et al. Preliminary Investigation of Association between Methylphenidate and Serum Growth Markers in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Cross-Sectional Case-Control Study. Soa Chongsonyon Chongsin Uihak. 2020 Jul 1;31(3):154-60. doi: 10.5765/jkacap.200014. PMID: 32665759. *Comparator* 

2478. Kim Y, Koh MK, Park KJ, et al. Wisc-iv intellectual profiles in korean children and adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Investigation. 2020;17(5):444-51. doi: 10.30773/pi.2019.0312. *Intervention* 

2479. King S, Griffin S, Hodges Z, et al. A systematic review and economic model of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of methylphenidate, dexamfetamine and atomoxetine for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Health Technol Assess. 2006 Jul;10(23):iii-iv, xiii-146. doi: 10.3310/hta10230. PMID: 16796929. *Design* 

2480. King S, Waschbusch DA, Pelham WE, et al. Subtypes of Aggression in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Medication Effects and Comparison with Typical Children. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2009 01/01/;38(5):619-29. PMID: EJ870975. *Intervention* 

2481. King SA, Casavant MJ, Spiller HA, et al. Pediatric ADHD Medication Exposures Reported to US Poison Control Centers. Pediatrics. 2018 Jun;141(6). doi: 10.1542/peds.2017-3872. PMID: 29784754. *Intervention* 

2482. Kinga Karteczka-Swietek SO-SAS. Non-medical interventions on psychosocial functioning of adolescents with ADHD in family school and peer group: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021287456. 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=287456. *Design* 

2483. Kingsnorth S, Treurnicht Naylor K, Lamont A, et al. The effectiveness of music in pediatric healthcare: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2011;2011. doi: 10.1155/2011/464759. *Design* 

2484. Kirk H, Gray K, Ellis K, et al. Impact of Attention Training on Academic Achievement, Executive Functioning, and Behavior: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Am J Intellect Dev Disabil. 2017 Mar;122(2):97-117. doi: 10.1352/1944-7558-122.2.97. PMID: 28257246. *Population* 

2485. Kirk HE, Gray KM, Ellis K, et al. Computerised attention training for children with intellectual and developmental disabilities: A randomised controlled trial. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2016 Dec 2016;57(12):1380-9. *Population* 

2486. Kirk HE, Spencer-Smith M, Wiley JF, et al. Gamified Attention Training in the Primary School Classroom: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jun;25(8):1146-59. doi: 10.1177/1087054719887435. PMID: 31718386. *Population* 

2487. Kitaoka T, Morimoto M, Hashimoto T, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of drug treatment based on measurement of the oxidative stress, using reactive oxygen metabolites and biological antioxidant potential, in children with autism spectrum disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Pharm Health Care Sci. 2020;6:8. doi: 10.1186/s40780-020-00164-w. PMID: 32351702. *Population* 

2488. Klasen H, Woerner W, Wolke D, et al. Comparing the German versions of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ-Deu) and the Child Behavior Checklist. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000 Dec;9(4):271-6. doi: 10.1007/s007870070030. PMID: 11202102. *Intervention* 

2489. Klein C, Jr Fischer B, Fischer B, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on saccadic responses in patients with ADHD. Exp Brain Res. 2002 Jul;145(1):121-5. doi: 10.1007/s00221-002-1105-x. PMID: 12070751. *Intervention* 

2490. Klein C, Wendling K, Huettner P, et al. Intra-subject variability in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Nov 15;60(10):1088-97. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.04.003. PMID: 16806097. *Outcome* 

2491. Klein CH, Raschke A, Brandenbusch A. Development of pro- and antisaccades in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and healthy controls. Psychophysiology. 2003 Jan;40(1):17-28. doi: 10.1111/1469-8986.00003. PMID: 12756978. *Intervention* 

2492. Klein M. Accident-proneness of children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). PsychoNeuro. 2006;32(7-8):386-91. doi: 10.1055/s-2006-951445. *Design* 

2493. Klein RG, Abikoff H, Klass E, et al. Clinical efficacy of methylphenidate in conduct disorder with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1997 Dec;54(12):1073-80. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1997.01830240023003. PMID: 9400342. *Population* 

2494. Klein RG, Landa B, Mattes JA, et al. Methylphenidate and growth in hyperactive children. A controlled withdrawal study. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1988 Dec;45(12):1127-30. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1988.01800360075011. PMID: 3058088. *Intervention* 

2495. Klein RG, Mannuzza S, Olazagasti MA, et al. Clinical and functional outcome of childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder 33 years later. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2012 Dec;69(12):1295-303. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2012.271. PMID: 23070149. *Intervention* 

2496. Klein T, Woo TM, Panther S, et al. Somnolence-Producing Agents: A 5-Year Study of Prescribing for Medicaid-Insured Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Pediatr Health Care. 2019 May-Jun;33(3):e1-e8. doi: 10.1016/j.pedhc.2018.10.002. PMID: 30630642. *Intervention* 

2497. Klenberg L, Hokkanen L, Lahti-Nuuttila P, et al. Teacher Ratings of Executive Function Difficulties in Finnish Children with Combined and Predominantly Inattentive Symptoms of ADHD. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2017 Oct-Dec;6(4):305-14. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2016.1177531. PMID: 27176884. *Intervention* 

2498. Klenberg L JS, Hayrinen T, et al. The Attention and Executive Function Rating Inventory (ATTEX): Psychometric properties and clinical utility in diagnosing ADHD subtypes. Scand J Psychol. 2010 Mar 19;51(5):439-48. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2010.00812.x. *Language* 

2499. Klil-Drori S, Hechtman L. Potential Social and Neurocognitive Benefits of Aerobic Exercise as Adjunct Treatment for Patients With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Mar;24(5):795-809. doi: 10.1177/1087054716652617. PMID: 27288905. *Design* 

2500. Klingberg T, Fernell E, Olesen PJ, et al. Computerized training of working memory in children with ADHD--a randomized, controlled trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Feb;44(2):177-86. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200502000-00010. PMID: 15689731. *Power* 

2501. Klingberg T, Forssberg H, Westerberg H. Training of working memory in children with ADHD. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2002 Sep;24(6):781-91. doi: 10.1076/jcen.24.6.781.8395. PMID: 12424652. *Population* 

2502. Klorman R, Brumaghim JT, Fitzpatrick PA, et al. Clinical effects of a controlled trial of methylphenidate on adolescents with attention deficit disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1990 Sep;29(5):702-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199009000-00005. PMID: 2228922. *Power* 

2503. Klorman R, Brumaghim JT, Fitzpatrick PA, et al. Methylphenidate speeds evaluation processes of attention deficit disorder adolescents during a continuous performance test. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1991 Jun;19(3):263-83. doi: 10.1007/bf00911231. PMID: 1865045. *Intervention* 

2504. Klorman R, Brumaghim JT, Fitzpatrick PA, et al. Methylphenidate reduces abnormalities of stimulus classification in adolescents with attention deficit disorder. J Abnorm Psychol. 1992 Feb;101(1):130-8. doi: 10.1037//0021-843x.101.1.130. PMID: 1537959. *Intervention* 

2505. Klorman R, Coons HW, Borgstedt AD. Effects of methylphenidate on adolescents with a childhood history of attention deficit disorder: I. Clinical findings. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1987 May;26(3):363-7. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198705000-00015. PMID: 3298201. *Power* 

2506. Klorman R, Coons HW, Brumaghim JT, et al. Stimulant treatment for adolescents with attention deficit disorder. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1988;24(1):88-92. PMID: 2898797. *Intervention* 

2507. Klorman R, Hazel-Fernandez LA, Shaywitz SE, et al. Executive functioning deficits in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder are independent of oppositional defiant or reading disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999 Sep;38(9):1148-55. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199909000-00020. PMID: 10504814. *Intervention* 

2508. Klorman R, Thatcher JE, Shaywitz SE, et al. Effects of event probability and sequence on children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity, reading, and math disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2002 Oct 15;52(8):795-804. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(02)01415-4. PMID: 12372651. *Intervention* 

2509. Knez R, Stevanovic D, Nasic S, et al. The Impact of Methylphenidate on QbTest Performance of Children with ADHD: A Retrospective Clinical Study. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2021;17:19-32. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S277490. PMID: 33447036. *Comparator* 

2510. Knouse LE, Teller J, Brooks MA. Meta-analysis of cognitive-behavioral treatments for adult ADHD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2017 Jul;85(7):737-50. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000216. PMID: 28504540. *Population* 

2511. Ko HJ, Kim I, Kim JB, et al. Effects of Korean red ginseng extract on behavior in children with symptoms of inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity: a double-blind randomized placebocontrolled trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2014 Nov;24(9):501-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2014.0013. PMID: 25369174. *Power* 

2512. Kobayashi M, Ikeda T, Tokuda T, et al. Acute administration of methylphenidate differentially affects cortical processing of emotional facial expressions in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder children as studied by functional near-infrared spectroscopy. Neurophotonics. 2020 Apr;7(2):025003. doi: 10.1117/1.NPh.7.2.025003. PMID: 32377545. *Timing* 

2513. Koblan KS, Hopkins SC, Sarma K, et al. Dasotraline for the Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Proof-of-Concept Trial in Adults. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2015 Nov;40(12):2745-52. doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.124. PMID: 25948101. *Population* 

2514. Koch LC, Lo WJ, Mamiseishvili K, et al. The effect of learning disabilities, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and psychiatric disabilities on three-year persistence outcomes at four-year higher education institutions. Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation. 2018;48(3):359-67. doi: 10.3233/JVR-180944. *Population* 

2515. Kodman-Jones C, Hawkins L, Schulman SL. Behavioral characteristics of children with daytime wetting. J Urol. 2001 Dec;166(6):2392-5. PMID: 11696795. *Population* 

2516. Koelch M, Singer H, Prestel A, et al. "...because I am something special" or "I think I will be something like a guinea pig": Information and assent of legal minors in clinical trials - Assessment of understanding, appreciation and reasoning. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2009;3. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-3-2. *Design* 

2517. Koelch M, Singer H, Prestel A, et al. "...because I am something special" or "I think I will be something like a guinea pig": information and assent of legal minors in clinical trials-assessment of understanding, appreciation and reasoning. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2009 Jan 28;3(1):2. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-3-2. PMID: 19175905. *Intervention* 

2518. Kofler MJ, Groves NB, Singh LJ, et al. Rethinking hyperactivity in pediatric ADHD: Preliminary evidence for a reconceptualization of hyperactivity/impulsivity from the perspective of informant perceptual processes. Psychol Assess. 2020 Aug;32(8):752-67. doi: 10.1037/pas0000856. PMID: 32478528. *Intervention* 

2519. Kofler MJ, Sarver DE, Austin KE, et al. Can working memory training work for ADHD? Development of central executive training and comparison with behavioral parent training. J

Consult Clin Psychol. 2018 Dec;86(12):964-79. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000308. PMID: 30507223. Power

2520. Kohn MR, Tsang TW, Clarke SD. Efficacy and safety of atomoxetine in the treatment of children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clin Med Insights Pediatr. 2012;6:95-162. doi: 10.4137/CMPed.S7868. PMID: 23641171. *Design* 

2521. Kok FM, Groen Y, Fuermaier AB, et al. Problematic Peer Functioning in Girls with ADHD: A Systematic Literature Review. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):e0165119. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165119. PMID: 27870862. *Intervention* 

2522. Kok FM, Groen Y, Fuermaier ABM, et al. The female side of pharmacotherapy for ADHD-A systematic literature review. PLoS One. 2020;15(9):e0239257. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0239257. PMID: 32946507. *Design* 

2523. Kolko DJ, Bukstein OG, Barron J. Methylphenidate and behavior modification in children with ADHD and comorbid ODD or CD: main and incremental effects across settings. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999 May;38(5):578-86. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199905000-00020. PMID: 10230190. *Power* 

2524. Kolko DJ CJ, Kilbourne AM, Hart J, Sakolsky D, Wisniewski S. Collaborative care outcomes for pediatric behavioral health problems: a cluster randomized trial. Pediatrics. 2014 Apr;133(4):e981-92. doi: 10.1542/peds.2013-2516. *Population* 

2525. Kolko DJ DL, Bukstein OG, Pardini J, Holden EA, Hart J. Community vs. clinic-based modular treatment of children with early-onset ODD or CD: A clinical trial with 3-year follow-up. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2009;37:591–609. *Intervention* 

2526. Kollins SH. Moving Beyond Symptom Remission to Optimize Long-term Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. JAMA Pediatr. 2018 Oct 1;172(10):901-2. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.1642. PMID: 30105354. *Design* 

2527. Kollins SH, Braeckman R, Guenther S, et al. A Randomized, Controlled Laboratory Classroom Study of Serdexmethylphenidate and d-Methylphenidate Capsules in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Nov;31(9):597-609. doi: 10.1089/cap.2021.0077. PMID: 34714120. *Timing* 

2528. Kollins SH, Childress A, Heusser AC, et al. Effectiveness of a digital therapeutic as adjunct to treatment with medication in pediatric ADHD. npj Digital Medicine. 2021;4(1). doi: 10.1038/s41746-021-00429-0. *Design* 

2529. Kollins SH, Shapiro SK, Newland MC, et al. Discriminative and participant-rated effects of methylphenidate in children diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 1998 Nov;6(4):375-89. doi: 10.1037/1064-1297.6.4.375. PMID: 9861552. *Intervention* 

2530. Kollins SH, Sweitzer MM, McClernon FJ, et al. Increased subjective and reinforcing effects of initial nicotine exposure in young adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) compared to matched peers: results from an experimental model of first-time tobacco use. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2020 Apr;45(5):851-6. doi: 10.1038/s41386-019-0581-7. PMID: 31785588. *Population* 

2531. Koltermann G, Becker N, Lopes-Silva JB, et al. Are "cool" executive function impairments more salient in ADHD symptoms than in reading disability? Dement Neuropsychol. 2020 Jan-Mar;14(1):47-55. doi: 10.1590/1980-57642020dn14-010008. PMID: 32206198. *Outcome* 

2532. Koltermann G, Becker N, Wauke APT, et al. Intragroup differences and similarities in performance on rapid automatized naming tasks in children with ADHD symptoms, children with reading disabilities, and controls. Trends Psychiatry Psychother. 2020 Jun;42(2):190-4. doi: 10.1590/2237-6089-2019-0014. PMID: 32520167. *Intervention* 

2533. Koly KN, Martin-Herz SP, Islam MS, et al. Parent mediated intervention programmes for children and adolescents with neurodevelopmental disorders in South Asia: A systematic review. PLoS One. 2021;16(3):e0247432. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0247432. PMID: 33705420. *Population* 

2534. Kommu JVS, K RG, Srinath S, et al. Profile of two hundred children with Autism Spectrum Disorder from a tertiary child and adolescent psychiatry centre. Asian J Psychiatr. 2017 Aug;28:51-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2017.03.017. PMID: 28784397. *Population* 

2535. Kondo DG, Chrisman AK, March JS. An evidence-based medicine approach to combined treatment for ADHD in children and adolescents. Psychopharmacol Bull. 2003 Summer;37(3):7-23. PMID: 14608237. *Design* 

2536. Koneski JA, Casella EB, Agertt F, et al. Efficacy and safety of methylphenidate in treating ADHD symptoms in children and adolescents with uncontrolled seizures: a Brazilian sample study and literature review. Epilepsy Behav. 2011 Jul;21(3):228-32. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2011.02.029. PMID: 21524941. *Intervention* 

2537. Konofal E, Lecendreux M, Deron J, et al. Effects of iron supplementation on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children. Pediatr Neurol. 2008 Jan;38(1):20-6. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2007.08.014. PMID: 18054688. *Power* 

2538. Konrad K, Gauggel S, Manz A, et al. Lack of inhibition: a motivational deficit in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and children with traumatic brain injury. Child Neuropsychol. 2000 Dec;6(4):286-96. doi: 10.1076/chin.6.4.286.3145. PMID: 11992192. *Intervention* 

2539. Konrad K, Gunther T, Hanisch C, et al. Differential Effects of Methylphenidate on Attentional Functions in Children with Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2004 02/01/;43(2):191-F. PMID: EJ695955. *Design* 

2540. Kooij JJ, Burger H, Boonstra AM, et al. Efficacy and safety of methylphenidate in 45 adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. A randomized placebo-controlled doubleblind cross-over trial. Psychol Med. 2004 Aug;34(6):973-82. doi: 10.1017/s0033291703001776. PMID: 15554568. *Population* 

2541. Koonrungsesomboon K, Koonrungsesomboon N. The Effects of Methylphenidate Treatment on Child Growth in Thai Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Apr;30(3):189-97. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0115. PMID: 31841645. *Intervention*  2542. Kordon A, Stollhoff K, Niederkirchner K, et al. Exploring the impact of once-daily OROS® methylphenidate (MPH) on symptoms and quality of life in children and adolescents with ADHD transitioning from immediate-release MPH. Postgrad Med. 2011 Sep;123(5):27-38. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2011.09.2457. PMID: 21904084. *Intervention* 

2543. Kortekaas-Rijlaarsdam AF, Luman M, Sonuga-Barke E, et al. Methylphenidate-Related Improvements in Math Performance Cannot Be Explained by Better Cognitive Functioning or Higher Academic Motivation: Evidence From a Randomized Controlled Trial. J Atten Disord. 2020 Nov;24(13):1824-35. doi: 10.1177/1087054717713640. PMID: 28608744. *Timing* 

2544. Kortekaas-Rijlaarsdam AF, Luman M, Sonuga-Barke E, et al. Short-Term Effects of Methylphenidate on Math Productivity in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder are Mediated by Symptom Improvements: Evidence From a Placebo-Controlled Trial. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2017 Apr;37(2):210-9. doi: 10.1097/jcp.00000000000000671. PMID: 28145999. *Timing* 

2545. Kosari S, Hemayattalab R, Ameri E, et al. The Effect of Physical Exercise on the Development of Gross Motor Skills in Children with Attention Deficit / Hyperactivity Disorder. Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2012 10/23;15:74-8. *Power* 

2546. Köse B, Temızkan E, Kara kaya Ö, et al. How does visual praxis based occupational therapy program effect motor skills in children with hyperactivity and attention disorder? Single blind randomized study design. Journal of Experimental and Clinical Medicine (Turkey). 2022;39(3):803-8. doi: 10.52142/omujecm.39.3.40. *Power* 

2547. Kosse RC, Bouvy ML, Philbert D, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Medication Use in Adolescents: The Patient's Perspective. J Adolesc Health. 2017 Nov;61(5):619-25. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2017.05.027. PMID: 28899641. *Intervention* 

2548. Kotsi E, Kotsi E, Perrea DN. Vitamin D levels in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a meta-analysis. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Sep;11(3):221-32. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0276-7. PMID: 30367389. *Intervention* 

2549. Kouros I, Hörberg N, Ekselius L, et al. Wender Utah Rating Scale-25 (WURS-25): psychometric properties and diagnostic accuracy of the Swedish translation. Ups J Med Sci. 2018 Dec;123(4):230-6. doi: 10.1080/03009734.2018.1515797. PMID: 30373435. *Population* 

2550. Kousha M, Abbasi Kakrodi M. Can Parents Improve the Quality of Life of Their Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder? Iran J Psychiatry. 2019 Apr;14(2):154-9. PMID: 31440297. *Power* 

2551. Kousha M, Dalili S, Kiani SA, et al. BMI changes in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder before and after treatment with methylphenidate. Iranian Journal of Pediatrics. 2018;28(2). doi: 10.5812/ijp.7954. *Intervention* 

2552. Kovacevic L, Wolfe-Christensen C, Rizwan A, et al. Children with nocturnal enuresis and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A separate entity? J Pediatr Urol. 2018 Feb;14(1):47.e1-.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2017.07.002. PMID: 28867160. *Population* 

2553. Kovacs S, Sharp C. Criterion validity of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) with inpatient adolescents. Psychiatry Res. 2014 Nov 30;219(3):651-7. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.06.019. PMID: 25048754. *Population* 

2554. Kowalczyk OS, Cubillo AI, Smith A, et al. Methylphenidate and atomoxetine normalise fronto-parietal underactivation during sustained attention in ADHD adolescents. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2019 Oct;29(10):1102-16. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.07.139. PMID: 31358436. *Intervention* 

2555. Koyama MS, Parvaz MA, Goldstein RZ. The adolescent brain at risk for substance use disorders: a review of functional MRI research on motor response inhibition. Curr Opin Behav Sci. 2017 Feb;13:186-95. doi: 10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.12.006. PMID: 28868337. *Intervention* 

2556. Koyuncu A, Çelebi F, Ertekin E, et al. The Presence of Childhood Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder May Be Associated With Interpersonal Sensitivity in Patients With Social Anxiety Disorder. J Psychiatr Pract. 2017 Jul;23(4):254-9. doi: 10.1097/pra.0000000000246. PMID: 28749829. *Population* 

2557. Kozik V, Schwab M, Thiel S, et al. Protocol for a Cross-Sectional Study: Effects of a Multiple Sclerosis Relapse Therapy With Methylprednisolone on Offspring Neurocognitive Development and Behavior (MS-Children). Front Neurol. 2022;13:830057. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.830057. PMID: 35557615. *Design* 

2558. Koziol LF, Stout CE. Use of a verbal fluency measure in understanding and evaluating ADHD as an executive function disorder. Percept Mot Skills. 1992 Dec;75(3 Pt 2):1187-92. doi: 10.2466/pms.1992.75.3f.1187. PMID: 1484785. *Intervention* 

2559. Kozulin A, Lebeer J, Madella-Noja A, et al. Cognitive modifiability of children with developmental disabilities: a multicentre study using Feuerstein's Instrumental Enrichment--Basic program. Res Dev Disabil. 2010 Mar-Apr;31(2):551-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2009.12.001. PMID: 20056377. *Population* 

2560. Kragh K, Husby M, Melin K, et al. Convergent and divergent validity of the schedule for affective disorders and schizophrenia for school-age children - present and lifetime version diagnoses in a sample of children and adolescents with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Nord J Psychiatry. 2019 Feb;73(2):111-7. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2019.1571628. PMID: 30870046. *Intervention* 

2561. Krahel A, Paszynska E, Slopien A, et al. Stress/Immune Biomarkers in Saliva among Children with ADHD Status. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Jan 18;18(2). doi: 10.3390/ijerph18020769. PMID: 33477503. *Intervention* 

2562. Krakowski A, Cost KT, Szatmari P, et al. 3.58 Identifying Neurodevelopmental Domain Subgroups in ASD and ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S246-S7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.337. *Design* 

2563. Kral MC, Lally MD, Boan AD. Identification of ADHD in youth with epilepsy. J Pediatr Rehabil Med. 2016 Sep 2;9(3):223-9. doi: 10.3233/prm-160383. PMID: 27612082. *Intervention* 

2564. Kramer JR LJ, Ponto LB, et al. Predictors of adult height and weight in boys treated with methylphenidate for childhood behavior problems. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000;39(4):517-24. *Population* 

2565. Kratochvil CJ, Bohac D, Harrington M, et al. An open-label trial of tomoxetine in pediatric attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2001 Summer;11(2):167-70. doi: 10.1089/104454601750284072. PMID: 11436956. *Intervention* 

2566. Kratochvil CJ, Vaughan BS, Daughton JM, et al. Atomoxetine in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Expert Rev Neurother. 2004 Jul;4(4):601-11. doi: 10.1586/14737175.4.4.601. PMID: 15853579. *Design* 

2567. Kratochvil CJ, Vaughan BS, Harrington MJ, et al. Atomoxetine: a selective noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2003 Jul;4(7):1165-74. doi: 10.1517/14656566.4.7.1165. PMID: 12831341. *Design* 

2568. Kratochvil CJ, Vaughan BS, Mayfield-Jorgensen ML, et al. A pilot study of atomoxetine in young children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Apr;17(2):175-85. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.0143. PMID: 17489712. *Power* 

2569. Kratochvil CJ, Wilens TE, Greenhill LL, et al. Effects of long-term atomoxetine treatment for young children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Aug;45(8):919-27. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000222788.34229.68. PMID: 16865034. *Design* 

2570. Krause L, Vogelgesang F, Thamm R, et al. Individual trajectories of asthma, obesity and ADHD during the transition from childhood and adolescence to young adulthood. J Health Monit. 2021 Apr;6(Suppl 5):2-15. doi: 10.25646/7913. PMID: 35586784. *Intervention* 

2571. Krieger V, Amador-Campos JA, Peró-Cebollero M. Interrater agreement on behavioral executive function measures in adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology. 2019 May 2019;19(2):141-9. *Intervention* 

2572. Krisanaprakornkit T, Ngamjarus C, Witoonchart C, et al. Meditation therapies for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2010(6). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006507.pub2. PMID: CD006507. *Duplicate* 

2573. Kritchman M, Koubi M, Mimouni Bloch A, et al. Effect of Methylphenidate on State Anxiety in Children With ADHD-A Single Dose, Placebo Controlled, Crossover Study. Front Behav Neurosci. 2019;13:106. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00106. PMID: 31156406. *Power* 

2574. Krivitzky L, Bosenbark DD, Ichord R, et al. Brief report: Relationship between performance testing and parent report of attention and executive functioning profiles in children following perinatal arterial ischemic stroke. Child Neuropsychol. 2019 Nov;25(8):1116-24. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2019.1588957. PMID: 30909791. *Intervention* 

2575. Kroes M, Kessels AG, Kalff AC, et al. Quality of movement as predictor of ADHD: results from a prospective population study in 5- and 6-year-old children. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2002 Nov;44(11):753-60. doi: 10.1017/s0012162201002882. PMID: 12418616. *Outcome* 

2576. Kronbichler M, Hutzler F, Wimmer H. Dyslexia: verbal impairments in the absence of magnocellular impairments. Neuroreport. 2002 Apr 16;13(5):617-20. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200204160-00016. PMID: 11973457. *Population* 

2577. Krone B, Bedard AC, Downes L, et al. 5.9 DOUBLE DISSOCIATION OF NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL CORRELATES FOR COGNITIVE PHENOTYPES IN ADHD.

Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2020;59(10):S151. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.08.069. *Design* 

2578. Kronenberger WG, Giauque AL, Lafata DE, et al. Quetiapine addition in methylphenidate treatment-resistant adolescents with comorbid ADHD, conduct/oppositional-defiant disorder, and aggression: a prospective, open-label study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Jun;17(3):334-47. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.0012. PMID: 17630867. *Intervention* 

2579. Kropotov JD, Grin-Yatsenko VA, Ponomarev VA, et al. Changes in EEG spectrograms, event-related potentials and event-related desynchronization induced by relative beta training in ADHD children. Journal of Neurotherapy. 2007;11(2):3-11. doi: 10.1300/J184v11n02\_02. *Intervention* 

2580. Krtkova R, Krtek A, Pesoutova M, et al. School functioning and experience of the school environment by students with ADHD. European Journal of Special Needs Education. 2022. doi: 10.1080/08856257.2022.2145687. *Design* 

2581. Krupa M. Possible relationships of addictive disorders and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). European Psychiatry. 2021;64:S172. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.457. *Design* 

2582. Kubas HA, Backenson EM, Wilcox G, et al. The effects of methylphenidate on cognitive function in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Postgrad Med. 2012 Sep;124(5):33-48. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2012.09.2592. PMID: 23095424. *Power* 

2583. Kubo Y, Kanazawa T, Kawabata Y, et al. Comparative analysis of the WISC between two ADHD subgroups. Psychiatry Investigation. 2018;15(2):172-7. doi: 10.30773/pi.2017.07.12. *Intervention* 

2584. Kühl E, Geeraerts SB, Deković M, et al. Trajectories of Executive Functions and ADHD Symptoms in Preschoolers and the Role of Negative Parental Discipline. Dev Neuropsychol. 2021 Nov;46(8):555-73. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2021.1995736. PMID: 34711098. *Intervention* 

2585. Kuijper SJM, Hartman CA, Hendriks P. Children's Pronoun Interpretation Problems Are Related to Theory of Mind and Inhibition, But Not Working Memory. Front Psychol. 2021;12:610401. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.610401. PMID: 34149504. *Intervention* 

2586. Kuldeep Choudhary MGPDSG. Effectiveness and safety of Ayurveda intervention in children and adolescent with ADHD: a systematic review with meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019129676. 2019.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=129676. Design

2587. Kumar K, Sharma R, Mehra A, et al. Quality of life, adjustment, and associative comorbid conditions in children diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A comparative study. Ind Psychiatry J. 2020 Jan-Jun;29(1):123-9. doi: 10.4103/ipj.ipj\_119\_20. PMID: 33776285. *Intervention* 

2588. Kumar R. Approved and investigational uses of modafinil : an evidence-based review. Drugs. 2008;68(13):1803-39. doi: 10.2165/00003495-200868130-00003. PMID: 18729534. *Design* 

2589. Kumperscak HG, Gricar A, Ülen I, et al. A Pilot Randomized Control Trial With the Probiotic Strain Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG) in ADHD: Children and Adolescents

Report Better Health-Related Quality of Life. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:181. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00181. PMID: 32256407. *Power* 

2590. Kumperščak HG, Gričar A, Ülen I, et al. P.0634 A pilot randomized control trial with the probiotic strain Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG) in children and adolescents with ADHD. European Neuropsychopharmacology. 2021;53:S466-S7. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2021.10.599. *Power* 

2591. Kuntsi J, Oosterlaan J, Stevenson J. Psychological mechanisms in hyperactivity: I. Response inhibition deficit, working memory impairment, delay aversion, or something else? J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2001 Feb;42(2):199-210. PMID: 11280416. *Population* 

2592. Kuntsi J, Wood AC, Van Der Meere J, et al. Why cognitive performance in ADHD may not reveal true potential: findings from a large population-based sample. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2009 Jul;15(4):570-9. doi: 10.1017/s135561770909081x. PMID: 19573275. *Population* 

2593. Kuo FE, Taylor AF. A potential natural treatment for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: evidence from a national study. Am J Public Health. 2004 Sep;94(9):1580-6. doi: 10.2105/ajph.94.9.1580. PMID: 15333318. *Intervention* 

2594. Kuperman S, Johnson B, Arndt S, et al. Quantitative EEG differences in a nonclinical sample of children with ADHD and undifferentiated ADD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Aug;35(8):1009-17. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199608000-00011. PMID: 8755797. *Outcome* 

2595. Kuperman S, Perry PJ, Gaffney GR, et al. Bupropion SR vs. methylphenidate vs. placebo for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults. Ann Clin Psychiatry. 2001 Sep;13(3):129-34. doi: 10.1023/a:1012239823148. PMID: 11791949. *Population* 

2596. Kupietz SS, Winsberg BG, Richardson E, et al. Effects of methylphenidate dosage in hyperactive reading-disabled children: I. Behavior and cognitive performance effects. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1988 Jan;27(1):70-7. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198801000-00011. PMID: 3343209. *Power* 

2597. Kuriyan AB, Pelham WE, Jr., Molina BS, et al. Young adult educational and vocational outcomes of children diagnosed with ADHD. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2013 Jan;41(1):27-41. doi: 10.1007/s10802-012-9658-z. PMID: 22752720. *Intervention* 

2598. Kurokawa S, Nomura K, Miyaho K, et al. Gastrointestinal symptoms and sensory abnormalities associated with behavioral problems in children with neurodevelopmental disorders. Autism Res. 2021 Jun 2. doi: 10.1002/aur.2549. PMID: 34076345. *Intervention* 

2599. Kurzweil SR. Developmental reading disorder: predictors of outcome in adolescents who received early diagnosis and treatment. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1992 Dec;13(6):399-404. PMID: 1469107. *Population* 

2600. Kushki A, Anagnostou E, Hammill C, et al. Examining overlap and homogeneity in ASD, ADHD, and OCD: a data-driven, diagnosis-agnostic approach. Transl Psychiatry. 2019 Nov 26;9(1):318. doi: 10.1038/s41398-019-0631-2. PMID: 31772171. *Intervention* 

2601. Kutlu A, Akyol Ardic U, Ercan ES. Effect of Methylphenidate on Emotional Dysregulation in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder + Oppositional Defiant

Disorder/Conduct Disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2017 Apr;37(2):220-5. doi: 10.1097/jcp.00000000000668. PMID: 28225747. *Comparator* 

2602. Kweon K, Shin ES, Park KJ, et al. Genome-Wide Analysis Reveals Four Novel Loci for Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Korean Youths. Soa Chongsonyon Chongsin Uihak. 2018 Apr 1;29(2):62-72. doi: 10.5765/jkacap.2018.29.2.62. PMID: 32595297. *Intervention* 

2603. Kweon K, Yoon JS, Park KJ, et al. Effects of Atomoxetine on Height and Weight in Korean Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Retrospective Chart Review. Psychiatry Investig. 2018 Jun;15(6):649-54. doi: 10.30773/pi.2018.02.25.1. PMID: 29940719. *Comparator* 

2604. Kwon HJ, Kim W, Lim MH. Association between GABA3 Gene Polymorphisms and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Korean Children. Psychiatry Investig. 2017 Sep;14(5):693-7. doi: 10.4306/pi.2017.14.5.693. PMID: 29042897. *Outcome* 

2605. Kyeong S, Kim J-J, Kim E. Novel subgroups of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder identified by topological data analysis and their functional network modular organizations. PLoS ONE. 2017 Aug 22, 2017;12(8). *Intervention* 

2606. La Marca JP, O'Connor RE. Neurofeedback as an intervention to improve reading achievement in students with attention-Deficit/hyperactivity disorder, inattentive subtype. NeuroRegulation. 2016;3(2):55-77. doi: 10.15540/nr.3.2.55. *Intervention* 

2607. Lacerda BC, Martínez SBS, Franz AP, et al. Does ADHD worsen inhibitory control in preschool children born very premature and/or with very low birth weight? Trends Psychiatry Psychother. 2020 Oct-Dec;42(4):340-7. doi: 10.1590/2237-6089-2019-0075. PMID: 33263709. *Intervention* 

2608. Lachaine J, Ben Amor L, Pringsheim T, et al. Treatment Patterns, Health Care Resource Utilization, and Health Care Cost Associated with Atypical Antipsychotics or Guanfacine Extended Release in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Quebec, Canada. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 Dec;29(10):730-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0097. PMID: 31433205. *Intervention* 

2609. Lacroix J. Guide for the screening, treatment and follow-up of Attention Deficit / Hyperactivity Disorder in children and adolescents in primary care: a systematic review of systematic reviews and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018108737. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=108737. *Design* 

2610. Lafavor T, Gimbel B, Olsen A, et al. Relationship of parent-rated and objectively evaluated executive function to symptoms of posttraumatic stress and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in homeless youth. Child Neuropsychol. 2021 Dec 26:1-23. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2021.2016671. PMID: 34957916. *Intervention* 

2611. Lahat E, Heyman E, Livne A, et al. Iron deficiency in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Isr Med Assoc J. 2011 Sep;13(9):530-3. PMID: 21991711. *Intervention* 

2612. Lahey BB, Hartung CM, Loney J, et al. Are there sex differences in the predictive validity of DSM-IV ADHD among younger children? J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2007 Apr-Jun;36(2):113-26. doi: 10.1080/15374410701274066. PMID: 17484685. *Intervention* 

2613. Lahey BB, Willcutt EG. Predictive validity of a continuous alternative to nominal subtypes of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder for DSM-V. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2010;39(6):761-75. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2010.517173. PMID: 21058124. *Intervention* 

2614. Lai M-C, Chiang M-S, Shih C-T, et al. Applying a vibration reminder to ameliorate the hyperactive behavior of students with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in class. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities. 2018 Dec 2018;30(6):835-44. *Comparator* 

2615. Laizane M, Ennitis M, Bezborodovs N, et al. Childhood risk factors for substance abuse in a clinical sample of adults with attention-deficit / hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms in an addiction outpatient clinic. European Psychiatry. 2021;64:S576. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.1537. *Design* 

2616. Lakes K, Shire, University of California I. The Effects of Vyvanse(TM) on Brain Hemodynamics and Reading. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT00733356; 2008. *Intervention* 

2617. Lally MD, Kral MC, Boan AD. Not All Generic Concerta Is Created Equal: Comparison of OROS Versus Non-OROS for the Treatment of ADHD. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2016 Nov;55(13):1197-201. doi: 10.1177/0009922815611647. PMID: 26467563. *Design* 

2618. Lam AP, Matthies S, Graf E, et al. Long-term Effects of Multimodal Treatment on Adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms: Follow-up Analysis of the COMPAS Trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2019 May 3;2(5):e194980. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.4980. PMID: 31150084. *Population* 

2619. Lam SF, Tsang N, Keung YC, et al. A comprehensive service delivery model for preschoolers with special educational needs: Its characteristics and effectiveness. Res Dev Disabil. 2019 Feb;85:20-30. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2018.10.005. PMID: 30448721. *Population* 

2620. Lambacher G, Pascale E, Pucci M, et al. Search for an epigenetic biomarker in ADHD diagnosis, based on the DAT1 gene 5'-UTR methylation: a new possible approach. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Sep;291:113154. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113154. PMID: 32554184. *Outcome* 

2621. Lambek R, Trillingsgaard A, Kadesjo B, et al. Gender differences on the Five to Fifteen questionnaire in a non-referred sample with inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity and a clinic-referred sample with hyperkinetic disorder. Scand J Psychol. 2010 Dec;51(6):540-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2010.00825.x. PMID: 20602741. *Intervention* 

2622. Lambert NM, Hartsough CS, Sassone D, et al. Persistence of hyperactivity symptoms from childhood to adolescence and associated outcomes. Am J Orthopsychiatry. 1987 Jan;57(1):22-32. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-0025.1987.tb03505.x. PMID: 3826314. *Outcome* 

2623. Lambert W, Bickman L. Child & adolescent psychiatry: the "clock-setting" cure: how children's symptoms might improve after ineffective treatment. Psychiatr Serv. 2004 Apr;55(4):381-2. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.55.4.381. PMID: 15067148. *Design* 

2624. Lamberti M, Siracusano R, Italiano D, et al. Head-to-Head Comparison of Aripiprazole and Risperidone in the Treatment of ADHD Symptoms in Children with Autistic Spectrum Disorder and ADHD: A Pilot, Open-Label, Randomized Controlled Study. Paediatr Drugs. 2016 Aug;18(4):319-29. doi: 10.1007/s40272-016-0183-3. PMID: 27278054. *Power* 

2625. Lampert TL, Polanczyk G, Tramontina S, et al. Diagnostic performance of the CBCL-Attention Problem Scale as a screening measure in a sample of Brazilian children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2004 Oct;8(2):63-71. doi: 10.1177/108705470400800204. PMID: 15801336. Language

2626. Lan YT, Liu XP, Fang HS. Randomized control study of the effects of executive function training on peer difficulties of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder C subtype. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2020 Jan-Mar;9(1):41-55. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2018.1509003. PMID: 30526074. *Power* 

2627. Landgraf JM. Monitoring quality of life in adults with ADHD: reliability and validity of a new measure. J Atten Disord. 2007 Nov;11(3):351-62. doi: 10.1177/1087054707299400. PMID: 17494834. *Population* 

2628. Landgren M, Nasic S, Johnson M, et al. Blood pressure and anthropometry in children treated with stimulants: a longitudinal cohort study with an individual approach. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2017;13:499-506. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S123526. PMID: 28243103. *Comparator* 

2629. Landgren V, Fernell E, Gillberg C, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with developmental coordination disorder: 24-year follow-up of a population-based sample. BMC Psychiatry. 2021 Mar 22;21(1):161. doi: 10.1186/s12888-021-03154-w. PMID: 33752617. *Intervention* 

2630. Landy S MR. An evaluation of a group intervention for parents with aggressive young children: Improvements in child functioning, maternal confidence, parenting knowledge and attitudes. Early Child Dev Care. 2006;176(6):605-20. *Power* 

2631. Langberg JM, Arnold LE, Flowers AM, et al. Parent-Reported Homework Problems in the MTA Study: Evidence for Sustained Improvement with Behavioral Treatment. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2010 01/01/;39(2):220-33. PMID: EJ882421. *Duplicate* 

2632. Langberg JM, Becker SP. Does long-term medication use improve the academic outcomes of youth with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2012 Sep;15(3):215-33. doi: 10.1007/s10567-012-0117-8. PMID: 22678357. *Design* 

2633. Langberg JM, Dvorsky MR, Molitor SJ, et al. Longitudinal evaluation of the importance of homework assignment completion for the academic performance of middle school students with ADHD. J Sch Psychol. 2016 Apr;55:27-38. doi: 10.1016/j.jsp.2015.12.004. PMID: 26931065. *Intervention* 

2634. Langberg JM, Epstein JN. Non-pharmacological approaches for treating children with ADHD inattentive type. F1000 Med Rep. 2009 Feb 24;1. doi: 10.3410/m1-16. PMID: 20948766. *Intervention* 

2635. Langberg JM, Epstein JN, Altaye M, et al. The Transition to Middle School Is Associated with Changes in the Developmental Trajectory of ADHD Symptomatology in Young Adolescents with ADHD. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2008 07/01/;37(3):651-63. PMID: EJ803077. *Intervention* 

2636. Langberg JM, Vaughn AJ, Williamson P, et al. Refinement of an Organizational Skills Intervention for Adolescents with ADHD for Implementation by School Mental Health Providers. School Ment Health. 2011 Sep 1;3(3):143-55. doi: 10.1007/s12310-011-9055-8. PMID: 23599833. *Intervention*  2637. Langer DH, Sweeney KP, Bartenbach DE, et al. Evidence of lack of abuse or dependence following pemoline treatment: results of a retrospective survey. Drug Alcohol Depend. 1986 Jun;17(2-3):213-27. doi: 10.1016/0376-8716(86)90009-8. PMID: 3743405. *Intervention* 

2638. Lanier J, Noyes E, Biederman J. Mind Wandering (Internal Distractibility) in ADHD: A Literature Review. J Atten Disord. 2021 Apr;25(6):885-90. doi: 10.1177/1087054719865781. PMID: 31364436. *Intervention* 

2639. Lannon C, Dolins J, Lazorick S, et al. Partnerships for Quality project: closing the gap in care of children with ADHD. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2007 Dec;33(12 Suppl):66-74. doi: 10.1016/s1553-7250(07)33124-3. PMID: 18277640. *Intervention* 

2640. Lansbergen MM, van Dongen-Boomsma M, Buitelaar JK, et al. ADHD and EEGneurofeedback: a double-blind randomized placebo-controlled feasibility study. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2011 Feb;118(2):275-84. doi: 10.1007/s00702-010-0524-2. PMID: 21165661. *Power* 

2641. Lantieri F, Glessner JT, Hakonarson H, et al. Analysis of GWAS top hits in ADHD suggests association to two polymorphisms located in genes expressed in the cerebellum. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2010 Sep;153b(6):1127-33. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.31110. PMID: 20607790. *Intervention* 

2642. Lantz S, Fornwall C, Lööf M, et al. SKILLS - A psychoeducational group programme for children with ADHD. Scand J Psychol. 2021 Aug;62(4):460-7. doi: 10.1111/sjop.12727. PMID: 33982811. *Comparator* 

2643. Larsen S, Harrington K, Hicks S. The LENS (Low Energy Neurofeedback System): A clinical outcomes study on one hundred patients at Stone Mountain Center, New York. Journal of Neurotherapy. 2006;10(2-3):69-78. doi: 10.1300/J184v10n02\_06. *Population* 

2644. Larson JJ, Yoon Y, Stewart M, et al. Influence of caregivers' experiences on service use among children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatr Serv. 2011 Jul;62(7):734-9. doi: 10.1176/ps.62.7.pss6207\_0734. PMID: 21724785. *Intervention* 

2645. Larson K, Russ SA, Kahn RS, et al. Patterns of comorbidity, functioning, and service use for US children with ADHD, 2007. Pediatrics. 2011 Mar;127(3):462-70. doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-0165. PMID: 21300675. *Population* 

2646. Larson T, Anckarsäter H, Gillberg C, et al. The autism--tics, AD/HD and other comorbidities inventory (A-TAC): further validation of a telephone interview for epidemiological research. BMC Psychiatry. 2010 Jan 7;10:1. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-10-1. PMID: 20055988. *Language* 

2647. Larsson H, Lichtenstein P, Larsson JO. Genetic contributions to the development of ADHD subtypes from childhood to adolescence. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Aug;45(8):973-81. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000222787.57100.d8. PMID: 16865040. *Intervention* 

2648. Larsson I, Aili K, Nygren JM, et al. Parents' experiences of weighted blankets' impact on children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and sleep problems—A qualitative study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021;18(24). doi: 10.3390/ijerph182412959. *Population* 

2649. Larsson JO, Larsson H, Lichtenstein P. Genetic and environmental contributions to stability and change of ADHD symptoms between 8 and 13 years of age: a longitudinal twin

study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Oct;43(10):1267-75. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000135622.05219.bf. PMID: 15381894. *Intervention* 

2650. Lasky-Su J, Anney RJ, Neale BM, et al. Genome-wide association scan of the time to onset of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2008 Dec 5;147b(8):1355-8. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30869. PMID: 18937294. *Outcome* 

2651. Lasmono A, Ismail RI, Kaligis F, et al. Empathy Quotient and Systemizing Quotient in Elementary School Children with and without Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Comparative Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Sep 1;18(17). doi: 10.3390/ijerph18179231. PMID: 34501828. *Intervention* 

2652. Lau P, Hawes DJ, Hunt C, et al. Prevalence of psychopathology in bipolar high-risk offspring and siblings: a meta-analysis. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Jul;27(7):823-37. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-1050-7. PMID: 28936622. *Population* 

2653. Lau-Zhu A, Tye C, Rijsdijk F, et al. No evidence of associations between ADHD and event-related brain potentials from a continuous performance task in a population-based sample of adolescent twins. PLoS One. 2019;14(10):e0223460. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0223460. PMID: 31584981. *Intervention* 

2654. Laucht M, Hohm E, Esser G, et al. Association between ADHD and smoking in adolescence: shared genetic, environmental and psychopathological factors. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2007;114(8):1097-104. doi: 10.1007/s00702-007-0703-y. PMID: 17406960. *Intervention* 

2655. Laugesen B, Mohr-Jensen C, Boldsen SK, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Childhood: Healthcare Use in a Danish Birth Cohort during the First 12 Years of Life. J Pediatr. 2018 Jun;197:233-40. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2018.01.078. PMID: 29580680. *Outcome* 

2656. Laugesen K, Byrjalsen A, Frøslev T, et al. Use of glucocorticoids during pregnancy and risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in offspring: a nationwide Danish cohort study. BMJ Open. 2017 Sep 24;7(9):e016825. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016825. PMID: 28947451. *Intervention* 

2657. Lauren Pawley DOBBHBTBHDMAKJEGOK. Blood-based proteomic and metabolomic biomarkers for Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019115366. 2019. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=115366. *Outcome* 

2658. Lauren Powell JPVH. What is the level of evidence for the use of currently available technologies in facilitating the self-management of difficulties associated with ADHD in children and young people? A systematic review. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017057715. 2017. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=57715. *Design* 

2659. Laurens KR, Tzoumakis S, Dean K, et al. The 2015 Middle Childhood Survey (MCS) of mental health and well-being at age 11 years in an Australian population cohort. BMJ Open. 2017 Jun 23;7(6):e016244. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016244. PMID: 28645979. *Intervention* 

2660. Lauth GW, Grimm K, Otte TA. Behavior training exercises for parents: A study of effectiveness. Zeitschrift fur Klinische Psychologie und Psychotherapie. 2007;36(1):26-35. doi: 10.1026/1616-3443.36.1.26. *Language* 

2661. Lauth-Lebens M, Lauth G. Identifying Symptom Critical and Stressful School Situations of Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Challenging Classroom Behavior and Teacher Strain. Verhaltenstherapie. 2019;29(4):234-43. doi: 10.1159/000498974. *Language* 

2662. Lavigne JV, Dulcan MK, LeBailly SA, et al. Can parent reports serve as a proxy for teacher ratings in medication management of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder? J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2012 May;33(4):336-42. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e31824afea1. PMID: 22371012. *Intervention* 

2663. Lavigne JV, Gouze KR, Hopkins J, et al. Multi-domain Predictors of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in Preschool Children: Cross-informant Differences. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2016 Dec;47(6):841-56. doi: 10.1007/s10578-015-0616-1. PMID: 26669698. *Population* 

2664. Lavigne JV LS, Gouze KR, et al. Treating oppositional defiant disorder in primary care: a comparison of three models. J Pediatr Psychol. 2008;33(5):449-61. *Population* 

2665. Lawrence CA, Barry RJ, Clarke AR, et al. Methylphenidate effects in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: electrodermal and ERP measures during a continuous performance task. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2005 Nov;183(1):81-91. doi: 10.1007/s00213-005-0144-y. PMID: 16160877. *Intervention* 

2666. Lawrence D, Houghton S, Dawson V, et al. Trajectories of academic achievement for students with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. British Journal of Educational Psychology. 2021 Jun 2021;91(2):755-74. *Design* 

2667. Le J, Kasinathan J. ATTENTION-DEFICIT HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER (ADHD) AND YOUNG PEOPLE IN NSW CUSTODY. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. 2022;56(SUPPL 1):100-1. doi: 10.1177/00048674221088686. *Intervention* 

2668. Lea SE, Matt Alderson R, Patros CHG, et al. Working Memory and Motor Activity: A Comparison Across Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, and Healthy Control Groups. Behav Ther. 2018 May;49(3):419-34. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2017.08.009. PMID: 29704970. *Population* 

2669. Lean RE, Lessov-Shlaggar CN, Gerstein ED, et al. Maternal and family factors differentiate profiles of psychiatric impairments in very preterm children at age 5-years. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 Feb;61(2):157-66. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13116. PMID: 31449335. *Intervention* 

2670. LeBlond E, Smith-Paine J, Riemersma JJ, et al. Influence of Methylphenidate on Long-Term Neuropsychological and Everyday Executive Functioning After Traumatic Brain Injury in Children with Secondary Attention Problems. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2019 Aug;25(7):740-9. doi: 10.1017/s1355617719000444. PMID: 31178001. *Power* 

2671. LeBourgeois MK, Avis K, Mixon M, et al. Snoring, sleep quality, and sleepiness across attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder subtypes. Sleep. 2004 May 1;27(3):520-5. PMID: 15164909. *Intervention* 

2672. Lecavalier L, Pan X, Smith T, et al. Parent Stress in a Randomized Clinical Trial of Atomoxetine and Parent Training for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. 2018 Apr;48(4):980-7. doi: 10.1007/s10803-017-3345-4. PMID: 29022125. *Population* 

2673. Lecei A, van Hulst BM, de Zeeuw P, et al. Can we use neuroimaging data to differentiate between subgroups of children with ADHD symptoms: A proof of concept study using latent class analysis of brain activity. Neuroimage Clin. 2019;21:101601. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2018.11.011. PMID: 30497980. *Population* 

2674. Lecendreux M, Konofal E, Faraone SV. Prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and associated features among children in France. J Atten Disord. 2011 Aug;15(6):516-24. doi: 1087054710372491 [pii]

10.1177/1087054710372491. PMID: 20679156. Intervention

2675. Lecendreux M, Silverstein M, Konofal E, et al. A 9-Year Follow-Up of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in a Population Sample. J Clin Psychiatry. 2019 May 7;80(3). doi: 10.4088/JCP.18m12642. PMID: 31087826. *Intervention* 

2676. Leckey Y, McGilloway S, Hickey G, et al. A Randomised Control Trial of Parent and Child Training Programmes (Versus Wait List Control) for Children with ADHD-Type Behaviours: A Pilot Study. Child Care in Practice. 2019 01/01/;25(4):419-38. PMID: EJ1223336. *Population* 

2677. Ledochowski J, Andrade BF, Toplak ME. A novel unstructured performance-based task of executive function in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology. 2019 Jul 2019;41(5):445-59. *Design* 

2678. Lee CSC, Ma MT, Ho HY, et al. The effectiveness of mindfulness-based intervention in attention of individuals with ADHD: A systematic review. Hong Kong Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2017;30:33-41. doi: 10.1016/j.hkjot.2017.05.001. *Duplicate* 

2679. Lee CT, McClernon FJ, Kollins SH, et al. Childhood ADHD Symptoms and Future Illicit Drug Use: The Role of Adolescent Cigarette Use. J Pediatr Psychol. 2018 Mar 1;43(2):162-71. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsx098. PMID: 29049706. *Population* 

2680. Lee D, Knight EQ, Song H, et al. Differential structure-function network coupling in the inattentive and combined types of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(12 December). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0260295. *Intervention* 

2681. Lee EJ, Jung CH. Additive effects of neurofeedback on the treatment of ADHD: A randomized controlled study. Asian J Psychiatr. 2017 Feb;25:16-21. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2016.09.002. PMID: 28262140. *Power* 

2682. Lee H, Chen VC, Yang YH, et al. Decreased Risk of Influenza in Child and Adolescent Patients with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Following Methylphenidate Treatment: A Nationwide Cohort Study in Taiwan. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2020;16:1309-19. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S242519. PMID: 32547034. *Intervention* 

2683. Lee H, Hsu JW, Tsai SJ, et al. Risk of attention deficit hyperactivity and autism spectrum disorders among the children of parents with autoimmune diseases: a nationwide birth cohort study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Aug 13. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01860-0. PMID: 34387733. *Intervention* 

2684. Lee H-Y, Yang E-L. Exploring the effects of working memory on time perception in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychological Reports. 2019 Feb 2019;122(1):23-35. *Intervention* 

2685. Lee HY, Wu TF, Tsai JD, et al. Applicability of the Stop-Signal Task for Preschoolers With ADHD. Percept Mot Skills. 2016 Aug;123(1):162-74. doi: 10.1177/0031512516660715. PMID: 27450865. *Intervention* 

2686. Lee J, Grizenko N, Bhat V, et al. Relation between therapeutic response and side effects induced by methylphenidate as observed by parents and teachers of children with ADHD. BMC Psychiatry. 2011 Apr 21;11:70. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-11-70. PMID: 21510895. *Timing* 

2687. Lee J, Lee SI. Efficacy of Omega-3 and Korean Red Ginseng in Children with Subthreshold ADHD: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. J Atten Disord. 2020 Aug 26:1087054720951868. doi: 10.1177/1087054720951868. PMID: 32847461. *Population* 

2688. A study on the system for treatment of ADHD using virtual reality. 2001 Conference Proceedings of the 23rd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society; 2001 25-28 Oct. 2001. 4. *Outcome* 

2689. Lee JY, Hwang IW, Lim MH, et al. Association of glutathione S-transferases M1, T1 and P1 gene polymorphisms with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in Korean children. Gene. 2016 Jul 25;586(2):228-33. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2016.04.010. PMID: 27060407. *Intervention* 

2690. Lee KS, Choi YJ, Lim YH, et al. Dietary patterns are associated with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms among preschoolers in South Korea: a prospective cohort study. Nutr Neurosci. 2020 Sep 4:1-9. doi: 10.1080/1028415x.2020.1786789. PMID: 32885746. *Intervention* 

2691. Lee MJ, Swann AC, Dafny N. Methylphenidate sensitization is prevented by prefrontal cortex lesion. Brain Res Bull. 2008 May 15;76(1-2):131-40. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2007.12.004. PMID: 18395622. *Population* 

2692. Lee MS, Lee SI, Hong SD, et al. Two different solicitation methods for obtaining information on adverse events associated with methylphenidate in adolescents: a 12-week multicenter, open-label study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2013 Feb;23(1):22-7. doi: 10.1089/cap.2012.0018. PMID: 23347125. *Design* 

2693. Lee N, Park S, Kim J. Effects of hippotherapy on brain function, BDNF level, and physical fitness in children with ADHD. J Exerc Nutrition Biochem. 2015 Jun;19(2):115-21. doi: 10.5717/jenb.2015.15061209. PMID: 26244130. *Power* 

2694. Lee N, Park S, Kim J. Hippotherapy and neurofeedback training effect on the brain function and serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor level changes in children with attention-deficit or/and hyperactivity disorder. J Exerc Nutrition Biochem. 2017 Sep 30;21(3):35-42. doi: 10.20463/jenb.2017.0018. PMID: 29036764. *Power* 

2695. Lee S, Kim B, Yoo HK, et al. Cross Validation of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder-After School Checklist. Soa Chongsonyon Chongsin Uihak. 2018 Jul 1;29(3):129-36. doi: 10.5765/jkacap.170036. PMID: 32595305. *Language* 

2696. Lee SE, Kibby MY, Cohen MJ, et al. Differences in memory functioning between children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and/or focal epilepsy. Child Neuropsychology. 2016 Nov 2016;22(8):979-1000. *Intervention* 

2697. Lee SH, Song DH, Kim BN, et al. Variability of response time as a predictor of methylphenidate treatment response in korean children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Yonsei Med J. 2009 Oct 31;50(5):650-5. doi: 10.3349/ymj.2009.50.5.650. PMID: 19881968. *Intervention* 

2698. Lee SI, Hong SD, Kim SY, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of OROS methylphenidate in Korean children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2007 Jan 30;31(1):210-6. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2006.09.002. PMID: 17046131. *Intervention* 

2699. Lee SK, Lee CM, Park JH. Effects of combined exercise on physical fitness and neurotransmitters in children with ADHD: a pilot randomized controlled study. J Phys Ther Sci. 2015 Sep;27(9):2915-9. doi: 10.1589/jpts.27.2915. PMID: 26504324. *Power* 

2700. Lee SK, Song J, Park JH. Effects of combination exercises on electroencephalography and frontal lobe executive function measures in children with ADHD: A pilot study. Biomedical Research (India). 2017;2017(Special Issue

 $Health Science and Bio Convergence Technology Edition-II): S455-S60.\ Power$ 

2701. Lee SS, Hinshaw SP. Severity of adolescent delinquency among boys with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: predictions from early antisocial behavior and peer status. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2004 Dec;33(4):705-16. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp3304\_6. PMID: 15498738. *Population* 

2702. Lee SS, Hinshaw SP. Predictors of adolescent functioning in girls with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): the role of childhood ADHD, conduct problems, and peer status. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2006 Sep;35(3):356-68. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp3503\_2. PMID: 16836474. *Intervention* 

2703. Lee TL, Yeung MK, Sze SL, et al. Eye-tracking training improves inhibitory control in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Brain Sciences. 2021;11(3):1-12. doi: 10.3390/brainsci11030314. *Intervention* 

2704. Lee V. Balance training for paediatric patients with developmental coordination disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, or a combination of both. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017077786. 2017.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=77786. Design

2705. Lee WH, Kim JI, Kwon AM, et al. Quantified assessment of hyperactivity in ADHD youth using IR-UWB radar. Sci Rep. 2021 May 5;11(1):9604. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-89024-7. PMID: 33953298. *Intervention* 

2706. Lee Y-C, Yang H-J, Lee W-T, et al. Do parents and children agree on rating a child's HRQOL? A systematic review and meta-analysis of comparisons between children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and children with typical development using the PedsQL <sup>TM</sup>. Disability and Rehabilitation: An International, Multidisciplinary Journal. 2019 Feb 2019

2020-05-07;41(3):265-75. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2017.1391338. PMID: 2399386152; 2019-09231-002. *Duplicate* 

2707. Lee YJ, Jeong MY, Kim JH, et al. Associations between the Mismatch-negativity Potential and Symptom Severity in Medication-naïve Children and Adolescents with Symptoms of Attention Deficit/hyperactivity Disorder. Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci. 2020 May 31;18(2):249-60. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2020.18.2.249. PMID: 32329306. *Population* 

2708. Leeman-Markowski BA, Adams J, Martin SP, et al. Methylphenidate for attention problems in epilepsy patients: Safety and efficacy. Epilepsy Behav. 2021 Feb;115:107627. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2020.107627. PMID: 33360744. *Population* 

2709. Leenders AEM, Damatac CG, Soheili-Nezhad S, et al. Associations between attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptom remission and white matter microstructure: A longitudinal analysis. JCPP Adv. 2021 Oct;1(3). doi: 10.1002/jcv2.12040. PMID: 35434717. *Intervention* 

2710. Lees DG, Ronan KR. Engagement and effectiveness of parent management training (incredible years) for solo high-risk mothers: A multiple baseline evaluation. Behaviour Change. 2008;25(2):109-28. doi: 10.1375/bech.25.2.109. *Population* 

2711. Lehrer DL, Ott D. Treatment outcomes for individuals with developmental disabilities and challenging behstr or and psychiatric hospitalizations referred to a interdisciplinary clinic. Mental Health Aspects of Developmental Disabilities. 2009;12(1):23-8. *Population* 

2712. Lehtimaki S, Martic J, Wahl B, et al. Evidence on digital mental health interventions for adolescents and young people: Systematic overview. JMIR Mental Health. 2021;8(4). doi: 10.2196/25847. *Population* 

2713. Lei Xia Y-LZYZH-ZL. Chinese patent medicine for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018094931. 2018.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=94931. Design

2714. Leijten P, Melendez-Torres GJ, Gardner F, et al. Are Relationship Enhancement and Behavior Management "The Golden Couple" for Disruptive Child Behavior? Two Metaanalyses. Child Dev. 2018 Nov;89(6):1970-82. doi: 10.1111/cdev.13051. PMID: 29557553. *Design* 

2715. Leijten P, Raaijmakers M, Wijngaards L, et al. Understanding Who Benefits from Parenting Interventions for Children's Conduct Problems: an Integrative Data Analysis. Prev Sci. 2018 May;19(4):579-88. doi: 10.1007/s11121-018-0864-y. PMID: 29349546. *Intervention* 

2716. Leijten P, Raaijmakers MA, Orobio de Castro B, et al. Effectiveness of the Incredible Years Parenting Program for Families with Socioeconomically Disadvantaged and Ethnic Minority Backgrounds. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2017 Jan-Feb;46(1):59-73. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2015.1038823. PMID: 25985392. *Population* 

2717. Leijten P, Wijngaards-de Meij L, Weeland J, et al. Parenting group composition does not impact program effects on children's conduct problems. J Fam Psychol. 2021 Aug;35(5):709-14. doi: 10.1037/fam0000820. PMID: 33661685. *Intervention* 

2718. Leikauf JE, Griffiths KR, Saggar M, et al. Identification of biotypes in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, a report from a randomized, controlled trial. Personalized Medicine in Psychiatry. 2017;3:8-17. doi: 10.1016/j.pmip.2017.02.001. *Intervention*  2719. Leins U, Goth G, Hinterberger T, et al. Neurofeedback for children with ADHD: a comparison of SCP and Theta/Beta protocols. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2007 Jun;32(2):73-88. doi: 10.1007/s10484-007-9031-0. PMID: 17356905. *Intervention* 

2720. Leitch S, Sciberras E, Rinehart N, et al. Co-designed Mindful Parenting for Parents of Children with ADHD: A Pilot and Feasibility Study. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2023 Apr;54(2):406-20. doi: 10.1007/s10578-021-01260-0. PMID: 34580793. *Design* 

2721. Leitner Y, Doniger GM, Barak R, et al. A novel multidomain computerized cognitive assessment for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: evidence for widespread and circumscribed cognitive deficits. J Child Neurol. 2007 Mar;22(3):264-76. doi: 10.1177/0883073807299859. PMID: 17621495. *Intervention* 

2722. Lelong M, Zysset A, Nievergelt M, et al. How effective is fine motor training in children with ADHD? A scoping review. BMC Pediatr. 2021 Nov 4;21(1):490. doi: 10.1186/s12887-021-02916-5. PMID: 34736439. *Design* 

2723. Lemery-Chalfant K, Schreiber JE, Schmidt NL, et al. Assessing internalizing, externalizing, and attention problems in young children: validation of the MacArthur HBQ. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Oct;46(10):1315-23. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e3180f616c6. PMID: 17885573. *Population* 

2724. Lentferink YE, van de Garde EMW, Knibbe CAJ, et al. Psychostimulants: Influence on Body Mass Index and Height in a Pediatric Population with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder? J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Oct;28(8):530-6. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0163. PMID: 29768018. *Intervention* 

2725. Leonard JB, Howard AK, Hines EQ. Toxicity of acute exploratory amphetamine-salt medication in amphetamine-naïve pediatrics: a retrospective cohort study. Clin Toxicol (Phila). 2020 Sep;58(9):907-12. doi: 10.1080/15563650.2019.1703997. PMID: 31913713. *Intervention* 

2726. Lerner MD, Mikami AY, McLeod BD. The alliance in a friendship coaching intervention for parents of children with ADHD. Behav Ther. 2011 Sep;42(3):449-61. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2010.11.006. PMID: 21658527. *Power* 

2727. Leroy A, Spotorno S, Faure S. Emotional scene processing in children and adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Mar;30(3):331-46. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01480-0. PMID: 32034554. *Intervention* 

2728. Lertxundi N, Molinuevo A, Valvi D, et al. Dietary inflammatory index of mothers during pregnancy and Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder symptoms in the child at preschool age: a prospective investigation in the INMA and RHEA cohorts. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jan 4. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01705-2. PMID: 33398651. *Population* 

2729. Lesch KP. 'Shine bright like a diamond!': is research on high-functioning ADHD at last entering the mainstream? J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Mar;59(3):191-2. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12887. PMID: 29442378. *Outcome* 

2730. Lesch KP, Selch S, Renner TJ, et al. Genome-wide copy number variation analysis in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: association with neuropeptide Y gene dosage in an extended pedigree. Mol Psychiatry. 2011 May;16(5):491-503. doi: 10.1038/mp.2010.29. PMID: 20308990. *Intervention* 

2731. Leslie LK, Aarons GA, Haine RA, et al. Caregiver depression and medication use by youths with ADHD who receive services in the public sector. Psychiatr Serv. 2007 Jan;58(1):131-4. doi: 10.1176/ps.2007.58.1.131. PMID: 17215424. *Intervention* 

2732. Leung B, Srikanth P, Gracious B, et al. Evidence of Safety in a RCT of a Multi-Nutrient Treatment for Children With ADHD and Emotional Dysregulation: The MADDY Study. Global Advances in Health and Medicine. 2022;11:24. doi: 10.1177/2164957X221096590. *Design* 

2733. Leung BMY, Srikanth P, Hatsu IE, et al. 4.1 New Post-RCT Open-Label Outcomes of Broad-Spectrum Multinutrients for Children With ADHD and Emotional Dysregulation: MADDY 16-Week Results. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S282. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.576. *Design* 

2734. Leung C, Tsang S, Ng GSH, et al. Efficacy of Parent-Child Interaction Therapy with Chinese ADHD Children: Randomized Controlled Trial. Research on Social Work Practice. 2017 01/01/;27(1):36-47. PMID: EJ1123699. *Power* 

2735. Leung C, Tsang S, Ng GSH, et al. Efficacy of Parent–Child Interaction Therapy with Chinese ADHD children: Randomized controlled trial. Research on Social Work Practice. 2017 Jan 2017;27(1):36-47. *Duplicate* 

2736. Leung PW, Chan JK, Chen LH, et al. Family-based association study of DRD4 gene in methylphenidate-responded Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. PLoS One. 2017;12(3):e0173748. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173748. PMID: 28282463. *Intervention* 

2737. Levanon-Erez N, Kampf-Sherf O, Maeir A. Occupational therapy metacognitive intervention for adolescents with ADHD: Teen Cognitive-Functional (Cog-Fun) feasibility study. The British Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2019 Oct 2019;82(10):618-29. *Intervention* 

2738. Levelink B, van der Vlegel M, Mommers M, et al. The Longitudinal Relationship Between Screen Time, Sleep and a Diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Childhood. J Atten Disord. 2020 Sep 13:1087054720953897. doi: 10.1177/1087054720953897. PMID: 32924722. *Intervention* 

2739. Levelink B, van der Vlegel M, Mommers M, et al. The longitudinal relationship between screen time, sleep and a diagnosis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in childhood. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2021 Dec 2021;25(14):2003-13. *Duplicate* 

2740. Levi-Shachar O, Gvirts HZ, Goldwin Y, et al. The effect of methylphenidate on social cognition and oxytocin in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2020 Jan;45(2):367-73. doi: 10.1038/s41386-019-0522-5. PMID: 31514201. *Power* 

2741. Levin FR, Choi CJ, Pavlicova M, et al. How treatment improvement in ADHD and cocaine dependence are related to one another: A secondary analysis. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2018 Jul 1;188:135-40. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.03.043. PMID: 29775957. *Population* 

2742. Levin FR, Evans SM, Brooks DJ, et al. Treatment of cocaine dependent treatment seekers with adult ADHD: double-blind comparison of methylphenidate and placebo. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2007 Feb 23;87(1):20-9. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2006.07.004. PMID: 16930863. *Population* 

2743. Levin FR, Kleber HD. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and substance abuse: relationships and implications for treatment. Harv Rev Psychiatry. 1995 Jan-Feb;2(5):246-58. doi: 10.3109/10673229509017144. PMID: 9384909. *Design* 

2744. Levin FR, Mariani JJ, Specker S, et al. Extended-Release Mixed Amphetamine Salts vs Placebo for Comorbid Adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Cocaine Use Disorder: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Psychiatry. 2015 Jun;72(6):593-602. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.41. PMID: 25887096. *Population* 

2745. Leviton A, Hunter SJ, Scott MN, et al. Observer variability identifying attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in 10-year-old children born extremely preterm. Acta Paediatr. 2017 Aug;106(8):1317-22. doi: 10.1111/apa.13869. PMID: 28390106. *Population* 

2746. Levy F, Dumbrell S, Hobbes G, et al. Hyperkinesis and diet: a double-blind crossover trial with a tartrazine challenge. Med J Aust. 1978 Jan 28;1(2):61-4. PMID: 349320. *Power* 

2747. Li D, Luo X, Guo J, et al. Information-based multivariate decoding reveals imprecise neural encoding in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder during visual selective attention. Hum Brain Mapp. 2022 Oct 17. doi: 10.1002/hbm.26115. PMID: 36250701. *Outcome* 

2748. Li DJ, Chen YL, Hsiao RC, et al. Risk of Respiratory Infectious Diseases and the Role of Methylphenidate in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Population-Based Cohort Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 May 28;18(11). doi: 10.3390/ijerph18115824. PMID: 34071586. *Intervention* 

2749. Li HH, Wang TT, Dong HY, et al. Screening of ADHD symptoms in primary school students and investigation of parental awareness of ADHD and its influencing factors: A cross-sectional study. Front Psychol. 2022;13:1070848. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1070848. PMID: 36619017. *Intervention* 

2750. Li J, Kang C, Wang Y, et al. Contribution of 5-HT2A receptor gene -1438A>G polymorphism to outcome of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adolescents. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2006 Jul 5;141b(5):473-6. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30320. PMID: 16741915. *Intervention* 

2751. Li JJ. Assessing phenotypic and polygenic models of ADHD to identify mechanisms of risk for longitudinal trajectories of externalizing behaviors. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019 Nov;60(11):1191-9. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13071. PMID: 31044437. *Intervention* 

2752. Li JJ, Li ZW, Wang SZ, et al. Ningdong granule: a complementary and alternative therapy in the treatment of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2011 Aug;216(4):501-9. doi: 10.1007/s00213-011-2238-z. PMID: 21416235. *Power* 

2753. Li JJ, Reise SP, Chronis-Tuscano A, et al. Item response theory analysis of ADHD symptoms in children with and without ADHD. Assessment. 2016 Dec 2016;23(6):655-71. *Intervention* 

2754. Li L, Li Y, McDonald C, et al. Parent-Reported Mild Head Injury History in Children: Long-Term Effects on Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Glob Pediatr Health. 2018;5:2333794x18756465. doi: 10.1177/2333794x18756465. PMID: 29511708. *Intervention*  2755. Li L, Yang L, Zhuo CJ, et al. A randomised controlled trial of combined EEG feedback and methylphenidate therapy for the treatment of ADHD. Swiss Med Wkly. 2013;143:w13838. doi: 10.4414/smw.2013.13838. PMID: 23986461. *Power* 

2756. Li S, Yu B, Zhou D, et al. Acupuncture for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2009(2). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007839. *Duplicate* 

2757. Li X, Sjöstedt C, Sundquist J, et al. Familial association of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder with autoimmune diseases in the population of Sweden. Psychiatr Genet. 2019 Apr;29(2):37-43. doi: 10.1097/ypg.0000000000212. PMID: 30407269. *Intervention* 

2758. Li Y, Cha C, Lv X, et al. Association between 10 urinary heavy metal exposure and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder for children. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2020 Sep;27(25):31233-42. doi: 10.1007/s11356-020-09421-9. PMID: 32483719. *Intervention* 

2759. Li Y, Liu W, Zhu Y, et al. Determinants of Pharmacological Treatment Initiation and Persistence in Publicly Insured Adults With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2017 Oct;37(5):546-54. doi: 10.1097/jcp.000000000000759. PMID: 28787373. *Population* 

2760. Li Y, Zhou X, Lan S, et al. The Feasibility of Dots and Surfaces Classification Research in Investigating Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorders. Basic and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology. 2020;127(SUPPL 1):79. doi: 10.1111/bcpt.13461. *Design* 

2761. Li-Tsang CWP, Li TMH, Lau MSW, et al. Handwriting assessment to distinguish comorbid learning difficulties from attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Chinese adolescents: A case-control study. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2018 Dec;27(4):e1718. doi: 10.1002/mpr.1718. PMID: 29761583. *Intervention* 

2762. Liachenko S, Chelonis J, Paule MG, et al. The effects of long-term methylphenidate administration and withdrawal on progressive ratio responding and T(2) MRI in the male rhesus monkey. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 2022 Sep-Oct;93:107119. doi: 10.1016/j.ntt.2022.107119. PMID: 35970252. *Population* 

2763. Liang SH, Yang YH, Kuo TY, et al. Suicide risk reduction in youths with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder prescribed methylphenidate: A Taiwan nationwide populationbased cohort study. Res Dev Disabil. 2018 Jan;72:96-105. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2017.10.023. PMID: 29121517. *Intervention* 

2764. Liang ZW, Ong SH, Xie YH, et al. The Effects of a Traditional Chinese Medication on Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Altern Complement Med. 2020 Jun;26(6):473-81. doi: 10.1089/acm.2020.0009. PMID: 32407137. *Comparator* 

2765. Liao YC, Guo NW, Su BY, et al. Effects of Twenty Hours of Neurofeedback-Based Neuropsychotherapy on the Executive Functions and Achievements among ADHD Children. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2022 Sep;53(5):387-98. doi: 10.1177/15500594221101693. PMID: 35611492. *Power* 

2766. Liao YC, Guo NW, Su BY, et al. Frontal Beta Activity in the Meta-Intention of Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2021 Mar;52(2):136-43. doi: 10.1177/1550059420933142. PMID: 32567956. *Intervention* 

2767. Lichtenstein JD, Flaro L, Baldwin FS, et al. Further Evidence for Embedded Performance Validity Tests in Children within the Conners' Continuous Performance Test - Second Edition. Dev Neuropsychol. 2019 Mar-Apr;44(2):159-71. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2019.1565535. PMID: 30646768. *Intervention* 

2768. Lichtenstein JD, Flaro L, Baldwin FS, et al. Further evidence for embedded performance validity tests in children within the Conners' Continuous Performance Test – Second Edition. Developmental Neuropsychology. 2019 Mar 2019 - Apr 2019;44(2):159-71. *Duplicate* 

2769. Lichtenstein P, Halldner L, Zetterqvist J, et al. Medication for attention deficithyperactivity disorder and criminality. N Engl J Med. 2012 Nov 22;367(21):2006-14. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1203241. PMID: 23171097. *Design* 

2770. Liddle EB, Hollis C, Batty MJ, et al. Task-related default mode network modulation and inhibitory control in ADHD: effects of motivation and methylphenidate. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2011 Jul;52(7):761-71. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02333.x. PMID: 21073458. *Intervention* 

2771. Liebel SW, Nelson JM. Auditory and Visual Working Memory Functioning in College Students with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and/or Learning Disabilities. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2017 Dec 1;32(8):980-91. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acx014. PMID: 28168268. *Population* 

2772. Lifford KJ, Harold GT, Thapar A. Parent-child relationships and ADHD symptoms: a longitudinal analysis. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2008 Feb;36(2):285-96. doi: 10.1007/s10802-007-9177-5. PMID: 17851751. *Intervention* 

2773. Ligezka AN, Sonmez AI, Corral-Frias MP, et al. A systematic review of microbiome changes and impact of probiotic supplementation in children and adolescents with neuropsychiatric disorders. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2021 Jun 8;108:110187. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110187. PMID: 33271210. *Population* 

2774. Lijffijt M, Kenemans JL, ter Wal A, et al. Dose-related effect of methylphenidate on stopping and changing in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Psychiatry. 2006 Dec;21(8):544-7. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2005.04.003. PMID: 15994064. *Intervention* 

2775. Lijffijt M, Kenemans JL, Verbaten MN, et al. A meta-analytic review of stopping performance in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: deficient inhibitory motor control? J Abnorm Psychol. 2005 May;114(2):216-22. doi: 10.1037/0021-843x.114.2.216. PMID: 15869352. *Design* 

2776. Lilja MM, Sandblom E, Lichtenstein P, et al. The effect of autistic traits on response to and side-effects of pharmacological ADHD treatment in children with ADHD: results from a prospective clinical cohort. J Neurodev Disord. 2022 Mar 6;14(1):17. doi: 10.1186/s11689-022-09424-2. PMID: 35249540. *Design* 

2777. Lilly E, Company. Long-Term, Open Label Atomoxetine Study. 2000. Intervention

2778. Lilly E, Company. Safety Study in Outpatient Japanese Children With ADHD. 2003. *Intervention* 

2779. Lilly E, Company. Comparison Atomoxetine Hydrochloride and Comparator in Pediatric Outpatients With ADHD. 2003. *Outcome* 

2780. Lilly E, Company. Comparison of Atomoxetine and Placebo in Children and Adolescents With ADHD and ODD. 2003. *Outcome* 

2781. Lilly E, Company. Guiding Dose Increases in Patients Incompletely Responsive to Usual Doses of Atomoxetine. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT00485407; 2003. *Outcome* 

2782. Lilly E, Company. Safety and Efficacy of Switching From a Stimulant Medication to Atomoxetine in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2004. *Intervention* 

2783. Lilly E, Company. Study of Broader Efficacy of Atomoxetine in the Treatment of ADHD in Children/Adolescents. 2004. *Outcome* 

2784. Lilly E, Company. Comparison of Atomoxetine Plus Either Comparator or Placebo in Children With ADHD Who Haven't Responded to Stimulant Therapy. 2004. *Intervention* 

2785. Lilly E, Company. An Open-Label Study of Atomoxetine in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2004. *Intervention* 

2786. Lilly E, Company. An Open-Label Study of Atomoxetine in Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2004. *Intervention* 

2787. Lilly E, Company. Open-Label Trial of Atomoxetine to Evaluate Academic Outcome in Children Ages 8-11 Years With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2004. *Intervention* 

2788. Lilly E, Company. A Study of Atomoxetine for Attention Deficit and Hyperactive/Impulsive Behaviour Problems in Children With ASD. 2004. *Intervention* 

2789. Lilly E, Company. Atomoxetine Hydrochloride Versus Placebo in Taiwanese Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2004. *Outcome* 

2790. Lilly E, Company. Atomoxetine vs Placebo in the Treatment of ADHD in Swedish Children and Adolescents. 2005. *Outcome* 

2791. Lilly E, Company. Long-Term Study of Atomoxetine in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (AD/HD). 2005. *Intervention* 

2792. Lilly E, Company. Neuropsychological Functioning in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2005. *Intervention* 

2793. Lilly E, Company. Study of Atomoxetine in Children With ADHD to Assess Symptomatic and Functional Outcomes. 2005. *Intervention* 

2794. Lilly E, Company. Atomoxetine Versus Placebo in Children With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2005. *Outcome* 

2795. Lilly E, Company. Comparison of Atomoxetine and Placebo in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and/or Reading Disorder (RD). 2005. *Power* 

2796. Lilly E, Company. Efficacy of Atomoxetine on Psychosocial Function of Children and Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2006. *Intervention* 

2797. Lilly E, Company. Atomoxetine Versus Placebo for Symptoms of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Children and Adolescents With Autism Spectrum Disorder. 2006. *Population* 

2798. Lilly E, Company. A Study for Patients With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treated With Atomoxetine. 2007. *Comparator* 

2799. Lilly E, Company. Effects of Atomoxetine on Brain Activation During Attention & Reading Tasks in Participants With ADHD & Comorbid Dyslexia. 2008. *Power* 

2800. Lim CG, Soh CP, Lim SSY, et al. Home-based brain-computer interface attention training program for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a feasibility trial. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2023 Jan 25;17(1):15. doi: 10.1186/s13034-022-00539-x. PMID: 36698168. *Power* 

2801. Lim YB, Kweon K, Kim BN. Effects of Adversities during Childhood on Anxiety Symptoms in Children and Adolescents: Comparison of Typically Developing Children and Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder Group. Soa Chongsonyon Chongsin Uihak. 2021 Jul 1;32(3):118-25. doi: 10.5765/jkacap.210003. PMID: 34285637. *Intervention* 

2802. Lima EM, Rzezak P, Dos Santos B, et al. The relevance of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in self-limited childhood epilepsy with centrotemporal spikes. Epilepsy Behav. 2018 May;82:164-9. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2018.03.017. PMID: 29649723. *Intervention* 

2803. Lin PY, Wang J, Chiang YC, et al. Risk of subsequent attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder among children and adolescents with amalgam restorations: A nationwide longitudinal study. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2018 Feb;46(1):47-53. doi: 10.1111/cdoe.12327. PMID: 28782290. *Intervention* 

2804. Lin Y, Huang L, Xu J, et al. Blood lead, bone lead and child attention-deficithyperactivity-disorder-like behavior. Sci Total Environ. 2019 Apr 1;659:161-7. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.219. PMID: 30597466. *Intervention* 

2805. Lin YJ, Gau SS. Developmental changes of neuropsychological functioning in individuals with and without childhood ADHD from early adolescence to young adulthood: a 7-year follow-up study. Psychol Med. 2019 Apr;49(6):940-51. doi: 10.1017/s0033291718001599. PMID: 29941053. *Intervention* 

2806. Lin ZL, Lin DR, Chen JJ, et al. Increased prevalence of parent ratings of ADHD symptoms among children with bilateral congenital cataracts. Int J Ophthalmol. 2019;12(8):1323-9. doi: 10.18240/ijo.2019.08.14. PMID: 31456924. *Intervention* 

2807. Lindblad F, Weitoft GR, Hjern A. ADHD in international adoptees: a national cohort study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Jan;19(1):37-44. doi: 10.1007/s00787-009-0038-3. PMID: 19543791. *Population* 

2808. Lindblad I, Engström AC, Nylander C, et al. Adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder require specific support from healthcare professionals. Acta Paediatrica. 2017 Dec 2017;106(12):1994-7. *Intervention* 

2809. Lindblad I, Nasic S, Landgren M, et al. Adaptive skills are useful for evaluating the effect of pharmacological treatment in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Acta Paediatr. 2017 Jan;106(1):96-100. doi: 10.1111/apa.13631. PMID: 27743498. *Comparator* 

2810. Lindemann C, Langner I, Banaschewski T, et al. The Risk of Hospitalizations with Injury Diagnoses in a Matched Cohort of Children and Adolescents with and without Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Germany: A Database Study. Front Pediatr. 2017;5:220. doi: 10.3389/fped.2017.00220. PMID: 29114538. *Intervention* 

2811. Linden M, Habib T, Radojevic V. A controlled study of the effects of EEG biofeedback on cognition and behavior of children with attention deficit disorder and learning disabilities.

Biofeedback Self Regul. 1996 Mar;21(1):35-49. doi: 10.1007/bf02214148. PMID: 8833315. *Population* 

2812. Linden S, Bussing R, Kubilis P, et al. Risk of Suicidal Events With Atomoxetine Compared to Stimulant Treatment: A Cohort Study. Pediatrics. 2016 May;137(5). doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-3199. PMID: 27244795. *Intervention* 

2813. Linder M. Depression in adolescents in inpatient care. Monatsschrift fur Kinderheilkunde. 2010;158(9):849-57. doi: 10.1007/s00112-010-2191-7. *Intervention* 

2814. Lindström T, Kierkegaard Suttner A, Forster M, et al. Is Parents' ADHD Symptomatology Associated With the Clinical Feasibility or Effectiveness of a Psychoeducational Program Targeting Their Children's ADHD? J Atten Disord. 2022 Oct;26(12):1653-67. doi: 10.1177/10870547221092120. PMID: 35491992. *Design* 

2815. Lineweaver TT, Kercood S, Gabor AJ, et al. The effect of medication and question wording on self-reported symptoms and their accuracy in young adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Br J Clin Psychol. 2021 Jun;60(2):252-69. doi: 10.1111/bjc.12276. PMID: 33393098. *Population* 

2816. Ling C, Murray J, McNicholas F. QTC PROLONGATION AND ELECTROCARDIOGRAM ABNORMALITIES CAUSED BY ADHD MEDICATIONS AMONGST PAEDIATRIC POPULATION. A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS. Irish Journal of Medical Science. 2022;191(SUPPL 1):S9-S10. doi: 10.1007/s11845-022-02939-6. *Design* 

2817. Lingley-Pottie P JT, McGrath PJ, Cunningham C, MacLean C. Outcome progress letter types: parent and physician preferences for letters from pediatric mental health services. Can Fam Physician. 2011 Dec;57(12):e473-81. *Population* 

2818. Lingley-Pottie P MP. A paediatric therapeutic alliance occurs with distance intervention. J Telemed Telecare. 2008;14(5):236-40. doi: 10.1258/jtt.2008.080101. *Population* 

2819. Lionarons JM, Hellebrekers DMJ, Klinkenberg S, et al. Methylphenidate use in males with Duchenne muscular dystrophy and a comorbid attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2019 Jan;23(1):152-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2018.09.005. PMID: 30287260. *Intervention* 

2820. Lionel AC, Crosbie J, Barbosa N, et al. Rare copy number variation discovery and crossdisorder comparisons identify risk genes for ADHD. Sci Transl Med. 2011 Aug 10;3(95):95ra75. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3002464. PMID: 21832240. *Outcome* 

2821. Liontou T. Foreign language learning for children with ADHD: evidence from a technology-enhanced learning environment. European Journal of Special Needs Education. 2019;34(2):220-35. doi: 10.1080/08856257.2019.1581403. *Population* 

2822. Lipka R, Ahlers E, Reed TL, et al. Resolving heterogeneity in transcranial electrical stimulation efficacy for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Exp Neurol. 2021 Mar;337:113586. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2020.113586. PMID: 33382986. *Design* 

2823. Lipkin PH, Goldstein IJ, Adesman AR. Tics and dyskinesias associated with stimulant treatment in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 1994 Aug;148(8):859-61. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.1994.02170080089017. PMID: 8044265. *Intervention*
2824. Lipsker CW, Bölte S, Hirvikoski T, et al. Prevalence of autism traits and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms in a clinical sample of children and adolescents with chronic pain. J Pain Res. 2018;11:2827-36. doi: 10.2147/jpr.S177534. PMID: 30519085. *Intervention* 

2825. Lisa M. Wessels APGRJAdKMCPJHBJvdH. A systematic review of economic evaluations of treatments for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017060074. 2017.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=60074. Intervention

2826. Litson K, Geiser C, Burns GL, et al. Trait and State Variance in Multi-Informant Assessments of ADHD and Academic Impairment in Spanish First-Grade Children. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018 Sep-Oct;47(5):699-712. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2015.1118693. PMID: 26890535. *Intervention* 

2827. Liu A, Xu Y, Yan Q, et al. The Prevalence of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder among Chinese Children and Adolescents. Sci Rep. 2018 Aug 16;8(1):11169. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-29488-2. PMID: 30115972. *Intervention* 

2828. Liu B, Fang X, Strodl E, et al. Fetal Exposure to Air Pollution in Late Pregnancy Significantly Increases ADHD-Risk Behavior in Early Childhood. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Aug 23;19(17). doi: 10.3390/ijerph191710482. PMID: 36078201. *Design* 

2829. Liu D, Ren Y, Wu T, et al. Parental smoking exposure before and during pregnancy and offspring attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder risk: A Chinese child and adolescent cohort study. Front Public Health. 2022;10:1017046. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1017046. PMID: 36299741. *Design* 

2830. Liu L, Zhou LA, Sun YL. Bayesian network Meta-analysis of Chinese patent medicines in treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children. Chinese Traditional and Herbal Drugs. 2022;53(14):4447-64. doi: 10.7501/j.issn.0253-2670.2022.14.024. *Language* 

2831. Liu N, Jia G, Li H, et al. The potential shared brain functional alterations between adults with ADHD and children with ADHD co-occurred with disruptive behaviors. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2022 Jun 27;16(1):54. doi: 10.1186/s13034-022-00486-7. PMID: 35761295. *Intervention* 

2832. Liu T, Chen Y, Li C, et al. Altered brain structural networks in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder children revealed by cortical thickness. Oncotarget. 2017 Jul 4;8(27):44785-99. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.14734. PMID: 28108742. *Intervention* 

2833. Liu X, Carney PR, Bussing R, et al. Stimulants Do Not Increase the Risk of Seizure-Related Hospitalizations in Children with Epilepsy. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Mar;28(2):111-6. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0110. PMID: 29028437. *Population* 

2834. Liu Y, Chang X, Qu HQ, et al. Rare Recurrent Variants in Noncoding Regions Impact Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Gene Networks in Children of both African American and European American Ancestry. Genes (Basel). 2021 Feb 22;12(2). doi: 10.3390/genes12020310. PMID: 33671795. *Intervention* 

2835. Liu Y, Hanna GL, Hanna BS, et al. Behavioral and Electrophysiological Correlates of Performance Monitoring and Development in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Brain Sci. 2020 Feb 2;10(2). doi: 10.3390/brainsci10020079. PMID: 32024242. *Outcome* 

2836. Livia Welsch OASFAN. The effect of long-term physical activity intervention on executive functions in children with ADHD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018099617. 2018.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=99617. Design

2837. Livingston RB, Mears G, Marshall R, et al. Psychostimulant effects on neuropsychological, intellectual, and achievement measures for children and adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Appl Neuropsychol. 1996 Aug-Nov;3(3-4):174-7. doi: 10.1080/09084282.1996.9645382. PMID: 16318509. *Intervention* 

2838. Livingston RL, Dykman RA, Ackerman PT. Psychiatric comorbidity and response to two doses of methylphenidate in children with attention deficit disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 1992 Summer;2(2):115-22. doi: 10.1089/cap.1992.2.115. PMID: 19630649. *Intervention* 

2839. Livingstone LT, Coventry WL, Corley RP, et al. Does the environment have an enduring effect on ADHD? A longitudinal study of monozygotic twin differences in children. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2016 Nov 2016;44(8):1487-501. *Intervention* 

2840. Lloyd A, Brett D, Wesnes K. Coherence training in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: cognitive functions and behavioral changes. Altern Ther Health Med. 2010 Jul-Aug;16(4):34-42. PMID: 20653294. *Power* 

2841. Lo HHM, Wong SWL, Wong JYH, et al. The Effects of Family-Based Mindfulness Intervention on ADHD Symptomology in Young Children and Their Parents: A Randomized Control Trial. J Atten Disord. 2020 Mar;24(5):667-80. doi: 10.1177/1087054717743330. PMID: 29185375. *Power* 

2842. Locke J, Kang-Yi CD, Pellecchia M, et al. Ethnic Disparities in School-Based Behavioral Health Service Use for Children With Psychiatric Disorders. J Sch Health. 2017 Jan;87(1):47-54. doi: 10.1111/josh.12469. PMID: 27917490. *Intervention* 

2843. Loebel A, Brams M, Goldman RS, et al. Lurasidone for the Treatment of Irritability Associated with Autistic Disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. 2016 Apr;46(4):1153-63. doi: 10.1007/s10803-015-2628-x. PMID: 26659550. *Population* 

2844. Loewen OK, Maximova K, Ekwaru JP, et al. Adherence to Life-Style Recommendations and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Population-Based Study of Children Aged 10 to 11 Years. Psychosom Med. 2020 Apr;82(3):305-15. doi: 10.1097/psy.000000000000787. PMID: 32251098. *Intervention* 

2845. Löfkvist U, Anmyr L, Henricson C, et al. Executive Functions, Pragmatic Skills, and Mental Health in Children With Congenital Cytomegalovirus (CMV) Infection With Cochlear Implants: A Pilot Study. Front Psychol. 2019;10:2808. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02808. PMID: 31998167. *Intervention* 

2846. Lofthouse N, Arnold LE, Arns M, et al. Planning for a collaborative multisite, doubleblind, sham-controlled randomized clinical trial of neurofeedback for ADHD. Journal of Neurotherapy. 2011;15(4):416-7. doi: 10.1080/10874208.2011.623098. *Design* 

2847. Lofthouse N, Hurt E, Arnold LE. Results of a survey of practices by U.S. neurofeedback practitioners. Journal of Neurotherapy. 2011;15(4):439-41. doi: 10.1080/10874208.2011.623098. *Design* 

2848. Loge DV, Staton RD, Beatty WW. Performance of children with ADHD on tests sensitive to frontal lobe dysfunction. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1990 Jul;29(4):540-5. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199007000-00006. PMID: 2387788. *Outcome* 

2849. Loney J, Ledolter J, Kramer JR, et al. Retrospective ratings of ADHD symptoms made at young adulthood by clinic-referred boys with ADHD-related problems, their brothers without ADHD, and control participants. Psychol Assess. 2007 Sep;19(3):269-80. doi: 10.1037/1040-3590.19.3.269. PMID: 17845119. *Outcome* 

2850. Loo SK, Hopfer C, Teale PD, et al. EEG correlates of methylphenidate response in ADHD: association with cognitive and behavioral measures. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2004 Nov-Dec;21(6):457-64. doi: 10.1097/01.wnp.0000150890.14421.9a. PMID: 15622134. *Intervention* 

2851. Loo SK, Humphrey LA, Tapio T, et al. Executive functioning among Finnish adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Dec;46(12):1594-604. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e3181575014. PMID: 18030081. *Outcome* 

2852. Loo SK, Jurgiel J, McGough JJ. 30.2 Network Connectivity Changes Underlying Responses to Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation in ADHD: RCT Results. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S322-S3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.722. *Design* 

2853. Loo SK, Salgari GC, Ellis A, et al. Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Cognitive and Electroencephalographic Predictors of Treatment Response. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jul;60(7):856-64.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.09.021. PMID: 33068751. *Power* 

2854. Lopez B, Schwartz SJ, Prado G, et al. Correlates of early alcohol and drug use in Hispanic adolescents: examining the role of ADHD with comorbid conduct disorder, family, school, and peers. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2008 Oct;37(4):820-32. doi: 10.1080/15374410802359676. PMID: 18991132. *Intervention* 

2855. López FA, Faraone SV, Newcorn JH, et al. Effect of Delayed-Release and Extended-Release Methylphenidate on Caregiver Strain and Validation of Psychometric Properties of the Caregiver Strain Questionnaire: Results from a Phase 3 Trial in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Apr;31(3):179-86. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0159. PMID: 33797983. *Timing* 

2856. Lopez MA, Toprac MG, Crismon ML, et al. A psychoeducational program for children with ADHD or depression and their families: results from the CMAP feasibility study. Community Ment Health J. 2005 Feb;41(1):51-66. doi: 10.1007/s10597-005-2599-z. PMID: 15932052. *Intervention* 

2857. Lopez Marcano JL, Bell MA, Beex AA. Classification of ADHD and non-ADHD using AR models. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2016 Aug;2016:363-6. doi: 10.1109/embc.2016.7590715. PMID: 28268350. *Intervention* 

2858. López-Romero L, Romero E, Colins OF, et al. Proposed Specifiers for Conduct Disorder (PSCD): Preliminary validation of the parent version in a Spanish sample of preschoolers. Psychol Assess. 2019 Nov;31(11):1357-67. doi: 10.1037/pas0000759. PMID: 31368737. *Intervention* 

2859. López-Vicente M, Sunyer J, Forns J, et al. Continuous Performance Test II outcomes in 11-year-old children with early ADHD symptoms: a longitudinal study. Neuropsychology. 2014 Mar;28(2):202-11. doi: 10.1037/neu0000048. PMID: 24364393. *Population* 

2860. López-Villalobos JA, Andrés-De Llano J, López-Sánchez MV, et al. Criterion validity and clinical usefulness of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale IV in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) as a function of method and age. Psicothema. 2017 Feb;29(1):103-10. doi: 10.7334/psicothema2016.93. PMID: 28126067. *Language* 

2861. López-Villalobos JA, Garrido-Redondo M, Sacristán-Martín AM, et al. Children's and adolescents' perception of their quality of life in cases of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with and without pharmacological treatment and in controls. Revista de Neurologia. 2018;67(6):195-202. doi: 10.33588/rn.6706.2017517. *Design* 

2862. Lori A. Schweickert M, Shire, Schweickert LA, M.D. Inuniv and Working Memory. 2010. *Comparator* 

2863. Loskutova NY, Waterman J, Callen E, et al. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practice Patterns of Health Professionals Toward Medical and Non-medical Stimulant Use by Young Adults. J Am Board Fam Med. 2020 Jan-Feb;33(1):59-70. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2020.01.190071. PMID: 31907247. *Intervention* 

2864. Lotfi Y, Rezazadeh N, Moossavi A, et al. Preliminary evidence of improved cognitive performance following vestibular rehabilitation in children with combined ADHD (cADHD) and concurrent vestibular impairment. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2017 Dec;44(6):700-7. doi: 10.1016/j.anl.2017.01.011. PMID: 28238393. *Power* 

2865. Lou HC, Rosa P, Pryds O, et al. ADHD: increased dopamine receptor availability linked to attention deficit and low neonatal cerebral blood flow. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2004 Mar;46(3):179-83. doi: 10.1017/s0012162204000313. PMID: 14995087. *Population* 

2866. Loy JH, Merry SN, Hetrick SE, et al. Atypical antipsychotics for disruptive behaviour disorders in children and youths. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017 Aug 9;8(8):Cd008559. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008559.pub3. PMID: 28791693. *Intervention* 

2867. Ltd. A. Safety and Tolerability Study of Metadoxine Extended Release (MDX) (Previously Known as MG01CI) in PI-ADHD Adolescent Subjects. 2014. *Intervention* 

2868. Ltd. X-JP. A Long Term Post-Marketing Study on the EffIcacy and Safety of Osmotic Release Oral System (OROS) Methylphenidate on the Cognitive Functions of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Participants. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT01933880; 2009. *Comparator* 

2869. Lu L, Zhang L, Tang S, et al. Characterization of cortical and subcortical abnormalities in drug-naive boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Affect Disord. 2019 May 1;250:397-403. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2019.03.048. PMID: 30877863. *Intervention* 

2870. Lu WH, Chou WJ, Hsiao RC, et al. Correlations of Internet Addiction Severity With Reinforcement Sensitivity and Frustration Intolerance in Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: The Moderating Effect of Medications. Front Psychiatry. 2019;10:268. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00268. PMID: 31105605. *Intervention*  2871. Lu X, Chen Y, Cai S, et al. Analysis of serum vitamin B levels and its correlation with social function in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Chinese Journal of Applied Clinical Pediatrics. 2021;36(4):283-6. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn101070-20191206-01212. *Design* 

2872. Lubar JF, Swartwood MO, Swartwood JN, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness of EEG neurofeedback training for ADHD in a clinical setting as measured by changes in T.O.V.A. scores, behavioral ratings, and WISC-R performance. Biofeedback Self Regul. 1995 Mar;20(1):83-99. doi: 10.1007/bf01712768. PMID: 7786929. *Intervention* 

2873. Lucas AR, Weiss M. Methylphenidate hallucinosis. JAMA. 1971 Aug 23;217(8):1079-81. PMID: 5109429. *Design* 

2874. Lucas CP. Attention deficit disorders and hyperactivity. Current Opinion in Psychiatry. 1992;5(4):518-22. doi: 10.1097/00001504-199208000-00010. *Design* 

2875. Lúcio PS, Eid M, Cogo-Moreira H, et al. Investigating the Measurement Invariance and Method-Trait Effects of Parent and Teacher SNAP-IV Ratings of Preschool Children. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2021 Feb 27. doi: 10.1007/s10578-021-01145-2. PMID: 33638743. *Population* 

2876. Lúcio PS, Salum G, Swardfager W, et al. Testing Measurement Invariance across Groups of Children with and without Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder: Applications for Word Recognition and Spelling Tasks. Front Psychol. 2017;8:1891. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01891. PMID: 29118733. *Outcome* 

2877. Ludlow AK, Chadwick E, Morey A, et al. An exploration of sarcasm detection in children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Commun Disord. 2017 Nov;70:25-34. doi: 10.1016/j.jcomdis.2017.10.003. PMID: 29096086. *Intervention* 

2878. Ludolph A, Mellina L. Motor deficits in children and adolescents with Tourette Syndrome. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S37. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Population* 

2879. Ludwig HT, Matte B, Katz B, et al. Do sluggish cognitive tempo symptoms predict response to methylphenidate in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder-inattentive type? J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Aug;19(4):461-5. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0115. PMID: 19702499. *Outcome* 

2880. Ludyga S, Ishihara T. Brain structural changes and the development of interference control in children with ADHD: The predictive value of physical activity and body mass index. Neuroimage Clin. 2022;35:103141. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2022.103141. PMID: 36002962. *Design* 

2881. Ludyga S, Mücke M, Leuenberger R, et al. Martial Arts and Cognitive Control in Children with ADHD and Children Born Very Preterm: A Combined Analysis of two RCTs. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2022 Dec 28. doi: 10.1249/mss.000000000003110. PMID: 36728805. *Population* 

2882. Lufi D, Gai E. The effect of methylphenidate and placebo on eye-hand coordination functioning and handwriting of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neurocase. 2007 Oct;13(5):334-41. doi: 10.1080/13554790701851486. PMID: 18781432. *Intervention* 

2883. Lufi D, Parish-Plass J. Sport-based group therapy program for boys with ADHD or with other behavioral disorders. Child & Family Behavior Therapy. 2011;33(3):217-30. *Power* 

2884. Lufi D, Parish-Plass J, Gai E. The effect of methylphenidate on the cognitive and personality functioning of ADHD children. Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci. 1997;34(3):200-9. PMID: 9334525. *Timing* 

2885. Lugo-Candelas C, Corbeil T, Wall M, et al. ADHD and risk for subsequent adverse childhood experiences: understanding the cycle of adversity. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 Aug;62(8):971-8. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13352. PMID: 33289088. *Intervention* 

2886. Lugo-Candelas C, Flegenheimer C, McDermott JM, et al. Emotional Understanding, Reactivity, and Regulation in Young Children with ADHD Symptoms. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2017 Oct;45(7):1297-310. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0244-7. PMID: 27957717. *Intervention* 

2887. Lui JHL. 9.4 CHALLENGES AND CONSIDERATIONS FOR SCREENING FOR PARENT ADHD IN PEDIATRIC PRIMARY CARE. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2021;60(10):S273. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.07.605. *Design* 

2888. Lukito S, Jones CRG, Pickles A, et al. Specificity of executive function and theory of mind performance in relation to attention-deficit/hyperactivity symptoms in autism spectrum disorders. Mol Autism. 2017;8:60. doi: 10.1186/s13229-017-0177-1. PMID: 29152165. *Population* 

2889. Lukito S, Norman L, Carlisi C, et al. Comparative meta-analyses of brain structural and functional abnormalities during cognitive control in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder. Psychol Med. 2020 Apr;50(6):894-919. doi: 10.1017/s0033291720000574. PMID: 32216846. *Intervention* 

2890. Luman M, Janssen TWP, Bink M, et al. Probabilistic Learning in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Atten Disord. 2021 Aug;25(10):1407-16. doi: 10.1177/1087054720905094. PMID: 32064998. *Intervention* 

2891. Lunsford-Avery J, Kollins S, Jackson L, et al. SLEEP AND NEUROCOGNITION IN ADOLESCENTS WITH ADHD: A POLYSOMNOGRAPHIC STUDY. Sleep. 2022;45(SUPPL 1):A221. doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsac079.496. *Design* 

2892. Luo J, Mo Y, Liu M. Blood and hair zinc levels in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A meta-analysis. Asian J Psychiatr. 2020 Jan;47:101805. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2019.09.023. PMID: 31704595. *Intervention* 

2893. Luo KL, Meng HQ, Fu YX. Interventional efficacy of fluoxertine hydrochloride combined with psychobehavioral corrective therapy in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation. 2005;9(12):112-3. *Language* 

2894. Luo Y, Alvarez TL, Halperin JM, et al. Multimodal neuroimaging-based prediction of adult outcomes in childhood-onset ADHD using ensemble learning techniques. Neuroimage Clin. 2020;26:102238. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102238. PMID: 32182578. *Design* 

2895. Lupas KK, Mavrakis A, Altszuler A, et al. The short-term impact of remote instruction on achievement in children with ADHD during the COVID-19 pandemic. School Psychology. 2021 Sep 2021;36(5):313-24. *Intervention* 

2896. Lussier-Desrochers D, Massé L, Simonato I, et al. Evaluation of the Effect of a Serious Game on the Performance of Daily Routines by Autistic and ADHD Children. Adv Neurodev Disord. 2023 Feb 3:1-13. doi: 10.1007/s41252-023-00319-4. PMID: 36777795. *Outcome* 

2897. Luteijn E, Luteijn F, Jackson S, et al. The children's Social Behavior Questionnaire for milder variants of PDD problems: evaluation of the psychometric characteristics. J Autism Dev Disord. 2000 Aug;30(4):317-30. doi: 10.1023/a:1005527300247. PMID: 11039858. *Language* 

2898. Luwei Li YQ. Psychotherapy for the treatment of children and adolescents with ADHD in school settings: a systematic Review and Meta-Analyses. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021277785. 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=277785. *Design* 

2899. Lycett K, Sciberras E, Hiscock H, et al. Sleep problem trajectories and well-being in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A prospective cohort study. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. 2016 Jun 2016;37(5):405-14. *Design* 

2900. Lyon GJ, Samar SM, Conelea C, et al. Testing tic suppression: comparing the effects of dexmethylphenidate to no medication in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and Tourette's disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Aug;20(4):283-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0032. PMID: 20807066. *Intervention* 

2901. Lyon HCd. Efficacy of Phosphatidylserine Enriched With n-3 PUFA Supplementation on ADHD in Children With Epilepsy. 2015. *Outcome* 

2902. Lyon MR, Cline JC, Totosy de Zepetnek J, et al. Effect of the herbal extract combination Panax quinquefolium and Ginkgo biloba on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a pilot study. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2001 May;26(3):221-8. PMID: 11394191. *Comparator* 

2903. Lyon MR, Kapoor MP, Juneja LR. The effects of L-theanine (Suntheanine®) on objective sleep quality in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Altern Med Rev. 2011 Dec;16(4):348-54. PMID: 22214254. *Power* 

2904. Lytle MN, Hammer R, Booth JR. A neuroimaging dataset on working memory and reward processing in children with and without ADHD. Data Brief. 2020 Aug;31:105801. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2020.105801. PMID: 32566704. *Intervention* 

2905. Ma I, Lambregts-Rommelse NN, Buitelaar JK, et al. Decision-making in social contexts in youth with ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Mar;26(3):335-44. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0895-5. PMID: 27553218. *Intervention* 

2906. Ma JLC, Lai KYC, Wan ESF, et al. Multiple family therapy for Chinese families of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): Treatment efficacy from the children's perspective and their subjective experiences. Journal of Family Therapy. 2019 Nov 2019;41(4):599-619. *Power* 

2907. Ma JLC, Lai KYC, Xia LLL. Treatment Efficacy of Multiple Family Therapy for Chinese Families of Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Fam Process. 2018 Jun;57(2):399-414. doi: 10.1111/famp.12297. PMID: 28560725. *Power* 

2908. Ma L, Chen YH, Chen H, et al. The function of hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis in children with ADHD. Brain Res. 2011 Jan 12;1368:159-62. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2010.10.045. PMID: 20971091. *Outcome* 

2909. MacDonald Fredericks E, Kollins SH. A pilot study of methylphenidate preference assessment in children diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2005 Oct;15(5):729-41. doi: 10.1089/cap.2005.15.729. PMID: 16262590. *Power* 

2910. MacGeorge CA, King KL, Simpson AN, et al. Comparison of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Care Between School-Based Health Centers and a Continuity Clinic. J Sch Health. 2019 Dec;89(12):953-8. doi: 10.1111/josh.12836. PMID: 31612499. *Intervention* 

2911. Machado A, Rafaela D, Silva T, et al. ADHD Among Offenders: Prevalence and Relationship With Psychopathic Traits. J Atten Disord. 2020 Dec;24(14):2021-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054717744880. PMID: 29199502. *Population* 

2912. MacKenzie LE, Abidi S, Fisher HL, et al. Stimulant Medication and Psychotic Symptoms in Offspring of Parents With Mental Illness. Pediatrics. 2016 Jan;137(1). doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-2486. PMID: 26719291. *Design* 

2913. Mackie S, Shaw P, Lenroot R, et al. Cerebellar development and clinical outcome in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2007 Apr;164(4):647-55. doi: 10.1176/ajp.2007.164.4.647. PMID: 17403979. *Intervention* 

2914. Madaan V, Daughton J, Lubberstedt B, et al. Assessing the efficacy of treatments for ADHD : overview of methodological issues. CNS Drugs. 2008;22(4):275-90. doi: 10.2165/00023210-200822040-00002. PMID: 18336058. *Design* 

2915. Maden EA, Gamlı İS. Oral Health and Oral Health-related Quality of Life in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Pediatric Research. 2022;9(2):116-25. doi: 10.4274/jpr.galenos.2021.71598. *Intervention* 

2916. Madjar N, Gazoli R, Manor I, et al. Contrasting effects of music on reading comprehension in preadolescents with and without ADHD. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Sep;291:113207. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113207. PMID: 32559672. *Intervention* 

2917. Madjar N, Shlosberg D, Leventer-Roberts M, et al. Childhood methylphenidate adherence as a predictor of antidepressants use during adolescence. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Oct;28(10):1365-73. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01301-z. PMID: 30828744. *Design* 

2918. Maeder J, Mancini V, Sandini C, et al. Selective effects of methylphenidate on attention and inhibition in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: results from a clinical trial. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2021 Aug 28. doi: 10.1093/ijnp/pyab057. PMID: 34453525. *Population* 

2919. Maghie Barcheni XCMF. Association between the efficacy of the pharmacological treatment of ADHD and Placebo response: a meta-regression study. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021264999. 2021.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=264999. Design

2920. Mah JWT, Murray C, Locke J, et al. Mindfulness-Enhanced Behavioral Parent Training for Clinic-Referred Families of Children With ADHD: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Atten Disord. 2021 Oct;25(12):1765-77. doi: 10.1177/1087054720925882. PMID: 32532175. *Population* 

2921. Mahajan R, Bernal MP, Panzer R, et al. Clinical practice pathways for evaluation and medication choice for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in autism spectrum disorders. Pediatrics. 2012 Nov;130 Suppl 2:S125-38. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-0900J. PMID: 23118243. *Population* 

2922. Mahjani B, Koskela LR, Mahjani CG, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis: relationships between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and urinary symptoms in children. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Apr;31(4):663-70. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01736-3. PMID: 33635440. *Intervention* 

2923. Mahmoud MM, El-Mazary AA, Maher RM, et al. Zinc, ferritin, magnesium and copper in a group of Egyptian children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Ital J Pediatr. 2011 Dec 29;37:60. doi: 10.1186/1824-7288-37-60. PMID: 22206662. *Intervention* 

2924. Mahmoudi-Gharaei J, Dodangi N, Tehrani-Doost M, et al. Duloxetine in the treatment of adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: an open-label study. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2011 Mar;26(2):155-60. doi: 10.1002/hup.1188. PMID: 21455975. *Intervention* 

2925. Mahmoudi-Gharaei J, Dodangi N, Tehrani-Doost M, et al. An open trial of duloxetine in the treatment of adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S116-S7. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Design* 

2926. Mahmoudi-Gharaei J, Dodangi N, Tehrani-Doost M, et al. An open trial of duloxetine in the treatment of adolescints with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S117. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Duplicate* 

2927. Mahomedy Z, van der Westhuizen D, van der Linde MJ, et al. Persistence of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder into adulthood: A study conducted on parents of children diagnosed with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. African Journal of Psychiatry (South Africa). 2007;10(2):93-8. *Intervention* 

2928. Mahone EM, Mostofsky SH, Lasker AG, et al. Oculomotor anomalies in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: evidence for deficits in response preparation and inhibition. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Jul;48(7):749-56. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181a565f1. PMID: 19465877. *Intervention* 

2929. Mahone EM, Powell SK, Loftis CW, et al. Motor persistence and inhibition in autism and ADHD. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2006 Sep;12(5):622-31. doi: 10.1017/s1355617706060814. PMID: 16961943. *Outcome* 

2930. Mai DH, Peschel RE, Portlock C, et al. Stage I and II subdiaphragmatic Hodgkin's disease. Cancer. 1991 Oct 1;68(7):1476-81. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(19911001)68:7<1476::aid-cncr2820680703>3.0.co;2-b. PMID: 1893346. *Population* 

2931. Maia CR, Stella SF, Wagner F, et al. Cost-utility analysis of methylphenidate treatment for children and adolescents with ADHD in Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Psiquiatria. 2016 Jan 2016 - Mar 2016;38(1):30-8. *Intervention* 

2932. Maitre L, Julvez J, López-Vicente M, et al. Early-life environmental exposure determinants of child behavior in Europe: A longitudinal, population-based study. Environ Int. 2021 Aug;153:106523. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2021.106523. PMID: 33773142. *Population* 

2933. Mak ADP, Chan AKW, Chan PKL, et al. Diagnostic Outcomes of Childhood ADHD in Chinese Adults. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jan;24(1):126-35. doi: 10.1177/1087054718802015. PMID: 30259782. *Intervention* 

2934. Mak C, Whittingham K, Cunnington R, et al. Efficacy of mindfulness-based interventions for attention and executive function in children and adolescents—A systematic review. Mindfulness. 2018 Feb 2018;9(1):59-78. *Population* 

2935. Makris G, Chrousos GP, Anesiadou S, et al. Serum concentrations and detection rates of selected organochlorine pesticides in a sample of Greek school-aged children with neurodevelopmental disorders. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2019 Aug;26(23):23739-53. doi: 10.1007/s11356-019-05666-1. PMID: 31209749. *Intervention* 

2936. Malegiannaki AC, Aretouli E, Metallidou P, et al. Test of Everyday Attention for Children (TEA-Ch): Greek Normative Data and Discriminative Validity for Children with Combined Type of Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder. Dev Neuropsychol. 2019 Mar-Apr;44(2):189-202. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2019.1578781. PMID: 30786760. *Intervention* 

2937. Malik TA, Rooney M, Chronis-Tuscano A, et al. Preliminary Efficacy of a Behavioral Parent Training Program for Children With ADHD in Pakistan. J Atten Disord. 2017 Mar;21(5):390-404. doi: 10.1177/1087054714524158. PMID: 24621459. *Power* 

2938. Mallik CI, Radwan RB. Impact of lockdown due to COVID-19 pandemic in changes of prevalence of predictive psychiatric disorders among children and adolescents in Bangladesh. Asian J Psychiatr. 2021 Feb;56:102554. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2021.102554. PMID: 33450699. *Population* 

2939. Malone MA, Kershner JR, Siegel L. The effects of methylphenidate on levels of processing and laterality in children with attention deficit disorder. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1988 Aug;16(4):379-95. doi: 10.1007/bf00914170. PMID: 3221029. *Intervention* 

2940. Malone MA, Swanson JM. Effects of methylphenidate on impulsive responding in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Neurol. 1993 Apr;8(2):157-63. doi: 10.1177/088307389300800209. PMID: 8505479. *Intervention* 

2941. Malone RP, Delaney MA, Luebbert JF, et al. A double-blind placebo-controlled study of lithium in hospitalized aggressive children and adolescents with conduct disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2000 Jul;57(7):649-54. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.57.7.649. PMID: 10891035. *Population* 

2942. Man KK, Coghill D, Chan EW, et al. Methylphenidate and the risk of psychotic disorders and hallucinations in children and adolescents in a large health system. Transl Psychiatry. 2016 Nov 15;6(11):e956. doi: 10.1038/tp.2016.216. PMID: 27845780. *Power* 

2943. Man KKC, Chan EW, Ip P, et al. Prenatal antidepressant exposure and the risk of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2018 Mar;86:1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.12.007. PMID: 29247762. *Intervention* 

2944. Man KKC, Lau WCY, Coghill D, et al. Association between methylphenidate treatment and risk of seizure: a population-based, self-controlled case-series study. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2020 Jun;4(6):435-43. doi: 10.1016/s2352-4642(20)30100-0. PMID: 32450123. *Population* 

2945. Manassis K, Tannock R, Barbosa J. Dichotic listening and response inhibition in children with comorbid anxiety disorders and ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000 Sep;39(9):1152-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200009000-00015. PMID: 10986812. *Intervention* 

2946. Mancini V, Rudaizky D, Howlett S, et al. Movement difficulties in children with ADHD: Comparing the long- and short-form Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency-Second Edition (BOT-2). Aust Occup Ther J. 2020 Apr;67(2):153-61. doi: 10.1111/1440-1630.12641. PMID: 31944320. *Intervention* 

2947. Mancini VO, Pearcy BTD. Sensitivity of the child behaviour checklist sleep items and convergent validity with the Sleep Disorders Scale for Children in a paediatric ADHD sample. Sleep Med X. 2021 Dec;3:100033. doi: 10.1016/j.sleepx.2021.100033. PMID: 33870180. *Intervention* 

2948. Manfro AG, Pine DS, Polanczyk GV, et al. Testing the Stability and Validity of an Executive Dysfunction Classification Using Task-Based Assessment in Children and Adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Dec 17. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.11.016. PMID: 33346031. *Intervention* 

2949. Manfro AG, Santoro M, Polanczyk GV, et al. Heterotypic trajectories of dimensional psychopathology across the lifespan: the case of youth-onset attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019 May;60(5):533-44. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12987. PMID: 30329156. *Intervention* 

2950. Mangina CA, Beuzeron-Mangina JH, Grizenko N. Event-related brain potentials, bilateral electrodermal activity and Mangina-Test performance in learning disabled/ADHD preadolescents with severe behavioral disorders as compared to age-matched normal controls. Int J Psychophysiol. 2000 Jul;37(1):71-85. doi: 10.1016/s0167-8760(00)00096-9. PMID: 10828376. *Outcome* 

2951. Maniadaki K, Sonuga-Barke E, Kakouros E. Adults' self-efficacy beliefs and referral attitudes for boys and girls with AD/HD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Mar;15(3):132-40. doi: 10.1007/s00787-005-0514-3. PMID: 16424963. *Population* 

2952. Manley CK, Villanger GD, Thomsen C, et al. Prenatal Exposure to Organophosphorus Pesticides and Preschool ADHD in the Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Jul 2;19(13). doi: 10.3390/ijerph19138148. PMID: 35805806. *Design* 

2953. Mann A, Li A, Radwan K, et al. Factors Associated with Management of Teen Aggression: Child Psychiatric Clinical Decision Making. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Jun;27(5):445-50. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0059. PMID: 26784955. *Intervention* 

2954. Mann C, Schloß S, Cosan A, et al. Hair cortisol concentration and neurocognitive functions in preschool children at risk of developing attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2021 Sep 2021;131. *Design* 

2955. Mannuzza S, Klein RG, Konig PH, et al. Hyperactive boys almost grown up. IV. Criminality and its relationship to psychiatric status. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1989 Dec;46(12):1073-9. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1989.01810120015004. PMID: 2589922. *Intervention* 

2956. Mannuzza S, Klein RG, Moulton JL, 3rd. Persistence of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder into adulthood: what have we learned from the prospective follow-up studies? J Atten

Disord. 2003 Nov;7(2):93-100. doi: 10.1177/108705470300700203. PMID: 15018358. *Intervention* 

2957. Mannuzza S, Klein RG, Moulton JL, 3rd. Does stimulant treatment place children at risk for adult substance abuse? A controlled, prospective follow-up study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2003 Fall;13(3):273-82. doi: 10.1089/104454603322572606. PMID: 14642015. *Population* 

2958. Manohar H, Kuppili PP, Kandasamy P, et al. Implications of comorbid ADHD in ASD interventions and outcome: Results from a naturalistic follow up study from south India. Asian J Psychiatr. 2018 Mar;33:68-73. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2018.03.009. PMID: 29544110. *Population* 

2959. Manor I, Gutnik I, Ben-Dor DH, et al. Possible association between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and attempted suicide in adolescents - a pilot study. Eur Psychiatry. 2010 Apr;25(3):146-50. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2009.06.001. PMID: 19699060. *Intervention* 

2960. Manor I, Laiba E, Eisenberg J, et al. Association between trypotphan hydroxylase 2, performance on a continuance performance test and response to methylphenidate in ADHD participants. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics. 2008;147(8):1501-8. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30702. *Intervention* 

2961. Manos M, Frazier TW, Landgraf JM, et al. HRQL and medication satisfaction in children with ADHD treated with the methylphenidate transdermal system. Curr Med Res Opin. 2009 Dec;25(12):3001-10. doi: 10.1185/03007990903388797. PMID: 19849639. *Intervention* 

2962. Manos MJ. Pharmacologic treatment of ADHD: road conditions in driving patients to successful outcomes. Medscape J Med. 2008 Jan 8;10(1):5. PMID: 18324315. *Design* 

2963. Manos MJ, Short EJ, Findling RL. Differential effectiveness of methylphenidate and Adderall in school-age youths with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999 Jul;38(7):813-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199907000-00010. PMID: 10405498. *Intervention* 

2964. Månsson AG, Elmose M, Dalsgaard S, et al. The influence of participation in targetshooting sport for children with inattentive, hyperactive and impulsive symptoms—A controlled study of best practice. BMC Psychiatry. 2017 Mar 28, 2017;17. *Duplicate* 

2965. Mantel Ä, Örtqvist AK, Hirschberg AL, et al. Analysis of Neurodevelopmental Disorders in Offspring of Mothers With Eating Disorders in Sweden. JAMA Netw Open. 2022 Jan 4;5(1):e2143947. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.43947. PMID: 35040968. *Design* 

2966. Manzari N, Matvienko-Sikar K, Baldoni F, et al. Prenatal maternal stress and risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in the offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2019 Nov;54(11):1299-309. doi: 10.1007/s00127-019-01745-3. PMID: 31324962. *Intervention* 

2967. Maras A, Schroder CM, Malow BA, et al. Long-Term Efficacy and Safety of Pediatric Prolonged-Release Melatonin for Insomnia in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Dec;28(10):699-710. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0020. PMID: 30132686. *Population* 

2968. Marashi H, Dolatdoost M. ADHD and Adolescent EFL Learners' Speaking Complexity, Accuracy, and Fluency in English. Iranian Journal of Language Teaching Research. 2016 07/01/;4(2):105-26. PMID: EJ1127411. *Intervention* 

2969. Marco R, Miranda A, Schlotz W, et al. Delay and reward choice in ADHD: an experimental test of the role of delay aversion. Neuropsychology. 2009 May;23(3):367-80. doi: 10.1037/a0014914. PMID: 19413450. *Intervention* 

2970. Marcos-Vidal L, Martínez-García M, Pretus C, et al. Local functional connectivity suggests functional immaturity in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Human Brain Mapping. 2018 Jun 2018;39(6):2442-54. *Intervention* 

2971. Marcus RN, Owen R, Kamen L, et al. A placebo-controlled, fixed-dose study of aripiprazole in children and adolescents with irritability associated with autistic disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Nov;48(11):1110-9. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181b76658. PMID: 19797985. *Population* 

2972. Marcus SC, Durkin M. Stimulant adherence and academic performance in urban youth with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 May;50(5):480-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2011.02.007. PMID: 21515197. *Intervention* 

2973. Mares D, McLuckie A, Schwartz M, et al. Executive function impairments in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: do they differ between school and home environments? Can J Psychiatry. 2007 Aug;52(8):527-34. doi: 10.1177/070674370705200811. PMID: 17955916. *Outcome* 

2974. Mareva S, Holmes J. Transdiagnostic associations across communication, cognitive, and behavioural problems in a developmentally at-risk population: a network approach. BMC Pediatr. 2019 Nov 21;19(1):452. doi: 10.1186/s12887-019-1818-7. PMID: 31752809. *Population* 

2975. Margarita Kanevski SRJNBSMEMJOTSAS. Cognitive and mathematics performance in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020169708. 2020.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=169708. Design

2976. Margherio SM, Evans SW, Monopoli WJ, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of a Training Intervention for Adolescents with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2021 Feb 25:1-15. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2021.1875323. PMID: 33630716. *Design* 

2977. Maria DA, Elena T, Cristina N, et al. Assessing the effectiveness and safety of pharmacological therapy in children diagnosed with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorders. Therapeutics, Pharmacology and Clinical Toxicology. 2014;18(2):61-7. *Intervention* 

2978. Mariani MA, Barkley RA. Neuropsychological and academic functioning in preschool boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Developmental Neuropsychology. 1997 1997/01/01;13(1):111-29. doi: 10.1080/87565649709540671. *Intervention* 

2979. Marín-Méndez JJ, Borra-Ruiz MC, Álvarez-Gómez MJ, et al. Normative ADHD-RS-Preschool Data in a Community Sample in Spain. J Atten Disord. 2019 Apr;23(6):615-23. doi: 10.1177/1087054715625300. PMID: 26838554. *Outcome* 

2980. Marina Martin-Moratinos MB-FHB-F. Effects of music on ADHD symptomatology and potential application of music in video games: A systematic review. PROSPERO 2021

CRD42021288226. 2021.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=288226. Design

2981. Marinopoulou M, Unenge Hallerbäck M, Bornehag CG, et al. Is WISC-IV Working Memory Index associated with ADHD symptoms in 7-8-year-olds? Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2023 Feb 13:1-10. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2023.2176232. PMID: 36780371. *Outcome* 

2982. Markie-Dadds C SM. A controlled evaluation of an enhanced self-directed behavioural family intervention for parents of children with conduct problems in rural and remote areas. Behav Change. 2006;23(1):55-72. *Population* 

2983. Markie-Dadds C SM. Self-directed Triple P (Positive Parenting Program) for mothers with children at-risk of developing conduct problems. Behav Cogn Psychother. 2006;34(3):259-75. *Power* 

2984. Markowitz JT, Oberdhan D, Ciesluk A, et al. Review of Clinical Outcome Assessments in Pediatric Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2020;16:1619-43. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S248685. PMID: 32669845. *Intervention* 

2985. Mårland C, Lichtenstein P, Degl'Innocenti A, et al. The Autism-Tics, ADHD and other Comorbidities inventory (A-TAC): previous and predictive validity. BMC Psychiatry. 2017 Dec 16;17(1):403. doi: 10.1186/s12888-017-1563-0. PMID: 29246205. *Language* 

2986. Mårland C, Lichtenstein P, Degl'Innocenti A, et al. The Autism–Tics, ADHD and other Comorbidities inventory (A-TAC): Previous and predictive validity. BMC Psychiatry. 2017 Dec 16, 2017;17. *Duplicate* 

2987. Marotta A, Pasini A, Menotti E, et al. Controlling attention to gaze and arrows in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Research. 2017 May 2017;251:148-54. *Intervention* 

2988. Marquez-Castillo RL. Martial Arts and ADHD: A Meta-Analysis [Ph.D.]. Ann Arbor: Walden University; 2013. *Design* 

2989. Marraffino A, Sikes CR, Laage T, et al. An Open-Label, Multicenter, Single-Dose Pharmacokinetic Study of a Novel Amphetamine Extended-Release Orally Disintegrating Tablet in Preschool-Aged Children. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Feb;30(1):15-20. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0042. PMID: 31295008. *Timing* 

2990. Marshall P, Schroeder R, O'Brien J, et al. Effectiveness of symptom validity measures in identifying cognitive and behavioral symptom exaggeration in adult attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clin Neuropsychol. 2010 Oct;24(7):1204-37. doi: 10.1080/13854046.2010.514290. PMID: 20845231. *Population* 

2991. Marshall PS, Hoelzle JB, Heyerdahl D, et al. The impact of failing to identify suspect effort in patients undergoing adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) assessment. Psychol Assess. 2016 Oct;28(10):1290-302. doi: 10.1037/pas0000247. PMID: 26751085. *Population* 

2992. Martel MM. Hormonal associations with childhood ADHD and associated trait and neuropsychological mechanisms: Michigan State University; 2009. *Intervention* 

2993. Martel MM, Eng AG, Bansal PS, et al. Multiple informant average integration of ADHD symptom ratings predictive of concurrent and longitudinal impairment. Psychol Assess. 2021 May;33(5):443-51. doi: 10.1037/pas0000994. PMID: 33719467. *Intervention* 

2994. Martel MM, Levinson CA, Langer JK, et al. A network analysis of developmental change in ADHD symptom structure from preschool to adulthood. Clin Psychol Sci. 2016 Nov;4(6):988-1001. doi: 10.1177/2167702615618664. PMID: 28083448. *Intervention* 

2995. Martényi F, Treuer T, Gau SS, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder diagnosis, co-morbidities, treatment patterns, and quality of life in a pediatric population in central and eastern Europe and Asia. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Aug;19(4):363-76. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0148. PMID: 19702488. *Intervention* 

2996. Martényi F, Zheng Y, Huang YS, et al. A prospective observational study of attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder in Asia: Baseline characteristics of symptom severity and treatment options in a paediatric population. East Asian Archives of Psychiatry. 2010;20(2):76-86. *Intervention* 

2997. Martin AJ, Collie RJ, Roberts C, et al. The role of medication in reducing the negative effects of hyperactivity-inattention on achievement: A population-based longitudinal investigation of students and their classrooms. Contemporary Educational Psychology. 2018 Oct 2018;55:97-109. *Population* 

2998. Martin AK, Petersen AJ, Sesma HW, et al. Learning and Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorders as Risk Factors for Prolonged Concussion Recovery in Children and Adolescents. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2022 Feb;28(2):109-22. doi: 10.1017/s1355617721000229. PMID: 33745491. *Intervention* 

2999. Martin CA, Guenthner G, Bingcang C, et al. Measurement of the subjective effects of methylphenidate in 11- to 15-year-old children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Feb;17(1):63-73. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.0020. PMID: 17343554. *Intervention* 

3000. Martin CA, Mulraney M, Papadopoulos N, et al. Bidirectional Associations Between Maternal Mental Health and Child Sleep Problems in Children With ADHD: A Longitudinal Study. J Atten Disord. 2021 Sep;25(11):1603-4. doi: 10.1177/1087054720923083. PMID: 34355612. *Intervention* 

3001. Martin G, Johnson CL. The boys totem town neurofeedback project: A pilot study of EEG biofeedback with incarcerated juvenile felons. Journal of Neurotherapy. 2006;9(3):71-86. doi: 10.1300/J184v09n03\_05. *Intervention* 

3002. Martin J, Taylor MJ, Rydell M, et al. Sex-specific manifestation of genetic risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in the general population. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Aug;59(8):908-16. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12874. PMID: 29451303. *Intervention* 

3003. Martinez-Raga J, Ferreros A, Knecht C, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder medication use: factors involved in prescribing, safety aspects and outcomes. Therapeutic Advances in Drug Safety. 2017;8(3):87-99. doi: 10.1177/2042098616679636. *Intervention* 

3004. Martins J, Roberts N, Nesdole R, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Presentations to The Child and Adolescent Mental Health Urgent Consult Clinic. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Aug;28(2):66-71. PMID: 31447904. *Intervention* 

3005. Martins S, Tramontina S, Polanczyk G, et al. Weekend holidays during methylphenidate use in ADHD children: a randomized clinical trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2004 Summer;14(2):195-206. doi: 10.1089/1044546041649066. PMID: 15319017. *Power* 

3006. Marx I, Reis O, Berger C. Perceptual timing in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) as measured by computer-based experiments versus reallife tasks: protocol for a cross-sectional experimental study in an ambulatory setting. BMJ Open. 2019 Apr 25;9(4):e027651. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-027651. PMID: 31028043. *Intervention* 

3007. Marx I, Rubia K, Reis O, et al. A short note on the reliability of perceptual timing tasks as commonly used in research on developmental disorders. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jan;30(1):169-72. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01474-y. PMID: 31955249. *Intervention* 

3008. Marx I, Weirich S, Berger C, et al. Living in the Fast Lane: Evidence for a Global Perceptual Timing Deficit in Childhood ADHD Caused by Distinct but Partially Overlapping Task-Dependent Cognitive Mechanisms. Front Hum Neurosci. 2017;11:122. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00122. PMID: 28373837. *Intervention* 

3009. Masi G, Fantozzi P, Villafranca A, et al. Effects of melatonin in children with attentiondeficit/ hyperactivity disorder with sleep disorders after methylphenidate treatment. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2019 Mar 7, 2019;15. *Comparator* 

3010. Masi G, Manfredi A, Nieri G, et al. A Naturalistic Comparison of Methylphenidate and Risperidone Monotherapy in Drug-Naive Youth With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Comorbid With Oppositional Defiant Disorder and Aggression. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2017 Oct;37(5):590-4. doi: 10.1097/jcp.00000000000747. PMID: 28806385. *Intervention* 

3011. Masi G, Sesso G, Pfanner C, et al. An Exploratory Study of Emotional Dysregulation Dimensions in Youth With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and/or Bipolar Spectrum Disorders. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:619037. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.619037. PMID: 33935827. *Intervention* 

3012. Mataro M, Garcia-Sanchez C, Junque C, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging measurement of the caudate nucleus in adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and its relationship with neuropsychological and behavioral measures. Arch Neurol. 1997 Aug;54(8):963-8. doi: 10.1001/archneur.1997.00550200027006. PMID: 9267970. *Outcome* 

3013. Matos M BJ, Bernal G. Parent-child interaction therapy for Puerto Rican preschool children with ADHD and behavior problems: a pilot efficacy study. Fam Process. 2009;48(2):232-52. *Power* 

3014. Matsudaira T, Gow RV, Kelly J, et al. Biochemical and Psychological Effects of Omega-3/6 Supplements in Male Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Clinical Trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2015 Dec;25(10):775-82. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0052. PMID: 26682998. *Power* 

3015. Matsushima N, Miyawaki D, Tsuji H, et al. Evaluation of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in male children with high-functioning pervasive developmental disorders. Osaka City Med J. 2008 Jun;54(1):1-10. PMID: 18819260. *Population* 

3016. Mattes JA, Gittelman R. Effects of artificial food colorings in children with hyperactive symptoms. A critical review and results of a controlled study. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1981 Jun;38(6):714-8. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1981.01780310114012. PMID: 7247635. *Intervention* 

3017. Mattos P. Lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in the treatment of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: Pharmacokinetics, efficacy and safety in children and adolescents. Revista de Psiquiatria Clinica. 2014;41(2):34-9. doi: 10.1590/0101-6083000000007. *Design* 

3018. Matza LS, Stoeckl MN, Shorr JM, et al. Impact of atomoxetine on health-related quality of life and functional status in patients with ADHD. Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res. 2006 Aug;6(4):379-90. doi: 10.1586/14737167.6.4.379. PMID: 20528508. *Design* 

3019. Mautone JA MS, Sharman J, et al. Development of a Family-School Intervention for Young Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. School Psych Rev. 2012;41(4):447-66. *Design* 

3020. Max JE, Arndt S, Castillo CS, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity symptomatology after traumatic brain injury: a prospective study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1998 Aug;37(8):841-7. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199808000-00014. PMID: 9695446. *Intervention* 

3021. Max JE, Lansing AE, Koele SL, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents following traumatic brain injury. Dev Neuropsychol. 2004;25(1-2):159-77. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2004.9651926. PMID: 14984333. *Outcome* 

3022. Max JE, Lindgren SD, Knutson C, et al. Child and adolescent traumatic brain injury: correlates of disruptive behaviour disorders. Brain Inj. 1998 Jan;12(1):41-52. doi: 10.1080/026990598122845. PMID: 9483336. *Intervention* 

3023. Max JE, Mathews K, Manes FF, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and neurocognitive correlates after childhood stroke. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2003 Sep;9(6):815-29. doi: 10.1017/s1355617703960012. PMID: 14632240. *Intervention* 

3024. Max JE, Schachar RJ, Levin HS, et al. Predictors of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder within 6 months after pediatric traumatic brain injury. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Oct;44(10):1032-40. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000173293.05817.b1. PMID: 16175108. *Intervention* 

3025. Max JE, Schachar RJ, Levin HS, et al. Predictors of secondary attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents 6 to 24 months after traumatic brain injury. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Oct;44(10):1041-9. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000173292.05817.f8. PMID: 16175109. *Intervention* 

3026. May DE, Kratochvil CJ. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: recent advances in paediatric pharmacotherapy. Drugs. 2010;70(1):15-40. doi: 10.2165/11530540-00000000-000000. PMID: 20030423. *Design* 

3027. Mayer JS, Hees K, Medda J, et al. Bright light therapy versus physical exercise to prevent co-morbid depression and obesity in adolescents and young adults with attention-deficit / hyperactivity disorder: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2018 Feb 26;19(1):140. doi: 10.1186/s13063-017-2426-1. PMID: 29482662. *Population* 

3028. Mayes SD, Calhoun SL, Bixler EO, et al. ADHD subtypes and comorbid anxiety, depression, and oppositional-defiant disorder: differences in sleep problems. J Pediatr Psychol. 2009 Apr;34(3):328-37. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsn083. PMID: 18676503. *Intervention* 

3029. Mayes SD, Crites DL, Bixler EO, et al. Methylphenidate and ADHD: influence of age, IQ and neurodevelopmental status. Dev Med Child Neurol. 1994 Dec;36(12):1099-107. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.1994.tb11811.x. PMID: 7525394. *Power* 

3030. Mayes SD, Handford HA, Schaefer JH, et al. The relationship of HIV status, type of coagulation disorder, and school absenteeism to cognition, educational performance, mood, and

behavior of boys with hemophilia. J Genet Psychol. 1996 Jun;157(2):137-51. doi: 10.1080/00221325.1996.9914852. PMID: 8656201. *Intervention* 

3031. Mayes SD, Puzino K, DiGiovanni C, et al. Cross-Sectional Age Analysis of Sleep Problems in 2 to 17 Year Olds with ADHD Combined, ADHD Inattentive, or Autism. J Clin Psychol Med Settings. 2021 Jul 2. doi: 10.1007/s10880-021-09799-9. PMID: 34213724. *Population* 

3032. Mayes SD, Waxmonsky JG, Baweja R, et al. Symptom scores and medication treatment patterns in children with ADHD versus autism. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Jun;288:112937. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112937. PMID: 32315876. *Outcome* 

3033. Mazei-Robison MS, Couch RS, Shelton RC, et al. Sequence variation in the human dopamine transporter gene in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neuropharmacology. 2005 Nov;49(6):724-36. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2005.08.003. PMID: 16171832. *Population* 

3034. Maziade M, Caron C, Côté R, et al. Psychiatric status of adolescents who had extreme temperaments at age 7. Am J Psychiatry. 1990 Nov;147(11):1531-6. doi: 10.1176/ajp.147.11.1531. PMID: 2221169. *Intervention* 

3035. Maziade M, Rouleau N, Lee B, et al. Atomoxetine and neuropsychological function in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: results of a pilot study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Dec;19(6):709-18. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0166. PMID: 20035589. *Comparator* 

3036. Mazurek MO, Dovgan K, Neumeyer AM, et al. Course and Predictors of Sleep and Cooccurring Problems in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. 2019 May;49(5):2101-15. doi: 10.1007/s10803-019-03894-5. PMID: 30684086. *Population* 

3037. Mazzone L, Reale L, Mannino V, et al. Lower IQ is associated with decreased clinical response to atomoxetine in children and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. CNS Drugs. 2011 Jun 1;25(6):503-9. doi: 10.2165/11590450-000000000-00000. PMID: 21649450. *Intervention* 

3038. Mazzone L, Reale L, Mannino V, et al. Atomoxetine for the treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in children with different cognitive abilities. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S123. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Population* 

3039. McAfee AT, Holdridge KC, Johannes CB, et al. The effect of pharmacotherapy for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder on risk of seizures in pediatric patients as assessed in an insurance claims database. Curr Drug Saf. 2008 May;3(2):123-31. doi: 10.2174/157488608784529233. PMID: 18690990. *Intervention* 

3040. McAllister DL, Kaplan BJ, Edworthy SM, et al. The influence of systemic lupus erythematosus on fetal development: cognitive, behavioral, and health trends. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 1997 Jul;3(4):370-6. PMID: 9260446. *Population* 

3041. McAuley T, Crosbie J, Charach A, et al. Clinical, Sociobiological, and Cognitive Predictors of ADHD Persistence in Children Followed Prospectively Over Time. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2017 May;45(4):765-76. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0189-x. PMID: 27473334. *Intervention* 

3042. McBride MC. An individual double-blind crossover trial for assessing methylphenidate response in children with attention deficit disorder. J Pediatr. 1988 Jul;113(1 Pt 1):137-45. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3476(88)80548-1. PMID: 3290413. *Power* 

3043. McBride NM, Weinzimmer SA, La Buissonnière-Ariza V, et al. The Impact of Comorbidity on Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy Response in Youth with Anxiety and Autism Spectrum Disorder. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2020 Aug;51(4):625-35. doi: 10.1007/s10578-020-00961-2. PMID: 32026260. *Intervention* 

3044. McBurnett K, Pfiffner LJ, Frick PJ. Symptom properties as a function of ADHD type: an argument for continued study of sluggish cognitive tempo. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2001 Jun;29(3):207-13. doi: 10.1023/a:1010377530749. PMID: 11411783. *Outcome* 

3045. McCabe LE, Johnstone SJ, Jiang H, et al. Links between excessive daytime sleepiness and EEG power and activation in two subtypes of ADHD. Biol Psychol. 2023 Feb;177:108504. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2023.108504. PMID: 36681294. *Outcome* 

3046. McCabe SE, Teter CJ, Boyd CJ. The use, misuse and diversion of prescription stimulants among middle and high school students. Subst Use Misuse. 2004 Jun;39(7):1095-116. doi: 10.1081/ja-120038031. PMID: 15387205. *Intervention* 

3047. McCabe SE, Veliz P, Wilens TE, et al. Adolescents' Prescription Stimulant Use and Adult Functional Outcomes: A National Prospective Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Mar;56(3):226-33.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.12.008. PMID: 28219488. *Population* 

3048. McCance-Katz E. The National Survey on Drug Use and Health: 2019. 2019. *Intervention* 

3049. McCarthy H, Stanley J, Piech R, et al. Childhood-Diagnosed ADHD, Symptom Progression, and Reversal Learning in Adulthood. J Atten Disord. 2018 Apr;22(6):561-70. doi: 10.1177/1087054716661233. PMID: 27507767. *Intervention* 

3050. McCarthy J, Arrese D, McGlashan A, et al. Sustained attention and visual processing speed in children and adolescents with bipolar disorder and other psychiatric disorders. Psychol Rep. 2004 Aug;95(1):39-47. doi: 10.2466/pr0.95.1.39-47. PMID: 15460356. *Outcome* 

3051. McCarthy J, Kraseski K, Schvartz I, et al. Sustained attention, visual processing speed, and IQ in children and adolescents with Schizophrenia Spectrum disorder and Psychosis Not Otherwise Specified. Percept Mot Skills. 2005 Jun;100(3 Pt 2):1097-106. doi: 10.2466/pms.100.3c.1097-1106. PMID: 16158695. *Outcome* 

3052. McCarthy J, Rabinowitz D, Habib M, et al. Bender Gestalt Recall as a measure of short-term visual memory in children and adolescents with psychotic and other severe disorders. Percept Mot Skills. 2002 Dec;95(3 Pt 2):1233-8. doi: 10.2466/pms.2002.95.3f.1233. PMID: 12578264. *Outcome* 

3053. McCarthy S, Cranswick N, Potts L, et al. Mortality associated with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) drug treatment: a retrospective cohort study of children, adolescents and young adults using the general practice research database. Drug Saf. 2009;32(11):1089-96. doi: 10.2165/11317630-000000000-00000. PMID: 19810780. *Design* 

3054. McCarthy S, Neubert A, Man KKC, et al. Effects of long-term methylphenidate use on growth and blood pressure: results of the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for

Children and Adolescents (KiGGS). BMC Psychiatry. 2018 Oct 11;18(1):327. doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1884-7. PMID: 30305167. *Intervention* 

3055. McCarthy S, Wilton L, Murray ML, et al. Persistence of pharmacological treatment into adulthood, in UK primary care, for ADHD patients who started treatment in childhood or adolescence. BMC Psychiatry. 2012;12(1). doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-12-219. *Intervention* 

3056. McClain MB, Hasty Mills AM, Murphy LE. Inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity among children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity-disorder, autism spectrum disorder, and intellectual disability. Res Dev Disabil. 2017 Nov;70:175-84. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2017.09.009. PMID: 28957735. *Intervention* 

3057. McCleary L, Ridley T. Parenting adolescents with ADHD: evaluation of a psychoeducation group. Patient Educ Couns. 1999 Sep;38(1):3-10. doi: 10.1016/s0738-3991(98)00110-4. PMID: 14528566. *Intervention* 

3058. McClellan JM, Werry JS. Evidence-based treatments in child and adolescent psychiatry: an inventory. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2003 Dec;42(12):1388-400. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000092322.84052.88. PMID: 14627873. *Design* 

3059. McCormick LH. Improving social adjustment in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Fam Med. 2000 Feb;9(2):191-4. doi: 10.1001/archfami.9.2.191. PMID: 10693738. *Power* 

3060. McCormick R. Does Access to Green Space Impact the Mental Well-being of Children: A Systematic Review. J Pediatr Nurs. 2017 Nov-Dec;37:3-7. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2017.08.027. PMID: 28882650. *Population* 

3061. McCormick-Deaton CM, Mohiuddin S. New onset ADHD symptoms in adolescents and college students: Diagnostic challenges and recommendations. Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018;8(2):79-92. doi: 10.2174/2210676608666180208162023. *Population* 

3062. McCracken JT, Aman MG, McDougle CJ, et al. Possible influence of variant of the Pglycoprotein gene (MDR1/ABCB1) on clinical response to guanfacine in children with pervasive developmental disorders and hyperactivity. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Feb;20(1):1-5. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0059. PMID: 20166790. *Intervention* 

3063. McCracken JT, Suddath R, Chang S, et al. Effectiveness and tolerability of open label olanzapine in children and adolescents with Tourette syndrome. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Oct;18(5):501-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.135. PMID: 18928414. *Population* 

3064. McDermott AF, Rose M, Norris T, et al. A Novel Feed-Forward Modeling System Leads to Sustained Improvements in Attention and Academic Performance. J Atten Disord. 2020 Aug;24(10):1443-56. doi: 10.1177/1087054715623044. PMID: 26823382. *Power* 

3065. McDougal E, Gracie H, Oldridge J, et al. Relationships between cognition and literacy in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Dev Psychol. 2022 Mar;40(1):130-50. doi: 10.1111/bjdp.12395. PMID: 34605577. *Outcome* 

3066. McGee R, Williams S, Moffitt T, et al. A comparison of 13-year-old boys with attention deficit and/or reading disorder on neuropsychological measures. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1989 Feb;17(1):37-53. doi: 10.1007/BF00910769. PMID: 2926022. *Intervention* 

3067. McGee RA, Clark SE, Symons DK. Does the Conners' Continuous Performance Test aid in ADHD diagnosis? J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2000 Oct;28(5):415-24. doi: 10.1023/a:1005127504982. PMID: 11100916. *Outcome* 

3068. McGilloway S, Mhaille GN, Bywater T, et al. A parenting intervention for childhood behavioral problems: a randomized controlled trial in disadvantaged community-based settings. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2012 Feb;80(1):116-27. doi: 10.1037/a0026304. PMID: 22148879. *Population* 

3069. McGilloway S, NiMhaille G, Bywater T, et al. Reducing child conduct disordered behaviour and improving parent mental health in disadvantaged families: a 12-month follow-up and cost analysis of a parenting intervention. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Sep;23(9):783-94. doi: 10.1007/s00787-013-0499-2. PMID: 25183424. *Population* 

3070. McGlade E, Agoston AM, DiMuzio J, et al. The Effect of Citicoline Supplementation on Motor Speed and Attention in Adolescent Males. J Atten Disord. 2019 Jan;23(2):121-34. doi: 10.1177/1087054715593633. PMID: 26179181. *Population* 

3071. McGoey KE, DuPaul GJ, Haley E, et al. Parent and Teacher Ratings of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Preschool: The ADHD Rating Scale-IV Preschool Version. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2007 2007/12/01;29(4):269-76. doi: 10.1007/s10862-007-9048-y. *Population* 

3072. McGoey KE DG, Eckert TL, et al. Outcomes of a Multi-Component Intervention for Preschool Children At-Risk for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Child Fam Behav Ther. 2005;27(1):33-56. *Power* 

3073. McGough J, McCracken J, Swanson J, et al. Pharmacogenetics of Methylphenidate Response in Preschoolers with ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2006 11/01/;45(11):1314-22. PMID: EJ754443. *Power* 

3074. McGough JJ, Biederman J, Wigal SB, et al. Long-term tolerability and effectiveness of once-daily mixed amphetamine salts (Adderall XR) in children with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Jun;44(6):530-8. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000157550.94702.a2. PMID: 15908835. *Design* 

3075. McGough JJ, McBurnett K, Bukstein O, et al. Once-daily OROS methylphenidate is safe and well tolerated in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2006 Jun;16(3):351-6. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.16.351. PMID: 16768642. *Timing* 

3076. McGough JJ, Pataki CS, Suddath R. Dexmethylphenidate extended-release capsules for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Expert Rev Neurother. 2005 Jul;5(4):437-41. doi: 10.1586/14737175.5.4.437. PMID: 16026226. *Design* 

3077. McGough JJ, Wigal SB, Abikoff H, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled, laboratory classroom assessment of methylphenidate transdermal system in children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2006 Feb;9(3):476-85. doi: 10.1177/1087054705284089. PMID: 16481664. *Timing* 

3078. McGrane IR, Loveland JG, Zaluski HJ. Adjunctive Amantadine Treatment for Aggressive Behavior in Children: A Series of Eight Cases. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016 Dec;26(10):935-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0042. PMID: 27483360. *Population* 

3079. McGrath AM, Handwerk ML, Armstrong KJ, et al. The validity of the ADHD section of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children. Behav Modif. 2004 May;28(3):349-74. doi: 10.1177/0145445503258987. PMID: 15104867. *Outcome* 

3080. McInnes A, Humphries T, Hogg-Johnson S, et al. Listening comprehension and working memory are impaired in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder irrespective of language impairment. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2003 Aug;31(4):427-43. doi: 10.1023/a:1023895602957. PMID: 12831231. *Intervention* 

3081. McIntyre HB, Firemark HM, Cho AK, et al. Computer analyzed EEG in amphetamineresponsive hyperactive children. Psychiatry Res. 1981 Apr;4(2):189-97. doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(81)90022-6. PMID: 6939009. *Intervention* 

3082. McKay E, Kirk H, Coxon J, et al. Training inhibitory control in adolescents with elevated attention deficit hyperactivity disorder traits: a randomised controlled trial of the Alfi Virtual Reality programme. BMJ Open. 2022 Sep 20;12(9):e061626. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061626. PMID: 36127121. *Design* 

3083. McMahon RJ. Child and adolescent psychopathology as risk factors for subsequent tobacco use. Nicotine Tob Res. 1999;1 Suppl 2:S45-50; discussion S69-70. doi: 10.1080/14622299050011801. PMID: 11768186. *Design* 

3084. McNamara J, Vervaeke S-L, Willoughby T. Learning Disabilities and Risk-Taking Behavior in Adolescents: A Comparison of Those with and without Comorbid Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Learning Disabilities. 2008 01/01/;41(6):561-74. PMID: EJ814275. *Intervention* 

3085. McNeal RE, Roberts MC, Barone VJ. Mothers' and children's perceptions of medication for children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2000 Spring;30(3):173-87. doi: 10.1023/a:1021347621455. PMID: 10851792. *Intervention* 

3086. McQuade JD, Breaux R, Mordy AE, et al. Childhood ADHD Symptoms, Parent Emotion Socialization, and Adolescent Peer Problems: Indirect Effects Through Emotion Dysregulation. J Youth Adolesc. 2021 Dec;50(12):2519-32. doi: 10.1007/s10964-021-01510-3. PMID: 34623567. *Intervention* 

3087. McRae-Clark AL, Carter RE, Killeen TK, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of atomoxetine in marijuana-dependent individuals with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Addict. 2010 Nov-Dec;19(6):481-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1521-0391.2010.00076.x. PMID: 20958842. *Population* 

3088. McReynolds CJ, Villalpando LS, Britt CE. Using neurofeedback to improve ADHD symptoms in school-aged children. NeuroRegulation. 2018;5(4):109-28. doi: 10.15540/nr.5.4.109. *Intervention* 

3089. McVey AJ, Schiltz HK, Haendel AD, et al. Social difficulties in youth with autism with and without anxiety and ADHD symptoms. Autism Res. 2018 Dec;11(12):1679-89. doi: 10.1002/aur.2039. PMID: 30475451. *Population* 

3090. McVoy M, Lytle S, Fulchiero E, et al. A systematic review of quantitative EEG as a possible biomarker in child psychiatric disorders. Psychiatry Res. 2019 Sep;279:331-44. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.07.004. PMID: 31300243. *Population* 

3091. McWilliams S, Zhou T, Stockler S, et al. Sleep as an outcome measure in ADHD Randomized Controlled Trials: A scoping review. Sleep Medicine. 2022;100:S183. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2022.05.493. *Design* 

3092. Meaux TA, McMahon PM, Jones GN, et al. Association of alopecia areata with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder stimulant medication: A case-control study. Ochsner Journal. 2021;21(2):139-42. doi: 10.31486/toj.20.0025. *Intervention* 

3093. Mechler K, Banaschewski T, Hohmann S, et al. Evidence-based pharmacological treatment options for ADHD in children and adolescents. Pharmacol Ther. 2021 Jun 23:107940. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2021.107940. PMID: 34174276. *Design* 

3094. Mechler K, Häge A, Schweinfurth N, et al. Glutamatergic Agents in the Treatment of Compulsivity and Impulsivity in Child and Adolescent Psychiatry: a Systematic Review of the Literature. Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother. 2018 May;46(3):246-63. doi: 10.1024/1422-4917/a000546. PMID: 28922069. *Population* 

3095. Mechler K, Krömer T, Landauer M, et al. Screening for ADHD-Related Symptoms in Preschoolers Should Be Considered—Results From a Representative Sample of 5-Year-Olds From a German Metropolitan Region. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2018;9. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00612. *Intervention* 

3096. Medicine IUSo, University I. Quetiapine and Concerta in the Treatment for ADHD and Aggressive Behavior. 2004. *Intervention* 

3097. Medicine TCCoN, Canada H, Addiction Cf, et al. The Safety and Efficacy of a Compound Natural Health Product in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2013. *Power* 

3098. Medina JA, Netto TL, Muszkat M, et al. Exercise impact on sustained attention of ADHD children, methylphenidate effects. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2010 Mar;2(1):49-58. doi: 10.1007/s12402-009-0018-y. PMID: 21432590. *Intervention* 

3099. Medina R, Bouhaben J, de Ramón I, et al. Electrophysiological Brain Changes Associated With Cognitive Improvement in a Pediatric Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Digital Artificial Intelligence-Driven Intervention: Randomized Controlled Trial. J Med Internet Res. 2021 Nov 26;23(11):e25466. doi: 10.2196/25466. PMID: 34842533. *Power* 

3100. Medrano Nava E, Flores-Lázaro JC, Nicolini Sánchez H, et al. Effects of comorbidity on executive functions among children with ADHD, finding trends. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2022 Nov 17:1-13. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2022.2135440. PMID: 36395527. *Outcome* 

3101. Meeuwsen M, Perra O, van Goozen SHM, et al. Informants' ratings of activity level in infancy predict ADHD symptoms and diagnoses in childhood. Dev Psychopathol. 2018 Oct 15:1-15. doi: 10.1017/s0954579418000597. PMID: 30319083. *Intervention* 

3102. Meeves S, Castelli M, Komaroff M, et al. 3.1 Population Pharmacokinetic-Pharmacodynamic Modeling of Variable Wear Times for a Dextroamphetamine Transdermal System. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S228. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.280. *Timing* 

3103. Mehrabi-Taleghani S, Taheri H, Mashhadi A, et al. Comparing the effects of consistent and inconsistent physical activities on decreasing the symptoms in students featuring attention

deficit/hyperactivity disorder syndrome. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health. 2018;11(Special Issue):S702. *Power* 

3104. Mehta MA, Goodyer IM, Sahakian BJ. Methylphenidate improves working memory and set-shifting in AD/HD: relationships to baseline memory capacity. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2004 Feb;45(2):293-305. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2004.00221.x. PMID: 14982243. *Timing* 

3105. Mehta S, Shah D, Shah K, et al. Peer-mediated multimodal intervention program for the treatment of children with ADHD in India: one-year followup. ISRN Pediatr. 2012;2012:419168. doi: 10.5402/2012/419168. PMID: 23316384. *Outcome* 

3106. Meier SM, Pavlova B, Dalsgaard S, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and anxiety disorders as precursors of bipolar disorder onset in adulthood. Br J Psychiatry. 2018 Sep;213(3):555-60. doi: 10.1192/bjp.2018.111. PMID: 29925436. *Intervention* 

3107. Meinzer MC, Felton JW, Oddo LE, et al. Do ADHD Symptoms and Relationship Quality With Mothers and Best Friends Across High School Predict Depressive Symptoms for Adolescents? J Atten Disord. 2021 Oct;25(12):1699-711. doi: 10.1177/1087054720923088. PMID: 32506994. *Intervention* 

3108. Meinzer MC, Hartley CM, Hoogesteyn K, et al. Development and Open Trial of a Depression Preventive Intervention for Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Cogn Behav Pract. 2018 May;25(2):225-39. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpra.2017.05.006. PMID: 31787832. *Intervention* 

3109. Meisel V, Servera M, Garcia-Banda G, et al. Neurofeedback and standard pharmacological intervention in ADHD: a randomized controlled trial with six-month follow-up. Biol Psychol. 2013 Sep;94(1):12-21. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2013.04.015. PMID: 23665196. *Power* 

3110. Melanie Palmer ESZF. A systematic review of screening tools for ADHD in children and young people with intellectual disability. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021289180. 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=289180. *Design* 

3111. Melegari MG, Sacco R, Manzi B, et al. Deficient Emotional Self-Regulation in Preschoolers With ADHD: Identification, Comorbidity, and Interpersonal Functioning. J Atten Disord. 2019 Jun;23(8):887-99. doi: 10.1177/1087054715622015. PMID: 26744314. *Intervention* 

3112. Melegari MG, Sette S, Vittori E, et al. Relations between sleep and temperament in preschool children with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Feb 2020;24(4):535-44. *Intervention* 

3113. Melendez R, Bechor M, Rey Y, et al. Attentional Control Scale for Children: Factor Structure and Concurrent Validity Among Children and Adolescents Referred for Anxiety Disorders. J Clin Psychol. 2017 Apr;73(4):489-99. doi: 10.1002/jclp.22346. PMID: 27459398. *Population* 

3114. Mellor D, Cheng W, McCabe M, et al. The use of the SDQ with Chinese adolescents in the clinical context. Psychiatry Res. 2016 Dec 30;246:520-6. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2016.10.034. PMID: 27821363. *Intervention* 

3115. Memarmoghaddam M, Torbati HT, Sohrabi M, et al. Effects of a selected exercise programon executive function of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Med Life. 2016 Oct-Dec;9(4):373-9. PMID: 27928441. *Power* 

3116. Memon AM. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Treatment of Adolescent Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Narrative Review of Literature. Innov Clin Neurosci. 2021 Jan-Mar;18(1-3):43-6. PMID: 34150364. *Design* 

3117. Menegassi M, Mello ED, Guimarães LR, et al. Food intake and serum levels of iron in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Braz J Psychiatry. 2010 Jun;32(2):132-8. doi: 10.1590/s1516-44462009005000008. PMID: 19838594. *Intervention* 

3118. Menendez-García A, Jiménez-Arroyo A, Rodrigo-Yanguas M, et al. Internet, video game and mobile phone addiction in children and adolescents diagnosed with ADHD: a case-control study. Adicciones. 2020 Dec 4;0(0):1469. doi: 10.20882/adicciones.1469. PMID: 33338245. *Intervention* 

3119. Menezes A, Dias NM, Trevisan BT, et al. Intervention for executive functions in attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2015 Mar;73(3):227-36. doi: 10.1590/0004-282X20140225. PMID: 25807129. *Power* 

3120. Mercier C, Roche S, Gaillard S, et al. Partial validation of a French version of the ADHDrating scale IV on a French population of children with ADHD and epilepsy. Factorial structure, reliability, and responsiveness. Epilepsy Behav. 2016 May;58:1-6. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.02.016. PMID: 26991745. *Outcome* 

3121. Merkel RL, Cox DJ, Kovatchev B, et al. The EEG consistency index as a measure of ADHD and responsiveness to medication. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2000 Sep;25(3):133-42. doi: 10.1023/a:1009570923927. PMID: 10999232. *Population* 

3122. Merker S, Reif A, Ziegler GC, et al. SLC2A3 single-nucleotide polymorphism and duplication influence cognitive processing and population-specific risk for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017 Jul;58(7):798-809. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12702. PMID: 28224622. *Intervention* 

3123. Merkt J, Siniatchkin M, Petermann F. Neuropsychological Measures in the Diagnosis of ADHD in Preschool: Can Developmental Research Inform Diagnostic Practice? J Atten Disord. 2020 Sep;24(11):1588-604. doi: 10.1177/1087054716629741. PMID: 27006414. *Design* 

3124. Merrell C, Sayal K, Tymms P, et al. A longitudinal study of the association between inattention, hyperactivity and impulsivity and children's academic attainment at age 11. Learning and Individual Differences. 2017 Jan 2017;53:156-61. *Intervention* 

3125. Merrill BM, Morrow AS, Altszuler AR, et al. Improving homework performance among children with ADHD: A randomized clinical trial. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2017 Feb;85(2):111-22. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000144. PMID: 27618639. *Power* 

3126. Merrill BM, Raiker JS, Evans SW, et al. Cognitive mechanisms of methylphenidate in ADHD: Do improvements in sustained attention mediate behavioral improvements in the natural environment? Child Neuropsychol. 2021 May;27(4):425-46. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2020.1862074. PMID: 33525966. *Comparator* 

3127. Mesrobian SK, Villa AEP, Bader M, et al. Event-Related Potentials during a Gambling Task in Young Adults with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Hum Neurosci. 2018;12:79. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00079. PMID: 29535621. *Population* 

3128. Meßler CF, Holmberg HC, Sperlich B. Multimodal Therapy Involving High-Intensity Interval Training Improves the Physical Fitness, Motor Skills, Social Behavior, and Quality of Life of Boys With ADHD: A Randomized Controlled Study. J Atten Disord. 2018 Jun;22(8):806-12. doi: 10.1177/1087054716636936. PMID: 27013028. *Power* 

3129. Meyer A, Kegley M, Klein DN. Overprotective Parenting Mediates the Relationship Between Early Childhood ADHD and Anxiety Symptoms: Evidence From a Cross-Sectional and Longitudinal Study. J Atten Disord. 2022 Jan;26(2):319-27. doi: 10.1177/1087054720978552. PMID: 33402046. *Outcome* 

3130. Meyer EM, Reynolds MR. Scores in space: Multidimensional scaling of the WISC-V. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment. 2018 Sep 2018;36(6):562-75. *Intervention* 

3131. Meyer K, Kelley ML. Improving Homework in Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Self vs. Parent Monitoring of Homework Behavior and Study Skills. Child & Family Behavior Therapy. 2007 08/21/;29(4):25-42. PMID: EJ783483. *Power* 

3132. Meyer KN, Santillana R, Miller B, et al. Computer-based inhibitory control training in children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Evidence for behavioral and neural impact. PLoS One. 2020;15(11):e0241352. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0241352. PMID: 33253237. *Power* 

3133. Meyers J, Classi P, Wietecha L, et al. Economic burden and comorbidities of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder among pediatric patients hospitalized in the United States. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2010;4. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-4-31. *Intervention* 

3134. Meyers J, Classi P, Wietecha LA, et al. The burden of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) on patients hospitalized with a primary diagnosis of oppositional defiant disorder (ODD). Value in Health. 2010;13(3):A182. doi: 10.1016/S1098-3015(10)72888-6. *Intervention* 

3135. Meyers J, Gajria K, Candrilli SD, et al. The impact of adjunctive guanfacine extended release on stimulant adherence in children/adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Comp Eff Res. 2017 Mar;6(2):109-25. doi: 10.2217/cer-2016-0039. PMID: 28118752. *Intervention* 

3136. Meyers KJ, Upadhyaya HP, Goodloe R, et al. Evaluation of dystonia in children and adolescents treated with atomoxetine within the Truven MarketScan database: a retrospective cohort study. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2018 May;17(5):467-73. doi: 10.1080/14740338.2018.1462333. PMID: 29625537. *Intervention* 

3137. Mhalla A, Guedria A, Brahem T, et al. ADHD in Tunisian Adolescents: Prevalence and Associated Factors. J Atten Disord. 2018 Jan;22(2):154-62. doi: 10.1177/1087054717702217. PMID: 28381094. *Intervention* 

3138. Mian A, Jansen PW, Nguyen AN, et al. Children's Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms Predict Lower Diet Quality but Not Vice Versa: Results from Bidirectional

Analyses in a Population-Based Cohort. J Nutr. 2019 Apr 1;149(4):642-8. doi: 10.1093/jn/nxy273. PMID: 30915449. *Intervention* 

3139. Miano S, Donfrancesco R, Bruni O, et al. NREM sleep instability is reduced in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Sleep. 2006 Jun;29(6):797-803. PMID: 16796218. *Outcome* 

3140. Michel JJ, Mayne S, Grundmeier RW, et al. Sharing of ADHD Information between Parents and Teachers Using an EHR-Linked Application. Appl Clin Inform. 2018 Oct;9(4):892-904. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1676087. PMID: 30566963. *Population* 

3141. Michelson D, Read HA, Ruff DD, et al. CYP2D6 and clinical response to atomoxetine in children and adolescents with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Feb;46(2):242-51. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000246056.83791.b6. PMID: 17242628. *Design* 

3142. Michelson D, Read HA, Ruff DD, et al. CYP2D60 and Clinical Response to Atomoxetine in Children and Adolescents with ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007 02/01/;46(2):242-51. PMID: EJ754853. *Duplicate* 

3143. Mick E, Byrne D, Fried R, et al. Predictors of ADHD persistence in girls at 5-year followup. J Atten Disord. 2011 Apr;15(3):183-92. doi: 10.1177/1087054710362217. PMID: 20332414. *Intervention* 

3144. Micoulaud-Franchi JA, Weibel S, Weiss M, et al. Validation of the French Version of the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Self-Report in a Large Cohort of Adult Patients With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2019 Aug;23(10):1148-59. doi: 10.1177/1087054718797434. PMID: 30191748. *Population* 

3145. Middeldorp CM, Hammerschlag AR, Ouwens KG, et al. A Genome-Wide Association Meta-Analysis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in Population-Based Pediatric Cohorts. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Oct;55(10):896-905.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.05.025. PMID: 27663945. *Population* 

3146. Mikami AY, Cox DJ, Davis MT, et al. Sex differences in effectiveness of extendedrelease stimulant medication among adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychol Med Settings. 2009 Sep;16(3):233-42. doi: 10.1007/s10880-009-9165-8. PMID: 19418208. *Comparator* 

3147. Mikami AY, Hinshaw SP. Resilient adolescent adjustment among girls: buffers of childhood peer rejection and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2006 Dec;34(6):825-39. doi: 10.1007/s10802-006-9062-7. PMID: 17051436. *Intervention* 

3148. Mikami AY, Jack A, Emeh CC, et al. Parental influence on children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: I. Relationships between parent behaviors and child peer status. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2010 Aug;38(6):721-36. doi: 10.1007/s10802-010-9393-2. PMID: 20339912. *Intervention* 

3149. Mikami AY, Lerner MD, Griggs MS, et al. Parental influence on children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: II. Results of a pilot intervention training parents as friendship coaches for children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2010 Aug;38(6):737-49. doi: 10.1007/s10802-010-9403-4. PMID: 20339911. *Power*  3150. Mikami AY, Mercer SH. Teacher behaviors toward children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder predict peers' initial liking and disliking impressions in a summer camp setting. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology. 2017 Jun 2017;36(6):506-34. *Design* 

3151. Mikami AY, Owens JS, Evans SW, et al. Promoting Classroom Social and Academic Functioning among Children at Risk for ADHD: The MOSAIC Program. Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology. 2021:1-14. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2021.1929250. *Population* 

3152. Miklavcic JJ, Ivity E, MacDonald IM, et al. AA and DHA are decreased in paediatric AD/HD and inattention is ameliorated by increased plasma DHA. Human Nutrition and Metabolism. 2023;31. doi: 10.1016/j.hnm.2022.200183. *Outcome* 

3153. Miklos M, Komaromy D, Futo J, et al. Acute Physical Activity, Executive Function, and Attention Performance in Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Typically Developing Children: An Experimental Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Jun 7;17(11). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17114071. PMID: 32517384. *Timing* 

3154. Miklós M, Komáromy D, Futó J, et al. Effects of acute physical activity on executive functions requiring inhibition among children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. European Psychiatry. 2022;65:S143. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.387. *Design* 

3155. Milberger S, Biederman J, Faraone SV, et al. Is maternal smoking during pregnancy a risk factor for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children? Am J Psychiatry. 1996 Sep;153(9):1138-42. doi: 10.1176/ajp.153.9.1138. PMID: 8780415. *Intervention* 

3156. Milberger S, Biederman J, Faraone SV, et al. Further evidence of an association between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and cigarette smoking. Findings from a high-risk sample of siblings. Am J Addict. 1997 Summer;6(3):205-17. PMID: 9256986. *Population* 

3157. Milich R, Pelham WE. Effects of sugar ingestion on the classroom and playground behavior of attention deficit disordered boys. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1986 Oct;54(5):714-8. doi: 10.1037//0022-006x.54.5.714. PMID: 3771891. *Design* 

3158. Mill J, Caspi A, Williams BS, et al. Prediction of heterogeneity in intelligence and adult prognosis by genetic polymorphisms in the dopamine system among children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: evidence from 2 birth cohorts. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2006 Apr;63(4):462-9. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.63.4.462. PMID: 16585476. *Intervention* 

3159. Millenet S, Laucht M, Hohm E, et al. Sex-specific trajectories of ADHD symptoms from adolescence to young adulthood. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Aug;27(8):1067-75. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1129-9. PMID: 29497857. *Intervention* 

3160. Miller CJ, Brooker B. Mindfulness programming for parents and teachers of children with ADHD. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2017 Aug;28:108-15. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2017.05.015. PMID: 28779917. *Comparator* 

3161. Miller CJ, Flory JD, Miller SR, et al. Childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and the emergence of personality disorders in adolescence: a prospective follow-up study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008 Sep;69(9):1477-84. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v69n0916. PMID: 19193347. *Intervention* 

3162. Miller CJ, Miller SR, Newcorn JH, et al. Personality characteristics associated with persistent ADHD in late adolescence. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2008 Feb;36(2):165-73. doi: 10.1007/s10802-007-9167-7. PMID: 17701339. *Intervention* 

3163. Miller M, Austin S, Iosif AM, et al. Shared and distinct developmental pathways to ASD and ADHD phenotypes among infants at familial risk. Dev Psychopathol. 2020 Oct;32(4):1323-34. doi: 10.1017/s0954579420000735. PMID: 32933597. *Intervention* 

3164. Miller M, Hinshaw SP. Does childhood executive function predict adolescent functional outcomes in girls with ADHD? J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2010 Apr;38(3):315-26. doi: 10.1007/s10802-009-9369-2. PMID: 19960365. *Intervention* 

3165. Miller M, Iosif AM, Young GS, et al. Early Detection of ADHD: Insights From Infant Siblings of Children With Autism. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018 Sep-Oct;47(5):737-44. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2016.1220314. PMID: 27732091. *Population* 

3166. Miller-Horn JW, Kaleyias J, Valencia I, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of ADHD medications in a clinical practice. Journal of Pediatric Neurology. 2008;6(1):5-10. *Design* 

3167. Millichap JG, Yee MM, Davidson SI. Serum ferritin in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Pediatr Neurol. 2006 Mar;34(3):200-3. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2005.09.001. PMID: 16504789. *Intervention* 

3168. Mills S, Langley K, Van den Bree M, et al. No evidence of association between Catechol-O-Methyltransferase (COMT) Val158Met genotype and performance on neuropsychological tasks in children with ADHD: a case-control study. BMC Psychiatry. 2004 Jun 7;4:15. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-4-15. PMID: 15182372. *Intervention* 

3169. Milte CM PN, Buckley JD, et al. Eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids, cognition, and behavior in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrition. 2012 Jun;28(6):670-7. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2011.12.009. *Power* 

3170. Milte CM PN, Buckley JD, et al. . Increased Erythrocyte Eicosapentaenoic Acid and Docosahexaenoic Acid Are Associated With Improved Attention and Behavior in Children With ADHD in a Randomized Controlled Three-Way Crossover Trial. J Atten Disord. 2015 Nov;19(11):954-64. doi: 10.1177/1087054713510562. *Power* 

3171. Min A, Kim JI, Noh HJ, et al. A Novel Robot-Assisted Kinematic Measure for Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Preliminary Study. Psychiatry Investig. 2021 Jul;18(7):645-51. doi: 10.30773/pi.2021.0036. PMID: 34265198. *Intervention* 

3172. Minder F, Zuberer A, Brandeis D, et al. A Review of the Clinical Utility of Systematic Behavioral Observations in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2018 Aug;49(4):572-606. doi: 10.1007/s10578-017-0776-2. PMID: 29214372. *Intervention* 

3173. Minder F, Zuberer A, Brandeis D, et al. Specific Effects of Individualized Cognitive Training in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): The Role of Pre-Training Cognitive Impairment and Individual Training Performance. Dev Neurorehabil. 2019 Aug;22(6):400-14. doi: 10.1080/17518423.2019.1600064. PMID: 31021250. *Comparator* 

3174. Mir Mohammad Jalali RSTHSSZS. Impact of SLC6A3 polymorphism on treatment response in child patients with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017064257. 2017. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=64257. *Outcome* 

3175. Miranda A, Presentacion MJ, Siegenthaler R, et al. Effects of a psychosocial intervention on the executive functioning in children with ADHD. J Learn Disabil. 2013 Jul-Aug;46(4):363-76. doi: 10.1177/0022219411427349. PMID: 22064952. *Power* 

3176. Miranda A, Presentacion MJ, Soriano M. Effectiveness of a school-based multicomponent program for the treatment of children with ADHD. J Learn Disabil. 2002 Nov-Dec;35(6):546-62. doi: 10.1177/00222194020350060601. PMID: 15493252. *Power* 

3177. Mishra J, Lowenstein M, Campusano R, et al. Closed-Loop Neurofeedback of α Synchrony during Goal-Directed Attention. J Neurosci. 2021 Jun 30;41(26):5699-710. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.3235-20.2021. PMID: 34021043. *Population* 

3178. Mishra J, Sagar R, Joseph AA, et al. Training sensory signal-to-noise resolution in children with ADHD in a global mental health setting. Transl Psychiatry. 2016 Apr 12;6(4):e781. doi: 10.1038/tp.2016.45. PMID: 27070409. *Intervention* 

3179. Mitchell HM, Park G, Hammond CJ. Are non-abstinent reductions in World Health Organization drinking risk level a valid treatment target for alcohol use disorders in adolescents with ADHD? Addict Behav Rep. 2020 Dec;12:100312. doi: 10.1016/j.abrep.2020.100312. PMID: 33364320. *Intervention* 

3180. Mitchell JT, McIntyre EM, English JS, et al. A Pilot Trial of Mindfulness Meditation Training for ADHD in Adulthood: Impact on Core Symptoms, Executive Functioning, and Emotion Dysregulation. J Atten Disord. 2017 Nov;21(13):1105-20. doi: 10.1177/1087054713513328. PMID: 24305060. *Population* 

3181. Miyake K, Miyashita C, Ikeda-Araki A, et al. DNA methylation of GFI1 as a mediator of the association between prenatal smoking exposure and ADHD symptoms at 6 years: the Hokkaido Study on Environment and Children's Health. Clin Epigenetics. 2021 Apr 7;13(1):74. doi: 10.1186/s13148-021-01063-z. PMID: 33827680. *Population* 

3182. Miyazaki M, Ito H, Saijo T, et al. Favorable response of ADHD with giant SEP to extended-release valproate. Brain Dev. 2006 Aug;28(7):470-2. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2006.01.005. PMID: 16554135. *Intervention* 

3183. Mizuno Y, Cai W, Supekar K, et al. Methylphenidate Enhances Spontaneous Fluctuations in Reward and Cognitive Control Networks in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2023 Mar;8(3):271-80. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2022.10.001. PMID: 36717325. *Outcome* 

3184. Mizuno Y, Cai W, Supekar K, et al. P57. Methylphenidate Enhances Spontaneous Fluctuations in Reward and Cognitive Control Networks in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Control Trial. Biological Psychiatry. 2022;91(9):S110. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2022.02.291. *Outcome* 

3185. Mizuno Y, Cai W, Supekar K, et al. Methylphenidate remediates aberrant brain network dynamics in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A randomized controlled trial. Neuroimage. 2022 Aug 15;257:119332. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119332. PMID: 35640787. *Power* 

3186. Modi NB, Lindemulder B, Gupta SK. Single- and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of an oral once-a-day osmotic controlled-release OROS (methylphenidate HCl) formulation. J Clin

Pharmacol. 2000 Apr;40(4):379-88. doi: 10.1177/00912700022009080. PMID: 10761165. *Population* 

3187. Modi NB, Wang B, Noveck RJ, et al. Dose-proportional and stereospecific pharmacokinetics of methylphenidate delivered using an osmotic, controlled-release oral delivery system. J Clin Pharmacol. 2000 Oct;40(10):1141-9. PMID: 11028253. *Population* 

3188. Moen MD, Keam SJ. Dexmethylphenidate extended release: a review of its use in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. CNS Drugs. 2009 Dec;23(12):1057-83. doi: 10.2165/11201140-00000000-00000. PMID: 19958043. *Design* 

3189. Moffitt TE, Houts R, Asherson P, et al. Is Adult ADHD a Childhood-Onset Neurodevelopmental Disorder? Evidence From a Four-Decade Longitudinal Cohort Study. Am J Psychiatry. 2015 Oct;172(10):967-77. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.14101266. PMID: 25998281. *Population* 

3190. Moggi F, Schorno D, Soravia LM, et al. Screened Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder as a Predictor of Substance Use Initiation and Escalation in Early Adulthood and the Role of Self-Reported Conduct Disorder and Sensation Seeking: A 5-Year Longitudinal Study with Young Adult Swiss Men. Eur Addict Res. 2020;26(4-5):233-44. doi: 10.1159/000508304. PMID: 32564034. *Population* 

3191. Moghaddam MF, Shamekhi M, Rakhshani T. Effectiveness of methylphenidate and PUFA for the treatment of patients with ADHD: A double-blinded randomized clinical trial. Electron Physician. 2017 May;9(5):4412-8. doi: 10.19082/4412. PMID: 28713515. *Population* 

3192. Mohagheghi A, Amiri S, Moghaddasi Bonab N, et al. A Randomized Trial of Comparing the Efficacy of Two Neurofeedback Protocols for Treatment of Clinical and Cognitive Symptoms of ADHD: Theta Suppression/Beta Enhancement and Theta Suppression/Alpha Enhancement. Biomed Res Int. 2017;2017:3513281. doi: 10.1155/2017/3513281. PMID: 28321406. *Power* 

3193. Mohammadi MR, Ghanizadeh A, Alaghband-Rad J, et al. Selegiline in comparison with methylphenidate in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder children and adolescents in a doubleblind, randomized clinical trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2004 Fall;14(3):418-25. doi: 10.1089/cap.2004.14.418. PMID: 15650498. *Power* 

3194. Mohammadi MR, Kashani L, Akhondzadeh S, et al. Efficacy of theophylline compared to methylphenidate for the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a pilot double-blind randomized trial. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2004 Apr;29(2):139-44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2710.2004.00545.x. PMID: 15068402. *Power* 

3195. Mohammadi MR, Malmir N, Khaleghi A. Comparison of Sensorimotor Rhythm (SMR) and beta training on selective attention and symptoms in children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A Trend Report. Iranian Journal of Psychiatry. 2015;10(3):165-74. *Intervention* 

3196. Mohammadi MR, Mostafavi SA, Hooshyari Z, et al. Body Mass Index Status across Different Psychiatric Disorders in a National Survey amongst Children and Adolescents: To Identify the Role of Gender. Iran J Psychiatry. 2019 Oct;14(4):253-64. PMID: 32071598. *Population* 

3197. Mohammadi MR, Soleimani AA, Ahmadi N, et al. A Comparison of Effectiveness of Parent Behavioral Management Training and Methylphenidate on Reduction of Symptomsof Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Acta Med Iran. 2016 Aug;54(8):503-9. PMID: 27701720. *Power* 

3198. Mohammadpour N, Jazayeri S, Tehrani-Doost M, et al. Effect of vitamin D supplementation as adjunctive therapy to methylphenidate on ADHD symptoms: A randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial. Nutr Neurosci. 2018 Apr;21(3):202-9. doi: 10.1080/1028415x.2016.1262097. PMID: 27924679. *Power* 

3199. Mohammadzadeh A, Tehrani-Doost M, Khorrami A, et al. Understanding intentionality in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2016 Jun;8(2):73-8. doi: 10.1007/s12402-015-0187-9. PMID: 26613599. *Intervention* 

3200. Mohammed F. Effects of a Tailored Incredible Years Teacher Classroom Management Programme on On-Task Behaviour of School Children with ADHD in Addis Ababa. Journal of International Special Needs Education. 2018 04/01/;21(1):1-13. PMID: EJ1174895. *Intervention* 

3201. Moharreri F, Khorsand Vakilzadeh A, Soltanifar A, et al. Efficacy of adding acupuncture to Methylphenidate in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A randomized clinical trial. European Journal of Integrative Medicine. 2018;22:62-8. doi: 10.1016/j.eujim.2018.08.003. *Timing* 

3202. Mohr-Jensen C, Steen-Jensen T, Bang-Schnack M, et al. What Do Primary and Secondary School Teachers Know About ADHD in Children? Findings From a Systematic Review and a Representative, Nationwide Sample of Danish Teachers. J Atten Disord. 2019 Feb;23(3):206-19. doi: 10.1177/1087054715599206. PMID: 26297913. *Population* 

3203. Mohr-Jensen C, Steinhausen HC. A meta-analysis and systematic review of the risks associated with childhood attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder on long-term outcome of arrests, convictions, and incarcerations. Clin Psychol Rev. 2016 Aug;48:32-42. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2016.05.002. PMID: 27390061. *Duplicate* 

3204. Möhring W, Klupp S, Grob A. Effects of dual tasking and methylphenidate on gait in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Hum Mov Sci. 2018 Dec;62:48-57. doi: 10.1016/j.humov.2018.09.007. PMID: 30243117. *Intervention* 

3205. Mojahed A, Zaheri Y, Firoozkoohi Moqaddam M. Effectiveness of group psychodrama on aggression and social anxiety of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A randomized clinical trial. Arts in Psychotherapy. 2021;73. doi: 10.1016/j.aip.2021.101756. *Power* 

3206. Mojgan Gitimoghaddam SMLVJ-PC. The impact of physical activity on the cognitive, behavioural and social functions of children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019123655. 2019. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display record.php?RecordID=123655. *Design* 

3207. Mokobane M, Pillay BJ, Meyer A. Behaviour planning and inhibitory control in Sepedispeaking primary school children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. South African Journal of Psychology. 2020 Mar 2020;50(1):11-23. *Intervention* 

3208. Molholm S, Murphy JW, Bates J, et al. Multisensory Audiovisual Processing in Children With a Sensory Processing Disorder (I): Behavioral and Electrophysiological Indices Under

Speeded Response Conditions. Front Integr Neurosci. 2020;14:4. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2020.00004. PMID: 32116583. *Intervention* 

3209. Molife C, Haynes VS, Nyhuis A, et al. Healthcare utilization and costs of children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder initiating atomoxetine versus extended-release guanfacine. Curr Med Res Opin. 2018 Apr;34(4):619-32. doi: 10.1080/03007995.2017.1421918. PMID: 29298540. *Intervention* 

3210. Molina BS, Flory K, Bukstein OG, et al. Feasibility and preliminary efficacy of an afterschool program for middle schoolers with ADHD: a randomized trial in a large public middle school. J Atten Disord. 2008 Nov;12(3):207-17. doi: 10.1177/1087054707311666. PMID: 18192624. *Power* 

3211. Molina BS, Marshal MP, Pelham WE, Jr., et al. Coping skills and parent support mediate the association between childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and adolescent cigarette use. J Pediatr Psychol. 2005 Jun;30(4):345-57. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsi029. PMID: 15863431. *Intervention* 

3212. Molina BS, Pelham WE. Substance use, substance abuse, and LD among adolescents with a childhood history of ADHD. J Learn Disabil. 2001 Jul-Aug;34(4):333-42, 51. doi: 10.1177/002221940103400408. PMID: 15503577. *Intervention* 

3213. Molina BSG. 37.1 A Cluster RCT to Reduce Stimulant Diversion and Associated Risk for Adolescents with ADHD Stimulant-Treated in Primary Care. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S333-S4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.763. *Design* 

3214. Molina BSG, Flory K, Bukstein OG, et al. Feasibility and Preliminary Efficacy of an After-School Program for Middle Schoolers with ADHD: A Randomized Trial in a Large Public Middle School. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2008 01/01/;12(3):207-17. PMID: EJ813175. *Duplicate* 

3215. Molina BSG, Flory K, Hinshaw SP, et al. Delinquent behavior and emerging substance use in the MTA at 36 months: prevalence, course, and treatment effects. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Aug;46(8):1028-40. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e3180686d96. PMID: 17667481. *Duplicate* 

3216. Molina BSG, Hinshaw SP, Arnold LE, et al. Adolescent Substance Use in the Multimodal Treatment Study of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) (MTA) as a Function of Childhood ADHD, Random Assignment to Childhood Treatments, and Subsequent Medication. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2013 03/01/;52(3):250-63. PMID: EJ1007663. *Duplicate* 

3217. Molina BSG, Hinshaw SP, Swanson JM, et al. The MTA at 8 years: prospective followup of children treated for combined-type ADHD in a multisite study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 May;48(5):484-500. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e31819c23d0. PMID: 19318991. *Duplicate* 

3218. Molina BSG, Joseph HM, Kipp HL, et al. Adolescents Treated for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Pediatric Primary Care: Characterizing Risk for Stimulant Diversion. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2021 Apr 27. doi: 10.1097/dbp.000000000000923. PMID: 33908377. *Intervention*  3219. Molina-Carballo A, Cubero-Millán I, Fernández-López L, et al. Methylphenidate ameliorates the homeostatic balance between levels of kynurenines in ADHD children. Psychiatry Res. 2021 Sep;303:114060. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.114060. PMID: 34175711. *Intervention* 

3220. Molitor SJ, Langberg JM, Bourchtein E, et al. Writing abilities longitudinally predict academic outcomes of adolescents with ADHD. Sch Psychol Q. 2016 Sep;31(3):393-404. doi: 10.1037/spq0000143. PMID: 26783650. *Intervention* 

3221. Monastra VJ. Electroencephalographic biofeedback (neurotherapy) as a treatment for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: rationale and empirical foundation. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2005 Jan;14(1):55-82, vi. doi: 10.1016/j.chc.2004.07.004. PMID: 15564052. *Design* 

3222. Monastra VJ, Lubar JF, Linden M. The development of a quantitative electroencephalographic scanning process for attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder: reliability and validity studies. Neuropsychology. 2001 Jan;15(1):136-44. doi: 10.1037//0894-4105.15.1.136. PMID: 11216884. *Population* 

3223. Monastra VJ, Lubar JF, Linden M, et al. Assessing attention deficit hyperactivity disorder via quantitative electroencephalography: an initial validation study. Neuropsychology. 1999 Jul;13(3):424-33. doi: 10.1037/0894-4105.13.3.424. PMID: 10447303. *Population* 

3224. Monastra VJ, Monastra DM, George S. The effects of stimulant therapy, EEG biofeedback, and parenting style on the primary symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2002 Dec;27(4):231-49. doi: 10.1023/a:1021018700609. PMID: 12557451. *Population* 

3225. Montejo JE, Durán M, Del Mar Martínez M, et al. Family Functioning and Parental Bonding During Childhood in Adults Diagnosed With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2019 Jan;23(1):57-64. doi: 10.1177/1087054715596578. PMID: 26306796. *Population* 

3226. Montiel-Nava C, Montiel-Barbero I, Peña JA. [Clinical presentation of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder as a function of the gender]. Invest Clin. 2007 Dec;48(4):459-68. PMID: 18271391. *Intervention* 

3227. Montoya A, Escobar R, García-Polavieja MJ, et al. Changes of urine dihydroxyphenylglycol to norepinephrine ratio in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) treated with atomoxetine. J Child Neurol. 2011 Jan;26(1):31-6. doi: 10.1177/0883073810371981. PMID: 20525942. *Outcome* 

3228. Monuteaux MC, Faraone SV, Michelle Gross L, et al. Predictors, clinical characteristics, and outcome of conduct disorder in girls with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a longitudinal study. Psychol Med. 2007 Dec;37(12):1731-41. doi: 10.1017/s0033291707000529. PMID: 17451627. *Intervention* 

3229. Monuteaux MC, Mick E, Faraone SV, et al. The influence of sex on the course and psychiatric correlates of ADHD from childhood to adolescence: a longitudinal study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2010 Mar;51(3):233-41. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02152.x. PMID: 19769586. *Intervention* 

3230. Monuteaux MC, Spencer TJ, Faraone SV, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial of bupropion for the prevention of smoking in children and adolescents with attention-

deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2007 Jul;68(7):1094-101. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v68n0718. PMID: 17685748. *Power* 

3231. Moore CM, Biederman J, Wozniak J, et al. Differences in brain chemistry in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with and without comorbid bipolar disorder: a proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. Am J Psychiatry. 2006 Feb;163(2):316-8. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.163.2.316. PMID: 16449488. *Outcome* 

3232. Moore JA, Karch K, Sherina V, et al. Practice procedures in models of primary care collaboration for children with ADHD. Fam Syst Health. 2018 Mar;36(1):73-86. doi: 10.1037/fsh0000314. PMID: 29215904. *Intervention* 

3233. Mora T, Puig-Junoy J, Jacobs R, et al. Non-adult ADHD Cost of Illness: Population Study in Catalonia (Spain). Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics. 2022;25(SUPPL 1):S22-S3. *Outcome* 

3234. Moradi J, Jalali S, Bucci MP. Effects of balance training on postural control of children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Iranian Journal of Pediatrics. 2020;30(4):1-6. doi: 10.5812/ijp.95542. *Outcome* 

3235. Moradi N, Rajabi S, Mansouri Nejad A. The effect of neurofeedback training combined with computer cognitive games on the time perception, attention, and working memory in children with ADHD. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2022 Aug 24:1-13. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2022.2112679. PMID: 36002025. *Comparator* 

3236. Moraes PCB, Damásio BF, de Lima GCM, et al. Parent-teacher report reliability on the fourth edition of the Swanson, Nolan and Pelham scale in a Brazilian clinical sample of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Revista de Psiquiatria Clinica. 2020;47(2):35-9. doi: 10.1590/0101-6083000000228. *Language* 

3237. Morales DR, Slattery J, Evans S, et al. Antidepressant use during pregnancy and risk of autism spectrum disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: systematic review of observational studies and methodological considerations. BMC Med. 2018 Jan 15;16(1):6. doi: 10.1186/s12916-017-0993-3. PMID: 29332605. *Intervention* 

3238. Morales-Hidalgo P, Hernández-Martínez C, Vera M, et al. Psychometric properties of the Conners-3 and Conners Early Childhood Indexes in a Spanish school population. Int J Clin Health Psychol. 2017 Jan-Apr;17(1):85-96. doi: 10.1016/j.ijchp.2016.07.003. PMID: 30487884. *Outcome* 

3239. Morales-Muñoz I, Paavonen EJ, Kantojärvi K, et al. Genetic background to ADHD and ADHD symptoms at the age of five years: the role of sleep duration. Sleep. 2023 Mar 1. doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsad047. PMID: 36861221. *Design* 

3240. Moran A, Serban N, Danielson ML, et al. Adherence to Recommended Care Guidelines in the Treatment of Preschool-Age Medicaid-Enrolled Children With a Diagnosis of ADHD. Psychiatr Serv. 2019 Jan 1;70(1):26-34. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800204. PMID: 30373494. *Intervention* 

3241. Morand MK, Meller PJ, Theodore SL, et al. The effects of mixed martial arts on behavior of male children with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (Doctoral Dissertation): Hofstra University; 2004. *Design* 

3242. Morand-Beaulieu S, Smith SD, Ibrahim K, et al. Electrophysiological signatures of inhibitory control in children with Tourette syndrome and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Cortex. 2022 Feb;147:157-68. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2021.12.006. PMID: 35042055. *Intervention* 

3243. Morash-Conway J, Gendron M, Corkum P. The role of sleep quality and quantity in moderating the effectiveness of medication in the treatment of children with ADHD. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2017 Mar;9(1):31-8. doi: 10.1007/s12402-016-0204-7. PMID: 27515452. *Power* 

3244. Moreira-Maia CR, Massuti R, Tessari L, et al. Are ADHD medications under or over prescribed worldwide?: Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018 Jun;97(24):e10923. doi: 10.1097/md.0000000000000010923. PMID: 29901582. *Population* 

3245. Moreno-García I, Delgado-Pardo G, Camacho-Vara de Rey C, et al. Neurofeedback, pharmacological treatment and behavioral therapy in hyperactivity: Multilevel analysis of treatment effects on electroencephalography. Int J Clin Health Psychol. 2015 Sep-Dec;15(3):217-25. doi: 10.1016/j.ijchp.2015.04.003. PMID: 30487839. *Power* 

3246. Moreno-García I, Meneres-Sancho S, Camacho-Vara de Rey C, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial to Examine the Posttreatment Efficacy of Neurofeedback, Behavior Therapy, and Pharmacology on ADHD Measures. J Atten Disord. 2019 Feb;23(4):374-83. doi: 10.1177/1087054717693371. PMID: 29254414. *Power* 

3247. Morgan AE, Hynd GW, Riccio CA, et al. Validity of DSM-IV ADHD predominantly inattentive and combined types: relationship to previous DSM diagnoses/subtype differences. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Mar;35(3):325-33. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199603000-00014. PMID: 8714321. *Outcome* 

3248. Morgan D, Anupindi V, Faraone S, et al. Early real-world utilization of JORNAY PM (delayed-release/extended-release methylphenidate) for the treatment of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: Demographic, dosing, and persistence data from a large US claims database analysis. Journal of Managed Care and Specialty Pharmacy. 2022;28(10):S65. *Design* 

3249. Morgan JE, Lee SS, Loo SK, et al. Pathways from Birth Weight to ADHD Symptoms through Fluid Reasoning in Youth with or without Intellectual Disability. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2018 May;46(4):729-39. doi: 10.1007/s10802-017-0341-2. PMID: 28819875. *Intervention* 

3250. Morgan PL, Hillemeier MM, Farkas G, et al. Racial/ethnic disparities in ADHD diagnosis by kindergarten entry. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2014 Aug;55(8):905-13. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12204. PMID: 24456307. *Intervention* 

3251. Morgan PL, Staff J, Hillemeier MM, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in ADHD diagnosis from kindergarten to eighth grade. Pediatrics. 2013 Jul;132(1):85-93. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-2390. PMID: 23796743. *Intervention* 

3252. Morishima A, Zhang R, Nagaoka T, et al. Useful Cases of Patients With Developmental Disorders Improved by Oral Administration of LPS Derived from Pantoea agglomerans. Anticancer Res. 2020 Aug;40(8):4755-62. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.14477. PMID: 32727802. *Intervention*
3253. Mørkrid L, Qiao ZG, Reichelt KL. Effect of methylphenidate on skin conductance in hyperactive children and its relationship to urinary peptides. J Oslo City Hosp. 1987 Apr;37(4):35-40. PMID: 3598760. *Intervention* 

3254. Morpeth L, Blower S, Tobin K, et al. The effectiveness of the Incredible Years pre-school parenting programme in the United Kingdom: a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. Child Care in Practice. 2017 2017/04/03;23(2):141-61. doi: 10.1080/13575279.2016.1264366. *Population* 

3255. Morris S, Ling M, Sheen J, et al. The interteacher reliability of assessments of adolescents. Psychol Assess. 2021 Sep;33(9):904-10. doi: 10.1037/pas0001046. PMID: 34197162. *Outcome* 

3256. Morris SH, Nahmias A, Nissley-Tsiopinis J, et al. Research to practice: Implementation of Family School Success for parents of children with ADHD. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice. 2019 Aug 2019;26(3):535-46. *Intervention* 

3257. Morris SM, Gupta A, Kim S, et al. Predictive Modeling for Clinical Features Associated With Neurofibromatosis Type 1. Neurol Clin Pract. 2021 Dec;11(6):497-505. doi: 10.1212/cpj.00000000001089. PMID: 34987881. *Population* 

3258. Morris SSJ, Musser ED, Tenenbaum RB, et al. Emotion regulation via the autonomic nervous system in children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Replication and extension. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2020 Mar 2020;48(3):361-73. *Intervention* 

3259. Morris SSJ, Musser ED, Tenenbaum RB, et al. Methylphenidate Improves Autonomic Functioning among Youth with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2021 Oct 6. doi: 10.1007/s10802-021-00870-5. PMID: 34613513. *Timing* 

3260. Morrow AS, Campos Vega AD, Zhao X, et al. Leveraging Machine Learning to Identify Predictors of Receiving Psychosocial Treatment for Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Adm Policy Ment Health. 2020 Sep;47(5):680-92. doi: 10.1007/s10488-020-01045-y. PMID: 32405822. *Outcome* 

3261. Mosheva M, Korotkin L, Gur RE, et al. Effectiveness and side effects of psychopharmacotherapy in individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome with comorbid psychiatric disorders: a systematic review. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Aug;29(8):1035-48. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01326-4. PMID: 30949827. *Population* 

3262. Moss CM, Metzger KB, Carey ME, et al. Chronic Care for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Clinical Management from Childhood Through Adolescence. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2020 Feb/Mar;41 Suppl 2S:S99-s104. doi: 10.1097/dbp.000000000000772. PMID: 31996572. *Intervention* 

3263. Mostafavi SA MM, Hosseinzadeh P, et al. Dietary intake, growth and development of children with ADHD in a randomized clinical trial of Ritalin and Melatonin co-administration: Through circadian cycle modification or appetite enhancement? Iran J Psychiatry. 2012 Summer;7(3):114-9. *Power* 

3264. Mostofsky SH, Cooper KL, Kates WR, et al. Smaller prefrontal and premotor volumes in boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2002 Oct 15;52(8):785-94. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(02)01412-9. PMID: 12372650. *Intervention* 

3265. Mostofsky SH, Lasker AG, Cutting LE, et al. Oculomotor abnormalities in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a preliminary study. Neurology. 2001 Aug 14;57(3):423-30. doi: 10.1212/wnl.57.3.423. PMID: 11502907. *Intervention* 

3266. Mota-Veloso I, Celeste RK, Fonseca CP, et al. Effects of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder signs and socio-economic status on sleep bruxism and tooth wear among schoolchildren: structural equation modelling approach. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2017 Nov;27(6):523-31. doi: 10.1111/ipd.12291. PMID: 28155241. *Intervention* 

3267. Mota-Veloso I, Ramos-Jorge ML, Homem MA, et al. Dental caries in schoolchildren: influence of inattention, hyperactivity and executive functions. Braz Oral Res. 2018 Jun 7;32:e52. doi: 10.1590/1807-3107bor-2018.vol32.0052. PMID: 29898021. *Intervention* 

3268. Moungnoi P, Maipang P. Long-term effects of short-acting methylphenidate on growth rates of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder at Queen Sirikit National Institute of Child Health. J Med Assoc Thai. 2011 Aug;94 Suppl 3:S158-63. PMID: 22043770. *Intervention* 

3269. Mousavi S, Pahlavanzadeh S, Maghsoudi J. Evaluating the Effect of a Need-based Program for Caregivers on the Stress, Anxiety, Depression, and the Burden of Care in Families of Children with Attention Deficit-hyperactive Disorder. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res. 2019 Mar-Apr;24(2):96-101. doi: 10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR\_11\_17. PMID: 30820219. *Population* 

3270. Mousavi S, Pahlavanzadeh S, Mehrabi T. The Effect of Barkley's Family-Oriented Program on the Burden of Care on Families of Children with Attention Deficit-Hyperactive Disorder. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res. 2017 Mar-Apr;22(2):123-7. doi: 10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR\_12\_16. PMID: 28584550. *Population* 

3271. Mowlem FD, Rosenqvist MA, Martin J, et al. Sex differences in predicting ADHD clinical diagnosis and pharmacological treatment. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Apr;28(4):481-9. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1211-3. PMID: 30097723. *Intervention* 

3272. Mozes T, Meiri G, Ben-Amity G, et al. Reboxetine as an optional treatment for hyperkinetic conduct disorder: a prospective open-label trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2005 Apr;15(2):259-69. doi: 10.1089/cap.2005.15.259. PMID: 15910210. *Intervention* 

3273. Mphahlele RM, Meyer A, Pillay BJ. Working memory and set-shifting in school-aged children classified as having attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. S Afr J Psychiatr. 2022;28:1729. doi: 10.4102/sajpsychiatry.v28i0.1729. PMID: 35169513. *Outcome* 

3274. Mphahlele RM, Pillay B, Meyer A. Internalising comorbidities in primary school children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): sex and age differences. J Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2020 Aug-Nov;32(2-3):119-29. doi: 10.2989/17280583.2020.1848851. PMID: 33345734. *Intervention* 

3275. MR. A. Efficacy and safety of methylphenidate and pemoline in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Curr Ther Res Clin. 2000;61(4):208-15. *Design* 

3276. Mucci F, Avella MT, Marazziti D. ADHD with Comorbid Bipolar Disorders: A Systematic Review of Neurobiological, Clinical and Pharmacological Aspects Across the Lifespan. Curr Med Chem. 2019;26(38):6942-69. doi: 10.2174/0929867326666190805153610. PMID: 31385763. *Population* 

3277. Mueller A, Sawicki OA, Günther MP, et al. General practitioner-centred paediatric primary care reduces risk of hospitalisation for mental disorders in children and adolescents with ADHD: findings from a retrospective cohort study. Eur J Gen Pract. 2022 Dec;28(1):150-6. doi: 10.1080/13814788.2022.2082409. PMID: 35712903. *Design* 

3278. Muhammad Abdullah PWBJ. The effectiveness of omega-3 fatty acids in reducing symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018086386. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=86386. *Design* 

3279. Mühlberger A, Jekel K, Probst T, et al. The influence of methylphenidate on hyperactivity and attention deficits in children with ADHD: A virtual classroom test. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Jan 2020;24(2):277-89. *Intervention* 

3280. Mukaddes NM, Abali O. Venlafaxine in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2004 Feb;58(1):92-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2004.01199.x. PMID: 14678464. *Intervention* 

3281. Mukherjee RAS, Cook PA, Norgate SH, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes in individuals with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) with and without exposure to neglect: Clinical cohort data from a national FASD diagnostic clinic. Alcohol. 2019 May;76:23-8. doi: 10.1016/j.alcohol.2018.06.002. PMID: 30544006. *Population* 

3282. Mulas F, Capilla A, Fernández S, et al. Shifting-related brain magnetic activity in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Feb 15;59(4):373-9. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.06.031. PMID: 16154541. *Intervention* 

3283. Mulas F, Roca P, Ros-Cervera G, et al. [Pharmacological management of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with methylphenidate and atomoxetine within a context of epilepsy]. Rev Neurol. 2014 Feb 24;58 Suppl 1:S43-9. PMID: 25252667. *Design* 

3284. Mulat H, Yegezaw N, Eyasu T. Co-morbidity of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among children with seizure disorders at University of Gondar referral hospital Ethiopia (2016). Sci Rep. 2021 Aug 12;11(1):16368. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-95751-8. PMID: 34385491. *Intervention* 

3285. Mulder MJ, Baeyens D, Davidson MC, et al. Familial vulnerability to ADHD affects activity in the cerebellum in addition to the prefrontal systems. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Jan;47(1):68-75. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31815a56dc. PMID: 18174827. *Intervention* 

3286. Mulhern RK, Khan RB, Kaplan S, et al. Short-term efficacy of methylphenidate: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial among survivors of childhood cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2004 Dec 1;22(23):4795-803. doi: 10.1200/jco.2004.04.128. PMID: 15570081. *Population* 

3287. Müller O, Rothenberger A, Brüni GL, et al. Questioning the long-term stability of the additive model in comorbid CTD+ADHD - The transition from childhood to adulthood. PLoS One. 2018;13(11):e0207522. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207522. PMID: 30458012. *Intervention* 

3288. Müller O, Rothenberger A, Brüni GL, et al. Questioning the long-term stability of the additive model in comorbid CTD+ADHD—The transition from childhood to adulthood. PLoS ONE. 2018 Nov 20, 2018;13(11). *Duplicate* 

3289. Muller UC, Asherson P, Banaschewski T, et al. The impact of study design and diagnostic approach in a large multi-centre ADHD study: Part 2: Dimensional measures of psychopathology and intelligence. BMC Psychiatry. 2011 Apr 7;11:55. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-11-55. PMID: 21473746. *Intervention* 

3290. Muller UC, Asherson P, Banaschewski T, et al. The impact of study design and diagnostic approach in a large multi-centre ADHD study. Part 1: ADHD symptom patterns. BMC Psychiatry. 2011 Apr 7;11:54. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-11-54. PMID: 21473745. *Intervention* 

3291. Mullins C, Bellgrove MA, Gill M, et al. Variability in Time Reproduction: Difference in ADHD Combined and Inattentive Subtypes. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2005 02/01/;44(2):169-F. PMID: EJ696932. *Intervention* 

3292. Mulraney M, Giallo R, Efron D, et al. Maternal postnatal mental health and offspring symptoms of ADHD at 8-9 years: pathways via parenting behavior. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Jul;28(7):923-32. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1254-5. PMID: 30446823. *Intervention* 

3293. Mulraney M, Giallo R, Sciberras E, et al. ADHD symptoms and quality of life across a 12-month period in children with ADHD: A longitudinal study. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2019 Nov 2019;23(13):1675-85. *Design* 

3294. Mulraney M, Lee C, Freed G, et al. How long and how much? Wait times and costs for initial private child mental health appointments. J Paediatr Child Health. 2021 Apr;57(4):526-32. doi: 10.1111/jpc.15253. PMID: 33170548. *Population* 

3295. Mulraney M, Zendarski N, Mensah F, et al. Do early internalizing and externalizing problems predict later irritability in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2017 Apr;51(4):393-402. doi: 10.1177/0004867416659365. PMID: 27514404. *Intervention* 

3296. Mundal I, Gråwe RW, Hafstad H, et al. Effects of a peer co-facilitated educational programme for parents of children with ADHD: a feasibility randomised controlled trial protocol. BMJ Open. 2020 Dec 2;10(12):e039852. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-039852. PMID: 33268416. *Outcome* 

3297. Mundal I, Laake P, Bjørkly SK, et al. Factor structure and internal consistency of the parent patient activation measure (P-PAM) in parents of children with ADHD in norwegian paediatric mental health. BMC Psychiatry. 2023 Jan 23;23(1):60. doi: 10.1186/s12888-023-04550-0. PMID: 36691007. *Intervention* 

3298. Mundal I, Laake P, Mezzich J, et al. Assessment of the Quality of Life in Parents of Children With ADHD: Validation of the Multicultural Quality of Life Index in Norwegian Pediatric Mental Health Settings. Front Psychol. 2021;12:638006. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.638006. PMID: 33613407. *Intervention* 

3299. Muniz R, Brams M, Mao A, et al. Efficacy and safety of extended-release dexmethylphenidate compared with d,l-methylphenidate and placebo in the treatment of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a 12-hour laboratory classroom study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Jun;18(3):248-56. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.0015. PMID: 18582179. *Intervention* 

3300. Muñoz-Organero M, Powell L, Heller B, et al. Automatic Extraction and Detection of Characteristic Movement Patterns in Children with ADHD Based on a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Acceleration Images. Sensors (Basel). 2018 Nov 14;18(11). doi: 10.3390/s18113924. PMID: 30441774. *Intervention* 

3301. Muñoz-Organero M, Powell L, Heller B, et al. Using Recurrent Neural Networks to Compare Movement Patterns in ADHD and Normally Developing Children Based on Acceleration Signals from the Wrist and Ankle. Sensors (Basel). 2019 Jul 3;19(13). doi: 10.3390/s19132935. PMID: 31277297. *Intervention* 

3302. Muñoz-Solomando A, Kendall T, Whittington CJ. Cognitive behavioural therapy for children and adolescents. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2008 Jul;21(4):332-7. doi: 10.1097/YCO.0b013e328305097c. PMID: 18520736. *Design* 

3303. Munsch S, Dremmel D, Kurz S, et al. Influence of Parental Expressed Emotions on Children's Emotional Eating via Children's Negative Urgency. Eur Eat Disord Rev. 2017 Jan;25(1):36-43. doi: 10.1002/erv.2489. PMID: 27790790. *Intervention* 

3304. Munsch S, Dremmel D, Wilhelm P, et al. To eat or not to eat: Reward delay impulsivity in children with loss of control eating, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, a double diagnosis, and healthy children. PLoS ONE. 2019 Sep 16, 2019;14(9). *Intervention* 

3305. Muntaner-Mas A, Ortega FB, Femia P, et al. Low cardiorespiratory fitness and obesity for ADHD in childhood and adolescence: A 6-year cohort study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2021 Apr;31(4):903-13. doi: 10.1111/sms.13905. PMID: 33341993. *Population* 

3306. Munz M, Baving L, Prehn-Kristensen A. Transient Destabilization of Declarative Memory-Opposing Impact of Physical Exercise or Rest after Encoding in Typically Developing Children and Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder but No Difference after Subsequent Sleep. Brain Sci. 2022 Feb 27;12(3). doi: 10.3390/brainsci12030322. PMID: 35326278. *Outcome* 

3307. Munz M, Baving L, Prehn-Kristensen A. Transient Destabilization of Declarative Memory—Opposing Impact of Physical Exercise or Rest after Encoding in Typically Developing Children and Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder but No Difference after Subsequent Sleep. Brain Sciences. 2022;12(3). doi: 10.3390/brainsci12030322. *Outcome* 

3308. Muratori P, Conversano C, Levantini V, et al. Exploring the Efficacy of a Mindfulness Program for Boys With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Oppositional Defiant Disorder. J Atten Disord. 2021 Sep;25(11):1544-53. doi: 10.1177/1087054720915256. PMID: 32338110. *Power* 

3309. Murphy D, Glaser K, Hayward H, et al. Programme Grants for Applied Research. Crossing the divide: a longitudinal study of effective treatments for people with autism and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder across the lifespan. Southampton (UK): NIHR Journals Library

Copyright © Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2018. This work was produced by Murphy et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals

provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.; 2018. *Population* 

3310. Murphy K. Psychosocial treatments for ADHD in teens and adults: a practice-friendly review. J Clin Psychol. 2005 May;61(5):607-19. doi: 10.1002/jclp.20123. PMID: 15723366. *Design* 

3311. Murphy TK, Gilbert D, Budman CL, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study of the D1 receptor antagonist ecopipam for children and adolescents with Tourette's disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2017;56(10):S241. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.09.252. *Design* 

3312. Murray AL, Booth T, Auyeung B, et al. Outcomes of ADHD symptoms in late adolescence: Are developmental subtypes important? Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Jan 2020;24(1):113-25. *Design* 

3313. Murray AL, Booth T, Obsuth I, et al. Testing the exacerbation and attenuation hypotheses of the role of anxiety in the relation between ADHD and reactive/proactive aggression: A 10-year longitudinal study. Psychiatry Res. 2018 Nov;269:585-92. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.08.120. PMID: 30205351. *Intervention* 

3314. Murray AL, Caye A, McKenzie K, et al. Reciprocal Developmental Relations Between ADHD and Anxiety in Adolescence: A Within-Person Longitudinal Analysis of Commonly Co-Occurring Symptoms. J Atten Disord. 2022 Jan;26(1):109-18. doi: 10.1177/1087054720908333. PMID: 32172640. *Intervention* 

3315. Murray AL, Ribeaud D, Eisner M, et al. Should We Subtype ADHD According to the Context in Which Symptoms Occur? Criterion Validity of Recognising Context-Based ADHD Presentations. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2019 Apr;50(2):308-20. doi: 10.1007/s10578-018-0842-4. PMID: 30168001. *Intervention* 

3316. Murray AL, Robinson T, Tripp G. Neurocognitive and Symptom Trajectories of ADHD from Childhood to Early Adolescence. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2017 Sep;38(7):465-75. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000476. PMID: 28723827. *Intervention* 

3317. Murray AL, Taut D, Baban A, et al. Associations Between ADHD Symptoms and Maternal and Birth Outcomes: An Exploratory Analysis in a Multi-Country Cohort of Expectant Mothers. J Atten Disord. 2022 Dec;26(14):1882-94. doi: 10.1177/10870547221105064. PMID: 35815439. *Design* 

3318. Murray AL, Zych I, Ribeaud D, et al. Developmental relations between ADHD symptoms and bullying perpetration and victimization in adolescence. Aggress Behav. 2021 Jan;47(1):58-68. doi: 10.1002/ab.21930. PMID: 32895934. *Intervention* 

3319. Murray DW, Rabiner D, Schulte A, et al. Feasibility and Integrity of a Parent-Teacher Consultation Intervention for ADHD Students. Child & Youth Care Forum. 2008 06/01/;37(3):111-26. PMID: EJ796447. *Power* 

3320. Murray-Close D, Hoza B, Hinshaw SP, et al. Developmental processes in peer problems of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the Multimodal Treatment Study of

Children With ADHD: developmental cascades and vicious cycles. Dev Psychopathol. 2010 Nov;22(4):785-802. doi: 10.1017/s0954579410000465. PMID: 20883582. *Intervention* 

3321. Musten LM FP, Pisterman S, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on preschool children with ADHD: cognitive and behavioral functions. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997;36(10):1407-15. *Power* 

3322. Muszkat D, Polanczyk GV, Dias TG, et al. Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation in Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016 Sep;26(7):590-7. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0172. PMID: 27027666. *Design* 

3323. Myers K, Stoep AV, Thompson K, et al. Collaborative care for the treatment of Hispanic children diagnosed with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2010 Nov-Dec;32(6):612-4. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2010.08.004. PMID: 21112453. *Comparator* 

3324. Mykletun A, Widding-Havneraas T, Chaulagain A, et al. Causal modelling of variation in clinical practice and long-term outcomes of ADHD using Norwegian registry data: the ADHD controversy project. BMJ Open. 2021 Jan 19;11(1):e041698. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-041698. PMID: 33468528. *Intervention* 

3325. Myrén KJ, Thernlund G, Nylén A, et al. Atomoxetine's effect on societal costs in Sweden. J Atten Disord. 2010 May;13(6):618-28. doi: 10.1177/1087054709332163. PMID: 19365087. *Power* 

3326. Myslinski A, Zdanowicz N. ADHD and bipolar disorder in adolescents: Nosography in question. Louvain Medical. 2009;128(4):135-40. *Language* 

3327. Na KS, Lee SI, Hong SD, et al. Effect of osmotic-release oral system methylphenidate on learning skills in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: an open-label study. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2013 Jul;28(4):184-92. doi: 10.1097/YIC.0b013e3283612509. PMID: 23587983. *Design* 

3328. Nada-Raja S, Langley JD, McGee R, et al. Inattentive and hyperactive behaviors and driving offenses in adolescence. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997 Apr;36(4):515-22. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199704000-00014. PMID: 9100426. *Intervention* 

3329. Naeini AA, Fasihi F, Najafi M, et al. The effects of vitamin D supplementation on ADHD (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder) in 6–13 year-old students: A randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled study. European Journal of Integrative Medicine. 2019;25:28-33. doi: 10.1016/j.eujim.2018.10.006. *Power* 

3330. Naenen-Hernani K, Palazón-Bru A, Colomina-Climent F, et al. Influence of Written Informed Consent for Methylphenidate on Medicine Persistence Rates in Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2017 Oct;38(8):603-10. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000495. PMID: 28816913. *Intervention* 

3331. Nagae M, Tokunaga A, Morifuji K, et al. Efficacy of a group psychoeducation program focusing on the attitudes towards medication of children and adolescents with ADHD and their parents: A pilot study. Acta Medica Nagasakiensia. 2019;62(3):77-86. *Power* 

3332. Nagai T, Kurihara T, Koya H, et al. Identification of factors associated with the efficacy of atomoxetine in adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychopharmacol Rep. 2022 Sep;42(3):249-55. doi: 10.1002/npr2.12253. PMID: 35485452. *Population* 

3333. Nagel-Hiemke M, Berg B, Reinhardt D, et al. The influence of methylphenidate on the sympathoadrenal reactivity in children diagnosed as hyperactive. Klin Padiatr. 1984 Mar-Apr;196(2):78-82. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-1025582. PMID: 6737952. *Intervention* 

3334. Naiwei Fang ZZWDKWZCZCXL. Systematic review and meta-analysis on the effectiveness of transcranial direct current stimulation (TDCS) for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018092725. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=92725. *Design* 

3335. Najafi M, Akouchekian S, Ghaderi A, et al. Multiple Intelligences Profiles of Children with Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder in Comparison with Nonattention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder. Adv Biomed Res. 2017;6:148. doi: 10.4103/abr.abr\_222\_15. PMID: 29285478. *Intervention* 

3336. Najafi M, Tarrahi MJ, Taraffoe A. The Investigation of Efficacy of Impulse Control Game on Impulsivity and Behavioral Problems as an Adjuvant Therapy among 8-12-Year-Old Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Journal of Isfahan Medical School. 2021;39(632):496-503. doi: 10.22122/jims.v39i632.12463. *Language* 

3337. Najib J. The efficacy and safety profile of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate, a prodrug of damphetamine, for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adults. Clin Ther. 2009 Jan;31(1):142-76. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2009.01.015. PMID: 19243715. Design

3338. Nakanishi Y, Ota T, Iida J, et al. Differential therapeutic effects of atomoxetine and methylphenidate in childhood attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder as measured by near-infrared spectroscopy. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2017;11. doi: 10.1186/s13034-017-0163-6. *Outcome* 

3339. Nakanishi Y, Ota T, Iida J, et al. Differential therapeutic effects of atomoxetine and methylphenidate in childhood attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder as measured by near-infrared spectroscopy. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2017 May 12, 2017;11. *Duplicate* 

3340. Namimi-Halevi C, Dor C, Dichtiar R, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder is associated with relatively short stature among adolescents. Acta Paediatr. 2023 Apr;112(4):779-86. doi: 10.1111/apa.16668. PMID: 36635216. *Outcome* 

3341. Namjoo I, Alavi Naeini A, Najafi M, et al. The Relationship Between Antioxidants and Inflammation in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Basic Clin Neurosci. 2020 May-Jun;11(3):313-21. doi: 10.32598/bcn.11.2.1489.1. PMID: 32963724. *Intervention* 

3342. Namysłowska I. Risperidone in the treatment of children and adolescents. Psychiatria i Psychologia Kliniczna. 2007;7(1):6-17. *Design* 

3343. Narad ME, Kaizar EE, Zhang N, et al. The Impact of Preinjury and Secondary Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder on Outcomes After Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2022 Aug 1;43(6):e361-e9. doi: 10.1097/dbp.0000000000001067. PMID: 35170571. *Design* 

3344. Narad ME, Riemersma J, Wade SL, et al. Impact of Secondary ADHD on Long-Term Outcomes After Early Childhood Traumatic Brain Injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2020 May/Jun;35(3):E271-e9. doi: 10.1097/htr.00000000000550. PMID: 31834065. *Population* 

3345. Nasim S, Naeini AA, Najafi M, et al. Relationship between Antioxidant Status and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Among Children. Int J Prev Med. 2019;10:41. doi: 10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM\_80\_18. PMID: 31057726. *Intervention* 

3346. Nasser A, Gomeni R, Wang Z, et al. Population Pharmacokinetics of Viloxazine Extended-Release Capsules in Pediatric Subjects With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Clin Pharmacol. 2021 Dec;61(12):1626-37. doi: 10.1002/jcph.1940. PMID: 34269426. *Intervention* 

3347. Nasser A, Hull JT, Chaturvedi SA, et al. A Phase III, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial Assessing the Efficacy and Safety of Viloxazine Extended-Release Capsules in Adults with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. CNS Drugs. 2022 Aug;36(8):897-915. doi: 10.1007/s40263-022-00938-w. PMID: 35896943. *Population* 

3348. Naumova D, Grizenko N, Sengupta SM, et al. DRD4 exon 3 genotype and ADHD: Randomised pharmacodynamic investigation of treatment response to methylphenidate. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2019 Jul;20(6):486-95. doi: 10.1080/15622975.2017.1410221. PMID: 29182037. *Timing* 

3349. Naya N, Sakai C, Okutsu D, et al. Efficacy and safety of guanfacine extended-release in Japanese adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Exploratory post hoc subgroup analyses of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Neuropsychopharmacol Rep. 2021 Mar;41(1):26-39. doi: 10.1002/npr2.12152. PMID: 33305542. *Population* 

3350. Nayak S, Sahu S, John J, et al. A study to assess the presence of heavy metals in urine and hair of patients diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in eastern india. Indian Journal of Clinical Biochemistry. 2021;36(SUPPL 1):S85. doi: 10.1007/s12291-021-01019-3. *Outcome* 

3351. Nayeri MF, Soltanifar A, Moharreri F, et al. A randomized controlled trial of group reality therapy in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and oppositional defiant disorder in adolescents. Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. 2021;15(1). doi: 10.5812/IJPBS.68643. *Power* 

3352. Nazeer N, Rohanachandra Y, Prathapan S. Evaluation of Risk Factors for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Sri Lankan Children: A school based population study from a developing nation. European Psychiatry. 2022;65:S231. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.598. *Intervention* 

3353. Ndukuba AC, Odinka PC, Muomah RC, et al. ADHD Among Rural Southeastern Nigerian Primary School Children: Prevalence and Psychosocial Factors. J Atten Disord. 2017 Aug;21(10):865-71. doi: 10.1177/1087054714543367. PMID: 25069585. *Intervention* 

3354. Nebraska Uo. Long Acting Stimulant Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Young Children. 2008. *Population* 

3355. Neef NA, Bicard DF, Endo S, et al. Evaluation of pharmacological treatment of impulsivity in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Appl Behav Anal. 2005 Summer;38(2):135-46. doi: 10.1901/jaba.2005.116-02. PMID: 16033162. *Power* 

3356. Neely KA, Chennavasin AP, Yoder A, et al. Memory-guided force output is associated with self-reported ADHD symptoms in young adults. Exp Brain Res. 2016 Nov;234(11):3203-12. doi: 10.1007/s00221-016-4718-1. PMID: 27394915. *Population* 

3357. Neguț A, Jurma AM, David D. Virtual-reality-based attention assessment of ADHD: ClinicaVR: Classroom-CPT versus a traditional continuous performance test. Child Neuropsychology. 2017 Aug 2017;23(6):692-712. *Outcome* 

3358. Nejati V. Balance-based Attentive Rehabilitation of Attention Networks (BARAN) improves executive functions and ameliorates behavioral symptoms in children with ADHD. Complement Ther Med. 2021 Aug;60:102759. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2021.102759. PMID: 34252575. *Power* 

3359. Nejati V, Derakhshan Z. The effect of physical activity with and without cognitive demand on the improvement of executive functions and behavioral symptoms in children with ADHD. Expert Rev Neurother. 2021 May;21(5):607-14. doi: 10.1080/14737175.2021.1912600. PMID: 33849353. *Power* 

3360. Nejati V, Derakhshan Z, Mohtasham A. The effect of comprehensive working memory training on executive functions and behavioral symptoms in children with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Asian J Psychiatr. 2023 Mar;81:103469. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2023.103469. PMID: 36669291. *Power* 

3361. Nejati V, Movahed Alavi M, Nitsche MA. The Impact of Attention Deficit-hyperactivity Disorder Symptom Severity on the Effectiveness of Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS) on Inhibitory Control. Neuroscience. 2021 Jul 1;466:248-57. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2021.05.008. PMID: 34015371. *Intervention* 

3362. Nejati V, Rasanan AHH, Rad JA, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) alters the pattern of information processing in children with ADHD: Evidence from drift diffusion modeling. Neurophysiol Clin. 2022 Feb;52(1):17-27. doi: 10.1016/j.neucli.2021.11.005. PMID: 34937687. *Intervention* 

3363. Nejati V, Salehinejad MA, Nitsche MA, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation improves executive dysfunctions in ADHD: Implications for inhibitory control, interference control, working memory, and cognitive flexibility. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Nov 2020;24(13):1928-43. *Timing* 

3364. Nejati V, Yazdani S. Time perception in children with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): Does task matter? A meta-analysis study. Child Neuropsychol. 2020 Oct;26(7):900-16. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2020.1712347. PMID: 32757699. *Intervention* 

3365. Nelwan M, Vissers C, Kroesbergen EH. Coaching positively influences the effects of working memory training on visual working memory as well as mathematical ability. Neuropsychologia. 2018 May;113:140-9. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2018.04.002. PMID: 29626496. *Population* 

3366. Nemet D, Ben-Zaken S, Eliakim RA, et al. Reduced exercise-induced growth hormone secretion among children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Growth Horm IGF Res. 2022 Aug;65:101485. doi: 10.1016/j.ghir.2022.101485. PMID: 35816941. *Design* 

3367. Neos Therapeutics I. NT0102 in the Treatment of Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2013. *Timing* 

3368. Nery ESM, Bangs M, Liu P, et al. Long-Term, Open-Label, Safety Study of Edivoxetine Monotherapy in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J

Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Oct;27(8):700-7. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0110. PMID: 28402139. *Intervention* 

3369. Nesayan A, Asadi Gandomani R, Moin N. Effect of Neurofeedback on Perceptual Organization, Visual and Auditory Memory in Children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Iran J Child Neurol. 2019 Summer;13(3):75-82. PMID: 31327971. *Power* 

3370. Netson KL, Conklin HM, Ashford JM, et al. Parent and teacher ratings of attention during a year-long methylphenidate trial in children treated for cancer. J Pediatr Psychol. 2011 May;36(4):438-50. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsq102. PMID: 21097489. *Population* 

3371. Neumann A, Walton E, Alemany S, et al. Association between DNA methylation and ADHD symptoms from birth to school age: a prospective meta-analysis. Transl Psychiatry. 2020 Nov 12;10(1):398. doi: 10.1038/s41398-020-01058-z. PMID: 33184255. *Outcome* 

3372. Neurology Group of Bergen County PA, Shire. Language-based Learning Skills and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Impact of Treatment With Sustained-release Guanfacine. 2010. *Population* 

3373. Neves SN, Reimão R. Sleep disturbances in 50 children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2007 Jun;65(2a):228-33. doi: 10.1590/s0004-282x2007000200008. PMID: 17607419. *Intervention* 

3374. Newcorn JH, Donnelly C. Cardiovascular safety of medication treatments for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Mt Sinai J Med. 2009 Apr;76(2):198-203. doi: 10.1002/msj.20096. PMID: 19306385. *Design* 

3375. Newcorn JH, Halperin JM, Healey JM, et al. Are ADDH and ADHD the same or different? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1989 Sep;28(5):734-8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198909000-00015. PMID: 2793801. *Outcome* 

3376. Newcorn JH, Miller SR, Ivanova I, et al. Adolescent outcome of ADHD: impact of childhood conduct and anxiety disorders. CNS Spectr. 2004 Sep;9(9):668-78. doi: 10.1017/s1092852900001942. PMID: 15337858. *Design* 

3377. Newcorn JH, Sutton VK, Zhang S, et al. Characteristics of placebo responders in pediatric clinical trials of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Dec;48(12):1165-72. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181bc730d. PMID: 19858759. *Design* 

3378. Newcorn JH, Sutton VK, Zhang S, et al. Characteristics of Placebo Responders in Pediatric Clinical Trials of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2009 12/01/;48(12):1165-72. PMID: EJ944766. *Duplicate* 

3379. Newlove-Delgado T, Ford TJ, Stein K, et al. 'You're 18 now, goodbye': the experiences of young people with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder of the transition from child to adult services. Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties. 2018;23(3):296-309. doi: 10.1080/13632752.2018.1461476. *Intervention* 

3380. Ng CY, Thomas-Uribe M, Yang YA, et al. Theory-Based Health Behavior Interventions for Pediatric Chronic Disease Management: A Systematic Review. JAMA Pediatr. 2018 Dec 1;172(12):1177-86. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.3039. PMID: 30357260. *Population* 

3381. Ng R, Heinrich K, Hodges EK. Brief report: Neuropsychological testing and informantratings of children with autism spectrum disorder, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, or comorbid diagnosis. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2019 Jun 15, 2019;49(6):2589-96. *Intervention* 

3382. Niamh Corrigan AV. Immersive virtual reality- (VR) based interventions for improving cognitive deficits in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021258310. 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=258310. *Design* 

3383. Niarchou M, Chawner S, Doherty JL, et al. Psychiatric disorders in children with 16p11.2 deletion and duplication. Transl Psychiatry. 2019 Jan 16;9(1):8. doi: 10.1038/s41398-018-0339-8. PMID: 30664628. *Intervention* 

3384. Niarchou M, Chawner S, Fiksinski A, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms as antecedents of later psychotic outcomes in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. Schizophr Res. 2019 Feb;204:320-5. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2018.07.044. PMID: 30093352. *Intervention* 

3385. Nichols JQ, Shoulberg EK, Garner AA, et al. Exploration of the Factor Structure of ADHD in Adolescence through Self, Parent, and Teacher Reports of Symptomatology. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2017 Apr;45(3):625-41. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0183-3. PMID: 27422282. *Intervention* 

3386. Nicolás SS, Iraurgi I. Development of a self reported scale for ADHD in childhood (EA-ADHD): Delphi study and preliminary psychometric data. Terapia Psicologica. 2016;34(1):41-52. doi: 10.4067/S0718-48082016000100005. *Language* 

3387. Nidey NL, Momany AM, Strathearn L, et al. Association between perinatal depression and risk of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among children: a retrospective cohort study. Ann Epidemiol. 2021 Jun 26;63:1-6. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2021.06.005. PMID: 34186179. *Intervention* 

3388. Nie J, Zhang W, Chen J, et al. Impaired inhibition and working memory in response to internet-related words among adolescents with internet addiction: A comparison with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2016 Feb 28;236:28-34. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2016.01.004. PMID: 26778632. *Outcome* 

3389. Niederhofer H. An open trial of buspirone in the treatment of attention-deficit disorder. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2003 Aug;18(6):489-92. doi: 10.1002/hup.511. PMID: 12923830. *Intervention* 

3390. Niederhofer H. Tianeptine as a slightly effective therapeutic option for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychobiology. 2004;49(3):130-3. doi: 10.1159/000076721. PMID: 15034228. *Intervention* 

3391. Niederhofer H. Attachment as a component of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychol Rep. 2009 Apr;104(2):645-8. doi: 10.2466/pr0.104.2.645-648. PMID: 19610496. *Intervention* 

3392. Niederhofer H. Panax ginseng may improve some symptoms of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Diet Suppl. 2009;6(1):22-7. doi: 10.1080/19390210802687221. PMID: 22435351. *Power* 

3393. Niederhofer H. Observational study: Matricaria chamomilla may improve some symptoms of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Phytomedicine. 2009 Apr;16(4):284-6. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2008.10.006. PMID: 19097772. *Power* 

3394. Niederhofer H. Attribution of reasons for school success and failure by adolescent students with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder who respond to methylphenidate therapy. Acta Neuropsychologica. 2010;8(4):360-4. *Intervention* 

3395. Niederhofer H, Staffen W, Mair A. A placebo-controlled study of lofexidine in the treatment of children with tic disorders and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Psychopharmacol. 2003 Mar;17(1):113-9. doi: 10.1177/0269881103017001714. PMID: 12680748. *Power* 

3396. Niederkirchner K, Slawik L, Wermelskirchen D, et al. Transitioning to OROS(®) methylphenidate from atomoxetine is effective in children and adolescents with ADHD. Expert Rev Neurother. 2011 Apr;11(4):499-508. doi: 10.1586/ern.11.18. PMID: 21469923. *Comparator* 

3397. Nielsen NP, Wiig EH. Validation of the AQT color-form additive model for screening and monitoring pharmacological treatment of ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2013 Apr;17(3):187-93. doi: 10.1177/1087054711428075. PMID: 22210798. *Population* 

3398. Nielsen P, Rigter H. Parental and family risk and protective factors associated with problematic gaming in adolescents. Journal of Behavioral Addictions. 2022;11:176. doi: 10.1556/2006.2022.00700. *Population* 

3399. Nielsen T, Nassar N, Shand A, et al. Maternal autoimmune disease and increased attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder among offspring: A cohort study and meta-analysis. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2021;50:i172. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyab168.486. *Design* 

3400. Nielsen TC, Nassar N, Shand AW, et al. Association of Maternal Autoimmune Disease With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children. JAMA Pediatr. 2021 Mar 1;175(3):e205487. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.5487. PMID: 33464287. *Population* 

3401. Niemelä S, Sourander A, Pilowsky DJ, et al. Childhood antecedents of being a cigarette smoker in early adulthood. The Finnish 'From a Boy to a Man' Study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2009 Mar;50(3):343-51. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01968.x. PMID: 19207628. *Population* 

3402. Nigg JT, Blaskey LG, Huang-Pollock CL, et al. Neuropsychological executive functions and DSM-IV ADHD subtypes. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2002 Jan;41(1):59-66. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200201000-00012. PMID: 11800208. *Intervention* 

3403. Nigg JT, Hinshaw SP, Carte ET, et al. Neuropsychological correlates of childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: explainable by comorbid disruptive behavior or reading problems? J Abnorm Psychol. 1998 Aug;107(3):468-80. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.107.3.468. PMID: 9715582. *Intervention* 

3404. Nikles CJ, Mitchell GK, Del Mar CB, et al. An n-of-1 trial service in clinical practice: testing the effectiveness of stimulants for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2006 Jun;117(6):2040-6. doi: 10.1542/peds.2005-1328. PMID: 16740846. *Design* 

3405. Nikles CJ, Mitchell GK, Del Mar CB, et al. Long-term changes in management following n-of-1 trials of stimulants in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2007 Nov;63(11):985-9. doi: 10.1007/s00228-007-0361-x. PMID: 17701403. *Design* 

3406. Nikles J, Mitchell G, McKinlay L, et al. A series of n-of-1 trials of stimulants in brain injured children. NeuroRehabilitation. 2017;40(1):11-21. doi: 10.3233/nre-161386. PMID: 27814302. *Population* 

3407. Nilsen FM, Tulve NS. A systematic review and meta-analysis examining the interrelationships between chemical and non-chemical stressors and inherent characteristics in children with ADHD. Environ Res. 2020 Jan;180:108884. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2019.108884. PMID: 31706600. *Intervention* 

3408. Ning K, Wang T. Multimodal Interventions Are More Effective in Improving Core Symptoms in Children With ADHD. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:759315. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.759315. PMID: 34975569. *Power* 

3409. Nishijo M, Pham TT, Pham NT, et al. Nutritional Intervention with Dried Bonito Broth for the Amelioration of Aggressive Behaviors in Children with Prenatal Exposure to Dioxins in Vietnam: A Pilot Study. Nutrients. 2021 Apr 25;13(5). doi: 10.3390/nu13051455. PMID: 33922941. *Population* 

3410. Nishiyama T, Sumi S, Watanabe H, et al. The Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL) for DSM-5: A validation for neurodevelopmental disorders in Japanese outpatients. Compr Psychiatry. 2020 Jan;96:152148. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2019.152148. PMID: 31756584. *Outcome* 

3411. Nix RL, Bierman KL, Heinrichs BS, et al. The randomized controlled trial of Head Start REDI: Sustained effects on developmental trajectories of social–emotional functioning. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2016 Apr 2016;84(4):310-22. *Population* 

3412. Nixon RD SL, Erickson DB, et al. Parent-child interaction therapy: a comparison of standard and abbreviated treatments for oppositional defiant preschoolers. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2003;71(2):251-60. *Population* 

3413. Nixon RD SL, Erickson DB, et al. Parent-child interaction therapy: one- and two-year follow-up of standard and abbreviated treatments for oppositional preschoolers. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2004;32(3):263-71. *Population* 

3414. NJ. C. Evaluation of the relative effectiveness of methylphenidate and cognitive behavior modification in the treatment of kindergarten-aged hyperactive children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1981;9(1):43-54. *Power* 

3415. Nobel E, Brunnekreef JA, Schachar RJ, et al. Parent-clinician agreement in rating the presence and severity of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Mar;11(1):21-9. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0267-8. PMID: 30927229. *Duplicate* 

3416. Nobel E, Hoekstra PJ, Agnes Brunnekreef J, et al. Home-based parent training for schoolaged children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and behavior problems with remaining impairing disruptive behaviors after routine treatment: a randomized controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Mar;29(3):395-408. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01375-9. PMID: 31332524. *Language*  3417. Nooner KB, Leaberry KD, Keith JR, et al. Clinic Outcome Assessment of a Brief Course Neurofeedback for Childhood ADHD Symptoms. J Behav Health Serv Res. 2017 Jul;44(3):506-14. doi: 10.1007/s11414-016-9511-1. PMID: 27189699. *Power* 

3418. Noorazar SG, Kalejahi P, Setayesh S, et al. The efficacy of magnesium supplementation in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder under treatment with methylphenidate: A randomized controlled trial. Crescent Journal of Medical and Biological Sciences. 2021;8(1):73-6. *Power* 

3419. Noorazar SG, Malek A, Aghaei SM, et al. The efficacy of zinc augmentation in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder under treatment with methylphenidate: A randomized controlled trial. Asian J Psychiatr. 2020 Feb;48:101868. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2019.101868. PMID: 31841818. *Power* 

3420. Noordermeer SDS, Luman M, Buitelaar JK, et al. Neurocognitive deficits in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder with and without comorbid Oppositional Defiant Disorder. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Jul 2020;24(9):1317-29. *Intervention* 

3421. Norén Selinus E, Molero Y, Lichtenstein P, et al. Subthreshold and threshold attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms in childhood: psychosocial outcomes in adolescence in boys and girls. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2016 Dec;134(6):533-45. doi: 10.1111/acps.12655. PMID: 27714770. *Intervention* 

3422. Norfolk PA, Floyd RG. Detecting Parental Deception Using a Behavior Rating Scale during Assessment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: An Experimental Study. Psychology in the Schools. 2016 02/01/;53(2):158-72. PMID: EJ1086922. *Intervention* 

3423. Norman LJ, Sudre G, Bouyssi-Kobar M, et al. An examination of the relationships between attention/deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms and functional connectivity over time. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2021 Feb 8. doi: 10.1038/s41386-021-00958-y. PMID: 33558680. *Intervention* 

3424. Normand S, Ambrosoli J, Guiet J, et al. Behaviors associated with negative affect in the friendships of children with ADHD: An exploratory study. Psychiatry Res. 2017 Jan;247:222-4. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2016.11.041. PMID: 27923146. *Intervention* 

3425. Norouzi E, Hossieni F, Solymani M. Effects of Neurofeedback Training on Performing Bimanual Coordination In-phase and Anti-phase Patterns in Children with ADHD. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2018 Dec;43(4):283-92. doi: 10.1007/s10484-018-9408-2. PMID: 30073605. *Power* 

3426. Northup J, Reitman D, de Back J. The STAR Program: A Description and Analysis of a Multifaceted Early Intervention for Young Children with a Diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Child & Family Behavior Therapy. 2009 01/01/;31(2):75-93. PMID: EJ861679. *Comparator* 

3427. Novartis. Placebo-Controlled Comparison of Two Different Brands of Modified-Release Oral Dosage Forms Regarding Safety and Efficacy in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Aged 6 - 14. 2005. *Outcome* 

3428. Novartis. Effects of Methylphenidate on Cellular Abnormalities in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2006. *Intervention* 

3429. Novartis. Efficacy, Tolerability and Safety of Dexmethylphenidate HCl Extended-Release Capsules in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2006. *Outcome* 

3430. Novartis. Safety and Efficacy of Methylphenidate in Children With Attention-deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2007. *Timing* 

3431. Novell R, Esteba-Castillo S, Rodriguez E. Efficacy and safety of a GABAergic drug (Gamalate® B6): Effects on behavior and cognition in young adults with borderline-to-mild intellectual developmental disabilities and ADHD. Drugs in Context. 2020;9. doi: 10.7573/DIC.212601. *Population* 

3432. Noven Pharmaceuticals I, Therapeutics N. Study to Evaluate Safety & Efficacy of d-Amphetamine Transdermal System Compared to Placebo in Children & Adolescents With ADHD. 2012. *Intervention* 

3433. Nøvik TS, Haugan AJ, Lydersen S, et al. Cognitive-behavioural group therapy for adolescents with ADHD: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open. 2020 Mar 25;10(3):e032839. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032839. PMID: 32213517. *Outcome* 

3434. Nowak MK, Ejima K, Quinn PD, et al. ADHD May Associate With Reduced Tolerance to Acute Subconcussive Head Impacts: A Pilot Case-Control Intervention Study. J Atten Disord. 2022 Jan;26(1):125-39. doi: 10.1177/1087054720969977. PMID: 33161816. *Population* 

3435. Nuñez A, San Miguel L, Gomez-Batista S, et al. Expanding the cross-cultural psychological assessment tool box with IQ test short forms. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2020 Mar 23:1-10. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2020.1740093. PMID: 32202913. *Intervention* 

3436. Nuño VL, Wertheim BC, Murphy BS, et al. The Online Nurtured Heart Approach to Parenting: A Randomized Study to Improve ADHD Behaviors in Children Ages 6–8. Ethical Human Psychology and Psychiatry. 2020;22(1):31-48. doi: 10.1891/EHPP-D-20-00013. *Population* 

3437. Nuño VL, Wertheim BC, Murphy BS, et al. Testing the efficacy of the Nurtured Heart Approach(®) to reduce ADHD symptoms in children by training parents: Protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Contemp Clin Trials Commun. 2019 Mar;13:100312. doi: 10.1016/j.conctc.2018.100312. PMID: 30740550. *Outcome* 

3438. Nuri C, Akçamete G, Direktör C. The trial support program for empowerment of parents of children with ADHD. Psychol Health Med. 2021 Sep 3:1-10. doi: 10.1080/13548506.2021.1975786. PMID: 34477037. *Population* 

3439. Nuruzzaman F, Sherman Y, Ostfeld BM, et al. Simple screening tool for assessing attention deficit in pediatric lupus. Lupus. 2016 Apr;25(4):447-8. doi: 10.1177/0961203315619032. PMID: 26637289. *Outcome* 

3440. Nyatega CO, Qiang L, Jajere MA, et al. Atypical Functional Connectivity of Limbic Network in Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Clinical Schizophrenia and Related Psychoses. 2022;16(2). doi: 10.3371/CSRP.NCLQ.053122. *Population* 

3441. Nygaard U, Riis JL, Deleuran M, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Atopic Dermatitis: An Appraisal of the Current Literature. Pediatr Allergy Immunol Pulmonol. 2016 Dec;29(4):181-8. doi: 10.1089/ped.2016.0705. PMID: 35923060. *Intervention* 

3442. Nyman ES, Ogdie MN, Loukola A, et al. ADHD candidate gene study in a populationbased birth cohort: association with DBH and DRD2. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Dec;46(12):1614-21. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e3181579682. PMID: 18030083. *Outcome* 

3443. O'Brien AM, Kivisto LR, Deasley S, et al. Executive Functioning Rating Scale as a Screening Tool for ADHD: Independent Validation of the BDEFS-CA. J Atten Disord. 2021 May;25(7):965-77. doi: 10.1177/1087054719869834. PMID: 31448664. *Intervention* 

3444. O'Connell RG, Bellgrove MA, Dockree PM, et al. Cognitive remediation in ADHD: effects of periodic non-contingent alerts on sustained attention to response. Neuropsychol Rehabil. 2006 Dec;16(6):653-65. doi: 10.1080/09602010500200250. PMID: 17127571. *Intervention* 

3445. O'Connor C, McNicholas F. What Differentiates Children with ADHD Symptoms Who Do and Do Not Receive a Formal Diagnosis? Results from a Prospective Longitudinal Cohort Study. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2020 Feb;51(1):138-50. doi: 10.1007/s10578-019-00917-1. PMID: 31385105. *Intervention* 

3446. O'Driscoll GA, Dépatie L, Holahan AL, et al. Executive functions and methylphenidate response in subtypes of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2005 Jun 1;57(11):1452-60. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.02.029. PMID: 15950020. *Intervention* 

3447. O'Dwyer L, Tanner C, van Dongen EV, et al. Decreased Left Caudate Volume Is Associated with Increased Severity of Autistic-Like Symptoms in a Cohort of ADHD Patients and Their Unaffected Siblings. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):e0165620. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165620. PMID: 27806078. *Population* 

3448. O'Farrelly C, Barker B, Watt H, et al. A video-feedback parenting intervention to prevent enduring behaviour problems in at-risk children aged 12-36 months: the Healthy Start, Happy Start RCT. Health Technol Assess. 2021 May;25(29):1-84. doi: 10.3310/hta25290. PMID: 34018919. *Population* 

3449. Oades RD, Müller B. The development of conditioned blocking and monoamine metabolism in children with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder or complex tics and healthy controls: an exploratory analysis. Behav Brain Res. 1997 Oct;88(1):95-102. doi: 10.1016/s0166-4328(97)02306-1. PMID: 9401713. *Outcome* 

3450. Oberai P GS, Varanasi R, et al. Homoeopathic management of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A randomised placebo-controlled pilot trial. Indian Journal of Research in Homeopathy. 2013;7(4):158-67. *Power* 

3451. Ochoa-Mangado E, Madoz-Gúrpide A, Villacieros-Durbán I, et al. Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and substance use: Preliminary outcomes of a follow-up in a young population. Trastornos Adictivos. 2010;12(2):79-86. doi: 10.1016/S1575-0973(10)70015-5. *Language* 

3452. Oddo LE, Miller NV, Felton JW, et al. Maternal Emotion Dysregulation Predicts Emotion Socialization Practices and Adolescent Emotion Lability: Conditional Effects of Youth ADHD Symptoms. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2022 Feb;50(2):211-24. doi: 10.1007/s10802-020-00686-9. PMID: 32778993. *Design* 

3453. Odle M, Ouellette JA. Anticipatory Electrodermal Response as a Differentiating Somatic Marker Between Children with ADHD and Controls. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2016 Dec;41(4):375-80. doi: 10.1007/s10484-016-9336-y. PMID: 27272970. *Outcome* 

3454. Oerbeck B, Furu K, Zeiner P, et al. Child and Parental Characteristics of Medication Use for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Sep;30(7):456-64. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0019. PMID: 32672488. *Intervention* 

3455. Oesterheld JR, Kofoed L, Tervo R, et al. Effectiveness of methylphenidate in Native American children with fetal alcohol syndrome and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a controlled pilot study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 1998;8(1):39-48. doi: 10.1089/cap.1998.8.39. PMID: 9639078. *Intervention* 

3456. Ogg J, Shelleby EC, Santuzzi AM, et al. Examining daily parent involvement in caregivers of children with ADHD using electronic diaries. J Sch Psychol. 2022 Apr;91:195-208. doi: 10.1016/j.jsp.2022.01.004. PMID: 35190076. *Design* 

3457. Ogrim G, Kropotov JD. Predicting Clinical Gains and Side Effects of Stimulant Medication in Pediatric Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder by Combining Measures From qEEG and ERPs in a Cued GO/NOGO Task. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2019 Jan;50(1):34-43. doi: 10.1177/1550059418782328. PMID: 29940782. *Intervention* 

3458. Ogrim G, Kropotov JD. Event Related Potentials (ERPs) and other EEG Based Methods for Extracting Biomarkers of Brain Dysfunction: Examples from Pediatric Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). J Vis Exp. 2020 Mar 12(157). doi: 10.3791/60710. PMID: 32225146. *Outcome* 

3459. Ogundele MO, Ayyash HF. Review of the evidence for the management of co-morbid Tic disorders in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. World J Clin Pediatr. 2018 Feb 8;7(1):36-42. doi: 10.5409/wjcp.v7.i1.36. PMID: 29456930. *Intervention* 

3460. Öğütlü H, Esin İ S, Erdem HB, et al. Mitochondrial DNA copy number may be associated with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder severity in treatment: a one-year follow-up study. Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract. 2021 Mar;25(1):37-42. doi: 10.1080/13651501.2021.1879158. PMID: 33555215. *Intervention* 

3461. Öğütlü H, Taydas O, Karadag M, et al. Is common carotid artery intima-media thickness (cIMT) a risk assessment marker in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract. 2021 Jun 7:1-6. doi: 10.1080/13651501.2021.1933043. PMID: 34097567. *Intervention* 

3462. Oh Y, Joung YS, Choi J. Incidence of Neutropenia with Valproate, Antipsychotics, and ADHD Medication Combination Treatment in Children and Adolescents. J Korean Med Sci. 2020 Jul 20;35(28):e226. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2020.35.e226. PMID: 32686368. *Population* 

3463. Oh Y, Joung YS, Jang B, et al. Efficacy of Hippotherapy Versus Pharmacotherapy in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Altern Complement Med. 2018 May;24(5):463-71. doi: 10.1089/acm.2017.0358. PMID: 29641212. *Power* 

3464. Ohadi M, Shirazi E, Tehranidoosti M, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) association with the DAT1 core promoter -67 T allele. Brain Res. 2006 Jul 26;1101(1):1-4. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2006.05.024. PMID: 16782077. *Outcome* 

3465. Ohmann S, Wurzer M, Popow C. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and executive dysfunction in preschool children. A comparison of NEPSY and BRIEF-P assessments. Encephale. 2021 Jun 3. doi: 10.1016/j.encep.2021.02.014. PMID: 34092380. *Outcome* 

3466. Ohtomo Y. Atomoxetine ameliorates nocturnal enuresis with subclinical attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatr Int. 2017 Feb;59(2):181-4. doi: 10.1111/ped.13111. PMID: 27501068. *Population* 

3467. Øie M, Hovik KT, Andersen PN, et al. Gender Differences in the Relationship Between Changes in ADHD Symptoms, Executive Functions, and Self- and Parent-Report Depression Symptoms in Boys and Girls With ADHD: A 2-Year Follow-Up Study. J Atten Disord. 2018 Mar;22(5):446-59. doi: 10.1177/1087054716664407. PMID: 27549780. *Intervention* 

3468. Oie M, Sunde K, Rund BR. Contrasts in memory functions between adolescents with schizophrenia or ADHD. Neuropsychologia. 1999 Nov;37(12):1351-8. doi: 10.1016/s0028-3932(99)00043-3. PMID: 10606010. *Population* 

3469. Øie MG, Sundet K, Haug E, et al. Cognitive Performance in Early-Onset Schizophrenia and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A 25-Year Follow-Up Study. Front Psychol. 2020;11:606365. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.606365. PMID: 33519613. *Intervention* 

3470. Øien RA, Siper P, Kolevzon A, et al. Detecting Autism Spectrum Disorder in Children With ADHD and Social Disability. J Atten Disord. 2020 May;24(7):1078-84. doi: 10.1177/1087054716642518. PMID: 27074940. *Population* 

3471. Oja L, Huotilainen M, Nikkanen E, et al. Behavioral and electrophysiological indicators of auditory distractibility in children with ADHD and comorbid ODD. Brain Research. 2016 Feb 1, 2016;1632:42-50. *Intervention* 

3472. Ojala O, Kuja-Halkola R, Bjureberg J, et al. Associations of impulsivity, hyperactivity, and inattention with nonsuicidal self-injury and suicidal behavior: longitudinal cohort study following children at risk for neurodevelopmental disorders into mid-adolescence. BMC Psychiatry. 2022 Nov 3;22(1):679. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04311-5. PMID: 36329415. *Design* 

3473. Okabe R, Okamura H, Egami C, et al. Increased cortisol awakening response after completing the summer treatment program in children with ADHD. Brain Dev. 2017 Aug;39(7):583-92. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2017.03.001. PMID: 28347595. *Comparator* 

3474. Oklahoma Uo, Mark L. Wolraich MD. A Pilot Study of Daytrana TM in Children With Autism Co-Morbid for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Symptoms. 2007. *Intervention* 

3475. Oklahoma Uo, Wolraich ML. Open-Label Study of the Long Term Tolerability and Safety of Atomoxetine in Children With FASD and ADD/ADHD. 2005. *Intervention* 

3476. Okumura Y, Kita Y, Omori M, et al. Predictive factors of success in neurofeedback training for children with ADHD. Dev Neurorehabil. 2019 Jan;22(1):3-12. doi: 10.1080/17518423.2017.1326183. PMID: 28594254. *Intervention* 

3477. Okumura Y, Yamasaki S, Ando S, et al. Psychosocial Burden of Undiagnosed Persistent ADHD Symptoms in 12-Year-Old Children: A Population-Based Birth Cohort Study. J Atten Disord. 2021 Mar;25(5):636-45. doi: 10.1177/1087054719837746. PMID: 30924712. *Intervention* 

3478. Ola C, Gonzalez E, Tran N, et al. Evaluating the Feasibility and Acceptability of the Lifestyle Enhancement for ADHD Program. J Pediatr Psychol. 2021 Jul 20;46(6):662-72. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsab039. PMID: 34128050. *Comparator* 

3479. Olashore AA, Paruk S, Ogunjumo JA, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in school-age children in Gaborone, Botswana: Comorbidity and risk factors. S Afr J Psychiatr. 2020;26:1525. doi: 10.4102/sajpsychiatry.v26i0.1525. PMID: 33240552. *Intervention* 

3480. Oldehinkel M, Beckmann CF, Pruim RH, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder symptoms coincide with altered striatal connectivity. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2016 Jul;1(4):353-63. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2016.03.008. PMID: 27812554. *Intervention* 

3481. Olfson M, Crystal S, Huang C, et al. Trends in Antipsychotic Drug Use by Very Young, Privately Insured Children. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2010 01/01/;49(1):13-23. PMID: EJ944750. *Design* 

3482. Olfson M, Gameroff MJ, Marcus SC, et al. National trends in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2003 Jun;160(6):1071-7. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.160.6.1071. PMID: 12777264. *Intervention* 

3483. Olfson M, Huang C, Gerhard T, et al. Stimulants and cardiovascular events in youth with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2012 Feb;51(2):147-56. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2011.11.008. PMID: 22265361. *Comparator* 

3484. Olfson M, Marcus S, Wan G. Stimulant dosing for children with ADHD: a medical claims analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Jan;48(1):51-9. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e31818b1c8f. PMID: 19218896. *Intervention* 

3485. Oliveira JV, Fatori D, Shephard E, et al. Inattention symptoms in early pregnancy predict parenting skills and infant maltreatment during the first year of life. Braz J Psychiatry. 2022 Jun 24;44(4):388-400. doi: 10.47626/1516-4446-2021-2045. PMID: 35751597. *Population* 

3486. Olmstead R. Use of auditory and visual stimulation to improve cognitive abilities in learning-disabled children. Journal of Neurotherapy. 2005;9(2):49-61. doi: 10.1300/J184v09n02\_04. *Intervention* 

3487. Olsen J, Melbye M, Olsen SF, et al. The Danish National Birth Cohort--its background, structure and aim. Scand J Public Health. 2001 Dec;29(4):300-7. doi: 10.1177/14034948010290040201. PMID: 11775787. *Language* 

3488. Olsen L, Sparsø T, Weinsheimer SM, et al. Prevalence of rearrangements in the 22q11.2 region and population-based risk of neuropsychiatric and developmental disorders in a Danish population: a case-cohort study. Lancet Psychiatry. 2018 Jul;5(7):573-80. doi: 10.1016/s2215-0366(18)30168-8. PMID: 29886042. *Intervention* 

3489. Olvera RL, Pliszka SR, Luh J, et al. An open trial of venlafaxine in the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 1996 Winter;6(4):241-50. doi: 10.1089/cap.1996.6.241. PMID: 9231317. *Intervention* 

3490. Omidvar S, Jeddi Z, Doosti A, et al. Cochlear implant outcomes in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Comparison with controls. Int J Pediatr

Otorhinolaryngol. 2020 Mar;130:109782. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2019.109782. PMID: 31785496. *Intervention* 

3491. Önal A, Ögel K, Eke C. A cross-sectional study on substance use and family characteristics of adolescents with symptoms of attention deficit and hyperactivity. Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bulteni. 2011;21(3):225-31. doi: 10.5455/bcp.20110627104419. *Intervention* 

3492. Ondrejka I, Abali O, Paclt I, et al. A prospective observational study of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in Central and Eastern Europe and Turkey: Symptom severity and treatment options in a paediatric population. International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice. 2010;14(2):116-26. doi: 10.3109/13651500903556511. *Intervention* 

3493. Oner O, Oner P, Aysev A, et al. Regional cerebral blood flow in children with ADHD: changes with age. Brain Dev. 2005 Jun;27(4):279-85. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2004.07.010. PMID: 15862191. *Intervention* 

3494. Öner Ö, Vatanartiran S, Karadeniz Ş. Relationships between teacher-reported ADHD symptom profiles and academic achievement domains in a nonreferred convenience sample of first- to fourth-grade students. Psychiatry and Clinical Psychopharmacology. 2019;29(4):502-8. doi: 10.1080/24750573.2018.1457488. *Population* 

3495. Oosterlaan J, Sergeant JA. Inhibition in ADHD, aggressive, and anxious children: a biologically based model of child psychopathology. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1996 Feb;24(1):19-36. doi: 10.1007/BF01448371. PMID: 8833026. *Intervention* 

3496. Oosterlaan J, Sergeant JA. Effects of reward and response cost on response inhibition in AD/HD, disruptive, anxious, and normal children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1998 Jun;26(3):161-74. doi: 10.1023/a:1022650216978. PMID: 9650623. *Intervention* 

3497. Orawan Louthrenoo NBNLKC. Effects of neurofeedback on executive functioning in children with ADHD: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021219528. 2021.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=219528. Design

3498. Orban SA, Karamchandani TA, Tamm L, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder-Related Deficits and Psychostimulant Medication Effects on Comprehension of Audiovisually Presented Educational Material in Children. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Dec;28(10):727-38. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0006. PMID: 30148660. *Timing* 

3499. Orgun LT, Acar ASS, Torun YT, et al. Evaluation of visual attention components in a group of patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Gazi Medical Journal. 2020;31(4):603-8. doi: 10.12996/GMJ.2020.139. *Intervention* 

3500. Orient Pharma Co. L, Durect. Evaluate Long-term Safety and Efficacy of ORADUR®-Methylphenidate in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2016. *Intervention* 

3501. Orient Pharma Co. Ltd., Durect. Evaluate Safety and Efficacy of ORADUR®-Methylphenidate in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2015. *Timing* 

3502. Orm S, Andersen PN, Teicher MH, et al. Childhood executive functions and ADHD symptoms predict psychopathology symptoms in emerging adults with and without ADHD: a 10-year longitudinal study. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2023 Feb;51(2):261-71. doi: 10.1007/s10802-022-00957-7. PMID: 36194356. *Design* 

3503. Orm S, Øie MG, Fossum IN, et al. Predictors of Quality of Life and Functional Impairments in Emerging Adults With and Without ADHD: A 10-Year Longitudinal Study. J Atten Disord. 2023 Mar;27(5):458-69. doi: 10.1177/10870547231153962. PMID: 36779541. *Design* 

3504. Orm S, Pollak Y, Fossum IN, et al. Decision-making and Risky Behavior in Individuals with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A 10-year Longitudinal Study. Dev Neuropsychol. 2022 Jul;47(4):193-209. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2022.2082430. PMID: 35642565. *Design* 

3505. Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs L. CONCERTA Lab School Study. 2009. Power

3506. Orvaschel H, Walsh-Allis G, Ye WJ. Psychopathology in children of parents with recurrent depression. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1988 Feb;16(1):17-28. doi: 10.1007/bf00910497. PMID: 3361028. *Population* 

3507. Oshikoya KA, Carroll R, Aka I, et al. Adverse Events Associated with Risperidone Use in Pediatric Patients: A Retrospective Biobank Study. Drugs - Real World Outcomes. 2019;6(2):59-71. doi: 10.1007/s40801-019-0151-7. *Intervention* 

3508. Osland ST, Steeves TDL, Pringsheim T. Pharmacological treatment for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children with comorbid tic disorders. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2018(6). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007990.pub3. PMID: CD007990. *Duplicate* 

3509. Osooli M, Ohlsson H, Sundquist J, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in firstand second-generation immigrant children and adolescents: A nationwide cohort study in Sweden. J Psychosom Res. 2021 Feb;141:110330. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2020.110330. PMID: 33326861. *Intervention* 

3510. Ostberg M, Rydell AM. An efficacy study of a combined parent and teacher management training programme for children with ADHD. Nord J Psychiatry. 2012 Apr;66(2):123-30. doi: 10.3109/08039488.2011.641587. *Power* 

3511. Ostberg M, Rydell AM. An efficacy study of a combined parent and teacher management training programme for children with ADHD. Nord J Psychiatry. 2012 Apr;66(2):123-30. doi: 10.3109/08039488.2011.641587. PMID: 22150634. *Comparator* 

3512. Østergaard SD, Dalsgaard S, Faraone SV, et al. Teenage Parenthood and Birth Rates for Individuals With and Without Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Nationwide Cohort Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Jul;56(7):578-84.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.05.003. PMID: 28647009. *Intervention* 

3513. Osunsanmi S, Turk J. Influence of Age, Gender, and Living Circumstances on Patterns of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Medication Use in Children and Adolescents With or Without Intellectual Disabilities. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016 Nov;26(9):828-34. doi: 10.1089/cap.2014.0139. PMID: 26982546. *Intervention* 

3514. Otterman DL, Koopman-Verhoeff ME, White TJ, et al. Executive functioning and neurodevelopmental disorders in early childhood: a prospective population-based study. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2019;13:38. doi: 10.1186/s13034-019-0299-7. PMID: 31649749. *Population* 

3515. Ouadih-Moran M, Muñoz-Hoyos A, D'Marco L, et al. Is S100B Involved in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)? Comparisons with Controls and Changes Following a Triple Therapy Containing Methylphenidate, Melatonin and  $\omega$ -3 PUFAs. Nutrients. 2023 Jan 31;15(3). doi: 10.3390/nu15030712. PMID: 36771418. *Power* 

3516. Overbeek G, van Aar J, de Castro BO, et al. Longer-Term Outcomes of the Incredible Years Parenting Intervention. Prev Sci. 2021 May;22(4):419-31. doi: 10.1007/s11121-020-01176-6. PMID: 33108582. *Population* 

3517. Overgaard KR, Madsen KB, Oerbeck B, et al. The predictive validity of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire for child attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 May;28(5):625-33. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1226-9. PMID: 30220077. *Language* 

3518. Overgaard KR, Oerbeck B, Friis S, et al. Screening with an ADHD-specific rating scale in preschoolers: A cross-cultural comparison of the Early Childhood Inventory-4. Psychol Assess. 2019 Aug;31(8):985-94. doi: 10.1037/pas0000722. PMID: 30958025. *Language* 

3519. Overgaard KR, Oerbeck B, Friis S, et al. Predictive validity of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder from ages 3 to 5 Years. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Mar 7. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01750-5. PMID: 33677627. *Language* 

3520. Øvergaard KR, Oerbeck B, Friis S, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Preschoolers: The Accuracy of a Short Screener. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Jun;57(6):428-35. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.03.008. PMID: 29859558. *Language* 

3521. Øvergaard KR, Oerbeck B, Friis S, et al. Dr. Øvergaard et al. Reply. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Sep;57(9):701-2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.05.021. PMID: 30196877. *Outcome* 

3522. Overmeyer S, Simmons A, Santosh J, et al. Corpus callosum may be similar in children with ADHD and siblings of children with ADHD. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2000 Jan;42(1):8-13. doi: 10.1017/s0012162200000037. PMID: 10665969. *Intervention* 

3523. Overtoom CC, Kenemans JL, Verbaten MN, et al. Inhibition in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a psychophysiological study of the stop task. Biol Psychiatry. 2002 Apr 15;51(8):668-76. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(01)01290-2. PMID: 11955467. *Intervention* 

3524. Owens EB, Hinshaw SP. Pathways from neurocognitive vulnerability to co-occurring internalizing and externalizing problems among women with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder followed prospectively for 16 years. Dev Psychopathol. 2016 Nov;28(4pt1):1013-31. doi: 10.1017/s0954579416000675. PMID: 27739390. *Intervention* 

3525. Owens EB, Hinshaw SP. Adolescent Mediators of Unplanned Pregnancy among Women with and without Childhood ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2020 Mar-Apr;49(2):229-38. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2018.1547970. PMID: 30689435. *Intervention* 

3526. Owens EB, Hinshaw SP, Lee SS, et al. Few girls with childhood attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder show positive adjustment during adolescence. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2009 Jan;38(1):132-43. doi: 10.1080/15374410802575313. PMID: 19130363. *Intervention*  3527. Owens EB, Zalecki C, Gillette P, et al. Girls with childhood ADHD as adults: Crossdomain outcomes by diagnostic persistence. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2017 Jul;85(7):723-36. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000217. PMID: 28414486. *Intervention* 

3528. Owens J. Relationships between an ADHD diagnosis and future school behaviors among children with mild behavioral problems. Sociology of Education. 2020 Jul 2020;93(3):191-214. *Design* 

3529. Owens J. Social class, diagnosis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and child wellbeing. Journal of Health and Social Behavior. 2020 Jun 2020;61(2):133-. *Intervention* 

3530. Owens J. Parental intervention in school, academic pressure, and childhood diagnoses of ADHD. Soc Sci Med. 2021 Mar;272:113746. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.113746. PMID: 33588204. *Intervention* 

3531. Owens J, Weiss M, Nordbrock E, et al. Effect of Aptensio XR (Methylphenidate HCl Extended-Release) Capsules on Sleep in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016 Dec;26(10):873-81. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0083. PMID: 27754700. *Timing* 

3532. Özbaran B, Köse S, Ocakoğlu FT, et al. Brief report of efficacy and side effect profile of crossing over to modified-release capsules of methylphenidate in ADHD patients receiving other treatments: Case series. Psychiatry and Clinical Psychopharmacology. 2017;27(3):256-62. doi: 10.1080/24750573.2017.1358684. *Power* 

3533. Özbudak P, Özaslan A, Temel E, et al. New Electrographic Marker? Evaluation of Sleep Spindles in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2022 Oct 19:15500594221134025. doi: 10.1177/15500594221134025. PMID: 36259661. *Outcome* 

3534. Ozdag MF, Yorbik O, Durukan I, et al. The effects of methylphenidate on transcranial magnetic stimulation parameters in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bulteni. 2010;20(1):38-44. doi: 10.1080/10177833.2010.11790632. *Intervention* 

3535. Ozdag MF, Yorbik O, Ulas UH, et al. Effect of methylphenidate on auditory event related potential in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2004 Oct;68(10):1267-72. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2004.04.023. PMID: 15364497. *Intervention* 

3536. Ozel-Kizil ET, Kokurcan A, Aksoy UM, et al. Hyperfocusing as a dimension of adult attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Res Dev Disabil. 2016 Dec;59:351-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2016.09.016. PMID: 27681531. *Population* 

3537. Özgen H, Spijkerman R, Noack M, et al. Treatment of Adolescents with Concurrent Substance Use Disorder and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review. J Clin Med. 2021 Aug 30;10(17). doi: 10.3390/jcm10173908. PMID: 34501355. *Duplicate* 

3538. Ozonoff S, Jensen J. Brief report: specific executive function profiles in three neurodevelopmental disorders. J Autism Dev Disord. 1999 Apr;29(2):171-7. doi: 10.1023/a:1023052913110. PMID: 10382139. *Outcome* 

3539. Packard SS. EFFECTS OF VIGOROUS BOUTS OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY IN ELEMENTARY STUDENTS WITH AND WITHOUT A DIAGNOSIS OF ATTENTION DEFICIT DISORDER: AN EXAMINATION OF HOW PHYSICAL ACTIVITY INFLUENCES THE ATTENTION AND CONCENTRATION OF STUDENTS IN THE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT: Miami University; 2007. *Design* 

3540. Padilla R, Parsons MH. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Outcomes Following Remotely Administered Self-Help Training for Parents. J Am Psychiatr Nurses Assoc. 2019 Sep/Oct;25(5):350-9. doi: 10.1177/1078390318814616. PMID: 30688556. *Design* 

3541. Pagani LS, Harbec MJ, Fortin G, et al. Childhood exercise as medicine: Extracurricular sport diminishes subsequent ADHD symptoms. Prev Med. 2020 Dec;141:106256. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2020.106256. PMID: 33002520. *Duplicate* 

3542. Pagano ME, Delos-Reyes CM, Wasilow S, et al. Smoking Cessation and Adolescent Treatment Response With Comorbid ADHD. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2016 Nov;70:21-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jsat.2016.07.008. PMID: 27692184. *Intervention* 

3543. Page TF, Pelham WE, III, Fabiano GA, et al. Comparative cost analysis of sequential, adaptive, behavioral, pharmacological, and combined treatments for childhood ADHD. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2016 Jul 2016;45(4):416-27. *Design* 

3544. Pai MS, Yang SN, Chu CM, et al. Risk of injuries requiring hospitalization in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and the preventive effects of medication. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2022 Dec;76(12):652-8. doi: 10.1111/pcn.13471. PMID: 36066073. *Design* 

3545. Pakdaman F, Irani F, Tajikzadeh F, et al. The efficacy of Ritalin in ADHD children under neurofeedback training. Neurol Sci. 2018 Dec;39(12):2071-8. doi: 10.1007/s10072-018-3539-3. PMID: 30187306. *Design* 

3546. Palko L. Improvements in an objective measure of attention function with a digital therapeutic is associated with improvements in academic performance measures in pediatric attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Managed Care and Specialty Pharmacy. 2022;28(10):S65-S6. *Design* 

3547. Palm U, Segmiller FM, Epple AN, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation in children and adolescents: A comprehensive review. Journal of Neural Transmission. 2016 Oct 2016;123(10):1219-34. *Design* 

3548. Palumbo D, Spencer T, Lynch J, et al. Emergence of tics in children with ADHD: impact of once-daily OROS methylphenidate therapy. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2004 Summer;14(2):185-94. doi: 10.1089/1044546041649138. PMID: 15319016. *Design* 

3549. Pan CY, Chang YK, Tsai CL, et al. Effects of Physical Activity Intervention on Motor Proficiency and Physical Fitness in Children With ADHD: An Exploratory Study. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jul;21(9):783-95. doi: 10.1177/1087054714533192. PMID: 24827938. *Power* 

3550. Pan CY, Chu CH, Tsai CL, et al. A racket-sport intervention improves behavioral and cognitive performance in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Res Dev Disabil. 2016 Oct;57:1-10. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2016.06.009. PMID: 27344348. *Power* 

3551. Pan CY, Tsai CL, Chu CH, et al. Effects of Physical Exercise Intervention on Motor Skills and Executive Functions in Children With ADHD: A Pilot Study. J Atten Disord. 2019 Feb;23(4):384-97. doi: 10.1177/1087054715569282. PMID: 25646023. *Power* 

3552. Pan PY, Yeh CB. Impact of depressive/anxiety symptoms on the quality of life of adolescents with ADHD: a community-based 1-year prospective follow-up study. Eur Child

Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Jun;26(6):659-67. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0929-z. PMID: 27990556. *Intervention* 

3553. Pan X, Jiang Z, Bi H, et al. Brain function network analysis of children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder based on adaptive sparse representation method. Journal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics. 2019;9(8):1655-62. doi: 10.1166/jmihi.2019.2774. *Design* 

3554. Panahandeh G, Vatani B, Safavi P, et al. The effect of adding ferrous sulfate to methylphenidate on attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. J Adv Pharm Technol Res. 2017 Oct-Dec;8(4):138-42. doi: 10.4103/japtr.JAPTR\_45\_17. PMID: 29184845. *Power* 

3555. Pane P, Arcieri R, Bonati M, et al. Safety of psychotropic drug prescribed for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in Italy. Adverse Drug Reaction Bulletin. 2010(260):999-1002. *Duplicate* 

3556. Panei P AR, Bonati M, et al. Safety of psychotropic drug prescribed for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in Italy. Adverse Drug Reaction Bulletin. 2010(260):999-1002. *Design* 

3557. Pang L, Sareen R. Retrospective analysis of adverse events associated with non-stimulant ADHD medications reported to the united states food and drug administration. Psychiatry Res. 2021 Jun;300:113861. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113861. PMID: 33780716. *Intervention* 

3558. Pang X, Wang H, Dill SE, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) among elementary students in rural China: Prevalence, correlates, and consequences. J Affect Disord. 2021 Oct 1;293:484-91. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.06.014. PMID: 34280772. *Intervention* 

3559. Papachristou E, Schulz K, Newcorn J, et al. Comparative Evaluation of Child Behavior Checklist-Derived Scales in Children Clinically Referred for Emotional and Behavioral Dysregulation. Front Psychiatry. 2016;7:146. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00146. PMID: 27605916. *Population* 

3560. Papadopoulos N, Sciberras E, Hiscock H, et al. The Efficacy of a Brief Behavioral Sleep Intervention in School-Aged Children With ADHD and Comorbid Autism Spectrum Disorder. J Atten Disord. 2019 Feb;23(4):341-50. doi: 10.1177/1087054714568565. PMID: 25646022. *Power* 

3561. Pappadopulos E, Woolston S, Chait A, et al. Pharmacotherapy of aggression in children and adolescents: efficacy and effect size. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Feb;15(1):27-39. PMID: 18392193. *Population* 

3562. Paraskevopoulou M, van Rooij D, Schene AH, et al. Effects of family history of substance use disorder on reward processing in adolescents with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Addict Biol. 2022 Mar;27(2):e13137. doi: 10.1111/adb.13137. PMID: 35229951. *Design* 

3563. Paraskevopoulou M, van Rooij D, Schene AH, et al. Effects of substance misuse on inhibitory control in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Addict Biol. 2022 Jan;27(1):e13063. doi: 10.1111/adb.13063. PMID: 34101312. *Population* 

3564. Parhoon K, Moradi A, Alizadeh H, et al. Psychometric properties of the behavior rating inventory of executive function, second edition (BRIEF2) in a sample of children with ADHD in

Iran. Child Neuropsychol. 2022 May;28(4):427-36. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2021.1975669. PMID: 34488557. *Outcome* 

3565. Parikh NA. Advanced neuroimaging and its role in predicting neurodevelopmental outcomes in very preterm infants. Semin Perinatol. 2016 Dec;40(8):530-41. doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2016.09.005. PMID: 27863706. *Population* 

3566. Park ER, Perez GK, Millstein RA, et al. A Virtual Resiliency Intervention Promoting Resiliency for Parents of Children with Learning and Attentional Disabilities: A Randomized Pilot Trial. Matern Child Health J. 2020 Jan;24(1):39-53. doi: 10.1007/s10995-019-02815-3. PMID: 31650412. *Population* 

3567. Park J, Choi HW, Yum MS, et al. Relationship Between Aggravation of Seizures and Methylphenidate Treatment in Subjects with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Epilepsy. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Oct;28(8):537-46. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0070. PMID: 30089215. *Intervention* 

3568. Park J, Kim B. Comorbidity and factors affecting treatment non-persistence in ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Jul 2020;24(9):1276-84. *Intervention* 

3569. Park JH, Lee YS, Sohn JH, et al. Effectiveness of atomoxetine and methylphenidate for problematic online gaming in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2016 Nov;31(6):427-32. doi: 10.1002/hup.2559. PMID: 27859666. *Power* 

3570. Park JI, Lee IH, Lee SJ, et al. Effects of music therapy as an alternative treatment on depression in children and adolescents with ADHD by activating serotonin and improving stress coping ability. BMC Complement Med Ther. 2023 Mar 6;23(1):73. doi: 10.1186/s12906-022-03832-6. PMID: 36879223. *Power* 

3571. Park K, Kihl T, Park S, et al. Fairy tale directed game-based training system for children with ADHD using BCI and motion sensing technologies. Behaviour & Information Technology. 2019 Jun 2019;38(6):564-77. *Intervention* 

3572. Park SY, Kim JH, Jeong MY, et al. Reliability and Validity of the Korean Version of the Parental Stress Scale for Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Psychiatry Investigation. 2021;18(12):1188-97. doi: 10.30773/pi.2021.0116. *Intervention* 

3573. Park-Wyllie L, Van Stralen J, Almagor D, et al. Medication Persistence, Duration of Treatment, and Treatment-switching Patterns Among Canadian Patients Taking Once-daily Extended-release Methylphenidate Medications for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Population-based Retrospective Cohort Study. Clin Ther. 2016 Aug;38(8):1789-802. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2016.07.001. PMID: 27478110. *Comparator* 

3574. Parsons TD, Bowerly T, Buckwalter JG, et al. A controlled clinical comparison of attention performance in children with ADHD in a virtual reality classroom compared to standard neuropsychological methods. Child Neuropsychol. 2007 Jul;13(4):363-81. doi: 10.1080/13825580600943473. PMID: 17564852. *Outcome* 

3575. Pärtty A, Kalliomäki M, Wacklin P, et al. A possible link between early probiotic intervention and the risk of neuropsychiatric disorders later in childhood: a randomized trial. Pediatr Res. 2015 Jun;77(6):823-8. doi: 10.1038/pr.2015.51. PMID: 25760553. *Design* 

3576. Pasadyn SR, Giuliano K, LaBianca D, et al. Time to Stable Dose of Psychostimulants in Pediatric Patients With ADHD. J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther. 2020;25(3):228-34. doi: 10.5863/1551-6776-25.3.228. PMID: 32265606. *Intervention* 

3577. Păsărelu CR, Andersson G, Dobrean A. Attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder mobile apps: A systematic review. Int J Med Inform. 2020 Jun;138:104133. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2020.104133. PMID: 32283479. *Outcome* 

3578. Păsărelu CR, David D, Dobrean A, et al. ADHDCoach-a virtual clinic for parents of children with ADHD: Development and usability study. Digit Health. 2023 Jan-Dec;9:20552076231161963. doi: 10.1177/20552076231161963. PMID: 36923370. *Power* 

3579. Passaro PD, Moon M, Wiest DJ, et al. A model for school psychology practice: addressing the needs of students with emotional and behavioral challenges through the use of an in-school support room and reality therapy. Adolescence. 2004 Fall;39(155):503-17. PMID: 15673226. *Intervention* 

3580. Passarotti AM, Balaban L, Colman LD, et al. A Preliminary Study on the Functional Benefits of Computerized Working Memory Training in Children With Pediatric Bipolar Disorder and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Psychol. 2019;10:3060. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.03060. PMID: 32116872. *Intervention* 

3581. Passarotti AM, Sweeney JA, Pavuluri MN. Emotion processing influences working memory circuits in pediatric bipolar disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Oct;49(10):1064-80. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.07.009. PMID: 20855051. *Intervention* 

3582. Pastor PN, Reuben CA. Diagnosed attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and learning disability: United States, 2004-2006. Vital Health Stat 10. 2008 Jul(237):1-14. PMID: 18998276. *Design* 

3583. Patel A, Medhekar R, Ochoa-Perez M, et al. Care Provision and Prescribing Practices of Physicians Treating Children and Adolescents With ADHD. Psychiatr Serv. 2017 Jul 1;68(7):681-8. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201600130. PMID: 28196459. *Intervention* 

3584. Patra S, Nebhinani N, Viswanathan A, et al. Atomoxetine for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents with autism: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Autism Research. 2019 Apr 2019;12(4):542-52. *Duplicate* 

3585. Patros CHG, Tarle SJ, Alderson RM, et al. Planning deficits in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A meta-analytic review of tower task performance. Neuropsychology. 2019 Mar;33(3):425-44. doi: 10.1037/neu0000531. PMID: 30688493. *Intervention* 

3586. Paul-Jordanov I, Bechtold M, Gawrilow C. Methylphenidate and if-then plans are comparable in modulating the P300 and increasing response inhibition in children with ADHD. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2010 Nov;2(3):115-26. doi: 10.1007/s12402-010-0028-9. PMID: 21432597. *Intervention* 

3587. Pauli-Pott U, Bauer L, Becker K, et al. Parental positive regard and expressed emotionprediction of developing attention deficit, oppositional and callous unemotional problems between preschool and school age. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Aug 31. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01625-1. PMID: 32865656. *Intervention*  3588. Pauli-Pott U, Becker K. Impulsivity as early emerging vulnerability factor—prediction of adhd by a preschool neuropsychological measure. Brain Sciences. 2021;11(1):1-12. doi: 10.3390/brainsci11010060. *Population* 

3589. Pauli-Pott U, Schloß S, Skoluda N, et al. Low hair cortisol concentration predicts the development of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2019 Dec;110:104442. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2019.104442. PMID: 31585236. *Intervention* 

3590. Paz EV, Puga C, Ekonen C, et al. Letter and category Fluency Test in Spanish-Speaking Children with Neurodevelopmental Disorders. Neurol India. 2021 Jan-Feb;69(1):102-6. doi: 10.4103/0028-3886.310066. PMID: 33642279. *Population* 

3591. Pearson DA, Lane DM, Santos CW, et al. Effects of Methylphenidate Treatment in Children with Mental Retardation and ADHD: Individual Variation in Medication Response. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2004 06/01/;43(6):686-J. PMID: EJ696272. *Power* 

3592. Pearson DA, Santos CW, Aman MG, et al. Effects of extended release methylphenidate treatment on ratings of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and associated behavior in children with autism spectrum disorders and ADHD symptoms. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2013 Jun;23(5):337-51. doi: 10.1089/cap.2012.0096. PMID: 23782128. *Power* 

3593. Pearson DA, Santos CW, Aman MG, et al. Effects of Extended-Release Methylphenidate Treatment on Cognitive Task Performance in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Sep;30(7):414-26. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0004. PMID: 32644833. *Design* 

3594. Pearson DA, Santos CW, Casat CD, et al. Treatment Effects of Methylphenidate on Cognitive Functioning in Children with Mental Retardation and ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2004 06/01/;43(6):677-J. PMID: EJ696271. *Power* 

3595. Pearson DA, Santos CW, Roache JD, et al. Treatment effects of methylphenidate on behavioral adjustment in children with mental retardation and ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2003 Feb;42(2):209-16. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200302000-00015. PMID: 12544181. *Power* 

3596. Pearson DA, Yaffee LS, Loveland KA, et al. Comparison of sustained and selective attention in children who have mental retardation with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Ment Retard. 1996 May;100(6):592-607. PMID: 8735573. *Intervention* 

3597. Peasgood T, Bhardwaj A, Biggs K, et al. The impact of ADHD on the health and wellbeing of ADHD children and their siblings. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Nov;25(11):1217-31. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0841-6. PMID: 27037707. *Intervention* 

3598. Peddis C, Esu L, Tronci MG, et al. The Italian ADHD National Registry: 3 years of active pharmacovigilance in developmental neuropsychiatry. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S125. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Comparator* 

3599. Pedersen CB, Bybjerg-Grauholm J, Pedersen MG, et al. The iPSYCH2012 case-cohort sample: new directions for unravelling genetic and environmental architectures of severe mental

disorders. Mol Psychiatry. 2018 Jan;23(1):6-14. doi: 10.1038/mp.2017.196. PMID: 28924187. *Intervention* 

3600. Pedersen SJ, Heath M, Surburg PR. Lower Extremity Response Time Performance in Boys with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2007 01/01/;10(4):343-9. PMID: EJ804392. *Intervention* 

3601. Pedersen SL, King KM, Louie KA, et al. Momentary fluctuations in impulsivity domains: Associations with a history of childhood ADHD, heavy alcohol use, and alcohol problems. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2019 Dec 1;205:107683. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.107683. PMID: 31704385. *Population* 

3602. Peijnenborgh JC, Hurks PM, Aldenkamp AP, et al. Efficacy of working memory training in children and adolescents with learning disabilities: A review study and meta-analysis. Neuropsychol Rehabil. 2016 Oct;26(5-6):645-72. doi: 10.1080/09602011.2015.1026356. PMID: 25886202. *Population* 

3603. Pelham WE, Carlson, C., Sams, S. E., Vallano, G., Dixon, M. J., & Hoza, B. Separate and combined effects of methylphenidate and behavior modification on the classroom behavior and academic performance of ADHD boys: Group effects and individual differences. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 1993;61:506-15. *Intervention* 

3604. Pelham WE, Burrows-Maclean, L., Gnagy, E. M., Fabiano, G. A., Coles, E. K., Wymbs, B. T., ... Waschbusch, D. A. A dose ranging study of behavioral and pharmacological treatment in social settings for children with ADHD. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2014;42:1019–31. doi: 10.1007/s10802-013-9843-8. *Intervention* 

3605. Pelham WE, Altszuler AR, Merrill BM, et al. The effect of stimulant medication on the learning of academic curricula in children with ADHD: A randomized crossover study. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2022 May;90(5):367-80. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000725. PMID: 35604744. *Design* 

3606. Pelham WE, Gnagy EM, Chronis AM, et al. A comparison of morning-only and morning/late afternoon Adderall to morning-only, twice-daily, and three times-daily methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 1999 Dec;104(6):1300-11. doi: 10.1542/peds.104.6.1300. PMID: 10585981. *Power* 

3607. Pelham WE, Jr., Gnagy EM, Sibley MH, et al. Attributions and Perception of Methylphenidate Effects in Adolescents With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(2):129-36. doi: 10.1177/1087054713493320. PMID: 23893533. *Intervention* 

3608. Pelham WE, Jr., Greenslade KE, Vodde-Hamilton M, et al. Relative efficacy of longacting stimulants on children with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder: a comparison of standard methylphenidate, sustained-release methylphenidate, sustained-release dextroamphetamine, and pemoline. Pediatrics. 1990 Aug;86(2):226-37. PMID: 2196522. *Power* 

3609. Pelham WE, Manos MJ, Ezzell CE, et al. A Dose-Ranging Study of a Methylphenidate Transdermal System in Children with ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2005 06/01/;44(6):522-J. PMID: EJ697183. *Power* 

3610. Pelham WE, Jr., Meichenbaum DL, Smith BH, et al. Acute Effects of MPH on the Parent-Teen Interactions of Adolescents With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(2):158-67. doi: 10.1177/1087054713480833. PMID: 23543401. *Intervention*  3611. Pelham WE, Page TF, Altszuler AR, et al. The long-term financial outcome of children diagnosed with ADHD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2020 Feb;88(2):160-71. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000461. PMID: 31789549. *Intervention* 

3612. Pelham WE, Smith BH, Evans SW, et al. The Effectiveness of Short- and Long-Acting Stimulant Medications for Adolescents With ADHD in a Naturalistic Secondary School Setting. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(1):40-5. doi: 10.1177/1087054712474688. PMID: 23460704. *Intervention* 

3613. Pelham WE, Jr., Sturges J, Hoza J, et al. Sustained release and standard methylphenidate effects on cognitive and social behavior in children with attention deficit disorder. Pediatrics. 1987 Oct;80(4):491-501. PMID: 3658567. *Power* 

3614. Pelham WE, Waxmonsky JG, Schentag J, et al. Efficacy of a methylphenidate transdermal system versus t.i.d. methylphenidate in a laboratory setting. J Atten Disord. 2011 Jan;15(1):28-35. doi: 10.1177/1087054709359163. PMID: 20439487. *Power* 

3615. Pelham WE AA, Merrill BM, Raiker JS, Macphee FL, Ramos M, Gnagy EM, Greiner AR, Coles EK, Connor CM, Lonigan CJ, Burger L, Morrow AS, Zhao X, Swanson JM, Waxmonsky JG, Pelham WE. The effect of stimulant medication on the learning of academic curricula in children with ADHD: A randomized crossover study. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2022 May;90(5):367-80. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000725. *Timing* 

3616. Pelham WE GE, Burrows-Maclean L, Williams A, Fabiano GA, Morrisey SM, Chronis AM, Forehand GL, Nguyen CA, Hoffman MT, Lock TM, Fielbelkorn K, Coles EK, Panahon CJ, Steiner RL, Meichenbaum DL, Onyango AN, Morse GD. Once-a-day Concerta methylphenidate versus three-times-daily methylphenidate in laboratory and natural settings. Pediatrics. 2001 Jun;107(6):E105. *Power* 

3617. Pelham WEJ, Gnagy EM, Greiner AR, et al. Summer treatment programs for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. In: Weisz JR, Kazdin AE, eds. Evidence-based psychotherapies for children and adolescents. Third edition. ed. New York: The Guilford Press; 2010:215-32. *Intervention* 

3618. Pelsser L, Frankena K, Toorman J, et al. Retrospective Outcome Monitoring of ADHD and Nutrition (ROMAN): The Effectiveness of the Few-Foods Diet in General Practice. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:96. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00096. PMID: 32226397. *Power* 

3619. Pelsser LM, Frankena K, Buitelaar JK, et al. Effects of food on physical and sleep complaints in children with ADHD: a randomised controlled pilot study. Eur J Pediatr. 2010 Sep;169(9):1129-38. doi: 10.1007/s00431-010-1196-5. PMID: 20401617. *Outcome* 

3620. Pelsser LM, Frankena K, Toorman J, et al. Diet and ADHD, Reviewing the Evidence: A Systematic Review of Meta-Analyses of Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Trials Evaluating the Efficacy of Diet Interventions on the Behavior of Children with ADHD. PLoS One. 2017;12(1):e0169277. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169277. PMID: 28121994. *Design* 

3621. Pelsser LM, Frankena K, Toorman J, et al. A randomised controlled trial into the effects of food on ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Jan;18(1):12-9. doi: 10.1007/s00787-008-0695-7. PMID: 18431534. *Power* 

3622. Pelz R, Banaschewski T, Becker K. Pharmacotherapy in children and adolescents with ADHD. An overview. Monatsschrift fur Kinderheilkunde. 2008;156(8):768-75. doi: 10.1007/s00112-008-1729-4. *Language* 

3623. Penberthy JK, Cox D, Breton M, et al. Calibration of ADHD assessments across studies: a meta-analysis tool. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2005 Mar;30(1):31-51. doi: 10.1007/s10484-005-2172-0. PMID: 15889584. *Design* 

3624. Penberthy JK, Cox D, Robeva R, et al. The EEG Consistency Index as a psychophysiological marker of ADHD and methylphenidate response: Replication of results. Journal of Neurotherapy. 2006;10(1):33-43. doi: 10.1300/J184v10n01\_03. *Intervention* 

3625. Peng CZ, Grant JD, Heath AC, et al. Familial influences on the full range of variability in attention and activity levels during adolescence: A longitudinal twin study. Dev Psychopathol. 2016 May;28(2):517-26. doi: 10.1017/s0954579415001091. PMID: 26612434. *Intervention* 

3626. Peng L, Tian L, Wang T, et al. Effects of non-invasive brain stimulation (NIBS) for executive function on subjects with ADHD: a protocol for a systematic review and metaanalysis. BMJ Open. 2023 Mar 6;13(3):e069004. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-069004. PMID: 36878663. *Design* 

3627. Pennington BF, Groisser D, Welsh MC. Contrasting cognitive deficits in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder versus reading disability. Developmental Psychology. 1993;29:511-23. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.29.3.511. *Intervention* 

3628. Peppers KH, Eisbach S, Atkins S, et al. An Intervention to Promote Sleep and Reduce ADHD Symptoms. J Pediatr Health Care. 2016 Nov-Dec;30(6):e43-e8. doi: 10.1016/j.pedhc.2016.07.008. PMID: 27614815. *Intervention* 

3629. Peralta GP, Forns J, García de la Hera M, et al. Sleeping, TV, Cognitively Stimulating Activities, Physical Activity, and Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Symptom Incidence in Children: A Prospective Study. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2018 Apr;39(3):192-9. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000539. PMID: 29261536. *Intervention* 

3630. Peralta V, de Jalón EG, Campos MS, et al. The meaning of childhood attention-deficit hyperactivity symptoms in patients with a first-episode of schizophrenia-spectrum psychosis. Schizophr Res. 2011 Mar;126(1-3):28-35. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2010.09.010. PMID: 20926260. *Population* 

3631. Perapoch J, Vidal R, Gómez-Lumbreras A, et al. Prematurity and ADHD in Childhood: An Observational Register-Based Study in Catalonia. J Atten Disord. 2021 May;25(7):933-41. doi: 10.1177/1087054719864631. PMID: 31409171. *Intervention* 

3632. Perea V, Simó-Servat A, Quirós C, et al. Role of Excessive Weight Gain During Gestation in the Risk of ADHD in Offspring of Women With Gestational Diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022 Sep 28;107(10):e4203-e11. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgac483. PMID: 36073965. *Design* 

3633. Perea V, Urquizu X, Valverde M, et al. Influence of Maternal Diabetes on the Risk of Neurodevelopmental Disorders in Offspring in the Prenatal and Postnatal Periods. Diabetes Metab J. 2022 Nov;46(6):912-22. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2021.0340. PMID: 35488357. *Design* 

3634. Pereira I, Nogueira V, Marguilho M, et al. Comorbid adult adhd and bipolar affective disorder - assessment challenges. European Psychiatry. 2021;64:S194-S5. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.515. *Design* 

3635. Pereira-Sanchez V. Neuroimaging in ADHD: How far are scanners from clinical psychiatry? European Psychiatry. 2021;64:S72. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.225. *Design* 

3636. Pereira-Sanchez V, Castellanos FX. Neuroimaging in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2021 Mar 1;34(2):105-11. doi: 10.1097/yco.00000000000669. PMID: 33278156. *Outcome* 

3637. Pereira-Sanchez V, Franco AR, Vieira D, et al. Systematic Review: Medication Effects on Brain Intrinsic Functional Connectivity in Patients With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Feb;60(2):222-35. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.10.013. PMID: 33137412. *Population* 

3638. Perera H, Jeewandara KC, Seneviratne S, et al. Combined omega3 and omega6 supplementation in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) refractory to methylphenidate treatment: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Child Neurol. 2012 Jun;27(6):747-53. doi: 10.1177/0883073811435243. PMID: 22596014. *Power* 

3639. Pérez Sánchez S, Martín Herrero I, Cutillas Fernández MA, et al. Quality of life in a sample of schoolchildren with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder. European Psychiatry. 2021;64:S231. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.617. *Design* 

3640. Pérez-Elvira R, Oltra-Cucarella J, Carrobles JA. Comparing live Z-score training and theta/beta protocol to reduce theta-to-beta ratio: A pilot study. NeuroRegulation. 2020;7(2):58-63. doi: 10.15540/nr.7.2.58. *Intervention* 

3641. Perisse D, Gerardin P, Cohen D, et al. Conduct disorder in children and adolescents: a review of current therapeutic approaches. Neuropsychiatrie de l'Enfance et de l'Adolescence. 2006;54(8):401-10. doi: 10.1016/j.neurenf.2005.09.006. *Population* 

3642. Periyasamy R, Vibashan VS, Varghese GT, et al. Machine Learning Techniques for the Diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder from Magnetic Resonance Imaging: A Concise Review. Neurol India. 2021 Nov-Dec;69(6):1518-23. doi: 10.4103/0028-3886.333520. PMID: 34979636. *Outcome* 

3643. Perra O, Wass S, McNulty A, et al. Training attention control of very preterm infants: protocol for a feasibility study of the Attention Control Training (ACT). Pilot Feasibility Stud. 2020;6:17. doi: 10.1186/s40814-020-0556-9. PMID: 32055404. *Outcome* 

3644. Perra O, Wass S, McNulty A, et al. Very preterm infants engage in an intervention to train their control of attention: results from the feasibility study of the Attention Control Training (ACT) randomised trial. Pilot Feasibility Stud. 2021 Mar 12;7(1):66. doi: 10.1186/s40814-021-00809-z. PMID: 33712090. *Population* 

3645. Perra O, Wass S, McNulty A, et al. Very preterm infants engage in an intervention to train their control of attention: results from the feasibility study of the Attention Control Training (ACT) randomised trial. Pilot Feasibility Stud. 2021 Mar 12;7(1):66. doi: 10.1186/s40814-021-00809-z. PMID: 33712090. *Duplicate* 

3646. Perreau-Linck E, Lessard N, Lévesque J, et al. Effects of neurofeed back training on inhibitory capacities in ADHD children: A single-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. Journal of Neurotherapy. 2010;14(3):229-42. doi: 10.1080/10874208.2010.501514. *Power* 

3647. Perrin JM, Friedman RA, Knilans TK. Cardiovascular monitoring and stimulant drugs for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2008 Aug;122(2):451-3. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-1573. PMID: 18676566. *Design* 

3648. Perry RC, Ford TJ, O'Mahen H, et al. Prioritising Targets for School-Based ADHD Interventions: A Delphi Survey. School Mental Health. 2021 06/01/;13(2):235-49. PMID: EJ1298599. *Design* 

3649. Persico AM, Ricciardello A, Lamberti M, et al. The pediatric psychopharmacology of autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review - Part I: The past and the present. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2021 Aug 30;110:110326. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2021.110326. PMID: 33857522. *Population* 

3650. Perwien AR, Faries DE, Kratochvil CJ, et al. Improvement in health-related quality of life in children with ADHD: an analysis of placebo controlled studies of atomoxetine. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2004 Aug;25(4):264-71. doi: 10.1097/00004703-200408000-00006. PMID: 15308927. *Design* 

3651. Perwien AR, Kratochvil CJ, Faries DE, et al. Atomoxetine treatment in children and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: what are the long-term health-related quality-of-life outcomes? J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2006 Dec;16(6):713-24. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.16.713. PMID: 17201615. *Comparator* 

3652. Peskin M, Sommerfeld E, Basford Y, et al. Continuous performance test is sensitive to a single methylphenidate challenge in preschool children with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Jan 2020;24(2):226-34. *Intervention* 

3653. Peterson BS, Pine DS, Cohen P, et al. Prospective, longitudinal study of tic, obsessivecompulsive, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders in an epidemiological sample. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Jun;40(6):685-95. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200106000-00014. PMID: 11392347. *Intervention* 

3654. Peterson BS, Potenza MN, Wang Z, et al. An FMRI study of the effects of psychostimulants on default-mode processing during Stroop task performance in youths with ADHD. Am J Psychiatry. 2009 Nov;166(11):1286-94. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.08050724. PMID: 19755575. *Intervention* 

3655. Pettersson R, Soderstrom S, Edlund-Soderstrom K, et al. Internet-Based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Adults With ADHD in Outpatient Psychiatric Care. J Atten Disord. 2017 Apr;21(6):508-21. doi: 10.1177/1087054714539998. PMID: 24970720. *Population* 

3656. Petton M, Perrone-Bertolotti M, Mac-Auliffe D, et al. BLAST: A short computerized test to measure the ability to stay on task. Normative behavioral data and detailed cortical dynamics. Neuropsychologia. 2019 Nov;134:107151. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2019.107151. PMID: 31541659. *Population* 

3657. Peyre H, Galera C, van der Waerden J, et al. Relationship between early language skills and the development of inattention/hyperactivity symptoms during the preschool period: Results

of the EDEN mother-child cohort. BMC Psychiatry. 2016 Nov 8;16(1):380. doi: 10.1186/s12888-016-1091-3. PMID: 27821161. *Population* 

3658. Pfiffner LJ, McBurnett K. Social skills training with parent generalization: treatment effects for children with attention deficit disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1997 Oct;65(5):749-57. doi: 10.1037//0022-006x.65.5.749. PMID: 9337494. *Power* 

3659. Pfiffner LJ, Mikami AY, Huang-Pollock C, et al. A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Integrated Home-School Behavioral Treatment for ADHD, Predominantly Inattentive Type. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007 08/01/;46(8):1041-50. PMID: EJ944779. *Power* 

3660. Pfiffner LJ, Rooney M, Haack L, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a schoolimplemented school-home intervention for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms and impairment. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2016 Sep 2016;55(9):762-70. *Population* 

3661. Pfiffner LJ, Rooney M, Haack L, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of a School-Implemented School-Home Intervention for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms and Impairment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Sep;55(9):762-70. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.05.023. PMID: 27566117. *Duplicate* 

3662. Pfiffner LJ, Rooney ME, Jiang Y, et al. Sustained Effects of Collaborative School-Home Intervention for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms and Impairment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Apr;57(4):245-51. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.01.016. PMID: 29588050. *Population* 

3663. Pfizer. Quillivant Oral Suspension (Quillivant XR) in the Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2009. *Intervention* 

3664. Pfizer. NWP09 in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2012. *Intervention* 

3665. Pham AV. Differentiating behavioral ratings of inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity in children: Effects on reading achievement. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2016 Aug 2016;20(8):674-83. *Intervention* 

3666. Pharma U. The COMACS Study: A Comparison of Methylphenidates in an Analog Classroom Setting. 2002. *Intervention* 

3667. Pharmaceuticals I, Development I. A Phase II, Adaptive Trial Design Examining the Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Effects of Modified Release Amphetamine (HLD100, Formulations B, C and E)) in Adolescents and Children With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2013. *Intervention* 

3668. Pharmaceuticals I, Development I. Pharmacokinetics of HLD200 in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2013. *Intervention* 

3669. Pharmaceuticals I, Development I. A Trial Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of HLD200 in Children With ADHD. 2014. *Intervention* 

3670. Pharmaceuticals I, Development I. A Pivotal Efficacy Trial to Evaluate HLD200 in Children With ADHD in a Naturalistic Setting. 2015. *Timing* 

3671. Pharmaceuticals I, Development I. A Pivotal Efficacy Trial to Evaluate HLD200 in Children With ADHD in a Classroom Setting. 2015. *Timing* 

3672. Pharmaceuticals I, Development I. A Study of Delayed and Extended Release Formulation of Dextroamphetamine Sulfate (HLD100) in Children With ADHD. 2016. *Population* 

3673. Pharmaceuticals N, Novartis. A Study of Dex-methylphenidate Extended Release in Children (6-12 Years) With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2007. *Intervention* 

3674. Pharmaceuticals N, Novartis. Efficacy and Safety of Dex-Methylphenidate Extended Release 30 mg Versus 20 mg in Children (6-12 Years) With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in a Laboratory Classroom Setting. 2008. *Timing* 

3675. Philadelphia CsHo, Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs L. Study of the Effects of Osmotic-Release Oral System (OROS) Methylphenidate (Concerta) on Attention and Memory. 2004. *Intervention* 

3676. Philipp-Wiegmann F, Rösler M, Clasen O, et al. ADHD modulates the course of delinquency: a 15-year follow-up study of young incarcerated man. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2018 Jun;268(4):391-9. doi: 10.1007/s00406-017-0816-8. PMID: 28612143. *Intervention* 

3677. Philipsen A, Graf E, Jans T, et al. A randomized controlled multicenter trial on the multimodal treatment of adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: enrollment and characteristics of the study sample. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2014 Mar;6(1):35-47. doi: 10.1007/s12402-013-0120-z. PMID: 24132867. *Population* 

3678. Philipsen A, Graf E, Tebartz van Elst L, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy and effectiveness of a structured disorder tailored psychotherapy in ADHD in adults: study protocol of a randomized controlled multicentre trial. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2010 Dec;2(4):203-12. doi: 10.1007/s12402-010-0046-7. PMID: 21432607. *Population* 

3679. Philipsen A, Heßlinger B, Van Elst LT. Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in adulthood. Deutsches Arzteblatt. 2008;105(17):311-7. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2008.0311. *Population* 

3680. Philipsen A, Jans T, Graf E, et al. Effects of Group Psychotherapy, Individual Counseling, Methylphenidate, and Placebo in the Treatment of Adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Psychiatry. 2015 Dec;72(12):1199-210. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.2146. PMID: 26536057. *Population* 

3681. Phrathep D, Donohue B, Kraus S, et al. A Controlled Evaluation of a Sport-Specific Performance Optimization Program in an Athlete Diagnosed With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Oppositional Defiant Disorder Within the Context of COVID-19. Clinical Case Studies. 2021. doi: 10.1177/15346501211048508. *Intervention* 

3682. Pierce D, Katic A, Buckwalter M, et al. Single- and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of methylphenidate administered as methylphenidate transdermal system or osmotic-release oral system methylphenidate to children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2010 Oct;30(5):554-64. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e3181f0c2f6. PMID: 20814325. *Power*
3683. Pierick AR, Lynn M, McCracken CM, et al. Treatment of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children with CHD. Cardiol Young. 2021 Feb 1:1-4. doi: 10.1017/s1047951120004965. PMID: 33517944. *Intervention* 

3684. Pilling S, Fonagy P, Allison E, et al. Long-term outcomes of psychological interventions on children and young people's mental health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2020;15(11):e0236525. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236525. PMID: 33196654. *Population* 

3685. Pillsbury HC, Grose JH, Coleman WL, et al. Binaural function in children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1995 Dec;121(12):1345-50. doi: 10.1001/archotol.1995.01890120005001. PMID: 7488361. *Intervention* 

3686. Pinar-Erdem A, Kuru S, Urkmez ES, et al. Oral health status and its relation with medication and dental fear in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Niger J Clin Pract. 2018 Sep;21(9):1132-8. doi: 10.4103/njcp.njcp\_409\_17. PMID: 30156197. *Intervention* 

3687. Pineda D, Ardila A, Rosselli M. Neuropsychological and behavioral assessment of ADHD in seven- to twelve-year-old children: a discriminant analysis. J Learn Disabil. 1999 Mar-Apr;32(2):159-73. doi: 10.1177/002221949903200206. PMID: 15499716. *Outcome* 

3688. Pineda DA, Aguirre DC, Garcia MA, et al. Validation of two rating scales for attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder diagnosis in Colombian children. Pediatr Neurol. 2005 Jul;33(1):15-25. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2005.02.001. PMID: 15993319. *Intervention* 

3689. Pineda DA, Rosselli M, Henao GC, et al. Neurobehavioral assessment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in a Colombian sample. Appl Neuropsychol. 2000;7(1):40-6. doi: 10.1207/s15324826an0701\_6. PMID: 10800627. *Intervention* 

3690. Pinhas-Hamiel O, Bardugo A, Reichman B, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Obesity: A National Study of 1.1 Million Israeli Adolescents. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022 Mar 24;107(4):e1434-e43. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgab846. PMID: 34850003. *Design* 

3691. Pinochet-Quiroz P, Belmar-Mellado M, Lagos-Luciano J, et al. Psychometric properties of the cabi inventory in the determination of ADHD. Revista Ecuatoriana de Neurologia. 2021;29(3):31-9. doi: 10.46997/REVECUATNEUROL29300031. *Intervention* 

3692. Pisacco NMT, Sperafico YLS, Enricone JRB, et al. Metacognitive interventions in text production and working memory in students with ADHD. Psicologia: Reflexão e Crítica. 2018 Feb 7, 2018;31. *Power* 

3693. Pisecco S, Wristers K, Swank P, et al. The effect of academic self-concept on ADHD and antisocial behaviors in early adolescence. J Learn Disabil. 2001 Sep-Oct;34(5):450-61. doi: 10.1177/002221940103400506. PMID: 15503593. *Intervention* 

3694. Pisterman S FP, McGrath P, et al. The effects of parent training on parenting stress and sense of competence. Can J Behav Sci. 1992;24(1):41-58. *Power* 

3695. Pisterman S FP, McGrath P, et al. The role of parent training in treatment of preschoolers with ADDH. Am J Orthopsychiatry. 1992;62(3):397-408. *Power* 

3696. Pisterman S MP, Firestone P, et al. Outcome of parent-mediated treatment of preschoolers with attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1989;57(5):628-35. *Power* 

3697. Pisula A, Bryńska A, Wójtowicz S, et al. General health, sense of coherence and coping styles in parents participating in Workshops for Parents of Hyperactive Children. Psychiatr Pol. 2019 Apr 30;53(2):419-32. doi: 10.12740/pp/94382. PMID: 31317967. *Population* 

3698. Pittsburgh Uo, Abuse NIoA, Alcoholism. Atomoxetine to Treat Adolescents With Coexisting Alcohol and Other Substance Use Disorder and ADHD. 2006. *Intervention* 

3699. Pitzianti M, D'Agati E, Casarelli L, et al. Neurological soft signs are associated with attentional dysfunction in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Cogn Neuropsychiatry. 2016 Nov;21(6):475-93. doi: 10.1080/13546805.2016.1235029. PMID: 27690748. *Intervention* 

3700. Pliszka S. Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Jul;46(7):894-921. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e318054e724. PMID: 17581453. *Design* 

3701. Pliszka SR. Comorbidity of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and overanxious disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1992 Mar;31(2):197-203. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199203000-00003. PMID: 1564019. *Intervention* 

3702. Pliszka SR. Comorbidity of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with psychiatric disorder: an overview. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998;59 Suppl 7:50-8. PMID: 9680053. *Intervention* 

3703. Pliszka SR, Borcherding SH, Spratley K, et al. Measuring inhibitory control in children. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1997 Aug;18(4):254-9. PMID: 9276832. *Outcome* 

3704. Pliszka SR, Browne RG, Olvera RL, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of Adderall and methylphenidate in the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000 May;39(5):619-26. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200005000-00016. PMID: 10802980. *Power* 

3705. Pliszka SR, Glahn DC, Semrud-Clikeman M, et al. Neuroimaging of inhibitory control areas in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder who were treatment naive or in long-term treatment. Am J Psychiatry. 2006 Jun;163(6):1052-60. doi: 10.1176/ajp.2006.163.6.1052. PMID: 16741206. *Intervention* 

3706. Pliszka SR, Liotti M, Woldorff MG. Inhibitory control in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: event-related potentials identify the processing component and timing of an impaired right-frontal response-inhibition mechanism. Biol Psychiatry. 2000 Aug 1;48(3):238-46. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(00)00890-8. PMID: 10924667. *Intervention* 

3707. Pliszka SR, Matthews TL, Braslow KJ, et al. Comparative effects of methylphenidate and mixed salts amphetamine on height and weight in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 May;45(5):520-6. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000205702.48324.fd. PMID: 16670648. *Intervention* 

3708. Pliszka SR, Wilens TE, Bostrom S, et al. Efficacy and Safety of HLD200, Delayed-Release and Extended-Release Methylphenidate, in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Aug;27(6):474-82. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0084. PMID: 29172680. *Timing*  3709. Poil SS, Bollmann S, Ghisleni C, et al. Age dependent electroencephalographic changes in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Clin Neurophysiol. 2014 Aug;125(8):1626-38. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2013.12.118. PMID: 24582383. *Intervention* 

3710. Poissant H, Lecomte S. Risk factors in families of children with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: Data from Quebec. Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007;16(1):9-17. *Language* 

3711. Polanczyk G, Bigarella MP, Hutz MH, et al. Pharmacogenetic approach for a better drug treatment in children. Curr Pharm Des. 2010;16(22):2462-73. doi: 10.2174/138161210791959872. PMID: 20513229. *Population* 

3712. Polanczyk G, Zeni C, Genro JP, et al. Association of the adrenergic alpha2A receptor gene with methylphenidate improvement of inattentive symptoms in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2007 Feb;64(2):218-24. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.64.2.218. PMID: 17283289. *Intervention* 

3713. Politte LC, Scahill L, Figueroa J, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of extended-release guanfacine in children with autism spectrum disorder and ADHD symptoms: an analysis of secondary outcome measures. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2018 Jul;43(8):1772-8. doi: 10.1038/s41386-018-0039-3. PMID: 29540864. *Population* 

3714. Pollak Y, Oz A, Neventsal O, et al. Do adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder show risk seeking? Disentangling probabilistic decision making by equalizing the favorability of alternatives. J Abnorm Psychol. 2016 Apr;125(3):387-98. doi: 10.1037/abn0000140. PMID: 26766388. *Intervention* 

3715. Pollak Y, Shomaly HB, Weiss PL, et al. Methylphenidate effect in children with ADHD can be measured by an ecologically valid continuous performance test embedded in virtual reality. CNS Spectr. 2010 Feb;15(2):125-30. doi: 10.1017/s109285290002736x. PMID: 20414157. *Intervention* 

3716. Pollak Y, Weiss PL, Rizzo AA, et al. The utility of a continuous performance test embedded in virtual reality in measuring ADHD-related deficits. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2009 Feb;30(1):2-6. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e3181969b22. PMID: 19194324. *Outcome* 

3717. Polzer J, Bangs ME, Zhang S, et al. Meta-analysis of aggression or hostility events in randomized, controlled clinical trials of atomoxetine for ADHD. Biol Psychiatry. 2007 Mar 1;61(5):713-9. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.05.044. PMID: 16996485. *Design* 

3718. Ponnou S, Thomé B. ADHD diagnosis and methylphenidate consumption in children and adolescents: A systematic analysis of health databases in France over the period 2010-2019. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:957242. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.957242. PMID: 36299551. *Design* 

3719. Ponnou S, Thomé B. ADHD diagnosis and methylphenidate consumption in children and adolescents: A systematic analysis of health databases in France over the period 2010–2019. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2022;13. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.957242. *Design* 

3720. Pontifex MB, Saliba BJ, Raine LB, et al. Exercise improves behavioral, neurocognitive, and scholastic performance in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Pediatr. 2013 Mar;162(3):543-51. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.08.036. PMID: 23084704. *Comparator* 

3721. Poon K, Ho CS. Risk-taking propensity and sensitivity to punishment in adolescents with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder symptoms and/or reading disability. Res Dev Disabil. 2016 Jun-Jul;53-54:296-304. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2016.02.017. PMID: 26969810. *Intervention* 

3722. Poon K, Ho MSH, Wang LC. Examining Distinctive Working Memory Profiles in Chinese Children With Predominantly Inattentive Subtype of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and/or Reading Difficulties. Front Psychol. 2021;12:718112. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.718112. PMID: 34759864. *Intervention* 

3723. Pornnoppadol C, Friesen DS, Haussler TS, et al. No difference between platelet serotonin--5-HT(2A) receptors from children with and without ADHD. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 1999;9(1):27-33. doi: 10.1089/cap.1999.9.27. PMID: 10357515. *Intervention* 

3724. Porter PA, Henry LN, Halkett A, et al. Body Mass Indices of Girls with and without ADHD: Developmental Trajectories from Childhood to Adulthood. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2021 Feb 24:1-13. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2020.1852942. PMID: 33625277. *Intervention* 

3725. Porter SS, Omizo MM. The effects of group relaxation training/large muscle exercise, and parental involvement on attention to task, impulsivity, and locus of control among hyperactive boys. The Exceptional Child. 1984 1984/03/01;31(1):54-64. doi: 10.1080/0156655840310107. *Power* 

3726. Porumb M. Using T.O.V.A. for the assessment of ADHD: A case study. Cogniție Creier Comportament. 2007;11:571-84. *Design* 

3727. Posey DJ, Aman MG, McCracken JT, et al. Positive effects of methylphenidate on inattention and hyperactivity in pervasive developmental disorders: an analysis of secondary measures. Biol Psychiatry. 2007 Feb 15;61(4):538-44. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.09.028. PMID: 17276750. *Population* 

3728. Posey DJ, McDougle CJ. Guanfacine and guanfacine extended release: treatment for ADHD and related disorders. CNS Drug Rev. 2007 Winter;13(4):465-74. doi: 10.1111/j.1527-3458.2007.00026.x. PMID: 18078429. *Design* 

3729. Posey DJ, Puntney JI, Sasher TM, et al. Guanfacine treatment of hyperactivity and inattention in pervasive developmental disorders: a retrospective analysis of 80 cases. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2004 Summer;14(2):233-41. doi: 10.1089/1044546041649084. PMID: 15319020. *Intervention* 

3730. Posey DJ, Wiegand RE, Wilkerson J, et al. Open-label atomoxetine for attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder symptoms associated with high-functioning pervasive developmental disorders. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2006 Oct;16(5):599-610. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.16.599. PMID: 17069548. *Intervention* 

3731. Posner J, Polanczyk GV, Sonuga-Barke E. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Lancet. 2020 Feb 8;395(10222):450-62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)33004-1. PMID: 31982036. *Design* 

3732. Post RM, Rowe M, Kaplan D, et al. The Child Network for Parents to Track Their Child's Mood and Behavior. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Nov;27(9):840-3. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0002. PMID: 28441041. *Intervention* 

3733. Post RM, Rowe M, Kaplin DB, et al. Preliminary evaluation of the utility of parental ratings in a Child Network. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Aug;290:112908. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112908. PMID: 32480114. *Intervention* 

3734. Potashkin BD, Beckles N. Relative efficacy of ritalin and biofeedback treatments in the management of hyperactivity. Biofeedback Self Regul. 1990 Dec;15(4):305-15. doi: 10.1007/bf01000025. PMID: 2275943. *Intervention* 

3735. Potter AS, Newhouse PA. Effects of acute nicotine administration on behavioral inhibition in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2004 Nov;176(2):182-94. doi: 10.1007/s00213-004-1874-y. PMID: 15083253. *Intervention* 

3736. Poulton AS, Bui Q, Melzer E, et al. Stimulant medication effects on growth and bone age in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a prospective cohort study. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2016 Mar;31(2):93-9. doi: 10.1097/yic.0000000000000109. PMID: 26544899. *Design* 

3737. Pountney L, Liang H. ADHD AND GIRLS; HEARING THEIR STORIES: A QUALITATIVE EXPLORATION OF THE EXPERIENCES OF GIRLS BEING DIAGNOSED WITH ATTENTION DEFICIT HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 2022;107:A322-A3. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2022-repch.521. Design

3738. Pouretemad HR, Khooshabi K, Roshanbin M, et al. The effectiveness of group positive parenting program on parental stress of mothers of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Iran Med. 2009 Jan;12(1):60-8. PMID: 19111032. *Comparator* 

3739. Powell L. The effectiveness of psychoeducation interventions to improve social skills in children and young people (CAYP) with ADHD: A systematic review. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019157454. 2019.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=157454. Design

3740. Powell SG, Thomsen PH, Frydenberg M, et al. Long-term treatment of ADHD with stimulants: a large observational study of real-life patients. J Atten Disord. 2011 Aug;15(6):439-51. doi: 1087054710368486 [pii]

10.1177/1087054710368486. PMID: 20631198. Intervention

3741. Power TJ, Costigan TE, Eiraldi RB, et al. Variations in anxiety and depression as a function of ADHD subtypes defined by DSM-IV: do subtype differences exist or not? J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2004 Feb;32(1):27-37. doi: 10.1023/b:jacp.0000007578.30863.93. PMID: 14998109. *Outcome* 

3742. Power TJ, Mautone JA, Manz PH, et al. Managing attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in primary care: a systematic analysis of roles and challenges. Pediatrics. 2008 Jan;121(1):e65-72. doi: 10.1542/peds.2007-0383. PMID: 18166546. *Intervention* 

3743. Power TJ, Michel J, Mayne S, et al. Coordinating Systems of Care Using Health Information Technology: Development of the ADHD Care Assistant. Advances in School Mental Health Promotion. 2016 01/01/;9(3-4):201-18. PMID: EJ1117756. *Outcome* 

3744. Power TJ, Watkins MW, Anastopoulos AD, et al. Multi-Informant Assessment of ADHD Symptom-Related Impairments Among Children and Adolescents. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol.

2017 Sep-Oct;46(5):661-74. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2015.1079781. PMID: 26605500. *Intervention* 

3745. Powers JH, Wu M, Palumbo M, et al. 3.8 Guanfacine for the Treatment of ADHD in Children and Adolescents With Down Syndrome: A Retrospective Chart Review Study. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S230. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.287. *Design* 

3746. Powers RL, Marks DJ, Miller CJ, et al. Stimulant treatment in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder moderates adolescent academic outcome. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Oct;18(5):449-59. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.021. PMID: 18928410. *Intervention* 

3747. Poznanski B, Hart KC, Graziano PA. What Do Preschool Teachers Know about Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Does It Impact Ratings of Child Impairment? School Mental Health. 2021 03/01/;13(1):114-28. PMID: EJ1287551. *Intervention* 

3748. Pozzi M, Carnovale C, Mazhar F, et al. Adverse Drug Reactions Related to Mood and Emotion in Pediatric Patients Treated for Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Comparative Analysis of the US Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System Database. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2019 Jul/Aug;39(4):386-92. doi: 10.1097/jcp.00000000001058. PMID: 31205193. *Intervention* 

3749. Pozzi M, Hartley L. Hyperactivity, drugs and attention deficit. Br J Clin Psychol. 1984 Sep;23 ( Pt 3):217-23. doi: 10.1111/j.2044-8260.1984.tb00648.x. PMID: 6487858. *Intervention* 

3750. Prasad S, Furr AJ, Zhang S, et al. Baseline values from the electrocardiograms of children and adolescents with ADHD. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2007 Sep 28;1(1):11. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-1-11. PMID: 17903242. *Intervention* 

3751. Prasad V, West J, Sayal K, et al. Injury among children and young people with and without attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in the community: The risk of fractures, thermal injuries, and poisonings. Child Care Health Dev. 2018 Nov;44(6):871-8. doi: 10.1111/cch.12591. PMID: 30039608. *Intervention* 

3752. Praveena SM, Munisvaradass R, Masiran R, et al. Phthalates exposure and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children: a systematic review of epidemiological literature. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2020 Dec;27(36):44757-70. doi: 10.1007/s11356-020-10652-z. PMID: 32895790. *Intervention* 

3753. Prehn-Kristensen A, Ngo HV, Lentfer L, et al. Acoustic closed-loop stimulation during sleep improves consolidation of reward-related memory information in healthy children but not in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Sleep. 2020 Aug 12;43(8). doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsaa017. PMID: 32034912. *Timing* 

3754. Prehn-Kristensen A, Zimmermann A, Tittmann L, et al. Reduced microbiome alpha diversity in young patients with ADHD. PLoS One. 2018;13(7):e0200728. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0200728. PMID: 30001426. *Outcome* 

3755. Preszler J, Burns GL, Becker SP, et al. Multisource Longitudinal Network and Latent Variable Model Analyses of ADHD Symptoms in Children. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2022 Mar-Apr;51(2):211-8. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2020.1756297. PMID: 32478577. *Intervention* 

3756. Preszler J, Burns GL, Litson K, et al. How Consistent Is Sluggish Cognitive Tempo Across Occasions, Sources, and Settings? Evidence From Latent State-Trait Modeling. Assessment. 2019 Jan;26(1):99-110. doi: 10.1177/1073191116686178. PMID: 28064528. *Design* 

3757. Pride NA, Barton B, Hutchins P, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on cognition and behaviour in children with neurofibromatosis type 1: a study protocol for a randomised placebocontrolled crossover trial. BMJ Open. 2018 Aug 30;8(8):e021800. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021800. PMID: 30166301. *Population* 

3758. Prince JB, Wilens TE, Biederman J, et al. A controlled study of nortriptyline in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2000 Fall;10(3):193-204. doi: 10.1089/10445460050167304. PMID: 11052409. *Intervention* 

3759. Prince JB, Wilens TE, Biederman J, et al. Clonidine for sleep disturbances associated with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic chart review of 62 cases. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 May;35(5):599-605. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199605000-00014. PMID: 8935206. *Intervention* 

3760. Pringsheim T, Lam D, Patten SB. The pharmacoepidemiology of antipsychotic medications for Canadian children and adolescents: 2005-2009. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Dec;21(6):537-43. doi: 10.1089/cap.2010.0145. PMID: 22136092. *Intervention* 

3761. Prins PJ, Dovis S, Ponsioen A, et al. Does computerized working memory training with game elements enhance motivation and training efficacy in children with ADHD? Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw. 2011 Mar;14(3):115-22. doi: 10.1089/cyber.2009.0206. PMID: 20649448. *Power* 

3762. Prochnow A, Bluschke A, Novotna B, et al. Feedback-Based Learning of Timing in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Neurofibromatosis Type 1. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2022 Jan;28(1):12-21. doi: 10.1017/s1355617721000072. PMID: 33573707. *Outcome* 

3763. Prosser B, Reid R. Changes in use of psychostimulant medication for ADHD in South Australia (1990-2006). Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2009 Apr;43(4):340-7. doi: 10.1080/00048670902721129. PMID: 19296289. *Intervention* 

3764. Prout PI. Subtype and gender differences in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: An investigation of selected neuropsychological variables. 1999. *Intervention* 

3765. Ptacek R, Kuzelova H, Paclt I, et al. ADHD and growth: anthropometric changes in medicated and non-medicated ADHD boys. Med Sci Monit. 2009 Dec;15(12):Cr595-9. PMID: 19946228. *Intervention* 

3766. Pueyo R, Mañeru C, Junqué C, et al. Quantitative signal intensity measures on magnetic resonance imaging in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Cogn Behav Neurol. 2003 Mar;16(1):75-81. doi: 10.1097/00146965-200303000-00009. PMID: 14765004. *Intervention* 

3767. Pugatch M, Hennigan S, Berna M, et al. 103. The Preferences and Experiences of Adolescents with ADHD in INSPIRE: A Mixed Methods Pilot Study of Engagement and Parentteen Communication in a Narrative Game-based Learning Environment for Risky Alcohol Use Prevention. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2023;72(3):S59-S60. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2022.11.124. *Design*  3768. Pugh SJ, Hutcheon JA, Richardson GA, et al. Gestational weight gain, prepregnancy body mass index and offspring attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms and behaviour at age 10. Bjog. 2016 Dec;123(13):2094-103. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.13909. PMID: 26996156. *Intervention* 

3769. Punja S, Nikles CJ, Senior H, et al. Melatonin in Youth: N-of-1 trials in a stimulanttreated ADHD Population (MYNAP): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2016 Jul 29;17:375. doi: 10.1186/s13063-016-1499-6. PMID: 27473269. *Design* 

3770. Punja S, Schmid CH, Hartling L, et al. To meta-analyze or not to meta-analyze? A combined meta-analysis of N-of-1 trial data with RCT data on amphetamines and methylphenidate for pediatric ADHD. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016 Aug;76:76-81. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.03.021. PMID: 27060386. *Population* 

3771. Punja S, Xu D, Schmid CH, et al. N-of-1 trials can be aggregated to generate group mean treatment effects: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016 Aug;76:65-75. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.03.026. PMID: 27107878. *Population* 

3772. Puonti O, Salvador R, Biagi MC, et al. Individually targeted multichannel transcranial electric stimulation in pediatric populations. Brain Stimulation. 2023;16(1):199. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2023.01.254. *Design* 

3773. Purdue Pharma C. Real World Evidence of the Efficacy and Safety of FOQUEST. 2019. *Outcome* 

3774. Purgato M, Cortese S. Does psychostimulant treatment in children with ADHD increase later risk of substance use disorder? Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2014 Jun;23(2):133-5. doi: 10.1017/s2045796014000146. PMID: 24642169. *Design* 

3775. Purper-Ouakil D, Cortese S, Wohl M, et al. Temperament and character dimensions associated with clinical characteristics and treatment outcome in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder boys. Compr Psychiatry. 2010 May-Jun;51(3):286-92. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2009.08.004. PMID: 20399338. *Intervention* 

3776. Purper-Ouakil D, Wohl M, Orejarena S, et al. Pharmacogenetics of methylphenidate response in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: association with the dopamine transporter gene (SLC6A3). Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2008 Dec 5;147b(8):1425-30. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30809. PMID: 18563707. *Intervention* 

3777. Purvis KL, Tannock R. Phonological processing, not inhibitory control, differentiates ADHD and reading disability. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000 Apr;39(4):485-94. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200004000-00018. PMID: 10761351. *Intervention* 

3778. Putman JA, Othmer SF, Othmer S, et al. TOVA results following inter-hemispheric bipolar EEG training. Journal of Neurotherapy. 2005;9(1):37-52. doi: 10.1300/J184v09n01\_04. *Population* 

3779. Puzino K, Bourchtein E, Calhoun SL, et al. Behavioral, neurocognitive, polysomnographic and cardiometabolic profiles associated with obstructive sleep apnea in adolescents with ADHD. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 Jul 26. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13491. PMID: 34312875. *Intervention* 

3780. Qian L, Li Y, Wang Y, et al. Shared and Distinct Topologically Structural Connectivity Patterns in Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Neurosci. 2021;15:664363. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.664363. PMID: 34177449. *Intervention* 

3781. Qian Y, Chang W, He X, et al. Emotional dysregulation of ADHD in childhood predicts poor early-adulthood outcomes: A prospective follow up study. Res Dev Disabil. 2016 Dec;59:428-36. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2016.09.022. PMID: 27744214. *Intervention* 

3782. Qian Y, Chen M, Shuai L, et al. Effect of an Ecological Executive Skill Training Program for School-aged Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. Chin Med J (Engl). 2017 Jul 5;130(13):1513-20. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.208236. PMID: 28639564. *Power* 

3783. Qin K, Lei D, Zhu Z, et al. Different Brain Functional Connectome Changes Following 12-Week Treatment With Mixed Amphetamine Salts in ADHD Youth With and Without Familial Risk for Bipolar I Disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2022;47:215-6. doi: 10.1038/s41386-022-01484-1. *Design* 

3784. Qu A, Cao T, Li Z, et al. The association between maternal perfluoroalkyl substances exposure and early attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2021 Dec;28(47):67066-81. doi: 10.1007/s11356-021-15136-2. PMID: 34244930. *Intervention* 

3785. Quadt L, Csecs J, Bond R, et al. NEURODEVELOPMENTAL COMPLEXITY: INFLAMMATION MEDIATES THE LINK BETWEEN NEURODIVERGENCE AND CHRONIC FATIGUE. Psychosomatic Medicine. 2022;84(5):A130. Design

3786. Queens College TCUoNY. Non-pharmacological Interventions for Preschoolers With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2011. *Power* 

3787. Quinn PD, Pettersson E, Lundström S, et al. Childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms and the development of adolescent alcohol problems: A prospective, population-based study of Swedish twins. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics. 2016 Oct 2016;171(7):958-70. *Intervention* 

3788. Quinn PO, Rapoport JL. One-year follow-up of hyperactive boys treated with imipramine or methylphenidate. Am J Psychiatry. 1975 Mar;132(3):241-5. doi: 10.1176/ajp.132.3.241. PMID: 1090193. *Power* 

3789. Quintana H, Birmaher B, Stedge D, et al. Use of methylphenidate in the treatment of children with autistic disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. 1995 Jun;25(3):283-94. doi: 10.1007/BF02179289. PMID: 7559293. *Power* 

3790. Quintana H, Butterbaugh GJ, Purnell W, et al. Fluoxetine monotherapy in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid non-bipolar mood disorders in children and adolescents. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2007 Feb;37(3):241-53. doi: 10.1007/s10578-006-0032-7. PMID: 17103304. *Intervention* 

3791. RA. B. The effects of methylphenidate on the interactions of preschool ADHD children with their mothers. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1988;27(3):336-41. *Timing* 

3792. Rabiner DL, Carrig MM, Dodge KA. Attention problems and academic achievement: Do persistent and earlier-emerging problems have more adverse long-term effects? Journal of Attention Disorders. 2016 Nov 2016;20(11):946-57. *Intervention* 

3793. Rabone H. 'Space for acupuncture' at Stanchester Community School. Journal of Chinese Medicine. 2006(81):41-5. *Design* 

3794. Rad F, Mihailescu I, Nedelcu MC, et al. The outcome of a sample of pre-schoolers diagnosed with ASD comorbid with ADHD after one year of Applied Behavioural Analysis. Journal of Evidence-Based Psychotherapies. 2019 Sep 2019;19(2):109-18. *Intervention* 

3795. Radonovich KJ, Mostofsky SH. Duration judgments in children with ADHD suggest deficient utilization of temporal information rather than general impairment in timing. Child Neuropsychol. 2004 Sep;10(3):162-72. doi: 10.1080/09297040409609807. PMID: 15590495. *Intervention* 

3796. Ragab MM, Eid EM, Badr NH. Effect of Demographic Factors on Quality of Life in Children with ADHD under Atomoxetine Treatment: 1-Year Follow-up. Journal of Child Science. 2020;10(1):E163-E8. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1717104. *Intervention* 

3797. Rajcumar NR, Paruk S. Knowledge and misconceptions of parents of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder at a hospital in South Africa. S Afr Fam Pract (2004). 2020 Sep 3;62(1):e1-e8. doi: 10.4102/safp.v62i1.5124. PMID: 32896143. *Population* 

3798. Rajeh A, Amanullah S, Shivakumar K, et al. Interventions in ADHD: A comparative review of stimulant medications and behavioral therapies. Asian J Psychiatr. 2017 Feb;25:131-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2016.09.005. PMID: 28262134. *Design* 

3799. Rajendran K, Kruszewski E, Halperin JM. Parenting style influences bullying: a longitudinal study comparing children with and without behavioral problems. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2016 Feb;57(2):188-95. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12433. PMID: 26053670. *Intervention* 

3800. Rajendran K, Trampush JW, Rindskopf D, et al. Association between variation in neuropsychological development and trajectory of ADHD severity in early childhood. Am J Psychiatry. 2013 Oct;170(10):1205-11. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.12101360. PMID: 23897408. *Intervention* 

3801. Ralph KJ, Gibson BS, Gondoli DM. Parent ratings of working memory are uniquely related to performance-based measures of secondary memory but not primary memory. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology. 2018 Oct 2018;40(8):841-51. *Design* 

3802. Ralston SJ, Lorenzo MJ. ADORE -- Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Observational Research in Europe. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004;13 Suppl 1:I36-42. doi: 10.1007/s00787-004-1004-8. PMID: 15322955. *Population* 

3803. Raman SR, Man KKC, Bahmanyar S, et al. Trends in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder medication use: a retrospective observational study using population-based databases. Lancet Psychiatry. 2018 Oct;5(10):824-35. doi: 10.1016/s2215-0366(18)30293-1. PMID: 30220514. *Intervention* 

3804. Ramer JD, Santiago-Rodríguez ME, Davis CL, et al. Exercise and Academic Performance Among Children With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Disruptive Behavior

Disorders: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Pediatr Exerc Sci. 2020 May 25;32(3):140-9. doi: 10.1123/pes.2019-0224. PMID: 32454458. *Power* 

3805. Ramos BR, Librenza-Garcia D, Zortea F, et al. Clinical differences between patients with pediatric bipolar disorder with and without a parental history of bipolar disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2019 Oct;280:112501. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.112501. PMID: 31437660. *Population* 

3806. Ramos MC, Macphee FL, Merrill BM, et al. Mindfulness as an Adjunct to Behavior Modification for Elementary-aged Children with ADHD. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2022 Dec;50(12):1573-88. doi: 10.1007/s10802-022-00947-9. PMID: 35802209. *Power* 

3807. Ramos-Olazagasti MA, Castellanos FX, Mannuzza S, et al. Predicting the Adult Functional Outcomes of Boys With ADHD 33 Years Later. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Aug;57(8):571-82.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.04.015. PMID: 30071978. *Intervention* 

3808. Ramos-Ríos R, Gago-Ageitos AM, Vidal-Millares M, et al. Clinical effects and tolerability of aripiprazole in children and adolescents with psychiatric disorders. European Neuropsychopharmacology. 2009;19:S691. doi: 10.1016/S0924-977X(09)71117-4. *Intervention* 

3809. Ramsay JR. Assessment and monitoring of treatment response in adult ADHD patients: current perspectives. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2017;13:221-32. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S104706. PMID: 28184164. *Population* 

3810. Ramtvedt BE, Røinås E, Aabech HS, et al. Clinical gains from including both dextroamphetamine and methylphenidate in stimulant trials. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2013 Nov;23(9):597-604. doi: 10.1089/cap.2012.0085. PMID: 23659360. *Power* 

3811. Rani I, Agarwal V, Arya A, et al. Sensory Processing in Children and Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Atten Disord. 2023 Jan;27(2):145-51. doi: 10.1177/10870547221129306. PMID: 36239408. *Outcome* 

3812. Rantanen K, Vierikko E, Eriksson K, et al. Neuropsychological group rehabilitation on neurobehavioral comorbidities in children with epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav. 2020 Feb;103(Pt A):106386. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2019.06.030. PMID: 31645316. *Intervention* 

3813. Rantanen K, Vierikko E, Nieminen P. Effects of the EXAT neuropsychological multilevel intervention on behavior problems in children with executive function deficits. Scand J Psychol. 2018 Oct;59(5):483-95. doi: 10.1111/sjop.12468. PMID: 30001471. *Population* 

3814. Rao K, Carpenter DM, Campbell CI. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Medication Adherence in the Transition to Adulthood: Associated Adverse Outcomes for Females and Other Disparities. J Adolesc Health. 2021 May 28. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2021.04.025. PMID: 34059427. *Intervention* 

3815. Rao PA, Landa RJ. Association between severity of behavioral phenotype and comorbid attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms in children with autism spectrum disorders. Autism. 2014 Apr;18(3):272-80. doi: 10.1177/1362361312470494. PMID: 23739542. *Design* 

3816. Rapoport JL, Quinn PO, Bradbard G, et al. Imipramine and methylphenidate treatments of hyperactive boys. A double-blind comparison. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1974 Jun;30(6):789-93. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1974.01760120049008. PMID: 4598851. *Timing* 

3817. Rapport MD, DuPaul GJ. Methylphenidate: rate-dependent effects on hyperactivity. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1986;22(1):223-8. PMID: 3523577. *Power* 

3818. Rapport MD, Quinn SO, DuPaul GJ, et al. Attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity and methylphenidate: the effects of dose and mastery level on children's learning performance. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1989 Dec;17(6):669-89. doi: 10.1007/bf00917730. PMID: 2607058. *Timing* 

3819. Rapport MD, Stoner G, DuPaul GJ, et al. Methylphenidate in hyperactive children: differential effects of dose on academic, learning, and social behavior. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1985 Jun;13(2):227-43. doi: 10.1007/BF00910644. PMID: 3891813. *Power* 

3820. Rashid J, Mitelman S. Methylphenidate and somatic hallucinations. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Aug;46(8):945-6. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e318067fd7c. PMID: 17667474. *Design* 

3821. Rasmussen ER, Todd RD, Neuman RJ, et al. Comparison of male adolescent-report of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms across two cultures using latent class and principal components analysis. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2002 Sep;43(6):797-805. doi: 10.1111/1469-7610.00081. PMID: 12236614. *Intervention* 

3822. Rasmussen PR, Self JA, Few L, et al. Sibling niches and the diagnosis of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. The Journal of Individual Psychology. 2019 Jul 2019 - Sep 2019;75(2):104-21. *Intervention* 

3823. Rast JE, Anderson KA, Roux AM, et al. Medication Use in Youth With Autism and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Acad Pediatr. 2021 Mar;21(2):272-9. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2020.05.015. PMID: 32492579. *Population* 

3824. Ratner S, Laor N, Bronstein Y, et al. Six-week open-label reboxetine treatment in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 May;44(5):428-33. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000155327.30017.8c. PMID: 15843764. *Intervention* 

3825. Ratto AB, Anthony BJ, Pugliese C, et al. Lessons learned: Engaging culturally diverse families in neurodevelopmental disorders intervention research. Autism. 2017 Jul;21(5):622-34. doi: 10.1177/1362361316650394. PMID: 27313190. *Population* 

3826. Rau S, Skapek MF, Tiplady K, et al. Identifying comorbid ADHD in autism: Attending to the inattentive presentation. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders. 2020;69. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2019.101468. *Intervention* 

3827. Ravi M, Ickowicz A. Epilepsy, Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Methylphenidate: Critical Examination of Guiding Evidence. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Winter;25(1):50-8. PMID: 27047557. *Design* 

3828. Raz R, Carasso RL, Yehuda S. The influence of short-chain essential fatty acids on children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a double-blind placebo-controlled study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Apr;19(2):167-77. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.070. PMID: 19364294. *Duplicate* 

3829. Raz R CR, Yehuda S. The influence of short-chain essential fatty acids on children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a double-blind placebo-controlled study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Apr;19(2):167-77. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.070. *Power* 

3830. Razjouyan K, Danesh A, Khademi M, et al. A comparative study of risperidone and aripiprazole in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children under six years old: A randomized double-blind study. Iranian Journal of Pediatrics. 2018;28(1):1-8. doi: 10.5812/ijp.60087. *Power* 

3831. RCSI, Campus UM, Penang Hospital M. Tocotrienols for School-going Children With ADHD. 2012. *Outcome* 

3832. RDV. N. Changes in hyperactivity and temperament in behaviourally disturbed preschoolers after parent-child interaction therapy (PCIT). Behav Change. 2001;18(3):168-76. *Population* 

3833. Re AM, Capodieci A, Cornoldi C. Effect of training focused on executive functions (attention, inhibition, and working memory) in preschoolers exhibiting ADHD symptoms. Front Psychol. 2015;6:1161. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01161. PMID: 26300836. *Population* 

3834. Read N, Mulraney M, McGillivray J, et al. Comorbid anxiety and irritability symptoms and their association with cognitive functioning in children with ADHD. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2020 Aug;48(8):1035-46. doi: 10.1007/s10802-020-00658-z. PMID: 32462307. *Intervention* 

3835. Rebecca Rodrigues KKASAM-CLAB. Pharmacological treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in children and youth with autism spectrum disorder. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016052610. 2016.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=52610. Design

3836. Rebecca Ward SBHKSCJK. The Effects of ADHD Teacher Training Programs on Teachers and Pupils: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020164748. 2020.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=164748. Design

3837. Redondo B, Vera J, Molina R, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder children exhibit an impaired accommodative response. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2018 May;256(5):1023-30. doi: 10.1007/s00417-018-3948-2. PMID: 29569083. *Intervention* 

3838. Reed VA, Buitelaar JK, Anand E, et al. The Safety of Atomoxetine for the Treatment of Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Comprehensive Review of Over a Decade of Research. CNS Drugs. 2016 Jul;30(7):603-28. doi: 10.1007/s40263-016-0349-0. PMID: 27290715. *Design* 

3839. Reeve WV, Schandler SL. Frontal lobe functioning in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Adolescence. 2001 Winter;36(144):749-65. PMID: 11928880. *Intervention* 

3840. Reich W, Herjanic B, Welner Z, et al. Development of a structured psychiatric interview for children: agreement on diagnosis comparing child and parent interviews. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1982 Sep;10(3):325-36. doi: 10.1007/bf00912325. PMID: 7175041. *Population* 

3841. Reich W, Huang H, Todd RD. ADHD medication use in a population-based sample of twins. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Jul;45(7):801-7. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000219833.00979.c3. PMID: 16832316. *Intervention* 

3842. Reid MJ W-SC, Hammond M. Follow-up of children who received the Incredible Years intervention for oppositional-defiant disorder: maintenance and prediction of 2-year outcome. Behav Ther. 2003(4):471-91. *Population* 

3843. Reid MK, Borkowski JG. Effects of methylphenidate (Ritalin) on information processing in hyperactive children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1984 Mar;12(1):169-85. doi: 10.1007/bf00913468. PMID: 6715692. *Intervention* 

3844. Reimherr FW, Gift TE, Steans TA, et al. The Use of Brexpiprazole Combined With a Stimulant in Adults With Treatment-Resistant Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2022 Sep-Oct 01;42(5):445-53. doi: 10.1097/jcp.000000000001592. PMID: 35977005. *Population* 

3845. Reinhardt MC, Benetti L, Victor MM, et al. Is age-at-onset criterion relevant for the response to methylphenidate in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? J Clin Psychiatry. 2007 Jul;68(7):1109-16. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v68n0720. PMID: 17685750. *Intervention* 

3846. Remschmidt H, Hoare P, Ettrich C, et al. Symptom control in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder on switching from immediate-release MPH to OROS MPH Results of a 3-week open-label study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Sep;14(6):297-304. doi: 10.1007/s00787-005-0467-6. PMID: 16220213. *Intervention* 

3847. Remschmidt H, Hoare P, Ettrich C, et al. Symptom control in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder on switching from immediate-release MPH to OROS® MPH: Results of a 3-week open-label study. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Supplement. 2005;14(6):297-304. doi: 10.1007/s00787-005-0467-6. *Duplicate* 

3848. Ren Y, Fang X, Fang H, et al. Predicting the Adult Clinical and Academic Outcomes in Boys With ADHD: A 7- to 10-Year Follow-Up Study in China. Front Pediatr. 2021;9:634633. doi: 10.3389/fped.2021.634633. PMID: 34408992. *Intervention* 

3849. Rentz AM, Matza LS, Secnik K, et al. Psychometric validation of the child health questionnaire (CHQ) in a sample of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Qual Life Res. 2005 Apr;14(3):719-34. doi: 10.1007/s11136-004-0832-9. PMID: 16022065. *Intervention* 

3850. Renuchitra R, Ajmal M, Jegadeesan T. Development and validation of jegadeesan and maimoona impulsivity parent rating scale (Jam-Iprs) for adhd children. Indian Journal of Public Health Research and Development. 2020;11(12):72-7. doi: 10.37506/ijphrd.v11i12.13218. *Intervention* 

3851. Reséndiz Aparicio JC, Saavedra MY, Rodríguez Rodríguez E, et al. Vital signs in children exposed to methylphenidate. Revista Mexicana de Neurociencia. 2008;9(1):14-9. *Language* 

3852. Resources NCfR, University Y, Diseases OoR. Phase III Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study of Guanfacine for Tourette Syndrome and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 1994. *Outcome* 

3853. Retzler J, Johnson S, Groom M, et al. Cognitive predictors of parent-rated inattention in very preterm children: The role of working memory and processing speed. Child Neuropsychol. 2019 Jul;25(5):617-35. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2018.1510908. PMID: 30230401. *Population* 

3854. Rezaei M, Kamarzard T, Razavi M. The Effects of Neurofeedback, Yoga Interventions on Memory and Cognitive Activity in Children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Annals of Applied Sport Science. 2018 12/01;6:17-27. doi: 10.29252/aassjournal.6.4.17. *Power* 

3855. Rhodes JD, Kennedy TM, Walther CAP, et al. Smoking-Specific Risk Factors in Early Adulthood That Mediate Risk of Daily Smoking by Age 29 for Children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2022 Feb;26(4):525-36. doi: 10.1177/10870547211003664. PMID: 33769107. *Intervention* 

3856. Rhodes Pharmaceuticals LP. Time Course of Response to Methylphenidate HCl ER Capsules in Children 6 to 12 Years With ADHD in Classroom Setting. 2010. *Intervention* 

3857. Rhodes Pharmaceuticals LP. Efficacy and Safety of Methylphenidate HCl ER Capsules in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2010. *Intervention* 

3858. Rhodes Pharmaceuticals LP. Pharmacokinetic Study of Methylphenidate HCl Extended-Release Capsules in Children 4 to Under 6 Years of Age With ADHD. 2016. *Intervention* 

3859. Rhodes Pharmaceuticals LP. A Flexible-Dose Titration Study of Aptensio XR in Children Ages 4 to Under 6 Years Diagnosed With ADHD. 2016. *Intervention* 

3860. Rhodes SM, Coghill DR, Matthews K. Methylphenidate restores visual memory, but not working memory function in attention deficit-hyperkinetic disorder. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2004 Sep;175(3):319-30. doi: 10.1007/s00213-004-1833-7. PMID: 15138760. *Timing* 

3861. Rhodes SM, Coghill DR, Matthews K. Acute neuropsychological effects of methylphenidate in stimulant drug-naïve boys with ADHD II--broader executive and non-executive domains. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2006 Nov;47(11):1184-94. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01633.x. PMID: 17076758. *Intervention* 

3862. Riahi F, Tashakori A, Abdi L. Comparison between the efficacies of Risperidone with Haloperidol in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) among preschoolers: a randomized double-blind clinical trial. Electron Physician. 2016 Sep;8(9):2840-8. doi: 10.19082/2840. PMID: 27790334. *Power* 

3863. Riahi F, Tashakori A, Enayatollahi M. Comparison of the effects of different doses of memantine in combination with methylphenidate in children affected by ADHD. Archives of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy. 2021;22(4):32-9. doi: 10.12740/APP/120081. *Power* 

3864. Riahi F, Tashakori A, Marashi SS. Studying the effect of combination therapy by pramipexole and methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, in comparison with the placebo and methylphenidate. Minerva Psichiatrica. 2018;59(3):144-1152. doi: 10.23736/S0391-1772.18.01963-5. *Power* 

3865. Riahi F, Tashakori A, Vanani GS. Effects of Folic Acid on Appetite in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Treated with Methylphenidate: A Randomized Double-Blind Clinical Trial. Iran J Med Sci. 2018 Jan;43(1):9-17. PMID: 29398747. *Power* 

3866. Rianda D, Agustina R, Setiawan EA, et al. Effect of probiotic supplementation on cognitive function in children and adolescents: a systematic review of randomised trials. Benef Microbes. 2019 Dec 9;10(8):873-82. doi: 10.3920/bm2019.0068. PMID: 31965841. *Population* 

3867. Rianne Hornstra APGPJHBJvdHSvdOMLAISLvdV-M. Meta-analysis of components of behavioral parent and teacher training programs for children with ADHD PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018096768. 2018.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=96768. Design

3868. Ribeiro JP, Arthur EJ, Gluud C, et al. Does Methylphenidate Work in Children and Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder? Pediatric Reports. 2021;13(3):434-43. doi: 10.3390/PEDIATRIC13030050. *Design* 

3869. Ricci A, He F, Calhoun SL, et al. Evidence of a maturational disruption in non-rapid eye movement sleep slow wave activity in youth with attention-deficit/hyperactivity, learning and internalizing disorders. Sleep Med. 2022 Feb;90:230-7. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2022.01.026. PMID: 35217303. *Outcome* 

3870. Riccio CA, Homack S, Jarratt KP, et al. Differences in academic and executive function domains among children with ADHD Predominantly Inattentive and Combined Types. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2006 Oct;21(7):657-67. doi: 10.1016/j.acn.2006.05.010. PMID: 16920328. *Intervention* 

3871. Richardson AJ, Burton JR, Sewell RP, et al. Docosahexaenoic acid for reading, cognition and behavior in children aged 7-9 years: a randomized, controlled trial (the DOLAB Study). PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e43909. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043909. PMID: 22970149. *Population* 

3872. Richardson AJ, Montgomery P. The Oxford-Durham study: a randomized, controlled trial of dietary supplementation with fatty acids in children with developmental coordination disorder. Pediatrics. 2005 May;115(5):1360-6. doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-2164. PMID: 15867048. *Population* 

3873. Richardson AJ, Puri BK. A randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the effects of supplementation with highly unsaturated fatty acids on ADHD-related symptoms in children with specific learning difficulties. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2002 Feb;26(2):233-9. doi: 10.1016/s0278-5846(01)00254-8. PMID: 11817499. *Power* 

3874. Richardson CC. Self-assessment of regular physical activity and academic achievement in students with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (Doctoral Dissertation) 2009. *Intervention* 

3875. Richarte V, Sánchez-Mora C, Corrales M, et al. Gut microbiota signature in treatmentnaïve attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Transl Psychiatry. 2021 Jul 8;11(1):382. doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01504-6. PMID: 34238926. *Population* 

3876. Richmond S, Kirk H, Gaunson T, et al. Digital cognitive training in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a study protocol of a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open. 2022 Jun 16;12(6):e055385. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-055385. PMID: 35710251. *Design* 

3877. Rickson DJ. Instructional and improvisational models of music therapy with adolescents who have attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a comparison of the effects on motor impulsivity. J Music Ther. 2006 Spring;43(1):39-62. doi: 10.1093/jmt/43.1.39. PMID: 16671837. *Intervention* 

3878. Rickson DJ, Watkins WG. Music therapy to promote prosocial behaviors in aggressive adolescent boys--a pilot study. J Music Ther. 2003 Winter;40(4):283-301. doi: 10.1093/jmt/40.4.283. PMID: 15015908. *Population* 

3879. Riedel O, Klau S, Langner I, et al. Prevalence of multimodal treatment in children and adolescents with ADHD in Germany: a nationwide study based on health insurance data. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2021;15(1). doi: 10.1186/s13034-021-00431-0. *Design* 

3880. Riegler A, Völkl-Kernstock S, Lesch O, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and substance abuse: An investigation in young Austrian males. J Affect Disord. 2017 Aug 1;217:60-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2017.03.072. PMID: 28391109. *Intervention* 

3881. Riggs PD, Hall SK, Mikulich-Gilbertson SK, et al. A randomized controlled trial of pemoline for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in substance-abusing adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Apr;43(4):420-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200404000-00008. PMID: 15187802. *Population* 

3882. Riggs PD, Hall SK, Mikulich-Gilbertson SK, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Pemoline for Attention-Deficit-hyperactivity Disorder in Substance-Abusing Adolescents Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 0890-8567. 2004. https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ696141&site=ehost-live&authtype=sso&custid=s8983984

https://www.jaacap.org/article/S0890-8567(09)61248-X/fulltext. Duplicate

3883. Riggs PD, Leon SL, Mikulich SK, et al. An open trial of bupropion for ADHD in adolescents with substance use disorders and conduct disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1998 Dec;37(12):1271-8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199812000-00010. PMID: 9847499. *Intervention* 

3884. Riggs PD, Thompson LL, Mikulich SK, et al. An open trial of pemoline in drugdependent delinquents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Aug;35(8):1018-24. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199608000-00012. PMID: 8755798. *Intervention* 

3885. Riglin L, Agha SS, Eyre O, et al. Investigating the validity of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire to assess ADHD in young adulthood. Psychiatry Res. 2021 Jul;301:113984. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113984. PMID: 33991992. *Population* 

3886. Riglin L, Collishaw S, Thapar AK, et al. Association of Genetic Risk Variants With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Trajectories in the General Population. JAMA Psychiatry. 2016 Dec 1;73(12):1285-92. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.2817. PMID: 27806167. *Intervention* 

3887. Riglin L, Eyre O, Thapar AK, et al. Identifying Novel Types of Irritability Using a Developmental Genetic Approach. Am J Psychiatry. 2019 Aug 1;176(8):635-42. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.18101134. PMID: 31256611. *Intervention* 

3888. Riglin L, Todd A, Blakey R, et al. Investigating young-adult social outcomes of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2023 Jan 25;84(2):22m14379. doi: 10.4088/jcp.22m14379. PMID: 36922989. *Design* 

3889. Riglin L, Wootton RE, Livingston LA, et al. "Late-onset" ADHD symptoms in young adulthood: Is this ADHD? J Atten Disord. 2022 Aug;26(10):1271-82. doi: 10.1177/10870547211066486. PMID: 35034501. *Design* 

3890. Rigney G, Ali NS, Corkum PV, et al. A systematic review to explore the feasibility of a behavioural sleep intervention for insomnia in children with neurodevelopmental disorders: A transdiagnostic approach. Sleep Medicine Reviews. 2018 Oct 2018;41:244-54. *Population* 

3891. Riise EN, Wergeland GJH, Njardvik U, et al. Cognitive behavior therapy for externalizing disorders in children and adolescents in routine clinical care: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Clin Psychol Rev. 2021 Feb;83:101954. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101954. PMID: 33418192. *Population* 

3892. Rinsky JR, Hinshaw SP. Linkages between childhood executive functioning and adolescent social functioning and psychopathology in girls with ADHD. Child Neuropsychol. 2011;17(4):368-90. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2010.544649. PMID: 21390921. *Intervention* 

3893. Rivard C, Dentz A, Romo L, et al. Long term effects of working memory training (Cogmed) among children with ADHD. Neuropsychiatrie de l'Enfance et de l'Adolescence. 2020;68(1):29-38. doi: 10.1016/j.neurenf.2019.11.001. *Outcome* 

3894. Rivas-Juesas C, de Dios JG, Benac-Prefaci M, et al. Analysis of the factors linked to a diagnosis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children. Neurologia. 2017 Sep;32(7):431-9. doi: 10.1016/j.nrl.2016.01.006. PMID: 26994933. *Intervention* 

3895. Rizvi SH, Salcedo S, Youngstrom EA, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of the CASI-4R Psychosis Subscale for Children Evaluated in Pediatric Outpatient Clinics. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2019 Jul-Aug;48(4):610-21. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2017.1410824. PMID: 29373050. *Population* 

3896. Rizzo AA, Buckwalter JG, Bowerly T, et al. The virtual classroom: A virtual reality environment for the assessment and rehabilitation of attention deficits. CyberPsychology & Behavior. 2000;3:483-99. doi: 10.1089/10949310050078940. *Outcome* 

3897. Robaey P, Amre D, Schachar R, et al. French version of the strengths and weaknesses of ADHD symptoms and normal behaviors (SWAN-F) questionnaire. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 May;16(2):80-9. PMID: 18392156. *Intervention* 

3898. Robaey P, McKenzie S, Schachar R, et al. Stop and look! Evidence for a bias towards virtual navigation response strategies in children with ADHD symptoms. Behavioural Brain Research. 2016 Feb 1, 2016;298(Part A):48-54. *Intervention* 

3899. Robb AS, Findling RL, Childress AC, et al. Efficacy, Safety, and Tolerability of a Novel Methylphenidate Extended-Release Oral Suspension (MEROS) in ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Dec;21(14):1180-91. doi: 10.1177/1087054714533191. PMID: 24874348. *Timing* 

3900. Roberts BA, Martel MM, Nigg JT. Are there executive dysfunction subtypes within ADHD? Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Feb 2017;21(4):284-93. *Intervention* 

3901. Robertson MM, Furlong S, Voytek B, et al. EEG power spectral slope differs by ADHD status and stimulant medication exposure in early childhood. J Neurophysiol. 2019 Dec 1;122(6):2427-37. doi: 10.1152/jn.00388.2019. PMID: 31619109. *Intervention* 

3902. Robinette LM, Hatsu IE, Srikanth P, et al. 4.2 Blood Mineral Levels' Role in Treatment Response to Multinutrients for ADHD and Emotional Dysregulation: The MADDY RCT. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S282-S3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.577. *Design* 

3903. Robinson DM, Keating GM. Dexmethylphenidate extended release: in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Drugs. 2006;66(5):661-8; discussion 9-70. doi: 10.2165/00003495-200666050-00006. PMID: 16620143. *Design* 

3904. Robinson SL, Ghassabian A, Sundaram R, et al. Parental Weight Status and Offspring Behavioral Problems and Psychiatric Symptoms. J Pediatr. 2020 May;220:227-36.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.01.016. PMID: 32067780. *Intervention* 

3905. Robison LS, Michaelos M, Gandhi J, et al. Sex Differences in the Physiological and Behavioral Effects of Chronic Oral Methylphenidate Treatment in Rats. Front Behav Neurosci. 2017;11:53. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2017.00053. PMID: 28400722. *Population* 

3906. Rocco I, Bonati M, Corso B, et al. Quality of life improvement in children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder reduces family's strain: A structural equation model approach. Child Care Health Dev. 2021 Sep;47(5):667-74. doi: 10.1111/cch.12874. PMID: 33928651. *Intervention* 

3907. Rocco I, Corso B, Bonati M, et al. Time of onset and/or diagnosis of ADHD in European children: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry. 2021 Nov 16;21(1):575. doi: 10.1186/s12888-021-03547-x. PMID: 34784913. *Intervention* 

3908. Rochester Uo, Pittsburgh Uo, University OS. Atomoxetine, Placebo and Parent Management Training in Autism. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT00844753; 2008. *Population* 

3909. Rodrigo Jiménez D, Foguet-Boreu Q, Juvanteny EP, et al. Effectiveness of a psychoeducational group intervention developed by primary care nurses on symptom control of pediatric patients with ADHD. ADHD parent study. Health Psychol Behav Med. 2022;10(1):1176-89. doi: 10.1080/21642850.2022.2148672. PMID: 36452401. *Power* 

3910. Rodrigo-Yanguas M, Martin-Moratinos M, Menendez-Garcia A, et al. A Virtual Reality Game (The Secret Trail of Moon) for Treating Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Development and Usability Study. JMIR Serious Games. 2021 Sep 1;9(3):e26824. doi: 10.2196/26824. PMID: 34468332. *Power* 

3911. Rodrigo-Yanguas M, Martin-Moratinos M, Menendez-Garcia A, et al. A Virtual Reality Serious Videogame Versus Online Chess Augmentation in Patients with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Games Health J. 2021 Aug;10(4):283-92. doi: 10.1089/g4h.2021.0073. PMID: 34370610. *Outcome* 

3912. Rodrigues J, Mestre M, Matos LC, et al. Effects of taijiquan and qigong practice over behavioural disorders in school-age children: A pilot study. J Bodyw Mov Ther. 2019 Jan;23(1):11-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2018.01.019. PMID: 30691737. *Intervention* 

3913. Rodrigues-Tartari R, Swardfager W, Salum GA, et al. Assessing risk of bias in randomized controlled trials of methylphenidate for children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2018 Mar;27(1). doi: 10.1002/mpr.1586. PMID: 28868642. *Intervention* 

3914. Rodríguez C, García T, Areces D, et al. Supplementation with high-content docosahexaenoic acid triglyceride in attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder: A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2019;15:1193-209. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S206020. *Power* 

3915. Rodríguez C, González-Castro P, Cueli M, et al. Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Diagnosis: An Activation-Executive Model. Front Psychol. 2016;7:1406. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01406. PMID: 27708600. *Intervention* 

3916. Rodríguez-Martínez EI, Angulo-Ruiz BY, Arjona-Valladares A, et al. Frequency coupling of low and high frequencies in the EEG of ADHD children and adolescents in closed and open eyes conditions. Res Dev Disabil. 2020 Jan;96:103520. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2019.103520. PMID: 31783276. *Intervention* 

3917. Roessner V, Becker A, Rothenberger A, et al. A cross-cultural comparison between samples of Brazilian and German children with ADHD/HD using the Child Behavior Checklist. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2007 Sep;257(6):352-9. doi: 10.1007/s00406-007-0738-y. PMID: 17629732. *Language* 

3918. Roessner V, Uebel H, Becker A, et al. Serum level of semicarbazide-sensitive amine oxidase in children with ADHD. Behavioral and Brain Functions. 2006;2. doi: 10.1186/1744-9081-2-5. *Intervention* 

3919. Rogers CE, Lean RE, Wheelock MD, et al. Aberrant structural and functional connectivity and neurodevelopmental impairment in preterm children. J Neurodev Disord. 2018 Dec 13;10(1):38. doi: 10.1186/s11689-018-9253-x. PMID: 30541449. *Population* 

3920. Rogevich ME, Perin D. Effects on Science Summarization of a Reading Comprehension Intervention for Adolescents with Behavior and Attention Disorders. Exceptional Children. 2008 01/01/;74(2):135-54. PMID: EJ817524. *Power* 

3921. Rohde LA, Barbosa G, Polanczyk G, et al. Factor and latent class analysis of DSM-IVADHD symptoms in a school sample of Brazilian adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Jun;40(6):711-8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200106000-00017. PMID: 11392350. *Outcome* 

3922. Rohr CS, Bray SL, Dewey DM. Functional connectivity based brain signatures of behavioral regulation in children with ADHD, DCD, and ADHD-DCD. Dev Psychopathol. 2023 Feb;35(1):85-94. doi: 10.1017/S0954579421001449. PMID: 34937602. *Outcome* 

3923. Roizen NJ, Blondis TA, Irwin M, et al. Psychiatric and developmental disorders in families of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 1996 Feb;150(2):203-8. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.1996.02170270085013. PMID: 8556127. *Intervention* 

3924. Roizen NJ, Blondis TA, Irwin M, et al. Adaptive functioning in children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 1994 Nov;148(11):1137-42. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.1994.02170110023004. PMID: 7921113. *Outcome* 

3925. Rolon-Arroyo B, Arnold DH, Harvey EA, et al. Assessing attention and disruptive behavior symptoms in preschool-age children: The utility of the diagnostic interview schedule for children. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2016 Jan 2016;25(1):65-76. *Intervention* 

3926. Romano E, Baillargeon RH, Wu HX, et al. Prevalence of methylphenidate use and change over a two-year period: a nationwide study of 2- to 11-year-old Canadian children. J Pediatr. 2002 Jul;141(1):71-5. doi: 10.1067/mpd.2002.125399. PMID: 12091854. *Intervention* 

3927. Romanos M, Renner TJ, Schecklmann M, et al. Improved odor sensitivity in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2008 Dec 1;64(11):938-40. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.08.013. PMID: 18814862. *Intervention* 

3928. Romanowicz M. 80.1 Review of Literature on Mobile and Wearable Technology. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S112. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.456. *Design* 

3929. Romero-Ayuso D, Alcántara-Vázquez P, Almenara-García A, et al. Self-Regulation in Children with Neurodevelopmental Disorders "SR-MRehab: Un Colegio Emocionante": A Protocol Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Jun 12;17(12). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17124198. PMID: 32545534. *Population* 

3930. Rommelse NN, Van der Stigchel S, Witlox J, et al. Deficits in visuo-spatial working memory, inhibition and oculomotor control in boys with ADHD and their non-affected brothers. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2008;115(2):249-60. doi: 10.1007/s00702-007-0865-7. PMID: 18253811. *Intervention* 

3931. Roording-Ragetlie S, Klip H, Buitelaar J, et al. Working memory training in children with neuropsychiatric disorders and mild to borderline intellectual functioning, the role of coaching; a double-blind randomized controlled trial. BMC Psychiatry. 2017 Mar 28;17(1):114. doi: 10.1186/s12888-017-1274-6. PMID: 28351374. *Design* 

3932. Roording-Ragetlie S, Spaltman M, de Groot E, et al. Working memory training in children with borderline intellectual functioning and neuropsychiatric disorders: a triple-blind randomised controlled trial. J Intellect Disabil Res. 2021 Nov 10. doi: 10.1111/jir.12895. PMID: 34755919. *Population* 

3933. Rosa VO, Schmitz M, Moreira-Maia CR, et al. Computerized cognitive training in children and adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder as add-on treatment to stimulants: feasibility study and protocol description. Trends Psychiatry Psychother. 2017 Apr-Jun;39(2):65-76. doi: 10.1590/2237-6089-2016-0039. PMID: 28700036. *Power* 

3934. Rosch KS, Dirlikov B, Mostofsky SH. Reduced intrasubject variability with reinforcement in boys, but not girls, with ADHD: Associations with prefrontal anatomy. Biol Psychol. 2015 Sep;110:12-23. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2015.06.010. PMID: 26141238. *Intervention* 

3935. Rosch KS, Fosco WD, Pelham WE, et al. Reinforcement and stimulant medication ameliorate deficient response inhibition in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2016 Feb 2016;44(2):309-21. *Intervention* 

3936. Rose SC, Yeates KO, Nguyen JT, et al. Subconcussive Head Impacts and Neurocognitive Function Over 3 Seasons of Youth Football. J Child Neurol. 2021 Aug;36(9):768-75. doi: 10.1177/08830738211004490. PMID: 33834862. *Population* 

3937. Rose SJ, Hathcock MA, White WM, et al. Amphetamine-Dextroamphetamine and Pregnancy: Neonatal Outcomes After Prenatal Prescription Mixed Amphetamine Exposure. J

Atten Disord. 2021 Jul;25(9):1295-301. doi: 10.1177/1087054719896857. PMID: 31931669. *Population* 

3938. Roselló B, Berenguer C, Baixauli I, et al. Empirical examination of executive functioning, ADHD associated behaviors, and functional impairments in adults with persistent ADHD, remittent ADHD, and without ADHD. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Mar 24;20(1):134. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02542-y. PMID: 32204708. *Population* 

3939. Rosello B, Berenguer C, Raga JM, et al. Executive functions, effortful control, and emotional lability in adults with ADHD. implications for functional outcomes. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Nov;293:113375. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113375. PMID: 32798933. *Population* 

3940. Rosen PJ, Leaberry KD, Slaughter K, et al. Managing Frustration for Children (MFC) group intervention for ADHD: An open trial of a novel group intervention for deficient emotion regulation. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice. 2019 Aug 2019;26(3):522-34. *Population* 

3941. Rosenau PT, van den Hoofdakker BJ, Matthijssen AM, et al. Withdrawing methylphenidate in relation to serum levels of ferritin and zinc in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Psychiatr Res. 2022 Aug;152:31-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2022.06.014. PMID: 35714551. *Outcome* 

3942. Rosenberg DR, Johnson K, Sahl R. Evolving mania in an adolescent treated with lowdose fluoxetine. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 1992 Winter;2(4):299-306. doi: 10.1089/cap.1992.2.299. PMID: 19630612. *Design* 

3943. Rosenblum S, Zandani IE, Deutsch-Castel T, et al. The Child Evaluation Checklist (CHECK): A Screening Questionnaire for Detecting Daily Functional "Red Flags" of Underrecognized Neurodevelopmental Disorders among Preschool Children. Occup Ther Int. 2019;2019:6891831. doi: 10.1155/2019/6891831. PMID: 31866801. *Intervention* 

3944. Rosetti MF, Ulloa E, Mayer P, et al. The ball search field task in the evaluation of methylphenidate treatment of children with attention deficit / hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Nov;293:113403. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113403. PMID: 32835929. *Intervention* 

3945. Rosetti MF, Ulloa RE, Reyes-Zamorano E, et al. A novel experimental paradigm to evaluate children and adolescents diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Comparison with two standard neuropsychological methods. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2018 Aug;40(6):576-85. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2017.1393501. PMID: 29115192. *Intervention* 

3946. Rosler M, Fischer R, Ammer R, et al. A randomised, placebo-controlled, 24-week, study of low-dose extended-release methylphenidate in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2009 Mar;259(2):120-9. doi: 10.1007/s00406-008-0845-4. PMID: 19165529. *Population* 

3947. Ross EE, Stoyell SM, Kramer MA, et al. The natural history of seizures and neuropsychiatric symptoms in childhood epilepsy with centrotemporal spikes (CECTS). Epilepsy Behav. 2020 Feb;103(Pt A):106437. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2019.07.038. PMID: 31645314. *Population* 

3948. Ross L, Sapre V, Stanislaus C, et al. Dose Adjustment of Stimulants for Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Retrospective Chart Review of the Impact of

Exceeding Recommended Doses. CNS Drugs. 2020 Jun;34(6):643-9. doi: 10.1007/s40263-020-00725-5. PMID: 32300972. *Design* 

3949. Ross RG, Hommer D, Breiger D, et al. Eye movement task related to frontal lobe functioning in children with attention deficit disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1994 Jul-Aug;33(6):869-74. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199407000-00013. PMID: 8083144. *Intervention* 

3950. Ross SM. Saffron (Crocus sativus L.): A Phytomedicine as Effective as Methylphenidate in Treating ADHD in Children. Holist Nurs Pract. 2020 Jan/Feb;34(1):65-7. doi: 10.1097/hnp.0000000000365. PMID: 31725101. *Power* 

3951. Rossi ASU, Moura LM, Miranda MC, et al. Latent class analysis of attention and white matter correlation in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2018 Oct 4;51(11):e7653. doi: 10.1590/1414-431x20187653. PMID: 30304132. *Intervention* 

3952. Rossignoli-Palomeque T, Perez-Hernandez E, González-Marqués J. Training effects of attention and EF strategy-based training "Nexxo" in school-age students. Acta Psychol (Amst). 2020 Oct;210:103174. doi: 10.1016/j.actpsy.2020.103174. PMID: 32919092. *Population* 

3953. Rossiter T. The effectiveness of neurofeedback and stimulant drugs in treating AD/HD: part II. Replication. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2004 Dec;29(4):233-43. doi: 10.1007/s10484-004-0383-4. PMID: 15707253. *Intervention* 

3954. Rostami M, Farashi S, Khosrowabadi R, et al. Discrimination of ADHD Subtypes Using Decision Tree on Behavioral, Neuropsychological, and Neural Markers. Basic Clin Neurosci. 2020 May-Jun;11(3):359-67. doi: 10.32598/bcn.9.10.115. PMID: 32963728. *Intervention* 

3955. Rostami M, Khosrowabadi R, Albrecht B, et al. Classifying ADHD subtypes/presentations considering the joint effect of three levels of investigation. Nord J Psychiatry. 2021 Jan;75(1):31-7. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2020.1787512. PMID: 33393425. *Intervention* 

3956. Rotem A, Danieli Y, Ben-Sheetrit J, et al. Apparent lack of practice effects in the Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA) in adult ADHD. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Mar;11(1):73-81. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0278-5. PMID: 30927232. *Population* 

3957. Rouse M, Borsting E, Mitchell GL, et al. Academic behaviors in children with convergence insufficiency with and without parent-reported ADHD. Optom Vis Sci. 2009 Oct;86(10):1169-77. doi: 10.1097/OPX.0b013e3181baad13. PMID: 19741558. *Population* 

3958. Rowe DC, Stever C, Chase D, et al. Two dopamine genes related to reports of childhood retrospective inattention and conduct disorder symptoms. Mol Psychiatry. 2001 Jul;6(4):429-33. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.4000874. PMID: 11443528. *Population* 

3959. Rowe DL, Robinson PA, Rennie CJ, et al. Neurophysiologically-based mean-field modelling of tonic cortical activity in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), schizophrenia, first episode schizophrenia and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). J Integr Neurosci. 2004 Dec;3(4):453-87. doi: 10.1142/s0219635204000592. PMID: 15657979. *Outcome* 

3960. Rowe KS. Synthetic food colourings and 'hyperactivity': a double-blind crossover study. Aust Paediatr J. 1988 Apr;24(2):143-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1754.1988.tb00307.x. PMID: 3395307. *Power* 

3961. Roy S, Mandal N, Ray A, et al. Effectiveness of neurofeedback training, behaviour management including attention enhancement training and medication in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder - A comparative follow up study. Asian J Psychiatr. 2022 Oct;76:103133. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2022.103133. PMID: 35551878. *Power* 

3962. Rubia K. Editorial: Precision Medicine in Neurotherapeutics for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jul;60(7):813-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.11.013. PMID: 33264662. *Duplicate* 

3963. Rubia K, Criaud M, Wulff M, et al. Functional connectivity changes associated with fMRI neurofeedback of right inferior frontal cortex in adolescents with ADHD. Neuroimage. 2019 Mar;188:43-58. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.11.055. PMID: 30513395. *Intervention* 

3964. Rubia K, Cubillo A, Woolley J, et al. Disorder-specific dysfunctions in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder compared to patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder during interference inhibition and attention allocation. Hum Brain Mapp. 2011 Apr;32(4):601-11. doi: 10.1002/hbm.21048. PMID: 21391250. *Intervention* 

3965. Rubia K, Halari R, Christakou A, et al. Impulsiveness as a timing disturbance: neurocognitive abnormalities in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder during temporal processes and normalization with methylphenidate. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2009 Jul 12;364(1525):1919-31. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2009.0014. PMID: 19487194. *Design* 

3966. Rubia K, Halari R, Cubillo A, et al. Methylphenidate normalises activation and functional connectivity deficits in attention and motivation networks in medication-naïve children with ADHD during a rewarded continuous performance task. Neuropharmacology. 2009 Dec;57(7-8):640-52. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2009.08.013. PMID: 19715709. *Intervention* 

3967. Rubia K, Halari R, Cubillo A, et al. Disorder-specific inferior prefrontal hypofunction in boys with pure attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder compared to boys with pure conduct disorder during cognitive flexibility. Hum Brain Mapp. 2010 Dec;31(12):1823-33. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20975. PMID: 20205245. *Intervention* 

3968. Rubia K, Halari R, Cubillo A, et al. Methylphenidate normalizes fronto-striatal underactivation during interference inhibition in medication-naïve boys with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2011 Jul;36(8):1575-86. doi: 10.1038/npp.2011.30. PMID: 21451498. *Power* 

3969. Rubia K, Oosterlaan J, Sergeant JA, et al. Inhibitory dysfunction in hyperactive boys. Behav Brain Res. 1998 Jul;94(1):25-32. doi: 10.1016/s0166-4328(97)00166-6. PMID: 9708836. *Population* 

3970. Rubia K, Taylor E, Smith AB, et al. Neuropsychological analyses of impulsiveness in childhood hyperactivity. Br J Psychiatry. 2001 Aug;179:138-43. doi: 10.1192/bjp.179.2.138. PMID: 11483475. *Intervention* 

3971. Rubin JT, Towbin RB, Bartko M, et al. Oral and intravenous caffeine for treatment of children with post-sedation paradoxical hyperactivity. Pediatr Radiol. 2004 Dec;34(12):980-4. doi: 10.1007/s00247-004-1303-8. PMID: 15365651. *Population* 

3972. Rubinson M, Horowitz I, Naim-Feil J, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on the ERP amplitude in youth with ADHD: A double-blind placebo-controlled cross-over EEG study. PLoS One. 2019;14(5):e0217383. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0217383. PMID: 31150439. *Timing* 

3973. Rubinstein S, Malone MA, Roberts W, et al. Placebo-controlled study examining effects of selegiline in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2006 Aug;16(4):404-15. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.16.404. PMID: 16958566. *Power* 

3974. Rucklidge JJ. Impact of ADHD on the neurocognitive functioning of adolescents with bipolar disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Nov 1;60(9):921-8. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.03.067. PMID: 16839520. *Intervention* 

3975. Rucklidge JJ. Gender differences in neuropsychological functioning of New Zealand adolescents with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. International Journal of Disability, Development and Education. 2006;53:47-66. doi: 10.1080/10349120600577402. *Intervention* 

3976. Rucklidge JJ, Eggleston MJF, Boggis A, et al. Do Changes in Blood Nutrient Levels Mediate Treatment Response in Children and Adults With ADHD Consuming a Vitamin-Mineral Supplement? J Atten Disord. 2021 Jun;25(8):1107-19. doi: 10.1177/1087054719886363. PMID: 31707909. *Population* 

3977. Rucklidge JJ, Eggleston MJF, Johnstone JM, et al. Vitamin-mineral treatment improves aggression and emotional regulation in children with ADHD: A fully blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2018 Mar 2018;59(3):232-46. *Duplicate* 

3978. Rucklidge JJ, Tannock R. Psychiatric, psychosocial, and cognitive functioning of female adolescents with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 May;40(5):530-40. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200105000-00012. PMID: 11349697. *Intervention* 

3979. Rucklidge JJ, Tannock R. Neuropsychological profiles of adolescents with ADHD: effects of reading difficulties and gender. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2002 Nov;43(8):988-1003. doi: 10.1111/1469-7610.00227. PMID: 12455921. *Intervention* 

3980. Ruggiero S, Rafaniello C, Bravaccio C, et al. Safety of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder medications in children: an intensive pharmacosurveillance monitoring study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2012 Dec;22(6):415-22. doi: 10.1089/cap.2012.0003. PMID: 23234585. *Intervention* 

3981. Rugino T. A review of modafinil film-coated tablets for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2007 Jun;3(3):293-301. PMID: 19300563. *Design* 

3982. Rugino TA. Effect on Primary Sleep Disorders When Children With ADHD Are Administered Guanfacine Extended Release. J Atten Disord. 2018 Jan;22(1):14-24. doi: 10.1177/1087054714554932. PMID: 25376194. *Power* 

3983. Rugino TA, Copley TC. Effects of modafinil in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: an open-label study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Feb;40(2):230-5. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200102000-00018. PMID: 11211372. *Intervention* 

3984. Rugino TA, Samsock TC. Modafinil in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Pediatr Neurol. 2003 Aug;29(2):136-42. doi: 10.1016/s0887-8994(03)00148-6. PMID: 14580657. *Power* 

3985. Ruiz-Goikoetxea M, Cortese S, Aznarez-Sanado M, et al. Risk of unintentional injuries in children and adolescents with ADHD and the impact of ADHD medications: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2018 Jan;84:63-71. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.11.007. PMID: 29162520. *Intervention* 

3986. Ruiz-Goikoetxea M, Cortese S, Aznarez-Sanado M, et al. Risk of unintentional injuries in children and adolescents with ADHD and the impact of ADHD medications: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2017 Sep 25;7(9):e018027. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018027. PMID: 28951416. *Outcome* 

3987. Ruiz-Goikoetxea M, Cortese S, Magallón S, et al. Risk of poisoning in children and adolescents with ADHD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2018 May 15;8(1):7584. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-25893-9. PMID: 29765117. *Intervention* 

3988. Rumsey RK. Executive functioning in boys and girls with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with and without a comorbid reading disability: University of Wisconsin; 2004. *Design* 

3989. Rund BR, Oie M, Sundet K. Backward-masking deficit in adolescents with schizophrenic disorders or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 1996 Sep;153(9):1154-7. doi: 10.1176/ajp.153.9.1154. PMID: 8780418. *Intervention* 

3990. Rund BR, Zeiner P, Sundet K, et al. No vigilance deficit found in either young schizophrenic or ADHD subjects. Scand J Psychol. 1998 Jun;39(2):101-7. doi: 10.1111/1467-9450.00062. PMID: 9676163. *Outcome* 

3991. Ruppert K, Geffert C, Clement HW, et al. Therapeutic drug monitoring of atomoxetine in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: a naturalistic study. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2022 Jul;129(7):945-59. doi: 10.1007/s00702-022-02483-8. PMID: 35391568. *Comparator* 

3992. Rushton S, Giallo R, Efron D. ADHD and emotional engagement with school in the primary years: Investigating the role of student-teacher relationships. Br J Educ Psychol. 2020 Jun;90 Suppl 1:193-209. doi: 10.1111/bjep.12316. PMID: 31654412. *Intervention* 

3993. Russell AE, Dunn B, Hayes R, et al. Investigation of the feasibility and acceptability of a school-based intervention for children with traits of ADHD: protocol for an iterative case-series study. BMJ Open. 2023 Feb 14;13(2):e065176. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065176. PMID: 36787977. *Outcome* 

3994. Russell AE, Ford T, Russell G. The relationship between financial difficulty and childhood symptoms of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a UK longitudinal cohort study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2018 Jan;53(1):33-44. doi: 10.1007/s00127-017-1453-2. PMID: 29124294. *Intervention* 

3995. Ryan M, Martin R, Denckla MB, et al. Interstimulus jitter facilitates response control in children with ADHD. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2010 Mar;16(2):388-93. doi: 10.1017/s1355617709991305. PMID: 20003583. *Comparator* 

3996. Ryan NP, Catroppa C, Ward SC, et al. Association of neurostructural biomarkers with secondary attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptom severity in children with traumatic brain injury: a prospective cohort study. Psychol Med. 2022 Aug 25:1-10. doi: 10.1017/s0033291722002598. PMID: 36004807. *Outcome* 

3997. Rydkjaer J, Jepsen JRM, Pagsberg AK, et al. Do young adolescents with first-episode psychosis or ADHD show sensorimotor gating deficits? Psychol Med. 2020 Mar;50(4):607-15. doi: 10.1017/s0033291719000412. PMID: 30873927. *Population* 

3998. Saad JF, Kohn MR, Clarke S, et al. Is the Theta/Beta EEG Marker for ADHD Inherently Flawed? J Atten Disord. 2018 Jul;22(9):815-26. doi: 10.1177/1087054715578270. PMID: 25823742. *Design* 

3999. Saadeh RA, Jayawardene WP, Lohrmann DK, et al. Air pollutants and attention deficit hyperactivity disordemedication administration in elementary schools. Biomedical Reports. 2022;17(5). doi: 10.3892/br.2022.1568. *Design* 

4000. Saard M, Kaldoja ML, Bachmann M, et al. Neurorehabilitation with FORAMENRehab for attention impairment in children with epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav. 2017 Feb;67:111-21. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.12.030. PMID: 28161680. *Population* 

4001. Sabhlok A, Malanchini M, Engelhardt LE, et al. The relationship between executive function, processing speed, and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in middle childhood. Dev Sci. 2021 Aug 17:e13168. doi: 10.1111/desc.13168. PMID: 34403545. *Intervention* 

4002. Sadeghi H, Shabani Y, Pakniyat A, et al. Road Crashes in Adults with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Risky Driving Behavior. Iran J Psychiatry. 2020 Apr;15(2):105-11. PMID: 32426006. *Population* 

4003. Sadeghi M, McAuley T, Sandberg S. Examining the Impact of Motivation on Working Memory Training in Youth With ADHD. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Mar;29(1):4-14. PMID: 32194647. *Power* 

4004. Sadiq F, Mulligan A. AdCom study-adolescent communication group therapy for externalising disorders. Ir J Med Sci. 2020 Feb;189(1):261-5. doi: 10.1007/s11845-019-02076-7. PMID: 31422547. *Population* 

4005. Sadramely M, Karahmadi M, Azhar M, et al. The effect of bupropion on treating of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in 6-17 years children and adolescents in Isfahan. Journal of Isfahan Medical School. 2009;27(94). *Language* 

4006. Sadramely MR, Karahmadi M, Azhar M, et al. The effect of bupropion in treating attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in 6-17 year old children and adolescents in Isfahan. Asian Journal of Psychiatry. 2011;4:S46. doi: 10.1016/S1876-2018(11)60174-3. *Design* 

4007. Safavi P, Dehkordi AH, Ghasemi N. Comparison of the effects of methylphenidate and the combination of methylphenidate and risperidone in preschool children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Adv Pharm Technol Res. 2016 Oct-Dec;7(4):144-8. doi: 10.4103/2231-4040.191425. PMID: 27833894. *Power* 

4008. Safavi P, Hasanpour-Dehkordi A, AmirAhmadi M. Comparison of risperidone and aripiprazole in the treatment of preschool children with disruptive behavior disorder and attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder: A randomized clinical trial. J Adv Pharm Technol Res. 2016 Apr-Jun;7(2):43-7. doi: 10.4103/2231-4040.177203. PMID: 27144151. *Power* 

4009. Safavi P, Saberzadeh M, Tehrani AM. Factors Associated with Treatment Adherence in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Indian J Psychol Med. 2019 May-Jun;41(3):252-7. doi: 10.4103/ijpsym\_456\_18. PMID: 31142927. *Intervention* 

4010. Safren SA, Sprich S, Mimiaga MJ, et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy vs relaxation with educational support for medication-treated adults with ADHD and persistent symptoms: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2010 Aug 25;304(8):875-80. PMID: 20736471. *Population* 

4011. Sagiv SK, Thurston SW, Bellinger DC, et al. Prenatal organochlorine exposure and behaviors associated with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in school-aged children. Am J Epidemiol. 2010 Mar 1;171(5):593-601. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwp427. PMID: 20106937. *Intervention* 

4012. Sahu A, Patil V, Sagar R, et al. Psychiatric Comorbidities in Children with Specific Learning Disorder-Mixed Type: A Cross-sectional Study. J Neurosci Rural Pract. 2019 Oct;10(4):617-22. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-1697879. PMID: 31844375. *Intervention* 

4013. Sahuric A, Hohwü L, Bang Madsen K, et al. Differential Parent and Teacher Reports of ADHD Symptoms According to the Child's Country of Origin: A Quantitative Study From Denmark Exploring the Implication for Diagnosis. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jul;25(9):1207-14. doi: 10.1177/1087054719895309. PMID: 31868066. *Intervention* 

4014. Saigal S, Pinelli J, Hoult L, et al. Psychopathology and social competencies of adolescents who were extremely low birth weight. Pediatrics. 2003 May;111(5 Pt 1):969-75. doi: 10.1542/peds.111.5.969. PMID: 12728073. *Intervention* 

4015. Salami F, Ashayeri H, Estaki M, et al. Studying the Effectiveness of Combination Therapy (Based on Executive Function and Sensory Integration) Child-Centered on the Symptoms of Attention Deficit/hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). International Education Studies. 2017 01/01/;10(4):70-7. PMID: EJ1138575. *Power* 

4016. Salas-Bravo S, Gonzalez-Arias M, Araya-Piñones A, et al. Using the conners continous performance test for differentiation of normal and ADHD Chilean children. Terapia Psicologica. 2017;35(3):283-91. doi: 10.4067/S0718-48082017000300283. *Language* 

4017. Salazar de Pablo G, De Micheli A, Solmi M, et al. Universal and Selective Interventions to Prevent Poor Mental Health Outcomes in Young People: Systematic Review and Metaanalysis. Harv Rev Psychiatry. 2021 May-Jun 01;29(3):196-215. doi: 10.1097/hrp.00000000000294. PMID: 33979106. *Population* 

4018. Salcido A, Robles EH, Chaudhary K, et al. Association of ADHD and Obesity in Hispanic Children on the US-Mexico Border: A Retrospective Analysis. Front Integr Neurosci. 2021;15:749907. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2021.749907. PMID: 35069136. *Intervention* 

4019. Salehi B IR, Mohammadi MR, et al. Ginkgo biloba for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a double blind, randomized controlled trial. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2010 Feb 1;34(1):76-80. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2009.026. *Duplicate* 

4020. Salehinejad MA, Ghayerin E, Nejati V, et al. Domain-specific Involvement of the Right Posterior Parietal Cortex in Attention Network and Attentional Control of ADHD: A Randomized, Cross-over, Sham-controlled tDCS Study. Neuroscience. 2020 Sep 15;444:149-59. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.07.037. PMID: 32730946. *Intervention* 

4021. Salehinejad MA, Vosough Y, Nejati V. The Impact of Bilateral Anodal tDCS over Left and Right DLPFC on Executive Functions in Children with ADHD. Brain Sciences. 2022;12(8). doi: 10.3390/brainsci12081098. *Design* 

4022. Saletin JM, Coon WG, Carskadon MA. Stage 2 Sleep EEG Sigma Activity and Motor Learning in Childhood ADHD: A Pilot Study. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2017 Mar-Apr;46(2):188-97. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2016.1157756. PMID: 27267670. *Intervention* 

4023. Sali AW, Anderson BA, Yantis S, et al. Reduced value-driven attentional capture among children with ADHD compared to typically developing controls. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2018 Aug 2018;46(6):1187-200. *Intervention* 

4024. Sallee FR. The role of alpha2-adrenergic agonists in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Postgrad Med. 2010 Sep;122(5):78-87. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2010.09.2204. PMID: 20861591. *Intervention* 

4025. Sallee FR, Lyne A, Wigal T, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of guanfacine extended release in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Jun;19(3):215-26. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0080. PMID: 19519256. *Comparator* 

4026. Sallee FR, Smirnoff AV. Adderall XR: long acting stimulant for single daily dosing. Expert Rev Neurother. 2004 Nov;4(6):927-34. doi: 10.1586/14737175.4.6.927. PMID: 15853519. *Design* 

4027. Sallee FR, Smirnov A. Atomoxetine: Novel Therapy for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Potential Therapeutic Implications. Primary Psychiatry. 2003;10(4):41-8. *Design* 

4028. Salmon G, Kirby A. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: New ways of working in primary care. Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2007;12(4):160-3. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-3588.2006.00422.x. *Design* 

4029. Salomone S, Fleming GR, Shanahan JM, et al. The effects of a Self-Alert Training (SAT) program in adults with ADHD. Front Hum Neurosci. 2015;9:45. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00045. PMID: 25713523. *Population* 

4030. Salum GA, Gadelha A, Polanczyk GV, et al. Diagnostic operationalization and phenomenological heterogeneity in psychiatry: The case of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Salud Mental. 2018 2018;41(6):249-59. *Intervention* 

4031. Salum GA, Sato JR, Manfro AG, et al. Reaction time variability and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: is increased reaction time variability specific to attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder? Testing predictions from the default-mode interference hypothesis. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Mar;11(1):47-58. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0257-x. PMID: 30927230. *Intervention* 

4032. Samadi M, Gholami F, Seyedi M, et al. Effect of Vitamin D Supplementation on Inflammatory Biomarkers in School-Aged Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Clin Pract. 2022;2022:1256408. doi: 10.1155/2022/1256408. PMID: 36052304. *Outcome* 

4033. Samuele Cortese JNDBACSF. Placebo effects during treatment with ADHD medications. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019130292. 2019.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=130292. Outcome

4034. Samuele Cortese JZAD-R. Meditation-based interventions for ADHD in children, adolescents, and adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2018

CRD42018096156. 2018.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=96156. Design

4035. Samyn V, Roeyers H, Bijttebier P. Effortful control in typically developing boys and in boys with ADHD or autism spectrum disorder. Res Dev Disabil. 2011 Mar-Apr;32(2):483-90. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2010.12.038. PMID: 21255973. *Outcome* 

4036. San Mauro Martin I, Sanz Rojo S, Garicano Vilar E, et al. Lifestyle factors, diet and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Spanish children - an observational study. Nutr Neurosci. 2021 Aug;24(8):614-23. doi: 10.1080/1028415x.2019.1660486. PMID: 31479410. *Intervention* 

4037. San Mauro Martin I, Sanz Rojo S, González Cosano L, et al. Impulsiveness in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder after an 8-week intervention with the Mediterranean diet and/or omega-3 fatty acids: A randomised clinical trial. Neurologia (Engl Ed). 2019 Dec 26. doi: 10.1016/j.nrl.2019.09.007. PMID: 31883771. *Power* 

4038. Sanabra M, Gómez-Hinojosa T, Alcover C, et al. Effects of stimulant treatment on sleep in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Sleep and Biological Rhythms. 2021;19(1):69-77. doi: 10.1007/s41105-020-00289-3. *Intervention* 

4039. Sanabra M, Gómez-Hinojosa T, Grau N, et al. Deficient Emotional Self-Regulation and Sleep Problems in ADHD with and without Pharmacological Treatment. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jan 20:1087054720986242. doi: 10.1177/1087054720986242. PMID: 33472511. *Intervention* 

4040. Sanchez LM, Chronis AM, Hunter SJ. Improving Compliance with Diabetes Management in Young Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Using Behavior Therapy. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice. 2006 05/01/;13(2):134-45. PMID: EJ800711. *Intervention* 

4041. Sánchez M, Lavigne R, Romero JF, et al. Emotion regulation in participants diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, before and after an emotion regulation intervention. Frontiers in Psychology. 2019 May 24, 2019;10. *Intervention* 

4042. Sanchez RJ, Crismon ML, Barner JC, et al. Assessment of adherence measures with different stimulants among children and adolescents. Pharmacotherapy. 2005 Jul;25(7):909-17. doi: 10.1592/phco.2005.25.7.909. PMID: 16006269. *Intervention* 

4043. Sanchez-Lopez M, Pardo-Guijarro MJ, Del Campo DG, et al. Physical activity intervention (Movi-Kids) on improving academic achievement and adiposity in preschoolers with or without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2015 Oct 12;16:456. doi: 10.1186/s13063-015-0992-7. PMID: 26458986. *Outcome* 

4044. Sanders MR BW, Morawska A. Maintenance of treatment gains: a comparison of enhanced, standard, and self-directed Triple P-Positive Parenting Program. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2007;35(6):983-98. *Population* 

4045. Sanders MR CA. A comparison of the effects of child management and planned activities training in five parenting environments. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1985;13(1):101-17. *Power* 

4046. Sandler AD, Bodfish JW. Open-label use of placebos in the treatment of ADHD: a pilot study. Child Care Health Dev. 2008 Jan;34(1):104-10. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2214.2007.00797.x. PMID: 18171451. *Power* 

4047. Sandstrom A, Perroud N, Alda M, et al. Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in people with mood disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2021 May;143(5):380-91. doi: 10.1111/acps.13283. PMID: 33528847. *Intervention* 

4048. Sangal JM, Sangal RB, Persky B. Abnormal auditory P300 topography in attention deficit disorder predicts poor response to pemoline. Clin Electroencephalogr. 1995 Oct;26(4):204-13. doi: 10.1177/155005949502600406. PMID: 8575100. *Intervention* 

4049. Sangal JM, Sangal RB, Persky B. Prolonged P300 latency in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder predicts poor response to imipramine. Clin Electroencephalogr. 1996 Oct;27(4):191-201. PMID: 9465283. *Intervention* 

4050. Sangal RB, Sangal JM. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: cognitive evoked potential (P300) amplitude predicts treatment response to atomoxetine. Clin Neurophysiol. 2005 Mar;116(3):640-7. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2004.09.028. PMID: 15721078. *Intervention* 

4051. Sangouni AA, Mirhosseini H, Hosseinzadeh M. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on brain waves, behavioral performance, nitric oxide, malondialdehyde, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: study protocol for a randomized clinical trial. Trials. 2022 Oct 22;23(1):890. doi: 10.1186/s13063-022-06837-1. PMID: 36273218. *Outcome* 

4052. Sani NG, Akbarfahimi M, Akbari S, et al. Neurofeedback Training Versus Perceptualmotor Exercises Interventions in Visual Attention for Children With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Basic and Clinical Neuroscience. 2022;13(2):215-24. doi: 10.32598/bcn.2021.563.2. *Power* 

4053. Santisteban JA, Stein MA, Bergmame L, et al. Effect of extended-release dexmethylphenidate and mixed amphetamine salts on sleep: a double-blind, randomized, crossover study in youth with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. CNS Drugs. 2014 Sep;28(9):825-33. doi: 10.1007/s40263-014-0181-3. PMID: 25056567. *Power* 

4054. Santitadakul R, Sithisarankul P, Lertmaharit S, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Clinical Outcomes Measurement Development. J Med Assoc Thai. 2017 Apr;100(4):418-26. PMID: 29911841. *Intervention* 

4055. Santonastaso O, Zaccari V, Crescentini C, et al. Clinical Application of Mindfulness-Oriented Meditation: A Preliminary Study in Children with ADHD. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Sep 22;17(18). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17186916. PMID: 32971803. *Power* 

4056. Santos GM, Santos EM, Mendes GD, et al. A review of Cochrane reviews on pharmacological treatment for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Dement Neuropsychol. 2021 Oct-Dec;15(4):421-7. doi: 10.1590/1980-57642021dn15-040001. PMID: 35509804. *Design* 

4057. Santosh PJ, Baird G, Pityaratstian N, et al. Impact of comorbid autism spectrum disorders on stimulant response in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a retrospective and prospective effectiveness study. Child Care Health Dev. 2006 Sep;32(5):575-83. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2214.2006.00631.x. PMID: 16919137. *Comparator* 

4058. Santosh PJ, Taylor E. Stimulant drugs. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000;9 Suppl 1:I27-43. doi: 10.1007/s007870070017. PMID: 11140778. *Design* 

4059. Sanuki F, Nakphu N, Tahara A, et al. The comparison of electroencephalography power and event related potential in success and failure during multitask game. Front Neurorobot. 2022;16:1044071. doi: 10.3389/fnbot.2022.1044071. PMID: 36467566. *Population* 

4060. Sanz-Cervera P, Pastor-Cerezuela G, González-Sala F, et al. Sensory Processing in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and/or Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in the Home and Classroom Contexts. Front Psychol. 2017;8:1772. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01772. PMID: 29075217. *Outcome* 

4061. Sara Suarez-Manzano AR-AMDIT-CEJM-L. Acute and chronic effects of physical activity on cognition and behaviour in young people with ADHD: a systematic review of intervention studies. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016051579. 2016. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=51579. *Design* 

4062. Saraçoğlu H, Kılıç E, Demirci E. The study of Tau and phospho Tau protein levels in attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder. Turk J Med Sci. 2021 Aug 30;51(4):2107-11. doi: 10.3906/sag-2012-198. PMID: 33929143. *Outcome* 

4063. Sarah Morris JSESDFML. Interventions for adolescents with ADHD to improve peer social functioning: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018100874. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=100874. *Design* 

4064. Saran M, Wagner Iii J, Kablinger A. Pediatric clinical trials in psychopharmacology. Current Topics in Pharmacology. 2009;13(2):65-70. *Design* 

4065. Saran M, Wagner J, Kablinger A. Pediatric clinical trials in psychopharmacology. Current Psychiatry Reviews. 2010;6(3):171-5. doi: 10.2174/157340010791792572. *Power* 

4066. Sari Gökten E, Saday Duman N, Uçkun B, et al. Treatment of ADHD for at least three years may prevent long-term complications: A preliminary study on long-term prognosis of children diagnosed with ADHD at a single center in Turkey. Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi. 2018;19(5):509-17. doi: 10.5455/apd.291757. *Intervention* 

4067. Sartory G, Heine A, Müller BW, et al. Event- and motor-related potentials during the continuous performance task in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Psychophysiology. 2002;16:97-106. doi: 10.1027/0269-8803.16.2.97. *Intervention* 

4068. Sasaki Y, Tsujii N, Sasaki S, et al. Current use of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medications and clinical characteristics of child and adolescent psychiatric outpatients prescribed multiple ADHD medications in Japan. PLoS One. 2021;16(6):e0252420. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0252420. PMID: 34081716. *Design* 

4069. Sasaluxnanon C, Kaewpornsawan T. Risk factor of birth weight below 2,500 grams and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Thai children. J Med Assoc Thai. 2005 Nov;88(11):1514-8. PMID: 16471095. *Intervention* 

4070. Sasser T, Schoenfelder EN, Stein MA. Targeting Functional Impairments in the Treatment of Children and Adolescents with ADHD. CNS Drugs. 2017 Feb;31(2):97-107. doi: 10.1007/s40263-016-0400-1. PMID: 27943133. *Design* 

4071. Sasser TR, Kalvin CB, Bierman KL. Developmental trajectories of clinically significant attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms from grade 3 through 12 in a high-

risk sample: Predictors and outcomes. Journal of Abnormal Psychology. 2016 Feb 2016;125(2):207-19. *Population* 

4072. Satapathy S, Choudhary V, Sharma R, et al. A comparative study of neuro-cognitive functioning of children with and without ADHD on cognitive assessment system. Journal of Indian Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2020;16(4):6-26. *Intervention* 

4073. Satterfield J, Swanson J, Schell A, et al. Prediction of antisocial behavior in attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder boys from aggression/defiance scores. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1994 Feb;33(2):185-90. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199402000-00005. PMID: 8150789. *Intervention* 

4074. Satterfield JH, Satterfield BT, Schell AM. Therapeutic interventions to prevent delinquency in hyperactive boys. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1987 Jan;26(1):56-64. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198701000-00012. PMID: 3584002. *Intervention* 

4075. Satterfield JH FK, Crinella FM, et al. A 30-year prospective follow-up study of hyperactive boys with conduct problems: adult criminality. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007;46(5):601-10. *Population* 

4076. Satterstrom FK, Walters RK, Singh T, et al. Autism spectrum disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder have a similar burden of rare protein-truncating variants. Nat Neurosci. 2019 Dec;22(12):1961-5. doi: 10.1038/s41593-019-0527-8. PMID: 31768057. *Intervention* 

4077. Sattler AF, Leffler JM, Harrison NL, et al. The quality of assessments for childhood psychopathology within a regional medical center. Psychol Serv. 2019 Nov;16(4):596-604. doi: 10.1037/ser0000241. PMID: 29771555. *Outcome* 

4078. Saul RC, Ashby CD. Measurement of whole blood serotonin as a guide in prescribing psychostimulant medication for children with attentional deficits. Clin Neuropharmacol. 1986;9(2):189-95. doi: 10.1097/00002826-198604000-00010. PMID: 3708603. *Intervention* 

4079. Sauvagnac Quera R, Millet Esteve A, Narciso A, et al. Children home unattended polysomnography in a town office practice: Feasibility, quality and patients and caregivers' satisfaction. Sleep Medicine. 2022;100:S193-S4. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2022.05.521. *Design* 

4080. Sawyer A-M, Taylor E, Chadwick O. The effect of off-task behaviors on the task performance of hyperkinetic children. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2001;5(1):1-10. doi: 10.1177/108705470100500101. *Intervention* 

4081. Say GN, Karabekiroğlu K, Babadağı Z, et al. Maternal stress and perinatal features in autism and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatr Int. 2016 Apr;58(4):265-9. doi: 10.1111/ped.12822. PMID: 26338105. *Intervention* 

4082. Sayal K, Merrell C, Tymms P, et al. Academic Outcomes Following a School-Based RCT for ADHD: 6-Year Follow-Up. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jan;24(1):66-72. doi: 10.1177/1087054714562588. PMID: 25555626. *Population* 

4083. Sayal K, Owen V, White K, et al. Impact of early school-based screening and intervention programs for ADHD on children's outcomes and access to services: follow-up of a school-based trial at age 10 years. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2010 May;164(5):462-9. doi: 10.1001/archpediatrics.2010.40. PMID: 20439798. *Population* 

4084. Sayal K, Prasad V, Daley D, et al. ADHD in children and young people: prevalence, care pathways, and service provision. Lancet Psychiatry. 2018 Feb;5(2):175-86. doi: 10.1016/s2215-0366(17)30167-0. PMID: 29033005. *Design* 

4085. Sayal K, Taylor JA, Valentine A, et al. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a brief school-based group programme for parents of children at risk of ADHD: a cluster randomised controlled trial. Child Care Health Dev. 2016 Jul;42(4):521-33. doi: 10.1111/cch.12349. PMID: 27272608. *Population* 

4086. Saylor K, Buermeyer C, Sutton V, et al. The Life Participation Scale for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder--Child Version: psychometric properties of an adaptive change instrument. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Dec;17(6):831-42. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.0030. PMID: 18315454. *Intervention* 

4087. Saylor KE, Buermeyer CM, Spencer TJ, et al. Adaptive changes related to medication treatment of ADHD: listening to parents of children in clinical trials of a novel nonstimulant medication. J Clin Psychiatry. 2002;63 Suppl 12:23-8. PMID: 12562058. *Design* 

4088. Scahill L, Aman MG, McDougle CJ, et al. A prospective open trial of guanfacine in children with pervasive developmental disorders. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2006 Oct;16(5):589-98. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.16.589. PMID: 17069547. *Population* 

4089. Scahill L, Bearss K, Sarhangian R, et al. Using a Patient-Centered Outcome Measure to Test Methylphenidate Versus Placebo in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Mar;27(2):125-31. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0107. PMID: 27893955. *Population* 

4090. Scahill L, Chappell PB, Kim YS, et al. A placebo-controlled study of guanfacine in the treatment of children with tic disorders and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2001 Jul;158(7):1067-74. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.158.7.1067. PMID: 11431228. *Power* 

4091. Scahill L, McCracken JT, King BH, et al. Extended-Release Guanfacine for Hyperactivity in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2015 Dec;172(12):1197-206. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15010055. PMID: 26315981. *Population* 

4092. Scarpelli S, Gorgoni M, D'Atri A, et al. Advances in Understanding the Relationship between Sleep and Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). J Clin Med. 2019 Oct 19;8(10). doi: 10.3390/jcm8101737. PMID: 31635095. *Intervention* 

4093. Schachar R, Ickowicz A, Crosbie J, et al. Cognitive and behavioral effects of multilayerrelease methylphenidate in the treatment of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Feb;18(1):11-24. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.0039. PMID: 18294084. *Timing* 

4094. Schachar R, Logan G. Are hyperactive children deficient in attentional capacity? J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1990 Oct;18(5):493-513. doi: 10.1007/BF00911104. PMID: 2266222. *Intervention* 

4095. Schachar R, Logan G, Wachsmuth R, et al. Attaining and maintaining preparation: a comparison of attention in hyperactive, normal, and disturbed control children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1988 Aug;16(4):361-78. doi: 10.1007/BF00914169. PMID: 3221028. *Intervention* 

4096. Schachar R, Mota VL, Logan GD, et al. Confirmation of an inhibitory control deficit in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2000 Jun;28(3):227-35. doi: 10.1023/a:1005140103162. PMID: 10885681. *Outcome* 

4097. Schachar R, Tannock R. Test of four hypotheses for the comorbidity of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and conduct disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 May;34(5):639-48. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199505000-00016. PMID: 7775359. *Outcome* 

4098. Schachar R, Tannock R, Marriott M, et al. Deficient inhibitory control in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1995 Aug;23(4):411-37. doi: 10.1007/bf01447206. PMID: 7560554. *Outcome* 

4099. Schachar RJ, Tannock R, Cunningham C, et al. Behavioral, situational, and temporal effects of treatment of ADHD with methylphenidate. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997 Jun;36(6):754-63. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199706000-00011. PMID: 9183129. *Power* 

4100. Schacht A, Escobar R, Wagner T, et al. Psychometric properties of the quality of life scale Child Health and Illness Profile-Child Edition in a combined analysis of five atomoxetine trials. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2011 Dec;3(4):335-49. doi: 10.1007/s12402-011-0066-y. PMID: 21986814. *Intervention* 

4101. Schachter HM, Pham B, King J, et al. How efficacious and safe is short-acting methylphenidate for the treatment of attention-deficit disorder in children and adolescents? A meta-analysis. Cmaj. 2001 Nov 27;165(11):1475-88. PMID: 11762571. *Design* 

4102. Schecklmann M, Schaldecker M, Aucktor S, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on olfaction and frontal and temporal brain oxygenation in children with ADHD. J Psychiatr Res. 2011 Nov;45(11):1463-70. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2011.05.011. PMID: 21689828. *Intervention* 

4103. Scheffer RE, Kowatch RA, Carmody T, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of mixed amphetamine salts for symptoms of comorbid ADHD in pediatric bipolar disorder after mood stabilization with divalproex sodium. Am J Psychiatry. 2005 Jan;162(1):58-64. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.162.1.58. PMID: 15625202. *Population* 

4104. Scheffler RM, Brown TT, Fulton BD, et al. Positive association between attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder medication use and academic achievement during elementary school. Pediatrics. 2009 May;123(5):1273-9. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-1597. PMID: 19403491. *Design* 

4105. Schei J, Nøvik TS, Thomsen PH, et al. What Predicts a Good Adolescent to Adult Transition in ADHD? The Role of Self-Reported Resilience. J Atten Disord. 2018 Apr;22(6):547-60. doi: 10.1177/1087054715604362. PMID: 26399710. *Intervention* 

4106. Schein J, Childress A, Adams J, et al. Treatment patterns among children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the United States - a retrospective claims analysis. BMC Psychiatry. 2022 Aug 18;22(1):555. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04188-4. PMID: 35982469. *Design* 

4107. Schein J, Cloutier M, Gauthier-Loiselle M, et al. Reasons for Treatment Changes in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Chart Review Study. Adv Ther. 2022 Dec;39(12):5487-503. doi: 10.1007/s12325-022-02329-5. PMID: 36219389. *Design* 

4108. Schelleman H, Bilker WB, Strom BL, et al. Cardiovascular events and death in children exposed and unexposed to ADHD agents. Pediatrics. 2011 Jun;127(6):1102-10. doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-3371. PMID: 21576311. *Population* 

4109. Schelleman H, Bilker WB, Strom BL, et al. Cardiovascular safety of ADHD medications in children and adolescents. Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety. 2011;20:S134. doi: 10.1002/pds.2206. *Intervention* 

4110. Scheres A, Dijkstra M, Ainslie E, et al. Temporal and probabilistic discounting of rewards in children and adolescents: effects of age and ADHD symptoms. Neuropsychologia. 2006;44(11):2092-103. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2005.10.012. PMID: 16303152. *Intervention* 

4111. Scheres A, Milham MP, Knutson B, et al. Ventral striatal hyporesponsiveness during reward anticipation in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2007 Mar 1;61(5):720-4. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.04.042. PMID: 16950228. *Intervention* 

4112. Scheres A, Oosterlaan J, Sergeant JA. Response execution and inhibition in children with AD/HD and other disruptive disorders: the role of behavioural activation. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2001 Mar;42(3):347-57. PMID: 11321204. *Intervention* 

4113. Scheres A, Tontsch C, Thoeny AL, et al. Temporal reward discounting in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: the contribution of symptom domains, reward magnitude, and session length. Biol Psychiatry. 2010 Apr 1;67(7):641-8. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.10.033. PMID: 20034616. *Intervention* 

4114. Schertz M, Adesman AR, Alfieri NE, et al. Predictors of weight loss in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder treated with stimulant medication. Pediatrics. 1996 Oct;98(4 Pt 1):763-9. PMID: 8885958. *Intervention* 

4115. Schertz M, Steinberg T. Seizures induced by the combination treatment of methylphenidate and sertraline. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Jun;18(3):301-3. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.0141. PMID: 18582188. *Design* 

4116. Schiariti V, Mahdi S, Bölte S. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health Core Sets for cerebral palsy, autism spectrum disorder, and attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2018 Sep;60(9):933-41. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.13922. PMID: 29845609. *Intervention* 

4117. Schickedanz A, Halfon N, Sastry N, et al. Parents' Adverse Childhood Experiences and Their Children's Behavioral Health Problems. Pediatrics. 2018 Aug;142(2). doi: 10.1542/peds.2018-0023. PMID: 29987168. *Population* 

4118. Schlechter F, Calzado IW, Siemann J, et al. Personalized transcranial direct current stimulation at home in patients with ADHD: feasibility and efficacy. Brain Stimulation. 2023;16(1):199-200. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2023.01.255. *Design* 

4119. Schlechter F, Wrachtrup-Calzado I, Siemann J, et al. P 48 tDCS in the daily routine – Experiences on feasibility and integrability of home-based tDCS for children and adolescents suffering from ADHD. Clinical Neurophysiology. 2022;137:e42. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2022.01.079. *Design*
4120. Schleifer M WG, Cohen N, et al. Hyperactivity in preschoolers and the effect of methylphenidate. Am J Orthopsychiatry. 1975;45(1):38-50. *Power* 

4121. Schmerler BL, Cohen DM, Leder MS, et al. Procedural sedation for fracture reduction in children with hyperactivity. Am J Emerg Med. 2008 Jul;26(6):661-4. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2007.10.001. PMID: 18606317. *Intervention* 

4122. Schmid J, Stadler G, Dirk J, et al. ADHD Symptoms in Adolescents' Everyday Life: Fluctuations and Symptom Structure Within and Between Individuals. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jun;24(8):1169-80. doi: 10.1177/1087054716629214. PMID: 26893307. *Intervention* 

4123. Schmidt M, Reh V, Hirsch O, et al. Assessment of ADHD Symptoms and the Issue of Cultural Variation: Are Conners 3 Rating Scales Applicable to Children and Parents With Migration Background? J Atten Disord. 2017 May;21(7):587-99. doi: 10.1177/1087054713493319. PMID: 23893536. *Intervention* 

4124. Schmidt MH, Möcks P, Lay B, et al. Does oligoantigenic diet influence hyperactive/conduct-disordered children--a controlled trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997 Jun;6(2):88-95. doi: 10.1007/bf00566671. PMID: 9257090. *Intervention* 

4125. Schneider G, Banaschewski T, Feldman BL, et al. Weight and Height in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Longitudinal Database Study Assessing the Impact of Guanfacine, Stimulants, and No Pharmacotherapy. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 May;29(4):285-304. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0132. PMID: 30942617. *Intervention* 

4126. Schnoebelen S, Semrud-Clikeman M, Pliszka SR. Corpus callosum anatomy in chronically treated and stimulant naïve ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2010 Nov;14(3):256-66. doi: 10.1177/1087054709356406. PMID: 20460495. *Intervention* 

4127. Schoemaker K, Bunte T, Espy KA, et al. Executive functions in preschool children with ADHD and DBD: an 18-month longitudinal study. Dev Neuropsychol. 2014;39(4):302-15. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2014.911875. PMID: 24854774. *Intervention* 

4128. Schoemaker MM, Ketelaars CE, van Zonneveld M, et al. Deficits in motor control processes involved in production of graphic movements of children with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2005 Jun;47(6):390-5. doi: 10.1017/s0012162205000769. PMID: 15934487. *Intervention* 

4129. Schoenberg PL, Hepark S, Kan CC, et al. Effects of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy on neurophysiological correlates of performance monitoring in adult attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Clin Neurophysiol. 2014 Jul;125(7):1407-16. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2013.11.031. PMID: 24374088. *Population* 

4130. Schoenfelder E, Moreno M, Wilner M, et al. Piloting a mobile health intervention to increase physical activity for adolescents with ADHD. Prev Med Rep. 2017 Jun;6:210-3. doi: 10.1016/j.pmedr.2017.03.003. PMID: 28373931. *Intervention* 

4131. Schoenfelder EN, Chronis-Tuscano A, Strickland J, et al. Piloting a Sequential, Multiple Assignment, Randomized Trial for Mothers with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Their At-Risk Young Children. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 May;29(4):256-67. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0136. PMID: 30950637. *Power* 

4132. Schoenmacker GH, Groenman AP, Sokolova E, et al. Role of conduct problems in the relation between Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity disorder, substance use, and gaming. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2020 Jan;30:102-13. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2018.06.003. PMID: 30292416. *Population* 

4133. Schöfl M, Beitel C, Kloo D, et al. Konstrukt- und Kriteriumsvalidität einer deutschen Version des Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) zur Identifikation von Kindern mit Aufmerksamkeitsdefizit-/ Hyperaktivitätsstörungen (ADHS). Diagnostica. 2014 01/01;60. doi: 10.1026/0012-1924/a000103. *Language* 

4134. Scholle O, Fegert JM, Kollhorst B, et al. Predictors for Receiving Medication and/or Psychotherapy in Children Newly Diagnosed With ADHD: A Longitudinal Population-Based Cohort Study. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jan;24(2):255-64. doi: 10.1177/1087054718816172. PMID: 30522406. *Intervention* 

4135. Scholte EM, Van Berckelaer-Onnes I, Van der Ploeg JD. A rating scale to screen symptoms of psychiatric disorders in children. European Journal of Special Needs Education. 2008;23(1):47-62. doi: 10.1080/08856250701791286. *Intervention* 

4136. Scholte EM, van der Ploeg JD. The development of a rating scale to screen social and emotional detachment in children and adolescents. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2007;16(3):137-49. doi: 10.1002/mpr.222. PMID: 17702055. *Intervention* 

4137. Scholtens S, Rydell AM, Yang-Wallentin F. ADHD symptoms, academic achievement, self-perception of academic competence and future orientation: a longitudinal study. Scand J Psychol. 2013 Jun;54(3):205-12. doi: 10.1111/sjop.12042. PMID: 23510262. *Intervention* 

4138. Schrantee A, Bouziane C, Bron EE, et al. Long-term effects of stimulant exposure on cerebral blood flow response to methylphenidate and behavior in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Brain Imaging Behav. 2018 Apr;12(2):402-10. doi: 10.1007/s11682-017-9707-x. PMID: 28321605. *Population* 

4139. Schrantee A, Tamminga HG, Bouziane C, et al. Age-Dependent Effects of Methylphenidate on the Human Dopaminergic System in Young vs Adult Patients With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Psychiatry. 2016 Sep 1;73(9):955-62. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.1572. PMID: 27487479. *Power* 

4140. Schröder C, Dörks M, Kollhorst B, et al. Outpatient antipsychotic drug use in children and adolescents in Germany between 2004 and 2011. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Apr;26(4):413-20. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0905-7. PMID: 27623818. *Population* 

4141. Schubiner H, Saules KK, Arfken CL, et al. Double-blind placebo-controlled trial of methylphenidate in the treatment of adult ADHD patients with comorbid cocaine dependence. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 2002 Aug;10(3):286-94. doi: 10.1037//1064-1297.10.3.286. PMID: 12233989. *Population* 

4142. Schuck S, Emmerson N, Ziv H, et al. Designing an iPad App to Monitor and Improve Classroom Behavior for Children with ADHD: iSelfControl Feasibility and Pilot Studies. PLoS One. 2016;11(10):e0164229. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0164229. PMID: 27741257. *Outcome* 

4143. Schuck SE, Emmerson NA, Fine AH, et al. Canine-assisted therapy for children with ADHD: preliminary findings from the positive assertive cooperative kids study. J Atten Disord. 2015 Feb;19(2):125-37. doi: 10.1177/1087054713502080. PMID: 24062278. *Power* 

4144. Schuerholz LJ, Baumgardner TL, Singer HS, et al. Neuropsychological status of children with Tourette's syndrome with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neurology. 1996 Apr;46(4):958-65. doi: 10.1212/wnl.46.4.958. PMID: 8780072. *Outcome* 

4145. Schuhmann EM FR, Eyberg SM, et al. Efficacy of parent-child interaction therapy: interim report of a randomized trial with short-term maintenance. J Clin Child Psychol. 1998;27(1):34-45. *Population* 

4146. Schulz E, Fleischhaker C, Hennighausen K, et al. A double-blind, randomized, placebo/active controlled crossover evaluation of the efficacy and safety of Ritalin ® LA in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in a laboratory classroom setting. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Oct;20(5):377-85. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0106. PMID: 20973708. *Timing* 

4147. Schulz J, Huber F, Schlack R, et al. The Association between Low Blood Pressure and Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Observed in Children/Adolescents Does Not Persist into Young Adulthood. A Population-Based Ten-Year Follow-Up Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Feb 14;18(4). doi: 10.3390/ijerph18041864. PMID: 33672943. *Intervention* 

4148. Schulz KP, Bédard AV, Fan J, et al. Striatal Activation Predicts Differential Therapeutic Responses to Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Jul;56(7):602-9.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.04.005. PMID: 28647012. *Intervention* 

4149. Schulz KP, Fan J, Bedard AC, et al. Common and unique therapeutic mechanisms of stimulant and nonstimulant treatments for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2012 Sep;69(9):952-61. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.2053. PMID: 22945622. *Power* 

4150. Schulz KP, Fan J, Tang CY, et al. Response inhibition in adolescents diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder during childhood: an event-related FMRI study. Am J Psychiatry. 2004 Sep;161(9):1650-7. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.161.9.1650. PMID: 15337656. *Outcome* 

4151. Schulz KP, Newcorn JH, McKay KE, et al. Relationship between central serotonergic function and aggression in prepubertal boys: effect of age and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2001 Feb 14;101(1):1-10. doi: 10.1016/s0165-1781(00)00238-9. PMID: 11223114. *Intervention* 

4152. Schulz KP, Tang CY, Fan J, et al. Differential prefrontal cortex activation during inhibitory control in adolescents with and without childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychology. 2005 May;19(3):390-402. doi: 10.1037/0894-4105.19.3.390. PMID: 15910125. *Outcome* 

4153. Schulz-Zhecheva Y, Voelkle M, Beauducel A, et al. ADHD Traits in German School-Aged Children: Validation of the German Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHS Symptoms and Normal Behavior (SWAN-DE) Scale. J Atten Disord. 2019 Apr;23(6):553-62. doi: 10.1177/1087054716676365. PMID: 28043193. *Language* 

4154. Schwarte AR. Evaluating the diagnostic utility of attention -deficit /hyperactivity measures using discriminant function analysis [Ph.D.]. United States -- Wisconsin: Marquette University; 2004. *Design* 

4155. Schwartz AN, Reyes LM, Meschke LL, et al. Prenatal Opioid Exposure and ADHD Childhood Symptoms: A Meta-Analysis. Children (Basel). 2021 Feb 4;8(2). doi: 10.3390/children8020106. PMID: 33557208. *Intervention* 

4156. Schwartz G, Amor LB, Grizenko N, et al. Actigraphic monitoring during sleep of children with ADHD on methylphenidate and placebo. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Oct;43(10):1276-82. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000135802.94090.93. PMID: 15381895. *Timing* 

4157. Schweitzer JB, Sulzer-Azaroff B. Self-control in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: effects of added stimulation and time. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1995 May;36(4):671-86. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.1995.tb02321.x. PMID: 7650090. *Intervention* 

4158. Schweren LJ, Hartman CA, Heslenfeld DJ, et al. Age and DRD4 Genotype Moderate Associations Between Stimulant Treatment History and Cortex Structure in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Oct;55(10):877-85.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.06.013. PMID: 27663943. *Intervention* 

4159. Schweren LJS, Groenman A, von Rhein D, et al. Stimulant Treatment Trajectories Are Associated With Neural Reward Processing in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2017 Jul;78(7):e790-e6. doi: 10.4088/JCP.15m10624. PMID: 28640989. *Intervention* 

4160. Sciberras E, Efron D, Patel P, et al. Does the treatment of anxiety in children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) using cognitive behavioral therapy improve child and family outcomes? Protocol for a randomized controlled trial. BMC Psychiatry. 2019 Nov 13;19(1):359. doi: 10.1186/s12888-019-2276-3. PMID: 31722690. *Outcome* 

4161. Sciberras E, Fulton M, Efron D, et al. Managing sleep problems in school aged children with ADHD: a pilot randomised controlled trial. Sleep Med. 2011 Oct;12(9):932-5. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2011.02.006. PMID: 22005602. *Power* 

4162. Sciberras E, Lucas N, Efron D, et al. Health Care Costs Associated With Parent-Reported ADHD: A Longitudinal Australian Population-Based Study. J Atten Disord. 2017 Nov;21(13):1063-72. doi: 10.1177/1087054713491494. PMID: 23816972. *Intervention* 

4163. Sciberras E, Lucas N, Efron D, et al. Health care costs associated with parent-reported ADHD: A longitudinal Australian population–based study. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Nov 2017;21(13):1063-72. *Duplicate* 

4164. Sciberras E, Mulraney M, Anderson V, et al. Managing Anxiety in Children With ADHD Using Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. J Atten Disord. 2018 Mar;22(5):515-20. doi: 10.1177/1087054715584054. PMID: 25939582. *Power* 

4165. Sciberras E, Song JC, Mulraney M, et al. Sleep problems in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: associations with parenting style and sleep hygiene. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Sep;26(9):1129-39. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-1000-4. PMID: 28509968. *Intervention* 

4166. Scott JG, Giørtz Pedersen M, Erskine HE, et al. Mortality in individuals with disruptive behavior disorders diagnosed by specialist services - A nationwide cohort study. Psychiatry Res. 2017 May;251:255-60. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2017.02.029. PMID: 28219025. *Population* 

4167. Scott N, Blair PS, Emond AM, et al. Sleep patterns in children with ADHD: a populationbased cohort study from birth to 11 years. J Sleep Res. 2013 Apr;22(2):121-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2869.2012.01054.x. PMID: 23057438. *Intervention* 

4168. Scott NG, Ripperger-Suhler J, Rajab MH, et al. Factors associated with atomoxetine efficacy for treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Jun;20(3):197-203. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0104. PMID: 20578932. *Intervention* 

4169. Scott S, O'Connor TG, Futh A, et al. Impact of a parenting program in a high-risk, multiethnic community: the PALS trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2010 Dec;51(12):1331-41. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02302.x. PMID: 20868373. *Population* 

4170. Scott S, Sylva K, Doolan M, et al. Randomised controlled trial of parent groups for child antisocial behaviour targeting multiple risk factors: the SPOKES project. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2010 Jan;51(1):48-57. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02127.x. PMID: 19732250. *Population* 

4171. Scott S, Sylva K, Kallitsoglou A, et al. Which type of parenting programme best improves child behaviour and reading?: Follow-up of the Helping Children Achieve trial. 2014. *Population* 

4172. Sears D, Sears D, M.D. A Study of Combination Therapy in Children With ADHD. 2014. *Outcome* 

4173. Şebnem Soysal Acar A, Öztürk Z, Gücüyener K, et al. Evaluation of neuropsychological test performance of patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Gazi Medical Journal. 2019;30(2):114-8. doi: 10.12996/gmj.2019.31. *Intervention* 

4174. Sebrechts MM, Shaywitz SE, Shaywitz BA, et al. Components of attention, methylphenidate dosage, and blood levels in children with attention deficit disorder. Pediatrics. 1986 Feb;77(2):222-8. PMID: 3945535. *Intervention* 

4175. Seçilir A, Schrier L, Bijleveld YA, et al. Determination of methylphenidate in plasma and saliva by liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2013 Apr 1;923-924:22-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2013.01.027. PMID: 23454305. *Design* 

4176. Seck K, Witte J, Beyer AK, et al. EPH123 Estimating ADHD Prevalence and ADHD-Associated Health Care Costs Based on Claims Data. Value in Health. 2022;25(12):S214-S5. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2022.09.1044. *Design* 

4177. Seeger G, Schloss P, Schmidt MH, et al. Gene-environment interaction in hyperkinetic conduct disorder (HD + CD) as indicated by season of birth variations in dopamine receptor (DRD4) gene polymorphism. Neurosci Lett. 2004 Aug 19;366(3):282-6. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2004.05.049. PMID: 15288435. *Intervention* 

4178. Seeley JR, Small JW, Walker HM, et al. Efficacy of the First Step to Success Intervention for Students with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. School Mental Health. 2009 2009/03/01;1(1):37-48. doi: 10.1007/s12310-008-9003-4. *Power* 

4179. Seernani D, Damania K, Ioannou C, et al. Visual search in ADHD, ASD and ASD + ADHD: overlapping or dissociating disorders? Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Apr;30(4):549-62. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01535-2. PMID: 32314021. *Intervention* 

4180. Segenreich D, Mattos P. Bupropion efficacy in the treatment of ADHD. A systematic review and critical analysis of evidences. Revista de Psiquiatria Clinica. 2004;31(3):117-23. *Language* 

4181. Séguin JR, Boulerice B, Harden PW, et al. Executive functions and physical aggression after controlling for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, general memory, and IQ. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1999 Nov;40(8):1197-208. PMID: 10604398. *Intervention* 

4182. Sehlin H, Hedman Ahlström B, Andersson G, et al. Experiences of an internet-based support and coaching model for adolescents and young adults with ADHD and autism spectrum disorder -a qualitative study. BMC Psychiatry. 2018 Jan 18;18(1):15. doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1599-9. PMID: 29347983. *Population* 

4183. Sehlin H, Hedman Ahlström B, Bertilsson I, et al. Internet-Based Support and Coaching With Complementary Clinic Visits for Young People With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Autism: Controlled Feasibility Study. J Med Internet Res. 2020 Dec 31;22(12):e19658. doi: 10.2196/19658. PMID: 33382381. *Population* 

4184. Seidman LJ, Biederman J, Faraone SV, et al. A pilot study of neuropsychological function in girls with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997 Mar;36(3):366-73. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199703000-00015. PMID: 9055517. *Intervention* 

4185. Seidman LJ, Biederman J, Faraone SV, et al. Toward defining a neuropsychology of attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder: performance of children and adolescents from a large clinically referred sample. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1997 Feb;65(1):150-60. doi: 10.1037/0022-006x.65.1.150. PMID: 9103744. *Intervention* 

4186. Semrud-Clikeman M, Filipek PA, Biederman J, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: magnetic resonance imaging morphometric analysis of the corpus callosum. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1994 Jul-Aug;33(6):875-81. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199407000-00014. PMID: 8083145. *Intervention* 

4187. Semrud-Clikeman M, Fine JG, Bledsoe J, et al. Regional Volumetric Differences Based on Structural MRI in Children With Two Subtypes of ADHD and Controls. J Atten Disord. 2017 Oct;21(12):1040-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054714559642. PMID: 25488955. *Intervention* 

4188. Semrud-Clikeman M, Pliszka S, Liotti M. Executive functioning in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: combined type with and without a stimulant medication history. Neuropsychology. 2008 May;22(3):329-40. doi: 10.1037/0894-4105.22.3.329. PMID: 18444711. *Intervention* 

4189. Semrud-Clikeman M, Pliśzka SR, Lancaster J, et al. Volumetric MRI differences in treatment-naïve vs chronically treated children with ADHD. Neurology. 2006 Sep 26;67(6):1023-7. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000237385.84037.3c. PMID: 17000972. *Intervention* 

4190. Semrud-Clikeman M, Steingard RJ, Filipek P, et al. Using MRI to examine brainbehavior relationships in males with attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000 Apr;39(4):477-84. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200004000-00017. PMID: 10761350. *Outcome* 

4191. Semrud-Clikeman M, Wical B. Components of attention in children with complex partial seizures with and without ADHD. Epilepsia. 1999 Feb;40(2):211-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1157.1999.tb02077.x. PMID: 9952269. *Intervention* 

4192. Senior CJ, Godovich SA, Habayeb S, et al. The effects of a resilience-based group intervention for youth with ADHD. Journal of Child and Adolescent Counseling. 2020 Sep 2020;6(3):200-14. *Intervention* 

4193. Serra-Pinheiro MA, Mattos P, Souza I, et al. The effect of methylphenidate on oppositional defiant disorder comorbid with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2004 Jun;62(2b):399-402. doi: 10.1590/s0004-282x2004000300005. PMID: 15273834. *Intervention* 

4194. Serrallach BL, Groß C, Christiner M, et al. Neuromorphological and Neurofunctional Correlates of ADHD and ADD in the Auditory Cortex of Adults. Front Neurosci. 2022;16:850529. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.850529. PMID: 35600622. *Population* 

4195. Setyawan J, Fridman M, Grebla R, et al. Variation in Presentation, Diagnosis, and Management of Children and Adolescents With ADHD Across European Countries. J Atten Disord. 2018 Aug;22(10):911-23. doi: 10.1177/1087054715597410. PMID: 26246588. *Intervention* 

4196. Sevecke K, Dittmann R, Lehmkuhl G, et al. Atomoxetine treatment of children and adolescents with ADHD: Clinical questions and answers. Monatsschrift fur Kinderheilkunde. 2006;154(9):894-902. doi: 10.1007/s00112-005-1153-y. *Language* 

4197. Seyedtabaei R, Seyedtabaei R, Mohammadi SD, et al. Impact of long-term use of methylphenidate on visual memory of drug-naïve children with attention deficit disorder. Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. 2018;12(4). doi: 10.5812/ijpbs.7899. *Design* 

4198. Seymour KE, Miller L. ADHD and Depression: the Role of Poor Frustration Tolerance. Curr Dev Disord Rep. 2017;4(1):14-8. doi: 10.1007/s40474-017-0105-2. PMID: 32864293. *Intervention* 

4199. Sfar-Gandoura H, Ryan GS, Melvin G. Evaluation of a drop-in clinic for young people with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Nurs Child Young People. 2017 Jun 12;29(5):24-32. doi: 10.7748/ncyp.2017.e808. PMID: 28604214. *Intervention* 

4200. Shader RI, Harmatz JS, Oesterheld JR, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Pharmacol. 1999 Aug;39(8):775-85. doi: 10.1177/00912709922008425. PMID: 10434228. *Intervention* 

4201. Shaffer A, Lindhiem O, Kolko D. Treatment Effects of a Primary Care Intervention on Parenting Behaviors: Sometimes It's Relative. Prev Sci. 2017 Apr;18(3):305-11. doi: 10.1007/s11121-016-0689-5. PMID: 27469458. *Population* 

4202. Shafiee-Kandjani AR, Noorazar G, Shahrokhi H, et al. Effect of parent management training on attention, response prevention, impulsivity and vigilance of boys with attention deficient/hyperactive disorder. Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. 2017;11(3). doi: 10.5812/ijpbs.4834. *Power* 

4203. Shafritz KM, Marchione KE, Gore JC, et al. The effects of methylphenidate on neural systems of attention in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2004 Nov;161(11):1990-7. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.161.11.1990. PMID: 15514398. *Population*  4204. Shah MR, Seese LM, Abikoff H, et al. Pemoline for children and adolescents with conduct disorder: A pilot investigation. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 1994;4(4):255-61. *Intervention* 

4205. Shah R, Chakrabarti S, Sharma A, et al. Participating from homes and offices: Proof-ofconcept study of multi-point videoconferencing to deliver group parent training intervention for attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. Asian J Psychiatr. 2019 Mar;41:20-2. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2019.03.006. PMID: 30877843. *Design* 

4206. Shah R, Sharma A, Grover S, et al. Development and effectiveness of parent skills training intervention for Indian families having children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Asian J Psychiatr. 2021 Jul 15:102762. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2021.102762. PMID: 34301518. *Comparator* 

4207. Shaikh NI, Darling K, Lee A, et al. Comparison of dietary patterns of children with and without Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in New Zealand: The REST-M trial. Nutritional Neuroscience. 2018;21:S10. doi: 10.1080/1028415X.2018.1449784. *Design* 

4208. Shakehnia F, Amiri S, Ghamarani A. The comparison of cool and hot executive functions profiles in children with ADHD symptoms and normal children. Asian J Psychiatr. 2021 Jan;55:102483. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102483. PMID: 33271479. *Intervention* 

4209. Shaker NM, Osama Y, Barakat DH, et al. Atomoxetine in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children With and Without Comorbid Mood Disorders. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Jun;31(5):332-41. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0178. PMID: 34143680. *Intervention* 

4210. Shakibaei F, Borhani M, Kahkeshani M, et al. The effect of triphala lavender tablets on the treatment of children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Isfahan Medical School. 2018;36(466):42-8. doi: 10.22122/jims.v36i466.8791. *Language* 

4211. Shakibaei F RM, Salari E, et al. Ginkgo biloba in the treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. A randomized, placebo-controlled, trial. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2015 May;21(2):61-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2015.04.001. *Power* 

4212. Shalev L, Tsal Y, Mevorach C. Computerized progressive attentional training (CPAT) program: effective direct intervention for children with ADHD. Child Neuropsychol. 2007 Jul;13(4):382-8. doi: 10.1080/09297040600770787. PMID: 17564853. *Comparator* 

4213. Shams A, Dehkordi PS, Tahmasbi F, et al. Are attentional instruction and feedback type affect on learning of postural and supra-postural tasks? Neurol Sci. 2020 Jul;41(7):1773-9. doi: 10.1007/s10072-020-04278-9. PMID: 32034557. *Intervention* 

4214. Shamshiri S, Sheikh M, Hemayat Talab R, et al. Comparison of three methods of intervention pharmacotherapy, cognitive-motion rehabilitation and the combination on components of attention of DD children. Minerva Psichiatrica. 2018;59(1):29-38. doi: 10.23736/S0391-1772.17.01947-1. *Power* 

4215. Shamshiri S, Sheikh M, Talab RH, et al. Comparison of three methods of intervention pharmacotherapy, cognitive-motion rehabilitation and the combination on components of attention of ADHD children. Minerva Psichiatrica. 2018 Mar 2018;59(1):29-38. *Duplicate* 

4216. Shang CY, Chou TL, Hsieh CY, et al. A Counting Stroop Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study on the Effects of ORADUR-Methylphenidate in Drug-Naive Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2022 Nov;32(9):467-75. doi: 10.1089/cap.2022.0024. PMID: 36251766. *Power* 

4217. Shang CY, Gau SS. Visual memory as a potential cognitive endophenotype of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychol Med. 2011 Dec;41(12):2603-14. doi: 10.1017/s0033291711000857. PMID: 21733210. *Intervention* 

4218. Shang CY, Yan CG, Lin HY, et al. Differential effects of methylphenidate and atomoxetine on intrinsic brain activity in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychol Med. 2016 Nov;46(15):3173-85. doi: 10.1017/s0033291716001938. PMID: 27574878. *Power* 

4219. Shao L, Xu Y, Fu D. Classification of ADHD with bi-objective optimization. J Biomed Inform. 2018 Aug;84:164-70. doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2018.07.011. PMID: 30009990. *Outcome* 

4220. Shaoyu Guo CH. Effects of long-term exercise intervention on improving core symptoms, executive functioning in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analysis of randomized control trials. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021229722. 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=229722. *Design* 

4221. Shapiro ES, DuPaul GJ, Bradley-Klug KL. Self-Management as a Strategy To Improve the Classroom Behavior of Adolescents with ADHD. Journal of Learning Disabilities. 1998 11/01/;31(6):545-55. PMID: EJ577306. *Intervention* 

4222. Shapiro T, Sherman M. Long-term follow-up of children with psychiatric disorders. Hosp Community Psychiatry. 1983 Jun;34(6):522-7. doi: 10.1176/ps.34.6.522. PMID: 6345337. *Intervention* 

4223. Sharan P. 2.2 Results of the ICD-11 Clinic-Based Field Study of Mental and Behavioral Disorders in Children and Adolescents – Part 1: Reliability of Specific Diagnostic Categories. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S279-S80. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.566. *Design* 

4224. Shareghfarid E, Sangsefidi ZS, Salehi-Abargouei A, et al. Empirically derived dietary patterns and food groups intake in relation with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2020 Apr;36:28-35. doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2019.10.013. PMID: 32220366. *Intervention* 

4225. Sharma P, Gupta RK, Banal R, et al. Prevalence and correlates of Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder (ADHD) risk factors among school children in a rural area of North India. J Family Med Prim Care. 2020 Jan;9(1):115-8. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_587\_19. PMID: 32110575. *Intervention* 

4226. Sharma R, Waghorn A, Lacey S, et al. IMPLEMENTING QB TESTING FOR ADHD: EVALUATING VALUE IN A DGH SETTING. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 2022;107:A70. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2022-rcpch.115. *Design* 

4227. Sharp W, Mangalmurti A, Hall C, et al. Associations between neighborhood, family factors and symptom change in childhood attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Soc Sci Med. 2021 Feb;271:112203. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.02.054. PMID: 30857751. *Intervention* 

4228. Sharp WS, Walter JM, Marsh WL, et al. ADHD in girls: clinical comparability of a research sample. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999 Jan;38(1):40-7. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199901000-00018. PMID: 9893415. *Power* 

4229. Shatin D, Drinkard CR. Ambulatory use of psychotropics by employer-insured children and adolescents in a national managed care organization. Ambul Pediatr. 2002 Mar-Apr;2(2):111-9. doi: 10.1367/1539-4409(2002)002<0111:auopbe>2.0.co;2. PMID: 11926842. *Intervention* 

4230. Shaw P, Eckstrand K, Sharp W, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is characterized by a delay in cortical maturation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007 Dec 4;104(49):19649-54. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0707741104. PMID: 18024590. *Intervention* 

4231. Shaw P, Ishii-Takahashi A, Park MT, et al. A multicohort, longitudinal study of cerebellar development in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Oct;59(10):1114-23. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12920. PMID: 29693267. *Intervention* 

4232. Shaw P, Sharp WS, Morrison M, et al. Psychostimulant treatment and the developing cortex in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2009 Jan;166(1):58-63. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.08050781. PMID: 18794206. *Intervention* 

4233. Shaw R, Grayson A, Lewis V. Inhibition, ADHD, and computer games: the inhibitory performance of children with ADHD on computerized tasks and games. J Atten Disord. 2005 May;8(4):160-8. doi: 10.1177/1087054705278771. PMID: 16110046. *Outcome* 

4234. Shaywitz BA, Sullivan CM, Anderson GM, et al. Aspartame, behavior, and cognitive function in children with attention deficit disorder. Pediatrics. 1994 Jan;93(1):70-5. PMID: 7505423. *Timing* 

4235. Shea VT. State-dependent learning in children receiving methylphenidate. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1982;78(3):266-70. doi: 10.1007/bf00428163. PMID: 6818583. *Intervention* 

4236. Shechtman Z, Katz E. Therapeutic Bonding in Group as an Explanatory Variable of Progress in the Social Competence of Students With Learning Disabilities. Group Dynamics. 2007;11(2):117-28. doi: 10.1037/1089-2699.11.2.117. *Power* 

4237. Shekim WO, Antun F, Hanna GL, et al. S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) in adults with ADHD, RS: preliminary results from an open trial. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1990;26(2):249-53. PMID: 2236465. *Population* 

4238. Shekunov J, Wozniak J, Conroy K, et al. Prescribing Patterns in a Psychiatrically Referred Sample of Youth With Autism Spectrum Disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2017 Nov/Dec;78(9):e1276-e83. doi: 10.4088/JCP.16m11406. PMID: 29188907. *Population* 

4239. Shelleby EC, Ogg J. Longitudinal Relationships Between Parent Involvement, Parental Warmth, ADHD Symptoms, and Reading Achievement. J Atten Disord. 2020 Mar;24(5):737-49. doi: 10.1177/1087054719859075. PMID: 31282242. *Intervention* 

4240. Shelton TL, Barkley RA, Crosswait C, et al. Psychiatric and psychological morbidity as a function of adaptive disability in preschool children with aggressive and hyperactive-impulsive-inattentive behavior. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 1998;26(6):475-94. doi: 10.1023/A:1022603902905. *Intervention* 

4241. Shem-Tov S, Chodick G, Weitzman D, et al. The Association Between Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Injuries, and Methylphenidate. Glob Pediatr Health. 2019;6:2333794x19845920. doi: 10.1177/2333794x19845920. PMID: 31106243. *Intervention* 

4242. Shema-Shiratzky S, Brozgol M, Cornejo-Thumm P, et al. Virtual reality training to enhance behavior and cognitive function among children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Brief report. Developmental Neurorehabilitation. 2019 Aug 2019;22(6):431-6. *Intervention* 

4243. Shemmassian SK, Lee SS. Cross-Validation and Development of Empirically Derived ADHD Assessment Strategies: Insights From the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Add Health). J Atten Disord. 2020 Jun;24(8):1102-16. doi: 10.1177/1087054717733042. PMID: 28933237. *Population* 

4244. Shen C, Luo Q, Chamberlain SR, et al. What Is the Link Between Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Sleep Disturbance? A Multimodal Examination of Longitudinal Relationships and Brain Structure Using Large-Scale Population-Based Cohorts. Biol Psychiatry. 2020 Sep 15;88(6):459-69. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.03.010. PMID: 32414481. *Intervention* 

4245. Shen C, Luo Q, Jia T, et al. Neural Correlates of the Dual-Pathway Model for ADHD in Adolescents. Am J Psychiatry. 2020 Sep 1;177(9):844-54. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.19020183. PMID: 32375536. *Intervention* 

4246. Shephard E, Bedford R, Milosavljevic B, et al. Early developmental pathways to childhood symptoms of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, anxiety and autism spectrum disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019 Sep;60(9):963-74. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12947. PMID: 29963709. *Intervention* 

4247. Shereena EA, Gupta RK, Bennett CN, et al. EEG Neurofeedback Training in Children With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Cognitive and Behavioral Outcome Study. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2019 Jul;50(4):242-55. doi: 10.1177/1550059418813034. PMID: 30453757. *Power* 

4248. Sheridan MA, Hinshaw S, D'Esposito M. Stimulant medication and prefrontal functional connectivity during working memory in ADHD: a preliminary report. J Atten Disord. 2010 Jul;14(1):69-78. doi: 10.1177/1087054709347444. PMID: 20576647. *Intervention* 

4249. Sherigar SS, Gamsa AH, Srinivasan K. Oculomotor deficits in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eye (Lond). 2022 Oct 24. doi: 10.1038/s41433-022-02284-z. PMID: 36280758. *Intervention* 

4250. Sherman EM, Slick DJ, Connolly MB, et al. ADHD, neurological correlates and health-related quality of life in severe pediatric epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2007 Jun;48(6):1083-91. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1167.2007.01028.x. PMID: 17381442. *Intervention* 

4251. Shetty I, Silver ES, Hordof AJ, et al. Ablation of supraventricular tachycardia allows more liberal therapy in some children with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Pediatr Int. 2011 Oct;53(5):715-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-200X.2011.03326.x. PMID: 21261787. *Intervention* 

4252. Shibagaki M, Yamanaka T, Furuya T. Attention state in electrodermal activity during auditory stimulation of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Percept Mot Skills. 1993 Aug;77(1):331-8. doi: 10.2466/pms.1993.77.1.331. PMID: 8367260. *Intervention* 

4253. Shih CH, Yeh JC, Shih CT, et al. Assisting children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder actively reduces limb hyperactive behavior with a Nintendo Wii Remote Controller through controlling environmental stimulation. Res Dev Disabil. 2011 Sep-Oct;32(5):1631-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2011.02.014. PMID: 21444191. *Design* 

4254. Shih JH, Zeng BY, Lin PY, et al. Association between peripheral manganese levels and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a preliminary meta-analysis. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2018;14:1831-42. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S165378. PMID: 30140155. *Intervention* 

4255. Shilon Y, Pollak Y, Aran A, et al. Accidental injuries are more common in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder compared with their non-affected siblings. Child Care Health Dev. 2012 May;38(3):366-70. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2214.2011.01278.x. PMID: 21722159. *Intervention* 

4256. Shimabukuro S, Daley D, Thompson M, et al. Supporting Japanese mothers of children at risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A small scale randomized control trial of well parent Japan. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2020;29:1604-16. doi: 10.1007/s10826-020-01704-6. *Power* 

4257. Shimko A, Redmond S, Ludlow A, et al. Exploring gender as a potential source of bias in adult judgments of children with specific language impairment and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Commun Disord. 2020 May-Jun;85:105910. doi: 10.1016/j.jcomdis.2019.105910. PMID: 31147086. *Population* 

4258. Shin JY, Roughead EE, Park BJ, et al. Cardiovascular safety of methylphenidate among children and young people with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): nationwide self controlled case series study. Bmj. 2016 May 31;353:i2550. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i2550. PMID: 27245699. *Design* 

4259. Shin MS, Chung SJ, Hong KE. Comparative study of the behavioral and neuropsychologic characteristics of tic disorder with or without attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). J Child Neurol. 2001 Oct;16(10):719-26. doi: 10.1177/088307380101601003. PMID: 11669344. *Population* 

4260. Shin MS, Jeon H, Kim M, et al. Effects of Smart-Tablet-Based Neurofeedback Training on Cognitive Function in Children with Attention Problems. J Child Neurol. 2016 May;31(6):750-60. doi: 10.1177/0883073815620677. PMID: 26681772. *Population* 

4261. Shionogi, Inc. S. Open-Label, Chronic Exposure, Safety Study of CLONICEL (Clonidine HCl Sustained Release) in Children and Adolescents With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2008. *Intervention* 

4262. Shirafkan H, Mahmoudi-Gharaei J, Fotouhi A, et al. Individualizing the dosage of Methylphenidate in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2020 Mar 11;20(1):56. doi: 10.1186/s12874-020-00934-y. PMID: 32156255. *Intervention* 

4263. Shire, Takeda. Analog Classroom Study Comparison of ADDERALL XR With STRATTERA in Children Aged 6-12 With ADHD. 2003. *Intervention* 

4264. Shire, Takeda. Safety and Tolerability of SPD503 and Psychostimulants in Children and Adolescents Aged 6-17 With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2004. *Intervention* 

4265. Shire, Takeda. A Classroom Study to Assess the Time of Onset of Vyvanse (Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate) in Pediatric Subjects Aged 6-12 With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2007. *Intervention* 

4266. Shire, Takeda. Vyvanse Adolescent Open-Label Safety and Efficacy Extension Study. 2008. *Intervention* 

4267. Shire, Takeda. Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate 2-year Safety Study in Children and Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2011. *Intervention* 

4268. Shire, Takeda. Access to Extended Release Guanfacine HCl for Subjects Who Participated in Studies SPD503-315 or SPD503-316 in Europe. 2012. *Intervention* 

4269. Shire, Takeda. Safety and Tolerability Study of SPD489 in Preschool Children Aged 4-5 Years, Diagnosed With Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2015. *Intervention* 

4270. Shire, Takeda. Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetic, and Efficacy Study of SPD489 in Preschool Children With Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2015. *Intervention* 

4271. Sho'ouri N. EOG biofeedback protocol based on selecting distinctive features to treat or reduce ADHD symptoms. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control. 2022;71. doi: 10.1016/j.bspc.2021.102748. *Outcome* 

4272. Short EJ MM, Findling RL, et al. A prospective study of stimulant response in preschool children: insights from ROC analyses. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004;43(3):251-9. *Power* 

4273. Shoval G, Visoki E, Moore TM, et al. Evaluation of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Medications, Externalizing Symptoms, and Suicidality in Children. JAMA Netw Open. 2021 Jun 1;4(6):e2111342. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.11342. PMID: 34086035. *Intervention* 

4274. Shuai L, Chan RC, Wang Y. Executive function profile of Chinese boys with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder: different subtypes and comorbidity. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2011 Mar;26(2):120-32. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acq101. PMID: 21177762. *Intervention* 

4275. Shuai L, He S, Zheng H, et al. Influences of digital media use on children and adolescents with ADHD during COVID-19 pandemic. Global Health. 2021 Apr 19;17(1):48. doi: 10.1186/s12992-021-00699-z. PMID: 33874977. *Intervention* 

4276. Shue KL, Douglas VI. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and the frontal lobe syndrome. Brain Cogn. 1992 Sep;20(1):104-24. doi: 10.1016/0278-2626(92)90064-s. PMID: 1389116. *Intervention* 

4277. Shytle RD, Silver AA, Wilkinson BJ, et al. A pilot controlled trial of transdermal nicotine in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2002 Jul;3(3):150-5. doi: 10.3109/15622970209150616. PMID: 12478880. *Power* 

4278. Shyu YC, Yuan SS, Lee SY, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, methylphenidate use and the risk of developing schizophrenia spectrum disorders: A nationwide population-based study in Taiwan. Schizophr Res. 2015 Oct;168(1-2):161-7. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2015.08.033. PMID: 26363968. *Design*  4279. Siafis S, Çıray O, Wu H, et al. Pharmacological and dietary-supplement treatments for autism spectrum disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Mol Autism. 2022 Mar 4;13(1):10. doi: 10.1186/s13229-022-00488-4. PMID: 35246237. *Population* 

4280. Sibalis A, Milligan K, Pun C, et al. An EEG investigation of the attention-related impact of mindfulness training in youth with ADHD: Outcomes and methodological considerations. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2019 May 2019;23(7):733-43. *Intervention* 

4281. Sibley MH, Altszuler AR, Ross JM, et al. A Parent-Teen Collaborative Treatment Model for Academically Impaired High School Students With ADHD. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice. 2014;21(1):32-42. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpra.2013.06.003. *Power* 

4282. Sibley MH, Comer JS, Gonzalez J. Delivering Parent-Teen Therapy for ADHD through Videoconferencing: A Preliminary Investigation. J Psychopathol Behav Assess. 2017 Sep;39(3):467-85. doi: 10.1007/s10862-017-9598-6. PMID: 28989230. *Intervention* 

4283. Sibley MH, Coxe SJ, Stein MA, et al. Predictors of Treatment Engagement and Outcome Among Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: An Integrative Data Analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jun 5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.03.017. PMID: 33865928. *Design* 

4284. Sibley MH, Coxe SJ, Zulauf-McCurdy C, et al. Mediators of psychosocial treatment for adolescent ADHD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2022 Jul;90(7):545-58. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000743. PMID: 35901367. *Design* 

4285. Sibley MH, Evans SW, Serpell ZN. Social cognition and interpersonal impairment in young adolescents with ADHD. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2010;32(2):193-202. doi: 10.1007/s10862-009-9152-2. *Intervention* 

4286. Sibley MH, Graziano PA, Coxe S, et al. Effectiveness of motivational interviewing–Enhanced behavior therapy for adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A randomized community-based trial. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2021 Jun 2021;60(6):745-56. *Duplicate* 

4287. Sibley MH, Graziano PA, Kuriyan AB, et al. Parent-teen behavior therapy + motivational interviewing for adolescents with ADHD. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2016 Aug;84(8):699-712. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000106. PMID: 27077693. *Duplicate* 

4288. Sibley MH, Pelham Jr WE, Derefinko KJ, et al. A pilot trial of Supporting Teens' Academic Needs Daily (STAND): A parent-adolescent collaborative intervention for ADHD. Springer; 2013. p. 436-49. *Power* 

4289. Sibley MH, Pelham WE, Evans SW, et al. An Evaluation of a Summer Treatment Program for Adolescents with ADHD. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice. 2011 11/01/;18(4):530-44. PMID: EJ937739. *Comparator* 

4290. Sibley MH, Pelham WE, Molina BSG, et al. Diagnosing ADHD in adolescence. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2012 Feb;80(1):139-50. doi: 10.1037/a0026577. PMID: 22148878. *Intervention* 

4291. Sibley MH, Rodriguez L, Coxe S, et al. Parent-teen group versus dyadic treatment for adolescent ADHD: What works for whom? Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2020 Jul 2020 - Aug 2020;49(4):476-92. *Duplicate* 

4292. Sibley MH, Rohde LA, Swanson JM, et al. Late-Onset ADHD Reconsidered With Comprehensive Repeated Assessments Between Ages 10 and 25. Am J Psychiatry. 2018 Feb 1;175(2):140-9. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17030298. PMID: 29050505. *Intervention* 

4293. Sibley MH, Smith BH, Evans SW, et al. Treatment response to an intensive summer treatment program for adolescents with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2012 Aug;16(6):443-8. doi: 10.1177/1087054711433424. PMID: 22344319. *Power* 

4294. Sibley MH, Yeguez CE. The impact of DSM-5 A-criteria changes on parent ratings of ADHD in adolescents. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2018 Jan 2018;22(1):83-91. *Intervention* 

4295. Sidhu P. The efficacy of mindfulness meditation in increasing the attention span in children with ADHD [Ph.D.]. United States -- California: Pacifica Graduate Institute; 2013. *Design* 

4296. Siebelink NM, Kaijadoe SPT, van Horssen FM, et al. Mindfulness for Children With ADHD and Mindful Parenting (MindChamp): A Qualitative Study on Feasibility and Effects. J Atten Disord. 2021 Nov;25(13):1931-42. doi: 10.1177/1087054720945023. PMID: 32727260. *Comparator* 

4297. Sierawska A, Prehn-Kristensen A, Moliadze V, et al. Unmet Needs in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder-Can Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Fill the Gap? Promises and Ethical Challenges. Front Psychiatry. 2019;10:334. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00334. PMID: 31156480. *Design* 

4298. Sierra Montoya AC, Mesa Restrepo SC, Cuartas Arias JM, et al. Prevalence and Clinical Characteristics of the Restless Legs Syndrome (RLS) in Patients Diagnosed with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Antioquia. Int J Psychol Res (Medellin). 2018 Jan-Jun;11(1):58-69. doi: 10.21500/20112084.3381. PMID: 32612771. *Intervention* 

4299. Sihvola E, Rose RJ, Dick DM, et al. Prospective relationships of ADHD symptoms with developing substance use in a population-derived sample. Psychol Med. 2011 Dec;41(12):2615-23. doi: 10.1017/s0033291711000791. PMID: 21733216. *Intervention* 

4300. Sikirica V, Erder M, Xie J, et al. Cost-effectiveness of guanfacine extended release as an adjunctive therapy to a psychostimulant compared to psychostimulant monotherapy for the treatment of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Value in Health. 2011;14(7):A401-A2. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2011.08.927. *Intervention* 

4301. Sikirica V, Gustafsson PA, Makin C. Treatment Patterns among Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder with or without Psychiatric or Neurologic Comorbidities in Sweden: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Neurol Ther. 2017 Jun;6(1):115-30. doi: 10.1007/s40120-017-0066-8. PMID: 28455812. *Intervention* 

4302. Silberstein RB, Farrow M, Levy F, et al. Functional brain electrical activity mapping in boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1998 Dec;55(12):1105-12. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.55.12.1105. PMID: 9862554. *Intervention* 

4303. Silberstein RB, Levy F, Pipingas A, et al. First-dose methylphenidate–induced changes in brain functional connectivity are correlated with 3-month attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptom response. Biological Psychiatry. 2017 Nov 1, 2017;82(9):679-86. *Intervention* 

4304. Silk TJ, Malpas C, Vance A, et al. The effect of single-dose methylphenidate on restingstate network functional connectivity in ADHD. Brain Imaging Behav. 2017 Oct;11(5):1422-31. doi: 10.1007/s11682-016-9620-8. PMID: 27734305. *Timing* 

4305. Silk TJ, Newman DP, Eramudugolla R, et al. Influence of methylphenidate on spatial attention asymmetry in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): preliminary findings. Neuropsychologia. 2014 Apr;56:178-83. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2014.01.015. PMID: 24486422. *Intervention* 

4306. Silva AP, Prado SO, Scardovelli TA, et al. Measurement of the effect of physical exercise on the concentration of individuals with ADHD. PLoS One. 2015;10(3):e0122119. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0122119. PMID: 25803290. *Comparator* 

4307. Silva LAD, Doyenart R, Henrique Salvan P, et al. Swimming training improves mental health parameters, cognition and motor coordination in children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Environ Health Res. 2020 Oct;30(5):584-92. doi: 10.1080/09603123.2019.1612041. PMID: 31081373. *Power* 

4308. Silva R, Muniz R, McCague K, et al. Treatment of children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: results of a randomized, multicenter, double-blind, crossover study of extended-release dexmethylphenidate and D,L-methylphenidate and placebo in a laboratory classroom setting. Psychopharmacol Bull. 2008;41(1):19-33. PMID: 18362868. *Power* 

4309. Silva R, Tilker HA, Cecil JT, et al. Open-label study of dexmethylphenidate hydrochloride in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2004 Winter;14(4):555-63. doi: 10.1089/cap.2004.14.555. PMID: 15662147. *Intervention* 

4310. Silva RR, Muniz R, Pestreich L, et al. Dexmethylphenidate extended-release capsules in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Feb;47(2):199-208. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31815cd9a4. PMID: 18176337. *Timing* 

4311. Silva RR, Muniz R, Pestreich L, et al. Efficacy and duration of effect of extended-release dexmethylphenidate versus placebo in schoolchildren with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2006 Jun;16(3):239-51. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.16.239. PMID: 16768632. *Timing* 

4312. Silver J, Barch DM, Klein DN, et al. A Brief Early Childhood Screening Tool for Psychopathology Risk in Primary Care: The Moderating Role of Poverty. J Pediatr. 2021 Sep;236:164-71. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2021.04.042. PMID: 33930406. *Intervention* 

4313. Silver LB, Brunstetter RW. Attention deficit disorder in adolescents. Hosp Community Psychiatry. 1986 Jun;37(6):608-13. doi: 10.1176/ps.37.6.608. PMID: 3721420. *Design* 

4314. Silverstein M, Hironaka LK, Walter HJ, et al. Collaborative care for children with ADHD symptoms: a randomized comparative effectiveness trial. Pediatrics. 2015 Apr;135(4):e858-67. doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-3221. PMID: 25802346. *Population* 

4315. Simeon JG, Ferguson HB, Van Wyck Fleet J. Bupropion effects in attention deficit and conduct disorders. Can J Psychiatry. 1986 Aug;31(6):581-5. doi: 10.1177/070674378603100617. PMID: 3093046. *Population* 

4316. Simeon JG, Knott VJ, Dubois C, et al. Buspirone therapy of mixed anxiety disorders in childhood and adolescence: A pilot study. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 1994;4(3):159-70. *Population* 

4317. Simon M, Reed UC, Vaughan B, et al. Validation of the Expression and Emotion Scale for Children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder into Brazilian Portuguese. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2017 Aug;75(8):563-9. doi: 10.1590/0004-282x20170105. PMID: 28813087. *Language* 

4318. Simone M, Viterbo RG, Margari L, et al. Computer-assisted rehabilitation of attention in pediatric multiple sclerosis and ADHD patients: a pilot trial. BMC Neurol. 2018 Jun 8;18(1):82. doi: 10.1186/s12883-018-1087-3. PMID: 29884144. *Population* 

4319. Simpson D, Perry CM. Atomoxetine. Paediatr Drugs. 2003;5(6):407-15; discussion 16-7. doi: 10.2165/00128072-200305060-00005. PMID: 12765489. *Design* 

4320. Şimşek Ş, Gençoğlan S, Yüksel T, et al. Evaluation of the Relationship between Brain-Derived Neurotropic Factor Levels and the Stroop Interference Effect in Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Noro Psikiyatr Ars. 2016 Dec;53(4):348-52. doi: 10.5152/npa.2016.10234. PMID: 28360811. *Intervention* 

4321. Sinai ISoMaM. Neurobiological Basis of Response to Guanfacine Extended Release in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. 2011. *Power* 

4322. Singer HS, Reiss AL, Brown JE, et al. Volumetric MRI changes in basal ganglia of children with Tourette's syndrome. Neurology. 1993 May;43(5):950-6. doi: 10.1212/wnl.43.5.950. PMID: 8492951. *Outcome* 

4323. Singh D, Wakimoto Y, Filangieri C, et al. Guanfacine Extended Release for the Reduction of Aggression, Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms, and Self-Injurious Behavior in Prader-Willi Syndrome-A Retrospective Cohort Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 May;29(4):313-7. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0102. PMID: 30724590. *Population* 

4324. Singh I, Kendall T, Taylor C, et al. Young People's Experience of ADHD and Stimulant Medication: A Qualitative Study for the NICE Guideline. Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2010;15(4):186-92. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-3588.2010.00565.x. *Design* 

4325. Singh NN, Lancioni GE, Karazsia BT, et al. Effects of Samatha meditation on active academic engagement and math performance of students with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Mindfulness. 2016 Feb 2016;7(1):68-75. *Comparator* 

4326. Singh NN, Lancioni GE, Nabors L, et al. Samatha meditation training for students with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Effects on active academic engagement and math performance. Mindfulness. 2018 Dec 2018;9(6):1867-76. *Comparator* 

4327. Sinn N. Polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation for ADHD symptoms: response to commentary. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2007 Jun;28(3):262-3. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e3180de4cd5. PMID: 17565297. *Design* 

4328. Sinn N, Bryan J. Effect of supplementation with polyunsaturated fatty acids and micronutrients on learning and behavior problems associated with child ADHD. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2007 Apr;28(2):82-91. doi: 10.1097/01.DBP.0000267558.88457.a5. PMID: 17435458. *Population* 

4329. Sinzig J, Döpfner M, Lehmkuhl G, et al. Long-acting methylphenidate has an effect on aggressive behavior in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Aug;17(4):421-32. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.0011. PMID: 17822338. *Power* 

4330. Sjölander L, Vadlin S, Olofsdotter S, et al. Validation of the parent version of the World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale for adolescents. Nord J Psychiatry. 2016;70(4):255-61. doi: 10.3109/08039488.2015.1085092. PMID: 26624978. *Language* 

4331. Sjöwall D, Bohlin G, Rydell AM, et al. Neuropsychological deficits in preschool as predictors of ADHD symptoms and academic achievement in late adolescence. Child Neuropsychol. 2017 Jan;23(1):111-28. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2015.1063595. PMID: 26212755. *Intervention* 

4332. Sjowall D, Roth L, Lindqvist S, et al. Multiple deficits in ADHD: executive dysfunction, delay aversion, reaction time variability, and emotional deficits. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2013 Jun;54(6):619-27. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12006. PMID: 23061803. *Intervention* 

4333. Skalny AV, Mazaletskaya AL, Ajsuvakova OP, et al. Serum zinc, copper, zinc-to-copper ratio, and other essential elements and minerals in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). J Trace Elem Med Biol. 2020 Mar;58:126445. doi: 10.1016/j.jtemb.2019.126445. PMID: 31869738. *Intervention* 

4334. Skalny AV, Mazaletskaya AL, Ajsuvakova OP, et al. Hair trace element concentrations in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). J Trace Elem Med Biol. 2020 Apr 28;61:126539. doi: 10.1016/j.jtemb.2020.126539. PMID: 32438295. *Outcome* 

4335. Skalski S. Impact of placebo-related instruction on HEG biofeedback outcomes in children with ADHD. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2020 Dec 21:1-8. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2020.1861546. PMID: 33349043. *Power* 

4336. Skalski S, Konaszewski K, Pochwatko G, et al. Effects of hemoencephalographic biofeedback with virtual reality on selected aspects of attention in children with ADHD. Int J Psychophysiol. 2021 Dec;170:59-66. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2021.10.001. PMID: 34653532. *Power* 

4337. Skalski S, Pochwatko G, Balas R. Effect of HEG Biofeedback on Selected Cognitive Functions--Randomized Study in Children with ADHD and Neurotypical Children. Infant and Child Development. 2021 07/01/;30(4). PMID: EJ1307160. *Power* 

4338. Skarphedinsson G, Jarbin H, Andersson M, et al. Diagnostic efficiency and validity of the DSM-oriented Child Behavior Checklist and Youth Self-Report scales in a clinical sample of Swedish youth. PLoS One. 2021;16(7):e0254953. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0254953. PMID: 34293000. *Language* 

4339. Skilling GD, Robinson J, Fielding S. A survey of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder follow-up services provided by child and adolescent psychiatry departments in Scotland. Scott Med J. 2008 May;53(2):12-4. doi: 10.1258/rsmsmj.53.2.12. PMID: 18549063. *Outcome* 

4340. Skogli EW, Andersen PN, Hovik KT, et al. Development of hot and cold executive function in boys and girls with ADHD: A 2-year longitudinal study. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Feb 2017;21(4):305-15. *Design* 

4341. Skogli EW, Andersen PN, Hovik KT, et al. Development of Hot and Cold Executive Function in Boys and Girls With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Feb;21(4):305-15. doi: 10.1177/1087054714524984. PMID: 24626329. *Duplicate* 

4342. Skogli EW, Orm S, Fossum IN, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder persistence from childhood into young adult age: a 10-year longitudinal study. Cogn Neuropsychiatry. 2022 Nov;27(6):447-57. doi: 10.1080/13546805.2022.2123735. PMID: 36102071. *Design* 

4343. Skoglund C, Brandt L, D'Onofrio B, et al. Methylphenidate doses in Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and comorbid substance use disorders. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2017 Nov;27(11):1144-52. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2017.08.435. PMID: 28935267. *Intervention* 

4344. Skoglund C, Kopp Kallner H, Skalkidou A, et al. Association of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder With Teenage Birth Among Women and Girls in Sweden. JAMA Netw Open. 2019 Oct 2;2(10):e1912463. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.12463. PMID: 31577361. *Intervention* 

4345. Skott E, Yang LL, Stiernborg M, et al. Effects of a synbiotic on symptoms, and daily functioning in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder - A double-blind randomized controlled trial. Brain Behav Immun. 2020 Oct;89:9-19. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.056. PMID: 32497779. *Population* 

4346. Slaats-Willemse D, de Sonneville L, Swaab-Barneveld H, et al. Motor flexibility problems as a marker for genetic susceptibility to attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2005 Aug 1;58(3):233-8. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.03.046. PMID: 15978548. *Intervention* 

4347. Slattery L, Crosland K, Iovannone R. An evaluation of a self-management intervention to increase on-task behavior with individuals diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions. 2016 Jul 2016;18(3):168-79. *Comparator* 

4348. Sluiter MN, Groen Y, de Jonge P, et al. Exploring neuropsychological effects of a selfmonitoring intervention for ADHD-symptoms in school. Applied Neuropsychology: Child. 2020 Jul 2020 - Sep 2020;9(3):246-58. *Comparator* 

4349. Slusarek M, Velling S, Bunk D, et al. Motivational effects on inhibitory control in children with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Mar;40(3):355-63. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200103000-00016. PMID: 11288778. *Intervention* 

4350. Smalley SL, Bailey JN, Palmer CG, et al. Evidence that the dopamine D4 receptor is a susceptibility gene in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Mol Psychiatry. 1998 Sep;3(5):427-30. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.4000457. PMID: 9774776. *Intervention* 

4351. Smith BH, Pelham WE, Evans S, et al. Dosage effects of methylphenidate on the social behavior of adolescents diagnosed with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 1998 May;6(2):187-204. doi: 10.1037/1064-1297.6.2.187. PMID: 9608351. *Power* 

4352. Smith BH, Pelham WE, Gnagy E, et al. Equivalent effects of stimulant treatment for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder during childhood and adolescence. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1998 Mar;37(3):314-21. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199803000-00017. PMID: 9519637. *Power* 

4353. Smith E, Meyer BJ, Koerting J, et al. Preschool hyperactivity specifically elevates long-term mental health risks more strongly in males than females: a prospective longitudinal study through to young adulthood. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Jan;26(1):123-36. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0876-8. PMID: 27295115. *Population* 

4354. Smith S, Ferguson CJ, Beaver KM. Learning to blast a way into crime, or just good clean fun? Examining aggressive play with toy weapons and its relation with crime. Crim Behav Ment Health. 2018 Aug;28(4):313-23. doi: 10.1002/cbm.2070. PMID: 29336086. *Intervention* 

4355. Smith SD, Vitulano LA, Katsovich L, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of an Integrated Brain, Body, and Social Intervention for Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Mar;24(5):780-94. doi: 10.1177/1087054716647490. PMID: 27178060. *Power* 

4356. Smith ST, Cox J, Mowle EN, et al. Intentional inattention: Detecting feigned attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder on the Personality Assessment Inventory. Psychol Assess. 2017 Dec;29(12):1447-57. doi: 10.1037/pas0000435. PMID: 29227126. *Population* 

4357. Smith TE, Samuel DB. A Multi-method Examination of the Links Between ADHD and Personality Disorder. J Pers Disord. 2017 Feb;31(1):26-48. doi: 10.1521/pedi\_2016\_30\_236. PMID: 26845530. *Intervention* 

4358. Smith ZR, Langberg JM. Review of the Evidence for Motivation Deficits in Youth with ADHD and Their Association with Functional Outcomes. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2018 Dec;21(4):500-26. doi: 10.1007/s10567-018-0268-3. PMID: 30141121. *Intervention* 

4359. Smith ZR, Langberg JM. Do sluggish cognitive tempo symptoms improve with schoolbased ADHD interventions? Outcomes and predictors of change. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2020 May 2020;61(5):575-83. *Duplicate* 

4360. Smoller JW, Biederman J, Arbeitman L, et al. Association between the 5HT1B receptor gene (HTR1B) and the inattentive subtype of ADHD. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Mar 1;59(5):460-7. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.07.017. PMID: 16197923. *Intervention* 

4361. Snircova E, Marcincakova Husarova V, Ondrejka I, et al. QTc prolongation after ADHD medication. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2018 Feb;38(8):549-54. PMID: 29504733. *Comparator* 

4362. Snircova E, Marcincakova-Husarova V, Hrtanek I, et al. Anxiety reduction on atomoxetine and methylphenidate medication in children with ADHD. Pediatr Int. 2016 Jun;58(6):476-81. doi: 10.1111/ped.12847. PMID: 26579704. *Power* 

4363. Snyder SM, Rugino TA, Hornig M, et al. EEG as a biomarker separated ADHD patients into clinically meaningful subgroups. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S127-S8. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Design* 

4364. So CY LP, Hung SF. Treatment effectiveness of combined medication/behavioural treatment with Chinese ADHD children in routine practice. Behav Res Ther. 2008;46(9):983-92. *Power* 

4365. So FK, Chavira D, Lee SS. ADHD and ODD Dimensions: Time Varying Prediction of Internalizing Problems from Childhood to Adolescence. J Atten Disord. 2022 Apr;26(6):932-41. doi: 10.1177/10870547211050947. PMID: 34632828. *Intervention* 

4366. So R, Makino K, Hirota T, et al. The 2-Year Course of Internet Addiction Among a Japanese Adolescent Psychiatric Clinic Sample with Autism Spectrum Disorder and/or

Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. 2019 Nov;49(11):4515-22. doi: 10.1007/s10803-019-04169-9. PMID: 31410697. *Intervention* 

4367. So Y-c. Effectiveness of Methylohenidate and Combined Treatment (Methylphenidate and Psychosocial Treatment) for Chinese Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in a Community Mental Health Center. Hong Kong, China: Chinese University of Hong Kong; 2005. *Design* 

4368. Soares LS, Costa DS, Malloy-Diniz LF, et al. Investigation on the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Effect on Infatuation and Impulsivity in Adolescents. Front Behav Neurosci. 2019;13:137. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00137. PMID: 31354442. *Intervention* 

4369. Soares PSM, de Oliveira PD, Wehrmeister FC, et al. Is Screen Time Throughout Adolescence Related to ADHD? Findings from 1993 Pelotas (Brazil) Birth Cohort Study. J Atten Disord. 2021 Mar 5:1087054721997555. doi: 10.1177/1087054721997555. PMID: 33666095. *Population* 

4370. Sobel LJ, Bansal R, Maia TV, et al. Basal ganglia surface morphology and the effects of stimulant medications in youth with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2010 Aug;167(8):977-86. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09091259. PMID: 20595414. *Intervention* 

4371. Socanski D, Jovic N, Beneventi H, et al. Long-term use of methylphenidate in a boy with hypothalamic tumor, drug-resistant epilepsy and ADHD. Epilepsy Behav Case Rep. 2018;10:82-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ebcr.2018.03.002. PMID: 30090699. *Comparator* 

4372. Söderlund G, Sikström S, Smart A. Listen to the noise: noise is beneficial for cognitive performance in ADHD. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2007 Aug;48(8):840-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01749.x. PMID: 17683456. *Intervention* 

4373. Soehner AM, Bertocci MA, Levenson JC, et al. Longitudinal Associations Between Sleep Patterns and Psychiatric Symptom Severity in High-Risk and Community Comparison Youth. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Jun;58(6):608-17. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.09.448. PMID: 30851396. *Intervention* 

4374. Soff C, Sotnikova A, Christiansen H, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation improves clinical symptoms in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Neural Transmission. 2017 Jan 2017;124(1):133-44. *Power* 

4375. Soheilipour F, Shiri S, Ahmadkhaniha HR, et al. Risk factors for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a case-control study in 5 to 12 years old children. Med Pharm Rep. 2020 Apr;93(2):175-80. doi: 10.15386/mpr-1407. PMID: 32478324. *Design* 

4376. Sohn H, Kim I, Lee W, et al. Linear and non-linear EEG analysis of adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder during a cognitive task. Clin Neurophysiol. 2010 Nov;121(11):1863-70. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2010.04.007. PMID: 20659814. *Intervention* 

4377. Sohn M, Talbert J, Moga DC, et al. A cost-effectiveness analysis of off-label atypical antipsychotic treatment in children and adolescents with ADHD who have failed stimulant therapy. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2016 Sep;8(3):149-58. doi: 10.1007/s12402-016-0198-1. PMID: 27143026. *Intervention* 

4378. Sol Sandberg S, McAuley T. Hospital-Based Modified Cogmed Working Memory Training for Youth With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2021 Dec 23:10870547211066487. doi: 10.1177/10870547211066487. PMID: 34937416. *Power* 

4379. Solan M, Brunstein Klomek A, Ankori G, et al. Impact of a New Parent Behavioral-Schema Training on Children with ADHD: A Pragmatic Control Trial. J Atten Disord. 2020 Sep 30:1087054720959711. doi: 10.1177/1087054720959711. PMID: 32996352. *Power* 

4380. Solanto M, Newcorn J, Vail L, et al. Stimulant drug response in the predominantly inattentive and combined subtypes of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Dec;19(6):663-71. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0033. PMID: 20035584. *Power* 

4381. Solanto MV, Marks DJ, Wasserstein J, et al. Efficacy of meta-cognitive therapy for adult ADHD. Am J Psychiatry. 2010 Aug;167(8):958-68. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09081123. PMID: 20231319. *Population* 

4382. Soleimani R, Kousha M, Zarrabi H, et al. The Impact of Methylphenidate on Motor Performance in Children with both Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Developmental Coordination Disorder: A Randomized Double-Blind Crossover Clinical Trial. Iran J Med Sci. 2017 Jul;42(4):354-61. PMID: 28761201. *Timing* 

4383. Soleimani R, Salehi Z, Soltanipour S, et al. SLC6A3 polymorphism and response to methylphenidate in children with ADHD: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2018 Apr;177(3):287-300. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.32613. PMID: 29171685. *Intervention* 

4384. Soler Artigas M, Sánchez-Mora C, Rovira P, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and lifetime cannabis use: genetic overlap and causality. Mol Psychiatry. 2020 Oct;25(10):2493-503. doi: 10.1038/s41380-018-0339-3. PMID: 30610198. *Intervention* 

4385. Solhkhah R, Wilens TE, Daly J, et al. Bupropion SR for the treatment of substanceabusing outpatient adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and mood disorders. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2005 Oct;15(5):777-86. doi: 10.1089/cap.2005.15.777. PMID: 16262594. *Intervention* 

4386. Sollie H, Larsson B. Parent-reported symptoms, impairment, helpfulness of treatment, and unmet service needs in a follow-up of outpatient children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Nord J Psychiatry. 2016 Nov;70(8):582-90. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2016.1187204. PMID: 27269883. *Intervention* 

4387. Sollie H, Mørch W-T, Larsson B. Parent and family characteristics and their associates in a follow-up of outpatient children with ADHD. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2016 Aug 2016;25(8):2571-84. *Intervention* 

4388. Solmi M, Fornaro M, Ostinelli EG, et al. Safety of 80 antidepressants, antipsychotics, anti-attention-deficit/hyperactivity medications and mood stabilizers in children and adolescents with psychiatric disorders: a large scale systematic meta-review of 78 adverse effects. World Psychiatry. 2020 Jun;19(2):214-32. doi: 10.1002/wps.20765. PMID: 32394557. *Intervention* 

4389. Solmi M, Fornaro M, Ostinelli EG, et al. Safety of 80 antidepressants, antipsychotics, anti-attention-deficit/hyperactivity medications and mood stabilizers in children and adolescents

with psychiatric disorders: A large scale systematic meta-review of 78 adverse effects. World Psychiatry. 2020 Jun 2020;19(2):214-32. *Duplicate* 

4390. Solmi M, Radua J, Olivola M, et al. Age at onset of mental disorders worldwide: largescale meta-analysis of 192 epidemiological studies. Mol Psychiatry. 2021 Jun 2. doi: 10.1038/s41380-021-01161-7. PMID: 34079068. *Population* 

4391. Soltanifar A, Moharreri F, Bakhtiari E, et al. The Effect of Adding Sour Cherry Concentrate to The Usual Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder In 6 To 12 Years Old Children. J Atten Disord. 2023 Jan;27(2):214-9. doi: 10.1177/10870547221129307. PMID: 36326299. *Power* 

4392. Soltaninejad Z, Nejati V, Ekhtiari H. Effect of transcranial Direct Current Stimulation on Remediation of Inhibitory Control on right Inferio Frontal Gyrus in Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Symptoms. The Scientific Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine. 2014;3(4):1-9. doi: 10.22037/jrm.2014.1100055. *Language* 

4393. Soltaninejad Z, Nejati V, Ekhtiari H. Effect of anodal and cathodal transcranial direct current stimulation on DLPFC on modulation of inhibitory control in ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2019 Feb 2019;23(4):325-32. *Intervention* 

4394. Soman SM, Vijayakumar N, Ball G, et al. Longitudinal Changes of Resting-State Networks in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Typically Developing Children. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2022 Jan 14. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2022.01.001. PMID: 35033687. *Outcome* 

4395. Somma A, Adler LA, Gialdi G, et al. The Validity of the World Health Organization Adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Screening Scale for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition in Adolescence. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Jun 24. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0158. PMID: 34166067. *Population* 

4396. Somma A, Becker SP, Leitner C, et al. Reliability, Factor Validity, and Neuropsychological Correlates of the Child Concentration Inventory-2 in a Community Sample of Italian Adolescents. Assessment. 2022 Dec;29(8):1842-57. doi: 10.1177/10731911211033349. PMID: 34334011. *Outcome* 

4397. Somma A, Borroni S, Fossati A. Construct validity and diagnostic accuracy of the Italian translation of the 18-Item World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS-18) Italian translation in a sample of community-dwelling adolescents. Psychiatry Res. 2019 Mar;273:753-8. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.02.016. PMID: 31207862. *Language* 

4398. Soncini TCB, Belotto GA, Diaz AP. Association Between Prematurity and Diagnosis of Neurodevelopment Disorder: A Case-Control Study. J Autism Dev Disord. 2020 Jan;50(1):145-52. doi: 10.1007/s10803-019-04235-2. PMID: 31552529. *Intervention* 

4399. Song J, Fogarty K, Suk R, et al. Behavioral and mental health problems in adolescents with ADHD: Exploring the role of family resilience. J Affect Disord. 2021 Jul 22;294:450-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.07.073. PMID: 34325164. *Intervention* 

4400. Sönmez A, Yavuz BG, Aka S, et al. Attention-deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms and Conduct Problems in Children and Adolescents with Obesity. Sisli Etfal Hastan Tip Bul. 2019;53(3):300-5. doi: 10.14744/semb.2019.09475. PMID: 32377100. *Intervention* 

4401. Sonnby K, Skordas K, Olofsdotter S, et al. Validation of the World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale for adolescents. Nord J Psychiatry. 2015 Apr;69(3):216-23. doi: 10.3109/08039488.2014.968203. PMID: 25348323. *Language* 

4402. Sonuga-Barke E, Bitsakou P, Thompson M. Beyond the Dual Pathway Model: Evidence for the Dissociation of Timing, Inhibitory, and Delay-Related Impairments in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2010 04/01/;49(4):345-55. PMID: EJ944602. *Intervention* 

4403. Sonuga-Barke EJ, Brookes KJ, Buitelaar J, et al. Intelligence in DSM-IV combined type attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is not predicted by either dopamine receptor/transporter genes or other previously identified risk alleles for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2008 Apr 5;147(3):316-9. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30596. PMID: 18023044. *Intervention* 

4404. Sonuga-Barke JS, Coghill D, Markowitz JS, et al. Sex Differences in the Response of Children with ADHD to Once-Daily Formulations of Methylphenidate. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007 06/01/;46(6):701-J. PMID: EJ771512. *Intervention* 

4405. Soori R, Goodarzvand F, Akbarnejad A, et al. Effect of high-intensity interval training on clinical and laboratory parameters of adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Science and Sports. 2020;35(4):207-15. doi: 10.1016/j.scispo.2019.08.002. *Power* 

4406. Sopko MA, Jr., Caberwal H, Chavez B. The safety and efficacy of methylphenidate and dexmethylphenidate in adults with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Cent Nerv Syst Dis. 2010;2:15-30. doi: 10.4137/jcnsd.s4178. PMID: 23861628. *Population* 

4407. Sørensen MJ, Mors O, Thomsen PH. DSM-IV or ICD-10-DCR diagnoses in child and adolescent psychiatry: Does it matter? European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Supplement. 2005;14(6):335-40. doi: 10.1007/s00787-005-0482-7. *Outcome* 

4408. Soria IN, Fernández MR, Cerván RL, et al. Predictive capacity of the Spanish neuropsychological assessment of executive functions battery when diagnosing child ADHD. Revista Latinoamericana de Psicología. 2019 Sep 2019 - Dec 2019;51(3):153-61. *Population* 

4409. Sotnikova A, Soff C, Tagliazucchi E, et al. Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Modulates Neuronal Networks in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Brain Topogr. 2017 Sep;30(5):656-72. doi: 10.1007/s10548-017-0552-4. PMID: 28213645. *Design* 

4410. Soufsaf S, Robaey P, Bonnefois G, et al. A Quantitative Comparison Approach for Methylphenidate Drug Regimens in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treatment. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 Apr;29(3):220-34. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0093. PMID: 30714820. *Population* 

4411. Soutullo CA, DelBello MP, Ochsner JE, et al. Severity of bipolarity in hospitalized manic adolescents with history of stimulant or antidepressant treatment. J Affect Disord. 2002 Aug;70(3):323-7. doi: 10.1016/s0165-0327(01)00336-6. PMID: 12128245. *Population* 

4412. Souza I, Pinheiro MA, Denardin D, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbidity in Brazil: comparisons between two referred samples. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Aug;13(4):243-8. doi: 10.1007/s00787-004-0402-2. PMID: 15365895. *Intervention* 

4413. Spalletta G, Pasini A, Pau F, et al. Prefrontal blood flow dysregulation in drug naive ADHD children without structural abnormalities. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2001;108(10):1203-16. doi: 10.1007/s007020170010. PMID: 11725823. *Intervention* 

4414. Spaniol MM, Mevorach C, Shalev L, et al. Attention training in children with autism spectrum disorder improves academic performance: A double-blind pilot application of the computerized progressive attentional training program. Autism Res. 2021 Aug;14(8):1769-76. doi: 10.1002/aur.2566. PMID: 34227246. *Population* 

4415. Spann MN, Bansal R, Hao X, et al. Prenatal socioeconomic status and social support are associated with neonatal brain morphology, toddler language and psychiatric symptoms. Child Neuropsychol. 2020 Feb;26(2):170-88. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2019.1648641. PMID: 31385559. *Intervention* 

4416. Sparber A, Tapia JD, Lopez O, et al. 2.14 Investigating the Relationship Between Community Agency Diagnoses and Gold-Standard Diagnoses for Adolescent ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S187. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.158. *Design* 

4417. Spencer A, Chiang C, Plasencia N, et al. Complexity of identifying attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder and comorbidities in a disadvantaged latino population. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2016;55(10):S222-S3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.09.375. *Design* 

4418. Spencer T, Biederman J, Coffey B, et al. A double-blind comparison of desipramine and placebo in children and adolescents with chronic tic disorder and comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2002 Jul;59(7):649-56. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.59.7.649. PMID: 12090818. *Power* 

4419. Spencer T, Biederman J, Harding M, et al. Disentangling the overlap between Tourette's disorder and ADHD. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1998 Oct;39(7):1037-44. PMID: 9804036. *Outcome* 

4420. Spencer T, Biederman J, Kerman K, et al. Desipramine treatment of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and tic disorder or Tourette's syndrome. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1993 Mar;32(2):354-60. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199303000-00017. PMID: 8444765. *Intervention* 

4421. Spencer T, Biederman J, Wilens T, et al. A large, double-blind, randomized clinical trial of methylphenidate in the treatment of adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2005 Mar 1;57(5):456-63. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.11.043. PMID: 15737659. *Population* 

4422. Spencer T, Biederman J, Wilens T, et al. Pharmacotherapy of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder across the life cycle. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Apr;35(4):409-32. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199604000-00008. PMID: 8919704. *Design* 

4423. Spencer T, Biederman J, Wilens T, et al. Nortriptyline treatment of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and tic disorder or Tourette's syndrome. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1993 Jan;32(1):205-10. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199301000-00029. PMID: 8428873. *Comparator* 

4424. Spencer T, Biederman J, Wilens TE, et al. Adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a controversial diagnosis. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998;59 Suppl 7:59-68. PMID: 9680054. *Population* 

4425. Spencer T, Biederman J, Wozniak J, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and affective disorders in childhood: continuum, comorbidity or confusion. Current Opinion in Psychiatry. 2000;13(1):73-9. doi: 10.1097/00001504-200001000-00013. *Design* 

4426. Spencer T, Biederman J, Wright V, et al. Growth deficits in children treated with desipramine: a controlled study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1992 Mar;31(2):235-43. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199203000-00009. PMID: 1564024. *Intervention* 

4427. Spencer T, Biederman M, Coffey B, et al. The 4-year course of tic disorders in boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1999 Sep;56(9):842-7. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.56.9.842. PMID: 12884890. *Intervention* 

4428. Spencer T, Wilens T, Biederman J, et al. A double-blind, crossover comparison of methylphenidate and placebo in adults with childhood-onset attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1995 Jun;52(6):434-43. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1995.03950180020004. PMID: 7771913. *Population* 

4429. Spencer TJ, Abikoff HB, Connor DF, et al. Efficacy and safety of mixed amphetamine salts extended release (adderall XR) in the management of oppositional defiant disorder with or without comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in school-aged children and adolescents: A 4-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled, forced-dose-escalation study. Clin Ther. 2006 Mar;28(3):402-18. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2006.03.006. PMID: 16750455. *Population* 

4430. Spencer TJ, Adler LA, McGough JJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of dexmethylphenidate extended-release capsules in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2007 Jun 15;61(12):1380-7. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.07.032. PMID: 17137560. *Population* 

4431. Spencer TJ, Adler LA, Weisler RH, et al. Triple-bead mixed amphetamine salts (SPD465), a novel, enhanced extended-release amphetamine formulation for the treatment of adults with ADHD: a randomized, double-blind, multicenter, placebo-controlled study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008 Sep;69(9):1437-48. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v69n0911. PMID: 19012813. *Population* 

4432. Spencer TJ, Bhide P, Zhu J, et al. Opiate Antagonists Do Not Interfere With the Clinical Benefits of Stimulants in ADHD: A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of the Mixed Opioid Receptor Antagonist Naltrexone. J Clin Psychiatry. 2018 Jan/Feb;79(1). doi: 10.4088/JCP.16m11012. PMID: 28640990. *Population* 

4433. Spencer TJ, Bhide P, Zhu J, et al. The Mixed Opioid Receptor Antagonist Naltrexone Mitigates Stimulant-Induced Euphoria: A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Naltrexone. J Clin Psychiatry. 2018 Mar/Apr;79(2). doi: 10.4088/JCP.17m11609. PMID: 29617066. *Population* 

4434. Spencer TJ, Biederman J, Faraone S, et al. Impact of tic disorders on ADHD outcome across the life cycle: findings from a large group of adults with and without ADHD. Am J Psychiatry. 2001 Apr;158(4):611-7. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.158.4.611. PMID: 11282697. *Population* 

4435. Spencer TJ, Biederman J, Harding M, et al. Growth deficits in ADHD children revisited: evidence for disorder-associated growth delays? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Nov;35(11):1460-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199611000-00014. PMID: 8936912. *Intervention* 

4436. Spencer TJ, Biederman J, Wilens TE. Efficacy and tolerability of long-term, open-label, mixed amphetamine salts extended release in adolescents with ADHD. CNS Spectrums. 2005;10(10 SUPPL. 15):14-21. doi: 10.1017/s1092852900014103. *Timing* 

4437. Spencer TJ, Greenbaum M, Ginsberg LD, et al. Safety and effectiveness of coadministration of guanfacine extended release and psychostimulants in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Oct;19(5):501-10. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0152. PMID: 19877974. *Intervention* 

4438. Spencer TJ, Newcorn JH, Kratochvil CJ, et al. Effects of atomoxetine on growth after 2year treatment among pediatric patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2005 Jul;116(1):e74-80. doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-0624. PMID: 15995021. *Design* 

4439. Spencer-Smith M, Quach J, Mensah F, et al. The Effectiveness of Working Memory Training for Children With Low Working Memory. Pediatrics. 2020 Dec;146(6). doi: 10.1542/peds.2019-4028. PMID: 33159002. *Population* 

4440. Speyer LG, Eisner M, Ribeaud D, et al. Developmental Relations Between Internalising Problems and ADHD in Childhood: a Symptom Level Perspective. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2021 Aug 7. doi: 10.1007/s10802-021-00856-3. PMID: 34363556. *Intervention* 

4441. Speyer LG, Obsuth I, Ribeaud D, et al. Mediating Factors in Within-Person Developmental Cascades of Externalising, Internalising and ADHD Symptoms in Childhood. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2022 Aug;50(8):1011-25. doi: 10.1007/s10802-022-00905-5. PMID: 35488988. *Design* 

4442. Spiga R, Pearson DA, Broitman M, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on cooperative responding in children with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder. Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology. 1996;4(4):451-8. doi: 10.1037//1064-1297.4.4.451. *Intervention* 

4443. Spivak B, Vered Y, Yoran-Hegesh R, et al. The influence of three months of methylphenidate treatment on platelet-poor plasma biogenic amine levels in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Human Psychopharmacology. 2001;16(4):333-7. doi: 10.1002/hup.298. *Intervention* 

4444. Sprafkin J, Gadow KD. Case Report: Four Purported Cases of Methylphenidate-Induced Tic Exacerbation: Methodological and Clinical Doubts. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 1993 1993/01/01;3(4):231-44. doi: 10.1089/cap.1993.3.231. *Design* 

4445. Sprafkin J, Gadow KD. Double-blind versus open evaluations of stimulant drug response in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 1996 Winter;6(4):215-28. doi: 10.1089/cap.1996.6.215. PMID: 9231315. *Power* 

4446. Sprafkin J, Steinberg EA, Gadow KD, et al. Agreement Among Categorical, Dimensional, and Impairment Criteria for ADHD and Common Comorbidities. J Atten Disord. 2016 Aug;20(8):665-73. doi: 10.1177/1087054712475083. PMID: 23400215. *Intervention*  4447. Squeglia LM, Brammer WA, Ray LA, et al. Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Symptoms Predict Alcohol Expectancy Development. J Child Adolesc Subst Abuse. 2016 Mar 1;25(2):159-79. doi: 10.1080/1067828x.2014.969856. PMID: 27110089. *Intervention* 

4448. Srifuengfung M, Bussaratid S, Ratta-Apha W, et al. Restless legs syndrome in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: prevalence, mimic conditions, risk factors, and association with functional impairment. Sleep Med. 2020 Sep;73:117-24. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2020.05.037. PMID: 32827883. *Intervention* 

4449. Srignanasoundari E, Vijayalakshmi S, Vijayaraghavan R, et al. Effectiveness of intervention package on behaviour of children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in North Tamilnadu, India. International Journal of Research in Ayurveda and Pharmacy. 2017;8(4):82-6. doi: 10.7897/2277-4343.084220. *Comparator* 

4450. Srinivasaraghavan R, Mahadevan S, Kattimani S. Impact of Comorbidity on Three Month Follow-up Outcome of Children with ADHD in a Child Guidance Clinic: Preliminary Report. Indian J Psychol Med. 2013 Oct;35(4):346-51. doi: 10.4103/0253-7176.122223. PMID: 24379493. *Comparator* 

4451. Srivastav S, Walitza S, Grünblatt E. Emerging role of miRNA in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review. ADHD Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorders. 2018 Mar 2018;10(1):49-63. *Intervention* 

4452. St Amour MD, O'Leary DD, Cairney J, et al. What is the effect of ADHD stimulant medication on heart rate and blood pressure in a community sample of children? Can J Public Health. 2018 Jun;109(3):395-400. doi: 10.17269/s41997-018-0067-0. PMID: 29981090. *Design* 

4453. Stadler C, Zepf FD, Demisch L, et al. Influence of rapid tryptophan depletion on laboratory-provoked aggression in children with ADHD. Neuropsychobiology. 2007;56(2-3):104-10. doi: 10.1159/000112951. PMID: 18182830. *Intervention* 

4454. Staff AI, van den Hoofdakker BJ, van der Oord S, et al. Effectiveness of Specific Techniques in Behavioral Teacher Training for Childhood ADHD: A Randomized Controlled Microtrial. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2021 Jan 20:1-17. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2020.1846542. PMID: 33471581. *Population* 

4455. Staff AI, van der Oord S, Oosterlaan J, et al. Effectiveness of Specific Techniques in Behavioral Teacher Training for Childhood ADHD Behaviors: Secondary Analyses of a Randomized Controlled Microtrial. Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol. 2022 Jan 11. doi: 10.1007/s10802-021-00892-z. PMID: 35015187. *Population* 

4456. Staikova E, Marks DJ, Miller CJ, et al. Childhood stimulant treatment and teen depression: is there a relationship? J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Oct;20(5):387-93. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0107. PMID: 20973709. *Intervention* 

4457. Stanford E, Delage H. The contribution of visual and linguistic cues to the production of passives in ADHD and DLD: evidence from thematic priming. Clin Linguist Phon. 2021 Dec 29:1-35. doi: 10.1080/02699206.2021.2006789. PMID: 34963407. *Intervention* 

4458. Stanley JA, Kipp H, Greisenegger E, et al. Evidence of developmental alterations in cortical and subcortical regions of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a multivoxel in vivo phosphorus 31 spectroscopy study. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2008 Dec;65(12):1419-28. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2008.503. PMID: 19047529. *Intervention* 

4459. Stanton K, Watson D. An Examination of the Structure and Construct Validity of the Wender Utah Rating Scale. J Pers Assess. 2016 Sep-Oct;98(5):545-52. doi: 10.1080/00223891.2016.1152579. PMID: 27050760. *Population* 

4460. Starr HL, Kemner J. Multicenter, randomized, open-label study of OROS methylphenidate versus atomoxetine: treatment outcomes in African-American children with ADHD. J Natl Med Assoc. 2005 Oct;97(10 Suppl):11S-6S. PMID: 16350601. *Timing* 

4461. Stavropoulos V, Baynes KL, O'Farrel DL, et al. Inattention and Disordered Gaming: Does Culture Matter? Psychiatr Q. 2020 Jun;91(2):333-48. doi: 10.1007/s11126-019-09702-8. PMID: 31900821. *Population* 

4462. Steeger CM, Gondoli DM, Gibson BS, et al. Combined cognitive and parent training interventions for adolescents with ADHD and their mothers: A randomized controlled trial. Child Neuropsychol. 2016;22(4):394-419. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2014.994485. PMID: 25731907. *Power* 

4463. Steenhuis L, Groenman AP, Hoekstra PJ, et al. Effects of behavioural parent training for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder on parenting behaviour: a protocol for an individual participant data meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2020 Nov 27;10(11):e037749. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037749. PMID: 33247007. *Outcome* 

4464. Steenhuis MP, Serra M, Minderaa RB, et al. An Internet version of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (DISC-IV): correspondence of the ADHD section with the paper-and-pencil version. Psychol Assess. 2009 Jun;21(2):231-4. doi: 10.1037/a0015925. PMID: 19485678. *Population* 

4465. Steer RA, Kumar G, Beck AT, et al. Dimensionality of the Beck youth inventories with child psychiatric outpatients. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2005;27(2):123-31. doi: 10.1007/s10862-005-5386-9. *Intervention* 

4466. Steger J, Imhof K, Steinhausen H, et al. Brain mapping of bilateral interactions in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and control boys. Clin Neurophysiol. 2000 Jul;111(7):1141-56. doi: 10.1016/s1388-2457(00)00311-4. PMID: 10880787. *Intervention* 

4467. Stein D, Pat-Horenczyk R, Blank S, et al. Sleep disturbances in adolescents with symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Learn Disabil. 2002 May-Jun;35(3):268-75. doi: 10.1177/002221940203500308. PMID: 15493323. *Intervention* 

4468. Stein MA. 16.2 Dopamine Transporter and CYP2D6 Gene Effects on ADHD in the Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine Crossover Study. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S301. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.646. *Design* 

4469. Stein MA, Blondis TA, Schnitzler ER, et al. Methylphenidate dosing: twice daily versus three times daily. Pediatrics. 1996 Oct;98(4 Pt 1):748-56. PMID: 8885956. *Power* 

4470. Stein MA, Sarampote CS, Waldman ID, et al. A dose-response study of OROS methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2003 Nov;112(5):e404. doi: 10.1542/peds.112.5.e404. PMID: 14595084. *Intervention* 

4471. Stein MA, Waldman ID, Charney E, et al. Dose effects and comparative effectiveness of extended release dexmethylphenidate and mixed amphetamine salts. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011 Dec;21(6):581-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2011.0018. PMID: 22136094. *Power* 

4472. Stein MA, Weiss MD. Editorial: Longitudinal Associations Between Sleep and ADHD Symptoms: ADHD Is a 24-Hour Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2023 Feb;62(2):133-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.11.003. PMID: 36400280. *Design* 

4473. Stein MA, Weiss RE, Refetoff S. Neurocognitive characteristics of individuals with resistance to thyroid hormone: comparisons with individuals with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1995 Dec;16(6):406-11. PMID: 8746549. *Intervention* 

4474. Steiner NJ, Sheldrick RC, Gotthelf D, et al. Computer-based attention training in the schools for children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a preliminary trial. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2011 Jul;50(7):615-22. doi: 10.1177/0009922810397887. PMID: 21561933. *Power* 

4475. Steingard R, Biederman J, Spencer T, et al. Comparison of clonidine response in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder with and without comorbid tic disorders. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1993 Mar;32(2):350-3. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199303000-00016. PMID: 8444764. *Comparator* 

4476. Steinhoff KW. Special issues in the diagnosis and treatment of ADHD in adolescents. Postgrad Med. 2008 Sep;120(3):60-8. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2008.09.1908. PMID: 18824826. *Design* 

4477. Stergiakouli E, Davey Smith G, Martin J, et al. Shared genetic influences between dimensional ASD and ADHD symptoms during child and adolescent development. Mol Autism. 2017;8:18. doi: 10.1186/s13229-017-0131-2. PMID: 28392908. *Intervention* 

4478. Stern A, Agnew-Blais JC, Danese A, et al. Associations between ADHD and emotional problems from childhood to young adulthood: a longitudinal genetically sensitive study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 Nov;61(11):1234-42. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13217. PMID: 32112575. *Intervention* 

4479. Stevanovic D, Wentz E, Nasic S, et al. ASD with ADHD vs. ASD and ADHD alone: a study of the QbTest performance and single-dose methylphenidate responding in children and adolescents. BMC Psychiatry. 2022 Apr 20;22(1):282. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-03878-3. PMID: 35448977. *Timing* 

4480. Stevens AJ, Purcell RV, Darling KA, et al. Author Correction: Human gut microbiome changes during a 10 week Randomised Control Trial for micronutrient supplementation in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Sci Rep. 2020 Jan 21;10(1):1180. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-58141-0. PMID: 31959984. *Design* 

4481. Stevens J, Quittner AL, Zuckerman JB, et al. Behavioral inhibition, self-regulation of motivation, and working memory in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Dev Neuropsychol. 2002;21(2):117-39. doi: 10.1207/S15326942DN2102\_1. PMID: 12139195. *Intervention* 

4482. Stevens L, Zhang W, Peck L, et al. EFA supplementation in children with inattention, hyperactivity, and other disruptive behaviors. Lipids. 2003 Oct;38(10):1007-21. doi: 10.1007/s11745-006-1155-0. PMID: 14669965. *Power* 

4483. Stevenson J, Sonuga-Barke E, McCann D, et al. The role of histamine degradation gene polymorphisms in moderating the effects of food additives on children's ADHD symptoms. Am J Psychiatry. 2010 Sep;167(9):1108-15. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09101529. PMID: 20551163. *Population* 

4484. Stewart AA, Vaughn S, Scammacca N, et al. Evidence-Based Reading Instruction for Students with Inattention: A Pilot Study. Remedial and Special Education. 2022. *Population* 

4485. Stobernack T, de Vries SPW, Rodrigues Pereira R, et al. Biomarker Research in ADHD: the Impact of Nutrition (BRAIN) - study protocol of an open-label trial to investigate the mechanisms underlying the effects of a few-foods diet on ADHD symptoms in children. BMJ Open. 2019 Nov 5;9(11):e029422. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029422. PMID: 31694844. *Outcome* 

4486. Stockl KM, Hughes TE, Jarrar MA, et al. Physician perceptions of the use of medications for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Manag Care Pharm. 2003 Sep-Oct;9(5):416-23. doi: 10.18553/jmcp.2003.9.5.416. PMID: 14613439. *Population* 

4487. Stocks JD TB, Baroldi P, Findling RL. A phase 2a randomized, parallel group, doseranging study of molindone in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and persistent, serious conduct problems. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2012 Apr;22(2):102-11. doi: 10.1089/cap.2011.0087. *Power* 

4488. Stojanovski S, Felsky D, Viviano JD, et al. Polygenic Risk and Neural Substrates of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in Youths With a History of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. Biol Psychiatry. 2019 Mar 1;85(5):408-16. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.06.024. PMID: 30119875. *Population* 

4489. Stojanovski SD, Robinson RF, Baker SD, et al. Children and adolescent exposures to atomoxetine hydrochloride reported to a poison control center. Clin Toxicol (Phila). 2006;44(3):243-7. doi: 10.1080/15563650600584311. PMID: 16749540. *Intervention* 

4490. Stordeur C, Boele A, Peyre H, et al. Psychometric properties of the French Version of the Social Responsiveness Scale in autism spectrum disorder with or without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Encephale. 2019 Sep;45(4):285-9. doi: 10.1016/j.encep.2018.08.004. PMID: 30470500. *Language* 

4491. Storebo OJ, Faltinsen E, Zwi M, et al. The Jury Is Still Out on the Benefits and Harms of Methylphenidate for Children and Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2018 Oct;104(4):606-9. doi: 10.1002/cpt.1149. PMID: 30006934. *Design* 

4492. Storebø OJ, Pedersen N, Ramstad E, et al. Methylphenidate for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents – assessment of adverse events in non-randomised studies. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2018(5). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012069.pub2. PMID: CD012069. *Duplicate* 

4493. Storebø OJ, Ramstad E, Krogh HB, et al. Methylphenidate for children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2015(11). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009885.pub2. PMID: CD009885. *Duplicate* 

4494. Storebø OJ, Ribeiro JP, Storm MR, et al. 3.9 What Are the Benefits and Harms of Methylphenidate Treatment in Children and Adolescents With ADHD? Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S230-S1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.288. *Design* 

4495. Storebo OJ, Simonsen E, Gluud C. Methylphenidate for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents. JAMA. 2016 May 10;315(18):2009-10. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.3611. PMID: 27163989. *Design* 

4496. Storebo OJ, Simonsen E, Gluud C. The evidence base of methylphenidate for children and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder is in fact flawed. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Sep;25(9):1037-8. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0855-0. PMID: 27138912. *Design* 

4497. Storebo OJ, Zwi M, Krogh HB, et al. Evidence on methylphenidate in children and adolescents with ADHD is in fact of 'very low quality'. Evid Based Ment Health. 2016 Nov;19(4):100-2. doi: 10.1136/eb-2016-102499. PMID: 27935808. *Design* 

4498. Stray LL, Ellertsen B, Stray T. Motor function and methylphenidate effect in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Acta Paediatr. 2010 Aug;99(8):1199-204. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2010.01760.x. PMID: 20298494. *Intervention* 

4499. Strayhorn JM, Weidman CS. Reduction of attention deficit and internalizing symptoms in preschoolers through parent-child interaction training. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1989 Nov;28(6):888-96. doi: 10.1097/00004583-198911000-00013. PMID: 2808259. *Population* 

4500. Strehl U, Leins U, Goth G, et al. Self-regulation of slow cortical potentials: a new treatment for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2006 Nov;118(5):e1530-40. doi: 10.1542/peds.2005-2478. PMID: 17060480. *Comparator* 

4501. Stuart-Smith J, Thapar A, Maughan B, et al. Childhood hyperactivity and mood problems at mid-life: evidence from a prospective birth cohort. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2017 Jan;52(1):87-94. doi: 10.1007/s00127-016-1285-5. PMID: 27660087. *Population* 

4502. Sturm A, McCracken JT, Cai L. Evaluating the Hierarchical Structure of ADHD Symptoms and Invariance Across Age and Gender. Assessment. 2019 Apr;26(3):508-23. doi: 10.1177/1073191117714559. PMID: 28621145. *Intervention* 

4503. Sturm A, Rozenman M, Piacentini JC, et al. The Effect of Neurocognitive Function on Math Computation in Pediatric ADHD: Moderating Influences of Anxious Perfectionism and Gender. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2018 Oct;49(5):822-32. doi: 10.1007/s10578-018-0798-4. PMID: 29560540. *Intervention* 

4504. Sturman N, Deckx L, van Driel ML. Methylphenidate for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017 Nov 21;11(11):Cd011144. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD011144.pub2. PMID: 29159857. *Intervention* 

4505. Styck KM, Watkins MW. Structural validity of the WISC-IV for students with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Sep 2017;21(11):921-8. *Intervention* 

4506. Su Y, Li H, Chen Y, et al. Remission Rate and Functional Outcomes During a 6-Month Treatment With Osmotic-Release Oral-System Methylphenidate in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2015 Oct;35(5):525-34. doi: 10.1097/JCP.00000000000389. PMID: 26267421. *Design* 

4507. Subandriyo A, Jongsma MLA, Wijaya DA, et al. Offering Neurofeedback as an Intervention for Children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Indonesia: A Feasibility Study. Kobe J Med Sci. 2021 Dec 24;67(4):E125-e36. PMID: 35367999. *Intervention* 

4508. Sud N. Is it Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) or Stimulant use disorder ? How is ADHD diagnosed? European Psychiatry. 2022;65:S592. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.1516. *Design*  4509. Sudnawa KK, Chirdkiatgumchai V, Ruangdaraganon N, et al. Effectiveness of neurofeedback versus medication for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatr Int. 2018 Sep;60(9):828-34. doi: 10.1111/ped.13641. PMID: 29931709. *Power* 

4510. Sudre G, Norman L, Bouyssi-Kobar M, et al. A Mega-analytic Study of White Matter Microstructural Differences Across 5 Cohorts of Youths With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2022 Sep 26. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2022.09.021. PMID: 36609028. *Design* 

4511. Sudre G, Sharp W, Kundzicz P, et al. Predicting the course of ADHD symptoms through the integration of childhood genomic, neural, and cognitive features. Mol Psychiatry. 2021 Aug;26(8):4046-54. doi: 10.1038/s41380-020-00941-x. PMID: 33173195. *Outcome* 

4512. Sugaya LS, Kircanski K, Stringaris A, et al. Validation of an irritability measure in preschoolers in school-based and clinical Brazilian samples. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Apr;31(4):577-87. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01701-6. PMID: 33389159. *Intervention* 

4513. Sugimoto A, Suzuki Y, Orime N, et al. The lowest effective plasma concentration of atomoxetine in pediatric patients with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A non-randomized prospective interventional study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2021 Jul 9;100(27):e26552. doi: 10.1097/md.00000000026552. PMID: 34232195. *Intervention* 

4514. Sujar A, Bayona S, Delgado-Gómez D, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Assessment Based on Patient Behavior Exhibited in a Car Video Game: A Pilot Study. Brain Sciences. 2022;12(7). doi: 10.3390/brainsci12070877. *Outcome* 

4515. Sukhodolsky DG, Landeros-Weisenberger A, Scahill L, et al. Neuropsychological functioning in children with Tourette syndrome with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Nov;49(11):1155-64. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.08.008. PMID: 20970703. *Outcome* 

4516. Sul FUoRGd. Aripiprazole Associated With Methylphenidate in Children and Adolescents With Bipolar Disorder and ADHD. 2005. *Power* 

4517. Sul FUoRGd, Tecnológico CNdDCe, Alegre HdCdP. The Role of Adverse Environment Factors, Family Functioning and Parental Psychopathology in the Response to Treatment With Methylphenidate in Children and Adolescents With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. 2006. *Intervention* 

4518. Sullivan DP, Payne L, Boulton KA, et al. Examining the pharmacological and psychological treatment of child and adolescent ADHD in Australia: Protocol for a retrospective cohort study using linked national registry data. BMJ Open. 2022 Nov 23;12(11):e064920. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-064920. PMID: 36418141. *Design* 

4519. Sullivan EL, Holton KF, Nousen EK, et al. Early identification of ADHD risk via infant temperament and emotion regulation: a pilot study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2015 Sep;56(9):949-57. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12426. PMID: 25968589. *Intervention* 

4520. Sullivan JR, Riccio CA. An empirical analysis of the BASC Frontal Lobe/Executive Control scale with a clinical sample. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2006 Aug;21(5):495-501. doi: 10.1016/j.acn.2006.05.008. PMID: 16884890. *Outcome* 

4521. Sumner CR, Gathercole S, Greenbaum M, et al. Atomoxetine for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children with ADHD and dyslexia. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2009 Dec 15;3:40. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-3-40. PMID: 20003507. *Intervention* 

4522. Sumner CR, Haynes VS, Teicher MH, et al. Does placebo response differ between objective and subjective measures in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Postgrad Med. 2010 Sep;122(5):52-61. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2010.09.2201. PMID: 20861588. *Intervention* 

4523. Sun F, Chow GC, Yu CC, et al. Effect of game-based high-intensity interval training program on the executive function of children with ADHD: Protocol of a randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 2022;17(7):e0272121. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0272121. PMID: 35901105. *Outcome* 

4524. Sun L, Jin Z, Zang YF, et al. Differences between attention-deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity: a 1H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. Brain Dev. 2005 Aug;27(5):340-4. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2004.09.004. PMID: 16023548. *Intervention* 

4525. Sun S, Kuja-Halkola R, Faraone SV, et al. Association of Psychiatric Comorbidity With the Risk of Premature Death Among Children and Adults With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. JAMA Psychiatry. 2019 Nov 1;76(11):1141-9. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.1944. PMID: 31389973. *Intervention* 

4526. Sun TH, Kim HJ, Cho CH. 3.15 A Pilot Study for the Effectiveness of Digital Therapeutics as an Additive Treatment for Improving the Clinical Symptoms of ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S232. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.09.294. *Design* 

4527. Sun Y, Jia T, Barker ED, et al. Associations of DNA Methylation With Behavioral Problems, Gray Matter Volumes, and Negative Life Events Across Adolescence: Evidence From the Longitudinal IMAGEN Study. Biol Psychiatry. 2022 Jun 22. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2022.06.012. PMID: 36241462. *Outcome* 

4528. Sun Z. The effectiveness of verbal self-instruction program on the symptoms of ADHD: Controlled before and after study. NeuroQuantology. 2017;15(4):121-6. doi: 10.14704/nq.2017.15.4.1146. *Power* 

4529. Sund AM ZP. Does extended medication with amphetamine or methylphenidate reduce growth in hyperactive children? Nord J Psychiatry. 2002;56(1):53-7. *Intervention* 

4530. Sundbakk LM, Gran JM, Wood ME, et al. Association of Prenatal Exposure to Benzodiazepines and Z-Hypnotics With Risk of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Childhood. JAMA Netw Open. 2022 Dec 1;5(12):e2246889. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.46889. PMID: 36520439. *Design* 

4531. Sung V, Hiscock H, Sciberras E, et al. Sleep problems in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: prevalence and the effect on the child and family. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2008 Apr;162(4):336-42. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.162.4.336. PMID: 18391142. *Design* 

4532. Sunohara GA, Voros JG, Malone MA, et al. Effects of methylphenidate in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a comparison of event-related potentials between

medication responders and non-responders. Int J Psychophysiol. 1997 Jul;27(1):9-14. doi: 10.1016/s0167-8760(97)00746-0. PMID: 9161888. *Outcome* 

4533. Sunovion. A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Dasotraline in Children 6 to 12 Years of Age With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in a Simulated Classroom Setting. 2016. *Intervention* 

4534. Sunovion. A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Dasotraline in Children 6 to 12 Years Old With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in a Simulated Classroom Setting. 2017. *Intervention* 

4535. Sunshine JL, Lewin JS, Wu DH, et al. Functional MR to localize sustained visual attention activation in patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a pilot study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 1997 Apr;18(4):633-7. PMID: 9127023. *Outcome* 

4536. Supernus Pharmaceuticals I. Phase 2a Study of Safety and Tolerability of SPN-810 in Children With ADHD and Persistent Serious Conduct Problems. 2008. *Power* 

4537. Supernus Pharmaceuticals I. Treatment of Impulsive Aggression in Subjects With ADHD in Conjunction With Standard ADHD Treatment (CHIME 2). 2015. *Outcome* 

4538. Supernus Pharmaceuticals I. Treatment of Impulsive Aggression in Subjects With ADHD in Conjunction With Standard ADHD Treatment (CHIME 4). 2016. *Intervention* 

4539. Suravi Patra NNAVRK. Atomoxetine for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents with autism. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016041395. 2016. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=41395. *Design* 

4540. Suresh S, Lindhiem O, Goel M. Application of Sensors and Machine Learning in the Evaluation of Hyperactivity in Children. Pediatrics. 2020;146(1):9. doi: 10.1542/peds.146.1\_MeetingAbstract.9. *Design* 

4541. Surman C, Boland H, Kaufman D, et al. Personalized Remote Mobile Surveys of Adult ADHD Symptoms and Function: A Pilot Study of Usability and Utility for Pharmacology Monitoring. J Atten Disord. 2022 May;26(7):1001-10. doi: 10.1177/10870547211044213. PMID: 34693788. *Population* 

4542. Surman CBH, Fried R, Rhodewalt L, et al. Do pharmaceuticals improve driving in individuals with ADHD? A review of the literature and evidence for clinical practice. CNS Drugs. 2017 Oct 2017;31(10):857-66. *Population* 

4543. Sutarmi, Kistimbar S, Nuryanti E. Effectiveness of smart brain exercise and loving touch therapy on behavior among children with attention deficit hyperactive disorder (adhd). Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy. 2020;11(7):618-26. doi: 10.31838/srp.2020.7.87. *Power* 

4544. Sutcubasi Kaya B, Metin B, Tas ZC, et al. Gray Matter Increase in Motor Cortex in Pediatric ADHD: A Voxel-Based Morphometry Study. J Atten Disord. 2018 May;22(7):611-8. doi: 10.1177/1087054716659139. PMID: 27469397. *Intervention* 

4545. Sutoko S, Monden Y, Tokuda T, et al. Distinct Methylphenidate-Evoked Response Measured Using Functional Near-Infrared Spectroscopy During Go/No-Go Task as a Supporting Differential Diagnostic Tool Between Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Autism Spectrum Disorder Comorbid Children. Front Hum Neurosci. 2019;13:7. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2019.00007. PMID: 30800062. *Intervention*  4546. Suwan P, Akaramethathip D, Noipayak P. Association between allergic sensitization and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2011 Mar;29(1):57-65. PMID: 21560489. *Intervention* 

4547. Suzer Gamli I, Tahiroglu AY. Six months methylphenidate treatment improves emotion dysregulation in adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a prospective study. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2018;14:1329-37. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S164807. PMID: 29872300. *Comparator* 

4548. Swank JM, Smith-Adcock S. On-task behavior of children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: Examining treatment effectiveness of play therapy interventions. International Journal of Play Therapy. 2018 Oct 2018;27(4):187-97. *Design* 

4549. Swansburg R, Hai T, MacMaster FP, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on lifestyle habits and mental health symptoms in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Canada. Paediatr Child Health. 2021 Aug;26(5):e199-e207. doi: 10.1093/pch/pxab030. PMID: 34326910. *Intervention* 

4550. Swanson J, Greenhill L, Wigal T, et al. Stimulant-Related Reductions of Growth Rates in the PATS. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2006 11/01/;45(11):1304-13. PMID: EJ754442. *Duplicate* 

4551. Swanson J, Gupta S, Lam A, et al. Development of a new once-a-day formulation of methylphenidate for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: proof-of-concept and proof-of-product studies. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2003 Feb;60(2):204-11. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.60.2.204. PMID: 12578439. *Intervention* 

4552. Swanson J, L G, Pelham W, et al. Initiating Concerta(TM) (OROS® methylphenidate HCl) qd in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clinical research. 2000 01/01;3:76. *Comparator* 

4553. Swanson J, Wigal S, Greenhill L, et al. Objective and subjective measures of the pharmacodynamic effects of Adderall in the treatment of children with ADHD in a controlled laboratory classroom setting. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1998;34(1):55-60. PMID: 9564199. *Intervention* 

4554. Swanson JM, Gupta S, Williams L, et al. Efficacy of a new pattern of delivery of methylphenidate for the treatment of ADHD: effects on activity level in the classroom and on the playground. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2002 Nov;41(11):1306-14. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200211000-00011. PMID: 12410072. *Comparator* 

4555. Swanson JM, Hechtman L. Using long-acting stimulants: does it change ADHD treatment outcome? Can Child Adolesc Psychiatr Rev. 2005 Aug;14(Supplement 1):2-3. PMID: 19030517. *Design* 

4556. Swanson JM, Hinshaw SP, Arnold LE, et al. Secondary evaluations of MTA 36-month outcomes: propensity score and growth mixture model analyses. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Aug;46(8):1003-14. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3180686d63. PMID: 17667479. *Design* 

4557. Swanson JM, McBurnett K, Wigal T, et al. Effect of Stimulant Medication on Children with Attention Deficit Disorder: A "Review of Reviews". Exceptional Children. 1993 1993/10/01;60(2):154-62. doi: 10.1177/001440299306000209. *Design*
4558. Swanson JM, Sergeant JA, Taylor A, et al. 142. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. In: Pfaff DW, Volkow ND, eds. Neuroscience in the 21st Century. New York: Springer Science; 2016:4027-46. *Outcome* 

4559. Swanson JM, Sergeant JA, Taylor E, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and hyperkinetic disorder. Lancet. 1998 Feb 7;351(9100):429-33. PMID: 9482319. *Duplicate* 

4560. Swanson JM, Volkow ND. Serum and brain concentrations of methylphenidate: implications for use and abuse. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2003 Nov;27(7):615-21. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2003.08.013. PMID: 14624806. *Intervention* 

4561. Swanson JM, Wigal S, Greenhill LL, et al. Analog classroom assessment of Adderall in children with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1998 May;37(5):519-26. PMID: 9585654. *Power* 

4562. Swanson JM, Wigal SB, Wigal T, et al. A comparison of once-daily extended-release methylphenidate formulations in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the laboratory school (the Comacs Study). Pediatrics. 2004 Mar;113(3 Pt 1):e206-16. doi: 10.1542/peds.113.3.e206. PMID: 14993578. *Timing* 

4563. Swatzyna RJ, Arns M, Tarnow JD, et al. Isolated epileptiform activity in children and adolescents: prevalence, relevance, and implications for treatment. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 Apr;31(4):545-52. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01597-2. PMID: 32666203. *Outcome* 

4564. Swatzyna RJ, Tarnow JD, Roark A, et al. The Utility of EEG in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Replication Study. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2017 Jul;48(4):243-5. doi: 10.1177/1550059416640441. PMID: 27022146. *Outcome* 

4565. Sweeney KL, Ryan M, Schneider H, et al. Developmental Trajectory of Motor Deficits in Preschool Children with ADHD. Dev Neuropsychol. 2018;43(5):419-29. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2018.1466888. PMID: 29757012. *Intervention* 

4566. Swenson CC, Henggeler SW. The multisystemic therapy: An ecological model for the treatment of severe behavioral disturbances in adolescents. Familiendynamik. 2005;30(2):128-44. *Design* 

4567. Syrigou-Papavasiliou A, Lycaki H, LeWitt PA, et al. Dose-response effects of chronic methylphenidate administration on late event-related potentials in attention deficit disorder. Clin Electroencephalogr. 1988 Jul;19(3):129-33. doi: 10.1177/155005948801900306. PMID: 3416497. *Intervention* 

4568. Szatmari P, Newcorn JH. GETTING SET UP FOR MEANINGFUL MEASUREMENT-BASED CARE: OUTCOMES, INSTRUMENT SELECTION, AND IMPLEMENTATION. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S291. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.609. *Population* 

4569. Szobot C, Roman T, Cunha R, et al. Brain perfusion and dopaminergic genes in boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2005 Jan 5;132b(1):53-8. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30096. PMID: 15389753. *Intervention* 

4570. Szobot CM, Ketzer C, Parente MA, et al. The acute effect of methylphenidate in Brazilian male children and adolescents with ADHD: a randomized clinical trial. J Atten Disord. 2004 Oct;8(2):37-43. doi: 10.1177/108705470400800201. PMID: 15801333. *Intervention* 

4571. Szobot CM, Rohde LA, Katz B, et al. A randomized crossover clinical study showing that methylphenidate-SODAS improves attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in adolescents with substance use disorder. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2008 Mar;41(3):250-7. doi: 10.1590/s0100-879x2008005000011. PMID: 18327433. *Power* 

4572. Szobot CM, Roman T, Hutz MH, et al. Molecular imaging genetics of methylphenidate response in ADHD and substance use comorbidity. Synapse. 2011 Feb;65(2):154-9. doi: 10.1002/syn.20829. PMID: 20593420. *Outcome* 

4573. Szomlaiski N, Dyrborg J, Rasmussen H, et al. Validity and clinical feasibility of the ADHD rating scale (ADHD-RS) A Danish Nationwide Multicenter Study. Acta Paediatr. 2009 Feb;98(2):397-402. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2008.01025.x. PMID: 18775056. *Outcome* 

4574. Tabibi Z, Schwebel DC, Juzdani MH. How does attention deficit hyperactivity disorder affect children's road-crossing? A case-control study. Traffic Inj Prev. 2023 Mar 3:1-6. doi: 10.1080/15389588.2023.2181664. PMID: 36867075. *Design* 

4575. Tahillioğlu A, Dogan N, Ercan ES, et al. Helping Clinicians to Detect ODD in Children with ADHD in Clinical Settings. Psychiatr Q. 2021 Jun;92(2):821-32. doi: 10.1007/s11126-020-09855-x. PMID: 33130959. *Intervention* 

4576. Tahıllıoğlu A, Bilaç Ö, Uysal T, et al. Who predict ADHD with better diagnostic accuracy?: Parents or teachers? Nord J Psychiatry. 2021 Apr;75(3):214-23. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2020.1867634. PMID: 33612071. *Language* 

4577. Taipalus AC, Hixson MD, Kanouse SK, et al. Effects of therapy balls on children diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Behavioral Interventions. 2017 Nov 2017;32(4):418-26. *Outcome* 

4578. Tait AR, Voepel-Lewis T, Burke C, et al. Anesthesia induction, emergence, and postoperative behaviors in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders. Paediatr Anaesth. 2010 Apr;20(4):323-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9592.2010.03268.x. PMID: 20470335. *Intervention* 

4579. Takahashi N, Ishizuka K, Inada T. Peripheral biomarkers of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: Current status and future perspective. Journal of Psychiatric Research. 2021 May 2021;137:465-70. *Design* 

4580. Takahashi N, Nishimura T, Harada T, et al. Polygenic risk score analysis revealed shared genetic background in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and narcolepsy. Transl Psychiatry. 2020 Aug 17;10(1):284. doi: 10.1038/s41398-020-00971-7. PMID: 32801330. *Intervention* 

4581. Takayanagi N, Yoshida S, Yasuda S, et al. Psychometric properties of the Japanese ADHD-RS in preschool children. Res Dev Disabil. 2016 Aug;55:268-78. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2016.05.002. PMID: 27164481. *Language* 

4582. Takeda T, Nissley-Tsiopinis J, Nanda S, et al. Factors Associated With Discrepancy in Parent-Teacher Reporting of Symptoms of ADHD in a Large Clinic-Referred Sample of

Children. J Atten Disord. 2020 Sep;24(11):1605-15. doi: 10.1177/1087054716652476. PMID: 27261499. *Intervention* 

4583. Takeda T, Nissley-Tsiopinis J, Nanda S, et al. Factors associated with discrepancy in parent–teacher reporting of symptoms of ADHD in a large clinic-referred sample of children. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Sep 2020;24(11):1605-15. *Duplicate* 

4584. Talbot KD, Kerns KA. Event- and time-triggered remembering: the impact of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder on prospective memory performance in children. J Exp Child Psychol. 2014 Nov;127:126-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2014.02.011. PMID: 24933706. *Intervention* 

4585. Talbott E, De Los Reyes A, Power TJ, et al. A Team-Based Collaborative Care Model for Youth with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Education and Health Care Settings. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders. 2021 03/01/;29(1):24-33. PMID: EJ1286306. *Outcome* 

4586. Talebi S, Lolaty HA, Shafaat A, et al. Effect of mindfulness-based education on psychological capital of parents of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. 2019;28(170):107-19. *Intervention* 

4587. Tallberg P, Rastam M, Hallin AL, et al. A longitudinal investigation of parental ratings and performance metrics for executive functioning and symptom severity in clinically referred youth with ADHD. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2022 Sep 20:1-13. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2022.2093113. PMID: 36126650. *Intervention* 

4588. Tallberg P, Rastam M, Perrin S, et al. A longitudinal investigation of cognitive functioning and its relationship to symptom severity and academic functioning in treatment seeking youth with AHDH. Scand J Child Adolesc Psychiatr Psychol. 2021;9:52-63. doi: 10.21307/sjcapp-2021-007. PMID: 33928054. *Intervention* 

4589. Tallberg P, Råstam M, Wenhov L, et al. Incremental clinical utility of continuous performance tests in childhood ADHD - an evidence-based assessment approach. Scand J Psychol. 2019 Feb;60(1):26-35. doi: 10.1111/sjop.12499. PMID: 30452083. *Language* 

4590. Tamayo JM, Pumariega A, Rothe EM, et al. Latino versus Caucasian response to atomoxetine in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Feb;18(1):44-53. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.0132. PMID: 18294088. *Design* 

4591. Tamm L, Hughes C, Ames L, et al. Attention training for school-aged children with ADHD: results of an open trial. J Atten Disord. 2010 Jul;14(1):86-94. doi: 10.1177/1087054709347446. PMID: 19805621. *Comparator* 

4592. Tamm L, Menon V, Reiss AL. Parietal attentional system aberrations during target detection in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: event-related fMRI evidence. Am J Psychiatry. 2006 Jun;163(6):1033-43. doi: 10.1176/ajp.2006.163.6.1033. PMID: 16741204. *Intervention* 

4593. Tamminga HGH, Reneman L, Schrantee A, et al. Do effects of methylphenidate on cognitive performance last beyond treatment? A randomized placebo-controlled trial in boys and men with ADHD. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2021 May;46:1-13. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2021.02.002. PMID: 33735707. *Population* 

4594. Tamsin Newlove-Delgado APTFKMLB. A systematic review of tools and training interventions to improve management of ADHD in primary care. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020166570. 2020.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=166570. Design

4595. Tan A, Delgaty L, Steward K, et al. Performance-based measures and behavioral ratings of executive function in diagnosing attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2018 Dec;10(4):309-16. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0256-y. PMID: 29663184. *Outcome* 

4596. Tan TX, Liu Y, Damjanovic V, et al. Inattention, hyperactivity/impulsivity, and academic competence: Findings from three cohorts. Br J Educ Psychol. 2021 Jun 29:e12439. doi: 10.1111/bjep.12439. PMID: 34184249. *Intervention* 

4597. Tan-MacNeill KM, Smith IM, Johnson SA, et al. A systematic review of online parentimplemented interventions for children with neurodevelopmental disorders. Children's Health Care. 2021;50(3):239-77. doi: 10.1080/02739615.2021.1886934. *Population* 

4598. Tanaka M, Saito M, Takahashi M, et al. Interformat Reliability of Web-Based Parent-Rated Questionnaires for Assessing Neurodevelopmental Disorders Among Preschoolers: Crosssectional Community Study. JMIR Pediatr Parent. 2021 Feb 4;4(1):e20172. doi: 10.2196/20172. PMID: 33455899. *Language* 

4599. Tandon M, Tillman R, Agrawal A, et al. Trajectories of ADHD severity over 10 years from childhood into adulthood. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2016 Sep;8(3):121-30. doi: 10.1007/s12402-016-0191-8. PMID: 26830111. *Intervention* 

4600. Tang S, Liu X, Nie L, et al. Diagnosis of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) comorbid autistic traits (ATs) by applying quantitative magnetic resonance imaging techniques. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:1038471. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1038471. PMID: 36465303. *Design* 

4601. Tang S, Zhang G, Ran Q, et al. Quantitative susceptibility mapping shows lower brain iron content in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Hum Brain Mapp. 2022 Jun 1;43(8):2495-502. doi: 10.1002/hbm.25798. PMID: 35107194. *Design* 

4602. Tannock R, Frijters JC, Martinussen R, et al. Combined Modality Intervention for ADHD With Comorbid Reading Disorders: A Proof of Concept Study. J Learn Disabil. 2018 Jan/Feb;51(1):55-72. doi: 10.1177/0022219416678409. PMID: 27895238. *Outcome* 

4603. Tannock R, Schachar RJ, Carr RP, et al. Dose-response effects of methylphenidate on academic performance and overt behavior in hyperactive children. Pediatrics. 1989 Oct;84(4):648-57. PMID: 2780127. *Power* 

4604. Tantillo M, Kesick CM, Hynd GW, et al. The effects of exercise on children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2002 Feb;34(2):203-12. doi: 10.1097/00005768-200202000-00004. PMID: 11828226. *Comparator* 

4605. Taormina SP, Galloway MP, Rosenberg DR. Treatment efficacy of combined sertraline and guanfacine in comorbid obsessive–compulsive disorder and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Two case studies. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. 2016 Jul 2016 - Aug 2016;37(6):491-5. *Design* 

4606. Tarakcioglu HN, Yilmaz S, Kara T, et al. Foveal avascular zone and vessel density in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Int Ophthalmol. 2020 May;40(5):1155-62. doi: 10.1007/s10792-019-01281-8. PMID: 31912403. *Intervention* 

4607. Tarakçioğlu MC, Kadak MT, Gürbüz GA, et al. Evaluation of the Relationship Between Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms and Chronotype. Noro Psikiyatr Ars. 2018 Mar;55(1):54-8. doi: 10.29399/npa.18168. PMID: 30042642. *Intervention* 

4608. Tarakcioglu MC, Memik NC, Olgun NN, et al. Turkish validity and reliability study of the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Parent Report. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2015 Jun;7(2):129-39. doi: 10.1007/s12402-014-0158-6. PMID: 25428590. *Language* 

4609. Tarakçıoğlu MC, Gökler ME, Kadak MT, et al. Is it possible to determine the level of functional impairment that distinguishes the patients with ADHD from those without ADHD? Qual Life Res. 2019 Apr;28(4):1097-103. doi: 10.1007/s11136-018-2086-y. PMID: 30578453. *Language* 

4610. Tarchi L, Damiani S, Fantoni T, et al. Centrality and interhemispheric coordination are related to different clinical/behavioral factors in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a resting-state fMRI study. Brain Imaging Behav. 2022 Dec;16(6):2526-42. doi: 10.1007/s11682-022-00708-8. PMID: 35859076. *Outcome* 

4611. Tarver J, Daley D, Sayal K. A self-help version of the New Forest Parenting Programme for parents of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a qualitative study of parent views and acceptability. Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2021 May 26. doi: 10.1111/camh.12476. PMID: 34041842. *Power* 

4612. Taş Torun Y, Işik Taner Y, Güney E, et al. Osmotic Release Oral System-Methylphenidate Hydrochloride (OROS-MPH) versus atomoxetine on executive function improvement and clinical effectiveness in ADHD: A randomized controlled trial. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2020 Aug 6:1-12. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2020.1796667. PMID: 32757634. *Population* 

4613. Tashakori A, Riahi F, Khozuey Z. The effect of combination of pramipexole and methylphenidate in the treatment of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Minerva Psichiatrica. 2019;60(3):129-36. doi: 10.23736/S0391-1772.19.02020-X. *Power* 

4614. Tatja Hirvikoski TLSBALWUJ. Systematic review of the effect of structured parental skills training in parents with ADHD. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016036975. 2016. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=36975. *Design* 

4615. Tatlow-Golden M, Gavin B, McNamara N, et al. Transitioning from child and adolescent mental health services with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in Ireland: Case note review. Early Interv Psychiatry. 2018 Jun;12(3):505-12. doi: 10.1111/eip.12408. PMID: 28488369. *Design* 

4616. Taylor AF, Kuo FE. Children with attention deficits concentrate better after walk in the park. J Atten Disord. 2009 Mar;12(5):402-9. doi: 10.1177/1087054708323000. PMID: 18725656. *Power* 

4617. Taylor E. ADHD Medication in the Longer Term. Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother. 2019 Nov;47(6):542-6. doi: 10.1024/1422-4917/a000664. PMID: 31012801. *Design* 

4618. Taylor FB, Russo J. Efficacy of modafinil compared to dextroamphetamine for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2000 Winter;10(4):311-20. doi: 10.1089/cap.2000.10.311. PMID: 11191692. *Population* 

4619. Taylor LE, Kates WR, Fremont W, et al. Young Adult Outcomes for Children With 22q11 Deletion Syndrome and Comorbid ADHD. J Pediatr Psychol. 2018 Jul 1;43(6):636-44. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsy002. PMID: 29378061. *Intervention* 

4620. Taylor M, Houghton S. Examination-related anxiety in students diagnosed with AD/HD and the case for an allocation of extra time: Perspectives of teachers, mothers and students. Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties. 2008;13(2):111-25. doi: 10.1080/13632750802027663. *Intervention* 

4621. Taylor M, Kaplan T, Mulvey P, et al. Perceptions of waived juvenile defendants across mental health diagnoses and demographic characteristics. Int J Law Psychiatry. 2019 Sep-Oct;66:101474. doi: 10.1016/j.ijlp.2019.101474. PMID: 31706382. *Intervention* 

4622. Taylor MJ, Larsson H, Gillberg C, et al. Investigating the childhood symptom profile of community-based individuals diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder as adults. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019 Mar;60(3):259-66. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12988. PMID: 30338854. *Population* 

4623. Tcheremissine OV, Lieving LM. Once-daily medications for the pharmacological management of ADHD in adults. Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management. 2009;5(1):367-79. doi: 10.2147/tcrm.s4206. *Population* 

4624. Tcheremissine OV, Salazar JO. Pharmacotherapy of adult attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: review of evidence-based practices and future directions. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2008 Jun;9(8):1299-310. doi: 10.1517/14656566.9.8.1299. PMID: 18473705. *Population* 

4625. Tegelbeckers J, Schares L, Lederer A, et al. Task-Irrelevant Novel Sounds Improve Attentional Performance in Children With and Without ADHD. Front Psychol. 2015;6:1970. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01970. PMID: 26779082. *Timing* 

4626. Tegtmejer T. ADHD as a classroom diagnosis. An exploratory study of teachers' strategies for addressing 'ADHD classroom behaviour'. Emotional & Behavioural Difficulties. 2019 Sep 2019;24(3):239-53. *Design* 

4627. Tehranchi A, Younessian F, Fadaei V, et al. The Effect of Methylphenidate on Cervical Vertebral Maturation and Dental Age in Patients with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. J Dent (Shiraz). 2018 Sep;19(3):197-205. PMID: 30175189. *Intervention* 

4628. Tehrani-Doost M, Moallemi S, Shahrivar Z. An open-label trial of reboxetine in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Apr;18(2):179-84. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.0034. PMID: 18439114. *Intervention* 

4629. Tehrani-Doost M, Shahrivar Z, Pakbaz B, et al. Normative data and psychometric properties of the child behavior checklist and teacher rating form in an Iranian community sample. Iran J Pediatr. 2011 Sep;21(3):331-42. PMID: 23056810. *Intervention* 

4630. Teicher MH, Bolger E, Hafezi P, et al. Open assessment of the therapeutic and ratedependent effects of brain balance center® and interactive metronome® exercises on children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2023 Jan;319:114973. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2022.114973. PMID: 36446221. *Power* 

4631. Temizsoy H, Özlü-Erkilic Z, Ohmann S, et al. Influence of psychopharmacotherapy on the quality of life of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 2019 Aug 2019;29(6):419-25. *Intervention* 

4632. Tenenbaum RB, Musser ED, Raiker JS, et al. Specificity of reward sensitivity and parasympathetic-based regulation among children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity and disruptive behavior disorders. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2018 Jul 2018;46(5):965-77. *Intervention* 

4633. Teo SHJ, Poh XWW, Lee TS, et al. Brain-computer interface based attention and social cognition training programme for children with ASD and co-occurring ADHD: A feasibility trial. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders. 2021;89. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2021.101882. *Population* 

4634. ter Laak MA, Temmink AH, Koeken A, et al. Recognition of impaired atomoxetine metabolism because of low CYP2D6 activity. Pediatr Neurol. 2010 Sep;43(3):159-62. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2010.04.004. PMID: 20691935. *Intervention* 

4635. Tercyak KP, Audrain-McGovern J. Personality differences associated with smoking experimentation among adolescents with and without comorbid symptoms of ADHD. Subst Use Misuse. 2003 Dec;38(14):1953-70. doi: 10.1081/ja-120025121. PMID: 14677777. *Intervention* 

4636. Tercyak KP, Peshkin BN, Walker LR, et al. Cigarette smoking among youth with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Clinical phenomenology, comorbidity, and genetics. Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings. 2002;9(1):35-50. doi: 10.1023/A:1014183912859. *Intervention* 

4637. Tesei A, Crippa A, Ceccarelli SB, et al. The potential relevance of docosahexaenoic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid to the etiopathogenesis of childhood neuropsychiatric disorders. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Sep;26(9):1011-30. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0932-4. PMID: 27988864. *Population* 

4638. Thapar A, Riglin L. The importance of a developmental perspective in Psychiatry: what do recent genetic-epidemiological findings show? Mol Psychiatry. 2020 Aug;25(8):1631-9. doi: 10.1038/s41380-020-0648-1. PMID: 31959848. *Intervention* 

4639. Tharoor H, Lobos EA, Todd RD, et al. Association of dopamine, serotonin, and nicotinic gene polymorphisms with methylphenidate response in ADHD. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2008 Jun 5;147b(4):527-30. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30637. PMID: 17948872. *Intervention* 

4640. The University of Texas Health Science Center H, Health NIoM. Methylphenidate for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Autism in Children. 2005. *Power* 

4641. Therapeutics N. Classroom Study to Assess Efficacy and Safety of MTS in Pediatric Patients Aged 6-12 With ADHD. 2004. *Intervention* 

4642. Therapeutics N. Characterization of Dermal Reactions in Pediatric Patients With ADHD Using DAYTRANA. 2007. *Intervention* 

4643. Therapeutics N. Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Methylphenidate Transdermal System (MTS) in Adolescents Aged 13-17 Years With ADHD. 2007. *Intervention* 

4644. Therapeutics N, Noven Pharmaceuticals I. Safety and Tolerability of SPD485 in Children Aged 6-12 Diagnosed With ADHD and Previously Participated in MTS Trials. 2004. *Intervention* 

4645. Therapeutics N, Noven Pharmaceuticals I. Safety & Tolerability of MTS in Children Aged 6-12 Diagnosed With ADHD & Previously Treated With Extended-Release Methylphenidate Therapy. 2005. *Intervention* 

4646. Therribout N, van Kernebeek MW, Vorspan F, et al. International consensus statement for the screening, diagnosis, and treatment of adolescents with concurrent attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and substance use disorder. Neuropsychiatrie de l'Enfance et de l'Adolescence. 2023;71(1):25-34. doi: 10.1016/j.neurenf.2022.11.004. *Language* 

4647. Thiruchelvam D, Charach A, Schachar RJ. Moderators and mediators of long-term adherence to stimulant treatment in children with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001 Aug;40(8):922-8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200108000-00014. PMID: 11501692. *Intervention* 

4648. Thomas CR, Ayoub M, Rosenberg L, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder & pediatric burn injury: a preliminary retrospective study. Burns. 2004 May;30(3):221-3. doi: 10.1016/j.burns.2003.10.013. PMID: 15082347. *Intervention* 

4649. Thome J, Dittmann RW, Greenhill LL, et al. Predictors of relapse or maintenance of response in pediatric and adult patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder following discontinuation of long-term treatment with atomoxetine. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2017 Dec;9(4):219-29. doi: 10.1007/s12402-017-0227-8. PMID: 28477289. *Comparator* 

4650. Thompson AE, Nazir SA, Abbas MJ, et al. Switching from immediate- to sustainedrelease psychostimulants in routine treatment of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatric Bulletin. 2006;30(7):247-50. doi: 10.1192/pb.30.7.247. *Intervention* 

4651. Thompson MJ, Au A, Laver-Bradbury C, et al. Adapting an attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder parent training intervention to different cultural contexts: The experience of implementing the New Forest Parenting Programme in China, Denmark, Hong Kong, Japan, and the United Kingdom. Psych J. 2017 Mar;6(1):83-97. doi: 10.1002/pchj.159. PMID: 28371554. *Design* 

4652. Thompson MJJ L-BC, Ayres M, et al. A small-scale randomized controlled trial of the revised New Forest Parenting Programme for preschoolers with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009;18(10):605-16. *Power* 

4653. Thompson T, Howell S, Davis S, et al. Current survey of early childhood intervention services in infants and young children with sex chromosome aneuploidies. Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet. 2020 Jun;184(2):414-27. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.c.31785. PMID: 32449585. *Intervention* 

4654. Thomson JB, Varley CK. Prediction of stimulant response in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 1998;8(2):125-32. doi: 10.1089/cap.1998.8.125. PMID: 9730078. *Timing*  4655. Thomson P, Vijayakumar N, Johnson KA, et al. Longitudinal trajectories of sustained attention development in children and adolescents with ADHD. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2020 Dec 2020;48(12):1529-42. *Design* 

4656. Thöne AK, Görtz-Dorten A, Altenberger P, et al. Toward a Dimensional Assessment of Externalizing Disorders in Children: Reliability and Validity of a Semi-Structured Parent Interview. Front Psychol. 2020;11:1840. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01840. PMID: 32849082. *Language* 

4657. Thöne AK, Junghänel M, Görtz-Dorten A, et al. Disentangling symptoms of externalizing disorders in children using multiple measures and informants. Psychol Assess. 2021 Aug 26. doi: 10.1037/pas0001053. PMID: 34435849. *Intervention* 

4658. Thongseiratch T, Worachotekamjorn J. Impact of the DSM-V attention deficit hyperactivity disorder criteria for diagnosing children with high IQ. Psychological Reports. 2016 Oct 2016;119(2):365-73. *Outcome* 

4659. Thorell LB, Chistiansen H, Hammar M, et al. Standardization and cross-cultural comparisons of the Swedish Conners 3(®) rating scales. Nord J Psychiatry. 2018 Nov;72(8):613-20. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2018.1513067. PMID: 30269665. *Language* 

4660. Thorell LB, Holst Y, Sjöwall D. Quality of life in older adults with ADHD: links to ADHD symptom levels and executive functioning deficits. Nord J Psychiatry. 2019 Oct;73(7):409-16. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2019.1646804. PMID: 31380715. *Population* 

4661. Thorell LB EL, Brocki KC, et al. . Childhood executive function inventory (CHEXI): a promising measure for identifying young children with ADHD? . J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2010;32(1):38-43. doi: 10.1080/13803390902806527. *Language* 

4662. Thorsen AL, Meza J, Hinshaw S, et al. Processing Speed Mediates the Longitudinal Association between ADHD Symptoms and Preadolescent Peer Problems. Front Psychol. 2017;8:2154. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02154. PMID: 29487545. *Intervention* 

4663. Thorsteinsdottir S, Olsen A, Olafsdottir AS. Fussy Eating among Children and Their Parents: Associations in Parent-Child Dyads, in a Sample of Children with and without Neurodevelopmental Disorders. Nutrients. 2021 Jun 25;13(7). doi: 10.3390/nu13072196. PMID: 34202394. *Intervention* 

4664. Thursina C, Nurputra DK, Harahap ISK, et al. Determining the association between polymorphisms of the DAT1 and DRD4 genes with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children from Java Island. Neurol Int. 2020 Jul 10;12(1):8292. doi: 10.4081/ni.2020.8292. PMID: 32774820. *Intervention* 

4665. Thurstone C, Riggs PD, Salomonsen-Sautel S, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of atomoxetine for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adolescents with substance use disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Jun;49(6):573-82. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.02.013. PMID: 20494267. *Population* 

4666. Thurstone C, Salomensen-Sautel S, Riggs PD. How adolescents with substance use disorder spend research payments. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2010 Oct 1;111(3):262-4. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2010.04.016. PMID: 20627618. *Intervention* 

4667. Thygesen M, Holst GJ, Hansen B, et al. Exposure to air pollution in early childhood and the association with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Environ Res. 2020 Apr;183:108930. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2019.108930. PMID: 31810593. *Intervention* 

4668. Tian L, Jiang T, Liang M, et al. Enhanced resting-state brain activities in ADHD patients: a fMRI study. Brain Dev. 2008 May;30(5):342-8. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2007.10.005. PMID: 18060712. *Outcome* 

4669. Tian L, Jiang T, Wang Y, et al. Altered resting-state functional connectivity patterns of anterior cingulate cortex in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neurosci Lett. 2006 May 29;400(1-2):39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2006.02.022. PMID: 16510242. *Intervention* 

4670. Tiantian Meng XLBXJLYH. Trace element supplements in the treatment of ADHD: a meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016038240. 2016. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=38240. *Design* 

4671. Tien Y-M, Chen VC-H, Lo T-S, et al. Deficits in auditory sensory discrimination among children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2019 May 1, 2019;28(5):645-53. *Intervention* 

4672. Tien YM, Chen VC, Lo TS, et al. Deficits in auditory sensory discrimination among children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 May;28(5):645-53. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1228-7. PMID: 30229307. *Intervention* 

4673. Tillman R, Geller B. Controlled study of switching from attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder to a prepubertal and early adolescent bipolar I disorder phenotype during 6-year prospective follow-up: rate, risk, and predictors. Dev Psychopathol. 2006 Fall;18(4):1037-53. doi: 10.1017/s0954579406060512. PMID: 17064428. *Intervention* 

4674. Timimi S. Developing nontoxic approaches to helping children who could be diagnosed with ADHD and their families: Reflections of a United Kingdom clinician. Ethical Human Psychology and Psychiatry. 2004;6(1):41-52. *Design* 

4675. Tippairote T, Temviriyanukul P, Benjapong W, et al. Hair Zinc and Severity of Symptoms Are Increased in Children with Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder: a Hair Multi-Element Profile Study. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2017 Oct;179(2):185-94. doi: 10.1007/s12011-017-0978-2. PMID: 28251481. *Intervention* 

4676. Tirosh E, Tal Y, Jaffe M. CPAP treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea and neurodevelopmental deficits. Acta Paediatr. 1995 Jul;84(7):791-4. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.1995.tb13758.x. PMID: 7549299. *Population* 

4677. Titheradge D, Godfrey J, Eke H, et al. Why young people stop taking their attention deficit hyperactivity disorder medication: A thematic analysis of interviews with young people. Child Care Health Dev. 2022 Sep;48(5):724-35. doi: 10.1111/cch.12978. PMID: 35102579. *Design* 

4678. Tjon Pian Gi CV, Broeren JPA, Starreveld JS, et al. Melatonin for treatment of sleeping disorders in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a preliminary open label study. Eur J Pediatr. 2003 Jul;162(7-8):554-5. doi: 10.1007/s00431-003-1207-x. PMID: 12783318. *Comparator* 

4679. Tobaiqy M SD, Helms PJ, et al. Parental reporting of adverse drug reactions associated with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medications in children attending specialist paediatric clinics in the UK. Drug Saf. 2011 Mar 1;34(3):211-9. doi: 10.2165/11586050-00000000000. *Design* 

4680. Tobarra-Sanchez E, Riglin L, Agha SS, et al. Preschool development, temperament and genetic liability as early markers of childhood ADHD: A cohort study. JCPP Adv. 2022 Sep;2(3):e12099. doi: 10.1002/jcv2.12099. PMID: 36478889. *Outcome* 

4681. Tobias Banaschewski DBJBDCSCDDRDMDMFMHCHEKMLVPARK. Adaptive cognitive training for individuals with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a European ADHD guidelines group meta-analysis of clinical and neuropsychological outcomes from randomized controlled trials in children, adolescents, and adults. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021229279. 2021.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=229279. Design

4682. Tobón SSH, Suárez PD, Pérez EB, et al. Lisdexamfetamine Alters BOLD-fMRI Activations Induced by Odor Cues in Impulsive Children. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets. 2020;19(4):290-305. doi: 10.2174/1871527319666200613222502. PMID: 32533819. *Population* 

4683. Todd RD, Huang H, Todorov AA, et al. Predictors of stability of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder subtypes from childhood to young adulthood. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Jan;47(1):76-85. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31815a6aca. PMID: 18174828. *Intervention* 

4684. Todd RD, Jong YJ, Lobos EA, et al. No association of the dopamine transporter gene 3' VNTR polymorphism with ADHD subtypes in a population sample of twins. Am J Med Genet. 2001 Dec 8;105(8):745-8. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.1611. PMID: 11803523. *Intervention* 

4685. Todd RD, Neuman RJ. Gene-environment interactions in the development of combined type ADHD: evidence for a synapse-based model. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2007 Dec 5;144b(8):971-5. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30640. PMID: 17955458. *Intervention* 

4686. Tohidi S, Bidabadi E, Khosousi MJ, et al. Effects of Iron Supplementation on Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children Treated with Methylphenidate. Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci. 2021 Nov 30;19(4):712-20. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2021.19.4.712. PMID: 34690126. *Power* 

4687. Tokuda T, Ikeda T, Monden Y, et al. Methylphenidate-Elicited Distinct Neuropharmacological Activation Patterns Between Medication-Naïve Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Children With and Without Comorbid Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Functional NearInfrared Spectroscopy Study. Neuropsychiatry. 2018;8(3):917-29. *Intervention* 

4688. Tomczyk S, Schlick S, Gansler T, et al. Continuum beliefs of mental illness: a systematic review of measures. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2022 Aug 5. doi: 10.1007/s00127-022-02345-4. PMID: 35927343. *Population* 

4689. Tommiska V, Lano A, Kleemola P, et al. Analysis of neurodevelopmental outcomes of preadolescents born with extremely low weight revealed impairments in multiple developmental domains despite absence of cognitive impairment. Health Sci Rep. 2020 Sep;3(3):e180. doi: 10.1002/hsr2.180. PMID: 32832703. *Intervention* 

4690. Tonetti L, Occhionero M, Boreggiani M, et al. Sleep and Prospective Memory: A Retrospective Study in Different Clinical Populations. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Aug 22;17(17). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17176113. PMID: 32842672. *Intervention* 

4691. Toomey SL, Chan E, Ratner JA, et al. The patient-centered medical home, practice patterns, and functional outcomes for children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Acad Pediatr. 2011 Nov-Dec;11(6):500-7. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2011.08.010. PMID: 21967721. *Intervention* 

4692. Topal Z, Tufan AE, Karadag M, et al. Evaluation of peripheral inflammatory markers, serum B12, folate, ferritin levels and clinical correlations in children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Nord J Psychiatry. 2021 Jul 7:1-8. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2021.1946712. PMID: 34232109. *Outcome* 

4693. Toplak ME, Jain U, Tannock R. Executive and motivational processes in adolescents with Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Behavioral and Brain Functions. 2005;1. doi: 10.1186/1744-9081-1-8. *Intervention* 

4694. Toplak ME, Rucklidge JJ, Hetherington R, et al. Time perception deficits in attentiondeficit/ hyperactivity disorder and comorbid reading difficulties in child and adolescent samples. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2003 Sep;44(6):888-903. doi: 10.1111/1469-7610.00173. PMID: 12959497. *Intervention* 

4695. Toplak ME, Tannock R. Tapping and anticipation performance in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Percept Mot Skills. 2005 Jun;100(3 Pt 1):659-75. doi: 10.2466/pms.100.3.659-675. PMID: 16060425. *Intervention* 

4696. Toren P, Eldar S, Sela BA, et al. Zinc deficiency in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 1996 Dec 15;40(12):1308-10. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(96)00310-1. PMID: 8959299. *Intervention* 

4697. Toren P, Rehavi M, Luski A, et al. Decreased platelet vesicular monoamine transporter density in children and adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2005 Mar;15(2):159-62. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2004.07.002. PMID: 15695060. *Intervention* 

4698. Toronto Uo, Foundation S, Addiction Cf, et al. A Pilot Trial of Homeopathic Treatment for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2010. *Population* 

4699. Torres AR, Whitney J, Gonzalez-Heydrich J. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in pediatric patients with epilepsy: review of pharmacological treatment. Epilepsy Behav. 2008 Feb;12(2):217-33. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2007.08.001. PMID: 18065271. *Design* 

4700. Torrioli M, Vernacotola S, Setini C, et al. Treatment with valproic acid ameliorates ADHD symptoms in fragile X syndrome boys. Am J Med Genet A. 2010 Jun;152a(6):1420-7. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.a.33484. PMID: 20503316. *Intervention* 

4701. Torrioli MG, Vernacotola S, Peruzzi L, et al. A double-blind, parallel, multicenter comparison of L-acetylcarnitine with placebo on the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in fragile X syndrome boys. Am J Med Genet A. 2008 Apr 1;146a(7):803-12. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.a.32268. PMID: 18286595. *Power* 

4702. Torun YT, Güney E, Aral A, et al. Determination of Serum Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Levels in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Case Control Study. Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci. 2019 Nov 20;17(4):517-22. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2019.17.4.517. PMID: 31671489. *Intervention* 

4703. Torvik FA, Eilertsen EM, McAdams TA, et al. Mechanisms linking parental educational attainment with child ADHD, depression, and academic problems: a study of extended families in The Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 Sep;61(9):1009-18. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13197. PMID: 31957030. *Intervention* 

4704. Toufic Seblany H, Ștefania Dinu I, Safer M, et al. Pharmacological treatment in stabilizing the symptoms in children with ADHD symptoms. Farmacia. 2013;61(5):1000-8. *Comparator* 

4705. Toussaint A, Petermann F, Schmidt S, et al. Effectiveness of behavioral therapy on attention regulation and executive functioning in children and adolescents with ADHD. Zeitschrift fur Psychiatrie, Psychologie und Psychotherapie. 2011;59(1):25-36. doi: 10.1024/1661-4747/a000049. *Language* 

4706. Tovo-Rodrigues L, Schneider BC, Martins-Silva T, et al. Is intrauterine exposure to acetaminophen associated with emotional and hyperactivity problems during childhood? Findings from the 2004 Pelotas birth cohort. BMC Psychiatry. 2018 Nov 20;18(1):368. doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1942-1. PMID: 30458756. *Intervention* 

4707. Trace ME, Feygin YB, Williams PG, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Practice Patterns: A Survey of Kentucky Pediatric Providers. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2021 Nov 17. doi: 10.1097/dbp.000000000001037. PMID: 34799539. *Design* 

4708. Traicu A, Grizenko N, Fortier M-È, et al. Acute blood pressure change with methylphenidate is associated with improvement in attention performance in children with ADHD. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry. 2020 Jan 10, 2020;96. *Timing* 

4709. Tramontina S, Zeni CP, Ketzer CR, et al. Aripiprazole in children and adolescents with bipolar disorder comorbid with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a pilot randomized clinical trial. J Clin Psychiatry. 2009 Apr 21;70(5):756-64. doi: 10.4088/JCP.08m04726. PMID: 19389329. *Power* 

4710. Trampush JW, Miller CJ, Newcorn JH, et al. The impact of childhood ADHD on dropping out of high school in urban adolescents/ young adults. J Atten Disord. 2009 Sep;13(2):127-36. doi: 10.1177/1087054708323040. PMID: 18757845. *Intervention* 

4711. Treacy L, Tripp G, Baird A. Parent stress management training for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Behavior Therapy. 2005;36(3):223-33. doi: 10.1016/S0005-7894(05)80071-1. *Power* 

4712. Trickett J, Bernardi M, Fahy A, et al. Disturbed sleep in children born extremely preterm is associated with behavioural and emotional symptoms. Sleep Med. 2021 Jul 14;85:157-65. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2021.07.006. PMID: 34333198. *Intervention* 

4713. Tripp G, Alsop B. Sensitivity to reward frequency in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Child Psychol. 1999 Sep;28(3):366-75. doi: 10.1207/S15374424jccp280309. PMID: 10446686. *Intervention* 

4714. Tripp G, Luk SL, Schaughency EA, et al. DSM-IV and ICD-10: a comparison of the correlates of ADHD and hyperkinetic disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999 Feb;38(2):156-64. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199902000-00014. PMID: 9951214. *Outcome* 

4715. Tripp G, Ryan J, Peace K. Neuropsychological functioning in children with DSM-IV combined type Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2002 Dec;36(6):771-9. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1614.2002.01093.x. PMID: 12406119. *Intervention* 

4716. Tris Pharma I. Pharmacokinetic Study of DYANAVEL XR (Amphetamine) Extendedrelease Oral Suspension, in Children Aged 4 to 5 Years. 2018. *Intervention* 

4717. Trognon A, Richard M. Questionnaire-based computational screening of adult ADHD. BMC Psychiatry. 2022 Jun 15;22(1):401. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04048-1. PMID: 35706020. *Population* 

4718. Trommer BL, Hoeppner JB, Lorber R, et al. Pitfalls in the use of a continuous performance test as a diagnostic tool in attention deficit disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1988 Dec;9(6):339-45. PMID: 3220953. *Outcome* 

4719. Troost PW, Steenhuis MP, Tuynman-Qua HG, et al. Atomoxetine for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in children with pervasive developmental disorders: a pilot study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2006 Oct;16(5):611-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.16.611. PMID: 17069549. *Comparator* 

4720. Trott GE, Friese HJ, Menzel M, et al. Use of moclobemide in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1992;106 Suppl:S134-6. doi: 10.1007/bf02246258. PMID: 1546129. *Intervention* 

4721. Truedsson E, Bohlin G, Wåhlstedt C. The specificity and independent contribution of inhibition, working memory, and reaction time variability in relation to symptoms of ADHD and ASD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Jul 2020;24(9):1266-75. *Outcome* 

4722. Trust OH. ERP Based Single-dose Predictions of Stimulants. 2006. Intervention

4723. Truter I. Prescribing of methylphenidate to children and adolescents in South Africa: A pharmacoepidemiological investigation. South African Family Practice. 2009;51(5):413-7. doi: 10.1080/20786204.2009.10873894. *Intervention* 

4724. Tsai CJ, Chen YL, Lin HY, et al. One-year trajectory analysis for ADHD symptoms and its associated factors in community-based children and adolescents in Taiwan. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2017;11:28. doi: 10.1186/s13034-017-0165-4. PMID: 28580012. *Intervention* 

4725. Tsai JD, Wang IC, Chen HJ, et al. Trend of nocturnal enuresis in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a nationwide population-based study in Taiwan. J Investig Med. 2017 Feb;65(2):370-5. doi: 10.1136/jim-2016-000223. PMID: 27733442. *Intervention* 

4726. Tsai YJ, Hsieh SS, Huang CJ, et al. Dose-Response Effects of Acute Aerobic Exercise Intensity on Inhibitory Control in Children With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. 2021;15. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2021.617596. *Comparator* 

4727. Tseng PT, Yen CF, Chen YW, et al. Maternal breastfeeding and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children: a meta-analysis. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Jan;28(1):19-30. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1182-4. PMID: 29907910. *Intervention*  4728. Tseng W-L, Kawabata Y, Gau SS-F. Social Adjustment among Taiwanese Children with Symptoms of ADHD, ODD, and ADHD Comorbid with ODD. Child Psychiatry and Human Development. 2011 04/01/;42(2):134-51. PMID: EJ919817. *Intervention* 

4729. Tso W, Chan M, Ho FK, et al. Early sleep deprivation and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatr Res. 2019 Mar;85(4):449-55. doi: 10.1038/s41390-019-0280-4. PMID: 30679794. *Intervention* 

4730. Tsuda Y, Matsuo Y, Matsumoto S, et al. Population pharmacokinetic and exposureresponse analyses of guanfacine in Japanese pediatric ADHD patients. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet. 2019 Dec;34(6):365-71. doi: 10.1016/j.dmpk.2019.07.001. PMID: 31563330. *Intervention* 

4731. Tsuda Y, Matsuo Y, Matsumoto S, et al. Population pharmacokinetic and exposureresponse analyses of d-amphetamine after administration of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in Japanese pediatric ADHD patients. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet. 2020 Dec;35(6):548-54. doi: 10.1016/j.dmpk.2020.08.005. PMID: 33082099. *Intervention* 

4732. Tucha O, Prell S, Mecklinger L, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on multiple components of attention in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2006 Apr;185(3):315-26. doi: 10.1007/s00213-006-0318-2. PMID: 16521033. *Timing* 

4733. Tucker JD, Suter W, Petibone DM, et al. Cytogenetic assessment of methylphenidate treatment in pediatric patients treated for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Mutat Res. 2009 Jun-Jul;677(1-2):53-8. doi: 10.1016/j.mrgentox.2009.05.005. PMID: 19465145. *Outcome* 

4734. Tuisku K, Virkkunen M, Holi M, et al. Antisocial violent offenders with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder demonstrate akathisia-like hyperactivity in three-channel actometry. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2003 Spring;15(2):194-9. doi: 10.1176/jnp.15.2.194. PMID: 12724461. *Outcome* 

4735. Tumuluru RV, Corbett-Dick P, Aman MG, et al. Adverse Events of Atomoxetine in a Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Study in Children with Autism. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Oct;27(8):708-14. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0187. PMID: 28509573. *Population* 

4736. Tung I, Lee SS. Context-Specific Associations Between Harsh Parenting and Peer Rejection on Child Conduct Problems at Home and School. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018 Jul-Aug;47(4):642-54. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2015.1102071. PMID: 26854113. *Intervention* 

4737. Tura G. The Effect of Psychoeducation Program Based on Structural Family System Therapy on Family Functionality in Families of a Child Diagnosed with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. International Journal of Contemporary Educational Research. 2022 03/01/;9(1):164-78. PMID: EJ1340266. *Outcome* 

4738. Turan B, Esin IS, Dursun OB. The Effect of Parenting Programme on the Symptoms and the Family Functioning of Children with Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder Who Have Residual Symptoms despite Medical Treatment. Behaviour Change. 2021. doi: 10.1017/bec.2021.13. *Population* 

4739. Turan S, Ermiş Ç, Pereira-Sanchez V, et al. ADHD and Drug Holidays: Effects on Anthropometric Changes during Methylpenidate Treatment. Psychopharmacol Bull. 2021 Jun 1;51(3):10-26. PMID: 34421141. *Design* 

4740. Turgay A. Atomoxetine in the treatment of children, adolescents and adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Therapy. 2006;3(1):19-38. doi: 10.1586/14750708.3.1.19. *Design* 

4741. Türk S, Harbarth S, Bergold S, et al. Do German Children Differ? A Validation of Conners Early Childhood<sup>™</sup>. J Atten Disord. 2021 Aug;25(10):1441-54. doi: 10.1177/1087054720907955. PMID: 32172644. *Population* 

4742. Turker S, Seither-Preisler A, Reiterer SM, et al. Cognitive and Behavioural Weaknesses in Children with Reading Disorder and AD(H)D. Sci Rep. 2019 Oct 23;9(1):15185. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-51372-w. PMID: 31645633. *Intervention* 

4743. Turki Albatti SASFBMHHA-JHDJVYA. Appraisal of clinical practice guidelines for the management of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) using the AGREE II Instrument: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017078712. 2017. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=78712. *Outcome* 

4744. Türkmenoğlu YE, Esedova C, Akpinar M, et al. Effects of medications on ventricular repolarization in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2020 Mar;35(2):109-12. doi: 10.1097/yic.00000000000288. PMID: 31633572. *Intervention* 

4745. Turner D. A review of the use of modafinil for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Expert Rev Neurother. 2006 Apr;6(4):455-68. doi: 10.1586/14737175.6.4.455. PMID: 16623645. *Design* 

4746. Tyan YS, Liao JR, Shen CY, et al. Gender differences in the structural connectome of the teenage brain revealed by generalized q-sampling MRI. Neuroimage Clin. 2017;15:376-82. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2017.05.014. PMID: 28580294. *Intervention* 

4747. Tye C, Bedford R, Asherson P, et al. Callous-unemotional traits moderate executive function in children with ASD and ADHD: A pilot event-related potential study. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 2017 Aug;26:84-90. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2017.06.002. PMID: 28654838. *Intervention* 

4748. Tyson EH, Baffour TD. Arts-based strengths: A solution-focused intervention with adolescents in an acute-care psychiatric setting. Arts in Psychotherapy. 2004;31(4):213-27. doi: 10.1016/j.aip.2004.06.004. *Power* 

4749. Tzang RF, Chang YC, Kao KL, et al. Increased risk of developing psychiatric disorders in children with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) receiving sensory integration therapy: a population-based cohort study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Feb;28(2):247-55. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1171-7. PMID: 29872928. *Intervention* 

4750. Uchida M, Spencer TJ, Faraone SV, et al. Adult outcome of ADHD: An overview of results from the MGH longitudinal family studies of pediatrically and psychiatrically referred youth with and without ADHD of both sexes. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2018 Apr 2018;22(6):523-34. *Intervention* 

4751. Ucuz I, Uzun Cicek A, Cansel N, et al. Can Temperament and Character Traits Be Used in the Diagnostic Differentiation of Children With ADHD? J Nerv Ment Dis. 2021 Jul 23. doi: 10.1097/nmd.00000000001395. PMID: 34310522. *Language* 

4752. Uebel H, Albrecht B, Kirov R, et al. What can actigraphy add to the concept of labschool design in clinical trials? Curr Pharm Des. 2010;16(22):2434-42. doi: 10.2174/138161210791959845. PMID: 20513227. *Power* 

4753. Uebel-von Sandersleben H, Albrecht B, Rothenberger A, et al. Revisiting the co-existence of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Chronic Tic Disorder in childhood-The case of colour discrimination, sustained attention and interference control. PLoS One. 2017;12(6):e0178866. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0178866. PMID: 28594866. *Intervention* 

4754. Uebel-von Sandersleben H, Dangel O, Fischer R, et al. Effectiveness and safety of dexamphetamine sulfate (Attentin(®)) in the routine treatment of children and adolescents with ADHD: results from a 12-month non-interventional study. Scand J Child Adolesc Psychiatr Psychol. 2021;9:73-86. doi: 10.21307/sjcapp-2021-009. PMID: 33928056. *Comparator* 

4755. Ueda R, Takeichi H, Kaga Y, et al. Atypical gamma functional connectivity pattern during light sleep in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Brain Dev. 2020 Feb;42(2):129-39. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2019.11.001. PMID: 31761311. *Intervention* 

4756. Ulberstad F, Boström H, Chavanon ML, et al. Objective measurement of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms outside the clinic using the QbCheck: Reliability and validity. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2020 Jun;29(2):e1822. doi: 10.1002/mpr.1822. PMID: 32100383. *Population* 

4757. Ulusoy M, Borusiak P, Hameister KA, et al. Quality Assessment of Treatment of Children and Adolescents with Developmental Disorders - A Feasibility Study Using the Example of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Gesundheitswesen. 2017 Oct;79(10):e78-e84. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-121600. PMID: 28371946. *Intervention* 

4758. Unaiza Iqbal JWMK. The impact of animal assisted interventions on children and young people with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): a systematic review. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019143135. 2019.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=143135. Design

4759. Ünal D, Mustafaoğlu Çiçek N, Çak T, et al. Comparative analysis of the WISC-IV in a clinical setting: ADHD vs. non-ADHD. Arch Pediatr. 2021 Jan;28(1):16-22. doi: 10.1016/j.arcped.2020.11.001. PMID: 33309122. *Outcome* 

4760. Uneri OS, Copur M, Tanidir C, et al. Liver enzymes levels during atomoxetine treatment in children and adolescents. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S204. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Comparator* 

4761. Üneri ÖŞ, Vatandaş N, Atay G. Characteristics of ADHD first diagnosed during adolescence and comparison with patients' diagnosed at six - ten years of age. Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi. 2009;10(1):48-54. *Language* 

4762. University AT. Computer-assisted Cognitive Rehabilitation (CACR), Placebo CACR and Psycho-stimulants in the Treatment of ADHD. 2011. *Outcome* 

4763. University C. Tipepidine in Children With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (AD/HD): a Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Trial. 2015. *Outcome* 

4764. University FI. Examining Tolerance to CNS Stimulants in ADHD. 2013. Timing

4765. University G, Pharma V. Omega-3/Omega-6 Fatty Acids for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A Trial in Children and Adolescents. 2004. *Intervention*  4766. University G, University H, University R, et al. Prevention of Comorbid Depression and Obesity in Attention-deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder. 2017. *Population* 

4767. University M. Clinical and Pharmacogenetic Study of Attention Deficit With Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 1999. *Intervention* 

4768. University of Colorado D, Abuse NIoD. Bupropion for ADHD in Adolescents With Substance Use Disorder. 2009. *Population* 

4769. University of North Carolina CH, Health NIoM. Large-scale Brain Organization During Cognitive Control in ADHD. 2016. *Intervention* 

4770. University R, Research NOfS. Working Memory Training in Young ADHD Children. 2009. *Power* 

4771. University SB, Health NIoM. Medication Strategies for Treating Aggressive Behavior in Youth With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2004. *Power* 

4772. University TE. Effects of Atx and Oros-mph on Executive Functions. 2014. Outcome

4773. Ünsel Bolat G. Case report: Diagnosis and treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder in patients diagnosed with oculocutaneous albinism. Neurocase. 2020 Dec 2020;26(6):360-3. *Design* 

4774. Ünsel Bolat G, Ercan ES, Salum GA, et al. Validity of proposed DSM-5 ADHD impulsivity symptoms in children. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Oct;25(10):1121-32. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0839-0. PMID: 26979524. *Duplicate* 

4775. Unterrainer JM, Rahm B, Loosli SV, et al. Psychometric analyses of the Tower of London planning task reveal high reliability and feasibility in typically developing children and child patients with ASD and ADHD. Child Neuropsychol. 2020 Feb;26(2):257-73. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2019.1642317. PMID: 31331259. *Intervention* 

4776. Upadhyaya H, Ramos-Quiroga JA, Adler LA, et al. Maintenance of response after openlabel treatment with atomoxetine hydrochloride in international European and non-European adult outpatients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a placebo-controlled, randomised withdrawal study. The European Journal of Psychiatry. 2013;27:185-205. *Population* 

4777. Upadhyaya HP. Substance use disorders in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: Implications for treatment and the role of the primary care physician. Primary Care Companion to the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry. 2008;10(3):211-21. doi: 10.4088/pcc.v10n0306. *Intervention* 

4778. Upadhyaya HP, Brady KT, Wang W. Bupropion SR in adolescents with comorbid ADHD and nicotine dependence: a pilot study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004 Feb;43(2):199-205. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200402000-00016. PMID: 14726727. *Population* 

4779. Usher AML, Leon SC, Stanford LD, et al. Confirmatory factor analysis of the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning (BRIEF) in children and adolescents with ADHD. Child Neuropsychology. 2016 Nov 2016;22(8):907-18. *Intervention* 

4780. Ustun B, Adler LA, Rudin C, et al. The World Health Organization Adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Screening Scale for DSM-5. JAMA Psychiatry. 2017 May 1;74(5):520-7. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.0298. PMID: 28384801. *Population*  4781. Utsumi DA, Miranda MC. Temporal discounting and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in childhood: reasons for devising different tasks. Trends Psychiatry Psychother. 2018 Jul-Sep;40(3):248-52. doi: 10.1590/2237-6089-2017-0094. PMID: 30234887. *Intervention* 

4782. Uytun MC, Karakaya E, Oztop DB, et al. Default mode network activity and neuropsychological profile in male children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and conduct disorder. Brain Imaging Behav. 2017 Dec;11(6):1561-70. doi: 10.1007/s11682-016-9614-6. PMID: 27738997. *Intervention* 

4783. Uzun Cicek A, Mercan Isik C, Bakir S, et al. Evidence supporting the role of telomerase, MMP-9, andSIRT1 in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Journal of Neural Transmission. 2020 Oct 2020;127(10):1409-18. *Intervention* 

4784. Vacher C, Romo L, Dereure M, et al. Efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy on aggressive behavior in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and emotion dysregulation: study protocol of a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2022 Feb 7;23(1):124. doi: 10.1186/s13063-022-05996-5. PMID: 35130934. *Outcome* 

4785. Vadnais SA, Kibby MY, Jagger-Rickels AC. Which neuropsychological functions predict various processing speed components in children with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Developmental Neuropsychology. 2018 2018;43(5):403-18. *Intervention* 

4786. Vafaee-Shahi M, Noorbakhsh S, Shirazi E, et al. Searching the Blood Lead Level in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Case-control Study in Tehran, Iran. Open Public Health Journal. 2022;15(1). doi: 10.2174/18749445-v15-e221219-2022-64. *Design* 

4787. Vafaei A, Vafaei I, Noorazar G, et al. Comparison of the effect of pharmacotherapy and neuro-feedback therapy on oral health of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Exp Dent. 2018 Apr;10(4):e306-e11. doi: 10.4317/jced.54586. PMID: 29750089. *Outcome* 

4788. Vahabzadeh A, Keshav NU, Salisbury JP, et al. Improvement of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in School-Aged Children, Adolescents, and Young Adults With Autism via a Digital Smartglasses-Based Socioemotional Coaching Aid: Short-Term, Uncontrolled Pilot Study. JMIR Ment Health. 2018 Mar 24;5(2):e25. doi: 10.2196/mental.9631. PMID: 29610109. *Population* 

4789. Vaidya CJ, Austin G, Kirkorian G, et al. Selective effects of methylphenidate in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a functional magnetic resonance study. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998 Nov 24;95(24):14494-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.24.14494. PMID: 9826728. *Intervention* 

4790. Vaidya CJ, You X, Mostofsky S, et al. Data-driven identification of subtypes of executive function across typical development, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and autism spectrum disorders. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 Jan;61(1):51-61. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13114. PMID: 31509248. *Intervention* 

4791. Vaidyanathan S, Manohar H, Chandrasekaran V, et al. Screen Time Exposure in Preschool Children with ADHD: A Cross-Sectional Exploratory Study from South India. Indian J Psychol Med. 2021 Mar;43(2):125-9. doi: 10.1177/0253717620939782. PMID: 34376887. *Intervention* 

4792. Vaidyanathan S, Rajan TM, Chandrasekaran V, et al. Pre-school attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: 12 weeks prospective study. Asian J Psychiatr. 2020 Feb;48:101903. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2019.101903. PMID: 31865197. *Intervention* 

4793. Vaisman N, Kaysar N, Zaruk-Adasha Y, et al. Correlation between changes in blood fatty acid composition and visual sustained attention performance in children with inattention: effect of dietary n-3 fatty acids containing phospholipids. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008 May;87(5):1170-80. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/87.5.1170. PMID: 18469236. *Population* 

4794. Vakula IN, Vasyanina YS, Gorbunova ZK, et al. Efficacy of Strattera in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology. 2010;40(9):1034-7. doi: 10.1007/s11055-010-9365-6. *Intervention* 

4795. Valentin Benzing Y-KCMS. The effects of acute physical activity on executive functions in children with ADHD: a systematic literature review. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017079065. 2017. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=79065. *Design* 

4796. Valentine KD, Lipstein EA, Vo H, et al. Pediatric Caregiver Version of the Shared Decision Making Process Scale: Validity and Reliability for ADHD Treatment Decisions. Acad Pediatr. 2022 Nov-Dec;22(8):1503-9. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2022.07.014. PMID: 35907446. *Outcome* 

4797. Valko L, Doehnert M, Müller UC, et al. Differences in neurophysiological markers of inhibitory and temporal processing deficits in children and adults with ADHD. Journal of Psychophysiology. 2009;23(4):235-46. doi: 10.1027/0269-8803.23.4.235. *Population* 

4798. Valko L, Schneider G, Doehnert M, et al. Time processing in children and adults with ADHD. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2010 Oct;117(10):1213-28. doi: 10.1007/s00702-010-0473-9. PMID: 20821338. *Outcome* 

4799. Vallejo-Valdivielso M, de Castro-Manglano P, Díez-Suárez A, et al. Clinical and Neuropsychological Predictors of Methylphenidate Response in Children and Adolescents with ADHD: A Naturalistic Follow-up Study in a Spanish Sample. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health. 2019;15:160-71. doi: 10.2174/1745017901915010160. PMID: 32174998. *Design* 

4800. Vallejo-Valdivielso M, Soutullo CA, de Castro-Manglano P, et al. Validation of a Spanish-language version of the ADHD Rating Scale IV in a Spanish sample. Neurologia (Engl Ed). 2019 Nov-Dec;34(9):563-72. doi: 10.1016/j.nrl.2017.05.010. PMID: 28716394. *Language* 

4801. Van Bokhoven I, Matthys W, Van Goozen SHM, et al. Prediction of adolescent outcome in children with disruptive behaviour disorders: A study of neurobiological, psychological and family factors. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Supplement. 2005;14(3):153-63. doi: 10.1007/s00787-005-0455-x. *Population* 

4802. Van Cauwenberge V, El Kaddouri R, Hoppenbrouwers K, et al. To make a molehill out of a mountain: An ERP-study on cognitive reappraisal of negative pictures in children with and without ADHD. Clin Neurophysiol. 2017 Apr;128(4):529-37. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2017.01.008. PMID: 28226287. *Intervention* 

4803. Van Cauwenberge V, Sonuga-Barke EJ, Hoppenbrouwers K, et al. Regulation of emotion in ADHD: can children with ADHD override the natural tendency to approach positive and avoid negative pictures? J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2017 Mar;124(3):397-406. doi: 10.1007/s00702-016-1631-5. PMID: 27744615. *Intervention* 

4804. van de Weijer-Bergsma E, Formsma AR, de Bruin EI, et al. The Effectiveness of Mindfulness Training on Behavioral Problems and Attentional Functioning in Adolescents with

ADHD. J Child Fam Stud. 2012 Oct;21(5):775-87. doi: 10.1007/s10826-011-9531-7. PMID: 22993482. *Comparator* 

4805. van de Wiel NM, Matthys W, Cohen-Kettenis PT, et al. The effectiveness of an experimental treatment when compared to care as usual depends on the type of care as usual. Behav Modif. 2007 May;31(3):298-312. doi: 10.1177/0145445506292855. PMID: 17438344. *Population* 

4806. van den Berg AE, van den Berg CG. A comparison of children with ADHD in a natural and built setting. Child Care Health Dev. 2011 May;37(3):430-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2214.2010.01172.x. PMID: 21143265. *Intervention* 

4807. Van den Driessche C, Bastian M, Peyre H, et al. Attentional lapses in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: Blank rather than wandering thoughts. Psychological Science. 2017 Oct 2017;28(10):1375-86. *Intervention* 

4808. Van Den Hoofdakker BJ, Van Der Veen-Mulders L, Sytema S, et al. Effectiveness of Behavioral Parent Training for Children with ADHD in Routine Clinical Practice: A Randomized Controlled Study. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007 10/01/;46(10):1263-O. PMID: EJ778474. *Power* 

4809. van der Meer D, Hartman CA, Pruim RHR, et al. The interaction between 5-HTTLPR and stress exposure influences connectivity of the executive control and default mode brain networks. Brain Imaging Behav. 2017 Oct;11(5):1486-96. doi: 10.1007/s11682-016-9633-3. PMID: 27738993. *Population* 

4810. van der Meer JM HM, van de Loo-Neus G, Althaus M, de Ruiter SW, Donders AR, de Sonneville LM, Buitelaar JK, Hoekstra PJ, Rommelse NN. A randomized, double-blind comparison of atomoxetine and placebo on response inhibition and interference control in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder and comorbid attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2013 Dec;33(6):824-7. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e31829c764f. *Population* 

4811. van der Meer JMJ, Lappenschaar MGA, Hartman CA, et al. Homogeneous Combinations of ASD-ADHD Traits and Their Cognitive and Behavioral Correlates in a Population-Based Sample. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jul;21(9):753-63. doi: 10.1177/1087054714533194. PMID: 24819924. *Outcome* 

4812. van der Meere JJ, Shalev RS, Borger N, et al. Methylphenidate, interstimulus interval, and reaction time performance of children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a pilot study. Child Neuropsychol. 2009 Nov;15(6):554-66. doi: 10.1080/09297040902758803. PMID: 19296298. *Intervention* 

4813. van der Oord S, Bögels SM, Peijnenburg D. The Effectiveness of Mindfulness Training for Children with ADHD and Mindful Parenting for their Parents. J Child Fam Stud. 2012 Feb;21(1):139-47. doi: 10.1007/s10826-011-9457-0. PMID: 22347788. *Power* 

4814. van der Oord S, Ponsioen AJ, Geurts HM, et al. A pilot study of the efficacy of a computerized executive functioning remediation training with game elements for children with ADHD in an outpatient setting: outcome on parent- and teacher-rated executive functioning and ADHD behavior. J Atten Disord. 2014 Nov;18(8):699-712. doi: 10.1177/1087054712453167. PMID: 22879577. *Design* 

4815. van der Put CE, Asscher JJ, Stams GJ. Differences Between Juvenile Offenders With and Without AD(H)D in Recidivism Rates and Risk and Protective Factors for Recidivism. J Atten Disord. 2016 May;20(5):445-57. doi: 10.1177/1087054712466140. PMID: 23239786. *Intervention* 

4816. van der Put CE, Asscher JJ, Stams GJJM. Differences between juvenile offenders with and without AD(H)D in recidivism rates and risk and protective factors for recidivism. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2016 May 2016;20(5):445-57. *Intervention* 

4817. van der Schans J, Cao Q, Bos EH, et al. The temporal order of fluctuations in atopic disease symptoms and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms: a time-series study in ADHD patients. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Feb;29(2):137-44. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01336-2. PMID: 31020405. *Intervention* 

4818. van der Schans J, Çiçek R, Vardar S, et al. Methylphenidate use and school performance among primary school children: a descriptive study. BMC Psychiatry. 2017 Mar 29;17(1):116. doi: 10.1186/s12888-017-1279-1. PMID: 28356095. *Design* 

4819. van der Veen-Mulders L, van den Hoofdakker BJ, Nauta MH, et al. Methylphenidate Has Superior Efficacy Over Parent-Child Interaction Therapy for Preschool Children with Disruptive Behaviors. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Feb;28(1):66-73. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0123. PMID: 29131677. *Power* 

4820. van der Veen-Mulders L, van den Hoofdakker BJ, Nauta MH, et al. Methylphenidate has superior efficacy over parent–child interaction therapy for preschool children with disruptive behaviors. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 2018 Feb 2018;28(1):66-73. *Duplicate* 

4821. Van Der Westhuizen A. Evidence-based Pharmacy Practice (EBPP): Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). SA Pharmaceutical Journal. 2010;77(8):10-20. *Design* 

4822. Van Dessel J, Sonuga-Barke EJS, Moerkerke M, et al. The limits of motivational influence in ADHD: no evidence for an altered reaction to negative reinforcement. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci. 2022 May 5;17(5):482-92. doi: 10.1093/scan/nsab111. PMID: 34643738. *Outcome* 

4823. van Dijk H, deBeus R, Kerson C, et al. Different Spectral Analysis Methods for the Theta/Beta Ratio Calculate Different Ratios But Do Not Distinguish ADHD from Controls. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2020 Sep;45(3):165-73. doi: 10.1007/s10484-020-09471-2. PMID: 32436141. *Intervention* 

4824. van Dongen-Boomsma M VM, Buitelaar JK, et al. Working memory training in young children with ADHD: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2014 Aug;55(8):886-96. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12218. *Power* 

4825. van Hulst BM, de Zeeuw P, Bos DJ, et al. Children with ADHD symptoms show decreased activity in ventral striatum during the anticipation of reward, irrespective of ADHD diagnosis. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017 Feb;58(2):206-14. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12643. PMID: 27678006. *Population* 

4826. van Langen MJM, van Hulst BM, Douma M, et al. Which Child Will Benefit From a Behavioral Intervention for ADHD? A Pilot Study to Predict Intervention Efficacy From

Individual Reward Sensitivity. J Atten Disord. 2021 Oct;25(12):1754-64. doi: 10.1177/1087054720928136. PMID: 32525437. *Power* 

4827. van Leeuwen TH, Steinhausen HC, Overtoom CC, et al. The continuous performance test revisited with neuroelectric mapping: impaired orienting in children with attention deficits. Behav Brain Res. 1998 Jul;94(1):97-110. doi: 10.1016/s0166-4328(97)00173-3. PMID: 9708843. *Intervention* 

4828. Van Liefferinge D, Sonuga-Barke E, Danckaerts M, et al. Measuring child and adolescent emotional lability: How do questionnaire-based ratings relate to experienced and observed emotion in everyday life and experimental settings? Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2018 Sep;27(3):e1720. doi: 10.1002/mpr.1720. PMID: 29845690. *Intervention* 

4829. van Lieshout M, Luman M, Schweren LJS, et al. The Course of Neurocognitive Functioning and Prediction of Behavioral Outcome of ADHD Affected and Unaffected Siblings. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2019 Mar;47(3):405-19. doi: 10.1007/s10802-018-0449-z. PMID: 30079436. *Intervention* 

4830. van Lieshout M, Luman M, Twisk JW, et al. Neurocognitive Predictors of ADHD Outcome: a 6-Year Follow-up Study. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2017 Feb;45(2):261-72. doi: 10.1007/s10802-016-0175-3. PMID: 27395390. *Intervention* 

4831. van Lieshout M, Luman M, Twisk JW, et al. A 6-year follow-up of a large European cohort of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder-combined subtype: outcomes in late adolescence and young adulthood. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Sep;25(9):1007-17. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0820-y. PMID: 26837866. *Intervention* 

4832. Van Manen S, Beeres M, Oud M, et al. ADHD in primary care. Huisarts en Wetenschap. 2011;54(12):650-1. doi: 10.1007/s12445-011-0320-8. *Language* 

4833. Van Oudheusden LJ, Scholte HR. Efficacy of carnitine in the treatment of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids. 2002 Jul;67(1):33-8. doi: 10.1054/plef.2002.0378. PMID: 12213433. *Power* 

4834. van Rijn S. A review of neurocognitive functioning and risk for psychopathology in sex chromosome trisomy (47,XXY, 47,XXX, 47, XYY). Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2019 Mar;32(2):79-84. doi: 10.1097/yco.00000000000471. PMID: 30689602. *Population* 

4835. van Rooij D, Hoekstra PJ, Mennes M, et al. Distinguishing Adolescents With ADHD From Their Unaffected Siblings and Healthy Comparison Subjects by Neural Activation Patterns During Response Inhibition. Am J Psychiatry. 2015 Jul;172(7):674-83. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.13121635. PMID: 25615565. *Outcome* 

4836. van Stralen J. Emotional dysregulation in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2016 Dec;8(4):175-87. doi: 10.1007/s12402-016-0199-0. PMID: 27299358. *Design* 

4837. van Stralen J, Gill SK, Reaume CJ, et al. A retrospective medical chart review of clinical outcomes in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder treated with guanfacine extended-release in routine Canadian clinical practice. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2021;15(1). doi: 10.1186/s13034-021-00402-5. *Intervention* 

4838. Vance A, Silk TJ, Casey M, et al. Right parietal dysfunction in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, combined type: a functional MRI study. Mol Psychiatry. 2007 Sep;12(9):826-32, 793. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.4001999. PMID: 17471290. *Intervention* 

4839. Vandana P, Arnold E. Dasotraline in ADHD: Novel or me too drug? Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics. 2019 Apr 2019;19(4):311-5. *Design* 

4840. Vanzin L, Colombo P, Valli A, et al. The effectiveness of Coping Power Program for ADHD: An observational outcome study. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2018 Nov 2018;27(11):3554-63. *Power* 

4841. Vanzin L, Crippa A, Mauri V, et al. Does ACT-Group Training Improve Cognitive Domain in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder? A Single-Arm, Open-Label Study. Behaviour Change. 2020;37(1):33-44. doi: 10.1017/bec.2020.3. *Intervention* 

4842. Varigonda AL, Edgcomb JB, Zima BT. The impact of exercise in improving executive function impairments among children and adolescents with adhd, autism spectrum disorder, and fetal alcohol spectrum disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Revista de Psiquiatria Clinica. 2020;47(5):146-56. doi: 10.1590/0101-60830000000251. *Population* 

4843. Varley CK. Attention deficit disorder (the hyperactivity syndrome): a review of selected issues. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1984 Oct;5(5):254-8. PMID: 6149232. *Design* 

4844. Varley CK. A review of studies of drug treatment efficacy for attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity in adolescents. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1985;21(2):216-21. PMID: 2860692. *Design* 

4845. Varley CK, Trupin EW. Double-blind administration of methylphenidate to mentally retarded children with attention deficit disorder; a preliminary study. Am J Ment Defic. 1982 May;86(6):560-6. PMID: 7102728. *Intervention* 

4846. Vazquez AL, Sibley MH, Campez M. Measuring impairment when diagnosing adolescent ADHD: Differentiating problems due to ADHD versus other sources. Psychiatry Res. 2018 Jun;264:407-11. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.03.083. PMID: 29679844. *Intervention* 

4847. Vázquez JC, Martin de la Torre O, López Palomé J, et al. Effects of Caffeine Consumption on Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Treatment: A Systematic Review of Animal Studies. Nutrients. 2022 Feb 10;14(4). doi: 10.3390/nu14040739. PMID: 35215389. *Population* 

4848. Veenman B, Luman M, Hoeksma J, et al. A Randomized Effectiveness Trial of a Behavioral Teacher Program Targeting ADHD Symptoms. J Atten Disord. 2019 Feb;23(3):293-304. doi: 10.1177/1087054716658124. PMID: 27401241. *Population* 

4849. Veenman B, Luman M, Oosterlaan J. Further Insight into the Effectiveness of a Behavioral Teacher Program Targeting ADHD Symptoms Using Actigraphy, Classroom Observations and Peer Ratings. Front Psychol. 2017;8:1157. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01157. PMID: 28744244. *Population* 

4850. Vekety B, Logemann HNA, Takacs ZK. The Effect of Mindfulness-Based Interventions on Inattentive and Hyperactive-Impulsive Behavior in Childhood: A Meta-Analysis. International Journal of Behavioral Development. 2021 03/01/;45(2):133-45. PMID: EJ1283704. *Population* 

4851. Velő S, Keresztény Á, Ferenczi-Dallos G, et al. The Association between Prosocial Behaviour and Peer Relationships with Comorbid Externalizing Disorders and Quality of Life in Treatment-Naïve Children and Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Brain Sci. 2021 Apr 9;11(4). doi: 10.3390/brainsci11040475. PMID: 33918547. *Intervention* 

4852. Veluri N. Comparing prescribed stimulant usage for ADHD between individuals from western and non-western origins: a rapid systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020202481. 2020.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=202481. Intervention

4853. Ventura P, de Giambattista C, Spagnoletta L, et al. Methylphenidate in Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Long-Term Follow up Naturalistic Study. J Clin Med. 2020 Aug 7;9(8). doi: 10.3390/jcm9082566. PMID: 32784735. *Intervention* 

4854. Venturo-Conerly KE, Fitzpatrick OM, Horn RL, et al. Effectiveness of youth psychotherapy delivered remotely: A meta-analysis. Am Psychol. 2021 Nov 22. doi: 10.1037/amp0000816. PMID: 34807635. *Population* 

4855. Verbaten MN, Overtoom CC, Koelega HS, et al. Methylphenidate influences on both early and late ERP waves of ADHD children in a continuous performance test. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1994 Oct;22(5):561-78. doi: 10.1007/bf02168938. PMID: 7822629. *Intervention* 

4856. Vergunst F, Tremblay RE, Galera C, et al. Multi-rater developmental trajectories of hyperactivity–impulsivity and inattention symptoms from 1.5 to 17 years: A population-based birth cohort study. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2019 Jul 1, 2019;28(7):973-83. *Population* 

4857. Vergunst F, Tremblay RE, Nagin D, et al. Inattention in boys from low-income backgrounds predicts welfare receipt: a 30-year prospective study. Psychol Med. 2020 Sep;50(12):2001-9. doi: 10.1017/s0033291719002058. PMID: 31481136. *Population* 

4858. Verlaet AAJ, Breynaert A, Ceulemans B, et al. Oxidative stress and immune aberrancies in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a case-control comparison. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 May;28(5):719-29. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1239-4. PMID: 30350094. *Intervention* 

4859. Verlaet AAJ, Breynaert A, Ceulemans B, et al. Oxidative stress and immune aberrancies in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A case–control comparison. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2019 May 1, 2019;28(5):719-29. *Duplicate* 

4860. Verma S, Kushwaha S. Intelligence and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Psychosocial Research. 2016 Jul 2016 - Dec 2016;11(2):417-25. *Design* 

4861. Verret C, Guay MC, Berthiaume C, et al. A physical activity program improves behavior and cognitive functions in children with ADHD: an exploratory study. J Atten Disord. 2012 Jan;16(1):71-80. doi: 10.1177/1087054710379735. PMID: 20837978. *Comparator* 

4862. Verstraete S, Vanhorebeek I, Covaci A, et al. Circulating phthalates during critical illness in children are associated with long-term attention deficit: a study of a development and a validation cohort. Intensive Care Med. 2016 Mar;42(3):379-92. doi: 10.1007/s00134-015-4159-5. PMID: 26667027. *Intervention* 

4863. Verté S, Geurts HM, Roeyers H, et al. The relationship of working memory, inhibition, and response variability in child psychopathology. J Neurosci Methods. 2006 Feb 15;151(1):5-14. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2005.08.023. PMID: 16427129. *Outcome* 

4864. Vertessen K, Luman M, Staff A, et al. Meta-analysis: Dose-Dependent Effects of Methylphenidate on Neurocognitive Functioning in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Sep 14. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.08.023. PMID: 34534624. *Duplicate* 

4865. Vertessen K, Luman M, Swanson JM, et al. Methylphenidate dose-response in children with ADHD: evidence from a double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled titration trial. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2023 Mar 2. doi: 10.1007/s00787-023-02176-x. PMID: 36862163. *Power* 

4866. Vetrayan J, Othman S, Victor Paulraj SJP. Case series: Evaluation of behavioral sleep intervention for medicated children with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Jan 2017;21(2):168-79. *Power* 

4867. Vibholm HA, Pedersen J, Faltinsen E, et al. Training, executive, attention and motor skills (TEAMS) training versus standard treatment for preschool children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomised clinical trial. BMC Res Notes. 2018 Jun 8;11(1):366. doi: 10.1186/s13104-018-3478-3. PMID: 29884212. *Power* 

4868. Vice Chancellor for Research IUoMS. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms and stress oxidative in children. 2014. https://en.irct.ir/trial/2081. Accessed on October 6 2022. *Power* 

4869. Vickers JN, Rodrigues ST, Brown LN. Gaze pursuit and arm control of adolescent males diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and normal controls: evidence of a dissociation in processing visual information of short and long duration. J Sports Sci. 2002 Mar;20(3):201-16. doi: 10.1080/026404102317284763. PMID: 11999476. *Intervention* 

4870. Vidair HB, Reyes JA, Shen S, et al. Screening Parents during Child Evaluations: Exploring Parent and Child Psychopathology in the Same Clinic. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011 05/01/;50(5):441-50. PMID: EJ944452. *Design* 

4871. Vidal R CJ, Richarte V, et al. Group therapy for adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Apr;54(4):275-82. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2014.12.016. *Population* 

4872. Vidor MV, Panzenhagen AC, Martins AR, et al. Emerging findings of glutamateglutamine imbalance in the medial prefrontal cortex in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: systematic review and meta-analysis of spectroscopy studies. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2022 Dec;272(8):1395-411. doi: 10.1007/s00406-022-01397-6. PMID: 35322293. *Outcome* 

4873. Viering T, Naaijen J, van Rooij D, et al. Amygdala reactivity and ventromedial prefrontal cortex coupling in the processing of emotional face stimuli in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jun 13. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01809-3. PMID: 34120213. *Intervention* 

4874. Vigliano P, Galloni GB, Bagnasco I, et al. Sleep in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) before and after 6-month treatment with methylphenidate: a pilot study. Eur J Pediatr. 2016 May;175(5):695-704. doi: 10.1007/s00431-016-2695-9. PMID: 26833051. *Comparator* 

4875. Vijayakumar N, Allen NB, Youssef GJ, et al. Neurodevelopmental Trajectories Related to Attention Problems Predict Driving-Related Risk Behaviors. J Atten Disord. 2019 Sep;23(11):1346-55. doi: 10.1177/1087054716682336. PMID: 31409228. *Intervention* 

4876. Viktorinova A, Ursinyova M, Trebaticka J, et al. Changed Plasma Levels of Zinc and Copper to Zinc Ratio and Their Possible Associations with Parent- and Teacher-Rated Symptoms in Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2016 Jan;169(1):1-7. doi: 10.1007/s12011-015-0395-3. PMID: 26063047. *Intervention* 

4877. Villabø MA, Oerbeck B, Skirbekk B, et al. Convergent and divergent validity of K-SADS-PL anxiety and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder diagnoses in a clinical sample of school-aged children. Nord J Psychiatry. 2016 Jul;70(5):358-64. doi: 10.3109/08039488.2015.1125944. PMID: 26836986. *Outcome* 

4878. Villalba-Heredia L, Rodríguez C, Santana Z, et al. A Cross-Sectional Study to Measure Physical Activity with Accelerometry in ADHD Children according to Presentations. Children (Basel). 2022 Dec 26;10(1). doi: 10.3390/children10010050. PMID: 36670601. *Outcome* 

4879. Villas-Boas CB, Chierrito D, Fernandez-Llimos F, et al. Pharmacological treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder comorbid with an anxiety disorder: A systematic review. International Clinical Psychopharmacology. 2019 Mar 2019;34(2):57-64. *Duplicate* 

4880. Vilor-Tejedor N, Alemany S, Cáceres A, et al. Sparse multiple factor analysis to integrate genetic data, neuroimaging features, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder domains. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2018 Sep;27(3):e1738. doi: 10.1002/mpr.1738. PMID: 30105890. *Intervention* 

4881. Vilor-Tejedor N, Alemany S, Forns J, et al. Assessment of Susceptibility Risk Factors for ADHD in Imaging Genetic Studies. J Atten Disord. 2019 May;23(7):671-81. doi: 10.1177/1087054716664408. PMID: 27535943. *Outcome* 

4882. Viola A, Balsamo L, Neglia JP, et al. The Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) to Identify Pediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) Survivors At Risk for Neurocognitive Impairment. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2017 Apr;39(3):174-8. doi: 10.1097/mph.00000000000761. PMID: 28085741. *Population* 

4883. Virta M, Salakari A, Antila M, et al. Short cognitive behavioral therapy and cognitive training for adults with ADHD - a randomized controlled pilot study. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2010 Sep 7;6:443-53. doi: 10.2147/ndt.s11743. PMID: 20856608. *Population* 

4884. Virtanen M, Lallukka T, Kivimäki M, et al. Neurodevelopmental disorders among young adults and the risk of sickness absence and disability pension: a nationwide register linkage study. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2020 Jul 1;46(4):410-6. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.3888. PMID: 32076730. *Intervention* 

4885. Visser SN, Lesesne CA, Perou R. National estimates and factors associated with medication treatment for childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2007 Feb;119 Suppl 1:S99-106. doi: 10.1542/peds.2006-2089O. PMID: 17272592. *Power* 

4886. Vitiello B. Recent NIMH clinical trials and implications for practice. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Dec;47(12):1369-74. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31818960a7. PMID: 19034188. *Design* 

4887. Vlah N, Sekušak-Galešev S, Skočić Mihić S. Relations between teacher and student characteristics in the assessment of symptoms of inattention, impulsivity and hyperactivity related to ADHD. Socijalna Psihijatrija. 2018;46(4):372-89. doi: 10.24869/spsih.2018.372. *Population* 

4888. Vollebregt MA, Kenemans JL, Buitelaar JK, et al. Annual variation in attentional response after methylphenidate treatment. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2020 Sep 2020;29(9):1231-6. *Intervention* 

4889. Volpe RJ, Gadow KD. Creating Abbreviated Rating Scales to Monitor Classroom Inattention-Overactivity, Aggression, and Peer Conflict: Reliability, Validity, and Treatment Sensitivity. School Psychology Review. 2010 01/01/;39(3):350-63. PMID: EJ900914. *Design* 

4890. von Rhein D, Mennes M, van Ewijk H, et al. The NeuroIMAGE study: a prospective phenotypic, cognitive, genetic and MRI study in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Design and descriptives. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Mar;24(3):265-81. doi: 10.1007/s00787-014-0573-4. PMID: 25012461. *Population* 

4891. Von Sydow K, Beher S, Schweitzer-Rothers J, et al. Systemic family therapy with children and adolescents as index patients. A meta-content analysis of 47 randomized controlled outcome studies. Psychotherapeut. 2006;51(2):107-43. doi: 10.1007/s00278-006-0480-3. *Language* 

4892. Voola SI, Kumari MV. Sensory garden: piloting an affordable nature-based intervention for functional behavior of children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Current Pediatric Research. 2022;26(5):1381-5. doi: 10.35841/0971-9032.26.5.1381-1385. *Power* 

4893. Vos M, Rommelse NNJ, Franke B, et al. Characterizing the heterogeneous course of inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity from childhood to young adulthood. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Apr 3. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01764-z. PMID: 33813662. *Intervention* 

4894. Vrba K, Vogel W, de Vries PJ. Management of ADHD in children and adolescents: clinical audit in a South African setting. J Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2016;28(1):1-19. doi: 10.2989/17280583.2015.1128437. PMID: 27088273. *Intervention* 

4895. Vreeman RC, Madsen KA, Vreeman DJ, et al. Compliance with guidelines for ADHD: a pilot study of an evaluation tool. J Pediatr. 2006 Oct;149(4):568-71. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2006.07.024. PMID: 17011336. *Intervention* 

4896. Vu A, Thompson L, Willcutt E, et al. Sluggish cognitive tempo: longitudinal stability and validity. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Dec;11(4):463-71. doi: 10.1007/s12402-019-00287-7. PMID: 30788768. *Intervention* 

4897. Vugteveen J, De Bildt A, Hartman CA, et al. Using the Dutch multi-informant Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) to predict adolescent psychiatric diagnoses. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Oct;27(10):1347-59. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1127-y. PMID: 29478191. *Language* 

4898. Vugteveen J, de Bildt A, Theunissen M, et al. Validity Aspects of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) Adolescent Self-Report and Parent-Report Versions Among Dutch Adolescents. Assessment. 2021 Mar;28(2):601-16. doi: 10.1177/1073191119858416. PMID: 31257902. *Outcome* 

4899. Wada N, Yamashita Y, Matsuishi T, et al. The test of variables of attention (TOVA) is useful in the diagnosis of Japanese male children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Brain Dev. 2000 Sep;22(6):378-82. doi: 10.1016/s0387-7604(00)00168-6. PMID: 11042420. *Outcome* 

4900. Wagener N, Lehmann W, Böker KO, et al. Chondral/Desmal Osteogenesis in 3D Spheroids Sensitized by Psychostimulants. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2022;11(20). doi: 10.3390/jcm11206218. *Population* 

4901. Wagner F, Martel MM, Cogo-Moreira H, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder dimensionality: the reliable 'g' and the elusive 's' dimensions. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Jan;25(1):83-90. doi: 10.1007/s00787-015-0709-1. PMID: 25877403. *Intervention* 

4902. Wagner Gurgel GP. Pharmacological interventions for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in preschool children: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018104583. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=104583. *Design* 

4903. Wagner KD. Diagnosis and treatment of bipolar disorder in children and adolescents. J Clin Psychiatry. 2004;65 Suppl 15:30-4. PMID: 15554794. *Population* 

4904. Waldon J, Begum E, Gendron M, et al. Concordance of actigraphy with polysomnography in children with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Sleep Res. 2016 Oct;25(5):524-33. doi: 10.1111/jsr.12402. PMID: 27140929. *Power* 

4905. Waldon J, Vriend J, Davidson F, et al. Sleep and attention in children with ADHD and typically developing peers. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2018 Aug 2018;22(10):933-41. *Intervention* 

4906. Walg M, Hapfelmeier G, El-Wahsch D, et al. The faster internal clock in ADHD is related to lower processing speed: WISC-IV profile analyses and time estimation tasks facilitate the distinction between real ADHD and pseudo-ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Oct;26(10):1177-86. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-0971-5. PMID: 28283836. *Outcome* 

4907. Walhovd KB, Amlien I, Schrantee A, et al. Methylphenidate Effects on Cortical Thickness in Children and Adults with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Randomized Clinical Trial. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2020 May;41(5):758-65. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A6560. PMID: 32414901. *Power* 

4908. Walitza S, Kämpf K, Artamonov N, et al. No elevated genomic damage in children and adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder after methylphenidate therapy. Toxicol Lett. 2009 Jan 10;184(1):38-43. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2008.10.011. PMID: 19015014. *Design* 

4909. Walitza S, Renner TJ, Dempfle A, et al. Transmission disequilibrium of polymorphic variants in the tryptophan hydroxylase-2 gene in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Mol Psychiatry. 2005 Dec;10(12):1126-32. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.4001734. PMID: 16116490. *Outcome* 

4910. Walitza S, Zellmann H, Irblich B, et al. Children and adolescents with obsessivecompulsive disorder and comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: preliminary results of a prospective follow-up study. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2008;115(2):187-90. doi: 10.1007/s00702-007-0841-2. PMID: 18200431. *Comparator* 

4911. Walker LR, Abraham AA, Tercyak KP. Adolescent caffeine use, ADHD, and cigarette smoking. Children's Health Care. 2010;39(1):73-90. doi: 10.1080/02739610903455186. *Intervention* 

4912. Walker S, Venter A, van der Walt A, et al. Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptomatology and psychiatric co-morbidity among adolescents diagnosed with ADHD in childhood. South African Journal of Psychiatry. 2011;17(1):24-8. doi: 10.4102/sajpsychiatry.v17i1.261. *Intervention* 

4913. Wallace AE, Kofoed LL. Statistical analysis of single case studies in the clinical setting: The example of methylphenidate trials in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 1994;4(3):141-50. *Design* 

4914. Walls M, Cabral H, Feinberg E, et al. Association Between Changes in Caregiver Depressive Symptoms and Child Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2018 Jun;39(5):387-94. doi: 10.1097/dbp.000000000000562. PMID: 29557858. *Intervention* 

4915. Walter HJ, Vernacchio L, Trudell EK, et al. Five-Year Outcomes of Behavioral Health Integration in Pediatric Primary Care. Pediatrics. 2019 Jul;144(1). doi: 10.1542/peds.2018-3243. PMID: 31186366. *Comparator* 

4916. Walther CAP, Molina BSG, Cheong J. Substance use and delinquency among adolescents with childhood ADHD: The protective role of parenting. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research. 2011;35:210A. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2011.01497.x. *Intervention* 

4917. Walther CAP, Wang FL, Kennedy TM, et al. PROBLEMATIC ALCOHOL USE IN ADULTHOOD AS A FUNCTION OF ADHD IN CHILDHOOD, PARENTAL KNOWLEDGE IN ADOLESCENCE, AND IMPAIRMENT IN YOUNG ADULTHOOD. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research. 2022;46:268A-9A. doi: 10.1111/acer.14833. *Design* 

4918. Walz G, Karius C, Brozat LM, et al. ADHD and oligoantigenic diet - Feasibility, effectiveness and follow-up. Pharmacopsychiatry. 2022;55(6):311. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-1757659. *Design* 

4919. Wamulugwa J, Kakooza A, Kitaka SB, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) among Ugandan children; a cross-sectional study. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2017;11:18. doi: 10.1186/s13034-017-0155-6. PMID: 28413441. *Intervention* 

4920. Wan Abdullah WN, Yaacob MJ, Wei WK, et al. Validity and reliability of the translated Malay version of the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV (ADHD RS-IV). International Medical Journal. 2011;18:310-1. *Intervention* 

4921. Wan L, Ge WR, Zhang S, et al. Case-Control Study of the Effects of Gut Microbiota Composition on Neurotransmitter Metabolic Pathways in Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:127. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2020.00127. PMID: 32132899. *Intervention* 

4922. Wang B, Brueni LG, Isensee C, et al. Predictive value of dysregulation profile trajectories in childhood for symptoms of ADHD, anxiety and depression in late adolescence. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Jun;27(6):767-74. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-1059-y. PMID: 29071438. *Intervention* 

4923. Wang C, Hu Y, Nakonezny PA, et al. A Retrospective Examination of the Impact of Pharmacotherapy on Parent-Child Interaction Therapy. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Jul 28. doi: 10.1089/cap.2021.0043. PMID: 34319785. *Design* 

4924. Wang FL, Pedersen SL, Devlin B, et al. Heterogeneous Trajectories of Problematic Alcohol Use, Depressive Symptoms, and their Co-Occurrence in Young Adults with and without Childhood ADHD. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2020 Oct;48(10):1265-77. doi: 10.1007/s10802-020-00675-y. PMID: 32648044. *Intervention* 

4925. Wang FL, Pedersen SL, Joseph H, et al. Role of ADHD in the Co-Occurrence Between Heavy Alcohol Use and Depression Trajectories in Adulthood. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2019 Feb;43(2):342-52. doi: 10.1111/acer.13934. PMID: 30537147. *Intervention* 

4926. Wang FL, Pedersen SL, Kennedy TM, et al. Persistent attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder predicts socially oriented, but not physical/physiologically oriented, alcohol problems in early adulthood. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2021 Jul 10. doi: 10.1111/acer.14659. PMID: 34245175. *Intervention* 

4927. Wang L-J, Li S-C, Kuo H-C, et al. Gray matter volume and microRNA levels in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience. 2020 Dec 2020;270(8):1037-45. *Intervention* 

4928. Wang LC, Chung KKH. Co-morbidities in Chinese children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and reading disabilities. Dyslexia: An International Journal of Research and Practice. 2018 Aug 2018;24(3):276-93. *Intervention* 

4929. Wang LJ, Chen CK, Huang YS. Gender Differences in the Behavioral Symptoms and Neuropsychological Performance of Patients with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treated with Methylphenidate: A Two-Year Follow-up Study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2015 Aug;25(6):501-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2014.0175. PMID: 26262904. *Design* 

4930. Wang LJ, Chen CK, Huang YS. Neurocognitive performance and behavioral symptoms in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder during twenty-four months of treatment with methylphenidate. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2015 Apr;25(3):246-53. doi: 10.1089/cap.2014.0015. PMID: 25574708. *Population* 

4931. Wang LJ, Chou MC, Chou WJ, et al. Potential role of pre- and postnatal testosterone levels in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Is there a sex difference? Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2017;13:1331-9. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S136717. *Outcome* 

4932. Wang LJ, Chou MC, Chou WJ, et al. Does Methylphenidate Reduce Testosterone Levels in Humans? A Prospective Study in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2017 Mar 1;20(3):219-27. doi: 10.1093/ijnp/pyw101. PMID: 27816940. *Intervention* 

4933. Wang LJ, Huang YH, Chou WJ, et al. Potential disturbance of methylphenidate of gonadal hormones or pubescent development in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity

disorder: A twelve-month follow-up study. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2021 Jun 8;108:110181. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110181. PMID: 33227299. *Intervention* 

4934. Wang LJ, Huang YS, Hsiao CC, et al. The Trend in Morning Levels of Salivary Cortisol in Children With ADHD During 6 Months of Methylphenidate Treatment. J Atten Disord. 2017 Feb;21(3):254-61. doi: 10.1177/1087054712466139. PMID: 23223012. *Intervention* 

4935. Wang LJ, Lee SY, Chou MC, et al. Impact of Drug Adherence on Oppositional Defiant Disorder and Conduct Disorder Among Patients With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2018 Aug 28;79(5). doi: 10.4088/JCP.17m11784. PMID: 30192445. Intervention

4936. Wang LJ, Lee SY, Chou WJ, et al. Testicular Function After Long-Term Methylphenidate Treatment in Boys with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 Aug;29(6):433-8. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0126. PMID: 30575416. *Intervention* 

4937. Wang LJ, Lee SY, Yuan SS, et al. Prevalence rates of youths diagnosed with and medicated for ADHD in a nationwide survey in Taiwan from 2000 to 2011. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2017 Dec;26(6):624-34. doi: 10.1017/s2045796016000500. PMID: 27435692. *Intervention* 

4938. Wang LJ, Wu CC, Lee MJ, et al. Peripheral Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor and Contactin-1 Levels in Patients with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Clin Med. 2019 Sep 2;8(9). doi: 10.3390/jcm8091366. PMID: 31480710. *Intervention* 

4939. Wang M, Gu X, Huang X, et al. STX1A gene variations contribute to the susceptibility of children attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a case-control association study. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2019 Sep;269(6):689-99. doi: 10.1007/s00406-019-01010-3. PMID: 30976917. *Intervention* 

4940. Wang MJ, Jiang L, Tang XJ. Retrospective study on treatment of subclinical epileptiform discharges in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder using atomoxetine combined with sodium valproate. International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine. 2016;9(6):9612-9. *Intervention* 

4941. Wang S. YOGA FOR EMOTIONAL CONTROL IN CHILDREN WITH ADHD. Revista Brasileira de Medicina do Esporte. 2023;29. doi: 10.1590/1517-8692202329012022\_0391. *Power* 

4942. Wang Y, Huang L, Zhang L, et al. Iron Status in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One. 2017;12(1):e0169145. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169145. PMID: 28046016. *Intervention* 

4943. Wang Y, Kessel E, Lee S, et al. Causal effects of psychostimulants on neural connectivity: a mechanistic, randomized clinical trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2022 Nov;63(11):1381-91. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13585. PMID: 35141898. *Power* 

4944. Wang Y, Peng S, Liu T, et al. The potential role of clock genes in children attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Sleep Med. 2020 Jul;71:18-27. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2020.02.021. PMID: 32460137. *Intervention*  4945. Wang Y, Wang T, Du Y, et al. Polygenic risk of genes involved in the catecholamine and serotonin pathways for ADHD in children. Neurosci Lett. 2021 Aug 24;760:136086. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2021.136086. PMID: 34174344. *Intervention* 

4946. Wangkawan T, Lai C, Munkhetvit P, et al. The Development and Psychometric Properties of the Visuospatial Working Memory Assessment (VWMA) for Children. Occup Ther Int. 2020;2020:8736308. doi: 10.1155/2020/8736308. PMID: 32292306. *Intervention* 

4947. Wannag E, Eriksson AS, Larsson PG. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and nocturnal epileptiform activity in children with epilepsy admitted to a national epilepsy center. Epilepsy Behav. 2010 Aug;18(4):445-9. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2010.05.013. PMID: 20598646. *Intervention* 

4948. Ward AR, Sibley MH, Musser ED, et al. Relational impairments, sluggish cognitive tempo, and severe inattention are associated with elevated self-rated depressive symptoms in adolescents with ADHD. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Sep;11(3):289-98. doi: 10.1007/s12402-019-00293-9. PMID: 30852727. *Intervention* 

4949. Warsaw MUo. Supplementation of Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids in Children With Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 2007. *Outcome* 

4950. Waschbusch DA, Carrey NJ, Willoughby MT, et al. Effects of Methylphenidate and Behavior Modification on the Social and Academic Behavior of Children with Disruptive Behavior Disorders: The Moderating Role of Callous/Unemotional Traits. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2007 11/01/;36(4):629-44. PMID: EJ784251. *Power* 

4951. Waschbusch DA, Craig R, Pelham WE, Jr., et al. Self-handicapping prior to academicoriented tasks in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): medication effects and comparisons with controls. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2007 Apr;35(2):275-86. doi: 10.1007/s10802-006-9085-0. PMID: 17195950. *Intervention* 

4952. Waschbusch DA, Willoughby MT. Parent and teacher ratings on the IOWA Conners Rating Scale. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2008;30(3):180-92. doi: 10.1007/s10862-007-9064-y. *Outcome* 

4953. Watanabe K, Ikeda H, Miyao M. Learning efficacy of explicit visuomotor sequences in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and Asperger syndrome. Exp Brain Res. 2010 May;203(1):233-9. doi: 10.1007/s00221-010-2217-3. PMID: 20339839. *Intervention* 

4954. Watson J, Liljequist L. Using the Personality Assessment Inventory to Identify ADHD-Like Symptoms. J Atten Disord. 2018 Sep;22(11):1049-55. doi: 10.1177/1087054714567133. PMID: 25630772. *Population* 

4955. Watts SJ. ADHD Symptomatology and Criminal Behavior During Adolescence: Exploring the Mediating Role of School Factors. Int J Offender Ther Comp Criminol. 2018 Jan;62(1):3-23. doi: 10.1177/0306624x16639970. PMID: 27056790. *Intervention* 

4956. Waxmonsky J, Pelham WE, Gnagy E, et al. The efficacy and tolerability of methylphenidate and behavior modification in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and severe mood dysregulation. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008 Dec;18(6):573-88. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.065. PMID: 19108662. *Power* 

4957. Waxmonsky JG, Baweja R, Liu G, et al. A Commercial Insurance Claims Analysis of Correlates of Behavioral Therapy Use Among Children With ADHD. Psychiatr Serv. 2019 Dec 1;70(12):1116-22. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800473. PMID: 31451066. *Intervention* 

4958. Waxmonsky JG WD, Pelham WE, Draganac-Cardona L, Rotella B, Ryan L. Effects of atomoxetine with and without behavior therapy on the school and home functioning of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010 Nov;71(11):1535-51. doi: 10.4088/JCP.09m05496pur. *Power* 

4959. Weber SA, Lima Neto AC, Ternes FJ, et al. Hyperactivity and attention deficit syndrome in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: is there improvement with surgical management? Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2006 Jan-Feb;72(1):124-9. doi: 10.1016/s1808-8694(15)30045-8. PMID: 16917564. *Population* 

4960. Webster-Stratton CH, Reid MJ, Beauchaine T. Combining parent and child training for young children with ADHD. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2011;40(2):191-203. doi: 934557198 [pii]

10.1080/15374416.2011.546044. PMID: 21391017. Power

4961. Weeks A L-BC. Behaviour modification in hyperactive children. Nurs Times. 1997;93(47):56-8. *Power* 

4962. Weerdmeester J, Cima M, Granic I, et al. A feasibility study on the effectiveness of a fullbody videogame intervention for decreasing attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms. Games for Health. 2016 Aug 2016;5(4):258-69. *Population* 

4963. Wehmeier PM, Dittmann RW, Schacht A, et al. Morning and evening behavior in children and adolescents treated with atomoxetine once daily for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Findings from two 24-week, open-label studies. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2009;3. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-3-5. *Comparator* 

4964. Wehmeier PM, Dittmann RW, Schacht A, et al. Effectiveness of atomoxetine and quality of life in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder as perceived by patients, parents, and physicians in an open-label study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2007 Dec;17(6):813-30. doi: 10.1089/cap.2007.0025. PMID: 18315453. *Comparator* 

4965. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Dittmann RW, et al. Emotional expression, ADHD-related difficulties and ADHD core symptoms: 6-month data from the comply observational study. European Neuropsychopharmacology. 2009;19:S689. doi: 10.1016/S0924-977X(09)71114-9. *Intervention* 

4966. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Dittmann RW, et al. Reasons for physicians' choice of medication in medication-naïve patients with ADHD: Baseline data from the COMPLY observational study. Current Drug Therapy. 2010;5(2):139-50. doi: 10.2174/157488510791065076. *Intervention* 

4967. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Dittmann RW, et al. Global impression of perceived difficulties in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Reliability and validity of a new instrument assessing perceived difficulties from a patient, parent and physician perspective over the day. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2008;2. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-2-10. *Intervention* 

4968. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Lehmann M, et al. Emotional well-being in children and adolescents treated with atomoxetine for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Findings from a patient, parent and physician perspective using items from the pediatric adverse event rating scale (PAERS). Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2008;2. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-2-11. *Design* 

4969. Wei H-T, Hsu J-W, Huang K-L, et al. Timing of the Diagnoses of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Autism Spectrum Disorder in Taiwan. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2021 03/01/;51(3):790-7. PMID: EJ1289469. *Design* 

4970. Wei JL, Mayo MS, Smith HJ, et al. Improved behavior and sleep after adenotonsillectomy in children with sleep-disordered breathing. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2007 Oct;133(10):974-9. doi: 10.1001/archotol.133.10.974. PMID: 17938319. *Population* 

4971. Weibel S, Menard O, Ionita A, et al. Practical considerations for the evaluation and management of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in adults. Encephale. 2020 Feb;46(1):30-40. doi: 10.1016/j.encep.2019.06.005. PMID: 31610922. *Population* 

4972. Weigard A, Angstadt M, Taxali A, et al. Efficiency of Evidence Accumulation as a Potential Mediator of the Relation Between Neural Response to Cognitive Demand and ADHD Symptoms in Youth. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2022;47:134-5. doi: 10.1038/s41386-022-01484-1. *Outcome* 

4973. Weigard A, Heathcote A, Matzke D, et al. Cognitive modeling suggests that attentional failures drive longer stop-signal reaction time estimates in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Clinical Psychological Science. 2019 Jul 2019;7(4):856-72. *Intervention* 

4974. Weiland U, Widenhorn-Müller K. Polyunsaturated long-chain fatty acids - A treatment option for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Nervenheilkunde. 2008;27(9):789-93. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1627218. *Language* 

4975. Weinbruch C, Paul I, Bauer S, et al. The influence of methylphenidate on the power spectrum of ADHD children - An MEG study. BMC Psychiatry. 2005;5. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-5-29. *Intervention* 

4976. Weisler RH, Greenbaum M, Arnold V, et al. Efficacy and Safety of SHP465 Mixed Amphetamine Salts in the Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Adults: Results of a Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Forced-Dose Clinical Study. CNS Drugs. 2017 Aug;31(8):685-97. doi: 10.1007/s40263-017-0455-7. PMID: 28712074. *Population* 

4977. Weisler RH, Pandina GJ, Daly EJ, et al. Randomized clinical study of a histamine H3 receptor antagonist for the treatment of adults with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. CNS Drugs. 2012 May 1;26(5):421-34. doi: 10.2165/11631990-0000000000000000. PMID: 22519922. *Population* 

4978. Weisler RH BJ, Spencer TJ, et al. Long-term cardiovascular effects of mixed amphetamine salts extended release in adults with ADHD. CNS Spectrums. 2005;10(12):35-43. *Population* 

4979. Weisman O, Schonherz Y, Harel T, et al. Testing the Efficacy of a Smartphone Application in Improving Medication Adherence, Among Children with ADHD. Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci. 2018;55(2):59-63. PMID: 30368489. *Intervention*  4980. Weiss G, Kruger E, Danielson U, et al. Effect of long-term treatment of hyperactive children with methylphenidate. Can Med Assoc J. 1975 Jan 25;112(2):159-65. PMID: 803405. *Design* 

4981. Weiss M, Panagiotopoulos C, Giles L, et al. A naturalistic study of predictors and risks of atypical antipsychotic use in an attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder clinic. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Oct;19(5):575-82. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0050. PMID: 19877982. *Design* 

4982. Weiss M, Wasdell M, Patin J. A Post Hoc Analysis of D-Threo-Methylphenidate Hydrochloride (Focalin) Versus D,l-Threo-Methylphenidate Hydrochloride (Ritalin) Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 0890-8567. 2004. https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ696669&site=ehostlive&authtype=sso&custid=s8983984

https://www.jaacap.org/article/S0890-8567(09)61611-7/fulltext. Intervention

4983. Weiss M HL. A randomized double-blind trial of paroxetine and/or dextroamphetamine and problem-focused therapy for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adults. J Clin Psychiatry. 2006;67(4):611–9. *Population* 

4984. Weiss MD, McBride NM, Craig S, et al. Conceptual review of measuring functional impairment: findings from the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale. Evid Based Ment Health. 2018 Nov;21(4):155-64. doi: 10.1136/ebmental-2018-300025. PMID: 30314990. *Population* 

4985. Weiss MD, Wasdell M, Gadow KD, et al. Clinical correlates of oppositional defiant disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adults. Postgrad Med. 2011 Mar;123(2):177-84. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2011.03.2276. PMID: 21474906. *Population* 

4986. Weiss MD, Wasdell MB, Bomben MM, et al. Sleep hygiene and melatonin treatment for children and adolescents with ADHD and initial insomnia. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 May;45(5):512-9. PMID: 16670647. *Power* 

4987. Weissenberger S, Schonova K, Büttiker P, et al. Time Perception is a Focal Symptom of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Adults. Med Sci Monit. 2021 Jul 17;27:e933766. doi: 10.12659/msm.933766. PMID: 34272353. *Population* 

4988. Weisz JR, Doss AJ, Hawley KM. Youth psychotherapy outcome research: a review and critique of the evidence base. Annu Rev Psychol. 2005;56:337-63. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.141449. PMID: 15709939. *Population* 

4989. Weits G, Härmark L, Hartman J, et al. Collaboration between patient and pharmacovigilance organizations to gain insight into adults' experiences with drug use and ADRs for the treatment of ADHD. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2019 Apr;18(4):333-7. doi: 10.1080/14740338.2019.1591366. PMID: 30845849. *Population* 

4990. Wells EL, Kofler MJ, Soto EF, et al. Assessing working memory in children with ADHD: Minor administration and scoring changes may improve digit span backward's construct validity. Res Dev Disabil. 2018 Jan;72:166-78. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2017.10.024. PMID: 29156389. *Intervention*
4991. Welsh JA, Bierman KL, Nix RL, et al. Sustained effects of a school readiness intervention: 5th grade outcomes of the Head Start REDI program. Early Childhood Research Quarterly. 2020 2020;53:151-60. *Population* 

4992. Wender EH. Hyperactivity in adolescence. J Adolesc Health Care. 1983 Sep;4(3):180-6. doi: 10.1016/s0197-0070(83)80374-x. PMID: 6355029. *Design* 

4993. Wenderlich AM, Baldwin CD, Fagnano M, et al. Responsibility for asthma management among adolescents with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2019 Dec 2019;65(6):812-4. *Intervention* 

4994. Wendt MS. The Effect of an Activity Program Designed with Intense Physical Exercise on the Behavior of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (Adhd)Children. Dissertation.: State University of New York at Buffalo; 2000. *Design* 

4995. Wennberg B, Janeslätt G, Gustafsson PA, et al. Occupational performance goals and outcomes of time-related interventions for children with ADHD. Scand J Occup Ther. 2021 Feb;28(2):158-70. doi: 10.1080/11038128.2020.1820570. PMID: 32955952. *Design* 

4996. Wennberg B, Janeslätt G, Kjellberg A, et al. Effectiveness of time-related interventions in children with ADHD aged 9–15 years: A randomized controlled study. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2018 Mar 2018;27(3):329-42. *Duplicate* 

4997. Werlen L, Puhan MA, Landolt MA, et al. Mind the treatment gap: the prevalence of common mental disorder symptoms, risky substance use and service utilization among young Swiss adults. BMC Public Health. 2020 Sep 29;20(1):1470. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-09577-6. PMID: 32993605. *Population* 

4998. Wernersson R, Johansson J, Andersson M, et al. Evaluation of a new model for assessment and treatment of uncomplicated ADHD - effect, patient satisfaction and costs. Nord J Psychiatry. 2020 Feb;74(2):96-104. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2019.1674377. PMID: 31596156. *Comparator* 

4999. Wernicke JF, Faries D, Girod D, et al. Cardiovascular effects of atomoxetine in children, adolescents, and adults. Drug Saf. 2003;26(10):729-40. doi: 10.2165/00002018-200326100-00006. PMID: 12862507. *Design* 

5000. Wernicke JF, Holdridge KC, Jin L, et al. Seizure risk in patients with attention-deficithyperactivity disorder treated with atomoxetine. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2007 Jul;49(7):498-502. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2007.00498.x. PMID: 17593120. *Design* 

5001. Wernicke JF, Kratochvil CJ. Safety profile of atomoxetine in the treatment of children and adolescents with ADHD. J Clin Psychiatry. 2002;63 Suppl 12:50-5. PMID: 12562062. *Design* 

5002. Werry JS, Aman MG, Diamond E. Imipramine and methylphenidate in hyperactive children. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1980 Jan;21(1):27-35. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.1980.tb00013.x. PMID: 7358801. *Power* 

5003. Werry JS, Sprague RL. Methylphenidate in children--effect of dosage. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 1974 Mar;8(1):9-19. doi: 10.3109/00048677409159770. PMID: 4606809. *Design* 

5004. Westwood SJ, Bozhilova N, Criaud M, et al. The effect of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) combined with cognitive training on EEG spectral power in adolescent boys

with ADHD: A double-blind, randomized, sham-controlled trial. IBRO Neurosci Rep. 2022 Jun;12:55-64. doi: 10.1016/j.ibneur.2021.12.005. PMID: 35746969. *Power* 

5005. Westwood SJ, Criaud M, Lam SL, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) combined with cognitive training in adolescent boys with ADHD: a double-blind, randomised, sham-controlled trial. Psychol Med. 2021 Jul 6:1-16. doi: 10.1017/s0033291721001859. PMID: 34225830. *Timing* 

5006. Wettstein R, Klabbers Y, Romijn E, et al. P.0632 The added value of cognitive behavioral therapy on quality of life in combination with pharmacotherapy in adults with ADHD. European Neuropsychopharmacology. 2021;53:S464-S5. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2021.10.597. *Design* 

5007. Wexler BE, Vitulano LA, Moore C, et al. An integrated program of computer-presented and physical cognitive training exercises for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychol Med. 2021 Jul;51(9):1524-35. doi: 10.1017/s0033291720000288. PMID: 32090720. *Population* 

5008. Whalen CK, Henker B. Therapies for hyperactive children: comparisons, combinations, and compromises. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1991 Feb;59(1):126-37. doi: 10.1037/0022-006x.59.1.126. PMID: 2002128. *Design* 

5009. Whalen CK, Henker B, Castro J, et al. Peer perceptions of hyperactivity and medication effects. Child Dev. 1987 Jun;58(3):816-28. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1987.tb01422.x. PMID: 3608652. *Intervention* 

5010. Whalen CK, Henker B, Ishikawa SS, et al. An Electronic Diary Study of Contextual Triggers and ADHD: Get Ready, Get Set, Get Mad. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2006 02/01/;45(2):166-74. PMID: EJ754375. *Intervention* 

5011. Whalen CK, Henker B, Swanson JM, et al. Natural social behaviors in hyperactive children: dose effects of methylphenidate. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1987 Apr;55(2):187-93. doi: 10.1037//0022-006x.55.2.187. PMID: 3571671. *Intervention* 

5012. White BP, Mulligan SE. Behavioral and physiologic response measures of occupational task performance: a preliminary comparison between typical children and children with attention disorder. Am J Occup Ther. 2005 Jul-Aug;59(4):426-36. doi: 10.5014/ajot.59.4.426. PMID: 16124209. *Intervention* 

5013. White D, McPherson L, Lennox N, et al. Injury among adolescents with intellectual disability: A prospective cohort study. Injury. 2018 Jun;49(6):1091-6. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2018.04.006. PMID: 29685703. *Population* 

5014. White SR, Yadao CM. Characterization of methylphenidate exposures reported to a regional poison control center. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2000 Dec;154(12):1199-203. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.154.12.1199. PMID: 11115302. *Intervention* 

5015. Whitehead JC, Neeman R, Doniger GM. Preliminary Real-World Evidence Supporting the Efficacy of a Remote Neurofeedback System in Improving Mental Health: Retrospective Single-Group Pretest-Posttest Study. JMIR Form Res. 2022 Jul 8;6(7):e35636. doi: 10.2196/35636. PMID: 35802411. *Comparator* 

5016. Whitehouse D, Shah U, Palmer FB. Comparison of sustained-release and standard methylphenidate in the treatment of minimal brain dysfunction. J Clin Psychiatry. 1980 Aug;41(8):282-5. PMID: 7400107. *Population* 

5017. Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Wendelken C, Nieto-Castañón A, et al. Association of Intrinsic Brain Architecture With Changes in Attentional and Mood Symptoms During Development. JAMA Psychiatry. 2020 Apr 1;77(4):378-86. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.4208. PMID: 31876910. *Intervention* 

5018. Whyte J, Hart T, Schuster K, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on attentional function after traumatic brain injury. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 1997 Nov-Dec;76(6):440-50. doi: 10.1097/00002060-199711000-00002. PMID: 9431261. *Population* 

5019. Widenhorn-Muller K SS, Scholz E, et al. Effect of supplementation with long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on behavior and cognition in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a randomized placebo-controlled intervention trial. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids. 2014 Jul-Aug;91(1-2):49-60. doi: 10.1016/j.plefa.2014.04.004. *Power* 

5020. Wiener J, Daniels L. School experiences of adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Learning Disabilities. 2016 Nov 2016;49(6):567-81. *Intervention* 

5021. Wiener J, Malone M, Varma A, et al. Children's Perceptions of Their ADHD Symptoms:Positive Illusions, Attributions, and Stigma. Canadian Journal of School Psychology. 2012;27(3):217-42. doi: 10.1177/0829573512451972. *Intervention* 

5022. Wiers RW, Gunning WB, Sergeant JA. Is a mild deficit in executive functions in boys related to childhood ADHD or to parental multigenerational alcoholism? J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1998 Dec;26(6):415-30. doi: 10.1023/a:1022643617017. PMID: 9915649. *Intervention* 

5023. Wierzchowski A, Sablich-Duley S, Bordes Edgar V. Variability in Neuropsychological Phenotypes in Patients with 22Q11.2 Deletion Syndrome: Case Series. Dev Neuropsychol. 2021 Jul 27:1-12. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2021.1956498. PMID: 34311629. *Intervention* 

5024. Wiest GM, Rosales KP, Looney L, et al. Utilizing Cognitive Training to Improve Working Memory, Attention, and Impulsivity in School-Aged Children with ADHD and SLD. Brain Sciences. 2022;12(2). doi: 10.3390/brainsci12020141. *Comparator* 

5025. Wietecha LA, Clemow DB, Buchanan AS, et al. Atomoxetine Increased Effect over Time in Adults with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treated for up to 6 Months: Pooled Analysis of Two Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Randomized Trials. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2016 Jul;22(7):546-57. doi: 10.1111/cns.12533. PMID: 26922462. *Population* 

5026. Wigal S, Lopez F, Frick G, et al. A randomized, double-blind, 3-way crossover, analog classroom study of SHP465 mixed amphetamine salts extended-release in adolescents with ADHD. Postgrad Med. 2019 Apr;131(3):212-24. doi: 10.1080/00325481.2019.1574402. PMID: 30681017. *Timing* 

5027. Wigal S, Swanson JM, Feifel D, et al. A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Dexmethylphenidate Hydrochloride and D,l-Threo-Methylphenidate Hydrochloride in Children with Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder Journal of the American Academy of Child and

Adolescent Psychiatry. 0890-8567. 2004.

https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ696668&authtype=sso&c ustid=s8983984

https://www.jaacap.org/article/S0890-8567(09)61610-5/fulltext. Duplicate

5028. Wigal S, Tsai J, Bates JA, et al. A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Laboratory Classroom Study of the Efficacy and Safety of Dasotraline in Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2022 Aug;26(10):1357-68. doi: 10.1177/10870547211073477. PMID: 35048745. *Intervention* 

5029. Wigal SB. Efficacy and safety limitations of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder pharmacotherapy in children and adults. CNS Drugs. 2009;23 Suppl 1:21-31. doi: 10.2165/00023210-200923000-00004. PMID: 19621975. *Design* 

5030. Wigal SB. Laboratory School Protocol Mini-Review: Use of Direct Observational and Objective Measures to Assess ADHD Treatment Response Across the Lifespan. Front Psychol. 2019;10:1796. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01796. PMID: 31496966. *Design* 

5031. Wigal SB, Childress A, Berry SA, et al. Efficacy and Safety of a Chewable Methylphenidate Extended-Release Tablet in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Oct;27(8):690-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0177. PMID: 28557548. *Timing* 

5032. Wigal SB, Childress A, Berry SA, et al. Optimization of methylphenidate extendedrelease chewable tablet dose in children with ADHD: Open-label dose optimization in a laboratory classroom study. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 2018 Jun 2018;28(5):314-21. *Comparator* 

5033. Wigal SB, Childress AC, Belden HW, et al. NWP06, an extended-release oral suspension of methylphenidate, improved attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms compared with placebo in a laboratory classroom study. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2013 Feb;23(1):3-10. doi: 10.1089/cap.2012.0073. PMID: 23289899. *Intervention* 

5034. Wigal SB, Hopkins SC, Koblan KS, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Dasotraline in Children With ADHD: A Laboratory Classroom Study. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jan;24(2):192-204. doi: 10.1177/1087054719864644. PMID: 31375051. *Timing* 

5035. Wigal SB, Kollins SH, Childress AC, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: sex and age effects and effect size across the day. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2010 Dec 14;4:32. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-4-32. PMID: 21156071. *Intervention* 

5036. Wigal SB, Kollins SH, Childress AC, et al. A 13-hour laboratory school study of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in school-aged children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2009 Jun 9;3(1):17. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-3-17. PMID: 19508731. *Timing* 

5037. Wigal SB, Maltas S, Crinella F, et al. Reading performance as a function of treatment with lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in elementary school children diagnosed with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2012 Jan;16(1):23-33. doi: 10.1177/1087054710378008. PMID: 20978273. *Comparator* 

5038. Wigal SB, Nordbrock E, Adjei AL, et al. Efficacy of Methylphenidate Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsules (Aptensio XR) in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Phase III, Randomized, Double-Blind Study. CNS Drugs. 2015 Apr;29(4):331-40. doi: 10.1007/s40263-015-0241-3. PMID: 25877989. *Timing* 

5039. Wigal SB, Wigal TL. The laboratory school protocol: its origin, use, and new applications. J Atten Disord. 2006 Aug;10(1):92-111. doi: 10.1177/1087054705286049. PMID: 16840597. *Design* 

5040. Wigal SB, Wigal TL. Special considerations in diagnosing and treating attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. CNS Spectr. 2007 Jun;12(6 Suppl 9):1-14; quiz 5-6. doi: 10.1017/s1092852900026092. PMID: 17545959. *Design* 

5041. Wigal SB GL, Nordbrock E, Connor DF, Kollins SH, Adjei A, Childress A, Stehli A, Kupper RJ. A randomized placebo-controlled double-blind study evaluating the time course of response to methylphenidate hydrochloride extended-release capsules in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2014 Dec;24(10):562-9. doi: 10.1089/cap.2014.0100. *Timing* 

5042. Wigal SB MJ, McCracken JT, Biederman J, Spencer TJ, Posner KL, Wigal TL, Kollins SH, Clark TM, Mays DA, Zhang Y, Tulloch SJ. A laboratory school comparison of mixed amphetamine salts extended release (Adderall XR) and atomoxetine (Strattera) in school-aged children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Atten Disord. 2005 Aug;9(1):275-89. *Intervention* 

5043. Wigal T, Greenhill L, Chuang S, et al. Safety and Tolerability of Methylphenidate in Preschool Children with ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2006 11/01/;45(11):1294-303. PMID: EJ754441. *Duplicate* 

5044. Wigal TL, Newcorn JH, Handal N, et al. A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Phase II Study to Determine the Efficacy, Safety, Tolerability and Pharmacokinetics of a Controlled Release (CR) Formulation of Mazindol in Adults with DSM-5 Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). CNS Drugs. 2018 Mar;32(3):289-301. doi: 10.1007/s40263-018-0503-y. PMID: 29557078. *Population* 

5045. Wiggs KK, Chang Z, Quinn PD, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder medication and seizures. Neurology. 2018 Mar 27;90(13):e1104-e10. doi: 10.1212/wnl.00000000005213. PMID: 29476037. *Intervention* 

5046. Wiguna T, Bahana R, Dirgantoro B, et al. Developing attention deficits/hyperactivity disorder-virtual reality diagnostic tool with machine learning for children and adolescents. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:984481. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.984481. PMID: 36213908. *Outcome* 

5047. Wiguna T, Ismail RI, Kaligis F, et al. Developing and feasibility testing of the Indonesian computer-based game prototype for children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Heliyon. 2021 Jul;7(7):e07571. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07571. PMID: 34345741. *Comparator* 

5048. Wiguna T, Ismail RI, Winarsih NS, et al. Dopamine transporter gene polymorphism in children with ADHD: A pilot study in Indonesian samples. Asian J Psychiatr. 2017 Oct;29:35-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2017.03.041. PMID: 29061424. *Intervention* 

5049. Wiguna T, Wigantara NA, Ismail RI, et al. A Four-Step Method for the Development of an ADHD-VR Digital Game Diagnostic Tool Prototype for Children Using a DL Model. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:829. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00829. PMID: 32973578. *Intervention* 

5050. Wiik KL, Loman MM, Van Ryzin MJ, et al. Behavioral and Emotional Symptoms of Post-Institutionalized Children in Middle Childhood. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2011 01/01/;52(1):56-63. PMID: EJ973510. *Intervention* 

5051. Wild F. Pharmacotherapy of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in private health insurance. Psychopharmakotherapie. 2011;18(2):84-8. *Language* 

5052. Wilens T, McBurnett K, Stein M, et al. ADHD treatment with once-daily OROS methylphenidate: final results from a long-term open-label study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005 Oct;44(10):1015-23. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000173291.28688.e7. PMID: 16175106. *Design* 

5053. Wilens TE. Pharmacologic management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Economics of Neuroscience. 2001;3(4):54-9. *Design* 

5054. Wilens TE, Adamson J, Monuteaux MC, et al. Effect of prior stimulant treatment for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder on subsequent risk for cigarette smoking and alcohol and drug use disorders in adolescents. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2008 Oct;162(10):916-21. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.162.10.916. PMID: 18838643. *Intervention* 

5055. Wilens TE, Biederman J, Geist DE, et al. Nortriptyline in the treatment of ADHD: a chart review of 58 cases. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1993 Mar;32(2):343-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199303000-00015. PMID: 8444763. *Intervention* 

5056. Wilens TE, Biederman J, Spencer TJ, et al. Pharmacotherapy of adult attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a review. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 1995 Aug;15(4):270-9. doi: 10.1097/00004714-199508000-00006. PMID: 7593710. *Population* 

5057. Wilens TE, Bukstein O, Brams M, et al. A controlled trial of extended-release guanfacine and psychostimulants for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2012 Jan;51(1):74-85.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2011.10.012. PMID: 22176941. *Duplicate* 

5058. Wilens TE, Faraone SV, Biederman J, et al. Does stimulant therapy of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder beget later substance abuse? A meta-analytic review of the literature. Pediatrics. 2003 Jan;111(1):179-85. doi: 10.1542/peds.111.1.179. PMID: 12509574. *Intervention* 

5059. Wilens TE, Faraone SV, Hammerness PG, et al. Clinically Meaningful Improvements in Early Morning and Late Afternoon/Evening Functional Impairment in Children with ADHD Treated with Delayed-Release and Extended-Release Methylphenidate. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jun 4:10870547211020073. doi: 10.1177/10870547211020073. PMID: 34085581. *Timing* 

5060. Wilens TE, Hammerness P, Utzinger L, et al. An open study of adjunct OROSmethylphenidate in children and adolescents who are atomoxetine partial responders: I. Effectiveness. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Oct;19(5):485-92. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0125. PMID: 19877972. *Intervention*  5061. Wilens TE, Kratochvil C, Newcorn JH, et al. Do children and adolescents with ADHD respond differently to atomoxetine? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Feb;45(2):149-57. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000190352.90946.0b. PMID: 16429085. *Design* 

5062. Wilens TE, Martelon M, Joshi G, et al. Does ADHD predict substance-use disorders? A 10-year follow-up study of young adults with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 Jun;50(6):543-53. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2011.01.021. PMID: 21621138. *Intervention* 

5063. Wilens TE, McBurnett K, Bukstein O, et al. Multisite controlled study of OROS methylphenidate in the treatment of adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2006 Jan;160(1):82-90. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.160.1.82. PMID: 16389216. *Timing* 

5064. Wilens TE, McBurnett K, Turnbow J, et al. Morning and evening effects of guanfacine extended release adjunctive to psychostimulants in pediatric ADHD: Results from a Phase III multicenter trial. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2017 Jan 2017;21(2):110-9. *Duplicate* 

5065. Wilens TE, Newcorn JH, Kratochvil CJ, et al. Long-term atomoxetine treatment in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Pediatr. 2006 Jul;149(1):112-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2006.01.052. PMID: 16860138. *Design* 

5066. Wilens TE, Spencer TJ, Swanson JM, et al. Combining methylphenidate and clonidine: a clinically sound medication option. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999 May;38(5):614-9; discussion 9-22. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199905000-00025. PMID: 10230195. *Design* 

5067. Wilens TE, Vitulano M, Upadhyaya H, et al. Cigarette smoking associated with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Pediatr. 2008 Sep;153(3):414-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.04.030. PMID: 18534619. *Intervention* 

5068. Wilens TE, Waxmonsky J, Scott M, et al. An open trial of adjunctive donepezil in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2005 Dec;15(6):947-55. doi: 10.1089/cap.2005.15.947. PMID: 16379515. *Intervention* 

5069. Wilens TE HP, Martelon M, Brodziak K, Utzinger L, Wong P. A controlled trial of the methylphenidate transdermal system on before-school functioning in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010 May;71(5):548-56. doi: 10.4088/JCP.09m05779pur. *Power* 

5070. Wilkes S, Cordier R, Bundy A, et al. A play-based intervention for children with ADHD: a pilot study. Aust Occup Ther J. 2011 Aug;58(4):231-40. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1630.2011.00928.x. PMID: 21770958. *Intervention* 

5071. Wilkes-Gillan S, Bundy A, Cordier R, et al. Child outcomes of a pilot parent-delivered intervention for improving the social play skills of children with ADHD and their playmates. Dev Neurorehabil. 2016 Aug;19(4):238-45. doi: 10.3109/17518423.2014.948639. PMID: 25181635. *Power* 

5072. Wilkes-Gillan S, Cantrill A, Parsons L, et al. The pragmatic language, communication skills, parent-child relationships, and symptoms of children with ADHD and their playmates 18-months after a parent-delivered play-based intervention. Dev Neurorehabil. 2017 Jul;20(5):317-22. doi: 10.1080/17518423.2016.1188861. PMID: 27315571. *Comparator* 

5073. Wilkes-Gillan S, Cordier R, Bundy A, et al. A pairwise randomised controlled trial of a peer-mediated play-based intervention to improve the social play skills of children with ADHD: Outcomes of the typically-developing playmates. PLoS One. 2022;17(10):e0276444. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0276444. PMID: 36282854. *Outcome* 

5074. Wilkes-Gillan S, Munro N, Cordier R, et al. Pragmatic Language Outcomes of Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder After Therapist- and Parent-Delivered Play-Based Interventions: Two One-Group Pretest-Posttest Studies With a Longitudinal Component. Am J Occup Ther. 2017 Jul/Aug;71(4):7104220030p1-p10. doi: 10.5014/ajot.2017.019364. PMID: 28691678. *Comparator* 

5075. Wilkison PC, Kircher JC, McMahon WM, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on reward strength in boys with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 Jul;34(7):897-901. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199507000-00013. PMID: 7649960. *Intervention* 

5076. Willcutt EG, Pennington BF, Boada R, et al. A comparison of the cognitive deficits in reading disability and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Abnorm Psychol. 2001 Feb;110(1):157-72. doi: 10.1037//0021-843x.110.1.157. PMID: 11261391. *Intervention* 

5077. Willcutt EG, Pennington BF, DeFries JC. Twin study of the etiology of comorbidity between reading disability and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Am J Med Genet. 2000 Jun 12;96(3):293-301. doi: 10.1002/1096-8628(20000612)96:3<293::aid-ajmg12>3.0.co;2-c. PMID: 10898903. *Intervention* 

5078. Willcutt EG, Pennington BF, Olson RK, et al. Neuropsychological analyses of comorbidity between reading disability and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: in search of the common deficit. Dev Neuropsychol. 2005;27(1):35-78. doi: 10.1207/s15326942dn2701\_3. PMID: 15737942. *Outcome* 

5079. Williams BR, Strauss EH, Hultsch DF, et al. Reaction time performance in adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: evidence of inconsistency in the fast and slow portions of the RT distribution. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2007 Apr;29(3):277-89. doi: 10.1080/13803390600678020. PMID: 17454348. *Intervention* 

5080. Williams C. Using the Hub and Spoke Model of Telemental Health to Expand the Reach of Community Based Care in the United States. Community Ment Health J. 2021 Jan;57(1):49-56. doi: 10.1007/s10597-020-00675-8. PMID: 32653963. *Intervention* 

5081. Williams D, Stott CM, Goodyer IM, et al. Specific language impairment with or without hyperactivity: neuropsychological evidence for frontostriatal dysfunction. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2000 Jun;42(6):368-75. doi: 10.1017/s0012162200000682. PMID: 10875521. *Population* 

5082. Williams JI, Cram DM, Tausig FT, et al. Relative effects of drugs and diet on hyperactive behaviors: an experimental study. Pediatrics. 1978 Jun;61(6):811-7. PMID: 353680. *Power* 

5083. Williams KE, Sciberras E. Sleep and Self-Regulation from Birth to 7 Years: A Retrospective Study of Children with and Without Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder at 8 to 9 Years. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2016 Jun;37(5):385-94. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000281. PMID: 26982247. *Design* 

5084. Williams L, Hall CL, Brown S, et al. Optimising medication management in children and young people with ADHD using a computerised test (QbTest): a feasibility randomised controlled trial. Pilot Feasibility Stud. 2021 Mar 16;7(1):68. doi: 10.1186/s40814-021-00788-1. PMID: 33726855. *Power* 

5085. Williams L, Hall CL, Brown S, et al. Correction to: Optimising medication management in children and young people with ADHD using a computerised test (QbTest): a feasibility randomised controlled trial. Pilot Feasibility Stud. 2021 Apr 15;7(1):94. doi: 10.1186/s40814-021-00830-2. PMID: 33858516. *Outcome* 

5086. Williams LM, Hermens DF, Palmer D, et al. Misinterpreting emotional expressions in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: evidence for a neural marker and stimulant effects. Biol Psychiatry. 2008 May 15;63(10):917-26. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.11.022. PMID: 18272140. *Intervention* 

5087. Williams NM, Zaharieva I, Martin A, et al. Rare chromosomal deletions and duplications in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a genome-wide analysis. Lancet. 2010 Oct 23;376(9750):1401-8. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(10)61109-9. PMID: 20888040. *Outcome* 

5088. Williams RJ, Goodale LA, Shay-Fiddler MA, et al. Methylphenidate and dextroamphetamine abuse in substance-abusing adolescents. Am J Addict. 2004 Jul-Sep;13(4):381-9. doi: 10.1080/10550490490483053. PMID: 15370936. *Population* 

5089. Williams TS, McDonald KP, Roberts SD, et al. Prevalence and Predictors of Learning and Psychological Diagnoses Following Pediatric Arterial Ischemic Stroke. Dev Neuropsychol. 2017;42(5):309-22. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2017.1353093. PMID: 28805445. *Intervention* 

5090. Williford AP ST. Using mental health consultation to decrease disruptive behaviors in preschoolers: adapting an empirically-supported intervention. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2008;49(2):191-200. *Power* 

5091. Willoughby MT, Blanton ZE. Replication and external validation of a bi-factor parameterization of attention deficit/hyperactivity symptomatology. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2015;44(1):68-79. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2013.850702. PMID: 24256437. *Intervention* 

5092. Willoughby MT, Curran PJ, Costello EJ, et al. Implications of early versus late onset of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000 Dec;39(12):1512-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200012000-00013. PMID: 11128328. *Outcome* 

5093. Willoughby MT, Fabiano GA, Schatz NK, et al. Bifactor Models of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Symptomatology in Adolescents: Criterion Validity and Implications for Clinical Practice. Assessment. 2019 Jul;26(5):799-810. doi: 10.1177/1073191117698755. PMID: 29214840. *Outcome* 

5094. Willoughby MT, Wylie AC, Blair CB. Using repeated-measures data to make stronger tests of the association between executive function skills and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptomatology in early childhood. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2019 Nov 2019;47(11):1759-70. *Population* 

5095. Wilmot B, Fry R, Smeester L, et al. Methylomic analysis of salivary DNA in childhood ADHD identifies altered DNA methylation inVIPR2. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2016 Feb 2016;57(2):152-60. *Intervention* 

5096. Wilmshurst LA. Treatment programs for youth with emotional and behavioral disorders: an outcome study of two alternate approaches. Ment Health Serv Res. 2002 Jun;4(2):85-96. doi: 10.1023/a:1015200200316. PMID: 12090310. *Population* 

5097. Wilson HK, Cox DJ, Merkel RL, et al. Effect of extended release stimulant-based medications on neuropsychological functioning among adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2006 Dec;21(8):797-807. doi: 10.1016/j.acn.2006.06.016. PMID: 17049803. *Intervention* 

5098. Wilson JJ, Levin FR. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and early-onset substance use disorders. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2005 Oct;15(5):751-63. doi: 10.1089/cap.2005.15.751. PMID: 16262592. *Design* 

5099. Wilson JM, Marcotte AC. Psychosocial adjustment and educational outcome in adolescents with a childhood diagnosis of attention deficit disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 May;35(5):579-87. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199605000-00012. PMID: 8935204. *Intervention* 

5100. Wing Suen Lynette Chan RSCRMMLB. Cognitive behavioural therapy for children and adolescents with ADHD: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017067331. 2017. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=67331. *Design* 

5101. Winhusen TM, Somoza EC, Brigham GS, et al. Impact of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) treatment on smoking cessation intervention in ADHD smokers: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010 Dec;71(12):1680-8. doi: 10.4088/JCP.09m05089gry. PMID: 20492837. *Population* 

5102. Winters DE, Fukui S, Leibenluft E, et al. Improvements in Irritability with Open-Label Methylphenidate Treatment in Youth with Comorbid Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Jun;28(5):298-305. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0124. PMID: 29708762. *Comparator* 

5103. Winters KC, Lee S, Botzet A, et al. A Prospective Examination of the Association of Stimulant Medication History and Drug Use Outcomes among Community Samples of ADHD Youths. J Child Adolesc Subst Abuse. 2011;20(4):314-29. doi: 10.1080/1067828x.2011.598834. PMID: 22582022. *Intervention* 

5104. Winters RR, Blake JJ, Chen S. Bully Victimization among Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Longitudinal Examination of Behavioral Phenotypes. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders. 2020 06/01/;28(2):80-91. PMID: EJ1253266. *Design* 

5105. Winterstein AG, Gerhard T, Kubilis P, et al. Cardiovascular safety of central nervous system stimulants in children and adolescents: population based cohort study. Bmj. 2012 Jul 18;345:e4627. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e4627. PMID: 22809800. *Comparator* 

5106. Winterstein AG, Gerhard T, Shuster J, et al. Cardiac safety of central nervous system stimulants in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2007 Dec;120(6):e1494-501. doi: 10.1542/peds.2007-0675. PMID: 18055666. *Intervention* 

5107. Winterstein AG, Gerhard T, Shuster J, et al. Cardiac safety of methylphenidate versus amphetamine salts in the treatment of ADHD. Pediatrics. 2009 Jul;124(1):e75-80. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-3138. PMID: 19564272. *Design* 

5108. Winterstein AG, Kubilis P, Gerhard O. ADHD youths' career in psychotropic treatment. Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety. 2011;20:S133. doi: 10.1002/pds.2206. *Intervention* 

5109. Winterstein AG, Li Y, Gerhard T, et al. Medication Use for ADHD and the Risk of Driving Citations and Crashes Among Teenage Drivers: A Population-Based Cohort Study. J Atten Disord. 2021 Sep;25(11):1511-8. doi: 10.1177/1087054720915768. PMID: 32338114. *Population* 

5110. Winterstein AG, Soria-Saucedo R, Gerhard T, et al. Differential Risk of Increasing Psychotropic Polypharmacy Use in Children Diagnosed With ADHD as Preschoolers. J Clin Psychiatry. 2017 Jul;78(7):e744-e81. doi: 10.4088/JCP.16m10884. PMID: 28686819. *Intervention* 

5111. Wirrell EC, Bieber ED, Vanderwiel A, et al. Self-injurious and suicidal behavior in young adults, teens, and children with epilepsy: A population-based study. Epilepsia. 2020 Sep;61(9):1919-30. doi: 10.1111/epi.16618. PMID: 32697369. *Population* 

5112. Wise BK, Cuffe SP, Fischer T. Dual diagnosis and successful participation of adolescents in substance abuse treatment. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2001 Oct;21(3):161-5. doi: 10.1016/s0740-5472(01)00193-3. PMID: 11728790. *Intervention* 

5113. Witcher JW, Long A, Smith B, et al. Atomoxetine pharmacokinetics in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2003 Spring;13(1):53-63. doi: 10.1089/104454603321666199. PMID: 12804126. *Intervention* 

5114. Wodka EL, Mark Mahone E, Blankner JG, et al. Evidence that response inhibition is a primary deficit in ADHD. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology. 2007 2007/05/16;29(4):345-56. doi: 10.1080/13803390600678046. *Intervention* 

5115. Wodka EL, Mostofsky SH, Prahme C, et al. Process examination of executive function in ADHD: sex and subtype effects. Clin Neuropsychol. 2008 Sep;22(5):826-41. doi: 10.1080/13854040701563583. PMID: 18609314. *Outcome* 

5116. Wojciechowski TW. The Role of ADHD in Predicting the Development of Violent Behavior Among Juvenile Offenders: Participation Versus Frequency. J Interpers Violence. 2021 Jan;36(1-2):Np625-np42. doi: 10.1177/0886260517734225. PMID: 29294948. *Intervention* 

5117. Wolfers T, Arenas AL, Onnink AMH, et al. Refinement by integration: aggregated effects of multimodal imaging markers on adult ADHD. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2017 Nov;42(6):386-94. doi: 10.1503/jpn.160240. PMID: 28832320. *Population* 

5118. Wolfers T, van Rooij D, Oosterlaan J, et al. Quantifying patterns of brain activity: Distinguishing unaffected siblings from participants with ADHD and healthy individuals. Neuroimage Clin. 2016;12:227-33. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2016.06.020. PMID: 27489770. *Population* 

5119. Wolff C, Alfred A, Lindermüller A, et al. Effect of transitioning from extended-release methylphenidate onto osmotic, controlled-release methylphenidate in children/adolescents with ADHD: results of a 3-month non-interventional study. Curr Med Res Opin. 2011;27 Suppl 2:35-44. doi: 10.1185/03007995.2011.601733. PMID: 21787126. *Intervention* 

5120. Wolff Metternich-Kaizman T, Schröder S, Doepfner M. Effectiveness of parent-child inpatient treatment for families with severe parent-child interaction problems: A multilevel

modeling analysis. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S65-S6. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Design* 

5121. Wolraich M, Milich R, Stumbo P, et al. Effects of sucrose ingestion on the behavior of hyperactive boys. J Pediatr. 1985 Apr;106(4):675-82. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3476(85)80102-5. PMID: 3981325. *Design* 

5122. Wolraich ML, Lambert W, Doffing MA, et al. Psychometric properties of the Vanderbilt ADHD diagnostic parent rating scale in a referred population. J Pediatr Psychol. 2003 Dec;28(8):559-67. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsg046. PMID: 14602846. *Outcome* 

5123. Won GH, Choi TY, Kim JW. Application of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Diagnostic Tools: Strengths and Weaknesses of the Korean ADHD Rating Scale and Continuous Performance Test. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2020;16:2397-406. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S275796. PMID: 33116539. *Language* 

5124. Wong CG, Stevens MC. The effects of stimulant medication on working memory functional connectivity in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2012 Mar 1;71(5):458-66. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.11.011. PMID: 22209640. *Power* 

5125. Wong IYT, Hawes DJ, Dar-Nimrod I. Illness representations among adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: associations with quality of life, coping, and treatment adherence. Heliyon. 2019 Oct;5(10):e02705. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02705. PMID: 31687524. *Intervention* 

5126. Wong TY, Chang YT, Wang MY, et al. The effectiveness of child-centered play therapy for executive functions in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2022 Sep 20:13591045221128399. doi: 10.1177/13591045221128399. PMID: 36125333. *Outcome* 

5127. Wood G, Miles CAL, Coyles G, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a group-based gaze training intervention for children with Developmental Coordination Disorder. PLoS ONE. 2017 Feb 10, 2017;12(2). *Population* 

5128. Wood JG, Crager JL, Delap CM, et al. Beyond methylphenidate: nonstimulant medications for youth with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2007 Nov;11(3):341-50. doi: 10.1177/1087054707305968. PMID: 17932386. *Design* 

5129. Wood JG, Crager JL, Delap CM, et al. Literature Review: Beyond Methylphenidate--Nonstimulant Medications for Youth with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2007 01/01/;11(3):341-50. PMID: EJ804399. *Design* 

5130. Woolsey C, Smoldon J, Devney R. Initial development of an attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder visual analog scale for rapid assessment of medication effects. J Am Assoc Nurse Pract. 2020 Jan;32(1):8-14. doi: 10.1097/jxx.000000000000209. PMID: 31169786. *Population* 

5131. Wootton RE, Riglin L, Blakey R, et al. Decline in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder traits over the life course in the general population: trajectories across five population birth cohorts spanning ages 3 to 45 years. Int J Epidemiol. 2022 Jun 13;51(3):919-30. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyac049. PMID: 35403686. *Design* 

5132. Wozniak J, Crawford MH, Biederman J, et al. Antecedents and complications of trauma in boys with ADHD: findings from a longitudinal study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999 Jan;38(1):48-55. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199901000-00019. PMID: 9893416. *Intervention* 

5133. Wu EQ, Hodgkins P, Ben-Hamadi R, et al. Cost effectiveness of pharmacotherapies for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic literature review. CNS Drugs. 2012 Jul 1;26(7):581-600. doi: 10.2165/11633900-00000000-00000. PMID: 22712698. *Design* 

5134. Wu KK, Anderson V, Castiello U. Neuropsychological evaluation of deficits in executive functioning for ADHD children with or without learning disabilities. Dev Neuropsychol. 2002;22(2):501-31. doi: 10.1207/S15326942DN2202\_5. PMID: 12537336. *Intervention* 

5135. Wu W, McAnulty G, Hamoda HM, et al. Detecting microstructural white matter abnormalities of frontal pathways in children with ADHD using advanced diffusion models. Brain Imaging Behav. 2020 Aug;14(4):981-97. doi: 10.1007/s11682-019-00108-5. PMID: 31041662. *Intervention* 

5136. Wu WJ, Cui LB, Cai M, et al. A parallel-group study of near-infrared spectroscopyneurofeedback in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2022 Mar;309:114364. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.114364. PMID: 35026672. *Power* 

5137. Wu X, Miao S, Gu Y, et al. Effect of atomoxetine hydrochloride on working memory in children with ADHD: A functional nearinfrared spectroscopy study. ADHD Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorders. 2019;11(1):S41. doi: 10.1007/s12402-019-00295-7. *Design* 

5138. Wu YY, Huang YS, Chen YY, et al. Psychometric study of the test of variables of attention: preliminary findings on Taiwanese children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2007 Jun;61(3):211-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2007.01658.x. PMID: 17472587. *Outcome* 

5139. Wu ZM, Bralten J, An L, et al. Verbal working memory-related functional connectivity alterations in boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and the effects of methylphenidate. J Psychopharmacol. 2017 Aug;31(8):1061-9. doi: 10.1177/0269881117715607. PMID: 28656805. *Intervention* 

5140. Wu ZM, Llera A, Hoogman M, et al. Linked anatomical and functional brain alterations in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Neuroimage Clin. 2019;23:101851. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101851. PMID: 31077980. *Intervention* 

5141. Wu ZM, Wang P, Yang L, et al. Altered brain white matter microstructural asymmetry in children with ADHD. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Jan 28;285:112817. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112817. PMID: 32035376. *Intervention* 

5142. Wymbs BT, Pelham WE. Child effects on communication between parents of youth with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Abnorm Psychol. 2010 May;119(2):366-75. doi: 10.1037/a0019034. PMID: 20455609. *Intervention* 

5143. Wyrwich KW, Shaffer S, Gries K, et al. Content validity of the ADHD rating scale (ADHD RS-IV) and adult ADHD self-report scale (ASRS) in phenylketonuria. Journal of Inborn Errors of Metabolism and Screening. 2016;4. doi: 10.1177/2326409816639316. *Population* 

5144. Xavier Castells MRDSRCCCCO. Relationship between treatment duration and the efficacy of pharmacological treatment for ADHD. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018115563. 2018. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=115563. *Design* 

5145. Xia Y, Guo HL, Hu YH, et al. Determination of atomoxetine levels in human plasma using LC-MS/MS and clinical application to Chinese children with ADHD based on CPIC guidelines. Anal Methods. 2021 Jun 7;13(21):2434-41. doi: 10.1039/d1ay00521a. PMID: 33998618. *Intervention* 

5146. Xiao C, Bledsoe J, Wang S, et al. An integrated feature ranking and selection framework for ADHD characterization. Brain Inform. 2016 Sep;3(3):145-55. doi: 10.1007/s40708-016-0047-1. PMID: 27747592. *Intervention* 

5147. Xie XN, Lei X, Xiao CY, et al. Association between type 1 diabetes and neurodevelopmental disorders in children and adolescents: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:982696. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.982696. PMID: 36483136. *Intervention* 

5148. Xie Y, Dixon JF, Yee OM, et al. A study on the effectiveness of videoconferencing on teaching parent training skills to parents of children with ADHD. Telemed J E Health. 2013 Mar;19(3):192-9. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2012.0108. PMID: 23405952. *Power* 

5149. Xing L, Ren Z, Yue X, et al. Acupuncture treatment on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2021 Aug 27;100(34):e27033. doi: 10.1097/md.00000000027033. PMID: 34449482. *Outcome* 

5150. Xiong Z, Yan J, Shi S. Val158Met polymorphisms of COMT gene and serum concentrations of catecholaminergic neurotransmitters of ADHD in Chinese children and adolescents. Medicine (Baltimore). 2021 Dec 10;100(49):e27867. doi: 10.1097/md.00000000027867. PMID: 34889236. *Intervention* 

5151. Xu JB, Chen F, Zhang WW, et al. Effect of cognitive behavior group therapy combined with atomoxetine in the treatment of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and anxiety disorder. Chin J Rural Med Pharm. 2015(10):17–8. *Design* 

5152. Xv PR, Fang ZM. A meta-analyses comparing atomoxetine with methylphenidate for treatement of children with attention- deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. Chinese Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine. 2009;9(3):346-9. *Language* 

5153. Yackobovitch-Gavan M, Mimouni-Bloch A, Gabbay U, et al. Sex-Specific Long-Term Height and Body Mass Index Trajectories of Children Diagnosed with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Treated with Stimulants. J Pediatr. 2021 Jul 20. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2021.07.018. PMID: 34293373. *Intervention* 

5154. Yadollah Khoshbakht AS-A. The association between vitamin D status and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a systematic review and meta analysis. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016038469. 2016.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=38469. Design

5155. Yalin-Sapmaz Ş, Ergin D, Şen-Celasin N, et al. Validity and Reliability of the Turkish Version of the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale- Self Report Form (WFIRSS-TR). Turk Psikiyatri Derg. 2021;32(4):261-6. doi: 10.5080/u25086. PMID: 34964100. *Outcome* 

5156. Yamamuro K, Ota T, Iida J, et al. Event-related potentials reflect the efficacy of pharmaceutical treatments in children and adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2016 Aug 30;242:288-94. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2016.05.061. PMID: 27318633. *Intervention* 

5157. Yamamuro K, Tsujii N, Ota T, et al. Pharmacotherapy for the treatment of aggression in pediatric and adolescent patients with autism spectrum disorder comorbid with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A questionnaire survey of 571 psychiatrists. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2017 Aug;71(8):554-61. doi: 10.1111/pcn.12523. PMID: 28317224. *Population* 

5158. Yan L, Zhang J, Yuan Y, et al. Effects of neurofeedback versus methylphenidate for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder protocol for a systematic review and metaanalysis of head-to-head trials. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018 Sep;97(39):e12623. doi: 10.1097/md.00000000012623. PMID: 30278582. *Outcome* 

5159. Yang BY, Zeng XW, Markevych I, et al. Association Between Greenness Surrounding Schools and Kindergartens and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children in China. JAMA Netw Open. 2019 Dec 2;2(12):e1917862. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.17862. PMID: 31851349. *Intervention* 

5160. Yang C, Cheng X, Zhang Q, et al. Interventions for tic disorders: An updated overview of systematic reviews and meta analyses. Psychiatry Res. 2020 May;287:112905. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112905. PMID: 32163785. *Population* 

5161. Yang KH, Lane HY, Chang YC, et al. Exploring the Effects of Pharmacological, Psychosocial, and Alternative/Complementary Interventions in Children and Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Meta-Regression Approach. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2021 Oct 23;24(10):776-86. doi: 10.1093/ijnp/pyab034. PMID: 34086891. *Duplicate* 

5162. Yang L, Wang Y-F, Li J, et al. Association of Norepinephrine Transporter Gene with Methylphenidate Response. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2004 09/01/;43(9):1154-S. PMID: EJ696551. *Intervention* 

5163. Yang LL, Stiernborg M, Skott E, et al. Effects of a Synbiotic on Plasma Immune Activity Markers and Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Children and Adults with ADHD—A Randomized Controlled Trial. Nutrients. 2023;15(5). doi: 10.3390/nu15051293. *Outcome* 

5164. Yang MT, Chen CC, Lee WT, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder-related symptoms improved with allergic rhinitis treatment in children. Am J Rhinol Allergy. 2016 May;30(3):209-14. doi: 10.2500/ajra.2016.30.4301. PMID: 27216352. *Comparator* 

5165. Yang P, Hsu HY, Chiou SS, et al. Health-related quality of life in methylphenidate-treated children with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder: results from a Taiwanese sample. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2007 Dec;41(12):998-1004. doi: 10.1080/00048670701689451. PMID: 17999272. *Intervention* 

5166. Yang P, Jong YJ, Chung LC, et al. Gender differences in a clinic-referred sample of Taiwanese attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder children. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2004 Dec;58(6):619-23. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2004.01312.x. PMID: 15601386. *Outcome* 

5167. Yang Q, Pan L, Shen C, et al. Mothers' prenatal tobacco smoke exposure is positively associated with the occurrence of developmental coordination disorder among children aged 3-6

years: A cross-sectional study in a rural area of Shanghai, China. Tob Induc Dis. 2020;18:25. doi: 10.18332/tid/119115. PMID: 32292315. *Intervention* 

5168. Yang R, Li R, Gao W, et al. Tic symptoms induced by atomoxetine in treatment of ADHD: A case report and literature review. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. 2017 Feb 2017 - Mar 2017;38(2):151-4. *Design* 

5169. Yang TX, Allen RJ, Holmes J, et al. Impaired Memory for Instructions in Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Is Improved by Action at Presentation and Recall. Front Psychol. 2017;8:39. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00039. PMID: 28174550. *Timing* 

5170. Yang YL, Wang LJ, Chang JC, et al. A National Population Cohort Study Showed That Exposure to General Anesthesia in Early Childhood Is Associated with an Increase in the Risk of Developmental Delay. Children (Basel). 2021 Sep 24;8(10). doi: 10.3390/children8100840. PMID: 34682104. *Intervention* 

5171. Yao A, Shimada K, Kasaba R, et al. Beneficial Effects of Behavioral Parent Training on Inhibitory Control in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Small-Scale Randomized Controlled Trial. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:859249. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.859249. PMID: 35573335. *Outcome* 

5172. Yao X, Glessner JT, Li J, et al. Integrative analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies novel loci associated with neuropsychiatric disorders. Transl Psychiatry. 2021 Jan 21;11(1):69. doi: 10.1038/s41398-020-01195-5. PMID: 33479212. *Outcome* 

5173. Yarmolovsky J, Szwarc T, Schwartz M, et al. Hot executive control and response to a stimulant in a double-blind randomized trial in children with ADHD. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2017 Feb;267(1):73-82. doi: 10.1007/s00406-016-0683-8. PMID: 26966012. *Power* 

5174. Yarmolovsky J, Szwarc T, Schwartz M, et al. Hot executive control and response to a stimulant in a double-blind randomized trial in children with ADHD. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience. 2017 Feb 2017;267(1):73-82. *Duplicate* 

5175. Yasumura A, Omori M, Fukuda A, et al. Best Abstract Award Runner-up. Predicting children with ADHD using prefrontal cortex activity. Clinical Neurophysiology. 2019;130(10):e180-e1. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2019.06.044. *Design* 

5176. Yates R, Treyvaud K, Doyle LW, et al. Rates and Stability of Mental Health Disorders in Children Born Very Preterm at 7 and 13 Years. Pediatrics. 2020 May;145(5). doi: 10.1542/peds.2019-2699. PMID: 32276969. *Intervention* 

5177. Yato Y, Hirose S, Wallon P, et al. d2-R test for Japanese adolescents: Concurrent validity with the attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder rating scale. Pediatr Int. 2019 Jan;61(1):43-8. doi: 10.1111/ped.13735. PMID: 30449059. *Intervention* 

5178. Yazdanbakhsh K, Aivazy S, Moradi A. The effectiveness of response inhibition cognitive rehabilitation in improving the quality of sleep and behavioral symptoms of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences. 2018;22(2). doi: 10.5812/jkums.77114. *Power* 

5179. Yeari M, Avramovich A, Schiff R. Online inferential and textual processing by adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder during reading comprehension:

Evidence from a probing method. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology. 2017 May 2017;39(5):485-501. *Intervention* 

5180. Yeguez CE, Sibley MH. Predictors of Informant Discrepancies between Mother and Middle School Teacher ADHD Ratings. School Mental Health. 2016 12/01/;8(4):452-60. PMID: EJ1229065. *Intervention* 

5181. An innovative ADHD assessment system using virtual reality. 2012 IEEE-EMBS Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences; 2012 17-19 Dec. 2012. *Outcome* 

5182. Yektaş Ç, Alpay M, Tufan AE. Comparison of serum B12, folate and homocysteine concentrations in children with autism spectrum disorder or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and healthy controls. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2019;15:2213-9. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S212361. PMID: 31496704. *Intervention* 

5183. Yellin AM, Greenberg LM. Attention-deficit disorder: monitored data-based assessment and treatment. Minn Med. 1981 Aug;64(8):487-90. PMID: 7290045. *Intervention* 

5184. Yellowlees PM, Hilty DM, Marks SL, et al. A retrospective analysis of a child and adolescent eMental Health program. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Jan;47(1):103-7. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31815a56a7. PMID: 18174831. *Population* 

5185. Yeo RA, Hill DE, Campbell RA, et al. Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy investigation of the right frontal lobe in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2003 Mar;42(3):303-10. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200303000-00010. PMID: 12595783. *Outcome* 

5186. Yerys BE, Jankowski KF, Shook D, et al. The fMRI success rate of children and adolescents: typical development, epilepsy, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and autism spectrum disorders. Hum Brain Mapp. 2009 Oct;30(10):3426-35. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20767. PMID: 19384887. *Design* 

5187. Yerys BE, Nissley-Tsiopinis J, de Marchena A, et al. Evaluation of the ADHD Rating Scale in youth with autism. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2017 Jan 2017;47(1):90-100. *Population* 

5188. Yerys BE, Tunç B, Satterthwaite TD, et al. Functional Connectivity of Frontoparietal and Salience/Ventral Attention Networks Have Independent Associations With Co-occurring Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in Children With Autism. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2019 Apr;4(4):343-51. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.12.012. PMID: 30777604. *Intervention* 

5189. Yildiz O, Sismanlar SG, Memik NC, et al. Atomoxetine and methylphenidate treatment in children with ADHD: the efficacy, tolerability and effects on executive functions. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2011 Jun;42(3):257-69. doi: 10.1007/s10578-010-0212-3. PMID: 21165694. *Power* 

5190. Yildiz Öç Ö, Ağaoğlu B, Karakaya I, et al. Efficiency and tolerability of OROSmethylphenidate in Turkish children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi. 2010;11(1):44-50. *Language* 

5191. Yildiz Oc O, Agaoglu B, Sen Berk F, et al. Evaluation of the effect of methylphenidate by computed tomography, electroencephalography, neuropsychological tests, and clinical symptoms

in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A prospective cohort study. Curr Ther Res Clin Exp. 2007 Nov;68(6):432-49. doi: 10.1016/j.curtheres.2007.12.003. PMID: 24692774. *Intervention* 

5192. Yilmaz Z, Javaras KN, Baker JH, et al. Association Between Childhood to Adolescent Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptom Trajectories and Late Adolescent Disordered Eating. J Adolesc Health. 2017 Aug;61(2):140-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2017.04.001. PMID: 28734322. *Intervention* 

5193. Yin H, Yang D, Yang L, et al. Relationship between sleep disorders and attention-deficithyperactivity disorder in children. Frontiers in Pediatrics. 2022;10. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.919572. *Outcome* 

5194. Yonekawa T, Nakagawa E, Takeshita E, et al. Effect of corpus callosotomy on attention deficit and behavioral problems in pediatric patients with intractable epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav. 2011 Dec;22(4):697-704. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2011.08.027. PMID: 21978470. *Population* 

5195. Yoo HJ, Han JM, Kim K, et al. Association between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and aggression subscales in adolescents. Brain Behav. 2021 Mar;11(3):e02030. doi: 10.1002/brb3.2030. PMID: 33439553. *Intervention* 

5196. Yoo HJ, Kim M, Ha JH, et al. Biogenetic temperament and character and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Korean children. Psychopathology. 2006;39(1):25-31. doi: 10.1159/000089660. PMID: 16282716. *Intervention* 

5197. Yoo HK, Park S, Wang HR, et al. Effect of methylphenidate on the quality of life in children with epilepsy and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: and open-label study using an osmotic-controlled release oral delivery system. Epileptic Disord. 2009 Dec;11(4):301-8. doi: 10.1684/epd.2009.0278. PMID: 20007067. *Intervention* 

5198. Yoo JH, Kim D, Choi J, et al. Treatment effect of methylphenidate on intrinsic functional brain network in medication-naïve ADHD children: A multivariate analysis. Brain Imaging and Behavior. 2018 Apr 2018;12(2):518-31. *Intervention* 

5199. Yoo JH, Oh Y, Jang B, et al. The effects of equine-assisted activities and therapy on resting-state brain function in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A pilot study. Clinical Psychopharmacology and Neuroscience. 2016;14(4):357-64. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2016.14.4.357. *Intervention* 

5200. Yoo JH, Sharma V, Kim JW, et al. 6.70 PREDICTION OF SLEEP SIDE EFFECTS FOLLOWING METHYLPHENIDATE TREATMENT IN ADHD YOUTH. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2019;58(10):S294. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.08.462. *Design* 

5201. Yoo JH, Sharma V, Kim JW, et al. Prediction of sleep side effects following methylphenidate treatment in ADHD youth. Neuroimage Clin. 2020;26:102030. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2019.102030. PMID: 31711956. *Intervention* 

5202. Yoo SJ, Joo H, Kim D, et al. Associations between Exposure to Bisphenol A and Behavioral and Cognitive Function in Children with Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Case-control Study. Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci. 2020 May 31;18(2):261-9. doi: 10.9758/cpn.2020.18.2.261. PMID: 32329307. *Intervention* 

5203. Yordanova J, Albrecht B, Uebel H, et al. Independent oscillatory patterns determine performance fluctuations in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Brain. 2011 Jun;134(Pt 6):1740-50. doi: 10.1093/brain/awr107. PMID: 21616970. *Intervention* 

5204. Yordanova J, Banaschewski T, Kolev V, et al. Abnormal early stages of task stimulus processing in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder--evidence from event-related gamma oscillations. Clin Neurophysiol. 2001 Jun;112(6):1096-108. doi: 10.1016/s1388-2457(01)00524-7. PMID: 11377270. *Intervention* 

5205. Yordanova J, Heinrich H, Kolev V, et al. Increased event-related theta activity as a psychophysiological marker of comorbidity in children with tics and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorders. Neuroimage. 2006 Aug 15;32(2):940-55. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.03.056. PMID: 16730196. *Intervention* 

5206. Yorgidis E, Beiner L, Blazynski N, et al. Individual Behavioral Reactions in the Context of Food Sensitivities in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder before and after an Oligoantigenic Diet. Nutrients. 2021 Jul 28;13(8). doi: 10.3390/nu13082598. PMID: 34444758. *Comparator* 

5207. Yoshimasu K, Barbaresi WJ, Colligan RC, et al. Psychiatric Comorbidities Modify the Association Between Childhood ADHD and Risk for Suicidality: A Population-Based Longitudinal Study. J Atten Disord. 2019 Jun;23(8):777-86. doi: 10.1177/1087054717718264. PMID: 28689473. *Intervention* 

5208. Youn C, Meza JI, Hinshaw SP. Childhood Social Functioning and Young Adult Intimate Partner Violence in Girls With and Without ADHD: Response Inhibition as a Moderator. J Atten Disord. 2019 Oct;23(12):1486-96. doi: 10.1177/1087054718778119. PMID: 29862865. *Intervention* 

5209. Young AS, Meers MR, Vesco AT, et al. Predicting Therapeutic Effects of Psychodiagnostic Assessment Among Children and Adolescents Participating in Randomized Controlled Trials. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2019;48(sup1):S1-s12. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2016.1146992. PMID: 27105332. *Intervention* 

5210. Young DJ, Levy F, Martin NC, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a Rasch analysis of the SWAN Rating Scale. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2009 Dec;40(4):543-59. doi: 10.1007/s10578-009-0143-z. PMID: 19455417. *Outcome* 

5211. Young S, Absoud M, Blackburn C, et al. Guidelines for identification and treatment of individuals with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and associated fetal alcohol spectrum disorders based upon expert consensus. BMC Psychiatry. 2016 Dec 2016;16. *Design* 

5212. Young S, Amarasinghe JM. Practitioner Review: Non-Pharmacological Treatments for ADHD: A Lifespan Approach. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2010 02/01/;51(2):116-33. PMID: EJ870012. *Design* 

5213. Young S, Emilsson B, Sigurdsson JF, et al. A randomized controlled trial reporting functional outcomes of cognitive-behavioural therapy in medication-treated adults with ADHD and comorbid psychopathology. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2017 Apr;267(3):267-76. doi: 10.1007/s00406-016-0735-0. PMID: 27752827. *Population* 

5214. Young S, Gudjonsson G, Misch P, et al. Prevalence of ADHD symptoms among youth in a secure facility: The consistency and accuracy of self- and informant-report ratings. Journal of

## Appendix B. List of Excluded and Background Studies

Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology. 2010;21(2):238-46. doi: 10.1080/14789940903311566. *Intervention* 

5215. Yuan FF, Gu X, Huang X, et al. SLC6A1 gene involvement in susceptibility to attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: A case-control study and gene-environment interaction. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2017 Jul 3;77:202-8. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.04.015. PMID: 28442423. *Intervention* 

5216. Yue X, Liu L, Chen W, et al. Affective-cognitive-behavioral heterogeneity of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Emotional dysregulation as a sentinel symptom differentiating "ADHD-simplex" and "ADHD-complex" syndromes? J Affect Disord. 2022 Jun 15;307:133-41. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2022.03.065. PMID: 35367500. *Outcome* 

5217. Yuge K, Nagamitsu S, Ishikawa Y, et al. Long-term melatonin treatment for the sleep problems and aberrant behaviors of children with neurodevelopmental disorders. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Sep 10;20(1):445. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02847-y. PMID: 32912180. *Population* 

5218. Yukifumi M. S22-1 Exploring fNIRS-based evaluation for neuropharmacological effect of ADHD treatment. Clinical Neurophysiology. 2020;131(10):e256. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2020.04.112. *Design* 

5219. Yüksel T, Özcan Ö. Heart rate variability as an indicator of autonomous nervous system activity in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi. 2018;19(5):493-500. doi: 10.5455/apd.288995. *Intervention* 

5220. Yule AM, DiSalvo M, Wilens TE, et al. High Correspondence Between Child Behavior Checklist Rule Breaking Behavior Scale with Conduct Disorder in Males and Females. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2020 Dec;51(6):978-85. doi: 10.1007/s10578-020-00978-7. PMID: 32172405. *Population* 

5221. Yule AM, Martelon M, Faraone SV, et al. Examining the association between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and substance use disorders: A familial risk analysis. J Psychiatr Res. 2017 Feb;85:49-55. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.10.018. PMID: 27835739. *Intervention* 

5222. Yule AM, Wilens TE, Martelon M, et al. Does exposure to parental substance use disorders increase offspring risk for a substance use disorder? A longitudinal follow-up study into young adulthood. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2018 May 1;186:154-8. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.01.021. PMID: 29573650. *Intervention* 

5223. Yusuf Ö, Gonka Ö, Pekcanlar Aynur A. The effects of the triple P-positive parenting programme on parenting, family functioning and symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. A randomized controlled trial. Psychiatry and Clinical Psychopharmacology. 2019;29(4):665-73. doi: 10.1080/24750573.2018.1542189. *Power* 

5224. Yuyu Pharma I. Efficacy and Safety Study of Combination of Ginkgo Extract and Ginseng Extract(YY-162)in Children With ADHD. 2010. *Comparator* 

5225. Yuyu Pharma I. Efficacy and Safety Study of Combination of Ginkgo Extract and Ginseng Extract in Children With ADHD(Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder). 2011. *Comparator* 

5226. Zahed G, Roozbakhsh M, Davari Ashtiani R, et al. The Effect of Long-Acting Methylphenidate and Modafinil on Attention and Impulsivity of Children with ADHD using a Continuous Performance Test: A Comparative Study. Iran J Child Neurol. 2022 Summer;16(3):67-77. doi: 10.22037/ijcn.v16i2.32541. PMID: 36204437. *Power* 

5227. Zahid S, Bodicherla KP, Eskander N, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Suicidal Risk in Major Depression: Analysis of 141,530 Adolescent Hospitalizations. Cureus. 2020 May 4;12(5):e7949. doi: 10.7759/cureus.7949. PMID: 32509475. *Intervention* 

5228. Zaim N, Harrison J. Pre-school mental health disorders: a review. Int Rev Psychiatry. 2020 May;32(3):189-201. doi: 10.1080/09540261.2019.1692793. PMID: 31814465. *Population* 

5229. Zajic MC, McIntyre N, Swain-Lerro L, et al. Attention and written expression in schoolage, high-functioning children with autism spectrum disorders. Autism. 2018 Apr;22(3):245-58. doi: 10.1177/1362361316675121. PMID: 27940570. *Population* 

5230. Zajic MC, Solari EJ, McIntyre NS, et al. Observing Visual Attention and Writing Behaviors During a Writing Assessment: Comparing Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder to Peers with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Typically Developing Peers. Autism Res. 2021 Feb;14(2):356-68. doi: 10.1002/aur.2383. PMID: 32918530. *Intervention* 

5231. Zak Holland HAPW. Systematic literature review of parenting interventions to treat children with ADHD. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021233244. 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=233244. *Design* 

5232. Zalsman G, Pumeranz O, Peretz G, et al. Attention patterns in children with attention deficit disorder with or without hyperactivity. ScientificWorldJournal. 2003 Nov 13;3:1093-107. doi: 10.1100/tsw.2003.94. PMID: 14625396. *Intervention* 

5233. Zametkin AJ, Liebenauer LL, Fitzgerald GA, et al. Brain metabolism in teenagers with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1993 May;50(5):333-40. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1993.01820170011002. PMID: 8489322. *Outcome* 

5234. Zamora J, Velasquez A, Troncoso L, et al. [Zinc in the therapy of the attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. A preliminar randomized controlled trial]. Arch Latinoam Nutr. 2011 Sep;61(3):242-6. PMID: 22696891. *Language* 

5235. Zang YF, He Y, Zhu CZ, et al. Altered baseline brain activity in children with ADHD revealed by resting-state functional MRI. Brain Dev. 2007 Mar;29(2):83-91. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2006.07.002. PMID: 16919409. *Intervention* 

5236. Zare Sakhvidi MJ, Knobel P, Bauwelinck M, et al. Greenspace exposure and children behavior: A systematic review. Sci Total Environ. 2022 Jun 10;824:153608. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153608. PMID: 35134416. *Design* 

5237. Zarin DA, Suarez AP, Pincus HA, et al. Clinical and treatment characteristics of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in psychiatric practice. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1998 Dec;37(12):1262-70. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199812000-00009. PMID: 9847498. *Intervention* 

5238. Zarrabi Alhosseini M, Jamshidi J, Zare Bidoki A, et al. SNAP-25 gene variations and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in Iranian population. Neurol Res. 2016 Nov;38(11):959-64. doi: 10.1080/01616412.2016.1232548. PMID: 27627841. *Intervention* 

5239. Zavadenko NN, Kolobova NM, Suvorinova NY. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and enuresis in children and adolescents. Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology. 2011;41(5):525-31. doi: 10.1007/s11055-011-9449-y. *Intervention* 

5240. Zavadenko NN, Suvorinova NY. The results of the pharmacological treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Evaluation with neuropsychological methods. Zhurnal Nevrologii i Psihiatrii imeni S.S. Korsakova. 2014;2014(9):19-24. *Power* 

5241. Zayat M, Kalb L, Wodka EL. Brief report: performance pattern differences between children with autism spectrum disorders and attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder on measures of verbal intelligence. J Autism Dev Disord. 2011 Dec;41(12):1743-7. doi: 10.1007/s10803-011-1207-z. PMID: 21360020. *Intervention* 

5242. Zeiner P. Body growth and cardiovascular function after extended treatment (1.75 years) with methylphenidate in boys with attention-deficit hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology. 1995;5(2):129-38. *Intervention* 

5243. Zeiner P, Gjevik E, Weidle B. Response to atomoxetine in boys with high-functioning autism spectrum disorders and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Acta Paediatr. 2011 Sep;100(9):1258-61. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2011.02263.x. PMID: 21392103. *Intervention* 

5244. Zelazny J, Stanley B, Porta G, et al. Risk factors for pre-adolescent onset suicidal behavior in a high-risk sample of youth. J Affect Disord. 2021 Jul 1;290:292-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.04.059. PMID: 34015624. *Population* 

5245. Zenaro MP, Rossi NF, Souza A, et al. Oral narrative structure and coherence of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Codas. 2019;31(6):e20180197. doi: 10.1590/2317-1782/20192018197. PMID: 31778423. *Comparator* 

5246. Zendarski N, Guo S, Sciberras E, et al. Examining the Educational Gap for Children with ADHD and Subthreshold ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Dec 14:1087054720972790. doi: 10.1177/1087054720972790. PMID: 33317376. *Intervention* 

5247. Zeng Y, Tang Y, Tang J, et al. Association between the different duration of breastfeeding and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutr Neurosci. 2020 Oct;23(10):811-23. doi: 10.1080/1028415x.2018.1560905. PMID: 30577717. *Intervention* 

5248. Zeni CP, Guimarães AP, Polanczyk GV, et al. No significant association between response to methylphenidate and genes of the dopaminergic and serotonergic systems in a sample of Brazilian children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2007 Apr 5;144b(3):391-4. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30474. PMID: 17171656. *Intervention* 

5249. Zeni CP, Tramontina S, Ketzer CR, et al. Methylphenidate combined with aripiprazole in children and adolescents with bipolar disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized crossover trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009 Oct;19(5):553-61. doi: 10.1089/cap.2009.0037. PMID: 19877980. *Power* 

5250. Zepf F, Beate HD, Gaber TJ, et al. Neural correlates of processing emotional stimuli in children and adolescents with ADHD: An fMRI study on serotonergic modulation. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011;20:S7. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0181-5. *Intervention* 

5251. Zepf FD, Bubenzer-Busch S, Runions KC, et al. Functional connectivity of the vigilantattention network in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Brain and Cognition. 2019 Apr 2019;131:56-65. *Intervention* 

5252. Zepf FD, Gaber TJ, Baurmann D, et al. Serotonergic neurotransmission and lapses of attention in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: availability of tryptophan influences attentional performance. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2010 Aug;13(7):933-41. doi: 10.1017/s146114571000012x. PMID: 20196917. *Timing* 

5253. Zepf FD, Holtmann M, Stadler C, et al. Diminished central nervous 5-HT neurotransmission and mood self-ratings in children and adolescents with ADHD: no clear effect of rapid tryptophan depletion. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2009 Mar;24(2):87-94. doi: 10.1002/hup.1002. PMID: 19226535. *Outcome* 

5254. Zepf FD, Holtmann M, Stadler C, et al. Reduced serotonergic functioning changes heart rate in ADHD. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2009 Jan;116(1):105-8. doi: 10.1007/s00702-008-0146-0. PMID: 19018449. *Timing* 

5255. Zepf FD, Stadler C, Demisch L, et al. Serotonergic functioning and trait-impulsivity in attention-deficit/hyperactivity-disordered boys (ADHD): influence of rapid tryptophan depletion. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2008 Jan;23(1):43-51. doi: 10.1002/hup.896. PMID: 17926336. *Population* 

5256. Zerón-Rugerio MF, Carpio-Arias TV, Ferreira-García E, et al. ADHD subtypes are associated differently with circadian rhythms of motor activity, sleep disturbances, and body mass index in children and adolescents: a case-control study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Oct 15. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01659-5. PMID: 33063173. *Outcome* 

5257. Zhang D, Chan SKC, Lo HHM, et al. Mindfulness-based intervention for Chinese children with ADHD and their parents: A pilot mixed-method study. Mindfulness. 2017 Aug 2017;8(4):859-72. *Comparator* 

5258. Zhang DW, Johnstone SJ, Li H, et al. Comparing the Transfer Effects of Three Neurocognitive Training Protocols in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Single-Case Experimental Design. Behaviour Change. 2021. doi: 10.1017/bec.2021.26. *Power* 

5259. Zhang DW, Johnstone SJ, Roodenrys S, et al. The role of resting-state EEG localized activation and central nervous system arousal in executive function performance in children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Clin Neurophysiol. 2018 Jun;129(6):1192-200. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2018.03.009. PMID: 29653296. *Intervention* 

5260. Zhang FH, Zhang JS, Jin XM. Effect of electroencephalogram biofeedback on behavioral problems of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation. 2006;10(10):74-6. *Design* 

5261. Zhang H, Du M, Zhuang S. Impact of long-term treatment of methylphenidate on height and weight of school age children with ADHD. Neuropediatrics. 2010 Aug;41(2):55-9. doi: 10.1055/s-0030-1261893. PMID: 20799150. *Comparator* 

5262. Zhang J, Li W, Zhang H, et al. Callous-unemotional traits in Chinese preschool children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2021 Jul 10;15(1):35. doi: 10.1186/s13034-021-00388-0. PMID: 34246300. *Population* 

5263. Zhang L, Jin X, Zhang Y. Effect of methylphenidate on intelligence quotient scores in Chinese children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2011 Feb;31(1):51-5. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e3182060f3f. PMID: 21192143. *Intervention* 

5264. Zhang M. The effects of physical activity on executive functions in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019118622. 2019.

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=118622. Design

5265. Zhang MQ, Liu Z, Ma HT, et al. The effects of physical activity on executive function in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019 Apr;98(14):e15097. doi: 10.1097/md.000000000015097. PMID: 30946369. *Design* 

5266. Zhang S, Faries DE, Vowles M, et al. ADHD Rating Scale IV: psychometric properties from a multinational study as a clinician-administered instrument. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2005;14(4):186-201. doi: 10.1002/mpr.7. PMID: 16395872. *Outcome* 

5267. Zhang Y, Gui Z, Jiang N, et al. Association between Hyperactivity and SSB Consumption in Schoolchildren: A Cross-Sectional Study in China. Nutrients. 2023;15(4). doi: 10.3390/nu15041034. *Design* 

5268. Zhang Z, Feng J, Xue Y, et al. Planning processing in ADHD with comorbid reading disabilities is worse than in ADHD: Based on Das-Naglieri Cognitive Assessment System. Front Pediatr. 2022;10:898348. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.898348. PMID: 36172393. *Outcome* 

5269. Zhang-James Y, Chen Q, Kuja-Halkola R, et al. Machine-Learning prediction of comorbid substance use disorders in ADHD youth using Swedish registry data. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 Dec;61(12):1370-9. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13226. PMID: 32237241. *Intervention* 

5270. Zhao J, Xu T, Zhou Y, et al. Study on the life quality of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder treated with atomoxetine hydrochloride. Pharmaceutical Care and Research. 2018;18(2):92-5. doi: 10.5428/pcar20180203. *Intervention* 

5271. Zhao L, Li X, Liu G, et al. The association of maternal diabetes with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in offspring: a meta-analysis. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2019;15:675-84. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S189200. PMID: 30880994. *Intervention* 

5272. Zhao Y, Cui D, Lu W, et al. Aberrant gray matter volumes and functional connectivity in adolescent patients with ADHD. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2020 Mar;51(3):719-26. doi: 10.1002/jmri.26854. PMID: 31265198. *Intervention* 

5273. Zheng Q, Wang X, Chiu KY, et al. Time Perception Deficits in Children and Adolescents with ADHD: A Meta-analysis. J Atten Disord. 2022 Jan;26(2):267-81. doi: 10.1177/1087054720978557. PMID: 33302769. *Intervention* 

5274. Zheng Y, Du Y, Su LY, et al. Reliability and validity of the Chinese version of Questionnaire - Children with Difficulties for Chinese children or adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a cross-sectional survey. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2018;14:2181-90. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S166397. PMID: 30214208. *Duplicate* 

5275. Zheng Y, Du Y, Su LY, et al. Reliability and validity of the chinese version of questionnaire – Children with difficulties for chinese children or adolescents with attention-

deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A cross-sectional survey. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2018;14:2181-90. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S166397. *Language* 

5276. Zheng Y, Liang JM, Gao HY, et al. An Open-label, Self-control, Prospective Study on Cognitive Function, Academic Performance, and Tolerability of Osmotic-release Oral System Methylphenidate in Children with Attention-deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Chin Med J (Engl). 2015 Nov 20;128(22):2988-97. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.168948. PMID: 26608976. *Comparator* 

5277. Zheng Y, Pingault JB, Unger JB, et al. Genetic and environmental influences on attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in Chinese adolescents: a longitudinal twin study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Feb;29(2):205-16. doi: 10.1007/s00787-019-01346-0. PMID: 31111269. *Intervention* 

5278. Zheng Y, Wang YF, Qin J, et al. Prospective, naturalistic study of open-label OROS methylphenidate treatment in Chinese school-aged children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Chin Med J (Engl). 2011 Oct;124(20):3269-74. PMID: 22088519. *Intervention* 

5279. Zhiwei S, Zhihao Z, Zhongyi J, et al. Research on Classification of Brain Function Network Features of Children With ADHD Based on Multi-Feature Hub Evaluation Method. International Journal of Psychophysiology. 2021;168:S180. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2021.07.494. *Design* 

5280. Zhou JY, Yi MJ, Kuang GF, et al. Clinical features and behavior characteristics of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder at various intelligence levels. Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation. 2006 12/01;10:12-5. *Language* 

5281. Zhou P, Wolraich ML, Cao AH, et al. Adjuvant effects of vitamin A and vitamin D supplementation on treatment of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a study protocol for a randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled, multicentric trial in China. BMJ Open. 2021 Jun 16;11(6):e050541. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050541. PMID: 34135055. *Outcome* 

5282. Zhu B, Deng F, Yan S, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus, autistic traits and ADHD symptoms in toddlers: Placental inflammatory and oxidative stress cytokines do not play an intermediary role. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2021 Oct 2;134:105435. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2021.105435. PMID: 34649104. *Intervention* 

5283. Zhu Y, Liu L, Yang D, et al. Cognitive control and emotional response in attentiondeficit/ hyperactivity disorder comorbidity with disruptive, impulse-control, and conduct disorders. BMC Psychiatry. 2021 May 4;21(1):232. doi: 10.1186/s12888-021-03221-2. PMID: 33947370. *Intervention* 

5284. Zhu Z, Lei D, Qin K, et al. Cortical and Subcortical Structural Differences in ADHD Youth With and Without a Family History of Bipolar I Disorder: A Cross-Sectional Morphometric Comparison. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2022;47:142-3. doi: 10.1038/s41386-022-01484-1. *Outcome* 

5285. Ziegler M, Kaiser A, Igel C, et al. Actigraphy-Derived Sleep Profiles of Children with and without Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) over Two Weeks—Comparison, Precursor Symptoms, and the Chronotype. Brain Sciences. 2021;11(12). doi: 10.3390/BRAINSCI11121564. *Outcome* 

5286. Ziereis S, Jansen P. Effects of physical activity on executive function and motor performance in children with ADHD. Res Dev Disabil. 2015 Mar;38:181-91. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2014.12.005. PMID: 25561359. *Power* 

5287. Zijlmans J, Marhe R, van der Ende J, et al. Children with Obsessive-Compulsive Symptomology in the General Population: Different Subtypes? J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2017 Sep;38(7):476-82. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000467. PMID: 28691955. *Population* 

5288. Zima BT, Bussing R, Tang L, et al. Do parent perceptions predict continuity of publicly funded care for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Pediatrics. 2013 Mar;131 Suppl 1:S50-9. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-1427f. PMID: 23457150. *Comparator* 

5289. Zinnow T, Banaschewski T, Fallgatter AJ, et al. ESCAlate - Adaptive treatment approach for adolescents and adults with ADHD: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2018 May 18;19(1):280. doi: 10.1186/s13063-018-2665-9. PMID: 29776383. *Population* 

5290. Zito JM, Safer DJ, dosReis S, et al. Psychotherapeutic medication patterns for youths with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 1999 Dec;153(12):1257-63. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.153.12.1257. PMID: 10591302. *Intervention* 

5291. Zito JM, Safer DJ, dosReis S, et al. Methylphenidate patterns among Medicaid youths. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1997;33(1):143-7. PMID: 9133766. *Intervention* 

5292. Zoccante L, Ciceri ML, Chamitava L, et al. Postural Control in Childhood: Investigating the Neurodevelopmental Gradient Hypothesis. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Feb 10;18(4). doi: 10.3390/ijerph18041693. PMID: 33578752. *Intervention* 

5293. Zoega H, Furu K, Halldorsson M, et al. Use of ADHD drugs in the Nordic countries: a population-based comparison study. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2011 May;123(5):360-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2010.01607.x. PMID: 20860726. *Intervention* 

5294. Zohsel K, Baldus C, Schmidt MH, et al. Predicting later problematic cannabis use from psychopathological symptoms during childhood and adolescence: Results of a 25-year longitudinal study. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2016 Jun 1;163:251-5. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.04.012. PMID: 27114206. *Population* 

5295. Zoroglu SS, Erdal ME, Erdal N, et al. No evidence for an association between the T102C and 1438 G/A polymorphisms of the serotonin 2A receptor gene in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in a Turkish population. Neuropsychobiology. 2003;47(1):17-20. doi: 10.1159/000068870. PMID: 12606840. *Intervention* 

5296. Zuddas A. New molecules for treating ADHD: The pipeline and beyond. European Neuropsychopharmacology. 2011;21:S229. doi: 10.1016/S0924-977X(11)70351-0. *Design* 

5297. Zulauf-McCurdy CA, Coxe SJ, Lyon AR, et al. Study protocol of a randomised trial of Summer STRIPES: a peer-delivered high school preparatory intervention for students with ADHD. BMJ Open. 2021 Aug 3;11(8):e045443. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045443. PMID: 34344674. *Outcome* 

5298. Zur M, Magnezi R, Portuguese S, et al. The Impact of Adherence to Treatment for ADHD on the Quality of Military Service - The Israeli Military Experience. Mil Med. 2018 Sep 1;183(9-10):e518-e24. doi: 10.1093/milmed/usy161. PMID: 30007280. *Population* 

5299. Zylowska L, Ackerman DL, Yang MH, et al. Mindfulness meditation training in adults and adolescents with ADHD: a feasibility study. J Atten Disord. 2008 May;11(6):737-46. doi: 10.1177/1087054707308502. PMID: 18025249. *Population* 

## Background

1. Diagnosis and treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). NIH Consensus Statement. 1998 Nov 16-18;16(2):1-37. PMID: 10868163. *Background* 

2. Atomoxetine. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: no better than methylphenidate. Prescrire Int. 2010 Feb;19(105):5-8. PMID: 20455329. *Background* 

3. 6th World Congress on ADHD: From Child to Adult Disorder. ADHD Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorders. 2017;9(1). *Background* 

4. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: diagnosis and management. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE); 2018 Mar 14. 62 p. (NICE guideline; no. 87). GUIDELINE ID: 1055. 2018. *Background* 

5. Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. Content last reviewed March 2021. Effective Health Care Program Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Rockville, MD: 2021 https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/cer-methods-guide. *Background* 

6. Abdullah M, Jowett B, Whittaker PJ, et al. The effectiveness of omega-3 supplementation in reducing ADHD associated symptoms in children as measured by the Conners' rating scales: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Psychiatr Res. 2019 Mar;110:64-73. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.12.002. PMID: 30594823. *Background* 

7. Abraham DA, Kalusalingam A, Ponnusankar S, et al. A Systematic Review Of Randomized Controlled Trials Assessing The Effect Of L-Carnosine On Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results. 2023;14(2):224-30. doi: 10.47750/pnr.2023.14.02.028. *Background* 

8. Abrams Z. A new device for treating ADHD in children. Monitor on Psychology. http://www.apa.org/monitor/2019/07-08/adhd-children. 2019;50(7). *Background* 

9. Adamou M, Bowers S. Dose of Methylphenidate during Service Transition for Adults with ADHD. Ther Adv Psychopharmacol. 2011 Jun;1(3):71-5. doi: 10.1177/2045125311411603. PMID: 23983928. *Background* 

10. Adler LD, Nierenberg AA. Review of medication adherence in children and adults with ADHD. Postgrad Med. 2010 Jan;122(1):184-91. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2010.01.2112. PMID: 20107302. *Background* 

11. Agostoni C, Nobile M, Ciappolino V, et al. The Role of Omega-3 Fatty Acids in Developmental Psychopathology: A Systematic Review on Early Psychosis, Autism, and ADHD. Int J Mol Sci. 2017 Dec 4;18(12). doi: 10.3390/ijms18122608. PMID: 29207548. *Background* 

12. Akinbami LJ, Liu X, Pastor PN, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among children aged 5-17 years in the United States, 1998-2009. NCHS Data Brief. 2011 Aug(70):1-8. PMID: 22142479. *Background* 

13. Alkalay S, Dan O. Effect of short-term methylphenidate on social impairment in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: systematic review. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2022 Nov 28;16(1):93. doi: 10.1186/s13034-022-00526-2. PMID: 36443766. *Background* 

14. Almirall D, Nahum-Shani I, Sherwood NE, et al. Introduction to SMART designs for the development of adaptive interventions: with application to weight loss research. Translational behavioral medicine. 2014 Sep;4(3):260-74. doi: 10.1007/s13142-014-0265-0. PMID: 25264466. *Background* 

15. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 3rd ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1980. *Background* 

16. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed. Arlington, VA: Psychiatric Publishing; 2013. *Background* 

17. Anand S, Tong H, Besag FMC, et al. Safety, Tolerability and Efficacy of Drugs for Treating Behavioural Insomnia in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review with Methodological Quality Assessment. Paediatr Drugs. 2017 Jun;19(3):235-50. doi: 10.1007/s40272-017-0224-6. PMID: 28391425. *Background* 

18. Ang L, Kim JT, Kim K, et al. Acupuncture for Treating Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Medicina (Kaunas). 2023 Feb 17;59(2). doi: 10.3390/medicina59020392. PMID: 36837594. *Background* 

19. Anita Thapar, F.R.C.Psych., Ph.D. Discoveries on the Genetics of ADHD in the 21st Century: New Findings and Their Implications. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2018 Oct 1;175(10):943-50. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.18040383. PMID: 30111187. *Background* 

20. Antshel KM, Zhang-James Y, Faraone SV. The comorbidity of ADHD and autism spectrum disorder. Expert Rev Neurother. 2013 Oct;13(10):1117-28. doi: 10.1586/14737175.2013.840417. PMID: 24117274. *Background* 

21. Applegate B, Lahey BB, Hart EL, et al. Validity of the age-of-onset criterion for ADHD: a report from the DSM-IV field trials. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997 Sep;36(9):1211-21. PMID: 9291722. *Background* 

22. Arnold LE, Hodgkins P, Caci H, et al. Effect of treatment modality on long-term outcomes in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2015;10(2):e0116407. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116407. PMID: 25714373. *Background* 

23. Arnold LE, Hodgkins P, Caci H, et al. Effect of Treatment Modality on Long-Term Outcomes in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review. Focus (Am Psychiatr Publ). 2016 Jan;14(1):90-102. doi: 10.1176/appi.focus.140101. PMID: 31997945. *Background* 

24. Arns M, Clark CR, Trullinger M, et al. Neurofeedback and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity-Disorder (ADHD) in Children: Rating the Evidence and Proposed Guidelines. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2020 Jun;45(2):39-48. doi: 10.1007/s10484-020-09455-2. PMID: 32206963. *Background* 

25. Arpaia P, Covino A, Cristaldi L, et al. A Systematic Review on Feature Extraction in Electroencephalography-Based Diagnostics and Therapy in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Sensors (Basel). 2022 Jun 29;22(13). doi: 10.3390/s22134934. PMID: 35808424. *Background* 

26. Asherson P, Trzaskowski M. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is the extreme and impairing tail of a continuum. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Apr;54(4):249-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2015.01.014. PMID: 25791141. *Background* 

27. Auvin S, Wirrell E, Donald KA, et al. Systematic review of the screening, diagnosis, and management of ADHD in children with epilepsy. Consensus paper of the Task Force on Comorbidities of the ILAE Pediatric Commission. Epilepsia. 2018 Oct;59(10):1867-80. doi: 10.1111/epi.14549. PMID: 30178479. *Background* 

28. Ayubi E, Mansori K. Maternal Infection during Pregnancy and Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Iran J Public Health. 2022 Dec;51(12):2674-87. doi: 10.18502/ijph.v51i12.11458. PMID: 36742242. *Background* 

29. Babinski DE, Huffnagle SM, Bansal PS, et al. Behavioral Treatment for the Social-Emotional Difficulties of Preadolescent and Adolescent Girls with ADHD. Evid Based Pract Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2020;5(2):173-88. doi: 10.1080/23794925.2020.1759470. PMID: 33718608. *Background* 

30. Babinski DE, Sibley MH. Family-based treatments for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A review of family functioning outcomes in randomized controlled trials from 2010 to 2019. J Marital Fam Ther. 2022 Jan;48(1):83-106. doi: 10.1111/jmft.12572. PMID: 34779516. *Background* 

31. Baena LS, Cañadas-De la Fuente GA, Martos-Cabrera MB, et al. Effects of neurofeedback in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2021;10(17). doi: 10.3390/jcm10173797. *Background* 

32. Baker M, Huefner JC, Bellonci C, et al. Polypharmacy in the Management of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents: A Review and Update. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Apr;31(3):148-63. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0162. PMID: 33600217. *Background* 

33. Bannett Y, Dahlen A, Huffman LC, et al. Primary Care Diagnosis and Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in School-Age Children: Trends and Disparities During the COVID-19 Pandemic. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2022 Sep 1;43(7):386-92. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000001087. PMID: 35503665. *Background* 

34. Barbaresi WJ, Campbell L, Diekroger EA, et al. Society for Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics Clinical Practice Guideline for the Assessment and Treatment of Children and Adolescents with Complex Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2020 Feb/Mar;41 Suppl 2S:S35-S57. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0000000000000770. PMID: 31996577. *Background* 

35. Barkley RA. Driving impairments in teens and adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 2004 Jun;27(2):233-60. doi: 10.1016/s0193-953x(03)00091-1. PMID: 15063996. *Background* 

36. Barkley RA. Neuropsychological Testing is Not Useful in the Diagnosis of ADHD: Stop It (or Prove It)! The ADHD Report. 2019;27(2):1-8. doi: 10.1521/adhd.2019.27.2.1. *Background* 

37. Barranco-Ruiz Y, Etxabe BE, Ramírez-Vélez R, et al. Interventions Based on Mind-Body Therapies for the Improvement of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in Youth: A Systematic Review. Medicina (Kaunas). 2019 Jun 30;55(7). doi: 10.3390/medicina55070325. PMID: 31262094. *Background* 

38. Basch CE. Inattention and hyperactivity and the achievement gap among urban minority youth. J Sch Health. 2011 Oct;81(10):641-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1746-1561.2011.00639.x. PMID: 21923877. *Background* 

39. Bashiri A, Ghazisaeedi M, Shahmoradi L. The opportunities of virtual reality in the rehabilitation of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a literature review. Korean J Pediatr. 2017 Nov;60(11):337-43. doi: 10.3345/kjp.2017.60.11.337. PMID: 29234356. *Background* 

40. Baweja R, Waxmonsky JG. Updates in Pharmacologic Strategies for Emotional Dysregulation in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2022 Jul;31(3):479-98. doi: 10.1016/j.chc.2022.02.003. PMID: 35697397. *Background* 

41. Becker K, Banaschewski T, Brandeis D, et al. Individualised stepwise adaptive treatment for 3-6-year-old preschool children impaired by attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ESCApreschool): study protocol of an adaptive intervention study including two randomised controlled trials within the consortium ESCAlife. Trials. 2020 Jan 9;21(1):56. doi: 10.1186/s13063-019-3872-8. PMID: 31918739. *Background* 

42. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics. 1994 Dec;50(4):1088-101. PMID: 7786990. *Background* 

43. Bellato A, Arora I, Kochhar P, et al. Heart Rate Variability in Children and Adolescents with Autism, ADHD and Co-Occurring Autism and ADHD, during Passive and Active Experimental Conditions. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2022 11/01/;52(11):4679-91. PMID: EJ1351474. *Background* 

44. Bemanalizadeh M, Yazdi M, Yaghini O, et al. A meta-analysis on the effect of telemedicine on the management of attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. J Telemed Telecare. 2021 Oct 11:1357633x211045186. doi: 10.1177/1357633x211045186. PMID: 34633251. *Background* 

45. Berkman ND, Lohr KN, Ansari MT, et al. Grading the strength of a body of evidence when assessing health care interventions: an EPC update. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 2015;68(11):1312-24. *Background* 

46. Biederman J, Faraone SV, Weber W, et al. Correspondence between DSM-III-R and DSM-IV attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997 Dec;36(12):1682-7. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199712000-00016. PMID: 9401329. *Background*  47. Biederman J, Gao H, Rogers AK, et al. Comparison of parent and teacher reports of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms from two placebo-controlled studies of atomoxetine in children. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Nov 15;60(10):1106-10. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.03.036. PMID: 16806096. *Background* 

48. Biederman J, Kwon A, Aleardi M, et al. Absence of gender effects on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: findings in nonreferred subjects. Am J Psychiatry. 2005 Jun;162(6):1083-9. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.162.6.1083. PMID: 15930056. *Background* 

49. Biederman J, Monuteaux MC, Greene RW, et al. Long-term stability of the Child Behavior Checklist in a clinical sample of youth with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Child Psychol. 2001 Dec;30(4):492-502. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp3004\_06. PMID: 11708237. *Background* 

50. Biederman J, Pliszka SR. Modafinil improves symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder across subtypes in children and adolescents. J Pediatr. 2008 Mar;152(3):394-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2007.07.052. PMID: 18280848. *Background* 

51. Bikic A, Reichow B, McCauley SA, et al. Meta-analysis of organizational skills interventions for children and adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. Clin Psychol Rev. 2017 Mar;52:108-23. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2016.12.004. PMID: 28088557. *Background* 

52. Bjornstad G, Montgomery P. Family therapy for attention-deficit disorder or attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005 Apr 18(2):Cd005042. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005042.pub2. PMID: 15846741. *Background* 

53. Bloch MH, Panza KE, Landeros-Weisenberger A, et al. Meta-Analysis: Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children with Comorbod Tic Disorders. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2009 09/01/;48(9):884-S. PMID: EJ855186. *Background* 

54. Bloch MH, Qawasmi A. Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplementation for the Treatment of Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptomatology: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011 10/01/;50(10):991-1000. PMID: EJ941613. *Background* 

55. Board AR, Guy G, Jones CM, et al. Trends in stimulant dispensing by age, sex, state of residence, and prescriber specialty — United States, 2014–2019. Drug and Alcohol Dependence. 2020 2020/12/01/;217:108297. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.108297. PMID: 32961454. *Background* 

56. Bonvicini C, Cortese S, Maj C, et al. DRD4 48 bp multiallelic variants as age-populationspecific biomarkers in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Transl Psychiatry. 2020 Feb 19;10(1):70. doi: 10.1038/s41398-020-0755-4. PMID: 32075956. *Background* 

57. Borland H, DiSalvo M, Fried R, et al. A literature review and meta-analysis on the effects of ADHD medications on functional outcomes. J Psychiatr Research. 2020;123(21-30). *Background* 

58. Bosch A, Bierens M, de Wit AG, et al. A two arm randomized controlled trial comparing the short and long term effects of an elimination diet and a healthy diet in children with ADHD

(TRACE study). Rationale, study design and methods. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 May 27;20(1):262. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02576-2. PMID: 32460725. *Background* 

59. Brassett-Harknett A, Butler N. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: an overview of the etiology and a review of the literature relating to the correlates and lifecourse outcomes for men and women. Clin Psychol Rev. 2007 Mar;27(2):188-210. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2005.06.001. PMID: 16081194. *Background* 

60. Brauer H, Breitling-Ziegler C, Moliadze V, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A meta-analysis of clinical efficacy outcomes. Prog Brain Res. 2021;264:91-116. doi: 10.1016/bs.pbr.2021.01.013. PMID: 34167666. *Background* 

61. Breaux R, Dunn NC, Swanson CS, et al. A Mini-Review of Pharmacological and Psychosocial Interventions for Reducing Irritability Among Youth With ADHD. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:794044. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.794044. PMID: 35237188. *Background* 

62. Brinkman WB, Sucharew H, Majcher JH, et al. Predictors of Medication Continuity in Children With ADHD. Pediatrics. 2018 Jun;141(6). doi: 10.1542/peds.2017-2580. PMID: 29794230. *Background* 

63. Brown JT, Bishop JR. Atomoxetine pharmacogenetics: associations with pharmacokinetics, treatment response and tolerability. Pharmacogenomics. 2015;16(13):1513-20. doi: 10.2217/PGS.15.93. PMID: 26314574. *Background* 

64. Brunkhorst-Kanaan N, Libutzki B, Reif A, et al. ADHD and accidents over the life span - A systematic review. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2021 Jun;125:582-91. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2021.02.002. PMID: 33582234. *Background* 

65. Bruton A, Nauman J, Hanes D, et al. Phosphatidylserine for the Treatment of Pediatric Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Altern Complement Med. 2021 Apr;27(4):312-22. doi: 10.1089/acm.2020.0432. PMID: 33539192. *Background* 

66. Bruxel EM, Akutagava-Martins GC, Salatino-Oliveira A, et al. ADHD pharmacogenetics across the life cycle: New findings and perspectives. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2014 Jun;165B(4):263-82. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.32240. PMID: 24804845. *Background* 

67. Bryant A, Schlesinger H, Sideri A, et al. A meta-analytic review of the impact of ADHD medications on anxiety and depression in children and adolescents. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2022 May 26. doi: 10.1007/s00787-022-02004-8. PMID: 35616714. *Background* 

68. Bussalb A, Congedo M, Barthélemy Q, et al. Clinical and Experimental Factors Influencing the Efficacy of Neurofeedback in ADHD: A Meta-Analysis. Front Psychiatry. 2019;10:35. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00035. PMID: 30833909. *Background* 

69. Canadian Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Resource Alliance (CADDRA). Canadian ADHD practice guidelines CADDRA. Toronto: 2011. *Background* 

70. Canadian Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Resource Alliance (CADDRA). Canadian ADHD practice guidelines CADDRA. Toronto: 2020. *Background* 

71. Carucci S, Balia C, Gagliano A, et al. Long term methylphenidate exposure and growth in children and adolescents with ADHD. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurosci

Biobehav Rev. 2021 Jan;120:509-25. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.09.031. PMID: 33080250. Background

72. Catalá-López F, Hutton B, Núñez-Beltrán A, et al. The pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: A systematic review with network meta-analyses of randomised trials. PLoS One. 2017;12(7):e0180355. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180355. PMID: 28700715. *Background* 

73. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Data and Statistics About ADHD. www.https://www.cdc.gov/ncbdd/adhd/data.html. Accessed August 23, 2021. *Background* 

74. Cerrillo-Urbina AJ, García-Hermoso A, Pardo-Guijarro MJ, et al. The Effects of Long-Acting Stimulant and Nonstimulant Medications in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Oct;28(8):494-507. doi: 10.1089/cap.2017.0151. PMID: 29897263. *Background* 

75. Cha AE. CDC warns that Americans may be overmedicating youngest children with ADHD. The Washington Post. 2016. *Background* 

76. Chan E, Fogler JM, Hammerness PG. Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Adolescents: A Systematic Review. Jama. 2016 May 10;315(18):1997-2008. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.5453. PMID: 27163988. *Background* 

77. Chan E, Hopkins MR, Perrin JM, et al. Diagnostic practices for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a national survey of primary care physicians. Ambul Pediatr. 2005 Jul-Aug;5(4):201-8. doi: 10.1367/A04-054R1.1. PMID: 16026184. *Background* 

78. Chan SKC, Zhang D, Bögels SM, et al. Effects of a mindfulness-based intervention (MYmind) for children with ADHD and their parents: protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open. 2018 Nov 12;8(11):e022514. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022514. PMID: 30420347. *Background* 

79. Chang JP, Su KP, Mondelli V, et al. Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids in Youths with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Clinical Trials and Biological Studies. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2018 Feb;43(3):534-45. doi: 10.1038/npp.2017.160. PMID: 28741625. *Background* 

80. Chang L-Y, Wang M-Y, Tsai P-S. Diagnostic Accuracy of Rating Scales for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-analysis. Pediatrics. 2016 Mar;137(3):e20152749. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-2749. PMID: 26928969. *Background* 

81. Charach A, Dashti B, Carson P, et al. AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Effectiveness of Treatment in At-Risk Preschoolers; Long-Term Effectiveness in All Ages; and Variability in Prevalence, Diagnosis, and Treatment. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2011. *Background* 

82. Charach A, Dashti B, Carson P, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Effectiveness of Treatment in At-Risk Preschoolers; Long-Term Effectiveness in All Ages; and Variability in Prevalence, Diagnosis, and Treatment. Compartative Effectiveness Review No. 44 (Prepared by the McMaster University Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. MME2202 290-02-0020.) AHRQ Publication No. 12-EHC003-EF Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Rockville, MD: Oct Preschoolers; Long-Term Effectiveness in All Ages; and Variability in Prevalence, Diagnosis, and Treatment October 2011. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list \_uids=22191110. *Background* 

83. Chen K, Phipps S. "Why can't you sit still?" The effect of daily physical activity on childhood inattention/hyperactivity and the educational gender gap. Social Science & Medicine. 2021 Sep 2021;284. *Background* 

84. Chen S, Yu J, Zhang Q, et al. Which Factor Is More Relevant to the Effectiveness of the Cognitive Intervention? A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials of Cognitive Training on Symptoms and Executive Function Behaviors of Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Psychol. 2021;12:810298. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.810298. PMID: 35095697. *Background* 

85. Chen SC, Yu BY, Suen LK, et al. Massage therapy for the treatment of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Complement Ther Med. 2019 Feb;42:389-99. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2018.12.011. PMID: 30670272. *Background* 

86. Chen YC, Wu LK, Lee MS, et al. The Efficacy of Acupuncture Treatment for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Complement Med Res. 2021;28(4):357-67. doi: 10.1159/000513655. PMID: 33508834. *Background* 

87. Cheng CY, Tseng WL, Chang CF, et al. A Deep Learning Approach for Missing Data Imputation of Rating Scales Assessing Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2020;11. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00673. *Background* 

88. Cheng JY, Chen RY, Ko JS, et al. Efficacy and safety of atomoxetine for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents-meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2007 Oct;194(2):197-209. doi: 10.1007/s00213-007-0840x. PMID: 17572882. *Background* 

89. Cherkasova MV, Roy A, Molina BSG, et al. Review: Adult Outcome as Seen Through Controlled Prospective Follow-up Studies of Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Followed Into Adulthood. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jun 8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.05.019. PMID: 34116167. *Background* 

90. Childress AC, Stark JG. Diagnosis and Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Preschool-Aged Children. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2018 Nov;28(9):606-14. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0057. PMID: 30388032. *Background* 

91. Chimiklis AL, Dahl V, Spears AP, et al. Yoga, mindfulness, and meditation interventions for youth with ADHD: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2018 Oct 2018;27(10):3155-68. *Background* 

92. Ching C, Eslick GD, Poulton AS. Evaluation of Methylphenidate Safety and Maximum-Dose Titration Rationale in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2019 Jul 1;173(7):630-9. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.0905. PMID: 31135892. *Background* 

93. Christiansen MS, Labriola M, Kirkeskov L, et al. The impact of childhood diagnosed ADHD versus controls without ADHD diagnoses on later labour market attachment-a systematic review

of longitudinal studies. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2021 Jun 23;15(1):34. doi: 10.1186/s13034-021-00386-2. PMID: 34162422. *Background* 

94. Clavenna A, Bonati M. Safety of medicines used for ADHD in children: a review of published prospective clinical trials. Arch Dis Child. 2014 Sep;99(9):866-72. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2013-304170. PMID: 24748641. *Background* 

95. Coghill D. Debate: Are Stimulant Medications for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Effective in the Long Term? (For). J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Oct;58(10):938-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.07.002. PMID: 31515164. *Background* 

96. Coghill D, Banaschewski T, Cortese S, et al. The management of ADHD in children and adolescents: bringing evidence to the clinic: perspective from the European ADHD Guidelines Group (EAGG). Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Oct 22:1-25. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01871-x. PMID: 34677682. *Background* 

97. Coghill D, Seth S. Effective management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) through structured re-assessment: the Dundee ADHD Clinical Care Pathway. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2015;9:52. doi: 10.1186/s13034-015-0083-2. PMID: 26587055. *Background* 

98. Coghill DR, Banaschewski T, Soutullo C, et al. Systematic review of quality of life and functional outcomes in randomized placebo-controlled studies of medications for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Nov;26(11):1283-307. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-0986-y. PMID: 28429134. *Background* 

99. Coghill DR, Caballero B, Sorooshian S, et al. A systematic review of the safety of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate. CNS Drugs. 2014 Jun;28(6):497-511. doi: 10.1007/s40263-014-0166-2. PMID: 24788672. *Background* 

100. Coghill DR, Hayward D, Rhodes SM, et al. A longitudinal examination of neuropsychological and clinical functioning in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): improvements in executive functioning do not explain clinical improvement. Psychol Med. 2014 Apr;44(5):1087-99. doi: 10.1017/s0033291713001761. PMID: 23866120. *Background* 

101. Coghill DR, Joseph A, Sikirica V, et al. Correlations Between Clinical Trial Outcomes Based on Symptoms, Functional Impairments, and Quality of Life in Children and Adolescents With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2019 Nov 1;23(13):1578-91. doi: 10.1177/1087054717723984. PMID: 28836895. *Background* 

102. Coghill DR, Newcorn JH, Chen J, et al. Post hoc analyses of response rates to pharmacological treatments in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Psychopharmacol. 2020 Aug;34(8):874-82. doi: 10.1177/0269881120904949. PMID: 32043417. *Background* 

103. Connor DF. Preschool attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a review of prevalence, diagnosis, neurobiology, and stimulant treatment. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2002 Feb;23(1 Suppl):S1-9. doi: 10.1097/00004703-200202001-00002. PMID: 11875284. *Background* 

104. Connor DF, Fletcher KE, Swanson JM. A meta-analysis of clonidine for symptoms of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999 Dec;38(12):1551-9. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199912000-00017. PMID: 10596256. *Background* 

105. Connor DF, Glatt SJ, Lopez ID, et al. Psychopharmacology and aggression. I: A metaanalysis of stimulant effects on overt/covert aggression-related behaviors in ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2002 Mar;41(3):253-61. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200203000-00004. PMID: 11886019. *Background* 

106. Cook G. Big Pharma's Manufactured Epidemic: The Misdiagnosis of ADHD. Scientific American. 2016;Oct 11, 2016. *Background* 

107. Cook J, Knight E, Hume I, et al. The self-esteem of adults diagnosed with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a systematic review of the literature. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2014 Dec;6(4):249-68. doi: 10.1007/s12402-014-0133-2. PMID: 24668198. *Background* 

108. Cooper RE, Tye C, Kuntsi J, et al. The effect of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation on emotional dysregulation, oppositional behaviour and conduct problems in ADHD: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2016 Jan 15;190:474-82. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2015.09.053. PMID: 26551407. *Background* 

109. Cornelius C, Fedewa AL, Ahn S. The Effect of Physical Activity on Children with ADHD: A Quantitative Review of the Literature. Journal of Applied School Psychology. 2017 01/01/;33(2):136-70. PMID: EJ1128356. *Background* 

110. Cornell JE, Mulrow CD, Localio R, et al. Random-effects meta-analysis of inconsistent effects: a time for change. Ann Intern Med. 2014 Feb 18;160(4):267-70. doi: 10.7326/M13-2886. PMID: 24727843. *Background* 

111. Correll CU, Cortese S, Croatto G, et al. Efficacy and acceptability of pharmacological, psychosocial, and brain stimulation interventions in children and adolescents with mental disorders: an umbrella review. World Psychiatry. 2021 Jun;20(2):244-75. doi: 10.1002/wps.20881. PMID: 34002501. *Background* 

112. Cortese S, Adamo N, Del Giovane C, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of medications for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children, adolescents, and adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Lancet Psychiatry. 2018 Sep;5(9):727-38. doi: 10.1016/s2215-0366(18)30269-4. PMID: 30097390. *Background* 

113. Cortese S, Ferrin M, Brandeis D, et al. Cognitive training for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: meta-analysis of clinical and neuropsychological outcomes from randomized controlled trials. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Mar;54(3):164-74. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2014.12.010. PMID: 25721181. *Background* 

114. Cortese S, Ferrin M, Brandeis D, et al. Neurofeedback for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Meta-Analysis of Clinical and Neuropsychological Outcomes From Randomized Controlled Trials. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Jun;55(6):444-55. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2016.03.007. PMID: 27238063. *Background* 

115. Cosmo C, DiBiasi M, Lima V, et al. A systematic review of transcranial direct current stimulation effects in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Affect Disord. 2020 Nov 1;276:1-13. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.054. PMID: 32697687. *Background* 

116. Coutinho-Souto WKS, de Souza Fleith D. Giftedness and ADHD: A systematic literature review. Revista de Psicologia (Peru). 2022;40(2):1175-211. doi: 10.18800/psico.202202.019. *Background*
117. Coxe S, Sibley MH. Harmonizing DSM-IV and DSM-5 Versions of ADHD "A Criteria": An Item Response Theory Analysis. Assessment. 2021 Dec 14:10731911211061299. doi: 10.1177/10731911211061299. PMID: 34905981. *Background* 

118. Craig SG, Davies G, Schibuk L, et al. Long-Term Effects of Stimulant Treatment for ADHD: What Can We Tell Our Patients? Current Developmental Disorders Reports. 2015 2015/03/01;2(1):1-9. doi: 10.1007/s40474-015-0039-5. *Background* 

119. Computer Games for User Engagement in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Monitoring and Therapy. 2015 International Conference on Interactive Technologies and Games; 2015 22-23 Oct. 2015. *Background* 

120. Croxtall JD. Clonidine extended-release: in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Paediatr Drugs. 2011 Oct 1;13(5):329-36. doi: 10.2165/11208100-00000000-00000. PMID: 21888447. *Background* 

121. Curchack-Lichtin JT, Chacko A, Halperin JM. Changes in ADHD symptom endorsement: preschool to school age. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2014 Aug;42(6):993-1004. doi: 10.1007/s10802-013-9834-9. PMID: 24343794. *Background* 

122. Dahmen B, Pütz V, Herpertz-Dahlmann B, et al. Early pathogenic care and the development of ADHD-like symptoms. Journal of Neural Transmission. 2012 2012/09/01;119(9):1023-36. doi: 10.1007/s00702-012-0809-8. PMID: 22661337. *Background* 

123. Dalrymple RA, McKenna Maxwell L, Russell S, et al. NICE guideline review: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: diagnosis and management (NG87). Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed. 2020 Oct;105(5):289-93. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2019-316928. PMID: 31776172. *Background* 

124. Danielson ML, Bitsko RH, Ghandour RM, et al. Prevalence of parent-reported ADHD diagnosis and associated treatment among U.S. children and adolescents, 2016. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology. 2018 Mar-Apr;47(2):199-212. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2017.1417860. PMID: 29363986. *Background* 

125. Danielson ML, Visser SN, Gleason MM, et al. A national profile of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder diagnosis and treatment among US children aged 2 to 5 years. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. 2017 Sep 2017;38(7):455-64. *Background* 

126. De Crescenzo F, Armando M, Mazzone L, et al. The use of actigraphy in the monitoring of methylphenidate versus placebo in ADHD: a meta-analysis. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2014 Mar;6(1):49-58. doi: 10.1007/s12402-013-0122-x. PMID: 24287735. *Background* 

127. De Crescenzo F, Licchelli S, Ciabattini M, et al. The use of actigraphy in the monitoring of sleep and activity in ADHD: A meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev. 2016 Apr;26:9-20. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2015.04.002. PMID: 26163053. *Background* 

128. de Faria JCM, Duarte LJR, Ferreira LA, et al. "Real-world" effectiveness of methylphenidate in improving the academic achievement of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder diagnosed students-A systematic review. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2021 Jul 13. doi: 10.1111/jcpt.13486. PMID: 34254328. *Background* 

129. Dekkers TJ, Hornstra R, van der Oord S, et al. Meta-analysis: Which Components of Parent Training Work for Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder? J Am Acad

Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jul 2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.06.015. PMID: 34224837. Background

130. Dekkers TJ, van der Oord S. Editorial Perspective: When to start de-implementation of interventions: the case of cognitive training for children with ADHD. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2022 2022/12/30;n/a(n/a). doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13751. *Background* 

131. Dell'Agnello G, Zuddas A, Masi G, et al. Use of atomoxetine in patients with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder and co-morbid conditions. CNS Drugs. 2009 Sep;23(9):739-53. doi: 10.2165/11314350-000000000-00000. PMID: 19689165. *Background* 

132. Derbyshire E. Do Omega-3/6 Fatty Acids Have a Therapeutic Role in Children and Young People with ADHD? J Lipids. 2017;2017:6285218. doi: 10.1155/2017/6285218. PMID: 28951787. *Background* 

133. Di Lorenzo R, Balducci J, Poppi C, et al. Children and adolescents with ADHD followed up to adulthood: a systematic review of long-term outcomes. Acta Neuropsychiatr. 2021 Aug 13:1-42. doi: 10.1017/neu.2021.23. PMID: 34384511. *Background* 

134. Dijk HH, Wessels LM, Constanti M, et al. Cost-Effectiveness and Cost Utility of Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Nov;31(9):578-96. doi: 10.1089/cap.2021.0068. PMID: 34705525. *Background* 

135. Ding Q, Li M, Zhu D. Is combined CBT therapy more effective than drug therapy alone for ADHD in children? A meta-analysis. Traditional Medicine and Modern Medicine. 2018;1(1):21-6. doi: 10.1142/S2575900018400013. *Background* 

136. Donnelly M, Haby MM, Carter R, et al. Cost-effectiveness of dexamphetamine and methylphenidate for the treatment of childhood attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2004 Aug;38(8):592-601. doi: 10.1080/j.1440-1614.2004.01422.x. PMID: 15298581. *Background* 

137. DosReis S, Barksdale CL, Sherman A, et al. Stigmatizing experiences of parents of children with a new diagnosis of ADHD. Psychiatr Serv. 2010 Aug;61(8):811-6. doi: 10.1176/ps.2010.61.8.811. PMID: 20675840. *Background* 

138. Duncan L, Comeau J, Wang L, et al. Research Review: Test-retest reliability of standardized diagnostic interviews to assess child and adolescent psychiatric disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019 Jan;60(1):16-29. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12876. PMID: 29457645. *Background* 

139. DuPaul GJ, Power TJ, Anastopoulos AD, et al. ADHD Rating Scale—IV: Checklists, norms, and clinical interpretation: Guilford press; 1998. *Background* 

140. DuPaul GJ, Power TJ, Anastopoulos AD, et al. ADHD Rating Scale—5: Checklists, norms, and clinical interpretation: Guilford press; 2016. *Background* 

141. Dutta T, Anand U, Mitra SS, et al. Phytotherapy for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Front Pharmacol. 2022;13:827411. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.827411. PMID: 35592415. *Background* 

142. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics. 2000 Jun;56(2):455-63. doi: 10.1111/j.0006-341x.2000.00455.x. PMID: 10877304. *Background* 

143. Egger HL, Kondo D, Angold A. The epidemiology and diagnostic issues in preschool attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: A review. Infants & Young Children. 2006;19:109-22. doi: 10.1097/00001163-200604000-00004. *Background* 

144. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997 Sep 13;315(7109):629-34. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629. PMID: 9310563. *Background* 

145. Elbe D, Macbride A, Reddy D. Focus on Lisdexamfetamine: A Review of its use in Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Nov;19(4):303-14. PMID: 21037922. *Background* 

146. Evans S, Ling M, Hill B, et al. Systematic review of meditation-based interventions for children with ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Jan;27(1):9-27. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-1008-9. PMID: 28547119. *Background* 

147. Faber A, Kalverdijk LJ, de Jong-van den Berg LT, et al. Parents report on stimulant-treated children in the Netherlands: initiation of treatment and follow-up care. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2006 Aug;16(4):432-40. doi: 10.1089/cap.2006.16.432. PMID: 16958568. *Background* 

148. Fabiano GA, Pelham WE, Jr., Coles EK, et al. A meta-analysis of behavioral treatments for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Clin Psychol Rev. 2009 Mar;29(2):129-40. doi: S0272-7358(08)00156-6 [pii]

10.1016/j.cpr.2008.11.001. PMID: 19131150. Background

149. Fadus MC, Ginsburg KR, Sobowale K, et al. Unconscious Bias and the Diagnosis of Disruptive Behavior Disorders and ADHD in African American and Hispanic Youth. Academic Psychiatry. 2020 2020/02/01;44(1):95-102. doi: 10.1007/s40596-019-01127-6. *Background* 

150. Faraone SV, Banaschewski T, Coghill D, et al. The World Federation of ADHD International Consensus Statement: 208 Evidence-based conclusions about the disorder. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews. 2021 Sep 2021;128:789-818. *Background* 

151. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Milberger S. How reliable are maternal reports of their children's psychopathology? One-year recall of psychiatric diagnoses of ADHD children. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 Aug;34(8):1001-8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199508000-00009. PMID: 7665438. *Background* 

152. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Roe C. Comparative efficacy of Adderall and methylphenidate in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analysis. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2002 Oct;22(5):468-73. doi: 10.1097/00004714-200210000-00005. PMID: 12352269. *Background* 

153. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Spencer TJ, et al. Comparing the efficacy of medications for ADHD using meta-analysis. MedGenMed. 2006 Oct 5;8(4):4. PMID: 17415287. *Background* 

154. Faraone SV, Gomeni R, Hull JT, et al. Executive Function Outcome of Treatment with Viloxazine Extended-Release Capsules in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Post-Hoc Analysis of Four Randomized Clinical Trials.

Paediatr Drugs. 2021 Nov;23(6):583-9. doi: 10.1007/s40272-021-00470-2. PMID: 34523063. *Background* 

155. Faraone SV, Gomeni R, Hull JT, et al. A post hoc analysis of the effect of viloxazine extended-release capsules on learning and school problems in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Sep 28. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01877-5. PMID: 34581911. *Background* 

156. Faraone SV, McBurnett K, Sallee FR, et al. Guanfacine extended release: a novel treatment for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Clin Ther. 2013 Nov;35(11):1778-93. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2013.09.005. PMID: 24139092. *Background* 

157. Faraone SV, Po MD, Komolova M, et al. Sleep-Associated Adverse Events During Methylphenidate Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-Analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2019 Apr 30;80(3). doi: 10.4088/JCP.18r12210. PMID: 31090281. *Background* 

158. Fenton C, Lee A. Monitor growth changes from central nervous system stimulants in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Drugs and Therapy Perspectives. 2022;38(7):316-21. doi: 10.1007/s40267-022-00921-x. *Background* 

159. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ. Predictive validity of categorically and dimensionally scored measures of disruptive childhood behaviors. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995 Apr;34(4):477-85; discussion 85-7. PMID: 7751262. *Background* 

160. Finlay F, Virani S. MINDFULNESS MEDITATION IN ADHD. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 2022;107:A323. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2022-rcpch.522. *Background* 

161. Föcker M, Antel J, Ring S, et al. Vitamin D and mental health in children and adolescents. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Sep;26(9):1043-66. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-0949-3. PMID: 28176022. *Background* 

162. Ford-Jones PC. Misdiagnosis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: 'Normal behaviour' and relative maturity. Paediatrics & child health. 2015 May;20(4):200-2. doi: 10.1093/pch/20.4.200. PMID: 26038639. *Background* 

163. Fox A, Dishman S, Valicek M, et al. Effectiveness of Social Skills Interventions Incorporating Peer Interactions for Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review. Am J Occup Ther. 2020 Mar/Apr;74(2):7402180070p1-p19. doi: 10.5014/ajot.2020.040212. PMID: 32204778. *Background* 

164. Foy JM, Earls MF. A process for developing community consensus regarding the diagnosis and management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics. 2005 Jan;115(1):e97-104. doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-0953. PMID: 15629972. *Background* 

165. Franke B, Michelini G, Asherson P, et al. Live fast, die young? A review on the developmental trajectories of ADHD across the lifespan. European Neuropsychopharmacology. 2018 Oct 2018;28(10):1059-88. *Background* 

166. Fredriksen M, Halmøy A, Faraone SV, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of treatment with stimulants and atomoxetine in adult ADHD: a review of controlled and naturalistic studies. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2013 Jun;23(6):508-27. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2012.07.016. PMID: 22917983. *Background* 

167. Friedman LM, Furberg CD, DeMets DL. Fundamental of Clinical Trials. 4th ed. New York: Springer; 2010. *Background* 

168. Froehlich TE, Fogler J, Barbaresi WJ, et al. Using ADHD Medications to Treat Coexisting ADHD and Reading Disorders: A Systematic Review. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2018 Oct;104(4):619-37. doi: 10.1002/cpt.1192. PMID: 30053315. *Background* 

169. Froehlich TE, McGough JJ, Stein MA. Progress and promise of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder pharmacogenetics. CNS Drugs. 2010 Feb;24(2):99-117. doi: 10.2165/11530290-00000000-00000. PMID: 20088618. *Background* 

170. Gaertner K, Teut M, Walach H. Is homeopathy effective for attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder? A meta-analysis. Pediatr Res. 2022 Jun 14. doi: 10.1038/s41390-022-02127-3. PMID: 35701608. *Background* 

171. Gamma A, Kara O. Event-Related Potentials for Diagnosing Children and Adults With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020 Sep;24(11):1581-7. doi: 10.1177/1087054716631821. PMID: 26964868. *Background* 

172. Gan J, Galer P, Ma D, et al. The Effect of Vitamin D Supplementation on Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 Nov;29(9):670-87. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0059. PMID: 31368773. *Background* 

173. Garas P, Balazs J. Long-Term Suicide Risk of Children and Adolescents With Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder-A Systematic Review. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:557909. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.557909. PMID: 33408650. *Background* 

174. Gayleard JL, Mychailyszyn MP. Atomoxetine treatment for children and adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): a comprehensive meta-analysis of outcomes on parent-rated core symptomatology. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2017 Sep;9(3):149-60. doi: 10.1007/s12402-017-0216-y. PMID: 28110366. *Background* 

175. Geissler J, Jans T, Banaschewski T, et al. Individualised short-term therapy for adolescents impaired by attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder despite previous routine care treatment (ESCAadol)-Study protocol of a randomised controlled trial within the consortium ESCAlife. Trials. 2018 Apr 27;19(1):254. doi: 10.1186/s13063-018-2635-2. PMID: 29703226. *Background* 

176. Ghanizadeh A, Freeman RD, Berk M. Efficacy and adverse effects of venlafaxine in children and adolescents with ADHD: a systematic review of non-controlled and controlled trials. Rev Recent Clin Trials. 2013 Mar;8(1):2-8. doi: 10.2174/1574887111308010002. PMID: 23157376. *Background* 

177. Ghanizadeh A, Molla M, Olango GJ. The effect of stimulants on irritability in autism comorbid with ADHD: a systematic review. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2019;15:1547-55. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S194022. PMID: 31239689. *Background* 

178. Gidengil C, Goetz MB, Maglione M, et al. Safety of Vaccines Used for Routine Immunization in the United States: An Update. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 244. (Prepared by the Southern California Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2015-00010-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 21-EHC024. . Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2021. *Background*  179. Gilboa Y, Fogel-Grinvald H, Chevignard M. Virtual Classroom Assessment for Children and Adolescents With Attention Deficits: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Measurement Properties. J Atten Disord. 2021 Feb;25(3):300-11. doi: 10.1177/1087054718808590. PMID: 30371134. *Background* 

180. Gillies D, Sinn JKH, Lad SS, et al. Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2012(7). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007986.pub2. PMID: CD007986. *Background* 

181. Gilmore A, Milne R. Methylphenidate in children with hyperactivity: review and costutility analysis. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2001 Mar-Apr;10(2):85-94. doi: 10.1002/pds.564. PMID: 11499858. *Background* 

182. Gloss D, Varma JK, Pringsheim T, et al. Practice advisory: The utility of EEG theta/beta power ratio in ADHD diagnosis: Report of the Guideline Development, Dissemination, and Implementation Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2016 Nov 29;87(22):2375-9. doi: 10.1212/wnl.00000000003265. PMID: 27760867. *Background* 

183. Goode AP, Coeytaux RR, Maslow GR, et al. Nonpharmacologic Treatments for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review. Pediatrics. 2018 Jun;141(6). doi: 10.1542/peds.2018-0094. PMID: 29848556. *Background* 

184. Goodman DW. Sustained treatment effect in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: focus on long-term placebo-controlled randomized maintenance withdrawal and open-label studies. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2013;9:121-30. doi: 10.2147/tcrm.S30762. PMID: 23576871. *Background* 

185. Granero R, Pardo-Garrido A, Carpio-Toro IL, et al. The role of iron and zinc in the treatment of adhd among children and adolescents: A systematic review of randomized clinical trials. Nutrients. 2021;13(11). doi: 10.3390/nu13114059. *Background* 

186. Grassmann V, Alves MV, Santos-Galduróz RF, et al. Possible Cognitive Benefits of Acute Physical Exercise in Children With ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Mar;21(5):367-71. doi: 10.1177/1087054714526041. PMID: 24621460. *Background* 

187. Greven CU, Buitelaar JK, Salum GA. From positive psychology to psychopathology: the continuum of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Mar;59(3):203-12. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12786. PMID: 28731214. *Background* 

188. Groenman AP, Hornstra R, Hoekstra PJ, et al. An Individual Participant Data Metaanalysis: Behavioral Treatments for Children and Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Apr 28. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.02.024. PMID: 33932495. *Background* 

189. Guo C, Assumpcao L, Hu Z. Efficacy of Non-pharmacological Treatments on Emotional Symptoms of Children and Adults with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-Analysis. J Atten Disord. 2021 Mar 24:10870547211001953. doi: 10.1177/10870547211001953. PMID: 33759605. *Background* 

190. Guy W. ECDEU Assessment Manual for Psychopharmacology US Department of Heath, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration. Rockville, MD: 1976. *Background*  191. Haddad HW, Hankey PB, Ko J, et al. Viloxazine, a Non-stimulant Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitor, for the Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A 3 Year Update. Health Psychol Res. 2022;10(3):37018. doi: 10.52965/001c.37018. PMID: 35910243. *Background* 

192. Hall CL, Valentine AZ, Groom MJ, et al. The clinical utility of the continuous performance test and objective measures of activity for diagnosing and monitoring ADHD in children: a systematic review. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016 Jul;25(7):677-99. doi: 10.1007/s00787-015-0798-x. PMID: 26620873. *Background* 

193. Hammerness P. 73.4 Stimulant Cardiovascular Effects. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2022;61(10):S103. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2022.07.420. *Background* 

194. Händel MN, Rohde JF, Rimestad ML, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Supplementation in the Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Clinical Trials. Nutrients. 2021 Apr 8;13(4). doi: 10.3390/nu13041226. PMID: 33917727. *Background* 

195. Hanwella R, Senanayake M, de Silva V. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of methylphenidate and atomoxetine in treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis. BMC Psychiatry. 2011 Nov 10;11:176. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-11-176. PMID: 22074258. *Background* 

196. Hardy RJ, Thompson SG. A likelihood approach to meta-analysis with random effects. Stat Med. 1996 Mar 30;15(6):619-29. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(19960330)15:6<619::AID-SIM188>3.0.CO;2-A. PMID: 8731004. *Background* 

197. Hartge J, Toledo P. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and its Comorbid Mental Disorders: An Evaluation of their Labor Market Outcomes. J Ment Health Policy Econ. 2018 Sep 1;21(3):105-21. PMID: 30530871. *Background* 

198. Haubold A, Peterson BS, Bansal R. Annual research review: progress in using brain morphometry as a clinical tool for diagnosing psychiatric disorders. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2012 May;53(5):519-35. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02539.x. PMID: 22394424. *Background* 

199. Hazell P. Pharmacological management of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in adolescents: special considerations. CNS Drugs. 2007;21(1):37-46. doi: 10.2165/00023210-200721010-00004. PMID: 17190528. *Background* 

200. Hazell PL, Kohn MR, Dickson R, et al. Core ADHD symptom improvement with atomoxetine versus methylphenidate: a direct comparison meta-analysis. J Atten Disord. 2011 Nov;15(8):674-83. doi: 10.1177/1087054710379737. PMID: 20837981. *Background* 

201. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache S. The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). Cephalalgia. 2013 Jul;33(9):629-808. doi: 10.1177/0333102413485658. PMID: 23771276. *Background* 

202. Hechtman L, Weiss G, Perlman T. Hyperactives as young adults: past and current substance abuse and antisocial behavior. Am J Orthopsychiatry. 1984 Jul;54(3):415-25. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-0025.1984.tb01507.x. PMID: 6331769. *Background* 

203. Helmer A, Wechsler T, Gilboa Y. Equine-Assisted Services for Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review. J Altern Complement Med. 2021 Jun;27(6):477-88. doi: 10.1089/acm.2020.0482. PMID: 33835856. *Background* 

204. Hempel S, Graham GD, Fu N, et al. A systematic review of modifiable risk factors in the progression of multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler. 2017 Apr;23(4):525-33. doi: 10.1177/1352458517690270. PMID: 28151053. *Background* 

205. Hempel S, Miles JN, Booth MJ, et al. Risk of bias: a simulation study of power to detect study-level moderator effects in meta-analysis. Syst Rev. 2013 Nov 28;2:107. doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-2-107. PMID: 24286208. *Background* 

206. Hempel S, Newberry S, Ruelaz A, et al. Safety of probiotics used to reduce risk and prevent or treat disease. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep). 2011 Apr(200):1-645. PMID: 23126627. *Background* 

207. Hempel S, Newberry SJ, Maher AR, et al. Probiotics for the prevention and treatment of antibiotic-associated diarrhea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2012 May 9;307(18):1959-69. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.3507. PMID: 22570464. *Background* 

208. Hennissen L, Bakker MJ, Banaschewski T, et al. Cardiovascular Effects of Stimulant and Non-Stimulant Medication for Children and Adolescents with ADHD: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Trials of Methylphenidate, Amphetamines and Atomoxetine. CNS Drugs. 2017 Mar;31(3):199-215. doi: 10.1007/s40263-017-0410-7. PMID: 28236285. *Background* 

209. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ. 2003 Sep 06;327(7414):557-60. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557. PMID: 12958120. *Background* 

210. Higgins JPT, Green S, eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration. Available from http://handbook.cochrane.org.; 2011. *Background* 

211. Hirota T, Schwartz S, Correll CU. Alpha-2 agonists for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in youth: a systematic review and meta-analysis of monotherapy and add-on trials to stimulant therapy. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014 Feb;53(2):153-73. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2013.11.009. PMID: 24472251. *Background* 

212. Holick CN, Turnbull BR, Jones ME, et al. Atomoxetine and cerebrovascular outcomes in adults. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2009 Oct;29(5):453-60. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e3181b2b828. PMID: 19745645. *Background* 

213. Holland J, Sayal K. Relative age and ADHD symptoms, diagnosis and medication: a systematic review. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Nov;28(11):1417-29. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1229-6. PMID: 30293121. *Background* 

214. Holmskov M, Storebø OJ, Moreira-Maia CR, et al. Gastrointestinal adverse events during methylphenidate treatment of children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis of randomised clinical trials. PLoS One. 2017;12(6):e0178187. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0178187. PMID: 28617801. *Background* 

215. Hong J, Dilla T, Arellano J. A modelled economic evaluation comparing atomoxetine with methylphenidate in the treatment of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Spain. BMC Psychiatry. 2009 Apr 14;9:15. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-9-15. PMID: 19366449. *Background* 

216. Hornstra R, Groenman AP, van der Oord S, et al. Review: Which components of behavioral parent and teacher training work for children with ADHD? - a metaregression analysis on child behavioral outcomes. Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2022 Apr 13. doi: 10.1111/camh.12561. PMID: 35417075. *Background* 

217. Humphreys KL, Eng T, Lee SS. Stimulant medication and substance use outcomes: a metaanalysis. JAMA Psychiatry. 2013 Jul;70(7):740-9. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1273. PMID: 23754458. *Background* 

218. Huss M, Duhan P, Gandhi P, et al. Methylphenidate dose optimization for ADHD treatment: review of safety, efficacy, and clinical necessity. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2017;13:1741-51. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S130444. PMID: 28740389. *Background* 

219. Huss M, McBurnett K, Cutler AJ, et al. Distinguishing the efficacy and sedative effects of guanfacine extended release in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2019 Mar;29(3):432-43. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2018.05.012. PMID: 30064718. *Background* 

220. Huss M, Sikirica V, Hervas A, et al. Guanfacine extended release for children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: efficacy following prior methylphenidate treatment. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2016;112:1085-101. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S94158. PMID: 27226715. *Background* 

221. Hutchison SL, Ghuman JK, Ghuman HS, et al. Efficacy of atomoxetine in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in patients with common comorbidities in children, adolescents and adults: a review. Ther Adv Psychopharmacol. 2016 Oct;6(5):317-34. doi: 10.1177/2045125316647686. PMID: 27721971. *Background* 

222. Isaksson J, Ruchkin V, Lindblad F. Unseen and stressed? Gender differences in parent and teacher ratings of ADHD symptoms and associations with perceived stress in children with ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Sep 2020;24(11):1565-9. *Background* 

223. Iznardo M, Rogers MA, Volpe RJ, et al. The Effectiveness of Daily Behavior Report Cards for Children With ADHD: A Meta-Analysis. J Atten Disord. 2020 Oct;24(12):1623-36. doi: 10.1177/1087054717734646. PMID: 29135352. *Background* 

224. Jadad AR, Boyle M, Cunningham C, et al. Treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ). 1999 Nov(11):i-viii, 1-341. PMID: 10790990. *Background* 

225. Janols LO, Liliemark J, Klintberg K, et al. Central stimulants in the treatment of attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents. A naturalistic study of the prescription in Sweden, 1977-2007. Nord J Psychiatry. 2009 Nov;63(6):508-16. doi: 10.3109/08039480903154534. PMID: 19958258. *Background* 

226. Jensen ML, Vamosi M. The association between nonpharmacological interventions and quality of life in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review. J

Child Adolesc Psychiatr Nurs. 2022 Nov 15. doi: 10.1111/jcap.12402. PMID: 36380398. *Background* 

227. Jiang H, Natarajan R, Shuy YK, et al. The Use of Mobile Games in the Management of Patients With Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder: A Scoping Review. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:792402. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.792402. PMID: 35308884. *Background* 

228. Jin C, Schachar R. Methylphenidate treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder secondary to traumatic brain injury: a critical appraisal of treatment studies. CNS Spectr. 2004 Mar;9(3):217-26. doi: 10.1017/s1092852900009019. PMID: 14999162. *Background* 

229. Joseph A, Ayyagari R, Xie M, et al. Comparative efficacy and safety of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder pharmacotherapies, including guanfacine extended release: a mixed treatment comparison. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Aug;26(8):875-97. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-0962-6. PMID: 28258319. *Background* 

230. Joshi G, Wilens T, Firmin ES, et al. Pharmacotherapy of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in individuals with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review of the literature. J Psychopharmacol. 2021 Mar;35(3):203-10. doi: 10.1177/0269881120972336. PMID: 33349107. *Background* 

231. Karpouzis F, Bonello R, Pollard H. Chiropractic care for paediatric and adolescent Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A systematic review. Chiropr Osteopat. 2010 Jun 2;18:13. doi: 10.1186/1746-1340-18-13. PMID: 20525195. *Background* 

232. Katusic SK, Barbaresi WJ, Colligan RC, et al. Case definition in epidemiologic studies of AD/HD. Ann Epidemiol. 2005 Jul;15(6):430-7. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2004.12.004. PMID: 15967390. *Background* 

233. Kazda L, Bell K, Thomas R, et al. Overdiagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Scoping Review. JAMA Network Open. 2021 Apr 1;4(4):e215335-e. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.5335. PMID: 33843998. *Background* 

234. Keen D, Hadijikoumi I. ADHD in children and adolescents. BMJ Clin Evid. 2008 Oct 2;2008. PMID: 19445793. *Background* 

235. Kemper AR, Maslow GR, Hill S, et al. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Diagnosis and Treatment in Children and Adolescents. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 203. (Prepared by the Duke University Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2015-00004-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 18-EHC005-EF Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US). Rockville (MD): 2018. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29558081. *Background* 

236. Kemper AR, Maslow GR, Hill S, et al. AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Diagnosis and Treatment in Children and Adolescents. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2018. *Background* 

237. Khan MU, Aslani P. A Review of Factors Influencing the Three Phases of Medication Adherence in People with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019 Aug;29(6):398-418. doi: 10.1089/cap.2018.0153. PMID: 31120328. *Background* 

238. Klassen A, Miller A, Raina P, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and youth: a quantitative systematic review of the efficacy of different management strategies. Can J Psychiatry. 1999 Dec;44(10):1007-16. doi: 10.1177/070674379904401007. PMID: 10637680. *Background* 

239. Klefsjö U, Kantzer AK, Gillberg C, et al. The road to diagnosis and treatment in girls and boys with ADHD - gender differences in the diagnostic process. Nord J Psychiatry. 2021 May;75(4):301-5. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2020.1850859. PMID: 33241961. *Background* 

240. Klein RG, Mannuzza S. Long-term outcome of hyperactive children: a review. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1991 May;30(3):383-7. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199105000-00005. PMID: 2055874. *Background* 

241. Koelch M, Prestel A, Singer H, et al. Report of an initial pilot study on the feasibility of using the MacArthur competence assessment tool for clinical research in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010 Feb;20(1):63-7. doi: 10.1089/cap.2008.0114. PMID: 20166798. *Background* 

242. Konrad-Bindl DS, Gresser U, Richartz BM. Changes in behavior as side effects in methylphenidate treatment: Review of the literature. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2016;12:2635-47. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S114185. *Background* 

243. Kooij JJS, Bijlenga D, Salerno L, et al. Updated European Consensus Statement on diagnosis and treatment of adult ADHD. Eur Psychiatry. 2019 Feb;56:14-34. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.11.001. PMID: 30453134. *Background* 

244. Kortekaas-Rijlaarsdam AF, Luman M, Sonuga-Barke E, et al. Does methylphenidate improve academic performance? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Feb;28(2):155-64. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1106-3. PMID: 29353323. *Background* 

245. Kostyrka-Allchorne K, Wass SV, Sonuga-Barke EJS. Research Review: Do parent ratings of infant negative emotionality and self-regulation predict psychopathology in childhood and adolescence? A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective longitudinal studies. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020 Apr;61(4):401-16. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13144. PMID: 31696514. *Background* 

246. Kratochvil CJ, Michelson D, Newcorn JH, et al. High-Dose Atomoxetine Treatment of ADHD in Youths with Limited Response to Standard Doses. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2007 09/01/;46(9):1128-S. PMID: EJ777654. *Background* 

247. Krinzinger H, Hall CL, Groom MJ, et al. Neurological and psychiatric adverse effects of long-term methylphenidate treatment in ADHD: A map of the current evidence. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2019 2019/12/01/;107:945-68. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.09.023. PMID: 31545988. *Background* 

248. Krisanaprakornkit T, Ngamjarus C, Witoonchart C, et al. Meditation therapies for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010 Jun 16;2010(6):Cd006507. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006507.pub2. PMID: 20556767. *Background* 

249. Krogh HB, Storebø OJ, Faltinsen E, et al. Methodological advantages and disadvantages of parallel and crossover randomised clinical trials on methylphenidate for attention deficit

hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analyses. BMJ Open. 2019 Mar 30;9(3):e026478. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-026478. PMID: 30928951. *Background* 

250. Kumar G, Steer RA. Factorial validity of the Conners' Parent Rating Scale-revised: short form with psychiatric outpatients. J Pers Assess. 2003 Jun;80(3):252-9. doi: 10.1207/s15327752jpa8003\_04. PMID: 12763699. *Background* 

251. Kuntsi J, Andreou P, Ma J, et al. Testing assumptions for endophenotype studies in ADHD: reliability and validity of tasks in a general population sample. BMC Psychiatry. 2005 Nov 1;5:40. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-5-40. PMID: 16262903. *Background* 

252. Lahey BB, Pelham WE, Loney J, et al. Instability of the DSM-IV Subtypes of ADHD from preschool through elementary school. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005 Aug;62(8):896-902. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.62.8.896. PMID: 16061767. *Background* 

253. Lamb YN. Viloxazine: Pediatric First Approval. Paediatr Drugs. 2021 Jul;23(4):403-9. doi: 10.1007/s40272-021-00453-3. PMID: 34036533. *Background* 

254. Lambez B, Harwood-Gross A, Golumbic EZ, et al. Non-pharmacological interventions for cognitive difficulties in ADHD: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Psychiatr Res. 2020 Jan;120:40-55. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2019.10.007. PMID: 31629998. *Background* 

255. Lan Y, Zhang LL, Luo R. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children: comparative efficacy of traditional Chinese medicine and methylphenidate. J Int Med Res. 2009 May-Jun;37(3):939-48. doi: 10.1177/147323000903700340. PMID: 19589280. *Background* 

256. Landau YE, Gross-Tsur V, Auerbach JG, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and developmental right-hemisphere syndrome: congruence and incongruence of cognitive and behavioral aspects of attention. J Child Neurol. 1999 May;14(5):299-303. doi: 10.1177/088307389901400506. PMID: 10342597. *Background* 

257. Langevin R, Marshall C, Wallace A, et al. Disentangling the Associations Between Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Child Sexual Abuse: A Systematic Review. Trauma, Violence, & Abuse. 2021 Jul 9:15248380211030234. doi: 10.1177/15248380211030234. PMID: 34238078. *Background* 

258. Lapalme M, Déry M, Dubé M, et al. Developmental course of ADHD symptoms based on multirater report in girls and boys with or without a disruptive behavior disorder. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders. 2018 Jun 2018;26(2):106-18. *Background* 

259. Larsson I, Aili K, Lönn M, et al. Sleep interventions for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A systematic literature review. Sleep Med. 2022 Dec 26;102:64-75. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2022.12.021. PMID: 36603513. *Background* 

260. Lau TWI, Lim CG, Acharryya S, et al. Gender differences in externalizing and internalizing problems in Singaporean children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2021;15(1). doi: 10.1186/s13034-021-00356-8. *Background* 

261. Lebowitz MS. Stigmatization of ADHD: A Developmental Review. J Atten Disord. 2016 Mar;20(3):199-205. doi: 10.1177/1087054712475211. PMID: 23407279. *Background* 

262. Lee CSC, Chen TT, Gao Q, et al. The Effects of Theta/Beta-based Neurofeedback Training on Attention in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review

and Meta-analysis. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2022 Apr 26. doi: 10.1007/s10578-022-01361-4. PMID: 35471754. *Background* 

263. Lee CSC, Ma MT, Ho HY, et al. The Effectiveness of Mindfulness-Based Intervention in Attention on Individuals with ADHD: A Systematic Review. Hong Kong J Occup Ther. 2017 Dec;30(1):33-41. doi: 10.1016/j.hkjot.2017.05.001. PMID: 30186078. *Background* 

264. Lee CSC, Ng KH, Chan PCK, et al. Effectiveness of mindfulness parent training on parenting stress and children's ADHD-related behaviors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Hong Kong J Occup Ther. 2022 Jun;35(1):3-24. doi: 10.1177/15691861211073826. PMID: 35847187. *Background* 

265. Lee DO OO. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms in a clinic sample of children and adolescents with pervasive developmental disorders. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2006 Dec;16(6):737-46. *Background* 

266. Lee JH, Jo HG, Min SY. East asian herbal medicine for the treatment of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Explore (NY). 2022 Nov 24. doi: 10.1016/j.explore.2022.11.002. PMID: 36463095. *Background* 

267. Lee KW, Cheung RYM, Chen M. Preservice Teachers' Self-Efficacy in Managing Students with Symptoms of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: The Roles of Diagnostic Label and Students' Gender. Psychology in the Schools. 2019 04/01/;56(4):595-607. PMID: EJ1207659. *Background* 

268. Lee SI, Schachar RJ, Chen SX, et al. Predictive validity of DSM-IV and ICD-10 criteria for ADHD and hyperkinetic disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2008 Jan;49(1):70-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01784.x. PMID: 17979965. *Background* 

269. Lee YC, Yang HJ, Lee WT, et al. Do parents and children agree on rating a child's HRQOL? A systematic review and Meta-analysis of comparisons between children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and children with typical development using the PedsQL(TM). Disabil Rehabil. 2019 Feb;41(3):265-75. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2017.1391338. PMID: 29057670. *Background* 

270. Lei H, Nahum-Shani I, Lynch K, et al. A "SMART" design for building individualized treatment sequences. Annual review of clinical psychology. 2012;8:21-48. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032511-143152. PMID: 22224838. *Background* 

271. Leijten P, Gardner F, Landau S, et al. Research Review: Harnessing the power of individual participant data in a meta-analysis of the benefits and harms of the Incredible Years parenting program. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018 Feb;59(2):99-109. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12781. PMID: 28696032. *Background* 

272. Leijten P, Scott S, Landau S, et al. Individual Participant Data Meta-analysis: Impact of Conduct Problem Severity, Comorbid Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Emotional Problems, and Maternal Depression on Parenting Program Effects. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 Aug;59(8):933-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.01.023. PMID: 32084529. *Background* 

273. Leopold DR, Christopher ME, Olson RK, et al. Invariance of ADHD symptoms across sex and age: A latent analysis of ADHD and impairment ratings from early childhood into

adolescence. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology. 2019 Jan 15, 2019;47(1):21-34. *Background* 

274. Levy T, Peskin M, Kohn Y, et al. Callous-Unemotional Traits and Face-Emotion Recognition as Mediators in Conduct Problems of Children With ADHD. Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2022 Oct;27(4):978-90. doi: 10.1177/13591045221093876. PMID: 35608436. *Background* 

275. Li S, Yu B, Zhou D, et al. Acupuncture for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2011(4). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007839.pub2. PMID: CD007839. *Background* 

276. Li X, Shea KSC, Chiu WV, et al. The associations of insomnia symptoms with daytime behavior and cognitive functioning in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Sleep Med. 2022 Aug 1;18(8):2029-39. doi: 10.5664/jcsm.10060. PMID: 35638119. *Background* 

277. Li Y, Gao J, He S, et al. An Evaluation on the Efficacy and Safety of Treatments for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents: a Comparison of Multiple Treatments. Mol Neurobiol. 2017 Nov;54(9):6655-69. doi: 10.1007/s12035-016-0179-6. PMID: 27738872. *Background* 

278. Liang EF, Lim SZ, Tam WW, et al. The Effect of Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine on Heart Rate and Systolic Blood Pressure in Young People and Adults with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Meta-Regression. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018 Aug 20;15(8). doi: 10.3390/ijerph15081789. PMID: 30127314. *Background* 

279. Liang MU, Charatcharungkiat N, Tillman R, et al. Correlating Psychotropic Use to Major Depressive Disorder and ADHD Research Diagnoses: Trends in a Prospective Pediatric Cohort From Ages 3 to 21. J Clin Psychiatry. 2022 Oct 31;83(6). doi: 10.4088/JCP.21m14331. PMID: 36321921. *Background* 

280. Liang X, Li R, Wong SHS, et al. The impact of exercise interventions concerning executive functions of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2021 May 22;18(1):68. doi: 10.1186/s12966-021-01135-6. PMID: 34022908. *Background* 

281. Lie N. Follow-ups of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Review of literature. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl. 1992;368:1-40. PMID: 1642137. *Background* 

282. Lin FL, Sun CK, Cheng YS, et al. Additive effects of EEG neurofeedback on medications for ADHD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2022 Nov 27;12(1):20401. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-23015-0. PMID: 36437272. *Background* 

283. List BA, Barzman DH. Evidence-based recommendations for the treatment of aggression in pediatric patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychiatr Q. 2011 Mar;82(1):33-42. doi: 10.1007/s11126-010-9145-z. PMID: 20652408. *Background* 

284. Litt JS, Johnson S, Marlow N, et al. Impaired pulmonary function mediates inattention in young adults born extremely preterm. Acta Paediatr. 2023 Feb;112(2):254-60. doi: 10.1111/apa.16586. PMID: 36330674. *Background* 

285. Liu Q, Zhang H, Fang Q, et al. Comparative efficacy and safety of methylphenidate and atomoxetine for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: Metaanalysis based on head-to-head trials. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2017 Nov;39(9):854-65. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2016.1273320. PMID: 28052720. *Background* 

286. Liu YC, Chen VC, Yang YH, et al. Association of psychiatric comorbidities with the risk of transport accidents in ADHD and MPH. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2021 Feb 15;30:e14. doi: 10.1017/s2045796021000032. PMID: 33583471. *Background* 

287. Lofthouse N, Arnold LE, Hersch S, et al. A review of neurofeedback treatment for pediatric ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2012 Jul;16(5):351-72. doi: 10.1177/1087054711427530. PMID: 22090396. *Background* 

288. Lohr WD, Wanta JW, Baker M, et al. Intentional Discontinuation of Psychostimulants Used to Treat ADHD in Youth: A Review and Analysis. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:642798. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.642798. PMID: 33959050. *Background* 

289. López FA, Childress A, Adeyi B, et al. ADHD Symptom Rebound and Emotional Lability With Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate in Children Aged 6 to 12 Years. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(1):52-61. doi: 10.1177/1087054712474685. PMID: 23407278. *Background* 

290. Louthrenoo O, Boonchooduang N, Likhitweerawong N, et al. The Effects of Neurofeedback on Executive Functioning in Children With ADHD: A Meta-Analysis. J Atten Disord. 2021 Oct 26:10870547211045738. doi: 10.1177/10870547211045738. PMID: 34697957. *Background* 

291. Loyer Carbonneau M, Demers M, Bigras M, et al. Meta-Analysis of Sex Differences in ADHD Symptoms and Associated Cognitive Deficits. J Atten Disord. 2021 Oct;25(12):1640-56. doi: 10.1177/1087054720923736. PMID: 32495675. *Background* 

292. Luan R, Mu Z, Yue F, et al. Efficacy and Tolerability of Different Interventions in Children and Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Front Psychiatry. 2017;8:229. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2017.00229. PMID: 29180967. *Background* 

293. Maglione M, Das L, Motala A, et al. Surveillance Assessment on CER 44: Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Effectiveness of Treatment in At-Risk Preschoolers; Long-term Effectiveness in All Ages; and Variability in Prevalence, Diagnosis, and Treatment (CER 44) Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Rockville, MD: July 2012 2012. *Background* 

294. Mahdi S, Viljoen M, Massuti R, et al. An international qualitative study of ability and disability in ADHD using the WHO-ICF framework. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 Oct;26(10):1219-31. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-0983-1. PMID: 28353182. *Background* 

295. Maia CR, Cortese S, Caye A, et al. Long-Term Efficacy of Methylphenidate Immediate-Release for the Treatment of Childhood ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2017 Jan;21(1):3-13. doi: 10.1177/1087054714559643. PMID: 25501355. *Background* 

296. Malone PS, Van Eck K, Flory K, et al. A mixture-model approach to linking ADHD to adolescent onset of illicit drug use. Dev Psychol. 2010 Nov;46(6):1543-55. doi: 10.1037/a0020549. PMID: 20677854. *Background* 

297. Man KKC, Ip P, Chan EW, et al. Effectiveness of Pharmacological Treatment for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder on Physical Injuries: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. CNS Drugs. 2017 Dec;31(12):1043-55. doi: 10.1007/s40263-017-0485-1. PMID: 29255995. *Background* 

298. Marcus SC, Wan GJ, Kemner JE, et al. Continuity of methylphenidate treatment for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2005 Jun;159(6):572-8. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.159.6.572. PMID: 15939858. *Background* 

299. Martinez-Raga J, Knecht C, De Alvaro R, et al. Addressing dual diagnosis patients suffering from attention-deficit hyperactivity disorders and comorbid substance use disorders: A review of treatment considerations. Addictive Disorders and their Treatment. 2013;12(4):213-30. doi: 10.1097/ADT.0b013e318277060c. *Background* 

300. Martins-Silva T, Dos Santos Vaz J, Schäfer JL, et al. ADHD in childhood predicts BMI and body composition measurements over time in a population-based birth cohort. Int J Obes (Lond). 2022 Jun;46(6):1204-11. doi: 10.1038/s41366-022-01098-z. PMID: 35236922. *Background* 

301. Mayes SD, Calhoun SL, Chase GA, et al. ADHD subtypes and co-occurring anxiety, depression, and oppositional-defiant disorder: differences in Gordon diagnostic system and Wechsler working memory and processing speed index scores. J Atten Disord. 2009 May;12(6):540-50. doi: 10.1177/1087054708320402. PMID: 18664713. *Background* 

302. McDonagh MS, Peterson K, Thakurta S, et al. Drug Class Reviews. Drug Class Review: Pharmacologic Treatments for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Final Update 4 Report. Portland (OR): Oregon Health & Science University

Copyright © 2011 by Oregon Health & Science University.; 2011. Background

303. McGough JJ, McCracken JT, Loo SK, et al. A candidate gene analysis of methylphenidate response in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 Dec;48(12):1155-64. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181bc72e3. PMID: 19858760. *Background* 

304. McGough JJ, Sturm A, Cowen J, et al. Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled, Pilot Study of Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Apr;58(4):403-11.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2018.11.013. PMID: 30768393. *Background* 

305. McKinzie L, Lyu X, Garrison SM. Leveraging the Power of Sibling Data to Untangle the Relationship Between ADHD, SES, and Academic Achievement. Behavior Genetics. 2022;52(6):377-8. doi: 10.1007/s10519-022-10119-6. *Background* 

306. McLennan JD. Understanding attention deficit hyperactivity disorder as a continuum. Canadian family physician Medecin de famille canadien. 2016;62(12):979-82. PMID: 27965331. *Background* 

307. McWilliams S, Zhou T, Stockler S, et al. Sleep as an outcome measure in ADHD randomized controlled trials: A scoping review. Sleep Med Rev. 2022 Jun;63:101613. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2022.101613. PMID: 35313258. *Background* 

308. Mehta T, Mannem N, Yarasi NK, et al. Biomarkers for ADHD: the Present and Future Directions. Current Developmental Disorders Reports. 2020 2020/09/01;7(3):85-92. doi: 10.1007/s40474-020-00196-9. *Background* 

309. Merten EC, Cwik JC, Margraf J, et al. Overdiagnosis of mental disorders in children and adolescents (in developed countries). Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2017;11:5. doi: 10.1186/s13034-016-0140-5. PMID: 28105068. *Background* 

310. Meyer J, Ramklint M, Hallerbäck MU, et al. Evaluation of a structured skills training group for adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) - study protocol of a randomised controlled trial. BMC Psychiatry. 2019 Jun 10;19(1):171. doi: 10.1186/s12888-019-2133-4. PMID: 31182047. *Background* 

311. Michelini G, Salmastyan G, Vera JD, et al. Event-related brain oscillations in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Psychophysiol. 2022 Apr;174:29-42. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2022.01.014. PMID: 35124111. *Background* 

312. Migueis DP, Lopes MC, Casella E, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and restless leg syndrome across the lifespan: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev. 2023 Feb 27;69:101770. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2023.101770. PMID: 36924608. *Background* 

313. Miguelez-Fernandez C, de Leon SJ, Baltasar-Tello I, et al. Evaluating attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder using ecological momentary assessment: a systematic review. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2018 Dec;10(4):247-65. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0261-1. PMID: 30132248. *Background* 

314. Milberger S, Biederman J, Faraone SV, et al. ADHD is associated with early initiation of cigarette smoking in children and adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997 Jan;36(1):37-44. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199701000-00015. PMID: 9000779. *Background* 

315. Miller AR, Lalonde CE, McGrail KM. Children's persistence with methylphenidate therapy: a population-based study. Can J Psychiatry. 2004 Nov;49(11):761-8. doi: 10.1177/070674370404901107. PMID: 15633854. *Background* 

316. Miller J, Perera B, Shankar R. Clinical guidance on pharmacotherapy for the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) for people with intellectual disability. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2020 Oct;21(15):1897-913. doi: 10.1080/14656566.2020.1790524. PMID: 32692263. *Background* 

317. Ming X, Mulvey M, Mohanty S, et al. Safety and efficacy of clonidine and clonidine extended-release in the treatment of children and adolescents with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorders. Adolesc Health Med Ther. 2011;2:105-12. doi: 10.2147/ahmt.S15672. PMID: 24600280. *Background* 

318. Misiak B, Wójta-Kempa M, Samochowiec J, et al. Peripheral blood inflammatory markers in patients with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A systematic review and metaanalysis. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2022 Aug 30;118:110581. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2022.110581. PMID: 35660454. *Background* 

319. Mohr-Jensen C, Steinhausen H-C. A meta-analysis and systematic review of the risks associated with childhood attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder on long-term outcome of

## Appendix B. List of Excluded and Background Studies

arrests, convictions, and incarcerations. Clinical Psychology Review. 2016 Aug 2016;48:32-42. *Background* 

320. Molitor SJ, Langberg JM. Using task performance to inform treatment planning for youth with ADHD: A systematic review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2017 Dec;58:157-73. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2017.10.007. PMID: 29096908. *Background* 

321. Montalva-Valenzuela F, Andrades-Ramírez O, Castillo-Paredes A. Effects of Physical Activity, Exercise and Sport on Executive Function in Young People with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review. Eur J Investig Health Psychol Educ. 2022 Jan 14;12(1):61-76. doi: 10.3390/ejihpe12010006. PMID: 35049535. *Background* 

322. Montoya A, Colom F, Ferrin M. Is psychoeducation for parents and teachers of children and adolescents with ADHD efficacious? A systematic literature review. Eur Psychiatry. 2011 Apr;26(3):166-75. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2010.10.005. PMID: 21292454. *Background* 

323. Moore AL, Carpenter DM, 2nd, Miller TM, et al. Clinician-delivered cognitive training for children with attention problems: effects on cognition and behavior from the ThinkRx randomized controlled trial. Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment. 2018;14:1671-83. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S165418. PMID: 29983567. *Background* 

324. Moore DA, Gwernan-Jones R, Richardson M, et al. The experiences of and attitudes toward non-pharmacological interventions for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder used in school settings: a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative research. Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties. 2016;21(1):61-82. doi: 10.1080/13632752.2016.1139296. *Background* 

325. Morris S, Sheen J, Ling M, et al. Interventions for Adolescents With ADHD to Improve Peer Social Functioning: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Atten Disord. 2021 Aug;25(10):1479-96. doi: 10.1177/1087054720906514. PMID: 32131667. *Background* 

326. Mowlem F, Agnew-Blais J, Taylor E, et al. Do different factors influence whether girls versus boys meet ADHD diagnostic criteria? Sex differences among children with high ADHD symptoms. Psychiatry Res. 2019 Feb;272:765-73. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.12.128. PMID: 30832197. *Background* 

327. Muir VJ, Perry CM. Guanfacine extended-release: in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Drugs. 2010 Sep 10;70(13):1693-702. doi: 10.2165/11205940-000000000000000. PMID: 20731476. *Background* 

328. Mulraney M, Arrondo G, Musullulu H, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis: Screening Tools for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Dec 23. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.11.031. PMID: 34958872. *Background* 

329. Munoz-Millan RJ, Casteel CR. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: recent literature. Hosp Community Psychiatry. 1989 Jul;40(7):699-707. doi: 10.1176/ps.40.7.699. PMID: 2673976. *Background* 

330. Murphy SA. An experimental design for the development of adaptive treatment strategies. Stat Med. 2005 May 30;24(10):1455-81. doi: 10.1002/sim.2022. PMID: 15586395. *Background* 

331. Murray DW, Lawrence JR, LaForett DR. The Incredible Years® programs for ADHD in young children: A critical review of the evidence. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders. 2018 Dec 2018;26(4):195-208. *Background* 

332. Musella KE, Weyandt LL. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and youth's emotion dysregulation: A systematic review of fMRI studies. Appl Neuropsychol Child. 2022 Sep 5:1-14. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2022.2119142. PMID: 36065486. *Background* 

333. Najib J, Didenko E, Meleshkina D, et al. Review of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in children and adolescents with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Curr Med Res Opin. 2020 Oct;36(10):1717-35. doi: 10.1080/03007995.2020.1815002. PMID: 32845786. *Background* 

334. Nasser A, Hull JT, Liranso T, et al. The effect of viloxazine extended-release capsules on functional impairments associated with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents in four phase 3 placebo-controlled trials. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2021;17:1751-62. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S312011. *Background* 

335. Nasser A, Kosheleff AR, Hull JT, et al. Evaluating the likelihood to be helped or harmed after treatment with viloxazine extended-release in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Int J Clin Pract. 2021 Aug;75(8):e14330. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.14330. PMID: 33971070. *Background* 

336. Nasser A, Kosheleff AR, Hull JT, et al. Translating Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-5 and Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Parent Effectiveness Scores into Clinical Global Impressions Clinical Significance Levels in Four Randomized Clinical Trials of SPN-812 (Viloxazine Extended-Release) in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2021 Apr;31(3):214-26. doi: 10.1089/cap.2020.0148. PMID: 33600233. *Background* 

337. National Guideline C. NICE Evidence Reviews Collection. Evidence reviews for pharmacological efficacy and sequencing pharmacological treatment: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: diagnosis and management: Evidence review C. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

Copyright © NICE 2018.; 2018. Background

338. Neudecker C, Mewes N, Reimers AK, et al. Exercise Interventions in Children and Adolescents With ADHD: A Systematic Review. J Atten Disord. 2019 Feb;23(4):307-24. doi: 10.1177/1087054715584053. PMID: 25964449. *Background* 

339. Newcorn JH, Huss M, Connor DF, et al. Efficacy of Guanfacine Extended Release in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Comorbid Oppositional Defiant Disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2020 Sep;41(7):565-70. doi: 10.1097/dbp.00000000000822. PMID: 32482970. *Background* 

340. Newcorn JH, Nagy P, Childress AC, et al. Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Acute Comparator Trials of Lisdexamfetamine and Extended-Release Methylphenidate in Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. CNS Drugs. 2017 Nov;31(11):999-1014. doi: 10.1007/s40263-017-0468-2. PMID: 28980198. *Background* 

341. Newcorn JH, Sutton VK, Weiss MD, et al. Clinical responses to atomoxetine in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: the Integrated Data Exploratory Analysis (IDEA) study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009 May;48(5):511-8. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e31819c55b2. PMID: 19318988. *Background* 

342. Ng QX. A Systematic Review of the Use of Bupropion for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Mar;27(2):112-6. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0124. PMID: 27813651. *Background* 

343. Ng QX, Ho CYX, Chan HW, et al. Managing childhood and adolescent attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) with exercise: A systematic review. Complement Ther Med. 2017 Oct;34:123-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2017.08.018. PMID: 28917364. *Background* 

344. Nikles J, Mitchell GK, de Miranda Araújo R, et al. A systematic review of the effectiveness of sleep hygiene in children with ADHD. Psychol Health Med. 2020 Apr;25(4):497-518. doi: 10.1080/13548506.2020.1732431. PMID: 32204604. *Background* 

345. Nobel E, Brunnekreef JA, Schachar RJ, et al. Parent–clinician agreement in rating the presence and severity of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms. ADHD Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorders. 2019 2019/03/01;11(1):21-9. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0267-8. PMID: 30927229. *Background* 

346. Nutt DJ, Fone K, Asherson P, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adolescents in transition to adult services and in adults: recommendations from the British Association for Psychopharmacology. J Psychopharmacol. 2007 Jan;21(1):10-41. doi: 10.1177/0269881106073219. PMID: 17092962. *Background* 

347. O'Brien JW, Dowell LR, Mostofsky SH, et al. Neuropsychological profile of executive function in girls with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2010 Nov;25(7):656-70. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acq050. PMID: 20639299. *Background* 

348. O'Neill S, Schneiderman RL, Rajendran K, et al. Reliable ratings or reading tea leaves: can parent, teacher, and clinician behavioral ratings of preschoolers predict ADHD at age six? J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2014 May;42(4):623-34. doi: 10.1007/s10802-013-9802-4. PMID: 24085388. *Background* 

349. Ogbagaber SB, Karp J, Wahed AS. Design of sequentially randomized trials for testing adaptive treatment strategies. Stat Med. 2016 Mar 15;35(6):840-58. doi: 10.1002/sim.6747. PMID: 26412033. *Background* 

350. Ogle RR, Frazier SL, Helseth SA, et al. Does Poverty Moderate Psychosocial Treatment Efficacy for ADHD? A Systematic Review. J Atten Disord. 2020 Aug;24(10):1377-91. doi: 10.1177/1087054717707044. PMID: 28478694. *Background* 

351. Oh S, Choi J, Han DH, et al. Effects of game-based digital therapeutics on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents as assessed by parents or teachers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2023 Mar 2. doi: 10.1007/s00787-023-02174-z. PMID: 36862162. *Background* 

352. Ohan JL VT, Strain MC, et al. Teachers' and education students' perceptions of and reactions to children with and without the diagnostic label 'ADHD'. Journal of School Psychology. 2011;49(1):81-105. doi: 10.1016/j.jsp.2010.10.001. *Background* 

353. Ojinna BT, Parisapogu A, Sherpa ML, et al. Efficacy of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and Methylphenidate in the Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and

Adolescents: A Systematic Review. Cureus. 2022 Dec;14(12):e32647. doi: 10.7759/cureus.32647. PMID: 36660538. *Background* 

354. Oliva F, Malandrone F, di Girolamo G, et al. The efficacy of mindfulness-based interventions in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder beyond core symptoms: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. J Affect Disord. 2021 Sep 1;292:475-86. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.05.068. PMID: 34146899. *Background* 

355. Olsson Å. Teachers' gendered perceptions of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder–a literature review. European Journal of Special Needs Education. 2022. doi: 10.1080/08856257.2022.2076476. *Background* 

356. Ono KE, Bearden DJ, Lee SM, et al. Interventions for ADHD in children & adolescents with epilepsy: A review and decision tree to guide clinicians. Epilepsy Behav. 2022 Oct;135:108872. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2022.108872. PMID: 36037580. *Background* 

357. Osland ST, Steeves TD, Pringsheim T. Pharmacological treatment for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in children with comorbid tic disorders. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011 Apr 13(4):Cd007990. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007990.pub2. PMID: 21491404. *Background* 

358. Osland ST, Steeves TD, Pringsheim T. Pharmacological treatment for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children with comorbid tic disorders. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018 Jun 26;6(6):Cd007990. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007990.pub3. PMID: 29944175. *Background* 

359. Otasowie J, Castells X, Ehimare UP, et al. Tricyclic antidepressants for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2014(9). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006997.pub2. PMID: CD006997. *Background* 

360. Özgen H, Spijkerman R, Noack M, et al. Treatment of adolescents with concurrent substance use disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2021;10(17). doi: 10.3390/jcm10173908. *Background* 

361. Padilha S, Virtuoso S, Tonin FS, et al. Efficacy and safety of drugs for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a network meta-analysis. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Oct;27(10):1335-45. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1125-0. PMID: 29460165. *Background* 

362. Pan PY, Jonsson U, Şahpazoğlu Çakmak SS, et al. Headache in ADHD as comorbidity and a side effect of medications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychol Med. 2021 Oct 12:1-12. doi: 10.1017/s0033291721004141. PMID: 34635194. *Background* 

363. Parker J, Wales G, Chalhoub N, et al. The long-term outcomes of interventions for the management of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Psychol Res Behav Manag. 2013 Sep 17;6:87-99. doi: 10.2147/prbm.S49114. PMID: 24082796. *Background* 

364. Parsons TD, Duffield T, Asbee J. A Comparison of Virtual Reality Classroom Continuous Performance Tests to Traditional Continuous Performance Tests in Delineating ADHD: a Meta-Analysis. Neuropsychol Rev. 2019 Sep;29(3):338-56. doi: 10.1007/s11065-019-09407-6. PMID: 31161465. *Background* 

365. Parvataneni T, Srinivas S, Shah K, et al. Perspective on Melatonin Use for Sleep Problems in Autism and Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review of Randomized Clinical Trials. Cureus. 2020 May 28;12(5):e8335. doi: 10.7759/cureus.8335. PMID: 32617211. *Background* 

366. Patra S, Nebhinani N, Viswanathan A, et al. Atomoxetine for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents with autism: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Autism Res. 2019 Apr;12(4):542-52. doi: 10.1002/aur.2059. PMID: 30653855. *Background* 

367. Pauli-Pott U, Mann C, Becker K. Do cognitive interventions for preschoolers improve executive functions and reduce ADHD and externalizing symptoms? A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Oct;30(10):1503-21. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01627-z. PMID: 32888095. *Background* 

368. Pelham WE, Jr., Fabiano GA, Massetti GM. Evidence-based assessment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2005 Sep;34(3):449-76. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp3403\_5. PMID: 16026214. *Background* 

369. Peñuelas-Calvo I, Jiang-Lin LK, Girela-Serrano B, et al. Video games for the assessment and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020 May 18. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01557-w. PMID: 32424511. *Background* 

370. Pereira Ribeiro J, Arthur EJ, Gluud C, et al. Does Methylphenidate Work in Children and Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder? Pediatr Rep. 2021 Aug 1;13(3):434-43. doi: 10.3390/pediatric13030050. PMID: 34449694. *Background* 

371. Pérez-Gómez J, Amigo-Gamero H, Collado-Mateo D, et al. Equine-assisted activities and therapies in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs. 2020 Nov 10. doi: 10.1111/jpm.12710. PMID: 33171006. *Background* 

372. Pillay J, Boylan K, Carrey N, et al. AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. First- and Second-Generation Antipsychotics in Children and Young Adults: Systematic Review Update. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2017. *Background* 

373. Pineda DA, Lopera F, Palacio JD, et al. Prevalence estimations of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: differential diagnoses and comorbidities in a Colombian sample. Int J Neurosci. 2003 Jan;113(1):49-71. doi: 10.1080/00207450390161921. PMID: 12691001. *Background* 

374. Pinto S, Correia-de-Sá T, Sampaio-Maia B, et al. Eating Patterns and Dietary Interventions in ADHD: A Narrative Review. Nutrients. 2022 Oct 16;14(20). doi: 10.3390/nu14204332. PMID: 36297016. *Background* 

375. Pliszka S, Issues AWGoQ. Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Jul;46(7):894-921. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e318054e724. PMID: 17581453. *Background* 

376. Pliszka SR, Crismon ML, Hughes CW, et al. The Texas Children's Medication Algorithm Project: revision of the algorithm for pharmacotherapy of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Jun;45(6):642-57. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000215326.51175.eb. PMID: 16721314. *Background* 

377. Polanczyk G, de Lima MS, Horta BL, et al. The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: a systematic review and metaregression analysis. Am J Psychiatry. 2007 Jun;164(6):942-8. doi: 10.1176/ajp.2007.164.6.942. PMID: 17541055. *Background* 

378. Poulton A. Growth on stimulant medication; clarifying the confusion: a review. Arch Dis Child. 2005 Aug;90(8):801-6. doi: 10.1136/adc.2004.056952. PMID: 16040876. *Background* 

379. Poulton AS, Melzer E, Tait PR, et al. Growth and pubertal development of adolescent boys on stimulant medication for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Med J Aust. 2013 Jan 21;198(1):29-32. doi: 10.5694/mja12.10931. PMID: 23330767. *Background* 

380. Powell LA, Parker J, Weighall A, et al. Psychoeducation Intervention Effectiveness to Improve Social Skills in Young People with ADHD: A Meta-Analysis. J Atten Disord. 2021 Mar 5:1087054721997553. doi: 10.1177/1087054721997553. PMID: 33666104. *Background* 

381. Pozzi M, Bertella S, Gatti E, et al. Emerging drugs for the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Expert Opin Emerg Drugs. 2020 Dec;25(4):395-407. doi: 10.1080/14728214.2020.1820481. PMID: 32938246. *Background* 

382. Pozzi M, Carnovale C, Peeters G, et al. Adverse drug events related to mood and emotion in paediatric patients treated for ADHD: A meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2018 Oct 1;238:161-78. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2018.05.021. PMID: 29883938. *Background* 

383. Pringsheim T, Hirsch L, Gardner D, et al. The pharmacological management of oppositional behaviour, conduct problems, and aggression in children and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, and conduct disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Part 2: antipsychotics and traditional mood stabilizers. Can J Psychiatry. 2015 Feb;60(2):52-61. doi: 10.1177/070674371506000203. PMID: 25886656. *Background* 

384. Pringsheim T, Hirsch L, Gardner D, et al. The pharmacological management of oppositional behaviour, conduct problems, and aggression in children and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, and conduct disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Part 1: psychostimulants, alpha-2 agonists, and atomoxetine. Can J Psychiatry. 2015 Feb;60(2):42-51. doi: 10.1177/070674371506000202. PMID: 25886655. *Background* 

385. Punja S, Shamseer L, Hartling L, et al. Amphetamines for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016 Feb 4;2:Cd009996. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009996.pub2. PMID: 26844979. *Background* 

386. Rahmani E, Mahvelati A, Alizadeh A, et al. Is neurofeedback effective in children with ADHD? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurocase. 2022 Feb;28(1):84-95. doi: 10.1080/13554794.2022.2027456. PMID: 35068368. *Background* 

387. Ramachandran S, Dertien D, Bentley SI. Prevalence of ADHD symptom malingering, nonmedical use, and drug diversion among college-enrolled adults with a prescription for stimulant medications. Journal of Addictive Diseases. 2020 2020/02/17;38(2):176-85. doi: 10.1080/10550887.2020.1732762. PMID: 32242510. *Background* 

388. Ramstad E, Storebø OJ, Gerner T, et al. Hallucinations and other psychotic symptoms in response to methylphenidate in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a Cochrane systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis(). Scand

J Child Adolesc Psychiatr Psychol. 2018;6(1):52-71. doi: 10.21307/sjcapp-2018-003. PMID: 33520751. *Background* 

389. Rashid MA, Lovick S, Llanwarne NR. Medication-taking experiences in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. Fam Pract. 2018 Mar 27;35(2):142-50. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmx088. PMID: 28973393. *Background* 

390. Raz R, Gabis L. Essential fatty acids and attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2009 Aug;51(8):580-92. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2009.03351.x. PMID: 19549202. *Background* 

391. Razoki B. Neurofeedback versus psychostimulants in the treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2018;14:2905-13. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S178839. PMID: 30464474. *Background* 

392. Razzak HA, Ghader N, Qureshi AA, et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Evaluation and Diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents: A systematic review of the literature. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2021 Feb;21(1):e12-e21. doi: 10.18295/squmj.2021.21.01.003. PMID: 33777419. *Background* 

393. Reichow B, Volkmar FR, Bloch MH. Systematic review and meta-analysis of pharmacological treatment of the symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children with pervasive developmental disorders. J Autism Dev Disord. 2013 Oct;43(10):2435-41. doi: 10.1007/s10803-013-1793-z. PMID: 23468071. *Background* 

394. Resnick RJ. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in teens and adults: they don't all outgrow it. J Clin Psychol. 2005 May;61(5):529-33. doi: 10.1002/jclp.20117. PMID: 15723420. *Background* 

395. Rezaei G, Hosseini SA, Akbari Sari A, et al. Comparative efficacy of methylphenidate and atomoxetine in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2016;30:325. PMID: 27390695. *Background* 

396. Riera M, Castells X, Tobias A, et al. Discontinuation of pharmacological treatment of children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: meta-analysis of 63 studies enrolling 11,788 patients. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2017 Sep;234(17):2657-71. doi: 10.1007/s00213-017-4662-1. PMID: 28631099. *Background* 

397. Riesco-Matías P, Yela-Bernabé JR, Crego A, et al. What Do Meta-Analyses Have to Say About the Efficacy of Neurofeedback Applied to Children With ADHD? Review of Previous Meta-Analyses and a New Meta-Analysis. J Atten Disord. 2021 Feb;25(4):473-85. doi: 10.1177/1087054718821731. PMID: 30646779. *Background* 

398. Rigler T, Manor I, Kalansky A, et al. New DSM-5 criteria for ADHD - Does it matter? Compr Psychiatry. 2016 Jul;68:56-9. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2016.03.008. PMID: 27234183. *Background* 

399. Riley AW, Spiel G, Coghill D, et al. Factors related to health-related quality of life (HRQoL) among children with ADHD in Europe at entry into treatment. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006 Dec;15 Suppl 1:I38-45. doi: 10.1007/s00787-006-1006-9. PMID: 17177014. *Background* 

400. Rimestad ML, Lambek R, Zacher Christiansen H, et al. Short- and Long-Term Effects of Parent Training for Preschool Children With or at Risk of ADHD: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Atten Disord. 2019 Mar;23(5):423-34. doi: 10.1177/1087054716648775. PMID: 27179355. *Background* 

401. Rodrigo-Yanguas M, González-Tardón C, Bella-Fernández M, et al. Serious Video Games: Angels or Demons in Patients With Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder? A Quasi-Systematic Review. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:798480. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.798480. PMID: 35573357. *Background* 

402. Rodrigues R, Lai MC, Beswick A, et al. Practitioner Review: Pharmacological treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in children and youth with autism spectrum disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2021 Jun;62(6):680-700. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13305. PMID: 32845025. *Background* 

403. Rodriguez A, Järvelin MR, Obel C, et al. Do inattention and hyperactivity symptoms equal scholastic impairment? Evidence from three European cohorts. BMC Public Health. 2007 Nov 13;7:327. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-7-327. PMID: 17999767. *Background* 

404. Romero-Ayuso D, Toledano-González A, Rodríguez-Martínez MDC, et al. Effectiveness of Virtual Reality-Based Interventions for Children and Adolescents with ADHD: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Children (Basel). 2021 Jan 21;8(2). doi: 10.3390/children8020070. PMID: 33494272. *Background* 

405. Rosi E, Grazioli S, Villa FM, et al. Use of Non-Pharmacological Supplementations in Children and Adolescents with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Critical Review. Nutrients. 2020 May 28;12(6). doi: 10.3390/nu12061573. PMID: 32481502. *Background* 

406. Rothenberger A, Roessner V. The phenomenology of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder in tourette syndrome. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2013. *Background* 

407. Rover C, Knapp G, Friede T. Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman approach and its modification for random-effects meta-analysis with few studies. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2015 Nov 14;15:99. doi: 10.1186/s12874-015-0091-1. PMID: 26573817. *Background* 

408. Rubia K. Precision medicine in neurotherapeutics for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Jul;60(7):813-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.11.013. PMID: 33264662. *Background* 

409. S J, Arumugam N, Parasher RK. Effect of physical exercises on attention, motor skill and physical fitness in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2019 Jun;11(2):125-37. doi: 10.1007/s12402-018-0270-0. PMID: 30264226. *Background* 

410. Salehinejad MA, Nejati V, Mosayebi-Samani M, et al. Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation in ADHD: A Systematic Review of Efficacy, Safety, and Protocol-induced Electrical Field Modeling Results. Neurosci Bull. 2020 Oct;36(10):1191-212. doi: 10.1007/s12264-020-00501-x. PMID: 32418073. *Background* 

411. Samea F, Soluki S, Nejati V, et al. Brain alterations in children/adolescents with ADHD revisited: A neuroimaging meta-analysis of 96 structural and functional studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2019 May;100:1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.02.011. PMID: 30790635. *Background* 

412. Sampaio F, Feldman I, Lavelle TA, et al. The cost-effectiveness of treatments for attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder in children and adolescents: a systematic review. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Mar 9. doi: 10.1007/s00787-021-01748-z. PMID: 33751229. *Background* 

413. Sampedro Baena L, Fuente GAC, Martos-Cabrera MB, et al. Effects of Neurofeedback in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review. J Clin Med. 2021 Aug 25;10(17). doi: 10.3390/jcm10173797. PMID: 34501246. *Background* 

414. Samuel VJ, Biederman J, Faraone SV, et al. Clinical characteristics of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in African American children. Am J Psychiatry. 1998 May;155(5):696-8. doi: 10.1176/ajp.155.5.696. PMID: 9585726. *Background* 

415. Sarris J, Kean J, Schweitzer I, et al. Complementary medicines (herbal and nutritional products) in the treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): a systematic review of the evidence. Complement Ther Med. 2011 Aug;19(4):216-27. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2011.06.007. PMID: 21827936. *Background* 

416. Schatz NK, Aloe AM, Fabiano GA, et al. Psychosocial Interventions for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Systematic Review with Evidence and Gap Maps. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2020 Feb/Mar;41 Suppl 2S:S77-s87. doi: 10.1097/dbp.000000000000778. PMID: 31996574. *Background* 

417. Schoeman R, Voges T. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder stigma: The silent barrier to care. South African Journal of Psychiatry. 2022;28. doi: 10.4102/sajpsychiatry.v28i0.1865. *Background* 

418. Schweren L, Hoekstra P, van Lieshout M, et al. Long-term effects of stimulant treatment on ADHD symptoms, social-emotional functioning, and cognition. Psychol Med. 2019 Jan;49(2):217-23. doi: 10.1017/s0033291718000545. PMID: 29530108. *Background* 

419. Scionti N, Cavallero M, Zogmaister C, et al. Is cognitive training effective for improving executive functions in preschoolers? a systematic review and meta-analysis. Frontiers in Psychology. 2020 2020-January-10;10(2812):2812. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02812. PMID: 31998168. *Background* 

420. Sciutto MJ, Eisenberg M. Evaluating the Evidence For and Against the Overdiagnosis of ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2007 Sep;11(2):106-13. doi: 10.1177/1087054707300094. PMID: 17709814. *Background* 

421. Seidman LJ, Biederman J, Monuteaux MC, et al. Impact of gender and age on executive functioning: do girls and boys with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder differ neuropsychologically in preteen and teenage years? Dev Neuropsychol. 2005;27(1):79-105. doi: 10.1207/s15326942dn2701\_4. PMID: 15737943. *Background* 

422. Seiffer B, Hautzinger M, Ulrich R, et al. The Efficacy of Physical Activity for Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. J Atten Disord. 2021 May 27:10870547211017982. doi: 10.1177/10870547211017982. PMID: 34041952. *Background* 

423. Shahabuddin ZA, Parikh S. Program Evaluation: Misdiagnosis and Mistreatment of A dhdlike Symptoms Among Youth in Foster Care. Pediatrics. 2022;149. *Background*  424. Shahidullah JD, Carlson JS, Haggerty D, et al. Integrated care models for ADHD in children and adolescents: A systematic review. Fam Syst Health. 2018 Jun;36(2):233-47. doi: 10.1037/fsh0000356. PMID: 29902040. *Background* 

425. Shaw M, Hodgkins P, Caci H, et al. A systematic review and analysis of long-term outcomes in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: effects of treatment and non-treatment. BMC Med. 2012 Sep 4;10:99. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-10-99. PMID: 22947230. *Background* 

426. Shephard E, Zuccolo PF, Idrees I, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis: The Science of Early-Life Precursors and Interventions for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021 Apr 20. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2021.03.016. PMID: 33864938. *Background* 

427. Shi Y, Hunter Guevara LR, Dykhoff HJ, et al. Racial Disparities in Diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in a US National Birth Cohort. JAMA Netw Open. 2021 Mar 1;4(3):e210321. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.0321. PMID: 33646315. *Background* 

428. Shou S, Xiu S, Li Y, et al. Efficacy of Online Intervention for ADHD: A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. Front Psychol. 2022;13:854810. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.854810. PMID: 35837629. *Background* 

429. Sibbick E, Boat R, Sarkar M, et al. Acute effects of physical activity on cognitive function in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Mental Health and Physical Activity. 2022;23. doi: 10.1016/j.mhpa.2022.100469. *Background* 

430. Silva RR, Munoz DM, Alpert M. Carbamazepine use in children and adolescents with features of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996 Mar;35(3):352-8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199603000-00017. PMID: 8714324. *Background* 

431. Silva RR, Skimming JW, Muniz R. Cardiovascular safety of stimulant medications for pediatric attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2010 Sep;49(9):840-51. doi: 10.1177/0009922810368289. PMID: 20693523. *Background* 

432. Simmons JA, Antshel KM. Bullying and Depression in Youth with ADHD: A Systematic Review. Child & Youth Care Forum. 2021 06/01/;50(3):379-414. PMID: EJ1296239. *Background* 

433. Singh A, Balasundaram MK, Singh A. Viloxazine for Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. J Cent Nerv Syst Dis. 2022;14:11795735221092522. doi: 10.1177/11795735221092522. PMID: 35615643. *Background* 

434. Sinn JKH, Gillies D, Ross MJ, et al. Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2009(4). *Background* 

435. Slobodin O, Davidovitch M. Gender Differences in Objective and Subjective Measures of ADHD Among Clinic-Referred Children. Front Hum Neurosci. 2019;13:441. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2019.00441. PMID: 31920599. *Background* 

436. Smith A, Taylor E, Rogers JW, et al. Evidence for a pure time perception deficit in children with ADHD. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2002 May;43(4):529-42. doi: 10.1111/1469-7610.00043. PMID: 12030598. *Background* 

437. Snyder SM. Systems and methods to identify a subgroup of ADHD at higher risk for complicating conditions. US Patent and Trademark Office. (U.S. PPA Number 61/237,911; August 27, 2009) (U.S. PA Number 12/870,328; August 28, 2010). 2010. *Background* 

438. Snyder SM, Hall JR. A meta-analysis of quantitative EEG power associated with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2006 Oct;23(5):440-55. doi: 10.1097/01.wnp.0000221363.12503.78. PMID: 17016156. *Background* 

439. Solanto MV. Neuropsychopharmacological mechanisms of stimulant drug action in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a review and integration. Behav Brain Res. 1998 Jul;94(1):127-52. doi: 10.1016/s0166-4328(97)00175-7. PMID: 9708845. *Background* 

440. Song M, Lauseng D, Lee S, et al. Enhanced physical activity improves selected outcomes in children with ADHD: Systematic review. Western Journal of Nursing Research. 2016 Sep 2016;38(9):1155-84. *Background* 

441. Sonuga-Barke EJ, Brandeis D, Cortese S, et al. Nonpharmacological interventions for ADHD: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials of dietary and psychological treatments. Am J Psychiatry. 2013 Mar;170(3):275-89. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.12070991. PMID: 23360949. *Background* 

442. Sprafkin J, Mattison RE, Gadow KD, et al. A brief DSM-IV-referenced teacher rating scale for monitoring behavioral improvement in ADHD and co-occurring symptoms. J Atten Disord. 2011 Apr;15(3):235-45. doi: 10.1177/1087054709360655. PMID: 20228218. *Background* 

443. Staff AI, Oosterlaan J, van der Oord S, et al. The Validity of Teacher Rating Scales for the Assessment of ADHD Symptoms in the Classroom: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Atten Disord. 2021 Sep;25(11):1578-93. doi: 10.1177/1087054720916839. PMID: 32390490. *Background* 

444. Stein MA, Zulauf-McCurdy C, DelRosso LM. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Medications and Sleep. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2022 Jul;31(3):499-514. doi: 10.1016/j.chc.2022.03.006. PMID: 35697398. *Background* 

445. Stern A, Agnew-Blais J, Danese A, et al. Associations between abuse/neglect and ADHD from childhood to young adulthood: A prospective nationally-representative twin study. Child Abuse Negl. 2018 Jul;81:274-85. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.04.025. PMID: 29775871. *Background* 

446. Sterne JAC, Savovic J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2019 Aug 28;366:14898. doi: 10.1136/bmj.14898. PMID: 31462531. *Background* 

447. Steven R. Pliszka, M.D. Is There Long-Term Benefit From Stimulant Treatment for ADHD? American Journal of Psychiatry. 2019 Sep 1;176(9):685-6. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.19070681. PMID: 31474129. *Background* 

448. Storebø OJ, Elmose Andersen M, Skoog M, et al. Social skills training for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children aged 5 to 18 years. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.

2019 Jun 21;6(6):Cd008223. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008223.pub3. PMID: 31222721. Background

449. Storebo OJ, Krogh HB, Ramstad E, et al. Methylphenidate for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: Cochrane systematic review with meta-analyses and trial sequential analyses of randomised clinical trials. BMJ. 2015 Nov 25;351:h5203. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h5203. PMID: 26608309. *Background* 

450. Storebø OJ, Pedersen N, Ramstad E, et al. Methylphenidate for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents - assessment of adverse events in non-randomised studies. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018 May 9;5(5):Cd012069. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012069.pub2. PMID: 29744873. *Background* 

451. Storebo OJ, Ramstad E, Krogh HB, et al. Methylphenidate for children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015 Nov 25(11):CD009885. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009885.pub2. PMID: 26599576. *Background* 

452. Storebø OJ, Skoog M, Damm D, et al. Social skills training for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in children aged 5 to 18 years. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011 Dec 7(12):Cd008223. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008223.pub2. PMID: 22161422. *Background* 

453. Stuckelman ZD, Mulqueen JM, Ferracioli-Oda E, et al. Risk of Irritability With Psychostimulant Treatment in Children With ADHD: A Meta-Analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2017 Jun;78(6):e648-e55. doi: 10.4088/JCP.15r10601. PMID: 28682529. *Background* 

454. Suarez-Manzano S, Ruiz-Ariza A, De La Torre-Cruz M, et al. Acute and chronic effect of physical activity on cognition and behaviour in young people with ADHD: A systematic review of intervention studies. Research in Developmental Disabilities. 2018 Jun 2018;77:12-23. *Background* 

455. Sultan MA, Pastrana CS, Pajer KA. Shared Care Models in the Treatment of Pediatric Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Are They Effective? Health Serv Res Manag Epidemiol. 2018 Jan-Dec;5:2333392818762886. doi: 10.1177/2333392818762886. PMID: 29623286. *Background* 

456. Sun CK, Tseng PT, Wu CK, et al. Therapeutic effects of methylphenidate for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children with borderline intellectual functioning or intellectual disability: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2019 Nov 4;9(1):15908. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-52205-6. PMID: 31685858. *Background* 

457. Sun W, Yu M, Zhou X. Effects of physical exercise on attention deficit and other major symptoms in children with ADHD: A meta-analysis. Psychiatry Res. 2022 May;311:114509. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2022.114509. PMID: 35305344. *Background* 

458. Swanson JM. Risk of Bias and Quality of Evidence for Treatment of ADHD With Stimulant Medication. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2018 Oct;104(4):638-43. doi: 10.1002/cpt.1186. PMID: 30066372. *Background* 

459. Swanson JM. Debate: Are Stimulant Medications for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Effective in the Long Term? (Against). J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Oct;58(10):936-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2019.07.001. PMID: 31515165. *Background* 

460. Swanson JM, Rommelse N, Cotton J, et al. 142. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (updated chapter). In press (2022). *Background* 

461. Szymanski K, Sapanski L, Conway F. Trauma and ADHD – Association or Diagnostic Confusion? A Clinical Perspective. Journal of Infant, Child, and Adolescent Psychotherapy. 2011 2011/01/01;10(1):51-9. doi: 10.1080/15289168.2011.575704. *Background* 

462. Taanila AM, Hurtig TM, Miettunen J, et al. Association between ADHD symptoms and adolescents' psychosocial well-being: a study of the Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1986. Int J Circumpolar Health. 2009 Apr;68(2):133-44. doi: 10.3402/ijch.v68i2.18324. PMID: 19517873. *Background* 

463. Talebi S, Miraghajani M, Ghavami A, et al. The effect of zinc supplementation in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2021 Jun 29:1-10. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2021.1940833. PMID: 34184967. *Background* 

464. Tamminga HG, Reneman L, Huizenga HM, et al. Effects of methylphenidate on executive functioning in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder across the lifespan: a meta-regression analysis. Psychol Med. 2016 Jul;46(9):1791-807. doi: 10.1017/s0033291716000350. PMID: 27019103. *Background* 

465. Tan BW, Pooley JA, Speelman CP. A Meta-Analytic Review of the Efficacy of Physical Exercise Interventions on Cognition in Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder and ADHD. J Autism Dev Disord. 2016 Sep;46(9):3126-43. doi: 10.1007/s10803-016-2854-x. PMID: 27412579. *Background* 

466. Tarrant N, Roy M, Deb S, et al. The effectiveness of methylphenidate in the management of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in people with intellectual disabilities: A systematic review. Res Dev Disabil. 2018 Dec;83:217-32. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2018.08.017. PMID: 30266025. *Background* 

467. Taubin D, Wilson JC, Wilens TE. ADHD and Substance Use Disorders in Young People: Considerations for Evaluation, Diagnosis, and Pharmacotherapy. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2022 Jul;31(3):515-30. doi: 10.1016/j.chc.2022.01.005. PMID: 35697399. *Background* 

468. Taylor MR, Carrasco K, Carrasco A, et al. Tobacco and ADHD: A Role of MAO-Inhibition in Nicotine Dependence and Alleviation of ADHD Symptoms. Frontiers in Neuroscience. 2022;16. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.845646. *Background* 

469. Thomas N, Karuppali S. The Efficacy of Visual Activity Schedule Intervention in Reducing Problem Behaviors in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Between the Age of 5 and 12 Years: A Systematic Review. Soa Chongsonyon Chongsin Uihak.
2022 Jan 1;33(1):2-15. doi: 10.5765/jkacap.210021. PMID: 35035237. Background

470. Thomas R, Sanders S, Doust J, et al. Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatrics. 2015 Apr;135(4):e994-1001. doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-3482. PMID: 25733754. *Background* 

471. Tourjman V, Louis-Nascan G, Ahmed G, et al. Psychosocial Interventions for Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis by the CADDRA Guidelines Work GROUP. Brain Sci. 2022 Aug 1;12(8). doi: 10.3390/brainsci12081023. PMID: 36009086. *Background* 

472. Trangkasombat U. Clinical characteristics of ADHD in Thai children. J Med Assoc Thai. 2008 Dec;91(12):1894-8. PMID: 19133526. *Background* 

473. Treuer T, Méndez L, Montgomery W, et al. Factors affecting treatment adherence to atomoxetine in ADHD: A systematic review. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2016;12:1061-83. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S97724. *Background* 

474. Trzepacz PT, Williams DW, Feldman PD, et al. CYP2D6 metabolizer status and atomoxetine dosing in children and adolescents with ADHD. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2008 Feb;18(2):79-86. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2007.06.002. PMID: 17698328. *Background* 

475. Tseregounis IE, Stewart SL, Crawford A, et al. Age- and Sex-Specific Increases in Stimulant Prescribing Rates—California, 2008-2017. Journal of Attention Disorders. 2020 Jan;24(2):205-14. doi: 10.1177/1087054719883008. PMID: 31680608. *Background* 

476. Tsujii N, Okada T, Usami M, et al. Effect of Continuing and Discontinuing Medications on Quality of Life After Symptomatic Remission in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2020 Mar 24;81(3). doi: 10.4088/JCP.19r13015. PMID: 32237294. *Background* 

477. Tsujii N, Usami M, Naya N, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Medication for Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents with Common Comorbidities: A Systematic Review. Neurol Ther. 2021 Jun 4. doi: 10.1007/s40120-021-00249-0. PMID: 34089145. *Background* 

478. Uldall Torp NM, Thomsen PH. The use of diet interventions to treat symptoms of ADHD in children and adolescents - a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Nord J Psychiatry. 2020 Nov;74(8):558-68. doi: 10.1080/08039488.2020.1769187. PMID: 32513046. *Background* 

479. University of Bristol. QUADAS-2. https://www.bristol.ac.uk/population-health-sciences/projects/quadas-2/. Accessed on February 10, 2021. *Background* 

480. University of Bristol. QUADAS. www.quadas.org. Accessed on December 2, 2021. *Background* 

481. US Food and Drug Administration. FDA Permits Marketing of First Game-Based Digital Therapeutic to Improve Attention Function in Children with ADHD. FDA News Release. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-permits-marketing-first-game-based-digital-therapeutic-improve-attention-function-children-adhd. 2020;June 15. *Background* 

482. Vacher C, Goujon A, Romo L, et al. Efficacy of psychosocial interventions for children with ADHD and emotion dysregulation: a systematic review. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Sep;291:113151. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113151. PMID: 32619822. *Background* 

483. van den Ban E, Souverein PC, Swaab H, et al. Less discontinuation of ADHD drug use since the availability of long-acting ADHD medication in children, adolescents and adults under the age of 45 years in the Netherlands. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2010 Dec;2(4):213-20. doi: 10.1007/s12402-010-0044-9. PMID: 21258431. *Background* 

484. Van Doren J, Arns M, Heinrich H, et al. Sustained effects of neurofeedback in ADHD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2019 Mar;28(3):293-305. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1121-4. PMID: 29445867. *Background* 

485. van Wyk GW, Hazell PL, Kohn MR, et al. How oppositionality, inattention, and hyperactivity affect response to atomoxetine versus methylphenidate: a pooled meta-analysis. J Atten Disord. 2012 May;16(4):314-24. doi: 10.1177/1087054710389989. PMID: 21289234. *Background* 

486. Vancampfort D, Firth J, Schuch FB, et al. Dropout from physical activity interventions in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Mental Health and Physical Activity. 2016;11:46-52. doi: 10.1016/j.mhpa.2016.09.002. *Background* 

487. Vasiliadis HM, Diallo FB, Rochette L, et al. Temporal Trends in the Prevalence and Incidence of Diagnosed ADHD in Children and Young Adults between 1999 and 2012 in Canada: A Data Linkage Study. Can J Psychiatry. 2017 Dec;62(12):818-26. doi: 10.1177/0706743717714468. PMID: 28616934. *Background* 

488. Veloso A, Vicente SG, Filipe MG. Effectiveness of cognitive training for school-aged children and adolescents with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: a systematic review. Frontiers in Psychology. 2020 2020-January-14;10(2983):2983. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02983. PMID: 32010026. *Background* 

489. Vijverberg R, Ferdinand R, Beekman A, et al. Unmet care needs of children with ADHD. PLoS One. 2020;15(1):e0228049. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228049. PMID: 31951639. *Background* 

490. Villa-González R, Villalba-Heredia L, Crespo I, et al. A systematic review of acute exercise as a coadjuvant treatment of ADHD in young people. Psicothema. 2020 Feb;32(1):67-74. doi: 10.7334/psicothema2019.211. PMID: 31954418. *Background* 

491. Villas-Boas CB, Chierrito D, Fernandez-Llimos F, et al. Pharmacological treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder comorbid with an anxiety disorder: a systematic review. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2019 Mar;34(2):57-64. doi: 10.1097/yic.00000000000243. PMID: 30422834. *Background* 

492. Visser SN, Danielson ML, Bitsko RH, et al. Trends in the Parent-Report of Health Care Provider-Diagnosed and Medicated Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: United States, 2003-2011. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2014 Jan;53(1):34-46.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2013.09.001. PMID: 24342384. *Background* 

493. Viswanathan M, Ansari MT, Berkman ND, et al. Chapter 9: Assessing the Risk of Bias of Individual Studies in Systematic Reviews of Health Care Interventions. In: Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. AHRQ Publication No. 10(14)-EHC063-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. January 2014. Chapters available at: www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov. *Background* 

494. Vitiello B, Lazzaretto D, Yershova K, et al. Pharmacotherapy of the Preschool ADHD Treatment Study (PATS) Children Growing Up. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015 Jul;54(7):550-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2015.04.004. PMID: 26088659. *Background* 

495. Vitija A, Amirthalingam A, Soltani A. The impact of digital interventions on medication adherence in paediatric populations with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, depression, and/or anxiety: A rapid systematic review and meta-analysis. Res Social Adm Pharm. 2022 Dec;18(12):4017-27. doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2022.07.042. PMID: 35985977. *Background* 

496. Voelker R. Trigeminal nerve stimulator for ADHD. JAMA. 2019 Jun 4;321(21):2066-. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.6992. PMID: 31162556. *Background* 

497. Wang F, Wen F, Yu L, et al. The efficacy and safety in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder of second-generation antipsychotics and other medications for hyperactivity in children and adolescents with autism: a meta-analysis. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2021 May 1;36(3):109-16. doi: 10.1097/yic.0000000000349. PMID: 33492013. *Background* 

498. Wang M, Yang X, Yu J, et al. Effects of Physical Activity on Inhibitory Function in Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023 Jan 6;20(2). doi: 10.3390/ijerph20021032. PMID: 36673793. *Background* 

499. Wang SM, Han C, Lee SJ, et al. Modafinil for the treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: A meta-analysis. J Psychiatr Res. 2017 Jan;84:292-300. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.09.034. PMID: 27810669. *Background* 

500. Wang XQ, Albitos PJ, Hao YF, et al. A review of objective assessments for hyperactivity in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Neurosci Methods. 2022 Mar 15;370:109479. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2022.109479. PMID: 35038458. *Background* 

501. Wasserman T, Wasserman LD. The Sensitivity and Specificity of Neuropsychological Tests in the Diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Applied Neuropsychology: Child. 2012 2012/07/01;1(2):90-9. doi: 10.1080/21622965.2012.702025. PMID: 23428295. *Background* 

502. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Dittmann RW, et al. Minor differences in ADHD-related difficulties between boys and girls treated with atomoxetine for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2010 Jun;2(2):73-85. doi: 10.1007/s12402-010-0022-2. PMID: 21432592. *Background* 

503. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Escobar R, et al. Health-related quality of life in ADHD: a pooled analysis of gender differences in five atomoxetine trials. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord. 2012 Mar;4(1):25-35. doi: 10.1007/s12402-011-0070-2. PMID: 22271466. *Background* 

504. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Escobar R, et al. Differences between children and adolescents in treatment response to atomoxetine and the correlation between health-related quality of life and Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder core symptoms: Meta-analysis of five atomoxetine trials. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2010 Dec 6;4:30. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-4-30. PMID: 21134277. *Background* 

505. Weinstein D, Staffelbach D, Biaggio M. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder: Differential diagnosis in childhood sexual abuse. Clinical Psychology Review. 2000 2000/04/01/;20(3):359-78. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358(98)00107-X. PMID: 10779899. *Background* 

506. Weiss G. Hyperactivity. Overview and new directions. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 1985 Dec;8(4):737-53. PMID: 3878509. *Background* 

507. Welsch L, Alliott O, Kelly P, et al. The effect of physical activity interventions on executive functions in children with ADHD: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Mental Health and Physical Activity. 2021;20. doi: 10.1016/j.mhpa.2020.100379. *Background* 

508. Westwood S, Radua J, Rubia K. Non-invasive brain stimulation as an alternative treatment for ADHD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Brain Stimulation: Basic, Translational, and Clinical Research in Neuromodulation. 2019;12(2):502. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2018.12.644. *Background* 

509. Westwood SJ, Radua J, Rubia K. Noninvasive brain stimulation in children and adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2021 Jan 4;46(1):E14-e33. doi: 10.1503/jpn.190179. PMID: 33009906. *Background* 

510. Whalen CK, Henker B, Dotemoto S. Teacher response to the methylphenidate (ritalin) versus placebo status of hyperactive boys in the classroom. Child Dev. 1981 Sep;52(3):1005-14. PMID: 7026186. *Background* 

511. White E, Zippel J, Kumar S. The effect of equine-assisted therapies on behavioural, psychological and physical symptoms for children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2020 May;39:101101. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2020.101101. PMID: 32379642. *Background* 

512. Wigal S, Chappell P, Palumbo D, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment Options for Preschoolers with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2020 Mar;30(2):104-18. doi: 10.1089/cap.2019.0116. PMID: 31967914. *Background* 

513. Wigal SB, Biederman J, Swanson JM, et al. Efficacy and safety of modafinil film-coated tablets in children and adolescents with or without prior stimulant treatment for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: pooled analysis of 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry. 2006;8(6):352-60. PMID: 17245457. *Background* 

514. Wilens TE, Adler LA, Adams J, et al. Misuse and diversion of stimulants prescribed for ADHD: a systematic review of the literature. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008 Jan;47(1):21-31. doi: 10.1097/chi.0b013e31815a56f1. PMID: 18174822. *Background* 

515. Wilens TE, Monuteaux MC, Snyder LE, et al. The clinical dilemma of using medications in substance-abusing adolescents and adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: what does the literature tell us? J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2005 Oct;15(5):787-98. doi: 10.1089/cap.2005.15.787. PMID: 16262595. *Background* 

516. Wilkes-Gillan S, Cordier R, Chen YW, et al. A systematic review of video-modelling interventions for children and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Aust Occup Ther J. 2021 Jul 9. doi: 10.1111/1440-1630.12747. PMID: 34240431. *Background* 

517. Willcutt EG, Doyle AE, Nigg JT, et al. Validity of the executive function theory of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analytic review. Biol Psychiatry. 2005 Jun 1;57(11):1336-46. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.02.006. PMID: 15950006. *Background* 

518. Williams JM. Does neurofeedback help reduce Attention-Deficit hyperactivity disorder? Journal of Neurotherapy. 2010;14(4):261-79. doi: 10.1080/10874208.2010.523331. *Background* 

519. Willis D, Siceloff ER, Morse M, et al. Stand-Alone Social Skills Training for Youth with ADHD: A Systematic Review. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2019 Sep;22(3):348-66. doi: 10.1007/s10567-019-00291-3. PMID: 30796673. *Background* 

520. Wojnowski NM, Zhou E, Jee YH. Effect of stimulants on final adult height. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2022 Nov 25;35(11):1337-44. doi: 10.1515/jpem-2022-0344. PMID: 36193720. *Background* 

521. Wolraich M, Brown L, Brown RT, et al. ADHD: clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Pediatrics. 2011 Nov;128(5):1007-22. doi: 10.1542/peds.2011-2654. PMID: 22003063. *Background* 

522. Wolraich ML, Hagan JF, Jr., Allan C, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Pediatrics. 2019 Oct 2019;144(4). *Background* 

523. Wolraich ML, Wibbelsman CJ, Brown TE, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder among adolescents: a review of the diagnosis, treatment, and clinical implications. Pediatrics. 2005 Jun;115(6):1734-46. doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-1959. PMID: 15930238. *Background* 

524. Wong HC, Zaman R. Neurostimulation in treating ADHD. Psychiatr Danub. 2019 Sep;31(Suppl 3):265-75. PMID: 31488739. *Background* 

525. Wong HK, Tiffin PA, Chappell MJ, et al. Personalized Medication Response Prediction for Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Learning in the Model Space vs. Learning in the Data Space. Frontiers in physiology. 2017;8:199-. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00199. PMID: 28443027. *Background* 

526. Wong IC, Asherson P, Bilbow A, et al. Cessation of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder drugs in the young (CADDY)--a pharmacoepidemiological and qualitative study. Health Technol Assess. 2009 Oct;13(50):iii-iv, ix-xi, 1-120. doi: 10.3310/hta13490. PMID: 19883527. *Background* 

527. Xiao A, Feng Y, Yu S, et al. General anesthesia in children and long-term neurodevelopmental deficits: A systematic review. Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience. 2022;15. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2022.972025. *Background* 

528. Xie Y, Gao X, Song Y, et al. Effectiveness of Physical Activity Intervention on ADHD Symptoms: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:706625. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.706625. PMID: 34764893. *Background* 

529. Xue J, Zhang Y, Huang Y. A meta-analytic investigation of the impact of mindfulnessbased interventions on ADHD symptoms. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019 Jun;98(23):e15957. doi: 10.1097/md.000000000015957. PMID: 31169722. *Background* 

530. Yan L, Wang S, Yuan Y, et al. Effects of neurofeedback versus methylphenidate for the treatment of ADHD: systematic review and meta-analysis of head-to-head trials. Evidence Based Mental Health. 2019 Aug;22(3):111-7. doi: 10.1136/ebmental-2019-300088. PMID: 31221690. *Background* 

531. Yang KH, Chang YC, Tzang RF, et al. Explore the Effects of Pharmacological, Psychosocial, and Alternative /Complementary Interventions in Children and Adolescents with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Meta-regression Approach. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2021 Jun 4. doi: 10.1093/ijnp/pyab034. PMID: 34086891. *Background*  532. Young J. Common comorbidities seen in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Adolescent medicine: state of the art reviews. 2008 2008/08//;19(2):216-28, vii. PMID: 18822828. *Background* 

533. Young S, Adamo N, Ásgeirsdóttir BB, et al. Females with ADHD: An expert consensus statement taking a lifespan approach providing guidance for the identification and treatment of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder in girls and women. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Aug 12, 2020;20. *Background* 

534. Yu M, Gao X, Niu X, et al. Meta-analysis of structural and functional alterations of brain in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2023;13. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1070142. *Background* 

535. Zang Y. Impact of physical exercise on children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorders: Evidence through a meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019 Nov;98(46):e17980. doi: 10.1097/md.000000000017980. PMID: 31725664. *Background* 

536. Zangen A. T033 Right prefrontal rTMS for the treatment of ADHD: Electrophysiological correlates and prognostic biomarkers. Clinical Neurophysiology. 2017 2017/03/01/;128(3):e11. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2016.10.131. *Background* 

537. Zaso MJ, Park A, Antshel KM. Treatments for Adolescents With Comorbid ADHD and Substance Use Disorder: A Systematic Review. J Atten Disord. 2020 Jul;24(9):1215-26. doi: 10.1177/1087054715569280. PMID: 25655767. *Background* 

538. Zhang J, Díaz-Román A, Cortese S. Meditation-based therapies for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children, adolescents and adults: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Evid Based Ment Health. 2018 Aug;21(3):87-94. doi: 10.1136/ebmental-2018-300015. PMID: 29991532. *Background* 

539. Zhang L, Yao H, Li L, et al. Risk of Cardiovascular Diseases Associated With Medications Used in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open. 2022 Nov 1;5(11):e2243597. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.43597. PMID: 36416824. *Background* 

540. Zhang M, Liu Z, Ma H, et al. Chronic Physical Activity for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and/or Autism Spectrum Disorder in Children: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Front Behav Neurosci. 2020;14:564886. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2020.564886. PMID: 33192364. *Background* 

541. Zima BT, Bussing R, Tang L, et al. Quality of care for childhood attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in a managed care medicaid program. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010 Dec;49(12):1225-37, 37.e1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.08.012. PMID: 21093772. *Background* 

542. Zito JM, Burcu M. Stimulants and Pediatric Cardiovascular Risk. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2017 Aug;27(6):538-45. doi: 10.1089/cap.2015.0239. PMID: 27258470. *Background* 

543. Zwi M, Jones H, Thorgaard C, et al. Parent training interventions for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in children aged 5 to 18 years. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011 Dec 7;2011(12):Cd003018. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003018.pub3. PMID: 22161373. *Background*
#### Table C.1. KQ1 evidence table

[all empty cells will be removed prior to posting]

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Activity	Amado-Caballero, 2020 <sup>128</sup> Case series N = 148 Spain Setting: N/A	Target: Diagnosed with combined ADHD according to the DSM-5, none have taken medication Other: Healthy children ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: N/A Min age: 6 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Clinicians diagnosis using DSM-5 Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Activity ActiGraph GT3x device placed in wrist of patient, data of physical activity and sedentary activity in a 24 hour period used to develop Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) able to diagnose combined ADHD from actigraphic record. 70/30 train/test split used for validation. Sensitivity: 98 70%/30% train/test with 300 second window size Specificity: 100 70%/30% train/test with 300 second window size PPV: 100 70%/30% train/test with 300 second window size NPV: 98 70%/30% train/test with 300 second window size LR+: 21 70%/30% train/test with 300 second window size LR-: 0.0238 70%/30% train/test with 300 second window size	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Accuracy: 99 70%/30% train/test with 300 second window size AUC: 0.9993 70%/30% train/test with 300 second window size	Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Index text 5:
			Internal consistency: Alpha:	
			Test-retest: Costs:	
			Misdiagnosis:	
			Labeling:	
			Costs:	
Activity	Lindhiem, 2022 <sup>394</sup> Case series N = 30 US Setting: N/A	Target: Recruited via a web-based research registry through the University of Pittsburgh's Clinical and Translational Science Institute program; not on medication during the testing period Other: Recruited via a web-based research registry through the University of Pittsburgh's Clinical and Translational Science Institute program ADHD presentation: N/A : ADHD-combined and hyperactive subtypes only Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 40%	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD module of the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL) and the hyperactivity items of the Vanderbilt Assessment Scale-Parent report (VAS-P) Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Activity LemurDx app prototype on Apple smarwatch tracking motion, heart rate, and location of participants paired with acitivity labels in 30 minute increments reported by the parents; random forest classifier, leave-one-participant-out cross validation; pilot study	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Age mean: 9.6 (1.6) for the ADHD group, 10.1 (1.8) for the control group Min age: 6 Max age: 11 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 7 % White : 83 % Multiracial : 3 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 7% race not reported	Sensitivity: 93 Specificity: 86 PPV: 87 NPV: 92 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 89 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Activity	Martin-Martinez, 2012 <sup>406</sup> Case series N = 63 Spain Setting: Mixed	Target: Children with combined type ADHDand no type of sleep disorder such as restlesslegs syndrome or periodic limb movementOther: Children without ADHD from publichospitals and health centersADHD presentation: combined : 100Diagnosed by: Unclear/NRComorbidity: N/AFemale: %N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisDiagnosed as having the combined kind ofADHD according to the DSM-IV criteria.Timing: Prior diagnosisIndex test: Activity Nonlinear signalprocessing of 24 h-long actigraphicregistriesSensitivity: 97 By means ofmultidimensional classifiers driven by	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Age mean: N/A	combined features from different time intervals	Alpha:
		Min age: 6 Max age: 6 Ethnicity:	Specificity: 84 By means of multidimensional classifiers driven by combined features from different time	Costs: Index test 3:
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	intervals PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 90 By means of multidimensional classifiers driven by combined features from different time intervals AUC: 0.9496 By means of multidimensional classifiers driven by combined features from different time intervals	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4:
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:
			Alpha:	AUU.
			Test-retest: Costs:	Index text 5:
			Misdiagnosis:	
			Labeling:	
			Costs:	

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Biomarker	Case series N = 50 Multiple countries Setting: Mixed	Target: Not on sumulant medication, recruited from elementary schools in Chile Other: Healthy children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 14% Age mean: N/A Min age: 10 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisDiagnosis of ADHD and ADHD-C accordingto DSM-IV criteriaTiming: Prior diagnosisIndex test: Biomarker Pupillometrics (pupil- size dynamics). Subjects were required to complete a visuospatial working memory task, which consisted of multiple 8 s trials, during which pupil-sizes were measured. Support vector machine (SVM) classifier, nested 10-fold cross validationSensitivity: 77Sensitivity: 77Support vector machine classifierSpecificity: 75Support vector machine classifierPPV: NPV: LR+: LR-:Accuracy: 76Support vector machine classifierAUC: 0.856Support vector machine classifierRater agreement: Kappa: ICC:Internal consistency: Alpha:Test-retest: Costs:	Index test 2:Sensitivity:Specificity:PPV:NPV:LR+:Accuracy:AUC:Rater agreement:Kappa:Internal consistency:Alpha:Costs:Index test 3:Sensitivity:Specificity:PPV:NPV:LR+:Accuracy:AUC:Rater agreement:Index text 4:Sensitivity:Specificity:PPV:AUC:AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index text 5:
Biomarker	Gungor, 2021 <sup>307</sup> Case series N = 70 Turkey Setting: N/A	Target: Drug-naive, without comorbid psychiatric disorders, genetic syndromes, metabolic disorders, neurological disease and obesity; IQ>80 Other: Age and sex-matched healthy children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 42.85% Age mean: 8.83 (2.99) Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Clinical diagnosis using DSM-5 Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Biomarker Serum erythropoietin levels Sensitivity: 100 Specificity: 97 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.980 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs:	Index test 2: Biomarker Serum erythropoietin receptor levels Sensitivity: 100 Specificity: 100 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 1.00 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Misdiagnosis:	LR+:
			Labeling:	AUC:
			Costs:	Rater agreement:
				Index text 4:
				Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
	Roessner, 2007 <sup>489</sup>	<b>Target:</b> Children and Adolescents in Germany	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2: Biomarker
	Case series	42 ADHD participants were on stimulant	DSMIV-TR criteria for ADHD.	urine levels: N-methyl-
	Germany	medication at the day of urine sampling; 16 had	Timing: Prior diagnosis	Salsolinol (free)
er	Setting: Specialty care	including conduct disorder ( $n=13$ ), learning disorders ( $n=4$ ), tic disorders ( $n=2$ ), and others ( $n=5$ )	<b>Index test:</b> Biomarker tetrahydroisoquinolines (TIQ) urine levels: Salsolinol (free)	Sensitivity: 93 Specificity: 94 PPV: NPV:
arke		Other: Healthy controls	Sensitivity: 56	LR+:
iom		ADHD presentation: N/A	Specificity: 95	Accuracy:
-			NPV:	Rater agreement
		Female: %	LR+:	Карра:
		N/A	Accuracy:	Internal consistency:
		Age mean: 12.1 (3.2)	AUC:	Alpha:
		Min age: Max age:	Rater agreement:	Costs:
		Ethnicity:	парра.	

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: biomarker tetrahydroisoquinolines (TIQ) urine levels: Norsalsolinol (free) Sensitivity: 88 88 Specificity: 80 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: biomarker tetrahydroisoquinolines (TIQ) urine levels: N-methyl- Norsalsolinol (free) Sensitivity: 69 Specificity: 94 PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum ago;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Maximum age; Ethnicity		
Biomarker	Stepanova, 2021 <sup>331</sup> Case series N = 64 US Setting: School	Target: Recruited from community advertisements and physician referrals; not currently taking psychostimulants, 46% provided another blood draw 30 days after receiving psychostimulants; children with bipolar disorder excluded Other: Children without ADHD ADHD presentation: inattentive : 33.3,hyperactive : 0,combined : 66.7 Diagnosed by: Provider Comorbidity: N/A Female: 33.3% Age mean: 11.61(3.30) Min age: 6 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 12.5 % Black/African American : 70.8 % Asian : 0 % White : 8.3 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 20.8	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Completed Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview 7 and was evaluated by a clinical psychiatrist Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Biomarker Membrane potential ratio (MPR). ADHD cutoff score provided by the MPR™ test developers of >0.75 is considered positive for ADHD. Sensitivity: 79 Specificity: 25 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 55 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
Biomarker	Wang, 2018 <sup>592</sup> Case series N = 40 Taiwan Setting: Specialty care	Target: Medication naive; no major physical illnesses (such as genetic, metabolic, or infectious conditions) or a history of comorbid major neuropsychiatric diseases (such as intellectual disabilities, autism spectrum disorder, bipolar disorders, major depressive disorders, psychotic disorders, substance use disorders, epilepsy, or severe head trauma) Other: Children without any known major physical illnesses or any of the aforementioned major neuropsychiatric diseases within the same catchment area ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 30% In the test group Age mean: 8.7 (2.2) for the ADHD test group, 9.2 (2.5) for the control test group Min age: 6 Max age: 16	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed with ADHD based off DSM-IV- TR criteria and the Chinese version of the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children, epidemiologic version (K-SADS-E) Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Biomarker miRNA-based diagnostic panel using 13 miRNA candidate biomarkers, SVM classifier Sensitivity: 90 For test group Specificity: 80 For test group PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 85 For test group AUC: 0.91 Test set Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		<b>Ethnicity:</b> % Asian : 100,Other : Han Chinese Other info on race or ethnicity:	Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Biomarker	Zadehbagheri, 2019 <sup>623</sup> Case series N = 120 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with no other psychiatric disorders, a history of severe head injury, neurodevelopmental disorders, dysaudia, vision disorder, epilepsy or cardiovascular disorders and IQ>85; none of the participants received drug treatment for ADHD Other: Age and sex-matched controls <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 10,hyperactive : 5,combined : 85 <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 31.67% <b>Age mean:</b> 9.97 (1.44) <b>Min age:</b> Max age: <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed with ADHD based on DSM-IV with structured interview Timing: Concurrent Index test: Biomarker Biomarker miRNA: hsa-miR101-3p Sensitivity: 82 Specificity: 95 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.959 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency:	Index test 2: Biomarker Biomarker miRNA: hsa-miR- 106b-5p Sensitivity: 86 Specificity: 82 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.942 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: biomarker Biomarker miRNA: hsa-miR- 138-5p Sensitivity: 82 82 Specificity: 79 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.856 Rater agreement: Index text 4: biomarker Combined biomarkers hsa- miR101-3p, hsa-miR-106b-5p, hsa-miR-138-5p, hsa-miR- 130a-3p, hsa-miR-195-5p Sensitivity: 68 Specificity: 71 PPV: NPV: AUC: 0.68 Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Clinician rating scale	Lau, 2018 <sup>377</sup> Case series N = 3,464 Canada Setting: Specialty care	Target: Data were collected from clinically referred children/youth across 39 mental health agencies in Ontario, Canada between 2012 and 2016 Other: Data were collected from clinically referred children/youth across 39 mental health agencies in Ontario, Canada between 2012 and 2016 ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 40% female in entire sample Age mean: 11.85 (3.58) Min age: 4 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Provisional diagnoses were obtained from the clinical record or completed by the psychiatrist, attending physician, or qualified psychologist at the time of assessment Timing: Concurrent Index test: Clinician rating scale The interRAI Child and Youth Mental Health Hyperactive/Distraction Scale (HDS), a semi-structured clinician assessment tool; analysis done on subsample that had undergone a diagnostic assessment (n=2849) Sensitivity: Using a combination of Youden's index and Pythagorean's method, optimal sensitivity ranged from 77.6 to 81.8% at a score of 7 Specificity: Using a combination of Youden's index and Pythagorean's method, optimal specificity ranged from 60.7 to 65.1% at a score of 7 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.79 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

ex Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female:	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Ind		Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
			Internal consistency: Standardized Cronbach's Alpha (using polychoric correlations) Alpha: 0.86	Index text 5:
			Test-retest: Costs:	
			Misdiagnosis:	
			Labeling:	
			Costs:	
	Robles, 2021 <sup>487</sup> Case series N = 52 Mexico	<b>Target:</b> Those without the presence of communication difficulties, cognitive dysfunctions, and disabilities; seeking mental health services at two specialized psychiatric care facilities in Mexico City	<b>Reference standard:</b> Clinical diagnosis Two psychiatrists independently established diagnosis, blind to each others evaluation Timing: Concurrent	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:
le	Setting: Specialty care	<b>Other:</b> Children seeking mental health services at two specialized psychiatric care facilities in Mexico City not diagnosed with ADHD	<b>Index test:</b> Clinician rating scale Evaluation of interrater reliability of ICD11 diagnostic guidelines for mental and behavioral	LR+: Accuracy: AUC:
ating sca		ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist	disorders in children and adolescents to assess clinical utility. Each participant was interviewed by a pair of psychiatrists	Rater agreement: Kappa:
an ra		Comorbidity: N/A	(Interviewer and observer), who independently codified established	Internal consistency: Alpha
Clinici		Age mean: 11.9 (3.2)	diagnoses and evaluated the clinical utility of the guidelines.	Costs:
		Thin age. 0 Max age. 1/	Sensitivity:	Index test 3:
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	PPV: NPV:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV:
			LRT. LR-: Accuracy:	NPV: LR+:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC: Rater agreement: 2 clinicians, one conducted the interview, the other was observer Kappa: 0.46 ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Combined rating	Francois-Sevigny, 2022 <sup>279</sup> Case series N = 92 Canada Setting: Specialty care	Target: ADHD or ADHD+gifted; IQ>=130 on the Full-Scale Intelligence Quotient or the General Aptitude Index of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children 5th edition to be included in the ADHD+gifted group; all drug naive; children with a mental health disorder such as anxiety and depression were included; children with ASD or intellectual disablility were excluded Other: Gifted children;IQ>=130 on the Full- Scale Intelligence Quotient or the General Aptitude Index of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children 5th edition ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Semi-structured K-SADS-PL interview, Conners Continuous Performance Test, the Test of Everyday Attention for Children, the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS), the Tower of London test, the Behavior Assessment System for Children (BASC-3) Timing: Concurrent Index test: Combined rating Conners 3 content scales teacher and parent ratings; discriminant function analysis with 3 categories (ADHD+gifted vs ADHD vs gifted)	Index test 2: Combined rating Conners 3 symptom scales teacher and parent ratings; discriminant function analysis with 3 categories (ADHD+gifted vs ADHD vs gifted) Sensitivity: 70% of the ADHD+gifted children were correctly classified, 66% of the ADHD children were correctly classified Specificity: 100 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 76

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Female: 29% Age mean: 9.85 (2.51) Min age: 6 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Sensitivity: 72% of the ADHD+gifted children were correctly classified, 68% of the ADHD children were correctly classified Specificity: 100 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 78 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Gifted children may exhibit behaviors that look similar to the characteristics of ADHD, contributing to misdiagnosis. The fact that the only differences between gifted/ADHD children and ADHD children were observed in terms of hyperactive-impulsive symptom Labeling:	AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
			Costs:	muex lext 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Combined rating	Gibbons, 2020 <sup>297</sup> Case series N = 801 US Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Target: English speakers only. Children were excluded if they had autism spectrum, intellectual developmental, or a psychotic disorder that would limit their ability to provide accurate self-reports.</li> <li>Other: Children without evidence of psychiatric disorder</li> <li>ADHD presentation: N/A</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Specialist</li> <li>Comorbidity: Other : Study includes children with primary diagnosis of major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder with manic symptoms, anxiety, ODD, and CD</li> <li>Female: 32.2%</li> <li>Age mean:</li> <li>11.1 (3.2) for ADHD group, 12.2 (3.1) for control group</li> <li>Min age: 7 Max age: 17</li> <li>Ethnicity:</li> <li>% Hispanic or Latino : 5.4</li> <li>% White : 61.2</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity:</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis K-SADS-PL, Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS), review of medical record recruited from psychiatric institute and clinic, local clinics and providers Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Combined rating Kiddie- Computerized adaptive test (K-CAT) using combined item response scale scores from parent and child, 3-fold cross validation Sensitivity: 75 with specificity fixed at 80 % Specificity: 80 fixed specificity PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 86 AUC: 0.86 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Kiddie-Computerized adaptive test (K-CAT) using combined item response scale scores from parent. The test was administered using tablet computers. 3-fold cross validation Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.85 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Teen/child self report Kiddie-Computerized adaptive test (K-CAT) using combined item response scale scores from child. The test was administered using tablet computers. Items were tested for readability using the Flesch-

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Kincaid reading grade level. The overall average reading Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.71 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Combined rating	Longridge, 2019 <sup>396</sup> Case series N = 288 UK Setting: Specialty care	Target: Secondary analysis of a cohort of children attending two child and adolescent mental health serivices between 2006 and 2009 Other: Children with no diagnosis of ADHD per Development and Well-Being Assessment, part of the same referral process as ADHD group ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 13.8%	<ul> <li>Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis</li> <li>Clinicians completed a brief questionnaire in</li> <li>6 month intervals assessing multiple clinical conditions including ADHD</li> <li>Timing: Later diagnosis</li> <li>Index test: Combined rating Development and Well-Being Assessment (DAWBA) with parents and teacher ratings, a smodular standardised diagnostic assessment with structured questions that are based directly on DSM-IV (APA 2000) and ICD-10 (WHO 2009) diagnostic criteria; If a respondent</li> </ul>	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Age mean: 7.4 (1.6) for ADHD group, 8.0 (1.7) for comparison group Min age: 5 Max age: 11 Ethnicity: % White : 69 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 31% Black and Minority ethnicity	reports any difficulty in any one module, semistructured questions are used to expand on the details of these reported difficulties; a computerised algorithm generates provisional diagnoses Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: DAWBA provisional diagnosis versus clinician diagnosis during the study period Kappa was 0.40 for those with a definite or possible diagnosis at any time point Kappa: 0.30 ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Combined rating	Oztekin, 2021 <sup>435</sup> Case series N = 162 US Setting: Mixed	Target: IQ>=70. No confirmed history of Autism Spectrum Disorder. 70% had a comorbid oppositional defiant disorder or conduct disorder diagnosis. Recruited from local schools and mental health agencies via brochures, radio and newspaper ads, and open houses/parent workshops Other: Typically developing children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 26% Age mean: mean 5.55 Min age: 4 Max age: 7 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 82.6 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Computerized-Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children and Disruptive Behavior Disorders Rating Scale, Impairment Rating Scale Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Combined rating Emergent Metacognition Index t-score from the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (Preschool or Child version) parent and teacher ratings combined; support vector machine (SVM) classifier, 5-fold cross validation Sensitivity: 74 Specificity: PPV: 94 NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 93 AUC: 0.982 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Cronbach's alpha 0.976 for teacher ratings and 0.970 for parent ratings on the Preschool version; 0.724 for teacher ratings and 0.978 for parent ratings on the Child version. Alpha:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,EF Executive function tasks: Flanker task, the Dimensional Change Card Sorting task, and the Head-Toes-Knees- Shoulders task. Support vector machine (SVM) classifier, 5-fold cross validation Sensitivity: 64 Specificity: PPV: 71 NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 67 AUC: 0.738 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Imaging Structural MRI scans assessing neural measures of cortical thickness in target regions that support vector machine (SVM) classifier, 5-fold cross validation Sensitivity: 65 65 Specificity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	PPV: 64 NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 61 AUC: 0.624 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Imaging Full model includes demographics, parent/teacher ratings, cognitive measures of executive function, and cortical thickness in the left anterior cingulate, the left intraparietal transverse parietal sulci and the left superior frontal gyrus from structural Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Combined rating	Parker, 2016 <sup>17</sup> McGonnell, 2009 <sup>882</sup> ; Davidson, 2016 <sup>712</sup> Case series N = 279 Canada Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children of an ADHD clinic which is restricted to children who have no previous diagnosis of ADHD, are psychotropic medication-naïve, and have not received a psychoeducational assessment within the past 2 years Other: Children referred to the ADHD clinic who were not diagnosed with ADHD; 66% of these children were diagnosed with another mental disorder or a learning disability, the remaining children were not diagnosed with ADHD, a learning disability, or any other men <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 26.0,hyperactive : 6.8,combined : 66.4,N/A : 0.7 ADHD-not otherwise specified <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 30.8% <b>Age mean:</b> 8.49 (1.70) <b>Min age:</b> 5.95 <b>Max age:</b> 12.67 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Semistructured diagnostic interview based on DSM-IV criteria for use with parents, the child also received a standard psychoeducational assessment battery; ADHD Clinic team made possible diagnoses based on the results of the above measurements Timing: Concurrent Index test: Combined rating Teacher Telephone Interview and Parent Interview for Child Symptoms combined Sensitivity: 92 Specificity: 71 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	Index test 2: Combined rating Conners Teacher Rating Scale and Conners Parent Rating Scale combined Sensitivity: 84 Specificity: 36 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Teacher rating scale The Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning teacher rating <sup>712</sup> Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Combined rating	Sullivan, 2007 <sup>557</sup> Case series N = 92 US Setting: Other	Ethnicity         Target: Subset of participants diagnosed with         ADHD in a Memory, Attention, and Planning         Study recruited with announcements distributed         to local physicians, schools, bulletin boards, a         counseling center, and the newspaper; IQ>=80         Other: Subset of participants not diagnosed         with ADHD in a Memory, Attention, and         Planning Study recruited with announcements         distributed to local physicians, schools, bulletin         boards, a counseling center, and the         newspaper; IQ>=80; participants either had no         cl         ADHD presentation: inattentive : 34,combined         : 66         Diagnosed by: Specialist         Comorbidity: N/A         Female: 15%         Age mean: 11.32 (1.99)         Min age: 9 Max age: 15	Costs: Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Comprehensive psychological evaluation that included measures of cognitive ability, achievement, language, memory, executive function, attention, behavior, and emotional functioning Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Combined rating Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) parent and teacher forms Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC:	Index text 4: Parental rating scale The Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning parent rating <sup>712</sup> Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5: Index test 2: Combined rating Conners' Parent Rating Scale- Short Form (CPRS) and Conners' Teacher Rating Scale- Short Form (CTRS) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Parent ratings on the Conners' scales were significantly correlated with teacher ratings on the same scales Kappa: Internal consistency:
		Min age: 9 Max age: 15		momai concictorioy.

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year;	Setting;	Reference standard;	
	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Index test;	
be	Study design;	ADHD presentation;	Diagnostic accuracy;	
Z	Study size;	Diagnosis;	Rater agreement;	
×	Location	Comorbidity;	Other outcomes	
p		% Female;		
-		Age mean;		
		Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
		Ethnicity:	Rater agreement: Behavior Rating Inventory	Alpha:
		% Hispanic or Latino : 8	of Executive Function (BRIEF) parent	Costs:
		% Black/African American : 11	versus teacher ratings	00010.
		% Asian : 1 % White : 80	Parent ratings on the BRIEF scales were significantly correlated with teacher ratings	Index test 3:
		Other info on race or ethnicity.	on the same scales (all <=0.05)	Sensitivity:
			Kappa:	Specificity:
			ICC: Range 0.31 to 0.59 (median 0.48) over	
			11 subscales	NPV:
				IR+
			Internal consistency:	Accuracy:
			Alpha:	AUC:
			Test-retest:	
			Costs:	Rater agreement:
			Misdiagnosis:	Index text 4:
			Labeling:	Sensitivity:
			Costs:	Specificity:
			00313.	PPV:
				NPV:
				AUC:
				Index text 5:
	Abramov 2010 <sup>118</sup>	Target: ADHD boys without a history of chronic	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
		diseases and without suspicion of psychiatric	Classified as ADHD in accordance with the	Sensitivity <sup>.</sup>
	Case series	disorders other than ADHD (psychosis	DSM-IV-TR	Specificity:
	N = 39	affective, obsessive-compulsive and tic	Timing: Prior diagnosis	PPV:
Ċ	Brazil	disorders, phobic and post-traumatic stress	J	NPV:
	Setting: N/A	conditions, anorexia, bulimia. encopresis. or	Index test: EEG Attentional Network Test	LR+:
		enuresis) as screened by K-SADS-PL: (2) No	with recordings of event-related potentials	Accuracy:
		use of any psychotropic medicines for at least	from the mid-frontal, mid-parietal, right	AUC:
		30 days; (3) estimated Intelligence Quotient	frontal, and central scalp areas (C3-C4, F8,	
		(I.Q.) equal or lower than 80; and (4)no less	F4, Fz, Pz) for a biological classification	Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		than 6 h of regular sleep and (5)no report of somnolence before the ANT testing Other: Typically developing boys ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Researcher Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean: 11.52 Min age: 10 Max age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	using the clustering of variables method. 80/20 train/test split repeated 100 times. Sensitivity: 89 Specificity: 75 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 82 AUC: Rater agreement: Agreement between DSM and behavioral/psychological/neurophysiological data Kappa: 0.75 ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Index text 5:

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year;	Setting;	Reference standard;	
	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Index test;	
ЭС	Study design;	ADHD presentation;	Diagnostic accuracy;	
ž	Study size;	Diagnosis;	Rater agreement;	
Γ×	Location	Comorbidity;	Other outcomes	
de		% Female;		
Ц		Age mean;		
		Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
	100	Ethnicity		
	Ahmadi, 2021 <sup>122</sup>	<b>Target:</b> Right handed children, none had any	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
	Case series	neuro-reedback or any other neuro-modulation	Swanson, Nolan, and Pelnam IV	Sensitivity:
	N = 40	treatment, none had been treated with	questionnaire parent and teacher ratings.	
	Iran	12 with ADUD instruction presentations all	ne child behavior checklist completed by	
		12 with ADHD matterition presentation, all	parents. The linal diagnosis of the children	
	Setting: Specialty	Multidisciplinary Neuropsychiatric Center	psychologist and a child psychiatrist who	
	care	Tabriz Iran	both were blind	
			Timing: Prior diagnosis	A00.
		Other: Healthy children		Rater agreement:
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 48,combined	Index test: EEG EEG, eyes open resting-	Kappa:
		: 52	state; spatial and frequency band feature	Internal consistency:
		Diagnosed by: Specialist	extraction and classification done using	Alpha:
		Comorbidity: N/A	deep convolutional neural network;	Costs
		Female: 36%	bands used for classification. 5 times 5-fold	
Э		Age mean:	cross validation	Index test 3:
ш		ADHD-C 8.5 (0.7), ADHD-I 8.75 (0.65), control	Sensitivity: 99	Sensitivity:
		8.92 (1.38)	Specificity: 99	Specificity:
		Min age: 6 Max age: 11	PPV:	PPV:
		Ethnicity:	NPV:	NPV:
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	LR+:	LR+:
			LR-:	Accuracy:
			Accuracy: 99	AUC:
			AUC:	Rater agreement:
			Rater agreement: Comparison of model	Index text 4
			accuracy with expected accuracy (chance	muex text 4:
			level)	Sensitivity:
				Specificity:
				PPV:
			Internal consistency:	NPV:
			,	AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index text 5:
EEG	Altınkaynak, 2020 <sup>127</sup> Case series N = 46 Turkey Setting: Specialty care	Target: ADHD referrals from university hospital psychiatry department, all were drug-naïve, without neurological conditions or hearing problems, all were right-handed Other: Healthy controls with no neurological, endocrine or psychiatric illness, and normal hearing function ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 30.4% Age mean: 9.09 (1.62) for ADHD group, 9.13 (1.63) for control group Min age: 7 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Psychiatrists used DSM-IV to diagnose patients with ADHD Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Time and frequency analysis of Event Related Potentials (ERP) obtained from EEG signals while participants performed an auditory oddball task; multilayer Perception classifier, leave- one out cross validation Sensitivity: 91 Specificity: 91 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 91 AUC: 0.91 Rater agreement: Inter-rater reliability for the classifier Kappa: 0.82	Index test 2: EEG Time and frequency analysis of Event Related Potentials (ERP) obtained from EEG signals while participants performed an auditory oddball task; support vector machine (SVM) classifier, leave-one out cross validation Sensitivity: 95 Specificity: 82 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 89 AUC: 0.89 Rater agreement: Inter-rater reliability for the classifier Kappa:0.78 Internal consistency: Alpha:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Costs: Index test 3: EEG Time and frequency analysis of Event Related Potentials (ERP) obtained from EEG signals while participants performed an auditory oddball task; naïve Bayes classifier, leave-one out cross validation Sensitivity: 86 86 Specificity: 86 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 87 AUC: 0.94 Rater agreement: Inter-rater reliability for the classifier Index text 4: EEG Time and frequency analysis of Event Related Potentials (ERP) obtained from EEG signals while participants performed an auditory oddball task; k-nearest neighbor classifier, leave-one out cross validation Sensitivity: 91 Specificity: 82 PPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				NPV: AUC: 0.89 Index text 5:
EEG	Beriha, 2018 <sup>150</sup> Case series N = 297 India Setting: School	Target: Children recruited from 15 elementary schools, 5 of which were particularly for children with disorders, diagnosed with ADHD Other: Children with anxiety, depression, or conduct disorder, and neurotypical children from same recruitement process as ADHD group ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: N/A Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Eexperts used the DSM-V to determine diagnosis ADHD, anxiety, depression, conduct disorder, and control Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG EEG recording during visual attention and mental task, extraction of four non-linear features combined with symptoms important for differentiation of psychiatric disorders, particle swarm optimization tuned back propagation neural network (PSO-BPNN) classifier Sensitivity: 100 Specificity: 100 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 100 AUC: Rater agreement:	Index test 2: EEG EEG recording during visual attention and mental task, extraction of four non-linear features combined with symptoms important for differentiation of psychiatric disorders, particle swarm optimization tunedradial basis function (PSO-RBF) classifier Sensitivity: 90 Specificity: 89 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 97 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
			Kappa:	Costs:
			Internal consistency:	Index test 3:
			Alpha:	Sensitivity:
			Test-retest:	PPV:
			Costs:	NPV:
			Misdiagnosis:	LR+: Accuracv:
			Labeling:	AUC:
			Costs:	Rater agreement:
				Index text 4:
				Sensitivity:
				Specificity:
				NPV:
				AUC:
				Index text 5:
	Boroujeni, 2019 <sup>165</sup>	Target: Children who had come to doctor	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
	Case series	FEG signal recording	Diagnosis confirmed by neurologist using DSM-IV criteria	Sensitivity: Specificity:
	N = 76	Other: Typically developing children	Timing: Concurrent	PPV:
	Iran	ADHD presentation: N/A		NPV:
С Ш	Setting: Specialty	Diagnosed by: Specialist	Index test: EEG EEG signals obtained	LR+:
	care	Comorbidity: N/A	Continuous Performance Test (CPT),	AUC:
		Female: 26%	combination of non-linear features, support	Rater agreement:
		Age mean:	vector machine (SVM) classification, 70/30	Kappa:
		-	from combination of correlation dimension	Internal consistency:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Min age: 4 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	and fractal dimension in FP2 channel, and correlation dimension and sample entropy in Fz channel.	Alpha: Costs:
			Sensitivity: 98 Specificity: 92 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 96 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
EEG	Catherine Joy, 2021 <sup>180</sup> Case series N = 10 India Setting: N/A	Target: Children specifically identified by professional psychiatrists Other: Children without ADHD from the same age group ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: N/A Min age: 7 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD identified by psychiatrists, using patient history and Vanderbilt ADHD assessment rating scale Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Eyes-open and eyes- closed resting state EEG, permutation entropy feature extraction and artificial neural network (ANN) classifier. Leave-one- out cross validation Sensitivity: 98 Specificity: 99 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 99.82 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
EEG	Chang, 2019 <sup>18/</sup> Case series N = 60 Taiwan Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Target: IQ &gt; 80. All male, did not receive any medication for ADHD testing, no history of epilepsy, mental retardation, drug abuse, head injury, or psychotic disorders</li> <li>Other: Age-matched controls</li> <li>ADHD presentation: combined : 100</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Specialist</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 0%</li> <li>Age mean:</li> <li>8.4 (1.9) for ADHD group, 8.4 (1.7) for control group</li> <li>Min age: Max age:</li> <li>Ethnicity:</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A</li> </ul>	Reterence standard: Clinical diagnosis Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham (SNAP-IV) Teacher and Parent Rating Scale. Examined by a pediatric neurologist or psychiatrist. Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Quantitative EEG (qEEG), eyes closed, 21 electrodes for 20 minutes at a sampling rate of 256 Hz, electrodes arranged based on the international 10-20 system. Support vector machine (SVM) classification with 8 features, 10 fold cross validiation. Sensitivity: 80 Specificity: 80 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.8778	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Accuracy: NPV: NP

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Chen, 2019 <sup>191</sup> Case series N = 108 China Setting: Mixed	Target: IQ>80; drug naive, right-handed, nolifetime history of head trauma with loss of consciousness, no history of neurologicalillness or other severe disease, and no history of psychiatric disorders including schizophrenia, affective disorder and pervasive developmental disorder; recruited from an outpatient clinic at the Peking University Institute of Mental HealthOther: Age, gender, and handedness-matched typically develpoing children recruited from a local schoolADHD presentation: inattentive : 52,combined : 48Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18% Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosis based on CDIS structured and interviewer-administered scale based on DSM-IV criteria Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG 10 minute eyes closed resting-state EEG using relative spectral power, spectral power ratio, complexity analyses, and bicoherence to extract featrues. Support vector machine (SVM) classifier using 14 features from various brain regions using different methods chosen out of all tested features. 10 fold cross validation. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		10.44 (0.75) for ADHD group, 10.92 (0.69) for control group <b>Min age: Max age:</b> <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	LR-: Accuracy: 85 Classifier model which selected from all tested features AUC: 0.9158 Classifier model which selected from all tested features Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Chen, 2019 <sup>192</sup> Case series N = 107 China Setting: Specialty care	Target: Right-handed; no lifetime history of head trauma with loss of consciousness; no history of neurological illness or another severe disease; no history of psychiatric disorders; IQ higher than 80; no history of taking stimulants or other medication to treat inattention problems. Recruited from the outpatient clinic at Beijing Children's Hospital, Capital Medical University Other: Handedness and age matched typically developing children recruited from local schools ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Psychiatrist diagnosis using DSM-IV criteria Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Ten-minute resting state EEG. Convolutional neural network (CNN) classifier, 10 fold cross validation. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 95	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Female: 18% Age mean: 10.44 (0.75) for ADHD group, 10.92 (0.69) for control group Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A : Assume Chinese ethnicity	AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: N/A Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Chen, 2021 <sup>193</sup> Case series N = 70 Taiwan Setting: Specialty care	Target: No neurological disorders, chromosome or genetic disorders, autism spectrum disorder, or any other mental disorder. Other: Typically developing children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 21% Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosis of participants with ADHD was provided or confirmed by the child and adolescent psychiatrists in a clinical setting. Timing: Concurrent Index test: EEG Combination of Disruptive Behavior Disorder Rating Scale parent and teacher versions,1 minute eyes open resting EEG, and 7.5 minute EEG recording during Conners Kiddie Continuous Performance	Index test 2: EEG EEG data, independent testing data (n=9) used for cross validation Sensitivity: 95 Specificity: 38 PPV: 64 NPV: 86 LR+: Accuracy: 69
Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
------------	---	---	---	--
		5.68 (0.52) for ADHD group, 5.72 (0.46) for control group Min age: 5 Max age: 7 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Test, independent testing data (n=9) used for cross validation Sensitivity: 87 Specificity: 84 PPV: 87 NPV: 84 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 86 AUC: 0.926 0.950 in independent cross validation test sample n=9 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: 0.677 0.55 in independent cross validation test sample n=9 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Combined rating Disruptive Behavior Disorder Rating Scale parent and teacher versions, independent testing data (n=9) used for cross validation Sensitivity: 66 66 Specificity: 84 PPV: 83 NPV: 68 LR+: Accuracy: 74 AUC: 0.812 Rater agreement: Index text 4: CPT Conners Kiddie Continuous Performance Test, independent testing data (n=9) used for cross validation Sensitivity: 42 Specificity: 97

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				PPV: 94 NPV: 58 AUC: 0.737 Index text 5:
EEG	Chiarenza, 2018 <sup>196</sup> Case series N = 50 Italy Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children diagnosed with ADHD combined subtype or ADHD combined subtype+ODD Other: No non-ADHD participants ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 8% Age mean: 10.1 (3.1) for ADHD only group, 10.3 (2.2) for ADHD plus oppositional defiant disorder group Min age: 6 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnoses were based on a DSM-V criteria Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Quantitative EEG, Quantitative EEG Tomographic Analysis, and the Junior Temperament Character Inventory to classify ADHD only from ADHD+ODD Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.95 for the Junior Temperament Character Inventory Z-scores plus Z-spectra at the electrodes (quantitative EEG) and 0.91 for the Junior Temperament Character Inventory Z-scores plus Z-spectra at the	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			sources (quantitative EEG tomographic analysis) Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Chow, 2019 <sup>201</sup> Chow, 2019 <sup>699</sup> Case series N = 60 Taiwan Setting: N/A	Target: Female children; not taking medications at time of testing; no history of epilepsy, mental retardation, drug abuse, head injury, or psychotic disorders; diagnosis meets DSM-V criteria Other: Age-matched controls ADHD presentation: inattentive : 100 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 100% Age mean: 7.8 (2.2) for ADHD group, 8.1 (2.0) for control group Min age: Max age: Ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Clinical diagnosis from a pediatric neurologist or psychiatrist using DSM-V criteria Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG 20 minutes, eyes closed, Hjorth Mobility analysis of EEG, dataset randomly spilt into a training set and a test set ina size ratio of 9:1 and repeated 20 times. Logisitic regression classifier with principle component analysis-based feature reduction, 10 fold cross validation. Sensitivity: 80 Specificity: 80 PPV: NPV:	Index test 2: EEG 20 minutes, eyes closed, Theta/Beta ratio (TBR) of the EEG band, dataset randomly spilt into a training set and a test set ina size ratio of 9:1 and repeated 20 times. Logisitic regression classifier with principle component analysis- based feature reducti Sensitivity: 46 Specificity: 74 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 57.5 AUC: 0.633

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Other into on race or ethnicity: N/A	LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 79.2 AUC: 0.885 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: EEG 20 minutes, eyes closed, approximate entropy analysis of EEG,dataset randomly spilt into a training set and a test set ina size ratio of 9:1 and repeated 20 times . Logisitic regression classifier with principle component analysis-based feature reduction, Sensitivity: 85 85 Specificity: 82 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 82 AUC: 0.862 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 82 AUC: 0.862 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
EEG	Ekhlasi, 2022 <sup>249</sup> Case series N = 121 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD symptoms Other: Neurotypical developing children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: 9.73 (1.76) Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed by an experienced psychiatrist Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG EEG recorded during a visual attention task; weighted directed graphs constructed using the Phase Transfer Entropy measure; Naive Bayes classifier, 10-fold cross validation; Local graph measures in-degree and strength in the theta band Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 89 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Index test 2: EEG EEG recorded during a visual attention task; weighted directed graphs constructed using the Phase Transfer Entropy measure; Naive Bayes classifier, 10-fold cross validation; Feature matrix of all local graph measures (local efficiency, clustering coeffici Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 91 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Losts: Index test 3: EEG EEG recorded during a visual attention task; weighted directed graphs constructed using the Phase Transfer Entropy measure; Naive Bayes classifier, 10-fold cross validation; Feature matrix of all local graph measures (local efficiency, clustering coeffici Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 90 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: EEG EEG recorded during a visual attention task; weighted directed graphs constructed using the Phase Transfer Entropy measure; K-Nearest Neighbor classifier, 10-fold cross validation; Feature matrix of all global graph measures (global efficiency, characteri Sensitivity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Hager, 2021 <sup>309</sup> Case series N = 130 Multiple countries Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Target: Free of somatic conditions that could alternatively explain symptoms such as a diagnosed brain injury/ neurological disorder, and/or autism spectrum disorder. IQ must be &gt;=70. Patients were not excluded if they had common comorbidities such as learning disabilities, language disorders, Tourette syndrome, behavioral- and emotional disorders . Patients were not on ADHD medication when tested.</li> <li>Other: Age and gender matched typically developing children, mostly drawn from Human Brain Indicies database</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : 21,combined : 79</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Specialist</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 39%</li> <li>Age mean:</li> <li>Mean (SD): ADHD 10.52 (1.2) and Typically developing children 10 58 (1.2)</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed at three different child psychiatry outpatient clinics in Norway in accordance with DSM 5 criteria. Some patients had participated in earlier studies applying DSM IV. Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG 3 min eyes-closed condition, 3 min eyes-opened, and 20 min during a cued go/no-go task. Combined behavioral test scores from a cued visual go/no-go task and Event Related Potentials Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 98 AUC: Log10 Index AUC 0.977	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Alpha: Costs: C

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Min age: 9 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Helgadottir, 2015 <sup>318</sup> Case series N = 661 Iceland Setting: Mixed	Target: Diagnosed with ADHD and free of moderate or severe intellectual disability. No exclusions due to medication status: included medication-naïve patients, patients receiving treatment at the time of the recording , and patients on medication but not actually receiving treatment at the time of the recording. Children with comorbidities included. Recruited in two specialised centres in Reykjavik, Iceland Other: Typically developing children were reported to be free of any mental or developmental disorders by their parents and had a score of less than 1.5 SDs above the age-appropriate norm on the ADHD Rating Scale-IV recruited in three schools <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 33,hyperactive : 2,combined : 65 <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> %	Reterence standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed according to DSM-IV using the K-SADS-PL semistructured interview, performed by experienced clinicians. Timing: Concurrent Index test: EEG 3 min with eyes closed at rest. EEG coherence measures and chronological age features, statistical pattern recognition (SPR) based on support vector machines, cross-validation and separate test group. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 76 Independent test cohort, 81% cross validation	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:

idex Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
5		Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Male:female ratio 3:1 ADHD group, 1:1 for control Age mean: 9.6 years for the ADHD group and 9.5 years for the control (typically developing) group Min age: 5.8 Max age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Jahanshahloo, 2017 <sup>336</sup> Castro-Cabrera, 2010 <sup>687</sup> ; Ghasemi, 2022 <sup>764</sup> Case series N = 60 Colombia Setting: School	Target: Nothing abnormal in their physical, normal hearing/vision and and IQ of 80 or higher, those on medication were not to take medication for 24 hours before test. Comorbidities were accounted for; ODD, phobias, and learning problems. Other: Control group. All participants recruited from educational institutions of the metropolitan area of Manizales. ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Medical diagnosis determined using neurophysiological examination based on the criteria in DSM-4. Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Event-related Potential signals were recorded by three electrodes located in the midline of the head (Pz, Cz, and Fz) according to 10–20 international system in two modalities, auditory and visual, at sampling rate of 640 samples per second. Fra-wave characterization with v_SVM classifier, 10 fold cross validation. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:	Index test 2: EEG EEG event-related potentials using 3 sets of features: morphological, wavelets, and nonlinear dynamics based, best combination of features. Support vector machine (SVM) classification, leave one out cross validation <sup>687</sup> Sensitivity: 96 Specificity: 87 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 91 AUC: 0.94 Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Min age: 4 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 99 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: EEG Event- related potential (ERP) signals were recorded according to the criteria of the Oddball paradigm in two modes of auditory and visual stimulation; Deep learning classifier using the features Absolute Band Power that is normalized by maximum power (ABP Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 100 AUC: 0.9995 Rater agreement: Index text 4: EEG Event- related potential (ERP) signals were recorded according to the criteria of the Oddball paradigm in two modes of auditory and visual stimulation; Deep learning classifier using the

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		,		features Absolute Band Power that is normalized by maximum power (ABP
				Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: 0.9995
				Index text 5:
EEG	Johnstone, 2021 <sup>345</sup> Case series N = 214 China Setting: Other	Target: First-presentation, drug-naïve, full- scale IQ scores >80. No (a) diagnosis or history of head trauma with loss of consciousness, (b) history of neurological illness or other severe disease, and (c) diagnosis of schizophrenia, affective disorders, anxiety, tic disorders, pervasive developmental disorders, or mental retardation Other: Typically-developing children ADHD presentation: inattentive : 100 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18.9% Age mean: 8.85 (range 7-12) Control mean age 8.92 years (range 7-12) Min age: 7 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: % Asian : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis DSM-V diagnosis, using the KSADS Timing: Concurrent Index test: EEG Contributions to classification were from child tasks assessing working memory, inhibitory control, and task-shifting, child questionnaires, parent questionnaires including the SNAP-IV, and EEG. Stepwise discriminant function analysis, leave-one- out cross validation Sensitivity: 91 After leave- one-out cross- validation, 85% sensitivity Specificity: 94 After leave- one-out cross- validation, 92% specificity PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 93 AUC:	Index test 0: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: NPV: N

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Internal consistency reported for the Children's Report of Sleep Patterns (CRSP), Basic Psychological Needs Scale (BPNS-Child), IOWA Conners Rating Scale, Child Self-Regulation and Behaviour Questionnaire (CSBQ), and modified version of the Basic Psychol Alpha: unclear Test-retest: CRSP (all subscales) r >0.80, EQ-5D-Y: moderate test-retest, 69-99%; IOWA Conners Rating Scale: "good" test- retest Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Khoshnoud, 2018 <sup>359</sup> Case series N = 24 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Right-handed Other: Healthy age-matched right-handed children ADHD presentation: N/A : included hyperactive-impulsive, inattentive, and combined subtypes Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: %	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed with ADHD at Atieh Comprehensive Centre for Psychology and Nerve Disorders, Tehran, Iran Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Eyes-closed resting EEG (19 channels) analysed using nonlinear analysis metrics. Three measures of nonlinear dynamics: the largest Lyapunov exponent, approximate entropy, and the	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		N/A Age mean: N/A Min age: 7 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	height and width of the multifractal singularity spectrum of the EEG time series. Classification using support vector machine (SVM) classifier, 4 fold cross validation. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 83 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
EEG	Kim, 2015 <sup>362</sup> Case series N = 97 Korea Setting: Specialty care	Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity Target: Attending a camp for hyperactive children; IQ>70; no brain damage, a neurological disorder, a genetic disorder, substance dependence, epilepsy or any other mental disorder; not receiving drug treatment Other: Children who exhibited no abnormalities based on the DISC-IV criteria and who had no personal history of any psychological disorder or accompanying disease ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 13% Age mean: 10.16 (1.90) for ADHD group, and 9.62 (1.72) for control group Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         ADHD diagnosis was based on a Korean         version of the Diagnostic Interview         Schedule for Children Version IV (DISC-IV),         and was confirmed by multiple child and         adolescent psychiatrists         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: EEG Theta-phase gamma-         amplitude coupling         Sensitivity:         Specificity:         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR:         Accuracy: 72         AUC:         Rater agreement:         Kappa:         ICC:         Internal consistency:         Alpha:         Test-retest:         Costs:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4:
			Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
EEG	Kim, 2015 <sup>361</sup> Case series N = 157 Korea Setting: Other	Target: IQ>70; no brain damage, neurological disorders, genetic disorders, substance dependence, epilepsy or any other mental disorder reported during a personal history and anamnesis; not on mediation; children diagnosed with ADHD-not otherwise specified were excluded from the study Other: Children with no Korean version of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children diagnosis and no personal history of psychological disorder or accompanying disease ADHD presentation: inattentive : 42,hyperactive : 24,combined : 34,N/A : Children diagnosed with ADHD-not otherwise specified were excluded from the study Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 19% Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD diagnosis was based on a Korean version of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children Version IV (DISC- IV), and diagnoses were confirmed by more than one child and adolescent psychiatrists Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Quantitative electroencephalography Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 61% for the delta power and 56% for the theta wave AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Index test 2: CPT Integrated Visual and Auditory Continuous Performance Test Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 82% for commission error, and 79% for omission error AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
	4: 000536	9.25 (1.63) for the ADHD group, 9.56 (1.98) for the control group <b>Min age: Max age:</b> <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Case series N = 113 China Setting: Specialty care	Hyperactivity Department of the Central Hospital of Anshan City diagnosed with ADHD; excluding those with nervous system organic disease, pervasive developmental disorder, mental retardation, epilepsy, psychotic disorder, acoustical and visual abnormalities <b>Other:</b> Outpatient children in Psychology Hyperactivity Department of the Central Hospital of Anshan City not diagnosed with ADHD <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 22.1%	Diagnosed with ADHD according to DSM-IV criteria Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Sensitivity: 84 Specificity: 83 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3:

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Multiple publications:	Study target	Index test	
e	Study design:	ADHD presentation:	Diagnostic accuracy:	
۲.	Study size;	Diagnosis;	Rater agreement;	
Г×	Location	Comorbidity;	Other outcomes	
abr		% Female;		
-		Age mean;		
		Minimum age;		
		Fthnicity		
		Age mean: 10 (3)	ICC:	Sensitivity:
		Min age: 6 Max age: 14	Internal consistency:	Specificity:
		Ethnicity:	Alpha:	PPV:
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Test vetest	
			Costs:	Accuracy:
			60313.	AUC:
			Misdiagnosis:	Rater agreement:
			Labeling:	
			Costs:	Index text 4:
				Sensitivity:
				NPV <sup>.</sup>
				AUC:
	11.0010295			Index text 5:
	Li, 2018 <sup>383</sup>	larget: IQ>=80. Free of other neurological	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2: EEG
	Case series	corrected vision, recruited from Changzhou	Mental Disorders	Simon-spatial Stroop task
	N = 141	NO.1 people's hospital affiliated with Suzhou	Timing: Prior diagnosis	Multiple event-related potential
	China	university		(ERP) feature channels
	Setting: Mixed	Other: Typically developing children	Index test: EEG EEG signals collected	combining time domain and
(")	-	ADHD presentation: N/A	during a Simon-spatial Stroop task. Multiple	frequency domain features. K-
Ш		Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR	channels combining time domain and	classifier
			frequency domain features. Support vector	00000000
			machine (SVM) classifier.	Sensitivity:
			Sensitivity	
		Age mean: 8.7	Specificity:	NPV:
		Min age: 7 Max age: 12	PPV:	LR+:
		Ethnicity:	NPV:	Accuracy: 95

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 97 Stroop Incongruent experiment pattern on feature channel in inferior parietal cortex using multiple features to train the support vector machine classifier. AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: EEG EEG signals collected during a Simon-spatial Stroop task. Multiple event- related potential (ERP) feature channels combining time domain and frequency domain features. BP neural network classifier. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 94 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 94 AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
EEG	Liechti, 2013 <sup>388</sup> Case series N = 62 Switzerland Setting: Other	Target: IQ >=80; medication free or suspended treatment at least 48 hours before testing Other: Typically developing children matched on age, gender, and IQ ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 37.5% Age mean: 11.1 (2.1) for ADHD group, 11.2 (2.1) for control group Min age: 8 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisADHD combined subtype (DSM-IV) werediagnosed using the clinical diagnosticinterview PACS (parental account ofchildren's symptoms) plus Conners' teacherrating scale—revisedTiming: Prior diagnosisIndex test: EEG Topographic 48-channelresting electroencephalogramSensitivity: 72 Stepwise selection of allresting EEG and event related potentialvariablesSpecificity: 73 Stepwise selection of allresting EEG and event related potentialvariablesPPV:NPV:LR+:LR-:Accuracy: 73 Stepwise selection of allresting EEG and event related potential	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

	Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	AUC: Rater agreement:	AUC:
		AUC: Rater agreement:	AUC:
		Rater agreement:	
		Kappa: ICC:	Rater agreement: Index text 4:
		Internal consistency: Alpha:	Sensitivity: Specificity:
		Test-retest: Costs:	NPV: AUC:
		Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	Index text 5:
		Costs:	
Luo, 2022 <sup>399</sup> Case series V = 161 China Setting: Specialty care	Target: Enrolled from Peking University Sixth Hospital in Beijing; IQ>80 Other: Age and sex-matched controls recruited from communitites in Beijing ADHD presentation: inattentive : 51,combined : 49 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20% Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed by a qualified psychiatris using the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children (K-SADS) Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Resting-state eye-closed EEG; microstate features (temporal microstate dynamics) and delta and TBR power components entered into the algorithm, support vector machine with	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:
	12.0 (1.71) for the ADHD group, 11.6 (1.81) for the control group <b>Min age:</b> 8 <b>Max age:</b> 15 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	recursive feature elimination (SVM-RFE), 5- fold cross-validation Sensitivity: 67 Specificity: 76 PPV: NPV:	Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity:
Ch Se ar	ina tting: Specialty e	ina tting: Specialty re ADHD presentation: inattentive : 51,combined : 49 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20% Age mean: 12.0 (1.71) for the ADHD group, 11.6 (1.81) for the control group Min age: 8 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	ina tting: Specialty re ADHD presentation: inattentive : 51,combined : 49 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20% Age mean: 12.0 (1.71) for the ADHD group, 11.6 (1.81) for the control group Min age: 8 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A Image: 8 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A Image: 8 Max age: 15

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 73 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Marcano, 2018 <sup>402</sup> Case series N = 7 US Setting: Other	Target: Children part of an ongoing longitudinal study focused on frontal lobe development from infancy through childhood diagnosed with ADHD and on medication Other: Children part of an ongoing longitudinal study focused on frontal lobe development from infancy through childhood without a diagnosis of ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean: N/A	Reference standard: Other Diagnosis of ADHD was obtained via maternal report Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG EEG data collected during the child version of the Attention Network Task; classification using a Universal Background Model, sample split with 4 participants for training (2 ADHD, 2 control) and 3 for validation (2 ADHD, 1 control) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Min age: 6 Max age: 6 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.97 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Markovska-Simoska, 2017 <sup>403</sup> Case series N = 60 Macedonia Setting: Specialty care	Target: All male, right handed with no serious medical or neurological problems like seizures, or recent head trauma<6 months; not on psychostimulants Other: Age-matched children selected from the Human Brain Index (HBI) database ADHD presentation: N/A : No subtypes in the article Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Children diagnosed by Neuropsychologist, pediatrician and clinical psychologist plus Conners Rating Scale for teachers and parents Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG 5 minute eyes open resting state EEG, absolute theta central Sensitivity: 100 Specificity: 71 PPV: NPV:	Index test 2: EEG 5 minute eyes open resting state EEG, theta/beta ratio at Cz Sensitivity: 59 Specificity: 92 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.810 Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		9 (2.44) for ADHD group, 10.46 (2.27) for control group Min age: 6 Max age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.876 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

ex Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
pul		Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
EEG	Martín-Brufau, 2017 <sup>405</sup> Case series N = 50 Spain Setting: Specialty care	Target: EEG records from children with typical ADHD symptomatology Other: EEG records from sex-matched typically developing children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: % Reports subjects matched by sex, no other information Age mean: N/A Min age: 6 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Diagnosed with ADHD         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: EEG Eyes-closed resting EEG.         Direct analysis of EEG specific montages         performed by untrained individuals in EEG         interpretation.         Sensitivity:         Specificity:         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR-:         Accuracy:         AUC: 0.868 Acheived by 55.5% of the         untrained individuals (p<0.01). AUC = 0.726         (p>0.05) for the remaining 44.5%.         Rater agreement:         Kappa:         ICC:         Internal consistency:         Alpha:         Test-retest:         Costs:         Misdiagnosis:         Labeling:	Index test 2: EEG Eyes-closed resting EEG analyzed by the Theta/ Beta Ratio method after decomposition with the Fast Fourier Transformation. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.929 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: EEG Eyes-closed resting EEG analyzed with the Delta + Theta / Alpha index obtained by visual position decomposition-Verley method. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:
			Costs:	LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				AUC: 0.917 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Moghaddari, 2020 <sup>426</sup> National Brain Mapping Lab, 2019 <sup>915</sup> ; Mohammadi, 2016 <sup>898</sup> ; Allahverdy, 2016 <sup>650</sup> ; Sho'ouri, 2022 <sup>1029</sup> Case series N = 61 Iran Setting: Other	Target: Children with ADHD; taking ritalin for up to 6 months Other: Healthy children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29% Age mean: 9.64 (1.73) for ADHD group, 9.85 (1.77) for control group Min age: 7 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Child and adolescent psychiatrist determined diagnosis - using criteria from DSM-IV Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG EEG recording was performed according to the international 10–20 standard using 19 channels with reference electrodes located on earlobes while participants were doing a continuous mental task for four minutes at 512Hz. Frequency band separation making RGB images with three channels, deep learning convolution neural networks (CNN) classifier, 5 fold cross validation, subject- based test sample. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:	Index test 2: EEG Non linear functions were extracted from EEG, and the data was selected as inputs to the multi-layer perceptron neural network using double input symmetrical relevance and the minimum redundancy maximum relevance to select best features for distinguishing Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 94 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 98 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: EEG EEG data, multilayer perceptron neural network as a classifier with one hidden layer by 5 neurons, the output function of the neural network was sigmoidal function, features extracted from the frontal region of scalp EEG <sup>650</sup> Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 97 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Other : EOG signals Electrooculogram signals; approximate entropy and Petrosian's fractal dimension features, support vector machine classification, 10-fold cross validation structure, only 10 samples from the control group were used to train the SVM and 117 samples were use

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Sensitivity: 85 Specificity: 79 PPV: NPV: AUC: 0.82 Index text 5:
EEG	Muthuraman, 2019 <sup>438</sup> Case series N = 22 Germany Setting: N/A	Target: All male, right handed, with normal or corrected-to-normal vision. (I) ADHD without conduct disorders or tic disorders as diagnosed by an experienced child and adolescent psychiatrist; (II) No other neuropsychiatric as well as no documented comorbidities in a structured psychiatric interview 'Kinder- DIPS'29; (III) Sufficient compliance of child and family; (IV) Normal school achievement; (V) IQ>85; and (VI) No MEG exclusion criteria (i.e. ferromagnetic body objects, or a history of claustrophobia). Medication was stopped at least 48 h before recordings. Other: Male age-matched non-ADHD controls ADHD presentation: N/A : All ADHD children met the criteria for combined type or hyperactive-impulsive type Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis The diagnosis of ADHD was supported by the parents' version of a German adaptive Diagnostic Checklist for ADHD (FBB- ADHD)31,32 and by the psychiatric interview 'Kinder-DIPS' Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Multimodal electroencephalography (EEG): Eyes closed, resting state. 56 channels were selected from 61 equidistantly placed scalp Ag–AgCl electrodes using a standard cap sampled with 1200 Hz. Support vector machine (SVM) classifier using renormalized partial directed coherence, temporal partial directed coherence, source power, and source coherence parameters and all five frequency bands (delta, theta, alpha, beta, and gamma). 10-fold cross validation. Sensitivity: Specificity:	Index test 2: EEG Multimodal magnetoencephalography (MEG): Eyes closed, resting state recordings were performed using a whole-head system at a sampling rate of 1200Hz in a synthetic third- order gradiometer configuration. Support vector machine (SVM) classifier using renorm Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 97 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age:	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		13.1 (1.8) and 13.2 (1.5) Min age: 10 Max age: 17	PPV: NPV:	Alpha: Costs:
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 98	Index test 3:
			AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+:
			Internal consistency: Alpha:	Accuracy: AUC:
			Test-retest: Costs:	Rater agreement:
			Misdiagnosis:	Index text 4:
			Labeling:	Sensitivity: Specificity:
			Costs:	PPV: NPV: AUC:
				Index text 5:
EEG	Ogrim, 2012 <sup>453</sup> Case series N = 101 Norway Setting: Mixed	Target: IQ>=70 Other: Normal gender and age-matched controls with no psychiatric diagnosis, developmental disorders, learning disability, or brain injury ADHD presentation: inattentive : 32,combined . 68	<b>Reference standard:</b> Clinical diagnosis Diagnoses were according to DSM IV-TR and accepted clinical guidelines. A senior neuropsychologist, pediatrician, and a clinical psychologist were responsible for diagnostic conclusions Timing: Prior diagnosis	Index test 2: CPT,EF Go/NoGo task recording omission and commission errors, reaction time, and variability of response Sensitivity: Specificity:
		Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A	Index test: EEG Quantitative EEG Sensitivity:	PPV: NPV: LR+:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Age mean: 11 (3) Min age: 7 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 63% for theta, 58% for theta/beta ratio AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Accuracy: 85 For omission errors AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: AuC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
DEE	OOztoprak, 2017 <sup>430</sup> Case series N = 108 Turkey Setting: N/A	Target: Male unmedicated first referrals, not using drug therapy, all without comorbidities, and without uncorrected visual or hearing defects. IQ range 90-129 Other: Male age-matched healthy controls ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean: N/A Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD-C subtype was diagnosed using the DSM-IV Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Event-related potentials (ERPs) extracted from EEG recordings during performance of Stroop task. Electrodes located according to the 10–10 system (reference: combined mastoids). Feature extraction using the Time- Frequency Hermite Atomizer technique, and classification by support vector machine with recursive feature elimination (SVM RFE). 5-fold cross validation. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 100 Test dataset, N=10. Training dataset: 99.5% with the use of 5 features. AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index text 5:
EEG	Pereda, 2018 <sup>461</sup> Gonzalez, 2013 <sup>767</sup> Case series N = 33 Spain Setting: Specialty care	Target: All males with combined type ADHD Other: Male children of hospital staff ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% 100% male Age mean: 8(0.3) for ADHD group, 8.1 (0.48) for control group Min age: 6 Max age: 10 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis DSM-IV criteria of ADHD combined type or ICD-10 criteria of Hyperkinetic Disorder Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG 1.5 hour eyes open and eyes closed resting-state EEG recordings at 256 Hz, international 10/20 extended system, 8 channles. Functional connectivity pattern using phase locking value (PLV) phase synchronisation from dataset including the 5 most stationary segments, population-based Scatter Search algorithm, and K2 and Hill Climbing search strategies in Bayesian Network Classifier. Cross validation. Sensitivity: 95 Specificity: 93 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 94	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4:
			Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Rezaeezadeh, 2020 <sup>482</sup> Case series N = 24 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Patients of Atieh Comprehensive Centre for Psychology and Nerve Disorders, Tehran, IranOther: Age-matched neurotypical childrenADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosed by: Unclear/NRComorbidity: N/AFemale: %N/AAge mean: NAMin age: 7 Max age: 12Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Diagnosed with ADHD AT Atieh         Comprehensive Centre for Psychology and         Nerve Disorders, Tehran, Iran         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: EEG Resting state eyes closed         EEG, classification by Radial Basis Function         support vector machine (RBF SVM) based         on a combination of non-linear univariate         features, 75/25 training/testing split         rearranged randomly 20 times for validation         Sensitivity:         Specificity:         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR-:	Index test 2: EEG Resting state eyes closed EEG, classification by probabilistic neural network (PNN) based on brain regions using multivariate features, 75/25 training/testing split rearranged randomly 20 times for validation Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 90.63 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Accuracy: 99.58 AUC:	Internal consistency: Alpha:
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Costs: Index test 3:
			Internal consistency: Alpha:	Sensitivity: Specificity:
			Test-retest: Costs:	NPV: LR+:
			Misdiagnosis:	Accuracy: AUC:
			Costs:	Rater agreement:
				Index text 4:
				Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:
				Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
EEG	Smith, 2003 <sup>535</sup> Case series N = 150 Australia Setting: Mixed	Target: Children and adolescents referred to a private ADHD clinic; comorbidities excluded, all drug naive prior to testing Other: Children and adolescents recruited from the local community and reported by their parents to be free of psychiatric and neurological disorders ADHD presentation: inattentive : 50,combined : 50 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % Male:Female ratio 4:1 Age mean: Min age: 8 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosis made by an experienced psychologist using DSM-IV criteria and confirmed by an independent pediatrician who was blind to the participant's status; Connoers' Parent and Teacher Rating Scales, the Child Behavior Checklist, and a developmental inter Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Event-related potential data collected using EEG while participants completed two blocks of an auditory odd- ball task; discriminant function analysis using 7 variables; leave-one-out cross- validation; children 8-12 years old Sensitivity: 71 Specificity: 77 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 73 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs:	Index test 2: EEG Event-related potential data collected using EEG while participants completed two blocks of an auditory odd-ball task; discriminant function analysis using 4 variables; leave-one-out cross-validation; adolescents 13-18 years old Sensitivity: 57 Specificity: 63 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 59 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Misdiagnosis:	Rater agreement:
			Labeling:	Index text 4:
			Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:
	Snyder 2008 <sup>537</sup>	<b>Target:</b> Presented to one of four psychiatric	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index text 5: Index test 2: Combined rating
EEG	Case series N = 159 US Setting: Specialty care	and pediatric clinics because of the suspected presence of attention and behavior problems; diagnosed with ADHD; 66% had comorbidities; study required medication wash out (>72 hours) so patients stabilized by multiple medications and individuals on non-stimulants directed toward conditions other than ADHD were excluded Other: Children diagnosed with disorders other than ADHD or no diagnosis ADHD presentation: inattentive : 43,hyperactive : 5,combined : 52 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 36% in entire sample Age mean: 10.5 (3.4) Min age: 6 Max age: 18	Performed by clinical connical diagnosis Performed by clinical interview (Kiddie Schedule of Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia -Present and Lifetime Version) including the supplements for behavioral disorders, affective disorders, and anxiety disord Timing: Concurrent <b>Index test:</b> EEG Eyes-open and eyes- closed resting state EEG (N= 159); theta/beta ratio, compared to normative database values with ADHD predicted at a standard deviation cutoff of 1.5 Sensitivity: 87 Specificity: 94 PPV: 95 NPV: 82 LR+: LR-:	ADHD Rating Scales-IV (N=101) Sensitivity: 55 Specificity: 43 PPV: 63 NPV: 36 LR+: Accuracy: 50 AUC: Rater agreement: Parent versus teacher ratings Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Combined rating Conners Rating Scales-Revised (N=103)

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		% Hispanic or Latino : 3 % Black/African American : 37 % Asian : 1 % White : 59 Other info on race or ethnicity:	AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: 72 72 Specificity: 19 PPV: 62 NPV: 27 LR+: Accuracy: 53 AUC: Rater agreement: Parent versus teacher ratings Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
EEG	Snyder, 2015 <sup>26</sup> Case series N = 275 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Children and adolescents consecutively presenting with attentional and behavior concerns to 13 geographically distinct clinics who were diagnosed with ADHD by reference standard; participants needed to be willing to stop medication; IQ>=70; no history of seizure disorder, EEG abnormalities, or anticonvulsant use for seizure control; metal plate or device in the head; suicidal ideation or gesture and/or homicidal ideation or gesture; and known serious medical problems <b>Other:</b> Children and adolescents consecutively presenting with attentional and behavior concerns to 13 geographically distinct clinics	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Multidisciplinary team consensus diagnosis comprised a clinical psychologist, a neurodevelopmental pediatrician, and a child/adolescent psychiatrist using DSM- IV- TR criteria and AACAP practice parameters Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: EEG Combination of theta/beta ratio (TBR) from EEG with a clinician's regular ADHD evaluation. Ten minute eyes open resting-state EEG. Clinical evaluation included: (1) Physical examinations, (2) Clinician interviews, with initial impressions	Index test 2: Clinician rating scale Clinician ADHD evaluation only Sensitivity: 89 (83, 93) Specificity: 36 (29, 44) PPV: 56 NPV: 79 LR+: Accuracy: 61 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:
Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
------------	---	---	---	--
		who were not diagnosed with ADHD by reference standard ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 36% Age mean: 10.1 (2.9) Min age: 6 Max age: 17.99 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 4 % Black/African American : 17 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 2 % Asian : 1 % White : 73 Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A : 4	and reference to DSM-IV-TR criteria, (3) Kiddie-Schedule of Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia–Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADSPL) and Supplements with interviewer notes, (4) Children's Global Assessment Scale, (5) Clinical Global Impression-Severity subscale, (6) ADHD-IV Rating Scales completed by investigator with parent informant and 1–2 teachers, (7) Wechsler Abbreviated Scale for Intelligence-long version, (8) Wide Range Achievement Test-4, (9) Questionnaires on socioeconomic status, education and family histories, and (10) any further testing if deemed necessary by the clinician on a patient-by-patient basis. Clinician's diagnostic conclusions were summarized as "positive", "negative" or "uncertain" for ADHD. EEG result categories were labeled for reference as "low", "moderate", or "high" for TBR level. Sensitivity: 82 (74, 87) Specificity: 94 (89, 97) PPV: 92 NPV: 85 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 88 AUC: Rater agreement: Theta/Beta ratio repeated measures collected on two different visits	Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			(n=198). ICC model chosen was two-way, random, single-measure, consistency Kappa: ICC: 0.83 Internal consistency: Alpha:	
			Test-retest: Costs:	
			Misdiagnosis: Missing data analysis was conducted to evaluate the 32 subjects who did not have "complete" EEG recordings, by which "complete" refers to a quality standard set prior to study initiation requiring at least 15 epochs (30 sec) with minimal to no artifact. O	
			Labeling:	
EEG	Vahid, 2019 <sup>580</sup> Case series N = 144 Germany Setting: N/A	Target: No other severe or acute psychiatric comorbidities (e.g., autism, tics, depressive episode, etc.). Either diagnosed as ADD (ICD- 10 F9838) or ADHD (ICD-10 F90.0 or F90.1) Other: Healthy control children ADHD presentation: inattentive : 52,inattentive_other : Referred to as ADD in study,combined : 48,combined_other : Referred to as ADHD in study Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22%	Costs:Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisStandard clinical guidelines by child/adolescent psychiatrists using family, school interviews and IQ, attention testing, and questionnaires Timing: Prior diagnosisIndex test: EEG Event-related EEG recording during an interval-timing task, deep learning (EEGNet) classifer, leave one out subject (LOOS) cross validation, 2 class problem classification ADHD inattentive type from healthy control	Index test 2: EEG Event-related EEG recording during an interval-timing task, deep learning (EEGNet) classifer, leave one out subject (LOOS) cross validation, 2 class problem classification ADHD combined type from healthy control Sensitivity: 83 Specificity: 82 PPV: NPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Age mean: 10.9 (2.4) for ADD group, 10.6 (1.9) for ADHD- combined group, 11.3 (2.2) for control group Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Sensitivity: 89 Specificity: 84 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 83 2 class classification AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	LR+: Accuracy: 80 2 class classification AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: EEG Event- related EEG recording during an interval-timing task, deep learning (EEGNet) classifer, leave one out subject (LOOS) cross validation, 3 class problem classification ADHD inattentive type, ADHD combined type, healthy control Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 69 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Imaging	Bansal, 2012 <sup>27</sup> Case series N = 83 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with no lifetime diagnosis of Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, Tourette Syndrom or Tic disorder, and no premature birth (gestation <=36 weeks); recruited through the general outpatient clinic at the Yale Child Study Center or through advertisements with a local chapter of ChADD (Children with Attention Deficit Disorder) Other: Healthy children with no lifetime or current DSM-IV Axis 1 or 2 disorder; IQ>=80 ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 19.5% Age mean: 12.6 (3.18) for ADHD group, 10.5 (2.43) healthy children Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed with ADHD, diagnostic assessments were supplemented using the Conners ADHD Parent, Teacher Rating Scales, and the DuPaul-Barkley ADHD rating scale Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging Anatomical MRI brain imaging; semi-supervised: applied leave- one-out cross validation to select a set of features that differed significantly between groups of individuals who were already clinically diagnosed, and then we applied hierarchical clustering to the feature vectors to discover naturalistic groupings of individuals in the dataset; 10 independent split-half replication analyses and leave- one-out cross-validation Sensitivity: 94 ADHD children from healthy children Specificity: 89 ADHD children from healthy	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Accuracy: Alpha: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Accura

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			PPV: 89 NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Imaging	Chen, 2020 <sup>195</sup> Wang, 2018 <sup>1120</sup> ; Wang, 2018 <sup>1121</sup> Case series N = 86 China Setting: Other	Target: ADHD-200 dataset, Peking University subset 1 only (PU_1) Other: Healthy controls ADHD presentation: N/A : Dataset includes all subtypes Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 42% Age mean: N/A Min age: 8 Max age: 17	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD-200 Dataset Diagnosis Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging fMRI resting-state functional connectivity, feature selection via support vector machine with recursive feature elimination (SVM-RFE), deep learning dual subspace classification algorithm (binary hypothesis testing), leave one out cross-validation Sensitivity: 100 Range 69%-95% [Subset Analysis]	Index test 2: Imaging Raw features derived from the temporal variability between intrinsic connectivity networks as well as demographic and covariate variables, model based on the support vector machines (SVMs), leave-one- out cross-validation and 10- folds cross-validations; be Sensitivity: 76 Specificity: 81 PPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Specificity: 100 Range 82%-96% [Subset Analysis] PPV: Range 81%-92% [Subset Analysis] NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 99.6 AUC: 0.996 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 79 AUC: 0.84 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Imaging Individual interregional morphological connectivity, support vector machine classification, leave one out cross validation <sup>1121</sup> Sensitivity: 75 75 Specificity: 74 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 75 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 75 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
Imaging	Crippa, 2017 <sup>215</sup> Case series N = 44 Italy Setting: Mixed	Target: IQ>80 with normal or corrected-to- normal vision and not taking any medication. Other: Gender, age, and IQ matched typically developing children with no DSM-4 diagnoses recruited by local pediatricians and from schools ADHD presentation: inattentive : 18.2,hyperactive : 36.4,combined : 45.5 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean: 11.5 (1.5) for ADHD group, 11.4 (1.9) for comparison group Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: % White : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosis of ADHD based on DSM-IV TR Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging Multi-domain profile of measures including blood fatty acid profiles, neuropsychological measures, and functional measures from near-infrared spectroscopy. Feature extraction using principal components analysis, support vector machine (SVM) classifier, nested 10- fold cross validation. Model with best accuracy trained on neuropsychological, fatty acid profiles, and deoxygenated- hemoglobin features. Sensitivity: 73 Model containing cognitive profile, fatty acid profile, and near-infrared spectroscopy deoxygenated-hemoglobin Specificity: 87 Model containing cognitive profile, fatty acid profile, and near-infrared spectroscopy deoxygenated-hemoglobin Specificity: 87 Model containing cognitive profile, fatty acid profile, and near-infrared spectroscopy deoxygenated-hemoglobin Specificity: 87 Model containing cognitive profile, fatty acid profile, and near-infrared spectroscopy deoxygenated-hemoglobin Specificity: 87 Model containing cognitive profile, fatty acid profile, and near-infrared spectroscopy deoxygenated-hemoglobin SPEV: NPV:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 81 Model containing cognitive profile, fatty acid profile, and near-infrared spectroscopy deoxygenated-hemoglobin AUC: 0.80 Model containing cognitive profile, fatty acid profile, and near-infrared spectroscopy deoxygenated-hemoglobin Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Imaging	Gao, 2020 <sup>283</sup> Bethlehem, 2017 <sup>669</sup> ; Qureshi, 2016 <sup>958</sup> ; Qureshi, 2017 <sup>959</sup> ; Riaz, 2018 <sup>970</sup> ; Miao, 2019 <sup>889</sup> ; Zou, 2017 <sup>1158</sup> ; Dey, 2014 <sup>715</sup> Case series N = 83 US	Target: Children age 8-13, ADHD-200database, Kennedy Krieger Institute (KKI)Other: Typically developing childrenADHD presentation: N/A : All subtypesincludedDiagnosed by: Unclear/NRComorbidity: N/AFemale: 45%Age mean:N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed with ADHD from the ADHD-200 datasets Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging Combination of functional connectivity from resting state fMRI and phenotypic data (phenotypic- attribute attentional brain connectivity, age, and gender), support vector machine (SVM)	Index test 2: Imaging Fusion of fMRI and non- imaging data, functional connectivity calculation, feature selection, fusion of non-imaging data (age, gender, IQ), and classification, SVM classifier <sup>970</sup> Sensitivity: 90 Specificity: 77 PPV: NPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
	Setting: Other	Min age: 8 Max age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	classification. Used ADHD-200 provided KKI test dataset for validation Sensitivity: 93 Specificity: 95 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 95 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	LR+: Accuracy: 87 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Imaging Fractional amplitude of low- frequency fluctuation reflecting intensity of spontaneous neuronal activity combined with feature selection on fMRI <sup>889</sup> Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 82 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Imaging Deep learning-based classification method via 3-D convolutional neural networks applied to MRI, first extracting meaningful 3-D low-level features from fMRI and structural MRI (sMRI),

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age:	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Maximum age; Ethnicity		
				investigating local spatial patterns of MRI features, multi- modality co Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Imaging	Hasaneen, 2017 <sup>315</sup> Case series N = 35 Egypt Setting: Specialty care	Target: IQ<=80; no comorbid neuropsychiatric disorders Other: Age and sex matched healthy children recruited from ADHD patient's relatives ADHD presentation: inattentive : 41.2,combined : 58.8 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.4% Age mean: 8.38 (1.78) Min age: 6 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Diagnosis completed using examination         with criteria of fourth edition of DSM.         Physical and neurological exams completed         by trained pediatric neurologist         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: Imaging T2*-MRI used to         assess brain Iron content levels, and R2*         value calculated (Transverse relaxation         rates-T2*, or its inverse R2*)         Sensitivity: 71         Specificity: 94         PPV: 92         NPV: 77         LR+:         LR-:         Accuracy: 82.9         AUC: 0.863         Rater agreement:         Kappa:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Sensitivity: Specificity

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			ICC:	AUC:
			Internal consistency: Alpha:	Rater agreement:
			Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Buigging	Lin, 2023 <sup>392</sup> Zhou, 2021 <sup>1153</sup> Case series N = 7,805 US Setting: Other	Target: U.S. population-based cohort from longitudinal Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development (ABCD) study 3.0 release Other: U.S. population-based cohort from longitudinal Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development (ABCD) study 3.0 release ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Researcher Comorbidity: N/A Female: 36% Age mean: 9.9 (0.6) Min age: 8 Max age: 11 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 20 % Black/African American : 14 % Asian : 2 % White : 55 % Multiracial : 8,Other : Mixed/Others	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Parent Diagnostic Interview scales for the Kiddie-Schedule for affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS) from the ABCD database Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging Neuroimaging features selected from multimodal MRI data (resting- state fMRI, structural MRI, and diffusion MRI); RIDGE regularized logistic regression feature selection, extreme gradient boosting (XGB) classifier; 4:1 training/ testing split with 5 repeats of 10-fold cross validation; N=1,561 in validation test set Sensitivity: 57 Specificity: 65 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-:	Index test 2: Imaging Combined clinical features (age, sex, race, highest parental education, and handedness) and neuroimaging features selected from multimodal MRI data (resting- state fMRI, structural MRI, and diffusion MRI); Hierarchical Clustering feature selection, Support Sensitivity: 60 Specificity: 56 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.613 Rater agreement: Kappa:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Undetermined <1%	Accuracy: AUC: 0.576 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Imaging Integration of multimodal features of structural and functional MRIs and Diffusion Tensor Images, Boruta based feature selection, multiple kernel learning, and support vector machine classifier, 10- fold cross validation and repeated nested 5-fold cross v Sensitivity: 61 61 Specificity: 68 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 64 AUC: 0.698 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 64 AUC: 0.698 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
	Diam 2020483	Maximum age; Ethnicity	Deference etcadende Olivical diamacia	Index fact 2: Incoring
Imaging	Riaz, 2020 <sup>483</sup> Riaz, 2018 <sup>971</sup> ; Itani, 2019 <sup>823</sup> ; Sun, 2020 <sup>1057</sup> ; Itani, 2018 <sup>822</sup> Case series N = 222 US Setting: Other	Target: Children 7-18, New York University medical center dataset (NYU) from ADHD-200 dataset Other: Healthy children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 35% Age mean: N/A Min age: 7 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD-200 dataset Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging End-to-end deep learning model using pre-processed fMRI time-series signals. Used ADHD-200 provided NYU test set for validation. Sensitivity: 66 Specificity: 92 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 73 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 2: Imaging Decision tree machine learning predictive models based on phenotypic characteristics and resting-state functional Magnetic Resonance Images, validated using test set <sup>823</sup> Sensitivity: 79 Specificity: 58 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 73 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Imaging Whole- brain resting-state functional connectivity patterns, support vector machine (SVM) classification, leave one out cross validation <sup>1057</sup> Sensitivity: 82 82 Specificity: 88 PPV: NPV: LR+:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Accuracy: 85 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Imaging Computer-aided diagnosis, multi-level decision tree <sup>822</sup> Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Imaging	Schirmer, 2021 <sup>506</sup> Case series N = 100 US Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> 25 children with primary diagnosis of Autism spectrum disorder who met diagnostic criteria for ADHD and 25 children with ADHD in test set. Part of The Connectomics in NeuroImaging Transfer Learning Challenge using data amassed retrospectively across multiple studies conducted by the Center for Neurodevelopmental and Imaging andResearch (CNIR) at the Kennedy Krieger Institute (KKI) in Baltimore, MD. Considered high-functioning based on having a full-scale IQ at or above the normal range. <b>Other:</b> Age and full-scale IQ matched neurotypical controls with no immediate family members diagnosed with ADHD or autism spectrum disorder <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnostic Interview for Children and Adolescents (DICA-IV), Fourth Edition or the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS) for School- Aged Children-Present and Lifetime Version, in addition Conners' Parent or Teacher Rating Sca Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging fMRI, resting state, support vector machines (SVMs), linear regression (I1 or I2 regularization), random forest, k-nearest neighbor, and naive Bayes classifiers Sensitivity: 95 75% in test set. False negative rate ranged from 0.05 to 0.3, false discovery rate ranged from 0.16 to 0.33	Index test 2: Imaging fMRI, resting state, Tangent Pearson connectivity, SVM trained regularized by the statistical independence between the classifier decision scores and 3 types of demographic information: gender, age, and handedness score Sensitivity: 75 50% in test set Specificity: 70 50% in test set PPV: 71 NPV: 74 LR+: Accuracy: 73 53% in test set AUC: 0.85 0.54 in test set

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Maximum age; Ethnicity Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: Other : 25 children with primary diagnosis of Autism spectrum disorder who met diagnostic criteria for ADHD in test set,N/A Female: 28% Age mean: 10.4 (1.3) Min age: 8 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Specificity: 55 25% in test set PPV: 68 50% in test set NPV: 92 50% in test set LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 75 50% in test set AUC: 0.73 0.48 in test set Rater agreement: Matthews correlation coefficient 0.55 in validation set Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Rater agreement:         Matthews correlation coefficient         0.45 in validation set         Kappa:         Internal consistency:         Alpha:         Costs:         Index test 3: Imaging fMRI,         resting state, mean and         standard deviation, Pearson         correlation, Tangent,         covariance, and Tangent         Pearson         Sensitivity: 80 80         Specificity: 85         PPV: 84         NPV: 81         LR+:         Accuracy: 83         AUC: 0.89         Rater agreement:         Matthews correlation         coefficient 0.65 in validation set         Index text 4: Imaging fMRI,         resting state, long short-term         memory network was used,         AAL ROIs were first selected         based on consistent

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				between ADHD and controls in bootstrapped samples, time- series from these ROIs were input to an LSTM, with the demograp Sensitivity: 70 Specificity: 65 PPV: 67 NPV: 68 AUC: 0.72 Index text 5:
Imaging	Serrallach, 2016 <sup>512</sup> Case series N = 147 Germany Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Target: Part of a larger longitudinal project addressing the effects of musical practice on the brain and cognition from the primary school age to adolescence; ADHD group broken into separate categories, ADHD and ADD</li> <li>Other: Age matched healthy children, children with dyslexia</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : 49,inattentive_other : F 98.8 (ADD) ICD-10 classification, combined : 51,combined_other : F 90.0/F90.1 (ADHD) ICD-10 classification</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Specialist</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 22%</li> <li>Age mean:</li> <li>10.8 (1.9) for ADHD group, 11.0 (2.6) for ADD group, 10.7 (1.8) for dyslexic group, and 11.0 (1.3) for control group</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis DSM IV (ICD-10), re- validated with informal interviews by specialist and "Parent assessment sheet for hyperactivity disorder, which is part of 'Diagnostic System for Psychiatric Disorders in Children and Adolescents' (DISYPS-K) Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging MRI, T1-weighted structural magnetic MRI was performed to investigate the anatomy of the auditory cortex; Neuromag-122 whole-head MEG system was used to measure and analyze the response of the auditory cortex to acoustic stimuli, audiometric and psychoacoustic tests stimuli were presented binaurally with a Hammerfall DSP Multiface System and closed dynamic headphones.	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: NPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Pooled disorder group (dyslexia, ADHD, and ADD) vs control group Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 84.4% pooled disorder group vs controls AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum activ	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Imaging	Soliva, 2010 <sup>538</sup> Tremols, 2008 <sup>1085</sup> Case series N = 78 Spain Setting: Specialty care	Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity Target: IQ>=80; no severe psychiatric illness including anxiety, mood disorders, developmental disorder, or dissociative disorder; no brain damage, neurological illness, head trauma, deafness, blindness, severe language delay, cerebral palsy, seizures, or autism; all on methylphenidate Other: Handedness and IQ matched controls ADHD presentation: inattentive : 18,hyperactive : 20,combined : 62 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 10% Age mean: 10.90 (2.83) for the ADHD group, 11.46 (2.86) for the control group Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Diagnosed by a team consisting of a         psychologist and a psychiatrist. Scoring was         based on parent and teacher rating scales         as well as a semi-structured clinical         interview.         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: Imaging Morphometric MRI         using a novel semi-automated caudate         segmentation procedure to obtain         volumetric caudate nucleus body volume/ total         bilateral caudate volume and right caudate         nucleus body volume/ bilateral caudate         body volume ratios. Split sample for         training/testing. 40 participants in training         group, 38 in test group.         Sensitivity: 42 (20, 66) For optimal cut-off         value <=0.4818 of the right caudate nucleus         body volume/ bilateral caudate body volume         ratio in the test group         Specificity: 95 (74, 99) For optimal cut-off         value <=0.4818 of the right caudate nucleus         body volume/ bilateral caudate body volume         ratio in the test group         Specificity: 95 (74, 99) For optimal cut-off         value <=0.4818 of the right caudate nucleus         body volume/ bilateral caudate body volume         ratio in the test group         PPV: 89	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4:
			For optimal cut-off value <=0.4818 of the right caudate nucleus body volume/ bilateral caudate body volume ratio in the test group NPV: 62	Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			For optimal cut-off value <=0.4818 of the right caudate nucleus body volume/ bilateral caudate body volume ratio in the test group LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.84 For optimal cut-off value <=0.4818 of the right caudate nucleus body volume/ bilateral caudate body volume ratio in the test group	Index text 5:
			Rater agreement: Inter-rater reliability of the caudate segmentation procedure Kappa: ICC: 0.87 for the caudate head and 0.89 for the caudate body at the beginning of the study using 10 randomly selected subjects (5 ADHD and 5 controls)	
			Internal consistency: Alpha:	
			Test-retest: Costs:	
			Misdiagnosis:	
			Labeling:	
			Costs:	

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year:	Setting:	Reference standard:	
	Multiple publications:	Study target:	Index test:	
Θ	Study design:	ADHD presentation:	Diagnostic accuracy:	
ур	Study size:	Diagnosis:	Rater agreement:	
Ē	Location	Comorbidity	Other outcomes	
é	Loodion	% Female:		
u L		Age mean:		
_		Minimum age:		
		Maximum age,		
		Fthnicity		
	Sun. 2018558	Target: Newly diagnosed and never-treated.	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
	Case series	consecutively recruited from September 2009	Diagnosis of ADHD by two clinical	Sensitivity:
		to October 2015 from the Department of	psychiatrists using the Chinese version of	Specificity:
	N = 170	Psychiatry, West China Hospital, Sichuan	the Structured Clinical Interview for	PPV:
	China	University; IQ>=90, right-handed, no Axis I	Diagnotic and Statistical Manual 4 Text	NPV:
	Setting: Mixed	psychiatric comorbid disorders; no current or	Revision Axis I Disorders, or SCID	LR+:
	5	past treatment with psychotropic medication; no	Timing: Prior diagnosis	Accuracy:
		substance abuse; no physical illness that might		AUC:
		affect brain anatomy and function (including	Index test: Imaging Structural and	Deter agreement
		neurologic illness; head injury; and liver, renal	diffusion-tensor MRI, anatomic and	Kater agreement.
		or cardiac abnormalities); and contraindications	diffusion-tensor magnetic resonance	карра.
		to MR imaging	imaging, cerebral radiomic features based	Internal consistency:
		Other: Age and sex matched healthy children	random forest models, repeated 10-fold	Alpha:
		recruited from local schools with an	cross validation	Casta
		advertisement	Sensitivity: 70	Cosis.
bu		<b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive · 48 combined	Specificity: 77	Index test 3:
agi		: 52	PPV:	index lest 5.
<u>_</u>		Diagnosod by: Specialist	NPV:	Sensitivity:
			LR+:	Specificity:
		Comorbidity: N/A	LR-:	PPV:
		Female: 14%	Accuracy: 74	NPV:
		Age mean:	AUC:	LR+:
		10.83 (2.30) ADHD group, 11.21 (2.51) control	Datar agreement: 100 runs of 10 fold grees	Accuracy:
		aroun	validation (1000 training testing cycles)	AUC:
			Kappa: 0.47	Rater agreement:
		win age: / wax age: 15		5
		Ethnicity:	100.	Index text 4:
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Internal consistency:	Correctivity
			Alpha:	Sensitivity:
			Test-retest <sup>.</sup>	
			Costs:	FFV. NDV/·
			00010.	
				AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Labeling: Costs:	Index text 5:
Imaging	Tang, 2022 <sup>567</sup> Case series N = 194 China Setting: Other	Target: Children age 8 to 17 with ADHD, Peking University (PU) dataset from ADHD-200 Other: Healthy control children from ADHD-200 PU dataset ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 27% Age mean: N/A Min age: 8 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD-200 Consortium identified children with ADHD Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging fMRI, brain functional connectivities, deep-learning classification architecture based on a binary hypothesis testing framework and a modified auto- encoding network, leave one out cross validation Sensitivity: 99 Specificity: 100 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 99.6 AUC: 0.997 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Imaging	Yao, 2018 <sup>618</sup> Case series N = 62 China Setting: Mixed	Target: Children: male drug-naive, right handed, full-scale IQ score>80, attend Peking University Sixth Hospital psychiatrist clinics Other: Age-matched healthy controls from local primary schools ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% all male in children dataset Age mean: 9.79 (1.86) for ADHD group, 10.29 (1.67) for control group Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reterence standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD participants from child and adolescent psychiatric clinics of Peking University Sixth Hospital Timing: Concurrent Index test: Imaging Functional connectivity pattern derived from resting-state fMRI. Used novel Feature Selection method based on Relative Importance and Ensemble Learning (FS_RIEL), 10-fold cross validation Sensitivity: 95 Using FS_RIEL feature selection method Specificity: 76 Using FS_RIEL feature selection method PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Accuracy: 86.36 Using FS_RIEL feature selection method AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:
			Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Imaging	Yasumura, 2020 <sup>619</sup> Yasumura, 2014 <sup>1149</sup> Case series N = 99 Japan Setting: Mixed	Target: No severe comorbidities (e.g., ASD or learning disability); IQ >=80 Other: Typically developing children without ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15.0% 15% female in ADHD training group, gender not reported for test group Age mean: Test set: 10.27 (2.2) for ADHD group, 10.16 (1.55) for control group Min age: Max age:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis The Japanese version of the 26-item Swanson, Nolan and Pelham–IV + neurologist evaluation Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) was used to quantify change in prefrontal cortex oxygenated hemoglobin during reverse Stroop task. Classification using support vector machine. Items for machine learning were based on past research: age group (<10 years, 10-12 years, >=13 years), task results (number of responses and reaction time on the noninterference condition; number of responses, reaction time, number of errors,	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	and interference ratio on the interference condition) and NIRS data. Sensitivity: 89 Specificity: 84 PPV: NPV: LR+: 5.47 LR-: 0.13 Accuracy: 86 AUC: 0.898	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4:
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Imaging	Yoo, 2020 <sup>621</sup> Seoul National University Childrens Hospital, 2015 <sup>1001</sup> Case series N = 130 Korea Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> IQ>=70, no hereditary genetic disorders, current/past history of brain trauma, organic brain disorders, seizure or any neurological disorders, autism spectrum disorder, communication disorder or learning disorder, schizophrenia or any other childhood- onset psychotic disorder, major depressive disorder or bipolar disorder, Tourette's syndrome or chronic motor/vocal tic disorder,	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis DSM-IV criteria confirmed with the Korean Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia – Present and Lifetime version Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Imaging Structural, functional, and diffusion-tensor MRI, age, sex, and IQ.	Index test 2: Imaging Structural, functional, and diffusion-tensor MRI, age, sex, and IQ. Lesser feature with Equivalent performance (LE): Machine learning algorithms on multi-measures, multi-modal neuroimaging data (structural

Index Type T S S ⊼ ∀ S	Study: Author, year; Aultiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		obsessive-compulsive disorder, and no history of methylphenidate treatment for >1 year or having taken methylphenidate in the previous 4 weeks; test set subjects were selected from independent neuroimaging data called "A cohort study for neurodevelopmental disorder" Other: Age and IQ-matched typically developing children <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 46.8,inattentive_other : 27.8% in test group,hyperactive : 6.4,hyperactive_other : 22.2% in test group,combined : 29.8,combined_other : 27.8% in test group,N/A : 17% not otherwise specified <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> % 33% female in test set, 21% female in training set <b>Age mean:</b> Test set: 9.44 (2.41) for ADHD group, 10.06 (2.69) for control group. Training set: 10.06 (2.24) for ADHD group, 10.00 (2.60) for control group. <b>Min age:</b> 6 <b>Max age:</b> 17 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Best Accuracy Model: Machine learning algorithms on multi-measures, multi-modal neuroimaging data (structural MRI, Resting- state fMRI, diffusion tensor imaging). Selected variables 'All tensors + CT/CTV + SA/MC + Volume' [CT, Cortical thickness; CTV, Cortical thickness variability; SA, Surface area; MC, Mean curvature]. Multiple linear SVM recursive feature elimination for feature selection, random forest classifier, leave one out cross validation. Age, sex and IQ were also entered as predictors for random forest regression. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 78 AUC: 0.70 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: 23.4% misclassification error Labeling:	MRI, Resting-state fMRI, diffusion tensor imaging Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 69 AUC: 0.65 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Costs:	AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological	Li, 2016 <sup>384</sup> Yale University, 2012 <sup>1147</sup> Case series N = 60 China Setting: Other	Target: Selected to participate if they met diagnostic criteria for any presentation of ADHD or who were considered to be subthreshold for ADHD, defined as one symptom short of meeting diagnostic criteria. Free of any other co-morbid psychiatric ondition. Mediation naive or had discontinued medication 6 months prior to study. Other: Age and gender-matched typically developing children ADHD presentation: inattentive : 17,hyperactive : 13,combined : 63,combined_other : 3.5% subthreshold combined type, and 3.5% subthreshold inattentive type Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 7% Age mean: 8.95 (1.88) Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosis based on DSM-5 criteria for ADHD Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological Movement intensity measures included a composite measure of total movement intensity and a movement intensity distribution measure, infrared motion tracking system to monitor and record movement intensity during a modified Go/No-Go Task Sensitivity: 97 Specificity: 83 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.904 Rater agreement: Kappa:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT,EF Movement intensity distribution measure across 15 frequency bands; infrared motion tracking system to monitor and record movement intensity during a modified Go/No-Go Task Sensitivity: Reaction time variability Specificity: Reaction time variability PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Between 0.867 and 0.932 for the 15 frequency band measures Rater agreement: Kappa:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Asian : Too, Other : All participants were of Han ancestry Other info on race or ethnicity:	Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: neuropsychological, activity Performance measures on the Go/No-Go task, 6 measures omission errors, commission errors, accuracy, multiple response errors, reaction time, and reaction time variability Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: neuropsychological Go/No-Go task accuracy Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 5: Neuropsychological

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Attention, impulsivity, Response variability, Reaction time
РТ	Adams, 2009 <sup>119</sup> Case series N = 35 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Boys diagnosed with ADHD recruited through newspaper advertising, children with comorbidities excluded, 10 of the 19 participants were on medication on the day of testing <b>Other:</b> Children volunterred from local elementary and middle schools recruited by sending a letter home to parents	<b>Reference standard:</b> Clinical diagnosis Diagnoses provided by licensed mental health professionals or pediatric physicians and parents provided consent to have medical records reviewed for confirmation of diagnosis, Behavior Assessment System for children (BASC) Monitor parent rating Timing: Prior diagnosis	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT The Vigil continuous performance test; logistic regression with percent correct as the predictor (no statistically significant difference between ADHD and control groups)
neuropsychological, CI		ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean: 10.1 (1.74) for the ADHD group, 10.5 (0.89) for the control group Min age: 8 Max age: 14 Ethnicity: % White : 100 Other info on some or otherisity.	Index test: neuropsychological,CPT The Virtual Classroom virtual reality continuous performance test including visual and/or auditory distracters; logistic regression with percent correct as the predictor (difference between ADHD and control groups trended toward significance) Sensitivity: 50 Specificity: 88 PPV: NPV:	Sensitivity: 50 Specificity: 69 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 59 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha:
		Other info on race or ethnicity:	LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 68	Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:
neuropsychological, CPT	Berger, 2010 <sup>148</sup> Hadassah Medical Organization, 2008 <sup>782</sup> Case series N = 58 Israel Setting: Specialty care	Target: All the children in the study were drug naïve; no mental retardation, chronic condition other than ADHD, chronic use of medications, or diagnosis of depression, anxiety or psychosisOther: Healthy children without any symptoms or signs of ADHDADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/AFemale: 29% Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisADHD diagnosis was established by acertified pediatric neurologist based onDSM-IV-TR criteriaTiming: Prior diagnosisIndex test: neuropsychological,CPTComputerized continuous performancefunctions test, which includes a multi-taskapproachSensitivity: 100 Test of reliability,percentage of true positive results amontthe 45 children with ADHD	Index text 5: Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		9.86 (1.89) in the ADHD group, 10.50 (1.81) in the control group	Specificity: PPV:	Costs:
		Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 95 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
sychological,CPT	Berger, 2017 <sup>147</sup> Case series N = 798 US Setting: N/A	<b>Target:</b> Referred to the outpatient pediatric clinics of a neurocognitive center; drug-naïve; no intellectual disability, other chronic condition, chronic use of medications, or primary psychiatric diagnosis (e.g., depression, anxiety, and psychosis) <b>Other:</b> Randomly recruited typically developed children who study in regular classes at primary	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Child met the criteria for ADHD according to DSM- IV- TR, as assessed by a certified pediatric neurologist Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT MOXO-Continuous Performance Test (CPT)	Index test 2: neuropsychological MOXO-Continuous Performance Test (CPT) Total Score including 4 indices: attention, timing, hyperactivity, and impulsivity for 12 year old participants
neurop		schools ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist	Total Score including 4 indices: attention, timing, hyperactivity, and impulsivity for all age groups	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: 39.5% Age mean: 9.27 (1.65) in ADHD group, 9.71 (1.64) in control group Min age: 7 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.92 0.91-0.96 over the 6 age groups Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.92 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: neuropsychological MOXO- Continuous Performance Test (CPT) Timing for 12 year old participants; number of correct responses given while the target stimulus is still presented on the screen Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.80 Rater agreement: Index text 4: MOXO- Continuous Performance Test (CPT) Hyperactivity for 12 year old participants; the number of

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				all types of commission responses that are not coded as impulsive responses Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: 0.82 Index text 5: Neuropsychological Attention,Impulsivity
neuropsychological, CPT	Bledsoe, 2020 <sup>160</sup> Case series N = 35 US Setting: N/A	Target: Did not meet diagnostic criteria for other psychiatric or psychological disorder including Learning Disorders, Anxiety Disorders, Mood Disorder, or Oppositional Defiant Disorder. IQ>=80. ADHD participants who were prescribed stimulant medication were subjected to at least a 24- to 48-hr washout period prior to testing, and were not taking any other medications during testing <b>Other:</b> Healthy age and IQ matched typically developing children.; all participants were recruited from a diversity of socioeconomic status (SES) and ethnic backgrounds to control for potential group differences <b>ADHD presentation:</b> combined : 100 <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 26% <b>Age mean:</b>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Participants were diagnosed with ADHD-C using the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children–IV–Parent Version (DISC-IV-P) with agreement between two investigators Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT Support vector machine classification using Conners Global Index-Restless/ Impulsive composite score and d2 Test of Attention/Concentration total score. Leave- one-(participant)-out cross-validation. Sensitivity: 100 After leave-one- (participant)-out cross-validation Specificity: 100 After leave-one- (participant)-out cross-validation PPV: NPV: LR+:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT Support vector machine classification using Behavior Assessment System for Children- 2nd edition hyperactivity scale and d2 Test of Attention/Concentration total score. Leave-one-(participant)- out cross-validation. Sensitivity: 100 After leave- one-(participant)-out cross- validation Specificity: 96 After leave-one- (participant)-out cross- validation PPV: NPV: LR+:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		N/A Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	LR-: Accuracy: 100 After leave-one-(participant)- out cross-validation AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Accuracy: 97 After leave-one- (participant)-out cross- validation AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: AuC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year;	Setting;	Reference standard;	
	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Index test;	
e	Study design;	ADHD presentation;	Diagnostic accuracy;	
,≍	Study size;	Diagnosis;	Rater agreement;	
Γ×	Location	Comorbidity;	Other outcomes	
de		% Female;		
<u>n</u>		Age mean;		
		Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
	Bloch, 2012 <sup>161</sup>	<b>Target:</b> Children referred by a neurologist or a	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
	Case series	child psychiatrist for a neurocognitive	Clinical diagnosis of ADHD was based on	neuropsychological,EF
	N = 34	evaluation in order to substantiate a possible	consensus between the research team	Subtests of The Cambridge
	Israel	mantal retardation or major payabanathalary	interview all based on DSM IV aritoria	Automated Battery (CANTAR)
		(namely, major affective disorder, psychotic	Timing: Concurrent	Automated Battery (CANTAB)
	Setting: Specially	disorder, pervasive developmental disorder	rinning. Concurrent	Sensitivity: 57% for Working
	care	substance abuse posttraumatic stress	Index test: neuropsychological CPT The	Memory, Spatial Working
		disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, panic	Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA)	Memory, 71% for Stocking of
		disorder)		Cambridge, and 71% for
		Other: Children referred by a neurologist or a	Sensitivity: 63	Cognitive Set-Shifting-
		child psychiatrist for a neurocognitive	Specificity: 85	Intradimensional/
F		evaluation in order to substantiate a possible	PPV: 94	Extradimensional Shift subtests
Ъ		diagnosis of ADHD; for 7 patients, the		Specificity: 22% for Working
, al		diagnosis of ADHD was excluded (patients		Memory, 11% for Stocking of
gić		were subsequently diagnosed, two with		Combridge and 7% for
90		dysthymia,	AUC	Cognitive Set-Shifting-
ç		ADHD presentation: N/A		Intradimensional/
(sd		Diagnosod by: Posoarchor	Rater agreement:	Extradimensional Shift subtests
lo			Kappa:	PPV:
neı				NPV:
		Female: 44%	Internal consistency:	LR+:
		Age mean: 11.5	Alpha:	Accuracy:
		Min age: 7 Max age: 17	Test-retest:	AUC.
		Ethnicity:	Costs:	Rater agreement:
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Misdiagnosis:	Карра:
				Internal consistency:
			Labeling:	Alpha:
			Costs:	Costs:
				Index test 3:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological, CPT	Chen, 2022 <sup>190</sup> Case series N = 109 China Setting: School	Target: Recruited from 6 primary schools and 6 junior high schools diagnosed with ADHD or subclinical ADHD Other: Recruited from 6 primary schools and 6 junior high schools ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Researcher Comorbidity: N/A Female: 28% Age mean: 10.6 (1.9) for the ADHD group, 11.0 (1.9) for the subthreshold ADHD group, 11.6 (1.5) for the typically developing group	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisChinese version of the Swanson Nolan andPelham Rating Scale (SNAP-IV) parentrating and teacher rating, ConnersAbbreviated Symptom Questionnaire parentrating and teacher rating, teacher interviewsTiming: Prior diagnosisIndex test: neuropsychological,CPTAttention Network Test-Interaction andBackward-Making Majority Function Task,support vector machine classifier using theattentional effects of Alerting, Orienting,Conflict in Response Time, overallResponse Time, and Cognitive Control	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT Attention Network Test- Interaction, support vector machine classifier using the attentional effects of Alerting, Orienting, Conflict in Response Time, and overall Response Time, 10-fold cross validation, binary classification ADHD versus typically develop Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Min age: 6 Max age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Capacity (the relationship between response accuracy and information rate), 10-fold cross validation, binary classification ADHD versus typically developing peers Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 60 SD 2.6% AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	LR+: Accuracy: 64 SD 1.5% AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: neuropsychological,CPT Attention Network Test- Interaction and Backward- Making Majority Function Task, support vector machine classifier using the attentional effects of Alerting, Orienting, Conflict in Response Time, and Cognitive Control Capacity (the re Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 65 AUC: Rater agreement:
Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
-------------------------	---	--	--	---
				Index text 4: neuropsychological,CPT Attention Network Test- Interaction, support vector machine classifier using the attentional effects of Alerting, Orienting, Conflict in Response Time, and overall Response Time, 10-fold cross validation, binary classification subclinical ADHD versus typic Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:
neuropsychological, CPT	Chu, 2017 <sup>202</sup> Case series N = 107 Taiwan Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children who have been diagnosed with ADHD based on clinical diagnosis according to DSM-IV Other: Healthy children without ADHD ADHD presentation: inattentive_other : n=32,hyperactive_other : n=4,combined_other : n=34 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed with ADHD by a medical professional using DSM-IV diagnostic standards Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT Diagnosis-supported attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (DS-ADHD) is a self- built diagnosis-supported ADHD screening system based on the Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA) Sensitivity: 85 Specificity: 63	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Age reported for each sub-type separately, Inattentive 9 (1.58) / Hyperactive 8.5 (1.91) / Combined 9.8 (1.52) Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	PPV: 82 NPV: 67 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 78 AUC: 0.867 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Cronbach's alpha ranged from 0.906 to 0.987 over 15 variables in the DS-ADHD. Variables include items such as response time, response time variability, omission errors, commission errors, and response sensitivity. Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
neuropsychological,CPT	Emser, 2018 <sup>256</sup> Case series N = 60 Germany Setting: Mixed	Target: IQ >=80; no other medical conditions such as hyperthyroidism, autism, epilepsy, brain disorders and any genetic or medical disorder associated with externalizing behavior; may have oppositional defiance disorder, conduct disorder, learning disorders, anxiety, or depression as long as ADHD was the primary diagnosis; participants taking medication were asked to stop taking it 2 days before testing; recruited through an ADHD outpatient clinic         Other: Age and gender-matched children, no established or suspected ADHD diagnosis, or family history of ADHD, recruited through local schools         ADHD presentation: inattentive : 27,hyperactive : 3,combined : 60,N/A : 10% subtype information not available         Diagnosed by: Specialist         Comorbidity: N/A         Female: 30%         Age mean: 8.9 (1.4) for the ADHD group, 8.7 (1.2) for the control group         Min age: 6.9 Max age: 11         Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD diagnoses were based on a DSM-IV- oriented clinical interview Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT Linear support vector machine and feature selection using variables from the Conners- 3 parent ratings, the Quantified Behavior Test for children, and the Test Battery of Attention for children. Leave-one-out cross validation Sensitivity: 83 Specificity: 90 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 87 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Cronbach's alpha of 0.85 for the content scales and alpha = 0.79 for the symptom scales of the Conners 3 parent rating scale feeding into the model Alpha: Test-retest: Costs:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT Linear support vector machine and feature selection using variables from the Quantified Behavior Test for children and the Test Battery of Attention for children only. Leave-one-out cross validation Sensitivity: 80 Specificity: 77 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 78 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Misdiagnosis:	Rater agreement:
			Labeling:	Index text 4:
			Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
	Hall, 2016 <sup>312</sup>	Target: Pre vs post-test audit design, case	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
	Pre-post study N = 80 UK Setting: Specialty	records were examined in 40 cases diagnosed without the QbTest [pre-QbTest group] and 40 cases diagnosed with the QbTest [QbTest group] <b>Other:</b> None: study examined time to	Diagnosis completed using ICD-10 codes from patient records Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+:
ЪТ	care	diagnoses of ADHD with and without QbTest results	QbTest is a neuropsychological test that measures the three main symptoms of	Accuracy: AUC:
gical,C		<b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A : All diagnoses made for Hyperkinetic disorder (F90), equivalent to	ADHD, requires subjects to respond to stimulus while ignoring other stimuli	Rater agreement: Kappa:
olor		"severe combined subtype"	Sensitivity:	Internal consistency:
sych		Diagnosed by: Specialist	PPV:	Alpha:
urop		Female: %	NPV: LR+:	Costs: pounds
ne		20% female in the pre-QbTest group, 30%	LR-: Accuracy:	Index test 3:
		Age mean:	AUC:	Sensitivity:
		QbTest group - 9.2 (2.3) / pre-QbTest group -	Rater agreement: Kappa:	PPV:
		Min age: 4.5 Max age: 14.6	ICC:	LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
	0040210	Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: 31 Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs: 31108 British pounds	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological, CPT	Heller, 2013 <sup>319</sup> Case series N = 52 US Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>I arget: Recruited from two outpatient clinics, diagnosed with ADHD, IQ&gt;55, stimulant medications for ADHD were withheld on the day of testing</li> <li>Other: Age and sex-matched comparison subjects without ADHD recruited from two outpatient clinics, IQ&gt;55</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : 35,inattentive_other : 100% of the ADHD participants had inattentive symptoms, combined : 65,combined_other : 65% of the ADHD participants had hyperactive symptoms in addition to inattentive symptoms</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Specialist</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 38%</li> <li>Age mean:</li> <li>12.6 for the ADHD group, 14.7 for the no ADHD group</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children- Present and Lifetime version semistructured diagnostic interview, Conners' Brief Rating Scale- Parent version and Teacher version, previous Conner's CPT scores if available Timing: Concurrent Index test: neuropsychological,CPT "Groundskeeper" video game using the Sifteo Cubes gaming platform; AdaBoost meta-algorithm, JRip rule-making algorithm, and J48 and RandomForest decision tree algorithms tested, binary classification= presence/absence of hyperactivity Sensitivity: 77 Specificity: 81 PPV: NPV: LR+:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT "Groundskeeper" video game using the Sifteo Cubes gaming platform; AdaBoost meta- algorithm, JRip rule-making algorithm, and J48 and RandomForest decision tree algorithms tested, binary classification = binary classification= presence/absence of inattentio Sensitivity: 59 Specificity: 83 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 78 AUC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Min age: 6 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 2 % Black/African American : 15 % White : 77 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 6% Other Race	LR-: Accuracy: 75 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
neuropsychological,CPT	Hult, 2018 <sup>23</sup> Case series N = 182 Sweden Setting: Specialty care	Maximum age; Ethnicity Target: Children referred to specialty clinic with suspected ADHD, autism, or another neurodevelopmental disorder; IQ>70; unmedicated at time of assessment; comorbid ASD, tic disorders, developmental coordination disorder, borderline intellectual functioning, dyslexia, language disorder, and depression/anxiety disorder included Other: Children not diagnosed with ADHD referred to and selected from same specialty clinic as the ADHD group; 81% of these children diagnosed with ASD; tic disorders, developmental coordination disorder, borderline intellectual functioning, dyslexia, language ADHD presentation: inattentive : 24,hyperactive : 2,combined : 71,N/A : 3 ADHD-not otherwise specified Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: Autism : Non-ADHD clinical comparison (CC) group participants had ASD (81%) Female: 22% Age mean: 10.3 (1.7) ADHD group, 10.8 (1.8) comparison group Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Diagnosis of ADHD performed by a multiprofessional team, based on DSM-IV         behavioral criteria         Timing: Concurrent         Index test: neuropsychological,CPT         QbTest         Sensitivity: With cutoff set to 1.25 Q-score         as recommended by the manufactor,         sensitivity ranged from 47% to 67%         Specificity: With cutoff set to 1.25 Q-score         as recommended by the manufactor,         specificity: With cutoff set to 1.25 Q-score         as recommended by the manufactor,         specificity: With cutoff set to 1.25 Q-score         as recommended by the manufactor,         specificity ranged from 72% to 84%         PPV:         76%-86%         NPV:         37%-50%         LR+:         LR-:         Accuracy:         AUC: 0.62-0.76 over three test parameters         with cutoff set at recommended 1.25 Q-         Score         Rater agreement:         Kappa:         ICC:         Internal consistency:         Alpha:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC:
			l est-retest: Costs:	NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index text 5: Neuropsychological Attention,Impulsivity,Other : Activity parameters
neuropsychological, CPT	Matier-Sharma, 1995 <sup>407</sup> Case series N = 129 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Consecutive unmedicated referrals to the child psychiatry outpatient clinic of an urban medical center diagnosed with ADHD Other: Consecutive unmedicated referrals to the child psychiatry outpatient clinic of an urban medical center not diagnosed with ADHD; Neurotypical developing boys recruited from a neighborhood school ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 22% in entire sample Age mean: Min age: 6.5 Max age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Primarily African-American or Hispanic	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Child Behavior Checklist, Conners         Teacher's Questionnaire, clinical interviews         with parent and child, clinician rating scale         that consisted of DSM-III-R items         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: neuropsychological,CPT CPT         modelled after the A-X task; discriminant         function analysis including the variables         CPT-dyscontrol and CPT-inattention; ADHD         versus neurotypical controls         Sensitivity: 63         Specificity: 94         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR:         Accuracy: 72         AUC:         Rater agreement:         Kappa:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT,activity CPT modelled after the A-X task and actigraph measures taken during CPT task; discriminant function analysis including the variables activity level, CPT-inattention, and CPT-impulsivity; ADHD versus non-ADHD Sensitivity: 68 Specificity: 66 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 66 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Costs: Index test 3: activity Actigraph measures taken during CPT task; ADHD versus neurotypical controls Sensitivity: 25 25 Specificity: 94 PPV: 91 NPV: 36 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: activity Actigraph measures taken during CPT task; ADHD versus non-ADHD Sensitivity: 25 Specificity: 95 PPV: 77 NPV: 63 AUC: Index text 5: Neuropsychological,CPT Attention,Impulsivity,Other : Dyscontrol

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year;	Setting;	Reference standard:	
	Multiple publications:	Study target:	Index test:	
ø	Study design:	ADHD presentation:	Diagnostic accuracy:	
<u>у</u> р	Study size:	Diagnosis:	Rater agreement:	
×	Location	Comorbidity;	Other outcomes	
de		% Female;		
Ľ		Age mean;		
		Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
	Mitchell, 1990 <sup>425</sup>	<b>Target:</b> Selected from five elementary schools,	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
	Case series	in special education placement or regular class	Diagnosis by psychologist or physician of	Sensitivity:
	N = 204	with resource specialist, no coexisting major	hyperactivity and/or attention deficit	Specificity:
	119	medical problems or centrally acctive	disorder, revview of school files including all	PPV:
	03	medications other than stimulants, IQ >=80,	psychometric testing, Conners Abbreviated	
	Setting: School	asked to omit medication for 2 to 3 days prior to	Leacher Questionnaire, the Matching	
		lesting	Failillai Figures Test	
		Other: Selected from two elementary schools	Timing. Phot diagnosis	AUC.
		ADHD presentation: N/A	Index test: neuropsychological CPT Four	Rater agreement:
		Diagnosed by: Specialist	tasks designed for use on the Apple Ile	Карра:
		Comorbidity: N/A	microcomputer described to subjects as a	Internal consistency:
БЪ		Female: 19%	game on which they could earn points,	Alpha:
cal,(		Age mean:	representing the number of measures on	Costs:
ogic		10.2 (1.77) for the hyperactive group, 9.08	which the child scored above the 95th	
Jolo		(2.14) for the control group	percentle with a cutoff point of 4 of 21	Index test 3:
sycl		Min age: 5 Max age: 13	measures	Sensitivity:
šdo		Ethnicity:	Sensitivity: 60	Specificity:
una		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Specificity: 95 Allowed false positive rate of	PPV:
ne			5%	
			PPV:	
			NPV:	
			LR+:	AUC.
			LR-:	Rater agreement:
			Accuracy:	-
			AUC:	Index text 4:
			Rater agreement: Agreement was defined	Sensitivity:
			as the proportion of subjects with abnormal	Specificity:
			scores on the Matching Familiar Figures	PPV:
			lest who were also abnormal using the	NPV:
			video game summary score	AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Agreement = 75% Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index text 5: Neuropsychological Attention,Impulsivity,Response variability,Reaction time,Other : Hand errors
neuropsychological, CPT	Mwamba, 2019 <sup>439</sup> Case series N = 30 South Africa Setting: Specialty care	Target: No known history of severe mental illness Other: Controls, non-ADHD youth ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 54% Gender ratio was kept as closely as possible to 1:1 Age mean: 10 Min age: 5 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Other         Subjects had been consulted by a specialist at a private paediatric practice at the Cape         Gate Medi-Clinic.         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: neuropsychological,CPT         Paediatric Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity         DisorderApplication Software (PANDAS):         Tablet-based game, Support vector         machine (SVM) classifier. 75/25 train/test         split         Sensitivity: 75         Specificity: 100         PPV: 100         NPV: 75         LR+:         LR-:         Accuracy: 86	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological, CPT	Park, 2019 <sup>457</sup> Case series N = 114 Korea Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> IQ>=70, not on ADHD medication within the past 3 months, no past or current history of schizophrenia, organic mental disorder, or pervasive developmental disorder, or presence of seizure or other neurologic disorders. May have comorbid disorders such as tics, and depressive or anxiety disorder; consecutively recruited from outpatient pediatric psychiatry clinic <b>Other:</b> Children with a negative ADHD diagnosis, IQ>=70. May have comorbid disorders such as tics, and depressive or anxiety disorder, but no past or current history of schizophrenia, organic mental disorder, or pervasive developmental disorder, or presence of se	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed as ADHD using DSM-IV-TR and Kiddie- Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia– Present and Lifetime version (K-SADS-PL) Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT The Advanced Test of Attention Sensitivity: 85 Specificity: 46 PPV: 78 NPV: 57 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 72.8 AUC: 0.653	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Ethnicity         ADHD presentation: inattentive :         45.6,hyperactive : 5.1,combined : 36.6,N/A :         12.7% ADHD- not otherwise specified         Diagnosed by: Specialist         Comorbidity: N/A         Female: 25.3%         Age mean:         7.6 (1.5) for ADHD group, 8.6 (2.1) for control group         Min age: 6 Max age: 12         Ethnicity:         Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Test-retest no ICC greater than 0.5 was found in ADHD retest participants Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,CPT	Rodríguez, 2018 <sup>488</sup> Case series N = 338 Spain Setting: Mixed	Target: Stopped medication 72 hours before testing; IQ>=70 and <=130; no comorbid disorders. Other: Children without ADHD or other psychiatric diagnosis; IQ>=70 ADHD presentation: inattentive : 32,hyperactive : 15,combined : 23 Diagnosed by: Researcher Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 29% in entire sample Age mean: 10.84 (3.01) Min age: 6 Max age: 16 Ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisADHD group was composed of children witha diagnostic report (by a Clinical Center)specifying the type of ADHD presentation.Using this information, the researchersconfirmed the diagnosis and its presentationusing the symptomatology described inDSM-5Timing: Prior diagnosisIndex test: neuropsychological,CPT AulaNesplora Virtual Reality ContinuousPerformance Test; discrimination betweenADHD-IH vs ADHD-I vs ADHD-C vscontrolsSensitivity:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA); discrimination between ADHD-IH vs ADHD-I vs ADHD- C vs controls Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 34 Discrimination between ADHD-IH vs ADHD-I vs ADHD-C vs controls AUC:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum ago;	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Specificity: PPV:	Rater agreement: Kappa:
			INPV: LR+: LR-:	Internal consistency: Alpha:
			Accuracy: 57 Discrimination between ADHD-IH vs ADHD-I vs ADHD-C vs	Costs:
			controls AUC:	Index test 3:
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Specificity: PPV: NPV:
			Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.72	LR+: Accuracy: AUC:
			Test-retest: Costs:	Rater agreement:
			Misdiagnosis:	Index text 4:
			Labeling:	Sensitivity:
			Costs:	Specificity: PPV: NPV:
				AUC:
				Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
neuropsychological,CPT	Schatz, 2001 <sup>503</sup> Case series N = 48 US Setting: Mixed	Target: Attentional symptoms must be primary to a learning disability if present, individuals with a pervasive neurological condition such as autism or comorbid psychiatric disorders were excluded Other: Children with normal neurodevelopmental histories and at an appropriate grade level for their chronological age; recruited from general pediatric clinics, advertisements in parent magazines and at local fairs, radio advertisements, and through contacts wi ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: 11.1 (3.6) for ADHD group, 9.8 (2.7) for control group Min age: 5 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: Other : Predominantly white Other info on race or ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Medical history, neurological exam, parent and teacher historical reports, and psychological testing Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA), cutoff at least one T score >=65 Sensitivity: 86 Specificity: 70 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: TOVA miss rate 14.3% and false positive rate 30.0%, Conners Hyperactivity Index miss rate 21.4% and false positive rate 0% Labeling: Costs:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Conners Parent Rating Scale, Hyperactivity Index, cutoff T score >=65 (1.5 SD above the mean) Sensitivity: 79 Specificity: 100 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,CPT	Simões, 2021 <sup>525</sup> Case series N = 160 Brazil Setting: School	Target: Drug naïve, no comorbidities, normal or corrected-to-normal vision; students with developmental delays, poor academic performance, epilepsy, previous history of traumatic brain injury, psychosis, mood disorders, or learning disabilities (including dyslexia, dysgraphia, and dyscalculia) were excluded Other: Healthy control children ADHD presentation: N/A : Teachers instructed to select students with "attention problems" Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: 9.3 (1.40) for ADHD group, 9.2(1.41) for healthy control group Min age: 5 Max age: 18 Ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis The Brazilian Teacher Rating Form (BTRF), psychosocial interview with parents and students, health records available in the schools. A student was included in the ADHD group if there were not any discrepancies among the rating scale, the qualitative obser Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT Continuous Auditory Attention Test (CAAT). Parameters measured include omission errors (OEs), commission errors (CEs), reaction time (RT), and variability of reaction time (VRT). Coefficient of variation was also calculated (CofV = VRT / RT). Sensitivity: 73 Specificity: 63 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT Continuous Visual Attention Test (CVAT). Parameters measured include omission errors (OEs), commission errors (CEs), reaction time (RT), and variability of reaction time (VRT). Coefficient of variation was also calculated (CofV = VRT / RT). Sensitivity: 70 Specificity: 56 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 66 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Sample size is all Brazilian	Accuracy: 70 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological, CPT	Slobodin, 2020 <sup>532</sup> Berger, 2020 <sup>668</sup> Case series N = 458 Israel Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Clinic-referred children recruited from out-patient pediatric clinics of a Neuro- Cognitive Centre, based in a tertiary care university hospital. Drug naive. No intellectual disability, no chronic use of medications, and no primary psychiatric diagnosis (e.g., depression, anxiety, and psychosis). <b>Other:</b> Typically developed children recruited from regular primary schools	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosis based on DSM-V criteria for ADHD Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT Neuro- Tech Solutions Limited MOXO-CPT which includes visual and auditory stimuli serving as measurable distractors. Analyzed using random forest technique. Machine learning	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 33% Age mean: 8.68 (1.77) Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	model included four continuous performance test indices (attention, timeliness, hyperactivity, and impulsiveness) and four control variables (age, gender, day of the week, and time of day). 60/40 training/testing split used for validation. Sensitivity: 89 (83, 95) Specificity: 84 (76, 92) PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 87 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year;	Setting;	Reference standard;	
	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Index test;	
e	Study design;	ADHD presentation;	Diagnostic accuracy;	
2	Study size;	Diagnosis;	Rater agreement;	
×	Location	Comorbidity;	Other outcomes	
qe		% Female;		
<u> </u>		Age mean;		
		Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
	Yeh, 2020 <sup>620</sup>	Target: Children with good vision, without	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
	Case series	Intellectual of neurological disabilities who have	Swanson, Noian, and Peinam, version IV	
	N = 68	learning disabilities, source cognitive	(SNAP-IV) and Conners parent symptom	Vinural Reality (VR) classicorn.
	China	impoirment or other major illnesses	Timing: Prior diagnosis	performance tests, and audio
	Catting: Creately			tests were embedded into the
	Setting: Specially	<b>Other:</b> Control group of children without ADHD	Index test: neuronsychological CPT Virtural	virtual environment. Cantured
	care	ADHD presentation: N/A	Reality (VR) classroom: VR cognitive tasks	task performance and neuro-
		Diagnosed by: Provider	continuous performance tests, and audio	behavior data. Analyzed with
		Comorbidity: N/A	tests were embedded into the virtual	support vector machine (SVM)
			environment. Captured task performance	classifier. 5-fol
Ι.			and neuro-behavior data. Analyzed with	Sopoitivity:
L.		38% female in entire sample	extreme gradient boosing (XGB) machine	Specificity:
Ú.		Age mean: 8.58 (1.48)	learning classifier. 5-fold cross validation	
ica		Min age: 6 Max age: 12	with 5 repeats	NPV
og		Ethnicity:	Sensitivity.	IR+
loh		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Specificity:	Accuracy: 83
yc.			PPV:	AUC:
sdc			NPV:	
nu			LR+:	Rater agreement:
ne			LR-:	карра:
			Accuracy: 82	Internal consistency:
			AUC:	Alpha:
			Rater agreement:	Costs
				00313.
				Index test 3:
				neuropsychological CPT
			Internal consistency:	Virtural Reality (VR) classroom
			Aipna:	VR cognitive tasks. continuous
			Test-retest:	performance tests, and audio
			Costs:	tests were embedded into the
				virtual environment. Captured

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	task performance and neuro- behavior data. Analyzed with logistic regression. 5-fold cross validation w Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:
				LR+: Accuracy: 72 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity:
	Zelnik, 2012 <sup>627</sup>	Target: No maior psychiatric conditions, mental	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5: Index test 2:
neuropsychological, CPT	Case series N = 230 Israel Setting: Specialty care	retardation, autistic spectrum disorder, and epilepsy and children treated with psychotropic drugs (including central nervous system stimulants); referred to ADHD clinic <b>Other:</b> Children referred to ADHD clinic not diagnosed with ADHD <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 39,hyperactive : 15,combined : 46 <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist	Clinical Diagnosis using DSM-IV diagnostic criteria, family interviews about the behavioral and neurodevelopmental history of the child, neurological evaluation, observation at the physician's office, and employment of the Conners' Rating Scales (Teacher, Timing: Concurrent	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: %	Index test: neuropsychological,CPT Test of Variables of Attention	Internal consistency: Alpha:
		29% in entire sample Age mean: 10.0 (2.7) Min age: 6 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Sensitivity: 91 Specificity: 22 PPV: 80 NPV: 41 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Test of Variables of Attention versus reference standard Kappa: 0.152 ICC:	Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:
			Internal consistency: Alpha:	Index text 4: Sensitivity:
			Test-retest: Costs:	Specificity: PPV: NPV:
			Misdiagnosis:	AUC:
			Labeling: Costs:	Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
neuropsychological,CPT	Zulueta, 2019 <sup>634</sup> Case series N = 407 Spain Setting: Mixed	Ethnicity Target: Clinical sample of children, with normal IQ >80 Other: Typically developing children ADHD presentation: inattentive : 49.30,combined : 50.70 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 26.76% Age mean: ADHD Combined Subtype (Mean 9.78, SD 2.66) ADHD Inattentive Subtype (Mean 10.62, SD 2.79) Min age: 6 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: OtherEDAH Rating Sale a revised Spanish version of the Conners Teacher Rating Scale-Revised Timing: ConcurrentIndex test: neuropsychological,CPT AULA is a Virtual Reality based neuropsychological testSensitivity: 68 Specificity: 75 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC:Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:Internal consistency: Alpha:Test-retest: Costs:Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: AUC: NPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: AUC: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: AUC: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: AUC: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: AUC: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
neuropsychological,CPT,Activity	Gilbert, 2016 <sup>298</sup> Clinical trial N = 70 China Setting: Mixed	Target: AD/HD combined type or AD/HD hyperactivity impulsive type, IQ>=80, no disorders of consciousness or head injuries, no comorbid mental disorders, asked to abstain from taking any stimulant medication for two weeks prior to testing Other: Healthy control children recruited from a local primary school, IQ>=80 ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 8.6% 91.4 Age mean: 9.3 mean age Min age: 7 Max age: 11 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reterence standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed by clinician using DSM-IV criteria Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT,Activity Stepwise discriminant function analysis with 5 variables: Full-Scale Response Control Quotient from the Integrated Visual and Auditory Test, Full-Scale Response Attention Quotient, Kcal Wrist actigraph data, and Kcal Ankle actigraph data, and age group; movement counts from the wrist and ankle actigraphs were converted into kilocalories, i.e., units of energy expenditure Sensitivity: 80 Specificity: 90 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 82	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT Continuous performance test quotient scores (Full-Scale Response Control Quotient from the Integrated Visual and Auditory Test, Full-Scale Response Attention Quotient) Sensitivity: 59 Specificity: 81 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 70 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: neuropsychological,CPT,activity Continuous performance test quotient scores (Full-Scale Response Control Quotient from the Integrated Visual and Auditory Test, Full-Scale Response Attention Quotient) plus actigraph data (converted into kilocalories, i.e., units of energy expenditure) Sensitivity: 83 83 Specificity: 91 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 84 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: activity Continuous performance test scores (Full-Scale Response Control Quotient from the Integrated Visual and Auditory Test, Full-Scale Response Attention Quotient) plus actigraph data (converted into kilocalories, i.e., units of energy expenditure) plus age g Sensitivity: 80 Specificity: 90

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological, CPT, Activity	Luo, 2022 <sup>398</sup> Case series N = 110 China Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Target: Outpatients of Beijing Anding Hospital, IQ&gt;=70, no previous use of medication for ADHD; no comorbidity with various developmental disorders such as mental retardation and autism spectrum disorder or comorbid severe psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia and bipolar disorder</li> <li>Other: The control group recruited children with normal development and excluded other disorders and also included children with symptoms of ADHD scored by the SNAP-IV but did not meet the diagnosis of ADHD under the gold standard</li> <li>ADHD presentation: N/A</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Specialist</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 15%</li> <li>Age mean:</li> <li>8.8 (1.76) for the ADHD group, 8.95 (1.50) for the control group</li> <li>Min age: 6 Max age: 16</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Detailed clinic interview between the two senior specialists and the subject's family, as well as from clinical observations of the subject, combined with certain physical examinations to rule out other causes of the symptoms Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT,Activity Self-developed Wearable Diagnostic Assessment System (WeDA) based on the DSV-5; the user wears 6 motion sensors on their head, hands, feet and waist and complete ten tasks by interacting with a touch screen or 3D printed device within a set time frame; performance is scored based on the completion of the tasks (including accuracy, error rate, time consumption and other information), on the user's body posture (obtained through the wearable device), and on the user's body movements observed via the six motion sensors; Information was integrated and	Index test 2: Parental rating scale SNAP-IV Sensitivity: 80 (67, 89) Specificity: 76 (63, 86) PPV: 79 NPV: 78 LR+: Accuracy: 78 AUC: 0.907 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Random forest and Bayesian network were employed to build diagnosis models Sensitivity: 98 (89, 100) Specificity: 95 (84, 99) PPV: 98 NPV: 95 LR+: 52 LR-: 0.06 Accuracy: 96 AUC: 0.964 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Coste:	LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,CPT, EF	Breaux, 2016 <sup>170</sup> Case series N = 168 US Setting: Primary Care	<b>Target:</b> Children presenting with elevated levels of externalizing problems at age 3 who were diagnosed with ADHD or ADHD+ODD at age 6; no intellectual disability, deafness, blindness, language delay, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, autism, and/or psychosis; children were not asked to discontinue medication <b>Other:</b> Children presenting with elevated levels of externalizing problems at age 3 who were	<b>Reference standard:</b> Clinical diagnosis Trained psychology graduate students assigned diagnoses of ADHD and ODD based on measures administered at age 6: Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children– IV (NIMH DISC-IV), BASC (for mother, father, and teacher), and Disruptive Behavior Rating Scale (fo Timing: Concurrent	Index test 2: CPT,EF Battery of measures including NEPSY Statue, Present task, and the Conners Kiddie Continuous Performance Test ADHD Confidence Index Sensitivity: 65 Specificity: 69 PPV: 63

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		not not diagnosed with ADHD at age 6; 13% of participants diagnosed with ODD only <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 8,hyperactive : 17,combined : 75 <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Other (specify) Graduate student <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 38.67% 16 ADHD only, 13 ADHD + ODD <b>Age mean:</b> NA <b>Min age:</b> 3 <b>Max age:</b> 6 <b>Ethnicity:</b> % Hispanic or Latino : 22.6,Other : predominately Puerto Rican % Black/African American : 10.1 % White : 53.6 % Multiracial : 13.7 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Index test: neuropsychological,CPT,EF Battery of measures including NEPSY Statue, Present task, and the Conners Kiddie Continuous Performance Test ADHD Confidence Index plus hyperactivity/impulsivity and inattention symptoms at age 3 Sensitivity: 64 Specificity: 75 PPV: 67 NPV: 72 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 70 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	NPV: 71 LR+: Accuracy: 67 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: neuropsychological Delay Aversion: Present task Sensitivity: 55 55 Specificity: 66 PPV: 67 NPV: 64 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: neuropsychological,CPT Inhibition/Attention: K-CPT ADHD Confidence Index; produced by a discriminant function analysis consisting of percent omissions, gender, age, standard error by ISI, hit reaction time, response style,

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				attentiveness, and reaction time by block Sensitivity: 62 Specificity: 68 PPV: 61 NPV: 69 AUC: Index text 5: Neuropsychological Attention,Impulsivity,Working memory
neuropsychological,CPT,EF	Faraone, 2016 <sup>266</sup> Case series N = 113 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Consecutive patients referred to a child psychiatrist diagnosed with ADHD; no history of psychosis or neurological disorder, low intellectual functioning, substance use disorders, conduct disorder, tic disorders, or physical impairments precluding game play; participants did not take stimulant medication on the testing days Other: Consecutive patients referred to a child psychiatrist not diagnosed with ADHD; may have major depressive disorder, dysthymia, generalized anxiety disorder, anxiety disorder not otherwise specified (NOS), social phobia, oppositional defiant disorder, panic ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 43% Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Kiddie-Schedule of Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia- Present and Lifetime (K- SADS-PL), Version 19, a semistructured diagnostic interview by a psychiatric nurse and reviewed by two psychiatrists Timing: Concurrent Index test: neuropsychological,CPT,EF The Groundskeeper game was designed to measure attention capabilities on a go/no go task, with the addition of visual, auditory, and visuo-spatial distractions at various frequencies. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Parent-rated Conners subscales as a predictor of ADHD diagnoses Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.76 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		groups differed significantly in age (12.3 vs. 13.6; p=0.01) Min age: 6 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: % White : 88,Other : in ADHD group, 82% in control group Other info on race or ethnicity:	Accuracy: AUC: 0.79 Rater agreement: Kappa 0.15 for Groundskeeper versus Conners ( $z = 1.6$ , $p = 0.06$ ), 0.18 for Groundskeeper versus CPT ( $z = 1.9$ , $p = 0.9$ ), and 0.3 for Conners versus CPT ( $z = 3.2$ , $p = 0.0007$ ) Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: neuropsychological,CPT Conners Continuous Performance Test II (CPT II) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.62 Rater agreement: Index text 4: neuropsychological,CPT,EF Combined the significant Groundskeeper factors with the Conners inattention subscale and the CPT percent correct in the same model Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: 0.87 Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
neuropsychological, CPT, EF	Jimenez-Figueroa, 2017 <sup>341</sup> Case series N = 103 Colombia Setting: School	Target: Spanish native speakers; attend school of medium socio-economic stratum in Barranquilla, Colombia; both parents alive; parents and teachers complete the screening ADHD checklist; IQ >=70; no clinical history of any major neurologic disease and/or developmental disorders or psychotic disorders Other: Children without ADHD ADHD presentation: inattentive : 30.1,combined : 69.9 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.1% Age mean: 7.75 (1.46) ADHD group, 8.84 (1.54) control group Min age: 6 Max age: 11 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Community has predominantly mix ethnicity (racial intermix between white European [Andalusian-Spanish], black African, Syrian- Lebanese [Arabian], Jewish, and Amerindian people)	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosis made by neuropsychologist using DSM-V criteria Timing: Concurrent Index test: neuropsychological,CPT,EF Multi-operational apparatus for reaction times (MOART): the visual signal reaction times for prepotent response (PR–RT) and Go/No-Go tasks. PR-based variables were used in a predictive setting to determine their potential for discriminating ADHD- affected individuals from healthy controls. Sensitivity: 68 (60, 80) Specificity: 84 (74, 93) PPV: 90 NPV: 55 LR+: 4.16 LR-: 0.38 Accuracy: 79 AUC: 0.73 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Costs:	Index text 5:
neuropsychological, CPT, EF	Newman, 2017 <sup>450</sup> Case series N = 152 US Setting: N/A	Target: No diagnosis of brain injury or seizure disorder and/or treated pharmacologically for psychiatric conditions other than ADHD Other: Age, gender, and race-matched children not diagnosed with ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 31.6% Age mean: 8.68 (1.84) Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 51.3 % White : 48.7 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD diagnosis from a pediatric neurologist, psychiatrist, and/or psychologist using DSM-IV-TR criteria Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT,EF The Pediatric Attention Disorders Diagnostic Screener (PADDS) includes 4 components: A Computer Administered/Scored Diagnostic Interview, the Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham-IV (SNAP-IV) questionnaire (parent and/or teacher), the Target Tests of Executive Functioning (3 computer-based tasks), and a Nomographic Evidence-Based Report Analysis that combines the incremental validation of information from parent and teacher ratings with results from the three executive functioning tests to determine the likelihood of an ADHD diagnosis Sensitivity: 88 Specificity: 84	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Accuracy: NPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: NPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: NPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: NPV:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			PPV: 85 NPV: 88 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 86 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,CPT,EF	Peijnenborgh, 2016 <sup>459</sup> Case series N = 136 Netherlands Setting: Mixed	Target: Patients of the outpatient clinic Center for Neurological Learning Disabilities, without comorbid DSM-V diagnosis, without medication for attentional problems and hyperactive behavior Other: Typically developing children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 25% Age mean: 6.90 (0.74) Min age: 6 Max age: 8	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-V Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT,EF A computer-based game developed to assess specific cognitive functions (eg, attention, planning, and working memory), time perception, and reward mechanisms in young school-aged children Sensitivity: 89 Specificity: 69 PPV:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 78 (76/97) of the children were correctly classified as being in the ADHD group or in the control group AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological, CPT, EF	Williams, 2010 <sup>20</sup> Case series N = 350 Australia Setting: N/A	Target: IQ >= 80; no personal or family history of Axis I psychiatric disorder other than oppositional defiant disorder, learning disorder, conduct disorder, depression, and anxiety; free of a physical brain injury, neurologic disorder, genetic disorder, other serious medical conditions, drugs, and alcohol Other: Age, sex, school grade, and IQ matched healthy control subjects ADHD presentation: inattentive : 38,hyperactive : 3,combined : 59	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Clinical interview using DSM-IV criteria by referring pediatrician, and Conner's Parent Rating Scales: Revised-Long Version Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,CPT,EF Cognitive and brain-function assessments using proprietary testing software "IntegNeuro" and "LabNeuro" from Brain Resource Ltd. Combination of sustained attention, impulsivity, intrusions, inhibition,	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT,EF Cognitive and brain-function assessments using proprietary testing software "IntegNeuro" and "LabNeuro" from Brain Resource Ltd. Combination of sustained attention, impulsivity, intrusions, inhibition, and response variablility. Severity threshold for det

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Diagnosed by: Provider Comorbidity: N/A Female: 23% Age mean: 12.29 (3.08) for ADHD group, 12.24 (3.10) for control group Min age: 6 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: % Asian : 37 % White : 63 Other info on race or ethnicity:	and response variablility. Severity threshold for determining impairment <= 1.0 SD below the mean. Sensitivity: 88 Specificity: 91 PPV: 96 NPV: 88 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: 84 Specificity: 94 PPV: 88 NPV: 95 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Neuropsychological Attention
neuropsychological,EF	Boucugnani, 1989 <sup>167</sup> Case series N = 56 US Setting: N/A	Target: Children with ADHD and free of medication at least 16 hours before testing Other: Age and gender-matched neurotypical developing children; identified by teacher report as achieving on grade level or above and as experiencing no significant behavioral or attentional problems in the classroom ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 14% Age mean: Min age: 7 Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Diagnosis made by a psychologist,         physician, or psychiatrist using DSM-III         criteria and Child Behavior Checklist         Inattentive subscale, Bristol Social         Adjustment Guides Inconsequence scale,         and the Connors Rating Scale Hyperativity         Index parent or teacher         Timing:         Index test: neuropsychological,EF         Stepwise discriminant function analysis:         final multivariate linear equation included         the Trail-Making test- Part B and the         Wisconsin Card Sorting Test perseverative         responses, failure to maintain set, and         perseverative errors         Sensitivity:         Specificity:         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR-:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Accuracy: 79 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,EF	Canivez, 2016 <sup>178</sup> Case series N = 40 US Setting: School	Target: 15% receive special education Other: Control group children randomly selected and attempted matching of sex, age, race, and special education classification ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20% Age mean: 6.60 (1.14) for ADHD group, 7.45(0.51) for control group Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 2.5 % White : 77.5	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental         Disorders (4th ed., text rev.; DSM- IV-TR)         criteria for ADHD         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: neuropsychological,EF The         Das–Naglieri Cognitive Assessment System         is a test of cognitive abilities based on the         Planning, Attention, Simultaneous, and         Successive Theory         Sensitivity: 80         Specificity: 75         PPV: 76         NPV: 79         LR+:         LR-:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity:
Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
------------------------	---	--	--	--
		% Multiracial : 15 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 5% No response for race/ethnicity	Accuracy: 78 AUC: 0.846 Rater agreement: Cognitive Assessment System discriminant function analysis classifications versus a priori diagnosis Kappa: 0.550 ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological, EF	Chan, 2022 <sup>185</sup> Case series N = 188 China Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Recruited through posting ads at parent groups of various social media and mass mail; diagnosed with ADHD; 30.85% comorbid with special learning difficulties including dyslexia, 10.64% had other comorbidities including special language impairment, emotional disorders, gross and fine motor difficulties, oppositional defiant disorder, limited intelligence, and social and communication disorder <b>Other:</b> Age- and gender-matched typically developing children without any reported diagnosis of developmental disorders, psychiatric disorders, and subjective complaints	Costs:         Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Diagnosed by a psychiatrist, pediatrician, or         clinical psychologist at the Child         Assessment Centre or at private clinics         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: neuropsychological,EF Online         assessment consisting of two temporal-         order judgment tasks: one task used tone         pairs presented with two interstimulus         intervals (ISI) and the other task used pairs         of consonant-vowel (CV) syllables with 20         varying ISI levels, participants were asked         to determine the sequence of the sound         pairs; hierarchical binary logistic regression         using accuracy in ISI 40ms in the tone task	Index test 0: Index test 0: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		from parents on children's difficulty in attention or self-control; recruited through posting <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 28% <b>Age mean:</b> 9.55 (2.01) for the ADHD group, 9.56 (2.52) for the typically developing group <b>Min age:</b> 5 <b>Max age:</b> 17 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	and ISI passing threshold in the CV task, ROC analysis Sensitivity: 76 Specificity: 51 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.67 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological, EF	Chelune, 1986 <sup>189</sup> Case series N = 48 US Setting: N/A	Target: Medication free for at least 16 hours prior to testing Other: Normal controls from previous study; matched for age, sex, and both maternal and paternal educational backgrounds ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A	<b>Reference standard:</b> Clinical diagnosis The ADD subjects all met minimal DSM-III criteria for ADD as determned by their treating physicians. Parent and/or teacher Conners' Rating Scales were available on 19 of the ADD children (16 having both); two psychiatrists independently reviewed the ADD c Timing:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Female: 29% Age mean: 9.4 Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Index test: neuropsychological,EF Stepwise discriminant function analysis; final variables in the multivariate linear equation were the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test Persevarative Errors and Failures to Maintain Set, Color Forms Time and Errors, and the Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children Number Recall and Gestalt Closure. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 85 AUC: Rater agreement: Two psychiatrists independently reviewed the ADD children's charts and made ratings on 5-point scales for 1) how well each child's clinical presentation fit with DSM-III criteria; and 2) response to medication Kappa: 0.71 for the pooled DSM-III ratings and 0.75 for the pooled medication response ratings ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Costs: Misdiagnosis:	
			Labeling:	
			Costs:	
neuropsychological, EF	Culbertson, 1998 <sup>217</sup> Case series N = 155 US Setting: Mixed	Target: Children drawn from consecutive referrals to a clinic specializing in the neuropsychological evaluation and treatment of ADHD; no history of mental retardation, severe psychiatric disturbance, or neurological injury/ disorder; comorbidities present in 46 of the ADHD children including oppositional defiant/conduct disorders, anxiety disorders, depressive disorders, adjustment disorders, and learning disabilities Other: Children nominated by teachers from a suburban, middle-class community who exhibited at least average academic performance in the classroom and no behavioral or work study problems ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 27% Age mean: Min age: 7 Max age: 12	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Diagnosis using DSM-III-R criteria         determined by structured parent interview,         teacher and parent rating scales, and         objective neuropsychological testing by a         licensed psychologist         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: neuropsychological,EF Tower of         London - Drexel (total move and rule         violation scores)         Sensitivity: 64         Specificity: 80         PPV: 85         NPV:         LR+:         LR-:         Accuracy: 70         AUC:         Rater agreement:         Kappa:         ICC:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

ndex Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
-		Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Ethnicity: % White : 96 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Internal consistency: Alpha: 30 ADHD participants (ages 7 to 10) were assessed on two occasions in a standardized manner with the temporal interval between assessment averaging 16.3 days (SD 8.9, range 7 to 41 days) Test-retest: 0.81 (p<0.05) for total test score, 0.79 (p<0.05) for total time violations, and 0.42 (p<0.005) for total rule violations Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological, EF	EI-Sayed, 1999 <sup>250</sup> Case series N = 159 Sweden Setting: Mixed	Target: Consecutive cases with ADHD selected from 3 sites Other: Neurotypical children recruited from normal public schools from the same areas as the patients ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 14% Age mean: 10.5 for ADHD group, 10.2 for neurotypical group Min age: 6 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF Gordon Diagnostic System Delay Task measuring impulse control, strategic planning, motivational effect, sense of time and rediness to respond generating an "Efficiency Ratio"score, cut-off <=0.78 Sensitivity: 59 Specificity: 81 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,CPT Gordon Diagnostic System Vigilance Task measuring the ability to sustain attention over a 9 minute period generating a "Correct Responses" score, cut-off <=38 Sensitivity: 49 Specificity: 87 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.72 Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC: 0.72 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: neuropsychological,CPT Gordon Diagnostic System Vigilance Task measuring the ability to sustain attention over a 9 minute period generating a "Errors of Commission" score, cut-off >7 Sensitivity: 51 51 Specificity: 85 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.73 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year;	Setting;	Reference standard;	
	Multiple publications;	Study target:	Index test;	
e	Study design:	ADHD presentation:	Diagnostic accuracy:	
ур	Study size:	Diagnosis:	Rater agreement:	
Ť	Location	Comorbidity;	Other outcomes	
de		% Female;		
Ĕ		Age mean;		
		Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
	Ferrin, 2012 <sup>270</sup>	Target: All stimulant medication naive at the	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
	Case series	time of their assessment and had only received	ADHD status was categorically defined by	Sensitivity:
	N = 1 185	school-based individual and/or group	the semistructured clinical interview of their	Specificity:
	Avetaelie	psychosocial treatments.	parent's K–SADS–PL, and dimensionally by	PPV:
	Australia	Other: Typically developing children and	the Conners' Global Index (CGI) based on	NPV:
	Setting: Mixed	adolescents	DSM–IV criteria	LR+:
		ADHD presentation: inattentive :	l iming: Prior diagnosis	Accuracy:
		24.8.hyperactive : 7.2.combined : 67.9	la des testa a successively de sisel FF Osenad	AUC:
		Diagnosod by: Specialist	Index test: neuropsychological,EF Scored	Rater agreement:
			tetel agers of 12 or over	Kappa:
				Internal consistency:
ш		Female: 22%	Sensitivity: 67	Alpha:
Ξ		Age mean:	Specificity: 89	Лірпа.
cal		131 44 months (38 93) for the ADHD group and	PPV: 98	Costs:
jĝi		133.16 months (27.95) for the comparison	NPV: 25	
		aroup	LR+: 6.16	Index test 3:
\c		Min ago: 6 Max ago: 16	LR-: 0.37	Soncitivity:
bs				Specificity:
<u>or</u>		Ethnicity:	AUC: 0.779 (95% CI 0.742–0.816)	
Jer		Other into on race or ethnicity: N/A	Rater agreement:	NPV <sup>.</sup>
-			Карра:	IR+:
				Accuracy:
				AUC:
			Internal consistency:	_
			Alpna:	Rater agreement:
			Test-retest:	
			Costs:	Index text 4:
			Misdiagnosis:	Sensitivity:
				Specificity:
			Labeling:	
			Costs:	
				AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
neuropsychological,EF	Garcia-Sanchez, 1997 <sup>284</sup> Case series N = 60 Spain Setting: School	Target: Teenagers diagnosed with ADD with hyperactivity or ADD without hyperactivity by school psychologists using DSM-III criteria Other: Schoolmates of ADD group ADHD presentation: N/A : 64% ADD with hyperactivity, 36% ADD without hyperactivity Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 40% Age mean: 14.8 (0.5) for ADHD group, 14.9 (0.7) for control group Min age: 14 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosis by school psychologists, family interview, Conners Teacher Rating Scale, Paced Auditory Addition Task, and Continuous Performance Test with and without auditory interference Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF Neuropsychological tests developed for the assessment of visuospatial skills and are sensitive tasks for right hemisphere functions. Discriminant function analysis; final model included correct score from the WAIS Block-Design, correct score from the Benton's Line Orientation, and the correct score from the Raven's Progressive Matrices; 3 way classification (ADD with hyperactivity vs ADD without hyperactivity vs controls) Sensitivity: 53% for ADD with hyperactivity, 56% for ADD without hyperactivity	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Alpha: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Alpha: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Alpha: Sensitivity: Specificity: Speci

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 65 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,EF	Geurts, 2004 <sup>293</sup> Case series N = 136 Netherlands Setting: Mixed	Target: Children with ADHD and children with ADHD+ODD/CD; recruited from parents affiliated with the national parent association of children with ADHD or from 11 special educational services for children with extreme behavioral problems; required not to use any medication; IQ>=80; children with OCD, Tourette syndrome, and pervasive developmental disorders were excluded; medication discontinued at least 20 hours prior to testing Other: Neurotypical developing children from 4 regular schools and another research sample with the same recruitment methods, IQ>=80, no	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Child Communication Checklist parent and teacher, Disruptive Behavior Disorder rating scale parent and teacher, Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children for DSM-IV parent version, and Revised Autism Diagnostic Interview Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF 3 group discriminant function analysis (ADHD vs high functioning autism vs neurotypical); z- scores of the following variables were included as predictors: Stop Signal	Index test 2: neuropsychological,EF 2 group discriminant function analysis (ADHD vs high functioning autism); z-scores of the following variables were included as predictors: Stop Signal Reaction Time, Self- Ordered Pointing task beta errors, Tower of London beta execution time, Wisconsin Ca Sensitivity: Specificity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		history of behavioral problems or a learning disability; Children with high functioning autism recruited from institutions sp <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 30,hyperactive : 3,combined : 67 <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Unclear/NR <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 0% <b>Age mean:</b> 9.3 (2.0) for ADHD group, 9.1 (1.7) for normal control group, and 9.4 (1.8) for high functioning autism group <b>Min age:</b> 6 <b>Max age:</b> 12 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reaction Time, Self-Ordered Pointing task beta errors, Tower of London beta execution time, Wisconsin Card Sorting test percentage, perseverative responses, aggregated verbal fluency score, and aggregated non-exectutive function task score; leave-one-out cross-validation Sensitivity: 69 Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 61 56% using leave-one-out cross validation AUC: Rater agreement: In order to take into account chance agreement, the kappa coefficient was computed. A value of 1 for Kappa indicates perfect prediction, while a value of 0 indicates chance-level prediction Kappa: 0.40 ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 71 69% using leave- one-out cross validation AUC: Rater agreement: In order to take into account chance agreement, the kappa coefficient was computed. A value of 1 for Kappa indicates perfect prediction, while a value of 0 indicates chance-level prediction Kappa:0.38 Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,EF	Grodzinsky, 1992 <sup>305</sup> Case series N = 130 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Consecutive referrals to an outpatient unit specializing in the treatment of hyperactive children diagnosed with ADHD; children with language-based learning disabilities or clinically significant conduct disorder were excluded; all male; FSIQ between 85 and 125 Other: "Snowball" technique: Parents of ADHD boys referred peer(s) of their son's, parents of these children referred other children; also recruited through a local newspaper ad; all male; FSIQ between 85 and 125 ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean: Min age: 6 Max age: 11 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Medical history, parental interview, Children's Attention Profile; a teacher- completed inventory consisting of the 12 most discriminating geatures selected from the Inattention and Overactive subscales of the Child Behavior Cheklist-Teacher Form Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF Stepwise discriminant function analysis; variables included are commissions and omissions scores from the vigilance portion of the Gordon Diagnositc System and the Interference subtest of the Stroop test Sensitivity: 82 Specificity: 80 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 81	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Accuracy: NPV: NP

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC:	AUC:
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Rater agreement: Index text 4:
			Internal consistency: Alpha:	Sensitivity: Specificity:
			Test-retest: Costs:	NPV: AUC:
			Misdiagnosis:	Index text 5
			Labeling:	
	Hinshow 2002 <sup>322</sup>	Taract: Poerwited from multiple sources to	Costs: Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
EF	Case series N = 228 US Setting: Other	arget: Recruited from multiple sources to attend one of three consecutive summer research programs; all female; testing performed without stimulant medication (minimum 24 hour washout period); IQ>=70; common comorbidities not excluded (disruptive	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham (SNAP) Parent and Teacher Scales, Child Behavior Checklist, Teacher Report Form, Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (DISC-IV) Timing: Prior diagnosis	neuropsychological,EF Three category (ADHD combined vs ADHD inattentive vs comparison) discriminant function analysis
neuropsychological,		benavior disorders, anxiety disorders, depression)         Other: Recruited from multiple sources to attend one of three consecutive summer research programs; age and ethnicity-matched; all female; IQ>=70; girls with ODD or internalizing disorders not excluded from comparison group         ADHD presentation: inattentive : 34, combined : 66	<b>Index test:</b> neuropsychological,EF Binary (ADHD vs comparison) discriminant function analysis; variables included in final model were Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Design errors, Porteus Maze test age, Cancel Underlining Test, Word Attack, Grooved Pegboard, Continuous Performance Test omissions, and Rapid Automatized Naming scores	Sensitivity: 63% for ADHD combined, 16% for ADHD inattentive Specificity: 73 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 57 AUC:
		Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A	Sensitivity: 78 Specificity: 58	Kaler agreement: Kappa:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Female: 100% Age mean: Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 11 % Black/African American : 27 % Asian : 9 % White : 53 Other info on race or ethnicity:	PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 78 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
neuropsychological,EF	Juneja, 2019 <sup>346</sup> Case series N = 100 India Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children presenting with features suggestive of ADHD at a pediatric outpatient department; IQ>=70; No neurological disorders likely to affect upper limb motor performance or compliance with directions for the test, and had not received any treatment for behavioral problems/ADHD Other: Age and sex-matched controls enrolled from a pediatric outpatient department ADHD presentation: inattentive : 20,hyperactive : 2,combined : 78 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean: Median (IQR) of whole sample (n=100): 9 (8,12) years Min age: 8 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD was diagnosed by a developmental pediatrician using the DSM-V criteria, after interviewing the child and the parents. CPRS and CTRS were administered, and scores on various sub-scales were obtained Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF Children's Color Trails Test (CCTT) was administered to all the subjects by a blinded clinical psychologist. This test has two parts – Part 1 (CCTT1) is a page with circled numbers 1-15 placed randomly on a paper (even numbers printed in yellow circles and odd in pink circles). The child has to rapidly connect numbers in sequence using a pencil. CCTT takes 15-20 minutes for administration. The examiner records the time taken to complete each trail and errors committed, to arrive at the score of each part. Sensitivity: 74 (60, 85) Specificity: 74 (60, 85) PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.800 Rater agreement:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,EF In part 2 (CCTT2) of the test, numbers from 2–15 are presented twice, as both pink and yellow circles. The child has to rapidly connect the numbered circles in sequence, alternating between pink and yellow circles. CCTT takes 15- 20 minutes for administrat Sensitivity: 84 (71, 93) Specificity: 72 (58, 84) PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.854 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological, EF	Krieger, 2021 <sup>373</sup> Case series N = 260 Spain Setting: Specialty care	Target: No history of tics; neurological disorders, or sensory impairments (seizures or brain injury); mental health conditions including autism spectrum disorder, motor or communication disorders and Tourette's syndrome, IQ (General Ability Index) > 85. Participants taking psychostimulant medication were asked to withhold medication for 24 hours prior to each testing session Other: Typically developing children <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 50.7,combined : 49.3 <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 26.09% <b>Age mean:</b> ADHD-Combined 12.91 (12.04), ADHD- Inattentive 11.26 (2.34), Typically developing 11.70 (2.35)	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Participants required to meet established criteria in DSM-5, confirmed by two psychologist and a psychiatrist who specialize in child and adolescents Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF For 8-12 year olds: working memory and processing speed assessed with Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC-IV) and attention with the d2 attention test; stepwise discriminant analysis Sensitivity: 76 Specificity: 93 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,EF For 13-16 year olds: working memory and processing speed assessed with Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC-IV) and attention with the d2 attention test; discriminant function analysis. Stepwise discriminant analysis Sensitivity: 79 Specificity: 78 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Min age: 8 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Alpha: Costs: Index test 3:
			Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,EF	Mayes, 2004 <sup>412</sup> Case series N = 809 US Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Target: IQ&gt;=80, referred for learning, attention, and/or behavior problems, off medication for testing, and no head injury with loss of consciousness, 54% had a comorbid mood or behavior disorder, 76% had a comorbid learning disorder</li> <li>Other: Children with autism, brain injury, or mood and behavior disorders with or without learning disorders</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis DSM-IV diagnoses agreed upon by both a child psychologist and child psychiatrist Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF 12 Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children- Third Edition (WISC-III) subtests comprising the four Indexes, Verbal Comprehension, Perceptual Organization, Freedom from	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 21,combined : 79 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 26% female in entire sample Age mean: 9 (3) Min age: 6 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: % White : 92 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 8% were Black, Hispanic, or Asian	Distractibility, and Processing Speed and the Wechsler Individual Achievement Test (WIAT) Basic Reading Compreshension, Numberical Operations, and Written Expression subtests; classification using the Low Coding or Freedom from Distractibility Index (FDI) without Low Comprehension Profile, ADHD group combined with learning disability group for the predictive validity analysis Sensitivity: 59 Specificity: 77 PPV: 93 NPV: 27 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
neuropsychological, EF	Moura, 2017 <sup>434</sup> Case series N = 116 Portugal Setting: Specialty care	Ethnicity         Target: Children with ADHD only and with ADHD+developmental dyslexia; (a)IQ ≥ 85; (b) native speakers of European Portuguese; (c) absence of a visual, hearing, or motor handicap; and (d) never diagnosed with a language impairment; emotional disturbance; developmental dyscalculia; disruptive, impulse- control, and conduct disorders; neurological impairment or other psychiatric disorder         Other: Typically developing children; children with developmental dyslexia only not included in abstracted outcomes         ADHD presentation: N/A         Diagnosed by: Provider         Comorbidity: N/A         Female: 75%         ADHD+DD group 77.8% female         Age mean: 8.79 (0.73)         Min age: 8 Max age: 10         Ethnicity:         Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Diagnosis of ADHD only was confirmed by a comprehensive clinical diagnostic assessment made by two qualified neurodevelopmental pediatricians. The assessments were based on a clinical evaluation during an interview session using the DSM-4th edition (Ameri Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: neuropsychological,EF Shifting - Trail-B: The Trail-B subtest from the BANC was administered to examine participants' shifting ability. The Trail-B subtest requires the child to draw a line connecting 25 circles containing numbers or letters randomly distributed on a sheet of paper, alternating between numbers and letters (1, A, 2, B, etc.). ADHD only vs typically devloping children         Sensitivity: 56         Specificity: 79         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR-:         Accuracy:         AUC: 0.727         Rater agreement:         Kappa:         ICC:         Internal consistency:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,EF Visuospatial short-term memory - corsi blocks: The Corsi Blocks and the Rey Complex Figure subtests from the BANC were administered to measure visuospatial short-term memory. ADHD only vs typically devloping children Sensitivity: 63 Specificity: 62 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.744 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: neuropsychological,EF Naming speed - RAN: The Naming Speed subtest from the BANC comprises two tasks. In the RAN task, the child was asked to name 50 visual stimuli (numbers 2, 4, 6, 7, and 9) as

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	quickly as possible, which were randomly displayed on a card in a 10 × 5 matrix. Sensitivity: 75 75 Specificity: 88 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.844 Rater agreement: Index text 4: neuropsychological,EF Naming speed - RAS: The Naming Speed subtest from the BANC comprises two tasks. In the Rapid Alternating Stimulus (RAS) task, the child was asked to name 50 visual stimuli (circle, rectangle, square, and triangle, which were colored yellow, red, black, an Sensitivity: 75 Specificity: 88 PPV: NPV: AUC: 0.825 Index text 5: Neuropsychological Processing speed,Working memory

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
neuropsychological, EF	Moura, 2019 <sup>433</sup> Case series N = 179 Portugal Setting: Primary Care	Parget: Native speakers of European Portuguese, with no neurological impairment, no visual, motor, or hearing impairments, no language impairment, no oppositional defiant disorder or conduct disorders; children on psychostimulants did not receive medication during the week of evaluation Other: Age and gender matched children <b>ADHD presentation</b> : inattentive : 36.7,hyperactive : 36.7,combined : 26.5 <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 23.5% <b>Age mean:</b> 8.55 (1.92) <b>Min age:</b> 6 <b>Max age:</b> 12 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed using the DSM-5, ADHD confirmed by psychologist Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC-III) Freedom from Distractibility Index, 4 lowest subtests Sensitivity: 28 Specificity: 95 PPV: 87 NPV: 52 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,EF Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC-III) Freedom from Distractibility Index composite score, optimal cut-off score <=17 Sensitivity: 49 Specificity: 91 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.781 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,EF	Pauli-Pott, 2021 <sup>458</sup> Case series N = 138 Germany Setting: Community	Target: Children who scored in the upper quartile an ADHD screening questionnaire completed by their parent at age 4-5; IQ>=80; no chronic diseases involving brain functions, any continuous pharmacological treatment, and insufficient German language skills of the parent or child; diagnosed with ADHD at age 8 Other: Children who scored in the upper quartile an ADHD screening questionnaire completed by their parent at age 4-5; not diagnosed with ADHD at age 8 ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 41% in entire sample Age mean: 4.9 (0.5) Age at follow up assessment 8.4 (0.3) Min age: 4 Max age: 5 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Parents and child care teachers completed the ADHD rating scale (FBB-ADHS-V) of the Diagnostic System for Psychiatric Disorders (DISYPS-II) done at first assessment at 4 to 5 years old. Investigator (psychologist), who was blind to all data of the first a Timing: Later diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF Task- based neuropsychological impulsivity measure. Two tasks on hot inhibitory control and one task on behavioral approach tendency done at first assessment at 4 to 5 years old. Impulsivity measure cut-point used to predict ADHD diagnosis at 8 years old Sensitivity: 76 Specificity: 70 PPV: NPV: LR+:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,EF	Pineda, 2011 <sup>465</sup> Case series N = 288 Colombia Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Target: Children with ADHD selected from Paisa families inhabiting the Medellin metropolitan area of the State of Antioquia, Columbia; required to have Paisa descent for more than two generations and more than two members affected with ADHD; pedigrees with bilineal transmission of ADHD were excluded; IQ&gt;=81</li> <li>Other: Children without ADHD selected from Paisa families inhabiting the Medellin metropolitan area of the State of Antioquia, Columbia; required to have Paisa descent for more than two generations and more than two members affected with ADHD; pedigrees with bil</li> <li>ADHD presentation: N/A</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis The diagnostic interview for children and adolescents-revised-parent version (DICA- IV-P) Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF Generalized linear model with a binomial link including sex, the Wechsler intelligence scale for children-revised block design, the A cancelation and vigilance test correct response, the Rey-Osterrieth complex figure test copy time, copy, and memory time, the semantic Verbal fluency test, and the Token test Sensitivity: 81 at 0.2759 cutoff	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 23% Age mean: 9.63 (2.74) for ADHD group, 11.47 (3.03) for the non-ADHD group Min age: 6 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 100% Paisa	Specificity: 81 at 0.2759 cutoff PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.862 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,EF	Qin , 2018 <sup>475</sup> Case series N = 275 China Setting: Mixed	Target: IQ>=85. No intellectual disability, learning disorder, tic disorders and autism spectrum disorder, and no history of treatment for ADHD using medications Other: Healthy children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 17% Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Clinical diagnosis made by psychiatrists using DSM-IV criteria Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF The Das-Naglieri Cognitive Assessment System (DN: CAS). Test of cognitive abilities based on four cognitive processes. Two different sets of tests were carried out according to various age groups (5–7 year-olds and 8– 17 year-olds). Classification performance of	Index test 2: neuropsychological,EF The Das-Naglieri Cognitive Assessment System (DN: CAS). Test of cognitive abilities based on four cognitive processes. Two different sets of tests were carried out according to various age groups (5–7 year-olds and 8–17 year-olds). Classification performa Sensitivity: 79

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author year	Setting.	Reference standard	Additional maps toolo
	Multiple publications:	Study target:	Index test	
a	Study design:	ADHD presentation:	Diagnostic accuracy:	
۲p	Study size:	Diagnosis:	Bater agreement	
É.	Location	Comorbidity:	Other outcomes	
ех	Location	% Female:	Other outcomes	
pu		Ago moon:		
_		Age mean, Minimum ago:		
		Maximum age,		
		Ttheiaity		
		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Etillicity} \\ 0.1 (2.1) \text{ for ADUD group } 0.2 (1.5) \text{ for control} \end{array}$	Dianning subscele when the out off point	Specificity 59
			Planning subscale when the cut-on point	
		group	was set at 25-points.	
		Min age: Max age:	Sensitivity: 73	
		Ethnicity:	Specificity: 79	
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	PPV:	Accuracy:
		- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	NPV:	AUC: 0.730
			IR+:	Rater agreement:
			IR-:	Kappa:
			Accuracy:	· · · · F F - · ·
			AUC: 0.808	Internal consistency:
				Alpha:
			Rater agreement:	Costs
			Карра:	COSIS.
			ICC:	Index test 3:
			Internal consistency:	index lest 5.
			Alpha:	Sensitivity:
			Alpha.	Specificity:
			Test-retest:	PPV:
			Costs:	NPV:
				LR+:
			Misdiagnosis:	Accuracy:
			Labeling:	AUC:
			Costs:	Rater agreement:
				Index text 4:
				Sensitivity:
				Specificity:
				PP\/·
				NPV <sup>.</sup>
				AUC
				100.
				Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
neuropsychological,EF	Skogli, 2013 <sup>329</sup> Case series N = 130 Norway Setting: Specialty care	Target: Recruited as consecutive referrals from         7 outpatient Child and Adolescent Mental         Health Centers for assessment of ADHD; IQ>=         70, not on medication         Other: Recruited from local schools; IQ>=70         ADHD presentation: N/A         Diagnosed by: Specialist         Comorbidity: N/A         Female: 46%         Age mean:         11.2 for ADHD boys, 11.9 for ADHD girls, 11.4 for control boys, 11.9 for control girls         Min age: 8 Max age: 17         Ethnicity:         Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisKiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders andSchizophrenia semi-structured clinicalinterviews conducted separately forchildren/adolescents and parents, ADHDRating Scale IV, and teacher reportsTiming: ConcurrentIndex test: neuropsychological,EF RandomForest classification using EF testsassessing working memory, inhibition,cognitive flexibility, planning, and verbalfluency; 75/25 testing/validation splitperformed 5,000 times on different randomsplits; ADHD boys versus control boysSensitivity:Specificity:PPV:NPV:LR+:LR-:Accuracy: 73 SD 7.8AUC:Rater agreement: Observed classificationresults versus expected classificationresultsKappa: 0.466 (SD 0.152)ICC:Internal consistency:Alpha:Test-retest:	Index test 2: neuropsychological,EF Random Forest classification using EF tests assessing working memory, inhibition, cognitive flexibility, planning, and verbal fluency; 75/25 testing/validation split performed 5,000 times on different random splits; ADHD girls versus control girls Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 79 SD 7.8 AUC: Rater agreement: Observed classification results versus expected classification results Kappa:0.507 (SD 0.175) Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Costs:	LR+:
			Misdiagnosis:	AUC:
			Labeling:	Rater agreement:
			Costs:	
				Index text 4:
				Sensitivity: Specificity:
				PPV:
				NPV:
				AUC:
				Index text 5:
	Webster, 2000 <sup>597</sup>	Target: Children referred to a private clinic for	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
	Case series	psychoeducational evaluations who had been	ADHD group had been previously	Sensitivity:
	N = 132	previously identified by at least two	diagnosed by at least 2 professionals as	
	US	ADHD+learning disability, or ADHD-	Timing: Prior diagnosis	NPV:
Щ	Setting: Specialty	predominantly inactive type	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LR+:
al,E	care	Other: Children referred for other reasons such	Index test: neuropsychological,EF Learning	Accuracy:
gica		as underachievement, family problems, or	Efficiency Test -II	AUC:
oloi		emotional concerns	Sensitivity:	Rater agreement:
sych		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 25, combined	Specificity:	Карра:
sdo.		Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR	NPV:	Internal consistency:
Ieur		Comorbidity: N/A	LR+:	Alpna:
		Econologianty. N/A	LR-:	Costs:
		$\mathbf{A}_{\text{remains}} = \frac{12}{12$		Index test 2:
		Age mean. $12.37 (3.10)$		muex lest 5:
		Will aye: 0 Wax aye: 10	Kater agreement: Kanna:	Sensitivity:
		Eunificity:	Nappa.	Specificity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		% Hispanic or Latino : 1 % Black/African American : 34 % White : 65 Other info on race or ethnicity:	ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
neuropsychological,EF	Westerberg, 2004 <sup>603</sup> Case series N = 80 Sweden Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children taking stimulant medication refrained for 24 hours before testing, no major neurological or psychiatric co-diagnoses, IQ>80 Other: Age-matched neurotypical children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean: 11.4 (2.2) for ADHD group, 11.4(2.0) for control group Min age: 8 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed by experienced physicians specialised in pediatric neurology or child- psychiatry Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: neuropsychological,EF Choice reaction time and visuo-spatial working memory tests Sensitivity: 74 Specificity: 94 PPV: 19 NPV: 99 LR+: LR-: Accuracy:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:
neuropsychological, EF	Weyandt, 1994 <sup>604</sup> Case series N = 115 US Setting: School	Target: Children diagnosed with ADHD enrolled in a regular education classroom and not receiving special education services with average to above-average intelligence as assessed by the Raven's Coloured Progressive Matrices Other: Children with developmental language disorder and neurotypical children; both groups had average to above-average intelligence as assessed by the Raven's Coloured Progressive Matrices and enrollment in a regular classroom ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed by a pediatrician or psychologist using DSM criteria, Revised Conners Teacher Rating Scale and Parent Rating Scale, ADHD Rating scale Timing: Index test: neuropsychological,EF Six executive function tasks: Visual search, verbal fluency, the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, Matching Familiar Figures Test, Tower of Hanoi, and mazes; Two nonexecutive function tasks: Peabody Picture Vocabulary	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Female: 0% Age mean:	Test-Revised and the Boston Naming test; discriminant function analysis	Index test 3:
		Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: % White : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Sensitivity: 67 Percent of ADHD group correctly classified Specificity: 78 Percent of neurotypical developing group correctly classified PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
			Labeling: Costs:	

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
neuropsychological,EF	Wodka, 2008 <sup>614</sup> Case series N = 123 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Participants were recruited from outpatient clinics at the Kennedy Krieger Institute, and from local area pediatricians, local chapters of Children and Adults with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (CHADD), schools, social/service organizations (e.g., Boy/Girl Scouts), and advertisements in the community (e.g., postings at libraries) as part of a larger project examining brain–behavior relationships in children; IQ>=80; no history of speech/language disorder or a reading disability; no evidence of visual or hearing impairment, or history of other neurological or psychiatric disorder; children with DSM-IV diagnoses other than oppositional defiant disorder or specific phobias were excluded; oversampling for the type of ADHD less likely to occur in each sex (combined presentation for girls and inattentive presentation for boys); participants taking stimulant mediation were asked to withold medication the day of testing and the day prior <b>Other:</b> Participants recruited through the local school district and flyers posted in the community; attempted matching between groups of age, FSIQ, sex, and race <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 35,hyperactive : 4,combined : 61 <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 41% <b>Age mean:</b>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisStructured parent interview that utilizedDSM-IV criteria (Diagnostic Interview forChildren and Adolescents, Fourth Edition(DICA-IV), Conners' Parent Rating Scale-Revised, Long FormTiming: ConcurrentIndex test: neuropsychological,EF Foursubtests from the Delis-Kaplan ExecutiveFunction System (D-KEFS): Trail Making,Verbal Fluency, Color-Word Interference,and Tower testsSensitivity:Specificity:PPV:NPV:LR+:LR-:Accuracy:AUC:Rater agreement:Kappa:ICC:Internal consistency:Alpha:Test-retest:Costs:Misdiagnosis:Labeling:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		<ul> <li>11.8 (2.2) for ADHD group, 11.0 (1.9) for control group</li> <li>Min age: 8 Max age: 16</li> <li>Ethnicity:</li> <li>% Hispanic or Latino : 2</li> <li>% Black/African American : 12</li> <li>% Asian : 2</li> <li>% White : 79</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 5%</li> <li>Other race</li> </ul>	Costs:	Index text 5:
Observation	Bunte, 2013 <sup>175</sup> Case series N = 251 Netherlands Setting: N/A	Target: Referred preschool children with externalizing behavioral problems; IQ>=70; no current medications; diagnosed with ADHD or disruptive behavior disorder plus ADHD Other: Typically developing children recruited from regular elementary schools and daycare centers ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: Other : sample with disruptive behavior or ADHD Female: 24% Age mean: 54.7 (8.8) Min age: 3.5 Max age: 5.5 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 2 % Asian : 0.5 % White : 86 % Multiracial : 12,Other : Turkish/Moroccan Other info on race or ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Clinical diagnosis made by child psychiatrist and child psychologist Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Observation Disruptive Behavior Diagnostic Observation Schedule Sensitivity: 87 Specificity: 79 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.92 Rater agreement: Interrater reliability between researchers administering test Kappa: ICC: 0.92 Internal consistency:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Alpha: 0.82 ICC; children retested after 8 weeks Test-retest: 0.64 Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Other : Claim-based algorithms	Straub, 2021 <sup>553</sup> Case series N = 350 US Setting: Other	Target: Children 14 years or younger identified from a medical encounter in hospitals who met a clinical definition for specific neurodevelopmental disorders including ADHD; required 2 or more medical encounters to qualify with a diagnostic code using ICD-9, and -10 Other: Study also included children with other disorders, but they were not compared to ADHD group; study objective to validate healthcare claim-based algorithms using medical records as the reference ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Study used medical records as the fold standard, data comes from ICD-9 codes- used to develop algorithms based on ICD-9, and translated to ICD-10 to make data applicable to more current years Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Other : Claim-based algorithms Claim-based algorithms for neurodevelopmental disorders including ADHD Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: 88 NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		N/A Min age: 1 Max age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Other : ECG	Koh, 2022 <sup>364</sup> Raine, 2019 <sup>960</sup> ; Tor, 2021 <sup>1083</sup> Case series N = 123 Singapore Setting: Specialty care	Target: ADHD only (45 participants), ADHD + conduct disorder (62 participants), subset from the randomized Omega-3 Supplements and Social Skills Intervention Study (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00819429) Other: Conduct disorder only (16 participants) ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Provider Comorbidity: ODD Female: 11.2% Age mean: N/A Min age: 7 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Primary diagnosis made by child's attending physician Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Other : ECG Continuous 12- channel electrocardiography (ECG) signals recorded over 3 min during complete relaxation with eyes open, bagged tree three class classification (ADHD vs ADHD+CD vs CD only), 10-fold cross validation Sensitivity: 88 Specificity: 86 PPV: NPV: LR+:	Index test 2: Other : ECG Continuous 12-channel electrocardiography (ECG) signals recorded over 3 min during complete relaxation with eyes open, K-nearest neighbor three class classification (ADHD vs ADHD+CD vs CD only), 10-fold cross validation Sensitivity: 83 Specificity: 85 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 84 AUC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			LR-: Accuracy: 88 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: EEG Electroencephalogram (EEG) during resting-state, eyes open for 3 minutes, K-nearest neighbor three class classification (ADHD vs ADHD+CD vs CD only), 10-fold cross validation <sup>1083</sup> Sensitivity: 97 97 Specificity: 100 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 98 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: EEG Electroencephalogram (EEG) during resting-state, eyes open for 3 minutes, bagged tree three class classification (ADHD vs ADHD+CD vs CD only), 10-fold cross validation <sup>1083</sup> Sensitivity: 94

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Specificity: 100 PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Other : EHR phenotype algorithm	Slaby, 2022 <sup>530</sup> Case series N = 27,270 US Setting: Other	Target: Mined EHRs from 2009 to 2016 using ICD codes, medication history and keywords specific to ADHD, and comorbid psychiatric disorders; subjects that were cases of both ADHD and one or more psychiatric disorders were considered comorbid ADHD cases Other: Controls lacked psychiatric and other neurological disorders; learning disabilities and mild/moderate intellectual disability were not excluded. ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: Other : 54% of ADHD participants had psychiatric comorbidities Female: % 49% female in entire sample Age mean: 11(6) Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 44 % White : 52	Reference standard: Other Chart abstractions and behavioral surveys added evidence in support of the psychiatric diagnoses. Conducted an independent electronic medical record review for random cases that were pulled out by the algorithms to confirm they were "true" cases. The numb Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Other : EHR phenotype algorithm Multi-source/multi-approach electronic health record (EHR) rule-based phenotype algorithm with natural language processing text mining developed to discriminate cases with ADHD in isolation from cases with ADHD with comorbidities Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: 95 NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Accuracy: NPV: NP

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 4%	AUC:	AUC:
		Other	Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Rater agreement: Index text 4:
			Internal consistency: Alpha:	Sensitivity: Specificity:
			Test-retest: Costs:	PPV: NPV: AUC:
			Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	Index text 5:
			Costs:	
stitial scans (EIS)	Caudal, 2011 <sup>263</sup> Case series N = 112 France Setting: N/A	<b>Target:</b> Participants had to be without a parent who had a neurological disorder, excluded if the clinician decided that the child was clinically unsuitable as a candidate, and/or if there were any contraindications to use the EIS system; children needed to have diagnosis of ADHD following psychiatric examination <b>Other:</b> Children without ADHD symptoms	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed with ADHD according to the DSM-IV and further examinations Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Other : Electro interstitial scans (EIS) Electro interstitial scans to measure bioimpedance	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC:
o inters		ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A	Sensitivity: 80 Cutoff 7.4 micro Siemens Specificity: 98 Cutoff 7.4 micro Siemens PPV:	Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:
llecti		Female: 26.92%	NPV: LR+:	Alpha:
Ш  		Age mean: 8	LR-:	Costs:
Othe		Min age: 3 Max age: 18	AUC: 0.876	Index test 3:
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Rater agreement: Kappa:	Sensitivity: Specificity:
Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
----------------------	---	---	--	--
			ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Other : Eye movement	Merzon, 2022 <sup>419</sup> Case series N = 73 Other Setting: N/A	Target: No medication within 24 hours prior to assessment; comorbidities present in some participants include oppositional defiant disorder, unspecified affective disorder, panic disorder, unspecified affective disorders, and chronic motor or vocal tic disorder Other: Typically developing children, matched on age and gender ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Provider Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22% Age mean: 10.4 (1.0) for the ADHD group, 10.8 (1.2) for the typically developing group	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisADHD diagnosis made by a licensedmedical doctor and verified via the NationalMedical DatabaseTiming: Prior diagnosisIndex test: Other : Eye movement Eyemovement data collected during ExecutivePerformance in Everyday Living (EPELI) VRtask; includes 13 task scenarios where theparticipants perform everyday chores in avirtual environment; support vector machineclassifier using the eye movement featuresFixation Duration, Saccade Duration, andSaccade Amplitude; 10-fold cross validationSensitivity: 84Specificity: 78	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Min age: 9 Max age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.91 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: 16% false negatives, 22% false positives Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Other : Eye vergence	Varela Casal, 2019 <sup>586</sup> Case series N = 92 Spain Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Not on medication; free of a history of head injury with loss of consciousness or other neurological illness, mental retardation or other significant disorders like a pervasive developmental disorder and visual or auditory problems; recruited through the Child and Adolescent Health Mental Center from the Hospital Mataró of the Consorci Sanitari del Maresme <b>Other:</b> Non-ADHD clinical controls referred to the hospital for attentional and/ or conduct problems, healthy children showing no attention	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis All the clinical diagnoses of ADHD were made by clinical psychiatrists using the DSM- IV-TR criteria Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Other : Eye vergence BGaze system to test eye vergence ADHD versus healthy controls. Two-layer classification model: First layer= Radial Basis Function support vector machine (RBF-SVM), second layer = two k-nearest-neighbor models. 30-fold stratified cross-validation	Index test 2: Other : Eye vergence BGaze system to test eye vergence ADHD versus clinical controls. Two-layer classification model: First layer= Radial Basis Function support vector machine (RBF- SVM), second layer = two k- nearest-neighbor models. 30- fold stratified cross-validation routin

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		or conduct problems recruited from a public school ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: 10.67 (2.64) Min age: 7 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	routine over the S1 subsample, which, at each iteration, was further split into an 80- 20 train-test random resampling. Then, the resulting model was tested on the S2 subsample, which so far had been unseen by it. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 96 AUC: 0.99 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 86 AUC: 0.90 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: AuC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
	Mikolas 2022 <sup>423</sup>	Target: Individuals who were referred to a	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index text 5:
Other : Medical record data	Case series N = 299 Germany Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>secondary care outpatients unit with a suspected ADHD diagnosis, or in whom an ADHD diagnosis was the suspected diagnosis after the initial consultation</li> <li>Other: Patients who did not fulfill diagnostic criteria for ADHD</li> <li>ADHD presentation: N/A : 64% predominantly hyperactive-impulsive type, 27.5% predominantly inattentive type, 8.5% comorbidwith conduct disorder</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Specialist</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 14%</li> <li>Age mean:</li> <li>10.0 (2.4) for the ADHD group, 10.5 (2.5) for the non-ADHD group</li> <li>Min age: Max age: 18</li> <li>Ethnicity:</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A</li> </ul>	The standardized diagnostic process included several consultations with the child and caregivers together and individually. Parents and (nursery) school teachers completed general and ADHD-specific rating scales. Further, general intelligence and attentio Timing: Later diagnosis <b>Index test:</b> Other : Medical record data 30 featues extracted from medical record data, linear support vector machine classifier, 10- fold cross-validation. Features include: age and gender; symptom ratings from Conners- 3 parent/teacher ratings and a computed a set of 'consistency indices' describing the consistency between parent and teacher ADHD specific Conners-3 ratings; neuropsychological measures from 3 TAP subtests (GoNogo, Divided Attention, and Alertness) and the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children IV or V	record data 19 most predictive features selected from the original 30 using sequential floating forward selection, linear support vector machine classifier, 10- fold cross-validation Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 68 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Sensitivity: 67 Specificity: 65 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 66 AUC: 0.66 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: SVM classifier using all 30 features: 18% false negatives, 16% false positives Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Other : Medical record data Secondary classification without demographic features: linear support vector machine classifier, 10-fold cross- validation, non-demographic features only Sensitivity: 65 65 Specificity: 65 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 65 AUC: 0.663 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Other : Medical record data Secondary classification without missing data: 19 features selected from the original 30 using sequential floating forward selection, linear support vector machine classifier, 10-fold cross- validation, performed only on subjects without any missing data Sensitivity: 63 Specificity: 74 PPV: NPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				AUC: 0.696
Other : Parent + Teacher rating	Vogt, 2011 <sup>588</sup> Case series N = 108 UK Setting: Specialty care	Target: Individuals with a referral for ADHD made to a local generic child and adolescent mental health services (CAMHS) clinic over 2 years; ADHD assessments in the year prior to using objective measurements (2006-2007 control group, n = 46) were compared with ADHD assessments in the first year of adding objective measures to the assessment (2007- 2008 QbTest group, n = 62) Other: Individuals from same referral group not diagnosed with ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A : QbTest group: 16% combined, 14% inattentive; control group 11% inattentive Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 16% female in the QbTest group Age mean: 10.5 for the QbTest group, 9 for the control group	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Clinical interview by the child and         adolescent psychiatrists at the clinic, a         medical examination and the administration         of rating scales by parents and teachers         Timing: Concurrent         Index test: Other : Parent + Teacher rating         Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire         (SDQ) parent and teacher ratings compared         to clinical diagnosis for QbTest group (n=         62, 43 ADHD, 19 no ADHD)         Sensitivity:         Specificity:         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR-:         Accuracy:         AUC:	Index test 2: Other : Parent + Teacher rating Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) parent and teacher ratings compared to clinical diagnosis for control group (n=46, 27 ADHD, 19 no ADHD) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Follow-up over 1 year of the participants referred for an

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Rater agreement: Mixed SDQ rating (disagreement between parent and teacher ratings) versus clinician's diagnosis Among those with a positive/negative SDQ in both the control and QbTest groups the majority of parents' SDQs (10/13, 77%) agreed with the clinician's diagnosis of ADHD, whereas the majority of teacher's SDQs (13/18, 72%) agreed with the clinician's reject Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Follow-up over 1 year of the participants referred for an attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) assessment with a diagnosis rejected at the initial assessment Test-retest: n=19; lost to follow-up n=1, reassessed and diagnosed with ADHD at 1- year follow-up n=0 Costs: Misdiagnosis: The results from this audit suggest that through greater symptom specification with the use of objective measurements clinical decisions remained more consistent and were less likely to be revised over 1 year Labeling: Costs:	attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) assessment with a diagnosis rejected at the initial assessment n=19; lost to follow-up n=3, reassessed and diagnosed with ADHD at 1-year follow-up n=7; The majority of the revised assessments were for girls (n = 4) Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minium cace;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Maximum age; Ethnicity		
Parent interview guide	Ickowicz, 2006 <sup>334</sup> Case series N = 620 Canada Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children referred to the outpatient psychiatry clinic of a pediatric hospital, IQ>=80, medication-free at time of evaluation Other: Normal control subjects recruited from advertisements placed in a hospital staff newsletter, IQ>=80 ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % Boy-to-girl ratio of 3.2:1 in clinic-referred cases Age mean: 8.67 (1.81) clinic-referred cases, 9.04 (1.63) control sample Min age: 6 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis 6-hour evaluation divided into two, 3-hour sessions, Teacher Telephone Interview, Conners' Rating Scales-Revised and Revised ontario Child Health Study Scales from parents and teachers Timing: Concurrent Index test: Parent interview guide Parent Interview for Child Symptoms (PICS) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: 48 randomly selected, videotaped interviews were rescored by an independent reviewer blinded to original ratings Kappa: 0.73 ICC: 0.93 for ADHD inattentive, 0.97 for ADHD hyperactive-impulsive Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Labeling: Costs:	Index text 5:
Parent rating	Algorta, 2016 <sup>124</sup> Case series N = 18,232 UK Setting: Other	Target: Children with ADHD; all participants data from The British Child and Adolescent Mental Health Survey 1999 Other: Children without ADHD ADHD presentation: inattentive : 27,hyperactive : 8,combined : 65 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18% Age mean: Mean and SD reported by subtype - ADHD-C= 10.02 (3.09) / ADHD- I = 10.07 (2.81) / ADHD- H = 9.32 (2.92) Min age: 5 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: % White : 89 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Trained child and adolescent psychiatrists reviewed both the verbatim accounts and the answers to the Development and Well- Being Assessment; unmodified DSM-IV current rather than life-time diagnostic criteria used Timing: Later diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Range 0.81-0.96 for hyperactivity/inattention, conduct problems and total difficulties scales in male and	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			female subsamples and at different age ranges Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Babinski, 2021 <sup>135</sup> Case series N = 1050 US Setting: N/A	Target: Participation not limited by gender, race, income, or geography due to desire of sample to represent US population; all participants had ADHD Other: None ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 48.5% Age mean: 8.42 (2.31) Min age: 5 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: % White : 78.8% Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Non- Hispanic: 84.2%	Reference standard: Other         Disruptive Behavior Disorders Rating Scale-         Parent rating         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: Parent rating ROC analysis was         conducted to examine the optimal ADHD         symptom count cutoff for girls and boys, the         criterion was defined as an impairment         score of 5 or more on the Impairment         Rating Scale.         Sensitivity:         Specificity:         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR-:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Accuracy: AUC: Reported for girls inattention, Inattention boys=0.87, hyperactivity/impulsivity girls=0.90, hyperactivity/impulsivity boys=0.87 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Burton, 2019 <sup>176</sup> Case series N = 15560 Canada Setting: Mixed	Target: Population-based sample: reported a diagnosis of ADHD, self-report only completed by those ages 13-17 years. ADHD clinic sample (validation sample): IQ >=80, children and adolescents diagnosed with ADHD by a psychiatrist and clinical psychologist, self- reports not done in this sample Other: Population-based sample: did not report a diagnosis of ADHD. Clinic sample (validation sample): children and adolescents not diagnosed with ADHD, IQ>=80 ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis The Validation ADHD Group diagnoses were based on consensus between a psychiatrist and clinical psychologist following assessment; in the community sample the group was previously diagnosed with ADHD Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD Symptoms and Normal Behavior Rating Scale (SWAN) Parent rating, optimal cut-point >0.74. Cut-	Index test 2: Teen/child self report Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD Symptoms and Normal Behavior Rating Scale (SWAN) Self report, optimal cut-point >0.81. Self-reports done by adolescents ages 13-17 from population-based sample only. Sensitivity: 57 Specificity: 81 PPV: NPV: LR+:

Auth Mult Stuc Stuc Loca	udy: thor, year; ultiple publications; udy design; udy size; cation	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Female: 26.23% 21.43% in validation sample Age mean: 11.0 (2.8) 9.1 (2.2) in validation sample Min age: 6 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	point created using population-based sample tested using validation sample. Specificity: 81 92% in clinical validation sample PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.88 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.95 Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Accuracy: AUC: 0.71 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.88 Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Parent rating	Case series N = 499 US Setting: School	<ul> <li>Target: Total special ed population in one school district. 70% participation rate. All underwent Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (DISC) for DSM IV diagnosis plus two index instruments.</li> <li>Other: Other special ed students. (See above).</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : <ul> <li>18,hyperactive : 14,combined : 40</li> </ul> </li> <li>Diagnosed by: Researcher</li> <li>Comorbidity: Learning disability : Special education students,N/A</li> <li>Female: 28%</li> <li>Age mean: 9.7 (1.0)</li> <li>Min age: 7 Max age: 12</li> <li>Ethnicity: <ul> <li>% White : 51</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 49%</li> <li>"non-white"</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisADHD per DSM IV diagnosisTiming: ConcurrentIndex test: Parent rating Attention DeficitDisorders Evaluation Scale (ADDES),parent ratingData abstracted for 15th percentileSensitivity: When administered two monthsbefore DISC for DSM IV, sensitivity was58% (SE 3.8%) to discriminate from otherspecial ed students.Specificity: When administered two monthsbefore DISC for DSM IV, specificity was82% (SE 1.9%) to discriminate from otherspecial ed students.PPV:When administered two months beforeDISC for DSM IV, PPV was 64% (SE 0,5%)to discriminate from other special edstudents.NPV:When administered two months beforeDISC for DSM IV, NPV was 77% (SE 3.4%)to discriminate from other special edstudents.LR+:LR-:Accuracy: 73 "Efficiency" = 73% at 2months before DSM-IV administered. Datais for 15th percentile on ADDESAUC:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Conners Abbreviated Symptom Questionnaire (ASQ), parent rating Data abstracted for 60 T score Sensitivity: When administered simultaneous with DSM IV, sensitivity was 84% (SE 3%) to discriminate from other special ed students. Specificity: When administered simultaneous with DISC for DSM IV, specificity was 71% (SE2.2%) %) to discriminate from other special ed students. PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 76% "efficiency" when administered simultaneous with DISC for DSM IV, 76% "efficiency" to discriminate from other special ed students. AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Chen, 1994 <sup>194</sup> Doyle, 2000 <sup>721</sup> Case series N = 260 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> All male, met diagnostic criteria for current ADHD at time of clinical referral with active symptoms for which they were receiving treatment; excluded if they had been adopted or if their nuclear family was not available for study; no major sesorimotor handicaps (paralysis, deafness, blindness), psychosis, autism; IQ>80 <b>Other:</b> Children without ADHD selected from active outpatients at pediatric medical clinics;	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia, Epidemiologic version (SADS-E), interview with mother and direct interview with children older than 12 Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) Attention Problems Scale, T score cutoff of 55; logistic regression,	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) Attention Problems Scale, T score cutoff of 55; logistic regression, validation using brothers of ADHD and pediatric comparison probands Sensitivity: 61 Specificity: 94 PPV: 65

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% Age mean: Min age: 6 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: % White : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	ADHD and pediatric comparison probands Sensitivity: 84 Specificity: 93 PPV: 93 NPV: 84 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.925 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	INFV. 93 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.855 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) Attention Problems Scale, T score cutoff of 55; logistic regression, validation using sisters of ADHD and pediatric comparison probands Sensitivity: 67 67 Specificity: 94 PPV: 50 NPV: 97 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.902 Rater agreement: Index text 4: neuropsychological, CPT, EF Neuropsychological tests administered to ADHD and pediatric comparison probands

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				at 4-year follow-up visit: Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised (<17 years old) or Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (>=17 years old) Freedom from Distract Sensitivity: 76 Specificity: 46 PPV: 63 NPV: 62 AUC: 0.69 Index text 5:
Parent rating	Deb, 2008 <sup>222</sup> Case series N = 151 UK Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children who received clinical assessments for ADHD and intellectual disabilities in a specialist outpatient clinic; Intellectual disability defined as IQ <=70 associated with inadequte adaptive functioning, borderline IQ defined as IQ above 70 but below 80 on either verbal or performance tasksOther: Children not diagnosed with ADHD at a specialist outpatient clinic for intellectual disibility and behavior problemsADHD presentation: inattentive : 24,hyperactive : 24,combined : 52Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: Other : All participants had borderline IQ or intellectual disabilityFemale: % 28% female in entire sample	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Conners' Parent Rating Scales-Revised, cut-off score of 50 Sensitivity: 83 Specificity: 89 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.875 Rater agreement: Parent versus teacher total scores Kappa: ICC: 0.19	Index test 2: Teacher rating scale Conners' Teacher Rating Scales-Revised, cut-off score of 48 Sensitivity: 56 Specificity: 83 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.665 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.80 Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Age mean: Min age: 3 Max age: 17	Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.84	Index test 3:
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Test-retest: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity:
			Misdiagnosis:	PPV:
			Labeling:	LR+:
			Costs:	Accuracy: AUC:
				Rater agreement:
				Index text 4:
				Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:
				Index text 5:
Parent rating	Deserno, 2022 <sup>227</sup> Case series N = 434 Netherlands Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Part of a larger cohort of the Healthy Brain Network Biobank based on a community- referred recruitment model of children with developmental psychopathology; a third had an additional diagnosis such as oppositional defiant disorder, autism spectrum disorder, specific learning disorder with impairment in reading, language disorder, and generalized anxiety disorder; replication sample from the Oregon ADHD and Autism project <b>Other:</b> Children with autism spectrum disorder, neurotypical developing children	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Extensive clinicians-administered assessments including the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, computerized Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia- Children's Version (KSADS-COMP) parent interview and child interview Timing: Concurrent Index test: Parent rating The Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD symptoms and	Index test 2: Parental rating scale The Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD symptoms and Normal- behaviors ratings scale (SWAN) hyperactivity/impulsivity subscale and the Social Responsiveness Scale restricted interests and repetitive behaviors, social

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20% Age mean: 9.4 (1.7) for the ADHD group, 9.3 (1.6) for the ASD group, 9.4 (1.5) for the typically developing group; 10.11 (0.092) for replication sample, range 8-12 Min age: 7 Max age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	hyperactivity/impulsivity subscale and the Social Responsiveness Scale restricted interests and repetitive behaviors, social awareness, social cognition, social communication, social motivation, and innattention subscales; 3 category (ADHD vs ASD vs typically developing) random forest classification; replication sample Sensitivity: 69 For ADHD diagnostic group Specificity: 84 For ADHD diagnostic group PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 76 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	awareness, social cognition, social commun Sensitivity: 67 For ADHD diagnostic group, recall = 79% Specificity: 84 For ADHD diagnostic group PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 72 AUC: Rater agreement: Predicted diagnostic group versus actual diagnostic group versus actual versus diagnostic group versus actual diagnostic group versus actual versus diagnostic gro

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Sensitivity: 77 77 Specificity: 74 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 71 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Duda, 2016 <sup>239</sup> Case series N = 2925 US Setting: Other	Target: Mainly siblings of the autism probands that reported a prior clinical diagnosis of ADHD; no documented diagnosis of autism Other: Children with autism and no comorbidity with ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: Autism : 95% Female: 37% Age mean: Median age range between the three different databases = 64.5-134.5 months Min age: Max age:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Parent-reported clinical diagnosis Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Social Responsiveness Scale, Support Vector Classification, 10-fold cross validation, classification of ADHD vs ASD Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	AUC: 0.965 5 of 65 features used Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:
Parent rating	Duda, 2017 <sup>238</sup> Case series N = 422 US Setting: Mixed	Target: Selected the subset of responses from parents of children with only ADHD (n = 174) to serve as the survey sample; for this survey data set, diagnoses of ADHD were provided as parent report Other: Selected the subset of responses from parents of children with only ASD (n = 248) to serve as the survey sample, diagnoses of ASD were provided as parent report ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A	Reference standard: Other Survey sample: diagnoses of ASD or ADHD were provided as parent report. Archival data set: diagnoses of ASD were physician- confirmed and diagnoses of ADHD were reported as part of an extensive family medical history. Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Subset of items from the Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS). Best AUC obtained with Elastic Net and Linear discriminant analysis classifiers. Machine-learning pipeline consisted of three	Index text 5: Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Female: 34.5% Age mean: 10.4 (3.6) Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	trials using subsamples of archival data, survey data, or a mixture of both. Model used to discriminate between ADHD and ASD Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.89 ADHD versus ASD Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Parent rating	Ebesutani, 2010 <sup>243</sup> Case series N = 476 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Consecutively referred children and adolescents to two mental health clinics Other: Consecutively referred children and adolescents to two mental health clinics ADHD presentation: inattentive : 34,hyperactive : 2,combined : 45,N/A : ADHD- not otherwise specified 19% Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 32.8% female in entire sample Age mean: 11.4 (2.5) Min age: 6 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Children's Interview for Psychiatric Syndromes, Parent Version (P-ChIPS) Timing: Concurrent Index test: Parent rating Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) DSM-oriented ADH Problems scale, ADHD vs No ADHD Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.75 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) Attention Problems syndrome scale, ADHD vs No ADHD Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.76 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Eiraldi, 2000 <sup>248</sup> Case series N = 242 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Consecutive referrals to an ADHD evaluation and treatment program located in a university-affiliated pediatric hospital diagnosed with ADHD Other: Consecutive referrals to an ADHD evaluation and treatment program located in a university-affiliated pediatric hospital not diagnosed with ADHD ADHD presentation: inattentive : 24,hyperactive : 6,hyperactive_other : hyperactive presentation not included in analysis,combined : 48 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 21% female in entire sample Age mean: 8.7 (1.7) Min age: 6 Max age: 13 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 3 % Black/African American : 21	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnostic Interview for Children and Adolescents-Revised-Parent Version and Attention Problems subscale of the Teacher's Report Form Timing: Concurrent Index test: Parent rating Devereux Scales of Mental Disorders (DSMD) Attention subscale; children with any presentation of ADHD versus controls, cutoff T>=65 Sensitivity: 77 Specificity: 78 PPV: 95 NPV: 39 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) Attention Problems subscale; children with any presentation of ADHD versus controls, cutoff T>=70 Sensitivity: 51 Specificity: 83 PPV: 94 NPV: 24 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		% White : 76 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Elkins, 2014 <sup>254</sup> Case series N = 46 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children and adolescents with generalized anxiety disorder and diagnosed ADHD; those exhibiting symptoms of thought disorders, pervasive developmental disorders, organic brain syndromes, intellectual disabilities, or suicidal ideation were excluded Other: Children with generalized anxiety disorder and symptoms of inattention but no ADHD diagnosis ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: Mood disorder Female: 54%	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed with ADHD per DSM-IV-R Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Attention Problems Scale of the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) Sensitivity: 73.9 All data abstracted is for cut-off score of 63, which is considered best by authors. Score of 57 has highest overall correct rate and sensitivity Specificity: 91.3 PPV: 89.5 NPV: 77.8 LR+:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Age mean: 12.03 (3.3) Min age: 7 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: % White : 80.4 Other info on race or ethnicity:	LR-: Accuracy: 82.6 Overall Correct Classification AUC: 0.84 SE 0.06 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Gardner, 2007 <sup>285</sup> Case series N = 269 US Setting: Primary Care	<b>Target:</b> Children and adolescents diagnosed with ADHD who consecutively presented at primary care offices for well-child care, the evaluation of recurrent abdominal pain, or the assessment and management of other minor illnesses. Participants selected into one of two studies based on positive screening results for the conditions of interest for each study. Sample includes more children with psychosocial problems, particularly anxiety and depression, than would be found in an unselected primary care sample.	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisSchedule for affective Disorders andSchizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime version (K-SADS-PL)Timing: ConcurrentIndex test: Parent rating 17-item PediatricSymptom Checklist (PSC-17) Attentionsubscale, cut score >=7Sensitivity: 58Specificity: 91PPV: 255% prevalence	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) Attention subscale Sensitivity: 68 Specificity: 90 PPV: 26 NPV: 98 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.88 Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Other: Children and adolescents not diagnosed with ADHD from same recruitment and selection process as ADHD participants ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Researcher Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 53% female in entire sample Age mean: 8.1 (2.1) Min age: 8 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 6 % White : 90 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 4	NPV: 98 5% prevalence LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.86 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Parent rating	Gargaro, 2014 <sup>237</sup> Case series N = 49 Australia Setting: N/A	<ul> <li>Target: Children with ADHD (N = 13) or ADHD plus autism (N = 12). Participants were excluded if they had previously experienced the following conditions: comorbid medical (e.g. tuberous sclerosis), hearing or visual, neurological (e.g. Epilepsy), psychiatric (e.g. Tourette's, Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder) or genetic disorders (e.g. Fragile X disorder), other than the primary diagnoses of autism and/or ADHD.</li> <li>Other: Children with autism alone (N = 12) or neurotypical (N = 12)</li> <li>ADHD presentation: combined : 100</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Specialist</li> <li>Comorbidity:</li> <li>Female: 18.4%</li> <li>All 12 children with comorbid autism and ADHD were male</li> <li>Age mean: 11.2 (3.6)</li> <li>Autism 11.3 (3.6); ADHD 10.9 (3.2); comorbid autism and ADHD 11.1 (3.9); neurotypical 11.4 (3.6)</li> <li>Min age: 6 Max age: 18</li> <li>Ethnicity:</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed with ADHD per DSM IV TR Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Developmental Behaviour Checklist Hyperactivity Index (DBC-HI), parent version, cut point = 4 Sensitivity: 100 Sensitivity for differentiating ADHD + autism from autism alone = 83.3% for cut point at 7 Specificity: 92 Specificity for differentiating ADHD + autism from autism alone = 50.0% for cut point at 7 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.997 AUC 0.722 (CI .507–.937) for discriminating autism + ADHD from autism alone Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.931 Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Conner's Parent Rating Scale- Revised Short Form (CPRS-R S) Sensitivity: 100 Sensitivity for differentiating autism + ADHD from autism alone = 75% for cut point score of 72 Specificity: 92 Specificity for differentiating autism + ADHD from autism alone = 67% for cut point score of 72 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.994 AUC 0.782 (CI 0.596–0.979) for discriminating autism + ADHD from autism alone Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Costs:	NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Gomez, 2018 <sup>299</sup> Cohort study N = 217 Australia Setting: Specialty care	Target: Archival records of patients referred to an outpatient psychiatric unit between 2008 and 2016 Other: None, test-retest study ADHD presentation: inattentive : 28.3,hyperactive : 6.7,combined : 65.0 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22.5% Age mean: N/A Min age: 7 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         DSM-IV TR         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: Parent rating Modified version         of the Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD-         Symptoms and Normal Behavior (SWAN-M)         Scale, all maternal ratings, test-retest study         of measurement invariance over a 12-month         interval         Sensitivity:         Specificity:         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR-:         Accuracy:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Internal consistency coefficient alpha values were .89, .8992 for the IA and HI and combined (IA plus HI) scales, respectively, at Time 1; and 77, .80, .79, respectively, for Time 2 Alpha: 12 months apart Test-retest: Test-retest measurement invariance not reliability was tested Costs: Misdiagnosis: For the bifactor model, measurement invariance testing using multiple-group confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) indicated support for configural and full scalar test-retest invariance when the chi-square difference test was applied. Labeling: Costs:	Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, vear:	Setting:	Reference standard:	
	Multiple publications:	Study target:	Index test:	
e	Study design:	ADHD presentation	Diagnostic accuracy:	
Ч	Study size:	Diagnosis	Rater agreement:	
E.	Location	Comorbidity:	Other outcomes	
ex	Location	% Female:		
pu		Age mean:		
_		Age mean, Minimum ago:		
		Maximum age,		
		Ethnicity		
	Hong 2010 <sup>326</sup>	Ethnicity	<b>Deference standard:</b> Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2:
	Hong, 2019-20	affiliated outpatient clinics with early disruptive	Kildia Disruptive Rehavior Disorders	Sopoitivity:
	Case series	behavior problems disgressed with ADHD.	Schodulo (K DRDS) by supervised by a	Sensitivity.
	N = 44	diamentive here with a diagnosed with ADHD+	Schedule (K-DBDS) by supervised by a	
	119	disruptive benavior disorder		
	03	Other: Children presenting to university	Tincing Organization	
	Setting: Specialty	affiliated outpatient clinics with early disruptive	i iming: Concurrent	LR+:
	care	behavior problems not diagnosed with ADHD		Accuracy:
		(diagnosed with disruptive behavior disorder	Index test: Parent rating Child Benavior	AUC:
		only)	Checklist for ages 1.5 to 5 Attention-	Rater agreement:
		ADHD presentation: hyperactive	Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems scale	Kappa
		57.1.combined : 42.9	Sensitivity: 71	rappa.
		Diagnaged by Specialist	Specificity: 91	Internal consistency:
		Diagnoseu by. Specialist	PPV: 88	Alpha:
		Comorbidity: ODD : 95.5% ODD, 25% CD	NPV: 78	Costs:
tinç		Female: 20.5%	LR+:	
. ra		Age mean: 4.61 (0.87)	LR-:	Index test 3:
ent		Min age: 3 Max age: 5	Accuracy: 80	O an aiti it u
are			AUC: 0.83	Sensitivity:
			Rater agreement:	
		% Hispanic of Latino : 29.4	Kanna:	
		% Diack/Airican American : 4.5		
		70 ASIAN : 2.3		
		% VVNITE : 56.8	Internal consistency:	Accuracy:
		% Multiracial : 4.5, Other : Defined by Other	Alpha:	AUC:
		Other into on race or ethnicity:	Test retest	Rater agreement:
			Control	5
			00515.	Index text 4:
			Misdiagnosis:	
				Sensitivity:
			Labeling:	Specificity:
			Costs:	
				AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
Parent rating	Hudziak, 2004 <sup>332</sup> Case series N = 370 US Setting: Mixed	Target: Probands participating in a family genetic study of attention and aggressive behavior problems; lives with at least one biological parent, has at least one sibling between ages 6 and 18, IQ>=70, T-scores above 67 on the attention problems syndrome and/or the aggressive behavior syndrome scales of the Child Behavior Checklist Other: Probands with T-scores below 60 on both the attention problems syndrome and the aggressive behavior syndrome scales of the Child Behavior Checklist; randomly selected siblings of probands (one sibling from each family) used as cross validation sample ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 42% female in entire sample Age mean: Min age: 6 Max age: 18	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Vermont Structured Diagnostic inverview with mothers of the probands and siblings Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) attention problems scale, T-score cutoff= 55, ROC analysis using attention problems syndrome scale Sensitivity: 83 Sibling group Specificity: 88 Sibling group PPV: 80 Sibling group NPV: 90 Sibling group LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.841 for proband group, 0.904 for sibling group Rater agreement: Kappa:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Accuracy: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: Accur

dex Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
<u>n</u>		Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Ethnicity:	ICC:	AUC:
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Internal consistency: Alpha:	Rater agreement:
			Test-retest: Costs:	Index text 4: Sensitivity:
			Misdiagnosis:	Specificity: PPV:
			Labeling:	NPV:
			Costs:	A00.
				Index text 5:
ting	Case series N = 787 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Arget:</b> Yourn referred for outpatient         neuropsychological assessment in a large         outpatient neuropsychology clinic <b>Other:</b> Non- ADHD clinical comparison group;         part of same referral process as ADHD group <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive :         50,hyperactive : 10,combined : 40 <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A	Categorized using modified Diagnosis Categorized using modified Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th ed.; DSM-5) ADHD symptom criteria, including caregiver-report symptom count on the ADHD Rating Scale-IV after neuropsychological assessment in a large outpatient neurops Timing: Concurrent	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:
t rat			Index test: Parent rating Behavior Rating	Карра:
Paren		37.5% in entire sample	Inventory of Executive Function, Second Edition (BRIEF2) global executive	Internal consistency: Alpha:
		Age mean: 11.29 (3.15), 8.71 (2.68), 9.65 (2.88) across	Sensitivity: 38	Costs:
		groups Min ago: 5 Max ago: 18	Specificity: 96	Index test 3:
		<b>Win age: 5 Max age:</b> 18 <b>Ethnicity:</b> % Hispanic or Latino : 2.25 % Black/African American : 24.46	NPV: 93 NPV: 54 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 63	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Maximum age; Ethnicity	AUG: 0.900	
		% Asian : 2.3 % White : 59.63 % Multiracial : 5.45 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 4.8% unknown	AUC: 0.806 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.965 Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Jensen-Doss, 2013 <sup>340</sup> Case series N = 82 US Setting: Community	Target: Children presenting for treatment at county community mental health clinics inTexas; recruitment took place through the mental health authority's Eligibility Center (EC), a clinic where all new clients are screened for service eligibility Other: Children presenting for treatment at county community mental health clinics inTexas; recruitment took place through the mental health authority's Eligibility Center (EC), a clinic where all new clients are screened for service eligibility ADHD presentation: inattentive : 4,combined : 74,N/A : ADHD-not otherwise specified 22% Diagnosed by: Provider Comorbidity: N/A Female: %	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         15 clinicians conducted the initial eligibility         evaluations for the clients; Four of them         were licensed mental health professionals,         five were interns, and six were called         "Qualified Mental Health Professionals."         When state, representing individuals wi         Timing: Concurrent         Index test: Parent rating Child Behavior         Checklist Attention deficit/ hyperactivity         problems subscale         Sensitivity:         Specificity:         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR-:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		24% in entire sample Age mean: 9.89 (2.82) Min age: 6 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 52 % Black/African American : 39 % White : 4 % Multiracial : 5 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Accuracy: AUC: 0.55 Rater agreement: Child Behavior Checklist score versus clinical chart diagnosis Percent disagreement= 45.1 Kappa: 0.10 ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:
			Costs:	Index text 5:
Parent rating	Levy, 2017 <sup>382</sup> Case series N = 139 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Validation sample consisted of consecutive patients seen in a comprehensive psychological testing clinic (for cognitive and/or personality assessment) over approximately an 8-year period of time Other: Children without ADHD, part of same referral and selection process as ADHD group, diagnosed with other disorders such as ODD, CD, anxiety disorder, or depressive disorder ADHD presentation: inattentive_other : 42,combined_other : 36 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: %	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis SI-4 scores for parent and teacher Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Conduct- Hyperactive-Attention Problem- Oppositional Symptom (CHAOS) scale parent. Subscales include attention problems, hyperactivity-impulsivity, oppositional behavior, and conduct problems. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV:	Index test 2: Teacher rating scale Conduct-Hyperactive-Attention Problem- Oppositional Symptom (CHAOS) scale teacher. Subscales include attention problems, hyperactivity-impulsivity, oppositional behavior, and conduct problems. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LB+:

Index Type	Author, year; Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Ethnicity 11% female in entire validation sample	1 R+·	Accuracy:
		Age mean: 10.7(3.1) Min age: 6 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 7.19 % Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 0.719 % White : 91.367 % Multiracial : 0.719 Other info on race or ethnicity:	LK+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Mother versus father rating Ranged from 0.58 to 0.63 over three subscales, the fourth subscale, conduct problems , interrater agreement was not statistically signficant Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Cronbach's alpha ranged from 0.80 to 0.91 over four subscales Alpha: Test-retest between 1 and 829 days Test-retest: Ranged from 0.74 to 0.87 over four subscales Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Teacher versus parent rating Ranged from 0.28 to 0.41 over three subscales. The fourth subscale, oppositional behavior, was only marginally statistically significant (r=0.17,p<0.1) Kappa: Internal consistency: Cronbach's alpha ranged from 0.64 to 0.91 over four subscales Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: 2

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Mayfield, 2018 <sup>413</sup> Case series N = 337 US Setting: Other	Target: Children with no co-morbid intellectual disability, pervasive developmental disorder, or history of neurological disorder including seizures, convulsions, epilepsy, cerebral palsy, encephalitis, traumatic brain injury, and loss of consciousness, and a standardized rating scale for the assessment of ADHD symptoms was completed by both the mother and the father Other: None; study comparing mother and father ratings ADHD presentation: inattentive : 62.9,combined : 37.1 Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 27.9% Age mean: 10.3 (2.83) Min age: 6 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis DSM-IV diagnosis of ADHD Timing: Concurrent Index test: Parent rating DSM-ADHD-SRS (symptom rating scale) total score mother rating Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: DSM-ADHD-SRS (symptom rating scale) mother-rating versus father-rating Mother and father ratings (ICC) correlated .51 for inattention, .56 for hyperactivity, and .58 for impulsivity. Kappa:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale DSM-ADHD-SRS (symptom rating scale) total score father rating Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.91 Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity:
Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
---------------	---	--	---	--
			ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.90 Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	McCarthy, 2016 <sup>414</sup> Case series N = 1622 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Youth who entered outpatient treatment and who had ADHD as their DSM-IV Axis I primary or secondary diagnosis Other: Patients who had at least one psychiatric DSM-IV diagnosis at the time of their intake interview, but whose diagnosis/diagnoses did not include ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 33.6% Age mean: 10.51 (3.75) for ADHD group, 11.46 (4.10) for non-ADHD group Min age: 3 Max age: 17	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD as primary or secondary DSM-IV Axis I diagnosis. Clinician-completed Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale for Children (BPRS-C) and Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS), consists of 21 distinct symptoms, each rated for severity on a 7-point Likert-typ Timing: Concurrent Index test: Parent rating The Pediatric Symptom Checklist (PSC) Attention Subscale parent-completed measure of child and adolescent psychosocial functioning Sensitivity: 55 Specificity: 81	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.90 Test-retest: BPRS-C-PE and PSC-AS correlated 0.56 at intake and 0.53 at a 3-month follow up appointment. Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	McIntosh, 1995 <sup>417</sup> Case series N = 265 US Setting: School	<ul> <li>Target: Selected from two suburban public school districts, no other medical problems (i.e. Tourettes, seizures, cerebral palsy, mental retardation), not adopted</li> <li>Other: Randomly selected neurotypical children who were in regular education classrooms and were not receiving remedial or special education services</li> <li>ADHD presentation: N/A</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Specialist</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed by physicians and licensed psychologists and verified by the investigators through school health and testing records Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating The Maternal Perinatal Scale consisting of questions and a condition checklist	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15% Age mean: 9.6 (1.6) for the ADHD group, 10.4 (1.7) for the undifferentiated ADD group, 9.5 (1.8) for the neurotypical group Min age: 6 Max age: 13 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 1 % White : 94 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 5% Other	Sensitivity: 61 Specificity: 73 PPV: 69 NPV: 66 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 67 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: Index text 5:

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year:	Setting:	Reference standard:	
	Multiple publications:	Study target:	Index test:	
ð	Study design:	ADHD presentation:	Diagnostic accuracy:	
d V	Study size:	Diagnosis:	Bater agreement:	
Ε.	Location	Comorbidity:	Ather outcomes	
ех	Location	% Econolo:	Other outcomes	
pu		Ago moon:		
_		Age mean, Minimum ago:		
		Maximum age,		
		The sister		
	NA 1: 0040425			
	Mouti, 2019 <sup>+55</sup>	and children with ADHD and children with	A DUD aroun provided de surrentation of	Index test 2:
	Case series	dual diagnoses of ADHD and autism spectrum	ADHD group provided documentation of	Sensitivity:
	N = 162	disorder, IQ above 70	their diagnosis that included evidence of	
	Austrolia	Other: Children with autism spectrum disorder	pediatric/psychiatric assessment using DSM	
	Australia	severity levels 1 and/or 2 and typically	criteria	
	Setting: Mixed	developing children	l Iming: Prior diagnosis	LR+:
		ADHD presentation: N/A	Index test. Devent veting Cosial	Accuracy:
		Diagnosod by: Specialist	Index test: Parent rating Social	AUC:
		Diagnoseu by. Specialist	Communication Questionnaire- Lifetime	Rater agreement:
		<b>Comorbidity:</b> Autism : 29 with dual diagnosis	differentiate la true en entiene en estrum	Kappa:
		Female: 10.8%		
		Age mean: 11.27 (3.28)		Internal consistency:
		Min ago: 6 Max ago: 17	Sensitivity: 96% autism spectrum disorder	Alpha:
5			vs ADHD groups	Costs:
ting		Ethnicity:	Specificity: 87% autism spectrum disorder	
<u>a</u>		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	vs ADHD groups	Index test 3:
sht			PPV:	<b>•</b> • • • •
are			NPV:	Sensitivity:
۵.			LR+:	Specificity:
			LR-:	PPV:
			Accuracy:	NPV:
			AUC: AUC 0.96 (0.91, 1.0) autism	LR+:
			spectrum disorder vs ADHD groups	Accuracy:
			Deter agreement:	AUC:
			Kappa	Rater agreement:
			rappa.	. inter agroomont
				Index text 4:
			Internal consistency:	
			Alpha: 0.93	Sensitivity:
				Specificity:
			Test-retest:	PPV:
			Costs:	NPV:
				AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Misdiagnosis: In Australia, given the introduction of a new funding scheme (i.e., the National Disability Insurance Scheme), a "missed" diagnosis would have implications for accessing funding and treatments. Labeling: Costs:	Index text 5:
Parent rating	Mukherjee, 2014 <sup>436</sup> Case series N = 156 India Setting: Specialty care	Target: Recruited from the Child Development/Neurology outpatient clinics Other: Children with various Neurodevelopmental Disorders were recruited from the Child Development/Neurology outpatient clinics; those with typical development were recruited from the pediatric outpatient departments. ADHD presentation: inattentive : 46,hyperactive : 19,combined : 35 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 31% female in entire sample Age mean: 7.4 (0.99) Min age: 6 Max age: 9 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Each child was assessed by a two member expert team (pediatric neurologist and child psychiatrist) who based their diagnosis on DSM-IV-TR criteria comprising interviews and direct observations; each evaluator was blinded to original diagnosis and to the a Timing: Concurrent Index test: Parent rating INCLEN Diagnostic Tool for ADHD (INDT-ADHD); ADHD versus typically developing children Sensitivity: 88 (81, 89) Specificity: 97 (87, 100) PPV: 98 NPV: 83 LR+: 31.5 LR-: 0.12 Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale INCLEN Diagnostic Tool for ADHD (INDT-ADHD); ADHD versus other neuro- developmental disorders Sensitivity: 88 (79, 94) Specificity: 43 (35, 49) PPV: 58 NPV: 79 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Parental rating scale INCLEN Diagnostic Tool

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Convergent validity with Conner's Parents Rating Scale was moderate (r =0.73, P= 0.001). Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.91 Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	for ADHD (INDT-ADHD); total score >=8 Sensitivity: 88 88 Specificity: 96 PPV: 38 NPV: 11 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.98 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Mulhern, 1994 <sup>437</sup> Post-only N = 245 US Setting: N/A	Target: Children consecutively referred to a university hospital-based pediatric practice between 1981 and 1992 for school related learning and/or behavior problems diagnosed with ADHD Other: Children consecutively referred to a university hospital-based pediatric practice between 1981 and 1992 for school related learning and/or behavior problems not diagnosed with ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Provider	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Clinical diagnosis from a Pediatrician, using DSM-III-R Criteria Timing: Concurrent Index test: Parent rating Parental concern for one or more major symptoms of ADHD Sensitivity: 87 Specificity: 41 PPV: 47 NPV: 84 LR+:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Comorbidity: Other : Significant school-related problems were diagnosed in 92% of subjects Female: 19% Age mean: 8.1 Min age: 4 Max age: 15 Ethnicity: % White : 92 Other info on race or ethnicity:	LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Nolan,1999 <sup>451</sup> Case series N = 222 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Consecutive referrals to a child psychiatry outpatient clinic; children and adolescents who received a diagnosis of ADHD and who exhibited some symptoms of ADHD, but the clinician was uncertain if all of the DSM- IV diagnostic criteria were met were included in the sample <b>Other:</b>	<b>Reference standard:</b> Clinical diagnosis Interviews with the care provider and child patient, informal observations of parent- child interaction, observations of the child in clinic-based simulated classrooms and in public school settings, review of school history, school reports, and psychoeduca Timing: Concurrent	Index test 2: Teacher rating scale Symptom Inventories- Teacher rating Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 48,hyperactive : 10,combined : 42 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 23% Age mean: Min age: 3 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 6 % Black/African American : 10 % White : 82 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 2% Other race	Index test: Parent rating Symptom Inventories- Parent rating Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Parent versus Teacher Kappa: Inattentive category 0.68, Hyperactive-impulsive category 0.42, Combined category 0.56 ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Parent rating	O'Neill, 2021 <sup>432</sup> Case series N = 70 US Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li><b>Farget:</b> Children with IQ of at least 70 and off-medication at least 24 h prior to testing, including children with ADHD plus prenatal alcohol exposure and familial ADHD without prenatal alcohol exposure; children in the ADHD without prenatal alcohol exposure; children in the ADHD without prenatal alcohol exposure group had to have one or more first-degree relatives with diagnosed ADHD</li> <li><b>Other:</b> Typically developing controls; compared to the two ADHD groups separately</li> <li><b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A : Met DSM-V criteria for ADHD, any subtype</li> <li><b>Diagnosed by:</b> Researcher</li> <li><b>Comorbidity:</b> Other : ADHD+prenatal alcohol exposure</li> <li><b>Female:</b> 33%</li> <li><b>Age mean:</b></li> <li>9.7 (1.6) , 10.7 (0.9), 11.3 (1.6) across subgroups</li> <li><b>Min age:</b> 8 Max age: 13</li> <li><b>Ethnicity:</b></li> <li>% Hispanic or Latino : 18.6</li> <li>% Black/African American : 5.7</li> <li>% Asian : 5.7</li> <li>% White : 44.3</li> <li>% Multiracial : 20</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity:</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Clinician-administered Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Aged Children Parent Version Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Conners 3 Parent Rating Scale Inattention and Hyperactivity/Impulsivity scores and Behavioral Regulation Index of the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF2) used to discriminate between children with ADHD+Prenatal alcohol exposure and typically developing children Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.90 All 3 scale measures alone AUC >0.90, p<0.0005 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Conners 3 Parent Rating Scale Inattention (Cln) and Hyperactivity/Impulsivity (CHp) scores and Behavioral Regulation Index (BRI) of the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF2) used to discriminate between children with ADHD+familial histo Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.95 All 3 scale measures alone AUC >0.95, p<0.0005 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Imaging Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) used to discriminate between children with

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Labeling: Costs:	exposure and typically developing children Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.68 Rater agreement:
				NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.68 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Imaging Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) used to discriminate between children with
				ADHD+familial history of ADHD (no prenatal alcohol exposure) and typically developing children Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: 0.70
				Index text 5:

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year;	Setting;	Reference standard;	
	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Index test;	
/pe	Study design;	ADHD presentation;	Diagnostic accuracy;	
ĥ	Sludy size;	Diagnosis;	Rater agreement;	
ех	Location	% Female:	Other outcomes	
pu		Ago moan:		
_		Age mean, Minimum age:		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
	Quintana, 2007 <sup>476</sup>	Target: Children who presented to a child	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2: EEG
	Case series	psychiatric clinic because a parent and/or	Psychiatric evaluation; Schedule for Affetive	Eyes closed and eyes open
	N = 26	school official suspected they might have	Disorders and Schizophrenia-Lifetime	resting state, frontal beta power
	110	ADHD who were diagnosed with ADHD with or	Version and Supplement for Behavioral	with 2 SD cutoff, theta/beta
		without associated disorders of co-morbidities;	Disorders, Clinical Global Assessment	ratio with 1.5 SD cutoff;
	Setting: Specialty	6 months	Scale and chilical Global Impression-	evaluation and rating scale
	care	Others Children who presented to a shild	Timing: Concurrent	results
		Other: Children who presented to a child		
		school official suspected they might have	Index test: Parent rating The Attention-	Sensitivity: 94
		ADHD who were not diagnosed with ADHD.	Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale,	Specificity: 100
		diagnosed with other disorder or no diagnosis	Version-IV	PPV: NDV/
		ADHD presentation: inattentive ·	Sensitivity <sup>.</sup> 81	IR+
_		63,hyperactive : 6,combined : 31	Specificity: 22	Accuracy: 96
ating		Diagnosed by: Specialist		AUC:
it ra		Comorbidity: N/A		Rater agreement:
aren		Female: 12.5%	LR-:	Kappa:
ñ		Age mean:	Accuracy: 60	Internal consistency:
		Min age: 6 Max age: 16	AUC:	Alpha:
		Ethnicity:	Rater agreement:	Costs:
		% Black/African American : 15.4	Kappa:	
		% Asian : 3.8		Index test 3:
		% White : 76.9 Other infe on race or othnicity: Other : 3.8%	Internal consistency:	Sensitivity:
		Middle Eastern	Alpha:	Specificity:
			Test-retest <sup>.</sup>	PPV:
			Costs:	NPV:
				LR+:
			iviisulagnosis:	
			Labeling:	
			Costs:	Rater agreement:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Rishel, 2005 <sup>486</sup> Case series N = 236 US Setting: Community	Target: Children and adolescents with attention deficit disorder treated at community mental health clinic Other: "Non psychotic" children treated at community mental health clinic ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Provider Comorbidity: N/A Female: 43% Age mean: 11.3 (3.4) Min age: 6 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 11.8,Other : Mother's race % White : 86,Other : Mother's race Other info on race or ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis DSM IV per Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS) Timing: Concurrent Index test: Parent rating Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL), parent rating Sensitivity: 72.0% differentiating "ADD" from non-ADD children in mental health clinic Specificity: 80.9% differentiating "ADD" from non-ADD children in mental health clinic PPV: 66.7% differentiating "ADD" from non-ADD children in mental health clinic NPV: 84.4% differentiating "ADD" from non-ADD children in mental health clinic LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 77.8% overall correct	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC: .83	AUC:
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Rater agreement: Index text 4:
			Internal consistency: Alpha:	Sensitivity: Specificity:
			Test-retest: Costs:	NPV: AUC:
			Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	Index text 5:
			Costs:	
Parent rating	Scheeringa, 2020 <sup>504</sup> Case series N = 58 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children recruited from one private outpatient child and adolescent psychiatry clinic that specialized in very young children without primary diagnosis of ASD Other: None ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Researcher Comorbidity: N/A Female: 25% Age mean: 4.67(1.15) Min age: 1 Max age: 6 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 8 % Asian : 1 % White : 87 % Multiracial : 4	Reference standard: Other         ADHD measured using SNAP and         administered by trained RA         Timing: Concurrent         Index test: Parent rating The Diagnostic         Infant and Preschool Assessment was         revised to include Likertratings(DIPA-L) all         cases         Sensitivity:         Specificity:         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR-:         Accuracy:         AUC:         Pater agreement:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale The Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment was revised to include Likertratings(DIPA-L) <= 30 days between interviews Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Other info on race or ethnicity:	Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.92 The Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment including Likertratings (DIPA-L) first interview versus second interview. Interval between interviews based on scheduling availability. Test-retest: ICC 0.91 Kappa 0.79 Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Alpha:         The Diagnostic Infant and         Preschool Assessment         including Likertratings (DIPA-L)         first interview versus second         interview. Interval between         interviews <=30 days

idex Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female;	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
-		Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
Parent rating	Silverstein, 2016 <sup>524</sup> Cohort study N = 156 US Setting: Mixed	Target: Children whose primary care providers initiated an ADHD evaluation between September 2010 and June 2013; enrolled from a pediatric primary care clinic of an urban safety-net hospital or an urban, federally qualified community health center Other: Children from same enrollment process not diagnosed with ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Other (specify) Child psychiatrist, developmental behavioral pediatrician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 31% Age mean: 8.7 (2.1) Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 27 % Black/African American : 60 % Asian : 1 % White : 16 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 22% Other	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD assessment complied with DSM-IV guidelines Timing: Concurrent Index test: Parent rating Best performing model contained: parent Vanderbilt scale plus child age, history of grade retention, the presence of child anxiety or depression, the presence of clinically significant oppositional defiant symptoms, and history of parental substance abuse. Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 84 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
Parent rating	Spencer, 2018 <sup>542</sup> Case series N = 41 US Setting: Community	Target: Children recruited during a well-child visit at an urban pediatric practice and diagnosed with ADHD; 55% comorbid with ODD, 30% with two or more comorbidities Other: Age and gender-matched children recruited during a well-child visit at an urban pediatric practice not diagnosed with ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Other (specify) Staff Comorbidity: N/A Female: 49.3% Age mean: 7.0 (1.4) Min age: 6 Max age: 10 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 84.7 % Black/African American : 4.3 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 0.5 % Asian : 0.0 % Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 1.0 % White : 9.6	Reference standard: Other MINI-KID (Miniature International Neuropsychiatric Interview) for Children Timing: Later diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Pediatric Symptom Checklist (PSC-35) Sensitivity: 82 Specificity: 50 PPV: 64 NPV: 73 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.700 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) Sensitivity: 80 Specificity: 81 PPV: 80 NPV: 81 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.837 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		% Multiracial : 7.7 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC:
			Cosis:	Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Parent rating	Sprafkin, 2007 <sup>546</sup> Case series N = 207 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Consecutive referrals to a university hospital child psychiatry outpatient service diagnosed with ADHD Other: Consecutive referrals to a university hospital child psychiatry outpatient service not diagnosed with ADHD; other diagnoses include ODD/CD, anxiety disorder, pervasive developmental disorder, depressive disorder, and adjustment disorder ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 25% female in entire sample Age mean: Min age: 5 Max age: 17	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Timing: Concurrent         Index test: Parent rating ADHD Symptom         Checklist-4 Parent, randomized-order         version given to cohort 2 (N=104)         Sensitivity: 58         Specificity: 60         PPV: 68         NPV: 49         LR+:         LR:         Accuracy:         AUC:         Rater agreement:         Kappa:         ICC:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale ADHD Symptom Checklist-4 Parent, standard diagnostic- cluster version given to cohort 1 (N=103) Sensitivity: 61 Specificity: 59 PPV: 67 NPV: 53 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Coefficient alpha 0.05 for inattentive scale

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year; Multiple publications:	Selling;	Reference standard;	
e	Study design:	ADHD presentation:	Diagnostic accuracy:	
Уp	Study size;	Diagnosis;	Rater agreement;	
хТ	Location	Comorbidity;	Other outcomes	
de		% Female;		
-		Age mean;		
		Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
			Internel consistency Coefficient sinks 0.02	0.05 fan humanaatiya (immulaiya
		Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 1	for inattentive scale 0.87 for	0.95 for hyperactive/impulsive
		% Black/African American : 7	hyperactive/impulsive scale	Alnha:
		% White : 88	Alpha:	
		Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 1%		Costs:
		Other		Index test 2. Teacher rating
				scale ADHD Symptom
			Misdiagnosis:	Checklist-4 Teacher,
			Labeling:	randomized-order version given to cohort 2 (N=104)
			Costs:	
				Sensitivity: 66 66
				PP\/· 75
				NPV: 57
				LR+:
				Accuracy:
				AUC:
				Rater agreement:
				Index text 4: Teacher rating
				scale ADHD Symptom
				Checklist-4 Teacher, standard
				diagnostic-cluster version given
				Sensitivity: 70
				NPV: 62
				AUC:
				Index text 5:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
Parent rating	Case series N = 393 UK Setting: Other	<ul> <li>Parget: Recruitment specialists identified participants (parents of individuals aged 5-19 with ADHD) through advertising, patient advocacy groups, and treating physicians; each individual ADHD status was recorded based on a self-report by a parent or caregiver</li> <li>Other: Age matched healthy children</li> <li>ADHD presentation: N/A</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Provider</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 20%</li> <li>Age mean:</li> <li>Control: 11.5 (3.4); ADHD: 11.4 (3.4)</li> <li>Min age: 5 Max age: 12</li> <li>Ethnicity:</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A</li> </ul>	Kererence standard: Chinical diagnosis         Self-Report physician-diagnosed ADHD         Timing: Prior diagnosis         Index test: Parent rating The Weiss         Functional Impairment Rating Scale Parent         Form (WFIRS-P) , a tool to differentiate         ADHD from normal controls based on         functional impairment scores         Sensitivity: 83         Specificity: 85         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:         LR:         Accuracy:         AUC: 0.91         Rater agreement:         Kappa:         ICC:         Internal consistency:         Alpha:         Test-retest:         Costs:         Misdiagnosis:         Labeling:         Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
Parent rating	Tillman, 2005 <sup>571</sup> Case series N = 264 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Consecutive new case ascertainment from outpatient child psychiatric and pediatric sites; IQ>=70; no current or past mania, hypomania, or major depressive disorder Other: Identified through a random survey that matched the comparison participants to participants with a prepubertal and early adolescent bipolar disorder phenotype by age, gender, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, and zip code; participants with bipolar disorde ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % Both males and females included in study Age mean: Min age: 7 Max age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Current DSM-IV ADHD with a Children's Global Assessment Scale score <=60, The Washington University in St Louis Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia semistructured interview (parents and children) Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Parent rating Conners' Abbreviated Parent Questionnaire; diagnostic performance outcomes calculated by using data for all 10 items from the ADHD subjects and the healthy comparison subjects Sensitivity: 99 Specificity: 95 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy:	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: NPV: LR+: A

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC:	AUC:
			Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Rater agreement: Index text 4:
			Internal consistency: Alpha:	Sensitivity: Specificity:
			Test-retest: Costs:	NPV: AUC:
			Misdiagnosis:	Index text 5:
			Labeling:	
	Manage barr	Townsh Children discussed with ADUD, study	Costs:	Index test 9: Deventel vetime
D	Vvassenberg, 2004 <sup>595</sup> Case series N = 72 US Setting: Primary	<b>arget:</b> Children diagnosed with ADHD; study design consisted of a consecutive series of subjects who survived a severe traumatic brain injury compared with an individually matched comparison group of subjects who sustained a mild traumatic brain injury, and a second matched control group of subjects who	Kererence standard: Clinical diagnosis Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for Shool-Age Children- Epidemiology Verion supplemented by a posttraumatic stress disorder module Timing: Concurrent	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist; scores for ADHD (n=19) versus no ADHD (n=51) groups, attention problems subscale, cutoff t>=60
Parent ratin	Care	evidence of traumatic brain injury with no evidence of traumatic brain injury; most of the ADHD children had at least one comorbid disorder including depression and/or anxiety disorders, ODD, or CD	Index test: Parent rating Child Benavior Checklist; scores for ADHD (n=19) versus no ADHD (n=51) groups, social problems subscale, cutoff t>=60	Sensitivity: 84 Specificity: 84 PPV: NPV:
		<b>Other:</b> Children not diagnosed with ADHD; study design consisted of a consecutive series of subjects who survived a severe traumatic	Specificity: 86 PPV:	Accuracy: 84 AUC:
		brain injury compared with an individually matched comparison group of subjects who sustained a mild traumatic brain injury, and a	LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 83	Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		ADHD presentation: N/A	AUC:	Alpha:
		Diagnosed by: Specialist	Rater agreement:	Costs:
		Comorbidity: N/A	Kappa:	la des test 0.
		Female: %		Index test 3:
		25% in entire sample	Internal consistency:	Sensitivity:
		Age mean:		PPV:
		Mean age at injury 8.76 (3.13), mean age at assessment 10.93 (3.41)	Costs:	NPV:
		Min age: 5 Max age: 14	Misdiagnosis	LR+: Accuracy:
		Ethnicity:		AUC:
		% White : 97	Labeling:	Rater agreement:
		Other into on race or ethnicity:	Costs:	
				Index text 4:
				Sensitivity:
				PPV:
				NPV:
				AUC:
				Index text 5:
	Zelko, 1991 <sup>626</sup>	<b>Target:</b> Boys with ADHD drawn from pediatric	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2: Parental rating
	Case series	Ather Two groups: a) subjects with pave	psychiatrist or psychologist. Verified by	Child Behavior CheckList
ting	N = 89	diagnoses such as adjustment disorder,	author interview of child and parents based	(CBCL) parent
t ra		depression, anxiety disorder, conduct disorder,	on DSM III>	Sensitivity:
Iren	Setting: Mixea	etc. b) normal subjects drawn from regular		Specificity:
Ъ,		<b>ADHD</b> presentation: $N/A \cdot 27$ ADD with	Index test: Parent rating Conners	PPV: NPV·
		hyperactivity, 3 ADD without hyperactivity	Abbreviated Rating Scale (ARS) parent	LR+:
			Sensitivity:	Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0% All male Age mean: 9.71 (1.1) Min age: Max age: Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 3.4 % Black/African American : 6.7 % White : 84.3 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 5.6% other	Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Parental rating scale Self Control Rating Scale (SCRS) parent Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, year;	Setting;	Reference standard;	
	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Index test;	
e	Study design;	ADHD presentation;	Diagnostic accuracy;	
ž	Study size;	Diagnosis;	Rater agreement;	
×	Location	Comorbidity;	Other outcomes	
p		% Female;		
-		Age mean;		
		Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
	Alloway 2009 <sup>126</sup>	<b>Target:</b> Only included children who score in the	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis	Index test 2: Teacher rating
	Case series	normal range on the Developmental. Diagnostic	Comprehensive clinical diagnostic	scale
	Case series	and Dimensional Interview, a computerized	assessment by pediatric psychiatrists and	The Behavior Rating Inventory
	N = 91	assessment for autistic spectrum disorders; all	community pediatricians	of Executive Function (BRIEF)
	UK	receiving stimulants but were taken off 24	Timing: Concurrent	teacher rating; discriminant
	Setting: School	hours prior to testing		function analysis all three
	-	Other: Healthy typically developing children	Index test: Teacher rating scale Conners'	indices
		and children with low working memory; age-	Teacher Rating Scale (CTRS) short form;	Sensitivity <sup>.</sup> 78
		matched to within 60 days (plus or minus 30	discriminant function analysis ADHD index	Specificity: 90
		days) of children in the ADHD group	Sensitivity: 72	PPV:
		ADHD presentation: combined : 100	Specificity: 95	NPV:
		Diagnosed by: Specialist	PPV:	LR+:
ale		Comorbidity: N/A	NPV:	Accuracy:
sce		Female: 13%		AUC:
ng			Accuracy:	Rater agreement:
rati			AUC:	Kappa:
er		9.75 (1.0) for the ADHD group, 9.91 (0.92) for		Internal consistency:
ach		(0.92) for the typically developing group	Rater agreement:	Alpha:
Teã			ICC:	Casta
				00315.
		Etnnicity:	Internal consistency:	Index test 3: Teacher rating
		Other into on race or ethnicity: N/A	Alpha:	scale The Working Memory
			Test-retest:	Rating Scale (WMRS) teacher
			Costs:	rating; discriminant function
			Misdiagnosis:	analysis
				Sensitivity: 82 82
			Labeling:	Specificity: 100
			Costs:	PPV:
				NPV:
				LR+:
	1			Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: neuropsychological,CPT The Conners' Continuous Performance Test; The K test was administered to assess performance on a vigilance task; discriminant function analysis Sensitivity: 41 Specificity: 65 PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Teacher rating scale	Edwards, 2015 <sup>246</sup> Case series N = 95 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Consecutively referred to a developmental center at a university medical center for evaluation of suspected ADHD; not on medication; diagnosed with ADHD Other: Consecutively referred to a developmental center at a university medical center for evaluation of suspected ADHD; not on medication; not diagnosed with ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: %	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis ADHD module from the parent version of the Computer-Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (C-DISC) and the parent and teacher versions of the Conners' ADHD/DSM-IV Scales (CADS) Timing: Concurrent Index test: Teacher rating scale Teacher Report Form (TRF) Attention Problems Scale; cutoff T-score 65 Sensitivity: 78 Specificity: 76 PPV: 75	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) Attention Problems Scale; cutoff T-score 65 Sensitivity: 87 Specificity: 53 PPV: 64 NPV: 81 LR+: Accuracy: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		24% in entire sample Age mean: 8.7 (1.9) Min age: 6 Max age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 2 % Black/African American : 18 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 1 % White : 79 Other info on race or ethnicity:	NPV: 79 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Cohen's kappa; recalibrated efficiency (adjusted for base rates; 0= random test, 1.0= perfect test) Kappa: 0.537 (95% Cl: 0.519, 0.567) ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Rater agreement: Cohen's kappa; recalibrated efficiency (adjusted for base rates; 0= random test, 1.0= perfect test) Kappa:0.396 (95% CI: 0.375, 0.424) Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Teacher rating scale Teacher Report Form (TRF) Attention Problems Scale; cutoff T-score 67 Sensitivity: 57 57 Specificity: 88 PPV: 81 NPV: 68 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Cohen's kappa; recalibrated efficiency (adjusted for base rates; 0= random test, 1.0= perfect test) Index text 4: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) Attention Problems Scale; cutoff T-score 67

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Sensitivity: 78 Specificity: 63 PPV: 67 NPV: 76 AUC: Index text 5:
Teacher rating scale	Gomez, 2021 <sup>300</sup> Case series N = 264 Australia Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children referred to a hospital outpatient psychiatric who were diagnosed with ADHD; more individuals in the ADHD group with comorbid-specific phobia, panic disorder, ODD and conduct disorder compared to those without ADHD Other: Children referred to a hospital outpatient psychiatric unit who were not diagnosed with ADHD <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 17,hyperactive : 12,combined : 71 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 26% Age mean: 9.21 (1.22) for ADHD group, 9.29 (1.18) for non-ADHD group Min age: 6 Max age: 11 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnoses of ADHD and ODD based on the ADISC-IV (Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule for Children); a semistructured interview, based on the DSM-IV-TR diagnostic system Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Teacher rating scale Conners 3 Teacher Short Form and Teacher's Report Form, score of 17 used as cut-off Sensitivity: 72 (64, 79) Specificity: 75 (59, 87) PPV: 92 NPV: 41 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.77 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Conners 3 Parent Short Form and Child Behavior Checklist Sensitivity: 79 (73, 85) Specificity: 77 (63, 87) PPV: 92 NPV: 50 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.85 Child Behavior Checklist parent 0.86 (95% Cl: 0.81, 0.90) Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist aggressive behavior scale Sensitivity: 60 60 Specificity: 75

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Test-retest:	PPV: 83.9
				LR+:
			Misdiagnosis:	Accuracy:
			Labeling:	AUC:
			Costs:	Rater agreement:
				Index text 4: Teacher rating scale Teacher's Report Form = aggressive behavior scale; Sensitivity: 48 Specificity: 91 PPV: 91.5 NPV: 44.9 AUC: Index text 5:
	Hall, 2020 <sup>311</sup>	assessment to a child and adolescent mental	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Clinician's diagnosis was made in	Index test 2: Parental rating scale
	N = 250	health service or community pediatric clinic;	accordance with DSM-IV/DSM-5 criteria	SNAP-IV parental rating
le	UK	participants and their assessing clinician were randomized to either immediately receiving the	using a short clinical record pro forma after	Sensitivity: 100
sce	Setting: Mixed	QbTest report (QbOpen group) or having the	Timing: Concurrent	Specificity: 4
ting		report withheld until the study end (QbBlind	Index tests Teacher retires each CNAD IV	NPV: 100
r ra		Other: None	teacher rating	LR+:
che			Sensitivity: 97	Accuracy:
Теа		Diagnosed by: Provider	Specificity: 26	Reter agreement:
		Comorbidity: N/A	PPV: 83	Kappa:
		Female: 21%	LR+:	Internal consistency:
			LR-:	mema consistency.

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Age mean: 9.5(2.8) Min age: 5.9 Max age: 17.4 Ethnicity: % White : 89 % Multiracial : 6 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 5	Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: NPV: AUC:
Teacher rating scale	Jarrett, 2018 <sup>338</sup> Case series N = 388 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Consecutive referrals to an outpatient clinic diagnosed with ADHD; 18.3% taking stimulant medication, instructed not to take medication on day of assessment. 5.8% on nonstimulant medication, not asked to stop medication for assessment <b>Other:</b> Children referred from community pediatricians, schools, and mental health professionals presenting at an outpatient clinic	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Participants were diagnosed with ADHD using the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children–IV–Parent Version (DISC-IV-P) with agreement between two investigators. Timing: Concurrent Index test: Teacher rating scale Teacher Report Form Attention Problems Sensitivity:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist Attention Problems Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Diagnostic likelihood ratio of 1.98 for individuals in the

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		for a psycholoducational assessment not diagnosed with ADHD ADHD presentation: inattentive : 29,hyperactive : 3,combined : 68 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 32% Age mean: 10.21 (2.73) Min age: 5 Max age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 1.5 % Black/African American : 4 % White : 93 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 1.5% Race other	specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Diagnostic likelihood ratio of 1.55 for individuals in the highest risk group (scores >=66.28) LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.65 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.95 Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	highest fisk group (scores >=71) Accuracy: AUC: 0.66 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.76 Costs: Index test 3: CPT Conners CPT Hit Reaction Time Standard Error Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Diagnostic likelihood ratio of 1.87 for individuals with high scores (>=74.5) Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Index text 5:
Teacher rating scale	Karr, 2021 <sup>352</sup> Kibby, 2015 <sup>852</sup> ; Kibby, 2014 <sup>853</sup> Case series N = 223 US Setting: Community	<ul> <li>Target: IQ &gt;=80, diagnosed with ADHD or ADHD with comorbid reading disorder (children with comorbidities not included in ROC analysis)</li> <li>Other: Healthy children; study also included children with reading disorder and children with "other diagnoses" but they were not part of ROC analysis</li> <li>ADHD presentation: N/A : n=85 children in sample w/ADHD</li> <li>Diagnosed by: Specialist</li> <li>Comorbidity: Learning disability : Reading disability</li> <li>Female: 43.1%</li> <li>Age mean: 9.49 (1.35)</li> <li>Min age: 8 Max age: 12</li> <li>Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 2.7 % Black/African American : 4.9 % White : 85.8</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 6.7</li> </ul>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Clinical neuropsychologist conducted assessment according to DSM-IV criteria Timing: Prior diagnosisIndex test: Teacher rating scale Behavior Assessment System for Children, Second Edition, Executive Function screener (BASC-2-EF) teacher rating scale global sum score; analysis of ADHD vs healthy childrenSensitivity: 79 Specificity: 71 PPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.831Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Behavior Assessment System for Children, Second Edition, Executive Function screener (BASC-2-EF) parent rating scale global sum score; analysis of ADHD vs healthy children Sensitivity: 91 Specificity: 84 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.919 Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.91 Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Internal consistency: Alpha: 0.95 Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Teacher rating scale	Kennerley, 2018 <sup>355</sup> Case series N = 55 New Zealand Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Recruited from a preexisting database within the Department of Psychology at the University of Otago, New Zealand or referred from the Southern District Health Board's Paediatric Outpatients and Child and Family Mental Health Services; 15 children were on medication (Ritalin, Rubifen, Concerta, and Methamphetamine) <b>Other:</b> None <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 43,hyperactive : 11,combined : 39,N/A : 7% ADHD-not otherwise specified	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisKiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders andSchizophreniaTiming: Prior diagnosisIndex test: Teacher rating scale Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-Fourth edition teacher ratingSensitivity:Specificity:PPV:NPV:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale–Fourth edition parent rating Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC:

	Study:	Population:	Results:	Additional index tests
	Author, vear:	Setting:	Reference standard:	
	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Index test;	
e	Study design;	ADHD presentation;	Diagnostic accuracy;	
Ϋ́	Study size;	Diagnosis;	Rater agreement;	
Г×	Location	Comorbidity;	Other outcomes	
de		% Female;		
느		Age mean;		
		Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
		Diagnosed by: Specialist	LR+:	Rater agreement:
		Comorbidity: N/A		Карра:
		Female: 20%		Internal consistency:
		Age mean:	AUC.	Alpha:
		104.33 months (23.67 months)	Rater agreement: Teachers versus parents Significant positive correlation between the	Costs:
		Min age: 6 Max age: 12	total number of symptoms endorsed by	
		Ethnicity:	parents and teachers ( $r = 0.251$ , $p < 0.05$ ).	Index test 3: Observation
		% Asian : 1.8,Other : Chinese	Карра: 0.292	Studente in Schoole
		% White : 83.6,Other : 78.2% New Zealand	ICC:	Students in Schools
		European, 3.6% British, and 1.8% Australian	Internal consistency:	Sensitivity:
		% Multiracial : 7.3,Other : New Zealand	Alpha	Specificity:
		European/ Maori	, ipria.	PPV:
		Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 5.5%	Test-retest:	NPV:
		Maori	Costs:	LR+:
			Misdiagnosis:	Accuracy: AUC:
			Labeling:	Rater agreement:
			Costs:	Clinician versus parent and
				clinician versus teacher
				Significant positive correlation
				between teacher-rated total
				symptoms and clinician-total
				(r = .264, p <)
				.05) but not between parent-
				clinician total off task behavior
				(i.e. motor verbal and passive
				off-task be
				Index text 4:
				Sensitivity:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Teacher rating scale	Raiker, 2017 <sup>480</sup> Case series N = 620 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Recruited using a prospective, consecutive case series design from all intakes at an urban, community mental health center; youth self-report only completed by adolescent group age 12-18 Other: Children and adolescents recruited using a prospective, consecutive case series design from all intakes at an urban, community mental health center not diagnosed with ADHD <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive_other : Age 5 to 11: 9%. Age 12 to 18: 10%,hyperactive_other : Age 5 to 11: 4%. Age 12 to 18: 4,combined_other : Age 5 to 11: 53%. Age 12 to 18: 25%,N/A : ADHD not otherwise specified Age 5 to 11: 7%. Age 12 to 18: 13%. <b>Diagnosed by:</b> Specialist <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> % Age 5 to 11 32% female, age 12 to 18 46% female <b>Age mean:</b>	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnoses of ADHD were made in accordance with DSM-IV-TR Timing: Prior diagnosis Index test: Teacher rating scale Teacher Report Form Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment (ASEBA) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Age 5 to 11: AUC 0.62 (0.55-0.70), age 12 to 18: AUC 0.56 (0.50-0.62) Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment (ASEBA) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Age 5 to 11: AUC 0.72 (0.65-0.80), age 12 to 18: AUC 0.0.73 (0.67-0.78) Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Age 5 to 11: 7.63 (1.65), age 12 to 18: 13.43 (1.85) Min age: 5 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : Age 5 to 11: 3%. Age 12 to 18: 0%. % Black/African American : Age 5 to 11: 87%. Age 12 to 18: 89%. % White : Age 5 to 11: 6%. Age 12 to 18: 6. Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Ethnicity Other age 5 to 11: 4%. Age 12 to 18: 4%.	Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Teen/child self report Youth Self-Report Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment (ASEBA) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: 0.56 Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Teacher rating scale	Schneider, 2020 <sup>507</sup> Case series N = 84 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> ADHD symptoms present for at least 6 months and cross-situational impairment; IQ >=80; free of intellectual disability or autism spectrum disorder, visual impairment, treatment with psychotropic medications other than for ADHD, history of DSM-IV or DSM-V Axis I diagnosis other than oppositional defiant disorder or adjustment disorder, neurological disorder, documented hearing loss >= 25 decibels loss in either ear, reported history of	<b>Reference standard:</b> Clinical diagnosis Adapted from the NIH Preschoolers with Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder Treatment Study, Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children-Young Child used for 4-year-olds and Diagnostic Interview for Children and Adolescents, Fourth Edition used for 5 Timing: Prior diagnosis	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function- Preschool Version (same form for teachers and parents) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		physical sexual, or emotional abuse, and history of a developmental language disorder Other: Typically developing children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 40.8% Age mean: ADHD group: 5.0 (0.6), comparison group: 4.9 (0.5) Min age: 4 Max age: 5 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 5 % Asian : 3 % White : 90 % Multiracial : 1 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other 1%	Index test: Teacher rating scale Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function- Preschool Version (same form for teachers and parents) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Teacher versus parent Using standardized score totals, analysis of group-by-rater interaction effects revealed significant interactions for two scales: Working Memory, and Plan/Organize. Of note, the effect size for group differences (ADHD vs. TD) for these two scales was ess Within the ADHD group, there were significant associations between parent and teacher ratings on four of the five scales (correlations ranging from 0.30 to 0.34), with only the Shift scale showing non-significant inter-rater association (r =01). In con Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest:	NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
----------------------	---	--	---	--
			Costs: Misdiagnosis:	
			Labeling:	
			Costs:	
Teacher rating scale	Shemmassian, 2016 <sup>515</sup> Shemmassian, 2012 <sup>1008</sup> Case series N = 195 US Setting: Other	Target: Youths recruited through talks at self- help groups for ADHD, and study fliers distributed to local mental health service providers with language specifically targeting youth with elevated levels of attention and hyperactivity problems; with or without psychotropic medications; IQ<=70 Other: Neurotypical children without ADHDrecruited from local elementary schools and pediatric offices using fliers containing "neutral" language (i.e., did not refer to ADHD- related problems) ; youth who met criteria for any disorder other than ADHD (e.g., an ADHD presentation: inattentive : 42,hyperactive : 12,combined : 46 Diagnosed by: Researcher Comorbidity: N/A Female: 30% Age mean: 7.4 (1.1) Min age: 6 Max age: 10 Ethnicity:	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Any subtype of ADHD according to DISC-IV Timing: Concurrent Index test: Teacher rating scale Teacher Disruptive Behavior Disorder (DBD) Ratings Scale Sensitivity: 48 Specificity: 70 PPV: 65 NPV: 54 LR+: LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Parent Disruptive Behavior Disorder (DBD) Ratings Scale Sensitivity: 73 Specificity: 93 PPV: 93 NPV: 75 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Parent- rated inattention Cronbach's alpha 0.94, Parent rated hyperactivity/impulsivity Cronbach's alpha 0.91 Alpha: Costs:

## Appendix C. Evidence Tables

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		% Hispanic or Latino : 10 % Black/African American : 7 % White : 53 % Multiracial : 22 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 4	Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Index test 3: Combined rating OR rule, i.e., teacher or parent rating indicates ADHD ( Teacher Disruptive Behavior Disorder (DBD) Ratings Scale or Parent Disruptive Behavior Disorder (DBD) Ratings Scale) Sensitivity: 88 88 Specificity: 63 PPV: 73 NPV: 83 LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Combined rating AND rule, i.e., teacher and parent rating indicates ADHD (Teacher Disruptive Behavior Disorder (DBD) Ratings Scale or Parent Disruptive Behavior Disorder (DBD) Ratings Scale) Sensitivity: 25 Specificity: 98 PPV: 93 NPV: 53 AUC: Index text 5:

Maximum age;	
Shemmassian, 2017 <sup>316</sup> Target: IQ>=70, free from a previous pervasive disorder, or any medical condition that prevented full participation in the study; recruited through presentations to self-help groups and advertisements mailed to local elementary schools, pediatric offices, and clinical service providers         Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosis Interview Schedule for Children, the dottion         Index test 2: Parents scale           0         5etting: Mixed         Target: IQ>=70, free from a previous pervasive other than ADHD, as well as those with a sub- clinical ADHD included in comparison group; IQ>=70, free from a previous pervasive developmental, seizure, or neurological disorder, or any medical condition that prevent ADHD presentation: inattentive : 43,hyperactive : 12, combined : 45 Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29% Age mean: 7.4 (1.2) Min age : 5 Max age: 10 Ethnicity: % Hispatic or Latino : 9 % White: 54 % Multiracial : 21, Other : Biracial Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 2% race category other         Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29% % White: 54 % Multiracial : 21, Other : Biracial Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 2% race category other         Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 21, Other : Biracial Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 2% race category other         Index test : Parent and Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 21, Other : Biracial Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 2% race category other         Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Diagnosed by: Unclear/NR Comorbidity: S1 NPV: 87 Clinical ADHD         Index test : NPV: 87 Clinical ADHD         Index test : NPV: 87 Clinical ADHD <tr< th=""><th>al rating Disorder rating. (TPV) ich level of HD versus rived from red" m: &gt;=6 o 04 for on for parent- rmptoms havior e</th></tr<>	al rating Disorder rating. (TPV) ich level of HD versus rived from red" m: >=6 o 04 for on for parent- rmptoms havior e

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Teacher rating scale	Tripp, 2006 <sup>574</sup> Case series N = 184 New Zealand Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children diagnosed with ADHD at specialized clinic. No exclusion criteria listed. Other: Children referred to the ADHD Research Clinic at the University of Otago for assessment that did not meet ADHD diagnosis criteria. ADHD presentation: inattentive : 17.6,hyperactive : 4.6,combined : 77.8 Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 23.4% Age mean: 7.9 (1.6) Min age: 5 Max age: 12	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis DSM IV by clinical psychologist experienced in ADHD assessment Timing: Concurrent Index test: Teacher rating scale Teacher Report Form (TRF) Sensitivity: 78.7 Specificity: 63.5 PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: 72.5	Index test 2: Parental rating scale Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL), parent rating Sensitivity: 76.9 Specificity: 32.9 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 58.7 AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency:

## Appendix C. Evidence Tables

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Ethnicity: % Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 12.0 % White : 76.1 Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A : 8.7,Other : Other 3.2	AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Teacher rating scale Conners Teacher Rating Scale Sensitivity: 81.3 81.3 Specificity: 69.3 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: 76.4 AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Parental rating scale Conners Parent Rating Scale Sensitivity: 78.5 Specificity: 32.4 PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
Teacher rating scale	Zhou, 2018 <sup>629</sup> Case series N = 339 US Setting: Mixed	Target: Children diagnosed with ADHD diagnosed in multiple clinics across the United States by the practicing clinicians in these clinics; 68% prescribed medication; 22% had at least one comorbid psychiatric diagnosis Other: A population proportion stratified random sample of the US child and adolescent population matched on age, education level, gender, and ethnicity ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 27% Age mean: 11.85(3.43) Min age: 6 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 13 % Black/African American : 8 % Asian : 3 % White : 71 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : other 5%	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosisDiagnosed by practicing clinicians usingDSM criteriaTiming: Prior diagnosisIndex test: Teacher rating scale TheBehavior Assessment System for Children-Third Edition (BASC-3) teacher rating scaleSensitivity: 70Specificity: 73PPV:NPV:LR+:LR-:Accuracy:AUC:Rater agreement:Kappa:ICC:Internal consistency:Alpha:Test-retest:Costs:Misdiagnosis:Labeling:Costs:	Index test 2: Parental rating scale The Behavior Assessment System for Children-Third Edition (BASC-3) parent rating scale Sensitivity: 94 Specificity: 51 PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Teen/child self report	Bergeron, 2017 <sup>149</sup> Case series N = 447 Canada Setting: Mixed	Target: Adolescents living in the Montreal urban area selected in regular classrooms from 4 secondary schools reflecting heterogeneous socioeconomic levels and adolescents from youth centers, specialised psychiatric clinics, inpatient units, and day treatment centers Other: Adolescents living in the Montreal urban area selected in regular classrooms from 4 secondary schools reflecting heterogeneous socioeconomic levels and adolescents from youth centers, specialised psychiatric clinics, inpatient units, and day treatment cen ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Researcher Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 44% in the school subsample and 57% in the clinical subsample Age mean: Min age: 12 Max age: 15 Ethnicity:	Reference standard: Other Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Aged Children (KIDDIE-SADS) Timing: Concurrent Index test: Teen/child self report Dominic Interactive for Adolescents-Revised (DIA- R); ADHD scale 18 items, cutoff >=10 Sensitivity: 86 (62, 100) Specificity: 70 (65, 74) PPV: NPV: LR+: 2.8 LR-: Accuracy: AUC: 0.85 Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Cronbach's alpha: >0.80 for the total sample	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Alpha: Evaluated twice, 7 to 15 days apart (mean = 9.5, SD = 3.28) Test-retest: Total sample ICC= 0.84 (95% CI: 0.81, 0.87); School subsample ICC= 0.84 (95% CI: 0.80, 0.87); Clinical subsample ICC= 0.82 (95% CI: 0.77, 0.86) Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling:	AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Teen/child self report	Doyle, 2007 <sup>236</sup> Case series N = 251 US Setting: Other	Target: Probands and siblings participating in a longitudinal study of youth diagnosed with ADHD Other: Probands and siblings participating in a longitudinal study of youth without ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % 25% female in entire sample, all probands were males, sibling sets included both boys and girls Age mean: 14.6 (1.9) Min age: 12 Max age: 18 Ethnicity: Other : All probands were white, non-Hispanic Other info on race or ethnicity:	Costs: Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Aged Children and Adolescents Epidemiologic Version (Kiddie SADS-E), independent interviews with the mother and direct interviews of children Timing: Concurrent Index test: Teen/child self report Achenbach youth self-report (YSR) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: LR-: Accuracy: Total predictive value ranged from 85% to 90% over 8 subscales	Index test 2: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV:

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			AUC: Rater agreement: Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Index text 4: Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: Index text 5:
Teen/child self report	Slobodin, 2022 <sup>531</sup> Case series N = 190 Israel Setting: Specialty care	Target: Referred to a private pediatric neurologic clinic between January 2018 and December 2020; exclusion criteria were an intellectual disability, severe neurological or developmental disabilities, and psychosis Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosed by: Specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 40% Age mean: 8.48 (0.90) Min age: 7 Max age: 10 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : All of Jewish background living in northern Israel	Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis         Interview with the child and the parents,         medical/neurological examination, CPT         administration, and ADHD diagnostic         questionnaires (Conners ADHD Index         Rating scales, 3rd edition, short-form-         parent and teacher, Child Behavior         Checklist and Teacher's Repor         Timing:         Index test: Teen/child self report Child self-         report ratings compared to parent ratings         (Conners and Child Behavior Checklist)         Sensitivity:         Specificity:         PPV:         NPV:         LR+:	Index test 2: Teen/child self report Child self-report ratings compared to teacher ratings (Conners and Teacher's Report Form) Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Child self- report versus teacher reports Spearman correlation: Inattention self-report with

Index Type	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Results: Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
			LR-: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Child self-report versus parent reports Spearman correlation: Inattention r= 0.179, p<0.05; hyperactivity r= 0.246, p <0.01; social problems r=0.206, p<0.01; child self- report of social problems with parent report of anxiety r=0.164, p<0.05; anxiety r=0.178, p<0.05; child self-report of depress Kappa: ICC: Internal consistency: Alpha: Test-retest: Costs: Misdiagnosis: Labeling: Costs:	social problems teacher report r=0.174, p<0.05; social problems r=0.283, p<0.01; children's self-report of social problems with teacher's report of depression r=0.270, p<0.01; learning difficulties r= 0 Kappa: Internal consistency: Alpha: Costs: Index test 3: Teen/child self report Child self-report ratings compared to performance on MOXO-CPT indices Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: LR+: Accuracy: AUC: Rater agreement: Child self-report versus MOXO- CPT performance Spearman correlation: child self-report of inattention with at least one impaired CPT index r=0.211, p< 0.01; child self-report of learning difficulties with CPT

## Appendix C. Evidence Tables

Index Type	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Study design; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Results:</b> Reference standard; Index test; Diagnostic accuracy; Rater agreement; Other outcomes	Additional index tests
				accuracy r= -0.162, p<0.05 and CPT impulsivness r= 0.212, p<0.01; child self-report of disli <b>Index text 4:</b> Sensitivity: Specificity: PPV: NPV: AUC: <b>Index text 5:</b>

## Table C.2. KQ2 evidence table

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
CAM	Binesh, 2020 <sup>158</sup> Research Institute for Islamic and Complementary Medicine, 2019 <sup>965</sup> ID: IRCT20090527001957N 9 RCT Single center N = 50 Iran Setting: N/A	Target: Children aged 6–14 years diagnosed with ADHD according to DSM-5 criteria, their CSI-4 score, clinical judgment of a psychiatrist, and a family physician; scores on the CSI-4 questionnaire scores for the AD section needed to exceed 6, and the HA section needed to exceed 5 to meet the inclusion criteria Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-V Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18.2 % Age mean: 9.8 (2) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Auricular therapy was performed at six ear acupoints, stimulated bilaterally for 20 sec at each point, each participant evaluated and received stimulation for 15 min, repeated once a week for 6 weeks, after stimulation, each point labeled with small sections of adhesive tape that contained a small granule (Vaccaria seeds), participants' supervisors were asked to apply medium pressure once a day for 1 min on each of the seeds <b>Control:</b> Attention-matched control Nonacupuncture points were not electrically stimulated and only the seedless adhesive tapes were attached, adhesive replacement was performed once a week for 6 weeks <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 2.5 months	Hyperactivity Scores, Comprehensive Behavior Rating Scale, Parent's version Hyperactivity impulsiveness, and anger improvement improvement, investigator evaluation Patients exhibited significantly greater improvement after receiving auricular therapy than did children in the sham control group (p < .05).
CAM	Frei, 2001 <sup>281</sup> ID: NA Clinical trial Single center N = 115	<b>Target:</b> Patients ADHD with a CGI of 14 or higher were included in the study; if there was any doubt concerning the diagnosis of ADHD, patients were referred to a child and adolescent psychiatrist or	<b>Intervention:</b> Homeopathic liquid LM-potencies (LM-3 to LM-30) every day or every second day, used for 4 weeks, moving on to the next higher level (eg LM-6) after a treatment free interval of several days to one week	CGI (Clinical Global Impression) scale During homeopathic treatment the mean CGI rating fell to 9.27 corresponding to an amelioration of 55%, and with MPD to 10.96, corresponding to an amelioration of 48%.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Switzerland Setting: Specialty care	psychologist or a pediatric neurologist for further testing Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20 % Age mean: mean age 8.3 Minimum age: 3 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate for patients who did not reach sufficient clinical improvement, or whose behavior remained unacceptable despite a certain response to homeopathy after reevaluation, optimal dosage was adjusted over 3 months Follow-up: 3 months	
CAM	Frei, 2005 <sup>280</sup> ID: NA Crossover trial Single center N = 83 Switzerland Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD with neuropsychological correlates (greater difficulty in learning, memory, non-automated language tasks, and traditional frontal executive measures), the necessity for treatment, and absence of any chronic physical, neurological or psychiatric disorders. Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by neuropsychologist Comorbidity: N/A	Intervention: Verum homeopathic treatment daily for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 5.5 months	Conners' Global Index (CGI) Intervention group had significantly more improvement than control group (p=0.0479).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Female: 12.8 % Arm 1= 14.81% / Arm 2= 10.71% Age mean: Arm A: 10 (range 7–15); Arm B: 10 (range 7–15) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
CAM	Hong, 2016 <sup>327</sup> Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, 2010 <sup>701</sup> ID: KCT0000019 RCT Single center N = 93 Korea Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Iarget: ADHD diagnosis (of any subtype); any intervention (pharmacological, psychosocial therapy, educational, occupational therapies etc.) without change in ADHD treatments/ symptoms for last 2 weeks or no current treatment. Exclusion criteria: diagnosis of mental retardation or pervasive developmental disorders; past history of epilepsy or other neurotic disorder; pregnancy; any change in medications during the course of the study.</li> <li>Other: Parent reported some outcomes</li> <li>ADHD presentation: N/A : Mean Hyperactivity/Impulsivity score = 11.0 in each group.</li> <li>Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV criteria</li> </ul>	Intervention: Acupuncture treatment for twenty minutes, twice per week for six weeks Control: Wait list Wait list Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	Child Benavior Checklist (CBCL), change from baseline No significant difference between groups (p = 0.393). ADHD-RS change Change in score did not differ significantly between groups (p = 0.561). 3 headaches in acupuncture group, none in control group; no other adverse events reported.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Benzing, 2019 <sup>146</sup>	Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18.7 % Age mean: 11.0 (2.8) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: % Asian : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity: Target: Children between the ages	Intervention: Xbox Kinect	Conners-3 Scale, German version, Global
Cognitive training	Universität Bern, 2016 <sup>1091</sup> ID: KEK 393/15, DRKS00010171 RCT Single center N = 51 Switzerland Setting: Other	of 8 and 12 who had been previously diagnosed with the ADHD by a medical professional based upon the ICD-10; should not suffer from a neurological disorder, Tourette syndrome, or an epileptic disorder <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A : Scores entered above reflect dimensional ADHD-RS symptoms, not ADHD subtypes <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist ICD-10 <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 17.6 % <b>Age mean:</b> 10.63 (1.32) <b>Minimum age:</b> <b>Maximum age:</b> <b>Ethnicity:</b>	exergaming training for 8 weeks, 3 times a week for at least 30 minutes <b>Control:</b> Wait list No intervention <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 2 months	Index Score, parents Significant effects favoring the intervention were detected on the total global index score (p=0.022). ADHD symptoms (DSM-IV-TR scales) No significant group effects (p > .05). For the Motor ability - German Motor test the intervention group showed a significantly better total performance than the control group (p=0.008).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;EthnicityOther info on race or ethnicity:	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Digorgo 2016156	Torret Children with ADUD		Pakaviaur Symptoma Index (maan parant
Cognitive training	Bigorra, 2016 <sup>156</sup> Bigorra, 2016 <sup>674</sup> ID: ISRCTN00767728 RCT Single center N = 66 Spain Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD, comorbidity with other disruptive behavior disorders was accepted, all diagnoses were confirmed using the semi-structured Kiddie- Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia, Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL) interview that was administered to parents; T scores on the Conners ADHD index for parents and teachers >70 at the time of diagnosis; no previous psychological or pharmacological treatment for ADHD Other: ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR by clinician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 55 % Age mean: 8.92 (1.75) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 12	Intervention: Adaptive training with Cogmed Working Memory Training: visual-spatial, auditory, and location memory and tracking of moving visual objects as working memory tasks, each training session included 90 trials and had a duration of 30–45 min, participants attended 5 sessions per week over a 5-week period for a total of 25 sessions <b>Control:</b> Attention-matched control Control group (non-adaptive training) engaged in the MegaMemo, which consists of the same working memory tasks but without the adjustment for difficulty, i.e. they performed simpler tasks <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	<ul> <li>Behaviour Symptoms Index (mean parent, teacher)</li> <li>ADHD Composite Index (Conners, SDQ)</li> <li>A significant improvement was noted for the intervention group compared to the control group (p = 0.01).</li> <li>Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale (WFIRS-P)- Parent</li> <li>Significant improvements for the intervention group compared to the control group were registered on the school learning behavior subscale (p=0.02) but not on any other subscale.</li> <li>With respect to executive functions scales (BRIEF), the the experimental group improved significantly more than the control group (p=0.01). No statistically significant differences between the groups for Theory of Mind composite score were recorded at any point in time (p=0.57).</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		% Hispanic or Latino : 95.4 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Cognitive training	Bikic, 2018 <sup>59</sup> Region Syddanmark, 2012 <sup>963</sup> ID: NCT01752530 RCT Multicenter N = 78 Denmark Setting: Mixed	Target: Children fulfilling DSM-IV criteria for ADHD (in DAWBA interview, and verified with K- SADS); age between 6 and 13 years; access to a computer and internet connection; no diagnosis of comorbid conduct disorder, autism spectrum disorders, depression or schizophrenia; no medical history of head injury or a verified neurological disorder; intelligence quotient (IQ) not less than 80; no motor or perceptual handicaps which would interfere with computer use; no medical condition requiring primary treatment; and no informed consent from custody Other: Parents ADHD presentation: inattentive : 42.6,hyperactive : 5.7,combined : 50 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist interviewed by one of three trained psychologists, to confrm the ADHD diagnosis, using the ADHD section of the Kiddie-Schedule for Afective	Intervention: Computer program ACTIVATE used 6 times a week for 8 weeks using only used the cognitive computer games part, not use the physical exercises, the group received ADHD treatment as usual <b>Control:</b> Other Treatment as usual alone, which consisted of diagnostic and cognitive assessment, psycho-education, pedagogical counseling, and questionnaires for parents and teachers, home and school visits and, for some children, medical treatment <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 5.8 months	ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD-Rating Scale-IV), parent rating There was no significant effect for training (p- 0.69). Weiss functional impairment rating scale- parent report form (WFIRS-P) There were no significant differences between the intervention and the control group (p=0.54). No significant effect of training on sustained attention, parent-rated-BRIEF, or teacher- rated-BRIEF.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Disorders and Schizophrenia (K- SADS) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 16 % Age mean: 9.95 (1.7) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Cognitive training	Bul, 2016 <sup>174</sup> Bul, 2018 <sup>684</sup> ID: ISRCTN62056259 RCT Multicenter N = 170 Multiple countries Setting: Mixed	Target: Children stable on pharmacological and/or psychological treatment for ADHD 8 weeks before baseline (determined by health care professionals on the basis of medication data and behavioral observation) Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 22.4,hyperactive : 3.5,combined : 74.1 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR by psychologist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 19.4 % Age mean: 9.85 (1.26) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 12	Intervention: Game intervention in addition to treatment as usual for the first 10 weeks, maximum of 65 minutes approximately 3 times per week Control: TAU Treatment as usual for the first 10 weeks and the crossed over to the serious game intervention in addition to treatment as usual for the subsequent 10 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 5 months	<ul> <li>Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF, subscale Plan/Organized) showed significantly greater improvements (p=0.004).</li> <li>10 adverse events that could be related to the intervention, all were mild or moderate severity, including pain in the fingers, irritability, and headache, one participant did not want to paly the game anymore because he could not concentrate during his school activities; there were no serious adverse events.</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; EthnicityEthnicityEthnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Cognitive training	Chu, 2021 <sup>203</sup> Shanghai Childrens Hospital, 2021 <sup>1006</sup> ID: ChiCTR2100052803 RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 145 China Setting: N/A	Target: IQ should be 70 or above established with the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for children–fifth edition (WISC-V). Moreover, parents or primary caregivers did not want to receive drug therapy, could read and write the Chinese language, were legally able to sign informed consent, and signed the informed consent. Children with autism spectrum disorder, schizophrenia, epilepsy, head injury, or verified neurological disorder, intellectual disability (IQ <70, based on WISC-V), and sensory impairment (hearing/vision problems) and those receiving other ADHD treatments were excluded. Neither the intervention nor waitlist group were treated with medication. Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 60,hyperactive : 14,combined : 26 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-V	Intervention: Eight weekly sessions of a hospital-based executive function training program for participants, each session 90 minutes long, and an online parent training program, each session 30 minutes long Control: Wait list Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	SNAP- IV total score (Chinese version), parent There was no significant difference between groups. Weiss Functional Impairment Scale, parent The intervention had significantly greater differences of improvement compared to control (p = 0.009). The intervention group had greater reduction in the scores of behavioral regulation index (inhibition, emotional control) and metacognition index (working memory, planning/organization, monitoring) in executive function than those in the control group (p < 0.05).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: 25 % Age mean: Intervention: 7.10 (0.47) Waitlist: 7.04 (0.61) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 8 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Cognitive training	Denton, 2020 <sup>225</sup> The University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, 2010 <sup>1082</sup> ; Dvorsky, 2021 <sup>727</sup> ID: NCT01133847 RCT Multicenter N = 222 US Setting: School	Target: Patients with ADHD and a standard score ≤ 25th percentile on either the Woodcock-Johnson III Letter-Word Identification or Word Attack subtests or the Basic Reading Skills composite Other: Parents received training and provided some outcomes ADHD presentation: inattentive : 46.1,combined : 53.9 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: Learning disability Female: 39.0 % Age mean: 8.8 (1.3) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 7 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 72.1	Intervention: Reading intervention plus medication plus parent training; the reading intervention was provided individually or in groups of two students in 45- minute lessons, 4 days per week, a possible total of 64 lessons over 16 weeks; medication treatment in children typically began with a low dose of extended-release methylphenidate, which was titrated up in weekly visits to a dosage at which the child had a satisfactory response with limited side effects for a total of 12 weeks; the behavioral parent training consisted of 9 group sessions over 10 weeks, topics included psychoeducation about ADHD and evidence-based strategies for behavior management <b>Control:</b> Other	Inattention, SNAP (Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham Checklist for DSM-IV), parent rating Combined intervention group improved more than group receiving reading instruction alone. Same for SNAP Parent Rating of Hyperactivity-Impulsivity, SNAP- Teacher Rating of Inattention, and SNAP- Teacher Rating of Hyperactivity-Impulsivity. Test of Word Reading Efficiency (TOWRE) Phonemic Decoding Efficiency: combined intervention (p 0.03) and reading group alone (p 0.007) had significantly higher posttest means than medication and parent treatment alone. Improvement in WIAT-3 Reading Comprehension means was superior for medication plus parent training group compared to both groups receiving a reading intervention (p 0.008).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		% White : 19.6 % Multiracial : 6.4 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Parent training plus medication only; treatment typically began with a low dose of extended-release methylphenidate, which was titrated up in weekly visits to a dosage at which the child had a satisfactory response with limited side effects; the behaviora	
			Follow-up: 4 months	
Cognitive training	Dentz, 2020 <sup>226</sup> Université du Québec a Montréal, 2017 <sup>1092</sup> ID: NCT03335748 RCT Single center N = 52 Canada Setting: Other	Target: Youths 7-13 years of age diagnosed with ADHD combined type with comorbid learning disability, oppositional defiance disorder, or Tourette syndrome, and under pharmacological treatment for ADHD, which had been stabilized for at least the past 2 monthsOther:ADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IVComorbidity: N/AFemale: 13 %Age mean: Intervention: 10.44 (1.18), control: 9.60 (2.08)Minimum age: 7	Intervention: Cogmed program: a cognitive training software designed with exercises targeting the verbal and visuospatial components of working memory specifically, each training session lasted from 30 to 45 min, participants had to complete at least five sessions per week for five consecutive weeks <b>Control:</b> Placebo Comparison version of the Cogmed program with a low and invariable level of difficulty, which was expected to dampen the program's effects. <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 2.5 months	Inattention, Conners 3 There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.18).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: % White : 86.5 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Cognitive training	Dovis, 2015 <sup>234</sup> Dovis, 2015 <sup>720</sup> ID: NTR2728 RCT Multicenter N = 89 Netherlands Setting: Specialty care	Target: DSM-IV-TR diagnosis of ADHD combined time diagnosed by a child psychologist or child psychiatrist, score on Disruptive Behavioral Disorder Rating Scale (Dutch translation) in 9th to 100th percentile for both parent and teacher version ADHD scale, met criteria for ADHD combined type on ADHD section of Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children, parent version; IQ score greater than or equal to 80 on Dutch (WISC-III); Exclusion criteria - conduct disorder, autism spectrum disorder, neurological disorder, sensory or motor impairment reported by parents, medications other than methylphenidate or dextroamphetamine <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> combined : 100 <b>Diagnosis:</b> No Prior diagnosis per DSM-IV confirmed by child psychologist or	Intervention: Executive functioning training ("Braingame Brian") total of 25 training sessions, each session taking between 35-50 minutes each, all tasks were in training mode and level is adjusted to child's level of performance <b>Control:</b> Placebo Braingame Brain in placebo condition: working memory, inhibition, and cognitive-flexibility tasks were presented in the same way as training mode except the stop-trials and switch-trials were replaced by go-trials and non-switch trials and difficulty leve <b>Comparator:</b> Cognitive trainingPartially-active condition in which the working memory tasks were in placebo mode which did not adjust difficulty to performance while the inhibition and cognitive- flexibility tasks were in training mode <b>Follow-up:</b> 4.25 months	There was no signifcant difference of treatment outcome on any executive function measures

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		psychiatrist, but did not describe process of confirmation <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 20 % <b>Age mean:</b> Table 1 labeled value as "M", suspect this is the "mean age,"; mean age of full-active intervention 10.6 (SD 1.4), partially-active intervention 10.3 (SD 1.3), and placebo group 10.5 (SD 1.3) <b>Minimum age:</b> 8 <b>Maximum age:</b> 12 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Cognitive training	Egeland, 2013 <sup>247</sup> Hovik, 2013 <sup>811</sup> ID: ISRCTN19133620 RCT Single center N = 75 Norway Setting: School	Target: Children in treatment for ADHD, exclusion criteria were IQ below 70, or a comorbid diagnosis of Pervasive Developmental Disorders, Tourette's Disorder, evidence of psychosis or Bipolar Disorder and Conduct Disorder Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist F-90 ICD-10 Hyperkinetic Disorder (equivalent to DSM-IV) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 24 %	Intervention: The Working Memory training (RoboMemo) performed on a daily basis at school for 5–7 weeks, sessions last for 30–45 minutes Control: Wait list Offered the possibility to train after the completion of the study Comparator: NA Follow-up: 8 months	ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD-Rating Scale IV), parent There was no significant difference between groups. Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ), parent There was no significant difference between groups. Training group had significant gains in working memory performance measures.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Age mean: 10.4 (0.7) Minimum age: 10 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Cognitive training	Estrada-Plana, 2019 <sup>261</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 29 Spain Setting: Other	Target: Attending primary school, and having diagnosis of ADHD, without having any other mental disorders, and having an IQ of more than 80 Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 23.1,hyperactive : 76.9 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Psychiatrists or Clinical Psychologists Comorbidity: N/A Female: 46.2 % Age mean: 9.46 (1.20) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 97 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Does not specify the other 3%	Intervention: Cognitive training based on board games, closed groups of 6-8 participants, 5 weekly training sessions, 60 minutes each, 1 game per week Control: Wait list Wait-list control group Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1 month	Conners CPRS-48 Conduct Problems Subscale There was no significant difference between groups. Hyperactivity Index, Conners CPRS-48 (CPRS-48) Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) Intervention participants showed lower conduct problems in the SDQ subscale compared to control group participants (p<0.001). Number of participants with adverse events No patients with adverse events. No adverse effects were found during the intervention.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Cognitive training	Hahn-Markowitz, 2020 <sup>310</sup> Hahn-Markowitz, 2017 <sup>786</sup> ; Hadassah Medical Organization, 2013 <sup>783</sup> ID: NCT01792921 Crossover trial Multicenter N = 107 Israel Setting: Mixed	Target: Children in second to fourth grade with ADHD Other: Parents and teachers provided some outcomes ADHD presentation: inattentive : 48.6,hyperactive : 4.7,combined : 46.7 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV, assessed by a certified pediatric neurologist/psychiatrist, including a semi-structured interview with the child and parents, medical/neurological/psychiatric examination, and completion of a ADHD diagnostic questionnaire Comorbidity: N/A Female: 38 % Age mean: 8.5 (0.85) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 10 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Cog-Fun: integrative intervention using effortful executive strategies and supplemented by environmental adaptations, weekly 1-hr sessions with child and parent over 12 weeks Control: Wait list Wait list which crossed over to intervention after first group finished. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 3 months	CPRS-R (Conners' Parent Rating Scales– Revised), global index total Greater improvement in intervention group compared to control group (p <.01). BRIEF Global Executive Composite, completed by parents: intervention group superior (p < .01). No significant group difference s in changes in BRIEF Global Executive Composite completed by teachers (p = .73) No adverse events or side effects occurred among participants in either group.
Cognitive training	Kofler, 2020 <sup>363</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 54	<b>Target:</b> DSM-5 diagnosis of ADHD by the directing clinical psychologist based on K-SADS; and clinical/borderline elevations on at least 1 parent and one teacher ADHD rating scale, or previous psychoeducational	Intervention: Inhibitory control training: 10-week protocol included weekly in-office sessions with the child (1 hour), combined with parent- supervised, in-home training (goal: 15-min/day, 2–3 days/week) Control: NA	ADHD-RS-5, parent and teacher reports Both interventions were equivalent for parent- reported Hyperactivity/Impulsivity (p 0.89) and Attention Problems (p 0.47), and executive function training was superior for teacher- reported ADHD-RS-5 Attention Problems (p 0.01).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	US Setting: Other	evaluation documenting cross- informant symptoms; children with scores in the average range or higher on all pretreatment working memory tests were excluded; no inhibitory control thresholds were set Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 28,hyperactive : 3.7,combined : 69 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist K-SADS Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22 % Age mean: 10.41 (1.46) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 11 % Black/African American : 9 % White : 74 % Multiracial : 6 Other info on race or ethnicity:	<b>Comparator:</b> Cognitive trainingCentral executive training targeting central executive working memory deficits in ADHD; each matched pair of ICT/CET training games is identical in terms of website address, name, art, animations, storylines, layouts, interfaces, and use of adaptive train <b>Follow-up:</b> 2.5 months	Central executive training was superior for improving phonological (p < .001) and visuospatial (p 0.01) working memory and go/no-go (inhibitory control) (p 0.0.1), but not stop-signal inhibition (p 0.08).
Cognitive training	Kollins, 2020 <sup>366</sup> Akili Interactive Labs, Inc., 2016 <sup>646</sup> ID: NCT02674633 RCT Multicenter	<b>Target:</b> Children aged 8–12 years with a confirmed diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-5 and Intelligence Quotient 80 or above; no significant comorbid psychiatric diagnoses and no use of ADHD	Intervention: Digital therapeutic AKL-T01 at home for 5 sessions per day (total time on task about 25 min), 5 days per week, for 4 weeks <b>Control:</b> Attention-matched control Control was designed to match AKL-T01 on expectancy,	CGI (Clinical Global Impressions) scoring 2 or more No difference in improvement between groups. ADHD-RS-IV, number with at least 30% improvement

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	N = 348 US Setting: Other	medications that could not be discontinued Other: Parents ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Participants diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-5 criteria was confirmed. Comorbidity: N/A Female: 28.7 % Age mean: Intervention 9.7 (1.3), control 9.6 (1.3) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	engagement, and time on task in the form of a challenging and engaging digital word game, targeting cognitive domains not targeted by the AKL-T01 intervention and not primarily associated with ADHD; th <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 1 month	No difference in improvement between groups (p = 0.23). Impairment Rating Scale improved by 1 point Marginal effect on impairment (p 0.049). No significant difference in improvement between groups in working memory (p 0.62) or inhibit (p 0.75) scales. Participants experiencing intervention emergent adverse events The rate was 7% in the intervention compared to 2% in the control group. There were no serious intervention-related adverse events or discontinuations due to adverse events in either group.
Cognitive training	Nejati, 2021 <sup>445</sup> Nejati, 2020 <sup>921</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 30 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD. Those with psychiatric comorbidities excluded. Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 16.7,hyperactive : 23.3,combined : 60.0 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Diagnosis by psychiatrist via DSM-V Comorbidity: N/A	Intervention: Cognitive training with paper and pencil tasks, twelve to fifteen sessions of intervention, three sessions per week during 4–5 weeks, each session took about 40– 50 minutes Control: No intervention No intervention. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.25 months	ADHD score, SNAP IV There was no significant difference. No effect of group on Persian Attention Registration Test, total time (p = .744) or .Stroop Test, Selective Attention Index (p =.285) or Trail Making Test.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Female: 47 % Age mean: 10.74 (1.81) Poor reporting. Authors report mean age for experimental group = 11.16 (1.52), control group = 11.40 (1.99). Yet mean age for total = 10.74 (1.81). Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A,Other : Presumably 100% Persian		
Cognitive training	Nejati, 2022 <sup>446</sup> ID: RCT Multicenter N = 35 Iran Setting: School	Target: Children with ADHD; in each kindergarten children with behavioral problems were selected by their teachers for the study and then clinically assessed Other: Blinded parents completed outcome instruments ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM V Comorbidity: N/A Female: 13.3 % Age mean: 6.23 (0.32) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 7 Ethnicity:	Intervention: PARISA (Program for Attentive Rehabilitation of Inhibition and Selective Attention), 6 progressive computerized tasks targeting 3 types of inhibitory control, 10-12 sessions, each 30-45 minutes, over a 4 to 5 week period <b>Control:</b> Attention-matched control Story telling group with opportunity for intervention after study ended <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 1.5 months	Child Behavior Checklist total Significant (p 0.001) intervention effect compared to control. SNAP-IV ADHD scale Significant (p 0.001) intervention effect compared to control. Flanker test (assessing selective attention) scores favor intervention (p = .05).Go/No-go task (measuring prepotent inhibition) scores favor intervention (p = .001).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Cognitive training	Raghuveer, 2020 <sup>478</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 70 India Setting: School	Target: Children with ADHD who were not on medication; children with learning disabilities, autism spectrum disorders, musculoskeletal impairments, developmental delay, visual or audio impairments were excluded Other: Therapists or parents ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV criteria per clinician interview Comorbidity: N/A Female: % Not reported Age mean: 4.5 (1.06) Minimum age: 3 Maximum age: 6 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Structured games which utilize visual-spatial sketch pad and phonological loop, 4 sessions per week for 5 weeks Control: NA Comparator: Parent trainingTraining of one or both parents on behavioral controls strategies including praising, organizing the child's possessions (toys, clothing, etc.) and keep a routine schedule. One session of training was providing. Parents received a list of do's and don'ts Follow-up: 1.25 months	Intervention group performed significantly better (p <0.05) on the Sequin Form Board Test Time.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Cognitive training	Shuai, 2020 <sup>518</sup> Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, 2018 <sup>1146</sup> ID: NCT03515135 RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 96 China Setting: N/A	Ethnicity Target: 96 native Chinese speaking preschool children with DSM-V diagnosed ADHD, ranging from ages 4 years 0 months to 5 years 11 months. No major sensory-motor disorders, no history of brain damage, epilepsy, no diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder, no intelligence quotient (IQ) score <80, and no pharmacological or nonpharmacological treatment. Other: Parents <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 8.3,hyperactive : 19.8,combined : 71.9 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Parents of the children were interviewed by two independent psychiatrists to confirm DSM-V diagnosed ADHD <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 18.75 % <b>Age mean:</b> Intervention group age mean in months (61.78) and SD (6.67). Waitlist group age mean in months (59.09) and SD (6.62). <b>Minimum age:</b> 4 <b>Maximum age:</b> 5	Intervention: Executive Function Training for Preschool is structured psychotherapy 90-min sessions (60- min for children, 30-min for parents) once a week for 8 weeks. Sessions contained four parts: tasks and games aiming to practice executive function (40min), paper-pencil tasks (15min), relaxation (5 min) for children; parents received session on guiding their child (30 min). Control: Wait list Put on waitlist and received treatment as usual. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	SNAP-IV (Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham Rating Scale Chinese version) The intervention group had significnatly reduced ODD symptoms compared to control group (p=.02), but differences in inattention scores were not significant (p=0.24). Differences in BRIEF-P scores between intervention group and control group were not significant (p=0.47).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Ethnicity: Other : Presumably 100% Chinese Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Cognitive training	van der Donk, 2015 <sup>582</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 105 Netherlands Setting: School	Target: Children with ADHD, some with comorbid learning disabilities and/or oppositional defiant disorder Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 25.0,combined : 64.0,N/A : not specified- 11% Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Parents were also asked to send a copy of the diagnostic psychiatric report of their child to establish the subtype of ADHD and rule out other potential psychiatric problems Comorbidity: N/A Female: 28.0 % Age mean: 9.9 (1.3) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity:	Intervention: Combined working memory and compensatory training (Paying Attention in Class): participants trained individually outside the classroom for 5 weeks, five times a week, about 45 min a day Control: NA Comparator: Cognitive trainingCogmed Working Memory Training is a computerized training program consisting of a variety of game format tasks. 5 weeks, five times a week, about 45 min a day Follow-up: 6 months	CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist), parent report There were no significant differences between groups for either subscale (attention problems, p=0.593, externalizing problems, p=0.243). No significant differences between groups at follow-up for BRIEF, Behavioral Regulation Index, parent report (p 0.46), BRIEF ( Behavioral Regulation Index, teacher report; p 0.217) and Learning efficiency quotient, word reading fluency score.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Cognitive training	Wennberg, 2018 <sup>602</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 46 Sweden Setting: N/A	Target: Diagnosis of ADHD, age 9–15 years and parent-reported difficulties with daily time management, despite medication for ADHD. No autism spectrum disorder; no intelligence quotient <70; able to answer questions in Swedish. Other: Parents of children with ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist ADHD diagnosis was determined in accordance with DSM-IV criteria by an experienced clinician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 26 % Age mean: Intervention group mean age (11.7) and SD (1.83). Control group mean age (11.1) and SD (1.71). Minimum age: 9 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Compensation and remediation lasted about 12 weeks: compensation were 1.5-hour sessions with 3-4 sessions in the study period, remediation training sessions were 3 times per week with 20 minutes per day assigned outside of sessions Control: Other Received standard methods of care alone. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 8 months	The Kit for assessing time-processing ability (KaTid) assesses time perception, time orientation and time management. The intervention group improved more on total score ( $p = 0.019$ ), time perception score ( $p = 0.046$ ), time orientation ( $p = 0.010$ ), but not time management ( $p = 0.764$ ).

	Study:	Population:	Comparison:	Outcome and results
	Author, year;	Setting;	Intervention;	
_	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Control;	
0	Trial ID;	ADHD presentation;	Comparator;	
nti	Study design;	Diagnosis;	Follow-up	
ve Ve	Sites;	Comorbidity;		
er.	Study size;	% Female;		
nt	Location	Age mean;		
—	Setting	Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
	Wu, 2023 <sup>616</sup>	Target: Children with ADHD; those	Intervention: Executive function	CBCL-attention problems
	ID:	with serious medical conditions or	training (AET), designed and	ADHD-RS total, parent report
	Clinical trial	neuropsychiatric diseases were	developed by Infinite Brain	No significant difference in improvement
	Single conter	excluded, as were those on any	lechnology, is a battery of several	No significant difference in improvement on
		ADHD meds	digital cognitive trainings designed	Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive
	N = 127	Other: Parents reported outcomes	to improve	Function (BRIEF)—Parent scores or
	China	ADHD presentation:	impaired executive functions;	Cambridge Neuropsychological Test
	Setting: Specialty care	inattentive_other : Mean ADHD-RS	training tasks were adapted from N-	Automated Battery (CANTAB) scores
	5 1 5	inattention score: intervention 17.3	back task, visual-spatial memory	
		(4.50), comparator 18.2	task, Schulte Grid, Go/ No-go task,	
_		(3.79), hyperactive_other : Mean	and mental calculation; difficulty is	
ing		ADHD-RS hyperactivity score:	automatically adjusted to match	
ain		Intervention 13.9 (5.30),	participants' progressive skills;	
tr		comparator 13.8 (6.09)	participants were required to	
ive		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by	complete 48 training sessions within	
jnit		specialist	a two-month period	
õ		DSM IV by child psychiatrists, via	Control:	
U		K-SADS-PL	Comparator: Cognitive	
		Comorbidity: N/A	trainingGeneral executive function	
		Female: 15 %	training (GET) is a multiple	
		Age mean: 8.35 (1.26)	component training targeting	
		Minimum age: 6	cognitive functions which are not	
		Maximum ago: 12	closely associated with ADHD, such	
			as processing speed, reasoning,	
		Ethnicity:	and planning; participants were	
		% Asian : 100	socs	
		Other into on race or ethnicity:	3033	
			Follow-up: 2 months	

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Combined pharmacological + behavioral	Abikoff, 2004 <sup>114</sup> Hechtman, 2004 <sup>798</sup> ; Klein, 2004 <sup>855</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 103 Multiple countries Setting: Mixed	Target: Children with ADHD free of conduct and learning disorders, who responded to short-term methylphenidate who had a current or had a previous positive response to methylphenidate Other: Parents ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-III-R criteria by child psychologists Comorbidity: N/A Female: 7 % Age mean: 8.2 (0.8) Minimum age: 9 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 2 % Black/African American : 13 % White : 84 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Methylphenidate plus intensive multimodal psychosocial treatment; methylphenidate maximum dose design up to maximum 50mg/day divided 3 times per day, multimodal treatment modules manual-based delivered once weekly during the first year (requiring 2 clinic visits per week) and once monthly during the second year (requiring 2 clinic visits per month), treatment period of 2 years <b>Control:</b> Other Methylphenidate alone, no other intervention (except for crisis sessions when required); after the child was stabilized on medication, children and parents were seen once per month by a child psychiatrist; the dose was maintained, precluding side effects <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 24 months	Observation with Classroom Observation Code during academic classes Classroom behaviors yielded no significant group or interaction effects. C-GAS (Children's Global Assessment Scale) There was no significant difference between groups. ADHD diagnosis Social functioning No advantage was found on any measure of social functioning for the combination treatment over methylphenidate alone or methylphenidate plus attention control; significant improvement occurred across all treatments and continued over 2 years.
Combined pharmacological +	Blader, 2021 <sup>159</sup> Joseph Blader, 2008 <sup>841</sup> ID: NCT00794625 RCT Multicenter N = 175	<b>Target:</b> Diagnosed with ADHD (any subtype) and either oppositional defiant disorder or conduct disorder according to DSM-IV-TR; required an R-MOAS total score >24 at both the initial telephone screening and the in- person evaluation with recent or	<b>Intervention:</b> Stimulant medication and behavioral therapy plus risperidone, dose started at 0.25 mg each evening for 3 days, with a morning dose of 0.25 mg added on the fourth day, dose adjustments were elective and based on response and tolerability	Retrospective Modified Overt Aggression Scale (R-MOAS), parent % in remission from aggression (R-MOAS <15) Intervention and comparator had larger reductions in aggression relative to the placebo group (risperidone p <0.003; divalproex sodium p<0.046). Percent in

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	US Setting: Specialty care	current treatment with stimulant medication at a minimum daily total dose equivalent of 30 mg of immediate-release methylphenidate for at least 30 days; required no current or previous major depressive disorder, bipolar I or II disorder, Tourette's disorder, autism spectrum disorder, or any psychotic disorder as defined by DSM-IV-TR, and IQ greater than or equal to 70, no seizure disorders; no pregnancy; and no contraindications to treatment with stimulants <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Completion of the Schedule of Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children (K-SADS) with a parent and the child by a clinical child psychologist or a child and adolescent psychiatrist. A second clinician (child and adolescent psychiatrist <b>Comorbidity:</b> ODD <b>Female:</b> 19 % <b>Age mean:</b> 9.63 (2.02)	Control: Placebo Stimulant medication and behavioral therapy plus placebo Comparator: Medication + behavioralStimulant medication and behavioral therapy plus divalproex sodium, aimed to achieve approximately 18 mg/kg by the end of the first week; when permitted by valproic acid level, dose increases by 125 mg or 250 mg occurred based on clinical response through Follow-up: 2 months	remission from aggression-remission was met by 69% of the risperidone group, 40% of the divalproex There were no instances of serious adverse events.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Coelho, 2017 <sup>205</sup>	Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 30.29 % Black/African American : 16.57 % White : 46.29 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 6.86 other Target: ADHD as a primary	Intervention: Group cognitive-	CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist), total
Combined pharmacological + behavioral	ID: NA Crossover trial Unclear/Not reported N = 67 Brazil Setting: Specialty care	disorder and no signs of neurodevelopmental delay, epilepsy, genetic syndromes, HIV, hydrocephalus, brain damage, and not currently taking other medications <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 47,combined : 54 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-4, clinicians who specializes in diagnosing children and adolescents with neurodevelopmental disorders <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 25 % <b>Age mean:</b> 10.2 (2.0) <b>Minimum age:</b> 7 <b>Maximum age:</b> 14 <b>Ethnicity:</b> % White : 100	behavioral therapy and medication, prolonged-release methylphenidate 20 mg for 20 weeks, group cognitive-behavioral therapy attended by parents and children, family sessions lasted 40 minutes and sessions with children about 80 minutes <b>Control:</b> Other Prolonged-release methylphenidate 20 mg for 20 weeks alone <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 5 months	problems Cognitive and behavioral outcome measures showed no differences between treatment groups. On social skills, multimodal showed more improvement in frequency indicators on empathy, assertiveness, and self-control subscales and in the difficulty on assertiveness and self-control subscales
Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
---------------------------------------	---	--	--	---
	David 2021220	Other into on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Cognitive behavioral	
Combined pharmacological + behavioral	David, 2021 <sup>220</sup> Babes-Bolyai University, 2018 <sup>657</sup> ID: ISRCTN92640175 RCT Single center N = 59 Romania Setting: Specialty care	Target: Diagnosed with ADHD by a child psychiatrist and/or certified psychologist; attending elementary school (6–11 years old); with sufficient understanding of the Romanian language; with an IQ score of at least 80 on Colored Raven Matrices, and no previous treatment for ADHD received Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 22.0,hyperactive : 15.3,combined : 62.7 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Structured Clinical Interview for DSMIV Childhood Diagnoses (KID- SCID) by clinician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20.3 % Age mean: 8.46 (1.57) Minimum age: 6	Intervention: Cognitive-behavioral psychological treatment and rational emotive behavior therapy plus 0.8 mg/kg/day and 1.2 mg/kg/day of atomoxetine (pharmacological non- stimulant treatment); weekly psychotherapy session with parents alone (30 min) and with child alone (30 min), treatment over a period of 16 weeks <b>Control:</b> Other Pharmacotherapy non-stimulant treatment atomoxetine alone, once daily in the morning, began treatment at 0.5 mg/kg/day with weekly increases to a dose of 0.8 mg/kg/day and 1.2 mg/kg/day, unless side effects were reported by patients (maximum increase 1.8 <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 4 months	ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD-rating scale IV-Home Version Romanian) Clinician rated ADHD diagnosis at posttreatment Combined treatment seems to be superior to the medication alone on parent ratings on ADHD symptoms (p=0.01) but no significant differences between groups regarding ADHD diagnosis at posttreatment were found (p=0.329). No significant differences were found on internalizing problems reported by teachers (effect size-0.32, CI -0.33, 0.97). Appetite decrease Rates were similar. None of the participants reported severe side effects and none discontinued for adverse events. None of the patients reported suicidal ideation. Some participants reported mild side- effects.
		Maximum age: 11 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Combined pharmacological + behavioral	Jensen, 2007 <sup>339</sup> No author, 2011 <sup>656</sup> ; Abikoff, 2001 <sup>637</sup> ; Acosta, 2016 <sup>639</sup> ; Arnold, 1997 <sup>651</sup> ; Arnold, 1997 <sup>652</sup> ; Arnold, 2003 <sup>654</sup> ; Babinski, 2019 <sup>658</sup> ; Brinkman, 2018 <sup>682</sup> ; Carey, 2000 <sup>686</sup> ; Conners, 2001 <sup>706</sup> ID: NCT00000388 (MTA) RCT Multicenter N = 579 US Setting: N/A	Target: Children with ADHD combined type (MTA) Other: ADHD presentation: combined : 87.5,N/A : comm control 79.5 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: Female: 21 % Age mean: 11.8 (0.95) Minimum age: 11 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 36 % Black/African American : 20.2 % White : 61.7 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 10.7%	Intervention: Multimodal Treatment Study of Children With ADHD (MTA), intensive multicomponent behavior therapy consisting of medication management and behavior modification, treatment period of 36 months Control: TAU Usual community care Comparator: NA Follow-up: 36 months	Parent and teacher average rating of oppositional defiant disorder symptoms from the SNAP Ratings were similar across groups. SWAN Both groups improved from baseline. Columbia Impairment Scale (CIS) No significant moderator effects of comorbidity were found in the treatment comorbidity group interactions (p = 0.21). Wechsler Individual Achievement Test (WIAT) Both groups improved from baseline. None of the treatment groups differed significantly on the social skills rating system (SSRS). After 14 months, children treated with methylphenidate had gained less height and less weight (-1.23 cm per year and -2.48 kg per year) than untreated children <sup>656</sup> ; Followup into young adulthood (25 yo) within naturalistic subgroups of ADHD cases, ext Children with ADHD and manic symptoms respond robustly to methylphenidate during the first month of treatment and are not more likely to have an adverse response to methylphenidate <sup>759</sup> .

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Combined pharmacological + behavioral	Karakaya, 2019 <sup>350</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 41 Turkey Setting: Specialty care	Target: Adolescents receiving treatment ADHD, on medication, residing in the city center Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist diagnosed prior to study; were already receiving medication tx through clinic Comorbidity: N/A Female: 19.5 % Age mean: 13.2 (1.25) Minimum age: 12 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Solution-focused approach comprised of 6 sessions, each 45-60 minutes, 1 per week for 6 weeks, individually and face-to- face, in addition to ADHD medication treatment with psychostimulants and clinic follow- up <b>Control:</b> Other No intervention, but ADHD medication treatment with psychostimulants as usual <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 3 months	General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSE) evaluates the extent to which individuals perceive themselves as adequate in coping with difficulties. Intervention group score was higher at follow up ( p<0.001).
Combined pharmacological + behavioral	Perez-Alvarez, 2009 <sup>462</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 96 Spain Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Children and adolescents with SNAP IV teacher rating scores of at least 2.5 and parent ratings of at least 1.8, all had planning dysfunction according to PASS (planning, attention, successive and simultaneous) scales; patients with medical and psychiatric comorbidities were excluded <b>Other:</b> Parents and teachers provided some outcome data <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 79,hyperactive : 0,combined : 21	Intervention: Methylphenidate plus humanistic intervention; extended release methylphenidate hydrochloride administered at an optimal dose plus humanistic psychological intervention conducted as 24 sessions, 1 every 15 days, treatment followed up for 12 months <b>Control:</b> Other Extended release methylphenidate hydrochloride alone	Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham scale 18 (SNAP-IV-18), number in remission (score <= 1.0) Intervention scored better than control (p < .05). PASS (planning, attention, successive, and simultaneous processes) cognitive assessment: only significant difference at follow-up was for planning scale; intervention group improved more (p <.05).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist ADHD diagnostic interview schedule for children module was completed face-to-face with the child 's principal caregiver by trained research interviewers. Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20 % Age mean: ADHD-Combined 9 (2), ADHD- Inattentive 12 (3) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 15	Comparator: NA Follow-up: 12 months	
Combined pharmacological + behavioral	Riggs, 2011 <sup>485</sup> University of Cinncinnati, 2006 <sup>1095</sup> ID: NCT00264797 RCT Multicenter N = 303 US Setting: Specialty care	Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A <b>Target:</b> Adolescents meeting DSM- IV criteria for current ADHD and at least one nontobacco Substance User Disorder (SUD). Exclusion criteria were current or past psychotic disorder, bipolar disorder, suicide risk, opiate dependence, methamphetamine abuse or dependence, cardiac illness or serious medical illness, pregnancy, past month use of psychotropic medications or participation in other substance or mental health treatment <b>Other:</b>	Intervention: Cognitive behavioral therapy plus osmotic-release methylphenidate (OROS); 72mg methylphenidate once daily and manual-standardized, individual CBT using motivational enhancement approaches, for 16 weeks Control: Other Cognitive behavioral therapy plus matching placebo, manual- standardized, individual CBT using motivational enhancement approaches	Treatment responders based on CGI-I (score of 1 or 2) Rates of treatment response were not significantly different (P=0.418) between treatment (23.4%) and control (19.1%). ADHD-RS There were no group differences on reduction in ADHD-RS scores. Substance use in the past 28 days: there was no between-group difference (p 0.321). Adolescents treated with OROS-MPH + CBT had significantly more negative urine drug screens compared to participants treated with placebo + CBT (p 0.05).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 28.1,hyperactive : 2.6,combined : 68.6 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV per Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Epidemiologic Version (K-SADS-E) Comorbidity: Other : SUD Female: 21.1 % Age mean: 16.5 (1.3) Minimum age: 13 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 15.2 % Black/African American : 23.2 % White : 61.7 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Comparator: NA Follow-up: 4 months	Treatment-emergent study-related adverse events Participants treated with OROS-MPH reported more treatment-emergent study-related AEs than control group (p=0.02). No statistically significant differences between groups on self-reported medication abuse (taking more medication than prescribed, 4.8% vs 2.8%, p>0.05) or diversion (selling medication to others, 2.1% vs 1.4%, p>0.05; letting others take your medication,
Combined pharmacological + behavioral	Sprich, 2016 <sup>548</sup> Massachusetts General Hospital, 2009 <sup>877</sup> ID: NCT01019252 Crossover trial Single center N = 46 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Adolescents 14-18, with ADHD on a stable dose (defined as no change in dose for at least 2 months) of an FDA-approved medication without severe comorbid disorders that could interfere with participation, active suicidality, conduct disorder, active substance abuse or dependence, organic mental disorder, mental retardation, pervasive	Intervention: CBT plus medication, 7 modules of cognitive behavioral therapy over 12 sessions; 10 were one-on-one, two also included parent; all patients were also on an FDA-approved medication <b>Control:</b> Wait list Wait list received no psychosocial treatment for 4 months but continued to receive FDA-approved medication	CGI (Clinical Global Impression) score Favored intervention (p <.01). ADHD-RS (ADHD Rating Score) total, parent report Both parent reported (p <.01) and patient reported ADHD RS (p < .01) favored intervention group. No study related serious adverse events.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		developmental disorder, or prior CBT for ADHD Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia- Epidemiologic Version No Comorbidity: N/A Female: 21.7 % Age mean: Intervention 15.17 (1.01), control 15.09 (1.11) Minimum age: 14 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 2.17 % Asian : 0 % Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 2.17 % White : 93.5	Comparator: NA Follow-up: 4 months	
FDA-approved pharmacological	Abikoff, 2007 <sup>116</sup> Greenhill, 2006 <sup>772</sup> ; Ghuman, 2007 <sup>766</sup> ; Swanson, 2006 <sup>1071</sup> ; Wigal, 2006 <sup>1140</sup> ; Kollins, 2006 <sup>856</sup> ID: N/A	Other into on race or ethnicity: <b>Target:</b> Children between the ages of 3-5.5 years and an impairment scale score of less than or equal to 55 on the Children Global Assessment Scale <b>Other:</b> Parents and teachers of the children	Intervention: Methylphenidate 1.25, 2.5, 5, or 7.5 mg 3 times per day for 4 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo treatment Comparator: NA	CGI-S (Clinical Global Impression-Severity) Proportion of excellent responders Scale scores were significantly better for children in the treatment group compared to the placebo group (p < 0.0001) but only 21% on best-dose MPH and 13% on placebo

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	RCT Multicenter N = 114 US Setting: School	ADHD presentation: hyperactive : 29.51,combined : 70.49 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Used the DSM-IV edition, the psychiatrists interviewed children and make them take examinations to determine their scale scores. Comorbidity: N/A Female: 19.67 % Age mean: 4.39 (0.72) Minimum age: 3 Maximum age: 5.5 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 19.67 % Black/African American : 19.67 % White : 59.02 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Follow-up: 1 month	achieved MTA-defined categorical criterion for remission set for school-age children wit SWAN (Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD- Symptoms and Normal Behaviors), parent There was no significant difference in treatment group and placebo group for parents. <sup>116</sup> Social Skills Rating System (Parent) (SSRS-P) change, measures social function Effect size 0.14, ANCOVA treatment effect not statistically significant. <sup>116</sup> There was no significant difference in parental stress across the treatment and placebo groups. Growth rates During methylphenidate treatment, slope indicated a reduction of growth rates. <sup>1071</sup> There were eight serious adverse events, but only one, a possible seizure, was thought to be related to medication. There were no episodes of mania, hypomania, depression, or suicidality. <sup>772</sup>
FDA-approved pharmacological	Abikoff, 2009 <sup>115</sup> NA ID: Crossover trial Single center N = 19 US	<ul> <li>Target: Medication naive children with ADHD who had problems with organization, time management, and planning.</li> <li>Other: Parents and teachers provided outcome data</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : 58,hyperactive : 0,combined : 42</li> </ul>	Intervention: Methylphenidate osmotic-release oral system 48.3 mg (range 18-54 mg) daily for 2 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA	SNAP IV (Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham, Version IV) total score, parent rating Mean SNAP IV parent rating , total score, and mean SNAP IV teacher rating, total score, were significantly lower in intervention group at follow-up (p < .005 for both outcomes). Lower is better.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting: Specialty care	Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV criteria based on Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children IV (DISC-IV)-Parent version Comorbidity: Other : impaired organizational skills per Children's Organizational Skills Scale Female: 21 % Age mean: 10.05 (1.62) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Follow-up: 2 months	Mean Children's Organizational Skills Scale (COSS) total score, teacher rating, was significantly higher at follow-up for the intervention group (p < .01). Mean Children's Organizational Skills Scale (COSS) total score, parent rating, was also significantly higher at follow-up for the intervention group (p < .05). Higher is better.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Allen, 2005 <sup>125</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 148 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Children 7-17 years old with diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-IV and concurrent Tourette syndrome or chronic motor tic disorder, have scores on the Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version: Investigator Administere and Scored (ADHDRS-IV- Parent:Inv) had to be at least 1.5 SD above the age and sex norm, have scores of at least 5 on the Yale Global Tic Severity Scale (YGTSS). Exclusion: have a Children's Yale–Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (C-YBOCS) total score larger or equal to 15, have a	Intervention: Atomoxetine 0.5 to 1.5 mg/kg/day administered daily as a divided dose in the morning and late afternoon for approximately 18 weeks Control: Placebo Patients randmonly assigned to matching placebo taking 2 times a day for an 18-week treatment period. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 5 months	ADHD-RS Total Significant treatment effects were obtained on all ADHD measures. Reduction in Yale Global Tic Severity Scale total score between placebo and atomoxetine is not statistically significant (p = 0.063). Decreased appetite Decrease appetite was reported in 15.9% of intervention and 2.8% of placebo participants. Discontinuations due to an adverse were 2 in the atomoxetine group (headache, vomiting) and 1 in the placebo group (upper abdominal pain); none was evaluated as serious.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age:	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Children's Depression Rating Scale–Revised (CDRS-R) total score of larger than 40, have a history of bipolar disorder or psychosis; seizure disorder; or current use of any psychotropic medication other than study drug <b>Other:</b>		
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 35.8, hyperactive : 3.4, combined : 60.8		
		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-age Children–Present and Lifetime Version16 (K-SADSPL)		
		Comorbidity: Tic disorder		
		Female: 11.5 %		
		Age mean: 11.2 (2.5) Minimum age: 7		
		Maximum age: 17		
		Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 6.1 % Black/African American : 4.7 % Asian : 0.7 % White : 87.8 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other: 4/148 (2.7%)		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Ashkenasi, 2011 <sup>133</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 26 US Setting: Other	Target: Children aged 6-12 years who met the DSM IV Edition criteria for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (any subtype) and who demonstrated difficulty sleeping (as reported by the caregiver) were eligible; patients with previous intolerance, adverse response, or allergy to methylphenidate or skin sensitivity to the methylphenidate transdermal system, and those with severe comorbid psychiatric disorders were excluded Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 27 % Age mean: 9.8 (1.8), 9.6 (1.8), 7.5, 10.3 (1.8) across groups Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Methylphenidate transdermal patch sequence of 9 hours, 10 hours, 11 hours, and 12 hours for 4 week, patch wear times maintained Monday through Thursday of each week, alternating wear times across 4 consecutive weeks with standard 9-hour wear time schedule Friday through Sunday Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate transdermal 12 hours, 11 hours, 10 hours, 9 hours for 4 weeks, patch wear times maintained Monday through Thursday of each week, alternating wear times across 4 consecutive weeks with standard 9- hour wear time schedule Friday through Sunda Follow-up: 1 month	Connor's Global Impression-Parent There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.114). ADHD-RS-IV (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV) There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.466). No significant effects of patch wear time on sleep latency (p=0.558) or total sleep time (p=0.382) were evident. No adverse event related treatment discontinuations were evident and no individuals reported a reaction greater than dark red and itchy.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Banaschewski, 2013 <sup>137</sup> Coghill, 2013 <sup>702</sup> ; Coghill, 2014 <sup>703</sup> ; Coghill, 2021 <sup>705</sup> ; Shire, 2008 <sup>1015</sup> ID: NCT00763971 RCT Multicenter N = 336 Multiple countries Setting: Mixed	Target: Children and adolescents 6-17 years old who meet DSM-IV criteria for ADHD diagnosis, with baseline ADHD-RS-IV total score of 28 or higher. Key exclusion criteria included failure to respond to a previous course of OROS-MPH (but not of other formulations of methylphenidate) and the presence of a comorbid psychiatric diagnosis with significant symptoms (not including oppositional defiant disorder); patients whose current ADHD medication provided effective control of symptoms with acceptable tolerability were also excluded Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 15.96,hyperactive : 3.01,combined : 80.72 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist ADHD-RS-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 19.3 % Age mean: LDX 10.9 (2.9), placebo 11.0 (2.8), OROS-MPH 10.9 (2.6) Minimum age: 6	Intervention: Lisdexamfetamine dimesylate once daily (30, 50, or 70 mg/day) for 7 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo pill identical to study drugs given daily at 07:00 to participants Comparator: MedicationOsmotic- release oral system methylphenidate (OROS) once daily, 18, 36, or 54 mg/day dose Follow-up: 2 months	CPRS-R (Conners Parent Rating Scale- Revised) change The intervention and comparator groups had significantly more improvement than the placebo group (p<0.001). ADHD-RS-IV change The intervention and comparator groups had significantly more improvement than control group (p<0.001). Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale- Parent Report (WFIRS-P) The intervention and comparator groups had significantly more improvement than control group (p<0.001). Decreased appetite Active treatments reported more appetite suppression than placebo, no difference between treatment medications. <sup>702</sup> Participants experiencing treatment emergent adverse events The rate was 72.1% for LDX, 64.9% for OROS-MPH, and 57.3% for placebo. <sup>702</sup> The proportion of patients who reported serious treatment emergent adverse events were low across all groups. <sup>702</sup>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Bange 2007 <sup>138</sup>	Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 1.20 % Black/African American : 0.30 % Asian : 0.30 % White : 97.0 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 2.41 Target: Adolescents aged 12, 18	Intervention: Atomovating 1.2.1.8	
FDA-approved pharmacological	Bangs, 2007 <sup>138</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 142 US Setting: N/A	Target: Adolescents aged 12–18years who met the criteria for bothADHD and major depressivedisorder per DSM–IV; patientsbeginning structuredpsychotherapy for ADHD and/ordepression less than 1 monthbefore trial entry were excludedOther:ADHD presentation: inattentive :57,combined : 43Diagnosis: Confirmation byspecialistDSM-IVComorbidity: Mood disorderFemale: 27 %Age mean:ATX 14.6 (1.8), placebo 14.2 (1.5)Minimum age: 12Maximum age: 18Ethnicity:Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1.2-1.8 mg/kg per day for 9 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo once daily Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	ADHD-RS-IV-Parent: Inv scale Mean decrease was significantly greater in the intervention group (p=0.001). There were no significant differences between treatment groups in Children's Depression Rating Scale–Revised total scores at any time point. Decreased appetite Nausea and decreased appetite occurred significantly more often during the acute phase in the ATX treatment group compared with the placebo group. One serious adverse event, worsening of depression, occurred during the acute treatment phase in the placebo group and led to the patient discontinuing the study due to lack of efficacy.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Bangs, 2008 <sup>139</sup> RCT Multicenter N = 226 Other Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD and oppositional defiance disorder (ODD). Those with serious psychiatric disorders or medical conditions were excluded. Other: Parents reported some outcomes. ADHD presentation: inattentive : 9.7,hyperactive : 5.8,combined : 84.5 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV by an investigator's clinical assessment via structured interview (Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Aged Children-Present and Lifetime Version) Comorbidity: ODD : 100% ODD Female: 6.6 % Age mean: 9.6 (1.9) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % White : 95.2 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Atomoxetine, 1.2 mg/kg per day for ~8 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo daily for ~8 weeks. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months (8 weeks)	Clinical Global Impression - Improvement (CGI-I) Atomoxetine group improved more on CGI-I (p = 0.037) and CGI-Severity (p=0.013). SNAP-IV Mean improvement in SNAP-IV ODD total score was not significantly different between groups (p = 0.252). Mean improvement in SNAP-IV Combined, Inattentive, and Hyperactivity score was significantly greater in the intervention groups ( $p < 0.001$ , $p , < 0.001$ Decreased appetite Significantly more atom-oxetine patients reported decreased appetite ( $p < .001$ ). Nausea and fatigue were significantly higher for atomoxetine than for placebo ( $p$ = 0.033 and $p$ = 0.021, respectively).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Bedard, 2015 <sup>144</sup> Mount Sinai, 2005 <sup>818</sup> ID: NCT00183391 Crossover trial Unclear/Not reported N = 102 US Setting: Other	<ul> <li>Iarget: Youth with ADHD, excluded were IQ below 75, non- English speaking parent or child, neurological dysfunction, systemic medical illness, uncorrected sensory impairments, and history of psychosis or bipolar disorder; other comorbidity was permitted provided ADHD was the primary disorder and the comorbid condition did not require medication treatment; participants may have been previously treated with ATX or MPH, but must not have been nonresponders to an adequate trial and must not have experienced disabling adverse effects with either medication</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : 37,hyperactive : 3,combined : 60</li> <li>Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 25 %</li> <li>Age mean: 10.5 (2.7)</li> <li>Minimum age: 6</li> <li>Maximum age: 17</li> <li>Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 20</li> </ul>	Intervention: Atomoxetine 0.5 mg/kg, 1.0 mg/kg, 1.4 mg/kg, 1.8 mg/kg, administered each morning for 4-6 weeks Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate, 2 capsules of OROS MPH administered each morning, 18 mg, 36 mg, 54 mg, 72 mg Follow-up: 3.5 months	ADHD-RS Both medications produced significant improvement (p<0.001). For commission errors, there were no significant main effects of Drug or Time, and the Drug by Time was not significant. For omission errors, there was a significant Drug by Time interaction and a significant main effect of Time with no main effect of Drug, significant reduction in omission errors following MPH (p 0.001) but not ATX (p 0.69). There was a significant Drug by Time interaction such that youth treated with MPH had a greater speeding of RT than those treated with ATX. There was no main effect of Drug, but there was a main effect of Time. A post hoc paired t-test showed no significant change in RT for ATX (p = .99). There were main effects for Time and Drug on reaction time variability. There was also a significant Drug by Time interaction. MPH had a significantly larger impact than ATX.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		<ul> <li>% Black/African American : 31</li> <li>% Asian : 1</li> <li>% White : 36</li> <li>% Multiracial : 12</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity:</li> </ul>		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Biederman, 2007 <sup>152</sup> ID: RCT Multicenter N = 290 US Setting: N/A	Target: Children aged 6-12 with         inadequate treatment or no         previous treatment of ADHD and         an ADHD Rating Scale version IV         score greater than or equal to 28         Other:         ADHD presentation: hyperactive :         4,combined : 96         Diagnosis: No         Unspecified interviewer         Comorbidity: N/A         Female: 30.7 %         Age mean: 9 (1.8)         Minimum age: 6         Maximum age: 12         Ethnicity:         % Hispanic or Latino : 17         % Black/African American : 24         % American Indian or Alaska         Native : 0.7         % Asian : 1         % Native Hawaiian or Pacific         Islander : 0.3         % White : 53         Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Lisdexamfetamine dimesylate 70mg orally once per day for 4 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: MedicationLisdexamfetamine dimesylate 30mg orally once per day for 4 weeks Follow-up: 1 month	Clinical Global Impression (CGI) scale Ratings were either very much improved or much improved in over 70% of patients in the active treatment groups, compared with 18% in the placebo group. ADHD Rating Scale The 70mg group had the greatest symptom improvement compared to the placebo (p<0.001). Decreased appetite Rates were 49.3% in the 70mg, 36.6% in the 30mg, and 4.2% in the placebo group (p<0.05). Number of participants that experienced any adverse events Rates were 83.6% in the 70mg, 71.8% in the 30mg, and 47.2% in the placebo group. Statistically significant different adverse events in treatment groups vs. placebo: decreased appetite, insomnia, irritability, vomiting, weight loss, dry mouth.

	Study:	Population:	Comparison:	Outcome and results
	Author, year;	Setting;	Intervention;	
_	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Control;	
0	Trial ID;	ADHD presentation;	Comparator;	
nti	Study design;	Diagnosis;	Follow-up	
Ve	Sites;	Comorbidity;		
С С	Study size;	% Female;		
nt	Location	Age mean;		
—	Setting	Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
	Biederman, 2008 <sup>153</sup>	Target: Children with ADHD,	Intervention: Guanfacine extended	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression of
	Shire, 2003 <sup>1022</sup>	patients were excluded for a	release 4 mg/day for 8 weeks	Improvement) significant improvement
	ID: NCT00152009	current, uncontrolled, comorbid	Control: Placebo	Significant improvement in CGI-I scores at end
	DOT	psychiatric diagnosis (except	Matching placebo tablet	point was shown in 25.64%, 55.95%, 50.00%,
	RCI	oppositional defiant disorder) with		and 55.56% of patients in the placebo and
	Multicenter	significant symptoms, or when	Comparator: MedicationGuanfacine	GXR 2-mg, 3-mg, and 4-mg groups.
	N = 345	would contraindicate CVP	extended release 2mg/day group,	ADHD-RS-IV (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity
	us	treatment or confound officeou or	began dosing at 1 mg/day,	Disorder Rating Scale IV) total score
	Sotting: N/A	safety assessments: nationts who	escalated weekly in 1-mg	Least-squares mean changes from baseline to
	Setting. N/A	salely assessments, patients who	Increments	the end point in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity
cal		overweight or obese, pregnant	Follow-up: 2 months	Disorder Rating Scale IV total scores were
ogi		lactating or hypertensive were also	· · · · ·	significant in all groups of children taking
8		excluded natients were not		guanfacine extended release compared with
าลต		enrolled when they had any of the		the placebo group.
arn		following: QTc interval of >440		Annetite decreased
phi		milliseconds, history of seizure		The rate was 5.8% in the intervention 2.3% in
- p		during the past 2 years (exclusive		the placebo, and 5.7% in the 2mg group
Ne l		of febrile seizures), tic disorder;		
brd		family history of Tourette's		Participants experiencing treatment emergent
ap		disorder; positive urine drug		adverse events
-Ä		screen; abnormal thyroid function		The rate as 87.2% in the intervention, 64% in
		not adequately treated, any cardiac		the placebo, and 77.0% in the 2mg group.
		condition or family history of		Most of the commonly reported adverse
		cardiac condition that would require		events were mild or moderate in intensity
		exclusion, who had taken an		Severe treatment emergent adverse events
		investigational drug within 28 days,		were experienced by 24 patients, all of whom
		were taking medications that affect		received GXR (sedation (n=7), somplence
		BP or heart rate, or were taking		(n=6), fatigue (n=4), headache (n=2), vomiting
		other medications that have central		(n=2), and insomnia $(n=2)$ ).
		nervous system effects or affect		(··· =), -··· ································
		performance were also not eligible		
		Other:		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 26.1,hyperactive : 2,combined : 71.9 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 25.5 % Age mean: 10.5 (6.0–17.0) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 9.9 % Black/African American : 13.3 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 0.3 % Asian : 0.6 % White : 70.1 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 5.8		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Block, 2009 <sup>162</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 288 US Setting: Primary Care	Target: Children, 6 to 12 years old who met DSM-IV-TR criteria for ADHD Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive_other : 16-26 across arms,hyperactive_other : 1-3% across arms,combined_other : 68- 76% across arms	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1.25mg/kg/day each morning for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo in the morning or evening for 6 weeks	Daily Parent Rating of Evening and Morning Behavior–Revised (DPREMB-R) AM atomoxetine and PM atomoxetine showed significantly greater efficacy overall compared with placebo (p=0.048, p=0.004). CGI-ADHD-S Response rate CGI-ADHD-S decrease of 2 or more

Intervention	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist clinical interview Comorbidity: N/A Female: 30 % Age mean: Across arms 8.8 (1.7), 9.1 (1.6), 8.9 (1.7) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other : 62-70% across arms Other info on race or ethnicity:	Comparator: MedicationEvening dosing, 1.26mg/kg/day of atomoxetine for 6 weeks Follow-up: 1.5 months	Morning dosing produced a 49% response rate compared with 32% for evening dosing and 22% for placebo (p<0.001). ADHD-RS-IV (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale IV)–Parent Version, investigator administered and scored Response rate (at least 25% decrease on ADHD-RS total score) Significantly greater improvement on the ADHD RS Total score (effect size 0.7) was observed for AM atomoxetine compared with placebo; evening-dosed atomoxetine also significantly decreased core ADHD symptoms relative to placebo; AM vs PM atomoxetine was e Significantly greater improvement on the CGIP-Evening Total (single-item rating of the clinician's assessment of the severity of ADHD symptoms) score (effect size 0.6) was observed for AM atomoxetine compared with placebo. Decreased appetite Decreased appetite were reported more often with AM atomoxetine than with placebo. Participants reporting at least 1 adverse event The rate was higher with AM atomoxetine than with PM atomoxetine or placebo (74.0%, 48.9%, 43.5%; p<0.001 for AM vs PM; p<0.001 for AM vs placebo; P = .552 for PM vs

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Brams 2018 <sup>169</sup>		Intervention: Amphetamine	Abdominal pain, vomiting, somnolence, nausea, and stomach discomfort were reported more often with AM atomoxetine than with placebo; vomiting was reported more often with PM atomoxetine than with placebo; no significant differences between AM and PM atomoxetine in the incidence of any particular adverse event were observed.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Brams, 2018 <sup>169</sup> Shire, 2015 <sup>1020</sup> ID: NCT02466425 RCT Multicenter N = 264 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD Other: Clinician reported outcomes ADHD presentation: inattentive : 23.2,hyperactive : 1.1,combined : 75.7 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV plus ADHD Rating Scale IV (ADHD-RS-IV) total scores >=28 Comorbidity: N/A Female: 38 % Age mean: 12.5 (3.24) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : NR % Black/African American : 28.5 % Asian : 0.3 % White : 61.2 % Multiracial : 8.0 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Amphetamine, SHP465 mixed amphetamine salts (12.5 or 25 mg) for 4 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1 month	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions- Improvement) Intervention group improved significantly more than placebo group (p < 0.001). ADHD-RS-IV change Change from baseline significantly favored intervention over placebo (p<0.001). Appetite decrease Significantly more participants in the intervention group experienced decreased appetite than control group participants. Participants with any adverse event The rate was 67% for intervention and 47% for control. The frequency of treatment-emergent adverse events leading to discontinuation was greater with the intervention treatment than with placebo.

	Study:	Population:	Comparison:	Outcome and results
	Multiple publications:	Study target:	Control:	
uo	Trial ID;	ADHD presentation;	Comparator;	
nti	Study design;	Diagnosis;	Follow-up	
vel	Sites;	Comorbidity;		
e	Study size;	% Female;		
Int	Location	Age mean;		
	Setting	Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
	Buitelaar 2007 <sup>172</sup>	Target: Children with ADHD:	Intervention: Atomovetine 0.5.1.8	CGLS (Clinical Global Impressions Severity of
	Trzenacz 2011 <sup>1088</sup>	patients with bipolar disorder or	mg/kg/d for 6 months	Illness) change
	Michelson, 2004 <sup>892</sup>	psychotic illness were excluded, as	Control: Diacaba	Statistically significant difference favoring
	ID: N/A	were patients with unstable medical	Placebo-controlled	atomoxetine (p 0.003).
	RCT	liness or conditions requiring		ADHD-RS-IV Total Score
	Multicenter	psychoactive medication (other	Comparator. NA	Relapse rate
	N - 163	than atomoxetine)	Follow-up: 12 months	Atomoxetine was superior to placebo in
	N = 105	Other:		relanse rate was 2.5% for atomovetine and
	Multiple countries	ADHD presentation: inattentive :		12 2% for placebo
g	Setting: Other	22.9,hyperactive : 4.5,combined :		
gic		72.6		CHQ (Child Health Questionnaire)
8		Diagnosis: Confirmation by		No difference between groups
nac		specialist		
ar				Effects on sexual development: Tanner stage:
۱ pt		Comorbidity: N/A		observed between treatment groups either in
vec		Female: 10.6 %		sexual development (mean time, in days, to
oro		Age mean: 10.6 (2.3)		the first Tanner stage change, p=0.33) or in
apl		Minimum age: 6		the duration of treatment exposure ( p=
- A		Maximum age: 15		<b>0.90</b> ). <sup>1088</sup>
Ē		Ethnicity:		Weight increase in weight percentile
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		Both groups showed an increase in weight
				percentile, but the increase was greater in the
				piacebo group (p 0.001).
				Participants reporting at least 1 new or
				worsened adverse event
				(placebo)
				than 5% of subjects in both treatment groups,

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Octin 2045183	Torret Deficito without only		headache (atomoxetine, 8 [10.1%]; placebo, 7 [8.6%]) and nasopharyngitis (atomoxetine, 6 [7.6%]; placebo, 7 [8.6%]); all other adverse events were reported by <= 5% of subjects, and none were reported significantly more often by those taking atomoxetine.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Cetin, 2015 <sup>183</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 145 Turkey Setting: Specialty care	Target: Patients without any comorbid psychopathologies Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 12.6,hyperactive : 0,combined : 87.4 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR by child psychiatrists Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18.4 % Age mean: 9.47 (2.32) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Ethnicity, Turkish patients but not sure of race	Intervention: Atomoxetine, mean dose 1.14±0.13 mg/kg/day Control: NA Comparator: MedicationOsmotic release oral system methylphenidate (OROS), mean dose of 0.73±0.22 mg/kg/day for 10 weeks Follow-up: 6 months	Conners Comprehensive Behavior Rating Scale-Behavior Problems, teacher There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.720). Weight loss The rate was 1.6% in both groups. Adverse effects The rate was 31.1% in the OROS-MPH and 27.1% in the ATX group. The most commonly encountered adverse effect was anorexia in both groups, and it was seen in 19.6% of the patients in the OROS- MPH group and 13.5% of the patients in the ATX group.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Childress, 2009 <sup>199</sup> ID: RCT Multicenter N = 253	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD who were drug naive or not treated with any MPH-related medication in the month prior to the study; those with serious psyc disorders were excluded.	Intervention: 30 mg extended release Dexmethylphenidate daily. Control: Placebo Placebo capsule daily	Clinical Global Impression - Improvement (CGI-I), number improved Significantly greater percentage of medication patients improved on CGI-I (p < . 001 for both groups). CGI-Severity ratings of each medication group was significantly better (p < 0.001) than placebo group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	US Setting: Specialty care	Other: Parents and teachers provided outcome information ADHD presentation: inattentive : 21.7,hyperactive : 2.8,combined : 73.9 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR based on a psychiatric examination and K-SADS PL Comorbidity: N/A Female: 35.6 % Age mean: 8.7 (1.84) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Black/African American % Asian : 0.8 % White : 57.7 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other 12.6%	Comparator: Medication10 mg extended release Dexmethylphenidate daily. Follow-up: 1 month	Conners'- ADHD DSM-IV Scales (CADS), teacher report Patients in medication groups demonstrated a significant improvement as compared to placebo (all p < 0.001) on both CADS-T and CADS-P (parent report). Deceased appetite, number Significantly more medication patients experienced appetite decease. Number with any adverse event "Overall incidence of adverse events was generally higher" in medication groups; p values not reported. " Adverse events were mild to moderate in severity"
FDA-approved pharmacological	Childress, 2019 <sup>197</sup> Tris Pharma, Inc., 2017 <sup>1086</sup> ID: NCT03088267 RCT Single center N = 36 US Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Scored greater or equal to the 90th percentile for sex and age on the ADHD rating scale-5, and needed to have no other disorder included in the DSM-V with the exception of a few other disorders including specific phobias and learning disorders, and have no comorbid medical illnesses such as hypertension, and thyroid disease or family history of sudden death	Intervention: Amphetamine, optimized dose of 5–20 mg/day of amphetamine extended-release oral suspension for 5 days, and then crossed over on day 6 Control: Placebo Matching placebo drug Comparator: NA Follow-up: 0.5 month	<ul> <li>SKAMP-C (Swanson, Kotkin, Agler, M-Flynn, Pelham-Combined) Rating Scale score at 30 minutes postdose</li> <li>At both 30 minutes and 3 hours postdose, changes from baseline in SKAMP-C for AMPH EROS versus placebo were statistically significant (p&lt;0.01 and p=0.0002, respectively).</li> <li>AEs (&gt;10%) during the open-label phase included upper respiratory tract infection, fatigue, upper abdominal pain, headache,</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 16.7,combined : 83.3 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist diagnosed with ADHDPychiatrist, psychologist, developmental pediatrician, or an experienced licensed allied health professional according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) criteria Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22.2 % Age mean: 9 (1.71) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 11.1 % White : 88.9 Other info on race or ethnicity:		decreased appetite, and affect lability. There were two subjects (11.1%) who reported decreased appetite and no reports of insomnia. No serious AEs or AEs leading to premature withdrawal were reported.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Childress, 2022 <sup>198</sup> Shire, 2017 <sup>1021</sup> ID: NCT03260205 RCT Multicenter N = 199 US	<b>Target:</b> ADHD diagnoses per DSM-IV, baseline scores of 28 (boys) or 24 (girls) on the parent reported ADHD-RS-IV-PS-TS and 4 on the Clinical Global Impression–Severity (CGI-S) scale. Required to have undergone nonpharmacologic treatment or to have had symptoms severe	Intervention: Lisdexamfetamine 30 mg/day for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Matching placebo for 6 weeks Comparator: MedicationTreatment with 5 mg lisdexamfetamine for 6 weeks	CGI Global Impression scale Rates were 41.7% across all active treatment groups and 24.3% with placebo (p 0.0857). ADHD-RS-IV-PS Scores decreased more with lisdexamfetamine than placebo (p 0.0074, effect size –0.52). Results for the sleep diary were variable across treatment groups, with no notable

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting: N/A	enough to warrant enrollment without prior nonpharmacologic treatment and to be engaged in structured group activities that allowed for assessment of ADHD symptoms and impairment outside of the home, Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test standard score 70 and to have lived with the same parent/LAR for 6 months; excluded if need meds for CNS, have a concurrent illness, disability or comorbidity. <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> combined : 91.6 <b>Diagnosis:</b> No <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 32.3 % depends on placebo/tx group/pooled <b>Age mean:</b> 5.1 (6.54) <b>Minimum age:</b> 4 <b>Maximum age:</b> 5 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: Other i depends on tx/placebo/pooled	Follow-up: 1.5 months	trends indicative of differential changes between active treatment and placebo. Decreased weight Weight decreased for two patients with 20 mg LDX but in no other group. Any treatment-emergent adverse event The rates were 57.9% in the intervention receiving 30mg, 33.3% in the comparator receiving 5mg, and 42.2% in the placebo group. Safety and tolerability assessments included treatment-emergent adverse events and changes in pulse (greater in all treatment group vs placebo) and blood pressure (greater in all treatment groups vs placebo).

	Study:	Population:	Comparison:	Outcome and results
	Author, year;	Setting;	Intervention;	
c	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Control;	
<u>io</u>	Trial ID;	ADHD presentation;	Comparator;	
nt	Study design;	Diagnosis;	Follow-up	
ve	Sites;	Comorbidity;		
er	Study size;	% Female;		
nt	Location	Age mean;		
_	Setting	Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
	Cho, 2011 <sup>200</sup>	Target: Children aged 6 to 18	Intervention: Atomoxetine 0.5-1.2	CGI-S and CGI-I
	ID: N/A	years with a diagnosis of ADHD as	mg/kg/day for 6 weeks	Atomoxetine 1.2 mg/kg/day was associated
	RCT	defined by DSM-IV-TR, enrolled	Control: NA	with greater improvement compared with
		patients had to meet all of the	Comparator:	atomoxetine 0.2 mg/kg/day (p 0.0025).
	Multicenter	following criteria: did not take any	Medication Atomoxetine at a target	ADHD-RS-IV-Parent: Inv total score
	N = 153	medication for ADHD treatment at	dose of $0.5 \text{ mg/kg/day}$ and patients	The ANCOVA model for demonstrated a
	Korea	least 2 weeks prior to	6 weeks total	significantly greater improvement in mean
	Setting: N/A	randomization and at least 1 week		change for atomoxetine 1.2 mg/kg/day in a
	Setting. N/A	prior to obtaining baseline ADHD-	Follow-up: 1.5 months	pairwise comparison with atomoxetine 0.2
		RS-IV-Parent: Inv and CGI-S		ma/ka/day (p=0.006).
g		scores; had no significant		
gic		laboratory abnormalities or clinical		Decreased appetite
90		conditions that would preclude		Rates were 12.5% in the intervention vs 7.41%
Jac		impairment in intelligence as		in the comparator group.
arn		assessed clinically by the		Participants with at least one treatment
h		investigator; and were able (along		emergent adverse event
þ		with parents or legal guardian) to		The rates were 58.33 in the intervention and
0		keep appointments for clinic visits		40.74 in the comparator.
br		and all examinations as required by		The majority of these events were mild or
-at		the protocol		moderate, and no events related to suicide
DA		Other:		ideation or self-harm were reported.
Ē		ADHD presentation:		'
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by		
		specialist		
		DSM-IV		
		Comorbidity: N/A		
		Female: 16.3 %		
		Age mean: 9.8 (2.4)		
		Minimum age: 6		
		Maximum age: 18		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;EthnicityEthnicity:% Asian : 100Other info on race or ethnicity:	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Coghill, 2014 <sup>206</sup> Banaschewski, 2014 <sup>660</sup> ; Shire, 2009 <sup>1017</sup> ID: NCT00784654 RCT Multicenter N = 157 Multiple countries Setting: Specialty care	Target: All patients had ADHD of at least moderate severity, defined as an ADHD-RS-IV total score of 28 or higher at baseline Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 17.3,combined : 82.2,combined_other : 0.5% Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR by clinician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 21.7 % Age mean: 6-12 years 66.9%; 13-17years 33.1 % Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % White : 94.9 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Lisdexamfetamine dimesylate optimal dose for up to 6 weeks orally Control: Placebo Placebo identical in appearance for 6 weeks orally Comparator: NA Follow-up: 8.25 months	CGI-S treatment failure (at least 2-point increase) The rate was 17.1% in the intervention compared to 68.8% in the placebo group. ADHD-RS-IV Total Score Treatment failure (50% or greater increase in ADHD-RS-IV and 2-point increase in CGI-S) Significantly less participants in the intervention group met criteria for treatment failure compared to those in the control group (p<0.001). The difference between the LDX and placebo groups changes from baseline to endpoint was significant (p<0.001). CHIP-CE: PRF T-scores deteriorated in all domains in the placebo group, but not in the lisdexamfetamine dimesylate group. Weight, kg Decreased appetite The rate was 3.8% in the intervention compared to none in the placebo group. Participants with any treatment-emergent adverse events The rate was 39.7% in the intervention compared to 25.3% in the placebo group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Concordia Pharmaceuticals, 2011 <sup>209</sup> ID: NCT01439126 RCT Multicenter N = 135 US Setting: Mixed	Maximum age; EthnicityTarget: Children and adolescents ages 6-17 years old who meet DSM-IV-TR criteria for primary diagnosis for ADHD, IQ at least 70 or higher; exclusion: comorbid psychiatric conditions, other significant health conditions, pharmaceuticals used for ADHD treatment prior to 30 days before begin of studyOther:ADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia- Present and Lifetime (MINI-Kid)Comorbidity: N/AFemale: 30.4 % Age mean: 10.8 (2.88) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17Maximum age: 17Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 23.7 % Black/African American : 27.4 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 0.0 % Asian : .7 % Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 0.0 % White : 64.4	Intervention: Clonidine hydrochloride 0.1 mg, 0.2 mg, 0.3 mg, or 0.4 mg taken daily for 26 weeks Control: Placebo Subjects randomized to the placebo arm were tapered off their optimal dose of KAPVAY at weekly intervals in decrements of 0.1 mg/day until reaching the dose of 0 mg/day, and then received only placebo for the rest of the study. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 6.5 months	CGI (Clinical Global Impressions-Severity of Illness) Intervention scores improved (mean 0.4, SD 1.40) when compared to placebo (mean 0.9, SD 1.28) ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD-Rating Scale-4th Edition) Intervention scores improved more (mean 3.0, SD 10.75) than the control (mean 7.0, SD 12.30). Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale- Parent (WFIRS-P) N/A Change in Epworth Sleepiness Scale for Children (ESS-C) from randomization to end of study period (mean, SD): intervention, -0.6 (3.18), placebo, -0.6 (4.09) Number of subjects that responded "Yes" to the question "Do you have a wish to be dead" in Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C- SSRS) at Visit 20; intervention 0 count, placebo 1 count Participants with at least 1 treatment emergent adverse event The rate was 50% for intervention and 46% for control.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Connor, 2010 <sup>211</sup>	% Multiracial : 7.4 Other info on race or ethnicity: <b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD and	Intervention: Guanfacine extended	CGI-S
FDA-approved pharmacological	Shire, 2006 <sup>1013</sup> ID: NCT00367835 RCT Multicenter N = 217 US Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>arget: Children with ADHD and oppositional symptoms. Those with other psychiatric co-morbidities excluded.</li> <li>Other: Parents provided some outcome data</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : 12.6,hyperactive : 3.3,combined : 84.1</li> <li>Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR per Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia - Present and Lifetime</li> <li>Comorbidity: ODD</li> <li>Female: 31.3 %</li> <li>Age mean: 9.4 (1.84)</li> <li>Minimum age: 6</li> <li>Maximum age: 12</li> <li>Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 16.8</li> <li>% Black/African American : 22.4</li> <li>% American Indian or Alaska Native : 2.8</li> </ul>	release 1- 4 mg per day for 9 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	A higher percentage of patients in the intervention group had improved on the CGI-S (p < .001). ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale IV) total score change, clinician rating Reduction in ADHD-RS-IV greater in intervention group than placebo group (p < .001). Medication Satisfaction Survey (MSS, number satisfied overall - agree or strongly agree) Greater percentage of intervention patients satisfied with treatment (p<0.001). Participants with any treatment emergent adverse event The rate was 83.8% in the intervention and 57.7% in the placebo group. Adverse events were more common in the intervention group. A higher percentage of intervention patients reported somnolence, sedation, dizziness, abdominal pain, fatigue, and irritability.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		% Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 0.5 % White : 66.4 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 7.9% other		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Corkum, 2020 <sup>212</sup> ID: NA RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 26 Canada Setting: Specialty care	Target: ADHD participants with or without periodic limb movements during sleep (PLMS) Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 34.6,combined_other : hyperactive- impulsive 65.4% Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist psychologists and pediatricians. DSM-IV-TR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 11.5 % Age mean: 8.57 (2.0) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 3.8 % White : 88.8 Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A,Other : Aboriginal 7.7%	Intervention: Methylphenidate hydrochloride for 2 weeks, <20 kg = 20 mg daily dose, 20-30 kg = 30 mg, >30 kg = 40 mg Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1 month	ADHD symptoms index, Conners Parent and Teacher Rating Scale-Revised (Long Form) (CP/TRS-R:L) Univariate analyses indicated that CPRS-R:L and CTRS-R:L T-scores were both significantly reduced during MPH treatment compared to placebo: CPRS-R:L: F (1, 25) = 8.11, p = .009; partial $\eta 2$ = .25; CTRS-R:L: F (1, 25) = 5.64, p = 0.03, partial $\eta 2$ = .18 Increased sleep onset latency resulting in reduced total sleep time, which has been linked to poorer daytime functioning, is a potential adverse effect of stimulant medication which may require management to optimize outcome.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Daviss, 2008 <sup>221</sup> Palumbo, 2008 <sup>943</sup> ; University of Cincinnati, 1999 <sup>1096</sup> ID: NCT00031395 RCT Multicenter N = 122 US Setting: Other	Target: All ADHD subtypes who had a designated parent in daily contact with the patient had previously used methylphenidate or clonidine; with no history of the following disorders: tic disorder, major depression, pervasive developmental disorder, autism, psychosis, mental retardation, anorexia nervosa, bulimia, a serious cardiovascular (e.g., significant hypotension, congenital heart disease) or other medical disorder Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 19.9,hyperactive : 4.1,combined : 76.0,N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by investigator Comorbidity: N/A Female: 19.7 % Age mean: 9.5 (1.6) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 7 % Black/African American : 11 % White : 78	Intervention: Clonidine plus methylphenidate adjusted to optimal doses and continued for 8 weeks; doses were titrated up to 0.6mg/day for clonidine and 60mg/day for methylphenidate in divided doses (up to four times per day for clonidine and up to three times per day for methylphenidate) Control: Other Methylphenidate alone Comparator: NA Follow-up: 4 months	Childrens Global Assessment Scale (CGAS) Clonidine was not found to improve ADHD symptoms, whereas subjects treated with methylphenidate showed significant improvement compared to those not treated with methylphenidate. Conners Abbreviated Symptom Questionnaire for Teachers (ASQ-Teacher) Patients treated with clonidine had greater improvements compared with patients not treated with clonidine. Pittsburgh Side Effect Scale (Drowsiness): Clon and Clon+MPH experienced initial drowsiness relative to others not taking clonidine. However, levels reached equivalent to those in placebo and MPH only. Quality of Life, as measured by Daily Hassles and Impact on Family instruments: in a general linear model repeated measures analysis, treatment groups improved compared to placebo; all treatment groups were combined for this analysis. Weight, kg All groups had mean weight gains during the 16 weeks period, but theses gains were significantly less when taking Methylphenidate than those that did not (p 0.0007). Participants with any adverse event Subjects taking clonidine had higher rates of any AE reported (75%) than those not treated with clonidine (41%; p=.0006)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 4		Bradycardia on ECG (HR<60 bpm) significantly higher in subjects treated with clonidine than in subjects not treated with clonidine (p=0.02), somnolence: subjects treated with clonidine experienced higher rates of somnolence than subjects not treated with clonidine (p<0.0001); fatigue: subjects treated with clonidine experienced higher rates of fatigue than subjects not treated with clonidine (p=0.03); nervousness: subjects treated with clonidine experienced higher rates of nervousness than subjects not treated with clonidine (p=0.04); Pittsburg Side Effects Rating Scale Parent & Teacher: dull/tired/listless subjects treated with clonidine experienced higher rates (p<0.0001), drowsiness/sedation subjects treated with clonidine experienced higher rates (p<0.0001).
FDA-approved pharmacological	Dell'Agnello, 2009 <sup>224</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 139 Italy Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD with oppositional defiant disorder Other: Parents and teachers provided some outcome data ADHD presentation: inattentive : 5.8,hyperactive : 5.1,combined : 89.1 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV, in addition to Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Aged Children-Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL)	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1.2 mg/kg/day for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo, once per day Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	CGI-ADHD-S score Significant improvement in the intervention compared to control (p<0.001). ADHD subscale SNAP-IV (Swanson, Nolan and Pelham IV) Swanson, Nolan and Pelham (SNAP) IV ADHD subscale, at least 25% response Intervention group improved more (p < 0.001). A higher percentage of the intervention group had at least a 40% improvement (18.1% vs. 3.1%, p= 0.043). Children's Depression Rating Scale-Revised (CDRS-R), mean changes: Intervention -0.5 (4.4), Control -0.1 (5.0). Screen for Child

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: ODD Female: 7.1 % Age mean: mean 9.9 Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED)-Parent Version, mean changes: Intervention -2.1 (7.6), Control -1.7 (6.5). Health Related Quality of Life (HRQOL): Intervention 30.7, Control 28.2. SDs not reported. Higher score is better. p values not reported. Anorexia Small increase (+0.5 kg) in body weight with placebo and a small decrease (-1.2 kg) with atomoxetine (p, 0.001). Mean height increased more in placebo group (+ 1.5 cm) than in atomoxetine group (+1.0 cm) (p= 0.021).
FDA-approved pharmacological	Diamond, 1999 <sup>228</sup> ID: RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 91 Canada Setting: N/A	Target: Children aged 6 to 12 years old with pervasive ADHD (8 or more of the 14 DSM-III-R criteria for ADHD in one setting and at least 5 criteria in another setting), history of ADHD for more than 6 months and beginning before the age of 7, estimated Full Scale IQ greater than 80, no primary anxiety or affective disorder Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: No DSM-III-R, methods only state "interviewer" Comorbidity: Mood disorder Female: 0.2 %	Intervention: Methylphenidate 0.7 mg/kg twice daily with parental training/support Control: Other Placebo with parental training/support Comparator: NA Follow-up: 4 months	Telephone interview probe oppositional behavior, parent rating No statistically significant differences. No difference in the development of clinically significant side effects, only 1 or 2 children in each group developed those.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Age mean: 8.65 (1.8) and 8.07 (1.3) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Dittmann, 2011 <sup>231</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 181 Germany Setting: N/A	Target: Children with ADHD, patients with a history of bipolar I or II disorder, psychosis, pervasive developmental disorder, or seizure disorder (other than febrile seizures), or at serious suicidal risk, or likely to require psychotropic medications other than study drug or a structured psychotherapy were excluded; psychotherapy initiated before study participation was acceptable Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 19.4,hyperactive : 5,combined : 75.6 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: ODD Female: 15.6 % Age mean: ATX 10.9(3.1), placebo 11.1 (2.8) Minimum age: 6	Intervention: Atomoxetine fast titration, 0.5 mg/kg for 7 days, then 1.2 mg/kg for 8 weeks,once daily in the morning Control: Placebo Placebo once daily for 9 weeks Comparator: MedicationAtomoxetine-slow 7 days each at 0.5 and 0.8 mg/kg, then 1.2 mg/kg; once daily for 9 weeks Follow-up: 2.25 months	Attention-Deficit and Disruptive Behavior Disorders (ADDB-Inv), disruptive behavior The intervention group had significantly reduced scores compared to the control group (p <0.001). There was no significant difference between intervention and comparator. CGI-Severity for ADHD ATX was significantly superior to placebo. ADHD Score SNAP-IV Intervention and comparator groups were significantly superior to the control group (p <0.001). There was no significant difference between intervention and comparator. The most commonly reported treatment- emergent AEs during intervention were fatigue (ATX-fast/slow 35.0%/21.3%; vs. placebo 10.2%), nausea (21.7/19.7% vs. 5.1%), headache (25.0/14.8% vs. 15.3%), vomiting (15.0/18.0% vs. 5.1%), upper abdominal pain (15.0/13.1% vs. 0.0%), and anorexia (15.0/11.5% vs.1.7%).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:         Setting;         Study target;         ADHD presentation;         Diagnosis;         Comorbidity;         % Female;         Age mean;         Minimum age;         Maximum age; 17	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		<b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Dittmann, 2013 <sup>230</sup> Shire, 2010 <sup>1018</sup> ID: NCT01106430 RCT Multicenter N = 267 Multiple countries Setting: Mixed	Target: Male and female patients (aged 6–17 years) who satisfied DSM-IV-TR criteria for a primary diagnosis of ADHD of at least moderate severity as shown by a baseline ADHD Rating Scale IV (ADHD-RS-IV) total score of 28 or higher Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 16.8,hyperactive : 3.4,combined : 79.9 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Yes - DSM-IV, Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Age Children—Present and Lifetime (KSADS-PL) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 24.81 % Age mean: 10.65 (2.79) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 18 7	Intervention: Atomoxetine, mean optimal dose 40.2 mg/day (SD 20.05) Control: NA Comparator: MedicationLisdexamfetamine dimesylate, 30, 50 or 70 mg once daily for 9 weeks Follow-up: 2.25 months	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions- Improvement), days to first clinical response The median time to first clinical response was significantly shorter for patients in the lisdexamfetamine group than those in the atomoxetine group (p= 0.001) ADHD-RS-IV total score Improvement in ADHD-RS-IV from baseline to follow-up was significantly greater in the LDX group compared to the ADX group (p < 0.001). Decreased appetite The rate was 26.8% in the lisdexamfetamine dimesylate and 10.4% in the atomoxetine group. Any treatment-emergent adverse event The rate was 71.9% in the lisdexamfetamine dimesylate and 70.9% in the atomoxetine group. No deaths or serious treatment-emergent adverse event were reported.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;Ethnicity% White : 88.95	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Dreakhshanpour 2022 <sup>237</sup>	Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Daily risperidone:	Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire
FDA-approved pharmacological	ID: IRCT2015123025768N1 RCT Single center N = 55 Iran Setting: Specialty care	with morbid obesity, excessive polyphagia, or unstable physical conditions that prevented drug intake were excluded, as were those who using any psychotropic drug during the two prior weeks or with co- psychiatric disorders such as bipolar mood disorder, mental retardation, and autism <b>Other:</b> Parents provided some outcomes <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM V TR <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 23.6 % <b>Age mean:</b> 3.98 (0.93) <b>Minimum age:</b> 3 <b>Maximum age:</b> 6 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	started at 0.25 mg/day in one dose and increased based on response and tolerance by 0.25 mg weekly increments, to a maximum dose of 1.25 mg/day Control: Comparator: MedicationAripiprazole started at 2.5 mg per day and gradually increased by 1.25 mg every week based on response and tolerance, to a maximum dose of 6.25 mg/day Follow-up: 3 months	<ul> <li>Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire</li> <li>(SDQ), pro-social behavior scale</li> <li>Aripiprazole group improved more than</li> <li>risperidone group (p = 0.031).</li> <li>ADHD-RS, parent report</li> <li>Aripiprazole group improved more than</li> <li>risperidone group (p = 0.019).</li> <li>No difference in improvement in emotional</li> <li>symptoms or peer problems based on the</li> <li>SDQ score.</li> <li>Number with adverse events</li> <li>"No statistically significant differences</li> <li>observed between the adverse effects of the</li> <li>two drugs."</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Duke University, 2009 <sup>578</sup> ID: NCT00889915 RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 228 US Setting: N/A	Target: Children 6-17 years old with diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-IV criteria, English- speaking, with no history cardiovascular diseases, may receive other medicinal and/or psychosocial interventions for other comorbid disorders; patients with inpatient status are excluded, cannot take another medication for ADHD (psychostimulant, atomoxetine, bupropion); those with psychosis or autism spectrum disorder are excluded Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Comorbidity: N/A Female: 31.6 % Age mean: 10.3 (3.1) 10.3 (3.2), 10.6 (3.1), 10.0 (3.2), Adderall 10.4 (3.0) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity:	Intervention: Methylphenidate transdermal system, optimal dose received for 6 weeks Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMixed amphetamine salts extended release (dosage not described) Follow-up: 1.5 months	Decreased appetite and weight loss Both groups reported an equal number of participants. Intervention had a higher percentage of participants experiencing adverse events compared to the comparator group.
FDA- approved	Eli Lilly, 2004 <sup>389</sup> ID: NCT00192023 RCT Single center	Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A <b>Target:</b> Children and Adolescents With ADHD and Comorbid Oppositional Defiant Disorder. Those with history of Bipolar,	Intervention: Atomoxetine 0.5 mg per kg per day for 1 week, then 1.2 mg/kg/day for 7 weeks Control: Placebo	Clinical Global Impressions (CGI) Severity Greater improvement for intervention group ( p<0.001) as measured by both CGI-S and Conners' Parent Rating Scale-Revised: Short Form, ADHD Index.
Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
------------------------------	---	--	--	---
	N = 139 Italy Setting: Specialty care	psychosis or pervasive development disorder excluded. Other: Parents and teachers provided some outcomes. ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: ODD : 100% with ODD Female: 7.3 % Age mean: 9.8 (2.3) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: % White : 97	Placebo, daily for 8 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	Swanson, Nolan and Pelham Questionnaire (SNAP-IV) Intervention group improved more (p<0.001). Children's Depression Rating Scale-Revised: No difference in improvement between groups (p = 0.870). Decreased appetite Significantly higher proportion of intervention group experienced appetite decrease, anorexia, and weight loss. Adverse events Rate was 73.83% in the atomoxetine and 37.50 in the placebo group. No serious adverse events in either group.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Eli Lilly, 2006 <sup>251</sup> N/A ID: NCT00406354 RCT Multicenter N = 181 Germany Setting: Specialty care	Target: Conduct disorder not exclusionary; normal intelligence; able to swallow capsulesOther:ADHD presentation: inattentive : 19.4,hyperactive : 5,combined : 75.6Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV criteria by unknown source Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15.6 %	Intervention: Atomoxetine 0.5 milligram per kilogram (mg/kg) daily dose taken orally for 1 week, then 1.2 mg/kg daily dose taken orally for 8 weeks Control: Placebo Matching placebo daily dose taken orally Comparator: MedicationAtomoxetine Slow Titration arm: 0.5 mg/kg daily dose taken orally for 1 week, then 0.8 mg/kg daily dose taken orally for 1	Investigator-Rated Individual Target Behaviors (ITB-Inv): Intensity Score Intervention and comparator performed better than control group (p=0.010). CGI-S (Clinical Global Impressions - Severity) ADHD Score Intervention and comparator performed better than control group (p<0.001). ADHD Combined Score SNAP-IV (Swanson, Nolan & Pelham Rating Scale - Revised) Intervention and comparator scored better than control group (p<0.001).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Age mean: 11.0 (3.01) Minimum age: 6	taken orally for 7 weeks	Participants with non-serious adverse events
		Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity:	Follow-up: 2.25 months	control and 70% for comparator.
		% Black/African American : 1 % White : 99 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
	Eli Lilly <sup>252</sup> ID: NCT00568685 RCT Multicenter	<b>Target:</b> Patients with ADHD ages 6-18years, based on the accepted criteria for that disease, must not have taken any medication used to tract ADHD for at least 2 works	<b>Intervention:</b> Atomoxetine hydrochloride for 6 weeks total, 0.5 mg/kg/day orally in 2 divided doses for 7 days, then 0.8 mg/kg/day orally in 2 divided doses for 7 days, then	CGI-S (Clinical Global Impressions-ADHD Severity Scale) change The intervention group had more improvement than comparator group (p=0.0048).
gical	N = 153 Korea	N = 153     prior to beginning study treatment, must be able to swallow capsules,	1.2 mg/kg/day orally in 2 divided doses for 28 days Control: NA Comparator: MedicationAtomoxetine 0.2	ADHD-RS-IV-Parent Total Score change The intervention group had more improvement than comparator group (p=0.024).
macolo	Setting: N/A be reliable to keep appointments for clinic visits and all tests, including blood tests and any other required examinations <b>Other:</b>	be reliable to keep appointments for clinic visits and all tests, including blood tests and any other		No incidence of suicide or self-harm in either group.
ed phai		mg/kg/day orally in 2 divided doses for 6-weeks	Decreased appetite Decreased appetite was more common in the bigh dose group	
lov		ADHD presentation: N/A	Follow-up: 1.5 months	
A-app		Diagnosis: No Comorbidity: N/A		The rate was 56.25% in the higher dose compared to 29 41% in the lower dose
Ğ		Female: 55.6 %		
		Age mean: 9.41 (1.64)		ow irritability rate in high dose group, 4% in low dose group, 8% abdominal pain rate in
		Minimum age: 6		high dose group, 0 in low dose group.
		Maximum age: 18		
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Findling, 2001 <sup>274</sup> ID: NA RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 177 US Setting: N/A	Target: There were no formal inclusion or exclusion criteria. Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: No a computerized version of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children and clinical interviews with a psychologist and a psychiatrist. Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0 % Gender separated by age group - male reported only; <7.99 years= 82.61% / 8-10.99 years= 80.36% / 11-17.59 years = 78.85% Age mean: Age mean separated by age group; <7.99 years = 6.35 / 8-10.99 years= 9.47 / 11-17.59 years = 13.64 Minimum age: 4 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity:	Intervention: Mixed amphetamine salts (Adderall) 5 mg per dose, 10 mg per dose, and 15 mg per dose for 4 weeks Control: Placebo Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate (5 mg per dose, 10 mg per dose, and 15 mg per dose) twice per day (in the morning and at lunch) Follow-up:	ASQ (Connors Abbreviated Symptoms Questionnaire, Parent and Teacher versions) Similar efficacy was observed between the medications. Of the 195 youths who entered into this trial, 11 had their participation terminated because of adverse events. Dosage levels that led to discontinuation included placebo ( $n = 1$ ), 5 mg ( $n = 3$ ), 10 mg ( $n = 5$ ), and 15 mg ( $n = 2$ ). Of note, all youths who withdrew prematurely had multiple adverse events at the dose of treatment that led to study discontinuation. For this reason, a single, specific side effect could not be ascribed as the cause for their trial being discontinued.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Findling, 2008 <sup>276</sup> Noven Therapeutics, 2004 <sup>930</sup> ; Findling, 2009 <sup>751</sup> ; Findling, 2010 <sup>748</sup> ID: NCT00444574 RCT	<b>Target:</b> Children age 6 to 12 inclusive who were diagnosed with ADHD according to DSM-IV-TR criteria (predominantly hyperactive/impulsive, inattentive, or combined type) were eligible for study inclusion	Intervention: Methylphenidate transdermal system 10, 15, 20, or 30 mg/9 hours (dose-optimized) plus placebo capsule for 7 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo capsule plus placebo patch	CPR-S-R (Connors Parent Rating Scale- Revised Short Form) PGA (Parent Global Assessment) rated as improved Compared with placebo, both active treatments showed significant improvements (p<0.0001).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Unclear/Not reported N = 282 US Setting: N/A	Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive_other : 11-26% across groups,hyperactive_other : 1-2% across groups,combined_other : 71-86% across groups Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist inclusive who were diagnosed with ADHD according to Diagnostic and Statical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 33.7 % 64.9 Age mean: 8.7 (1.94) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Comparator: Medication18mg OROS capsules plus placebo patch for 5 weeks Follow-up: 1.25 months	ADHD-RS-IV The average magnitude of changes from baseline was a 2-fold greater improvement in active treatments compared to placebo. Compared with placebo, both active treatments showed significant improvements in ADHD-RS-IV scores (p<0.0001). Decreased appetite The rate of decreased appetite was 25.5% in the intervention, 18.7% in the OROS and 4.7% in the placebo group. Participants with at least 1 adverse event The rate was 75.5% for the intervention, 69.2% for the OROS, and 57.6% for the placebo group. The majority of treatment-emergent adverse events were mild or moderate.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Findling, 2010 <sup>275</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 217 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Adolescent age 13-17 years old with diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM -IV-TR, have a total score of >=26 on the ADHD- RS-IV scale at baseline, IQ of >= 80. Exclusion: have a conduct disorder or comorbid psychiatric illnesses that contraindicated treatment with MTS, history of cardiac problems, history of	Intervention: Methylphenidate transdermal system, patches applied to hips once daily (alternating hips each day), worn for 9 hours per day, titrated to an optimal dose (10,15,20,30 mg) of medication (week 1-5) followed by a 2-week maintenance period <b>Control:</b> Placebo	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions- Improvement) very much improved or much improved Intervention group had significantly more participants that improved compared to control group (p<0.001). ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale-IV)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		substance abuse, history of being nonresponsive to psychostimulant treatment; clonidine, atomoxetine, antidepressants, sedatives, antipsychotics, anxiolytics, P450 enzyme altering agents, or other investigational medications within 30 days prior to screening not eligible <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children– Present and Lifetime Version <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 25.3 % <b>Age mean:</b> 14.6 (1.3) <b>Minimum age:</b> 13 <b>Maximum age:</b> 17 <b>Ethnicity:</b> % Black/African American : 40 % American Indian or Alaska Native : .5 % Asian : .5 % White : 77	Matching placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	Intervention group had significantly more improvement compared to control group (p<0.001). Decreased appetite The rate was 25.5% in the intervention and 1.4% in the control group. Participants with treatment-emergent adverse events during the study period Adverse events were reported in 77.2% of intervention and 55.6% of placebo participants. A total of three serious adverse events were reported by two participants, one in each treatment group discontinued from the study due to the events (two episodes of syncope, both judged to be of moderate severity and related to study treatment by the investigator, and one incidence of oppositional behavior which was judged as severe but not related to treatment).
		Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other: 3.7%		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Findling, 2011 <sup>273</sup> Shire, 2008 <sup>1014</sup> ID: NCT00735371 RCT Multicenter N = 314 US Setting: N/A	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD; participants with conduct disorder or a comorbid psychiatric diagnosis requiring medication, a concurrent chronic/acute medical condition that might confound efficacy/safety assessments or pose a safety risk, a history of seizures, tic disorder or family history of Tourette disorder, family history of sudden cardiac death or arrhythmia, abnormal thyroid function (a stable dose of thyroid medication for at least 3 months was permitted), glaucoma, or those considered a suicide risk were excluded; BMI could not be 5th or 97th percentile for age and gender; tested positive on urine drug screen (except current stimulant therapy), or had a recent history of suspected substance abuse (excluding nicotine) were not enrolled; pregnant/lactating females, with clinically significant ECG findings, who required medications with central nervous system effects, with failure to respond to and/or intolerance of amphetamine therapy, and/or who were well controlled on current ADHD medication with acceptable safety and efficacy were disqualified	Intervention: Lisdexamfetamine dimesylate 70 mg/d for 4 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo for 4 weeks Comparator: MedicationLisdexamfetamine dimesylate 30 mg/d for 4 weeks Follow-up: 1 month	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions– Improvement) score of 1 or 2 A higher number of participants in the intervention and comparator groups were improved versus participants on placebo (p < 0.0001). ADHD-RS-IV A higher number of participants in the intervention and comparator groups were improved versus participants on placebo (p < 0.0001). YQOL-R changes at endpoint scores for LDX groups versus placebo were not significant. Decreased appetite The rate was 37.2% in the 70mg, 37.2% in the 30mg, and 2.6% in the placebo group. Participants with any treatment emergent adverse event The rate was 71.8% in the 70mg, 65.4% in the 30mg, and 58.4% in the placebo group. Commonly reported treatment emergent adverse events greater than or equal to 5% across all doses were decreased appetite, headache, insomnia, decreased weight, and irritability.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist ADHD-RS-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.7 % Age mean: 14.6 (1.31) Minimum age: 13 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 14.8 % Black/African American : 14.8 % White : 79 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Fuentes, 2013 <sup>282</sup> Eli Lilly and Company, 2007 <sup>731</sup> ID: NCT00447278 RCT Multicenter N = 398 Multiple countries Setting: Mixed	Target: Patients had to be pharmacologically naive for ADHD treatment. This was defined as not having received more than 7 consecutive days of any dose of pharmacotherapy for ADHD during the patient's lifetime and not having received more than 2 consecutive days of any dose of pharmacotherapy for ADHD within the 30 days before the first study visit. Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist	Intervention: Atomoxetine oral once or twice daily, starting dose 0.5 mg/kg perdayincreasing to the recommended target dose of 1.2 mg/kg per day, not exceeding a maximum dose of 1.8 mg/kg per day <b>Control:</b> NA <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationThe OEST group defined as any ADHD treatment including any medication except ATX, including long- and short-acting MPH and antidepressants; allowed switching between different formulations of a	Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale, Parent (WFIRS-P) There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.166). Significantly more patients of the ATX group reported fatigue (11.6% ATX vs 2.5% OEST; P G 0.001), somnolence (6.5% vs 1.0%; P = 0.006), and sedation (3.5% vs 0%; P = 0.015). In the OEST group, insomnia (12.6% OEST vs 2.0% ATX; P G 0.001) and irritability (6.5% vs 1.5%; P = 0.019) were reported by significantly more patients; initial insomnia (5.5% OEST, 1.5% ATX; P = 0.053) and sleep disorder (4.5% OEST, 1.0% ATX; P = 0.062) missed significance by a small margin. During study period II (6 months), 2 patients (1.0%) in both

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		ADHD-RS-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20.6 % Age mean: 9.3 (2.60) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	specific medication, specific doses were not mandated in the <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 months	treatment groups had serious AEs (SAEs). In study period III (12 months), 7 patients experienced SAEs: 4 (2.9%) in the ATX group and 3 (1.9%) in the OEST group. None of the SAEs were considered related to study medication. Seven patients (3.5%) in the ATX group and 2 patients (1.0%) in the OEST group discontinued because of TEAEs during study period II and 4 patients (2 each in the ATX [1.4%] and OEST [1.3%] group) during study period III.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Gard, 2014 <sup>286</sup> ID: RCT Single center N = 84 India Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children aged 6-14 diagnosed with ADHD and have moderate to severe illness as assessed by Clinical Global Impressions Severity Scale (CGI-S) Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 21.7,hyperactive : 8.7,combined : 69.6 Diagnosis: No Not reported Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18.8 % Age mean: 8.47 (2.22) for methylphenidate, 8.66 (2.44) for atomoxetine Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1.2 mg/kg/day, once or twice daily based on response and tolerability Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate (immediate release) 1 mg/kg/day Follow-up: 2 months	Clinical Global Impressions Severity Scale (CGI-S) Scores significantly improved for both groups, but there was no statistically significant difference between the groups (p=0.997). VADPRS (Vanderbilt ADHD Diagnostic Parent Rating Scale) Scores significantly improved for both groups, but there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p=0.500) in the parent or the teacher ratings. Decreased appetite Rate 33.3% in the atomoxetine, 43.8% in the methylphenidate group. Side effects 56% in the atomoxetine group developed side effects, 55% of the methylphenidate group (n.s.). 3 patients in each group dropped out due to adverse events.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Gau, 2006 <sup>289</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 64 Taiwan Setting: Mixed	Target: Patients age 6-15 years old with diagnosis of ADHD, taking MPH on a total daily dose of 10-40 mg for the past 3 months; excluded significant gastrointestinal problems, a history of hypertension, known hypersensitivity to MPH, or a co- existing medical condition or concurrent medication likely to interfere with the safe administration of MPH, glaucoma, Tourette's Syndrome, an active seizure disorder, or a psychotic disorder were excluded, as were girls who had reached menarche <b>Other:</b> Parents were also asked questions about the treatment and usage of ADHD within their children, but were not actively experimented on. <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 18.8,hyperactive : 3.1,combined : 78.1 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Chinese Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 9.4 % <b>Age mean:</b> 10.5 (3.2)	Intervention: Methylphenidate Osmotic Release Oral System with the treatment doses 18 mg or 36mg once daily for 28 days Control: NA Comparator: MedicationInstant release MPH at two different doses (5/10 mg/day) Follow-up: 1 month	CGI-I rating of 1 or 2 The OROS-MPH group had a significantly greater proportion of subjects being very much or much improved in the CGI-I scale than the IR MPH group (p = 0.014). ADHD Index Score Conner's Teacher Rating Scale-Revised: Short Form-C change Compared to the IR MPH group, the OROS MPH group showed a significantly greater slope of reductions in ADHD symptoms. SKAMP (Chinese Version of the Swanson, Kotin, Agler, M-Flynn, and Pelham Rating Scale) Attention score mean change (SD) from baseline at endpoint Difference in SKAMP Attention score mean change (SD) from baseline between OROS and IR MPH groups is statistically significant (p < 0.01). Difference in SKAMP Deportment score mean change (SD) from baseline between OROS (-4.65 SD 5.53) and IR (-4.41 SD 6. Decreased appetite The rate of decreased appetite was 46.9% in the OROS and 59.4% in the immediate release group (p=0.316). There was no difference in the rates of side effects between the two groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Cour 2007 <sup>288</sup>	Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A : Taiwanese children	Intervention: Atomovating and	CCLS (Clinical Clobal Improcessions, ADHD
FDA-approved pharmacological	Gau, 2007 <sup>288</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 106 Taiwan Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD, no ADHD treatment medication, or completion of the washout procedures before entering this study. Subjects were excluded if they weighed less than 20 kg or more than 60 kg; had a serious medical illness, such as a cardiovascular disease; had a history of bipolar I or II disorder, psychosis, or pervasive developmental disorder; had anxiety disorder based on the DSMIV criteria at study entry; had a history of any seizure disorder or prior EEG abnormalities related to epilepsy, or taking anticonvulsants for seizure control; had a history of alcohol or drug abuse within the past 3 months; or if they might have to use psychoactive medications <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 27,combined : 73 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist	Intervention: Atomoxetine once daily in the morning, maximal dose of 1.8 mg/kg per day, for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo once daily in the morning Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	CGI-S (Clinical Global Impressions–ADHD– Severity) Scores significantly decreased (mildly ill to moderately ill) for the atomoxetine group and (moderately ill to markedly ill) for the placebo group (p<0.001). ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale-IV Parents Version: Investigator Administered and Scored) total score change Mean total scores were significantly lower for the atomoxetine than placebo group (p<0.001). Decreased appetite The rate was 36.1% in the intervention compared to 17.4% in the control group. There was no other significant difference between the two treatment groups in the occurrence of adverse events other than decreased appetite, and no drug-related severe adverse event was reported.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 11 % Age mean: Atomoxetine 9.1 (2.0), placebo 9.5 (2.4) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Geller, 2007 <sup>292</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 176 US Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Target: Children between 8-17 with ADHD according to DSM-IV, and one of the following anxiety disorders: separation anxiety disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, or social phobia</li> <li>Other: Parents or legal representatives</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : 23.0,hyperactive : 1.2,combined : 75.9</li> <li>Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist</li> <li>Used the DSM-IV standard. "ADHD diagnoses were confirmed clinically, and anxiety and ADHD diagnoses were confirmed using the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and</li> </ul>	Intervention: Atomoxetine 0.8-1.8 mg/kg/day divided into two doses daily for 12 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo has the same measurements as the treatment dosage Comparator: NA Follow-up: 3 months	CGI (Clinical Global Impression - Severity of Illness) change CGI results indicated overall symptom improvement. ADHD-RS-IV-P (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV Parent Version) The mean change scores showed greater improvement with atomoxetine relative to placebo (p<0.001). Significant reduction in Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children (p 0.009). Decreased appetite Statistically significant decreased appetite associated with the intervention (p=0.025). No statistically significant difference in incidence of headache, upper abdominal pain, vomiting, irritability, nasopharyngitis, nausea, cough, influenza, sinusitis across groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Lifetime version (K-SADS-PL; Univers Comorbidity: Mood disorder Female: 37.9 % Age mean: Intervention 12.2 (2.8), placebo 11.8 (2.5) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other intervention 79% white, control 82%		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Greenhill, 2006 <sup>303</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 103 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Patients age 6-15 years old with clinical diagnosis of ADHD. For boys, baseline scores on the Conners ADHD/DSM-IV Scale- Teacher version (CADS-T) DSM-IV total subscale were required to be equal or larger than 27 for those 6 to 8 years old, equal or larger than 24 for those 9 to 11 years old, equal or larger than 19 for those 12 to 14 years old, and equal or larger than 14 for those 15 to 17 years old. For girls, the respective baseline cutoff scores on the CADS-T were 16, 13, 12, and 6. All of the patients were attending school in a classroom setting and had the same teacher for the	Intervention: Dexmethylphenidate extended release 5, 10, 15, 20, or 30 mg/day once daily for 2weeks Control: Placebo Placebo pills once daily Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	CGI-I rated 1 or 2 Statistically significant difference between groups. Conners ADHD/DSMIV Scale-Teacher version total score Statistically significant difference between groups (p<0.001), effect size 0.79. Decreased appetite The rate of decreased appetite was 30.2% in the intervention and 8/5% in the control group. Participants with at least one adverse event reported The rate was 75.5% in the intervention and 57% in the placebo group. There were no deaths or serious adverse events.

vention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites;	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Inter	Study size; Location Setting	% Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		duration of the study who was able and willing to perform symptom assessments <b>Other:</b>		
		<b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 21.4,hyperactive : 1.9,combined : 76.7		
		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime Version)		
		Comorbidity: N/A		
		Female: 35.9 %		
		Age mean:		
		Intervention 9.76 (2.75), placebo 10.4 (2.70)		
		Minimum age: 6		
		Maximum age: 15		
		Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 23.3 % White : 60.2 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other: 17/102 (16.5%)		
	Griffiths, 2018 <sup>304</sup>	<b>Target:</b> Diagnosis of ADHD, fluent	Intervention: Atomoxetine dose	ADHD-RS
ed '	ID: ANZCTR	in English, no current stimulant	based on body mass as per	Atomoxetine resulted in significant
-DA	12607000535471	use, any contraindications to atomoxetine, no substance or	was 1.35 mg.kg-1: range 1.0-1.4	and fear identification ( $p < 0.04$ ). but not for
app	Crossover trial Multicenter	alcohol abuse Other:	mg.kg-1) taken daily for 6 weeks	sustained attention (p<0.06). The treatment

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	N = 136 Australia Setting: School	ADHD presentation: inattentive : 45,hyperactive : 4,combined : 67 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Patients were evaluated at the beginning of the study using the DSM-IV criteria Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20 % Age mean: 11.29 (2.5) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Control: Placebo Placebo, both groups switched and were evaluated again Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	improved ADHD symptoms (p<0.001) as well as anxiety symptoms (p<0.043). Atomoxetine significantly improved response inhibition, assessed using the Go-NoGo test (p<0.001; effect size 0.42). Atomoxetine was associated with significantly reduced symptom severity for anxiety (p=0.043).
FDA-approved pharmacological	Hartterkamp, 2012 <sup>513</sup> ID: RCT Multicenter N = 97 Netherlands Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children and adolescents         dually diagnosed with autism         spectrum disorders and ADHD         Other: Teachers provided some         outcomes         ADHD presentation: N/A         Diagnosis: Confirmation by         specialist         DSM IV_TR         Comorbidity: Autism         Female: 14.4 %         Age mean: 9.9 (10.8)         Minimum age: 6         Maximum age: 17         Ethnicity:	Intervention: Atomoxetine titrated in 3 weeks to a fixed once daily dose of 1.2 mg/kg for 8 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo capsules identical to medication Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	CGI-ADHD-I, number classified as much or very much improved Total ADHD score was not statistically difference between groups (p = 0.077); difference in those categorized as improved was not significant (p= 0.14). Decreased appetite The rate was 27.1% in the atomoxetine and 6.1% in the placebo group. At least one adverse event The rate was 81.3% in the intervention vs 653% in the placebo group. None of the patients had a serious adverse event.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		% Black/African American : 1.0 % White : 99.9 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Internetiene Oberidierendeled te	
FDA-approved pharmacological	Hazell, 2003 <sup>317</sup> ID: NA RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 67 Australia Setting: N/A	Target: Children 6-14 years old with diagnosis of ADHD and comorbid ODD or CD based on DSM-IV, T scores for Attention problems and Aggressive behavior on the Child Behavior Checklist of ≥70, who had been treated for a minimum of 3 months with MPH or dexamphetamine, IQ at least 70, can have comorbid anxiety or depression Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist ADHD Rating Scale, assessment interviews by a qualified health professional Comorbidity: ODD Female: 8.96 % Age mean: 112.9 (19.8) and 125.4 (23.2) Minimum age: 14 Ethnicity:	Intervention: Clonidine added to ongoing psychostimulant therapy (either methylphenidate or dexamphetamine),0.05 to 0.10 mg morning and evening for 6 weeks <b>Control:</b> Placebo Placebo syrup added to ongoing psychostimulant therapy, 0.05 mg during week 1; if the child is not experiencing daytime sedation or symptomatic hypotension at end of Week 1, dosage of placebo increased to 0.10 mg morning and evening for 5 more weeks; if <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	Parent report conduct symptoms Number of patients achieving 38% reduction from baseline in conduct symptoms Results favored clonidine (p<0.01). Hyperactive index, parent report Number achieving 43% reduction from baseline There was no statistically significant difference between the groups (p = .16) A significant difference in Parent report conduct symptoms—no. achieving 38% reduction from baseline (p<.01) A significant difference in Parent report conduct symptoms—no. achi Mean height There were no statistically significant differences between groups. Transient increase in side effects in the clonidine-treated group compared with the control group for drowsiness and dizziness.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Hervas, 2014 <sup>321</sup> ID: n/a RCT Multicenter N = 338 Multiple countries Setting: N/A	Target: Male and female children/ adolescents ages 6-17 years old with a diagnosis of ADHD of at least severity as defined by a baseline ADHD-RS-IV with a total score of 32 or higher and a minimum Clinical Global Impression Severity (CGI-S) score of 4; intellectual functioning, blood pressure measurements within the 95th percentile for age, sex and height; and the ability to swallow tablets or capsules Other: Parent/legal guardian had to be willing, able and likely to fully comply with the study procedures and restrictions ADHD presentation: inattentive : 10.7,hyperactive : 4.1,combined : 84.9 Diagnosis: No Comorbidity: N/A Female: 25 % 73.7 Age mean: 10.8 (2.8) Minimum age: 6	Intervention: Guanfacine (extended release), dose-optimized taken once daily in the morning for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo tablets provided taken once daily, at a similar time, each morning for 6 weeks Comparator: MedicationAtomoxetine capsules for 6 weeks Follow-up: 2.25 months	Patients showing an improvement (CGI-I, very much improved or much improved) Compared with placebo, the difference in the percentage of patients showing improvement was significant for guanfacine (p<0.001) and atomoxetine (p 0.024). ADHD-RS-IV The change from baseline was greater for guanfacine and atomoxetine compared with placebo. Decreased appetite The rate was 13.2% in the guanfacine, 27.7% in the atomoxetine, and 10.8% in the placebo group. Treatment-emergent adverse events The rate was 77.2% in the guanfacine, 67.9% in the atomoxetine, and 65.8% in the placebo group. Three (1.1%) serious adverse events were reported: one in the placebo group (syncope [considered treatment related]) and two in the guanfacine group (syncope [considered treatment related] and appendicitis [occurred prior to randomization and not treatment related]).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Ichikawa, 2020 <sup>333</sup> Ichikawa, 2020 <sup>819</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 76 Japan Setting: N/A	Target: Children aged 6–17 with ADHD per DSM V. ADHD-RS-IV total score of at least 28 was required. Exclusion criteria: serious disorders of the blood or bone marrow, heart, kidneys, liver, lungs; psychiatric comorbidity (e.g.,bipolar disorder, schizophrenia); CD (excluding ODD); current tics; history of seizures; low or high bodyweight; hypertension; QTc interval (Fridericia adjusted; QTcF) >430 mseconds; substance use disorder; and pregnancy or lactation Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 2.6,hyperactive : 34.2,combined : 63.2 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM V plus ADHD-RS-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 17.1 % Age mean: 10.0 (2.8) Minimum age: 6	Intervention: Lisdexamfetamine, 70 mg/day for 4 weeks, 1 week placebo, and 1 week of follow-up Control: Placebo Placebo pill Comparator: MedicationLisdexamfetamine 30 mg/day for 4 weeks Follow-up: 1 month	ADHD-RS-IV total score, parent, change from baseline All dosages had significantly greater improvements from baseline to all time points than placebo (p<0.0001). Participants with any adverse event The rate was 70% for intervention, 42% for control, and 68% for comparator.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity Maximum age; 17	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		<b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Jain, 2011 <sup>337</sup> Addrenex Pharmaceuticals, 2007 <sup>641</sup> ID: NCT00556959 RCT Multicenter N = 236 US Setting: N/A	<b>Target:</b> Patients with a diagnosis of ADHD of the hyperactive or combined inattentive/hyperactive subtype and each patient's clinical research physician and a minimum score of 26 on the ADHD Rating Scale–IV (ADHD-RS-IV), having a good health, be able to swallow tablets, be mentally competent, having a body mass index of at least the fifth percentile for the patients' age group, and having concomitant diagnosis of tics or oppositional defiant disorder were eligible for study inclusion. Patients were excluded if they had a clinically significant illness or abnormality that would increase the safety risk of clonidine or if they had a clinically significant abnormality on electrocardiographic readings that were interpreted by a single entity, having a concomitant diagnosis or history of a psychiatric disorder that required psychotropic medication, and having a history of conduct disorders, syncopal episodes, or	Intervention: Clonidine hydrochloride extended release tablets of 0.4 mg/day: dose- escalating titration schedule of 0.1 mg/day per week to achieve the target dose for the patient (i.e., 0.2 mg/day at week 2 or 0.4 mg/day at week 4), followed by dose tapering in 0.1-mg/day/week intervals until cessation of treatment at the end of week 8 <b>Control:</b> Placebo Placebo for 8 weeks followed the same procedure as the intervention group <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationClonidine hydrochloride extended release 0.2 mg/day, forced dose-escalating titration schedule of 0.1 mg/day per week to achieve the target dose for the patient (i.e., 0.2 mg/day at week 2 or 0.4 mg/day at week 4), followed by dose tapering in 0.1-mg/day/ <b>Follow-up:</b> 2 months	Clinical Global Impression of Improvement (CGI-I) Significant improvement in both treatment groups versus placebo (p=0.0032). ADHD-RS-IV Statistically significant improvements in the intervention groups compared to control. Participants that reported an adverse event 83% of both intervention groups and 72% of placebo patients reported an adverse event. Adverse events that led to discontinuation occurred in 1% of patients in the placebo group, 7% of patients in the 0.2-mg/day group, and 19% in the 0.4-mg/day group. The most common reasons for discontinuation were somnolence and fatigue.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting	Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		seizures (except for febrile seizure before 2 years of age). Other:		
		ADHD presentation: N/A		
		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV		
		Comorbidity: N/A		
		Female: 28 %		
		Age mean:		
		9.4 (6–16), 9.6 (6–17) , 9.4 (6–17)		
		Minimum age: 6		
		Maximum age: 17		
		Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 8 % Black/African American : 27 % White : 59 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
	Johnson, 2020 <sup>342</sup>	<b>Target:</b> Children between 6 and 12	Intervention: Viloxazine (SPN-812)	CGI-I
ed ical	Supernus Pharmaceuticals, 2016 <sup>1062</sup>	with a diagnosis of ADHD per the DSM, medically healthy, free of ADHD medication for at least 1	, 400 mg/day of extended-release viloxazine for 8 weeks	Intervention scores but not comparator scores improved significantly compared to control (p<0.05).
rovi	ID: NCT02633527	week prior to baseline, participants	Placebo titrated for the same period	ADHD-RS-IV
app aco	RCT	presence of neuropsychiatric	as the highest dose group, to	Intervention scores but not comparator scores
DA- arm	Multicenter	disease other than ADHD as the	minimize any potential placebo	Improved significantly compared to control
рµ р	N = 234	primary diagnosis, no history or		
	US Setting: Mixed	other neurologic or psychiatric diseases, no history of suicidal	Comparator: MedicationViloxazine (SPN-812), 100 mg/day of	Decreased Appetite Adverse Event

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		attempt or ideation 6 months prior to screening or at screening Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive_other : placebo 21.9 (4.7); 100mg/day: 22.1 (3.9); 200mg/day: 22.2 (3.6); 300mg/day 21.8 (3.8); 400mg/day: 21.0 (4.7),hyperactive_other : hyperactive/impulsivity mean(sd) for 4 groups: placebo: 20.5 (4.4); 100mg/day: 20.3 (5.2); 200mg/day: 21. Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist MINI-KID Comorbidity: N/A Female: 33 % Age mean: Median 9.0 across all groups except 100mg group (median 8.0 years) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 38.3 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 0.97 % Asian : 0.97 % White : 56.8 % Multiracial : 2.43	extended-release viloxazine for 8 weeks Follow-up: 2 months	All groups had at least one participant experience decreased appetite as an adverse event. No deaths or serious treatment emergent adverse events were reported at any point during the study.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;EthnicityOther info on race or ethnicity:	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Kelsey, 2004 <sup>354</sup> ID: RCT Multicenter N = 197 US Setting: N/A	Other info on race or ethnicity: Target: Children with ADHD. Those with serious medical illness, a history of psychosis, or bipolar disorder were excluded. Other: Parents provided some outcomes ADHD presentation: inattentive : 27.4,hyperactive : 3.6,combined : 69.0 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV per Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Aged Children-Present and Lifetime Version Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.4 % Age mean: 9.5 (1.8) Minimum ago: 6	Intervention: Atomoxetine once per day in the morning for 8 weeks (max 1.8 mg/kg per day, 120 mg per day) Control: Placebo Placebo once per day in the morning, for 8 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	Conners' Global Index, Parent Significantly greater mean improvement in atomoxetine group. ADHD RS, parent ADHD RS, 25% re-duction from baseline Significantly greater improvement in atomoxetine group. Decreased appetite A significantly greater proportion of amoxetine patients experienced decreased appetite. 4.5% of atomoxetine and 1.6% of placebo patients discontinued as the result of adverse events.
		Minimum age: o Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % White : 72.6 Other info on race or ethnicity:		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Kollins, 2011 <sup>368</sup> Shire, 2005 <sup>1024</sup> ID: NCT00150592 RCT Multicenter N = 182 US Setting: N/A	Target: Reasons for exclusionincluded any current comorbidpsychiatric diagnosis (exceptoppositional defiant disorder),weight <25 kg (55 lb), cardiac	Intervention: Guanfacine extended release, optimal dose (1, 2, or 3mg/day) found in 3 week dose- finding phase, maintained for 2 weeks of maintenance Control: Placebo Matching placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.5 months	CGI-I scale much improved or very much improved A significantly greater percentage in the intervention group was rated 'much improved' or 'very much improved' compared with placebo (p<0.007). ADHD-RS-IV total scores Reductions were significantly greater in the intervention than in the placebo group (p< 0.001). Reaction time as measured by the Choice Reaction Time (CRT) test indicated that treatment did not impair psychomotor functioning or alertness compared with placebo. Participants with treatment emergent adverse events reported Rate was 79.3% in intervention, 70.2% in placebo group. The majority of adverse events were mild to moderate; there were 2 serious events severe asthma and moderate loss of consciousness (neither was judged to be related to GXR).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Kollins, 2011 <sup>307</sup> Addrenex Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 2008 <sup>642</sup> ID: NCT00641329 RCT Multicenter N = 198 US Setting: N/A	Target: Children were required to have inadequate stimulant medication response, defined as a total score 26 on the ADHD-RS-IV questionnaire after a minimum of 4 weeks on a stable stimulant regimen, had intelligence quotient estimated to be 80 by the investigator and a BMI in the 5th percentile for the patient's gender and age; patients were excluded from participation in the study if they had (1) a current diagnosis or history of a psychiatric disorder that required psychotropic medication or severe comorbid Axis I or Axis II disorder (2) a history of conduct disorder, (3) a history of syncopal episodes or seizures (except for febrile seizures), (4) current or past drug abuse, (5) a history of clonidine intolerance, or (6) used any investigational drug within 30 days of the study initiation or had a positive drug test (except for ADHD medication) Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A	Intervention: Clonidine hydrochloride extended-release tablets with stimulants (methylphenidate or amphetamine): total daily doses of 0.1 to 0.4 mg per day for 8 weeks, concomitant stimulant medication was prescribed by the patient's regular physician and was obtained from the patient's usual pharmacy Control: Placebo Placebo plus stimulants for 8 weeks, methylphenidate or amphetamine prescribed by the patient's regular physician and was obtained from the patient's usual pharmacy Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.25 months	CGI-I change from baseline The intervention group had greater improvement than the control group (p=0.006). ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale IV), change The intervention group had greater improvement than the control group (p=0.009). Participants with at least one treatment emergent adverse event The rate was 45% in the intervention and 41% in the concomitant placebo group. Somnolence, headache, fatigue, upper abdominal pain, and nasal congestion were the most commonly reported event in the CLON-XR plus stimulant group. Of the 96 patients in the placebo plus stimulant group, 3 (3%) discontinued because of TEAEs (ie, increased heart rate [n=1], aggression [n=1], and somnolence [n=1]), and only 1 of 102 patients (1%) in the CLON-XR plus stimulant group discontinued because of a TEAE (ie, slowed thought processes).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Female: 26 % Age mean: Intervention 10.4 (2.5), control 10.5 (2.5) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 11 % Black/African American : 27 % White : 54 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 8		
FDA-approved pharmacological	ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 228 US Setting: N/A	<b>arget:</b> Girls older than 9 years were excluded because the results of preclinical studies of atomoxetine's effects on pregnant animals were unavailable at the time this study started. Important exclusion criteria included a history of bipolar or psychotic disorders, motor tics or a family history of Tourette syndrome, substance abuse, nonresponse to a previous trial of methylphenidate (significant residual symptoms after at least 2 weeks of treatment with at least 1.2 mg/kg per day), and serious medical illness. Other concurrent psychiatric diagnoses did not exclude patients from the trial; these were assessed with the Diagnostic Interview for Children	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1-2 mg/kg per day administered as a divided dose in the morning and late afternoon for 10 weeks <b>Control:</b> NA <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationMethylphenidate was dosed beginning at 5 mg from one to three times daily with an ascending dose titration based on the investigator's assessment of clinical response and tolerability, total daily dose was not to exceed 60 mg, concomitant use of other psy <b>Follow-up:</b> 2.5 months	CGI ADHD Severity Both groups improved. ADHD-RS-IV No statistically significant differences between treatment groups (p = .66). Weight loss The rate of weigh loss was 2.7% in the atomoxetine and 5% in the methylphenidate group (p=0.611). Both atomoxetine and methylphenidate were well tolerated, with no statistically significant differences in discontinuations due to adverse events (atomoxetine 5.4%, methylphenidate 11.4%; p=.18); all atomoxetine patients who discontinued due to an adverse event were extensive metabolizers.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Version (DICA-IV) (Reich et al., 1995), with any diagnosis being confirmed by clinical interview. Other:		
		<b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 23,hyperactive : 1,combined : 76		
		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV		
		Comorbidity: N/A		
		Female: 7 %		
		Age mean: 10.4 (2.1)		
		Minimum age: 7		
		Maximum age: 15		
		<b>Ethnicity:</b> % White : 77 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
	Kratochvil, 2011372	Target: Young children with ADHD,	Intervention: Atomoxetine 0.5-1.8	CGI-I scores of very much improved or much
	University of Nebraska,	exclusion criteria included	mg/kg per day for 8 weeks	improved rate
_	<b>2007</b> <sup>1099</sup>	other medications with significant	Control: Placebo	40% of atomoxetine and 22% of placebo
ved gica	ID: NCT00561340	central nervous system effects;	Placebo controlled	improved) or 2 (much improved) relative to
pro olo	RCT	current effective treatment with	Comparator: NA	baseline, which was not a significant
-ap nac	Unclear/Not reported	atomoxetine; medical contraindication to atomoxetine:	Follow-up: 2 months	onterence after adjustment for age and study center (p = 1) A total of 62% of subjects
DA: Darr	N = 101	current diagnosis of adjustment	-	
" " "	US	disorder, autism, psychosis, bipolar		ADHD-หอ total score, parent Significant mean decreases in parent (P =
	Setting: Other	disorder, or significant suicidality; history of abuse that may confound		.009) and teacher (P = .02) ADHD–IV Rating
		symptoms of ADHD; and failure to		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		respond to an adequate previous trial of atomoxetine Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 8,hyperactive : 9,combined : 82 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 32 % Age mean: Placebo 6.1 (0.5) , Atomoxetine 6.1 (0.6) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 6 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 1 % Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 3 % White : 86 Other info on race or ethnicity:		Scale scores with atomoxetine compared with placebo. Decreased appetite The rate was 30% in the intervention compared to 8% in the placebo group. There were no significant differences in the mean change in systolic blood pressure with atomoxetine treatment compared with placebo (p=.09), in the change in diastolic blood pressure (p=.8), or heart rate (p=.07) with atomoxetine. There was a significant difference in change in weight ( $-0.2 \text{ kg } \pm 0.1$ ] in atomoxetine and 0.6 kg [ $\pm 0.2$ ] in the placebo group (P = .0006); however, this was not clinically significant.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Kurowski, 2019 <sup>375</sup> Childrens Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, 2013 <sup>691</sup> ID: NCT01933217 Crossover trial Single center N = 26	<b>Target:</b> Children age 6-17 years old with hospital admission for blunt head trauma, and a confirmed diagnosis of moderate to severe traumatic brain injury (Glasgow Coma Scale <= 12), have 6 of 9 current symptoms on at least one subscale of the VADPRS; children with preinjury diagnoses of developmental or neurological	Intervention: Methylphenidate long- acting (Concerta), initial dose of 18 mg, subsequent 3 weeks, titrated based on response and side effects for week 4; <25kg = 18mg (low), 27mg (medium), and 36mg (high) dosages, 25kg = 18mg (low), 36mg (medium), 54mg (high) dosages Control: Placebo	ADHD total symptom score VADPRS (Vanderbilt ADHD Parent Diagnostic Rating Scale) On optimal dose of medication, greater reductions were found for the medicated condition than for placebo (p 0.022, effect size 0.59). Mean number of participants with change in appetite side effect

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	US Setting: Specialty care	disorders, or hospitalized for psychiatric reasons in the past 12 months, involved in active behavioral and/or medication treatments for attention problems and/or who had contraindications to methylphenidate use or were on medications that had potentially severe interactions with methylphenidate were excluded Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 69.2,hyperactive : 7.7,combined : 23.1,N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist K-SADS-P/L Comorbidity: Other : Traumatic brain injury Female: 23.1 % Age mean: 11.5 (2.8) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % White : 73.1 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Identical capsules filled with placebo (inert white capsules) for 4 weeks, then switching to the intervention drug <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 2 months	Compared to the placebo condition, the medication condition was associated with lower weight at the second, third, and fourth week (p<.0001). Methylphenidate was associated with weight loss (~ 1 kg), increased systolic blood pressure (~3–6 point increase), and mild reported changes in appetite versus the placebo condition. At the last visit, suicidal ideation was reported by one participant while on placebo.
FDA- approved	Law, 1999 <sup>379</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center	<b>Target:</b> Children who exhibited pervasive ADHD, defined as 8 or more of the 14 criteria for ADHD according to DSM-III-R based on parent or teacher interview; at least	Intervention: Methylphenidate 0.7mg/kg twice daily for 1 year Control: Placebo Placebo	Onset or worsening severity of tics; from abstract - clinically significant tics developed in 19.6% of the subjects without preexisting tics receiving MPH and in 16.7% of those receiving the placebo (Fisher exact test, p = .59, not

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	N = 91 Canada Setting: Other	5 ADHD criteria in another setting; a history of ADHD symptoms for at least 6 months, starting before the age of 7 years; estimated Full Scale IQ greater than 80 (based on subtests of VISC-R); no primary anxiety or affective disorder; no history of prior treatment for ADHD or tics. Excluded children if they had severe motor or vocal tic disorder or Tourette's disorder (mild to moderate tics included); if regularly received medication for a medical problem; if had a chronic medical condition; or if attended a full time residential or day treatment program <b>Other:</b> Parents, teachers, and research assistants; research assistants were trained to achieve high consistency in measurements of tics under supervision of study psychiatrist <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosis:</b> <b>Comorbidity:</b> <b>Female:</b> 18.68 % <b>Age mean:</b> MPH group mean age 8.4(1.6), Placebo group mean age 8.3(. 1.5) <b>Minimum age:</b>	Comparator: NA Follow-up: 12 months	significant; relative risk = 1.17, confidence interval = 0.31-4.40). Deterioration of tics was observed in 33% of subjects with preexisting tics receiving MPH and in 33% of those receiving the placebo (Fisher exact test, p = .70, not significant; relative risk = 1.0, confidence interval = 0.40-1.85).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity Maximum age;	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		<b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Lilly, 2008 <sup>253</sup> ID: NCT00760747 RCT Multicenter N = 112 Multiple countries Setting: Mixed	Target: Children 6-16 years old who meet DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for ADHD and unsatisfactory symptom response to stimulant therapy or experience of adverse events while on stimulant therapy; those who previously participated in an atomoxetine study and those taking anticonvulsants, antihypertensive agents, medication with sympathomimetic activity, psychotropic medications, monoamine oxidase inhibitor were excluded Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 28.2,hyperactive : 3.6,combined : 66.7,combined_other : Not categorized: 1/111 Diagnosis: No Not mentioned Comorbidity: N/A Female: 16.2 % Age mean: 11.5 (2.38) Minimum age: 6	Intervention: Slow switching group (switch from full stimulant dose to atomoxetine, 1.2 mg/kg/day, orally, during 10 weeks then continue treatment up to 1.8 mg/kg/day, to 14 weeks Control: NA Comparator: MedicationFast switching group (switch from full stimulant dose to atomoxetine 1.2 mg/kg/day, PO, during 2 weeks then continue treatment up to 1.8 mg/kg/day, PO to 14 weeks Follow-up: 2.5 months	CGI-S (Clinical Global Impression Severity) rating scale change There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.898). ADHD-RS-IV (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder-Rating Scale) Parent Version change There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.692). Treatment Satisfaction Preference Serious adverse events The rate was 1.8% in the intervention group and 1.9% for comparator group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Martenvi. 2010 <sup>404</sup>	Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 18,9 % Black/African American : 0.9 % White : 80.2 Other info on race or ethnicity: Target: Participants were 6–16	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1.2	CGI-ADHD-S (Clinical Global Impression-
FDA-approved pharmacological	Eli Lilly and Company, 2004 <sup>728</sup> ID: NCT00386581 RCT Multicenter N = 105 Russia Setting: N/A	years of age, with a DSM-IV diagnosis of ADHD, a minimum score of 25 for boys and 22 for girls, or > 12 for their diagnostic subtype on the Attention- Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version: Investigator-Administered and Scored, score of >= 4 on CGI- ADHD Severity scale, had not taken any medications for ADHD. Exclusion: weight <20 kg or >60 kg, history of bipolar disorder, anxiety disorder, psychosis, or developmental disorder, suicidal <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 22.9,hyperactive : 4.8,combined : 72.4 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-aged Children-Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL)	mg/(kg/day) as a single dose in the morning for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Identical placebo treatment Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	ADHD-Severity) change The intervention group had significantly more improved scores compared to control group (p=0.035). ADHD-RS-IV (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version) change The intervention group had significantly more improved scores compared to control group (p=0.013). Weight loss Rate was 8.3 in the intervention group with none in placebo. Treatment emergent signs and symptoms Rate was 41.9% in the intervention and 33.3% in the control group. No serious adverse events (including deaths or suicidal ideation) were reported in either treatment group. One patient (in the atomoxetine group) discontinued the study due to an adverse event (mild skin itch and eruptions).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: 14.3 % Age mean: 9.8 (2.8) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: % White : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Matthijssen, 2019 <sup>408</sup> ID: 5252 Dutch trial registry RCT Multicenter N = 94 Netherlands Setting: Mixed	Target: Children using methylphenidate as prescribed in clinical practice in any dosage or form for 2 years or longer; if a child had stopped the medication during, for instance, a weekend or a school holiday, they could still participate if the period of not using methylphenidate had not exceeded 2 continuous months during the past 2 years Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist ADHD-RS Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22 % Age mean: 13.8 (2.2) and 13.6 (2.2) Minimum age: 8	Intervention: Gradual withdrawal of methylphenidate to placebo over a 3-week period followed by 4 weeks of complete placebo Control: NA Comparator: MedicationContinued extended-releasemethylphenidate for 7 weeks, 54 or 36 mg/day Follow-up: 2.75 months	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions improvement scale) not worsened CGI-I indicated worsening in 40.4% of the discontinuation group compared with 15.9% of the continuation group. ADHD-RS (ADHD Rating Scale) A significant between-group difference in change over time of in favor of the group that continued methylphenidate treatment. Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ), total score, parent, change from baseline The intervention group improved significantly compared to comparator group (p=0.03). Change in appetite The rate of patients with changes in appetite was 9.6% in the discontinuation group and 7.4% in the continuation group. Participants with at least one adverse event reported

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age: 18         Ethnicity:         % White : 98.9         Other info on race or ethnicity:		at least one adverse event, compared with 10.6% in the continuation group (p=0.46). None of the participants had a serious adverse event.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Mattingly, 2020 <sup>409</sup> Shire, 2017 <sup>1028</sup> ID: NCT03325881 RCT Multicenter N = 89 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children (aged 6–12 years) with Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth edition—defined ADHD; baseline ADHD-Rating Scale, Fifth Edition, Child, Home Version total scores (ADHD-RS-5-HV-TS) ≥ 28; and baseline Clinical Global Impressions-Severity scores ≥ 4 were eligible Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 13.6,hyperactive : 13.6,combined : 72.8 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist ADHD-Rating Scale, Fifth Edition, Child, Home Version Comorbidity: N/A Female: 40 % Age mean: 8.8 (2.20) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 24.4	Intervention: Mixed amphetamine salts extended-release (SHP465), 6.25 mg once daily for 4 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo capsules were identical in appearance to maintain blinding Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1 month	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions- Improvement) Difference between groups was not statistically significant (p=0.597). ADHD-RS-5-HV-TS (ADHD-Rating Scale, Fifth Edition, Child, Home Version total scores, hyperactivity/impulsivity and inattention) Difference between groups was not statistically significant. Decreased appetite The rate was 2.2% in the intervention and 4.7% in the placebo group. Participants with treatment emergent adverse events The rate was 16.3% in the placebo and 24.4% in the treatment group. There were no serious or severe treatment emergent adverse events, nor events or leading to discontinuation or death.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity % American Indian or Alaska Native : 0 % White : 66.7 % Multiracial : 8.9	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	McCracken, 2016 <sup>415</sup> Bilder, 2016 <sup>675</sup> ; Sayer, 2016 <sup>989</sup> ; University of California, Los Angeles, 2007 <sup>1094</sup> ID: NCT00429273 RCT Single center N = 212 US Setting: Specialty care	Other Info on race or ethnicity:Target: Male or female individuals7 to 14 years of age; DSM-IVADHD (any subtype) diagnosed bysemi-structured diagnosticinterview (Kiddie-Schedule forAffective Disorders andSchizophrenia -PL [K-SADS-PL])and clinical interview; and ClinicalGlobal Impression Severity (CGI-S)score 4 for ADHDOther:ADHD presentation: inattentive :44,hyperactive : 2,combined : 51Diagnosis: Confirmation byspecialistDSM-IV ADHD by clinicianComorbidity: N/AFemale: 32 %Age mean: 10.0 (2.1)Minimum age: 7Maximum age: 14Ethnicity:% Hispanic or Latino : 21.3% Black/African American : 17% Asian : 8% White : 69	Intervention: Guanfacine (1-3 mg/day) plus d-methylphenidate extended-release (5-20 mg/day), with fixed-flexible dosing Control: Other Placebo plus d-methylphenidate extended-release (5-20 mg/day) Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	CGI-I treatment response (very much improved or much improved) There were significant differences in treatment response for the 3 treatment sequences, with rates of 81% for methylphenidate alone, 69% for guanfacine alone, and 91% for guanfacine plus methylphenidate (p 0.01). ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD-Rating Scale-IV) total score Guanfacine plus methylphenidate showed superiority versus guanfacine alone (p =0.049), but did not differ statistically from methylphenidate (p 0.066). Any adverse event The rate was 99% for intervention versus 96% for control.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 6	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Michelson, 2001 <sup>422</sup> Matza, 2004 <sup>879</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 297 US Setting: Other	Target: Children with ADHD from the DSM-IV by clinical assessment and structured interview Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 31,hyperactive : 2,combined : 67 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: ODD Female: 29 % Age mean: 11.2 (2.3) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 2 % Black/African American : 17.9 % Asian : 1 % White : 75.8 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1.8 mg/kg/day for 8 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo-controlled Comparator: MedicationAtomoxetine 0.5 mg/kg/day Follow-up: 2 months	Behavior rating, Psychological Summary Score Atomoxetine groups were statistically significantly better than placebo. CGI-S Outcomes in the 1.2 and 1.8 mg/kg/day groups were superior to placebo on almost all measures but for the 0.5 mg/kg/day group CGI-S scale outcomes were not statistically significantly different from those of the placebo group. ADHD-RS, parent Atomoxetine groups were statistically significantly better than placebo. Psychosocial summary score Atomoxetine groups were statistically significantly better than placebo. Reduction in affective symptoms, as measured by the CDRS-R, was greater among those in the 2 higher dose groups of atomoxetine compared with placebo. Anorexia The rate of anorexia was 12% in the high dose, 6.8% in the low dose, and 4.8% in the placebo group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
				Atomoxetine was well tolerated at all doses. No adverse event was statistically significantly more frequent among either of the 1.2 mg/kg/day or 1.8 mg/kg/day atomoxetine dose groups compared with placebo.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Michelson, 2002 <sup>421</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 171 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children and adolescents with ADHD. Other: Parents and teachers provided outcome data ADHD presentation: inattentive : 40.6,hyperactive : 1.8,combined : 57.6 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV, assessed by clinical interview and confirmed by Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-aged Children (K-SADS-PL) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.4 % Age mean: 10.3 (2.4) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1-1.5 mg/kg per day at 4 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo, once per day Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	CGI-S Intervention group improved more (p < .001). ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale IV), total score, parent report Intervention group improved more (p < .001). Decreased appetite More intervention patients reported decreased appetite (p=0 .02).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Montoya, 2009 <sup>430</sup> Escobar, 2009 <sup>738</sup> ID: NCT00191945 RCT Multicenter N = 151 Spain Setting: Specialty care	Target: Medication naive children and adolescents with ADHD. Patients with psychiatric comorbidities excluded. Other: Parents provided some outcome data ADHD presentation: inattentive : 32.9,hyperactive : 4.0,combined : 63.1 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Diagnosed per DSM-IV-TR). Confirmed by Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Present and Lifetime version (K-SADS-PL). Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20.5 % Age mean: 10.3 (2.5) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 3.3 % Black/African American : 0.7 % White : 96 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Atomoxetine, target dose of 1.2 mg/kg/day taken once daily for 12 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 3 months	CPRS-R:S (Conners' Parent Rating Scale- Revised: Short Form), Total CGI-S (Clinical Global Impression - Severity) severely ill Total Conners score was significantly lower in intervention group at 12 weeks. A significantly lower percentage of intervention group participants were determined to be 'severely ill' compared to the control group. ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD-Rating Scale-IV) total score, parent report Statistically significant improvements with atomoxetine compared to placebo from baseline to follow up on total and subscale scores of the ADHD- RS-IV ( $p < .001$ ). Atomoxetine improved Health Related Quality of Life risk avoidance ( $p < .001$ ) and achievement ( $p = .042$ ) domains compared to placebo, as assessed by parents. Difference in satisfaction, comfort, and resilience domains not statistically significant. Number with decreased appetite Significantly lower percentage of placebo patients experienced appetite decrease ( $p =$ 0.006). Participants with at least one adverse event The rate was 65% for intervention and 37% for control.
rvention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size;	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female:	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
------------------------------	--	---	--	---
Inte	Location Setting	Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Motaharifard, 2019 <sup>432</sup> Vice Chancellor for research of Tehran university of Medical Sciences, 2015 <sup>1108</sup> ID: IRCT2015050922165N1 RCT Single center N = 59 Iran Setting: Primary Care	Target: diagnosed with mild or moderate ADHD according to DSM-5, had no significant chronic medical condition, had no development disorders, had no other psychiatric disorders, had no intellectual disabilities (intelligence quotient <70), not clinically current drug abusers or dependent on drugs within the last 6 months Other: Parents and teachers of children with ADHD <b>ADHD presentation:</b> combined : 100 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Child and adolescent psychiatrist confirmed diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-5 <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 34 % <b>Age mean:</b> 7.1 (1.36) <b>Minimum age:</b> 6 <b>Maximum age:</b> 14 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Methylphenidate dose of 1 mg/kg/day, initial dose of 5 mg twice daily in the first week, followed by a 10-mg tablet twice daily, participants weighing beyond 30 kg received a 10-mg tablet thrice daily from the third week of the study, tablets mixed into 5 cc/day of therapeutically ineffective syrup Control: NA Comparator: Nutrition, supplementsReceived sweet almond syrup 5 cc/day (three times a day). Follow-up: 2 months	ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale-IV), parent- Hyperactivity Subscale There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.78). Decreased Appetite Side Effect Intervention group had significantly more participants who had a side effect of decreased appetite (p<0.001). Reported side effects of sweet almond syrup, reported (N, %): Insomnia (2, 8%); Increased sleep (4, 16%); Difficulty falling asleep (3, 12%); Abdominal pain (2, 8%); Impulsiveness (1, 4%); Irritability (1, 4%); Nausea (1, 4%). Side effects of MPH reported (N, %): Insomnia (6, 24%); Increased sleep (1, 4%); Difficulty falling asleep (9, 36%); Abdominal pain (6, 24%); Headache (6, 24%); Impulsiveness (3, 12%); Irritability (6, 24%); Nausea (1, 4%); Constipation (1, 4%); Dry mouth (1, 4%); Sadness (6, 24%); Tic (1, 4%); Itching (1, 4%)
FDA- approved	Mount Sinai, 2012 <sup>527</sup> N/A ID: NCT01678209 RCT	<b>Target:</b> Aged 7-17 years, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children ≥ 75, diagnosis of ADHD, any subtype, determined by Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and	Intervention: Atomoxetine, flexible- dose titration for 6-8 weeks Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate, flexible-	CGI-S (Clinical Global Impressions-Severity) Intervention scores improved when compared to comparator. ADHD-RS

	Study:	Population:	Comparison:	Outcome and results
	Author, year;	Setting;	Intervention;	
c	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Control;	
<u>0</u>	Trial ID;	ADHD presentation;	Comparator;	
nt	Study design;	Diagnosis;	Follow-up	
ve	Sites;	Comorbidity;		
er	Study size;	% Female;		
nt	Location	Age mean;		
-	Setting	Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
	Single center	Schizophrenia for School-Aged	dose titration with Concerta for 6-8	Intervention scores improved compared to
	N = 127	Children-Present and Lifetime	weeks	comparator.
	US	Versions (K-SADS-PL); ADHD	Follow-up: 1.5 months	Percentage of correct inhibition in the Go-No
	Setting: Specialty care	Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version.	•	go task favored methylphenidate (81.81%)
	octang. Openany care	RSIV) total score $\geq$ 1.5 SD above		compared to atomoxetine (80.72%).
		age and gender means for subtype;		Decreased appetite
		Clinical Global Impressions-ADHD-		The rate was 9.09% for atomoxetine and
		Severity (CGI-S) score > 4; ADHD		18.18 for methylphenidate.
		must be the primary diagnosis and		Participants with adverse events
		focus of treatment, and the		The rate was 27.7% for atomovetine and
		treatments offered in the study		18 18% for methylphenidate
		comorbid disorder		
		Other		
		ADHD presentation: N/A		
		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by		
		specialist		
		Diagnosis of ADHD, any subtype,		
		determined by Kiddie Schedule for		
		Affective Disorders and		
		Schizophrenia for School-Aged		
		Children-Present and Lifetime		
		Versions (K-SADS-PL)		
		Comorbidity: N/A		
		Female: 27.3 %		
		Age mean: 11 (2.94)		
		Minimum age: 7		
		Maximum age: 17		
		Ethnicity:		
		% Hispanic or Latino : 56.8		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;EthnicityOther info on race or ethnicity:	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other : 43.2 not Hispanic or Latino		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Nasser, 2020 <sup>442</sup> Supernus Pharmaceuticals, 2017 <sup>1063</sup> ID: NCT03247530 RCT Single center N = 477 US Setting: Other	Target: Children between 6 and 11 years of age and had a primary diagnosis of ADHD as defined according to the DSM-5, which was confirmed by the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents (MINI- KID), children should not currently have a diagnosis of a major psychiatric/neurologic disorder other than ADHD (excluding oppositional defiant disorder, or major depressive disorder if the subject was free of major depressive episodes both currently and for the 6 months before screening), significant systemic disease, a history of allergic reaction to viloxazine, any food allergy or intolerance that can impede treatment, and/or evidence of suicidality within 6 months of screening Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive_other : mean(sd) 22.7 (3.5),hyperactive_other :	Intervention: Viloxazine (SPN-812) 200 mg/day, viloxazine extended- release daily in the morning, with or without food, for 6-weeks <b>Control:</b> Placebo Placebo, 2 capsules daily for 6 weeks <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationViloxanzine (SPN-812), one 100-mg SPN-812 and one placebo capsule daily for 6 weeks <b>Follow-up:</b> 1.5 months	Conners-3 Composite Score, parent Significant improvement for Conners 3-PS Composite T-score (P =0.0003 and P =0.0002) when compared to placebo. ADHD-RS-5 Statistically significant improvements in ADHD-RS-5 Total score were observed in both the 100- and 200-mg/day SPN-812 treatment groups compared to placebo at week 1 of treatment (P=0.0004 and P=0.0244, respectively), which was maintained through EOS (P=0. Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale - Parent, change from baseline Significant improvement was shown in both the intervention and comparator groups compared to the placebo (p=0.0019 for comparator, p=0.0002 for intervention). Decreased appetite There was no incidence of decreased appetite in the placebo group but a rate of 7.5 in the 200mg group and 4.5 in the 100mg group. Participants with at least 1 adverse event The rate was 48% for intervention, 30% for control, and 48% for comparator

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		hyperactive/impulsivity mean(sd) 21.5 (4.9) <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-5, MINI-KID <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 37 % <b>Age mean:</b> 8.5 (1.7) <b>Minimum age:</b> 6 <b>Maximum age:</b> 11 <b>Ethnicity:</b> % Black/African American : 43.7 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 0.4 % Asian : 0.2 % White : 51.3 % Multiracial : 4.3 Other info on race or ethnicity:		Discontinuations due to AEs were infrequent with 1.3% in the placebo, 1.2% in the 200mg, and 3.2% in the 100mg group discontinuing the trial.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Nasser, 2021 <sup>443</sup> Supernus Pharmaceuticals, 2017 <sup>1066</sup> ID: NCT03247556 RCT Multicenter N = 297 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Adolescents age 12-17 years old with diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-5, weight >= 35 kg, have an ADHD-RS-5 Total score >= 28, and a Clinical Global Impression-Severity of Illness (CGI- S) score >= 4. Exclusion: have a current diagnosis of a major psychiatric disorder, a major neurological disorder (including seizures), a significant systemic disease, evidence of suicidality, have an intolerance or allergic	Intervention: Viloxazine extended- release (SPN-812), 600 mg/day group, one 200-mg capsule and two placebo capsules daily during week 1, two 200-mg capsules and one placebo capsule daily during week 2, followed by three 200-mg capsules daily for the remaining 5 weeks <b>Control:</b> Placebo Three placebo capsules daily for 7 weeks	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression- Improvement) There was a higher proportion of responders for each week of treatment in both the intervention and comparator groups compared to the placebo group. This difference was statistically significant in the intervention group at Week 3 and in the comparator g ADHD-RS-5 (ADHD Rating Scale-5) change ADHD-RS-5 responders The difference in mean improvement was statistically significant for comparator vs

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age, Maximum age, Ethnicity reaction to viloxazine, received any investigational drugs within 30 days of trial Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents (MINI-KID) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 32.2 % Age mean: 13.8 (1.6) Minimum age: 12 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 33.2 % Black/African American : 29.1 % American Indian or Alaska	Comparator: MedicationViloxazine, 400-mg/day viloxazine extended- release taken daily for 7 weeks Follow-up: 2 months	control group (p<0.05), as was the proportion of responders (p < 0.0340). Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale (WFIRS-P), parent, change from baseline Total scores were improved in intervention and comparator groups compared to the placebo group, but this difference was not statistically significant for either the 600-mg/ day or 400-mg/day SPN-812 treatment arms (p = 0.9756 and p =0.0698, respectively). Stress Index for Parents of Adolescents (SIPA) scores were lower in the comparator arm compared to placebo (p 0.1259). Appetite changes The rate was 6.1% in the intervention, 6.0% in the comparator, and 2.1% in the control group. Participants with at least one adverse event The rate was 55.6% in the intervention, 58.0% in the comparator, and 40.2% in the placebo
		<ul> <li>% Native Hawaiian or Pacific</li> <li>Islander : 0.3</li> <li>% White : 66.1</li> <li>% Multiracial : 3.8</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity:</li> </ul>		group. The most common treatment-related adverse events that occurred in at least 5% of subjects in any of the active treatment groups were somnolence (15.1%), fatigue (10.6%), headache (8.0%), nausea (6.5%), and decreased appetite (6.0%),

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Nasser, 2021 <sup>444</sup> Supernus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 2017 <sup>1067</sup> ; Supernus Pharmaceuticals, 2017 <sup>1066</sup> ID: NCT03247543, NCT03247556 RCT Multicenter N = 313 US Setting: Mixed	Target: Male and female children aged 6-11 years old with a body weight of at least 20 kg and a primary diagnosis of ADHD, as defined in the DSM-5, confirmed using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents, and an ADHD-Rating Scale-5 score of at least 28 and a Clinical Global Impression-Severity of Illness (CGI- S) score of at least 4 at screening Other: Parents/guardians of children with ADHD completed parent rating scales and clinicians completed clinician rating scales ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist primary diagnosis of ADHD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition (DSM-5), confirmed using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents, and an ADHD-RS-5 score of 28 or higher Comorbidity: N/A Female: 35.5 % Age mean: 8.4 (1.7) Minimum age: 6	Intervention: Viloxazine, 400 mg FDA-approved viloxazine extended- release, once daily for 8 weeks (including 3 weeks titration period) Control: Placebo Four matching placebo capsules daily Comparator: MedicationViloxazine, 200 mg mg FDA-approved viloxazine extended-release, once daily for 8 weeks (including 3 weeks titration period) Follow-up: 2 months	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression- Improvement) Intervention and comparator groups had significantly more improvement compared to the control group (p=0.009, p=0.0028). ADHD-RS-5 (ADHD Rating Scale -5) ADHD-RS-5 responders (patients who had a reduction in total score of 50% Intervention and comparator groups had significantly more improvement compared to the control group (p=0.0063, p=0.0038). Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale- Parent (WFIRS-P) There was no significant difference between comparator and placebo (p=0.065) or between intervention and placebo (p=0.168). Decreased Appetite Treatment Related Adverse Event Both intervention and comparator group participants had a higher percentage of participants experiencing decreased appetite compared to control group participants. No participants in any treatment group were noted to misuse or overuse medication. The rate of discontinuations due to adverse events in both SPN- 812 treatment groups combined was <5%. All groups had at least 1 or greater adverse events that led to discontinuation of the study.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Neccor 202144	Maximum age: 11 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 30.2 % Black/African American : 41.5 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 1.0 % Asian : 0.3 % White : 52.8 % Multiracial : 4.3 Other info on race or ethnicity: Torget: ADHD BS 5 Tatal accre	Intervention: Vilovezine, 400 mg	
FDA-approved pharmacological	Supernus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 2016 <sup>1065</sup> ID: NCT02736656 RCT Multicenter N = 310 US Setting: N/A	Parget: ADHD-RS-5 Total SCORE ≥28 and a Clinical Global Impression—Severity of Illness (CGI-S) score ≥4; refrain from taking other ADHD medications for a minimum of 1 week before randomization and for the study duration; considered medically healthy by the study investigator via assessment of physical examination, medical history, clinical laboratory tests, vital signs, and electrocardiogram (ECG); females of childbearing potential had to either be sexually inactive (abstinent) or agree to use one of the acceptable birth control methods beginning 30 days before the first dose and throughout the study Other: ADHD presentation: N/A	viloxazine extended-release capsules, taken once daily for 6 weeks; one 200-mg Viloxazine extended-release capsule and one placebo capsule daily during week 1, followed by two 200-mg capsules daily for the remaining 5 weeks <b>Control:</b> Placebo Capsules were identical in appearance, 2 placebo capsules daily for 6 weeks <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationViloxazine, 200-mg viloxazine extended-release capsules for 6 weeks <b>Follow-up:</b> 3 months	The scores were significantly better in each VLX-ER treatment group compared with placebo (p<0.05). ADHD-RS-5 (ADHD Rating Scale Edition 5) At least 50% reduction ADHD-RS-5 Intervention and comparator groups had significantly greater improvement compared to the control group (p<0.05). Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale— Parent (WFIRS-P) There were no significant differences between groups. Decreased appetite The rate was 8.6% in the 400mg, 5.1% in the 200mp, and 0 in the placebo group. Participants with at least 1 adverse event The rate was 53.3% in the 400mg, 43.4% in the 200mg, and 36.5% in the placebo group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-V Comorbidity: N/A Female: 32.4 % Age mean: 200mg 13.9 (1.48), 400mg 14.0 (1.59) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other : Reported for 200mg= 28.7% / 400mg=31.1% Other : Reported for 200mg=39.4% / 400mg=40.8% Other : reported for 200mg= 1.1% / 400mg=1.9% Other : Reported for 200mg=1.1% / 400mg=1.0% Other : Reported for 200mg=56.4% / 400mg=53.4% Other : reported for 200mg=2.1% / 400mg=2.9%		The most common treatment-related adverse events were somnolence, headache, decreased appetite, nausea, and fatigue. The adverse event–related discontinuation rates were <5% in all groups.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Newcorn, 2005 <sup>449</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 297 US	<b>Target:</b> Children and adolescents age 8-18 years old with clinical diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-IV, have a symptom severity score of >=1.5SDs above age and gender norms on the Attention- Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1.8 mg/kg/day for 8 weeks administered equally divided doses in the morning and late afternoon Control: Placebo Matching placebo for 8 weeks	CGI-S (Clinical Global Impressions of Severity) Tests for a linear dose-response showed a statistically significant effect, suggesting increased efficacy as a function of increasing atomoxetine dose.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting: Mixed	Rating Scale-IV-Parent version (ADHDRS-IV-Parent:Inv), have a IQ >= 80 according to the full WISC-III. Exclusion: any serious medical illness, comorbid psychosis or bipolar disorder, history of a seizure disorder, or ongoing use of psychoactive medications other than the study drug <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 31.4,hyperactive : 1.7,combined : 66.9 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime versions (K-SADS-PL) <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 28.3 % <b>Age mean:</b> ODD 11.2 (2.1), non-ODD 11.1 (2.4) <b>Minimum age:</b> 8 <b>Maximum age:</b> 18 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity:	Comparator: MedicationAtomoxetine 1.2 mg/kg/day Follow-up: 2 months	ADHD-RS-IV-Parent, investigator rated and scored Atomoxetine at 1.8 mg/kg/day, but not 1.2 mg/kg/day, was superior to placebo in reducing symptoms of ADHD among youths with ADHD and ODD, effect sizes were ADHD + ODD (placebo versus ATMX1.2 = 0.49; placebo versus ATMX1.8 = 0.69; placebo versus ATMX1.2 + CHQ Psychosocial Summary scale Changes in ADHD and oppositional symptoms were associated with improvements in broader functioning for youths with ADHD with and without ODD. There was significant improvement on the CPRS-R:S Oppositional subscale for patients with ADHD and ODD receiving atomoxetine doses 0.5 and 1.8 mg/kg/day (effect sizes, ODD: placebo versus ATMX1.2 = 0.39; placebo versus ATMX1.8 = 0.68; placebo versus ATMX1.2 + ATMX1.8 = 0.55; placebo versus ATMX1.8 = 0.40; placebo versus ATMX1.2 + ATMX1.8 = 0.46.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	ID: N/A Crossover trial Multicenter N = 516 US Setting: N/A	ADHD; children who had seizures, bipolar disorder, a psychotic illness, or a pervasive developmental disorder or who were taking concomitant psychoactive medications, anxiety and tic disorders were excluded, other concurrent psychiatric diagnoses, including major depressive disorder, were permitted as long as ADHD was the primary diagnosis and therefore an appropriate target of treatment. Participants were excluded if they had been treated previously with an adequate trial of methylphenidate or amphetamine and either did not experience at least some improvement in ADHD signs and symptoms (nonresponders) or had intolerable adverse events <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 28,hyperactive : 2,combined : 70 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV KSADS-PL <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 26 % <b>Age mean:</b>	weeks, 0.8–1.8 mg/kg per day <b>Control:</b> Placebo Identically appearing capsules <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationOsmotically released methylphenidate, 18–54 mg/day, initiated at 18 mg/day, with increases to 36 mg and 54 mg allowed at the first and second visits <b>Follow-up:</b> 1.5 months	<ul> <li>Behavior—Revised, Evening score, change from baseline</li> <li>There was no difference between comparator and intervention (p=0.21).</li> <li>CGI ADHD severity scale</li> <li>Patients on methylphenidate changed more than patients on atomoxetine or placebo.</li> <li>ADHD-RS (ADHD Rating Scale) total score Treatment favored atomoxetine compared to osmotically released methylphenidate.</li> <li>Change in weight (kg)</li> <li>Difference from placebo was statistically significant for both active interventions (p&lt;0.05).</li> <li>Adverse events that occurred in at least 5% of the patients in any treatment group or that occurred significantly more often for either drug than for placebo: Insomnia was more common for patients assigned to methylphenidate than for those taking placebo. Somnolence was reported more often for atomoxetine than for methylphenidate, while insomnia was reported more often for methylphenidate than for atomoxetine. The mean increase in diastolic blood pressure, relative to placebo, was statistically significant for both active and osmotically released methylphenidate. No differences were observed in mean change of systolic blood pressure between placebo and either drug.</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	00.40417	Atomoxetine: 10.3 (2.2) Osmotically Released Methylphenidate: 10.2 (2.5) Placebo: 10.1 (2.7) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		Increase in heart rate was significantly greater for atomoxetine than for either placebo or methylphenidate.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Newcorn, 2016 <sup>447</sup> Shire, 2010 <sup>1026</sup> ID: NCT01081145 RCT Multicenter N = 316 Multiple countries Setting: Mixed	Target: Primary diagnosis of ADHD, any subtype, based on a detailed psychiatric evaluation by a licenced clinician using the ADHD- RS-IV and the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia Present and Lifetime version (K-SADS-PL) who had age- appropriate intellectual functioning Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 12.1,hyperactive : 3.8,combined : 84.1 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR detailed psychiatric evaluation by a licenced clinician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 25.7 % Age mean: 10.8 (2.67) Minimum age: Maximum age:	Intervention: Guanfacine hydrochloride extended-release 1-7 mg/day for 13 weeks before withdrawal for 26 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 9 months	CGI-S, rated as normal or bordeline mentally ill A larger proportion of participants in the GXR group was rated as normal or borderline mentally ill compared with placebo (p = 0.001). ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale-IV) total score The difference between GXR and placebo was significant (p < 0.001), indicating that the effect of treatment was better maintained with GXR than placebo. Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale, Parent (WFIRS-P) There was no difference between groups in global domain score. Treatment failure (defined as (≥50% increase in ADHD Rating Scale version IV total score and ≥2-point increase in Clinical Global Impression-Severity compared with baseline) occurred in 49.3% of the GXR and 64.9% of the placebo group(p = 0.006). Treatment-emergent adverse events

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Ethnicity: % White : 79.5 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 20.5		The rate was 56.7% in the intervention, and 48.1% in the placebo group. TEAEs led to discontinuation in 1.9% in the GXR group (grand mal convulsion, sedation, somnolence) and 1.3% in the placebo group (one with irritability, the other with chest pain, dizziness, dyspnoea, nausea and tremor). Six participants (GXR, n = 2; placebo, n = 4) reported seven severe adverse events (SAEs), one of which was judged to be related to treatment (GXR: grand mal convulsion). The majority of TEAEs were mild to moderate, with 5 (3.2%) GXR and 2 (1.3%) placebo participants reporting a severe treatment-emergent adverse events.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Prasad, 2007 <sup>470</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 201 UK Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Children and adolescents with ADHD; patients with: a history of bipolar disorder, psychotic disorders, pervasive development disorder (autistic spectrum disorder), any seizure disorder or alcohol/drug abuse; with significant prior/current medical conditions or at serious suicidal risk; or taking medication that could potentially interfere with study outcomes were excluded <b>Other:</b> Parents supplied some outcome data <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 7.5,hyperactive : 2.0,combined : 90.5	Intervention: Atomoxetine 0.5 to 1.8 mg/kg/day for 10 weeks Control: TAU Standard current therapy Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.5 months	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression Improvement) much improved The intervention group had significantly more improvement compared to the control group (p<0.001). ADHD-RS (ADHD Rating Scale), investigator rated ADHD RS, number showing at least 25% improvement Percent improving at least 25% on investigator-rated ADHD-RS total score was statistically superior for atomoxetine group (p< 0.001). Weight decreased, number No statistical differences in percent with weight decrease or decreased appetite.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV criteria by clinical investigator and confirmed by the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Aged Children-Present and Lifetime Versions (K-SADS-PL) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 11.4 % Age mean: 10.9 (2.2) Minimum age: 6.9 Maximum age: 15.9 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 0.5 % Asian : 0.5 % White : 99.0 Other info on race or ethnicity:		There were no deaths and no serious adverse events.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Rubio Morell, 2019 <sup>492</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 45 Spain Setting: Other	Target: Participants with age between 9 and 12 years old; intelligence quotient ≥85; absence of sensory, psychiatric and/or neurological disorders (excluding ADHD); no record of having previously used medications designed for ADHD, another neurobehavioral disorder, or psychiatric impairment; absence of concomitant psychotropic medication and poor performance in executive functions and delay aversion in the naive assessment	Intervention: Atomoxetine, effective clinical dose, titration initiated with a standarddose based on weight (0.8– 1.5 mg /kg/day for ATX) and adjusted by clinical response until an optimal clinical response with minimum side effects was reached, mean dose 40 mg/day Control: NA Comparator: MedicationModified- release methylphenidate (long- acting), dose titration initiated with a standard dose based on weight (1	Risk taking behavior evaluated by the Cambridge Gambling Task There was no difference between groups. Both MPH and ATX significantly improved scores in verbal working memory (p 0.71, d 0.12), spatial working memory (p 0.44; d 0.03), planning (p 0.6, d 0.18), decision making (p 0.06, d 0.12) and inhibition (p 0.08, d 0.00). No beneficial effect on delay aversion and risk taking was found with MPH and neither with ATX. Long-term treatment in range of optimal clinical dosages with either MPH or ATX

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: % n/a Age mean: Intervention: 10.46 (0.66), comparator: 10.0 (0.40) Minimum age: 9 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:	mg/kg/day for MPH) and adjusted by clinical response until an optimal clinical response with minimum side effects was reached, mean dose was 3 <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	improves EF, but not DAv in children with ADHD. No ADHD participant dropped out the study due to adverse effects or other any other reason
FDA-approved pharmacological	Sallee, 2009 <sup>499</sup> Shire, 2004 <sup>1023</sup> ID: NCT00150618 RCT Multicenter N = 324 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Children 6-17 with ADHD, those with co-morbid psyc disorders (other than ODD) were excluded, as were those currently on medications that might affect blood pressure, morbid obesity or abnormal vital signs, or prior treatment with guanfacine <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 26,hyperactive : 2,combined : 73 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM IV - TR per psyc evaluation	Intervention: Guanfacine extended- release (SPD503) 4 mg g for 9 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: MedicationGuanfacine extended-release (SPD503) 1 mg g for 9 weeks Follow-up: 4 months	Child Health Questionnaire-Parent Form (CHQ-PF50), psychosocial score CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions- Improvement) showing clinical improvement Intervention and comparator groups had significantly more improvement compared to control group (p = 0.0237). ADHD-RS-IV total score change, parent report Intervention and comparator groups had significantly more improvement compared to control group (p 0.003, p 0.01). Medication was not associated with abnormal changes in height or weight. No specific data or p value reported.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: 28 % Age mean: 11 (3.0) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 9 % Black/African American : 17 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 0.003 Other : 0.3% Asian or Pacific Islander % White : 67 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : "Other" 4.3%		Adverse events occurring in 5% or greater in participants taking medication were somnolence, headache, fatigue, sedation, dizziness, irritability, upper abdominal pain, and nausea.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Sangal, 2006 <sup>500</sup> ID: NA Crossover trial Multicenter N = 85 US Setting: Other	Target: Children with ADHD. Patients with pre-existing sleep disorders or serious medical conditions were excluded. Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 29.8,hyperactive : 2.4,combined : 67.9 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV diagnosis a. Diagnosis per investigator's clinical evaluation and by the administration of several modules of the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1.0-1.8 mg/kg/day divided into twice daily doses for 7 weeks Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate, three times per day Follow-up: 1.8 months	Daily Parent Ratings of Evening and Morning Behavior (DPREMB) There were statistically significant differences in favor of atomoxetine (p=0.003). Clinical Global Impression-Severity (CGI-S) There was no significant difference between groups at follow up. ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD rating scale-IV), parent report There was no significant difference between groups (p = 0.427). Methylphenidate increased sleep-onset latency significantly more than did atomoxetine (p<0.001). Child diaries indicated better sleep (p=0.045), ease to get up in the morning

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	01 0000512	Children-Present and Lifetime Version structured interview Comorbidity: N/A Female: 24.7 % Age mean: 10.1 (2.0) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: % White : 72.9 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 27.1% non-white		<ul> <li>(p=0.004), and less time to fall asleep</li> <li>(p=0.001) with atomoxetine.</li> <li>Number of patients with decreased appetite</li> <li>Greater incidence of decreased appetite with methylphenidate (p=0.03).</li> <li>No significant difference in percent reporting headache, irritability, congestion, cough, and intestinal pain. More methylphenidate patients reported insomnia (p &lt; .001).</li> </ul>
FDA-approved pharmacological	Shang, $2020^{513}$ Shang, $2015^{1005}$ ; Wu, $2021^{1145}$ ; Shih, $2019^{1009}$ ; Hospital, National Taiwan University, National Science Council, $2009^{919}$ ID: NCT00916786 RCT Single center N = 168 Taiwan Setting: Specialty care	Target: Drug naive children aged 7 to 16 with ADHD. Exclusions: comorbid psychiatric conditions, including psychosis, bipolar disorders, autism spectrum disorders, substance use disorders, intellectual disability (full-scale intelligence quotient <80), or had a history of major medical or neurological problems Other: Parents ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DMS IV, Chinese version of the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children– Epidemiological Version (K-SADS- E) to confirm ADHD	Intervention: Atomoxetine: an initial dosage of 0.5 mg/(kg per day), administered as once-daily dose, titrated at visits 2–7 (weeks 2–24) according to clinical response and adverse effects; max dose 1.2 mg/kg daily Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate, initial dosage of 18 mg/day, administered as a single morning dose, titrated at visits 2–7 (weeks 2–24) according to clinical response and adverse effects, max dose 54 mg/day Follow-up: 8 months	<ul> <li>Home Behaviors subcale of the Social Adjustment Inventory for Children and Adolescents (SAICA), parent, change from baseline There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.097).</li> <li>CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist) The intervention group improved more on aggressive behavior subscale (p = 0.032) and somatic complaint subscale (0.008) than the comparator group but none of the other subscales.</li> <li>Both treatment groups showed improvement in executive functions (p-value &lt;0.05 for the major indices of each domain). Magnitude of increasing detectability (p&lt; 0.01) and reducing commission errors (p&lt;0.05) was significantly greater in the intervention group vs comparator group.</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: 13 % Age mean: 8.7 (2.56) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: % Asian : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Shaywitz, 2017 <sup>514</sup> Eli Lilly and Company, 2008 <sup>732</sup> ID: NCT00607919 RCT Multicenter N = 124 US Setting: Other	Target: Met DSM-IV-TR criteria for ADHD diagnosis confirmed during the first screening visit. Also met criteria for dyslexia at the second screening visit. Had intelligence quotient score of at least 80, were 10 to 16 years. No history of bipolar I or bipolar II disorder, psychosis, autism, Asperger's syndrome, or pervasive developmental disorder, or were currently taking anticonvulsants for seizure control. Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 46,hyperactive : 2.4,combined : 51.6 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR criteria for ADHD diagnosis confirmed during the first screening visit	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1.0– 1.4mg/[kg*day] once daily for 16 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo once daily for 16 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 4 months	ADHD-RS-IV-Parent:Inv scores ADHD symptom decreases were significantly greater for patients treated with atomoxetine. Reading abilities change from baseline measured using Gray Oral Reading Tests-4. N of participants intervention group (51). Academic rating scale least-squares mean change scores intervention group (-2.19). N of participants control group (55). Academic r

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: Learning disability : Dyslexia alone group and dyslexia + ADHD subgroup Female: 36.3 % Age mean: Intervention mean age 12.2, control mean age 12.3 Minimum age: 10 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 15.3 % Black/African American : 13 % Asian : 2.4 % White : 69.4 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Simonoff, 2013 <sup>526</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 122 UK Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children 7–15 years of age with a diagnosis of ICD-10 hyperkinetic disorder and a full- scale IQ of 30–69 who were living in a stable situation and had regular school attendance Other: ADHD presentation: N/A : 100% with a diagnosis of ICD-10 hyperkinetic disorder Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Diagnosis of hyperkinetic disorder was made using the Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Assessment	Intervention: Methylphenidate, dose titration comprised at least 1 week each of low (0.5 mg/kg/day), medium (1.0 mg/kg/day) and high dose (1.5 mg/kg/day), taken for 16 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo medication, offered active medication after the trial Comparator: NA Follow-up: 4 months	CGI-I improved 40% of participants receiving methylphenidate compared to 7% of placebo were rated as improved. ADHD Index Conners Rating Scale-Short Version-Parent Methylphenidate was superior to placebo for the parent Conners ADHD index. Methylphenidate was superior to placebo for the teacher Conners ADHD index. Poor appetite 15% of patients receiving methylphenidate compared to 2% on placebo reported poor appetite.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: Learning disability : Full-scale IQ of 30–69 Female: 30 % Age mean: 134 (28) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:		16 withdrew from the trial, 5 were due to adverse events following methylphenidate; 21% vs 3% had trouble getting to sleep (P<0.01) but there was no difference in looks sad/miserable, crying, looks anxious, meaningless repetitive behavior, talks less with other children.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Singer, 1995 <sup>528</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 37 US Setting: N/A	Target: Children with Tourette's Syndrome and ADHD between the ages 7 to 13 years and of normal intellect Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: Tic disorder Female: 8 % Age mean: mean age 10.6 Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 3 % White : 89 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Clonidine 0.05 mg 4 times daily for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Uniform-appearing capsule Comparator: MedicationDesipramine (25 mg four times daily), each child started with one capsule per day (evening) and added 1 additional capsule every week to a maximum daily dose of one capsule 4 times a day; patients then were maintained on the highest daily dose for an addi Follow-up: 1.5 months	Hyperactivity scale CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist) Desipramine was significantly better than placebo and clonidine ( $p < 0.05$ ). A global linear analogue comparing the child's current tics to tics anytime in the past, showed a statistically significant drug effect ( $P < .05$ ), with orthogonal contrasts demonstrating that desipramine was superior to clonidine ( $P < .01$ ). Results with clonidine did not differ from placebo, whereas desipramine significantly reduced tics compared to placebo ( $P < .05$ ). Participants with at least one drug-related problem The rate was 82% for intervention, 44% for control, and 76% for comparator.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Spencer, 2002 <sup>543</sup> ID: RCT Multicenter N = 291 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD. Patients who weighed less than 55 pounds, were on psyc medication, or had a history of psychosis or bipolar disorder were excluded. Those who were prognosed to be poor metabolizers of medication based on a genetic test were excluded. Other: Parents provided some outcomes. ADHD presentation: inattentive : 18,hyperactive : 1,combined : 81 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV assessed by clinical interview and the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders & Schizophrenia Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20.6 % Age mean: 9.8 (1.55) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Atomoxetine 3 times per day, drug dosage based on weight Control: Placebo Placebo. See administration info above. Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate. See administration information above. Outcomes not reported for this arm, as this was a proof-of-concept study where methylphenidate was used in the stimulant naive stratum to validate the study design if atomoxetine was not superior to Follow-up: 2 months (9 weeks)	CGI-S Significantly greater mean improvement in CGI-S scores (p < .001) and Conners Parent Rating Scale in atomoxetine patients than placebo patients. ADHD RS total, mean improvement ADHD RS, response (25% decrease in total score) Atomoxetine patients had greater mean improvement than placebo patients (p < .001) and a significantly greater rate of response. Decreased appetite, number with Significantly greater rate of decreased appetite in atomoxetine group. Headache No significant difference between groups in headache, abdominal pain, rhinitis, pharyngitis, vomiting, cough, nervousness, somnolence, or nausea.
FDA- approved	Spencer, 2006 <sup>545</sup> ID: NA RCT Unclear/Not reported	<b>Target:</b> Adolescents with ADHD. Patients who were known to be nonresponsive to stimulants or naive to stimulant treatment were eligible for enrollment. Exclusion	Intervention: Mixed amphetamine salts extended release 40 mg per day for 4 weeks Control: Placebo	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression – Improvement scale) improved A higher percentage of patients in the medication groups were considered improved

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	N = 287 US Setting: Specialty care	criteria included: comorbid psychiatric diagnosis except oppositional defiant disorder; hypertension; history of seizure disorder within the last 2 years; tic disorder; Tourette's syndrome; abnormal thyroid function; cardiac disorder; and significant laboratory abnormalities. Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 41.0,hyperactive : 2.5,combined : 56.5 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 34.5 % Age mean: 14.2 (1.2) Minimum age: 13 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 6.8 % Black/African American : 15.8 % White : 73.7 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other 3.6	Placebo Comparator: MedicationMixed amphetamine salts extended release (Adderall MX) 10 mg per day Follow-up: 1 month	compared with those receiving placebo (p< 0.001). ADHD-RS-IV (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV) Statistically significant (p < 0.001) improvement in mean ADHD-RS-W total scores in medication groups compared with placebo. Anorexia/decreased appetite, number of patients Significantly more medication patients experienced decreased appetite and weight loss compared to placebo patients. p value not reported. Insomnia and abdominal pain more prevalent in medication patients. p value not reported.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Spencer, 2008 <sup>344</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 117 US Setting: N/A	Target: Children with Tourette's syndrome and scoring 1.5 SD above sex norm for their diagnostic subtype at enrollment and at randomization for the Attention- Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent version Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 30.8,hyperactive : 3.4,combined : 65.8 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist met the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM- IV) criteria for ADHD and concurrent TS. Subjects' scores on the Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale- IV-Parent Version:Investigator-administered and -scored (ADHDRS-IV-P Comorbidity: Tic disorder Female: 12.8 % Age mean: 11.2 (2.4) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 4.3 % Black/African American : 4.3 % Asian : 0.9 % White : 88.0	Intervention: Atomoxetine 0.5-1.5 mg/kg/day, as a divided dose, for 15 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 3 months	CGI-ADHD/Psych-S ADHD-RS-IV, parent Intervention participants showed significantly greater improvement compared to controls (p=0.011). The intervention group showed a significantly greater decrease from baseline in tic severity relative to control (p=0.027). Body weight change Decreased appetite The rate was 18% in the atomoxetine vs 10.3% in the placebo group. Discontinuations because of an adverse event were rare, with 2 in the atomoxetine group (headache, vomiting) and 1 in the placebo group (upper abdominal pain).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Steele, 2006 <sup>549</sup>	Other info on race or ethnicity: <b>Target:</b> Physically healthy male and female outpatients, aged 6-12	Intervention: Methylphenidate	Homework visual analog scale
FDA-approved pharmacological	ID: n/a RCT Multicenter N = 147 Canada Setting: Specialty care	and remaie outpatients, aged 6-12 years with DSM-IV diagnosis of ADHD; medication naïve, Clinical Global Impression Severity score of 4 or greater and had behavioral difficulties <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 18.37,hyperactive : 2.04,combined : 78.23 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist The criteria were confirmed by a clinical and structured interview <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 16.3 % <b>Age mean:</b> 9.0 (2.1) and 9.1 (1.8) <b>Minimum age:</b> 6 <b>Maximum age:</b> 12 <b>Ethnicity:</b> % Black/African American : 3.4 % Asian : 0.6 (Within : 95.7	osmotic release oral system 18-54 mg once daily for 8 weeks <b>Control:</b> NA <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationImmediate release methylphenidate initiated at what ever dose the clinician felt was appropriate and over the weeks each individual dose was titrated weekly by 5mg or 10mg increments, according to manufacturer's recommendations and the investigator's clin <b>Follow-up:</b> 2 months	<ul> <li>Inere was no statistically significant difference between groups.</li> <li>CGI-I Clinical Global Severity There was a statistically significant difference favoring intervention group.</li> <li>Snap-IV, parent There was a statistically significant reduction in scores favoring OROS.</li> <li>Parent satisfaction with current ADHD medication There was a statistically significant difference in parent satisfaction favoring OROS.</li> <li>Parent Stress Index scores showed significant differences in favor or OROS.</li> <li>Decreased appetite Rates were similar in both groups.</li> <li>Participants with any adverse event The rate was 82% for intervention and comparator.</li> <li>Adverse events (any possible medication related event, headache, insomnia, abdominal pain, nervousness, emotional lability, agitation,</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Ethnicity Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 8.8	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results fatigue, flu-like symptoms, sleep disorder) were similar between groups.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Su, 2016 <sup>555</sup> Peking University, 2010 <sup>947</sup> ; Yang, 2012 <sup>1148</sup> ID: NCT01065259 RCT Single center N = 237 China Setting: N/A	Target: Youth with ADHD, either treatment naive or untreated for at least 6 months; subjects were excluded if they had a history of poor response with adequate treatment or intolerance to either treatment medication; medical contraindications to stimulants or who had seizure disorder or an abnormal EEG associated with epilepsy, bipolar disorder, psychosis, anxiety disorder, depression disorder, TD, pervasive developmental disorder, or an IQ <70, children taking concomitant psychoactive medications including dietary supplements with central nervous system activity in the past 30 days were also excluded Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 48,hyperactive : 3,combined : 49 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 17 %	Intervention: Atomoxetine initiated at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg/day, which could increase to 0.8mg/kg/day for week 2, and 1.2mg/kg/day for weeks 3 and 4; initially administered once daily in the morning and could be switched to being administered twice daily when adverse events were intolerable Control: NA Comparator: MedicationOsmotic Release Oral System Methylphenidate optimized dose (18, 36, or 54 mg/day) for 4 weeks Follow-up: 12 months	CGI-ADHD-S Remission Rate There was no significant difference between groups (0.972). ADHD-RS Remission Rate There was no significant difference between groups (p 0.777). Both OROS-MPH and ATX significantly improved the parent- and teacher-rated BRIEF and the groups did not differ significantly. Appetite change No statistically significant differences between the two groups (p=0.455). Adverse events rated as severe occurred in 14% of the OROS MPH group and 18.7% of the ATX group (p > 0.05).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Sumannus	Age mean: 9.5 (1.9) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Internations Malindona	Olinical Olabal Imagenetics Imagenet (COL
FDA-approved pharmacological	Supernus Pharmaceuticals, 2016 <sup>559</sup> ID: NCT02618408 RCT Multicenter N = 333 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD and comorbid impulsive aggression already using monotherapy treatment with FDA-approved optimized ADHD medication (psychostimulant or non-stimulant). Current or lifetime diagnosis of epilepsy, major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia or a related disorder, personality disorder, Tourette's disorder, or psychosis excluded. Other: Parents provided some outcomes. ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-5 confirmed by the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-aged Children - Present and Lifetime Version 2013 Comorbidity: ODD : Impulsive agression Female: 24.9 % Age mean: 9.0 (1.84)	Intervention: Molindone Hydrochloride Extended-Release (SPN-810) high dose (36 mg) twice each day, in the morning and in the evening, in addition to usual ADHD medication Control: Placebo Placebo twice each day, in the morning and in the evening, in addition to usual ADHD medication Comparator: MedicationMolindone Hydrochloride Extended-Release (SPN-810) 18 mg twice each day, in the morning and in the evening, in addition to usual ADHD medication Follow-up: 1 month	Clinical Global Impression-Improvement (CGI- I) Scale Investigator Rated No significant difference (p = 0.0742) in improvement measured by investigator rated CGI-I or CGI-S (p = 0.1729). Significantly greater improvement on parent rated CGI-I for high dose medication group (p = 0.0384). Swanson, Nolan, Pelham Rating Scale- Revised (SNAP-IV) Rating Scale, parent No significant difference between groups (p= 0.1418). Increased appetite None of 65 low dose patients experienced appetite increase, compared to 9 of 137 high dose patients. and 6 of 126 in placebo group. Adverse events Rates were 18.98% in the high dose, 15.38% in the low dose, and 14.29% in the placebo group. 2/13 participants experienced a serious adverse event (eye disorder, appendicitis perforated) in the high dose group, none in the other groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 14.2 % Black/African American : 26.5 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 2.2 % Asian : 0.3 % White : 65.8 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Categories not mutually exclusive		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Svanborg, 2009 <sup>560</sup> Svanborg, 2009 <sup>1068</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 92 Sweden Setting: Specialty care	Target: Male and female patients 7–15 years of age were included if they met the cri- teria for ADHD of the (DSM- IV) Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive_other : 18.2% across all arms,hyperactive_other : 4% across all arms,combined_other : 77.8% across all arms Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist clinical interview Comorbidity: N/A Female: 19.2 % Age mean: Mean 12.8 Minimum age: 7	Intervention: Psychoeducation for caregivers plus atomoxetine, 1.2 mg/kg day (70 kg) or 80 mg/day (>70 kg) for 10 weeks Control: Other Psychoeducation for caregivers plus placebo capsules for 10 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.75 months	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression Improvement), change from baseline An improvement was observed in the atomoxetine group whereas in the placebo group the score changed only slightly (p < 0.001). ADHD-RS-IV (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale IV)–Parent Version: Investigator Administered and Scored Treatment responders Statistically significant between-treatment differences in favor of atomoxetine at each visit (P < 0.001) from visit 4 (week 3) onwards. The global parental assessment of most aspects of psychoeducation was very positive; items were mostly rated as very good/very satisfied or rather good/satisfied. Decreased appetite

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Takahashi, 2009 <sup>562</sup>	Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: Other : 0-1% across all arms Other : 3% across all arms Other : 93.9% across all arms Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 2.2% across all arms Target: Japanese children and	Intervention: Atomoxetine 1.8	The rate was 6.1% in the intervention and 0 in the placebo group (p 0.117). Patients with at least 1 treatment emergent adverse event The rate was 89.8% in the intervention, and 74% in the placebo group (p 0.066). No serious adverse events occurred in either group. ADHD RS-IVJ:I (Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity
FDA-approved pharmacological	ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 245 Japan Setting: Mixed	adolescents age 6-17 years old with DSM-iV diagnosis of ADHD, CGI-ADHD-S score of >= 3, have symptom severity score at least 1.5 standard deviations (SD) above Japanese pediatric age and gender norms on the Attention- Deficit=Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version:Investigator Administered and Scored=Translated and Validated in Japanese (ADHD RS- IVJ:I), IQ >= 80. Exclusion: anyone who took antipsychotic medication within 26 weeks of study visit 1, had a history of bipolar disorder or psychosis, or were determined by the investigator to be at suicidal risk. <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 61.2,hyperactive : 4.5,combined : 34.5	mg/kg per day for 8 weeks <b>Control:</b> Placebo Placebo pills 2 times a day for 8 weeks <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationAtomoxetine 0.5 mg/kg per day for 8 weeks <b>Follow-up:</b> 2 months	Disorder Rating Scale-IV–Parent Version: Investigator Administered and Scored- Translated and Validated in Japanese) 1.8 mg per day atomoxetine was superior to placebo (p 0.010). Decreased appetite The rate was 21.3% in the intervention, 4.8% in the comparator, and 3.2% in the placebo group. Participants with one or more treatment- emergent adverse event The rate was 78.7% for the intervention, 79.0% for the comparator, and 69.4% for placebo. Two serious adverse events occurred, both in the same patient in the intervention group (hospitalization due to headache and vomiting).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School- Aged Children–Present and Lifetime Versions (KSADS-PL) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 14.7 % Age mean: 10.53 (2.52) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Asian : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Tourette's Syndrome Study Group, 2002 <sup>374</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 136 US Setting: N/A	<b>Target:</b> Children meeting the DSM- IV criteria for ADHD and for Tourette disorder, chronic motor tic disorder or chronic vocal tic disorder; excluded if there was evidence of secondary tic disorder (e.g., tardive tics, neuroacanthocytosis, Huntington disease), major depression, pervasive developmental disorder, autism, psychosis, mental retardation, anorexia nervosa, bulimia, a serious cardiovascular (e.g., significant hypotension, congenital heart disease) or other medical disorder that would preclude the safe use of the medication, impaired renal function	Intervention: Methylphenidate 60mg/day plus clonidine 0.6mg/day for 8 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo in gelatin capsules Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate (Ritalin), maximum allowable daily drug dosages were 60 mg for MPH and 0.6 mg Follow-up: 4 months	Classroom observation disruptive behavior MPH (but not CLON) improved "on task" behavior. CGI (Clinical Global Impression) investigator judged improvement of ADHD Combined intervention had 87.5% improvement, comparator had 80.6% and placebo had 32.3%. Children's Global Assessment Scale (C-GAS) Intervention and comparator groups significantly improved over control group (p=0.002, p=0.0005). No significant difference was observed when comparing CLON alone and MPH alone. No gender differences were found in the identified treatment effects. A

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		(a routine urinalysis was performed), or pregnancy (a urine pregnancy test was performed for all adolescent girls Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 71,hyperactive : 2,combined : 27 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: Tic disorder Female: 15 % Age mean: Placebo 9.7 (1.8), MPH 10.7 (2.0), CLON 9.7 (1.8), Combination 10.6 (1.9) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: % White : 72 Other info on race or ethnicity:		similarpatternoftreatmenteffectswasfoundwhen analyzingsomeofoursecondaryoutcomemeasur esfor ADHD, including Iowa Conners. 20% with MPH reported a worsening of tics as an adverse event (8 when used alone, 6 when given in combination with CLON) compared with 26% treated with CLON alone and 22% receiving placebo. Tics were reported to limit further dosage increases more often for subjects assigned to MPH alone (35%) than those assigned to MPH combined with CLON (15%), CLON alone (18%), or placebo (19%). Compared with placebo at the final visit, the severity of tics as assessed by the YGTSS- total, GTRS, and TSSR decreased in all active treatment groups. There was no overall evidence of cardiac toxicity by ECG monitoring.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Tris Pharma, 2014 <sup>575</sup> ID: NCT02083783 RCT Multicenter N = 108 US Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Children aged 6 to 12 years with ADHD who require pharmacologic treatment for this condition. Exclusion Criteria: Other serious illnesses or conditions that would put the patient at particular risk for safety events or would interfere with treatment/assessment of ADHD <b>Other:</b>	Intervention: TRI102 formulation containing active moiety (amphetamine), i.e amphetamine extended-release oral suspension, 10 to 20 mg/day for 5 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo formulation without active moiety	Swanson, Kotkin, Agler, M-Flynn, and Pelham Scale (SKAMP), change from baseline The intervention significantly improved compared to control group (p<0.0001). PERMP (Permanent Product Measure of Performance) - The PERMP consists of 400 math questions and each are scored. PERMP scores are expressed as the number of questions correct. Predose PERMP Tests are

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 20,hyperactive_other : impulsive 1,combined : 78 Diagnosis: No Comorbidity: N/A Female: 31 % Age mean: 9.4 (1.86) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 39 % Black/African American : 34 % White : 55 % Multiracial : 10 Other info on race or otherisity:	Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.25 months	compared with post-dose PERMP scores at prespecfied tim Significant improvement compared to placebo (p<0.0001). In the intervention group, 3.85% reported pain in the upper abdomen, 3.85% epistaxis,3.85% rhinitis; only one person (2.08%) in the placebo group reported pain in the upper abdomen.
FDA-approved pharmacological	van Stralen, 2020 <sup>585</sup> JPM van Stralen Medicine Professional, 2013 <sup>843</sup> ID: NCT01985581 Crossover trial Single center N = 50 Canada Setting: Specialty care	Target: Pediatric patients with a diagnosis of inattentive, hyperactive, or combined subtype of ADHD, being treated with stimulant medication and presenting with 'suboptimal' executive functionOther:ADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR diagnosed via clinical assessment and ADHD-RS-IVComorbidity: N/AFemale: 16.0 %	Intervention: Guanfacine extended- release 4 mg/day for 8 weeks as adjunct therapy to usual care stimulant therapy Control: Placebo Placebo plus usual care stimulant therapy Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	CGI-S (Clinical Global Impressions - Severity) Intervention group had significantly lower severity at follow-up (p =.0007). ADHD-RS-IV, total score Intervention had significantly lower symptom score at follow-up (p < .001). Participants with any adverse event The rate was 87% in the intervention and 85% in the control group. Intervention group reported more abdominal pain, fatigue, affect lability, and somnolence.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Wong 2007 <sup>593</sup>	Meds then placebo group; 12% female ,Placebo then meds group; 20% female (all have ADHD) <b>Age mean:</b> Meds then placebo group; 9.4 (1.6) / Placebo then meds; 9.0 (1.4) <b>Minimum age:</b> 6 <b>Maximum age:</b> 12 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
FDA-approved pharmacological	ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 330 Multiple countries Setting: N/A	included outpatient children and adolescents, 6-16 years of age, weighing between 20 and 60 kg with a symptom threshold of >=25 for boys or >=22 for girls, or >12 for a specific subtype, on the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version: Investigator-Administered and - Scored, as well as a Clinical Global Impressions Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder-Severity (CGI-ADHD-S) score of >=4. Exclusion criteria included any history of bipolar, psychotic or pervasive developmental disorders; suicidal risk; or ongoing use of psychoactive medications other than the study drug. Patients with motor tics, a diagnosis or family history of Tourette's syndrome or	mg/kg/day for 8 weeks <b>Control:</b> NA <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationMethylphenidate, began therapy at 0.2 mg kg^(-1) day^(-1) administered twice daily (in the morning and at lunch), which was titrated to 0.4 mg kg^(-1) day^(-1) on Day 5, and could be either maintained or titrated upward or downward within the final range <b>Follow-up:</b> 2 months	Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder- Severity) scale Both groups improved. ADHD-RS-IV (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version), investigator-administered, change Similar improvement between the treatment groups. Weight loss Decreased appetite The rate for appetite suppression was 28% in the atomoxetine and 19% in the methylphenidate group (p 0.070). Atomoxetine reported -1.2 kg vs. methylphenidate -0.4 kg weight loss (p 0.001). Participants experiencing treatment emergent adverse events A significantly greater percentage of patients in the atomoxetine treatment group (87%)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		anxiety disorder as assessed by the investigator and confirmed by the K-SADS-PL were also excluded Other:		methylphenidate (67%; p<0.001). No deaths were reported, a simple partial seizure was reported for a patient in the
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 38,hyperactive : 3,combined : 59		atomoxetine group (discontinued from the study).
		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV		
		Comorbidity: N/A		
		Female: 18 %		
		Age mean:		
		Atomoxetine 9.4 (2.0) Methylphenidate 9.9 (2.3)		
		Minimum age: 6		
		Maximum age: 16		
		<b>Ethnicity:</b> % Hispanic or Latino : 8 % Asian : 92 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
	Wehmeier, 2012 <sup>598</sup>	<b>Target:</b> Eligible were girls and boys	Intervention: Atomoxetine 0.5-1.2	Weekly Ratings of Evening and Morning
a g	Eli Lilly and Company,	of ADHD according to the	mg/kg per day once daily in the morning for 8 weeks	The severity of ADHD symptoms was reduced
ov€ ogic		Diagnostic and Statistical Manual		to a statistically significantly greater degree in
-appr nacol	RCT	of Mental Disorders, 4th edition TR <b>Other:</b>	Placebo-controlled	the treatment group compared to placebo ( p<0.001).
DA: Darr	Multicenter	ADHD presentation: inattentive :	Comparator: NA	CGI-S
뜨겁	N = 128 Germany	22.4,hyperactive : 7.2,combined : 70.4	Follow-up: 2 months	The severity of ADHD symptoms was reduced to a statistically significantly greater degree in

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting: Mixed	Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22.4 % Age mean: 9.0 (1.79) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % White : 99.2 Other info on race or ethnicity:		the treatment group compared to placebo (p<0.001). ADHD-RS-IV The severity of ADHD symptoms was reduced to a statistically significantly greater degree in the treatment group compared to placebo (p<0.0001). Treatment was significantly superior to placebo in reducing hyperactivity, inattention, and impulsivity as measured by q-scores of 10 primary variables of the cb-CPT/MT (infrared motion-tracking devise). Decreased appetite The rate of decreased appetite was 1.6 in the intervention and 3.2 in the placebo group. Participants with treatment emergent adverse events The rate of participants with adverse events was 51% in the intervention and 44% in the control group. No serious treatment emergent adverse event or death occurred.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Weiss, 2005 <sup>600</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 153 Multiple countries Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Children with a standard deviation score of 1.0 for ADHD-RS-IV-Teacher Version and score at least 1.5 SDs above age and sex norm for the CPRS-R:S ADHD Index	Intervention: Atomoxetine up to 1.8 mg/kg/day for 7 weeks Control: Placebo Identical in appearance, once-daily for 7 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.75 months	Connors Global Index-Teacher, change from baseline Statistically significant change favored the treatment group change compared to the placebo group (p=0.008). ADHD-RS-IV-Teacher (Attention- Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV- Teacher) total score change

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other: Teachers had to be available for telephone interviews and updates on the progress <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 26.8,hyperactive : 0.7,combined : 72.5 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Followed the DSM-IV: "Diagnostic criteria were evaluated by clinic assessment and confirmed using a structured parent interview, the behavioral module of the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime V <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 19.6 % <b>Age mean:</b> 9.9 (1.3) <b>Minimum age:</b> 8 <b>Maximum age:</b> 12 <b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A : Not mentioned or brought up.		Only the standardized symptoms scores for the continuous data is available. Treatment group responded with a reduction in score by 20% compared to the placebo group (Fisher exact test p 0.003). Decreased appetite Decreased appetite was 24.0% vs 3.8% (p 0.001). 5.9% in the atomoxetine group discontinued due to adverse events, including abdominal pain, emotional disturbance, feeling abnormal, irritability, and vomiting; no patients in the placebo group discontinued due to adverse events.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Weiss, 2007 <sup>599</sup> ID: N/A Crossover trial Multicenter N = 90	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD, score of 1.5 or greater SD from the norm on the Conners' ADHD Index; patients were excluded if they were allergic to MPH or amphetamines or had a history of serious adverse reactions to MPH or had a lack of	Intervention: Methylphenidate long- duration multilayer-release once daily based on weight (10 mg for 20 kg, 20 mg for between 20 and 35 kg, and 30 mg for greater than 35 kg) for 2 weeks Control: Placebo	Home Situations Questionnaire (HSQ), number of problem situations Both groups improved significantly form baseline but there was no difference between groups. CGI (Clinical Global Impressions), investigator rating

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Canada Setting: Mixed	response to MPH, serious or unstable medical illness, co-morbid psychiatric illness of sufficient severity to require treatment, or currently receiving psychotropic medications or herbal treatments, a history of drug abuse, alcohol abuse, disorders of the sensory organs (particularly deafness), autism, psychosis, or any unstable psychiatric conditions Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18 % Age mean: 11.0 (2.5) Minimum age: 6.4 Maximum age: 17.5 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 6 % Asian : 4 % White : 83 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 7	Placebo in the morning and at midday Comparator: MedicationImmediate- release MPH administered daily at 08:00 hour +/- 1 hour and 12:00 hour +/- 1 hour, initial daily dose was based on body weight (10 mg for <= 20 kg, 20 mg for between 20 and 35 kg, and 30 mg for greater than 35 kg), daily dose was titrated in 10- Follow-up: 2.75 months	No difference between active groups. ADHD Index, CPRS (Conners' Parent and Teacher Rating Scales) Both active groups improved compared to baseline (p<0.05). PSS (Parent Satisfaction Survey), satisfied or very satisfied with treatment 77% of parents were satisfied or very satisfied with MLR-MPH treatment and 82% with IR- MPH. Decrease in ADHD Index and oppositional scales, which was of similar magnitude for MLR- and IR-MPH in patients. Decreased appetite There was no statistically significant difference between active treatment groups. There were no significant differences between treatments in the adverse effects.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Weiss, 2021 <sup>501</sup> Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, 2014 <sup>967</sup> ; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, 2014 <sup>968</sup> ID: NCT02139111, NCT02168127 RCT Multicenter N = 367 Multiple countries Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children diagnosed with of any presentations of ADHD (hyperactive/impulsive, inattentive, or combined); either treatment naive or dissatisfied with their current ADHD pharmacotherapy; age-appropriate intellectual functioning (IQ ≥80 based on the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence or Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test; provide a negative pregnancy test (if female); demonstrate that they could successfully swallow the largest capsule size Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 26.2,hyperactive : 1.9,combined : 71.5 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-5 criteria by clinician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 33.0 % Age mean: 14.2 (1.58) Minimum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Methylphenidate long- acting formulation (PRC-063) 85 mg/day for 4 weeks Control: Placebo Identical in appearance Comparator: MedicationLong- acting methylphenidate formulation (PRC-063) 25 mg/day for 4 weeks Follow-up: 1 month	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression- Improvement) responders (much or very much improved) About 52.7% of participants randomized to PRC-063 were responders versus 32.4% on placebo (p 0.0004). ADHD-5-RS Treatment groups showed a statistically significant improvement compared to placebo. Decreased appetite Across doses, 20.1% of participants reported decreased appetite (none in placebo). Participants with any treatment related adverse event Across doses, the rate was 48.6% for placebo and 65.6% across all doses. Two serious adverse events (both during the open-label study), one of which (aggressive behavior) was assessed as related to study drug.
Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
------------------------------	--	--	--	---
FDA-approved pharmacological	Wietecha, 2009 <sup>605</sup> Saylor, 2010 <sup>990</sup> ; Eli Lilly and Company, 2004 <sup>729</sup> ID: NCT00191035 RCT Multicenter N = 267 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Adolescents 13-16 years old, who met DSM-IV criteria for ADHD, score of at least 1.5 SD above age and gender normative sample for ADHD-RS-IV Parent version, score of 70 or more on Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test (K- BIT). Patients who responded to the study medication during the acute treatment period (8 weeks) were eligible to continue on to an additional 40-week maintenance treatment period. Exclusion: patients currently taking psychotropic medications; have a history of bipolar disorder, psychosis, autism, Asperger's syndrome, pervasive developmental disorder; patients who previously participated in a study of atomoxetine were excluded <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 49.8,hyperactive : 2.2,combined : 47.9 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Aged Children-Present and Lifetime Version (K-SAD-PL: Behavioral)	Intervention: Atomoxetine slow titration starting dose 0.5 mg/kg/day for 7–9 days, followed by 1.0 mg/kg/day for 7–9 days, then 1.2 mg/kg/day for remainder of the 8- week period, fast titration group received atomoxetine at a starting dose of 0.5 mg/kg/day for a minimum of 3 days followed by 1.2 mg/kg/day for the remainder of the 8-week study period, a low dose of 0.8 mg/kg/day or the maximum label dose of 1.4 mg/kg/day for 40 week maintenance Control: NA Comparator: MedicationFast titration group received atomoxetine at a starting dose of 0.5 mg/kg/day for a minimum of 3 days followed by 1.2 mg/kg/day for the remainder of the 8-week study period, a low dose of 0.8 mg/kg/day or the maximum label dose of 1.4 mg/kg/day for 40 wee Follow-up: 12 months	Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance (YRBS) Total scores of the highest quartile patients did not improve significantly from baseline (p=0.116) CGI-ADHD-S (Clinical Global Impressions- Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder- Severity), clinician Significant benefit was demonstrated with both titration schedules (p <0.001) and there was no significant difference between groups (p=0.205). ADHD-RS (ADHD Rating Scale), clinician rating Significant benefit was demonstrated with both titration schedules and there was no significant difference between groups. Decreased appetite (8 week acute period) No statistically significant differences were observed in any of the vital signs or in weight between the 0.5=1.2 mg=kg=day and 0.5=1.0=1.2 mg=kg=day groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: 35.95 % Age mean: 14.6 Minimum age: 13 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 7.49 % Black/African American : 12.0 % White : 74.5 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other: 5.62%		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Wigal, 2004 <sup>oub</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 132 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD. Female subjects were required to be premenarche. Patients with other psyc disorders were excluded, as were patients taking the following medications: antidepressants (tricyclic antidepressants, serotonin reuptake inhibitors, and monoamine oxidase inhibitors), sedatives/hypnotics (e.g., barbiturates, benzodiazepine), neuroleptics/antipsychotics, mood stabilizers; anticonvulsants, beta- blockers; α2-agonists, thyroid medications, and chronic oral steroids <b>Other:</b>	Intervention: Dexmethylphenidate hydrochloride (d-MPH, FocalinTM) twice daily for 4 weeks, with titration of the dose based on weekly clinic visits, a maximum of 10 mg twice daily Control: Placebo Placebo, twice daily for 4 weeks. Comparator: Medicationd,I-threo- Methylphenidate Hydrochloride twice daily for 4 weeks, with titration of the dose based on weekly clinic visits. Follow-up: 1 month	CGI-I, proportion much improved or very much improved The percentage of patients with a therapeutic response was significantly higher in the group treated with d-MPH ( $p = .0010$ ) and the group treated with d,I-MPH ( $p = .0130$ ) than placebo. SNAP-ADHD (abbreviated version of the full SNAP-IV Rating Scale) change, teacher reported Treatment with either d-MPH ( $p = .0004$ ) or d,I- MPH ( $p = .0042$ ) significantly improved Teacher SNAP ratings compared with placebo. The d-MPH group showed significant improvements compared with placebo on afternoon Parent SNAP ratings ( $p = .0003$ ) as did the Anorexia 4 intervention patients, 2 placebo patients, and 6 comparator patients had clinically significant weight losses ranging from 5% to 18% of

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 34.8,hyperactive : 0.8,combined : 64.4 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV diagnosis, confirmed by NIMH Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (DISC-IV) administered to parents Comorbidity: N/A Female: 12 % Age mean: 9.8 (2.65) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 13.6 % White : 78.0 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other i of the race: 8.3		baseline values. Four intervention patients, 0 placebo patients, and 5 comparator patients had anorexia. P values n 70% of patients experienced at least one adverse event, more medication patients experienced headache and nausea.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Wigal, 2011 <sup>607</sup> Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs, 2008 <sup>938</sup> ID: NCT00799409 Crossover trial Multicenter N = 78 US Setting: School	<b>Target:</b> Subjects receiving medication to treat their ADHD at the time of study enrollment exhibited an inadequate response to their then-current stimulant dose and completed a washout equivalent to 5 half-lives of the given medication before completing baseline assessments. Additional requirements included attendance of regular school and the ability to read and understand English.	Intervention: Methylphenidate Osmotic-Release Oral System (OROS) optimized dose of 18, 36,or 54 mg/day for 6 weeks Control: Placebo In the crossover design, subjects who completed both laboratory school assessments served as their own control and provided data for both OROS MPH and placebo Comparator: NA	Swanson, Kotkin, Agler, M-Flynn, and Pelham (SKAMP) - Composite score The intervention group had significantly better scores compared to the control group (p<0.0001). Permanent Product Measure of Performance (PERMP) - Correct Answers The intervention group had significantly better scores compared to the control group (p<0.0001).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Participants were excluded for a history or current diagnosis of epilepsy, severe anxiety, conduct, psychotic disorders. In addition, pervasive developmental, eating, obsessive compulsive, sleep, major depressive, bipolar, chronic tic, or disorders. Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 19,hyperactive : 0,combined : 81 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist K-SADS-PL Comorbidity: N/A Female: 30 % Age mean: 10.1 (1.08) Minimum age: 9 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 28 % White : 58 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : OTHER: 14%	Follow-up: 1.5 months	Children taking OROS MPH also obtained statistically significantly better scores than placebo-treated children on the ADHD, Reaction Time, and Reaction Time Variability scores of the TOVA (p< 0.0001 for all). OROS MPH significantly improved performance on tests of visual working memory as demonstrated on both the Finger Windows forward and backward subtests. Overall, 20 participants suffered from appetite loss. The study reported only the overall number of adverse events . A total of 39 subjects (50%) reported at least one treatment-emergent AE during the study. The types of AEs reported were consistent with those previously reported with the use of stimulant medications in the management of ADHD. There were no deaths or serious AEs, and no subject discontinued treatment because of an AE.
FDA-approved pharmacologic	Wilens, 2005 <sup>610</sup> ID: N/A RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 138	Target: IQ score ≥ 80; BP measurements within the 95th percentile for age, gender, and height; ECG findings within the normal range; a willingness and ability to comply with protocol requirements in conjunction with a	Intervention: Mixed amphetamine salts extended-release 50mg per day for 6 months Control: Placebo Placebo, no other description noted.	Changes in BP and QTcB (Bazett's formula) intervals at 4 weeks with MAS XR were not significantly different from the placebo group. Pulse increased by 5.0 and 8.5 bpm after 3 weeks with MAS XR 20 and 50 mg/day, respectively (P<.002). After 6 months of open- label MAS XR treatment, mean increases in

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	US Setting: Specialty care	parent or caregiver; history of response to stimulant medication; and oppositional defiant disorder diagnosis was acceptable Other: ADHD presentation: N/A : for 6 months open-label MAS XR arm Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by either a child psychiatrist or psychologist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29 % Age mean: Open-label mixed amphetamine salts extended release (MAS XR) mean age (year) at 14.4. No SD provided. Minimum age: 13 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % White : 72.0 Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A : no other info provided	Comparator: Medication60 mg of MAS XR (mixed amphetamine salts extended-release) Follow-up: 6 months	systolic BP (1.7 mm Hg; P=.0252) and pulse (4.4 bpm; P<.0001) were statistically, but not clinically, significant; diastolic BP was not significantly changed (0.6 mm Hg). A decrease in QTcB interval (-4-6±19.9 msec) was statistically (P=.009), but not clinically, significant. There were no serious cardiovascular adverse events.
FDA- approved	Wilens, 2008 <sup>608</sup> Noven Therapeutics, 2005 <sup>931</sup> ID: NCT00151970 Crossover trial	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD; children with conduct disorder or comorbid illnesses that contraindicated or could confound medication treatment, or a history of failing to respond to	Intervention: Methylphenidate transdermal patch, dose optimized over 5 weeks, 6 hour patch Control: Placebo Placebo transdermal patch	CPRS-R (Conners Parent Rating Scale- Revised) Mean total score decreased by >67% from baseline to follow-up when patients wore the patch (p <.0001).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Multicenter N = 117 US Setting: Specialty care	psychostimulant treatment were excluded Other: Parents provided some outcomes ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Diagnosed per DSM-IV-TR criteria. Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Age Children-Present and Lifetime Version interview was also conducted Comorbidity: N/A Female: 35.9 % Age mean: 8.8 (0.2) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 15.4 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 0 % Asian : 0 % Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 0 % White : 63.2 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate transdermal patch, dose optimized over 5 weeks, 4 hour patch Follow-up: 2 months	ADHD-RS-IV (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV) change, clinician rating Mean total score decreased at follow-up when patients wore the patch (p <.0001). Permanent Product Measure of Performance (PERMP) math problem score A significant increase in the number of attempted math problems was seen during the 4- and 6-hour medicated patch wear times compared with placebo patch (p < .0001). Correct scores for the 4- and 6-hour medicated patch wear times were significantly high 326 treatment-emergent adverse events were reported during the entire study for subjects in the safety population, majority were mild (62%) or moderate (37%) in intensity; there were no serious adverse events.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
FDA-approved pharmacological	Wilens, 2012 <sup>011</sup> Wilens, 2017 <sup>1141</sup> ; Shire, 2008 <sup>1016</sup> ID: NCT00734578 RCT Multicenter N = 461 US Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Target: Children and adolescents with ADHD with suboptimal but partial response to stimulant medication</li> <li>Other: Parents provided some outcome data</li> <li>ADHD presentation: N/A</li> <li>Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist</li> <li>DSM-IV-TR per Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorder - Present and Lifetime (K-SADS-PL)</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 28.4 %</li> <li>Age mean: 10.8 (2.4)</li> <li>Minimum age: 6</li> <li>Maximum age: 17</li> <li>Ethnicity:</li> <li>% Hispanic or Latino : 13.4</li> <li>% Black/African American : 22.0</li> <li>% American Indian or Alaska</li> <li>Native : 0.2</li> <li>% Asian : 1.3</li> <li>% Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 0.7</li> <li>% White : 67.7</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity:</li> </ul>	Intervention: Guanfacine extended release 1-4mg in morning as adjunct to usual stimulant medication for 9 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo plus usual stimulant medication daily Comparator: MedicationGuanfacine extended release in evening as adjunct to usual stimulant medication Follow-up: 2 months	Oppositional symptoms, measured by oppositional subscale of the Conners' Parent Rating Scale-Revised: Long Form (CPRS-R:L) GXR + stimulant taken in AM (p<0.001) or PM (p<0.003) led to significantly greater improvement in oppositional symptoms than versus placebo + psychostimulant. CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression - Improvement) much or very much improved A higher proportion of intervention and comparator group aprticipants classified as much or very much improved on compared to placebo group (p =0.024 and p = 0.003). ADHD-RS-IV (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale IV) , clinician rating The intervention and the comparator group had greater decrease in ADHD symptoms at follow up than placebo (p 0.002 and p 0.001). Before-School Functioning Questionnaire (BSFQ) Participants who received GXR + psychostimulant showed significantly greater improvement compared with participants who received placebo + psychostimulant (p 0.002). Participants with decreased appetite Significantly more patients in the medication groups experienced appetite decrease compared to the placebo group. Participants reporting any adverse event

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
				The rates were 77.3% in the AM, 76.3% in the PM, and 63.4% in the placebo group. Similar findings for somnolence, headache, abdominal pain, and fatigue.
FDA-approved pharmacological	Wilens, 2015 <sup>612</sup> Shire, 2011 <sup>1027</sup> ID: NCT01081132 RCT Multicenter N = 314 US Setting: Mixed	Target: The inclusion criteria adolescent outpatients aged 13 to 17 years with a diagnosis of ADHD (any subtype). Consistent with the DSM-IV-TR criteria, a primary ADHD diagnosis was confirmed by clinical evaluation using the behavior module of the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia Present and Lifetime version at screening. Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 29.17,hyperactive : 2.89,combined : 67.95 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: ODD Female: 35.03 % 64.33 Age mean: 14.5(1.39) Minimum age: 13 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity:	Intervention: Guanfacine extended- release once-daily less than or equal to 7mg for 13 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo ratio 1:1 same as baseline of 1 mg depending on weight group and was allowed to increase 1mg weekly Comparator: NA Follow-up: 0.25 months	CGI-I score of equal to or greater than 2 More intervention participants showed improvement than control participants (p=0.10). ADHD-RS-IV Intervention participants showed improvement compared to control group (p<0.001). Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale, parent (WFIRS-P) There was no significant difference between groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Wolraich 2001 <sup>615</sup>	<ul> <li>% Black/African American : 16.88</li> <li>% American Indian or Alaska</li> <li>Native : 0.63</li> <li>% Asian : 1.59</li> <li>% White : 72.29</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity:</li> <li>Other : 7.96</li> </ul>	Intervention: Methylphenidate	CGI (Clinical Global Impression) much
FDA-approved pharmacological	Faraone, 2005 <sup>744</sup> ; Spencer, 2006 <sup>1052</sup> ; Baren, 2000 <sup>662</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 282 US Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>Vere taking MPH or had taken it in the past; a total daily MPH dose of at least 10 mg but not more than 60 mg. Patients with glaucoma, Tourette's syndrome, an ongoing seizure disorder, or a psychotic disorder also were excluded, as were girls who had reached menarche</li> <li>Other: Parents and teachers provided outcome data</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : 19.5,hyperactive : 7.1,combined : 73.4</li> <li>Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist</li> <li>DSM diagnosed confirmed by</li> <li>Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (Version 4)</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 17.4 %</li> <li>Age mean: 9.0 (1.8)</li> <li>Minimum age: 6</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intervention: Methylphenidate</li> <li>extended-release OROS tablets, 18 to 54 mg per day for 28 days</li> <li>Control: Placebo</li> <li>Placebo</li> <li>Comparator: MedicationImmediate release methylphenidate, 5 to 15 mg per day</li> <li>Follow-up: 1 month</li> </ul>	improved or very much impression) much improved or very much improved Both medications groups had more improvement in mean teacher ( $p < .05$ ) and parent ( $p < .05$ ) Conners ratings than placebo group. OROS MPH and immediate release MPH did not differ significantly ( $p < .539$ ). Inattention SNAP-IV, teacher report The medication groups improved more than the placebo on SNAP-IV Inattention - Teacher Report, SNAP-IV Hyperactivity/Impulsivity - Teacher Report, SNAP-IV Inattention - Parent report and SNAP-IV Hyperactivity/Impulsivity - Parent Report $p < .001$ for all s Proportion of patients eating less than usual The percentage of patients eating less than usual was significantly higher ( $p < .001$ ) for the 2 medication groups compared with placebo. There was not difference between the medication groups. Participants experiencing at least one adverse event The rate was 43% for intervention, 35% for control, and 47% for comparator.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 3.5 % Black/African American : 7.4 % Asian : 0.4 % White : 84.4 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other 4.3%		
FDA-approved pharmacological	Young, 2014 <sup>622</sup> Newcorn, 2013 <sup>925</sup> ; Stein, 2015 <sup>1054</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 340 Multiple countries Setting: N/A	Target: Children with a primary diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-IV-TR; a baseline ADHD-RS- IV total score 28 and a Clinical Global Impressions–Severity of Illness Scale score 4; no current diagnosis of controlled or uncontrolled comorbid psychiatric disorders; no previous or present risk for suicide; no history or active presence of cardiac abnormalities or a primary sleep disorder Other: Parents ADHD presentation: inattentive : 2.1,hyperactive : 1.8,combined : 96.1 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist ADHD diagnosis according to DSM-IV-TR based on psychiatric assessment Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.4 %	Intervention: Guanfacine extended release administered in the morning and placebo administered in the evening for 8 weeks, 1-4 mg/day based on dose optimization <b>Control:</b> Placebo Placebo administered in the morning and evening for 8 weeks <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationGuanfacine extended release administered in the evening and placebo administered in the morning for 8 weeks; 5 week dose-optimization period, 3 week dose-maintenance period, and 9 day dose-taper period, dose optimization starting dose of 1 mg/day was titr <b>Follow-up:</b> 2 months	CPRS-RS total scores Intervention group and comparator group had a significantly greater improvement from baseline in total score than control group (p<0.001). ADHD-RS-IV score At end of treatment, participants receiving guanfacine had a significantly greater reductions in mean ADHD-RS-IV total scores compared with the placebo group, regardless of the time of administration (p < .001 for all intervention groups versus placebo). Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale– Parent Report (WFIRS-P) Both medication groups showed significantly greater improvement in mean WFIRS-P Total scores versus placebo (p < 0.001). No significant correlations were found between change from baseline to last visit in pediatric daytime sleepiness scale (PDSS) total scores by treatment group. Decreased appetite

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Age mean: Intervention 9.1 (1.77), control 8.9 (1.78), comparator 9.3 (1.76) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 36 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 0.3 % Asian : 0.6 % White : 57.1 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 6% other		Rate of decreased appetite was 4% in the active arms and 2.7% in the placebo arm. Participants with treatment-emergent adverse events The rate of events was 79% in the active groups and 57% in placebo. 4.1% reported severe AEs (4 in the AM, 5 in the PM group, 0 in placebo).
FDA-approved pharmacological	Zhu, 2017 <sup>632</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 104 China Setting: Other	Target: Patients who aged from six to fourteen and conformed to the ADHD diagnostic criteria of the DSM5, fourth edition. Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 49.03,hyperactive : 29.80,combined : 21.15 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Confirmed by clinician using DSM 5. Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20.19 % 58.65 Age mean:	Intervention: Atomoxetine with initial dose 0.5 mg/kg per day then gradually increased to 1.2 mg/kg according to the participant's condition and tolerance, taken after breakfast for 2 months Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate with initial dose 0.2 mg/kg per day and then gradually increased to 0.5 mg/kh., taken after breakfast every day for 2 months Follow-up: 2 months	CGI-ADHD-S Both groups improved but there was no statistical significance in difference values between the two groups. ADHD-RS (ADHD rating scale for parent version) total score At the end of treatment, a significant decrease from baseline was observed in two groups in scores of ADHDRS-IV-Parent: Inv, 2 subscales and CPRS-R: S (ADHD index, learning problems, hyperactivity-impulsion and confrontation), with considerable clinical s Loss of appetite There was no statistically significant difference in loss of appetite between groups (p=0.239).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Minimum age: 6		group was significantly higher than that of methylphenidate group (p=0.027).
		Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Neurofeedback	Arnold, 2022 <sup>132</sup> Kerson, 2020 <sup>850</sup> ID: RCT Multicenter N = 144 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD.Comorbid diagnoses were allowedif they did not require psychiatricmedication. Exclusions wereserious physical illness,convergence insuf-ficiency, vitaminD deficiency/insufficiency, morethan 5 previous neurofeedbacksessions, seizures, sleep apnea,restless legs, or current/recentpsychoactive drug use other thanstimulants for ADHD.Other: Parents and teachersprovided outcomes.ADHD presentation: inattentive :37.5,combined : 62.5Diagnosis: Confirmation byspecialistDSM per Child Interview forPsychiatric Syndromes (CHIPS)Comorbidity: N/AFemale: 23.3 %Age mean: 8.6 (1.14)Minimum age: 7	Intervention: Theta-beta ratio neurofeedback protocol in which theta power was down-trained and beta power was reinforced at scalp site Cz or Fz, 38 sessions total, at 3 times per week. Control: Attention-matched control Treatment of identical appearance, intensity/frequency, and duration, differing only in that reinforcement for controls was based on a pre-recorded electroencephalogram (EEG) of another child. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 25 months	Clinical Global Impression (CGI) global index, parent Clinical Global Impression (CGI) - Severity, number in remission No significant difference between groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age: 10 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 10.83 % Black/African American : 7.63 % Asian : 4.24 % White : 76.3 % Multiracial : 8.47 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other: 3.39		
Neurofeedback	ID: NA RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 35 Germany Setting: N/A	diagnosis of hyperkinetic disorder (disturbance of activity and attention (ICD-10:F90.0); or attention deficit without hyperactivity (ICD-10:F98.8); an IQ of >80; no known neurological or gross organic diseases; no hyperkinetic conduct disorders (ICD-10:F90.1) or pervasive developmental disorders; children currently taking stimulant medication were not excluded. <b>Other:</b> Parents, teachers; assessed the behavior of pre-and post-treatment <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist ICD-10:F90.0; (ICD-10:F98.8 <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 26 %	each session lasted 30 min with a 30-s break between the different games, each game consisted of three trials lasting 3 min each, total of 30 sessions <b>Control:</b> NA <b>Comparator:</b> OtherEMG biofeedback (BF) aiming at forehead muscle relaxation: Both groups experienced similar treatment conditions except for the location of electrodes. Children received instructions on a computer screen to familiarize them with the exercises based on thei <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	response (German ADHD rating scales) total scores, parent report Improvement of the NF group in the FBB-HKS total score was superior to EMG group (p=0.062; effect size77) per parent rating. There were no significant differences between treatment groups in the teacher ratings. Computer Continous Performance Test: Comission Errors: Significant differences in commission errors between pre- and post-treatment (F(1,33) = 11.865; p = .002); significant interaction between treatment group and time for reaction time (F(1,33) = 7.359; p = .011) with a medium effect size of70 (dcorr); overall, performance in the BF group decreased, while performance of the NF group improved after treatment; the effect sizes vary from dcorr =32 (reaction time variability) to dcorr =79 (reaction time).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Age mean: 9.34 (1.92)		
		Minimum age: 6		
		Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
	Bluschke, 2022 <sup>164</sup>	Target: Children and adolescents	Intervention: Neurofeedback.	ADHD Symptom Checklist, parent rating,
	ID:	criteria. 14 had an axis I	upregulation of theta and	No significant difference in effect by group.
	Clinical trial	comorbidity and 22 had an	sessions per week for 8 weeks.	Flanker test: the no neurofeedback group
	Single center	additional axis II diagnosis. Other: Parents reported one	58.6% were on ADHD medication.	demonstrated significantly faster reaction
	Germany	outcome measure.	<b>Control:</b> No intervention	times than those in the $\theta \uparrow \beta \uparrow$ group (p=0.007)
	Germany Setting: Specialty care	ADHD presentation: N/A	ADHD medication	of the $\beta_{\parallel}$ group (p=0.033).
urofeedback		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist determined according to standard clinical guidelines by a team of experienced child and adolescent psychiatrists and psychologists	<b>Comparator:</b> NeurofeedbackNeurofeedback. Upregulation of beta. Two one-hours sessions per week for 8 weeks. 46.4% were on ADHD medication.	
Nei		Comorbidity: N/A	Follow-up: 2 months (8 weeks)	
		Female: %		
		Not reported		
		Age mean: 10.76 (0.37)		
		Age range not reported.		
		Minimum age:		
		Maximum age:		
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Neurofeedback	Dashbozorgi, 2021 <sup>219</sup> Faculty of Rehabilitation, 2018 <sup>741</sup> ID: IRCT20160717028964N 2 RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 40 Iran Setting: Other	Target: Male elementary school children with ADHD with IQ>90, no history of cerebral trauma/injuries, learning disability, and behavioral disorders, taking a stable dose of psychostimulant under the supervision of a child psychiatrist, no history of receiving any other types of non-medical therapies Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Child Psychiatrist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0 % Age mean: 11.17 (0.97) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: 12 (60min) neurofeedback training sessions for six consecutive weeks, completed twice a week Control: Attention-matched control No treatment and watched animations that had no therapeutic potency; they waited to receive neurofeedback training sessions after the study. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	Buss-Perry Aggression Questionnaire (BPAQ) The intervention group (NF) showed a significant 60.2% decrease in aggression (p=0.001) from pre to post-test; the control group had so significant changes. BIS (Barrat Impulsiveness Scale) The intervention group (NF) showed a significant 60.9% decrease in impulsivity (p=0.001), the control group had no significant changes.
Neurofeedback	Duric, $2017^{244}$ Duric, $2014^{725}$ ID: NCT01252446 RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 130 Norway Setting: N/A	<b>Target:</b> Children clinically diagnosed with ADHD using the ICD-10 research diagnostic criteria and cognitive function above an IQ>70; no involvement in another intervention group, including CBT and Stop Now And Plan (SNAP); no co-morbid disorders other than ODD or anxiety disorder; no	Intervention: Neurofeedback three times a week, with a total of 30 sessions, plus methylphenidate at a dosage of 1mg/kg/day in the form of long-acting methylphenidate capsules between 20–60mg, 6 months of follow-up <b>Control:</b> Other Neurofeedback alone; unipolar sensors were placed on the patient's	ADHD core symptoms, Barkley's Defiant Children rating scale, parent All groups improved over time but no difference was found between groups (p=0.385). School performance in the NF group did show a significant improvement (mean difference 1.5, CI 0.1 to 0.29).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		presence of a neurological and/or cardiovascular condition Other: Parents, teachers ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Child psychiatrist using ICD-10 diagnostic criteria consistent with DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20 % Age mean: 11.2 (2.8) 11.2 (2.8), 11.4 (3.1), 10.9 (2.4) across groups Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	scalp to process signals as brainwaves or computer frequencies, while measuring brain activity. Brain activities were then shown to the subject through a video game or a film, so they coul <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	
Neurofeedback	Gelade, 2017 <sup>291</sup> Gelade, 2016 <sup>761</sup> ; Janssen, 2016 <sup>828</sup> ; Janssen, 2016 <sup>829</sup> ; Janssen, 2017 <sup>830</sup> ; Janssen, 2020 <sup>831</sup> ; Gelade, 2018 <sup>762</sup> ; van Mourik, 2011 <sup>1106</sup> ; van Mourik, 2010 <sup>1106</sup> ID: NCT01363544 RCT	Target: Children with confirmed ADHD, free of stimulant use for 1 month, IQ>80, no comorbidity restrictions Other: Parents and teachers provided outcome data ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR diagnosis required; parent- and teacher ratings on the Disruptive Behavior Disorders	Intervention: Neurofeedback of theta/beta training with the aim to inhibit theta (4–8 Hz) and reinforce beta (13–20 Hz) activity at Cz, three 45 minute individual training sessions a week over a period of 10–12 weeks <b>Control:</b> Attention-matched control Physical activity consisting of three 45 minute individual training sessions a week, over a period of 10–12 weeks	Inattention score, SWAN, parent report SWAN Inattention score, Parent report: MPH group had better score at follow-up than neurofeedback (p = .002). SWAN Hyperactivity / Impulsivity score, Parent report: MPH group had better score at follow- up than neurofeedback (p = .005). SWAN Inattention sc Response speed at follow-up as measured by stop-signal reaction time (SSRT) and mean reaction time (MRT) was better for

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Multicenter N = 112 Netherlands Setting: Specialty care	Rating Scale (DBDRS) confirmed diagnosis Comorbidity: N/A Female: 24.1 % Age mean: 9.63 (1.76) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	<b>Comparator:</b> MedicationShort- acting methylphenidate; during the 4 weeks titration phase, children received in pseudo-random order 5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg, 10 mg MPH, or placebo for 1 week, twice daily <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	intervention compared to neurofeedback and physical activity ( p < .001 for all).
Neurofeedback	Gevensleben, 2010 <sup>294</sup> Gevensleben, 2009 <sup>763</sup> ; Wangler, 2011 <sup>1125</sup> ID: ISRCTN87071503 RCT Multicenter N = 102 Germany Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children aged 8 to 12 with ADHD; vast majority (over 90%) were medication naive. Comorbid disorders included: conduct disorder, emotional disorders, tic disorder and dyslexia. All children lacked gross neurological, other organic disorders, and comorbidities not specified above. Other: Parents provided some outcome data ADHD presentation: inattentive : 29.8, combined : 70.2 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Diagnoses were based on a semi- structured clinical interview (CASCAP-D [6]) and confirmed using the Diagnostic Checklist for Hyperkinetic Disorders/ADHD [7] by a child and adolescent	Intervention: Neurofeedback system SAM ('self-regulation and attention management') with 36 units of 50 minutes each, divided in two blocks of 18 units, the units were combined in 9 sessions which took place 2-3 times a week, break of 2–3 weeks between the two treatment blocks Control: NA Comparator: Cognitive trainingComputerized attention skills training which primarily exercises visual and auditory perception, vigilance, sustained attention, and reactivity; 36 units of 50 minutes each, divided in 2 blocks of 18 units; the units were combined in 9 sessions which too Follow-up: 6 months	<ul> <li>Problem behavior during homework, Homework Problem Checklist No statistically significant difference.</li> <li>FBB-HKS (German ADHD rating scale) total score</li> <li>At one week post 8 week treatment, improvement in German ADHD rating scale (FBB-HKS) total score, parent rating, was greater for neurofeedback group compared to attention training group (p &lt; .005).</li> <li>Improvement in teacher rating was also greater for neur</li> <li>SDQ (Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire) Effect size was 0.32 indicating a small positive effect of the intervention.</li> <li>For the problem situations in family (HSQ-D) questionnaire, no significant effects were seen.</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Conzoloz Costro 2016 <sup>301</sup>	psychiatrist or a clinical psychologist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18.1 % Age mean: 9.9 (1.25) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Neurofeedback plus	ADHD Scale of Assessment of Attention
Neurofeedback	ID: N/A Clinical trial Unclear/Not reported N = 131 Spain Setting: Mixed	an IQ of 80 or higher Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Neuro-pediatrician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 37 % Age mean: 9.61 (1.11) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 11 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	pharmacological support, neurofeedback consisted of a 15 min session, 3 days per week, for 3 months, methylphenidate administered according to neuropediatricians' recommendations <b>Control:</b> No intervention Control group did not receive neurofeedback or pharmacological support <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 3 months	Deficit with Hyperactivity (EDAH) There were significant differences between control group and neurofeedback ( $p < 0.001$ ), between control group and combined ( $p = 0.016$ ), but not between control group and pharmacological support ( $p = 0.289$ ). Statistically significant differences between control group and intervention and comparator groups ( $p < 0.001$ ) for Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA) and the neurofeedback group improved to a greater extent in executive control than the pharmacological support group. Executive Function Scores, cortical activation assessed with QEEG at Cz. there were statistically significant group differences between control group and the treatment groups: neurofeedback ( $p 0.001$ ), pharmacological support ( $p 0.001$ ), and combined ( $p < 0.001$ ). For Fp1, there were statistically significant group differences between control group and the three treatment

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
				groups: neurofeedback (p<0.001), pharmacological support (p = 0.005), and combined (p<0.001).
Neurofeedback	Hasslinger, 2021 <sup>316</sup> Karolinska Institutet, 2013 <sup>845</sup> ID: NCT01841151 RCT Single center N = 217 Sweden Setting: Other	Target: Individuals with ADHD as primary diagnosis, IQ>80, had sufficient Swedish proficiency, and stable pharmacologic treatment; neurodevelopmental comorbidities such as autism spectrum disorder, learning disabilities and language impairments were not reasons for exclusion Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Kiddie Schedule for Afective Disorders and Schizophrenia Interview Comorbidity: N/A Female: 24 % Age mean: 12.21 and 12.61 (2.30 and 2.74) Minimum age: 9 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Slow cortical potentials neurofeedback: intentionally creating negative or positive slow cortical potentials, each trial lasted 10s, each session consisted of 144 trials split into 4 blocks (36 trial per block), lasted around 60 min, 5 sessions per week for 5 weeks <b>Control:</b> TAU Treatment as usual; in accordance with regional guidelines for treatment of ADHD, many of the children's parents underwent psychoeducational parent group- training <b>Comparator:</b> Cognitive trainingWorking Memory Training: a computerized software program with visuospatial and auditory tasks called Minneslek Flex (based on CogMed); participants could choose between a Junior and a Senior version that differed in the thematic content while sharing the <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	Inattention, Conners 3 Swedish Version, parent Intervention and comparator were significantly superior to control. There were no significant differences between intervention and comparator. Live Z-score neurofeedback outperformed slow cortical potential for teacher-rated hyperactivity (p 0.028; effect No severe adverse events were reported during the trial, whereas transient stress- related problems were quite frequent.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Korfmacher, 2022 <sup>369</sup>	Maximum age; Ethnicity <b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD.	Intervention: SCP (slow cortical	Conners Parent Rating Scale
Neurofeedback	ID: NCT 01879644] RCT Single center N = 115 Germany Setting: Specialty care	Children with disorders or conditions that may mimic ADHD such as autism, brain disorders, epilepsy, hyperthyreosis, and any genetic or medical disorder associated with externalizing behavior were excluded. Other: Parents and teachers provided some outcomes. <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 34,hyperactive : 11,combined : 55 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-III-R and DSM-IV via semi- structured diagnostic interview (K- SADS-PL) <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 23 % <b>Age mean:</b> 9.1 <b>Minimum age:</b> 7.0 <b>Maximum age:</b> 11.8 <b>Ethnicity:</b>	potential) neurofeedback training aims at first learning to control and self-regulate certain brain activity parameters (via real-time feedback and operant principles), and as the next step utilizing this ability (by transfer) to improve everyday life functioning . Three sessions per week over 3 months. Three booster sessions were scheduled 6 months after end of therapy to activate the strategies learned. <b>Control:</b> NA <b>Comparator:</b> OtherSelf management training (SMT) Three sessions per week over 3 months. Three booster sessions were scheduled 6 months after end of therapy to activate the strategies learned. <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 months	No significant differences between groups in any Conner's Parent or Teacher Rating Scales (p > 0.34) Quality of life assessed via KINDL-R self- report showed SMT superior to neurofeedback regarding quality of life in school.
Neurofeedback	Lim, 2019 <sup>390</sup> National Healthcare Group, Singapore, 2011 <sup>917</sup> ID: NCT01344044 RCT	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD; excluded children with intellectual disability, epilepsy and severe sensorineural deficits or co-existing psychiatric disorder.	<b>Intervention:</b> Brain computer interface-based attention training program for total 20 weeks, first 8 weeks of 3 sessions per week (24 sessions total), next 12 weeks of 4- weekly sessions (3 sessions total), each training session consists of 10	CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist) - Externalizing reduction The intervention group had significantly greater reductions than the control group (p<0.001). ADHD-RS, clinician-rated

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Single center N = 172 Singapore Setting: Specialty care	Other: One parent and one clinician per child completed outcome assessments ADHD presentation: inattentive : 41.7,combined : 58.3 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Computerized Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children Version IV (CDISC-IV) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15.3 % Age mean: 8.6 (1.54) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	minutes gameplay, 10 minutes break, 10 minutes game play (30 minutes total) <b>Control:</b> Wait list Wait list who received the intervention after the first group <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	The intervention group had significantly greater reductions on the inattentive symptom score on the clinician-rated ADHD-RS than control group (p=0.017). A total of 11 children across groups reported at least one adverse event. Only 1 participant reported 2 different adverse events–headache and trouble paying attention/concentrating–on one occasion. None of these adverse events required medical treatment or was rated to be severe. In most cases, the participants were able to carry on with the intervention session .
Neurofeedback	Luo, 2022 <sup>400</sup> ID: ChiCTR 1900021891 RCT Single center N = 121 China Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD . Those with other serious neuropsychiatric diseases or IQ<80 excluded. Other: Parents provided outcomes ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSMIV criteria by a qualifed psychiatrist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20 %	Intervention: Neurofeedback (NF) plus computerized cognitive training (CCT). Focus Pocus training program includes neurofeedback games and cognitive training(CT) games, . Each training session consisted of 14 randomly ordered mini-games, and, as each mini-game took approximately 1 min to complete, the total time per session was approximately 15 minutes. NF games aimed to promote awareness and control of brain activity with EEG recorded via	<ul> <li>ADHD Rating Scale IV (ADHD-RS IV), parent All groups improved; no significant difference in change among groups.</li> <li>Weiss Functional Impairment Scale-Parent Report All groups improved; no significant difference in change among groups.</li> <li>Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF): no significant difference in change among groups.</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		% only reported for completers <b>Age mean:</b> 8.94 <b>Minimum age:</b> 7 <b>Maximum age:</b> 12 <b>Ethnicity:</b> % Asian : 100,Other : assumed; conducted in China Other info on race or ethnicity:	a portable Bluetooth device that provided the participant with real- time feedback. The CT games were designed to train and improve inhibitory control and working memory abilities. 3 to 5 sessions per week for 3 months, online at home. <b>Control:</b> Other <b>Comparator:</b> NeurofeedbackAs described above, but NF games only, without CT. <b>Follow-up:</b> 3 months	
Neurofeedback	Minder, 2018 <sup>424</sup> Zuberer, 2018 <sup>1159</sup> ; University of Zurich, 2015 <sup>1101</sup> ID: NCT02358941 RCT Multicenter N = 77 Switzerland Setting: Mixed	Target: Participants with ADHD, with or without hyperactivity, based on parent and teacher ratings on the Conners-3 DSM-IV ADHD indices (one of two ADHD DSM-IV indices reaching T values ≥ 65, the other T ≥ 60 according to both teachers' and parents' ratings); exclusion criteria were severe comorbidities, autism, tics, or other psychiatric disorders as assessed by the Developmental and Well- Being Assessment; medication was allowed if the dose was kept stable over duration of study Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist	<b>Intervention:</b> Slow cortical potential neurofeedback with the Theraprax training device where patients were supposed to steer a feedback item on the screen downward or upward by changing brain activity; in 50% of the trials, the task was to decrease brain activity and in the other 50% to increase brain activity; in school setting, training began with two to three double sessions (2 × 45–60 min) per week and continued with one to two sessions per week, over a period of 10–14 weeks; in clinical setting, daily double sessions over 2 weeks, usually followed by a short therapy break and five double sessions over 5–8 weeks	Inattention, Conners-3, school, parent There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.686)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 35 % Age mean: Sets of M (SD): 10.58 (2.3) 11.37 (1.7) 10.40 (2.0) 10.83 (1.8) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:	<b>Comparator:</b> Cognitive trainingCognitive training with CogniPlus, a software program developed for the rehabilitation of neurological patients consisting of adaptive game-like training tasks that target neuropsychological functions such as alertness, sustained attention, working memory <b>Follow-up:</b> 3.5 months	
Neurofeedback	NF Coll. Group, 2021 <sup>110</sup> Ohio State University, 2014 <sup>935</sup> ID: NCT02251743 RCT Multicenter N = 144 US Setting: N/A	<b>Target:</b> Participants with ADHD and an IQ greater than or equal to 80; and an eyes-open theta/beta power ratio greater than or equal to 4.5 at Cz or Fz by the LubarMonastra Assessment Suite, participants could continue stimulants during the study but discontinued for 5 days, before major assessments, no comorbid disorder requiring psychoactive medication other than psychostimulant; no medical disorder requiring systemic chronic medication with confounding psychoactive effects <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 35.9,combined : 64.1 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist	Intervention: Electroencephalographic biofeedback treatment, 5 training periods per training session, each period lasted 5 minutes at the beginning and gradually increased to 9 minutes per period in later sessions, 38 sessions in a 14 week period <b>Control:</b> Other Prerecorded electroencephalograms instead of the live electroencephalograph to determine rewards; participants were also counseled about the importance of sleep and nutrition, especially breakfast, and were given an "Eat Smart" list of recommended breakfa <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 13 months	Conners 3 Aggression, teacher rating The difference between groups was not statistically significant. CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression- Improvement) improvement of more than 2 Responders were 61% in the intervention and 54% in the control group (p =0.36). DSM Inattentive Symptoms on Conners 3 Long Version (average of teacher and parent ratings), change from baseline Both groups improved and there was no significant difference between groups (p=0.412) Functional assessment checklist, parent rating The difference between groups was not statistically significant. Appetite decrease The rate was 26.2% in the intervention and 13.8% in the control group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		DSM-V Comorbidity: N/A Female: 21.8 % Age mean: 8.58 (1.14) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 10 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 7.9 % Asian : 3.6 % White : 76.3 % Multiracial : 9.4 Other info on race or ethnicity:		Adverse events that were possibly attributable to treatment were distributed proportionally between the treatments, with no significant difference in any.
Neurofeedback	Purper-Ouakil, 2021 <sup>472</sup> Mensia Technologies SA, 2016 <sup>886</sup> ID: NCT02778360 RCT Multicenter N = 186 Multiple countries Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Children diagnosed with an inattentive or combined presentation of ADHD; without established diagnosis of autism, schizophrenia, severe generalized anxiety disorder, major depression, tics, epilepsy, or other neurological disorders; no antecedents of treatment with NF or medications for ADHD; no systemic chronic medication; IQ>80 <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A : Inattentive and combined presentation but no breakdown <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Made by a clinician using Kiddie- SADS (K-SADS)	Intervention: At-home neurofeedback training consisted of five 4-minute-long active blocks (with real-time feedback) and two 2.5 minute-long transfer blocks (with only intermittent feedback), two treatment phases of 16 to 20 sessions (4 per week) Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate, open titration period of 3 weeks and a treatment period with titration started at 10 mg of extended-release methylphenidate per day and a maximum possible dose of 60 mg/day; treatment lasted 2 months, the optimal dose was maintained Follow-up:	CGI improvement The comparisons between neurofeedback and medication were significant, indicating a better CGI Improvement in the medication group; 76.3% were much or very much improved with medication and 21.1% with neurofeedback. ADHD-Rating Scale-Clinician-rated total score The study failed to demonstrate noninferiority of neurofeedback vs methylphenidate (mean between-group difference 8.09; 90% CI 8.09, 10.56). Executive functions (BRIEF) showed significant decreases in both groups, the comparison showed greater effects in the medication group (p=0.002).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15.3 % Age mean: 9.8 (1.8) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		Participants with spontaneous reporting or Pediatric Adverse Event Rating Scale adverse events 91% of patients in the MPH group versus 21.6% in the NF group had at least one adverse event related to treatment with a significant between-group difference (chi- square test (1) = 80.71, p < .0001); Severe adverse events occurred in 20.9% of patients in the MPH vs 29.7% in the NF group (p=0.195).
Neurofeedback	Qian, 2018 <sup>473</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 29 Singapore Setting: Specialty care	Target: ADHD participants who had combined or inattentive subtypes on medicine were only allowed to participate after at least 1 month of washoutOther:ADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IVComorbidity: N/AFemale: 0 % all boysAge mean: 9 (1.5) and 9.45 (1.29) in the groupsMinimum age: Ethnicity:	Intervention: Brain computer- interface training: 3 sessions per week for 8 weeks, each session lasting 30 minutes with breaks included Control: No intervention MRI scan and clinical assessment were performed in the control group although no intervention was done Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist) The reduction of internalizing problems in the intervention group was slightly greater than that in the control group, but not significant (p = 0.44). ADHD-RS, clinician rated inattention The intervention group had significantly greater reduction in the ADHD-RS clinician inattention scores compared to the control group (p=0.038).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Neurofeedback	Rajabi, 2020 <sup>83</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 32 Iran Setting: School	Target: Children diagnosed with ADHD, normal intelligence, IQ > 85, no comorbid disorder other than oppositional defiant disorder, depression, and anxiety disorder Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 15.6, hyperactive : 25.0, combined : 59.4 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-V Comorbidity: N/A Female: 0 % Age mean: intervention 10.20 (1.3), control 10.05 (0.83) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Monopolar neurofeedback training for 3 months, 3 times a week during thirty 45-min sessions Control: Wait list Waiting list control Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.5 months	CPRS-R (Conners Parent Rating Scales- Revised) There was a statistically significant effect favoring the intervention group. The intervention significantly improved total attention and total response control (impulsivity) measured by the Integrated Visual and Auditory Continuous Performance compared to the control group (p <0.05).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Neurofeedback	Steiner, 2014 <sup>550</sup> Steiner, 2014 <sup>1055</sup> ; Tufts Medical Center, 2012 <sup>1090</sup> ID: NCT01583829 RCT Multicenter N = 104 US Setting: School	Target: Children in grade 2 or 4 with ADHD, IQ of 80 or higher; with no coexisting diagnosis of conduct disorder, autism spectrum disorder, or other serious mental illness (eg, psychosis); child ADHD medication use was not suspended for treatments or assessments Other: Parents provided some outcome data ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist clinical diagnosis of ADHD made by the child's clinician, Comorbidity: N/A Female: 26.0 % Age mean: 8.57 (1.0) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 10 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 6.7 % Asian : 18.3 % White : 73.1 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Neurofeedback training (Play Attention) in-school 45- minute intervention sessions 3 times per week, monitored by a trained research assistant for 40 sessions over 5 months <b>Control:</b> No intervention No intervention <b>Comparator:</b> Cognitive trainingCognitive training via computer (Captain's Log, BrainTrain) with 14 auditory and visual exercises targeting areas of attention and working memory; each exercise is interactive and lasts ~5 minutes; in-school 45- minute intervention sessions 3 times per we <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	Behavioral Observation of Students in Schools (BOSS), Off-task, teacher Significant improvements were found in the intervention condition compared with the control (p 0.04) but there were no differences found between the intervention and comparator. Inattention score Conners 3, parent report Intervention participants had significantly greater than gains than control group on the Connor's 3 Inattention, Executive Functioning and Hyperactivity/Impulsivity scales (p < .01 for all). Swanson, Kotkin, Agler, M-Flynn and Pelham scale (SKAMP) total score No significant differences between groups in SKAMP total score at follow up. Intervention (neurofeedback) group had greater improvement at follow-up compared to control group on the following Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) rating summary scales: Behavior Regulation (p < .03), Metacognition (p < .04), and Global Executive Composite (p < .01). No adverse side effects of either intervention were reported on the standardized session checklists.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Neurofeedback	Strehl, 2017 <sup>554</sup> Holtmann, 2014 <sup>809</sup> ; Aggensteiner, 2019 <sup>645</sup> ID: ISRCTN76187185 RCT Multicenter N = 150 Germany Setting: School	Maximum age; Ethnicity Target: Ages 7 to 9, diagnosed with ADHD combined type according to the DSM-IV; excluded were diagnosis of bipolar disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, psychosis, chronic severe ticks, Tourette syndrome, major physical or neurological illness, and IQ of less than 80 Other: ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Diagnosis confirmed by licensed psychologist/clinical psychiatrists Comorbidity: N/A Female: 16.7 % Age mean: mean (SD) Neurofeedback group 8.6 (0.92), EMG feedback 8.57 (0.88) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 9 Ethnicity:	Intervention: Neurofeedback where participants were prompted to either produce negative (reducing the excitability threshold of the underlying cortex) or positive shifts (inhibition of excitation) in a randomized order; after session 12, the ratio of negativity to positivity trials was increased from 50 to 80%, total of 25 training sessions within 3 months with two to three sessions per week <b>Control:</b> Other Semi-active control condition EMG feedback of coordination in the supraspinatus muscles where participants were instructed either to contract or to relax the left relative to the right supraspinatus muscle to induce differential EMG control corresponding <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 6 months	ADHD Symptom Severity, parent-rated Neurofeedback showed a significant superiority over EMG (treatment difference 0.17, 95% CI 0.02–0.3, p = 0.02); yielding an effect size (ES) of d = 0.57 without and 0.40 with baseline observation carried forward (BOCF); the sensitivity analysis confirmed In the safety population (N = 140) 119 AE were reported.; at least one AE was reported in 33% of NF participants and 35% of EMG participants; children reported headaches (N = 4, both groups), skin reactions (n = 3, NF), myalgia (n = 1, EMG), and nausea (n = 1, EMG).
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		

	Study:	Population:	Comparison:	Outcome and results
	Author, year;	Setting;	Intervention;	
_	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Control;	
io	Trial ID;	ADHD presentation;	Comparator;	
, ut	Study design;	Diagnosis;	Follow-up	
Ve	Sites;	Comorbidity;		
er	Study size;	% Female;		
<u>I</u>	Location	Age mean;		
	Setting	Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		001.0
	Aevi Genomic Medicine, 2016 <sup>120</sup>	age 12-17 years old with diagnosis	100-400 mg twice daily as cansules	CGI-5 Placebo performed better than intervention on
		of ADHD based on DSM-V criteria.	(size 2 hard gelatin capsules):	CGI-S scores.
	D. NOTOZITI 931	ADHD-RS-5 score > 28 at	dosing was be optimized during the	
		baseline, IQ at least 79, have	first 4 weeks of treatment, based on	Symptoms were reduced in the intervention
	Multicenter	disruptive	clinical response and tolerability,	group compared to control.
	N = 101	dutamate receptor metabotropic	weeks	Non serious adverse events
	US	(GRM)-network as determined by		The rate was 70% for intervention and 56% for
	Setting: Mixed	the presence of copy number	Control: Placebo Matching placebo capsules	control.
- L		variations (CNVs) (GRM biomarker-positive subjects), no	Comparator: NA	
gen		substance use (alcohol, nicotine		
ala		products, illicit drugs), no comorbid	Follow-up: 1.5 months	
itic		psychiatric disorders, no serios		
Cel		conditions (stroke, syncope, CVD.		
na		etc.)		
าลท		Other:		
v pł		ADHD presentation: N/A		
Nev		Diagnosis: Confirmation by		
		specialist		
		ADHD-RS-5 score larger or equal to 28 at baseline		
		Comorbidity: Other : Genetic		
		disorders		
		Female: 37.1 %		
		Age mean: 14.1 (1.58)		
		Minimum age: 12		
		Maximum age: 17		
		Ethnicity:		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		<ul> <li>% Black/African American : 29.9</li> <li>% American Indian or Alaska</li> <li>Native : 2.1</li> <li>% Asian : 1.0</li> <li>% White : 56.7</li> <li>% Multiracial : 9.3</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity:</li> <li>Other : Unknown: 1 count (1.0%)</li> </ul>		
New pharmaceutical agent	Aevi Genomic Medicine, 2018 <sup>121</sup> ID: NCT03609619 RCT Multicenter N = 108 US Setting: Mixed	Target: Children between 6-17 years old with diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-V criteria, minimum score of 28 on ADHD- RS-5; those with ASD or significant cardiovascular conditions, any of the specific gene mutation (272 gene mutations) of interest implicated in glutamatergic signaling and neuronal connectivity; children must not take any other medications except for medications intended to treat ADHD within 28 days prior to screening visit Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: No No info given Comorbidity: N/A Female: 35.2 % Age mean: 10.4 (2.86) Minimum age: 6	Intervention: AEVI-001 100 mg, 200 mg or 400 mg administered orally twice daily for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Oral doses of placebo administered twice daily Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression - Global Improvement) response Both groups had similar response rates. ADHD-RS-5 (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale) change Both groups had similar rates of improvement. Non serious adverse events The intervention rate was 6% and the comparator rate was 17%. No serious adverse events in both treatment groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age; Ethnicity Maximum age: 17		
		Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 18.5 % Black/African American : 14.8 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 0.9 % Asian : 0.00 % White : 75.9 % Multiracial : 4.6 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Not reported: 4/108 (3.7%)		
New pharmaceutical agent	Amiri, 2008 <sup>129</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 60 Iran Setting: Other	Target: Children with ADHD, children were excluded if they had a history or current diagnosis of pervasive developmental disorders, schizophrenia or other psychiatric disorders; any current psychiatric comorbidity that required pharmacotherapy; any evidence of suicide risk and mental retardation (I.Q.<70 based on clinical judgment), a clinically significant chronic medical condition, including organic brain disorder, seizures and, current abuse or dependence on drugs within 6 months, hypertension, hypotension and habitual consumption of more than 250 mg/day of caffeine Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist	Intervention: Modafinil film coated tablet in doses of 200–300 mg/day depending on weight (200 mg/day for <30 kg and 300 mg/day for >30 kg) for 6 weeks Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate (in doses of 20–30 mg/day) depending on weight (20 mg/day for <30 kg and 30 mg/day for >30 kg), titrated up: week 1: 10 mg/day (5 mg in the morning and 5 mg at midday); week 2: 20 mg/day (10 mg in the morning and 10 mg at midday) and week Follow-up: 1.5 months	ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale-IV) parent and teacher report Responders (at least 40% decrease in ADHD- RS scores) Both groups showed a significant improvement over the 6 weeks of treatment for the parent and teacher ratings. Decreased appetite Observed more frequently in the methylphenidate group (p 0.03). Ten side effects were observed over the trial that all of them were mild to moderate and tolerable. The difference between the modafinil and methylphenidate groups in the frequency of side effects was not significant except for decreased appetite and difficulty falling asleep that were observed more frequently in the methylphenidate group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		DSM-IV-TR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22 % Age mean: Modafinil 9.20 (2.53), methylphenidate 8.96 (2.34) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
New pharmaceutical agent	Barrickman, 1995 <sup>142</sup> ID: NA Crossover trial Unclear/Not reported N = 15 US Setting: N/A	Target: Subjects could also have coexisting diagnoses of conduct, oppositional defiant, or developmental learning disorders. The following exclusion criteria were used: IQ < 70 (mental retardation), and any ocher major Axis I, II, or III diagnoses. Since bupropion is contraindicated in subjects with seizure disorders, any subject with a seizure history was excluded. Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: No DSM-III-R Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20 % Age mean: 11.8 (3.3)	Intervention: Bupropion 1.4 to 5.7 mg/kg per day for 6 weeks Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate was titrated to the maximum effective dose of 0.4 to 1.3 mg/kg per day (mean 0.7 mg/kg per day). Dose was fixed for the final 3 weeks of bupropion therapy, Methylphenidate was administered in a dose of 0.4 mg/kg per day during the first w Follow-up: 1.5 months	Clinical Global Impression-Severity (CGI-S) Methylphenidate had greater improvements over bupropion (P < .05) Iowa-Conners Teacher's Rating Scale The changes in scores did not differ significantly between the two treatment arms of the study for either drug. Anorexia No changes were noted on ECG measurements or vital signs, and adverse effects were few, mild, and transient

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity Minimum age: 7	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
New pharmaceutical agent	Biederman, 2005 <sup>155</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 248 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Patients were 6 to 17 years of age and had a diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-IV, have a CGI-S rating of 4 or higher, have a teacher-/investigator-rated Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV (ADHD- RS-IV) School Version total and/or subscale score at least 1.5 SDs above normal values for age and gender, between 5-9th percentile for weight and health, IQ of at least 80 based on Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children–Third Edition, and have a score of at least 80 on the Wechsler Individual Achievement Test–Second Edition–Abbreviated. Exclusion: history or current diagnosis of pervasive developmental disorder, schizophrenia, or other psychotic disorders (DSM IV Axis I, evidence of suicide risk, current psychiatric comorbidity that required pharmacotherapy, have well- controlled ADHD, history of substance abuse. <b>Other:</b>	Intervention: Modafinil film-coated tablets 170-425 mg/day for 9 weeks Control: Placebo Matching placebo pills for 9 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.5 months	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions Scale- Improvement) responders Proportion of participants who were classified as responders based on CGI-I rating (rating of 1 or 2) at final visit between modafinil and placebo groups were statistically significant (p<0.0001). Modafinil showed significantly greater improvement than pa ADHD-RS-IV School Version total score Difference between Modafinil and placebo groups in ADHD-RS-IV School Version total score at final visit was statistically significant (p < 0.0001). Decreased appetite The rate was 16% in the intervention and 4% in the placebo group (p=<0.05). Serious adverse events were reported for 2 patients in the modafinil group (Stevens- Johnson syndrome possibly related to study; duodenitis, peptic ulcer, and hypertonia unrelated to study drug).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Psychiatric/clinical evaluation and the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children, Fourth Edition Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.3 % Age mean: Modafinil 10.4 (6-17), placebo 10.1 (6-17) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
New pharmaceutical agent	Biederman, 2006 <sup>154</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 248 US Setting: N/A	<b>Target:</b> Children age 6-13 years old with diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-IV, stimulant- naive or who had manifested an unsatisfactory response to stimulant therapy, IQ of at least 80, a score of 80 or higher on the screener version of the Wechsler Individual Achievement Test, CGI- S score of 4 or more at baseline visit <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 20.6,hyperactive : 2.0,combined : 76.6	Intervention: Modafinil 400 mg total, 200mg twice daily (morning and midday) for 4 weeks Control: Placebo 5 placebo pills daily Comparator: MedicationModafinil 100 mg followed by 200 mg at midday (modafinil 100/200-mg divided dose) Follow-up: 1 month	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions of Improvement) much improved or very much improved The intervention and comparator groups had significantly greater improvement compared to the control group (p=0.04 and p=0.01). Both the intervention and comparator groups had a higher percentage of participants rated as improved compared to the placebo, ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale-IV), school version The intervention group had significantly greater improvement compared to the control group (p=0.006). Decreased appetite

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Psychiatric evaluation and the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children, Fourth Edition Comorbidity: N/A Female: 26.6 % Age mean: 8.8 (2.0), 8.8 (2.1), 9.2 (2.1), 10.5 (1.6), 8.9 (2.0) across groups Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: % White : 81.5 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other: 46/248 (18.5%)		The rates were 2% in the intervention and the placebo group and 12% in the comparator. Insomnia was the only adverse event that occurred with significantly greater prevalence in a group assigned to modafinil (200/100-mg divided dose) than in the placebo group (p 0.03). One child who received modafinil 400 mg experienced serious dehydration, gastroenteritis, and vomiting on day 14; these adverse events were considered by the investigator to be unrelated to modafinil.
New pharmaceutical agent	Blumer, 2009 <sup>163</sup> Sanofi, 2006 <sup>988</sup> ID: NCT00318448 RCT Multicenter N = 201 US Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Patients were required to have latency to persistent sleep of 30 minutes, according to baseline polysomnographic results, and a sleep disturbance not attributable to direct physiologic effects of an abused drug or misused prescription medication. Patients were excluded if they had other sleep disorders diagnosed with baseline polysomnography, other major psychiatric disorders (but not obsessive-compulsive disorder), or a history of substance abuse and/or dependence. Previous	Intervention: Zolpidem, recommended dose of 0.25 mg/kg, prepared as an oral formulation at 2.5 mg/mL, once per day at night for 8 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo was matched with respect to color and flavor Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions Scale), parent There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.076). ADHD Rating Scale-IV Baseline-adjusted mean changes did not differ between groups. No significant difference between treatment groups in latency to persistent sleep of more than 30 minutes was detected. Participants with at least one treatment emergent adverse event

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		adverse experience with zolpidem, use of pharmacologic sleep aids that the patient was unwilling to discontinue, or current use of rifampicin and/or sertraline also disqualified patients Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: N/A Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		Rate of 62.5% in treatment and 47.7% in placebo group. Administration was terminated because of adverse events for 7.4% in the intervention and none in the placebo group; the main reason was hallucination.
New pharmaceutical agent	Bostic, 2000 <sup>166</sup> ID: N/A Crossover trial Unclear/Not reported N = 21 US Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD, exclusion criteria included any clinically significant medical conditions or abnormal baseline laboratory liver function tests, mental retardation, organic brain disorders, unstable psychiatric conditions, bipolar disorder, psychosis, drug or alcohol abuse or dependence within the prior 6	Intervention: Pemoline for 4 weeks, morning and after school dosing as 18.75-mg and 37.5-mg tablets (3mg/kg/day) Control: Placebo Identical appearing and tasting 18.75-mg and 37.5-mg tablets morning and after school dosing Comparator: NA	CGI score very much improved or much improved A significantly higher proportion experienced improvement on pemoline relative to placebo (60% versus 11%, p 0.013). Hyperactivity, Inattentiveness, Impulsivity, DSM-IV-derived ADHD rating scale Progressive improvement in the intervention group compared to placebo (p 0.001).
Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
--------------------------	---	---	--	---
		months, or active pregnancy or nursing Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 14 % Age mean: 14.14 (1.6) Minimum age: 12 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % White : 90 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Follow-up: 2.5 months	Using standard cutoff points for depression (HAM-D . 16, BDI . 19) and anxiety (HAM- A.21), no subjects had scores indicative of clinical depression or anxiety. Furthermore, none of the three depression or anxiety measures changed to a clinically or statistically significant degree over the course of this study (all p . 0.05). Loss of appetite Rates were 38% in intervention and 10% in placebo (p 0.014). The only adverse effects specifically associated with pemoline relative to placebo were mild insomnia (62% versus 5%, p < 0.001) and mild loss of appetite (38% versus 10%, p 0.014).
New pharmaceutical agent	Buitelaar, 1996 <sup>173</sup> ID: N/A Crossover trial Unclear/Not reported N = 52 Netherlands Setting: N/A	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD according to DSM-III-R criteria, scores in the clinical range on both the CBCL and CTRS hyperactivity factors, deficits in attention performance on either a reaction- time task or a continuous performance task in the neuropsychological testing, no previous treatment with psychotropic medication, and a clinical indication for drug treatment; children were excluded for a diagnosis of tic disorder or pervasive developmental disorder, a family history of tic disorder, and	Intervention: Pindolol 20 mg twice per day for 4 weeks Control: Placebo Matching placebo administered at breakfast and at noon Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate 10 mg b.i.d, during the first 3 days a single dose of 10 mg, then treated in a fixed-dosage schedule 10 mg b.i.d at breakfast and at noon Follow-up: 1 month	CGI-S No difference between the two active treatments Hyperactivity scale CPRS (Conners Parent Rating Scale) No difference between groups. Anorexia The rate was 15% for pindolol, 24% for methylphenidate, and 25% for placebo. Paresthesias were significantly more often reported with pindolol than with methylphenidate or with placebo; for all other adverse effects the frequencies did not differ significantly across drug status.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		the usual contra-indications for treatment with j9-blockers such as cardiac diseases, in particular conduction abnormalities and bradycardia, hypotension, obstructive pulmonary diseases, and insulin-dependent diabetes <b>Other:</b>		
		ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV		
		Comorbidity: N/A		
		Female: 12 %		
		Age mean:		
		109.8 (20.2) and 113.2 (19.1)		
		Minimum age: 6		
		Maximum age: 13		
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
vew pharmaceutical agent	Ceresoli-Borroni, 2021 <sup>182</sup> Supernus Pharmaceuticals, 2011 <sup>1064</sup> ID: NCT01364662 RCT Multicenter N = 121	Target: ADHD participants with persistent impulsive aggression Other: ADHD presentation: N/A : aggressive subtype 100% Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-4 by psychiatrist investigator	Intervention: Molidone SPN-810, extended-release, 36mg/54mg, ~2.5-week titration, 3-week maintenance, alongside existing monotherapy (stimulants/nonstimulants) and behavioral therapy Control: Placebo Placebo	Rate of remission for aggressive behavior (Retrospective-Modified Overt Aggression Scale (R-MOAS) scale score ≤. 10) Rates of remission for aggressive behavior were greater in intervention and comparator groups compared with placebo. CGI Global Impression scale There was no significant difference between
2	US	Comorbidity: ODD	FlaceDU	weight and BMI

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting: Specialty care	Female: 12.9 % Age mean: 9.0 (0.34) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 16.9 % Black/African American : 30.5 % White : 63.6 Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A : 6.0	Comparator: MedicationSPN-810, 12 mg/18 mg extended-release molindone (low dose) Follow-up: 1.5 months	All treatment groups exhibited increases in mean weight and BMI. Participants with adverse events The intervention group had 68% of participants with any adverse events, the comparator group had 38%, and the placebo group had 58%.
New pharmaceutical agent	Conners, 1996 <sup>210</sup> ID: RCT Multicenter N = 109 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD in good physical health with no lab abnormalitiesOther: Parents and teachers provided dataADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IIIComorbidity: N/AFemale: 10.0 %Age mean: 66% in 3rd grade or lowerMinimum age: Maximum age:Ethnicity: % White : 75 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Bupropion 50 mg or 75 mg, depending on body weight, twice daily at 7 AM and 7 PM. for 4 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo tablet Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1 month	Clinical Global Impression The pooled results from the sites failed to demonstrate a significant treatment effect. Conners Parent Questionnaire, hyperactive- immature, restless-impulsive, and conduct disorder Improvements in the intervention group. Significant treatment effects for the continuous performance test and memory retrieval. Bupropion appeared to be well tolerated in most children; dermatological reactions were twice as frequent in the drug group than the placebo group with 4 reactions prompting discontinuation.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
New pharmaceutical agent	Dehbozorghi, 2019 <sup>223</sup> Roozbeh Psychiatric Hospital, 2018 <sup>983</sup> ID: IRCT20090117001556N 108 RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 53 Iran Setting: N/A	Ethnicity <b>Target:</b> Patients with the diagnosis of ADHD based on DSM-5 along- side the Kiddie Schedule forAffective Disorders and Schizophrenia (KSADS) 25 and medical history; patients with history or current diagno-sis of a psychiatric comorbidity except for oppositional defiant disor-der, pervasive develop mental disorders, mental retardation; history or allergy to tipepidine or methylphenidate hydrochloride (Ritalin); use or any medication or supplement for psychotropic disorders; presence or uncontrolled seizures; abnormal systolic blood pressure, resting pulse rate, or liver function; neurological or cardiac disorders were excluded Other: <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive_other : Intervention: 19.54 (5.83); Control: 18.89(5.35),hyperactive_other : Intervention: 18.00(5.18); Control: 18. 22(5.00) <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-V <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 25 %	Intervention: Tipepidine (Asverin) at a dose of 15- 30 mg/day divided into 3 doses before breakfast, supper, and bedtime plus 0.3-1.5 mg/kg/day of methylphenidate hydrochloride divided into two separate doses at 30 min before breakfast and lunch, treatment over a period of 8 weeks Control: Placebo Starch as placebo (at a dose or 15- 30 mg/day) for 8 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	CGI-S Score The effect for time by treatment interaction was not significant (p=0.182). ADHD-IV-RS, parent On general linear model repeated measures analysis a significant effect was seen for time by treatment interaction (p=0.049). Increased appetite The rate was 4.16% in the intervention compared to none in the control group. The frequencies of adverse events were similar between the groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Former 2017 <sup>267</sup>	Age mean: 8.57(1.81) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Disperidence plus	Ne difference in h Conners' Continueus
New pharmaceutical agent	Farmer, 2017 <sup>267</sup> Michael Aman, 2008 <sup>918</sup> ID: NCT00796302 RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 165 US Setting: N/A	Target: Children 6 to 12 years old with a DSM-4 diagnosis of any subtype of ADHD and evidence of severe physical aggression, either conduct disorder or oppositional defiant disorder, and a CGI-S score equal or greater than 4; excluded were IQ was less than 71, any condition that was a contraindication for medication, family history of type-2 diabetes, using any psychotropic medications that would cause risk to the participant if stopped, suicidal ideation, eating disorder, autism disorder diagnosed using the DSM- 4 criteria, or a mood disorder Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-4 diagnosis was required for participation Comorbidity: ODD Female: 22 % Age mean: 8.94 (2.01)	Intervention: Risperidone plus psychostimulant (usually osmotic release oral system [OROS] methylphenidate) for 6 weeks, titrated to an optimal dose Control: Other Psychostimulant alone (usually osmotic release oral system [OROS] methylphenidate; STIM) plus placebo for 6 weeks titrated to an optimal dose Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.25 months	No difference in h Conners' Continuous Performance Test (CPT-II) or Digit Span performance was observed between groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Findling 2019 <sup>272</sup>	Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 41 % White : 61 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Non-Hispanic 93% Target: Children age 6-12 meeting	Intervention: Desotratine 4 mg	ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale-IV) Home
New pharmaceutical agent	Sunovion, 2015 <sup>1061</sup> ; Sunovion, 2015 <sup>1060</sup> ID: NCT02457819, NCT02428088 RCT Multicenter N = 342 US Setting: N/A	the DMS-V criteria, ADHD Rating Scale version IV-Home Version score of >28, Clinical Global Impression-Severity Scale score of >4. Excluded if they were diagnosed with bipolar or major depressive disorder, conduct disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, disruptive mood dysregulation disorder, intellectual disability, psychosis, autism, Tourette's syndrome, central nervous system disorder, or any other unstable medical condition <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist participants were evaluated based on the DSM-V criteria at the beginning of the trial <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 33.3 %	administered once-daily in the morning for 6 weeks total Control: Placebo Placebo for 6 weeks Comparator: MedicationDasotraline 2 mg administered once-daily in the morning for 6 weeks Follow-up: 1.5 months	ADRD-RS-IV (ADRD Rating Scale-IV) Home Version total score change There was a significant difference in 6 week change from baseline between the placebo and 4mg/day group (p<0.001), but not when compared to the 2mg/day. This significance was also observed between the placebo and 4mg/day groups in the CGI-S score (p=0.04) Weight change Decreased appetite The rate was 21.7% in the 4mg, 15.3% in the 2mg, and 4.3% in placebo. Discontinuation rates were higher in the 4mg/day group (12.2%) than 2mg/day (6.3%) and placebo (1.7%) groups. Psychosis symptoms were reported in 7 participants. For events with a higher incidence on dasotraline compared with placebo, the three most frequent AEs in the dasotraline 2 and 4 mg/day groups (vs. placebo) were insomnia (15.3% [NNH = 10] and 21.7% [NNH = 6] vs. 4.3%), decreased appetite (12.6% [NNH = 14] and 21.7% [NNH = 7] vs. 5.2%), and weight decreased (5.4% [NNH = 19] and 8.7%

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
New pharmaceutical agent	Greenhill, 2006 <sup>302</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 200 US Setting: Mixed	Age mean: 2mg/day 8.9 (1.7), 4mg/day 9.1 (1.9), placebo 9.2 (2.1) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 29.5 % White : 62.9 % Multiracial : 7.6 Other info on race or ethnicity: Target: Patients age 6-17 years old with clinical diagnosis of ADHD, a CGI-S rating of 4+, weight and height between 5-95th percentile, IQ at least 80, no learning disabilities, attending school full- time, have a investigator-rated ADHD-RS-IV (School Version) score of at least 1.5 SD above the norm for the patient's age and gender. Exclusion: history or current diagnosis of pervasive developmental disorder, schizophrenia, or other psychotic disorders (DSM-IV axis I), any current psychiatric comorbidity that required pharmacotherapy, presence of suicide risk, ADHD symptoms well controlled on current therapy with tolerable side effects, or failed 2+ courses of stimulant therapy for ADHD	Intervention: Modafinil film-coated tablets 170-425mg once daily in the morning for 9 weeks Control: Placebo Matching placebo tablets once daily in the morning for 9 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.5 months	[NNH = 12] vs. 0%). CGI-I rated 1 or 2 52% of modafinil and 18% of placebo met criteria for responder on the CGI-I (p<0.0001). ADHD-RS-IV School Version change Modafinil produced significant reductions in ADHD-RS-IV total scores at school compared with placebo (p<0.0001). Decreased appetite The rate of decreased appetite as 18% in the intervention and 3% in the placebo group. Modafinil was associated with significantly more insomnia, headache, decreased appetite, and weight loss than placebo, but discontinuation attributed to adverse events did not differ statistically between treatment groups (modafinil, 5%; placebo, 6%).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 23.7,hyperactive : 5.1,combined : 70.2 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist the National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children, Fourth Edition (DISC-IV) was used to establish the patients' diagnosis of ADHD using the full DSM-IV diagnostic criteria. Comorbidity: N/A Female: 27.3 % Age mean: Modafinil 9.9 (6-16), placebo 9.9 (6-16) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 18.2 % White : 71.7 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
New pharmaceuti	Kahbazi, 2009 <sup>348</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center	Other : Other: 20/198 (10.1%) <b>Target:</b> Children newly diagnosed with ADHD; children were excluded if they had a history or current diagnosis of pervasive developmental disorders, schizophrenia, or other psychiatric	Intervention: Modafinil, 200–300 mg/day (once daily) depending on weight for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo	ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale-IV) change, parent report ADHD Rating Scale-IV (ADHD-RS-IV), parent report, % responding (at least 40% decrease in score)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	N = 46 Iran Setting: Specialty care	disorders or if they had a clinically significant chronic medical condition. Other: Parents and teachers provided outcome data ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR diagnosis confirmed by psychiatrist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 23.9 % Age mean: 9.07 (2.03) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	Change in ADHD Rating Scale-IV (ADHD-RS- IV) total, teacher report favored intervention (p < 0.001), as did ADHD-RS-IV total score, parent report (p < 0.001). The difference in % responding (at least 40% decrease in score) was significantly higher in the Decreased appetite More children in the modafinil group reported decreased appetite (p=0.05). No statistically significant differences between groups regarding abdominal pain, anxiety or nervousness, sadness, difficulty falling asleep, weight loss, nausea, dry mouth , irritability, or headaches.
New pharmaceutical agent	Kratochvil, 2005 <sup>371</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 173 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Patients age 7-17 years old with diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-IV and comorbid anxiety or depression symptoms (Children's Depression Rating Scale-Revised (CDRS-R) total score of >36 or Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children (MASC) total score at least 1 SD above age and gender norms). Exclusion: any history of psychosis, bipolar disorder, or serious medical illness, history of substance abuse	Intervention: Fluoxetine 20 mg administered once daily for 8 weeks plus atomoxetine 1.8mg/kg/day evenly divided into two doses for the final 5 weeks of treatment Control: Other Atomoxetine alone plus placebo, after 3 weeks of treatment, atomoxetine was added to each patient's regimen for the final 5 weeks of treatment, initiated at 0.5 mg/kg/day and increased at weekly	CGI-S (Clinical Global Impressions- Severity) change Difference in CGI-S score mean change from baseline between groups were not statistically significant (p 0.065). ADHD-RS-IV (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV) total change Difference in ADHD-RS-IV Total T-score mean change from baseline between A/F and A/P groups were not statistically significant (p 0.121) ADHD-RS-IV Total score mean (SD)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 23.2,hyperactive : 2.9,combined : 73.8 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children–Present and Lifetime version Comorbidity: Mood disorder Female: 27.7 % Age mean: Atomoxetine + Fluoxetine 11.2 (2.7), Atomoxetine + Placebo 11.6 (2.4) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % White : 83.8 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other: 16.2%	intervals to 0.8 mg/kg/day and then to 1.2 mg/kg/day; maximu Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	change from baseline: A/F (n = 113) –24.0 (13.6), A/P (n=44) –20.5 (12.9), p =0.101. Children's Depression Inventory (CDI) score mean (SD) change from baseline: A/F (n = 81) –8.8 (8.1), A/P (n=33) –5.4 (10.0), p =0.043. CDRS-R (Children's Depression Rating Scale- Revised) total score mean (SD) change from baseline: A/F (n = 113) –20.4 (13.6), A/P (n=44) –17.6 (11.8), p =0.342. CDRS-R (Children's Depression Rating Scale- Revised) total T-score mean (SD) change from baseline: A/F (n = 113) –22.9 (15.2), A/P (n=44) –19.8 (13.3), p =0.342. Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children (MASC) score mean (SD) change from baseline: A/F (n = 109) –13.4 (16.0), A/P (n=42) –11.3 (19.0) p =0.489. Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children (MASC) total T-score mean (SD) change from baseline: A/F (n = 109) –8.7 (10.3), A/P (n=42) –7.5 (12.9) p =0.527. Decreased appetite The rate was 20% in intervention vs 6.8% in placebo approaching significance (p=0.055); patients in the combined treatment group also experienced greater weight loss (mean [SD] weight change in kilograms: A/F –1.0 [1.7],

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
				The proportion of patients who discontinued because of an adverse event was low and similar between groups (A/F 2.4%, A/P 2.2%); Mean heart rate increased more in the A/F group as compared with the A/P group (mean [SD] change in beats/minute: A/F 11.9 [11.2], A/P 6.5 [15.5]; p = .008); Mean blood pressure was also increased more in the combined treatment group (mean [SD] diastolic change in mm Hg: A/F 5.2 [9.4], A/P 0.3 [9.1], p = .008; mean [SD] systolic change in mm Hg: A/F 3.1 [8.9], A/P –0.14 [9.3]; p = .070)
New pharmaceutical agent	Lin, 2014 <sup>391</sup> Eli Lilly and Company, 2009 <sup>733</sup> ID: NCT00922636 RCT Multicenter N = 340 Multiple countries Setting: N/A	Target: Female and male patients greater than or equal to 6 years and <17 years and 9 months of age at the time of informed consent Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 24.16,hyperactive : 3.68,combined : 72.18 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29 % Age mean: mean age 11.46 Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity:	Intervention: Edivoxetine 0.3mg/kg administered daily for 8 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo-controlled Comparator: MedicationOROS MPH was administered at the label- recommended doses Follow-up: 2 months	Clinical Global Impressions-Attention- Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder-Improvement (CGI-ADHD-I): Scores at the end-point for the edivoxetine 0.3 mg/kg/day arm was significantly lower relative to the placebo arm (lower score indicating greater clinical impro ADHD-RS-IV The edivoxetine 0.2 mg/kg/day and 0.3 mg/kg/day arms had statistically significantly greater improvement than the placebo arm in mean ADHD-RS total score change at end- point (placebo - 10.35; edivoxetine 0.2 mg/kg/day - 16.09, p < 0.010; edivoxetine 0.3 m Statistically significant differences relative to placebo were observed for all edivoxetine dose arms with respect to changes in weight. (p< 0.05)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		% White : 72.6% Other info on race or ethnicity:		Edivoxetine dose arms demonstrated statistically significantly greater mean increases in sitting heart rates, and sitting systolic and diastolic blood pressure, than the placebo arm (p<0.05). Edivoxetine and placebo treatment arms did not differ in the number of patients who reported at least one treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE) (p >0.05).
New pharmaceutical agent	Mohammadi, 2010 <sup>427</sup> Tehran University, 2010 <sup>1078</sup> ID: NCT01099059 RCT Single center N = 40 Iran Setting: Mixed	Target: Participants age 6-14 with a diagnosis of ADHD based on DSM-IV criteria, have ADHD-RS-IV School version score of at least 1.5 SD above the norm for patient's gender and age. Exclusion: history of pervasive developmental disorders, schizophrenia or other psychiatric disorders, any current psychiatric comorbidity that required pharmacotherapy, IQ < 70, have a significant chronic medical condition. Other: ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia- Present and Lifetime diagnostic interview Comorbidity: N/A	Intervention: Amantadine for 6 weeks, dose of 100–150 mg/day depending on weight, 50 mg twice per day for <30 kg and 50 mg three times per day for >30 kg Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate at a dose of 20–30 mg/day depending on weight (20 mg/day for <30 kg and 30 mg/day for >30 kg), titrated up: week 1: 10 mg/day (5 mg in the morning and 5 mg at midday); week 2: 20 mg/day (10 mg in the morning and 10 mg at midday) and week 3 Follow-up: 1.5 months	ADHD-RS (ADHD Rating Scale) Total Score change, parent rating No significant differences were observed between the two groups on the Parent and Teacher Rating Scale scores. Decreased appetite The rate was 45% in the amantadine group and 84% in the methylphenidate group (p=0.01). All side effects were mild to moderate and tolerable. The difference between the amantadine and methylphenidate groups in the frequency of side effects was not significant except for decreased appetite and restlessness that were observed more frequently in the methylphenidate group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Saito, 2020 <sup>495</sup>	Female: 30 % Age mean: Amatadine 9.60 (1.98), methylphenidate 9.25 (1.80) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A Target: Ages 6-17 years old with a	Intervention: Tipepidine, 60 mg	ADHD RS-IV-J:I (ADHD Rating Scale IV
New pharmaceutical agent	Taisho Pharmaceutical, 2016 <sup>1077</sup> ID: JapicCTI-163244 RCT Multicenter N = 216 Japan Setting: N/A	diagnosis of ADHD according the DSM-5, a total score equal to or less than 23 on ADHD RS-IV and a score equal to or less than 3 on CGI-ADHD-S. Patients were excluded based on a history of schizophrenia, other psychiatric disorders, intellectual disabilities, or reactive attachment disorder Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 41.2,hyperactive : 0.5,combined : 58.3 Diagnosis: No Any existing diagnosis was required but nothing was done in the trial Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15.2 % Age mean: 9.5 (2.3) Minimum age: 6	twice a day of tipepidine hibenzate (Asverin, non-opioid antitussive), 2 weeks of observation with 8 weeks of treatment <b>Control:</b> Placebo Placebo dose <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationTipepidine, 30mg/day tipepidine hibenzate (Asverin) <b>Follow-up:</b> 16 months	Japanese version) Mean Changes No significant difference was observed between the placebo and treatment groups, and no dose-response was observed; 30mg vs placebo (p=0.183) 120mg (p=0.748) No clinically significant changes in body weight were observed Adverse Events Total Count Incidence of AEs: 36.5% (placebo); 51.9% (30mg); 46.2 (60mg); 49.1% (120mg); no significant differences amongst treatment groups (p= 0.420) Incidence of side-effects: 3.8% (placebo); 5.6% (30mg); 17.3% (60mg); 3.8% (120mg); no significant differences (p= 0.050). No clinically significant changes in laboratory tests or vital signs were observed amongst treatment groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity Maximum age: 16	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		<b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
New pharmaceutical agent	Salardini, 2016 <sup>496</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 54 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: ADHD patients with blood pressure, pulse rate, and liver function tests were within clinically normal range Other: ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist ADHD-RS-IV diagnosed by psychiatrist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22 % Age mean: 10.47 (2.13) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: % White : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Agomelatine was started as 15 mg/day in participants with weight 30 kg and 25 mg/day in patients with weight 45 kg in the morning and followed by placebo at lunch time Control: NA Comparator: MedicationRitalin (methylphenidate hydrochloride) 10 mg tablet twice daily for 6 weeks, participants who weighed more than 30 kg received a 10 mg methylphenidate hydrochloride tablet thrice daily Follow-up: 1.5 months	ADHD-RS-IV, parent, change from baseline Changes from baseline were not significantly different between the agomelatine group and the MPH group (p=0.44). The frequency of side effects was not significantly different between the agomelatine and MPH groups.
New pharmaceutical	Sangal, 2014 <sup>501</sup> Sunovion, 2009 <sup>1058</sup> ; Sunovion, 2009 <sup>1059</sup> ID: NCT00856973, NCT00857220 RCT	<b>Target:</b> Children and adolescents with ADHD and insomnia; exclused another primary sleep disorder, other major psychiatric disorders, alcohol or substance abuse, and nicotine use	<b>Intervention:</b> Eszopiclone high dose (2 mg for children, 3 mg for ado-lescents) for 12 weeks, participants continued on whatever stimulant medication they were on prior to trial enrollment	CGI, parent The intervention group improved significantly over the control group (p=0.009), but the comparator did not (p=0.238).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Multicenter N = 486 US Setting: Specialty care	Other: Parents supplied some outcome data ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV criteria and confirmed by the M.I.N.I. Inter-national Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents Comorbidity: Sleep Female: 36.2 % Age mean: 11.4 (3.0) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 15.5 % Black/African American : 19.3 % White : 74.5 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Control: Placebo Placebo plus whatever stimulant medication patients were on prior to trial enrollment Comparator: MedicationEszopiclone low dose (1 mg for children, 2 mg for ado-lescents), patients also continued on whatever stimulant medication they were on prior to trial enrollment Follow-up: 3 months	Inattention score, Conners Comprehensive Behavior Rating Scale (CBRS) change, parent report No significant difference between groups (p 0.238 for high dose vs placebo, p 0.352 for low dose vs placebo). No significant differences between intervention, comparator, and placebo group in change from baseline to week 12 in latency to persistent sleep based on polysomnography ( p 0.375 for high dose, p 0.999 for low dose). Participants with any adverse event The rate was 61% for intervention, 59.5% for comparator, and 46% for placebo. A dose-response relationship was observed for dysgeusia, abdominal discomfort, dizziness, and nasal congestion.
New pharmaceutical agent	Swanson, 2006 <sup>561</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 190 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Clinical Global Impressions-Severity of Illness scale (CGI-S) rating of 4 or higher ("moderately ill" or worse), total and/or subscale scores on the Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV (ADHD- RS-IV) School Version22 at least 1.5 standard deviations above norms for the patient's age and gender, and intelligence quotient of at least 80 as estimated by the	Intervention: Modafinil 340 or 425 mg/day (depending on weight) for 7 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.25 months	ADHD-RS-IV (Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV) Home Version Modafinil significantly improved symptoms of ADHD as shown by reductions in ADHD-RS-IV School Version total scores compared with placebo at all visits ( $p \le .009$ ), including the final visit of the double-blind phase ( $p < .0001$ ). Decreased appetite The rate was 14% in the intervention vs 2% in the placebo group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Third Edition, and a score of at least 80 on the Wechsler Individual Achievement Test, Second Edition, Abbreviated. Patients were eligible if they were attending a full-time school (i.e., they were not eligible if receiving homeschooling) and if a teacher and parent were willing to participate <b>Other:</b>		Two patients receiving modafinil experienced 3 serious adverse events (asthma attack, influenza syndrome, dehydration), these events resolved spontaneously and were considered to be not related or unlikely related to the study medication.
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 27,hyperactive : 6,combined : 67 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR		
		Comorbidity: N/A		
		Age mean: 11.6 (2.6)		
		Minimum age: 6		
		Maximum age: 17		
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:		
New pharmaceutical	Wilens,2011 <sup>112</sup> ID: NCT00640419 RCT Multicenter N = 121	<b>Target:</b> DSM-IV diagnosis of any ADHD subtype, confirmed by the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL),15 and a rating of 4 or higher on the	Intervention: ABT-089 (neuronal nicotinic receptor partial agonist) 1.4 mg/kg taken daily for 6 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo	CGI-ADHD-S There was no statistically significant difference between any ABT-089 dose and placebo for the mean change from baseline to final evaluation for the CGI-ADHD-S (Table 2), or on the mean change from baseline to each evaluation.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	US Setting: N/A	Clinical Global Impression-ADHD- Severity Scale (CGI-ADHD-S); no history of current or past diagnosis of bipolar I, II, or NOS (Not Otherwise Specified) disorder; psychotic disorder; autism, Asperger's syndrome or pervasive developmental disorder; tics or Tourette syndrome; seizure disorder; traumatic brain injury; current diagnosis of obsessive- compulsive disorder, eating disorder, anxiety disorder, or depressive disorder requiring treatment of any kind; psychotropic medications within 14 days or 5 half-lives (7 days for stimulants), whichever was longer, prior to the Day 1. Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive,inattentive_other : %s broken down by meds,hyperactive,hyperactive_othe r : %s broken down by meds,combined,combined_other : %s broken down by meds Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 33 %	Comparator: MedicationABT-089 (neuronal nicotinic receptor partial agonist) 0.7 mg/kg taken daily for 6 weeks Follow-up: 1.5 months	ADHS-RS-IV There was no statistically significant difference between ABT-089 and placebo in the primary efficacy analysis of mean change from baseline to final evaluation of the ADHD-RS-IV (HV) Total Score (Table 2), or on the secondary analysis of mean change from Any adverse event The rates were 60% in the intervention, 69% in the placebo, and 67.6% in the low dose group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	M/II	Age mean: 8.5 Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : % race is broken down by med dosage		
New pharmaceutical agent	Willens,2011 <sup>609</sup> ID: NCT00528697 RCT Multicenter N = 278 US Setting: N/A	<b>Target:</b> DSM-IV diagnosis of any ADHD subtype, confirmed by the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL), and a rating of 4 or higher on the Clinical Global Impression-ADHD- Severity Scale (CGI-ADHD-S); no history of current or past diagnosis of bipolar I, II, or not otherwise specified) disorder; psychotic disorder; autism, Asperger's syndrome or pervasive developmental disorder; tics or Tourette syndrome; seizure disorder; traumatic brain injury; current diagnosis of obsessive- compulsive disorder, eating disorder, anxiety disorder, or depressive disorder requiring treatment of any kind; psychotropic medications within 14 days or 5 half-lives (7 days for stimulants), whichever was longer, prior to the Day; atomoxetine within 3 months	Intervention: ABT-089 of 0.085 mg/kg, 0.260 mg/kg, 0.520 mg/kg, or 0.700 mg/kg once per day, treatment period of 8 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: MedicationAtomoxetine 1.2 mg/kg/day once per day, treatment period of 8 weeks Follow-up: 2 months	CGI-ADHD-S There was no statistically significant difference between any ABT-089 dose and placebo for the mean change from baseline to final evaluation for the CGI-ADHD-S, or on the mean change from baseline to each evaluation, with the exception of the 0.520 mg/kg ADHD-RS-IV There was no statistically significant difference between ABT-089 and placebo in the primary efficacy analysis of mean change from baseline to final evaluation of the ADHD-RS-IV (HV) Total Score, or on the secondary analysis of mean change from baseline t In the atomoxetine group, mean weight and BMI decreased by 0.1 kg and 0.2 kg/m2 (mean difference from placebo –1.3 CI-1.99, –0.69 and –0.6 CI –0.96, –0.19] Any adverse event The rate were 82% in the intervention, 76.1% in the placebo, and 82% in the atomoxetine group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		of randomization or not a suitable candidate to receive atomoxetine Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive_other : %s broken down by meds,hyperactive_other : %s broken down by meds,combined_other : %s broken down by meds Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 33 % Age mean: mean 8.6 Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:		ABT-089 was generally safe and well tolerated, with no statistically significant difference between any ABT-089 dose and placebo in the overall incidence of any specific AE, and no clinically significant changes in other safety measures
New pharmaceutical agent	Zarinara, 2010 <sup>624</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 38 Iran Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Children with combined subtype of ADHD and were newly diagnosed; children were excluded if they had a history or current diagnosis of pervasive developmental disorders, schizophrenia, or other psychiatric disorders or any current psychiatric comorbidity that required pharmacotherapy; any evidence of suicide risk and mental retardation	Intervention: Venlafaxine (antidepressant) at doses of 50–75 mg/day depending on weight (25 mg twice per day for <30 kg and 25 mg three times per day for >30 kg), treatment for 6 weeks Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate at a dose of 20–30 mg/day depending on	ADHD-RS-IV, parent rating Responder (at least 40% decrease in ADHD- RS-IV) No significant difference was observed in the two groups (p 0.33). No significant difference was observed on the reduction of scores of the Teacher ADHD Rating Scale (p 0.30). Decreased appetite

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		<ul> <li>(IQ &lt; 70), a clinically significant chronic medical condition, including organic brain disorder, seizures, or current abuse or dependence on drugs the last 6 months, hypertension or hypotension <b>Other:</b></li> <li><b>ADHD presentation:</b> combined : 100</li> <li><b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR</li> <li><b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A</li> <li><b>Female:</b> 29 %</li> <li><b>Age mean:</b></li> <li>9.42 (2.19) and 9.57(1.86)</li> <li><b>Minimum age:</b> 6</li> <li><b>Maximum age:</b> 13</li> <li><b>Ethnicity:</b></li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A</li> </ul>	weight, titrated up: week 1: 10 mg/day (5 mg in the morning and 5 mg at midday); week 2: 20 mg/day (10 mg in the morning and 10 mg at midday); and week 3: 30 mg/day for children >30 kg (10 mg in the m <b>Follow-up:</b> 1.5 months	The reported rates were 10.52% in the venlafaxine and 10.52% in the methylphenidate group. Nine side effects were observed over the trial, but all of them were mild to moderate and tolerable. The difference between the venlafaxine and methylphenidate groups in the frequency of side effects was not significant except for headaches and insomnia that were observed more frequently in the methylphenidate group.
New pharmaceutical agent	Zavadenko, 2019 <sup>625</sup> NA ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 100 Russia Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Children 6-12 years old with ADHD diagnosis based on ICD-10 criteria, presence of hyperdynamic (hyperkinetic) syndrome with attention deficit; severity of ADHD on the CGI-S scale of 3–6 points; total score on the ADHD-DSM-IV scale is at least 25 for boys and 22 for girls; patients with comorbid diseases that would require the use of	Intervention: Pantogam (Hopantenic acid) was given as tablets containing 250 mg at the pediatric therapeutic dose of 30 mg/kg, divided into two split doses taken after meals, for 4 months <b>Control:</b> Placebo Placebo as tablets with external appearance, packaging, and labeling identical to those of the	CGI-S (Clinical Global Impressions Scale- Severity) The intervention produced a decrease in disease severity from the placebo level (p=0.014). Proportions of patients with clinical improvements (decreases in total points scores on the DSM-IV ADHD scale by 25% or more from baseline)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		barbiturate, anticonvulsants, or any other nootropic agents were excluded Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 61.8,hyperactive : 7.9,combined : 30.3 Diagnosis: No Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18.0 % Age mean: Pantogan 8.7 (2.1). placebo 8.24 (1.63) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	study drug, taken in two split doses after meals, for 4 months <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 4 months	There was no significant difference between groups. Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale (WFIRS-P); Family Section-Parent There were significant decreases in impairment in the intervention compared to the control (p<0.01). Total adverse events The rate was 68% for intervention and 48% for control. Statistical analysis did not identify any significant differences between groups in clinical or biochemical blood tests or measures of urinalysis; results of clinical and neurological examination, the state of major organs or organ systems revealed no significant between group differences at the end of the trial
Nutrition, supplements	Abbasi, 2011 <sup>111</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 40 Iran Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Children with combined subtype of ADHD and newly diagnosed (drug naive); children were excluded if they had a history or current diagnosis of pervasive developmental disorders, schizophrenia or other psychiatric disorders, any current psychiatric comorbidity that required pharmacotherapy; or any evidence of suicide risk and mental retardation (I.Q<70). In addition, patients were excluded if they had a clinically significant chronic	Intervention: Acetyl-L-Carnitine doses ranging from 500 to 1,500 mg/day depending on the weight of the child (13.5–30 kg = 0.5 g twice per day;>30–50 kg = 1.0 g twice per day; and >50 kg = 1.5 g twice per day) plus methylphenidate at a dose of 20–30 mg/day depending on weight (20 mg/day for <30 kg and 30 mg/day for>30 kg), treatment for 6 weeks <b>Control:</b> Placebo	ADHD-RS-IV, parent rating The difference between groups was not significant (p 0.74). The difference between the two protocols was not significant for the teacher ratings (p 0.63). Decreased appetite The rate was 35% in the intervention and 40% in the control group. Fourteen side effects were observed, all mild to moderate and tolerable. The difference in the frequency of side effects was not significant except for headache and irritability

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age; Ethnicity medical condition, including organic brain disorder, seizures or current abuse or dependence on drugs in the last 6 months. Additional exclusion criteria were hypertension or hypotension Other: ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 30 % Age mean: 8.84(2.03) and 8.36(1.53) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Placebo plus methylphenidate at a dose of 20–30 mg/day depending on weight (20 mg/day for <30 kg and 30 mg/day for >30 kg). Methylphenidate was titrated up: week 1: 10 mg/day (5 mg in the morning and 5 mg at midday), week 2: 20 mg/day (10 mg in the mornin Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	that were observed more frequently in the methylphenidate plus placebo group.
Nutrition, supplements	Akhondzadeh, 2004 <sup>123</sup> ID: RCT Single center N = 44 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children aged 5-11, newly diagnosed with ADHD combined subtype and had not yet received any stimulant medication prior to enrollment Other: ADHD presentation: combined : 100.0 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist	Intervention: Zinc sulfate 55 mg/day (15mg elemental zinc) plus methylphenidate 1 mg/kg/day twice daily Control: Other Methylphenidate 1 mg/kg/day twice daily Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1.5 months	Parent ADHD rating scale Both groups showed significant improvement and the zinc+methylphenidate group improved significantly more than the placebo+methylphenidate group (p<0.001). Decreased appetite No difference between groups. Metallic taste was experienced more in the zinc group (p=0.0001).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age:	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting	Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Diagnosed by psychiatrist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 40.9 % Age mean: 7.88 (1.67) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 11 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Persian: 100%		
Nutrition, supplements	Baziar, $2019^{143}$ Tehran University of Medical Sciences, $2017^{1079}$ ID: IRCT201701131556N94 RCT Single center N = 54 Iran Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Children with a subscale scores on Attention- Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV of at least 1.5 standard deviations above norms for patient's age and gender. Exclusion criteria were psychiatric comorbidities, mental retardation, clinically significant chronic medical condition, systolic blood pressure over 125 mmHg and/or resting pulse below 60 or over 110 beats/min, history of allergy to saffron, psychotropic medication use in the past 2 weeks, females who were likely to go through pregnancy or lactation, use of any medication that might have adverse reactions with saffron, including warfarin, aspirin, other antiplatelet agents, herbal medicines, and patients who were going to	Intervention: Saffron capsules at a dosage of 20–30 mg/d depending on weight (20 mg/d for <30 kg and 30 mg/d for >30 kg) for 6 weeks Control: NA Comparator: MedicationMethylphenidate (ritalin) at a dose of 0.3–1 mg/(kg*d), titrated up during the trial according to the following schedule: 10 mg/d (5 mg in the morning and 5 mg at midday) in week 1; 20 mg/d (10 mg in the morning and 10 mg at midday) in week 2; 20 mg/d for Follow-up: 1.5 months	ADHD-RS-IV total, parent and teacher No significant difference between the two groups on Parent and Teacher Rating Scale scores. Decreased appetite The rate of decreased appetite was 8% in the saffron group compared to 20% in the methylphenidate group. No serious adverse event was observed in any of the patients and all noticed adverse effects were mild to moderate and tolerable, the frequency of side effects was not significantly different between the saffron and MPH groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		undergo surgery within 36 hours to 14 days Other: ADHD presentation: N/A : Baseline ADHD-RS-IV Parent version total, mean(SD): Control=34.20(4.69) Intervention=33.56(6.48) Baseline ADHD-RS-IV Teacher version total, mean(SD): Control=24.16(8.32) Intervention=23.64(8.16) Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-V		
		Female: 20 %		
		Age mean: Intervention 9.08 (2.23), control 8.28 (1.59)		
		Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17		
		<b>Ethnicity:</b> Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Nutrition, supplements	Behdani, 2013 <sup>145</sup> ID: RCT Single center N = 75 Iran	<b>Target:</b> Children and adolescents with ADHD. Those with co-morbid psyc diagnoses or serious medical conditions were excluded <b>Other:</b> Teachers and parents reported outcomes	Intervention: Methylphenidate plus Omega 3; final dose of 1mg/kg (maximum dose 60mg/day), in 2 or 3 divided doses, plus Omega-3, two 1000-miligram capsules (containing 240 mg of DHA and 360 mg of EPA), per day in 2 divided doses	ADHD Rating Scale-IV, parent Difference between groups in terms of parent's and teacher's ADHD rating scale scores were not significant. 1/75 dropped out due to side effects of omega 3, including nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting: Specialty care	ADHD presentation: inattentive : 21.7,hyperactive : 37.7,combined : 40.6 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR by board-certified psychiatrists Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20.3 % Age mean: 8.7 (1.7) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 100% Persian	Control: Placebo Methylphenidate plus placebo; final dose of 1mg/kg (maximum dose 60mg/day), in 2 or 3 divided doses plus placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	
Nutrition, supplements	Bilici, 2004 <sup>157</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 400 Turkey Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD who have no other mental or medical illness Other: Teachers supplied some outcomes ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by psychiatrists, pediatrician, and psychologist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20 % Age mean: 9.4 (1.5) Minimum age: 6	Intervention: Zinc sulfate (150 mg/day) for 12 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo (sucrose, 150 mg) for 12 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 3 months	ADHDS (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Scale) change Therapeutic response Intervention patients showed greater improvement than placebo patients (p=.002). Intervention group also showed significantly more improvement in ADHDS-H (p=.01), ADHDS-I (p=.03), and ADHDS-S (p = .03) subscales compared with placebo groups. Therapeutic Significantly more intervention patients than placebo patients reported metallic taste (p = .01). No significant difference in nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and diarrhea.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Turkish		
Nutrition, supplements	Chang, 2019 <sup>186</sup> Hospital, China Medical University, National Science Council, 2016 <sup>697</sup> ID: NCT03542643 RCT Single center N = 103 Taiwan Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children and adolescents with ADHD who were drug naïve or had no medication for the past 6 months. Those with comorbid psychiatric disorders, such as autism spectrum disorder, anxiety disorder, and conduct disorder were excluded Other: ADHD symptoms were rated by parents and teachers ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM V diagnoses were confirmed by a child and adolescent psychiatrist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 14.1 % Age mean: 9.49 (3.05) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: % Asian : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Omega 3 eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) 1.2 g per day for 12 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 3 months	SNAP IV total score, parent version There was no difference between groups in changes in parent or teacher reported inattention (p=.072, .066), hyperactivity (p=.075, .766) and ODD (p=.207, .759) subscale scores. Continuous Performance Test (CPT) variability score (measures focused attention). Intervention group had significantly greater decrease from baseline to 12 weeks (p = 0.041).

	Study:	Population:	Comparison:	Outcome and results
	Author, year;	Setting;	Intervention;	
Ę	Multiple publications;	Study target;	Control;	
tio	Trial ID; Study dosign:	ADHD presentation;	Comparator;	
en	Siduy design,	Diagnosis, Comorbidity:	Follow-up	
Ž	Study size:	% Female:		
Ite	Location	Age mean:		
-	Setting	Minimum age;		
	0	Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
	Cornu, 2018 <sup>214</sup>	Target: Children and adolescents	Intervention: Omega 3 dietary	Connors total score
	ID: N/A	ages 6-15 with at least	supplement, participants aged 6–8	No beneficial effect of omega-3 supplement.
	RCT	for 6 months or more and/or at	336 mg, participants aged 9–11	ADHD-RS-IV
	Multicenter	least one of six inattention	years eicosatetraenoic acid 504 mg,	No beneficial effect of omega-3 supplement.
	N = 162	symptoms for six months or more,	participants aged 12–15 years	There was no significant change in reading
	France	all with certain symptoms which were present before age 7 and with	elcosalelraenoic acid 672 mg, capsules also contained 100 ug	skills (L'Aloutte) in both groups (p=0.28).
	Setting: Specialty care	a functional impairment in 2 or	vitamin A, 1.25 $\mu$ g vitamin D, and	Participants experiencing adverse events
6		more environments and clinically	3.5 mg vitamin E, treatment duration	15% vs 11% adverse events favoring placebo.
ents		significant alteration in social,	was 3 months, during which other	2/80 patients in the DHA–EPA group
me		school, or family functioning.	hyperactivity treatments and other	experienced a severe adverse event
ple		Symptoms cannot be a part of	omega-3 supplements or	(hospitalisation for worsening ADHD
dns		Other: Staff, parents	Control: Dissols	symptoms).
ion,		ADHD presentation: N/A	The placebo capsules were	
utrit		Diagnosis: Confirmation by	indistinguishable from active	
ž		specialist	capsules and were composed of	
		child psychiatrist	olive oil, the same amount of vitamin	
		Comorbidity: N/A	lipid concentrate: EPA (18%), DHA	
			(12%), totaling 4.83 mg, to give the	
		Age mean: 6.9 (2.9)	capsules a similar taste	
		Minimum age: 6	Comparator: NA	
		maximum age: 15	Follow-up: 28 months	
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	•	
	Crippa, 2019 <sup>216</sup>	Target: Children with ADHD who	Intervention: Omega 3 supplement	Behavior in Child Health Questionnaire
on, nen	Crippa 2018 <sup>707</sup> IRCCS	were drug-naïve and had not	of 500 mg algal docosahexaenoic	Only the intervention group improved.
len	Eugenio Medea. 2012 <sup>820</sup>	consumed omega-3/omega-6	acid (DHA) per day for 6 months	CCLS
Nut Jpp	ID: NCT01796262	supplements during the 3 months	Control: Placebo	
รา	12.110101100202	prior to the recruitment		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	RCT Single center N = 50 Italy Setting: Specialty care	Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 15.7,hyperactive : 33.3,combined_other : 51 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by child neuropsychiatrist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 8.7 % Age mean: 11.1 (1.85) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: % White : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Placebo treatment consisted of two pearls per day containing 500 mg wheat germ oil. Placebo pill was stabilized with low concentration of Vitamin E <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	Difference between groups was not significant (p > 0.05). ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD rating scale IV) Parent Version, total Difference between groups was not significant (p>0.05). Word Reading Accuracy (errors) difference between groups was not significant (p>0.05). Higher impact of symptoms on functioning evaluated by SDQ in DHA group (p=0.045). Participants with adverse events No adverse events in both groups. Over the course of the 6 months, no instances of either major or minor adverse events were reported.
Nutrition, supplements	Fallah, 2018 <sup>265</sup> Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, 2016 <sup>1004</sup> ID: IRCT201604212639N18 RCT Single center N = 56 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD and refractory epilepsy. Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: Other : Epilepsy Female: 41.0 % Age mean: 9.24 (0.15) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 11 Ethnicity:	Intervention: Omega-3 (1000 mg of omega 3 fish oil, 180 mg of eicosapentaenoic acid and 120 mg docosahexaenoic acids) 1 capsule per day plus 0.5 mg of risperidone per day and an antiepileptic drug for 3 months Control: Other Risperidone 0.5 mg and an antiepileptic drug alone Comparator: NA Follow-up: 6 months	Monthly seizure frequency was lower in intervention group compared to control group (p=0.03). The rate of good response, defined as a 50% decrease in seizures, was higher in the intervention group (p 0.001). Participants with side effects No significant difference between groups (p 0.50).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity % White : 100	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Nutrition, supplements	Ghajar, 2018 <sup>295</sup> ID: IRCT201601031556N84 RCT Single center N = 56 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Newly diagnosed patients who met criteria of DSM-V, needed to have no previously diagnosed psychiatric comorbidity (except for ODD), or developmental or physiological disorders (such as high blood pressure or seizures), this includes having an IQ over 70, and without receiving any supplemental medication, or having an allergy to L-carnosine or methylphenidate Other: ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosis: No Comorbidity: N/A Female: 16 % Age mean: 9.12 (2.18) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : All patients were reported as persian	Intervention: I-carnosine (800mg/d) plus methylphenidate hydrochloride (20 mg/d in 2 divided doses, 30 mg/d in three divided doses) for 8 weeks <b>Control:</b> Other Methylphenidate alone, 0.5- 1.5mg;/kg, titrated up during the trial according to the following schedule: 10mg/d (two divided doses) for the first week followed by 20mg/d (two divided doses) from the second week till the rest of the trial. Patients who weig <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 2 months	ADHD-RS-IV Significant time by treatment interaction on total and inattention subscales indicating beneficial effects of the adjunct. Seven side effects were recorded during the course of the study; no serious adverse event was observed in any of the patients; the most common side effects were abdominal pain (28%), headache (20%), and insomnia (16%) in the I-carnosine group; and abdominal pain (24%) and headache (24%) in the placebo group. The frequency of side effects did not differ significantly between the two groups

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Nutrition, supplements	Ghanizadeh, 2015 <sup>296</sup> ID: IRCT201311303930N29 RCT Single center N = 106 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD. Those with serious medical conditions were excluded. Other: Parents ADHD presentation: inattentive_other : Mean inattentiveness score at basline = 15.75 on ADHD Checklist Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV diagnostic criteria supported by KSADS Comorbidity: N/A Female: 26.4 % Age mean: 8.45 (2.1) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other i 100% Persian	Intervention: Methylphenidate (mean dose 12.7(5.4) mg/day) plus dietary recommendations. Parents received a lists of foods which were recommended (diary, homemade fruit juices, vegetables, low-fat meat) and another list of the foods which were recommended to be eaten as less as possible. Parents were encouraged to provide their children with three regular meals per day. Control: Other Methylphenidate alone, mean dose 11.9(4.6) mg/day. Comparator: Follow-up: 1 month	ADHD Checklist, Hyperactivity / Impulsivity Score No significant difference between groups in the mean change of hyperactivity/impulsivity and inattentiveness scores.
Nutrition, supplements	Gustafsson, 2010 <sup>308</sup> Hela Pharma AB, 2004 <sup>800</sup> ID: EudraCT No. 2004- 003853-13 RCT Multicenter N = 92 Sweden Setting: Specialty care	Target: ADHD patients with no medical conditions requiring intervention and no neuro or psyc comorbidity. Other: Parents and teachers provided outcomes ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV	Intervention: Omega 3, one eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) capsule PlusEPA contained 500 mg EPA + 2.7 mg DHA and 10 mg Vitamin E mixed tocopheroles, 1 capsule per day for 15 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo was a mixture of rape seed oil and medium-chain triglycerides contained in a capsule identical to the one used for PlusEPA containing	Conners Rating Parent rating scale total No significant difference between groups (p > .05). There were only mild adverse events observed, most of them classified as not related or unlikely to have been related to the drug. Events possibly related to drug treatment, such as abdominal symptoms and nose bleeding did not differ between groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting	Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: %	<10% of the PlusEPA content of omega-3 LCPUFA	
		not provided Age mean: NA Minimum age: 7	Comparator: NA Follow-up: 3.75 months	
		Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % White : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
, supplements	Hariri, 2012 <sup>314</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 120 Iran Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> ADHD patients on Ritalin with Conners' Abbreviated Questionnaires (ASQ-P) scores for hyperactivity greater than 14. Exclusion criteria were infectious diseases, diabetes, hyperthyroidism, convulsion, epilepsy and consumption of n-3 fatty acids supplements. <b>Other:</b> Parents provided outcomes <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A	Intervention: Omega 3 plus ritalin (any dose); soft gel capsules of n-3 fatty acids with a total daily dose of 900mg n-3 fatty acids (635mg eicosapentaenoic acid, 165mg docosahexaenoic acid and 100mg other n-3 fatty acids), for 8 weeks <b>Control:</b> Other Ritalin (any dose) plus placebo (olive oil capsules)	ASQ-P (Conners' Abbreviated Questionnaires) Intervention group improved more than control group (p < .001). 2 intervention group patients withdrew because of steatorrhoea.
Nutrition		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Conners' Abbreviated Questionnaires (ASQ-P)	Follow-up: 2 months	
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: 38 % Age mean: 7.90 (1.5) Minimum age: 6		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Nutrition, supplements	Hemamy, 2021 <sup>320</sup> Hemamy, 2020 <sup>801</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 66 Iran Setting: Mixed	Target: Children with serum level of 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 less than 30 ng/dL, a diagnosis of ADHD based on the presence of at least 6 out of 9 cases of inattention and also at least 6 out of 9 cases of hyperactivity based on DSM IV and serum magnesium levels less than 2.3 mg/dL Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV diagnosed by unknown source Comorbidity: N/A Female: 30.3 % Age mean: 9.06 (1.76) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % White : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Vitamin D (50,000 IU/week with lunch meal) and an oral tablet of magnesium (6 mg/kg/day with lunch meal) for a duration of 8-weeks <b>Control:</b> Placebo Participants in the control group received a placebo, similar in appearance, color, and taste to the two supplements (edible paraffin oil as a placebo for vitamin D, microcrystalline cellulose, and stearic acid as a placebo for magnesium) <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 2 months	Strength and difficulties questionnaire (SDQ), total difficulties The intervention group showed a significant reduction in total difficulties compared to control group (p = 0.001). No adverse effects of Vitamin D and magnesium supplementation were reported at the end of this study.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Nutrition, supplements	Hirayama, 2014 <sup>323</sup> ID: RCT Single center N = 36 Japan Setting: Community	Target: Children aged 4-14 years old Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist diagnosed by child's own psychiatrist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 5.6 % Age mean: 9.1 (1.7) for intervention group; 8.7 (3.0) for placebo group Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity:	Intervention: Phosphatidylserine (soy-derived) 100mg chewable tablet, 2 chews per day Control: Placebo Identical-appearing placebo chewable tablets, 2 chews per day Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	Inattention Go/No-Go task No difference between groups (p 0.29). DSM-IV (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition) criteria score ADHD symptoms were statistically significantly lower in the phosphatidylserine treated group compared to the placebo group (p<0.01). Working memory: phosphatidylserine 0.3, placebo -0.7 (n.s.).
Nutrition, supplements	Johnson, 2009 <sup>343</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 75 Sweden Setting: Specialty care	Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A <b>Target:</b> Children and adolescents with ADHD, exclusion criteria were autism, psychosis, bipolar disorder, mental retardation, uncontrolled seizure disorder, hyper- or hypothyroidism, significant other medical conditions, weight below 20 kg, alcohol or drug abuse, or the use of any psychoactive drugs or omega 3 preparations in the past 3 months <b>Other:</b> Parents reported some outcomes	Intervention: Omega 3/6 in a dose of three capsules twice daily, corresponding to a daily dose of 558 mg eicosapentaenoic acid, 174 mg docosahexaenoic acid (both are omega-3 fatty acids), 60 mg gamma linoleic acid (an omega 6 fatty acid), and 10.8 mg Vitamin E for 3 months <b>Control:</b> Placebo Identical capsules containing olive oil <b>Comparator:</b> NA	CGI (Clinical Global Impression) scale change Intervention group improved more than placebo group (p 0.02). ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale IV), parent reported change Number responding (defined as 25% improvement in ADHD symptoms on ADHD RS IV) Difference in mean improvement at follow-up not significant. Higher percentage of intervention group classified as responders. 11 (3 active, 8 placebo) withdrawals during Study Period (7 were unmotivated to continue

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		ADHD presentation: inattentive : 53,combined : 47 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-RS-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15 % Age mean: Intervention 11.8 (2.14), control 12.2 (2.19) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Follow-up: 3 months	or had problems swallowing the capsules [1 active, 6 placebo], 3 had side effects in the form of dyspepsia, vomiting, or diarrhea [2 active, 1 placebo]), and 1 patient (placebo) due to markedly increased irritability.
Nutrition, supplements	Johnstone, 2022 <sup>344</sup> Johnstone, 2019 <sup>840</sup> ; Oregon Health Science University, 2018 <sup>937</sup> ID: NCT03252522 RCT Multicenter N = 135 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD not on medication; exclusion criteria were neurological disorders, serious medical conditions, and known allergy to any ingredient in either intervention Other: Parents provided outcome data ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-V Comorbidity: N/A Female: 27 % Age mean: 9.8 (1.7)	Intervention: Capsules containing a blend of ingredients comprising all vitamins and known essential minerals, plus amino acids and antioxidants, total of 9 to 12 capsules per day accumulated to doses above the Recommended Dietary Allowance but below the Upper Tolerable Intake Level, 8 weeks of treatment <b>Control:</b> Placebo Visually identical placebo capsules containing cellulose filler and 0.1 mg of riboflavin per capsule to mimic the color of urine as when supplemented with B-vitamins	CGI-S severity reduced 56% of micronutrient group vs 22% of placebo group had illness severity reduced by at least 1 category (p < .001). Inattention CASI-5 (Child and Adolescent Symptom Inventory-5), parent-rated Between-group difference was not significant. Impairment scale CASI teacher rating No statistically significant difference between groups (p=0.22). Height (cm) Intervention patients gained more height (p 0.002). Participants with any adverse event

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Minimum age: 6	Comparator: NA	Rate was 32% in the intervention and 45% in
		Maximum age: 12	Follow-up: 2 months	the placebo group.
		Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 3 % Asian : 3 % White : 88 Other info on race or ethnicity:		No between-group differences for treatment- emergent adverse events were detected.
	Katz, 2010 <sup>353</sup>	Target: Treatment naïve children	Intervention: Compound herbal	Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA),
	Etz-HaChayim Clinic	conditions, psychiatric comorbid	preparation, primary active herbal ingredients include Paeoniae Alba.	composite score Improvement for overall TOVA (p < .001) as
	(ISTAEL), 2007,55	conditions, or ongoing use of any	Withania Somnifera , Centella	well as omission ( $p = .016$ ), commission ( $p =$
	RCT	medications excluded	Asiatica, Spirulina Platensis, Bacopa	.026), response time (p < .001) and variability $(p < .001)$ scales was greater for intervention
S	Single center	ADHD presentation: N/A	ml of the compound herbal	group than placebo group.
nen	N = 120	<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by	preparation taken 3 times daily	Decreased appetite
plen	Israel	specialist	of water	Decreased appetite reported by 2 people in
dns	Setting: Specialty care	DSM-IV	Control: Placebo	group.
on,		Female: 15 %	Placebo home administered by	No serious adverse events were reported and
utriti		Age mean:	prepare (dilute in water) the daily	the rate of even mild adverse events among
ź		Intervention 9.72 (1.58), control	dosage for the entire day	intervention patients was less than that of
		9.20 (1.82)	Comparator: NA	more frequent in the intervention than in the
		Minimum age: 6	Follow-up: 4 months	placebo group.
		Maximum age: 12		
		Cher info on race or ethnicity: N/A		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Nutrition, supplements	Khaksarian, 2021 <sup>356</sup> Khoram-Abad University of Medical Sciences, 2020 <sup>851</sup> ID: IRCT20190602043790N 2 RCT Single center N = 70 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children and adolescents with ADHD Other: Parents and teachers provided outcomes ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM V by Child Psychiatrist Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: Methylphenidate group: 11.03 (2.31) and for Methylphenidate and Saffron group: 10.57 (2.56) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Saffron plus methylphenidate: 20 mg/d (for <30 kg and 30 mg/d for > 30 kg, 10 mg for morning, midday, and evening equally) plus 20-30 mg/d saffron capsules according to the BMI (20 and 30 mg/d for <30kg and > 30kg), treatment over 8 week period <b>Control:</b> Other Methylphenidate alone: In week one, initial dose of 10mg/d (5mg for morning and midday equally); week 2 it was 20 mg/d (10 mg for morning and midday equally), and 20 mg/d (for <30 kg and 30 mg/d for > 30 kg, 10 mg for morning, midday, and evening. <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 2 months	ADHD-IV (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV) scores, total, parent report Intervention group improved more on all ADHD IV parent and teacher reported scales (p < .001). No significant difference between groups in side effects.
Nutrition, supplements	Khoshbakht, 2021 <sup>358</sup> Nutrition and Food security research center, 2018 <sup>932</sup> ID: IRCT20130223012571N 6 RCT Single center N = 86	Target: Treatment naive children with ADHDOther: Parents and teachers provided outcomesADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by psychiatristComorbidity: N/AFemale: 0 %	Intervention: Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet for 3 months (12 weeks), diet contains higher amounts of whole grains, fruits, vegetables, low-fat dairy products, nuts, and beans, as well as low amounts of saturated fats, cholesterol, refined grains, sweets, and red meat <b>Control:</b> Attention-matched control	SNAP-IV, combined, parent report Intervention group improved more on both parent reported SNAP IV ( $p = 0.007$ ) and teacher reported SNAP IV ( $p = 0.03$ ). SDQ-P (strengths and difficulties questionnaire, parent reported) total score After adjustment for confounders, parent, teacher, and child reported SDQ hyperactivity, emotional symptoms, and total scores significantly improved in the DASH group compared with the control group ( $p < 0.05$ ).
Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
------------------------	---	---	--	--
	Iran Setting: Specialty care	Age mean: N/A Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Control diet was similar to the usual diet of Iranian children, allowing for refined grains, full-fat dairy, and meats; it had lower amounts of fruits and vegetables, simple sugars were also allowed <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 3 months	
Nutrition, supplements	Manor, 2012 <sup>401</sup> Manor, 2012 <sup>874</sup> ; Enzymotec, 2007 <sup>737</sup> ID: NCT00418184 RCT Single center N = 200 Israel Setting: Specialty care	Target: Confirmed DSM-IV-ADHD diagnosis. No girls who reached menarche; no history or current diagnosis of any serious systemic or neurological condition; no pervasive developmental disorder or nonverbal learning disability; no psychotic disorder; no current psychiatric comorbidity that required psychiatric pharmacotherapy; no history of alcohol or substance abuse. Other: Parents, teachers reported outcomes ADHD presentation: inattentive : 32,hyperactive : 2,combined : 66 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV ADHD diagnosis confirmed Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.3 % Age mean: 9.2 (1.9)	Intervention: Omega 3, 4 capsules (2 capsules twice a day for 15- weeks) of Phosphatidylserine- Omega3 daily; daily dosage provided 300 mg of Phosphatidylserine, 120 mg of Eicosapentaenoic acid + Docosahexaenoic acid (Eicosapentaenoic acid/Docosahexaenoic acid ratio of 2:1) Control: Placebo Four capsules (2 capsules twice a day for 15-weeks) of cellulose as placebo. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 4 months	CTRS/L (Conners' Teacher Rating Scale Revised Long-Hebrew Version) No significant difference between the intervention and control group (p=0.898). Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) No significant difference between the intervention and control group. BMI change following 15 weeks of treatment P=0.301 Participants with adverse events No significant differences were detected between the placebo and the intervention group in the incidence or number of adverse events recorded (p = 0.848 and p = 0.982, respectively).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	1: 0040478	Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Nutrition, supplements	Mohammadi, 2012 <sup>428</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 50 Iran Setting: N/A	Target: Children aged 7-12 yearsdiagnosed with ADHD (combinedform) by a child and adolescentpsychologist and did not use anyconfounding drugs or supplementswere recruited into the initial stageof this study. Children with historyof major prenatal complicationssuch as prematurity, low birthweight (reported by parents), anypast or present psychosis,comorbid Tourette syndrome,celiac, phenylketonuria, autism, orother persistent developmentaldisorders were excluded.Furthermore, narcotics use wasamong our exclusion criteriaOther:ADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosis: Confirmation byspecialistDSM-IVComorbidity: N/AFemale: 28 %Age mean:	Intervention: Melatonin (3 or 6mg) combined with methylphenidate (Ritalin) (1mg/kg) for 8 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo combined with methylphenidate (Ritalin) (1mg/kg) for 8 weeks Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	ADHD-RS (ADHD Rating Scale) The mean attention deficiency scores of two groups based on ADHD rating scale at 8 weeks after the treatment showed no statistically significant difference (p=0.974; mean for melatonin was 11.11 and mean for placebo was 11.29). SDSC (Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children): The mean sleep latency and total sleep disturbance scores were reduced in melatonin group, while the scores increased in the placebo group (p≥0.05). Loss of appetite The rates were 70% in the melatonin and 61% in the placebo group. Mean scores of side effects based on the stimulant drug side effects questionnaire were 11.35 (SD 8.81) in melatonin group and 10.16 (SD 9.05) in placebo group (p=0.686).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Intervention 9.57(1.65), control 8.83(1.82)		
		Maximum age: 12		
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
	Mohammadzadeh, 2019 <sup>429</sup> Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, 2017 <sup>858</sup> ID: IRCT2016060128182N2	Target: Children with ADHD. Those who Children who had used omega-3 in at least the last 6 months were exclude, as were those with any physical illness or psyc disorder. Other: Parents provided some outcomes	Intervention: Omega-3 eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) capsules (180 mg) and docosahexaenoic acid (120 mg) plus optimal dose of methylphenidate up to 30 mg, supplement and medication taken twice a day for 8 weeks	ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale-IV parents), total score There was no statistically significant difference between groups (p=0.75). There were also no significant intergroup differences between the Inattention (p=0.48) and hyperactivity/impulsivity (p=0.80) subscale scores on the Parents ADHD Rating Scale.
ments	Single center	ADHD presentation: N/A : "Patients were from all ADHD subtypes and new ones."	<b>Control:</b> Other Placebo plus methylphenidate for 8 weeks	Anorexia No difference between groups (p>0.05).
ion, supple	Iran Setting: Specialty care	<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR, diagnosis made by a child & adolescent psychiatrist	Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	There was no statistically significant difference in incidences of nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, stomach ache, dry mouth, drowsiness, insomnia, anxiety, restlessness, irritability, or
lutril		Comorbidity: N/A		seizure between the groups.
Z		Female: 25.8 %		
		Age mean: Methylphenidate + placebo: 8.20 (1.72), Methylphenidate + omega- 3: 7.7 (1.65)		
		Minimum age: 6		
		Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity:		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Nutrition, supplements	Mostajeran, 2020 <sup>431</sup> Mostajeran, 2018 <sup>1151</sup> ID: IRCT20180303038930N 1 RCT Single center N = 64 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD on medication. Exclusion criteria were having any significant physical impairment, history of a pervasive developmental disorder, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, severe depressive episode, epilepsy or heart disease. Other: Parents provided some outcomes ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Pediatrician by DSM-V Comorbidity: N/A Female: 12.5 % Age mean: 9.38 (2.18) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Ma'aljobon powder for two months, 25 g in 100 cc water, once daily after breakfast, participants continued their previous standard conventional ADHD medications Control: TAU Children continued their previous standard conventional ADHD medications. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	Hyperactivity scale Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ), parent-report Intervention group improved more on hyperactivity scale (p = 0.04). No significant difference in improvement on emotional symptoms (p= .88), conduct problems (p = .55), peer problems (p = .66), or prosocial behavior (p = .62). Regarding teacher report SD

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting	Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
Nutrition, supplements	Pelsser, 2011 <sup>460</sup> Wageningen University (The Netherlands), 2008 <sup>1113</sup> ID: ISRCTN76063113 Crossover trial Unclear/Not reported N = 100 Netherlands Setting: Mixed	Target: Children with ADHD. Exclusion criteria were children receiving drugs or behavioural therapy for ADHD, children already following a diet, or family circumstances that were likely to prevent completion of the study. Other: Parents & teachers supplied some outcomes. ADHD presentation: inattentive : 6,hyperactive : 9,combined : 85 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 14 % Age mean: 6.9 (1.3) Minimum age: 8 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Individually designed restricted elimination diet, consisting of the few- foods diet (ie, rice, meat, vegetables, pears, and water) complemented with specific foods such as potatoes, fruits, and wheat for five weeks <b>Control:</b> Attention-matched control Received healthy food advice according to the guidelines of the Dutch Nutrition Centre. Parents continued to keep an extended diary until the end of the trial. <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 3 months	ADHD-RS (ADHD rating scale), total score, teacher report Intervention group improved more than control group on both teacher (p < .001) and parent (p < .001) scales.
Nutrition, supplements	Pongpitakdamrong, 2021 <sup>466</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 52 Thailand Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children and adolescents with ADHD and iron deficiency treated with a steady dosage of methylphenidate for at least 1 month Other: Parents & teachers supplied outcomes ADHD presentation: inattentive : 21.2,hyperactive : 1.9,combined : 76.9	Intervention: Iron in the form of ferrous fumarate, 200mg capsules of ferrous fumarate, participants who weighed less than or equal to 30kg received 1 capsule of ferrous fumarate per day for 12 weeks, participants who weighed > 30kg received 2 capsules per day (2–4 mg of elemental iron/kg/d) for 12	Vanderbilt ADHD total score Intervention group improved more (p 0.037). No significant difference between groups regarding change in teacher ADHD RS total score. Participants with any adverse event No reported adverse events in either group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-V Comorbidity: Other : Iron deficiency Female: 13.5 % Age mean: 9.6 (2.0) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: % Asian : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	weeks, methylphenidate continued as already prescribed <b>Control:</b> Placebo Placebo that tasted and looked similar to the ferrous fumarate capsules, participants who weighed less than or equal to 30kg received 1 capsule of placebo per day for 12 weeks, whereas participants who weighed >30kg received 2 capsules per day for 12 wee <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 3 months	
Nutrition, supplements	Rafeiy-Torghabeh, 2021 <sup>477</sup> Roozbeh Psychiatric Hospital, 2018 <sup>982</sup> ID: IRCT20090117001556N 115 RCT Single center N = 66 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children 6 to 12 with ADHD per DSM 5; excluded if any psychiatric comorbidity except oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) Other: Guardians (usually parents) and teachers ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM 5 Comorbidity: N/A Female: 28.3 % Age mean: 8.7 (1.7) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity:	Intervention: Resveratrol 250mg two times a day in addition to methylphenidate 20mg/day for 8 weeks, participants weighing more than 30kg received methylphenidate 30mg/day Control: Placebo Placebo plus methylphenidate 20mg/day for 8 weeks, participants weighing more than 30kg received methylphenidate 30mg/day Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	ADHD-RS-IV parent version Significant of intervention on parent ADHD-RS (total p 0.015; inattention p 0.032; hyperactivity/impulsivity p 0.036). No significant differences on teacher version of ADHD-RS (total p 0.401; inattention p 0.507; hyperactivity/impulsivity p 0.466). Reduced appetite No group difference in decreased appetite ( p = 0.76). The frequencies of adverse events in the groups were similar.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Nutrition, supplements	Rucklidge, 2018 <sup>493</sup> ID: ACTRN12613000896774 RCT Single center N = 93 New Zealand Setting: Specialty care	Target: Medication-free children with ADHD aged 7–12 years Other: Parents and teachers provided some outcome data ADHD presentation: inattentive : 28.0,hyperactive : 5.4,combined : 66.6 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV plus Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia Lifetime Version (KSADS-PL) plus parent and teacher Conners Rating Scales (CRS-R:L; T score > 65 on parent form and >60 on teacher form) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 23.7 % Age mean: 9.75 (1.5) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 21.5%,Other info : Maori or Tongan % White : 78.5%	Intervention: Multivitamin containing a comprehensive range of micronutrients (13 vitamins, 17 minerals, and four amino acids), 15 capsules a day for 10 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.5 months	<ul> <li>SDQ - Conduct problems, teacher</li> <li>No statistically significant difference between groups (p=0.055).</li> <li>CGI-I (Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement)</li> <li>CGI-I improved or very much improved Intervention group had greater improvement in mean score (p=0.029) and had a higher percentage showing improvement (p&lt;0.05).</li> <li>ADHD-RS-IV, clinician report</li> <li>No between-group differences (p=0.415).</li> <li>Intervention group improved more on Teacher BRIEF-Behavioural Regulation Index (p 0.05) and BRIEF emotional control scale (p 0.01).</li> <li>No difference in Child Mania Rating Scale - Parent report (p 0.10). No difference in Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) total problem score as reported by parents (p 0.062) or teachers (p 0.064). Intervention group scored better on SDQ conduct problems scale in the parent (p 0.015) but not teacher report (p 0.055).</li> <li>Weight (kg) change from baseline The change in weight was not statistically significant (p=0.6.08).</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:         Setting;         Study target;         ADHD presentation;         Diagnosis;         Comorbidity;         % Female;         Age mean;         Minimum age;         Maximum age;         Ethnicity         Other info on race or ethnicity:	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results Across a large number of assessed outcomes.
				micronutrients had minimal side effects.
Nutrition, supplements	Salehi, 2010 <sup>497</sup> Roozbeh Psychiatric Hospital, 2009 <sup>981</sup> ID: IRCT138711151556N6 RCT Single center N = 50 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD; comorbid psychiatric diagnosis that would contraindicate GXR treatment or confound efficacy or safety assessments, were excluded Other: Parents & teachers provided outcomes ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia- Present and Lifetime diagnostic interview Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22 % Age mean: Ginko 9.12 (1.61), methylphenidate 9.61 (2.26) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Gynkgo biloba dose of 80–120 mg/day depending on weight, 40 mg twice per day for < 30 kg and 120 mg three times per day for > 30kg, treatment for 6 weeks <b>Control:</b> NA <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationMethylphenidate 20–30 mg/day depending on weight (20 mg/day for < 30kg and 30 mg/day for > 30 kg) for 6 weeks; titrated in week 1: 10 mg/day (5 mg in the morning and 5 mg at midday), week 2: 20 mg/day (10 mg in the morning and 10 mg at midday) and week 3: <b>Follow-up:</b> 1.5 months	ADHD-RS-IV Total Score changes, parent MPH group improved more on parent (p=0.047) and teacher (p =0.05) ADHD-RS-IV total score. Decreased appetite, number of patients Decreased appetite more common in MPH group (p = 0.0002). Side effects were mild to moderate and tolerable, the difference in the frequency of side effects was no significant except for decreased appetite, headache, and insomnia that were more frequent in the methylphenidate group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Nutrition, supplements	Salehi, 2016 <sup>498</sup> ID: IRCT20110416201N1 RCT Single center N = 150 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Ethnicity Target: Children with ADHD with no history of psychiatric drug usage and no history of other psychiatric disorders, no limitation or sensitivity for the use of zinc sulfate and omega-3, and absence of mental retardation Other: Parents & teachers supplied outcomes ADHD presentation: inattentive : 28.7,hyperactive : 29.3,combined : 42 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Psychiatrist DSM-IV-TR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 26 % Age mean: 9.07 (2.13) Minimum age: 15 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other i Persian	Intervention: Omega 3, eicosapentaenoic fatty acid (100 mg for children <25 kg, 200 mg for 26– 35 kg, and 400 mg for children >35 kg/day) with daily methylphenidate, prescribed based on child's weight (10 mg daily for children under 20 kg; 10 mg, twice a day for children over 20 kg) for 8 weeks Control: Other Methylphenidate plus placebo (whitish color capsule containing sugar, as the same shape and volume of omega-3 capsules) Comparator: Nutrition, supplementsZinc sulfate capsule (containing 22 mg zinc sulfate) administered with daily MPH Follow-up: 2 months	Conners' Parent and Teacher Rating Scales average No difference among groups (p=0.581).
Nutrition, supplements	Tan, 2016 <sup>566</sup> ID: NCT01855984 RCT Multicenter N = 146 Other	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD. Those with syndromes, inborn errors of metabolism, structural brain lesions, co-existing chronic liver disease and those on concurrent anticoagulants or antiplatelet drugs were excluded. Children who were unable to	<b>Intervention:</b> Tocotrienol-rich fractions (TRF), a potent antioxidant from the natural Vitamin E family. Two softgel capsules containing 100 mg TRF per day for 6 months. <b>Control:</b> Placebo	Vanderbilt ADHD Parent Rating Scale, Total No significant group differences in parent or teacher rating at 6 months. Number of adverse events No statistical difference in the number of adverse events per group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting: Specialty care	swallow the capsule were also excluded. Other: Parents and teachers provided outcomes. ADHD presentation: inattentive : 10.3,hyperactive : 0,combined : 89.7 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by physicians Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15 % Age mean: 9.4 (1.8) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Asian : 100,Other : Malaysian Other info on race or ethnicity:	Two placebo capsules per day for 6 months. <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	
Nutrition, supplements	Trebaticka, 2006 <sup>573</sup> Chovanova, 2006 <sup>698</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 61 Slovakia Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD with at least 6 months of symptoms, general disposition as restless, inattentive, distractible and disorganized; patients with acute inflammatory diseases, renal and cardiovascular disorders, diabetics, and co-morbid psychiatric conditions were excluded <b>Other:</b> Parents and teachers provided some outcomes <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A	Intervention: Pycnogenol (extract from the bark of the French maritime pine, consisting of phenolic acids, catechin, taxifolin and procyanidins), 1 mg/kg/day for 4 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1 month	CAP (Child Attention Problems), teacher Intervention group scores improved significantly compared to placebo on hyperactivity (p=0.044) and inattention (p= 0.0067) scores. CPRS (Conner's Parent Rating Scale) No significant difference in reduction between intervention and placebo.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: No ADHD according to ICD-10 with following diagnoses: Hyperkinetic Disorder, Hyperkinetic Conduct Disorder, Attention Deficit without Hyperactivity Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18 % Age mean: mean 9.5 Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 14 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A : Slovakian		
Nutrition, supplements	Tzang, 2016 <sup>577</sup> Mackay Memorial Hospital, 2012 <sup>871</sup> ID: NCT01725737 RCT Single center N = 116 Taiwan Setting: Primary Care	<b>Target:</b> Children aged between 6– 12 years, with a clinical diagnosis of ADHD as defined by DSM-IV; children were deemed healthy by means of medical history, physical examination, vital-sign measurements, and laboratory assessments; children had to be naïve to all treatments for ADHD <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 34.5,hyperactive_other : Treatment: 14.0%; Placebo 15.1%,combined : 65.5,N/A : ODD comorbidity in treatment group: 72.4% and placebo: 74.1%	Intervention: Six weeks of 0.3 g of sarcosine (dietary supplement, glycine transporter-1 inhibitor), 1 capsule daily if body weight 10±5 kg, twice a day for 20±5 kg, thrice a day for 30±5 kg, or 2 capsules twice a day for 40±5 kg, no other psychotherapy was provided, including family or group therapy <b>Control:</b> Placebo Identically appearing capsules of placebo <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	SNAP ODD: Swanson, Nolan, Pelham oppositional defiance disorder scores The sarcosine group had lower mean values on all three subscales compared to placebo. Decreased appetite The difference between groups was not significant (p=0.677). Rates of adverse events

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist The diagnoses of ADHD and other mental disorders were confirmed by a child-and adolescent psychiatrist by using a structured parent interview according to the National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (version 4.0). Comorbidity: N/A Female: 44.8 % Age mean: Treatment group: 9.3 (2.7) Placebo Group: 9.0 (2.2) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Nutrition, supplements	Van der Heijden, 2007 <sup>583</sup> ID: RCT Multicenter N = 107 Netherlands Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children aged 6 to 12 years old with diagnosed ADHD and chronic sleep-onset insomnia Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 21.0,hyperactive : 3.8,combined : 73.3 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Psychologist and psychiatrist	Intervention: Fast-release melatonin, 3mg if body weight < 40mg, 6mg if body weight > 40kg Control: Placebo Identical-appearing placebo tablets Comparator: NA Follow-up: 1 month	CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist) The melatonin group had significantly smaller improvements compared to the placebo group. TACQOL-P (TNO-AZL Questionnaire for Children's Health-Related Quality of Life, Parent form) showed no statistically significant changes in scores between groups. Adverse events There were no statistically significant differences between the intervention and placebo group (p=1.00)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: Sleep : chronic sleep-onset insomnia Female: 25.7 % Age mean: 9.1 (2.3) for treatment group; 9.3 (1.8) for placebo group Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicitu		
Nutrition, supplements	Weber, $2008^{596}$ National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH), $2004^{916}$ ID: NCT00100295 RCT Single center N = 54 US Setting: Other	Content informationOther info on race or ethnicity: N/ATarget: Children and adolescentswith ADHD that scored more than1.5 standard deviations above ageand sex norms on the ADHD RS-IV; those with psychiatric co-morbidities were excludedOther: ParentsADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosis: Confirmation byspecialistDSM IV criteria based on theKiddie Schedule for AffectiveDisorders and Schizophrenia-Epidemiologic Version (K-SADS)Comorbidity: N/AFemale: 37 %Age mean: 9.8 (2.0)Minimum age: 6Maximum age: 17	Intervention: 300 mg of H perforatum standardized to 0.3% hypericin (St. John's wort) 3 times daily for 8 weeks Control: Placebo Placebo 3 times daily Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	<ul> <li>CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression - Improvement Scale) much or very much improved</li> <li>There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.59).</li> <li>ADHD RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale–IV), parent report</li> <li>No significant difference between the 2 groups in the change in scores from baseline to follow up (p = 0.68).</li> <li>No significant difference was seen in change in height between the groups during the 8- week trial.</li> <li>Participants with any adverse event The rate was 41% for intervention and 44% for comparator, which was no significantly different between groups.</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Abikoff. 2015 <sup>117</sup>	Ethnicity Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 14.8 % Black/African American : 0 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 1.9 % Asian : 0 % White : 85.2 % Multiracial : 13.0 Other info on race or ethnicity: Target: Preschool. davcare or	Intervention: New Forest Parenting	New York Parent Rating Scale - Physical
Parent education	NYU Langone Health, 2011 <sup>934</sup> ID: NCT01320098 RCT Single center N = 164 US Setting: Specialty care	nursery school students diagnosed with ADHD. Current medication for ADHD excluded. <b>Other:</b> Parents were trained <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 33.5,hyperactive : 15.2,combined : 50.6 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM IV diagnosis confirmed by confirmed by clinical evaluation conducted by a psychologist with child and parent <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 26.2 % <b>Age mean:</b> N/A <b>Minimum age:</b> 3 <b>Maximum age:</b> 4 <b>Ethnicity:</b> % Hispanic or Latino : 25.6	Package, 8 weekly 1-to-1.5-hour sessions, home-based intervention which fosters constructive parenting to target ADHD-related dysfunctions in attention and impulse control <b>Control:</b> Wait list Wait list <b>Comparator:</b> Parent trainingHelping the Noncompliant Child, clinic-based parenting intervention for treating noncompliant behavior <b>Follow-up:</b> 24 months	Aggression Subscale, parent, post-tx Comparator group participants, but not intervention group, were rated better than control (p < 0.003) at 6 months. There was no significant difference between intervention and comparator at 2 years. CPRS (Conners Parent Rating Scale) total Intervention and comparator groups significantly improved score compared to control (p < . 001); there was no significant difference between intervention and control . Parent treatment satisfaction Treatment satisfaction was equally high for intervention and comparator. P value not reported. There were no adverse effects with either NFPP or HNC.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;Ethnicity% Black/African American : 16.4	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		% Asian : 8.8 % White : 69.2 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Parent education	Chacko, 2009 <sup>184</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 120 US Setting: Other	Target: Children aged 5 through12, living with single mothersOther: Single mothers of childrenwith ADHDADHD presentation: N/ADiagnosis: Confirmation byspecialistdiagnosis was determined throughcompletion of parent and teacherrating scales of DSM IV,completion of semistructuredinterviews with the parent, andassessment of cross-situationalimpairment through completion ofparent and teacher rating scales(ImpComorbidity: N/AFemale: 29.3 %Age mean: 7.85 (2.14)Minimum age: 5Maximum age: 12Ethnicity:% Hispanic or Latino : 12.7% Black/African American : 21.0% White : 53.3% Multiracial : 13.0	Intervention: Strategies to Enhance Positive Parenting (STEPP), a manualized, 9-week program held for 2.5 hours each week Control: Wait list Wait list Comparator: Parent trainingTraditional manualized behavioral parent training program; meets for one 2.5 hour session per week for 9 weeks; sessions included videotapes of parenting errors whereby single mothers identified these errors and then formulated alternative parenting strat Follow-up: 3 months	Inattentive score, Disruptive Behavior Disorders rating scale Benefits of the combined parent training groups compared to the waitlist control group were observed on on DBD ODD symptoms (p < .009) at treatment end but not follow-up. No significant differences in Disruptive Behavior Disorders Inattentive and Hype Impairment Rating Scale (IRS) The intervention group was significantly more improved than the control group, while the comparator group was not significantly different from the control group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:         Setting;         Study target;         ADHD presentation;         Diagnosis;         Comorbidity;         % Female;         Age mean;         Minimum age;         Ethnicity         Other info on race or ethnicity:	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Churchill, 2018 <sup>204</sup>	Target: Child 4–18 years old with	Intervention: In-home nurse visits	CBCL (Child Behavior Checklist)
Parent education	ID: N/A RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 174 US Setting: N/A	ADHD; child must live with mother or primary female caregiver; English or Spanish speaking; lack of comorbid intellectual disability, autism, or psychosis <b>Other:</b> Mother or primary female caregiver of child with ADHD <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 16.7,hyperactive : 23.55,combined : 33.35,combined_other : % unknown (26.4) <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Participants with ADHD diagnosis were recruited from Children and Families Program of the Mental Health and Addiction Services Division of Multnomah County Human Services, 10 neighborhood primary care health clinics with Multnomah County Health Department <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 33.9 % <b>Age mean:</b>	with families for one year, with variable frequency based on participant family needs, participant families given a resource guide and received a newsletter every 6 months with up-to-date information about ADHD <b>Control:</b> NA <b>Comparator:</b> Parent trainingParenting book on ADHD and same newsletter every 6 months with up-to-date information about ADHD <b>Follow-up:</b> 18 months	There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.374).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Intervention group mean age (10.6) and SD (3.2). Control group mean age (10.8) and SD (3.4). Minimum age: 4		
		Maximum age: 18		
		<b>Ethnicity:</b> % Hispanic or Latino : 8.6 % Black/African American : 14.35 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 7.5 % Asian : 6.95 % White : 79.35 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
arent education	Dong, 2022 <sup>232</sup> ID: RCT Multicenter N = 850 China Setting: Other	Target: Kindergarteners with ADHD, with sibling in Grade 7 or 8. Other: Parents or siblings participated, but did not provide outcome data. ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Diagnosed by licensed clinical psychologists per DSM Comorbidity: N/A	Intervention: Dialogic reading with parent 25 minutes twice per week for 12 weeks; a shared book reading approach where parent engages in dialog with the child through interactive question and answer communication while reading picture books together Control: Attention-matched control Reading same books with parent 25 minutes twice per week for 12 weeks, but without dialogic reading	Group interaction effects on recep-tive vocabulary, expressive vocabulary, character reading, morpho-logical awareness, phonological awareness, listening comprehension, and reading interest were significant (p < .001) in favor of the DR groups over the control reading group. Sibling DR was significantly superior to parent DR regarding expressive vocabulary, character reading , morphological awareness, phono-logical awareness, and reading interest (p < .001 for all) but inferior regarding improvement in listening comprehension (n <
		Age mean: 5.35 (0.20) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: % Asian : 100	<b>Comparator:</b> OtherDialogic reading with older sibling 25 minutes twice per week for 12 weeks; a shared book reading approach where parent engages in dialog with the child through interactive guestion	.001).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other Into on race or ethnicity:	reading picture books together	
			Follow-up: 12 weeks	
	<b>-</b>			
Parent education	Dose, 2017 <sup>233</sup> University of Cologne, Shire, 2012 <sup>1097</sup> ID: NCT01660425 RCT Single center N = 103 Germany Setting: Other	Target: Children aged 6 to 12 with ADHD taking methylphenidate for at least 2 months and had to show functional impairment in at least 1 of the domains of the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Parent Report Other: Parents were the intervention target and provided some outcome data ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Diagnosis by psychologist or psychiatrist required. Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18.5 % Age mean: 9.78 (1.60) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Year long telephone- assisted self-help program for parents, reading 8 self-help booklets, then parents receive 10 telephone consultations of about 30 min each during the first 6 months and four booster telephone consultations during the second 6- month period; children received also methylphenidate but no specific dose was required <b>Control:</b> TAU Usual care plus children received methylphenidate, but no specific dosage was required <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 12 months	<ul> <li>FBB-ADHS (German symptom checklist for ADHD), total score</li> <li>No difference in German ADHD scale, total score, at follow-up (p = 0.12). Intervention group performed better on German symptom checklist for Oppositional Deviant Disorder at follow-up (p = .03).</li> <li>Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Parent Report There was no significant difference between groups (p = 0.30).</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Parent education	Ercan, 2014 <sup>260</sup> ID: NA Clinical trial Single center N = 120 Turkey Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children diagnosed with ADHD and oppositional defiance disorder or conduct disorder by psychiatrists, other comorbid disorders (i.e., anxiety disorders, mental retardation, or bipolar disorder) not permitted Other: Parents, teachers ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV per KSADS-PL Comorbidity: ODD Female: 31.7 % Age mean: 9.07 (1.92) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Parent-training program plus methylphenidate, optimal methylphenidate dose taken daily for 12 months, parent-training program consisted of 4 consecutive weekly meetings that started at the beginning of the 2nd month and 10 monthly meetings that took place during the remaining 10 months of the treatment with each parent- training group consisted of 10 to 15 members <b>Control:</b> Other Methylphenidate only, initial dose was 7.5 mg/day for children between 7 and 10 years of age and 10 mg/day for children between 11 and 13, dose was adjusted in response to continuous feedback from the parents, mean (SD) dose throughout the 12-month study <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 months	CPRS (Conners' Parent Rating Scale) No significant effect of parent training on CPRS or Conners' Teacher Rating Scale. Hyperactivity-impulsivity scale, T-DSM-IV-S, parent rating No significant effect of group on T-DSM-IV-S Hyperactivity / Impulsivity - Parent (p = .60), T- DSM-IV-S Attention - Parent (p = .89), T- DSM-IV-S OD - Parent (p = .39), or T-DSM- IV-S CD - Parent (p = .39). No significant effect of group on T-DSM-IV-S
Parent education	Ferrin, 2014 <sup>268</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 81 Spain Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Diagnosis of ADHD any subtype according to the DSM-IV; the diagnosis was confirmed by clinical interview with a child psychiatrist, supplemented with structured interview using the validated Spanish version of the semi-structured clinical interview of the Schedule for Affective	Intervention: Psychoeducation program composed of 5 successive groups of 8–10 families who received 12-week 90 min weekly sessions Control: NA Comparator: Parent trainingParent counselling and support intervention,	ADHD Index, CPRS-R (Conners' Parent Rating Scale Revised 27-items), parent There was no significant difference between groups. Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ), parent There was no statistically significant interaction effect of time by group.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Disorders and Schizophrenia for school age children (KSADS-PL); either sex; consenting and legal capable parents' age greater than or equal to 18 years; clinical ADHD symptoms stabilization for at least 1 month before entering the study Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist KSADS-PL Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20 % Age mean: Intervention 11.25(2.96), control 9.94(3.04) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	5 successive groups of 8–10 families who received 12-week 90 min weekly sessions, families were reunited and encouraged to comment on their thoughts and share their experiences in a nondirective, nonthreatening <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 months	
Parent education	Ferrin, 2020 <sup>269</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 69 UK Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Participants age 3 to 19 with diagnosis of ADHD, parents' age greater than or equal to 18 years, and stabilizing medication for 1 month prior to baseline assessment. Participant should not have severe learning disabilities (IQ < 70), autistic spectrum disorder as primary diagnosis, any clinically significant or unstable	Intervention: Psychoeducation with 5 successive groups of 7-10 families who received six sessions of 2 hr at weekly intervals, a handout was delivered and parents were assigned some short additional homework to prepare for the next session Control: TAU	CGI-I (Clinical Global Impression Scale global improvement) change, clinician rating Intervention showed a significant effect on the clinical global impression compared to control (p=.038) ADHD Index, Conners' Parent Rating Scale: Short Form (CPRS-R:S) Mean differences in scores showed statistically significant differences between the

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		medical or psychiatric condition, and children whose families had received any similar school-based individual and/or group treatments at any point in time <b>Other:</b> Parents of children with ADHD <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A	Treatment as usual group, families continued routine medical care as usual with their clinicians; they were offered the opportunity to join the psychoeducation group once their collaboration with the study had ended; control participants received monthly <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	two groups for the cognitive/inattention and the hyperactive/impulsive subdomains. Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, teacher rating There were no statistically significant effects for time or an interaction between time and treatment condition (p=0.67). There were no statistically significant differences in parental stress across groups (p=0.521).
	Geiseler 2020 <sup>290</sup>	Comorbidity: N/A Female: 13 % Age mean: Intervention 10.86 (3.04), control 10.56 (3.20) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 10.14% % White : 50.7% % Multiracial : 24.6 Other info on race or ethnicity: Targot: Diagnosed with ADHD:	Intervention: 12 weeks weekly	Home Situations Questionnaire (HSQ)
Parent education	Jans, 2015 <sup>827</sup> ; Hage, 2018 <sup>784</sup> ; Jaite, 2019 <sup>825</sup> ; Hautmann, 2018 <sup>794</sup> ID: CCT- ISRCTN73911400 RCT	not currently receiving psychopharmacotherapy; or their medication had been stable for at least 4 weeks prior to baseline assessment <b>Other:</b>	intervention: 12 weeks weekly group psychotherapy plus methylphenidate, then 12-week individualized parent-child training program comprised a structured and modular behavioral psychotherapy program for children with methylphenidate medication and	ADHD symptoms, Schedule for Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children- Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Multicenter N = 144 Germany Setting: Specialty care	ADHD presentation: combined : 52,combined_other : 52% children / 66% mothers Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV specially trained expert clinicians at each study centre's Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry (assessment and treatment of children; PCT) or Department of Psychiatry (assessment and treatment of Comorbidity: N/A Female: 26.5 % Age mean: Mean age 9.4 Minimum age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	group psychotherapy (1 appointment/4 week), then 6 months of maintenance of all previous interventions <b>Control:</b> Attention-matched control Control group mothers received seven 4-weekly sessions of individual non-specific counseling and participated in the two booster parent-child therapy sessions. <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 months	No statistically significant difference between groups (p=0.35) Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire global score There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.54) No difference in Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaires rated by teachers (p=0.73).
Parent education	Hornstra, 2021 <sup>328</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 92 Netherlands Setting: Mixed	<ul> <li>Target: Participants have a Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5, have an IQ</li> <li>70, and do not use psychotropic medications.</li> <li>Other: Parents of ADHD children</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : 26,hyperactive : 11,hyperactive_other :</li> </ul>	Intervention: Antecedent-based condition: parents were provided with information about executive functioning deficits in children with ADHD, parents practiced techniques through guided role-play or visualization and after that potential barriers to implementation of the plan were discussed, intervention	Daily Rated Problem Behaviors Compared to the control group, the intervention and comparator groups had significantly improved scores. SWAN (The Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD symptoms and Normal behavior rating scale) Compared to the control group, the intervention and comparator groups had

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		hyperactive/impulsive,combined : 63 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-V Comorbidity: N/A Female: 30 % Age mean: N/A Minimum age: 4 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % White : 97 Other info on race or ethnicity:	plan consisted of antecedent-based techniques only (i.e., defining rules, giving clear instructions, anticipating misbehaviors, and providing structure in time and space), two sessions of two hours each provided in two consecutive weeks <b>Control:</b> Wait list <b>Comparator:</b> Parent trainingConsequent-based parent education, parents learned how consequences can affect behavior, and how and which consequent- based techniques can be used to change behaviors (e.g., by ignoring unwanted behaviors and praising every attempt to show the appropriate <b>Follow-up:</b> 4 months	significantly improved scores for hyperactivity- impulsivity symptoms. For symptoms of inattention, only the intervention group had significantly improved scores compared to the con
Parent education	Lange, 2018 <sup>376</sup> University of Aarhus, 2012 <sup>1093</sup> ID: NCT01684644 RCT Multicenter N = 164 Denmark Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Children aged 3-7; clinical ADHD diagnosis supported by the Development and Well-Being Assessment (DAWBA); Danish as a first language spoken at home; iq greater than or equal to 70; no autism spectrum disorder diagnosis; not in receipt of pharmacologic or psychosocial treatment for ADHD; no severe parental psychiatric disorder; no severe social adversity in the home	Intervention: New Forest Parenting Programme consisted of personalized weekly homework assignments and 8 2-hour sessions (6 sessions in the clinic and 2 in the home), includes 5 elements: psychoeducation to enhance parents' understanding of child's behavior, scaffolding to help parents work from the child's level of development, enhancing parent- child interaction, relieving the child's ADHD symptoms through play and	Directly observed ADHD behaviors during solo play "index of attention/ engagement" using the Child Solo Play instrument No significant difference. ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale) symptom severity, parent ratings After treatment, the parent training program was superior to treatment as usual on parent- rated ADHD symptoms (p=0.009; effect size d=0.30).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting	Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Other: Parents and teachers of children with ADHD ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist ADHD diagnosis was made by specialist child and adolescent psychiatrists based on results from all clinical assessments and Development and Well-Being Assessment profiles, which were conducted by trained raters. Development and Well-Being Assessment desig Comorbidity: N/A Female: 27 % Age mean: 57% of children were aged 3-5; 43% of children were aged 6-7 Minimum age: 3 Maximum age: 7	games, guiding parents in use of behavioral strategies <b>Control:</b> TAU Treatment as usual typically consisted of a package of psychoeducation delivered to groups of individual parents by specialized staff; information about ADHD as a developmental disorder; how ADHD symptoms affect normal play and the development of preschoo <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 9 months	The parent training program was superior to treatment as usual on parenting self-efficacy and family strain.
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Parent education	Mehri, 2020 <sup>418</sup> Department of Research and Technology, 2013 <sup>714</sup> ID: IRCT2013042112990N1 RCT	<b>Target:</b> Diagnosed with ADHD, only taking methylphenidate for 6 months prior to study, with a fixed dose of drug in the last 30 days prior to start of study - with at least one sleeping issue and children needed to have no physical or mental comorbidities	<b>Intervention:</b> Behavioral parental training on sleep problems, including information, sleep hygiene and nutrition health, control of environmental stimuli, cognitive behavioral therapy strategies, conducted in 2 groups of 14 parents per week in week 1, 3, and 5 of the	Intervention group experienced a significantly greater improvement in total sleep scores compared to the control group ( $p = 0.03$ ). Also the intervention group had a significantly greater decline in total sleep problem compared to the control group ( $p = 0.01$ ).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Single center N = 56 Iran Setting: Specialty care	Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist diagnosed by psychiatrist based on DSM-IV criteria Comorbidity: Sleep Female: 14.3 % Age mean: 8.50 (1.79) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	study; participants also received methylphenidate treatment Control: Other Methylphenidate treatment only Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	
Parent education	Schorr-Sapir, 2021 <sup>508</sup> ID: N/A RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 101 Israel Setting: Mixed	Target: Children aged 5-13 years with primary DSM-5 ADHD diagnosis and scores above 55 on the Conners' Scale for ADHD; con changes medication during the study; no psychotic symptoms and no concurrent psychotherapy <b>Other:</b> Parents of children with ADHD that are fluent and have no Hebrew no psychotic symptoms <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist Children have primary DSM-5 ADHD diagnosis. <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 21 %	Intervention: Nonviolent resistance parent training with clinical psychologist, 12 sessions (one session involving the parents and members of the school staff was conducted in the child's school); two weekly telephone conversations with undergraduate student; special emphasis was given to psychoeducation on ADHD, parental emotion regulation and self-control, and the development of a collaborative relationship with the school <b>Control:</b> Wait list Waiting period is 12 weeks, given nothing during waiting period	Conners' Rating Scale - ADHD index, parent There was a reduction in ADHD core symptoms by the end of treatment, but these gains were not maintained at follow-up ( p = 0.63).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Age mean: 8.8 (1.77) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:	Comparator: NA Follow-up: 4 months	
Parent education	Smit, 2021 <sup>533</sup> Mikami, 2020 <sup>894</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 172 Canada Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children aged 6 to 11 with ADHD who children scored ≥3 on parent or teacher reports on the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire Peer Problems subscale. Other: Parents were trained to coach children in friendship skills ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM V diagnosis required. Children required to have ≥6 symptoms of inattention and/or hyperactivity/impulsivity endorsed by either the parent on the K-SADS (Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia) or the teacher on the CSI ( Child Sympt Comorbidity: N/A Female: 30 % Age mean: 8.54 (1.55) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 11	Intervention: Parental Friendship Coaching: behavioral parent training where parents learn to be friendship coaches by teaching their children friendship skills and facilitating opportunities for children to make real-life friends; weekly, 90-min sessions for parents over 10 weeks Control: NA Comparator: Parent trainingPsychoeducation and social support (Coping with ADHD through Relationships and Education), weekly, 90-min sessions for parents over 10 weeks Follow-up: 8 months	Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) - Aggressive Behavior Subscale, parent and teacher score composite There were no significant differences between treatment and comparator groups. Intervention group had greater score improvement than comparator for Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) - Withdrawn / Depressed Subscale, parent and teacher score composite

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Ethnicity Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 1.2 % Black/African American : 0.6 % Asian : 5.8		
	<b>2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	% White : 72.7 % Multiracial : 18.6 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Parent education	Sonuga-Barke, 2001 <sup>539</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 78 UK Setting: Community	Target: Children had to be born between January 1992 and September 1993, and the parents had to take the Parental Account of Childhood Symptoms examination to determine if the child needed a further clinical evaluation Other: Parents ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist They followed the American Psychiatric Association, DSM-IV standard. Comorbidity: N/A Female: 38.5 % Age mean: All age 3 Minimum age: 3 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Parent Training group received coaching in child management techniques, eight 1- hour weekly sessions <b>Control:</b> Wait list Waiting-list control <b>Comparator:</b> Parent trainingParent counseling and support, non- directive support and counseling for parent of children with ADHD <b>Follow-up:</b> 3.75 months	Observation of ADHD behavior during 10 minute play with multipurpose toy Significant effects seen for the intervention in direct observation measures (p<.05). Parental Account of Childhood Symptoms (PACS) to assess core symptoms of ADHD, parent Recovery (Jacobson & Truax criteria) Significant effects were seen for the intervention (p<0.001).

	<b>Study:</b> Author, year;	Population: Setting;	Comparison: Intervention;	Outcome and results
tion	Trial ID;	ADHD presentation;	Comparator;	
nti	Study design;	Diagnosis;	Follow-up	
Ze	Sites; Study size:	Comorbidity; % Female:		
nte	Location	Age mean:		
=	Setting	Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
	Sonuga-Barke 2004 <sup>540</sup>	Ethnicity Target: Three year old children	Intervention: Parent training of	BCL (Behaviour checklist)
	Sonuga-Barke 2002 <sup>1050</sup>	with ADHD	mothers, conducted in home with 1	Difference in Behavior Checklist not significant
	ID: NA	Other: Parents receiving training	hour per week for 8 weeks	between intervention and control.
	RCT	and providing outcome measures	Control: Wait list	AD/HD score PACS (Parental Account of
	Unclear/Not reported	ADHD presentation: N/A	Wait list	Childhood Symptoms)
	N = 89	Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist	Comparator: NA	No difference in follow-up ADHD symptoms between intervention and control groups.
	UK	Children met cut-offs on the Werry-	Follow-up: 3.75 months	
ion	Setting: Other	Parental Account of Childhood		
Icat		Symptoms Structured Clinical		
edt		Interview and their parents reported		
ent		Comorbidity: N/A		
Par		Female: %		
		Not reported		
		Age mean:		
		3 years old at time of enrollment		
		Minimum age: 3		
		Maximum age: 3		
		Ethnicity:		
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
	Sonuga-Barke, 2018 <sup>341</sup>	I arget: Children were included if	Intervention: New Forest Parenting	SNAP-IV (Swanson Nolan and Pelham - IV -
ation	ID: NA	vears or over: (iii) screened positive	intervention delivered at home for 12	Small, non-significant, benefits of NFPP over
nce	RCT	for ADHD symptoms (score≥ 20)	weeks of 1.5 hour sessions	TAU were seen for parent-rated SNAP-IV,
ed	Multicenter	on the Werry-Weiss-Peters Activity	Control: TAU	ADHD combined symptoms [- 0.189 95% CI
ent	N = 307	Rating Scale (WWP) [18] and; (IV)	Standard patterns of preschool	(– 0.380, 0.003), p = 0.053].
Par	UK	diagnosis of any sub-type based on	ADHD care available in the parents'	
	Setting: Mixed	the parent DISC-IV-ADHD Scale	region; in two regions, there was	

ntervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
-	Setting	Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Other: Parent and/or caregiver aged 18 years or over ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Werry-Weiss-Peters Activity Rating Scale and DISC-IV-ADHD Scale Comorbidity: N/A Female: 27 % Age mean: 42.7 (6.75) Minimum age: 3 Maximum age: 5 Ethnicity:	little provision for preschool ADHD while in one region provision might include parenting education and training <b>Comparator:</b> Parent trainingIncredible Years, developmentally based interventions, delivered weekly for 12 weeks, sessions were 2-2.5 hours long <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	
Parent education	Tiwawatpakorn, 2021 <sup>572</sup> ID: TCTR20180516002 RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 80 Thailand Setting: Other	Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A <b>Target:</b> Participants diagnosed with ADHD by a developmental behavioral pediatrician or child and adolescent psychiatrist, receiving stable medication for at least 3 months, and living with their primary caregivers for at least 5 days a week. <b>Other:</b> Parents <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive_other : Intervention: 1.7 (0.6); Control: 1.6 (0.6),hyperactive_other : 1.8 (0.6); Control: 1.6 (0.8) <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist	Intervention: Parental training + Routine Clinical care (PT+RCC): routine clinical care included psychoeducation, problem-oriented counseling, prescription of standard medications, and child evaluation, visits were scheduled every 3–6 months and took 15–30 minutes for each visit, parenting training consisting of six 120-minute weekly sessions consisting of general knowledge about ADHD and quality time, functional behavioral analysis, effective communication, positive and negative reinforcement, punishment, and time and school management	VADPRS (Vanderbilt ADHD Diagnostic Parent Rating Scale) subscales The scores of inattention, hyperactivity/impulsivity, and oppositional- defiant behavior showed a noticeable reduction in both groups; no significant interactions were found between time and treatment arm (P > 0.05) indicating that the improvement in score Treatment arm was not associated with changes in parenting style.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Durgut 2020 <sup>243</sup>	Vanderbilt ADHD Diagnostic Parent Rating Scale (VADPRS) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 18 % Age mean: 8.3 (1.1) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:	Control: Other Routine clinical care only: psychoeducation, problem-oriented counseling, prescription of standard medications, and child evaluation, visits were scheduled every 3–6 months and took 15–30 minutes for each visit Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2 months	CDPS P/L (Conners' Derent Beting Seele
Physical exercise	University, Bezmialem Vakif, University, Medipol, 2018 <sup>670</sup> ID: NCT03469180 RCT Single center N = 30 Turkey Setting: Specialty care	with ADHD. Exclusions: history of chronic and severe systemic disease or a seizure-like neurological disorder or vision, speech and hearing problems; any contraindications for physical activity; comorbid conditions such as autism spectrum disorders or intellectual disability. <b>Other:</b> Teachers and parent provided some outcome data <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 16.7,hyperactive : 3.3,combined : 80.0 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist diagnosed by psychiatrists via DSM V <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A	whole body vibration training pids whole body vibration training 3 days per week for 8 weeks, treadmill training for 45 minutes, 5 minutes rest, whole body vibration training for 15 minutes <b>Control:</b> Other Treadmill training alone <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 2 months	Revised/Long Form) Intervention group had more improvement in CPRS-R/L-total (parent report) but did not reach statistical significance ( $p = .055$ ). Intervention group had significantly more improvement in CTRS-R/L-total (teacher report) $p = .041$ . No difference between groups in Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) - Parent report ( $p = 0.816$ ) at follow- up. Intervention groups scored significantly better on BRIEF- teacher report ( $p = 0.023$ ).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Female: 20 % Age mean: 8.13 (1.19) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 11 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Physical exercise	Kadri, 2019 <sup>347</sup> University of Genova, 2018 <sup>1098</sup> ID: NCT03678844 RCT Single center N = 40 Tunesia Setting: Other	Target: Young Tunisian patients with ADHD. No consumption of any diet supplements or drugs; no history of chronic disease, bronchospasm or atopy; not being color blind or vision-impaired. Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: No Participants with ADHD were recruited from Tunis and Sidi Bouzid mental centers, but DSM criteria not mentioned. Comorbidity: N/A Female: 10 % Age mean: Intervention group mean age (14.5) and SD (3.5). Control group mean age (14.2) and SD (3.0) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Taekwondo exercises practiced for 50-minutes twice weekly for a year and a half, 10- minute general warm-up before each session and 10-minute recovery after each session <b>Control:</b> Other Engaged in physical activities, including athletics, handball and gymnastic, during two sessions of physical education per week at school. <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 18 months	Processing speed measured using total time in seconds to complete the Ruff's test 2 and 7. Total speed of intervention group mean (240.3). Total speed of intervention group SD (19.7). Total speed of control group mean (288.1). Total speed of control group SD (12.5).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Physical exercise	Liang, 2022 <sup>387</sup> ID: RCT Single center N = 80 China Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD without comorbid psyc disorders Other: None ADHD presentation: inattentive : 51.25,hyperactive : 16.25,combined : 32.5 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM 5 by psychiatrist using K- SADS-PL Comorbidity: N/A Female: 22.6 % Age mean: 8.46 (1.5) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Asian : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: 12-week combined aerobic-and neurocognitive- exercise, 3 sessions per week, 60- minutes per session Control: Wait list Wait list Comparator: NA Follow-up: 3 months	Intervention group decreased reaction time as measured by Arrow Flanker Task for Inhibitory Control, compared to wait list group. Intervention group also increased working memory as measured by the Tower of London task, compared to wait list group. Intervention group also improved cognitive flexibility measured by the Trail Making Test for Cognitive Function compared to the wait list group. Sleep quality also improved significantly. However, the significant differences in all measures disappeared 1 month after intervention ended.
Physical exercise	Ludyga, 2022 <sup>397</sup> ID: DRKS00020125 RCT Multicenter N = 63 Multiple countries Setting: Other	Target: Right-handed children with ADHD undergoing pharmacotherapy with methylphenidate or dexamphetamine for at least three months (to reduce inter-individual variations in symptom severity) Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-5	Intervention: Two weekly 60-min sessions of judo training in a group setting supervised by one or two instructors, per week, for 3 months Control: Wait list Wait list Comparator: NA Follow-up: 3 months	No group difference in Movement Assessment Battery for Children-2 (MABC-2) at 3 months. Intervention group performed better on a Change Detection Task (p = 0.003).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: % N/A Age mean: 10.4 (1.2) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Provider	Elmaadawi, 2022 <sup>255</sup> ID: Cohort study Single center N = 136 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children and adolescents with ADHD Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV by board certified child psychiatrists Comorbidity: N/A Female: % Not reported Age mean: 13.8 (3.6) Minimum age: 4 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Pharmacogenetic testing to enable genomically assisted prescribing (GAP). Control: TAU Treatment as usual, without genetic testing / guidance. Comparator: NA Follow-up: 6 months	Clinical Global Impression Scale-, Improvement Component (CGI-I) Significantly more improvement in intervention group.
Provider	Enns, 2017 <sup>257</sup> ID: NA Cohort study Single center	<b>Target:</b> Children and adolescents with ADHD who have attended at least 3 ADHD treatment sessions at the center <b>Other:</b>	<b>Intervention:</b> ADHD intervention service, participants and their families receive a range of services that can include assessment, treatment, and consultative services	Adjusted rate ratios (95% CI) for health and social services use outcomes for intervention (n =485) and control (n = 1884):

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	N = 2369 Canada Setting: Community	ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Manitoba Population Research Data Repository Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15.37 % Age mean: 16% of the intervention cohort were 6 years old or younger, 13% were 13 years old or older; 17% of the control cohort were 6 years old or younger, 10% were 13 years old or older Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	<ul> <li>(e.g. individual therapy, parent support, group therapy, education, and medication management) from multiple providers; the typical participation length in the program ranges from 3-6 months, but can extend further based on participant needs</li> <li><b>Control:</b> No intervention No contact with the ADHD Service matched on age, sex, year of ADHD diagnosis, and income quintile; matches were identified separately in urban and rural income quintiles</li> <li><b>Comparator:</b> NA</li> <li><b>Follow-up:</b> 24 months</li> </ul>	Hospital admissions (rate of): 1.29 (0.68 to 2.46) (p = 0.43) Visits to emergency department (rate of): all 1.03 (0.75 to 1.41) (p = 0.87), injury-related 1.00 (0.68 to 1.46) (p = 1.00) Medication use (proportion of participants who were dispensed 1 or more medications): 1.21 (1.08 to 1.36) (p < 0.01) Medication adherence (proporton of participants who have a medication possession ratio of at least 0.8): 1.42 (1.03 to 1.96) (p < 0.05) Children with child welfare contact: 1.34 (0.54 to 3.35) (p = 0.53) Children in age-appropriate grade: 1.33 (1.09 to 1.63) (p < 0.01).
Provider	Epstein, 2007 <sup>259</sup> ID: NA Cluster RCT Multicenter N = 377 US Setting: Primary Care	Target: Children from participating practices who met DSM-iV criteria for ADHD, stimulant-naive, attending 1st - 5th grade Other: Pediatricians and associated healthcare professionals (27 men, 25 women) from 12 practices ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Conners Rating Scale	Intervention: Collaborative consultation services: pediatricians were encouraged to and assisted in using titration trials to determine optimal dosages, taught to prescribe 4 different weekly dosages of methylphenidate hydrochloride during a titration trial (placebo, 18 mg, 36 mg, 54 mg) and the order of weekly dosages was blinded but standardized across all patients (week 1, 18 mg; week 2, placebo; week 3, 36 mg; week 4, 54 mg)	DSM-IV symptomatology, Conners Parent Rating Scale Children in the intervention group demonstrated a 27% reduction in DSM-IV symptomatology compared with an 18% reduction in the control group (p=.008).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Comorbidity: N/A Female: 36.3 % Age mean: 7.8 (1.5) Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 10 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : .68 % Black/African American : 16.4 % American Indian or Alaska Native : .68 % White : 79.5 % Multiracial : .68 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Control: TAU Patients in control group received treatment as usual alone, practices assigned to control group do not have access to consultative services Comparator: NA Follow-up: 12 months	
Provider	Epstein, 2016 <sup>258</sup> Childrens Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, 2010 <sup>692</sup> ID: NCT01143701 Cluster RCT Multicenter N = 577 US Setting: Primary Care	Target: Patients in grades 1 through 5, presenting for ADHD evaluation, and were ADHD medication naive Other: Pediatric practices with ≥2 physicians, uses an electronic billing system, office has Internet access, must not have co-located mental health care ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by research staff Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.5 % Age mean: 7.8 (1.4) Minimum age:	Intervention: Four training sessions for providers, office flow modification, guided quality improvement, and an ADHD Internet portal to assist with treatment monitoring <b>Control:</b> No intervention Control practices <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 months	ADHD symptoms parent ratings Intent-to-treat analyses examining outcomes of all children assessed for ADHD were not significant (P=0.08) but among the 373 children prescribed ADHD medication, there was a significant intervention effect (P=0.04) indicating greater reductions in parent ADHD treatment care around medication was significantly better at intervention practices compared with control practices.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age: Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 36.7% were Non-white - unspecified		
Provider	Guevara, 2021 <sup>306</sup> Childrens Hospital of Philadelphia, 2016 <sup>694</sup> ID: NCT02716324 RCT Multicenter N = 303 US Setting: Primary Care	Target: Received care at a participating practice, had an ADHD diagnosis code (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision [ICD-9] code 314) recorded at an ambulatory visit in the past year Other: ADHD presentation: Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision Comorbidity: N/A Female: 31 % Age mean: 8.5 Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 5 % Black/African American : 45.9 % White : 26.4 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 9.2	Intervention: Portal combined with an ADHD care manager to enhance communication and promote greater shared decision-making; designed to (1) collect and share patient and family treatment preferences and goals with a clinician; (2) trend ADHD symptoms, performance impairment ratings, medication side effects, treatment receipt, and medication side effects by using electronically submitted parent and teacher reports; (3) provide a repository of ADHD educational materials; and (4) support information sharing between parents and teachers. ADHD care managers were bachelor's-trained individuals who were responsible for communicating information and facilitating coordination of care <b>Control:</b> Other Electronic Health Record portal alone <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 9 months	ADHD symptoms VPRS (Vanderbilt Parent Rating Scale) In multivariate models, VPRS scores decreased over time (Adjusted b 5 .015; 95% confidence interval 0.023 to 0.07) in both groups, but there were no intervention-by-time effects (Adjusted b 5 .000; 95% confidence interval 0.011 to 0.012) between groups. There were no adverse effects from either intervention identified, and interactions of intervention by race or income were not significant, suggesting no heterogeneity of treatment effects.
Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
--------------	--	---	---	---
Provider	Kolko, 2020 <sup>365</sup> University of Pittsburgh, 2008 <sup>1100</sup> ID: NCT00600470 Cluster RCT Multicenter N = 411 US Setting: Primary Care	Target: Children aged 5 to 12 years diagnosed with ADHD based on the DSM-IV criteria. Other: Parents ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist At intake, parents and children participated in a diagnostic/clinical interview based on the DSM-IV criteria to identify formal diagnoses. Comorbidity: N/A Female: 31 % Age mean: 8.0 (1.9) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % White : 70 Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A : No other race info reported outside of White	Intervention: Collaborative care, care manager delivered content modules which taught behavioral strategies to manage ADHD with caregivers and ADHD "survival skills" with participants in 3 to 4 1-hr sessions Control: NA Comparator: ProviderEnhanced usual care; families received a referral to a mental health provider and could receive services for ADHD from their primary care provider and/or a community mental health provider Follow-up: 6 months	ADHD symptoms measured using Vanderbilt ADHD Diagnostic Parent Rating Scale (VADPRS). Change from baseline to follow-up for intervention group compared to comparator group slope (-3.31), significant (p=.02).
Provider	Lavigne, 2011 <sup>378</sup> Childrens Hospital of Chicago, 2005 <sup>649</sup> ID: NCT00179894 Cluster RCT Multicenter N = 270 US	<b>Target:</b> Participants must have a diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-IV criteria, IQ >= 70. Exclude: comorbidity of ASD, Tourette, other major health conditions; have taken ADHD medications in the past 2 months, or taking medications incompatible with stimulants (did not specify)	Intervention: Derived medication management procedures: physicians received 2 hours of office-based training in using stimulant medications and atomoxetine, an ADHD specialist provided 1 hour of training to office staff in the use of software (Focus on ADHD Medication Management Program), and returned to the	ADHD-RS total scale, parent report Children in both specialized care and treatment-as-usual groups improved on the ADHD Rating Scales and SNAP-IV, and there were no group differences in improvement rates. There were no differences on the Barkley adverse effects scale between groups at 4, 9, or 12 months.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting: Specialty care	Other: Physicians from 24 Chicago-area pediatric practices ADHD presentation: inattentive : 41.2,hyperactive : 9.8,combined : 49.0 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children IV-Parent Comorbidity: N/A Female: 23.0 % Age mean: Specialized care SC: 8.25 (SD = 1.38, n = 138), treatment as usual TAU: 8.19 (SD = 1.62, n = 133) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 12.2 % Black/African American : 2.5 % White : 81.5 Other info on race or ethnicity:	office/practice for the first 3 patients per physician to ensure that staff understood program use <b>Control:</b> Other Pediatricians in treatment as usual group provided treatment per their usual procedure <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 months	
Provider	Myers, 2015 <sup>440</sup> Rockhill, 2016 <sup>976</sup> ; Myers, 2013 <sup>913</sup> ; Vander Stoep, 2017 <sup>1107</sup> ; Rockhill, 2020 <sup>975</sup> ; Seattle Childrens Hospital, 2009 <sup>999</sup> ID: NCT00830700	<b>Target:</b> Children aged 5 through 12 with ADHD in rural underserved communities, 75% had at least one comorbidity (oppositional defiant behavior 40%) <b>Other:</b> Parents received behavior training; parents and teachers provided outcome data	Intervention: Telehealth intervention combining pharmacotherapy and caregiver behavior training; 6 sessions, 3-4 weeks apart over 22 weeks Control: NA Comparator: OtherChildren remained under care of their primary	Vanderbilt ADHD Parent Rating Scale Number meeting parent-reported diagnostic criteria on Inattention subscale of the Vanderbilt Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Rating Scale, 25 weeks The percent of participants with at least 50% reduction in ADHD symptoms was significantly higher in the intervention group (p = 0.000). Lower proportions of children in the

u	<b>Study:</b> Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID:	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation:	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator:	Outcome and results
Interventio	Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Follow-up	
	RCT Multicenter	ADHD presentation: N/A : Percentages above do not add to	care providers and received a single consultation with a tele-psychiatrist,	intervention arm met diagnostic criteria on the VADRS-Caregiver: inattention, hy
	N = 223 US	100 because they are not mutually exclusive (caregiver ratings, not clinician diagnosed)	who shared treatment recommendations with the referring provider; providers were not	Columbia Impairment Scale-Parent Version (CIS-P)
	Setting: Other	Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Children scoring >= 65 on the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) ADHD diagnostic subscale online were eligible. Clinician then confirmed in person via DSM-IV criteria	restricted from referring to other resources Follow-up: 6 months	significantly more than children in the comparator group (p<0.001).
		Comorbidity: N/A		
		Age mean: 9.25 (2.0)		
		Minimum age: 5		
		Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 13.0 % Black/African American : 0.9 % American Indian or Alaska Native : 2.7 % Asian : 0.9 % Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 1.8 % White : 80.7		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Provider	Oppenheimer, 2019 <sup>454</sup> Boston Childrens Hospital, 2014 <sup>678</sup> ID: NCT02097355 Cluster RCT Multicenter N = 518 US Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children receiving ongoing treatment for ADHD, prescribed ADHD medication, parents and children proficient in English Other: Clinicians providing ADHD care ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Neurology department clinician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 24.3 % Age mean: 11 Intervention 9.85 (3.21), control 11.09 (3.24) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 5.8 % White : 78.4,Other : 406 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Trigger algorithm and alert resolution process, web-based platform that enables clinicians to administer online clinical questionnaires to parents and teachers to monitor patients remotely between visits, data collected for 13 months <b>Control:</b> No intervention Non-alert group <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 15 months	CGI-S scores Alert group patients had lower scores than non-alert group patients indicating worse global functioning. Vanderbilt scores Alert group patients had higher Vanderbilt scores at time 2 than the non-alert group indicating a worse ADHD severity (p<0.001).
Psychological or behavioral	Abikoff, 2013 <sup>113</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 158 US Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Children in 3rd through 5th grade with ADHD and organizational deficits <b>Other:</b> Parents received training and provided some outcome data <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 55.7,hyperactive : 0,combined : 44.3	Intervention: Organizational skills training; session time is spent working with the child, with parents joining during the last 10 minutes; 20 hour long in-clinic sessions held twice-a-week after school <b>Control:</b> Wait list Wait list	Clinical Global Impression-Improvement (CGI- I) Responder rates were significantly better for OST (85.3%) and PATHKO (86.9%) than waitlist (0%), overall p<0.0001). Children's Organizational Skills Scale, parent The intervention group performed better than the comparator group (p < 0.02).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV diagnosis confirmed by clinical evaluation required Comorbidity: Other : Organizational deficits Female: 35.4 % Age mean: 9.04 (0.82) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 11 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 13.9 % Black/African American : 14.6 % White : 69.6 Other info on race or ethnicity:	<b>Comparator</b> : OtherPerformance- based intervention that precluded skills, training motivates children by training teachers and parents to establish specific, individualized goals for children on written charts completed daily and to prompt, monitor, and praise/reward childre <b>Follow-up:</b> 24 months	Teachers and parents were satisfied with treatments, with no significant differences by treatment tgroupype. p value not reported. Academic Performance Rating Scale (APRS) No significant difference in academic outcomes at 2 years (p value not reported). There were no significant group differences for any other event.
Psychological or behavioral	Boyer, 2016 <sup>168</sup> Boyer, 2015 <sup>679</sup> ID: NTR2142 RCT Multicenter N = 159 Netherlands Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Adolescents with a prior DSM-IV-TR diagnosis of ADHD by a child psychiatrist or certified psychologist, (2) a confirmed ADHD diagnosis on the ADHD sections of the diagnostic interview schedule for children for DSM-IV parent version (DISCIV). Exclusions: (1) the adolescents themselves or their parents received alternative non- pharmacological treatment between pre- and post-assessment aimed at the participating adolescent. When the adolescent or parents did receive alternative treatment, they could only	Intervention: Plan my life: an cognitive behavioral treatment consisting of eight adolescent sessions and two parental sessions of 45–60 min, one session per week Control: NA Comparator: BehavioralSolution- focused treatment, consisting of eight individual adolescent sessions and two parental sessions (between adolescent session 2 and 3, and between adolescent session 5 and 6) of 45–60 min. At every session the adolescent discussed a problem he/she Follow-up: 3 months	ADHD-RS (ADHD-Rating Scale), parent-rated Marginally significant differences were found in favor of the intervention. At 12 months there no significant differences. Overall impairment, parental report There was a significant time x treatment effect . Executive function, teacher rated, significantly improved over time. At 1 year, no differences between groups. Attendence Intervention group showed significantly higher attendance rates than comparator (p = .03).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		participate if they stopped this treatment until post-test had taken place, (2) autism spectrum disorder, (3) predominant addiction, depression with suicidal ideations, acute familial crisis or CD. Because these disorders bring forward risks for participants themselves or others, it was unethical to discourage additional treatment, (4) they received pharmacological treatment with Atomoxetine. <b>Other:</b> <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 70,hyperactive : 5,combined : 25 <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by		At 1 year, no differences in effect on depression, anxiety, parent-adolescent conflict, or neurological tasks.
		specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: N/A Female: 26 % Age mean: Intervention 14 4(1 2) control		
		14.4(1.3) Minimum age: 12 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Psychological or behavioral	Coles, 2020 <sup>208</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 127 US Setting: School	Target: Unmedicated children aged 5 through 13 with ADHD Other: Parents of the children ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV diagnosis required. A Ph.Dlevel clinician conducted interview with parents and reviewed symptom rating and impairment scales (DBD-RS) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 16 % Age mean: 9.3 (2.0) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : Not reported % Black/African American : 13 % Asian : Not reported % White : 79 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Behavioral consultation with school and home components (high or low intensity); school: 3 initial teacher visits to set up Daily Report Card with home- based rewards, bank of 3 additional consultation visits throughout year; home: 1 initial home visit to establish a homebased Daily Report Card, bank of 3 additional consultation visits throughout year, option to attend monthly group parent training booster sessions Control: No intervention No behavioral consultation Comparator: NA Follow-up: 9 months	Inattention/Overactivity, Conners Score, parent report No difference in teacher or parent reported Conners Score, Oppositional/Defiant subscale or Inattention/ Overactivity subscale between children receiving or not receiving the behavioral consultation. Children who received the intervention were about half as likely those who did not to initiate medication use each week at school or home and used lower doses when medicated at school, 63% of the control group was medicated at home at endpoint compared to 26% of the intervention group (p < .01).
Psychological or behavioral	Fabiano, 2016 <sup>264</sup> ID: NA RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 172 US Setting: Mixed	Target: Teens with ADHD- Combined Type Other: Parents and teachers ADHD presentation: combined : 100 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV per Disruptive Behavior Disorder (DBD) rating scales of	Intervention: Supporting the Effective Entry to the Roadway (STEER), 8-week parent-teen intervention of weekly sessions divided into two 45-minute meetings with the first half including individual parent and teen meetings that occur in parallel and the second half including a joint activity. Adjunct to	Treatment satisfaction No difference between groups. Compared to the driver education practice program, the teens in the supporting the effective entry to the roadway group reported lower levels of risky driving behavior at the six- month (p=0.03) but not the 12-month follow-up

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		ADHD symptoms and DSM scale on the Child Behavior Checklist and Teacher Report Form <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 27.4 % 26.7 and 28.1% girls <b>Age mean:</b> 16.98 (0.70) and 16.88 (0.65) <b>Minimum age:</b> 16 <b>Maximum age:</b> 18 <b>Ethnicity:</b> % Black/African American : 11 % White : 85.5 % Multiracial : 1 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : Other: 2%	drivers ed program which control group also received. <b>Control:</b> Attention-matched control Driver education driver practice program, 10-week diver education co.urse with 30 hours of classroom instruction and 10 45-minute individual driving lessons <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 month	(p= 0.07); there was also no significant differences for observed positive parenting.
Psychological or behavioral	Hiscock, 2019 <sup>324</sup> Murdoch Childrens Research Institute (Australia), 2014 <sup>911</sup> ID: ISRCTN50834814 RCT Multicenter N = 361 Australia Setting: Other	<b>Target:</b> Met full DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for ADHD – that is at least six of nine symptoms of inattention and/or hyperactivity were rated as 'often' or 'very often' present on the ADHD Rating Scale IV, and the symptoms had been present for at least 6 months, and were associated with cross-situational impairment (e.g. at home and school); had a moderate to severe parent-rated sleep problem; and met the International Classification of Sleep Disorders – 3rd edition criteria for chronic insomnia	Intervention: Two face-to-face sessions with the parent and child approximately 2 weeks apart, each session 3.5 hours, parents completed a sleep diary, the second consultation and followup telephone call were used to review the sleep diary, reinforce suggested strategies, and troubleshoot any problems; clinician provided information about normal sleep, sleep cycles, and sleep hygiene strategies, and formulated a behavioral sleep management plan <b>Control:</b> TAU	Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire. Proportion of children with moderate to severe sleep problems was lower in the intervention (28.0%, 35.8%) compared with usual care group (55.4%, 60.1%) at 3 months as reported by primary caregiver.

	Study:	Population:	Comparison:	Outcome and results
	Author, year;	Setting;	Intervention;	
L	Trial ID:	Study target;	Comparator:	
itic	Study design:	Diagnosis:	Follow-up	
en	Sites:	Comorbidity:		
N	Study size;	% Female;		
nte	Location	Age mean;		
_	Setting	Minimum age;		
		Maximum age;		
		Ethnicity		
		disorder or delayed sleepwake	Families in the control group could	
		related anxiety	nediatrician which does not typically	
		Other: Pediatricians	include assessment and	
		ADHD presentation:	management of child sleep	
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by	problems	
		specialist	Comparator: NA	
		Comorbidity: Sleep	Follow-up: 6 months	
		Female: 25.1 %		
		Ago moon: $0.6(1.7)$		
		Age mean. 9.0 (1.7)		
		Monimum age: 5		
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:		
	Hogue, 2020 <sup>325</sup>	Target: Adolescents with ADHD.	Intervention: Changing Academic	National Youth Survey Self-Report
	National Center on	77% met criteria for more than one	Support in the Home for	Delinquency Scale, Delinquency
	Addiction and Substance	disorder, 42% were on ADHD	Adolescents with ADHD, a 3-module	Among adolescents who engaged in any
ral	Abuse at Columbia	medication	protocol that utilizes family and	delinquency, CASH-AA + MIP clients showed
vio	University, $2015^{1080}$	Other: Parents involved with	individual sessions to improve	greater declines in delinquent acts than
sha	ID: NCT02420990	intervention	school performance, flexible protocol	CASH-AA Only clients.
r be	Cluster RCT	ADHD presentation: N/A	that do not prescribe a fixed number	Inattentive/Disorganized and
l or		Diagnosis: Confirmation by	of sessions of intervention	Hyperactive/Impulsive subscale, Mini-
Jica	wullicenter	specialist		International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI)
log	N = 145	Yes, however only 77% of the	Control: NA	There was a significant association between
chc	US	sample met full diagnostic criteria	Comparator: Medication +	Intervention group and fewer Inattentive
syc	Setting: Specialty care	IOF ADHD pased on researcher	penavioraliviedication program is a	symptoms (self report) in a quadratic equation
<u>а</u>		study eligibility criteria the	integrate medication services into	substance use Effects on self-reported
		remaining 23% were enrolled	behavioral treatment planning for	hyperactivity symptoms were not sign
			adolescents with ADHD; contains 5	······································

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		based on already being treated for ADHD Comorbidity: N/A Female: 28 % Age mean: 14.8 (1.95) Minimum age: 12 Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 37 % Black/African American : 15 % White : 42 % Multiracial : 6 Other info on race or ethnicity:	modular tasks: ADHD Assessment & Medication Consult, ADHD Psychoeducation & Client Acceptance, <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 months	School functioning Association with grades, academic self- efficacy, problems with homework, and time spent on homework were not statistically significant in models controlling for age, sex, race, and baseline substance abuse.
Psychological or behavioral	Huang, 2015 <sup>331</sup> ID: N/A Clinical trial Single center N = 97 Taiwan Setting: N/A	Target: Boys and girls with ADHD in grades 1 though 4; children with autism and mental retardation were excluded Other: Parents and teachers provided outcome data ADHD presentation: inattentive : 19.6,combined : 80.4 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR Comorbidity: N/A Female: 17.5 % Age mean: 8.4 (0.9) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 10	Intervention: Social skill training combined with parent training, 7 consecutive 8-week behavioral- based group sessions, 80-minute group sessions during consecutive weeks teaching social skill modules using didactic instructions, modeling, role-play activities, behavior rehearsal, homework was assigned for each week <b>Control:</b> No intervention Recruited from referral as a control group, motivated for group therapy but could not find a mutually available time <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 4 months	Change in Delinquent Behavior, Child Behavior Check List (CBCL) No statistically significant group effect (p=0.38). Inattention scale SNAP-IV (Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham, version IV) change, parent There was no significant difference between groups on parent SNAP IV inattention (p=.41) or hyperactive/impulsivity (p = .13) scales. Significant effect of intervention on oppositional scale (p = .04). No significant effect of group on any teacher SNAP I Teacher version of modified social skill rating system (SSRS): intervention group improved more on Active Participation scale (p = .03) but not on Cooperative Behavior, Self Assertion, Self Control or Conflict Coping

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		scales. For child report SSRS, difference in Self Control favored intervention (p = .03).
Psychological or behavioral	Huang, 2021 <sup>330</sup> Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital, 2022 <sup>756</sup> ID: ChiCTR2100049863 RCT Single center N = 201 China Setting: Other	Target: Treatment naive children with ADHD. Exclusion: IQ <75, history of seizures, psyc co- morbidities. Other: Parents provided some outcome information ADHD presentation: inattentive : 62.7,hyperactive : 13.9,combined : 23.4 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist 2 independent providers used DSM V Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.4 % Age mean: 5.6 (0.65) Preschool Minimum age: Ethnicity: % Asian : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Behavioral intervention group included parental training (1 hour weekly sessions), behavioral therapy, attention training (twice per day), relief therapy and game therapy, plus conventional therapy (biofeedback and a health education booklet), intervention lasted for 1 year Control: TAU Conventional treatment (biofeedback and a health education booklet) Comparator: NA Follow-up: 18 months	Impulsivity/ hyperactivity scale, Conners parent symptom questionnaire Significant effect of intervention (p < .001). Intervention effect on hyperactivity index was also significant (p < .001). Significant effect of intervention on full-scale attention quotient (FAQ; p < .001) and full- scale response control quotient (FRCQ, p = 0.014) from integrated visual and auditory comprehensive continuous performance tests.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Psychological or behavioral	Kareem, 2021 <sup>351</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 50 Egypt Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children recently diagnosed with ADHD Other: Parents provided outcome data ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-V Comorbidity: N/A Female: 24 % Age mean: Intervention: 10.44 (1.18) Control: 9.60 (2.08) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 13 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Attention training intervention consisted of 12 sessions from 30 to 45 min with 5 children with their parents, 1 session per week Control: No intervention No intervention Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.5 months	Restless in the squirmy sense Intervention group improved significantly but not the control group,
Psychological or behavioral	Li, 2022 <sup>383</sup> ID: RCT Single center N = 180 China Setting: Specialty care	Target: Children with ADHD without co-morbid serious psyc disorders or medical conditions Other: Parents reported some outcomes ADHD presentation: inattentive : 38.3,hyperactive : 30.6,combined : 31.1 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM IV Comorbidity: N/A	Intervention: Theme building block games, with 2 to 3 children per group, once a week for 8 weeks - the scheme provides an interactive environment for children to promote their psychological and behavioral development - the research instructor gives specific instructions (e.g., we are going to build a castle today) <b>Control:</b> Attention-matched control	Child Behavior Check List (CBLC) Results presented by gender. For boys, intervention group improved more than control group on CBCL Discipline violation, Hostility, Compulsion, Immaturity, Bad communication, Schizoid, and Physical complaint scales. For girls, intervention group improved Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham, Version IV, Parent Intervention showed significantly more improvement (p<.05).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Female: 47.8 % Age mean: 5.01 (0.36) Minimum age: 3	Attention matched control, children play with blocks with 2 to 3 children per group, once a week for 8 weeks	
		Maximum age: 7	Comparator:	
		<b>Ethnicity:</b> % Asian : 100 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Follow-up: 2 months	
Psychological or behavioral	McGrath, 2011 <sup>416</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 72 Canada Setting: Other	Target: Children age with ADHD, able to speak and understand English, telephone access, 6- month symptom duration. Exclusion criteria were a co- intervention (within 6 months) and disorder severity, including evidence of immediate danger to self or others; involvement with child protection authorities; autism, schizophrenia, or other psychosis; complex comorbidity; and serious cognitive delay. Other: ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV, K-SADS-PL Comorbidity: N/A Female: 25 % Age mean: 8.89 (1.92) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 12	Intervention: The Strongest Families intervention based on evidence and skill-focused learning, anxiety program consisted of 11 sessions and the behavior programs had 12 sessions, weekly coach session calls were on average 40 minutes, 1 year of follow-up <b>Control:</b> No intervention Control participants received one call from the coach to review the randomization placement results and to inform the parent that the next contact from study staff would be at the 120-day follow-up time point to collect assessment data only <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 12 months	% recovered, Schedule for Affective Disorders - Present and Lifetime (K-SADS-PL) The percent successful rate (no diagnosis according to K-SADS-PL) was higher for the treatment group than for the control group for 8 months (p=0.05) and 12 months (p=0.04).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Psychological or behavioral	Meyer, 2021 <sup>420</sup> Uppsala County Council, 2016 <sup>1102</sup> ID: ISRCTN17366720 RCT Multicenter N = 184 Sweden Setting: Specialty care	Target: Adolescents with ADHD; exclusion: severe depression, suicidality, psychosis, or bipolar disorder without stable meds, mental retardation, autism, current substance abuse. Other: Parents reported some outcomes. ADHD presentation: inattentive : 25.6, combined : 70.7, N/A : Unspecified: 3.7 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM V per Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents (MINI- KID) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 63.9 % 65.8% (SSTG) and 62% (Control) females (all with ADHD) Age mean: SSTG 16.46 (0.88), control 16.71 (0.94) Minimum age: 15	Intervention: Age-adapted structured skills training group program based on a manualized dialectical behavioral therapy (DBT) consisting of 14 weekly 2-hour sessions where each session focused on a specific theme; the program includes elements of DBT, psychoeducation and strategies for managing difficulties related to ADHD. Control: NA Comparator: OtherManual-based psychoeducational group program of three 2-hour sessions focusing on psychoeducation about ADHD, including information about ADHD symptomatology, strengths and challenges with ADHD, sleep and diet; the participants also received a book descri Follow-up: 6 months	ASRS-A (ADHD Self-Report Scale for Adolescents) - Self-rating No group effect on patient or parent reported symptoms on ADSR. Child Sheehan Disability Scale (CSDS), adolescent report No difference in effect on patient or parent report. No significant group differences regarding acceptability. No difference in effect on Quality of Life or Impact of ADHD Symptoms (IAS) on well- being. No difference in effect on Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age: 18 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
Psychological or behavioral	Pelham, 2016 <sup>52</sup> ID: N/A Crossover trial Single center N = 152 US Setting: Mixed	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD, between the ages of 5 and 12, were included with clinically diagnosed ADHD. Children should not have (1) Full-Scale IQ below 70; (b) history of seizures or other neurological problems; (c) history of other medical problems; (d) childhood history or concurrent diagnosis of pervasive developmental disorder, schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders, sexual disorder, organic mental disorder, or eating disorder; (e) lack of functional impairment; and (f) placement in special education classrooms. <b>Other:</b> Parents, teachers <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive_other : mean score: Medication First: 7.6 (1.9); Behavioral First: 8.1 (1.5),hyperactive_other : mean score Hyperactivity/Impulsivity: Medication First: 7.1 (2.2); Behavioral First: 6.8 (2.1)	Intervention: Behavioral first intervention, social skills training sessions for children, parent training (8 group sessions), and brief teacher consultation to establish a daily report card, report cards were sent home each day and parents provided rewards for good performance, monthly parent- training booster session for 8 weeks, case manager communicated with teacher monthly for one school year <b>Control:</b> NA <b>Comparator:</b> MedicationMedication first intervention, extended-release methylphenidate (equivalent to .15 mg/kg/dose bid) <b>Follow-up:</b> 4 months	Classroom rule violations The behavior management intervention exhibited significantly fewer classroom rule violations per hour than the comparator of medication intervention (incidence rate ratio 0.66, p<0.01). ADHD, Disruptive Behavior Disorders Rating Scale No difference between groups (effect size - 0.01). Social Skills Total Score SSRS, parent There was no significant difference between groups for the Social Skills Total Score. 67% of the children who began treatment with behavioral interventions required additional treatment by the end of the school year compared with 47% of the children who began the school year receiving a low dose of medication (OR 2.23). Survival analyses indicated a significant group difference (p < .01).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by clinicians Comorbidity: N/A Female: 24 % Age mean: Medication first 8.3 (2), behavioral first 8.5(1.8) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % Black/African American : 12.3 % White : 80.1 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Psychological or behavioral	Pfiffner, 2014 <sup>464</sup> Tran, 2018 <sup>1084</sup> ; Haack, 2017 <sup>780</sup> ; Rooney, 2018 <sup>980</sup> ; Adalio, 2018 <sup>640</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 199 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD- inattentive type and IQ > 80, living with at least one parent for the past year, attending school (grades 2 - 5) full time in a regular classroom, ability to participate in our groups on the days scheduled, school proximity within 45 minutes of study site to allow for the clinician to conduct school meetings, and teacher consent to participate in a school-based treatment <b>Other:</b> Parents received training and provided some outcomes <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 100	Intervention: Child Life and Attention Skills (CLAS) program included three manualized coordinated components: (a) ten 90- minute parent group meetings, along with up to six 30-minute family meetings (parent, child, and therapist); (b) ten 90-minute child group meetings; and (c) teacher consultation, which included one 30- minute orientation meeting involving the teacher and therapist and up to five subsequent 30-minute meetings with the parent, child, teacher, and therapist and booster sessions, treatment occurred over a 10- to 13- week period	Clinical Global Impression (CGI) - I, parent report Intervention and comparator performed better than control. No group differences on teacher reported CGI-I. Inattentive symptoms CSI (Child Symptom Inventory), parent rating Responders (mean parent rated CSI inattention symptom severity score fell within 1 SD of norms) 54.8% of intervention, 43.2% of comparator, and 29.8% of treatment as usual were positive responders (p>.05). Intervention and comparator scores improved when compared to the placebo (p=0.001). IRS (Impairment Rating Scale)

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV diagnosis confirmed by the KSADS-PL by clinician Comorbidity: N/A Female: 42 % Age mean: 8.6 (1.2) Minimum age: 7 Maximum age: 11 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 17 % Black/African American : 5.0 % Asian : 8.0 % White : 54.0 % Multiracial : 17.0 Other info on race or ethnicity:	<b>Control:</b> TAU Treatment as usual did not receive either study intervention; families received a written diagnostic report based on the assessment conducted at baseline, a list of community treatment providers, but no specific treatment recommendations; families were o <b>Comparator:</b> BehavioralParent- focused treatment included parent training teaching parent skills but did not receive specific training in how to work with teachers and were not informed about the child skills taught in the CLAS condition; families received the same number of par <b>Follow-up:</b> 7 months	Teachers did not report differences across groups regarding overall impairment. Parent and teacher satisfaction Parent and teacher satisfaction with CLAS was very high; >95% of parents rated the child and parent skills taught as useful or very useful, 94% of teacher rated the classroom challenge as helpful or very helpful. Parent satisfaction with the comparator in
Psychological or behavioral	Power, 2012 <sup>469</sup> ID: N/A RCT Single center N = 199 US Setting: Specialty care	<b>Target:</b> Children meeting criteria for ADHD, Combined Type or ADHD, Inattentive Type who are enrolled in school and scored at or above 0.75 of a standard deviation above the mean on the Homework Problem Checklist; children scoring at or above an estimated IQ of 75 on the 2-subtest version of the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence <b>Other:</b> Parents, teachers <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 51.8,combined : 48.3	Intervention: Family-School Success over the course of 12 weekly sessions, which included 6 group sessions (90 minutes each), 4 individualized family sessions (60 minutes each), and 2 school-based consultations (45 minutes each) Control: NA Comparator: BehavioralCoping with ADHD through Relationships and Education (CARE) included 11 group sessions and 1 family-school meeting, which were held on consecutive weeks. The initial	SNAP-P (Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham Questionnaire), parent-report There was no intervention effect on ADHD and ODD symptoms, as assessed by parent and teacher ratings on the SNAP-IV. parent-rated Treatment Acceptability Questionnaire (TAQ) Tx acceptance significantly higher for intervention (p = .006). Academic Performance Rating Scale (APRS) Group had no effect on improvement.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age:	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Parent-report on the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Age Children - DSM IV by clinician	session was conducted on a Saturday for 3 hours and subsequent meetings were 75 minutes ( <b>Follow-up:</b> 3 months	
		<b>Comorbidity:</b> Learning disability : homework problems,N/A		
		Female: 32 %		
		Age mean:		
		Grade level (M and SD) 3.5 (1.2)		
		Minimum age: 7		
		Maximum age: 10		
		Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 7.1 % Black/African American : 22.2 % Asian : 2.0 % White : 72.4 % Multiracial : 3.5 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
	Qian, 2021 <sup>474</sup>	Target: Children with ADHD who	Intervention: Ecological executive	ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD Rating Scale IV) scores
٦	Zlli Fan, 2016 <sup>1156</sup>	approximately 14 ± 7 months	training program and parent self-	time $p = 0.004$ ). Same for inattention (p
ical	ID: NC102656758	before the current study; no history	help group, multiple-family role-play	=0.007) and hyperactivity (p = 0.020)
avic	Crossover trial	of head injury; no diagnosis of	component, and behavior parent	subscales.
cho veha	Unclear/Not reported	neurological conditions: estimated	weekly sessions, each session	WEISS Function Impairment Scale-Parent
psy b	N = 70	full-scale IQ of 80 or above; no	lasting 120 minutes	report, total
	China Setting: Specialty care	diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders, psychosis, or an	Control: Wait list	groups.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting	Maximum age; Ethnicity		
		emergent psychiatric condition that needed immediate medication	12-week waitlist, after which group received intervention	Behavior Rating Scales of Executive Function (BRIEF) : no effect of group on any subscales.
		Other: Parents ADHD presentation: inattentive :	Comparator: NA	
		51.43,hyperactive : 4.29,combined : 44.29	Follow-up: 3 months	
		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV criteria based on parent ratings of the ADHD-rating scale-IV and was then confirmed by a semi- structured interview conducted by experienced pediatric psychiatrists using the clinical diagnostic interview scale.		
		Comorbidity: N/A		
		Female: 23 %		
		Minimum age: 6		
		Maximum age: 12		
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:		
al or	Schuck, 2018 <sup>510</sup> Schuck, 2018 <sup>995</sup>	Target: Children with ADHD           Combined Type           Other: Parents	Intervention: Canine assisted psychosocial intervention, 12 weekly 2-hour sessions	Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS) Problem Behaviors scale A significant interaction of group by time (p
ologic: aviora	RCT	ADHD presentation: combined : 100	<b>Control:</b> Other Psychosocial intervention without	=.002) was found at treatment completion for problem behaviors.
Psychc beh	Single center N = 88 US	<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV confirmed by Kaufman-	canine assisted intervention; parents participated in 12 weekly 2-hour sessions of group Behavioral Parent	ADHD-RS-IV (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale, 4th Edition) total score, parent report
<u> </u>		Schedule for Allective Disorders	maining emphasizing positive	

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Setting: Community	and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children: Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL) <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 28.5 % <b>Age mean:</b> 7.65 (0.75) <b>Minimum age:</b> 7 <b>Maximum age:</b> 9 <b>Ethnicity:</b> % Hispanic or Latino : 29.5 % Black/African American : 1.5 % Asian : 12.5 % Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander : 1.5 % White : 62 % Multiracial : 20.5 Other info on race or ethnicity:	reinforcement strategies and nonphysical discipline <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 3 months	Ratings were significantly lower in the intervention group than control group but the difference was borderline significant ( $p = 0.06$ ). Self esteem was measured by the Self-Perception Profile for Children and children's self-perceptions in the domains of behavioral conduct, social, and scholastic competence, were significantly increased from baseline to post-treatment in intervention group ( $p = .021$ , $p = .008$ , and $p = .011$ ) while the control group did not experience significant increases. There no adverse events across seven cohorts of treatment
Psychological or behavioral	Sciberras, 2020 <sup>511</sup> Murdoch Childrens Research Institute (MCRI) (Australia), 2010 <sup>912</sup> ; Hiscock, 2015 <sup>806</sup> ; Sciberras, 2010 <sup>997</sup> ID: ISRCTN68819261 RCT Multicenter N = 244 Australia Setting: Mixed	Target: Children with ADHD and behavioral sleep disorder or experiencing significant bedtime anxiety leading to insomnia, and parents needed to rate as moderate/severe sleep problem Other: Parents ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV Comorbidity: Sleep Female: 14.7 %	Intervention: Family intervention, 2 face to face, fortnightly consultations about sleep with a trained clinician; clinician assessed the child's sleep problem, elicited parent goals for sleep management, provided information about normal sleep, sleep cycles, and sleep hygiene strategies, and formulated a behavioral sleep management plan tailored to the child's sleep problem; parents were asked to complete a sleep diary; the second consultation and a follow-up telephone call were used to review the sleep diary,	<ul> <li>Strengths &amp; Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) conduct problems, parent report</li> <li>No difference in improvement in conduct reported by parent (p =0 .17) or teacher (p =0 .11).</li> <li>ADHD-RS-IV (ADHD rating scale IV), total score, parent</li> <li>Intervention group improved more on parent rating (p = .001) but not teacher rating (p = 0.91).</li> <li>Daily Parent Rating of Evening and Morning Behavior (DPREMB)</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Age mean: 10.1 (2.0) Minimum age: 5 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	reinforce suggested strategies, and troubleshoot any problems <b>Control:</b> TAU Families allocated to 'usual care' accessed care from their child's pediatrician, which does not usually involve the assessment and treatment of sleep problems <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 months	The intervention group improved more than control group (p = .001). Child sleep habits questionnaire—total score: Intervention group improved more than control (p < .02).
Psychological or behavioral	Sibley, 2016 <sup>521</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 128 US Setting: School	Target: Children with ADHD in grades 6 through 8 with significant academic impairment; children with autism spectrum disorder excluded Other: Parents were involved in intervention and supplied some outcome data ADHD presentation: inattentive : 39.1,combined : 60.9 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Phone screen containing the DSM- IV-TR ADHD symptoms and questions about impairment was administered to the primary caretaker. Then in person parent structured interview (Computerized-Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children) and symptom assessment con Comorbidity: N/A	Intervention: Supporting Teens' Academic Needs Daily (STAND) consists of ten 50-minute manualized family therapy sessions attended by the parent and teen, uses motivational interviewing <b>Control:</b> TAU Treatment as usual, without intervention <b>Comparator:</b> NA Follow-up: 6 months	Disruptive behavior, parent report Group by time effects were nonsignificant (p=0.343). ADHD Symptom Severity, Disruptive Behavior Disorder Rating Scale (DBD), parent report The intervention group improved compared to the control group (p < .001). Cumulative GPA There were no significant differences between intervention and comparator group (p=0.265).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Female: 35.2 % Age mean: 12.7 (0.86) Minimum age: 11 Maximum age: 15 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 78.5 % Black/African American : 10.8 % White : 7.7 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Psychological or behavioral	Sibley, 2020 <sup>522</sup> Sibley, 2016 <sup>1035</sup> ID: NA RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 123 US Setting: School	Target: Adolescents with ADHD, without any history of autism, intellectual disability or an IQ of less than 70 Other: Parents provided outcome data ADHD presentation: inattentive_other : Dyadic, 49.2% / Parent-Teen Group, 58.3%,combined_other : Dyadic, Parent-Teen 50.8% / Group, 41.7% Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM 5 via Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children Comorbidity: N/A Female: 19.6 % Female: Dyadic, 17.5% / Parent- Teen Group, 21.7% Age mean:	Intervention: Parent-teen dyadic Supporting Teens' Autonomy Daily (STAND), a manualized, ten 60-min weekly sessions attended by the participant and a parent, skill instruction blended with motivational interviewing and parent-teen behavioral contracting Control: NA Comparator: BehavioralGroup Supporting Teens' Autonomy Daily (STAND), manualized, eight 90-min weekly group sessions, teens and parents meet in separate groups for the first 75 minutes and meet for the final 15 minutes Follow-up: 6 months	ADHD symptoms inattention, parent rating No difference in parent reported inattention (p = 0.61) or hyperactivity (p=0.37) scores. No difference in teacher reported inattention (p = 0.07) or hyperactivity (p= 0.50) scores. Organization, time management, and planning impairment, skills applied to homework, school, and chores, parent report There was no difference across groups in either parent (p=0.84) or teacher (p=0.23) reported. Teen treatment satisfaction No significant differences in treatment satisfaction (p = 0.81) or percentage of treatment attended (p=0.16). Grade Point Average (GPA) No difference between groups (p = 0.50).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Dydactic 13.63 (1.49), Parent-teen group 13.59 (1.78) Minimum age: 11 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: Other : Dyadic, 85.7% / Parent- Teen Group, 85% Other : Dyadic, 4.8% / Parent-Teen Group, 5% Other : Dyadic, 7.9% / Parent-Teen Group, 8.3% Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Psychological or behavioral	Sibley, 2021 <sup>520</sup> Bickman, 2021 <sup>1031</sup> ; Florida International University, 2016 <sup>752</sup> ID: NCT02694939 RCT Multicenter N = 278 US Setting: Community	Target: Adolescents with ADHD; those with diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder or intellectual disability were excluded Other: Parents involved in intervention. Parents & teachers provided some outcomes ADHD presentation: inattentive : 52.2,combined : 47.8 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-5 Comorbidity: N/A Female: 29.5 % STAND 29.7, usual care 29.3 Age mean: 13.97 (1.51) and 14.08 (1.50) Minimum age: 11	Intervention: Supporting Teens' Autonomy Daily (STAND) consisting of 10 weekly 60-minute motivational interviewing-enhanced behavior therapy sessions attended by dyads of teens and parents <b>Control:</b> No intervention No intervention, controls continued with any already existing treatment as usual <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 9.8 months	Number of disciplinary incidents No difference in number of disciplinary incidents (p 0.063). Inattention, DSM score, parent report No difference in parent rated inattention score (p = .162), teacher rated inattention score (p = .6340, parent rated hyperactivity score (p = .272), or teacher rated hyperactivity score (p = .801). Satisfaction with treatment No group differences in adolescent satisfaction. Grade Point Average (GPA) No difference (p = .904).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Siebelink, 2021 <sup>523</sup>	Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 81.7 % Black/African American : 13.3 % White : 4.7 % Multiracial : 0.7 Other info on race or ethnicity: Target: Dutch-speaking children	Intervention: Family mindfulness-	Oppositional behavior scale. Conners Parent
Psychological or behavioral	Karakter Kinder en Jeugdpsychiatrie, 2017 <sup>844</sup> ; Siebelink, 2018 <sup>1039</sup> ID: NCT03220308 RCT Single center N = 103 Netherlands Setting: Mixed	and adolescents with ADHD; could use ADHD medication if stable dose was reached two weeks prior to study. No current psychosis, bipolar illness, active suicidality, untreated post-traumatic stress disorder or substance use disorder; no intelligence quotient <80. Other: Parents ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-4 or DSM-5 confirmed with a structured interview conducted by trained researchers Comorbidity: N/A Female: 30 % Age mean: Intervention 11.0 (1.8), control 11.4 (1.8) Minimum age: 8 Maximum age: 16 Ethnicity:	based intervention. Paring minidiffess- based intervention, 8 weekly 90- minute group sessions, followed by a booster session 8 weeks later, homework of approximately 30–45 min/day for parents and 15 min/day for children, also received care-as- usual <b>Control:</b> TAU Care-as-usual only <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 8 months	Rating Scale (CPRS) No difference between groups. Hyperactivity-impulsivity, SWAN (Strengths and Weaknesses of ADHD symptoms and Normal behaviour ) parent-rated Parent-rated hyperactivity-impulsivity group differences were larger and significant in favor of intervention group (p<.05). Difference in parent-rated inattentiveness not significant. No differences in teacher reported hyperactivity- impulsivity or inatte No difference in parent-rated self-control deficits measured using 75-item Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Version (BRIEF). No CAU- or MBI-related Serious Adverse Events were spontaneously reported by the participants or mindfulness teachers.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Storobo 201252	Other into on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Social skills training	Hyperactivity impulsivity subinday Conner's
Psychological or behavioral	Storebo, 2012 <sup>332</sup> Storebo, 2011 <sup>964</sup> ; Storebo, 2011 <sup>1056</sup> ID: NCT00937469 RCT Single center N = 56 Netherlands Setting: Specialty care	<ul> <li>I arget: ADHD diagnosis according to DSM, 8-12 years, parents willing to take part, without schizophrenia or autism, no violent and criminal children, with an IQ of 80 or above, without having previously taken medication for ADHD Other:</li> <li>ADHD presentation: inattentive : 29.1,hyperactive : 3.9,combined : 58</li> <li>Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by psychologists from the Clinic</li> <li>Comorbidity: N/A</li> <li>Female: 30 %</li> <li>Age mean: 10.4 (1.31)</li> <li>Minimum age: 8</li> <li>Maximum age: 12</li> <li>Ethnicity:</li> <li>Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A</li> </ul>	Intervention: Social skills training offered weekly, 90 minute sessions for 8 weeks, in addition to standard treatment that encompassed offer of medical treatment for the child following a medication protocol, treatment started with the first choice: methylphenidate; the second choice: dexamphetamine; and atomoxetine was considered in patients where there was a suspicion of abuse of dexamphetamine or a significant anxiety component change; standard treatment involved an educational parent group, where the parents met 3 times during the 8 week trial and received general information about ADHD <b>Control:</b> TAU Standard treatment encompassed family was offered medical treatment for the child following a medication protocol, treatment started with the first choice: methylphenidate; the second choice: dexamphetamine;	Hyperactivity-impulsivity subindex Conner's 3rd Edition Rating Scale Social skills training plus parental training did not show any significant benefit for children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder when compared with standard treatment. Academic performance based on Conners-3 and CBRS No difference between groups. Participants with adverse events No adverse events were observed.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
			and atomoxetine was considered in patients wher <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	
Psychological or behavioral	Valero, 2021 <sup>581</sup> ID: NA RCT Unclear/Not reported N = 30 Spain Setting: Community	Target: Children aged 9 through 14         with ADHD         Other: Parents also received         mindfulness training         ADHD presentation: inattentive :         30,hyperactive : 13,combined : 57         Diagnosis: Confirmation by         specialist         Diagnosis had to be performed by         a specialist—psychologist, neuropediatrician, or psychiatrist—at         least 2years prior to participation.         ADHD confirmed by parent version         of Conners—3rd Edition         Comorbidity: N/A         Female: 23.3 %         Age mean: 10.6 (1.69)         Minimum age: 9         Maximum age: 14         Ethnicity:         Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A	Intervention: Mindfulness training, 8 sessions over an 8-week period, children's sessions were 1 hour long, parent sessions were 1.5 hours Control: Wait list Wait list Comparator: NA Follow-up: 6 months	Conners—3rd Edition, aggressive behavior scale Intervention group had less aggression at follow-up (p = .045). Inattention score, Conner's Version 3, parent report At follow-up, intervention group showed less inattention compared to the wait-list group (p=.0324). There was no difference in hyperactivity/impulsivity score p = (.103). Conners Version 3, parent report, executive function, intervention group had better executive function (p=.002).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Wilkes-Gillan, 2016 <sup>613</sup> Barnes, 2017 <sup>663</sup>	Maximum age; Ethnicity <b>Target:</b> Children with ADHD with co-morbid difficulties (i.e., language difficulties, conduct disorder);	<b>Intervention:</b> 1-hour play-based intervention sessions for 10 weeks	The change in play scores for the intervention- first group was significantly greater than the change in the control-first group during their 10
al	ID: ACTRN12614000973617 Crossover trial Single center	exclusion : other major developmental disorders (i.e., intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorder)	<b>Control:</b> Wait list No treatment for 10 weeks, after which the group crossed over to the 10-week play-based intervention. Outcomes reported pre-crossover.	week wait period (p < .001). One year follow up did not have adequate power.
r behaviora	N = 31 Australia Setting: Mixed	friend of each child <b>ADHD presentation:</b> inattentive : 38,hyperactive : 3,combined : 59	Comparator: NA Follow-up: 2.5 months	
chological o		<b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV by pediatrician or psychiatrist		
Psy		Comorbidity: Learning disability Female: 13 %		
		Age mean: 8.4 (1.6)		
		Maximum age: 5		
		Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A		
acher, school anvironment	Breaux, 2018 <sup>171</sup> Langberg, 2018 <sup>860</sup> ; Smith, 2020 <sup>1045</sup> ID: N/A RCT	<b>Target:</b> Children met full DSM-IV- TR diagnostic criteria for ADHD based on the Parent Children's Interview for Psychiatric Syndromes or combined with teacher ratings on the National Institute for Children's Health	Intervention: Completing Homework by Improving Efficiency and Focus (CHIEF) is contingency management-based treatment, 16 sessions delivered during the school day, first 10 sessions occurred twice weekly and final six sessions	Grade Point Average (GPA) Adolescent involvement, parent involvement and other therapeutic processes led to an increase in GPA posttreatment.
Te	N = 222	Quality Vanderbilt ADHD Rating Scale; intelligence quotient of 80 or	occurred once per week, completed over 11-weeks, also included two 1-	

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	US Setting: School	above; no pervasive developmental disorder, bipolar disorder, or psychosis Other: School mental health professionals, parents of children with ADHD, teachers of children with ADHD resentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Participant's assessment data were reviewed by a licensed clinical psychologist to determine eligibility and diagnoses Comorbidity: N/A Female: 28 % Age mean: 12.00 (1.02) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 9 % Black/African American : 28 % White : 56 % Multiracial : 12 Other info on race or ethnicity: Other : 4% other/did not report	hour sessions with provider and family Control: Wait list Wait list Comparator: Teacher, school environmentHomework, Organization, and Planning Skills (HOPS) is skills-based treatment that focuses on teaching organization and planning skills that are important for homework completion; two parent/family meetings focused on promoting generalization; 16 sessions Follow-up: 6 months	
Teacher, school	Corkum, 2019 <sup>213</sup> Dalhousie University, 2012 <sup>711</sup> ID: NCT01547702	<b>Target:</b> Children attending Grades 1 to 6; enrolled in an English classroom, or teacher was able to complete the program in English; previously diagnosed with ADHD	<b>Intervention:</b> Teachers given weekly online sessions for 6 weeks, session covered a different topic related to education, treatment, support and additional interventions	ADHD Index Conners 3-T Significant improvements based on teacher (but not parent) reports of core ADHD symptoms. Impairment ratings score, teacher

ntervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean;	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	RCT	Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity by health care provider who was certified to make mental health	Control: Wait list	Significant improvement associated with the
	N = 58 Canada	diagnoses; on a stable dose of medication for ADHD or was taking no medication, with no plan to start or change medications for the	intervention but were free to access usual care. Waitlist lasted 12 weeks Comparator: NA	Teacher intervention satisfaction (content presented was easy to understand) Rated 5.28 90.84) on a 6-point scale
	Setting: School	duration of the study; no Individualized Program Plan due to significant physical, behavioral, communication, or intellectual difficulties; no significant co- occurring mental health problems aside from ADHD; no moderate or severe intellectual impairment; no previous involvement with the Teacher Help for ADHD program <b>Other:</b> Teachers of students with ADHD	Follow-up: 6 months	
		ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: No doesn't indicate confirmation, but does indicate that participants were previously diagnosed by a certified health care provider Comorbidity: N/A		
		Female: 12 % Age mean: 8.83 (1.72) Minimum age: 6		
		Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: % White : 90		

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;EthnicityOther info on race or ethnicity:	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	DuPaul, 2021 <sup>242</sup>	Other : 10% non-caucasian           Target: Adolescents with ADHD in school for at least half the day, an	Intervention: Multi-component	Tardiness frequency
Teacher, school environment	Ohio University, 2020 <sup>936</sup> ID: NCT04480346 RCT Multicenter N = 186 US Setting: School	IQ of 75 for above, and not diagnosed with psychosis, bipolar, or OCD Other: Parents and teacher provided some outcome data ADHD presentation: inattentive,combined : 50 Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist diagnostic criteria for at leastADHD based on the Parent-Children's Interview for Psychiatric Syndromes (P-ChIPS) Comorbidity: N/A Female: 20 % Age mean: 15 (0.8) Grades 9 through 11 Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 10.2 % Black/African American : 14.5 % Asian : 1.0	coaching sessions for 15–20 min twice per week throughout the academic year, at least monthly collaborative problem-solving between the teen and coach, ten 90- min evening group sessions at their school offered separately for adolescents and parents <b>Control:</b> TAU Community care, given a list of available resources in their community, including locally available providers of child and family psychosocial and pharmacological interventions. Participants in both groups were informed that they could continue with any s <b>Comparator:</b> NA <b>Follow-up:</b> 6 months	<ul> <li>(p=0.75) or Time (p=0.96) effect for school tardiness.</li> <li>Adolescent Academic Problems Checklist Total</li> <li>The intervention group had significantly fewer academic problems compared to the comparator group (p&lt;0.01).</li> <li>Children's Organization Skills Scale Task Planning showed steeper negative slopes (i.e., more improvement over time) for intervention participants than those in the community care condition.</li> </ul>

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;Ethnicity% White : 74Other info on race or ethnicity:Other : Other 4.8%	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
Teacher, school environment	Evans, 2016 <sup>262</sup> Langberg, 2016 <sup>861</sup> ; Schultz, 2017 <sup>996</sup> ID: N/A RCT Multicenter N = 326 US Setting: School	Target: Children had to attend one of the participating schools, met full DSM-IV-TR diagnostic criteria for either ADHD-Predominantly Inattentive Type or ADHD- Combined Type ADHD based on the Parent Children's Interview for Psychiatric Syndromes or combined with teacher ratings on the Disruptive Behavior Disorders Rating Scale, demonstrated impairment based on parent or teacher report on the Impairment Rating Scale, and demonstrated an IQ of 80 or above, and did not meet diagnostic criteria for a pervasive developmental disorder or bipolar disorder, psychosis, or obsessive- compulsive disorderOther: Parents and teachers provided dataADHD presentation: combined : 49Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IVComorbidity: N/A	Intervention: Challenging Horizons Program–after school version (CHP- AS): 2 days per week for 2 hr 15 min per day for 9 months Control: TAU Community care condition received a list of available resources in their community at the start of the school year; resource lists were developed in collaboration with school staff to include locally available child and family psychosocial and pharmacolog Comparator: Teacher, school environmentChallenging Horizons Program–mentoring version provided by a teacher or other staff member in their school (mentor); mentor participation was voluntary, and mentors received a small stipend (\$100) for participation. Mentors agreed to meet weekly with thei Follow-up: 18 months	Inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity scale, Disruptive Behavior Disorders (DBD) Rating Scale Challenging Horizons Program after school version is associated with moderate effect size improvements in ADHD symptoms of inattention but not hyperactive/impulsive symptoms. IRS (Impairment Rating Scale), relation with peers scale, teacher There were no significant differences between groups. Classroom Performance Survey (CPS), Academic factor, teacher There were no significant differences between groups. Intervention group performed better than mentoring group (p = .0011) and better than community care (p = 0007). Similar results for COSS materials management scale (p=.0430 vs mentoring, p=0 .0010 vs community care).

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Female: 29 % Age mean: 12.1 (1.0) 6th grade to 8th grade Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 3 % Black/African American : 12 % White : 70 % Multiracial : 8 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Teacher, school environment	Schramm, 2016 <sup>509</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 113 Germany Setting: Specialty care	Target: Participants with ADHD and not meeting the criteria for severe comorbid disorders (e.g., psychotic episode) Other: ADHD presentation: Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist DSM-IV-TR by dministered by a clinical psychologist under supervision of a board-certified child and adolescent psychotherapist Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15 % Age mean: 13.99 (1.44) Minimum age: 12 Maximum age: 17 Ethnicity:	Intervention: Learning Skills Training for Adolescents With ADHD, a manualized, multimodal intervention combining an adolescent-direct training approach (maximum of 20 sessions of 60 mins each) with a behavioral training component in methods of contingency management for parents and teachers (3 sessions of 90 mins each) <b>Control:</b> Wait list Waiting list controls were invited twice for data collection with an average interval of 5.76 (SD ! 1.65) months in between and expected to start intervention after post- measurement, which was offered for ethical reasons.	Inattention, FBB-HKS (Fremdbeurteilungsbogen für Hyperkinetische Störungen), parent report The training significantly reduced ADHS symptoms and parent- and teacher-rated internalizing problems and increased teacher rated academic enablers compared to waiting list controls.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Other info on race or ethnicity: N/A : Germans	<b>Comparator:</b> OtherProgressive muscle relaxation training, adolescents met in groups of 4-5 twice-weekly for 12–15 sessions (60 mins) and were trained by 2 BA- level students followed by playtime; the students did not mention or talk about ADHD or related problems with the a <b>Follow-up:</b> 48 months	
Teacher, school environment	Shen, 2021 <sup>517</sup> School of Public Health, 2018 <sup>994</sup> ID: ChiCTR1800014945 RCT Multicenter N = 232 China Setting: School	Target: Children meeting the diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM-5, being between 6-12 years old; parents agree to use treatment, can read and write the Chinese language, and signed the informed consent Other: ADHD presentation: inattentive : 35.3,hyperactive : 25.4,combined : 27.2,N/A : control and intervention Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Inclusion criterian to meet the diagnosis of the ADHD according to the DSM5 Comorbidity: Female: 12.5 % 75.4 Age mean: 0.2 (0.48)	Intervention: Multimodal treatment for teachers and parents in the intervention group, 2 teacher training meetings (1 2-hr session and 1 30- min session), 2 group parent trainings sessions (4.5-hrs) and 2 individualized family therapy sessions (2hrs), conducted over 16 weeks, participants also received stimulant medication prescribed by their pediatricians <b>Control:</b> TAU Children in the control group were treated with stimulant medication prescribed by their pediatricians referring to the clinical practice guidelines for ADHD children published by the American Academy of Pediatrics <b>Comparator:</b> NA	SNAP-IV (Swanson Nolan and Pelham's 4th scale) The intervention group demonstrated significant improvements compared to that in the control group (p < 0.05). Treatment Acceptability Questionnaire (TAQ) scale 64.8% of the parents in the intervention group indicated that this treatment would help their children. Academic Performance Questionnaire (APQ) change There was no significant time by group effect (p > 0.05). Parental stress measured with the PSI improved in both groups. There were no serious adverse events and adverse events reported.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
	Sibley, 2018 <sup>519</sup>	Minimum age: 6 Maximum age: 12 Ethnicity: Other : Chinese Other info on race or ethnicity: Target: Rising" 6th & 9th graders	Follow-up: 4 months	School Disciplinary Incidents
Teacher, school environment	Sibley, 2020 <sup>1034</sup> ; Sibley, 2019 <sup>1032</sup> ID: NA RCT Single center N = 325 US Setting: School	with ADHD referred from Miami- Dade schools; DSM IV diagnosis required; students must display significant academic impairment (at least a 3 on a 0–6 teacher Impairment Rating Scale); Students with autism spectrum disorder were excluded <b>Other:</b> Parents and teachers provided data <b>ADHD presentation:</b> N/A <b>Diagnosis:</b> Confirmation by specialist ADHD diagnosis was confirmed through a combination of parent structured interview (Computerized-Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children; Shaffer, Fisher, Lucas, Dulcan, & Schwab-Stone, 2000) and parent and teacher symptom and impairment ratings. Clinic <b>Comorbidity:</b> N/A <b>Female:</b> 25.8 % <b>Age mean:</b>	summer program from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on weekdays (45 hr per week), alternated between 30- and 50-min small- and large-group modules, parent training 8-week once per week for 1.5 hours <b>Control:</b> No intervention No intervention <b>Comparator:</b> Teacher, school environment8-week organization skills group 1.5 hr per week; also parent training 8-weeks, once per week for 1.5 hours <b>Follow-up:</b> 12 months	There were no significant Group × Time interaction effects for school disciplinary incidents. Hyperactivity/Impulsivity scale, Disruptive Behavior Disorder Rating Scale, teacher report There were no significant Group by Time interaction effects between the two groups. Satisfaction with treatment Both groups reported high overall satisfaction that did not significantly differ between groups. Grade Point Average (GPA), 9th Grade Ninth-grade intervention youth showed smaller reductions in GPA over time than ninth-grade control youth. There were no GPA effects for sixth graders.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Rising 6th & 9th graders Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 72.7 % Black/African American : 17.4 Other info on race or ethnicity:		
Teacher, school environment	Tamm, 2017 <sup>564</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 216 US Setting: Mixed	Target: Children in grades 2–5 with ADHD and word reading/decoding deficits Other: Parents ADHD presentation: combined : 54.6,N/A : sample included also inattentive and hyperactive presentations Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Comorbidity: Learning disability : Word-level reading difficulties or disabilities Female: 38.9 % Age mean: 8.8 (1.3) Grades 2 through 5 Minimum age: Ethnicity: % Hispanic or Latino : 12.0 % Black/African American : 72.2 % Multiracial : 6.5 Other info on race or ethnicity:	Intervention: Reading training by teachers plus medication plus parent training; 9 parent group sessions, each 1.5 hours, over 10 weeks, low dose extended release methylphenidate, atomoxetine or extended release guanfacine could be used if MPH not tolerated, reading treatment provided by teachers to one or two students at a time for 45 minutes, four days per week for 16 weeks <b>Control:</b> Other Parent training plus medication; parent training in behavior management, 9 group sessions conducted by clinical psychologists, each 1.5 hours, over 10 weeks; medication: open label, typically beginning with low dose extended release methylphenidate; at <b>Comparator:</b> Teacher, school environmentReading training alone; reading treatment was provided by	Inattention scale, SNAP-IV, parent rating The medication plus parent training group (p<.012) and combined (p<.001) treatment groups were rated as significantly less inattentive than the reading treatment alone group, but did not significantly differ from one another (p=.058). The medication plu Wechsler Individual Achievement Test, Word Reading score: the reading (p<0.001) and combined (p<0.001) treatment groups had higher phonemic decoding scores than the medication plus parent training group but did not differ from one another (p 0.65). There were not significant differences between groups on word reading at follow-up.

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
			teachers to 1-2 students at a time for 45 minutes, 4 days per week for 16 weeks; training targeted phonics, word identification, spelling, reading fluency, and comprehension <b>Follow-up:</b> 5 months	
Teacher, school environment	Volpe, 2009 <sup>590</sup> Jitendra, 2007 <sup>837</sup> ID: NA RCT Multicenter N = 167 US Setting: School	Target: Children in grades 1 through 4 with ADHD who were experiencing achievement problems in either math or reading. Other: Teachers conducted intervention ADHD presentation: combined : 65.0,N/A : sample included inattentive and hyperactive presentations Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Parent and teacher ratings on the ADHD Rating Scale IV and NIMH diagnostic interview scale for children IV Comorbidity: Learning disability : Problems with either math or reading Female: 24.0 % Age mean: 8.7 (1.23) Minimum age: Maximum age: Ethnicity:	Intervention: Intensive data-based academic intervention involves ongoing feedback to teachers from consultants, individual interventions are selected based on functional and academic assessment data for 15 months Control: NA Comparator: Teacher, school environmentTraditional data-based academic intervention, design of intervention based on teacher choice Follow-up: 27 months	Woodcock-Johnson III tests of achievement, standardized math fluency score No differences between groups on Woodcock- Johnson tests of achievement, Curriculum based measurement (CBM) scores, Academic Competency Evaluation Scale (ACES), or Report Card grades
Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population:Setting;Study target;ADHD presentation;Diagnosis;Comorbidity;% Female;Age mean;Minimum age;Maximum age;Ethnicity% Hispanic or Latino : 26.9% Black/African American : 11.4% White : 58.0Other info on race or ethnicity:	Comparison: Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
-----------------------------	---	---	--	---
Teacher, school environment	Zheng, 2020 <sup>628</sup> ID: N/A Cluster RCT Multicenter N = 219 China Setting: School	Target: Children aged 6-11 diagnosed with ADHD according to DSM-5, Intelligence Quotient ≥70, and no prior ADHD medication use; no comorbidity with autism spectrum disorder, schizophrenia, epilepsy, head injury, or verified neurological disorder, and sensory retardation (hearing/vision problems) Other: Parents or primary caregivers of children with ADHD that can read and write the Chinese language; teachers ADHD presentation: N/A Diagnosis: Confirmation by specialist Participants were diagnosed with ADHD according to DSM-5 Comorbidity: N/A Female: 15.2 % Age mean: Intervention group mean age (7.93) and SD (1.38). Control group mean age (7.21) and SD (1.22). Minimum age: 6	Intervention: Teacher training was 4-weekly 2-hour sessions, consisting of: (1) knowledge about ADHD; (2) behavioral strategies to manage conduct problems; (3) classroom behavior management; (4) teaching how to use scaffolding to promote the development of self-regulation in children with ADHD. Parent training was 4-weekly 2-hour sessions, consisting of: (1) knowledge about ADHD; (2) medication; (3) teaching behavioral strategies; (4) teaching to combine procedures and behavior management techniques. Medication given to children was either methylphenidate or atomoxetine. Control: Other Methylphenidate or atomoxetine alone Comparator: NA Follow-up: 6 months	SNAP-IV (Chinese Version Swanson Nolan and Pelham, Version IV) Difference of SNAP-IV score changes between the two groups was statistically significant (p=0.009)

## Appendix C. Evidence Tables

Intervention	Study: Author, year; Multiple publications; Trial ID; Study design; Sites; Study size; Location Setting	Population: Setting; Study target; ADHD presentation; Diagnosis; Comorbidity; % Female; Age mean; Minimum age; Maximum age; Ethnicity	<b>Comparison:</b> Intervention; Control; Comparator; Follow-up	Outcome and results
		Maximum age: 11 Ethnicity: Other info on race or ethnicity:		

	cai appiaisai iui i	liciuueu stuules,	Nai		
Author, year	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow timing	Overall RoB
Abramov, 2019 <sup>118</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Adams, 2009 <sup>119</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Ahmadi, 2021 <sup>122</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Algorta, 2016 <sup>124</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Alloway, 2009 <sup>126</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Altınkaynak, 2020 <sup>127</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Amado- Caballero, 2020 <sup>128</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Babinski, 2021 <sup>135</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Bansal, 2012 <sup>27</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Berger, 2010 <sup>148</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Berger, 2017 <sup>147</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Bergeron, 2017 <sup>149</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Beriha, 2018 <sup>150</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Bledsoe, 2020 <sup>160</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Bloch, 2012 <sup>161</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Boroujeni, 2019 <sup>165</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Boucugnani, 1989 <sup>167</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Breaux, 2016 <sup>170</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Bunte, 2013 <sup>175</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Burton, 2019 <sup>176</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Bussing, 1998 <sup>177</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Canivez, 2016 <sup>178</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Catherine Joy, 2021 <sup>180</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Caudal, 2011 <sup>263</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chan, 2022 <sup>185</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chang, 2019 <sup>187</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chelune, 1986 <sup>189</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk

#### Table D.1. Critical appraisal for included studies, KQ1

Author, year	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow timing	Overall RoB
Chen, 1994 <sup>194</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chen, 2019 <sup>191</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chen, 2019 <sup>192</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chen, 2020 <sup>195</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chen, 2021 <sup>193</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chen, 2022 <sup>190</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chiarenza, 2018 <sup>196</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chow, 2019 <sup>201</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Chu, 2017 <sup>202</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Crippa, 2017 <sup>215</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Culbertson, 1998 <sup>217</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Das, 2021 <sup>218</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Deb, 2008 <sup>222</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Deserno, 2022 <sup>227</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk
Doyle, 2007 <sup>236</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Duda, 2016 <sup>239</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Duda, 2017 <sup>238</sup>	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk
Ebesutani, 2010 <sup>245</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Edwards, 2015 <sup>246</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Eiraldi, 2000 <sup>248</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Ekhlasi, 2022 <sup>249</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Elkins, 2014 <sup>254</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
El-Sayed, 1999 <sup>250</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Emser, 2018 <sup>256</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Faraone, 2016 <sup>266</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Ferrin, 2012 <sup>270</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Francois- Sevigny, 2022 <sup>279</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Gao, 2020 <sup>283</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Garcia- Sanchez, 1997 <sup>284</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Gardner, 2007 <sup>285</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Gargaro, 2014 <sup>287</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Geurts, 2004 <sup>293</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Gibbons, 2020 <sup>297</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Gilbert, 2016 <sup>298</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk
Gomez, 2018 <sup>299</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Gomez, 2021 <sup>300</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk

Appendix	D.	Critical	App	raisal	and A	pplic	ability	Tables
11						11	2	

Author, year	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow timing	Overall RoB
Grodzinsky.	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
<u>1992<sup>305</sup></u>					
Gungor, 2021 <sup>307</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Hager, 2021 <sup>309</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Hall, 2016 <sup>312</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Hall, 2020 <sup>311</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk
Hasaneen, 2017 <sup>315</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Helgadottir, 2015 <sup>318</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Heller, 2013 <sup>319</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Hinshaw, 2002 <sup>322</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Hong 2019 <sup>326</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	L ow risk
Hudziak, 2004 <sup>332</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Hult 2018 <sup>23</sup>	High risk	High risk	L ow risk	l Inclear risk	High risk
Ickowicz	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
2006 <sup>334</sup>	riightiok	Low nor	Officiour nor	Choice hor	moderate new
Jacobson, 2020 <sup>335</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Jahanshahloo, 2017 <sup>336</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Jarrett, 2018338	Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Jensen-Doss.	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	High risk
2013 <sup>340</sup>					Madarata riak
Figueroa, 2017 <sup>341</sup>		Unclear fisk	LOW IISK	Unclear fisk	Moderate risk
Johnstone, 2021 <sup>345</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Juneja, 2019 <sup>346</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Karr. 2021352	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Kennerley, 2018 <sup>355</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Khoshnoud, 2018 <sup>359</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Kim 2015 <sup>362</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Linclear risk	l Inclear risk	Moderate risk
Kim 2015 <sup>361</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Koh, 2022 <sup>364</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	L ow risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Krieger, 2021 <sup>373</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Lau 2018 <sup>377</sup>	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk
Levy 2017 <sup>382</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	L ow risk	L ow risk
Li. 2005 <sup>386</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Li. 2016 <sup>384</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Li. 2018 <sup>385</sup>	Unclear risk	Hiah risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Hiah risk
Liechti, 2013 <sup>388</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Lin. 2023 <sup>392</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Low risk
Lindhiem, 2022 <sup>394</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Longridge, 2019 <sup>396</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Luo. 2022 <sup>399</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Luo, 2022 <sup>398</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
here a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second	. *				

Author, year	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow timing	Overall RoB
Marcano, 2018 <sup>402</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Markovska- Simoska, 2017 <sup>403</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Martín-Brufau, 2017 <sup>405</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Martin- Martinez, 2012 <sup>406</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Matier- Sharma, 1995 <sup>407</sup>	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Mayes, 2004 <sup>412</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Mayfield, 2018 <sup>413</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
McCarthy, 2016 <sup>414</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
McIntosh, 1995 <sup>417</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Merzon, 2022 <sup>419</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Mikolas, 2022 <sup>423</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Mitchell, 1990 <sup>425</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Moghaddari, 2020 <sup>426</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Moura, 2017434	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Moura, 2019433	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Mouti, 2019435	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Mukherjee, 2014 <sup>436</sup>	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk
Mulhern, 1994 <sup>437</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Muthuraman, 2019 <sup>438</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Mwamba, 2019 <sup>439</sup>	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Newman, 2017 <sup>450</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Nolan,1999 <sup>451</sup>	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Ogrim, 2012453	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
O'Neill, 2021 <sup>452</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
OÖztoprak, 2017 <sup>456</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Oztekin, 2021 <sup>455</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Park, 2019457	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Parker, 2016 <sup>17</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Pauli-Pott, 2021 <sup>458</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Peijnenborgh, 2016 <sup>459</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk
Pereda, 2018 <sup>461</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk

Author, year	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow timing	Overall RoB
Pineda, 2011 <sup>465</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Qin , 2018475	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Quintana, 2007 <sup>476</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Raiker, 2017 <sup>480</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Rezaeezadeh, 2020 <sup>482</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Riaz, 2020 <sup>483</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Rishel, 2005486	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Robles, 2021 <sup>487</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Rodríguez, 2018 <sup>488</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Roessner, 2007 <sup>489</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Schatz, 2001 <sup>503</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Scheeringa, 2020 <sup>504</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk
Schirmer, 2021 <sup>506</sup>	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Schneider, 2020 <sup>507</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Serrallach, 2016 <sup>512</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Shemmassian, 2016 <sup>515</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Shemmassian, 2017 <sup>516</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Silverstein, 2016 <sup>524</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Simões, 2021 <sup>525</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Skogli, 2013529	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Slaby, 2022 <sup>530</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Slobodin, 2020 <sup>532</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Slobodin, 2022 <sup>531</sup>	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Smith, 2003 <sup>535</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Snyder, 2008 <sup>537</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Snyder, 2015 <sup>26</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk
Soliva, 2010 <sup>538</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Spencer, 2018 <sup>542</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Sprafkin, 2007 <sup>546</sup>	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Stepanova, 2021 <sup>551</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Straub, 2021 <sup>553</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Sullivan, 2007 <sup>557</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Sun, 2018558	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Tang, 2022 <sup>567</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk

Author, year	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow timing	Overall RoB
Thompson, 2017 <sup>569</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Tillman, 2005 <sup>571</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Tripp, 2006 <sup>574</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Vahid, 2019580	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Varela Casal, 2019 <sup>586</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Vogt, 2011588	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Wang, 2018 <sup>592</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Wassenberg, 2004 <sup>595</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Webster, 2000 <sup>597</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Westerberg, 2004 <sup>603</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Weyandt, 1994 <sup>604</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Williams, 2010 <sup>20</sup>	Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Wodka, 2008 <sup>614</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Yao, 2018 <sup>618</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	High risk
Yasumura, 2020 <sup>619</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Yeh, 2020 <sup>620</sup>	High risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Yoo, 2020 <sup>621</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk
Zadehbagheri, 2019 <sup>623</sup>	Low risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Zelko, 1991 <sup>626</sup>	Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Zelnik, 2012 <sup>627</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Zhou, 2018 <sup>629</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Zulueta, 2019 <sup>634</sup>	Unclear risk	Unclear risk	Low risk	Unclear risk	Low risk

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Abramov,	Narrow	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A
2019 <sup>118</sup>	eligibility				
	criteria				
Adams, 2009 <sup>119</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	criteria				
Ahmadi	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	l evel of care
<b>2021</b> <sup>122</sup>	0.10100.1				different from
					that in the
					community
Algorta, 2016 <sup>124</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Alloway,	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009 <sup>120</sup>	Narrow	NI/A	Ν/Λ	NI/A	Lovel of care
2020 <sup>127</sup>	eligibility	N/A		IN/A	different from
2020	criteria				that in the
					community
Amado-	Unclear	N/A	N/A	Unclear	Unclear
Caballero,					
2020128		N1/A		N1/A	
Babinski,	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A
Bansal 2012 <sup>27</sup>	Unclear	Highly selected	N/A	N/A	Level of care
Bandal, 2012	onoidai	intervention team or			different from
		level of			that in the
		training/proficiency not			community
		widely available			
Berger, 2010 <sup>148</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
	eligibility				different from
	chiena				community
Berger 2017 <sup>147</sup>	Narrow	N/A	Comparator Unclear	N/A	N/A
20.90., 20.1	eligibility				
	criteria				
Bergeron,	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2017 <sup>149</sup>	l la al a an	N1/A		N1/A	l la sla su
Berina, 2018 <sup>130</sup>	Unclear	N/A Uncloar	N/A	N/A	Unclear N/A
2020 <sup>160</sup>	eligibility	Unciedi	N/A	IN/A	IN/A
2020	criteria				
Bloch, 2012 <sup>161</sup>	More complex	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
	patients than				different from
	typical of the				that in the
<b>D</b>	community	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	community
Boroujeni,	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
2019-00					that in the
					community
Boucugnani,	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear
1989 <sup>167</sup>					
Breaux, 2016 <sup>170</sup>	Narrow	As recommended or	Diagnostic tools	Other	N/A
	eligibility	commonly used in	used differently than	issues	
	criteria	practice	as recommended or		
			practice		
Bunte, 2013 <sup>175</sup>	More complex	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
	patients than				different from
	typical of the				that in the
	community				community

Table D.2. Applicability for included studies, KQ1

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Burton, 2019 <sup>176</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
					different from
					that in the
					community
Bussing,	More complex	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A
1998 <sup>177</sup>	patients than				
	typical of the				
	community		N1/A		N1/A
	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2010 <sup>170</sup>	Linglage	N1/A	N1/A		N1/A
	Unclear	N/A	N/A	IN/A	IN/A
Caudal 2011 <sup>263</sup>	N/A	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ
Chan 2022 <sup>185</sup>	N/A	Ν/Δ	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chang 2010 <sup>187</sup>	Narrow	Ν/Δ	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chang, 2019	eligibility	N/A		11/7	IN/A
	criteria				
Chelune.	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
1986 <sup>189</sup>	0				
Chen, 1994 <sup>194</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
	eligibility				different from
	criteria				that in the
					community
Chen, 2019 <sup>191</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
					different from
					that in the
					community
Chen, 2019 <sup>192</sup>	N/A	Highly selected	N/A	Unclear	N/A
		Intervention team or			
		training/profisional/ not			
		widely available			
Chen 2020 <sup>195</sup>	Unclear		N/A	N/A	Level of care
011011, 2020	Unoical		1.1/7 (	1.0// (	different from
					that in the
					community
Chen, 2021 <sup>193</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear
,	eligibility				
	criteria				
Chen, 2022 <sup>190</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chiarenza,	More complex	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
2018 <sup>196</sup>	patients than				different from
	typical of the				that in the
01 00 10 201	community		N1/A		community
Chow, $2019^{201}$	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
Chu 2017202	Uncloar	Lindoar	NI/A	NI/A	Loval of coro
Ullu, 2017	Uncieal	Undical			different from
					that in the
					community
Crippa, 2017 <sup>215</sup>	Unclear	Highly selected	N/A	N/A	Level of care
	2	intervention team or			different from
		level of			that in the
		training/proficiency not			community
		widely available			
Culbertson,	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
1998 <sup>217</sup>					different from
					that in the
					community

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Das, 2021 <sup>218</sup>	Unclear	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A
Deb, 2008 <sup>222</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Deserno, 2022 <sup>227</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear
Doyle, 2007 <sup>236</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Duda, 2016 <sup>239</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	Unclear	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Duda, 2017 <sup>238</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	Diagnostic tools used differently than as recommended or commonly used in practice	N/A	Unclear
Ebesutani, 2010 <sup>245</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Edwards, 2015 <sup>246</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Eiraldi, 2000 <sup>248</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Ekhlasi, 2022 <sup>249</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Elkins, 2014 <sup>254</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
EI-Sayed, 1999 <sup>250</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Emser, 2018 <sup>256</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Faraone, 2016 <sup>266</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Ferrin, 2012 <sup>270</sup>	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Francois- Sevigny, 2022 <sup>279</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Gao, 2020 <sup>283</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
					that in the community
Garcia-	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sanchez, 1997 <sup>284</sup>	eligibility criteria				
Gardner, 2007 <sup>285</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gargaro, 2014 <sup>287</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear
Geurts, 2004 <sup>293</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Gibbons, 2020 <sup>297</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gilbert, 2016 <sup>298</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	Unclear	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Gomez, 2018 <sup>299</sup>	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gomez, 2021 <sup>300</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Grodzinsky, 1992 <sup>305</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Gungor, 2021 <sup>307</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	Unclear	N/A	N/A	Unclear
Hager, 2021 <sup>309</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Hall, 2016 <sup>312</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	Unclear	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Hall, 2020 <sup>311</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hasaneen, 2017 <sup>315</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Helgadottir, 2015 <sup>318</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Heller, 2013 <sup>319</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	Unclear	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Hinshaw, 2002 <sup>322</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Hong, 2019 <sup>326</sup>	More complex patients than	N/A	Inadequate comparison therapy	N/A	N/A

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
	typical of the		or use of a		
	community		substandard		
Hudziak	More complex	N/A	Alternative therapy	NI/A	Level of care
2004 <sup>332</sup>	patients than	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	different from
	typical of the				that in the
	community				community
Hult, 2018 <sup>23</sup>	More complex	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
	patients than				different from
	typical of the				that in the
lekowiez	Unclear	Highly selected	N/A	Ν/Δ	
2006 <sup>334</sup>	onoicai	intervention team or	19/7 (	11/7	different from
		level of			that in the
		training/proficiency not			community
		widely available			N1/A
Jacobson, 2020 <sup>335</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Jahanshahloo, 2017 <sup>336</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear
Jarrett, 2018 <sup>338</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
					different from
					community
Jensen-Doss.	DSM-4/5	N/A	Unclear	Unclear	N/A
2013 <sup>340</sup>	diagnosis		-	-	
	Unclear				
Jimenez-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Figueroa, 2017 <sup>341</sup>					
Johnstone.	Narrow	Highly selected	Diagnostic tools	N/A	Level of care
<b>2021</b> <sup>345</sup>	eligibility	intervention team or	used differently than		different from
	criteria	level of	as recommended or		that in the
		training/proficiency not	commonly used in		community
luncia 2010 <sup>346</sup>	Narrow			NI/A	NI/A
Juneja, 2019	eligibility	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	N/A
	criteria				
Karr, 2021 <sup>352</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear
Kennerley,	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2018355					
Khoshnoud,	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
2010-09					that in the
					community
Kim, 2015 <sup>362</sup>	Narrow	Unclear	N/A	N/A	Level of care
	eligibility				different from
	criteria				that in the
Kim 2015 <sup>361</sup>	Narrow	N/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	
Kin, 2013	eligibility	11/7	11/7		different from
	criteria				that in the
					community
Koh, 2022 <sup>364</sup>	More complex	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
	patients than				different from
	community				community
Krieger, 2021 <sup>373</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care
	eligibility				different from
	criteria				

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
					that in the community
Lau, 2018 <sup>377</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Levy, 2017 <sup>382</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Li, 2005 <sup>386</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Li, 2016 <sup>384</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	Highly selected intervention team or level of training/proficiency not widely available	N/A	N/A	N/A
Li, 2018 <sup>385</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Liechti, 2013 <sup>388</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Lin, 2023 <sup>392</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lindhiem, 2022 <sup>394</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Longridge, 2019 <sup>396</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Luo, 2022 <sup>399</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Luo, 2022 <sup>398</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Marcano, 2018 <sup>402</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	Highly selected intervention team or level of training/proficiency not widely available	Unclear	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Markovska- Simoska, 2017 <sup>403</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Martín-Brufau, 2017 <sup>405</sup>	Unclear	N/A	Unclear	Other issues	N/A
Martin- Martinez, 2012 <sup>406</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Matier-Sharma, 1995 <sup>407</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Mayes, 2004 <sup>412</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/Ā	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mayfield, 2018 <sup>413</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
McCarthy, 2016 <sup>414</sup>	More complex patients than	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
	typical of the community				that in the community
McIntosh, 1995 <sup>417</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Merzon, 2022 <sup>419</sup>	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A
Mikolas, 2022 <sup>423</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mitchell, 1990 <sup>425</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Moghaddari, 2020 <sup>426</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Moura, 2017 <sup>434</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Moura, 2019 <sup>433</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mouti, 2019 <sup>435</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mukherjee, 2014 <sup>436</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Mulhern, 1994 <sup>437</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Muthuraman, 2019 <sup>438</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mwamba, 2019 <sup>439</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Newman, 2017 <sup>450</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Nolan,1999 <sup>451</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Ogrim, 2012 <sup>453</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
O'Neill, 2021 <sup>452</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OÖztoprak, 2017 <sup>456</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Oztekin, 2021 <sup>455</sup>	More complex patients than	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
	typical of the community				
Park, 2019 <sup>457</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Parker, 2016 <sup>17</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Pauli-Pott, 2021 <sup>458</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Peijnenborgh, 2016 <sup>459</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pereda, 2018 <sup>461</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pineda, 2011 <sup>465</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Qin , 2018 <sup>475</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Quintana, 2007 <sup>476</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Raiker, 2017 <sup>480</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rezaeezadeh, 2020 <sup>482</sup>	Unclear	N/A	Comparator Unclear	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Riaz, 2020 <sup>483</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Rishel, 2005 <sup>486</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Robles, 2021 <sup>487</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	Unclear	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Rodríguez, 2018 <sup>488</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Roessner, 2007 <sup>489</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schatz, 2001 <sup>503</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Scheeringa, 2020 <sup>504</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Schirmer, 2021 <sup>506</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the
					community
Schneider, 2020 <sup>507</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Serrallach, 2016 <sup>512</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	Unclear	Unclear	N/A	N/A
Shemmassian, 2016 <sup>515</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Shemmassian, 2017 <sup>516</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Silverstein, 2016 <sup>524</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Simões, 2021 <sup>525</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Skogli, 2013 <sup>529</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Slaby, 2022 <sup>530</sup>	Unclear	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A
Slobodin, 2020 <sup>532</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Slobodin, 2022 <sup>531</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Smith, 2003 <sup>535</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snyder, 2008 <sup>537</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Snyder, 2015 <sup>26</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Soliva, 2010 <sup>538</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Spencer, 2018 <sup>542</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sprafkin, 2007 <sup>546</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Stepanova, 2021 <sup>551</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Straub, 2021553	More complex patients than typical of the community	Highly selected intervention team or level of training/proficiency not widely available	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Sullivan, 2007 <sup>557</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Sun, 2018558	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Tang, 2022 <sup>567</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Thompson, 2017 <sup>569</sup>	N/A	N/A	Comparator Unclear	N/A	N/A
Tillman, 2005571	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tripp, 2006 <sup>574</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear
Vahid, 2019 <sup>580</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear	Unclear
Varela Casal, 2019 <sup>586</sup>	N/A	Highly selected intervention team or level of training/proficiency not widely available	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Vogt, 2011 <sup>588</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Wang, 2018 <sup>592</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	Highly selected intervention team or level of training/proficiency not widely available	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Wassenberg, 2004 <sup>595</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Webster, 2000 <sup>597</sup>	DSM-4/5 diagnosis Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Westerberg, 2004 <sup>603</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Weyandt, 1994 <sup>604</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Williams, 2010 <sup>20</sup>	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wodka, 2008614	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Yao, 2018 <sup>618</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Yasumura, 2020 <sup>619</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Yeh, 2020 <sup>620</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/Ā	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Yoo, 2020 <sup>621</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Zadehbagheri, 2019 <sup>623</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Zelko, 1991626	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Zelnik, 2012 <sup>627</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Zhou, 2018 <sup>629</sup>	N/A	N/A	Comparator Unclear	N/A	N/A
Zulueta, 2019634	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Abbasi, 2011 <sup>111</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Abikoff, 2004 <sup>114</sup>	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Abikoff, 2007 <sup>116</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Abikoff, 2009 <sup>115</sup>	Low risk	High risk	High risk				
Abikoff, 2013 <sup>113</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Abikoff, 2015 <sup>117</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Aevi Genomic Medicine, 2016 <sup>120</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk
Aevi Genomic Medicine, 2018 <sup>121</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Akhondzad eh, 2004 <sup>123</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Allen, 2005 <sup>125</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Amiri, 2008 <sup>129</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Arnold, 2022 <sup>132</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk				
Ashkenasi, 2011 <sup>133</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Bakhshaye sh, 2011 <sup>136</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Banasche wski, 2013 <sup>137</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Bangs, 2007 <sup>138</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Bangs, 2008 <sup>139</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Barrickman , 1995 <sup>142</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Baziar, 2019 <sup>143</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk

Table D.3. Critical appraisal for included studies, KQ2

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Bedard, 2015 <sup>144</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Behdani, 2013 <sup>145</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Benzing, 2019 <sup>146</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Biederman, 2005 <sup>155</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Biederman, 2006 <sup>154</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Biederman, 2007 <sup>152</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk
Biederman, 2008 <sup>153</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Bigorra, 2016 <sup>156</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Bikic, 2018 <sup>59</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Bilici, 2004 <sup>157</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Binesh, 2020 <sup>158</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Blader, 2021 <sup>159</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Block, 2009 <sup>162</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Blumer, 2009 <sup>163</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Bluschke, 2022 <sup>164</sup>	High risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Bostic, 2000 <sup>166</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Boyer, 2016 <sup>168</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Brams, 2018 <sup>169</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Breaux, 2018 <sup>171</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Buitelaar, 1996 <sup>173</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Buitelaar, 2007 <sup>172</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Bul, 2016 <sup>174</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Ceresoli- Borroni, 2021 <sup>182</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Cetin, 2015 <sup>183</sup>	High risk	High risk					
Chacko, 2009 <sup>184</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Chang, 2019 <sup>186</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Childress, 2009 <sup>199</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Childress, 2019 <sup>197</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Childress, 2022 <sup>198</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Cho, 2011 <sup>200</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Chu, 2021 <sup>203</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Churchill, 2018 <sup>204</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Coelho, 2017 <sup>205</sup>	High risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Coghill, 2014 <sup>206</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Coles, 2020 <sup>208</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Concordia Pharmaceu ticals, 2011 <sup>209</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Conners, 1996 <sup>210</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Connor, 2010 <sup>211</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Corkum, 2019 <sup>213</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Corkum, 2020 <sup>212</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Cornu, 2018 <sup>214</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Crippa, 2019 <sup>216</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Dashbozor gi, 2021 <sup>219</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
David, 2021 <sup>220</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Daviss, 2008 <sup>221</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Dehbozorg hi, 2019 <sup>223</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Dell'Agnell o, 2009 <sup>224</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Denton, 2020 <sup>225</sup>	High risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Dentz, 2020 <sup>226</sup>	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Diamond, 1999 <sup>228</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk
Dittmann, 2011 <sup>231</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Dittmann, 2013 <sup>230</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Dong, 2022 <sup>232</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Dose, 2017 <sup>233</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Dovis, 2015 <sup>234</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Dreakhsha npour, 2022 <sup>237</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Duke University, 2009 <sup>578</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
DuPaul, 2021 <sup>242</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Durgut, 2020 <sup>243</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk
Duric, 2017 <sup>244</sup>	High risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Egeland, 2013 <sup>247</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Eli Lilly, 2004 <sup>389</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Eli Lilly, 2006 <sup>251</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Eli Lilly <sup>252</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Elmaadawi , 2022 <sup>255</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Enns, 2017 257	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Epstein, 2007 <sup>259</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Epstein, 2016 <sup>258</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Ercan, 2014 <sup>260</sup>	High risk	High risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Estrada- Plana, 2019 <sup>261</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Evans, 2016 <sup>262</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Fabiano, 2016 <sup>264</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk
Fallah, 2018 <sup>265</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Farmer, 2017 <sup>267</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Ferrin, 2014 <sup>268</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Ferrin, 2020 <sup>269</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Findling, 2001 <sup>274</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Findling, 2008 <sup>276</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Findling, 2010 <sup>275</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Findling, 2011 <sup>273</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Findling, 2019 <sup>272</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear	Moderate/ Unclear	Moderate/ Unclear	Moderate/ Unclear	Moderate risk
Frei,	risk High risk	High risk	Low risk	risk High risk	risk High risk	risk High risk	High risk
Frei,	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Fuentes, 2013 <sup>282</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Gard, 2014 <sup>286</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Gau, 2006 <sup>289</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Gau, 2007 <sup>288</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Geissler, 2020 <sup>290</sup>	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Gelade, 2017 <sup>291</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Geller, 2007 <sup>292</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Gevensleb en, 2010 <sup>294</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Ghajar, 2018 <sup>295</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Ghanizade h, 2015 <sup>296</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Gonzalez- Castro, 2016 <sup>301</sup>	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Greenhill, 2006 <sup>303</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Greenhill, 2006 <sup>302</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Griffiths, 2018 <sup>304</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Guevara, 2021 <sup>306</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Gustafsson , 2010 <sup>308</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk
Hahn- Markowitz, 2020 <sup>310</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Harfterkam p, 2012 <sup>313</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Hariri, 2012 <sup>314</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Hasslinger, 2021 <sup>316</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Hazell, 2003 <sup>317</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Hemamy, 2021 <sup>320</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Hervas, 2014 <sup>321</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Hirayama, 2014 <sup>323</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk
Hiscock, 2019 <sup>324</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Hogue, 2020 <sup>325</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Hong, 2016 <sup>327</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Hornstra, 2021 <sup>328</sup>	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Huang, 2015 <sup>331</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Huang, 2021 <sup>330</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Ichikawa, 2020 <sup>333</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Jain, 2011 <sup>337</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Jensen, 2007 <sup>339</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Johnson, 2009 <sup>343</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Johnson, 2020 <sup>342</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Johnstone, 2022 <sup>344</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk
Kadri, 2019 <sup>347</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Kahbazi, 2009 <sup>348</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Karakaya, 2019 <sup>350</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk
Kareem, 2021 <sup>351</sup>	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Katz, 2010 <sup>353</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Kelsey, 2004 <sup>354</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Khaksarian , 2021 <sup>356</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Khoshbakh t, 2021 <sup>358</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Kofler, 2020 <sup>363</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Kolko, 2020 <sup>365</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Kollins, 2011 <sup>368</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Kollins, 2011 <sup>367</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Kollins, 2020 <sup>366</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Korfmache r, 2022 <sup>369</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Kratochvil, 2002 <sup>370</sup>	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Kratochvil, 2005 <sup>371</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Kratochvil, 2011 <sup>372</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Kurowski, 2019 <sup>375</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Lange, 2018 <sup>376</sup>	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk
Lavigne, 2011 <sup>378</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Law, 1999 <sup>379</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Li, 2022 <sup>383</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk
Liang, 2022 <sup>387</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Lilly, 2008 <sup>253</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Lim, 2019 <sup>390</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Lin, 2014 <sup>391</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Ludyga, 2022 <sup>397</sup>	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Luo, 2022 <sup>400</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Manor, 2012 <sup>401</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Martenyi, 2010 <sup>404</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Matthijssen , 2019 <sup>408</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Mattingly, 2020 <sup>409</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate risk
McCracken , 2016 <sup>415</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
McGrath, 2011 <sup>416</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk
Mehri, 2020 <sup>418</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk
Meyer, 2021 <sup>420</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Michelson, 2001 <sup>422</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Michelson, 2002 <sup>421</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Minder, 2018 <sup>424</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Mohamma di, 2010 <sup>427</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Mohamma di, 2012 <sup>428</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Mohamma dzadeh, 2019 <sup>429</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Montoya, 2009 <sup>430</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Mostajeran , 2020 <sup>431</sup>	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Motaharifar d, 2019 <sup>432</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Mount Sinai, 2012 <sup>527</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Myers, 2015 <sup>440</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Nasser, 2020 <sup>442</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk
Nasser, 2021 <sup>443</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Nasser, 2021 <sup>444</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Nasser, 2021 <sup>441</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Nejati, 2021 <sup>445</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Nejati, 2022 <sup>446</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Newcorn, 2005 <sup>449</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Newcorn, 2008 <sup>448</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Newcorn, 2016 <sup>447</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
NF Coll. Group, 2021 <sup>110</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Oppenhei mer, 2019 <sup>454</sup>	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Pelham, 2016 <sup>52</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Pelsser, 2011 <sup>460</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Perez- Alvarez, 2009 <sup>462</sup>	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	High risk

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Pfiffner, 2014 <sup>464</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Pongpitakd amrong, 2021 <sup>466</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Power, 2012 <sup>469</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Prasad, 2007 <sup>470</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Purper- Ouakil, 2021 <sup>472</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Qian, 2018 <sup>473</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Qian, 2021 <sup>474</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Rafeiy- Torghabeh, 2021 <sup>477</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Raghuveer , 2020 <sup>478</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Rajabi, 2020 <sup>83</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Riggs, 2011 <sup>485</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Rubio Morell, 2019 <sup>492</sup>	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Rucklidge, 2018 <sup>493</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Saito, 2020 <sup>495</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Salardini, 2016 <sup>496</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Salehi, 2010 <sup>497</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Salehi, 2016 <sup>498</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Sallee, 2009 <sup>499</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Sangal, 2006 <sup>500</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Sangal, 2014 <sup>501</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Schorr- Sapir, 2021 <sup>508</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Schramm, 2016 <sup>509</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Schuck, 2018 <sup>510</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Sciberras, 2020 <sup>511</sup>	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Shang, 2020 <sup>513</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Shaywitz, 2017 <sup>514</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk
Shen, 2021 <sup>517</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Shuai, 2020 <sup>518</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk
Sibley, 2016 <sup>521</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Sibley, 2018 <sup>519</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Sibley, 2020 <sup>522</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Sibley, 2021 <sup>520</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Siebelink, 2021 <sup>523</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
Simonoff, 2013 <sup>526</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Singer, 1995 <sup>528</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Smit, 2021 <sup>533</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Sonuga- Barke, 2001 <sup>539</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Sonuga- Barke, 2004 <sup>540</sup>	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk
Sonuga- Barke, 2018 <sup>541</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Spencer, 2002 <sup>543</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Spencer, 2006 <sup>545</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk
Spencer, 2008 <sup>544</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Sprich, 2016 <sup>548</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk
Steele, 2006 <sup>549</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk
Steiner, 2014 <sup>550</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Storebo, 2012 <sup>552</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Strehl, 2017 <sup>554</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Su, 2016 <sup>555</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Supernus Pharmaceu ticals, 2016 <sup>559</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Svanborg, 2009 <sup>560</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Swanson, 2006 <sup>561</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Takahashi, 2009 <sup>562</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk
Tamm, 2017 <sup>564</sup>	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Tan, 2016 <sup>566</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Tiwawatpa korn, 2021 <sup>572</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Tourette's Syndrome Study Group, 2002 <sup>374</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Trebaticka, 2006 <sup>573</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Tris Pharma, 2014 <sup>575</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Tzang, 2016 <sup>577</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk
Valero, 2021 <sup>581</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
van der Donk, 2015 <sup>582</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Van der Heijden, 2007 <sup>583</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk
van Stralen, 2020 <sup>585</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Volpe, 2009 <sup>590</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Wang, 2007 <sup>593</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Weber, 2008 <sup>596</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Wehmeier, 2012 <sup>598</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Weiss, 2005 <sup>600</sup>	High risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Weiss, 2007 <sup>599</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Weiss, 2021 <sup>601</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Wennberg, 2018 <sup>602</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Wietecha, 2009 <sup>605</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk
Wigal, 2004 <sup>606</sup>	Low risk	Low risk					
Wigal, 2011 <sup>607</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Wilens, 2005 <sup>610</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Wilens, 2008 <sup>608</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk
Wilens, 2012 <sup>611</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk
Wilens, 2015 <sup>612</sup>	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk				

Appendix D.	Critical	Appraisal	and Applica	ability Tables
<b>+ +</b>			11	

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Wilens,201 1 <sup>112</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Wilkes- Gillan, 2016 <sup>613</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	High risk	High risk
Willens,20 11 <sup>609</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Wolraich, 2001 <sup>615</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Wu, 2023 <sup>616</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Young, 2014 <sup>622</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Zarinara, 2010 <sup>624</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate risk
Zavadenko , 2019 <sup>625</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Zheng, 2020 <sup>628</sup>	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	High risk
Zhu, 2017 <sup>632</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Moderate/ Unclear risk	Low risk

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Abbasi, 2011111	N/A	Co-intervention	N/A	N/A	N/A
, 100000, 2011		that are likely to			
		modify the			
		effectiveness of			
		therapy			
Abikoff, 2004 <sup>114</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different
	complex				from that in the
	patients than				community
	typical of the				-
	community				
Abikoff, 2007 <sup>116</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short-	N/A
				term	
				follow-up	
Abikoff, 2009 <sup>115</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	Other	N/A
	complex			issues	
	patients than				
	typical of the				
Abile # 0040113	Community	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A
ADIKOTT, 2013 <sup>113</sup>	wore	N/A	IN/A	IN/A	N/A
	complex				
	typical of the				
Abikoff 2015 <sup>117</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Aevi Genomic	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Medicine 2016 <sup>120</sup>	eligibility	1.1/7 (	11/7 1	11/7 (	
	criteria				
Aevi Genomic	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Medicine, 2018 <sup>121</sup>	eligibility				
	criteria				
Akhondzadeh, 2004 <sup>123</sup>	N/A	As recommended	N/A	N/A	N/A
,		or commonly used			
		in practice			
Allen, 2005 <sup>125</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
	criteria				
Amiri, 2008 <sup>129</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Arnold, 2022 <sup>132</sup>	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ashkenasi, 2011 <sup>133</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bakhshayesh, 2011 <sup>136</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Banaschewski, 2013 <sup>137</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
	criteria				
Bangs, 2007 <sup>138</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bangs, 2008 <sup>139</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	complex				
	patients than				
	typical of the				
D 14 4005142	community	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	
Barrickman, 1995 <sup>142</sup>	DSM-4/5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	diagnosis				
<b>Region 2010</b> <sup>143</sup>		NI/A	NI/A		NI/A
Bodard 2015 <sup>144</sup>	N/A			N/A	IN/A NI/A
Bobdoni 2012145	Norrow	N/A		N/A	N/A
		IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A
	criteria				
Benzing 2010 <sup>146</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Biederman, 2005 <sup>155</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table D.4. Applicability for included studies, KQ2

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Biederman, 2006 <sup>154</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
,	eligibility criteria				
Biederman 2007 <sup>152</sup>		Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ
Biederman 2008 <sup>153</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	Ν/Δ
Dicucinian, 2000	eligibility criteria				
Bigorra, 2016 <sup>156</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bikic, 2018 <sup>59</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bilici, 2004 <sup>157</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Binesh, 2020 <sup>158</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A
Blader, 2021 <sup>159</sup>	Narrow	Co-intervention	Comparator	Short-	Unclear
	eligibility criteria	that are likely to modify the effectiveness of therapy	unclear	term follow-up	
Block, 2009 <sup>162</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Blumer. 2009 <sup>163</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bluschke, 2022 <sup>164</sup>	DSM-4/5 diagnosis unclear	Co-intervention that are likely to modify the effectiveness of therapy	Unclear	N/A	N/A
Bostic, 2000 <sup>166</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short- term follow-up	N/A
Boyer, 2016 <sup>168</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Brams, 2018 <sup>169</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short- term follow-up	N/A
Breaux, 2018 <sup>171</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Buitelaar, 1996 <sup>173</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Buitelaar, 2007 <sup>172</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bul, 2016 <sup>174</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear
Ceresoli-Borroni, 2021 <sup>182</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cetin, 2015 <sup>183</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chacko, 2009 <sup>184</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chang, 2019 <sup>186</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Childress, 2009 <sup>199</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Childress, 2019 <sup>197</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short- term follow-up	Level of care different from that in the community
Childress, 2022 <sup>198</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cho, 2011 <sup>200</sup>	N/A	Unclear	N/A	Short- term follow-up	N/A
Chu, 2021 <sup>203</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
--------------------------------------	--	--	-----------------------	-----------------------------	---------
Churchill, 2018 <sup>204</sup>	N/Å	Highly selected intervention team	Comparator unclear	N/A	N/A
		or level of			
		training/proficiency			
		available			
Coelho, 2017 <sup>205</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Coghill, 2014 <sup>206</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Coles, 2020 <sup>208</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Concordia	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pharmaceuticals, 2011 <sup>209</sup>					
Conners, 1996 <sup>210</sup>	N/A	Unclear	N/A	Other issues	N/A
Connor, 2010 <sup>211</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	community				
Corkum, 2019 <sup>213</sup>	DSM-4/5 diagnosis unclear	Co-intervention that are likely to modify the effectiveness of	Unclear	Short- term follow-up	N/A
Corkum 2020 <sup>212</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cornu, 2018 <sup>214</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility criteria				
Crippa, 2019 <sup>216</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dashbozorgi, 2021 <sup>219</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short- term follow-up	N/A
David, 2021 <sup>220</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Daviss, 2008 <sup>221</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dehbozorghi, 2019 <sup>223</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	Co-intervention that are likely to modify the effectiveness of therapy	N/A	Short- term follow-up	N/A
Dell'Agnello, 2009 <sup>224</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Depton 2020 <sup>225</sup>	More	Co-intervention	Linclear	Unclear	NI/A
Demon, 2020	complex	that are likely to	Uncical	Uncical	
	patients than	modify the			
	typical of the	effectiveness of			
Dentz 2020 <sup>226</sup>	community	therapy	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A
Dentz, $2020^{220}$	More	that are likely to	N/A	N/A	N/A
	patients than	modify the			
	typical of the	effectiveness of			
	community	therapy			
Diamond, 1999 <sup>228</sup>	DSM-4/5 diagnosis	As recommended or commonly used	N/A	Other issues	N/A
Dittmann 2011 <sup>231</sup>	unciear N/A	IN practice	N/A	Ν/Δ	N/A
	1 11/7		1 11/7		

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Dittmann, 2013 <sup>230</sup>	N/A	N/A	Comparator	N/A	N/A
			unclear		
Dong, 2022 <sup>232</sup>	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dose, 2017 <sup>233</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	from that in the community
Dovis, 2015 <sup>234</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dreakhshanpour, 2022 <sup>237</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Duke University, 2009 <sup>578</sup>	N/A	Dosing not reflective of current practice	N/A	Unclear	N/A
DuPaul, 2021 <sup>242</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Durgut, 2020 <sup>243</sup>	N/A	Unclear	N/A	Unclear	N/A
Duric, 2017 <sup>244</sup>	DSM-4/5 diagnosis unclear	Co-intervention that are likely to modify the effectiveness of therapy	N/A	Short- term follow-up	N/A
Egeland, 2013 <sup>247</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eli Lilly, 2004 <sup>389</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eli Lilly, 2006 <sup>251</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eli Lilly <sup>252</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Elmaadawi, 2022 <sup>255</sup>	N/A	Highly selected intervention team or level of training/proficiency not widely available	N/A	Other issues	N/A
Enns, 2017 <sup>257</sup>	N/A	Unclear	Unclear	Other issues	N/A
Epstein, 2007 <sup>259</sup>	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A
Epstein, 2016 <sup>258</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ercan, 2014 <sup>260</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	Co-intervention that are likely to modify the effectiveness of therapy	N/A	N/A	N/A
Estrada-Plana, 2019 <sup>261</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short- term follow-up	Level of care different from that in the community
Evans, 2016 <sup>262</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fabiano, 2016 <sup>264</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Other issues	N/A
Fallah, 2018 <sup>265</sup>	More complex patients than	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
	typical of the community				
Farmer, 2017 <sup>267</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ferrin, 2014 <sup>268</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ferrin, 2020 <sup>269</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Findling, 2001 <sup>274</sup>	DSM-4/5 diagnosis unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Findling, 2008 <sup>276</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Findling, 2010 <sup>275</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	As recommended or commonly used in practice	N/A	N/A	N/A
Findling, 2011 <sup>273</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Findling, 2019 <sup>272</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Frei, 2001 <sup>281</sup>	N/A	Highly selected intervention team or level of training/proficiency not widely available	Inadequate comparison therapy or use of a substandard alternative therapy	Other issues	N/A
Frei, 2005 <sup>280</sup>	Run-in period with high exclusion rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fuentes, 2013 <sup>282</sup>	N/A	Co-intervention that are likely to modify the effectiveness of therapy	N/A	Other issues	N/A
Gard, 2014 <sup>286</sup>	N/A	As recommended or commonly used in practice	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gau, 2006 <sup>289</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A
Gau, 2007 <sup>288</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Geissler, 2020 <sup>290</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gelade, 2017 <sup>291</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Geller, 2007 <sup>292</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short- term follow-up	Level of care different from that in the community
Gevensleben, 2010 <sup>294</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ghajar, 2018 <sup>295</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	Short- term follow-up	N/A
Ghanizadeh, 2015 <sup>296</sup>	N/A	Dosing not reflective of current practice	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gonzalez-Castro, 2016 <sup>301</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Other issues	N/A
Greenhill, 2006 <sup>303</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Greenhill, 2006 <sup>302</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
- ,	eligibility	-		-	
	criteria				
Griffiths, 2018 <sup>304</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Guevara, 2021 <sup>306</sup>	DSM-4/5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	diagnosis				
	unclear				
Gustafsson, 2010 <sup>308</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	complex				
	patients than				
	typical of the				
Habn-Markowitz	N/A	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ
2020 <sup>310</sup>	11/7		11/7	11/7	11/7
Harfterkamp, 2012 <sup>313</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	complex				
	patients than				
	typical of the				
	community				
Hariri, 2012 <sup>314</sup>	DSM-4/5	Co-intervention	N/A	Unclear	N/A
	diagnosis	that are likely to			
	unclear	modify the			
		effectiveness of			
Headinger 2021316	Ν/Δ	пегару	Ν/Λ	ΝΙ/Δ	Ν/Δ
	Narrow	N/A	N/A		N/A
	eligibility	11/7	11/7	11/7	11/7
	criteria				
Hemamy, 2021 <sup>320</sup>	N/A	Dosing not	N/A	Short-	N/A
		reflective of		term	
		current practice		follow-up	
Hervas, 2014 <sup>321</sup>	DSM-4/5	Dosing not	Inadequate	Short-	N/A
	diagnosis	reflective of	comparison	term	
	unclear	current practice	therapy or	follow-up	
			use of a		
			substandard		
			thorapy		
Hirayama $201/_{323}$	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	ΝΙ/Δ	Ν/Δ
Hiscock 2019 <sup>324</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hoque 2020 <sup>325</sup>	DSM-4/5	Highly selected	N/A	Other	N/A
110900, 2020	diagnosis	intervention team		issues	
	unclear	or level of			
		training/proficiency			
		not widely			
		available			
Hong, 2016 <sup>327</sup>	N/A	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	N/A
Hornstra, 2021 <sup>328</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Huang, 2015 <sup>331</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Huang, 2021330	N/A	N/A	Comparator	N/A	N/A
Lobikowa 2020333	NI/A	NI/A		NI/A	NI/A
lain 2011 <sup>337</sup>	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A
Jensen 2007 <sup>339</sup>	Unclear	Ν/Δ		N/A	N/A
Johnson 2000343	More	Ν/Δ			Ν/Δ
001113011, 2003	complex				
	patients than				
	typical of the				
	community				
Johnson, 2020 <sup>342</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Johnstone, 2022 <sup>344</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kadri, 2019 <sup>347</sup>	DSM-4/5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	diagnosis				
16 11 : 0000348	unclear	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A
Kahbazi, 2009 <sup>34</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Karakaya, 2019 <sup>330</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A Other	N/A
Kareem, 2021	DSIM-4/5	N/A	IN/A	Other	N/A
	unclear			155065	
Katz, 2010 <sup>353</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A
Kelsey, 2004 <sup>354</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Khaksarian, 2021356	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Khoshbakht, 2021 <sup>358</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kofler, 2020 <sup>363</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kolko, 2020 <sup>365</sup>	Unclear	N/A	Comparator	N/A	N/A
Kollins 2011 <sup>368</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kollins, 2011 <sup>367</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility criteria				
Kollins, 2020 <sup>366</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	Short-	N/A
	eligibility			term	
16 6 1 0000360	criteria		N1/A	follow-up	N1/A
Korfmacher, 2022 <sup>309</sup>	N/A	Co-Intervention	N/A	N/A	N/A
		modify the			
		effectiveness of			
		therapy			
Kratochvil, 2002 <sup>370</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kratochvil, 2005 <sup>371</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
	criteria				
Kratochvil, 2011 <sup>372</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kurowski, 2019 <sup>375</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
Lange 2018 <sup>376</sup>	Narrow	Highly selected	Inadequate	Short	Unclear
Lange, 2010	eligibility	intervention team	comparison	term	Unclear
	criteria	or level of	therapy or	follow-up	
		training/proficiency	use of a	·····	
		not widely	substandard		
		available	alternative		
			therapy		
Lavigne, 2011 <sup>378</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Law, 1999 <sup>379</sup>	Unclear	As recommended	N/A	Other	N/A
		or commonly used		issues	
Li 2022 <sup>383</sup>	Narrow	Linclear	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ
	eligibility	onoicai	1.1/7 (	11/7 (	11/7 (
	criteria				
Liang, 2022 <sup>387</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lilly, 2008 <sup>253</sup>	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A
Lim, 2019 <sup>390</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lin, 2014 <sup>391</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short-	N/A
				term	
Luchana 0000 <sup>207</sup>	N1/A		N1/A	tollow-up	
Ludyga, 2022 <sup>397</sup>	N/A	Hignly selected	N/A	Other	N/A
				issues	
		training/proficiency			
L	1		1	i	1

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
· •	•	not widely	•		
		available			
Luo, 2022 <sup>400</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
	criteria				
Manor, 2012 <sup>401</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A
	eligibility				
	criteria	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A
Martenyi, 2010404	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
Matthilagon 2010408		Ν/Δ	NI/A	NI/A	Ν/Δ
Mattingly 2020409	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A Short	N/A
Mattingly, 2020	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	term	N/A
				follow-up	
McCracken 2016415	N/A	N/A	Comparator	Short-	N/A
		14/7	unclear	term	
				follow-up	
McGrath, 2011 <sup>416</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A
Mehri, 2020 <sup>418</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A
	complex				
	patients than				
	typical of the				
	community				
Meyer, 2021 <sup>420</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Michelson, 2001422	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Michelson, 2002 <sup>421</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Minder, 2018 <sup>424</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mohammadi, 2010 <sup>427</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
	criteria				
Mohammadi, 2012428	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Monammadzaden,	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Montova 2009 <sup>430</sup>	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	ΝΙ/Δ	Ν/Δ
Mostajeran 2020 <sup>431</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Motabarifard 2019432	Narrow	As recommended	Comparator	Short-	
Motarianard, 2013	eligibility	or commonly used	unclear	term	Unoical
	criteria	in practice	unoioui	follow-up	
Mount Sinai, 2012527	DSM-4/5	N/A	N/A	Short-	N/A
	diagnosis			term	
	unclear			follow-up	
Myers, 2015 <sup>440</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	complex				
	patients than				
	typical of the				
	community				
Nasser, 2020 <sup>442</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Nasser, 2021443	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
NI 0004444	criteria				
Nasser, 2021444	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Nasser, 2021***	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A
Nejali, 2021***	IN/A		N/A	IN/A	IN/A
Neyall, 2022 TO	IN/A Norrow	IN/A	N/A	IN/A	IN/A
		IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A
	criteria				
	ontona	1	L		1

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Newcorn, 2008 <sup>448</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Newcorn 2016447	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NE Coll. Group. 2021 <sup>110</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Oppenheimer, 2019 <sup>454</sup>	N/A	N/A	Comparator unclear	Short- term follow-up	N/A
Pelham, 2016 <sup>52</sup>	N/A	Co-intervention that are likely to modify the effectiveness of therapy	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pelsser, 2011 <sup>460</sup>	Run-in period with high exclusion rate	Highly selected intervention team or level of training/proficiency not widely available	N/A	N/A	N/A
Perez-Alvarez, 2009 <sup>462</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	Other issues	N/A
Pfiffner, 2014 <sup>464</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pongpitakdamrong, 2021 <sup>466</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Power, 2012 <sup>469</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Prasad, 2007470	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Purper-Ouakil, 2021 <sup>472</sup>	N/A	Highly selected intervention team or level of training/proficiency not widely available	N/A	N/A	N/A
Qian, 2018 <sup>473</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Qian, 2021 <sup>474</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	Highly selected intervention team or level of training/proficiency not widely available	Unclear	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community
Rafeiy-Torghabeh, 2021 <sup>477</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear
Raghuveer, 2020 <sup>478</sup>	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rajabi, 2020 <sup>83</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Riggs, 2011 <sup>485</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/Ā
Rubio Morell, 2019 <sup>492</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rucklidge, 2018493	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Saito, 2020495	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Salardini, 2016 <sup>496</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short-	N/A
				term	
				follow-up	
Salehi, 2010 <sup>497</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
	criteria	N1/A	N1/A	Others	N1/A
Saleni, 2016 <sup>470</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	Other	N/A
	criteria			issues	
Sallee 2009 <sup>499</sup>		Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	N/A
Sangal 2006 <sup>500</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sangal, 2014 <sup>501</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3 7 4	complex			-	
	patients than				
	typical of the				
	community				
Schorr-Sapir, 2021 <sup>508</sup>	N/A	Highly selected	Unclear	Short-	N/A
		intervention team		term	
		or level of training/proficionov		tollow-up	
		not widely			
		available			
Schramm, 2016 <sup>509</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schuck, 2018 <sup>510</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sciberras, 2020 <sup>511</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	complex				
	patients than				
	typical of the				
Change 2020513	community	N1/A	N1/A	Linglage	N1/A
Shariy, 2020	N/A Moro	N/A	N/A		N/A
Shaywitz, 2017	complex	or commonly used	11/7	11/7	Unclear
	patients than	in practice			
	typical of the				
	community				
Shen, 2021 <sup>517</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Shuai, 2020 <sup>518</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	Short-	N/A
	eligibility			term	
Oiblass 0040521	criteria	N1/A	N1/A	tollow-up	N1/A
Sibley, 2016 <sup>321</sup>	wore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	nationts than				
	typical of the				
	community				
Sibley, 2018 <sup>519</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sibley, 2020 <sup>522</sup>	N/A	N/A	Comparator	N/A	N/A
			unclear		
Sibley, 2021 <sup>520</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Siebelink, 2021 <sup>523</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Simonott, 2013526	DSM-4/5	N/A	N/A	Short-	N/A
	diagnosis			term	
Singer 1005528		Ν/Δ	N/A	N/A	NI/A
Smit 2021 <sup>533</sup>	More	Ν/Δ		N/A	Ν/Δ
Sint, 2021	complex	1 1/7 1	1.1/1	1.11/1	1 1// 1
	patients than				
	typical of the				
	community				

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Sonuga-Barke, 2001539	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Level of care different
					from that in the
Conurs Dorks 2004540	DOM 4/5	N1/A	N1/A	Lin ele en	community
Sonuga-Barke, 2004 <sup>340</sup>	DSIVI-4/5	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A
	unclear				
Sonuga-Barke, 2018541	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spencer, 2002 <sup>543</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spencer, 2006545	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spencer, 2008544	N/A	N/A	Comparator	Short-	N/A
			unclear	term	
Sprich 2016548	Narrow	Ν/Δ	N/A	tollow-up	Ν/Δ
Spricit, 2010	eligibility	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A
	criteria				
Steele, 2006 <sup>549</sup>	N/A	As recommended	Comparator	Short-	N/A
		or commonly used	unclear	term	
		in practice		follow-up	
Steiner, 2014550	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Storebo, 2012 <sup>552</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Streni, 2017554	N/A	N/A	IN/A	N/A	from that in the
					community
Su. 2016555	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Supernus	More	Unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pharmaceuticals,	complex				
2016 <sup>559</sup>	patients than				
	typical of the				
Svanborg 2000 <sup>560</sup>		NI/A	Comparator	NI/A	Ν/Δ
Svanborg, 2009	11/17		unclear	11/7	
Swanson, 2006561	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Takahashi, 2009 <sup>562</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility				
T 0047564	criteria				
Tamm, 2017 <sup>304</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	natients than				
	typical of the				
	community				
Tan, 2016 <sup>566</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tiwawatpakorn, 2021572	DSM-4/5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	diagnosis				
Tourette's Syndrome		NI/A	N/A	NI/A	Ν/Δ
Study Group 2002 <sup>374</sup>	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A
Trebaticka, 2006 <sup>573</sup>	Unclear	N/A	N/A	Other	N/A
,	-		-	issues	
Tris Pharma, 2014 <sup>575</sup>	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Tzang, 2016 <sup>577</sup>	Narrow	As recommended	N/A	Short-	N/A
	eligibility	or commonly used		term	
Valoro 2021581			NI/A	tollow-up	NI/A
valet0, 2021551	N/A More	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/Δ	IN/A Linclear
	complex				Gholean
	patients than				
	typical of the				
	community				

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Van der Heijden,	More	As recommended	N/A	N/A	N/A
2007 <sup>583</sup>	complex	or commonly used			
	patients than	in practice			
	typical of the				
Van Stralan, 2020585	community	N1/A	NI/A	N1/A	N1/A
Van Stralen, 2020555	N/A Moro	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
voipe, 2009	complex	IN/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A
	natients than				
	typical of the				
	community				
Wang, 2007 <sup>593</sup>	N/A	Dosing not	N/A	N/A	N/A
		reflective of			
		current practice			
Weber, 2008 <sup>596</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wehmeier, 2012 <sup>598</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Weiss, 2005 <sup>600</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short-	Unclear
				term	
Weise 2007 <sup>599</sup>	Ν/Δ	Ν/Δ	N/A	N/A	N/A
Weiss, 2007	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short-	N/A N/Δ
WC133, 2021	11/7		11/7	term	11/7
				follow-up	
Wennberg, 2018 <sup>602</sup>	Unclear	Highly selected	N/A	N/A	Unclear
		intervention team			
		or level of			
		training/proficiency			
		not widely			
Mistarka (0000605	N1	available	11	N1/A	N1/A
Wietecha, 2009	Narrow	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A
	criteria				
Wigal 2004 <sup>606</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wigal, 2011 <sup>607</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wilens, 2005 <sup>610</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Short-	N/A
				term	
				follow-up	
Wilens, 2008 <sup>608</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wilens, 2012 <sup>611</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wilens, 2015 <sup>612</sup>	Narrow	As recommended	N/A	N/A	N/A
	eligibility	or commonly used			
Wilopo 2011112			NI/A	NI/A	Undoor
Wilkes-Gillan 2016 <sup>613</sup>	More	N/A	N/A	IN/A	
Wilkes-Gillan, 2010	complex	IN/A	IN/A	Unclear	IN/A
	patients than				
	typical of the				
	community				
Willens,2011609	Narrow	As recommended	N/A	Unclear	Level of care different
	eligibility	or commonly used			from that in the
	criteria	in practice			community
Wolraich, 2001615	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
vvu, 2023 <sup>010</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	criteria				
Young 2014622	Narrow	Dosing not	Inadequate	ΝΙ/Δ	Linclear
100119, 2014	eligibility	reflective of	comparison	11/7	Undeal
	criteria	current practice	therapy or		
			use of a		
			substandard		

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
			alternative		
			therapy		
Zarinara, 2010 <sup>624</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Zavadenko, 2019625	N/A	N/A	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Zheng, 2020 <sup>628</sup>	Narrow	N/A	N/A	Short-	N/A
	eligibility			term	
	criteria			follow-up	
Zhu, 2017 <sup>632</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear

Author, year	Selection bias	Performan ce bias	Attrition bias	Detection bias	Reporting bias	Other source of bias	Overall RoB
Cedergren, 2021 <sup>181</sup>	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Low risk
Cohen, 1989 <sup>207</sup>	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	High risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate risk
Epstein, 2007 <sup>259</sup>	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Epstein, 2016 <sup>258</sup>	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Fiks, 2017 <sup>271</sup>	Moderate/U nclear risk	High risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	High risk	Moderate risk
Florida Internationa I University, 2010 <sup>277</sup>	High risk	High risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	High risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate risk
Oppenheim er, 2019 <sup>454</sup>	High risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	High risk	Low risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	High risk
Smith, 2000 <sup>534</sup>	Moderate/U nclear risk	Low risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Low risk	High risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate risk
Yang, 2012 <sup>617</sup>	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	Moderate/U nclear risk	High risk	High risk

Table D.5. Critical appraisal for included studies, KQ3

Author, year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome	Setting
Cedergren, 2021 <sup>181</sup>	N/A	As recommended or commonly used in practice	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cohen, 1989 <sup>207</sup>	N/A	Co- intervention that are likely to modify monitoring strategies	N/A	Short-term follow-up	N/A
Epstein, 2007 <sup>259</sup>	N/A	unclear	N/A	N/A	N/A
Epstein, 2016 <sup>258</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fiks, 2017 <sup>271</sup>	N/A	As recommended or commonly used in practice	N/A	Composite outcomes that mix outcomes of different significance	N/A
Florida International University, 2010 <sup>277</sup>	Narrow eligibility criteria and exclusion of those with comorbidities	Dosing not reflective of current practice	N/A	N/A	N/A
Oppenheimer, 2019 <sup>454</sup>	N/A	N/A	Comparator unclear	Short-term follow-up	N/A
Smith, 2000 <sup>534</sup>	N/A	Dosing not reflective of current practice	N/A	Short-term follow-up	Level of care different from that in the community
Yang, 2012 <sup>617</sup>	More complex patients than typical of the community	As recommended or commonly used in practice	N/A	N/A	Level of care different from that in the community

Table D 6	Applicability	for included	studies	K <sub>Q3</sub>

## Appendix E. Expert Guidance and Review

### **Stakeholder Input in Formulating the Research Protocol**

Stakeholders, participated in a virtual workshop by PCORI in November 2021 to discuss the draft KQs and PICOTs. Details on the virtual workshop, including a list of participants, can be found at <u>https://www.pcori.org/events/2021/pcori-stakeholder-webinar-adhd-children-and-adolescents</u>.

Stakeholders in the workshop represented different viewpoints which included patients, patient advocates, clinicians, guideline developers and researches.

During the virtual workshop, stakeholders provided input and guidance on the KQs and PICOTs. Based upon the from the workshop, the protocol was developed by the EPC and the KQs were modified with guidance from PCORI and AHRQ.

Stakeholders did not do analysis of any kind or contribute to the writing of this draft report. They will be given the opportunity to review the report through the peer or public review mechanisms. Appendix F. PCORI Checklist

# Appendix F. PCORI Checklist

To be added for the final report