

AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Review Surveillance Program

CER #63: First-Generation Versus Second-Generation Antipsychotics in Adults: Comparative Effectiveness

Original Release Date: August, 2012

Surveillance Report: December, 2014

Summary of Key Findings from Surveillance Reports:

- Key Question 1: Conclusions regarding findings related to the comparative efficacy of FGAs vs. SGAs for core illness symptoms in patients' schizophrenia or schizophrenia-related psychoses and bipolar disorder are out of date due to an SGA approved since the original CER was published with one identified trial comparing it to an FGA. Conclusions related to mania in patients with bipolar disorder are possibly out of date.
- Key Question 2: Report conclusions are still valid.
- Key Question 3: Conclusions regarding findings related to adverse events are out of date due to a new drug (lurasidone) released since the original CER and one study comparing it to an FGA (haloperidol).
- Key Question 4: Report conclusions are still valid.
- Key Question 5: Report conclusions are still valid.

Signal Assessment: The signals examined in this surveillance assessment suggest that the original CER is possibly out of date.

Authors:

Karli Kondo
Maya O'Neil
Shammarie Mathis
Ryan McKenna
Kelly Vander Ley
Johanna Anderson
Mark Helfand

Conflict of Interest:

None of the investigators has any affiliations or financial involvement that conflicts with the material presented in this report.

Acknowledgements:

The authors gratefully acknowledge the following individuals for their contributions to this project: Robin Paynter and Rose Relevo for conducting searches.

Reviewers

David Osser, M.D.
Associate Professor
Department of Psychiatry
Harvard Medical School
Boston, MA

Erick Turner, M.D.
Associate Professor
Department of Psychiatry and Department of Physiology and Pharmacology
Oregon Health and Science University
Portland, OR

Contents

Introduction	1
Methods	2
Literature Searches	2
Study selection.....	3
Expert Opinion	3
Horizon Scanning High-Impact Potential.....	3
FDA Black Box Warnings.....	3
Check for Qualitative Signals.....	3
Compilation of Findings and Conclusions	3
Signal Assessment for Updating.....	4
Results	4
Literature Search.....	4
Horizon Scanning	5
FDA Black Box Warnings.....	5
Expert Opinion	5
Identifying Qualitative Signals.....	5
Signal Assessment for Updating.....	6
References	7
Appendices	9
Appendix A. Top 10 Journals.....	A-1
Appendix B. Most Cited Journals from Original Systematic Review.....	B-1
Appendix C. Original Search Strategy	C-1
Appendix D. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria from Original Systematic Review.....	D-1
Appendix E. Literature Search Results.....	E-1
Appendix F. Questionnaire Sent to Expert Reviewers	F-1
Appendix G: Summary Table.....	G-1

Introduction

The purpose of the surveillance process for the EPC Program is to decide if the findings of a systematic review are current. Approximately 25 systematic reviews are selected for surveillance annually based on popularity, use in obtaining continuing medical education certificates, potential impact for changing the field, and use in clinical practice guidelines.

Comparative Effectiveness Review (CER) #63 titled “First-Generation Versus Second-Generation Antipsychotics in Adults: Comparative Effectiveness” was originally released in August, 2012.¹

The key questions for the original CER are as follows:

Key Question 1. For adults (age 18 to 64 years) with schizophrenia, schizophrenia-related psychoses, or bipolar disorder, what are the comparative efficacy and effectiveness of FGAs versus SGAs for improving core illness symptoms? The following core symptoms were considered:

- Schizophrenia or related psychoses: positive (i.e., delusions and hallucinations) and negative (i.e., passive or apathetic social withdrawal and blunted affect) symptoms, general psychopathology (i.e., preoccupation, lack of insight, and motor retardation), and global ratings and total scores.
- Core illness symptoms for bipolar disorder: mood, motor activity or energy, sleep, speech, behavior, and mood stability.

Key Question 2. For adults (age 18 to 64 years) with schizophrenia, schizophrenia-related psychoses, or bipolar disorder, what is the comparative effectiveness of FGAs versus SGAs for improving functional outcomes and decreasing health care system utilization?

- Functional outcomes include any of the following: employment or personal earnings, social relatedness or functioning, encounters with the legal system, sexual function or dysfunction, functional capacity, and living situation.
- Health care system utilization includes: time to hospitalization or re-hospitalization because of mental illness and all other causes, rates of hospitalization or re-hospitalization, mean hospital bed days, length of hospitalization stay, rates of emergency department visits, attendance in day care programs, and use of ancillary caseworkers.

Key Question 3. For adults (age 18 to 64 years) with schizophrenia, schizophrenia-related psychoses, or bipolar disorder, do FGAs and SGAs differ in medication-associated AEs and safety? AEs included:

- Overall AEs
- Specific AEs
- Major: mortality, cerebrovascular disease–related events, development of diabetes mellitus, diabetic ketoacidosis, neuroleptic malignant syndrome, seizures, tardive dyskinesia, cardiomyopathies and cardiac arrhythmias, agranulocytosis, suicide-related behaviors, and death by suicide
- General: extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS), weight changes, agitation, constipation, sedation, elevated cholesterol, AEs related to prolactin elevations, galactorrhea or bloody galactorrhea, hypotension, and metabolic changes (including changes in glucose levels, triglycerides, lipids, and the risk of developing diabetes)

- Study withdrawals and time to withdrawal because of AEs
- Persistence and reversibility of AEs

Key Question 4. For adults (age 18 to 64 years) with schizophrenia, schizophrenia-related psychoses, or bipolar disorder, what is the comparative effectiveness of FGAs versus SGAs for the following other outcomes:

- Relapse and remission rates
- Medication adherence and persistent use (and associated dosing and time to discontinuation of treatment)
- Patient insight into illness
- Health-related quality of life
- Patient satisfaction
- Comorbidity: endpoints of victimization, homelessness, and substance abuse
- Patient-reported outcomes
- Ability to obtain and retain employment and succeed in job duties
- Concomitant use of other medications, especially those used to treat EPS
- Patient preferences

Key Question 5. For adults (age 18 to 64 years) with schizophrenia, schizophrenia-related psychoses, or bipolar disorder, what are the comparative effectiveness and risks of FGAs versus SGAs in subgroups defined by the following variables?

- Disorder subtypes
- Sex
- Age group (18-35 years, 36-54 years, and 55-64 years)
- Race
- Comorbidities
- Drug dosage
- Follow-up period
- Treatment of a first episode versus treatment in the context of previous episodes (previous exposure to antipsychotics)
- Treatment resistance

Our surveillance assessment began in August 2014. We conducted an electronic search for literature published since the most recent surveillance report search date. After completing a scan of this literature to identify evidence potentially related to the key questions in this CER, we contacted experts involved in the original CER to request their opinions as to whether the conclusions had changed.

Methods

Literature Searches

We conducted two literature searches of PubMed and PsycINFO covering July 1, 2011 to August 30, 2014 using the identical search strategy used for the original report¹ and searching for studies published since the end date of the original CER.

The search was conducted to assess the currency of conclusions. This process included selecting journals from among the top 10 journals from relevant specialty subject areas (Appendix A) and among those most highly represented among the references for the original report (Appendix B). The included journals were six high-profile general medical interest journals (Annals of Internal Medicine, British Medical Journal, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Journal of the American Medical Association, Lancet, and the New England Journal of Medicine) and five specialty journals (Archives of General Psychiatry, American Journal of Psychiatry, Schizophrenia Bulletin, Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, and British Journal of Psychiatry). The search strategy is reported in Appendix C.

Study selection

Using the same inclusion and exclusion criteria as the original CER (see Appendix D), one investigator reviewed the titles and abstracts of the 11 high-impact journal search results (see Appendix E).

Expert Opinion

We shared the conclusions of the original report and the newly identified studies with thirteen experts in the field (original peer reviewers, technical expert panel members [TEP] and a local expert) to request their assessment of the currency of report conclusions and their recommendations of any relevant new studies. Two subject matter experts responded. Appendix F shows the form that the experts were asked to complete.

Horizon Scanning

The AHRQ Healthcare Horizon Scanning System identifies emerging health care technologies and innovations with the potential to impact health care for AHRQ's 14 priority conditions.² We reviewed the Depression and Other Mental Health Disorders section to identify new potentially high-impact interventions related to the key questions in this CER. Potentially high impact interventions were considered in the final assessment.

FDA Black Box Warnings

We searched the FDA MedWatch online database website for black box warnings relevant to the key questions in this CER.

Check for Qualitative Signals

The authors of the original CER conducted a qualitative and quantitative synthesis of data comparing the effectiveness, harms, and prevalence of first versus second generation antipsychotics. We compared the conclusions of the included abstracts to the conclusions of the original CER and assessed expert opinions to identify qualitative signals about the currency of conclusions.

Compilation of Findings and Conclusions

For this assessment we constructed a summary table (Appendix G) that includes the key questions, the conclusions from the original CER, the findings of the new literature search, and the expert assessments that pertained to each key question. Because we did not find any FDA black box warnings relevant to the

key questions in this CER, we did not include a column for this in the summary table. We categorized the currency of conclusions using a 3-category scheme:

- Original conclusion is still valid and this portion of the CER is likely current
- Original conclusion is possibly out of date and this portion of the CER may not be current
- Original conclusion is out of date.

We considered the following factors when making our assessments:

- If we found no new evidence or only confirmatory evidence and all responding experts assessed the CER conclusion as still valid, we classified the CER conclusion as likely not out of date.
- If we found some new evidence that might change the CER conclusion, and /or a minority of responding experts assessed the CER conclusion as having new evidence that might change the conclusion, then we classified the CER conclusion as possibly out of date.
- If we found new evidence that rendered the CER conclusion out of date or no longer applicable, we classified the CER conclusion as out of date. Recognizing that our literature searches were limited, we reserved this category only for situations where a limited search would produce prima facie evidence that a conclusion was out of date, such as the withdrawal of a drug or surgical device from the market, a black box warning from FDA, etc.

Signal Assessment for Currency of the CER

We used the following considerations in our assessment of the currency of the CER:

- **Strong signal:** A report is considered to have a strong signal if new evidence is identified that clearly renders conclusions from the original report out of date, such as the addition or removal of a drug or device from the market or a new FDA boxed warning.
- **Medium signal:** A report is considered to have a medium signal when new evidence is identified which may change the conclusions from the original report. This may occur when abstract review and expert assessment indicates that some conclusions from the original report may be out of date, or when it is unclear from abstract review how new evidence may impact the findings from the original report. In this case, full-text review and data abstraction may be needed to more clearly classify a signal.
- **Weak signal:** A report is considered to have a weak signal if little or no new evidence is identified that would change the conclusions from the original report. This may occur when little to no new evidence is identified, or when some new evidence is identified but it is clear from abstract review and expert assessment that the new evidence is unlikely to change the conclusions of the original report.

Results

Literature Search

The literature search identified 23 titles from the 11 selected high profile general medical and specialty journals (Appendix E). Upon abstract review, 22 articles were rejected because they did not meet the original CER inclusion criteria (see Appendix D). The remaining abstract³ was examined for potential to change the results of the original review.

Horizon Scanning

We identified one intervention, *Cortisol antagonist (mifepristone, Korlym) for treatment of psychotic depression*. The high impact potential for this intervention is on the lower end of the high-impact-potential range, and is not closely related to any of the key questions for this CER. Thus, we did not identify a relevant high-impact potential intervention for this CER.

FDA Black Box Warnings

We did not find any FDA black box warnings relevant to the key questions in this CER.

Expert Opinion

We shared the conclusions of the original report with seven experts in the field (original peer reviewers, TEP members and a local expert) to request their assessment of the currency of report conclusions and their recommendations of any relevant new studies. Two subject matter experts responded.

The two experts identified potentially relevant studies related to Key Questions 1^{3,7}, 3⁶, and 4^{6,9} and agreed that Key Question 5 conclusions were still valid or did not know (see Appendix G).

Identifying Qualitative Signals

Appendix G shows the original key questions, the conclusions of the original report and the most recent surveillance report, the results of the literature search, the experts' assessments, and the conclusions of the Scientific Resource Center (SRC) regarding the currency of the CER.

For Key Question 1, for adults with schizophrenia, or schizophrenia related psychoses, conclusions are possibly out of date. A review that examined published and unpublished clinical trials⁵ reported unpublished findings that haloperidol was significantly more effective than ziprasidone on the BPRS total, BPRS core, CGI-severity, and PANSS total, and more effective than iloperidone (outcome measures not stated). In addition, based on a recommendation by an expert, we searched clinicaltrials.gov for studies comparing FGAs to SGAs. We identified one study comparing lurasidone to haloperidol that found no difference on the MADRS or the CGI-S⁶, and differences favoring haloperidol on the PANSS and BPRS; however, it is unclear whether these differences are statistically significant.

For patients with bipolar disorder experiencing mania, a multiple treatments meta-analysis³ found haloperidol to be more effective than aripiprazole, asenapine, quetiapine and ziprasidone on the YMRS, add that risperidone and olanzapine had a very similar profile of comparative efficacy, being more effective than valproate, ziprasidone, lamotrigine, topiramate, and gabapentin. In addition, a study identified by one of our experts found that haloperidol was more likely to induce depression switching in patients⁷ experiencing mania.

No studies identified by the SRC or experts had the potential to change the conclusions of Key Question 2. For Key Question 3, we identified one study comparing a drug approved since the original CER (lurasidone) compared with haloperidol.⁶ While none of the adverse events highlighted in the original CER were included, adverse events were examined. No studies were identified for Key Questions 4 and 5. There were no new high-impact potential interventions for this report based on horizon scanning data, and no FDA boxed warnings were identified since the original report was published.

Signal Assessment

The SRC conclusions based on the results of the prior surveillance assessment, literature published since the original report, FDA boxed warnings, horizon scanning, and expert assessment is that:

- Key Question 1: Conclusions regarding findings related to the comparative efficacy of FGAs vs. SGAs for core illness symptoms in patients' schizophrenia or schizophrenia-related psychoses and bipolar disorder are out of date due to an SGA approved since the original CER was published with one identified trial comparing it to an FGA. Conclusions related to mania in patients with bipolar disorder are possibly out of date.
- Key Question 2: Report conclusions are still valid.
- Key Question 3: Conclusions regarding findings related to adverse events are out of date due to a new drug (lurasidone) released since the original CER and one study comparing it to an FGA (haloperidol).
- Key Question 4: Report conclusions are still valid.
- Key Question 5: Report conclusions are still valid.

The signal for this report is medium, suggesting that the conclusions in the original CER are possibly out of date.

References

1. Abou-Setta AM, Mousavi SS, Spooner C, et al. First-generation versus second-generation antipsychotics in adults: comparative effectiveness. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Rockville, MD: 2012.
2. Agency for HealthCare Research and Quality. AHRQ Healthcare Horizon Scanning System. Rockville, MD. <http://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/index.cfm/who-is-involved-in-the-effective-health-care-program1/ahrq-horizon-scanning-system/?keywords=horizon%20scanning>. Accessed on August 2014.
3. Cipriani AC, Barbui C, Salanti G, et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of antimanic drugs in acute mania: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2011; 378(9799): 1306-15.
4. ECRI Institute. AHRQ Healthcare Horizon Scanning System Potential High-Impact Interventions: Priority Area 05: Depression and Other Mental Health Disorders. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. December 2013. <http://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/index.cfm/who-is-involved-in-the-effective-health-care-program1/ahrq-horizon-scanning-system/>.
5. Turner EH, Knoepflmacher D, Shapley L. Publication bias in antipsychotic trials: an analysis of efficacy comparing the published literature to the US Food and Drug Administration database. *PLoS Medicine*. 2012; 9(3): e1001189.
6. Cucchiaro, J. A 6-week, double-blind, randomized, fixed-dose, parallel-group study of the efficacy and safety of three dose levels of SM-13496 compared to placebo and haloperidol in patients with schizophrenia who are experiencing an acute exacerbation of symptoms. In: *ClinicalTrials.gov* [Internet]. Bethesda, MD. National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2015 Jan 21]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00044044> NLM Identifier: 00044044.
7. Goikolea JM, Colom F, Torres I, et al. Lower rate of depressive switch following antimanic treatment with second-generation antipsychotics versus haloperidol. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2013; 144: 191-98.
8. Chung AK, Chua SE. Effects on prolongation of Bazett's corrected QT interval of seven second-generation antipsychotics in the treatment of schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*. 2011; 25(5): 646-66.
9. Kreyenbuhl J, Slade EP, Medoff DR, et al. Time to discontinuation of first- and second-generation antipsychotic medications in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2011; 131(1-3): 127-32.
10. Aggarwal NK, Rosenheck RA, Woods SW, et al. Race and long-acting antipsychotic prescription at a community mental health center: a retrospective chart review. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2012; 73(4): 513-17.
11. Bai YM, Lin CC, Chen JY, et al. Association of weight gain and metabolic syndrome in patients taking clozapine: an 8-year cohort study. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2011; 72(6): 751-56.
12. Farahani A, Correll CU. Are antipsychotics or antidepressants needed for psychotic depression? A systematic review and meta-analysis of trials comparing antidepressant or antipsychotic monotherapy with combination treatment. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2012; 73(4): 486-96.
13. Irani F, Kalkstein S, Moberg EA, et al. Neuropsychological performance in older patients with schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2011; 37(6): 1318-26.

14. Marder SR, Sorsaburu S, Dunayevich E, et al. Case reports of postmarketing adverse event experiences with olanzapine intramuscular treatment in patients with agitation. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2010; 71(4): 433-41.
15. Matar HE, Almerie MQ, Makhoul S, et al. Pericyazine for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2014; 5: CD007479.
16. Procyshyn RM, Honer WG, Wu TK, et al. Persistent antipsychotic polypharmacy and excessive dosing in the community psychiatric treatment setting: a review of medication profiles in 435 Canadian outpatients. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2010; 71(5): 566-73.
17. Weisler R, Montgomery SA, Earley WR, et al. Extended release quetiapine fumarate in patients with major depressive disorder: suicidality data from acute and maintenance studies. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2014; 75(5): 520-27.

Appendices

Appendix A: Top 10 Journals

Appendix B: Most Cited Journals from Original Systematic Review

Appendix C: Original Search Strategy

Appendix D: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria from Original Systematic Review

Appendix E: Literature Search Results

Appendix F: Questionnaire Matrix Sent to Expert Reviewers

Appendix G: Summary Table

Appendix A. Top 10 Journals

In the Journal Citation Reports database, the science and social science sections were searched by subject area discipline(s) for each surveillance reports topic area. For each subject area discipline, the list was constructed by selecting the top 10 journals from the 5 year citation impact factor average list. Selected citations were downloaded in .csv format.

Behavioral Sciences:

1. Behavioral & Brain Sciences
2. Trends in Cognitive Sciences
3. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews
4. Advances in the Study of Behavior
5. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience
6. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience
7. Cortex
8. Autism Research
9. Neuropsychologia
10. Biological Psychology

Psychology:

1. Annual Review of Psychology
2. Psychological Bulletin
3. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology
4. Psychological Review
5. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience
6. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry
7. Psychological Medicine
8. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics
9. Cognitive Psychology
10. Health Psychology

Psychiatry:

1. Archives of General Psychiatry
2. The American Journal of Psychiatry
3. Molecular Psychiatry
4. Biological Psychiatry
5. Schizophrenia Bulletin
6. Neuropsychopharmacology
7. Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry
8. The British Journal of Psychiatry
9. Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience
10. World Psychiatry

Top 10 General Medical:

1. New England Journal of Medicine
2. Lancet
3. Journal of the American Medical Association
4. PLoS Medicine
5. Annals of Internal Medicine
6. The BMJ
7. Archives of Internal Medicine
8. Canadian Medical Association Journal
9. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews
10. BMC Medicine

Appendix B. Most Cited Journals from Original Systematic Review

Journal	Citations
The American Journal of Psychiatry	19
Journal of Clinical Psychiatry	9
Archives of General Psychiatry	8
Schizophrenia Research	7
International Clinical Psychopharmacology – LWW Journals	5
The International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology	5
Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica	4
The British Journal of Psychiatry	4
Lancet	4
Neuropsychopharmacology	4
Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry	4
Psychopharmacology (Berl)	4
European Neuropsychopharmacology	3
Human Psychopharmacology	3
The New England Journal of Medicine	3
Schizophrenia Bulletin	3
The British Medical Journal	2
Clinical Neuropharmacology	2
Current Opinion in CPNS Investigational Drugs	2
Current Therapeutic Research	2
Indian Journal of Psychiatry	2
Neuropsychobiology	2
PLoS Medicine	2

Appendix C. Original Search Strategy

Top Journals used for surveillance of this topic:

- Annals of Internal Medicine
- British Medical Journal
- Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews
- Journal of the American Medical Association
- Lancet
- The New England Journal of Medicine
- Archives of General Psychiatry
- American Journal of Psychiatry
- Schizophrenia Bulletin
- Journal of Clinical Psychiatry
- British Journal of Psychiatry

Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Ovid OLDMEDLINE(R) and Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations Searched April 30 th , 2014 Rose Relevo			
Search Strategy from original Search	1	exp Schizophrenia/	82827
	2	Schizophrenia, Catatonic/	520
	3	Schizophrenia, Disorganized/	500
	4	Schizophrenia, Paranoid/	3597
	5	Psychotic Disorders/	31123
	6	Schizotypal Personality Disorder/	2118
	7	schizophreniform.tw.	905
	8	(schizoaffective or schizo-affective).tw.	4384
	9	schizophren\$.mp.	110474
	10	(dementia adj (praecox or precox)).tw.	312
	11	(delusional adj2 disorder*).tw.	697
	12	((negative or positive) adj syndrome*).tw.	2492
	13	hebephrenia.tw.	75
	14	exp Bipolar Disorder/	30492
	15	(((bipolar or manic) adj2 (I or II or illness or disorder or psychos?s or depress\$) or mania*).tw.	26511
	16	(BPD or hypoman\$ or manic-depressive).tw.	10084
	17	(BP 1 or BP 2 or BP I or BP II).tw.	1203
	18	(cyclothym\$ or euthymic).tw.	2494
	19	(acute adj2 mania).tw.	827
	20	(acute adj2 mixed adj episode*).tw.	17
	21	(rapid-cycling adj5 bipolar).tw.	384
	22	(rapid adj2 cycling adj5 bipolar).tw.	385
	23	(mixed adj2 state* adj3 bipolar).tw.	119
	24	or/1-23	166277
	25	exp Antipsychotic Agents/	119338
	26	exp Tranquilizing Agents/	197439
	27	(neuroleptic adj2 (agent* or drug*)).tw.	2989
	28	or/25-27	198048
	29	((first or 1st) adj generation adj antipsychotic*).tw.	464

30	chlorpromazine/	15796
31	50-53-3.m.	0
32	(Aminazin or Aminazine or Ampliactil or BC 135 or Chlorpromazine or Chlorpromazinum or Clorpromazina or Chlor-Promanyl or Chlorpromados or Chlororderazin or Chlorpromazin or Contomin or Elmarin or Esmind or Fenactil or Fenaktyl or HL 5746 or Largactil or Largactilothiazine or Megaphen or Largactyl or Klooripromatsiini or Klorpromazin or 6 Copin or Trinicalm Forte or Diminex Balsamico Juven Tos or Largatrex or Phenactyl or Proma or Promactil or Promazil or Prozil or Psychozine or Sanpron or Thorazine or Torazina or Wintermin).mp.	
33	Droperidol/	1899
34	548-73-2.m.	0
35	(Dehydrobenzoperidol or Dehydrobenzperidol or Deidrobenezperidolo or Dridol or Droleptan or Droperidol or Droperidoli or Droperidolis or Droperidolum or Disifelit or Halkan or Inapsin or Inapsine or Inopsin or Thalamonal or Nilperidol or Properidol or Sintodril or Vetkalm).mp.	
36	fluphenazine/	2292
37	69-23-8.m.	0
38	(Dapotum or Elinol or Flufenazina or Fluofenazine or Fluphenazine or Fluorphenazine or Fluphenazinum or Ftorphenazine or Moditen or Pacinol or Sevinol or Siqualon or Triflumethazine or Valamina or Vespazine).mp.	
39	haloperidol/	14562
40	52-86-8.m.	0
41	(Aldo or Aloperidin or Aloperidol or Aloperidolo or Brootopon or Dozic or Einalon S or Eukystol or Fortunan or Galoperidol or Haldol or Halojust or Halopal or Haloperidol or Haloperidoli or Haloperidolis or Haloperidolu or Halopoidol or Serenace or Halopidol or Haloper or Halperon or Keselan or Lealgin or Linton or Mixidol or Peluces or Pernox or Serenace or Serenefl or Sernas or Sernel or Serenase or Ulcolind or Uliolind or Vesalium).mp.	
42	loxapine/	253
43	1977-10-2.m.	0
44	(Cloxazepine or CL 62362 or Dibenzacepin or Dibenzoazepine or Hydrofluoride 3170 or LW 3170 or Lossapina or Loksapiini or Loxapin or Loxapina or Loxapine or Loxapinum or Oxilapine or Loxapac or SUM 3170 or Loxitane or Desconex).mp.	
45	perphenazine/	1423
46	58-39-9.m.	0
47	(Chlorperphenazine or Chlorpiprazine or Decentan or Emesinal or Etaperazin or Etaperazine or Ethaperazine or Etrafon or F-mon or Fentazin or Mutabon or Perfenazin or Perfenazina or Perfenazinas or Perfenazine or Perphenazin or Perphenazine or Perfenazyna or Perphenazinum or Pertriptyl or Sch 3940 or Thilatazin or Tranquisan or Trifarone or Trilafon or Trilifan or Triptafen or Triphenot or Triavil).mp.	
48	Pimozide/	1661
49	2062-78-4.m.	0
50	(Antalon or Opiran or Orap or Pimotsidi or Pimozid or Pimozida or Pimozidas or Pimozide or Pimozidum or Pimozyd).mp.	
51	Prochlorperazine/	942
52	58-38-8.m.	0
53	(Apo-Prochlorazine or Capazine or Chlormeprazine or Compazine or Compro or Dhaperazine or Emelent or Kronocin or Nipodal or Novamin or Nu-Prochlor or Meterazin or Meterazine or Mitil or Prochlorpemazine or Prochlorperazinum or Prochlorperazina or Proklooriperatsiini or Prokloorperazin or Prorazin or Phenothiazine or Seratil or Stemetil or Temetil or Temetid).mp.	
54	thiothixene/	332

55	5591-45-7.rn.	0
56	(Navane or Navaron or Orbinamon or Thiothixene or Tiotikseeni or Tiotixen or Tiotixeno or Tiotixenum or Thixit or Tiotixene).mp.	457
57	trifluoperazine/	3363
58	117-89-5.rn.	0
59	(Cuait D or Cuait N or eskazine or flupazine or Jatrosom or Jalonac or Parstelin or Parmodalin or stelazine or Stelabid or Stelapar or Sycot or Terfluzine or Trifluoperazine or Trifluoperazini Hydrochloridum or triftazin or Trinicalm Forte or Trinicalm Plus).mp.	4950
60	thioridazine/	2183
61	50-52-2.rn.	0
62	(Aldazine or Dazithin or Detril or Elperil or Mallorol or Malloryl or Melleril or Meleril or Mellaril or Mellerets or Mellerette or Melleretten or Melleril or Sonapax or Thioridazin or Thioridazine or Thioridazinum or Tioridatsiini or Tioridazin or Tioridazina or Tioridazinas).mp.	2991
63	methotrimeprazine/	683
64	60-99-1.rn.	0
65	(Dedoran or Hirnamin or Hirnamine or Levomepromazine or Levomepromazin or Levomepromazina or Levopromazoni or Levomepromazinum or Levoprome or Levotomin or Mepromazine or Methotrimeprazine or Neurocil or Neozine or Nirvan or Nocinan or Momizan or Nozinane or Sinogan or Levoram or Nozinan or Sinogan or Tisercin or Veractil).mp.	974
66	Phenothiazines/ad, to, tu, ct, po, ae	2757
67	Butyrophenones/ad, to, tu, ct, po, ae	633
68	Thioxanthenes/ad, to, tu, ct, po, ae	405
69	Dibenzoxazepines/ad, to, tu, ct, po, ae	301
70	Indoles/ad, to, tu, ct, po, ae	9211
71	or/29-70	70366
72	atypical antipsychotic\$.tw.	7315
73	((second or 2nd) adj generation adj antipsychotic*).tw.	1698
74	((third or 3rd) adj generation adj antipsychotic*).tw.	21
75	Asenapine/	0
76	65576-45-6.rn.	0
77	(Asenapine or EINECS 265-829-4).mp.	180
78	clozapine/	6613
79	5786-21-0.rn.	0
80	(Clozapin or Clozapina or Clozapine or Clozapinum or Clorazil or Clozaril or FazaClo or Lefonex or LX 100-129 or Zaponex).mp.	9724
81	risperidone/	4887
82	106266-06-2.rn.	0
83	(Apexidone or Psychodal or Risperdal or Risperidona or Risperidone or Risperidonum or Risperin or Risperilept or Rispolin or Spiron).mp.	7434
84	olanzapine.mp.	6625
85	132539-06-1.rn.	4336
86	(Zyprexa or Olantsapiini or Olanzapin or Olanzapinaor Olanzapinum or Olansek or Zalasta or Zypadhera or Symbyax).mp.	115
87	quetiapine.mp.	3324
88	(111974-69-7 or 111974-72-2).rn.	0
89	(Co-Quetiapine or HSDB 7557 or Seroquel).mp.	125
90	ziprasidone.mp.	1546
91	146939-27-7.rn.	0
92	(Zeldox or zeldrox or geodon).mp.	20
93	aripiprazole.mp.	2299

94	129722-12-9.rn.	0	
95	(Abilitat or Abilify or Aripiprazole or Discmelt or OPC 31 or OPC 14597).mp.		
	2303		
96	paliperidone.mp.	401	
97	144598-75-4.rn.	357	
98	(9-Hydroxyrisperidone or Invega or R 76477 or RO76477).mp.	209	
99	Iloperidone/	0	
100	133454-47-4.rn.	71	
101	(Fanapt or Iloperidone or HP 873 or Zomaril).mp.		128
102	Isoxazoles/ad, to, tu, ct, po, ae	2697	
103	Dibenzazepines/ad, to, tu, ct, po, ae	1401	
104	Pyrimidinones/ad, to, tu, ct, po, ae	1483	
105	Piperidines/ad, to, tu, ct, po, ae	10299	
106	Dibenzothiazepines/ct, ad, to, tu, ae, po	1865	
107	Piperazines/ad, tu, to, ct, po, ae	17640	
108	Pirenzepine/tu, ad, to, ct, po, ae	1549	
109	Thiazoles/ad, th, ct, po, to, ae	3770	
110	Quinolones/to, po, ct, ad, tu, ae	3572	
111	or/72-110	61152	
112	and/71,111	6941	
113	and/28,71,111	5418	
114	or/112-113	6941	
115	randomized controlled trial.pt.	360172	
116	controlled clinical trial.pt.	86981	
117	randomi?ed.ab.	335140	
118	placebo*.ab.	149301	
119	drug therapy.fs.	1651625	
120	randomly.ab.	204443	
121	trial.ab.	289423	
122	groups.ab.	1308375	
123	or/115-122	3239830	
124	humans/ not (animals and humans).hw,sh.		11682399
125	123 and 124	2341517	
126	and/24,114,125	2340	
127	126 and (201107* or 201108* or 201109* or 201110* or 201111* or 201112* or 2012* or 2013* or 2014*).dc.	242	
128	limit 127 to english language	223	
129	limit 127 to english language	223	
130	limit 127 to english language	223	
131	cohort studies/	155492	
132	followup studies/	479650	
133	longitudinal studies/	80755	
134	prospective studies/	351736	
135	Retrospective Studies/	471162	
136	(observation\$ or prospectiv\$ or retrospectiv\$ or cohort\$ or control\$ or volunteer\$ or evaluat\$ or compar\$ or longitudinal or long term or long-term or longterm or followup or followup or followup).mp. and (study or studies or trial\$.ti,ab,sh.		3979196
137	or/131-136	4510892	
138	humans.hw,sh.	13091041	
139	and/137-138	3302402	
140	meta-analysis.mp.pt.	71175	
141	review.pt.	1822936	
142	search:.tw.	229660	

	143 or/140-142 2006834 144 and/24,114,139 1623 145 and/24,114,143 689
Date Limits	146 144 and (201107* or 201108* or 201109* or 201110* or 201111* or 201112* or 2012* or 2013* or 2014*).dc. 190 147 limit 146 to english language 183 148 145 and (201107* or 201108* or 201109* or 201110* or 201111* or 201112* or 2012* or 2013* or 2014*).dc. 100 149 limit 148 to english language 89
Journal Limits	150 "annals of internal medicine".jn. 29974 151 "bmj".jn. 63839 152 "The Cochrane database of systematic reviews".jn. 10696 153 "jama".jn. 66076 154 "lancet".jn 128966 155 "the new England journal of medicine".jn. 71152 156 "archives of general psychiatry".jn. 8109 157 "the American journal of psychiatry".jn. 23751 158 "Schizophrenia bulletin".jn. 2388 159 "the journal of clinical psychiatry".jn. 9474 160 "The British journal of psychiatry".jn. 13933 161 150 or 151 or 152 or 153 or 154 or 155 or 156 or 157 or 158 or 159 or 160 526498 162 161 and 149 16

Ovid PsycINFO searched April 30 th , 2014	
Search Strategy from original Search	1 exp schizophrenia/ 70824 2 exp "fragmentation (schizophrenia)"/ 39 3 exp "positive and negative symptoms"/ 2242 4 exp schizoaffective disorder/ 2555 5 exp schizoid personality disorder/ 599 6 exp schizotypal personality disorder/ 1219 7 exp psychosis/ 89982 8 or/1-7 91914 9 schizophren*.mp. 101584 10 (schizoaffective or schizo-affective).tw. 5637 11 (dementia adj praecox).tw. 1248 12 (delusional adj2 disorder*).tw. 952 13 ((negative or positive) adj syndrome*).tw. 2487 14 hebephrenia.tw. 141 15 or/9-14 103732 16 or/8,15 120665 17 exp Bipolar Disorder/ 18894 18 affective psychosis/ 516 19 mania/ 4521 20 exp affective disorders/ 115843 21 or/17-20 116211

22	((bipolar or manic) adj2 (I or II or illness or disorder or psychos?s or depress\$)) or mania*).tw.	30140
23	(BPD or hypoman\$ or manic-depressive).tw.	10110
24	(BP 1 or BP 2 or BP I or BP II).tw.	308
25	(cyclothym\$ or euthymic).tw.	2471
26	(acute adj2 mania).tw.	856
27	(acute adj2 mixed adj episode*).tw.	17
28	(rapid-cycling adj5 bipolar).tw.	431
29	(rapid adj2 cycling adj5 bipolar).tw.	432
30	(mixed adj2 state* adj3 bipolar).tw.	128
31	or/22-30	34791
32	or/21,31	127425
33	or/16,32	230151
34	exp Neuroleptic Drugs/	24751
35	((first or 1st) adj generation adj antipsychotic*).tw.	437
36	exp Chlorpromazine/	1638
37	(Aminazin or Aminazine or Ampliactil or BC 135 or Chlorpromazine or Chlorpromazinum or Clorpromazina or Chlor-Promanyl or Chlorpromados or Chlorderazin or Chlorpromazin or Contomin or Elmarin or Esmind or Fenactil or Fenaktyl or HL 5746 or Largactil or Largactilothiazine or Megaphen or Largactyl or Klooripromatsiini or Klorpromazin or 6 Copin or Trinicalm Forte or Diminex Balsamico Juven Tos or Largatrex or Phenactyl or Proma or Promactil or Promazil or Prozil or Psychozine or Sanpron or Thorazine or Torazina or Wintermin).mp.	3691
38	Droperidol.mp.	90
39	(Dehydrobenzoperidol or Dehydrobenzperidol or Deidrobenzperidolo or Dridol or Droleptan or Droperidol or Droperidoli or Droperidolis or Droperidolum or Disifelit or Halkan or Inapsin or Inapsine or Inopsin or Thalamonal or Nilperidol or Properidol or Sintodril or Vetkalm).mp.	100
40	exp Fluphenazine/	532
41	(Dapotum or Elinol or Flufenazina or Fluofenazine or Fluphenazine or Fluorphenazine or Fluphenazinum or Ftorphenazine or Moditen or Pacinol or Sevinol or Siqualon or Triflumethazine or Valamina or Vespazine).mp.	1007
42	exp Haloperidol/	4463
43	(Aldo or Aloperidin or Aloperidol or Aloperidolo or Brootopon or Dozic or Einalon S or Eukystol or Fortunan or Galoperidol or Haldol or Halojust or Halopal or Haloperidol or Haloperidoli or Haloperidolis or Haloperidolu or Halopoidol or Serenace or Halopidol or Haloper or Halperon or Keselan or Lealgin or Linton or Mixidol or Peluces or Pernox or Serenace or Serenefl or Sernas or Sernel or Serenase or Ulcolind or Uliolind or Vesalium).mp.	8168
44	exp Loxapine/	66
45	(Cloxazepine or CL 62362 or Dibenzacepin or Dibenzoazepine or Hydrofluoride 3170 or LW 3170 or Lossapina or Loksapiini or Loxapin or Loxapina or Loxapine or Loxapinum or Oxilapine or Loxapac or SUM Sinophenin or Talofen or Talofen or Tomil or Verophen).mp.	197
46	exp Perphenazine/	181
47	(Chlorperphenazine or Chlorpiprazine or Decentan or Emesinal or Etaperazin or Etaperazine or Ethaperazine or Etrafon or F-mon or Fentazin or Mutabon or Perfenazin or Perfenazina or Perfenazinas or Perfenazine or Perphenazin or Perphenazine or Perfenazyna or Perphenazinum or Pertriptyl or Sch 3940 or Thilatazin or Tranquisan or Trifaron or Trilafon or Trilifan or Triptafen or Triphenot or Triavil).mp.	509
48	Pimozide/	483
49	(Antalon or Opiran or Orap or Pimotsidi or Pimozid or Pimozida or Pimozidas	

	or Pimozide or Pimozidum or Pimozyd).mp.	833
50	Prochlorperazine/	22
51	(Apo-Prochlorazine or Capazine or Chlormeprazine or Compazine or Compro or Dhaperazine or Emelent or Kronocin or Nipodal or Novamin or Nu-Prochlor or Meterazin or Meterazine or Mital or Prochlorpemazine or Prochlorperazinum or Prochlorperazina or Prochlorperazine or Proklooriperatsiini or Proklorperazin or Prorazin or Phenothiazine or Seratil or Stemetil or Tementil or Temetid).mp.	868
52	exp Thioridazine/	370
53	(Aldazine or Dazithin or Detril or Elperil or Mallorol or Malloryl or Melleril or Meleril or Mellaril or Mellerets or Mellerette or Melleretten or Melleril or Sonapax or Thioridazin or Thioridazine or Thioridazinum or Tioridatsiini or Tioridazin or Tioridazina or Tioridazinas).mp.	894
54	exp Thiothixene/	103
55	(Navane or Navaron or Orbinamon or Tiotixene or Tiotikseeni or Tiotixen or Tiotixeno or Tiotixenum or Thixit or Tiotixene or Thiothixene).mp.	223
56	exp Trifluoperazine/	133
57	(Cuait D or Cuait N or eskazine or flupazine or Jatrosom or Jalonac or Parstelin or Parmodaline or stelazine or Stelabid or Stelapar or Sycot or Terfluzine or Trifluoperazine or Trifluoperazini Hydrochloridum or triftazin or Trinicalm Forte or Trinicalm Plus or Trifluperazine).mp.	445
58	or/35-57	14495
59	((second or 2nd) adj generation adj antipsychotic*).tw.	1495
60	((third or 3rd) adj generation adj antipsychotic*).tw.	14
61	exp Aripiprazole/	924
62	(Abilitat or Abilify or Aripiprazole or Discmelt or OPC 31 or OPC 14597).mp.	1608
63	Asenapine.mp.	99
64	(Blonanserin or AD 5423).mp.	37
65	Iloperidone.mp.	58
66	(Fanapt or HP 873 or Zomaril).mp.	6
67	exp Olanzapine/2859	
68	(Zyprexa or Olantsapiini or Olanzapin or Olanzapina or Olanzapinum or Olansek or Olanzapine or Zalasta or Zypadhera or Symbyax).mp.	4963
69	paliperidone.tw.	254
70	(9-Hydroxyrisperidone or Invega or R 76477 or RO76477).mp.	84
71	exp Quetiapine/	1408
72	(Co-Quetiapine or HSDB 7557 or Quetiapine or Seroquel).mp.	2651
73	exp Risperidone/	3144
74	(Apexidone or Psychodal or Risperdal or Risperidona or Risperidone or Risperidonum or Risperin or Risperilept or Rispolin or Spiron).mp.	5552
75	ziprasidone.tw.	1082
76	(Zeldox or zeldrox or geodon).mp.	15
77	or/59-76	11842
78	or/34,58,77	35737
79	or/58,77	23748
80	or/78-79	35737
81	randomi?ed controlled trial.tw,pt.	9338
82	exp Clinical Trials/	7255
83	controlled clinical trial.tw,pt.	937
84	randomi?ed.ab.	40956
85	placebo*.ab.	29854
86	randomly.ab.	49369
87	trial.ab.	59863

	88	groups.ab.	351883	
	89	or/81-88	463107	
	90	exp Animals/	266845	
	91	89 not 90	425007	
	92	and/33,80,91	5717	
	93	limit 92 to english language	5382	
	94	limit 93 to "300 adulthood <age 18 yrs and older>"		3488
	95	exp Clinical Trials/	7255	
	96	clinical trial:.mp.	22843	
	97	random:.tw.	126203	
	98	placebo:.mp.	30509	
	99	double-blind:.mp.	17952	
	100	or/95-99	158177	
	101	and/33,80,100	4593	
	102	limit 101 to english language	4352	
	103	102 and (201107* or 201108* or 201109* or 201110* or 201111* or 201112* or 2012* or 2013* or 2014*).up.	728	
	104	limit 103 to "300 adulthood <age 18 yrs and older>"		457
	105	limit 104 to "0100 journal"	457	
	106	exp Followup Studies/	12304	
	107	exp longitudinal studies/	15214	
	108	exp prospective studies/	418	
	109	exp retrospective studies/	336	
	110	(observation\$ or prospectiv\$ or cohort\$ or longitudinal or long term or long-term or longterm or followup or followup or followup).mp. and (study or studies or trial\$).ti,ab,sh.	199197	
	111	or/106-110	212691	
	112	exp Animals/	266845	
	113	111 not 112	201145	
	114	and/33,80,113	2909	
	115	limit 114 to english language	2668	
	116	115 and (201107* or 201108* or 201109* or 201110* or 201111* or 201112* or 2012* or 2013* or 2014*).up.	491	
	117	limit 115 to "0100 journal"	2598	
	118	meta-analys?s.mp.	16474	
	119	search:.tw.	60247	
	120	review:.mp.	398224	
	121	or/118-120	449813	
	122	and/33,80,121	2942	
	123	and/33,80	20915	
	124	limit 123 to "0830 systematic review"		150
	125	or/122,124	2947	
	126	limit 125 to english language	2627	
	127	limit 126 to "300 adulthood <age 18 yrs and older>"		673
	128	limit 127 to "0100 journal"	657	
	129	adult*.mp.	348372	
	130	125 and 129	183	
	131	limit 130 to "0100 journal"	170	
	132	128 or 131	772	
Date Limits	133	132 and (201107* or 201108* or 201109* or 201110* or 201111* or 201112* or 2012* or 2013* or 2014*).up.	141	

Appendix D. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria from Original Systematic Review

Our inclusion/exclusion criteria were developed in consultation with the Technical Expert Panel (TEP). Criteria are summarized below.

Study selection was based on an a priori set of inclusion and exclusion criteria for study design, patient population, interventions, comparators, and outcomes. We screened the results of our searches using a two-step process. First, two reviewers independently screened the titles and abstracts (level 1 screening) to determine if an article met the broad inclusion or exclusion criteria for study design, population, interventions, and comparators. We rated each citation as: “include,” “exclude,” or “unclear.” Records rated as “include” or “unclear” were advanced to level 2 screening. For full-text screening (level 2 screening), two reviewers independently reviewed each retrieved study using a standardized screening form that was developed and piloted by the review team. We resolved discrepancies through discussion and consensus or by third-party adjudication. Reviewers were not masked to the study authors, institution, or journal.

We included studies that included at least 80 percent of patients from the adult population (18-64 years). Polypharmacy is common in clinical practice; therefore, we did not exclude studies examining patients taking other medications from the CER. Studies that included both patients with schizophrenia and patients with bipolar disorder, but did not provide separate results for these two conditions, were included only for the AEs section (KQ3). To be included, cohort studies were required to have a follow-up period of at least 2 years and present data on at least one serious adverse event (SAE), as determined by the Technical Expert Panel (i.e., type II diabetes mellitus, mortality, tardive dyskinesia, and major metabolic syndromes).

Category	Criteria
Publication type	<p><u>Inclusion Criteria:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> English language, full-text publications from 1950 to present <p><u>Exclusion Criteria</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-English language publications Conference abstracts
Study design	<p><u>Inclusion Criteria:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RCTs, nRCTs, and prospective and retrospective cohort studies <p><u>Exclusion Criteria</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Observational study designs with no comparison group (e.g., case reports, case series, and cross-sectional studies) or case-control studies
Participants	<p><u>Inclusion Criteria:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adults (age 18 to 64 years) with schizophrenia or related psychoses or bipolar disorder

	<u>Exclusion Criteria</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pediatric population (aged <18 years) • Geriatric population (aged >64 years)
Interventions	<u>Inclusion Criteria:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any currently available FDA-approved FGA <u>Exclusion Criteria</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Currently unavailable or non-FDA-approved FGA or other interventions
Comparators	<u>Inclusion Criteria:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any currently available FDA-approved SGA <u>Exclusion Criteria</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Currently unavailable or non-FDA-approved SGA, placebo, or other interventions
Outcomes	<u>Inclusion Criteria:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outcomes listed in the KQ; cohort studies must report on ≥ 1 SAE <u>Exclusion Criteria</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None of the a priori identified outcomes were available from the trial report or communication with the study's corresponding author
Timing	<u>Inclusion Criteria:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All follow-up periods for trials; cohort studies ≥ 2 years follow-up <u>Exclusion Criteria</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorts with <2 years follow-up
Setting	<u>Inclusion Criteria:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All settings <u>Exclusion Criteria</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NA

FDA
=

Food and Drug Administration; FGA = first-generation antipsychotic; KQ = key question; NA = not applicable; nRCT = nonrandomized controlled trial; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SAE = serious adverse event; SGA = second-generation antipsychotic

*Note: Original inclusion/exclusion criteria extracted from Effective Health Care Program, CER #63, *First-Generation Versus Second-Generation Antipsychotics in Adults: Comparative Effectiveness* pps. 10-11.

Appendix E. Literature Search Results

1. Aggarwal NK, Rosenheck RA, Woods SW, et al. Race and long-acting antipsychotic prescription at a community mental health center: A retrospective chart review. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2012; 73(4): 513-17.
2. Bai YM, Lin CC, Chen JY, et al. Association of weight gain and metabolic syndrome in patients taking clozapine: An 8-year cohort study. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2011; 72(6): 751-6.
3. Barnes TR, Drake RJ, Gunn G, et al. Effect of prior treatment with antipsychotic long-acting injection on randomised clinical trial treatment outcomes. *The British Journal of Psychiatry: the Journal of Mental Science*. 2013; 203(3):215-20.
4. Belgamwar RB, El-Sayeh HG. Aripiprazole versus placebo for schizophrenia. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2011; 8:CD006622.
5. Brown R, Taylor MJ, Geddes J. Aripiprazole alone or in combination for acute mania. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013; 12: CD005000.
6. Cipriani AC, Barbui C, Salanti G, et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of antimanic drugs in acute mania: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2011; 378(9799): 1306-15.
7. Farahani A, and Correll CU. Are antipsychotics or antidepressants needed for psychotic depression? A systematic review and meta-analysis of trials comparing antidepressant or antipsychotic monotherapy with combination treatment. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2012; 73(4): 486-96.
8. Gillies D, Sampson S, Beck A, et al. Benzodiazepines for psychosis-induced aggression or agitation. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013; 4: CD003079.
9. Glick ID, Correll CU, Altamura AC, et al. Mid-term and long-term efficacy and effectiveness of antipsychotic medications for schizophrenia: a data-driven, personalized clinical approach. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2011; 72(12): 1616-27.
10. Hartling L, Abou-Setta AM, Dursun S, et al. Antipsychotics in adults with schizophrenia: comparative effectiveness of first-generation versus second-generation medications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2012; 157 (7): 498-511.
11. Irani F, Kalkstein S, Moberg EA, et al. Neuropsychological performance in older patient with schizophrenia: A meta-analysis of cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2011; 37(6): 1318-26.
12. Jayakody K, Gibson RC, Kumar A, et al. Zuclopenthixol acetate for acute schizophrenia and similar serious mental illnesses. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2012; 4: CD000525.
13. Kirson NY, Weiden PJ, Yermakov S, et al. Efficacy and effectiveness of depot versus oral antipsychotics in schizophrenia: synthesizing results across different research designs. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2013; 74(6): 568-75.
14. Kishimoto T, Robenzadeh A, Leucht C, et al. Long-acting injectable vs. oral antipsychotics for relapse prevention in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2014; 40(1): 192-213.
15. Leucht S, Cipriani A, Spineli L, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 15 antipsychotic drugs in schizophrenia: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2013; 382(9896): 951-62.
16. Leucht S, Helfer B, Hartung B. Perazine for schizophrenia. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2014; 1: CD002832.

17. Leucht S, Samara M, Heres S, et al. Dose equivalents for second-generation antipsychotics: the minimum effective dose method. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2014; 40(2): 314-26.
18. Marader SR, Sorsaburu S, Dunayevich E, et al. Case reports of postmarketing adverse event experiences with olanzapine intramuscular treatment in patients with agitation. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2010; 71(4): 433-41.
19. Matar HE, Almerie MQ, Makhoul S, et al. Pericyazine for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2014; 5: CD007479.
20. Powney MJ, Adams CE, Jones H. Haloperidol for psychosis-induced aggression or agitation (rapid tranquillisation). *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2012; 11: CD009377.
21. Procyshyn RM, Honer WG, Wu TK, et al. Persistent antipsychotic polypharmacy and excessive dosing in the community psychiatric treatment setting: A review of medication profiles in 435 Canadian outpatients. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2010; 71(5): 566-73.
22. Sommer IE, Slotema CW, Daskalakis ZJ, et al. The treatment of hallucinations in schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2012; 38(4): 704-14.
23. Weisler R, Montgomery SA, Earley WR, et al. Extended release quetiapine fumarate in patients with major depressive disorder: Suicidality data from acute and maintenance studies. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2014; 75(5): 520-7.

Appendix F. Questionnaire Sent to Expert Reviewers

AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Review Surveillance Program

Reviewer Form

Title of Original Review: First-Generation Versus Second-Generation Antipsychotics in Adults: Comparative Effectiveness

[Link to Report](#)

Name of Reviewer: _____

Instructions:

The AHRQ Scientific Resource Center (SRC) periodically conducts surveillance of published AHRQ reviews to assist with prioritization of reports for updating. One part of this process includes soliciting expert review of our synthesis of recently published literature and FDA black box warnings.

The attached document includes a table highlighting the conclusions from the original report and our synthesis of the recently published literature. Abstracts from relevant literature are included at the end of the attached document. If you would like a list of our full search results, please let us know.

Please review the table in the attached document and provide responses to the questions for each key question below. The primary goal of this review is to identify any missing studies and ensure the accuracy of our synthesis of the recently published literature.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> and ziprasidone (rates of hospitalization, rehospitalization) Perphenazine vs. olanzapine (rates of hospitalization, rehospitalization), quetiapine (rates of hospitalization, rehospitalization), risperidone (rates of hospitalization, rehospitalization), and ziprasidone (rates of hospitalization, rehospitalization) 			
<p>Bipolar Disorder: Functional Outcomes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Haloperidol vs. olanzapine – 1 study favored olanzapine (number of active workers working for pay). No difference found for household or work activities impairment 	No new research was found.	The two experts did not know of any additional studies, and either believed the original report to be up to date or did not know.	Original conclusion is still valid and this portion of the original report does not need updating.
<p>Key Question 3: For adults (age 18 to 64 years) with schizophrenia, schizophrenia-related psychoses, or bipolar disorder, do FGAs and SGAs differ in medication-associated AEs and safety?</p>			
<p>Mortality SOE: Low – No differences found</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chlorpromazine vs. clozapine (2 studies) - at 52 and 208 weeks Haloperidol vs. aripiprazole (2 studies) - 24 hour follow up <p>SOE: Insufficient</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chlorpromazine vs. ziprasidone Haloperidol vs. risperidone Thioridazine vs. clozapine and risperidone 	No new research was found.	One expert did not know of any additional studies, and believed the original report to be up to date. The other reviewer recommended examining FDA medical review reports. All reports except for lurasidone were published prior to the original CER. We identified only one trial comparing lurasidone to an FGA (vs. haloperidol) ⁶ with no reported mortality AEs.	Original conclusion is still valid and this portion of the original report does not need updating.
<p>Metabolic Syndrome SOE: Low – No differences found</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Haloperidol vs. olanzapine (2 studies) - 6 & 12 week follow up <p>SOE: Insufficient</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Haloperidol vs. clozapine Perphenazine vs. olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, and ziprasidone 	No new research was found.	One expert did not know of any additional studies, and believed the original report to be up to date. The other reviewer recommended examining FDA medical review reports. All reports except for lurasidone were published prior to the original CER. We identified only one trial comparing lurasidone to an FGA (vs. haloperidol), ⁶ with no reported AEs related to metabolic syndrome.	Original conclusion is still valid and this portion of the original report does not need updating.

<p>Diabetes Mellitus SOE: Insufficient</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Haloperidol vs. olanzapine • Perphenazine vs. olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, and ziprasidone 	<p>No new research was found.</p>	<p>One expert did not know of any additional studies, and believed the original report to be up to date. The other reviewer recommended examining FDA medical review reports. All reports except for lurasidone were published prior to the original CER. We identified only one trial comparing lurasidone to an FGA (vs. haloperidol)⁶ with no AEs related to diabetes reported.</p>	<p>Original conclusion is still valid and this portion of the original report does not need updating.</p>
<p>Tardive Dyskinesia SOE: Insufficient</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chlorpromazine vs. clozapine and ziprasidone • Haloperidol vs. clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, and ziprasidone 	<p>No new research was found.</p>	<p>One expert did not know of any additional studies, and believed the original report to be up to date. The other reviewer recommended examining FDA medical review reports. All reports except for lurasidone were published prior to the original CER. We identified only one trial comparing lurasidone to an FGA (vs. haloperidol)⁶ with no AEs related to tardive dyskinesia reported.</p>	<p>Original conclusion is still valid and this portion of the original report does not need updating.</p>
<p>Cardiovascular SOE: Insufficient</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chlorpromazine vs. clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, ziprasidone • Fluphenazine vs. quetiapine, risperidone • Haloperidol vs. aripiprazole, clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, ziprasidone • Perphenazine vs. aripiprazole, olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, ziprasidone 	<p>No new research was found.</p>	<p>One expert identified a study that found that aripiprazole had less QTc prolongation than other antipsychotics to which it had been compared;⁸ however, the meta-analysis included only SGAs and was published prior to the original CER. The other reviewer recommended examining FDA medical review reports. All reports except for lurasidone were published prior to the original CER. We identified only one trial comparing lurasidone to an FGA (vs. haloperidol)⁶ with no difference in cardiovascular AEs reported.</p>	<p>Original conclusion is still valid and this portion of the original report does not need updating.</p>
<p>Key Question 4: For adults (age 18 to 64 years) with schizophrenia, schizophrenia-related psychoses, or bipolar disorder, what is the comparative effectiveness of FGAs versus SGAs for the following other outcomes: Relapse and remission rates; medication adherence and persistent use; patient insight into illness; health-related quality of life; patient satisfaction; comorbidity: endpoints of victimization, homelessness, and substance abuse; patient reported outcomes; ability to obtain and retain employment and succeed in job duties; concomitant use of other medications, especially those</p>			

used to treat EPS; patient references.			
<p>Schizophrenia</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results for other outcomes were available for 19 head-to-head comparisons in studies of patients with schizophrenia or schizophrenia-related psychoses. • Few significant differences were found across the comparisons and outcomes examined. • For most significant findings, the SGA was preferred. The most commonly reported other outcome was response rate. A significant difference in response rates based on three studies was found favoring clozapine compared with chlorpromazine. Olanzapine was favored over haloperidol for remission (3 trials) and response rates (14 trials). • Significant differences were found favoring aripiprazole over haloperidol for caregiver satisfaction (1 trial) and patient satisfaction (1 trial). • Risperidone was favored over haloperidol for relapse rates (6 trials). • Olanzapine was favored over perphenazine for time to all cause medication discontinuation (1 trial). • Health-related quality of life was evaluated for the following comparisons, and no significant differences were found: haloperidol versus olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, and ziprasidone (1 trial each); olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, and ziprasidone (1 trial each). 	<p>No new research was found.</p>	<p>One expert identified a study that found that quetiapine has a shorter time to discontinuation than FGAs and other SGAs;⁹ however, this study was published prior to the original CER. The other reviewer recommended examining FDA medical review reports. All reports except for lurasidone were published prior to the original CER. We identified only one trial comparing lurasidone to an FGA (vs. haloperidol)⁶ with no AEs meeting criteria for KQ4 reported.</p>	<p>Original conclusion is still valid and this portion of the original report does not need updating.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was a significant difference in HRQoL for perphenazine over aripiprazole (1 trial). <p>Bipolar Disorder</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results for other outcomes were available for three head-to-head comparisons in studies of patients with bipolar disorder. • Significant differences were found for health-related quality of life in one trial comparing haloperidol versus olanzapine: haloperidol was favored for the mental summary score, and olanzapine was favored for the physical summary score. One study showed a significant difference favoring haloperidol compared with ziprasidone for response rates. 			
--	--	--	--

Key Question 5: For adults (age 18 to 64 years) with schizophrenia, schizophrenia-related psychoses, or bipolar disorder, what are the comparative effectiveness and risks of FGAs versus SGAs in subgroups defined by: disorder subtypes; sex; age group (18-35; 36-54; 55-64); race; comorbidities; drug dosage; follow-up period; treatment of a first episode versus treatment in the context of previous episodes; treatment resistance.

<p>Schizophrenia</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A total of 41 studies compared outcomes for predefined subgroups. Among the studies of patients with schizophrenia and schizophrenia-related psychoses, data were most often available for race and treatment resistance. • The race most often examined was Asian. No notable differences were observed for the subgroups compared to the overall findings. <p>Bipolar Disorder</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The only subgroup available for analysis in studies of patients with bipolar disorder was disorder subtype, specifically bipolar I and bipolar II. • The results were consistent with the overall findings. A significant difference favored haloperidol compared with ziprasidone for core illness symptoms (YMRS) in patients with bipolar I disorder. 	<p>No new research was found.</p>	<p>The two experts did not know of any additional studies, and either believed the original report to be up to date or did not know.</p>	<p>Original conclusion is still valid and this portion of the original report does not need updating.</p>
--	-----------------------------------	--	---